CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

----- X

October 28, 2014 Start: 1:19 p.m. Recess: 6:56 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: Daniel Dromm Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile
Daniel R. Garodnick
Margaret S. Chin
Stephen T. Levin
Deborarh L. Rose
Mark S. Weprin

Jumaane D. Williams

Andy L. King Inez D. Barron Chaim M. Deutsch

Mark Levine Alan N. Maisel Antonio Reynoso Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Joanna Duran

Sharon LaRoc

Corinne Rello-Anselmi
Deputy Chancellor for New York City Department
of Education

Jan McDonald New York City Department of Education

Amy Jones
New York City Department of Education

Michael van Biema New York City Department of Education

Josh Morgenstern
New York City Department of Education

Judy Nathan New York City Department of Education Counsel

Robert Randall

David Weprin New York Assemblyman

Carmen Alvarez Vice President of United Federation of Teachers

Liz Truly

United Federation of Teachers

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Laverne Burrows Council of School Supervisors and Administrators

John Khani Council of School Supervisors and Administrators

Maggie Moroff Advocates for Children

Nina Lublin Resources for Children with Special Needs

Jaclyn Okin-Barney
Parents for Inclusive Education

Cara Chambers
Legal Aid Society

Tamika Carter
District 75 Parent

Ellen McHugh Citywide Council on Special Education

Michelle Norris Citywide Council on Special Education

Karen Sprowal
Class Size Matters

Erik Joerss New York City Charter School Center

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Megan Davis-Hitchens New York City Special Education Collaboration

Dixon Deutsch New York City Special Education Collaboration

Elizabeth Springer Hyde Leadership Charter School

Jacob Adler Orthodox Union

Casey Vier Children's Aid College Prep in CSD 12

Ife Lenard
Principal at Children's Aid College Prep in CSD
12

Ruth Aberman Sterling School

Michael Godino New York City Youth in Vision Loss Coalition

Megan Boyle

Susan Crawford Right to Read Project

Susan Crosen

Stephanie Jackson School Aid District 28

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Paul Levy

Monica Pintado-Agguio

Elizabeth Pado

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Good afternoon and welcome to today's Oversight Hearing on Special Education Instruction and Student Achievement, jointly sponsored by the Education Committee and the Subcommittee on Non-public Schools. We will also hear testimony on a bill today that I sponsored, Intro Number 435. I'll talk more about the bill shortly after some opening remarks, and then we'll move on to hear the statement from my colleague, Council Member Chaim Deutsch, Chair of the Subcommittee on Non-public Schools. The Education Committee has held six hearings on Special Education over the past 12 years. Most of them in response to restructuring and reform efforts by the prior Administration. Those prior hearings focus primarily on structural aspects of special education reform such as serving the majority of special needs students in local district schools. At today's hearing, we want to focus on DOE's ongoing efforts to improve instruction for students with disabilities with a particular emphasis on literacy instruction. Students with disabilities comprise a large and growing segment of New York City public school

population. According to the Mayor's Management

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS report, in 2013-14, the number of special education students in city public schools increased to 194,232, representing approximately 19 percent of student In addition, the Department of Education enrollment. supports Special Education Services for 29,743 school-aged students in non-public schools and 26,534 preschool students, 600 in public schools and 25,934 in non-public schools for a total of 250,509 students with disabilities in the last school year. Despite several reform efforts by the DOE in 2003-07, and the latest citywide reform effort phased in from 2010 to 2012, students with disabilities continue to perform at far below their general education peers. On the 2014 state English Language Arts Exam, only 6.7 percent of city students with disabilities in grades three through eight scored at or above proficient compared with 34.2 percent of their non-disabled Similarly, on the 2014 state math test, 11.4 peers. percent of students with disabilities in grades three through eight scored at or above proficient compared to 40.3 percent of nondisabled students, and those percentages of special needs students who failed to achieve proficiency does not include students with the most severe disabilities who participate in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS alternative assessments rather than standardized testing. Graduation rates for special education students in city schools have also historically been much lower than those with peers in general education. In 2013, the latest year for which data is available, only 37.5 percent of students with disabilities graduated within four years of entry into high school compared to 70.6 percent of general education students. Closing this achievement gaps and improving academic outcomes for students with disabilities is the chief reason cited by the DOE for its efforts to reform special education. Although they have been in control of the city schools for less than a year, Mayor de Blasio and Chancellor Farina have already made several changes to the DOE's special education system. Mayor de Blasio announced in June that the city would reduce legal challenges against parents who want the DOE to pay for their children with disabilities to attend private schools. The city has agreed not to face cases that have been previously settled or that parents have won, and will also ease paperwork requirements and expedite payments to parents seeking those reimbursements. Chancellor Farina has also made some organizational

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 10 changes, dividing the DOE's former division of students with disabilities and English language learners into the division of specialized instruction and a separate English language learners and student support. As part of the departmental restructuring, the Chancellor also established a special education office last March within the division of specialized instruction. We are also pleased to hear that the Chancellor has expanded the popular ASD Nest Program, which integrates students with autism into classes with general education students to four more schools this year. Parents and educators and advocates still have many concerns that they want the new Administration to address. Some parents still complain about the length of time it takes from evaluation until students receive services and many charge that their children are not getting all of their mandates services like speech, occupational and physical therapy or intensive counseling. And article earlier this month in Chalk Beat sites new data which shows that the DOE is still failing to provide thousands of services to students with disabilities, particularly in some of the city's poorest and least accessible neighborhoods.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 11 Complaints related to special education that teachers filed with the United Federation of Teachers last year increase more than 60 percent over the prior year. One of the most common types of complaints lodged by teachers is a violation of the ratio of no more than 40 percent students with disabilities and an inclusion class with general education students. Some educators and advocates have also complained that school administrators have inappropriately altered the individualized education plans or IEP's of some of the special needs students based on available resources rather than the needs of the students. Advocates are also concerned that the DOE has not provided sufficient professional development to teachers in district schools to enable to adequately meet the needs of the influx of students with disabilities that they are now serving, especially in the area of literacy instruction. In particularly, advocates want to know how many teachers have been trained and certified in multisensory approaches to reading instruction, such as Orton-Gillingham and Wilson Reading Programs, which are particularly effective for many special needs students. Clearly this an important topic and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 12 we have a lot to examine today regarding special education instruction in New York City Schools. Committee also looks forward to hearing testimony from parents, students, educators, advocates, unions, CEC members and others on this issue. As I stated earlier, we will also hear testimony on Intro Number 435 today. The goal of this bill is to get some basic data from the DOE on the number of students referred and evaluated for special education services, the timelines involved, the number of students actually receiving services, and whether they're getting their full services. Specifically, Intro Number 435 would require the DOE to submit to the council and post on the DOE's website an annual report by March 30th concerning each student seeking or receiving any special education services or programs. The proposed bill would require the DOE to report the number of referrals made and types of evaluations conducted, the number of students who underwent initial evaluations or re-evaluations less than 30 days after their referral and more than 30 days after their referral and the number of such students who are English language learners. The bill would also require reporting on the number of students who have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 13 received individualized education programs and the number of placements made based on initial evaluations, re-evaluations or triennial evaluations and the length of time it took for placements to be completed. Intro number 435 would also require the annual reports to include the number and percentage of students in full compliance with their IEP's, the number and percentage of students who receive special education services inside the classroom for a 100 percent of the time, 50-99 percent of the time, and less than 50 percent of the time, and demographic information including race, ethnicity, gender, English language learner status, and the percent of students eligible for free and reduced price lunch. Additional details on the bill can be found in the Committee Report on Intro 435, which is available on the side of the room. I would like to remind everyone who wishes to testify today that you must fill out a witness slip, which is located on the desk of the Sergeant at Arms near the entrance to the If you wish to testify on Intro 435, please indicate on the witness list whether you are here to testify in favor or opposition to the bill. I also want to point out that we will not be voting on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 14 bill today as this is just the first hearing. To allow as many people as possible to testify, testimony will be limited to three minutes per person, and that also includes my colleagues for questioning, and please note that all witnesses will be sworn in before testifying today. And now I'd like to turn the floor over to my colleague Chaim Deutsch for his remarks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you, Chairman Dromm, as Chair of the Subcommittee on Nonpublic Schools, I want to thank you all for joining us here today. I also want to thank the Education Committee staff and my staff for the hard work in preparing for today's hearing. We are here today to discuss special education instruction and student's achievement in relation to Intro 435, a Local Law that would require the Department of Education to report information regarding students receiving special education services. There are often unique struggles that challenge families with special needs children whose disabilities can span from ADHD and dyslexia to falling into the autism spectrum. government is an important and useful tool for these families in offering assistance and other options

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 15 that make the educational process as effective and smooth as possible for every child and family. Accordingly, New York City has made available funding resources to all residents. I have had many occasions to work with the Department of Education on many issues that have arisen within the public and non-public school sector. I have been impressed with DOE's dedication and commitment to providing services to all students, including for those who require special education services. This Administration has committed itself to making the special education paradigm more inclusive to provide resources to special education students in the neighborhood schools and to ultimately challenge the children into integrated classes. I am continuously meeting with parents of special education students, and consequently formed a group of parents with children in my district office who have a variety of needs in order to provide assistance with some of the challenges they face in procuring services for their children. This process can be confusing at times, however, many parents have conveyed accounts of the current administration proactively taking steps to streamline this method, these methods. Non-public

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 16 school parents and advocates have reported that the reimbursements are beginning to be paid in a timely fashion, a trend I hope to see continue. Among my concerns are the hurdles in obtaining services within an adequate timeframe. This point is illustrated in the case of Josh, a first grader with a significant delayed speech and hearing impairment. Josh was mandated for four sessions of speech therapy within his mainstream school. These services were crucial without which he would be unable to continue and attend and succeed in the mainstream school. Two months into the school year, these services are still not being provided and Josh's school work and social development are suffering. The school has become concerned that, as they had anticipated, Josh is unable to keep up with his peers. Josh's circumstances demonstrate the urgent need that services are delivered to qualifying children in a timely manner. While parents are reporting significant improvements in the delivery of special education services, I look forward to continuing to work with the new Administration, Chancellor Farina and the Department of Education in effecting the necessary changes in the delivery of special

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 17
education services to all eligible students. There's
a lot of work to be done in improving our educational
system, and I'm looking forward to continuing my
partnership and very close partnership with Chairman
Dromm. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Council Member Deutsch. And today we're going to change it up a little bit, and we're going to ask a panel of parents to speak first before the Administration. And I'd like to now ask Joanna Duran [sp?] from the Winston Prep School and Sharon LaRoc [sp?] from PS 369 to please come forward. Be seated right over there. Okay, and to my colleagues, since we're hearing the parents first today, we're going to start--we're just going to allow them to give testimony and hold questions 'til later, then we're asking the Administration to come forward and we'll proceed in the regular fashion, but I did want to give the parents an opportunity to have some voice here today at the front end of this hearing. So, I do have to swear you in. If you'd raise your right hand please? Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Okay, good. Thank you, and I'd also like to thank
the Department of Education for being here for this
part of the presentation. I really deeply appreciate
that very much, thank you. And who would like to
start? Okay, great. And introduce yourself and
state your name, please. Is that mic on? The red
light—the red—

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOANNA DURAN: Okay, good. My name is Joanna Duran and I'm a parent from one of the students who attend Winston Prep. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Joanna Duran, and I am the parent of Anhenis [sp?], a 16 year old student who is in the ninth grade. Form the time Anhenis was a toddler, I noticed he had delays in his speech. Anhenis attended public school from the time he was in pre-k. In first grade, Anhenis was found eligible for an IEP. Within his first few years of school, he was held back because he could still not recognize letters. In the third grade, the DOE placed him in a 12 to one special education class at a different public school, and he stayed in this class through fifth grade. However, he still did not learn to read. The class mainly has students who were hyperactive and have behavior challenges.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 19 Anhenis was quiet and well-behaved. Anhenis's teachers passed him along from grade to grade, but he could not read. When Anhenis was in fifth grade, his teacher suggested that I get a private evaluation from City College. The evaluation found that Anhenis had dilexia. It showed that he was smart and high average IQ scored, but could not read. The evaluation said that Anhenis needed a specialized program with daily tutoring in reading. On Anhenis's first day of middle school, I gave the City College evaluation to the principal. I asked the principal for help several times, but the school told me that they had never taught a student like Anhenis and did not know how to help him. I knew that something needed to change. At 12 years of age, Anhenis was a non-reader who did not even understand that letters made sounds. He could not read menus at restaurants. He could not read street signs. He could not do any of his homework. His inability to read had an emotional impact on me and others. Anhenis was bullied by other students. He begged me not to send him to school. He was terrified of being called on to read. He did not want his friends to know that he could not With the help of an attorney at Advocates for read.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 20 Children of New York, I pulled Anhenis out of his middle school and enrolled him in the Sterling School, a specialized private school in the middle of sixth grade. At the start of the school, the teachers were trained in how to help students like Anhenis learn to read. They used the Orton-Gillingham method throughout the day and gave him one to one reading instruction four days per week. I saw a significant change in my son. Within two months at the Sterling School, Anhenis was reading his first When my son aged out of the Sterling School, he enrolled at Winston Prep, another school specializing in helping students with learning disabilities. At Winston Prep he continues to receive one to one reading instruction every day. Anhenis has made great progress. He's not reading on grade level, but he's reading, which that's what I really care about. He has much more confidence now, and I have hope for Anhenis's future. When I send my son to school, I expected him to learn to read. Instead, I found that the public schools were not prepared to teach Anhenis. I am here today because I want to make sure this does not happen to other children. If a private school can teach Anhenis to read, why

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

21
couldn't the public school do so. The DOE needs to
make sure that teachers were trained in helping
students with disabilities learn to read and that
there's a way for parents to get help when their
children are not reading. I believe in public
education and what the DOE to work to make sure that
every student in public school learns to read. Thank
you for listening to Anhenis's story.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Ms. LaRoc.

JOANNA DURAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

Ms. LaRoc?

2.2

SHARON LAROC: Good afternoon. My name is Sharon LaRoc. I am the grandmother of Travis LaRoc; he's 12 years old. What I want to share with you about Travis's journey in public school, this may not be a lot on the paper, but I'm going to express it to you, because Travis from kindergarten, Travis was going through the same thing as she was speaking of her son. He was thrown into District 75 because he have a behavioral problem and he has ADD, cannot read. In District 75, Travis came from a school where he was reading. He end up not reading because all you got was fighting and cursing. The teachers

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 22 in District 75 was not made up for Travis's kind of way, the attitude that he had, and I was countlessly being called to come to the school to get him or his parents. My son has to come get him. I mean, I answered my phone. I let them left message at my job, and in 2011 I got help form Advocate for Kids for Travis. We will have IEP meetings, and when we'll have the IEP meetings, Ms. Smith, the Advocate for Kids for me, will ask for extra help for Travis. PS--at the school, at K231, they decide to tell me that Travis was getting enough help he was supposed to get. He was in a class for 11 to one with extra help. Travis could not read. Travis was embarrassed, so when he was embarrassed he would break stuff. He would kick. He would start problem in the class because he was embarrassed that other kids would laugh at him. And it kept going on and going on. I took--we went to court after the last IEP meeting that we got from K231, and when we got to court that's when I was awarded for him to go to private tutoring where I think the DOE is paying a lot of money. If you talking about 80 hours, 10,000 dollars for 80 hours for one kid, how many more kids is out there who is looking for that stuff that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 23 public school can do it theirself [sic]. We don't have to go to private tutoring when we have public school that they can pull him aside and teach him and learn him to read and write. He could not even recognize sight words. At 12, there were no sight words. At Linden Mubell [sic] he went up where he can do 50 sight words now within the summer. Presently, now, we found a school, a non-public school at Martin DePury [sic] where he will be starting soon. But my testimony today is just ask the DOE for those little kids like Travis who cannot read and is being pushed from grade to grade. Come to school summertime and you go to another grade. And he go to another grade, but he comes home and he says, "I don't know to do my homework." And I will say, "Did they not learn you that today?" And he will say, "Yes, but I don't know." And I keep telling the teachers, "Travis gets homework and he don't know what to do the homework, so why is he still getting the homework if he can't do the homework?" So I will cut my part off by saying thank you today for listening to me, and I hope you will listen to other parents like Travis. There's a lot of Travis. There's a lot of her son going around New York public school, just coming out

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 24 from one to the other, and at the end there's no job will take them in because you cannot read and write, you have no job nowhere, not even McDonald's. Thanks again for hearing me.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I want to thank both of the parents for coming in and expressing and telling us their experiences and their difficulties that they've had. That's exactly why we wanted to have the hearing today to see how we can work on those types of situations, and good luck to the children, and hopefully they're going to be able to read and write and get the services they need moving forward, and I thank you both for coming in today. And we'll have other questions of parents, etcetera, later on, their experiences once the DOE gives their testimony. So, I want to thank you again for coming in. Thank you very much. Thank you.

SHARON LAROC: Thank you.

JOANNA DURAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And now I'd like to call up Corinne Rello-Anselmi, Deputy Chancellor for the New York City Department of Education, and John McDonald with the New York City DOE. Jan, I'm sorry. And while they're coming up, I'd like to introduce

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

the Council Members who have joined us. We've been
joined by Council Member Steve Levin from Brooklyn,
Council Member Mark Weprin from Queens, Council
Member Mark Levine from Manhattan, Council Member
Margaret Chin from Manhattan, Council Member Alan
Maisel from Brooklyn. Thank you. Deputy Chancellor
and Ms. McDonald, if you could just raise your hand
so I can swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or
affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing
but the truth and to answer Council Member questions
honestly? Thank you. And you may begin.

2.2

CORINNE RELLOS-ANSELMI: Good afternoon
Chair Dromm and Deutsch and members of the Education
Committee. My name is Corinne Rellos-Anselmi, and I
am Deputy Chancellor for the Division of Specialized
Instruction, Student Support at the New York City
Department of Education, DOE, which includes the
Special Education Office. I am joined by Jan
McDonald, the Special Education Office Executive
Director for Data and Accountability. Thank you for
this opportunity to testify in special education in
New York City schools and Intro 435, which requires
the DOE to report information regarding students
receiving special education services. Until a few

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 26 years ago, most students with disabilities in New York City were taught in separate classrooms far from their non-disabled peers, and in many cases in completely separate schools. Expectations for students with disabilities were far--were often set low, with little focus on graduation, let alone adequate preparedness for college, careers, and independent living. Too many of our students were deprived of access to the same opportunities as their peers, negatively impacting their futures. Three years ago, the DOE launched the citywide expansion of Special Education Reform Initiative, a Shared Path to Success to end the segregation of student with disabilities in New York City. Special education must be viewed as a set of services to help students with disabilities on their path to academic success, not as a separate place to send students. Chancellor Farina has had a long standing commitment to inclusive school communities that service the needs of all students and has made it essential to her sweeping vision of access and opportunity for all children. We are proud of our progress, but recognize that we still have a long way to go. As we look ahead, we continue to focus on four major areas,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 27 access, quality, individualized education programs, supporting student behavior and transition to college careers and independent living. The primary focus of a shared path is access. Students with disabilities now have increased access to neighborhood schools and classrooms. This means learning in the least restrictive environment with appropriate supports to meet their individual needs. We know that instructional access for students with disabilities benefits all students, and under Chancellor Farina's leadership, we have begun several new initiatives to leverage special education expertise to boost achievement across the board. The first of these grew out of this Administration's commitment to promoting literacy. Early intervention and support is critical in fostering success in reading and writing in young children. Next month, we will launch an early childhood literacy intervention program utilizing the expertise of speech teachers. These teachers will be able to use additional periods each week to collaborate with early childhood classroom teachers and work directly with students in small groups to build foundational phonemic awareness skills and prevent future reading deficits. We are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 28 consulting with national experts in language processing in the development at the City University of New York's Lehman College to ensure that our strategies are based on the most current research. Next, as of this month, all initial speech evaluations are being conducted by DOE speech teachers rather than outside contractors. change has already resulted in decreased wait times for families. This also enables the DOE experts to work with classroom teachers to understand diverse speech patterns and support students in the general education environment. Our division is also leading an initiative to reimagine our approach to working with students with dyslexia. We are partnering with a local university to create a comprehensive program for the training of our teachers to teach students with dyslexia. Many students with dyslexia may not need special education services if they can develop literacy skills with properly trained professionals. In literacy, we support Orton-Gillingham based, sequential multicentury reading intervention for students with disabilities. Reading intervention is supported across the city through the work of the office of teaching and learning and the Office of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 29 Special Education. The Special Education Office is working on two pilots with Orton-Gillingham based programs, Wilson Foundations, and really great reading. Staff in our office have been trained in Wilson and we are finalizing a proposal to continue this work in schools. In order to increase access to Common Core Curriculum for students with disabilities, we are strengthening and expanding our work in the area of assistive technology. Assistive technology consists of devices, hardware and software that aids students in accomplishing educational tasks. In addition to providing professional development to integrate assistive technology and instructional technology into the classroom, we are also expanding our work of the center for assistive technology, expanding the work of our center for assistive technology, which will further improve the timeliness and quality of AT evaluations and implementation of AT devices and services. addition to these initiatives, we have continued to strengthen our support and structure systems for related services, including counseling, speech therapy, occupational therapy, physical therapy, hearing and vision education services and have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 30 achieved historically high levels of service to students. By the close of the 2013/14 school year, we are providing approximately 90 percent of services in full. We made regular progress in serving students recommended for bilingual counseling and bilingual speech therapy providing both at a rate of over 90 percent. We have also made significant progress in closing the gap in services for students in parts of the city where it has been most difficult to hire providers. For District Seven, Eight, Nine and 12 in the Bronx, District 16 in Brooklyn, service levels have rose nearly 10 percent from the school year 2012/13 to 2013/14, and in District 13 in Brooklyn service levels jumped 19 percent over the same period. In District 23 in Brooklyn, we are serving students at a rate over 30 percent higher than in 2008. Although there is much more work to do to ensure that every student in every neighborhood is fully served, we are confident that our progress will continue. One of the key elements of our success to date has been our commitment to recruiting and hiring providers in long term shortage areas. Notably, as of May, we have had a 28 percent increase in bilingual speech teachers on staff from the year

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 31 before. Another important development in our work is in the area of specialized instructional programs. While most of our students can be served in their neighborhood schools, there are some students who are served best in specialized programs. While serving as Deputy Chancellor, Chancellor Farina spearheaded efforts to study ways to meet the needs of high function students with autism. At the time, she was one of the original members of the New York University ASD Nest Support Project. We have continued the work with ASD Nest to advance opportunities for children with autism spectrum disorders though a model of reduced class size with specialized support in a setting integrated with general education students. In collaboration with the New England Center for Children, we have developed another specialized program for students with autism, ASD Horizon which uses a special class model and curriculum. We currently have 270 individual classes across the city in grades K-12 that serves students with autism spectrum disorders using this model. academics, careers, and essential living program also known as ASIS is a specialized program intended to provide students with intellectual disabilities

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 32 greater access to their local schools. ASIS program provide student supports for students who alternately assessed with Districts One through 32 schools using a special class model. We are committed to expanding highly effective specialized programs in order to meet the needs of our students. Under Chancellor Farina, we continue to focus on professional development work that we know is necessary for teachers and other school leaders. The IEP functions as an educational road map setting out individualized programs of supports and services tailored to student's strengths and needs. High quality IEP's are essential and our teachers need ongoing support to deliver them for each and every student with a disability. We are offering comprehensive learning opportunities through our professional learning partnerships, professional development, curriculum planning and other essential initiatives. This year's professional development catalog have over 70 different topics available throughout the school year and in every borough. In the past two years, our office has lead over 5,000 workshops reaching 112,000 professionals. We are also focused on training our teachers to provide positive behavioral supports to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 33 students who need them. We are excited to continue and deepen our collaboration with the United Federation of teachers on the Institute for understanding behavior. We are building on that collaboration to provide supports to professionals by offering training and positive behavior interventions and supports. We also continue to support our schools to conduct functional behavior assessments and create effective intervention plans. past, many students with disabilities were not challenged to succeed beyond high school. It is critical to develop a transition plan that sets out a series of interim goals and moves towards the highest diploma option. Through the summer youth employment, work based learning and the Chancellor's focus on increased technical, a career in technical education options, CTE, we are creating multiple paths for successful futures. We have been working to ensure that students, families and schools understand the opportunities for students with disabilities in CTE as well as how to use the new career development and occupational studies graduation credential, CDAS as a supplement to a diploma to ensure that students graduate with the skills necessary to succeed in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 34 workforce. In keeping with Chancellor Farina's strategic vision, we remain committed to engaging with those who know our students best, our parents and families. In order for our students to have access to a quality education, it is essential that we actively partner with the families and communities. Families must have the ability to engage fully in the special education process to understand available resources and services and to support their children through transitions. This past spring, the Special Education Office hosted a citywide conference with families of students with disabilities. This was a daylong event where families could attend workshops about special education process, ask questions about individual student needs and discuss with our staff how we can work together to best service students. We have continued our commitment to work with parents and advocates by offering workshops across the five boroughs, including kindergarten orientation meetings, high school admission meetings, a parent academy, and the Chancellor's parent conferences. addition, we have deepened our partnership with the citywide council of special education and attend

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 35 monthly public meetings and present information on relevant special education topics. We have also revamped the special education section of the DOE website, making it a rich resource of detailed information on all aspects of special education process. It can be viewed in nine languages other than English and includes videos of workshops from our parent conference, links to community resources, and detailed information, both for the seasoned advocates and for parents just learning about special education. This fall, we updated the Family Guide to Special Education, which was developed in partnership with families and advocates. It can be found on our website in nine languages other than English, and we have also distributed hard copies to families throughout the city. We know that families often need additional support in understanding the special education process. We have an umbus [sic] person in our office to address family concerns that have not been resolved at the school level. Families can reach us through public email address at specialeducationreformatschools.nyc.gov and at the 311 special education hotline. We follow up within 48 hours of inquiry. These interventions not only allow

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 36 us to provide individual supports necessary, but also give us a window into the range of needs of our families across the city, enabling us to identify and address systemic issues. I would also like to provide you with an update on the leadership of the special education office. Next week, Christina Foti [sp?], Principal of PS321K will take on the role of leading the Special Education Office as Chief Executive Director. Christina has worked as a special education teacher, school based literacy and positive supports coach, middle school coordinator, assistant principal, and most recently District 75 principal. In these roles, she has developed skills in instruction, positive behavioral sports, writing quality IEPs, collaborative team teaching in selfcontained classes, as well as a deepened understanding of the Shared Path framework and Common Core learning standards. I would now like to introduce you to Jan McDonald, who will review additional data with you. The Special Education Data Team represents another new structure that we put into place two years ago to inform our decisions and better monitor and manage our work. The data allows us to track results of the reform across multiple

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 37 indicators to intervene if data reflects that any of 2 our policies or practices have unintended 3 consequences and to identify where we are having the 4 5 greatest success and challenges. Jan? JAN MCDONALD: Good afternoon. 6 I'd like 7 to briefly start and run through some demographics about our students, and then I'd like to look at some 8 of--9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Jan, if you could just introduce yourself on the mic. 11 12 JAN MCDONALD: I'm sorry. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: State your name. 13 14 JAN MCDONALD: My name is Jan McDonald. 15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. JAN MCDONALD: I'd like to first start 16 17 with giving you some demographics about our students 18 and then I'd like to look at some of the indicators that we use to track our progress. First, our 19 20 students. As in most large urban centers in the United States for at least the last three decades, we 21 2.2 have a very high large population of students with 23 IEP's, a population that is predominantly of male students of color. At this point in time, almost one 24

out of five students in our schools has been

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 38 determined to be in need of specialized education services. As you can see from this slide, one out of eight students with an IEP currently attend a school in District 75, but one of the important things to look at is down on the orange bars. You see that even as the general population of students with IEP's in the city had increased. The proportion of students who are attending District 75 segregated not just within a school or building, but within a entire district has proportionately decreased. While five years ago, one in five students in District 75 was new to the district that year. Now it's much closer to one in six as we provide greater access to other alternatives for students and families. Another thing that's important to understand is that these numbers are not going down rapidly. That's also by design. The reform was designed to be in a structured flow so that we didn't want all students to be shifting schools simultaneously, and we also knew that there was much work to be done in preparation. So, you'll see that this number is decreasing relatively slowly because the reform was actually designed to be implemented through the articulating grades. The articulating grades are grades where students change

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 39 from one school to another or one setting to another, and so for the two years that we've had the reform, we have currently impacted on grades one and kindergarten and also five and six and nine and ten. As we build programs that support for students with disabilities we obviously need to account for variability across boroughs, and you'll see here that there's a considerable variation from borough to borough. We actually see that level of variation across the city from districts, neighborhoods, and across all schools actually. For students with IEP's that attend our neighborhood schools, we see an interesting difference in classification then we'll see in the next slide for students who have been attending district 75. To receive special education services a student must demonstrate a disability in one of 13 specific categories designated by federal Within our neighborhood schools in districts 31 through 32, students with IEP's predominantly have classifications of either speech impairment or learning disability. But within District 75, the students we serve are now in the greatest numbers, those students who have been classified as being on the autism spectrum. With approximately, in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 40 combination 12,000 students classified as being on the autism spectrum citywide, we've of course identified that as an area of focus. As Corinne mentioned, we feel that many of those students who attend District 75 schools could be better served in specialized programs within their neighborhood schools, and as Corinne also detailed and the Chancellor have put additional resources in building programs to support programs on the spectrum in specialized programs within community schools. I'd now like us to look at a few key indicators that we track, so, what's important about this slide and the data that's here. Not too long ago because of policies that allowed students in schools to not serve students with IEP's, we had lots of schools that had no students with IEP's. So one thing that we track is how those numbers are shifting. We also track the overall percentage of students who have IEP's in a given building, and there's still a very wide range of variability across neighborhood schools. Currently from as few as zero percent of students with IEP's in a school, very few schools now are at that number we're delighted to say, and over 40 to 50 percent within a given community school, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 41 we want students with IEP's to have access to all schools, just like their nondisabled peers, because as you've read in your briefing materials, it's not only the law, but we know that students achieve best in the least restrictive environment. The reform was implemented in a structured phase in as I mentioned, and so this is another thing that is impacting this shift in numbers. Each year, over 17,000 preschoolers with a disability transitioned to kindergarten. we've put a lot of emphasis on that transition, and as this slide shows, we've done a much better job lately in getting evaluations done on time, which sadly has not been the case. It's important that we get things right in kindergarten, because the data show us that historically, once a student is enrolled in District 75, they tend to stay there. And when children is provided access to a neighborhood school, historically if recommended to a self-contained class, they tend to stay there. So we're working to increase access at the beginning and keep it there, both to community schools and also to their nondisabled peers. We're pleased that in the past two years we've not only gotten the job done more timely in the past at the kindergarten stage, but also each

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 42 of these two years we've recommended a higher proportion of children to their neighborhood schools. Before the New York City Education Reform began, students with disabilities in New York City and New York State were among the most segregated in the United States, but research shows that students in general have much higher rates of achievement when instructed in classes with their non-disabled peers. As such, the federal least restrictive environment mandate requires that all students in special education be educated with their non-disabled peers to the greatest extent possible and appropriate. While we still have a long way to go, New York City's percent of improvement that you see on this graph in access to the general education program is actually better than virtually any other place in the country, ranking among top ten in the shift from 2008 to 2012. To parallel the growth and the identification of students on the autism spectrum, as Corinne mentioned, we continue to increase the numbers of programs and community schools and we'll continue to do so. One of the areas where we've made some of our biggest improvements as Corinne mentioned is with IEP's and the timely delivery of related services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 43 While we still have a long way to go to get all students fully served especially earlier in the school and in particular areas in the city, the structures that have been put in place have really started to pay off. As you can see in this graph we, especially in this last year, made significant progress. I'd like to finish my remarks looking at some achievement data. It's very important to understand that achievement data or what we call in the educational statistics, a lagging indicator. Statistics in lagging indicators reform, it's like the--we're trying to shift the Queen Mary, and in order to make that shift, it takes some time to get going in the right direction, but it's our job to make sure that we are headed in the right direction in the beginning. With over 150,000 staff and 1,800 schools that also have 75,000 students who need to change their practices in a scheduled way, educational research literature is clear that impacting test results takes time. This first slide summarizes the past several years on the state ELA assessments for grades three and eight, and what you see with those lines there is that between 2009 and 10, the cut scores were shifted, and we determined

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 44 because we said that, you know, we're not like Wobegon [sic]. There aren't that many kids above average. The testing, the cut off scores are way too high, and they were cut, and as a result many fewer students scored as being proficient on the exams. Both were student with and without IEP's. Then two years ago, another shift occurred, the introduction of the new Common Core exams. Again, longer tests, harder tests, but important tests because they started looking at skills that our students really needed. Now, although it's very important for us to look at a comparison between students without IEP's and students with IEP's, it's also important that we compare apples to apples. So on the next slide you'll see a comparison across New York State of students with IEP's and their counterparts in the city students with IEP's, and one of the things that's important for you to note here is that in the last three bars on the right hand side I made a little bit darker so that you could take note of them, in each of those three years, the students with IEP's in New York City have actually out performed students across the state. Now, this important statistic for several reasons. First of all, for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 45 decades, New York City scores for students with IEP's have been far below the rest of the state. Also, the New York State data that's on the left hand side includes New York City students, and therefore, the differential between non-New York City students and the rest of the state are even greater. Similarly, in mathematics in the testing of grades three to eight, similar patterns have occurred with very similar results, but if you shift the comparison again to students across the state with IEP's and students in New York City with IEP's, a much more apples to apples comparison, you'll see that even as--and we clearly admit, both sets of New York and New York City scores remain way, way too low. This shift again is very, very promising and something that we've never seen before. Within the city, on the most recent Administration of the State Assessments, students with IEP's actually made greater year over year progress than any other subgroup in the city, making a 17 percent increase in English language arts and over 35 percent increase in mathematics. Now, I'd like to turn our attention to another lagging indicator, graduation rate. Again, it's lagging, but we've made goo distance. It's lagging. Consider a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 46 10th grade student, graduation rate is--if you have a 10th grade student who was two years ago in 10th grade when the reform began, he should now be ready to graduate. At that time, he was probably likely not attending his neighborhood school, and for those prior 10 years, that same student may have had little access to the curriculum or teachers who are content experts or his non-disabled peers, which would have made his environment one that was language rich. It's also likely that for those same ten years we did not have high expectations of academic access for that student, even though it was likely that we started with a very different set of expectations. If we started with a different set of expectations when he was in kindergarten, then we predict that his success would be much greater. None the less, we've still shown a great deal of improvement in terms of our graduation rates. And the fact that fewer students are dropping out has also meant that more are staying around longer and eventually graduating in five or six or seven years, data points that we also track very closely. Although graduation rates are in questionably still too low, the data shows significant positive trends from 2005 to 2013, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 47 these increases have occurred during a time period in which graduation requirements have significantly increased. The examination should become more difficult and both of those make these gains even more meaningful. For 2013, that bump in the graph that you see, excuse me, represents over 1,800 students who graduated with advanced Regents diplomas that would have been unheard of in the past. As you've seen the data show that we are making progress with respect to multiple indicators. While there's still clearly a long way to go, it is important to understand that we are working to reverse the policy's practices and school cultures reflecting decades of exclusion and low expectation for students with disabilities, and these will not be reversed overnight. With Chancellor Farina's longstanding commitment to effective policy practice and professional development regarding students with disabilities we are confident that the trends we are seeing will continue and accelerate. Finally, with respect to Intro 435, we support the Council's goal to provide parents, advocates, elected officials and other stakeholders with useful information regarding students receiving special education services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 48 Consistent with this Administration's commitment to transparency, we're already working to create school level reports that will be available to each school's web page that will have much more information required by the proposed legislation. We would like to work with the Council to revise the proposed legislation so it reflects the reporting already in progress and to ensure that the requirements align with existing state and federal legal and regulatory standards. Thank you for this opportunity to testify today, and I am happy to answer any questions you may have. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you very much for your testimony, and it was an awful lot of information to digest there. I first want to ask you, is the slideshow available to the public or is it available on a website or something so that we can look at those numbers in--JAN MCDONALD: [interposing] Sure.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I mean, I know I have it here.

JAN MCDONALD: It could be easily posted-

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 49 2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] 3 others may want--4 JAN MCDONALD: on our website. 5 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That would be great i f--6 7 JAN MCDONALD: [interposing] Sure. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: we could have it up 8 there so we could take a look at it, number one. 9 the slide show, in one of the slides, and I'm sorry I 10 didn't get exactly which one, but I think you said 11 12 that there was 16 percent of students in Queens and about 25 percent of students in Staten Island are 13 14 receiving special education services. Maybe it was 15 page five. Yeah, 24.1 in Staten Island. 16 proportion of students with IEP's varies considerably 17 by borough. Any explanation for that? Why is it--18 it's an eight point difference, basically, between those boroughs. 19 20 JAN MCDONALD: Well, certainly, one of the impact in Queens is the larger population of 21 2.2 Asian within the borough, and we know that across the 23 United States often students are underrepresented in 24 the IEP population who are of Asian descent. That's

25

one of the impacts.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 50

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Things like this vary from programs and services offered by borough and their work that they're doing with students in terms of identifying the supports and programs that are necessary. A lot of the work that we're doing is making sure that in populations that are identified in existing areas that we are creating the programs that match the needs of the community, be it the borough and/or school district. A real causal effect, we can't speak to, except to say there are multiple factors that we would have students with this span across the five boroughs, but they are very complex in terms of determining a route cause.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So what I was trying to get at actually was, you don't think that the cause of that is a lack of referrals or a lack of identification of students who need special education services?

JAN MCDONALD: I would debate that it could be also the over-identification. Okay? They are both possibilities. That is why we're streamlining through our supports and services that we are sure that when a child is brought and a parent brings up the desire to have a child evaluated, that

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 51 2 the schools do the due diligence as well as our CSE's in really determining the needs of the child and the 3 services that are necessary. That is why in the 4 5 testimony is speak to the fact that we're trying to build out supports especially around literacy back 6 7 into our schools so that students get services without an IEP and aren't dependent on that process 8 to have their needs identified and services given. 9 So, that is part and parcel of--10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, Deputy 11 12 Chancellor, I think it was in your testimony where you mentioned that there were actually some schools 13 where students had no IEP's at all in the past. 14 15 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That's correct. 16 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And to me, that's--17 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] 18 There's still a few. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: kind of fascinating to 19 hear that. You know, I was a public school teacher 20 for 25 years before being elected to this position. 21 2.2 But in the schools where you say now you do have special education students, is that because of a 23 local referral or is that because of the 24

mainstreaming back into the schools of special

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 52

education students who would have been placed in a

school outside of their local district school?

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: It's likely partly both, but the vast majority as far as we can tell are based on the policy shift that we made two years ago, which required schools who traditionally had not accepted students with IEP's to accept them.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So what has been with the cultural change then to get those schools to accept those students back into the--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing]
That's the hardest work.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Sorry?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That is the hardest work. One part, the part of this reform—as an educator for 40 years, I started out as a special education teacher, my journey through special education began with being very segregated and isolated in a school that would accept students that had a learning disability where a neighboring school felt that they couldn't serve the students, nor had the wherewithal to or the need to, so students from neighboring schools would be housed within a certain school, and that school worked with those students.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Taking them out of their communities, out of

relationship with their peers and access to their

neighborhood friends because they needed to have

services and the school didn't offer it. Really what

we're looking at--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Would that include set services?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Everything. Everything. There are--well, there were resource room teachers that were back in the day, we were called Resource Room Teachers, and those were the services that schools were most comfortable providing for students, but when it came to really looking at specialized supports and services and development of programs it was to send the child away and not to really own the child, and develop the skill set of not only special education teachers, but general education teachers. Part of the reform in my own school was I inherited a school that had eight selfcontained classes, and over the work, we worked with our general ed teachers and our special education teachers to create inclusive opportunities for our students to remain in their school, be serviced, and get the appropriate supports and strengthen the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 54

teaching across the board for all struggling readers,

writers and learners.

a big issue for me, as a general education teacher, was trying to meet the needs of the special education students in my classroom and the diversity of the disabilities that existed. So, how are you dealing now with that type of training? And I know that you had given us some numbers in your testimony as well about large numbers of teachers actually coming to training, but are they getting training specific to the needs that they have in their classroom? How are you dealing with that right now?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay. Most of our professional development is geared towards that school community that needs to service students that have been identified with specific learning disabilities. We are doing work on creating inclusive classrooms. We are looking at methodologies around literacy development. We are working with Orton-Gillingham style reading protocols.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So when you do Ort-Gillingham, and how does that--Ort-Gillingham, when

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 55 2 you do that in the regular classroom, is that a regular classroom teacher whose--3 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That could be 4 5 serviced by a service provider and/or teacher who has the training and the understanding of the 6 7 methodologies and could be utilized in small group instruction in the classroom. I'm--8 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, how does Ort-9 10 Gillingham compare --CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] 11 12 Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: with balance literacy 14 or with--15 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, balance 16 literacy is the overall vision on how to engage kids, you know. Shared, starting off with the concept of 17 18 shared reading, small group work that's identified using Fontis [sp?] and Panele [sp?] levels and 19 leveling text to children and doing it more in small 20 and whole group work with the students. When we get 21 2.2 into a more Orton-Gillingham style method, it's a 23 very prescriptive style of really assessing the students need and creating a scaffolding support of 24

bringing that child to test.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 56

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So you would probably need a teacher trained in Orton-Gillingham to be able to do that in the classroom, even where children are included in the regular mainstream classroom.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So history--don't really want to harken back that many years, but when I was certified as a special education teacher, I was also certified in reading, which is a very powerful combination, because you really understand the root cause of a learning disability in language and understanding, and what was offered then were specific trainings to teachers around Orton-Gillingham methodologies. What we look to do now is we don't need to have licensed specialists, as many, as much as teachers that principals have designated as teachers that are really excellent teachers of reading and offering them the Orton-Gillingham training so that to strengthen their skills so they could build capacity within their own school to train other teachers as well as work with students that may have dyslexia for example.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, just to get some numbers if you have them, how many workshops were

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 57

offered in the past and what topics were included in

terms of special ed training?

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I'm going to turn this over to Amy Jones who leads our professional development work.

AMY JONES: Hi, I'm Amy Jones. In the past two years we have offered about 70 diff-professional development on about 70 different topics through over 5,000 individual workshops. Now, that covers a number of different staff. That includes opportunities for general educators and that's particularly important to note given the reform efforts, because we do want to impact more and more of the general education classroom teachers. It also includes special educators, para [sic] professionals, school leaders, psychologists, speech teachers, etcetera.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So that training's done in house?

AMY JONES: Those numbers represent boht opportunities that our central staff have offered as well as opportunities through some partnerships that we have with universities and other programs. What it does not include is professional development

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 58

opportunities that are offered through clusters of

networks. So that is in addition to the numbers that
we cited.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Did you say how many teachers were involved?

AMY JONES: I don't have that number disaggregated by teachers with me here today, though that is something that we could provide.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That would be a great number to be able to get from you, because I wonder how across the board the training's getting. Are you working mostly with elementary, middle school or high school teachers? Do you have an idea about that?

AMY JONES: Sure, so that is spanning K to 12, and if I may just give a little bit more information on how our training is being rolled out. As Corinne mentioned in her testimony, we focus on four strands, access quality IEP's, positive behavior supports and transition, and then all of those of course have a number of different areas that we would cover within them. There is also a way that we've been working with clusters and networks within that framework. So what we've been asking those school support organizations to do is to really look at and

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 59
study how their schools are performing, both those
who are, you know, ahead of the curve and who have
areas of improvement as well, and to create action
plans for schools aligned to those four strands and
to then monitor the progress of the schools along the
way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Professional development takes place not only at the central site, but also at the cluster and network. With the structure that we currently exist in, we meet monthly with the lead special education specialists at the cluster level and with the coaches. At those sessions, we take on the topics around transition quality IEP literacy, and we do a train the trainer model where they go back and then they work with their schools. One of the asks that we had was that in their commitment and working with us is they take the time to, I guess for a better word, assess the current level of performance of the school, and where their strengths and weaknesses are and to develop a support plan with those schools that we can currently support centrally. So there's access to central based professional development, network cluster based professional development and on site professional

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

development. There are multiple levels. We look to
touch as many teachers as possible, but we also look
to build capacity within our schools to own this work
and not be dependent upon outside partnerships or
providers, but to send lead teachers and
administrators and principals to our training so that
they can begin to develop the capacity within their
schools to really understand the work of serving all
students that may have some form of disability or are
just struggling in terms of basically coming on to
reading and writing.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, a concern that I have is that I rarely ever knew who the cluster or the network leaders were, and the provision of training, I don't know if it really happened or not, maybe somebody would dispute that, but how are you assuring that they're actually doing what it is that they're supposed to be doing?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: I'll take it.

So, we meet with them. We visit the schools. We visit the networks and the clusters. We hold them for submitting their action plans and evidence of the work that they've done in the schools and what is going on, and we twice a year, and we will be

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 61 shortly, go out and meet with the cluster and the networks, and we give them the feedback on the information, because if they are doing what they're supposed to do, we should be seeing it in the data. We should see better quality IEP's. We should see transition documents that really speak to knowing the child well and planning towards college and career. So our work is really very hands on, although we are currently working in the network and cluster structure, we have 60 coaches and five leads at the network cluster level that work with us so that we can have a more hands on approach and know our schools better and what the work, the level of work or the unevenness of the work that is going on out there. Not easy, but we do it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: One of the things
that was most frustrating, and I'm going just turn
this over co-chair in a minute, because I could go on
about instruction all afternoon, but was that
sometimes professional development would be provided,
a teacher would ask for specific ways to either stop
negative behavior or deal with a particular
disability, and often times, the professional
developers would say, "Well, how would you deal with

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 62 it?" Well, that's not really why I need the professional development. The way I might deal with may not be the appropriate way to deal with it. How are you ensuring that that is not the answer that developers give and that the quality of development so to speak is actually giving teachers concrete examples of what they can do to intervene with these disabled students?

2.2

a teacher. A large part of that, that statement, how would you, is really to probe the thinking and access the level of understanding they have.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right.

begin in that response. Because as with our students, we try to scaffold the support of professional development for our teachers, and we get some insight into that kind of response as to what exactly is their entry point in understanding managing behaviors. And we are, as I said before, working with the United Federation of Teachers around our behavior work, but we're also offering specific methodologies and training in therapeutic crisis intervention, scaffolding the supports of behavior

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 63 within the classroom as well as through supports within the school, and then when it is time for more advance supports for students that are struggling behaviorally, socially and emotionally, really understating that a lot of the behavior work and the training we do. We do focus on the adults because a lot of the interactions between adults and students, the triggers, tend to come from the adults and we need to examine our behaviors when we are working with students as to what are we doing that triggers a behavior in a student that escalates to a point that the class is not manageable or the student has to be removed for some reason. So, it focuses a lot on the adults, and that's why that kind of question would be asked, but it really is to get a gauge on so what do we really need to be working with with that school, that school community around supporting positive behaviors.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I mean, I agree that that is a question that, you know, you need to know, but we were often left without something satisfying to say that I could take back to the classroom and do in the classroom to address that. I understand why they would pose that question, but I just want to be

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 sure the concrete examples. Do they do demonstration lessons, for example? 3 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Demonstration 4 5 lessons, or they might use case scenarios. 6 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Scenario. 7 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Case studies 8 talking about a particular situation and what would be the course of action in addressing the specific 9 needs of this child, yes. It's a combination of 10 both. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, let me just turn it over to my co-chair Chaim Deutsch. 13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you very 15 much, Chair Dromm. I'm not a teacher, so my 16 questions will not--I'm going to--17 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] 18 Fair enough. COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: But changing the 19 20 subject going to another issue, I'd like to ask you if you could please describe to me the process 21 2.2 whereby school-age and pre-school age child becomes 23 eligible for the special education services. 24 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, so we're

looking very early on through early intervention.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 65 Children as young as two to three years old are either identified through a medical observation and/or assessment that has been done through our CPSE on students that have demonstrated either coming to language in a delayed way, not speaking appropriately, not reading those developmental benchmarks, and parents become concerned and pediatricians become concerned. So they are evaluated for early intervention services. Then we have our pre-k world that continues to work with these students and asses and evaluate and work with our students, and then there is the turning five procedure where we come from the preschool world to the school-age world, and at that time, the assessments and the evaluations that have been done while the children may have been from ages two to four are looked at and the testing updated, of course. Social history is updated and we look at what would be the appropriate course of action for this child to service them once they enter the school-age arena, which now with the implementation of preschool is a true, true help to all of our students that need that extra time and language development, and then determine when they enter

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 66 kindergarten what would be the appropriate setting for that child with supports and services in place. Often, what happens is there is, and rightfully so--I remember as a parent picking out a preschool for my own child and then kindergarten. There's a lot of tension and anxiety about releasing your child to a system, especially a child that's been identified as having special needs. What we're working with are teams and coaching our teams and hopefully we are getting more successful at this, is how to engage parents in the process and understanding the journey from two to four can look significantly different when we enter school-age, and exploring the possibilities for those students to have as much access to their general education peers as early on as possible in a language rich environment if it works with the child. One of the things we struggled with with this reform was that inclusive for let's say the better word for it of course is least restrictive environment became synonymous with taking every child and placing them in an ICT class. I'm fumbling at this point with all the letters, myself. But essentially, we want to make sure that we're looking at the best opportunity for kids to get their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 67
start in school-age programs after having received
the early intervention that is necessary, and we're
hopeful to see a significant change now with the
preschool initiative that Mayor de Blasio has
implemented with Carmen Farina.

much. And can you also define a timeline as you describe this process from when they come evaluate to when they get the services, when the child gets the services.

with just pre-k to school-age. The process of turning five we're starting right now, informing parents, looking at their evaluations, and then convening as soon as possible a review of their IEP and the placement for kindergarten in the fall. Most of our evaluations, and I think we have a group that can validate this, are to be done by spring. June 15th is the date we usually use as a target to review all of our preschool children that are turning five to go to kindergarten, and the process is one where there's multiple levels to it, and by law it has to get done within a certain span of time--

2.2

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
1	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 68
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing]
3	What's that span of time?
4	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Well, it's the 30
5	to 60 day span time. T5 process, I thinkAmy you
6	want to speak to a little bit more about that, or?
7	Sixty dayswhere's Randi when I need her? So, but
8	essentially it is an extended process, but it is a 60
9	day window for decisions to be made, when a child's
10	case is opened and started for review.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Alright, okay.
12	Thank you very much. Is any
13	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Can I
14	just interrupt? Do you have numbers on when, how
15	many kids, how many students arehow are you meeting
16	those goals, those days?
17	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, well, I
18	think what you're asking is how many timehow
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] No,
20	after the 30
21	[cross-talk]
22	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: How many referrals
23	are being served after the 30 days versus after the
24	60 days?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Oh, the breakdown 2 I don't have specifically, but I can tell you as we 3 shared in the slide--excuse me. Okay. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you collect that information? 6 7 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, because 8 actually we're held accountable for it, but the way we look at it is that within the 30 and 60 day span, 9 how we offer the letter and the child, the parent be 10 notified of the placement for the next school year. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But even during the year, when you--13 14 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] 15 Yes. 16 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: make a referral--17 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes. 18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: there's the 30 to 60 day window, and what I'm curious to know is exactly 19 20 how many of those students are getting the services they need. Is the testing done within the 30 day to 21 2.2 60 day frame work, and then are they actually getting 23 the services. 24 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, as it exists

right now, we are in transition into the SESIS data

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 70 system, and I don't want to the hear a groan from the office, the audience, but it is a very essential data system that has an online IEP system. There are many reports and data we try to pull from the system. Currently, our way of tracking cases when they are opened and when they are completed is at a school based level through a summary that is sent to each school each week in terms of tracking the opening and closing of cases and the new referrals that come in and their completion dates. We ask our administrators of special education to work with the schools to track that and we periodically look at it through our supervisors of psychologists, our administrators of special education to see how far we are from meeting those deadlines. It is our hope, my sincere hope that this kind of data will be able to be pulled from SESIS as we build out the capability within the system. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Will there ever come a time where parents can access that information? CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Helen [sic], where are you? Okay, that's one of our biggest

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25 ultimate goal is that a parent could go in as I can

questions. It--absolutely would it become the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

71

go in when I receive an escalation concerning a

parent and a child not getting service. I can look at

the IEP. I can call the school. I can talk to the

parent as well as resolve it. Yes, that is our goal

that parents would have that kind of access. Again,

there are so many things within this very complicated

system, and as we just look at the span and the

numbers that we are dealing with, we are hoping to

get to that point where access to parents is

available. That is the ultimate goal.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, while we're on this track, let me also ask, is there a way for parents to track that the actual services has been provided? Let me give you an example. We had a speech teacher who actually was accused of theft of service. She didn't show up. Teachers weren't aware whether she was supposed to be there or not.

Eventually, in the school, we developed the system so that we know if she was there or she wasn't there, but often times, especially with the little ones, parents don't know if somebody's actually come to provide that service or to pick up that child or whatever. Is there a way currently now that parents

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 72

can know that information and be assured that those
services have been provided?

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: This is Michael van Biema, he leads our work around Related Services.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, let me just swear you in also. Just raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, to answer Council Member questions honestly?

currently have an electronic mechanism for parents to check that information. As Corinne indicated, that would be a goal of ours with the new system.

However, within the schools that we manage directly, you know, District one through 32 and District 75, the practice is for providers to notify parents when they have started serving, and there's actually a process for that to occur, and for in the non-public schools, there is a form that is supposed to be signed by the parent or by the school indicating the service has been provided. And there actually is also a process on the payment side that's implemented with contracted providers where periodically our payments group follows up and sends an email actually

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 73 to a parent or in some cases, I think, to the school asking for confirmation. So there are a variety of ways that we would circle back to the parent either directly or indirectly.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, just to follow up on this, I'm sorry I'm interjecting myself here with Council Member Deutsch's question, but it's of particular concern and interest to me. So, when do those parents sign on that form the services are being provided? It's once a year.

MICHAEL VAN BIEMA: Again, what I was referring to was for contracted services. You know, so if there was a DOE provider and a DOE school it wouldn't apply, but for a contracted service, if the service is provided in a setting where the parent is present. So for example, for pre-school services that might be in the home or, you know, there are various settings where there might be a service provided where the parent's present, then the process is on a piece of paper, you know, for there to be a signature.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, what I'm kind of interested in--

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] Yeah, can I just--I think I know where you're going with this. In terms of our schools, our public schools, I think it's very clear that the message we've put out through this reform that everything that--everything that is surrounding the IEP and the compliance around the IEP is under the leadership of the principal. We have asked schools to implement SI-Teams, School Implementation Teams, to review IEP's, look at the services, make sure the children are getting them, and then to report to the Related Service Office or their administrator for special education that a child is not being served. Chancellor Farina has come out very strong on this. Recently, as you know, she's announced the new superintendents and she is holding each and every one of them accountable for the compliance and the service delivery of all mandates that are on a child's IEP in the world of special education as well in the world of English language learners, that we take this very seriously, and we are going to be holding our school leaders and administrators responsible for full compliance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So one of the things that I've seen happen, and this is where I'm going with this, is that I have seen teachers who, like, they get pregnant and then there's no replacement. There is, you know, somebody fell and broke their leg and they're out for six weeks and then there's no replacement. There are, you know, other circumstances where principals may pull at special ed teacher, actually, to cover a class. Services are not provided. I think parents need to know when that happens, because if that happens too often, then the child is not getting the mandated services that they're legally entitled to.

argument from us. We enforce this. We message this. We have speech supervisors that if a teacher is to go out on maternity leave, they are responsible for helping the principal identify someone else or we send additional supports for those students to get their services in the absence of the teacher. You're speaking, and I can relate totally to the experiences that you're speaking of because this is what has happened in the area of special education in our schools. We've lost that ownership, that

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 76 accountability, and we're looking to bring this back within this reform that is much as we want our kids included, we have to hold our school leaders, our teachers, our administrators responsible to make sure that those services are delivered, because if we are to get better outcomes for our students, Related Services is a very important part. Just as we see them where students get speech in kindergarten, and they have it on their high school IEP makes us wonder what's going on that -- where is the benchmarking of progress? Where is the supervision of the teachers? So in the structure that we have developed, there are supervisors that we are asking those questions to and holding them accountable. Psychologists, supervisors of psychologists as well now report to us centrally. So we are looking at what is happening in schoolbased teams, what's happening at our CSE's to really ask people if they are engaging parents first and foremost in a process that they understand and that the IEP is true one of quality. And I'm going to say it here, we are working to find the best examples of a quality IEP, and it begins with the present level of performance and knowing the child well, which is a universal commitment to every teacher to know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 77
children well before we determine whether or not an
IEP is necessary. It's part of being a teacher,
especially in New York City.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: This is why
ultimately I was very happy to see in your testimony
that the number of service providers, particularly in
the area, bilingual service providers has increased,
because I saw kids go through the system without ever
seeing those service providers.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Deutsch?

much. Again, I'm not a teacher. I'd like to ask you, Deputy Chancellor, for parents that are new to having children who are special needs, do you have any workshops available? Because I see there's a lot of parents that sometimes they don't want to come forward. They don't know what to do, and it could take sometimes from when they find out from their pediatrician that the child is special needs to when they actually go for services.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, there are workshops offered through our CCSE's and through us

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 78 centrally, but you're hitting on a point that I found amazing these past two years working with the CCSE and hearing parents speak about the process and their understanding the process, and we have ramped up our support in terms of creating more opportunities for parents to be engaged around the special education process at the school level. We are working with our IEP teams, our CSE teams that exist in schools as well as in central locations to really understand the engagement process with parents and to make sure that parents fully understand the process, what is happening with the child, and that when we have, when we review the findings of an evaluation that we to the fullest extent explain opportunities for the child, the current level of performance of the child and what can be done to service the child successfully. Parent advocacy is something that I take very, very strongly, seriously because of the fact that as I hear what parents feel. I mean, today's testimony by the two parents, understood, completely understood, and these are things that we are trying to address, that parents understand very early on the needs of their child, the best ways we can service and the right questions to ask their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 79

school about what can be done for their child. This

is a commitment that the Chancellor has made and that

we have made in terms of parent engagement and

increasing it on every level.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: For parents of children in non-public schools, I wanted to see if we could maybe organize something in my district to have parents come down, just kind of a workshop.

 $\label{eq:corinner} \mbox{CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI:} \quad \mbox{We would be more} \\ \mbox{than happy to support it.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Yeah, right.

Thank you very much. And one final question before

I'll move onto my colleagues. I want to ask you

about the Learning Partners Program. If you could

describe to me a little bit about it.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, yes. Okay, the Learning Partners Program is established by
Chancellor Farina. In terms of creating schools
that--identifying schools that really have best
practices in one or many areas, and then partnering
host schools with those schools so they can share
their best practices and learn from their success.
We, I visit many schools in search of Learning
Partner schools with the lens of special education,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 80 and those schools are part of the Learning Partners Program so they can share the best practices. Principal I was under the leadership of Chancellor Farina, a community partnership school that was then existed, and the strength of that was, was bringing schools together to really look at effective practices in all areas, but in my area of specialty, working with students with identified learning needs. I am particularly sensitive to the need for robust academic intervention and support for our students and ensuring that everything that we can do possibly for our students to prevent them from a feeling that there isn't opportunities at the end of their educational career, and having them experience success whether through an IEP process or through academic interventions within the context of their school.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: So does this include the special ed., and also D75 schools?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Oh, yes,
absolutely. Christina Foti who is coming on in the

office, her school was identified as a learning partner school for best practices in District 75.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 81 2 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Great. Is it--I 3 just want to get a list of the schools throughout the 4 city--5 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] 6 Sure. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: that are identified as--8 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: There is a 9 10 published list. COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Alright, great. 11 12 Thank you so much. 13 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Sure. 14 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Council Member Levine will now ask questions followed 15 16 by Council Member Gentile, Levin, Treyger and Barron. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you Chairs 18 Dromm and Deutsch. Great to see you. Thanks for your excellent presentation Deputy Chancellor. For a 19 20 portion of the school aged special needs kids in New York City, DOE is unable to meet their special needs, 21 either because these children need more intensive 2.2 23 services or more specialized services. They are referred to private schools, correct, non-public 24

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
1	
	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 82
2	schools? I believe the number is 30,000. You're
3	shaking your head.
4	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That is a more
5	complex process, but we willI can explain that for
6	you, but there are children that are served in non-
7	public schools for various reasons, not only because
8	of the inability to successfully negotiate a
9	placement within the DOE.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: What are those
11	other reasons?
12	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay, on this
13	one, I'm going to askJosh, do you want to talk a
14	little bit about the non-publics and the levels of
15	non-public we have? Okay, this is Josh Morgenstern.
16	He'll speak to you a little bit about the various
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Okay.
18	JOSH MORGENSTERN: Hi.
19	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I also have to swear
20	you in. Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swea
21	or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
22	nothing but truth, and to answer Council Member
23	questions honestly?
2.4	JOSH MORGENSTERN: I do.

25 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

JOSH MORGENSTERN: Councilman, this might—it depends where your question is going with this, but there are different students with disabilities in New York who are served in private schools of different types for a few different reasons. So, what you seem to be referring to I think is students who have been referred by the Department to a state approved private school—

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Right.

JOSH MORGENSTERN: which can happen if a student has needs that can't be met through one of our community schools or specialized schools.

Certain private schools have programs designed to meet certain needs that we can't meet in our schools.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: And then there are the--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing]

Complicated cases, and they require the high level of support and specialization, and even though the students are sent to these schools, it is always that our hope to return them over time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Then there are the Carter and Connor [sp?] cases as well, right?

2.2

2.2

JOSH MORGENSTERN: Right, so there are also students who when parents have chosen to place their child in a private school because they feel that the Department services could not meet their child's needs. They then bring a proceeding to have that funded by the Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Right, and then there are children in sectarian schools as well, correct?

JOSH MORGENSTERN: Right, excuse me.

You're doing better than I am. So, there are--we call those parentally placed students. Families have elected to choose a private or religious school, but they're still eligible to receive special education supports and services from the Department, but they don't receive their instructional program from the Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: So, for a significant number of these cases, 30,000 in total if the Chairman's number is correct, the process of getting approval for a non-public setting is extremely challenging. Often requires hiring of a lawyer or other special advisor, often requires litigation. I've heard it described as Kafkaesque,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 85
and our current Mayor has identified this as a
challenge, which he wishes to address, and he has
said he wants to break with what was the previous
Administration's practice of working hard to limit
the number of cases which are approved for non-public
education. Can you update us on the status of this
change in policy and what its implications are going
to be?

JOSH MORGENSTERN: So, our counsel will
speak to that in a moment. I just want to say that

JOSH MORGENSTERN: So, our counsel will speak to that in a moment. I just want to say that the number of families who pursue this is--30,000 is not a number I'm familiar with. There's about 5,000 cases in the past few years of parents seeking private school tuition, and Judy can speak about the process for that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I have to swear you in also. Raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

JUDY NATHAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

JUDY NATHAN: My name is Judy Nathan.

The goal of the new settlement process is to try to

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 86 streamline and expedite settlements for parents who are what we call unilaterally placing their children in private schools. So some of the changes that have been going on so far is decisions of whether or not these cases should be settled are being made earlier and more quickly so that if the cases are going to be settled, parents don't have to spend so much time in preparing to go to hearing or to go to hearing. We're trying to reduce the paperwork that parents have to submit, although there still is paperwork that needs to be submitted if a case is being settled, and then once paperwork is submitted and appropriate paperwork to be able to pay those cases more quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

all welcome changes. I know I'm way over time, but I'll just close with a comment that a system that requires parents to hire attorneys or otherwise secure outside assistance is going to be inherently unfair, because middle class and upper income parents have those resources, and the vast majority of students are going to be left to fend for themselves. So we welcome this movement. I'd like to hear more about it in the future, but I encourage you to continue down this path. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 87 2 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Please keep in mind--to service all our children in our own schools, 3 and we are looking at specialized programs and 4 supports for those students that seek other 5 6 placements. 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. Thank you, Council Member Levine, and now Council Member 8 Gentile. Not here. Okay, Council Member Levin. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Deputy Chancellor. 11 12 So I have four questions and five minutes, so I'm going to try to get through these pretty quickly 13 14 here. First question, SESIS. We hear from parents 15 that would like to have access to SESIS and to have 16 access to their children's records. Is that possible? Is that doable? Are we working towards 17 18 that? CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: As I said when 19 20 Councilman Dromm--COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Sir, 21 2.2 if you could say that last part on the record, the 23 first--24 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We most certainly

25

want that to happen.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.

2.2

System as it stands right now is so complex. There are so many asks in terms of really looking at an IEP and making sure everything is accurately represented and integrated into the IEP so the parent actually can see a full picture, as well as the school. We have dev—we are developing it in house, and we are reviewing it constantly, looking at the successes and the glitches. We are working in collaboration more than ever before with the UFT, with other, to look at our data systems and help us to see what the end user look, feel, looks like.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: When they actually open it up and use it, and getting those systems to be much more streamlined and easier for the end user.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. Yeah, and I mean, just the way that a parent can go and see it, not go in and tweak it, but go in and have--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: a, you know, just as a essentially like a third party, not going in-

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
1	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 89
2	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] As
3	you know that there's also the complication of FAPE
4	[sic] and no so much in terms of making surenot so
5	much FAPE, butthank you, FERPA. I had it mixed up
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
7	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: My FERPA laws, we
8	have to be very careful that when a parent signs on
9	that they only have access to their own child's
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of course. Okay,
11	a couple of other questions. Do youI noticed in
12	your testimony that you said first page, 66 percent
13	of children that have IEP's are male. Do we know why
14	that is?
15	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Do you really
16	wantno. It just historically has been the case.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah.
18	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: There are a
19	number of reasons for it, but that would be quite a
20	lengthy conversation to really understand why it
21	exists predominantly more in males than in females.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, I mean, so-
23	_
24	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] It
25	could be our

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 90 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: obviously, significantly more. 3 4 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Twice as many, so 5 6 two to one. 7 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, it's not 8 only within New York City. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Just wanted 9 10 to bring that up. With children with autism in D75 settings, so that number has increased significantly 11 12 over the last five years, and it looks like it increases almost at--it's been increasing at a steady 13 14 pace since 08-09, and that's alarming. I know you 15 mentioned programs like ASD Nest and other programs 16 that are available in District One through 32 schools, and but what I've noticed is when you look 17 18 at the number of children with IEP's in--is that going up at the st--it doesn't look like it's going 19 20 up at the same rate in the Districts One through 32 schools, right? So, if we've seen this 50 percent 21 22 increase, essentially, over the last five years--23 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing]

Around autism?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Yeah, in D75. We haven't seen a 50 percent increase in D1 through 32 for children with autism diagnosis.

handle this, Jan, but tell me if I'm wrong, but I will answer simply by saying that historically what we've done is students identified on the spectrum have been placed in District 75 and very restrictive environments for their support. We're looking to shift that back into community schools and develop appropriate programs so it isn't such a heavy concentration within District 75.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: And that we have the support services and the training of personnel and teachers to fully integrate those students back into community schools, but that av--the percentage of students that have been identified on the spectrum nationally--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: [interposing] Has gone--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] is off the charts.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 92 2 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Absolutely, but yeah, I guess my concern was just that -- and I don't 3 know because it's such a greater number on the chart 4 5 here, so the increase would look like a smaller increment even if it's the same increase, but it 6 7 looks like it's essentially increased by 50 percent in for D 75 where that same level of increase hasn't 8 happened--9 10 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] Because--11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: in the other 13 districts. 14 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: we're serving more 15 of our kids in District 75 that are on the spectrum 16 than we are in community schools, and that's the shift we're trying to bring back. 17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. And so that's going to be a consorted effort. 19 20 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So in future 21 2.2 years, so if we come back two years from now, we 23 should be seeing that rate of increase level off a 24 little bit in D75 and maybe go up a little bit in

Districts One through 32.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Because we want to serve them back in their communities. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of course, yes. JAN MCDONALD: That's correct. In fact, actually those bars are so small because of the autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly		COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
To serve them back in their communities. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of course, yes. JAN MCDONALD: That's correct. In fact, actually those bars are so small because of the autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	1	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 93
JAN MCDONALD: That's correct. In fact, actually those bars are so small because of the autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	2	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Because we want
JAN MCDONALD: That's correct. In fact, actually those bars are so small because of the autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	3	to serve them back in their communities.
actually those bars are so small because of the autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Of course, yes.
autism being so large on the other one. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed— CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	5	JAN MCDONALD: That's correct. In fact,
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph tha I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	6	actually those bars are so small because of the
JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the District One through 32 graph, if you were to expan that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	7	autism being so large on the other one.
District One through 32 graph, if you were to expand that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	8	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
that, there actually is significant growth within District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	9	JAN MCDONALD: But if you look at the
District One through 32 as well. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	10	District One through 32 graph, if you were to expand
13 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right. 14 JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that 15 I can send you that actually splits it out and show 16 actually that there's greater growth currently with 17 the community schools. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? 19 JAN MCDONALD: Yes. 20 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if 21 it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I 22 wanted to ask about special ed 23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	11	that, there actually is significant growth within
JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	12	District One through 32 as well.
I can send you that actually splits it out and show actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right.
actually that there's greater growth currently with the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	14	JAN MCDONALD: We have another graph that
the community schools. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	15	I can send you that actually splits it out and shows
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is? JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	16	actually that there's greater growth currently within
JAN MCDONALD: Yes. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	17	the community schools.
20 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if 21 it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I 22 wanted to ask about special ed 23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	18	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: There is?
21 it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I 22 wanted to ask about special ed 23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	19	JAN MCDONALD: Yes.
wanted to ask about special ed CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	20	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so then if
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly	21	it's more than 500 kids a year, okay. And then I
	22	wanted to ask about special ed
24 quickly.	23	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Quickly,
	24	quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: pre-k, but I will have to ask at another time, but that's an area that we've--I've focused on for the last five years and major cost overrun, so I would love to maybe talk offline about that issue and what this Administration's doing on special ed pre-k, you know, structurally. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council Member Treyger followed by Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chair. And I guess we'll have teachers once again

ask some questions here on this committee.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Oh, okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I, myself, as a former educator. Do schools get additional resources for over-the-counter students who enter after

October, which is the register month? So, if--I know when I was teaching, October was a big deal because those were numbers reported to the DOE about how many kids are in the school, but if a kid comes after

October and has an IEP and has certain needs, are additional resources given to that school after the register month?

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 95 2 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay. So, now I'll respond as principal to a teacher. 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay. 5 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay? The date for projections and enrollment for special education 6 is December 31st. We've moved that date, because--7 8 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: [interposing] When did that happen? 9 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Excuse me? 10 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Was this always 11 12 the case, or when did this happen? CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: No, it was--I--13 14 I would have to say it's been a good ten 15 years that we've moved for special education that -- we moved it to December 31st because it's easier to 16 17 capture all the services--18 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay. CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: for the child, 19 20 and to make sure that the funding is in place. What does take place for general education and special 21 2.2 education is the mid-year adjustments. So there is an 23 opportunity for the school to report a growth in a certain area and request the mid-year adjustment of 24

funds accordingly.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 96 2 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: So, schools are given resources after that December month? 3 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, yes, because 4 the mid-year adjustment occurs sometime around 5 January, and if a school does not have sufficient 6 7 funds, we have a protocol in place for them to ask for additional supports for students they may have 8 that significantly increase their population and they 9 may need additional support services. 10 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, the thing 11 is the schools might have funds, but those funds were 12 set aside for student preparation, test preparation, 13 14 other things. 15 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: No, no, 16 additional funds I'm talking about. We would supply 17 additional funds for that. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Okay. With--I'd like to follow up with you afterwards about that 19 20 because there's still a discrepancy I'm hearing from educators on the ground, yes. 21 2.2 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yeah. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: With a lack of quidance services in schools, which soon the DOE will 24

be reporting, how can you ensure the social and

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
97
emotional learning needs of kids are being met? We
have data coordinators tracking testing, but do we
have the same level of monitoring to ensure mandated
needs are being met?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay. I'm going to answer that with two hats on this one. Carmen Farina has recently announced the reinstating of and reemployment of guidance counselors at the school level and has established a quidance office under the office of school and youth development that looks at mandates of schools and children needing to be served. So that is one of the new tracking systems and support services that have been put in place, and we work with that office to identify students with guidance mandates on their IEP so that they can better provide the service at the school level and make sure that there is a guidance counselor on staff to service the children. This is a big part of the quidance initiative that the Chancellor has announced. Michael, has already, as part of the Related Service work that we do, we do track guidance counselors and mandates and we work with the Office of School and Youth Development to ensure those services are received at the school level.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Right, I would just add that because there's a lack of them, I don't think that there's--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing]
They're hiring.

monitoring of making sure that these needs are being met. There's a report in New York Chalk Beat that IEP students from Coney Island are not getting the full set of services they deserve, two and a half times higher than the city average. How is the DOE addressing this very disturbing statistic?

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay. Michael, do you want to address that?

is that what we're doing for those districts is something that we've been doing across the board, which is we're seeking to hire at much higher rates in order to ensure that we have adequate levels of service providers in the schools. So for example, I can tell you across the board for the DOE that over the last three years we've hired about 700 new therapists, and in the last year or so we've hired about 400 alone in one year. And in the districts,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

99

I'm not--which I apologize, which districts we're

talking about.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, this is District 21.

MICHAEL VAN BIEMA: Right, so in--I have looked at Districts 20 and 21 together. We had about 12 new speech providers hired and about six new occupational therapists hired.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: But do you have-because District 20 is a pretty large district, and that's a neighbor to the north, Bensonhurst, Bay Ridge, but do you have anything for Coney Island?

MICHAEL VAN BIEMA: I don't have it broken down by zip code. I would note that at that level of granularity, if there's one school, you know, that is not covered it could have a significant impact, which is not to say we care any less about it. You know, we care about every child and every service, but the short answer is that when we've been doing, I think, a more careful intensive job of looking to see not only where the mandates were that were not served previously, but also to project what the need is going to look like in the coming year, and as a result of that, we've really ramped up our

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

100

hiring, and I'm confident that, you know, you know,

you'll see continued improvement not just in those

districts, but across the board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Because I don't think I have to tell you what we've gone through with the storm and other issues even that predate the storm, but this is a very, very serious need that has to be met. I mean, these are not--It's very important for the public to know that what's--IEP's are not simply recommendations. These are mandates. are federal, state mandates, and they must be met because we have an obligation to educate our children equally, to give them the best education possible, and I'll close by asking one final question, Chair, and thank you for your generosity of time. A lot has been mentioned about PD and staff development. guess I'll join in the Chair in mentioning that I didn't always attend, I think, the greatest most effective PD offerings, but what efforts are under way to partner with educational colleges to help equip all teachers with skills once they're out of the college to teach them to deal with children with special needs or with bilingual needs? Because I, you know, many of my colleagues when I was teaching

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 101 2 said that -- you know, I was a gen. ed. teacher. Ι taught history, and I had an inclusion class, which 3 4 is another issue because they never really gave us the proper guidelines or recommendations on how to 5 effectively have that. Our school would run 6 7 workshops inside, but I need to hear something from the DOE directly from the top, and but many teachers 8 would say that our preparatory schools did not really 9 help prepare us to meet the needs. And what's being 10 done to address that at the college level? So, we 11 12 don't just bandage it with workshops afterwards. CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: So, rightfully so. 13 14 One of the things that we are partnered--15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Deputy 16 Chancellor, if you could just speak into the mic. 17 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Oh, I'm sorry. 18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's okay. CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [off mic] Your 19 20 point is well taken. When I went through my certification process, I had came out with a specific 21 2.2 curriculum, expertise in training that afforded me 23 work both in general education and special education. Chancellor Farina has been reaching out to colleges 24

and universities as well as we have to talk about the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 102
need for more specialized training and instruction
for all teachers. We have partnerships currently
with Columbia University, NYU, Hunter and several
others that we are in negotiation with to really
speak about teacher training programs that equip all
teachers to work with all students. Right now,
principals are especially interested in hiring
teachers that have dual certification that have both
the special education training and the content
knowledge to work with all students. It's long been
my belief that a teacher that has had specific
training in working with students with any type of
learning problem or need that special education
trained teachers are very effective in working with
those students and general education teachers, and I
don't like using the general education/special
education
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: [interposing]
Right.
CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: piece, it's about
a teacher knowing
COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: [interposing]

Correct.

2.2

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: how to teach all children, and we're looking for those types of programs and supports so that every teacher feels skilled and equipped to work with all students they meet in their class, especially in New York City.

that after teaching that inclusion class, I believe I became a more effective educator because it really forced me to adapt and to adjust to all learning styles, but I will say that I, you know, my school tried to support me as much as they can, but as far as from the above the DOE, not the current DOE, I don't feel that I got the adequate support that I needed.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yeah, agreed.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Council

Member Barron?

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I want to thank
the Chairs for having this very important hearing. I
want to thank the panel for coming and presenting
your information to us today, and I have to join with
my colleagues Dromm and Treyger to say that I too
spend years with the Board of Education, 36 years
with the Board of Education, 18 in the classroom, and

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
1	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 104
2	18 various other supervisory positions. The
3	testimony from one of the persons on the first panel
4	indicates that, I hope I pronounce the name right,
5	Angenis [sp?], Angenis was in 5 th gradeAnheldis?
6	[sic] Anhenis [sp?], okay, was in the 5 th grade. His
7	teacher suggested that he get private evaluation.
8	The evaluation found that Ahenis had dyslexia. On
9	Ahenis's first day in middle school, I gave the City
10	College evaluation to the principal. I asked the
11	school for help several times, but the school told me
12	that they had never taught a child like Anhenis and
13	did not know how to help him. So if this parent were
14	to take her child to any neighborhood school today,
15	what would be the protocols and what would be the
16	timeline?
17	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: For getting the
18	child assistance?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: For a child who
20	came to the school with an evaluation from City
21	College.
22	CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Okay.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Saying that the
24	child had dyslexia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Sure. We would take that IEP, that, well, that evaluation and bring it to the school team, and then from there work with the parent to identify programs and/or support services for that child to meet the child's need. The child would--one of the things that we are encouraging our schools and we are insisting that our school do is when a child is sent to a school that has an evaluation or a pre-existing IEP, that they team meet with that parent with that student, with the school leaders to determine the best source of support for that individual student, whether it be within that school or not. So there are children that--and this is our commitment right now is to develop very specialized programs for students, especially with dyslexia, but also to build capacity at the schools through the training of teachers of reading and teachers in general on how to work with students that have dyslexia. This is something that is really a growing need and we have acknowledged that, and we need to get back to that type of specialized training and support of our teacher in our community schools, and this is something that the Chancellor is committed to and we hope to be able to

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 106

announce a very robust professional development for

it.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So, would that child remain in that school, or--

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing]

Not if the services were not available. If we--

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] So, how will we know which schools have the services and which schools don't, because the child's supposed to be put in the neighborhood school? So, how would we know?

want to be clear on is that although the reform speaks about least restrictive environment and access, whether a child has—if a child has any specific learning disability that the school is unable to address successfully through multiple service models and programs, that child will be moved to a school that we can accurately say has the program within close proximity to their school and we will service the child. But we want to engage the child, the family at the school level to ensure that maybe additional resources are needed at the school.

Maybe additional personnel is needed at the school,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 107
especially as we learn about the communities those
schools service. What we have found in this reform
is that as we--each year we have integrated the
reform by the articulating rate. We are getting a
service delivery model.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay, my time has clicked and I see the Chairman look at me. I just want to jump to another question then. So, what are we doing to prepare classroom teachers to be able to address the needs of a child who has dyslexia so that those students don't all again get sent to one particular school? What's being done to train teachers in that regard, so that they would have the appropriate background and techniques to serve the needs of these children?

up our professional development on Orton-Gillingham methodologies as well as we have, as I announced in the testimony, we are working with a local university to help increase our professional development opportunities for teachers to become skilled in the area of working with students with disabilities, with dyslexia, I'm sorry. And one of the things that I think is essential here is that when we know our

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 108 2 school community well, we know the needs of those communities, we are asking that our supervisors of 3 4 psychologists, our principals and our leaders work with the school to develop those programs in that 5 community. As I began to say before, we're starting 6 7 to look at what are the trends in the school communities, what it needs for --8 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing] 9 one last part. How long would that process take to 10 11 find out--12 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] It's ongoing--13 14 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: where this child--15 no, from the initial parent coming with the--16 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: [interposing] We keep to the 30, 60 day compliance. 17 18 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay. 19 Thank you. 20 Thank you, Council Member Barron. Just a couple more questions and then we're going to let you go because 21 2.2 you've been very good with your time. And so just a 23 curiosity that I have, summer programs. One thing that was very frustrating for me as a teacher as well 24

is when I would teach summer school and the IEP would

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 109 not follow the student who are not 12 month IEP's. 2 How are you dealing with that? How are you ensuring 3 that those students get the services that they need? 4 Often times I would find that if the students who 5 6 were at risk of having to repeat the school year, or 7 students that actually had IEP's and not having access to them as the summer school teacher was very 8 frustrating. 9 CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: That's all 10 11

available now in SESIS. So if a child has a 12 month IEP, the programs are--we pull the data from SESIS, and we build the programs for the students that have, need 12 month services.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And that can be accessed by the summer school teacher?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Yes, of course.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And another issue that I'm working on, I actually hope that you'll join me on is that the District 75 citywide council has requested iPads for District 75 students. With the Smart Schools Bond Act, it's included that they say that, you know, districts could purchase them.

Unfortunately, in the city of New York, the

Comptroller has not allowed that to happen in the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 110 2 past. I'm wondering if you would work with me on that so that we can get those students who have-- you 3 know, the use of iPads has been proven successful 4 5 with many of these students, and it's something that I certainly would like to see have happen here in the 6 7 city. CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: We would love to 8 work with you. We are developing our instructional 9 and assistive technology team, and that would be 10

something we would love to partner with you on.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Great. So, we're going to be talking soon, I hope with the Comptroller on that issue, and see what we can do.

CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Sure. I'll come with you and testify.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Very good. Okay. Council Member Deutsch wanted to just close it up.

COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: Thank you very much, Chair. Like I mentioned before, I have in my office, in my district office every three to four weeks I have a support group of parents of special needs, and I feel it's very important. There's a lot of issues that we need to deal with, and first of all, I'd like to commend you and your office for

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 111 working very closely with me and my constituents and 2 all the parents of special needs. And my wife just 3 sent me a text and she said you are very 4 5 knowledgeable, okay? And you are. So I want to thank you, and I look forward to working with you for 6 7 years to come on all issues. Thank you so much. CORINNE RELLO-ANSELMI: Thank you. It's a 8 pleasure. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Deputy Chancellor and all of the members of your team, and 11 12 now we're going to move to our next panel. Our next person up will be Robert Randall [sp?], who I believe 13 is a parent. Mr. Randall, would you raise your right 14 15 hand please, and I want to swear you in? Do you 16 swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, 17 and nothing but the truth and to answer Council 18 Member questions honestly? ROBERT RANDALL: Yes, I do. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, and you may begin. 21 22 ROBERT RANDALL: Can you hear me?

24

23

put the red--

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 112

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yes, I can hear you well. And you have written testimony? You gave it to the sergeant?

ROBERT RANDALL: Yes, I gave it.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you.

ROBERT RANDALL: Before I start, I want to thank Council Members Dromm and Deutsch and the Committee on Education and Subcommittee on Non-public Schools for hearing my testimony. My name is Robert Randall, the proud father of Dylan, who died last December. He had a severe neuromuscular condition and was not able to stand, walk, talk or swallow. He couldn't breathe without the help of a ventilator attached to his tracheostomy. Dylan was so medically fragile he had to be transported to school by ambulance on a stretcher with two EMT's and a nurse. In September 2012, Dylan transferred from preschool where he thrived to PS 141 for his kindergarten year. The principal promised that Dylan would get his mandated physical, occupational, and speech therapies, but for the first few months there wasn't even an adaptive toilet seat. Over the following months, the therapies critical for maintaining his muscle strength were never given consistently. So,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 113 his body and general health declined. By June 2013, my son's regression was so noticeable he only wanted to spend time in bed, and so we requested that Dylan be transferred to UCP, a mostly state-funded school where they had the resources to cater to his mandated needs. After observing Dylan, the school psychologist conferring with his teacher recommended his immediate transfer. However, the principal who was also the DOE representative stated that because of her position, she would refuse to recommend that her school was not appropriate for Dylan. Shockingly, in the impartial hearing, the DOE argued that my son was not even medically fragile, and was therefore already appropriately placed. All their witnesses, except for the nurse, testified under oath to this, even the teacher that had originally conferred with the school psychologist testified to this. Yet, it was preposterous that any educator or therapist would consider Dylan to be anything but fragile. Either the DOE's witnesses were completely incompetent or they were willfully directed to commit perjury. The DOE also did its best to cover up missed therapy sessions by not being forthcoming with attendance records. The DOE dragged out the hearing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 114 as long as it could, calling numerous witnesses, two who had only met Dylan once or twice, and one who had never met him at all. Off the record, I pleaded with the DOE representative, telling him that if he dragged out the hearing much longer my son might die. But his response was that he was only doing his job. He must have hoped I would drop the case because of mounting legal fees, which eventually reached 33,000 dollars, and which ironically ended up being paid for by the DOE. On November the 18th, more than 5 months after our initial transfer request, the hearing officer released her finding of facts and completely sided with us. She found that the DOE was incapable of taking care of a child as severely medically fragile as my son. Dylan was awarded over 14 weeks of missed therapies. Those missed therapies were why my son regressed. The hearing officer recommended a quick transfer to UCP, but unfortunately, by the time he received his admittance letter, it was too late. On December the 8th, just three weeks after his sixth birthday, he had regressed to the point where he did not have any more strength to fight and went into cardiac arrest and died. By using the impartial hearing as a tool for delay and obstruction of truth,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

115

the DOE has shown that it is morally and ethically

bankrupt. It budgets on the backs of New York City's

most vulnerable and innocent children by willfully

denying their civil right of FAPE. By doing so,

their actions can only be described as child

endangerment, and therefore, in my dear Dylan's case,

manslaughter. I thank you for hearing my testimony,

and I sincerely hope you can help me bring meaning to

my son's death by working with me to bring change. I

welcome your questions.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, Mr.

Randall. It's--I don't really know what to say. I

was just saying to my counsel, "How do you respond to

something as horrible as this?" My heart, my

sympathies go out to you. I read of this last

summer, and actually, it was one of the motivations

for me to want to have this hearing moving forward,

and that's why today in many ways, it's your case and

other cases that I'm aware of that we decided to have

this special education hearing to prevent these types

of things from ever happening again in the future.

So, I don't know how to express myself any other way

than to say to you I express my condolences to you

and maybe that some hope that out of this hearing

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 116

will come some of the changes that are necessary to

prevent this from happening in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ROBERT RANDALL: Well, I hope so too, but I just want to say something. I have approached the Executive Branch on numerous occasions for a response. The New York Times approached the Executive Branch for a response. The only response was, "People should trust us." There isn't--how can anyone trust them when they will not respond? will not respond. They are practicing plausible deniability. And furthermore, in the Mayor's recommendations, the very second word of his recommendations was "when appropriate." The DOE has been playing with that word "appropriate" for many years, and they will carry on doing it. They are not the body to decide when it is appropriate. It is clinicians who have to decide, and what they have done, what Mayor de Blasio has done, even though I voted for him, what he has actually done is added another few weeks onto the procedure. The procedure is you go to your school psychologist. The school psychologist suggests. It then goes to an IEP. After the IEP, it then goes to a resolution meeting. What the Mayor has done is now stuck another two

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 117 weeks in and saying "when appropriate." Well, if the people that are deciding when it is appropriate are the very same people that deny, it is a farce, and it is a farce you are playing Russian roulette with children's lives, not you, but the DOE is playing Russian roulette with children's lives because of budgetary concerns and in my case, the gun went off. Now, I am trying to get legislation through that will put rules on the impartial hearing, and impartial hearing should be a finding of facts, not an obstruction of facts, a finding of facts. They need to decide. It takes two hours for people to bring clinician's reports and for the DOE to show what resources are available. There are resources. There are needs, and there are adults and there are children that depend on adults, and it does not take five months. It is not an O.J. Simpson trial. son came into the impartial hearing. He has to be suctioned every few minutes. He's drooling. He can't even swallow his saliva, yet this went on for five months. The DOE killed my son, and I am not crazy. This happened and it is happening today as we speak. This is what you need to be addressing, not the crap that they put forward with statistics and facts. It

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 118 is that. Children have a right, a civil right, and as you rightly said, it is not a civil right. It's not a luxury, it is a civil right to give them a chance of life. They took that chance away, and I cannot even get a response from them, and I've specifically told them I'm not after money. I'm not after money. I do not want to profit from my son's death, but an apology would be nice, and maybe for the Mayor to stand up and stand with his words that he said in his inauguration speech of protecting the most vulnerable of our society would also be nice, but he will not give a response. His office says he has many letters every day. He doesn't respond to his letters. This is the death of an innocent child. It is a disgrace. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, and I do believe that you are owed a response, and I think you're absolutely right. I also want to say that my legislation, which I agree, we hope to move forward, will begin to address some of the issues in terms of the numbers and what it looks like, how many children are being evaluated in a timely fashion, and I think that's the first step in that direction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 119

2.1

2.2

ROBERT RANDALL: Well, it's one day. If one day is the law, one day.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So we need to begin to collect that data, and as you can see, even today, they didn't have a lot of those numbers, and that's why I think my legislation is so important to move that forward.

ROBERT RANDALL: I am working with Senator Squadron and hopefully soon to be Assemblywoman Simon, and I'm going to on a state level try and get legislation to stop this happening, and the DOE from their part have to start understanding that they are there for children, not to trick children. And if you can't afford it, then be adults and say, "We do not have the funds." And then maybe adults will come to different decisions about what to do, but don't kill children or put them in harm's way, just don't. You don't deserve to be in education. People that do that do not deserve to be in education at all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Okay, next
I'd like to call Assemblyman David Weprin.
Assemblyman, before we begin we swear everybody in in
this committee. So I'm going to ask you to raise

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 120
your right hand please. Do you solemnly swear or
affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing
but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions
honestly?

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: I do.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. And would you begin, please? And welcome, thank you for being here with us.

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you. I'm used to being on the other side, as you probably can imagine, so it's a nice experience to once in a while be on this side. I spent eight wonderful years of fond memories in this very chamber, sitting right where you are sitting, Chairman Dromm and Chairman Deutsch, and it's great to be back and see familiar faces and happy to here.

 $\label{eq:chairperson dromm: It's great to have } % \end{substitute} % \end{substitute} % % \end{substitute} % \end{substitut$

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Good afternoon,

Chairman Dromm, Chairman Deutsch and distinguished

members of the City Council. It's nice to see my

former colleague in the Assembly, Inez Barron, in her

new capacity. I thank you for providing the public

the opportunity to testify on this very important

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 121 subject matter. My name is David Weprin. I'm an Assembly Member representing the 24th Assembly District in Queens County, but in Albany, I also Chair the New York State Assembly Taskforce on People with Disabilities. Prior to my election to the Assembly, I spent eight years in this City Council and chaired the Finance Committee during that time, and I had a unique opportunity to work with various different groups and organizations throughout New York City dealing with people with disabilities, developmental disabilities as well as other disabilities and other advocacy groups on their behalf. Their message was crystal clear, equal educational opportunities for not just some, but for all children in New York City. To that end, I sincerely support and applaud the Council Committee's decision to introduce Intro Number 435, Chairman Dromm's legislation. In order for the city to better meet the needs of New York's most vulnerable community, the special needs students, first we must understand the dynamics and challenges surrounding the current circumstances. With the enactment with Intro Number 435, which would require the Department of Education to annually submit to the City Council a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 122 report concerning each student seeking or receiving special education services in our school. The city will be in a far better position to implement necessary measures to assist those students with special needs, and the City Council will be in a better position to evaluate that data and to see what other legislation might be necessary. specifically, per Subdivision B of Section Two of Intro Number 435, by fully grasping the total number of students who underwent an initial evaluation after their referral, the number of placements made resulting from re-evaluation and triennial evaluation, the number and percentage of students who receive special education services inside the classroom and whether that's 100 percent of the time, 50 percent of the time or more, or less than 50 percent. And finally, the number and percentages of students in full compliance with their individualized education programs at the end of the academic period as defined in Section Two of that intro. I am confident that this committee would be able to make accurate legislative decisions based on that data. With that said, while enhancing the long term objectives being set forth by this committee, I would

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 123 like -- these committees I should say. I would like to ask the members of the City Council here today as to how I in Albany and colleagues of mine in chairing the task force on people with disabilities can better assist you in Albany by making this a reality on a statewide basis or giving you the power to enact different legislation based on the data that hopefully you will be obtaining shortly. It too is my goal to look for additional ways to provide for the ever growing disability community including students with special needs in both public and nonpublic school settings in our state. Once again, I want to thank Chairperson's Dromm and Deutsch and fellow members of the New York City Council for allowing me to address this committee. As a member of the New York State Assembly and in a continued partnership, you can always count on my support in Albany in the effort to improve the lives of not just some, but for all New York students with special needs. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you so much Assembly Member Weprin for coming in and for making an offer to work with this committee or these committees, I should say, on efforts that we might be

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 124 able to do at the state level. Perhaps, if I may suggest, you know, a transparency bill, a reporting bill similar to what I'm doing on that level. I think that those issues are statewide as well as locally would be very beneficial, and I think that what we've begun to hear from some of the testimony from the parents in particular and from working with parents involved in special education in the past is that there's a desire to know one, the status of their referrals to special education, how long it's taking, why it's taking so long, access to SESIS information, and also access to some type of a database that indicates the services that are being provided as they should be, like on a daily basis as to whether or not a child has been provided with the services during one week or another, you know, the speech services or whatever it may be. So perhaps thinking on the state level, because I think if we had state legislation we'd see the city move a lot quicker. When Deputy Chancellor Rello-Anselmi was here, they said that they're trying to move forward in that direction and that that is one of their hopes, but I think that state legislation would make that move even more quickly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 125

2.2

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Well, I'd be happy to introduce that legislation when we return to Albany in January, and I'd like to work with you and hopefully you'll be able to evaluate the testimony you receive today and at other hearings, which could better help us in introducing the bills in Albany or the bill or bills in Albany and follow up on that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Council Member Treyger?

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chair, and welcome Assemblyman Weprin, former

Councilman, former Finance Chair, thank you very

much. I would begin by saying that—and I'm pretty

confident that the Assembly would agree with us on

this that let's also begin with the state, and again,

this is not a knock on the Assembly. I think the

Assembly has been great partners with us

historically, but let's make sure that the resources

to New York City come down from the state to meet the

needs of all of our children, because historically,

there has been an inequity in how the state funds New

York City schools, and unfortunately, many parents

and children pay that price, and we heard the story

before, emotional powerful story of where too many

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 126 kids have been short changed, in some cases very extreme levels. So I know that the Assembly has historically always been with us. If we can definitely just urge our colleagues on the other side and the Governor's Office to make sure that they fund New York City schools the way they're supposed to. I would also add that as the Chair has a very good bill to report on transparency for with regards to children getting services to meet their needs, I would also expand it to, you know, each school has a state report card. You know, the city used to have progress reports, now they're being called snapshots and other terms, but each school has a New York State report card by the State Department of Education, and they focus in on the movements of children with IEP's and the movement of children who are ELL's, but they really don't report on the number of counselors assigned to those kids. That they don't really report on. Now, I don't want the state to pass down another unfunded mandate to the city, but this is the situation where I think they should both require reporting of number of guidance counselors who in actuality should be--are also advocates for the kids so the parent wouldn't have to fight alone on getting

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

the critical services the child needs, but they'd
have a guidance counselor or someone assigned to the
school to help advocate with them along the way. So
I think it will be helpful for the report cards to
reflect the number of services each kid has in each
school, but to also complement that with the state,
giving the city schools what they rightfully deserve,
and I thank you so much for your support and we thank
the Assembly Chamber for always being very supportive
of our school system here. Thank you so much.

2.2

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, and those are very good suggestions, and I think that's a possible additional bill to include in the state report card. That was a very good suggestion, Council Member Treyger, and I will definitely follow up on that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you,
Assemblyman Weprin--

ASSEMBLYMAN WEPRIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: for coming in today.

Okay, so our next panel will be Carmen Alvarez, the

Vice President of the UFT, Liz Truly from the UFT,

Laverne Burrows from CSA, and John Khani from CSA.

Okay, let me just ask you all to raise your right

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 128 2 hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and 3 to answer Council Member questions honestly? 4 5 JOHN KHANI: I do. 6 LAVERNE BURROWS: I do. 7 LIZ TRULY: I do. 8 CARMENT ALVAREZ: I do. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good. 9 Who's 10 going to begin? CARMEN ALVAREZ: I'm going to go first. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright, Carmen. CARMEN ALVAREZ: Okay. Good afternoon, 13 Chairman Dromm and Subcommittee Chair Deutsch and the 14 15 members of the Education Committee and the 16 Subcommittee on Non-public Schools. My name is Carmen Alvarez, and I am the Vice President for Special 17 18 Education for the United Federation of Teachers. behalf of our union's more than 200,000 members, I 19 20 want to thank you for this opportunity to offer testimony on special education instruction. We are 21 2.2 also pleased to weigh in on your bill Intro 0435, 23 mandating special education services reporting. And 24 before I begin, I really want to thank you for

allowing the parents to speak first, because their

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 129 voices, there's nothing that can compare to what they are experiencing now and moving forward. So, thank you for having them first. First, we would like to acknowledge the New York City Council for being a leading voice for students with special needs and English language learners. Your oversight is crucial and helps ensure that our children and their families receive the services and the supports they need to succeed and thrive. Secondly, I have to acknowledge Chancellor Farina for her extraordinary efforts and successes in changing the culture of the school system from replacing the gotcha mentality of the prior Administration with an expectation that our members will be treated as respected professionals to restoring superintendents as crucial links between the community and schools. The new Chancellor has been a breath of fresh air. I want to add that's her first phase to finally find somebody, one person, who can answer the question about what's going on in the district. Because I have to tell you, the history I've had over the past couple of years, the most difficult thing to work through were the networks and the clusters, because it was like a terrible game of telephone, and to implement and to standardize

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 130 approach of professional development or knowledge was very difficult. So I am looking forward to supporting my colleagues, the UFT district representatives, as they work with superintendents to improve instruction for students with disabilities and hold schools accountable for implementing students IEP's as soon as possible. The conditions are right to change the narrative. The instruction service delivery issues that are before this body today are familiar to most of us, since most of us have been in teaching. can't wait for the day when I am here at the microphone applauding our collective success with differently abled students. Realistically, we have some ways to go, but I am hopeful. While the UFT cautions against using state standardized test scored to fully understand what our students have learned, the English language arts and math tests are sobering, particularly for special ed students, English language learners and English language learners who need special education related services. For the school year that ended in June 2014, just 6.7 percent of special ed students passed the ELA exam, while 11.7 percent were successful on the math test.

Only 3.6 of English language learners passed the ELA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 131 exam, while 14 percent passed the math. Of New York City's there's a 145,000 English language learners. Thirty-five thousand, nearly 23 percent are students with disabilities. Currently, there's no public reporting of the achievement levels of the subset of the English language learners on the ELA math assessments, but given that we know that these students need the proficiency rates for the groups are likely low and in single digits. I'm not going to go into the graduation rates because that was already addressed. You had also spoken about how are these professional development topics going to be handled at the school level, and I would have to say thanks to the Department of Ed and the city of New York, the new collective bargaining agreement between the UFT and the DOE have given us an avenue to provide professional development on a weekly basis to the degree that the voice from the members at the school level actually say this is what we need in addition to what you offer us and it has to be collaborative. This is the first time in a long time that we have teachers, para-professionals, parents, supervisors talking about what the professional development needs are in a school, and it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 132 contractual, which means if the voice isn't heard, superintendents and district reps will help, and if there's still a problem, you have the Chancellor and you have our President of the UFT making sure that the professional development needs to help young people achieve is being developed, designed and implemented in a way that makes sense in a school. So, what are the works that needs to be done? Again, you brought up the issue of pre-service teacher preparation. I can tell you when I went to school, and I graduated many decades ago, I left the state with a certificate instructing students with emotional disabilities. That was my undergrad, over 60 credits across the board. Then, I had my master's degree at Banks Street with bilingual education focused on literacy, and I got all my skills in terms of learning how to instruct reading. From the beginning, if you don't know how to teach reading and what that means, then you don't understand how to plug in Orton-Gillingham, Fundations [sic], Wilson. You have to have the baseline first. Why am I telling you this now? It's because unfortunately, the State Education Department, and this is something we have to do with the colleges, have made the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 133 licensing a generic license. So they don't have a certificate that says you deal with the autistic spectrum or intellectually delayed or emotionally disturbed. It doesn't exist. So what happens now is our people who come through the higher ed are jacks of all trades and master of none. And even if you're dually certified, you're still a jack of all trades and master of none. And so we have to get back to what we need to support our young people and all staff so they understand how to move forward. So let's look at reading. We dedicated a lot of things about literacy. Instruction in foundational reading skills is lacking across the system in general ed and special ed across the system, and we believe that we have to create a professional development program that really addresses the five pillars of reading plus writing. I'm working very closely with Corinne Rello-Anselmi, Doctor Esther Friedman who works directly with Chancellor Farina to kind of break down the silo's and work together to create a real curriculum that all schools understand. How do kids read? Not just in inclusive environments, I'm looking at District 75, District 79, incarcerated youth, self-contained classrooms, everywhere.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 134 can't just focus on little pieces. Everybody needs to understand what reading is all about. So what do we do now? Again, that professional development portion of our contract, this is one vehicle that we're going to work at the schools, but by the same token at a central level we're going to work at what kind of reading instruction we can do together, Department of Ed, UFT, State Education Department so we have a standardized understanding about how do children read. And then, once you find out about how children read, what about those young people in high schools who are reading at a third grade level? do you instruct them? How do you work with the young people in the incarcerated school environments that we have? We have to challenge -- we have to reach this challenge together. Another thing, again, you were mentioning about unfunded mandates, another structure from the wonderful State Education Department is the response to intervention. A very good practice, but you have to have researched based reading programs, not anything you pish-posh together. They have to be researched based. Unfortunately, it's unfunded, and how you provide the infrastructure in the school system today is the challenge that faces us. So we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 135 are willing to look at what kind of infrastructure can we build with what's existing right now. some of the pages I talk about some of the collaborations we're doing now. So for instance, today and tomorrow we work together with Doctor Friedman at the UFT offering literacy classes for teachers of general ed from three--of all classes, not just general ed, special ed as well from three to six, and tomorrow it'll be middle schools and high school, and on November 19th, we're going to do one on reading. So, we really--you know, the members trust us. So when they see that we're working together and this is a good thing to do, it makes them speak to their administrators because they have to be released for the day, "Please release me for the day, because this is meaningful." And that's what we have to create together with administrators and everybody. We're in this together. So I'm not going to go into that other part. Behavior, we got to look at behavior. Sometimes it's the chicken or the egg, which came first, the behavior, the reading, the reading, the behavior? You heard the parents say first because they couldn't read the behavior happened, or maybe the behavior happened and then it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 136 stopped their flow to receive information and then they were delayed in instruction. It's critical that we work together to create different programs, and I want to thank you, Danny, for supporting us because we are working very closely, and you heard Corinne say that we're developing and implementing. We're in 14 schools now, and we're already beginning to see the benefits of the understanding behavior partnership with the UFT, the Department of Ed and Cornell University, and our newest partner is the Museum of Tolerance. And what we do is we use the Cornell Therapeutic Crisis Intervention in Schools curriculum. Staff, and I mean staff, that's from the aids, the staff who work in the kitchens, the guard, everybody gets the skill set on how to not allow things to escalate, because once they escalate it's over. What we try to do is bring the temperature down so there are fewer people who hit that particular issue. So the IUB practices focus on helping school staff identify behavior issues before they escalate and become crisis. The thing that is especially compelling about the IUB approach is its intensive ongoing professional development, which is what you were talking about. It's on site. We have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 137 somebody in there as a behavior specialist who works with a school-base team, and that's the team that takes data, analyzes where's the break down. Is it at lunch time? Is it when they come in? Is it a transition? Analyze it to intervene to make sure it works, and we are now getting really good data out of that. And again, Chairman Dromm, thank you for championing us because you really brought this to the table last year. So, making--I'm going to go straight to Intro 0435, making special education data more transparent. Clearly, what was asked by parents, teachers and everybody is critical. How's my kid doing? Are they passing? Are the supports adequate? Are they inadequate? Did everybody get seen? Did they not get seen? Why is it not working? What's going on here? Now, we have to look at if we're going to put money in all this effort, we want to make sure we get the bang for our buck, if you will. Are the kids learning? Now, for me, graduation rate, ice in winter. You pass with a 55, 65, I'm very glad it increased, but what they're looking at in colleges is 75. So, what are we giving them? What are really giving? I want my kids to I mean, I had a granddaughter, took her six thrive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 138 freaking years to graduate, but she graduated and she is now in Kingsborough Community College, and she just graduated there. Let her take the time. her take the time, but she's passing with a 75, just like her non-disabled peers or higher, because we gave her the time to learn and the staff in the school helped her support that. So, one of the things we're asking for in further discussion, because I think we can refine your bill, is let's look at what's in the system right now. You have ARIS [sic]. You have SESIS. You have STAR. You have a number of programs. Let's finally see how they can all talk to each other and get that basic information out. There is no need to keep creating a new program, when we can really re--we kind of reset the button in terms of what we have right now. And finally, into summing up, you know, I'd like to do a little problem solving here. Again, we need to build an infrastructure. I'm looking forward to the second phase of Chancellor Farina's reshuffling of how schools and districts are supported, but we need an infrastructure to support literacy instruction and interventions and behavior supports in our schools.

Building an infrastructure involves a lot of pieces,

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 139 leadership, resources, professional development and accountability mechanisms just to name a few, but the most important piece is dedicated, well trained educators in every school to guide and assist school staff as they learn to implement new methods of reading instruction and new positive proactive ways of supporting appropriate behavior. What is some of the structures in the system right now that are poorly used? SETS [sic] teachers, our resource room teachers, they're 1,200 of them. Let's train them. Give them the certification in the five pillars of literacy and writing and then create hubs in each borough of experts in Orton-Gillingham, Fundations, Wilson, and that it's built--you got to build capacity from the schools. Couldn't do that with the networks. And then you have IEP teachers. Why is the special ed rate--excuse my hands, it's a very Latin thing, you know? The thing that happens is, you know, here's a parent in general ed who wants the expertise of somebody who knows how to teach reading or Fundations. You can create -- and we're working on this to be honest with you with Corinne. Take the IEP teacher position. There are a thousand of them in the system, and create it to support special ed and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

then academic intervention services, which is again,
an unfunded mandate. We have some possibilities in
the system, let's build on it. Let's work together,
and I am looking forward to coming in a couple of
years and really talk about some successful practices
that are really helping our most vulnerable students
in the school system. Thank you.

2.2

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Let's let CSA go, and}$ then I have a question or two.

LAVERNE BURROWS: Okay. Good afternoon,
Chair Dromm and Chair Deutsch, and I would like to
thank you both for this hearing today. My name is
Laverne Burrows, and I am the Assistant Director at
CSA, the Council of School Supervisors and
Administrators, and I'm a former Assistant Principal
in the Bronx. Joining me is John Khani. He is CSA's
Assistant Director for Political Affairs. While we
have submitted testimony, or are submitting
testimony—if you would hand it out. Okay, great.
I'm not going to read it in its entirety, but I'm
going to highlight some of the key points. CSA has
also attached a memorandum of support to that
testimony. It is universally accepted that special
education is not providing all of its students with

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 141 the services to which they are entitled. Therefore, it must be reformed. The data is clear. Fewer than six percent of special education students are proficient in reading, and only eight percent are proficient in math. Also, 18.1 percent of our students, that's nearly one out of five, are classified as special education and account for 1.8 billion dollars of the budget. The council took a step in the right direction recently by requiring the Department of Education to provide the committee with accurate numbers relative to quidance counselors and their case loads in terms of ratios. The Chancellor has gone on record that the discipline code must be updated, because too many students are being suspended for nominal infractions. This is particularly true for our special education students. School leaders recognize the importance of maintaining high standards by providing quality professional development so our teachers can differentiate instruction to meet the special needs of each student. It is incumbent upon us to work together with parents to nurture and develop the full potential of each of our student. Exemplary programs in special education include nuts and bolts workshops

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 142 for parents, because an informed parent is an educator's and child's best ally. There must be greater equity in terms of the distribution of special education students throughout the system. Some schools have an overabundance while others have a minimal amount. In order to create this equity, superintendents can assess availability of services and/or programs and space in order to provide each student with the appropriate placement. Ideally, once a student has an IEP and it is sent to the receiving school, fair student funding allocates the budget, and again, I say ideally. All of this must take place on the student's first day of school. However, too often, student services are lagging because of the lack of personnel to provide various services. The school's contact their ASE, the Administrator of Special Education, for assistance in completing what the DOE calls the core checklist to determine that the school has considered all the possible ways in which existing school resources could be used to support the IEP. When the recommended program doesn't exist at a school, another alternative is offered. The family is welcomed to the school and a meeting is arranged

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 143 where the school officials must ask the parent to reconsider the recommended program and/or services on the IEP. Our CSA members bristle at this approach. I'm sorry, they bristle at this approach to placement. They feel as many of us here do that the recommended program and/or services should be provided. Asking a family to reconsider what they have just agreed to was the best for their child is not something parents should be asked to do, to support the Department of Education's philosophy that special education is not a place, but a menu of services and supports. By starting with this hearing and working together, we can help build a better future for all of our students. Thank you for your time, and CSA would be happy to assist in any way that we can. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

Anybody else on the panel? Okay. So thank you for your support, also for the legislation. We look forward to working with you on ironing that out as we move forward, and hopefully we can pass that soon here in the council, because I do believe that even with the passage of the guidance counselor reporting legislation, we're going to get a better grasp in

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

144

terms of what exactly is going on in the system. So,

just for clarification, Ms. Alvarez, you had

mentioned an IEP teacher. I'm not familiar with

that. What's an IEP teacher? Is that the same as a

resource room teacher?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARMEN ALVAREZ: No. No, an IEP teacher, there's about 1,000 of them in the system. About 12 years ago there used to be a position called a special education ed evaluator. And because of a certain lawsuit that happened, that position transformed into the IEP teacher. Now, it's a contractual position, because we felt it was important and the focus of that, the main responsibility of that teacher is to be part of the initial IEP meeting of a student who's never received special education services, because you need a practicing special ed teacher to sit in that meeting, but if he's from general ed, he never had a spe--or she had a special ed teacher. So, that was the role, and they are also supposed to cover special ed teachers when they go into IEP meetings. Now, given that the whole day doesn't need that over time, what has happened as you know principals need a lot of help, so they took the position and they don't

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 145 necessarily follow--I don't think it's the most effective way that they've used their positions for variety of reasons, which I don't need to go into. But I do believe if we have a renegotiated discussion, I think it's a position that should maintain the first part. You speak, you represent that general ed student in that IEP meeting, initial one, and then the rest of the day is dedicated to academic intervention services. They need to be trained in the five pillars of reading, writing, and then you create specific expertise in Fundations, Wilson, or Orton-Gillingham, one or more, with a real certification process, not just I trained you today and you're it tomorrow. You get a certificate from the Wilson people. You get a certificate from the Orton-Gillingham. So you start capacity building so over time you have expertise in teaching reading the way you can so kids in general ed as well as special ed, because special ed kids didn't necessarily get the expertise of how you get reading instruction properly, so you can really help at a school, and your capacity building at the building. It is one of the things that Corinne and myself are looking at right now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, does that IEP teacher travel throughout the day? 3 CARMEN ALVAREZ: No, that IEP teacher is 4 in the building full time. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But their daily 7 responsibilities are limited to only covering IEP 8 conferences or do they--CARMEN ALVAREZ: No, what happens--9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: actually begin to push in now into--11 12 CARMEN ALVAREZ: [interposing] What they're doing right now, so for example, some are 13 14 taking actual sets. They'll do some resource room 15 positions or they'll do ICT or they'll do other types 16 of things for the administration, which is allowable, but it may not--for what we need right now, which is 17 18 capacity building, this may be a time to really rethink how do we create the expertise in the 19 20 building right now. They're there; let's create this. So that's one of the things we're rethinking 21 2.2 at this moment. 23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, those IEP

teachers could be used for professional development?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 147

CARMEN ALVAREZ: Well, if they have the trained expertise. You don't want to do what I call drive by trainings. They only know it 20 seconds before you did. You really want them to understand the curriculum, the content and how to implement it in the building. So for instance, if you're teaching the pillars of reading, they would be able to go through the five tools and actually instruct early childhood teachers or whomever in their building what that's like, and if they have the additional training of Wilson, Fundations, or Orton-Gillingham, they can also provide that support in the building. That's what we're trying to think about. How do you create capacity at the school building with certified staff who actually know what they're doing? And that's going to be the challenge.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And you said there's a thousand of them. So, what is that? Do you know how they're located, or what schools they're placed in?

CARMEN ALVAREZ: The formula for assigning is how many kids get referred for special education, and there was a cut off. It's an arbitrary cut off.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 And if your school had that many, you were entitled to that position, which is a centrally paid position. 3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do schools share 4 them? 5 6 CARMEN ALVAREZ: No. 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Oh, okay. I'm curious to know your position and CSA's as well on the level 8 of professional development that's provided to staff 9 right now. Is it sufficient? Does it match the 10 needs of the school? How could it improve? 11 12 JOHN KHANI: I think we all agree that it could definitely be improved. It's lacking right now, 13 and we're all working on it, but it needs to finally 14 15 trickle down to the school, but we need to work with 16 central to make it happen. But it's absolutely lacking, yes. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And UFT feels the same way? 19 20 CARMEN ALVAREZ: Well, I think with the prior Administration it was really difficult, but now 21 2.2 that Chancellor Farina who comes from the school base 23 up, and she was a former deputy, so she understands 24 the policy to support the school base. I think we

have a better chance to really address that issue

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

149

over the next couple of years. It's not going to

happen overnight. I mean, you have to really train

your staff. You can't do quickies.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And I don't think you can do, although I get where they were coming from when I asked the question earlier about turning the question on the teacher about what would you do, you know, in these circumstances. I really do believe that teachers need to be handed concrete ideas about how to deal with certain situations, not to have the situation turned on them to say, "What do you do?"

with you, and that's one of the things we used to tell teachers, that if somebody tells you they expect you to do something, it's, "Well, show me first, and then I'll be able to do it." And I think that's what we asked our staff to do, not just teachers, but Related Services Providers, Para-professionals. If you expect me to teach or support a teacher, you show me what that looks like, and then we can practice it, because one of the things of professional development is you need a coaching component. You can't just say, "Read this book or look at this webinar and you are magically trained." So, that coaching component

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

is a very critical piece to the professional

development. That's why we're looking at school
based support.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: My difficulty as a teacher, and I think many teachers would agree with me, is that in theory we love inclusion. We want to do inclusion. We understand the theory behind it.

We understand the theory behind a lot of the developmental issues that the students have, but give me something that I can use in the classroom and I'll do it. And that has—that has really—that's lacking. That's not what it is happening in the system. Council Member Treyger?

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chair. Thank you both to the CSA and UFT for being advocates, I really think not just for your members, really for the kids, which is really driving all this and I really appreciate that. Can you respond to the DOE's comments before that schools are being provided resources even after the register month? Because I was teaching up until December of last year, and this was always an issue in the DOE, that after the register month, over the counter students, which we in my area got a lot of, particularly immigrant

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

151
students coming from different countries. We'd have
to welcome, of course we welcome all kids. They come
in, but the DOE did not give the resources to really
meet their needs. Is the DOE providing additional
resources to school after the register months? If
you could speak to that I greatly appreciate it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARMEN ALVAREZ: I'm going to start and I'm sure they're going to add, CSA will add. I'm going to start from what CSA shared, fair student funding. Here is a budget which is basically a bank. So, if you have 250 students with disabilities it goes into the bank. Every piece of money that that student is entitled to, except for Related Services and the IEP, one to one IEP paraprofessional, goes into that bank, and then they have some other kind of formulas to add to if you have more kids who are in ICT. But you can't track the money. So once you have that money in there, principal sees their needs. They're going to make their decisions. Sometimes it's on the backs of ELL's and others. It happens, we all know that. I go to schools all the time, have an exit conference with the principal and I say, "I can help you." And they have a core process, which means central comes in, looks at your budget, looks

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

at your kids, and see how you spent it. And they
say, "Nevermind, I don't want it." Because there's
so many things that a principal needs, they're going
to try to find the best way to fund it, and sometimes
they use special education money to fund additional
positions, and this is not in all cases, but I could
tell you right now, fair student funding doesn't
translate fairly for students with disabilities
because you cannot track the money.

JOHN KHANI: Just want to ditto what my colleague is saying. Councilman Treyger, I can totally relate to you because I have also been outside of active service, but we can check into with our colleagues who are in service, but we do want to take the DOE at their word that December 31st is the cut off, and the most important pieces, the money must follow the student.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And that's exactly what I'm trying to get at, and I thank you for that honest feedback. So I will follow up with the DOE forcefully as well.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 153

2 CARMEN ALVAREZ: That'll make a big 3 start.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Yes. I just, I mentioned this before and it's just worth repeating, because we have a lack of guidance services, and not just guidance counselors, I would say even school psychologists, you know, people--support services, support staff. How do they acur--how do they know that they're meeting the needs of our kids? I don't believe--obviously, the data shows we're not. So, this council passed a bill, and I appreciate -- it will go a long way in increasing transparency on reporting the numbers of guidance counselors, but there's so much other support staff that go into this. Teachers became mandated reports as well in the classroom, but was I given adequate support in the classroom to identify and look for those things? No. can we do to make sure that those services are there? Is this simply—is this a state resources issue? this a city? Is this a partnership? If we could hear directly from you, what can we do to make sure that guidance is adequate? What can we do to make sure that the support school psychologists is addressing the kids with mental health issues? Look

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

what just happened in Seattle. You know, I mean,
teachers are—educators, the principals are first
responders to these types of crisis. How could we
prevent them from happening in the first place? What
can we do? Is there an action plan that we can
implement to address these needs before a crisis
happens in our school system?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARMEN ALVAREZ: Well, that's very loaded. You have a lot of issues you brought, but let's just look at do we have enough guidance counselors. Guidance counselors, which they're one of our titles at the UFT, they serve different functions. So you have guidance counselors that help students articulate from one grade to the other. You have some guidance counselors who actually provide Related Services. It's another category. Often times, in a general ed school if you have 900 kids, you have one guidance counselor. If you really want quidance, you have to look at the needs of the population, what they need, and determine it based on the need of that school community. And right now, it's like from my understanding, and you'll correct me if I'm wrong, it's another budget formula. If you have so many kids, you get so many this. It's not

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

155
based on this is a school that needs this particular
support at this particular point in time, this is
what we need to gravitate. I don't feel that's where
it's set up at this point in time.

2.2

JOHN KHANI: And just to add to that, one of the solutions that was being used in the past when I was a principal, I relied heavily on the person who was my special education supervisor. Unfortunately, that position has been removed, and if that person were in the building, that person is the one that has the expertise to know what to do, when do it, are the services appropriate, and are all children receiving everything on their IEP as they should.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you. Thanks very much.

elementary school, I can just speak for the elementary school, that we had mandated guidance counselors that serviced the children who had counseling on their IEP's, but we also had an additional guidance counselor that provided at risk counseling, so that person was able to identify students that prior to the referral process for an evaluation and also to utilize and work with the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 156 teachers on coming up with interventions that might support that child or at least narrow down and pinpoint what type of if special education services were needed, you know, to try to pinpoint which direction, you know, it would go into. But I think additional guidance counselors in the school, it's extremely useful. We also had PBIS, which was Positive Behavior Intervention Supports, and the quidance counselor, the one that provided at risk was able to do a check-in with certain students that were identified in the morning and in the afternoon, and sometimes that was all it took to make a positive change in that student's behavior.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, well thank you very much, and I appreciate you coming in and sharing your testimony with us. Thank you.

LAVERNE BURROWS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Alright, and I'm told I have to move it along here. So, let me ask Maggie Moroff from the Advocates for Children to come up, Nina Nublin from Resources for Children with Special Needs, Jaclyn Okin Barney from Parents for Inclusive Education, and Cara Chambers from the Legal Aid Society come up. Okay, I'm going to ask you raise

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

157

your right hand so I can swear you in. Do you

solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole

truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer

Council Member questions honestly? Okay, very good.

And who would like to begin? Let's start over here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NINA LUBLIN: Good afternoon or almost good evening. My name is Nina Lublin. I am the Early Childhood Specialist at Resources for Children with Special Needs. I want to thank the City Council's Education Committee and the Subcommittee on Non-public Schools for scheduling this hearing. I've been with Resources for Children for 21 of our 30 years of existence, and I've been in the field of special education since 1975. For over 20 years, Resources for Children has been a United States Department of Education parent training and information center. We also conduct the New York State Education Department's special education parent technical assistance centers for the New York City boroughs of the Bronx, Manhattan, Brooklyn and Queens, and since October 1st, the regional Rehabilitative Service Administration Transition Parent Center for New York State. Our parent centers provide New York City families and young people with

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 158 special needs with information, confidence and skills to make informed decisions, navigate complex public systems and participate effectively in the special education process. We speak with parents every day and work to increase their voice and their role in the special education process and provision of services. Because of this experience, we are in an excellent position to comment on the proposed legislation and the questions the committee has posed. We have been eager supporters of the special education reform initiative by the Department of Education even while we assist parents to push back against some of the rigid requirements, especially those related to home zoned schooling issues and the school enrollment process. Keeping students with disabilities in integrated and mainstream environments and providing maximum exposure to general education curriculum and standards is exactly the intent of IDEA, the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. We fully support the DOE's efforts to move the system in this direction and towards compliance with state and federal law, but we know that to do this successfully requires enormous systemic efforts focused on professional development,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 159 capacity building and resources. On a school level, it requires buy-in from professional staff, evidence based practices, collaboration, and creation of a school culture based on inclusiveness and a common sense of belonging. On the student level, it requires individualize scaffolding of academic support, Related Services, technology and ongoing assessment. It also requires an avenue for genuine parent involvement and decision-making. Our concern is that many of these requirements on the system level, the building level and the student level are not fully in place, and that without these things, the success of the reform is in question. In our work with parents, we have seen many situations where the school does not have the services or the supports needed by a student. The changing of IEP's to reflect what a school has as opposed to what a student requires is common place. Related Services and Assistive Technology are not distributed equally across the system, and without these supports, many students struggle and the gap between performance and great expectations widens. In other situations, schools have an abundance of resources which are unavailable to outside students based on geography. Schools are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 160 not equally equipped to provide all services. undermines student achievement and the success of the reform efforts. It also erodes parental trust in the system. Our message is simple. Decades of research and examples of comprehensive implementation have shown that school success is not impossible, and its components are not elusive. The ingredients are extensive professional development, well-funded and resourced schools, evidence based practices, and extensive and robust engagement with parents to include them in all aspects of their child's education. I do not suggest these components are easy to provide, only that they are commonly recognized and generally agreed upon. frustration experienced by parents is a lack of clarity about escalating requests for changes in services and supports. Certainly, there is a legal pathway to open an IEP at any time. New evaluations can be requested or obtained from the outside and submitted to the CSE, but there exist many road blocks to this happening. Teachers, administrators and CSE members routinely push for a calendar that recognizes only annual reviews, a wait and see attitude and a postponement of decisions until after

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS test results are in or after he or she has adjusted to the program. We then see extended periods of academic and social struggle. When this happens, the recommendation is often made to repeat the grade, further demoralizing students and parents. Our advocacy efforts focus on increasing communication between parents and schools so that the topic of discussion at IEP meetings is not what -- not about what the system can offer, but can we do to support this student, and how can additional resources be assembled to help the students succeed. These are the kinds of conversations that will establish trust from parents. We support the proposed legislation requiring the reporting of information regarding students receiving special education services. certainly advances the cause of openness and transparency, both are which are priorities for this Administration and the Department of Education. Reporting of information will increase accountability at the system level, the school level, and at the student level. Parents and the Council will have access to information about how the DOE is assessing its efforts and how the implementation of the reform

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

can be strengthened. Thank you for your time and

consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MAGGIE MOROFF: Good afternoon. Thank I'm Maggie Moroff. I'm the special education you. Policy Coordinator at Advocates for Children, and I'm the Coordinator of the Arise Coalition. AFC is a nonprofit organization that's been working to protect the rights of New York City's most vulnerable students, including students with disabilities for over four decades. The Arise Coalition is a group of concerned stakeholders who work to push for system wide changes to New York City's special education system. AFC and the members of Arise have come to see raise in literacy rates for students with special needs as crucial in our quest to improve outcomes for those students. The DOE needs to commit to building the skills school by school to teach struggling readers. You've already heard from a few parents today, and thank you for that. It's their experiences and so many other like that that have brought us here today, like Travis and Hageis [sp?]. We've seen students of all ages who were failed by the public system start making real progress in reading once they get specialized tutoring and making

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 163 use of evidence based methodology. The problem isn't the children. It's the school system that isn't prepared yet to teach those children effectively. You also did a really good job of going through some of the devastating facts and data, so I'm not going to belabor that part of my testimony. But instead, what I'm going to do is jump right to the main point of my testimony. Disability should not be destiny. Federal and state laws mandate more than our schools currently deliver. The IDEA in Section 504 require that students with disabilities receive all the supports and services necessary to meet their academic needs, including specially designed instruction and assistive technology. Those same laws also mandate that schools offer curriculum through a variety of formats designed to reach all kinds of learners. So, designing or converting materials to make them useable to a wide range of readers, not just those who use pen and paper, but those who benefit from digital graphics and audio and visual modes. Research backs up all of the things that you're hearing today and supports the promises of improving literacy rates for students with special needs as long as those students again receive

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 164 intensive and systemic evidence based interventions. I want to jump now to the applied forum that the Arise Coalition has come up with. So the Arise Coalition, which is coordinated out of Advocates for children has five hey recommendations for the city in order to improve literacy rates for students with disabilities. Basically, what we're looking for is the for the DOE to come up with a long term plan for teaching all students, including students with disabilities, to read at or above grade level by the end of second grade. And to do that, we have five specific recommendations. Beginning in prekindergarten, students must receive evidence based core literacy instruction, designed to prepare them to become competent in the five pillars of reading, pardon me, beginning at least with kindergarten and continuing right through high school. Schools need to provide ongoing screening for reading ability, and those students not reading on grade level need to receive additional evidence based targeted intervention with ongoing monitoring. Students who require additional evidence based intervention, you'll notice I keep saying evidence based intervention, must have the chance to receive it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 165 during the school day, but also after school and during summer months by using augmentative communication devices, assistive technology, digitalized text and other multimedia to promote dynamic teacher and learning. Schools should make use of technology to support literacy development and content instruction for all students. And then schools absolutely need to partner with parents and literacy instruction providing strategies for them to use at home and engaging in an ongoing dialogue with them about the needs and progress of their children. On that point also, the DOE also has an obligation to provide information to parents on how to assess needed screening and interventions for their children. Finally, AFC does support the idea behind the reporting bill before the council today. We wanted to devote our testimony today, however, to the literacy piece. So we do plan to suggest some changes to the language and some additional items to be reported beyond evaluation and placement. We hope to provide the council with suggestions early next week and would be more than happy to sit down with any of the council staff to discuss our recommendations at that point if it's helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

166

you again for the opportunity to speak today, and I'm

here and happy to answer any questions now or later

if that's helpful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

JACLYN OKIN BARNEY: Hi, good afternoon. Thank you for hearing us today and thank you for holding this City Council meeting. My name is Jaclyn Okin Barney. I'm speaking today as a coordinator of Parents for Inclusive Education, also known as PIE. PIE is a parent-led advocacy group of educational reformers that works to ensure that all students with disabilities in New York City public schools have a meaningful inclusive educational and community [sic] experiences. PIE has been in existence for over 15 years, and we have members in all five boroughs. are the only group in New York City that is solely dedicated to advocate for inclusion of kids with disabilities. We work to achieve our goals in many different ways, including collaborating with the Department of Education when we can. I want to talk to you today for a moment on the importance of inclusion and will ask you to think about inclusion in other things [sic] as you consider this special education sources delivered to students. As we all

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS know, as you said two minutes ago, I applaud what you said, that inclusion is a key component in the education comes with disabilities. It provides students and environment that fosters higher expectations, peer modeling and creates social interactions, all of which lead to better outcomes for students with disability and their non-disabled peers. Additionally, inclusion instills a sense of community and builds understanding of diversity and acceptance for all students. Studies have shown that there is direct correlation between students with disabilities in general education classrooms and an increased academic achievement as well as decreased dropout rate. Parents of PIE know this firsthand, as they so often speak about how their children would not be where they are today if not for inclusive opportunities that they had. In order for inclusion to succeed, PIE members know that schools need proper resources, appropriate preparation and training of teachers and staff as well as support from individuals in the whole system and the community. Schools need to create environments where all learners are welcome and accommodated. Schools need to make sure that children receive the services and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 168 support that they need to be included in their schools. That's includes assistive technology, behavioral support, and academic supports. Inclusion requires the DOE at each school to plan effectively and expand its practices in meeting the needs of all students. And as already discussed today, it requires that schools have the funding they need to serve their students and the flexibility to use the funding to meet the individual needs of their student population. New York City has a number of schools that foster inclusive school communities where students with disabilities thrive alongside their non-disabled peers. However, in a system of 1,700 schools there are far too few schools that fulfill this need. As the City Council listens to testimony today from parents, advocates and the DOE speak of the improving instruction, we urge the City Council to remember the importance of students with disabilities receiving appropriate special education services in general education classrooms alongside their non-disabled peers. Because as we know, it increases test scores, increases graduation rates, and increasing post-graduation experiences, including attending college. I ask you to specifically look at

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS three main as you go forward. One, why more schools are not more inclusive, and what the DOE can do to provide the support and services necessary to enable all students to be in inclusive settings and school committees. Two, to make sure parents have the information they need to be effective partners and advocates in their children's education. Inclusion works best with parents as partners, but they need information. They ask you questions. All the information that the DOE has is being given to the parents. And third, I ask you to take a look at the middle and high school choice process. As you know, the application process is well intentioned to provide students with choices in their school options. However, for students with disabilities, not all schools are equally equipped or willing to serve the students. And for students who need physically accessible schools there are far too few choices. Almost done. Inclusion -- in conclusion, creating inclusive school communities will impact education outcomes for all students, and in may respects, inclusive education is a civil rights issue and all students should be full members of their school community in order to prepare them for real

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 170
world experiences. As always, PIE welcomes any
opportunity to further discuss and collaborating on
ways to make this City more inclusive. Thank you
again for the opportunity to speak to you today.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

Next?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARA CHAMBERS: Good afternoon. I'm Cara Chambers, Director of the Legal Aid Society's Kathryn A. McDonald Education Advocacy Project, which is a unit that provides early intervention and special education advocacy to children who are involved in New York City Family Court system. Thank you very much for inviting our thoughts today on special education instruction and on Intro 435. Legal Aid's clients are among the most vulnerable students in New York City. Many of them are homeless, victims of abuse and neglect in the foster care system, involved in the juvenile justice system or otherwise involved in the court system. An overwhelming number of them have some kind of disability or delay that qualifies them for special education services. The Legal Aid Society supports City Council's efforts to require detailed reporting on the Department of Education's compliance with timelines and other requirements

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 171 under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. We actually believe, however, that the proposal that you set forth in Intro 435 should be broadened. For example, the Legal Aid Society would suggest adding reporting requirements such as requirements relating to the issuance of P1R Nickerson letters for timeline violations, and the issuance of Related Service agreements in instances where the Department of Education is unable to provide Related Services on site at the child's school. The Legal Aid Society would also like to suggest some technical and definitional changes to the proposed bill. We have attached a partial mark-up to our written testimony and would be happy to discuss the proposed changes in greater detail with the committee at a later point in time. We'd like today to comment on two aspects of special education instruction. First, specially designed reading instruction and second, vocational instruction. Each year the Legal Aid Society works with hundreds of students who struggle with literacy, dyslexia and learning disabilities. Year after year we struggle to locate appropriate supports for these students in the public school system. Several years ago, the DOE invested in training a number of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 172 teachers to use the Wilson Reading Program. Unfortunately, we are often unable to locate these trained staff members when we need them for a particular client. It seems the DOE does not keep track of which schools have trained staff members, and further, have been unwilling to transfer students or staff members to different schools in order to ensure that students who have the needs are in a school that has appropriately trained staff. Additionally, teachers who did receive training often told us that they receive no follow-up support or that they were not provided with enough resources or time in their schedules to faithfully follow the programs with the students who needed intensive reading instruction. Because the DOE does not have a systematic approach to instructing students with reading disabilities, we frequently result to the private sector, expensive private schools, expensive specialized tutoring services to provide students with the instruction that they need, and we generally have to file and litigate impartial hearings against the DOE to obtain payment for these services. call on the City Council to help ensure that every public school in New York City, whether elementary,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 173 middle or high school has access to teachers who can provide specialized reading instruction to students who are struggling with literacy. We also wish to call special attention to the literacy need of students at Passages Academy and East River Academy, which are the DOE schools that serve students who have been arrested and detained in New York City. want to thank Carmen Alvarez from the UFT for mentioning these students in her testimony today as well. More than half of the students who attend those schools are significantly over age and have been held over more than once. Almost half have been identified as having special education needs. DOE clearly failed to instruct most of these students long before they ended up in the juvenile justice system, but despite the profound needs of this population, neither Passages nor East River Academy is currently able to provide students with intensive reading remediation. The DOE has to immediately allocate trained reading specialists and resources to these two schools in order to remediate student's deficits and re-engage them in school. Very briefly, regarding vocational instruction, very few students with special education need have access to the robust

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 174 vocational training opportunities that they require. The Department of Education's District 75 operates several occupational training centers and career development schools, but those schools generally do not provide onsite vocational training opportunities. Instead, they tend to place students in externships and businesses in the community. Often the nature and type of those internships are not well matched to the student's interests or talents, and the quality of student's experiences at those externship sites varies greatly. In contrast, the Department of Education's District 79 operates Co-op Tech, which is an outstanding model for vocational programming. Coop Tech operates a main site in Manhattan and a handful of satellite sites in other boroughs, but the demand for such programs far exceeds capacity. We would as the City Council to demand that the DOE build on Co-op Tech's successful model and expand access to high quality vocational training programs for students with disabilities. We appreciate the opportunity to speak to you today about both Intro 435 and about special education instruction and would welcome the opportunity to meet with you further.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 175

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much.

I don't want to say too much because we're really running late, but I just want to thank you all for coming in. You know, the issue of Rikers Island has been an issue of major importance to me. I never thought I'd become somewhat of an expert on Rikers as well, and I do plan to do some visits to the schools over there and other unannounced visits to Rikers Island as well in the future to look at that situation. And then for Ms. Barney, I noticed in your testimony the choice process for the junior high and the highs. How does that work? I mean, that's something I never really thought of actually.

JACLYN OKIN BARNEY: I'm happy to meet with you after to discuss, but I think [sic] that all students in sixth grade as well as eighth grade go through for their next school, but although all schools are welcoming of kids with disabilities or so it says, we don't believe that the schools actually are—that all schools are actually equipped to meet the needs of all kids, and because of a very confusing and difficult process, when you're applying to schools and not knowing what schools may meet your needs or what schools may not meet your needs, and

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 176

there are a lot of criteria that look beyond those

along with those weakened [sic] that at times, but

kids with disabilities are disadvantaged.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

NINA LUBLIN: I just want to make a comment. I'm the Early Childhood Specialist where I work, but I am more than aware from the other 25 people that I work with about the challenges that families face at all transition points. We've kind of gotten up through the turning five process to a place where we're comfortable, but really don't want to get too comfortable. The whole process of applying to middle schools now if you're a parent of a child with an IEP is absolutely as daunting as anything I can possibly imagine having been born and raised and am a product of the school system. But the other things is, the part about going to high school is for me unimaginable, but you know, there are a number of projects going on. We run a project in our office called High School Match. We're in 23 schools. We work with 700 students, all of whom have IEP's, all of whom would like to go to the high school of their choice, and that process for them starts in 7th grade, and for some of them it might even start in 6th grade, but it starts in 7th and 8th grade because

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

177

it takes almost two years to work with students to

get them to the point where they are confident about

what they are going to do, and we coach them, we work

with them and their parents and the school personnel.

Nobody ever imagined it would ever get to be this

challenging. And you know, if you're a parent of

the, you know, child without an IEP it's one set of

issues, but it's ten times harder for a parent of a

child with an IEP, and I think that that's something

that the Department and the Council and all of us

advocates, you know, can work on to kind of improve

and make a little bit better.

2.2

MAGGIE MOROFF: Can I add one brief point on that also? So the process is incredibly fraught for all families. Obviously, it's a very scary time of life, but when you layer on for families of students with disabilities, the questions about whether or not the building is going to be physical accessible, whether it's going to be fully physically accessible, about the expertise that exist at the school about the past history of the school and how welcoming it is. Families are given this list, you know, for, I forget how many it is, but applying to middle schools I think they get eight choices. High

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

178
schools they get 12 choices, and it's hard for them
to come up with that many choices. I mean, I was at a
meeting recently of advocates, a number of whom had
physical mobility needs, and three of them had ended
up in the same high school because their choices,
they were not—they had no cognitive delays, and just
on the basis of the physical needs alone, their
choices were essentially null.

2.2

JACLYN OKIN BARNEY: I think my point was that do they look at the quality of the process for the kids with disabilities. We fully support kids with disabilities being a part of the process as they should, but what information they're given about it [sic] on individual schools as it pertains to kids with disabilities, as well as a totally separate issue [sic] with ninety-seven in the equality process will keep [sic] the physical needs who just don't have the same options as kids without physical needs, and that the quality is totally diminished. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And then when you think about sometimes adding a household where English isn't your first language on top of it, it just must be unbelievable to try to figure that all

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 179

out. Well, thank you. Much more to talk on that. I

really appreciate you all coming in and bringing up

the points that you did. Thank you very much.

JACLYN OKIN BARNEY: Thank you very much.

MAGGIE MOROFF: Thank you.

NINA LUBLIN: Thank you.

CARA CHAMBERS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: We've all been doing a bad job of sticking to the time as I'm being told, but I'm going to ask everybody to please to do that because it is really getting late. So let me call up our next panel. Tamika Carter [sp?], who is a parent of two children in District 75, great. Ellen McHugh, Citywide Council on Special Education. Michelle Norris, Citywide Council on Special Education, and Karen Sprowal from Class Size Matters Parents. Okay, so let me swear you in, and if you'd raise your right Sergeant, if--where is our sergeant? hand? okay. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly?

UNIDENTIFIED: Yes.

UNIDENTIFIED: I do.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 180

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. Why don't we start and then we can get your testimony?

TAMIKA CARTER: Okay, thank you Council for allowing me to speak today. My name is Tamika Carter. I have four children, two are in District 75, and three of them have IEP's. Though the DOE provided data for improvement in the special education, I wonder where they got their data from. I went to school--I know schools that have, that are currently struggling with children with IEP's in Brooklyn. They, the number of students in the school with IEP's outweigh the general population. graph does not tell the real truth. If you find--if you had this meeting probably about 5:30/6:00 today, this room would be packed, and they would really tell you exactly what's going on in these schools regarding the DOE. Though the DOE provided the data for improvement, I know at this meeting--okay, even though the -- even though the special education reform was done with good intentions of inclusion, the implementation of it was not thought out fully. There was not enough money, personnel staff to support the schools, and professional development.

Children are being placed in general ed classes with

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 181 teachers that are not trained to deal with special education students, and not trained with the implementation of planning and IEP. When the DOE took out the educational evaluators, this is where they went wrong. The purpose of the evaluates [sic] is to evaluate the child's educational level, but now principals are placing special education teachers as IEP coordinators with a case load of maybe 30 to 40 students. These coordinators/teachers are under pressure to make sure all children are receiving appropriate services and placements in the classroom. This is where we find that a lot of our IEP's are being copied and paste. Okay? The DOE needs to provide appropriate support to schools and they are not providing the services to our children. The line of communication with the DOE regarding special education has been broken down a long time ago, and parents are lost in the system, and we are dependent on the schools to help us navigate, educate us on our children's needs, but how can the school help us when they need support themselves? The DOE has continued to drop the ball on the special education population. There are cases that I know of children going three months without services, without speech, and not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 182 being informed. They find out maybe within the fourth month that their children was not receiving services and that they could have went to get outside resources through the DOE. If I was not a active parent, I don't know what I would be doing and how my children would be going through this DOE system. Okay, I have a daughter. Her name is Bryanna [sp?]. She's currently in the District 75 school in P721K, and before she got there, she's in high school now in the 10th grade, before she got there she changed schools five different times because they told me these are teachers, guidance counselors, social workers told me that they could not--they did not know how to educate her or teach her. I went from school to school to school until when she got to the sixth grade. They told me, they sat--they called me They sat me down and told me that she would best at a District 75 school. I knew that she didn't really need to go to a District 75 school knowing her disability, but I felt that within that setting of the District 75 School she would receive the services, that one on one services that she needed. She is social. She is outgoing. She is warm-

hearted, but she struggled academically, and for a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 183
school to tell you that they don't know how to teach
your child is the wrong thing to do. I think that the
DOE needs to provide the proper services to these
schools and a support system for these schools,
because that data that they showed there, guarantee
that they didn't show any schools from Brooklyn; all
from the Bronx. These are schools that they found
that, you know what, there has been an increase in a
different set of population of children, but I know
for a fact that half the comments that was made today
was not true. They are not providing the services
for the parents. They're not providing workshops for
the teachers nor the guidance counselors. If the
guidance counselor's there every day and not leaving
that building, when do they have time for
professional development? So, that's my testimony
today. I thank you. Right now I have to go pick up
my kids from school. So thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you for
staying too to give the testimony, but I really
appreciate it, and much of what you said I'm very

24 eyes. Thank you.

TAMIKA CARTER: Thank you.

familiar with as well. So, I've seen it with my own

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Next, please?

KAREN SPROWAL: Can I approach?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Oh, yeah, sergeant?

5 Okay.

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

KAREN SPROWAL: Hi, I know we're really pressed for time, so I'm going to try to do this as fast as I can. First, thank you for the opportunity for us to speak with you, giving us the opportunity to speak with you today, and Councilman Dromm, you know you are our champion. I want you to know that. My name's Karen Sprowal. I'm a public school parent of a sixth grader with special needs. I'm here today, however, speak on behalf of Class Size Matters, a citywide advocacy group devoted to providing information on the benefits of smaller class sizes to parents and others nationwide. reason I'm why I'm speaking on--I'm here as a child parent with special needs, but the reason why ${\rm I'm}$ speaking on behalf of them because this is so closely connected to what is happening with me and my child. A few weeks ago, 73 professors of education of psychology and education from every college you can imagine, Ivy League to community college, wrote a letter to the Chancellor pointing out that -- they

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 185 said--this is what they said, they said, "We believe that the benefits of many and other positive reforms that the city is pursuing such as increased access, increasing access to universal pre-kindergarten, establishing community schools, and inclusion for students with disabilities will be completely undermined unless the trend of growing class sizes is reversed in the city. In particular, placing students with special needs in classes of 25 or 30 or more will not work to serve the individual needs or the needs of the other students in the class." And as we know, research showing reducing class size to increase student achievement is a proven approach whose value that has been shown over and over again. Lowering class sizes will lead to a host of health and economical benefits as we substantially, as well as substantial state [sic] savings in avoiding cost of private school placements, and more importantly, enhance the chance of successful academic outcomes for thousands, not only special needs children, but for thousands of children including those with disabilities. What is just as problematic is that in the effort to provide inclusion, the DOE is pushing special needs students into general education classes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 186 and inclusion classes that are much too large to meet their needs, and these class sizes are increasing every year, and now they are at their largest in 15 years. Don't get me wrong, inclusion is a great model if class sizes can be kept low enough, but we all know that that's not what's happening. In 2012, a memo in which the DOE instructed principals made it very clear in a very threatening tone that they could not deny zone students a seat in an inclusion class until class size had reached the maximum level of 25 in kindergarten and 32 in grades one to five, and 30 to 34 in middle school and upper grades. further said, "For recommendations, that this is not in the best interest of kids of students, regular progress, disciplinary measures for school leaders and IEP teams will be applied." I'm just about finished. When my own son entered school back in 2008, he was fortunate enough to get in a class that had only 20 students. His class remained between 18 and 23 from kindergarten to third grade, despite the difficulties he had learning, learning challenges he faced daily, he flourished during those years in both general and inclusion class settings. At one point he was so--he had sustained such a well above grade

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 187 level, his teacher suggested that he go into the gifted program. However, by the time he entered fourth grade, which was last year, his class size increased to 29 students. I'm almost finished. it was apparent more than any other factor that his class size, that class size mattered for my son. many children with ADHD, he was unable to focus or be productive in a class with so many students. watched in horror as my son unraveled. Here once was an inquisitive, bright, eager to learn child, happy, who essentially stopped learning and became emotionally unhinged whenever he was in school. fourth grade teacher wrote on his report card, "He only participates in instruction when he worked in smaller groups." By middle of that year, in order to keep my son in school, he required an arsenal of IEP support service that included a crisis paraprofessional. These services are badly run on a school level, with very little oversight, no collaboration or accountability. I, myself, am bringing in people to train teachers and have workshops so that they can deal with my child. began having frequent meltdowns in class. His attendance, he was absent 67 days as result of having

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 188 a bad day in school. He was subjected to suspensions, and for the first time he was hospitalized by the last week of school for six weeks from school. During the Mayoral Campaign, when asked directly by parents, Bill de Blasio promised that he would reduce class size in all grades to the level the city agreed to in the original Contract for Excellence Plan. Mayor de Blasio has yet to show any signs to follow on his promise as we expect class sizes to increase again throughout the year. The city's lack of commitment to reduced class size and failure to implement its own Contracts for Excellence Plan has been absolutely devastating for my son and so many like him as well. Despite class size reduction being a number one concern for public schools parents, the DOE, on the DOE survey for eight years and numerous town halls, and I went to five of them where the Chancellor spoke. She completely brushed off parents who expressed the need for smaller class sizes. The special education inclusion program will not work to help special needs children until and unless class sizes are reduced to the basic constitutional right to smaller class sizes are met.

You know, and I can't say again that you know, it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 189 not complicated. We don't need all of this fancy stuff that people are talking about and more money and this that and the other. This was just a simple thing that made the difference for my son. My son went from a kid who was capable of being in a gifted program to the one sitting on hospital ward with medication unable to be in school, and we're still struggling. He was eventually approved for a private non-pri--a private plan. I wanted to talk to other gentleman that was there, non-private -- a private nonpublic school. However, by the time that that approval came through, his behavior was such a issue on his records, no--if you didn't have a lawyer and you weren't middle class and was able to pay, show that you could pay, none of those schools would accept him. So guess what? He's in a district school and they're still trying to deal with him, and it is not on the school level, because the teachers, I've had teachers sit with my son for three hours when he a had a meltdown and still deal with 30 other kids. So it's not happening on the school level. It is the Department of Education. Thank you so much. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you, and class

size is a huge issue for me as well. For many years

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

of my 25 years as a teacher I had 38 kids in my
class, and I don't know how you can do inclusion with
38 to be honest with you, in the classroom. It's
very difficult to deal with just 38 kids in the room
in and of itself, and to be honest with you, I never
had less than 34. So, even though, you know, you
quoted the contractual agreements on that, often
times it's violated or there's no place to put the
kids except for on the roof, you know. So I'm with
you on that. Thank you. Thank you for coming in.

KAREN SPROWAL: Thank you.

2.2

who's going. Good evening. I would recommend that for those people who are diabetic, there is an orange stand across the street in case you have any issues. Thank you for having this meeting, although I have to say in some ways it's rather depressing. You said there's been six and 12 years. I think I've been at every one of them, and at no time have I ever heard any Administration say that they want children to fail or that they want children to be segregated, or they want children to be warehoused, and we're back here one more time discussing the fact that kids are segregated or warehoused or are failing. I don't

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 191 know where we've gone wrong as parents. parent. Despite my youthful appearance, I'm the parent of a child who is 37 years old now and went through the public schools system. I don't know why we're back here, and it's depressing. I don't mean to laugh, but it is depressing. Having said that, every year parents ask for three things, smaller class sizes, better programs, and the list of where those programs are. Everything is a mystery, and that only works at Halloween. It doesn't work now. We're not getting any answer. I applauded them for what the DOE provided us in information today, but it's still numbers, and numbers don't reflect the effect on a child or on the family, or on the community that is supporting, hopefully, that child. We have asked for lists. We've been promised lists. We've been told parents, told that staff have been trained. Everybody today has blamed somebody else. CSA seem to think it was the teacher's fault. The teachers seem to think it was the CSA's fault. Everybody said it was tough for the kids, and when they looked at parents, it was almost as if they don't exist, they're just on the outside, sort of a screaming match around the cloud that creates our problems. I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 192 don't know where to go with this. I'm at a loss. I've given you four pages of recommendations, but I wrote those recommendations when Rourke [sic] was in grade school. He was in six--he was a six year old. The recommendations stay the same. The only thing I can ask is that somewhere along the lines somebody puts a halt to this dance, somebody stops the music or somebody says to the DOE, and I know you have no oversight over them, but somebody says to the DOE, "By reporting to us, you are responsible." The last piece is the number of children. We heard today that 250,000 children in this city have IEP's. The DOE has made promises to increase programs for children with autism, which is I think a great idea, but the vast majority of children in this system have mild to moderate learning disabilities. Others, I think it's 35 percent, have speech and language delays. I can't say that we should give up one for the other, but we can't ignore the whole for the sake of saying that we have improved programs for children with autism. have to improve children's programs, period, whether they're children with autism or in my own son's case, deaf. So where do we go from here? I'm at a loss.

You're on. I wish you good luck.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 193

2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The numbers are 3 staggering.

ELLEN MCHUGH: Pardon?

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: The numbers are staggering, 24 percent when you look at the pre-k kids in the system as well are kids with IEP's, and that's a quarter of the system basically, and we're not addressing this really as we should, so.

ELLEN MCHUGH: That's one out of every five children in the classroom.

MICHELLE NORRIS: Four.

The state allows the city to program up to 40 percent of any class with students with IEP's. The word is "up to." It's not that's what you do. And principals look at these things, say, "We got two teachers there. I got a classroom full of kids that are unruly and I got 12 kids who have an IEP. I can put 'em all together." Now we have a large class of anywhere between 28 and 30 kids who have specific and specialized needs. No two people can do that, none. Even if they had a para for each child. This is depressing. I apologize.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 194

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Look, this is the point I was trying to make in terms of professional development, you know, which is just—I wouldn't say it's nonexistent, but it just it's not appropriate to what is going on in the classroom, especially when you're talking about 38 kids in your room and each of those kids that are in an inclusion class have very different needs, every single one of them, and then to draw up lesson plans for every single one of those kids, it's just not sufficient.

thing about the parent participation. In any of this staff development that's going on, we are not consulted. We're the committee on—we are the Citywide Council on Special Education. The Department of—what is it? DEFACE [sic] goes right ahead and decides what to do without consulting us. When we, as a CSE, made an attempt to have a high school evening where people could actually come speak to staff at high schools, have a one on one conference with parents, one on one conference with staff, it devolved into an hour and a half of 53 slides. That's what it—and that was considered

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 parent involvement and taking us into consideration. It is slightly appalling. 3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, Ellen, is that 4 different at all with the new Administration? 5 6 ELLEN MCHUGH: Pardon? 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Is that different now with the new Administration at all? 8 ELLEN MCHUGH: The new Administration is 9 10 very nice. I've known Carmen for 30 years, before we had wrinkles, before we had 20 pounds. I could get up 11 12 every day and say this is going to be the new and better Administration. At the school level, whether 13 14 you want to use the phrase "in the weeds, in the 15 woods, boots on the ground" whatever you want to talk 16 about it, we're still getting phone calls where teachers are telling parents, "I don't know what to 17 18 do. I can't work with your child." Or principals are saying, "Not in my school. I can't handle this. It's 19 too much." Or worse yet, "They bring down my 20 scores." And honest to God, if I had a child who was 21 2.2 going to be integrated into a school where two or

howling and saying, "Get my kids out of here. Get my

functioning at or above grade level, I would be

four or seven percent of the children were

23

24

```
COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS
                                                  196
kids out of here." It's--this is the ultimate
indignity, I think, especially for a kid like mine. I
mean, granted he's older, but the opportunity was to
be with age appropriate peers learning, age
appropriate language, have an age appropriate social
interaction, and age appropriate curriculum. Two
percent of his age appropriate group would be at that
level. We all hunt, hunt and peck. Fetch and moan.
I don't know where to go. If this new Administration,
if that's the question without being publicly
obnoxious, how could you say it's changed? In
January we were fetching about the fact that the
Mayor wouldn't let anybody give us anything, and now
we're back and it's October and we're fetching that
we can't get information, we can't get our kids
educated, and the result is that we're all frustrated
in here well after five o'clock. I'm sorry.
           CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.
           MICHELLE NORRIS: It's okay. It's
alright. It's good.
           CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Do you want to start
your testimony?
           MICHELLE NORRIS: Do you want to say
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

more?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 197

2 ELLEN MCHUGH: No, I'm boring after a while.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHELLE NORRIS: Okay. So, I'm Michelle Norris, and I'm sorry for coughing all afternoon. have laryngitis. I'm going to try really hard. represent the Citywide Council on Special Education. I have three children, one of whom has an IEP, but the concerns I bring to you today are called from the responses that we get from parents. So we have intakes. We have parents who call our office. have parents who email us. We have parents who come to our meeting, and I write down everything they say, or I take from them, and once a year we provide an annual report. So, we're working on our annual reports. It's not done yet, but these are some of our top issues, and I thought I would just sort of lay them out there. So, the first one is parental involvement in the IEP process. Given that you're already there, you're at the meeting, are you being listened to? Parents are concerned they're not, and further, that the IEP has changed without them. IEP meeting is called. They only give you five days' notice. They change the IEP. We no longer are required to accept the IEP in writing, and it

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS sometimes takes months before it comes to you in the mail. Common Core instruction: So the Common Core is new, relatively in terms of curriculum material. It has not been well adapted to students with special needs, and I don't just mean adapted as in sort of modified, but just made it with bigger text. Digitized so that they have access to it, masking-getting an audio version of it. Accountability: Special Ed Reform has produced no reporting. We see the reporting on graduation rates, etcetera. of the things you have from me is questions that we as the council are recommending be added to the New York City School Survey for this year. While it is not going to give you a numbers sort of driven approach, it will give you the parent's view of whether they feel like the reform is actually taking effect, and it'll give you a baseline. Accessibility of other instructional materials: You have all these "I" things, I-zone, I-learn, etcetera, but they aren't actually accessible for all the students with disabilities. They're very sort of general ed focus. So a child comes into an inclusion setting and can't access those extra things you're supposed to do at home. The middle school applications: Children with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 199 IEP's are still in a mass. Whereas high school is being given quotas. Middle schools don't have them. Transportation, we talk about forever, but very specifically, we need more training for matrons and drivers, and there is a problem where students who are not a full 12 month student are assigned a center based program for their related services, and they have no way to get there. And so parents are handed the job of transportation when really it's an education job. You've heard about Related Services. SESIS we get asked about all the time. Kindergarten Connect: All of the admissions programs are harder for parents who have children with disabilities, because we basically have three processes. Everyone else has one that's really hard, but we have the admissions process, which is not choice. We have the IEP process, which is not coordinated with the admissions deadlines in any way, so we're sent off to look for a school before we know what our placement is going to be, and then we have these specialized programs process, which is mostly for children with autism, but there are other specialized programs, and if you would like your child considered for that, you have to go through a whole other evaluation process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 200 So the parents have the hardest time have to do the most work. IEP's have to be translated into native language. Twice exceptional children have to get services. Just because you're gifted doesn't mean you don't need speech, and you have to get it. not oaky that they won't. We need more training for staff, particularly for general education staff and behavior interventions. So as we move children who need behavior intervention and have it be a behavior intervention plan into a general ed environment, we need to train our staff on what to do, can't just send them away. We need paraprofessional treatment, training. The process of keeping your child in community school when it comes to summer school is a problem. So a child was a 12 month program where they do attend a community school, then there's no school there for them. So they're a fa--they're sort of tossed off to another school for six weeks. There's no really good carry over. It ends up being lost time, and often they're not even put in the right placement because they'll be told--we have parents who say, "They put my kid in D75. They're in a community school." But they're told, "That's all we have." Assistive Technology: They have hardware.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 201 They have software. They don't have content. like when the internet started and we had all this stuff streaming, but nothing in a stream. So, they need to have people who are creating content that matches to the core curriculum, to whatever curriculum you're going to do, because what they do is they hand you a computer and they walk away, and there's no training, but there's also no content on it, and it's a very time consuming process. Inclusion: D75 students were included in community schools for most of their classes, sometimes all their classes, often aren't included in the other program. We have placement concerns. What do I do when the placement's wrong? I think you heard about that. And lastly, one of my favorites is SAT prep, which people don't normally think of for children with disabilities, but the SAT prep programs that are out there are geared towards students from the general education environment, and they're not adapted so that they aren't accessible for our students with disabilities, and they should be. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much to everybody in the panel. I appreciate it.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 202 2 ELLEN MCHUGH: that was really the three 3 minutes? 4 MICHELLE NORRIS: I did it? 5 KAREN SPROWAL: You did it. 6 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You actually went 7 over, but we give you extra time. 8 MICHELLE NORRIS: Sorry. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. 9 10 I really appreciate it. Thanks everyone. Adler, Orthodox Union, I believe. Erik Joerss from 11 12 the New York City Charter School Center, Megan Davis-Hitchens, New York City Special Education 13 14 Collaborative, Dixon Deutsch from the New York City 15 Special Ed Collaborative, Elizabeth Springer from 16 the Hyde Leadership Charter School, and Vashti Acosta 17 from Amber Charter School. Okay, if you would all raise your right hand, please, to swear you in? Do 18 you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the 19 20 whole truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? Okay, thank you. 21 2.2 Would you like to start? 23 JACOB ADLER: I will keep it as quick as 24 possible, hopefully under the three minutes. Thank

you. It's good evening now. Chair Dromm and Chair

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 203 2 Deutsch, thank you for hosting this important hearing. I'm going to skip down to the next 3 paragraph. I represent the Orthodox Union. I do 4 their New York City political work. I used to work 5 for the City Council. I used to--I'm used to these 6 7 marathon hearings. In brief, we support Intro 435. We think it's a necessary first step towards ensuring 8 that the Mayor's promised reforms are actually 9 enacted. Our constituency's over 10,000 Jewish day 10 school students in New York City and across the 11 12 state. I would recommend the following additions or emendations to the existing bill, and then I will let 13 14 my colleagues next to me take over. I would suggest 15 biannual reporting to the Council as a whole, not 16 just once a year, a quarterly reporting to local Council Members about all SESIS data in their council 17 18 district, uniform access to special education to SESIS for non-public schools as well as public 19 20 schools, and lastly uniform standards for inputting SESIS data. I was speaking to my wife last night. 21 2.2 She's a speech therapist in Queens, and she said that 23 her old school in Tribeca had a different standard for what data went in and what data didn't go in than 24 her new school in Queens. I think that's something

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 204 2 that has to be addressed if we're talking about council oversight. All four points are essentially 3 just geared towards giving the council more 4 information. Parents come to the Council Members 5 6 when they can't get anywhere else and the DOE isn't 7 helping them, and Council Members shouldn't have to file FOIL requests to get basic information about 8 SESIS. That should be available to them upon 9 request, and it should be given to them by statue 10 quarterly. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Mr. 13 Joerss? 14 ERIK JOERSS: Good evening--15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Just--16 that mic, yeah. 17 ERIK JOERSS: Chair Deutsch, my name is 18 Erik Joerss. I am the Deputy for Government Affairs at the New York City Charter School Center. Thank 19 20 you for the opportunity today. One of our panelists, Vashti Acosta from Amber Charter School, regretfully 21 2.2 couldn't stay for the duration. She submitted written 23 testimony. In the interest of protecting everybody's time and the fact that $I^{\prime}m$ not an educator and that 24

my panel-mates will have much more interesting things

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 205 2 to say than I will, I'll skip the testimony other than to say we support the introduction with some 3 4 technical fixes that are in the written testimony, 5 and I will turn it over now to my colleague, Dixon 6 Deutsch. 7 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Erik, do you have written testimony that you submitted? 8 ERIK JOERSS: Yes, it's in there. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, I got it, yep. Wait a minute. Okay, I'm sorry. Okay, sorry, you can 11 12 go ahead. DIXON DEUTSCH: Good evening Chairperson 13 14 Dromm, Chairperson Deutsch and members of the New 15 York City Council Committee on Education. My name is 16 Dixon Deutsch, and I'm the Vice President for--17 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: [interposing] No 18 relation. No relation to me. DIXON DEUTSCH: No relation, no relation. 19 20 I'm the Vice President for the Special Education Collaborative and ELL [sic] Support Teams, both 21 2.2 program initiatives of the New York City Charter 23 School Center. Thank you for the opportunity to present testimony today. Having taught both the New 24

York City District and Charter schools, I've seen the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 206 challenges and successes of working with schools and their support of students with disabilities. In an effort to address some of these challenges, I came on board the Charter Center in 2011 to build an initiative to support the City's charter schools with their special education programs. This initiative, the New York City Special Education Collaborative is an organization whose sole mission is to ensure New York City schools are empowered to build world class special education models. Four years later, this initiative is a success. One of our original outcomes was around special education enrollment. Our enrollment numbers over the last four years has increased by about four percent. So we're right under the city percent average for kids with disabilities in school programs. We currently serve over 165 New York City charter schools. We conduct over 200 school visits a year, focusing on program supports for principals and special education leaders. We hold over 125 trainings a year and provide the tools, resources and technical assistance necessary to ensure best practice and allow schools to build and maintain the capacity to service a diverse student population. I'm going to go ahead

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 207 and turn it over to my colleagues who are actually coming from school sites. So first we're going to start with Ife Lenard, who is the principal, and her colleague Casey Vier, who's Academic Dean at Children's Aid College Prep in CSD12. We're then going to turn it over to Liz Springer who is the Director of Special Education at Hyde Leadership Charter School of the Bronx in CSD8, and then finally close out with Megan Davis-Hitchens of the New York City Special Education Collaborative. She is the program manager who actually supports the schools on the ground. At the conclusion of their testimony, I'd be happy to answer any of your questions. you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CASEY VIER: Good evening, Chair Dromm,
Chair Deutsch and members of the New York City
Council Committees. We appreciate the opportunity to
share the philosophy, systems and successes of our
program at Children's Aid College Prep Charter
School. We are a community school located in the
South Bronx. We have a partnership with the
Children's Aid Society. Currently, we serve 288
children in grades kindergarten through third. About
18 percent of our children have been identified with

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 208 disabilities in the mild to severe spectrum, including children with emotional disturbance, specific learning disabilities, speech and language impairments, autism, and other health impairments. Our goal is to reach families and children who need us the most. Children's Aid College Prep offers weighted lottery preferences to children who are from single parent households, households living below the New York self-sufficiency standard, children who did not attend full day kindergarten, English language learners, and those who have been in the child welfare system. We prepare our children for success in middle school, high school, college, and life by providing them with a rigorous instructional experience and in addressing their physical, emotional and social needs. Our philosophy is that children who learn together learn from one another. We believe that a diverse inclusive school community promotes socialization, empathy and acceptance. Programming and support services aren't contingent upon an IEP alone. We assess all of our children to ensure we are meeting their needs through our mission and vision. All of our classes have co-teaching and integrated Related Services. We have a life coaching

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 209 team comprised of social workers who function both as 2 clinicians and also teach life skills classes. 3 4 also have a paraprofessional team, and that again is not contingent on an IEP that is employed by the 5 school who are trained in the core child therapy, 6 7 child play therapy skills. IFE LENARD: Good afternoon. I'm 8 Principal of Children's Aid College Prep. We are 9 proud of our weighted lottery because it allows us to 10 receive and keep the children of the neighborhood, 11 12 especially those with the special needs. Yes. Ife 13 Lenard, Principal of Children's Aid College Prep. 14 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I heard you say 15 principal, but I didn't hear your name. 16 IFE LENARD: Yes, Ife Lenard. I'll start 17 again. 18 CASEY VIER: And my name is Casey Vier, Academic Dean. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Very good, thank you. IFE LENARD: We are proud of our weighted 21 2.2 lottery because it allows us to receive and keep the 23 children of our neighborhood, especially those with special needs to help us be a more inclusive school. 24

Our teachers receive ongoing professional development

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 210 and in-class support in implementation of instructional strategies that promote academic rigor, coupled with purposeful scaffolds so that all children have the opportunity to develop their critical thinking skills. It is our belief that our special education children and general education children receive the same instruction. Everyone gets the same classes. We maintain 24 size for every single class. Additional academic and social/emotional interventions are provided as a supplement, not as a replacement. Our philosophy and systems have helped us to meet our mission so that the most vulnerable children experience success. have one case example where we have a student in the second grade from a single family household who is living below the New York self-sufficiency standard. He has significant cognitive delays and chronic diabetes, which greatly impact his social/emotional development and academic progress. After one year with us, he was offered special -- a private school placement due to the severity of his disability and his parents turned down this offer so that he could remain at our school and be with his typical peers. He has grown three reading levels since August, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

211
he is beginning to express himself and socialize with
his peers as a second grader, and he will remain with
our school. It has helped, going through these cases
has definitely helped us to be the sort of inclusive
model that everyone strives for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELIZABETH SPRINGER: Hi, my name is Elizabeth Springer, and I'm the Special Ed Director at Hyde Leadership Charter School. I want to thank you for the time to listen to us this evening. me tell you a little bit about our school. Hyde Leadership Charter School is a kindergarten to 12th grade charter school in Hunts Point in the Bronx. serve just under 1,000 students and we're divided into three developmental levels, K through five, six through eight and high school between two campuses within a few blocks of each other. Our mission is to develop the deeper character and unique potential of all of our students through family based character education. And so you're asking--you're probably asking why would a parent want their child at our school? It's because we built a vision for inclusion and we're currently developing our practices to move towards the most inclusive practices possible. build all of our student's self-awareness through

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 212 practices that require constant self-growth process from an action reflection cycle. We work closely with our families, and we believe the home is the primary classroom. Sixteen and a half percent of our students have IEP's and receive special education services and eight percent of our students are English language learners, and we've had two graduating classes so far. A hundred percent of our students with IEP's have graduated. More specifically, why would a parent of a child who has a disability want to be at Hyde? Our special education program includes a variety of services and programs that range from Related Services to special education teacher support services to collaborative teaching. We have a staff of 16 special education teachers, and we continue to grow. Our professional development program for our staff is primarily job embedded. So we do a combination of frequent observation and feedback and then professional learning communities. We also professional develop teachers on a variety of evidence based programs and approaches based on the individual needs of our students with disabilities. So some examples of those are teach, which is an approach to instructing students with autism, Orton-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 213 Gillingham, which has been mentioned multiple times during this hearing, Stern Math. We also provide coaching on the collection of functional behavior assessment data around behavior. I think it's important to also hear stories about some of our students, not just our programs. So I just wanted to talk to you about two students. One, a second grade student who has been with us for a few years. autism and he's currently in the second grade. The Committee on Special Education recommended a District 75 placement for him, and we pushed to keep him at our school. We trained two general education teachers, general education teachers, in teach and during his first grade year, and we provided coaching on the approach through observation and feedback, and he went from having temper tantrum three to four times a day to being able to advocate for himself to take a break. He's currently reading at grade level. He's only receiving Related Services, speech and occupational therapy, and we are on target to decertify him from all services in two years. If you move forward to our middle school, we've got an eighth grade student who's been with us since the sixth grade. He has a speech and language

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 214 impairment. When he came to us in the sixth grade, his mom had been so fed up with years of lack of progress that she had terminated his services in his other school. He was in a small self-contained class, and for his entire elementary career he was reading at a kindergarten level when he came to us. We spent the first few months of his time in sixth grade working with him around escape maintain behaviors, meaning he had outbursts and left the classroom without permission. We struggled to get him to do work that was even on his level, and did through collaboration with his family, through work with Orton-Gillingham, Read 180 and the Strategic Instruction Model for Writing, we have been able to really move him forward and he went from reading on the first percentile to the 22nd percentile. So, if you see overall our combination of the work we do with our families, the programs that we do with our students and our professional development really helps our students. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MEGAN DAVIS-HITCHENS: Thanks, Liz. Good evening, Chairperson Dromm, Chairperson Deutsch and members of the New York City Council Education

Committee. My name is Megan Davis-Hitchens, I'm the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 215 Program Manager for the Special Education Collaborative, which is a school supports program of the New York City Charter School Center. Thank you for the opportunity to present testimony today. be paraphrasing my written statement. My experiences working in both district elementary and charter elementary schools heavily shaped the work that I do now in supporting special educators and the work that they do in their schools. Since joining the special education collaborative in January I've conducted over 65 school visits, spending more than 200 hours in schools with special education teachers, general education teachers and their school leaders. all schools need is an overarching--sorry. What all schools need is a better overarching special education system with clearly communicated processes, shared systems for data collection and accountability measures for timelines and quality of education. I've identified six areas that schools should continue to focus on and prioritize and receive support in. first being that they should continue to enhance RTI, sorry, Response to Intervention and pre-referral systems and engage families every step of the way during that process. Schools should continue

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 216 prioritizing teacher collaboration and developing stronger systems for observation and coaching of teachers. Schools should continue creating work flows that emphasize collaboration with IEP writing, lesson planning, unit planning, differentiating instruction, and analyzing behavior. Schools should continue demanding high quality related service providers for their students and working towards more trans-disciplinary collaboration within the classroom. School should continue to focus on building their capacity, through research, professional development, peer modeling, intervisitations and professional learning communities in order to meet the needs of all students and focus on ways to develop programs that support a range of needs. And last, schools should continue to dedicate time to tracking and analyzing special education data and collaborating with families and their committees on special education to ensure the highest quality special education process from initial referral to declassification and everything in between. The goals should be developing dynamic programs that produce academic and social/emotional achievement in all students. Thank you for providing me with this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

217

opportunity to speak today. I feel privileged to be

here with all the fellow educators and advocates in

the room. Thanks.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just wanted to be sure we got everybody on that panel. That was a big panel, and thank you all for coming in. I'm just curious to see what your reaction is to. It seems to me if you're having the success that you're reporting, that key to part of your success is having lower class sizes and two teachers in the classroom, something that doesn't happen in the public school system. Am I right about that?

IFE LENARD: It's a part of the formula, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah, so if you're talking, you know, like me, I had 38 kids in my class. I had 50 percent more number of kids in my classroom, it's much harder for me as a public school teacher to work with that group of kids, and I was alone in the classroom, and if I had two teachers in the classroom. So, that seems to be part of the key to your success. Right? In the Children Aid College Prep Charter School, you had mentioned, Madam

2.2

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

218

Principal, that you don't have any specialized

instruction for the students?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CASEY VIER: I think just one of our core beliefs is that when working with the teachers on these instructional strategies, because that is one of the pieces for all children right now and where we're going, to make sure that children have an opportunity to develop their critical thinking skills, and I think that's something that we often lose sight of because we're so focused on getting kids up to par, and so a lot of our professional development is in still allowing children to experience that rigorous academic experience, but training teachers on being really thoughtful about what that looks like. So whether it is particular scaffold or embedded accommodations, we still want our children to access that. We also have a response to intervention, which is a separate time where we use research-based interventions. So we also use Wilson Fundations, Marilyn Burns [sic] Do the Math. So we do all of that as well, but we don't compromise the critical thinking and the rigor, because children struggle. We're really strategic about when we're providing that remedial support, and when they're

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 219 2 experiencing what their peers are, but making sure that it's accessible to them. 3 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So with the--thank 4 5 you for that clarification. I appreciate it. It seems that you do differentiate the instruction--6 7 CASEY VIER: [interposing] Oh, 8 absolutely. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: to an extent, but not 9 10 the goal for the students. CASEY VIER: We don't take it away or we 11 12 don't water down or deviate it, as this is the goal and how the children get there might look a little 13 14 different, but they're going to get there. 15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So have--16 CASEY VIER: [interposing] And they're going to experience. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Have your schools been around long enough to look at the graduation 19 20 rates? What grade does your school go up to? IFE LENARD: No, third. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Third, so it's still 23 early on to see the real results of your efforts in that sense. Because we're comparing today here 24

graduation rates. We're talking about, you know, the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 220

practices, the provision of services. So it's a much

smaller example of what's work--

2.2

national testing. So we have been able to actually two years in a row, the special education population that we have actually outperform our general education children in kindergarten, first, second grade in the first three years of our school, and that has helped us to be able to understand that the strategies, the accommodations, all of the modifications that we've done is actually working. So we've been able to compare scores nationally before we move into this New York State testing.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But what about in New York State, because--

IFE LENARD: [interposing] Not--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: what test are you comparing it to in New York State?

IFE LENARD: Not yet. This will be our first year with regards to that. We've taken some preliminary stuff and we're doing very well. I think what also helps is that we removed the stigma of being in special education. I mean, I think having that sort of parent engagement and not parent

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 involvement. I mean, we have brought our parents in to actually assess goals and to create class-wide 3 goals, which is very different. There's a real sort 4 5 of conversation that parents understand that we're in a professional relationship for the next nine years. 6 7 So that's been a little different also. 8 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are you parents required to come in as part of remaining in the 9 charter school? 10 IFE LENARD: No, we let--required, you 11 can't mandate a parent to come in. We--12 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Well, 13 14 some do. Some do mandate--15 IFE LENARD: Oh, no, you--16 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: parental involvement 17 or they get thrown out. 18 IFE LENARD: It's a part of the norm. It's a part of the school culture. It's just how we 19 20 sort of orient the parents when they first come in. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Because I think, you 21 2.2 know, there are many instances where it is difficult to get parental involvement, whether it be because of 23

the culture of the school, or whether it be--

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 222 2 IFE LENARD: [interposing] I mean, the culture of the school, that also helps. They know--3 we really are pretty up front up, you know, when they 4 5 first come in. I think that life coaching, having 6 life coach as a part of the team. They are clinical 7 social workers. That's a huge part of being able to 8 stop the silos that teachers and clinicians work, you know, in separate domains. 9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I think any time you get a parent involved in a child--11 12 IFE LENARD: [interposing] It's huge. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It's huge. 13 14 IFE LENARD: It's a huge plus. 15 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: It's really important 16 to the process. What about sharing best practices? Do you work with local public schools for--17 18 IFE LENARD: [interposing] Yes, yes. We're a community school. We're a charter school. 19 20 We're a college preparatory school. There are best practices. There are two other--we're a public 21 2.2 school as a charter school, but there are two other 23 DOE public schools.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: In the same building?

25

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 223

IFE LENARD: In the same building and we have been always upfront about sharing practices and benchmarks. And they have best practices that they have established. They've been in the building 14 years, and all of the children are either related, they all come from the same community. So, we have to be the--we want to be the oddity to say that we're up for it. So we come to the table, and we've done very well. The three schools in the building, this community knows that we're like that.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, you'd say you're a functional building in terms of the relationship that you have--

IFE LENARD: [interposing] Oh, yes.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: with the other public

IFE LENARD: You have to be because we are a community school. Yeah, we don't participate--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] And how do you do the sharing, how does that happen?

IFE LENARD: You talk. You normalize things.

schools.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 224

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But do you have like professional development days with other, with the other schools in your building?

principal for one of the other buildings. We have started that, talks about what we're going to do. We're going to align next week. We have a professional development day on election day when teachers come to school and children are off. So--

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] So that means the teachers from the other two schools--

IFE LENARD: [interposing] Oh, yes, yes.

IFE LENARD: We have -- so there's a new

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: even come to your professional development as well?

IFE LENARD: Yes.

2.2

CASEY VIER: And a lot of it, too,
because we've established and it's, you know, it
takes work and time, but even like the informal where
teachers who might have a classroom next door to one
another to go over and say, you know, I'm teaching x,
and can we talk to you about this. And also, even
with some of their after school programming, because
with Children's Aid Society, we also share some of
those services. So in working out the programming

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 225 2 for afterschool, for the summer, and also learning from them and what they are doing, because it effects 3 all of our children. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Now, I ask only because there are some charter schools that do not 6 7 allow interaction with the other existing schools in 8 the building. IFE LENARD: No, like we are not adult 9 10 centered. We really are children centered. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good. And, I 11 12 guess that's probably about it for right now. 13 IFE LENARD: I appreciate it. 14 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: I mean, I have other 15 questions, but--16 IFE LENARD: I thank you for the 17 questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: interesting models, and I thank you for coming in and sharing stories as 19 20 well. IFE LENARD: Thank you. Thank you so 21 2.2 much. 23 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Okay, our 24 next panel, Ruth Aberman from Sterling School, Mike Godino, I believe, or Grodino [sic], New York City 25

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 226 2 Youth in Vision Loss Coalition, Megan Boyle [sp?], Special Education Teacher in high school, Susan 3 Crawford, the Right to Read Project, and Susan Crosen 4 [sp?], herself on behalf of herself and special ed 5 students. Okay, if you just raise your right hand, 6 7 I'm going to swear you in. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing 8 but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions 9 honestly? 10 UNIDENTIFIED: I do. 11 12 UNIDENTIFIED: Absolutely. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, good. Let's 13 14 start on my right, I guess on your left over here. 15 SUSAN CRAWFORD: Thank you, Chair Dromm 16 and I'm sorry, I can't say your name. 17 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Council Member 18 Deutsch. Thank you. My name is 19 SUSAN CRAWFORD: 20 Susan Crawford. I'm Director of the Right to Read Project, which I founded out of my own experience of 21 2.2 having two dyslexic children. And I just first want 23 to say that it is an extraordinary leap forward that you heard the word dyslexia coming from a DOE panel 24

at all, because you would never hear that in the

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 227 2 previous Administration. I submitted an article to you that I wrote as pre-testimony, so I hope the 3 4 panels, the committees have--5 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I just want to interrupt you. Why is that you wouldn't have 6 7 heard that word dyslexia? SUSAN CRAWFORD: It's as if it didn't 8 exist, as if it was some kind of--9 10 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Intentionally? 11 12 SUSAN CRAWFORD: esoteric, strange thing that only as Sally Shay [sp?] who's an expert on 13 14 dyslexia said, it's as if it only occurred on the 15 Upper West Side or something from parents who, you 16 know, were coming in looking for some kind of 17 diagnosis, as opposed to it being a real diagnosis, 18 for which there was real remedial help, about which you've heard much of the help today, and if it were 19 20 just implemented quickly, we would have very different structure in this city of accomplishment 21 2.2 among the students because it effects dyslexia, 23 affects 20 percent of the population, the general population throughout the world. It's not just--but 24

it especially effects English speaking people,

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 228 because of the-- it's complicated. I don't want to get too deep in the weeds, but it is a reason that it effects in Australia, England, the UK, Canada, etcetera, and US more than say in Asian countries. But it's not to say Asian students don't get it, it just manifests differently, or Italian students because they have so few letter combinations for the sounds, it affects even less. So, this is all to say, there are things in place which could help those 250,000 dyslexic students in the city, and on top of those are another 200,000 or so who need special decoding skills. And so four out of ten children have trouble learning to read, according the NICHD, and if they had been helped under that previous Administration all through those years, we would be in a much different place, and it wasn't from my lack of trying. I came to many, many--I testified many times before your two predecessors, one of whom is now running a chain of charter schools with a highly evolved RTI protocol, which as you mentioned, she will not share with the public education system at large. So, I just want to quickly hit some bullet points. The DOE noted there are 12,000 children with autism. I just want to underscore, over 200,000

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

children have dyslexia, and another 200,000 or so

more need special help with decoding skills. You

wondered about how could there be so many schools

with no IEP's. That's because the previous

Administration when it would open a new school said

for the first--charter or small school. For the

first two years you don't have to take any IEP's or

ELL's. And then that just kind of went on and on.

The cuts score shift in 2010 came after an extremely
in 2009 we had the miracle of all schools getting

scored with A's and B's, which also happened to be an

election year. And then the--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] I thought as well, and I meant to bring it up during the hearing. I just didn't get an opportunity to do that, but that is a really important point to be made, and thank you for reminding me of it.

SUSAN CRAWFORD: There is something to be said for institutional memory, and I will say, the lack of parent involvement is to airbrush that away too under the previous Administration. So, I'll just try to sum it up by saying if--well, actually the DOE mentioned dyslexia is a growing need, and one that we need to be getting back to. It's not a growing need.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS It's always been there, but what's really needed is to address it. And Saul Stern wrote an article a number of years ago called, "We Need a Marshall Plan for Reading." And it's not just in K through three, it's K through 12 needs all these children whose need were not addressed under the previous Administration. Still need that help. The same as in the Rikers Island high school and in the transfer schools and so on, and you've heard it from a number of panels. I just want to pick up on that very last panel. You hear highly evolved special ed protocols there. Why aren't those in the public school? Because the previous Administration poured resources into the charter school movement. That's where it's being done. I was very happy to hear them share their practices, and they should just be disseminated tomorrow to all other schools. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, and next please.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RUTH ABERMAN: Hi, I'm Ruther Aberman.

I'm the--I'm an Orton-Gillingham trained reading

specialist and I'm the founder of the Sterling

School, which I founded for my dyslexic son 15 years

ago. When I was invited to speak before this august

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 231 body, I asked myself what as a non-public school director could I add to a discussion of special education that's valuable in a broader context? so I started to think about how different is the way we approach our learning disabled and dyslexic students than common public schools practice? First, our curriculum is development is research based, and it continues to evolve as brain science updates our understanding of how we learn. It's also critical that it is reactive to individual student needs. Secondly, it's not conceptualized. How can we fit the student into a preconceived box? But how rather do we adapt concept and skill instruction to individual needs. And thirdly, we must reinforce instruction until automaticity is achieved. We must understand that where our students differ from their main stream peers is not in the areas of needing targeted instruction, high expectations, meaningful goals, but rather in their rate of acquisition and retention of reading skills. I'm going to skip the part with the numbers. You can go there yourself. But the National Reading Panel told us more than a decade ago what we needed to do. We need systematic phonics instruction, direct instruction of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 232 comprehension, and gradual improvement in fluency to lead to success. Not only should students learn to read, but read to learn. This is not new, but teachers must work in cultures that promote teaching of skills until automaticity is developed. In other words, think back to how you learned to drive a car. At the beginning you had to go through a mental checklist: step on the gas, look over your shoulder. You don't want to have to do that when you're reading. You need to have mastered the basic skills before somebody asks you to learn stunt driving techniques. Otherwise, you most likely would act out, quit, or never really develop sound driving Instead, you got targeted practice, and that's what our students need with reading. They need to free up the attention from reading at the word level, which allows us to have mental space to process language and get meaning from print. Some of you are sitting here thinking, of course she's successful, she picks and chooses her students. She's a non-public school. You're both right and wrong. We're not exclusive. We have children who receive free lunch from single parents and ethnically diverse families. In fact, most of our population

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 233 mirrors the public schools our students came from. One of the things we do is we don't wait to see if we can intervene less or wait until they fail before starting intensive instruction using research based, multisensory, Orton-Gillingham instruction to target student's needs. Too often we hear from our parents that when they went to their assigned school, they were told they didn't have the program delineated on the IEP, but they'd be glad to change the IEP so the kid could enter the school. I think that's backwards. When we're contacted by a parent whose 10 year old daughter is still in first grade, one must wonder how many times can you do the same thing over and expect a different result. Using intense remediation, we took a fourth grader who entered Sterling last December not knowing the letters of the alphabet to one who is now reading chapter books, and we can't stop there. We have to stay on the offensive. The lack of differentiation with the special education classes and programs makes it very difficult for teachers working in public school classes to increase the rates of student learning, and that's what we must do. We must not only stem the tide of loss, but increase the rate of growth. So you're asking

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS students who failed to learn to now learn faster than the pace of learning we expect of the main stream student, and I know we can do it because the 10 year study of our own student shows that it's possible. But what happens--that must happen if our students are going to compete in the adult world. If you ask a botanist about cucumbers, he'll tell you they're fruit, yet we don't make cucumber pie. You buy them in the vegetable aisle and you treat them accordingly. We must classify students by needs and then use research based methodology delivered by well trained staff early and with intensity if we want to stem the numbers of students being identified for special education and see real progress for those students already receiving services. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. Next

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

please?

MICHAEL GODINO: Thank you for hosting this hearing. My name is Mike Godino. I'm the Project Manager of the New York City Youth in Vision Loss Coalition. We were created a year ago to--by vision service providers, advocates, children with low or no vision, parents of children with vision loss and multiple disabilities. Currently, we are

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 235 over 30 organizations represented by more than 75 people working to improve quantity and quality of services being provided to children and youth with blind in New York City, or blind in New York City. One of our goals is to identify and remedy the unrepresented--under-representation of children and youth who are blind in New York City and not receiving the proper services within the schools. Although common knowledge to professionals in the field of vision loss, not the -- the majority of educator -- although common knowledge to the professionals in the field of vision loss, the majority of educators, legislators and the general population do not know that many of the children struggling with vision loss through school are unaware of their disability and never, because it's never properly identified, corrected or adjusted to. Some of these students have other disabilities and/or behavioral issues that are sometimes exacerbated by the result of their vision impairment. We must identify, document and track these students while providing them quality vision services. New York City, the New York City Vision Loss Coalition has recommended in a letter that I've incorporated into

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 236 the back of the testimony there to the special education and rehabilitation services and the Mayor and other testimonies to the Mayor, Chancellor and Community Education Council, that all students should be mandated to have comprehensive vision assessments prior to entering school. Currently, they're only receiving vision screenings, and it's not identifying all of the children with some of the visual impairments, especially some with the cognitive visual impairments which these ladies talked about earlier. Additionally, we believe that students should have the following visual evaluation every three years or as recommended by their eye care professional. These vision evaluations will identify any and all youth experiencing eye diseases and functional vision loss and ensure they receive the necessary vision services to mitigate the deficiency during the school years. We found--we further believe that any students identified as having a visual deficiency be tracked as such and in addition to any other disability identified on their IEP. this will result in the student receiving vision services throughout. The Youth and Vision Loss Coalition serving as representatives, advocates,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 237 parents, members of the blind community know all too well too many youth are being not being identified as having vision loss, and we feel that identifying these kids early on, getting them the services they need and following them, tracking them throughout their school careers will get them the services they need and we believe that it will also allow them to receive these services and hopefully achieve that ultimate goal of a academic graduation, academic diploma when they graduate. So, we believe getting them the services they need early, not just identifying them, because we know they're being missed. We know they're out there. We find them when they enter school later on, in college years. So we know they're out there, and they say, "This is the way I've always seen. I don't know any other vision. But they've cuddled me and coddled me through school, tried to meet my needs, never really teaching me that I can't see what I'm looking at." I'm legally blind. I have no direct vision. As long as both eyes are open, I can scan a room fairly well, but I can't see any of your faces. I can't identify any of the words. I mean, look at the font that I'm reading here. It's 30 point. It's very difficult to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

make sure that we get these kids early on, identify

them, track them through school. The IDEA only

identifies one disability on each IEP. We know that

there are children with developmental disabilities,

autism, mental illness, that are coming through the

program with visual impairments that are not

identified, and these children may be acting out as

such because of that visual impairment. So, please,

try to in your tracking bill 435, we want to make

sure that they're tracking visual impaired children

in addition to one of the other 13 disabilities on

the IEP. Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: You know, your testimony brings up an issue that I always had in my classroom, which was kids who needed glasses, and who came to school every day without their glasses, came to school without their glasses for the reading test, had to take their test without their glasses, and it just reminded me of another issue that's out there in terms of vision and sight as well, and that was every year I would have kids. Their parents, sometimes they were lucky enough to be able to afford them and go out and buy them a pair. Other times they bought them a pair and the kids would lose them and they

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

couldn't go out and buy another pair. So it's a big
problem in the schools. Anyway, thank you.

2.2

assessments are covered under the Affordable Care
Act, so they're part of the essential benefits
provided within the Affordable Care Act. So we can
do away with that nurse one year, every year
examination of reading the Snelling [sic] chart. We
can get real visual examinations where we dilate the
children's pupils and actually look into the eye to
see if there are any real issues in there.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: That's great. Thank you.

MICHAEL GODINO: Thank you.

MEGAN BOYLE: Thanks. I am Megan Boyle.

I am a--this is my 12th year as a teacher in the DOE.

I'm a special ed high school teacher. I had not actually intended on speaking today, but I noticed that you hadn't heard from any teachers, so I thought I should add my voice to the conversation. So, I don't have anything to hand you besides my notes if you would like them when I'm done, and these are not necessarily in priority order, but they are what came to mind. I'm really lucky. I work in a wonderful

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS school. Almost all of our students with and without IEP's go onto college. We have a lot of students with IEP's who graduate with Regents and Advanced Regents Diplomas, but we are still not satisfied with what we are doing, and there are a lot of things that are in our way. So my hope is that your legislation can be expanded to also address the root causes of the service gaps and to address the quality of service. So, the first thing on my list is space. Right now, my understanding is that the building allocation formulas don't address things like Related Services or testing accommodations. So we look at like what the program recommendation is, but you know, for instance, the other day I gave a test. I have three students in one section that are supposed to have questions read to them. I only had one room available and only one me available, so like that's an issue that comes up a lot. I heard about the ASD Nest program a lot. We are lucky enough to be an ASD Nest school this year. ASD Nest is supposed to come with reduced class sizes and with a Nest room. those are--like, those sorts of things and those are not reflected anywhere in the formula right now. But also love if the emphasis on the quality IEP could be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 241 balanced with emphasis on quality instruction. Every year our network comes in and does a PD with us where they audit one of our IEP's, and we go through, and it's helpful, and it you know, shows us what some of the gaps are in the IEP writing, but my experience as an educator is that the change for the children doesn't happen in the IEP. It happens in the classroom. So, I'd love to see more emphasis there. SESIS has to work better. I'm so sorry my colleagues at the DOE are no longer here. It's incredibly time consuming and incredibly inaccessible to families. You know, I think that only teachers are given access to it is contradictory of the message that the IEP is the shared work of the teachers, the students and the parents. If we want everyone involved, then everyone should have access. Transparency and budgeting: The first time I ever went to a PEP meeting was because I had a very vocal young man in my class who really wanted to take Spanish, and his IEP said ICT, and he had already filled his graduation requirement, so the understanding on the school level was we're actually not funded to provide you with Spanish ICT beyond your graduation requirements. So I went to the PEP meeting to address this, and they told me that wasn't

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 242 true, but no one could actually tell me how the funding formula works, so I think that's a big issue. Also, one of the great things about the phase one reform was that it allowed us to do things like say, "A student really needs ICT, but they also need SETS [sic]." But then it didn't allow us to fund that, so it caps at that 60 percent. So, big issue, back to the paperwork. Also, just that process really needs to be streamlined. I know none of you have ever sat and done encounter attendance. It's like this incredibly time consuming process for all Related Service providers and SETS teachers. And when I was doing this, I'm not doing SETS this year, but I would often tell my principal that I felt extremely conflicted between accountability and instruction and that's not something that we should be putting people in the position to feel. High school issue specifically, up until students are in high school they're allowed to progress based on modified promotion criteria. So if they're meeting the goals of their IEP and what the team has agreed to, then they move forward, and then they arrive in high school and they're no longer allowed to do that. we see kids coming into high school significantly

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 243 below grade level because they've moved forward on modified promotion criteria, and then we tell them, "Actually, that's not allowed anymore, and you have to meet all your grade level standards and you have to pass all these tests." I'm all for the high expectations, but they can't begin in high school. On a personal note, I came into teaching as a teaching fellow, and I appreciate it, but it got me in the door. But I think that we have in the city a lot of our neediest students being taught by our least experienced teachers, and I think that's really unfair. And just--I'm almost done, I think. Managing teacher case loads, the only special ed requirements with a teacher case load attached to them right now in the city are that a SETS teacher can't have more than 40 students in their case load. That's a lot, but you can have a situation where you have two ICT sections and three sections of SETS. So, you have 24 kids in your ICT classes that you're responsible for and then 24 more kids in your--it's overwhelming and you can't possibly do the job the way that you're supposed to if you're in that position. So I think a real teacher case load limit would be valuable. Also, the 40 percent ratio that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 244 we heard about a lot here, students with 504's are not included in that 40 percent ratio. So you have often times in classes, in a class of 34 you'll have 25 students with some kind of disability, maybe only 12 of them have an IEP, but there are a myriad of other issues going on in the room. So, I think including that into that number would be valuable. And I guess the last thing I will say is that I appreciated all your questions about professional development. I really do actually am in agreement that the way to do this is through capacity building in the buildings. I get concerned when I hear about online videos is the way for PD. I think we really do need to like invest the time and money into getting experts in the buildings who can work with the staff and who can do things like model lessons, because no PD that has gone to an isolation and is never treated again will every change. So, that's it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Just a couple of observations. In my school for many years, when we used to have resource room, kids would be pulled out of the classroom to get their resource room session, but it was done in the hallway at the end or in the stairwell, and that what was where the resource room

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS was, you know. So that was one thing. So we used to complain about that, and then one day the maintenance crew came up and they opened a closet door, which was a--like they used to put shovels and pitch forks and things like that in there and rakes and stuff, and I said, "Watch what they're going to do now, they're going to make this into a classroom." I said it as a joke, but sure enough that's what they did. They threw up a coat of paint and they made it the speech room, and it was--the room was so small you could hardly get, you know, one of those little round tables in there, but they managed to push it in, and like they could have speech for one or two kids in the room. That was the value they placed on that type of instruction, and unfortunately, I think it still may be going on to be honest with you. next please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

SUSAN CROSEN: Hi, my name is Susan

Crosen [sp?]. I've spent two years being President of
a district two k through eight school, secretary of

President's Council, Second VP and Secretary on

Chancellor's Parent Advisory Council, and I've sat
for another two years as an alum at CPAC. More

importantly, I'm the mom of two high schoolers, one

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS with an IEP and enjoying the fruits of a public high school, Franklin Court, in its fifth year. My other son has been the victim of special education reform and is currently in a residential school in Massachusetts. Not only does my child need to go to school away from his family and friends, but we spent our life savings to find him that classroom setting. That whole process just--I've written to you about it. It's got to change. However, the process wouldn't need to be necessary if the Department of Education provided seats to children with learning disabilities, kids that are simply challenged by the fact that they can't learn in a class of 32 children. Quite frankly, I'm not sure who can really learn and get the attention that they need with 32 kids in a When my son was tested, it showed that he was a bit behind. He was diagnosed with ADHD impulsive type and really needed a small classroom. fourth grade he was put in a 12 to one classroom. I'm not sure why DOE thinks that all kids need a 12 to one or 12 to one to one are aggressive and get off throwing things in a classroom. Maybe if they actually took the time to place kids with like minded issues, then there could be some learning going on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 247 Without boring you all to death, I'll skip the two years my son had to endure chair throwers and spending most of the time waiting to be taught until there was some sort of order in the classroom to the seventh grade disaster, seventh grade testing year. Seventh grade, no test, no homework, basically no learning. Ben's learning took the form of him reading his paper that he brought in every morning watching the news in the evening and whatever workbooks I provided for him. Once again, no support for the teacher with an out of control class and no support for the kids either, no learning. Then special ed reform rolls out and they shut down the 12 to one class without discussion with the school leadership team, by the way. No learning in seventh grade, and these kids that haven't had a functioning classroom in three years were faced with an eighth grade ICT class and the challenge of these kids finding high schools that would accept them. attempted to reconvene IEP's to reclassify these kids for ICT placement. My son had just had his triennial three weeks earlier, and I told them no way. Sure enough, the general ed kids spent most of their time in eighth grade trying to catch the new kids up.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 248 was a mess. Meanwhile, we had to find Ben a classroom. DOE was non respondent. This summer, yes, two years after they shut down the 12 to one, did we get a response and an IEP meeting was convened. How can we expect our kids with special needs to be successful when there is clearly no place for them in the system that DOE has created? What about the student whose parent doesn't have the money to front a school until the DOE gets around to dealing with their case? A high schooler that is now my son's roommate who's from Chelsea spent a year and a half waiting for funding for an appropriate placement. One of his biggest issues is the capacity to socialize. They spent a year and a half in his house. Funding came in, and the boy's finally in a school and now has to catch up socially and academically, and next year they'll have to do the same thing all over again. No doubt he'll be sitting at school waiting until the funding arrives. again, a huge potential of these kids being pulled out of their schools if the parents don't have the funding to cover tuition until the DOE decides whether or not to reimburse the families. These children are our future. They have special learning

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 249
challenges. Why are they treated like a disease?
Maybe the DOE should put more money into jobs that
can keep up with evaluating and monitoring these
children rather than with the armies of lawyers they
have on staff to fight against reimbursing parents
for placement. How about hiring more special ed
teachers to support these special learners. The
process that parents have to go through for placing
kids in private or residential schools because there
aren't appropriate models available in community
schools is criminal. Our public schools are failing
our special needs children. They system has created
a generation of lost learners. Our public schools
have closed the classes that could help our
challenged learners, and have made parents do all the
work for them. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Can you just quickly
tell me why they closed the 12 to one?
SUSAN CROSEN: Special ed reform.
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: But they still have 12
to one classes, don't they, in the system?
SUSAN CROSEN: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON DROMM: We still have 12 to

one classes in the system?

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 250

SUSAN CROSEN: This was a community school, so I don't-- they just--the principal unilaterally just shut it down and they didn't provide my son with a class.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you. I thank you to the panel. I really appreciate you waiting out this long. Yes?

UNIDENTIFIED: Can I just add one other point I had wanted to make especially since Assemblyman Weprin was here, which is that you've heard the terms RTI and AIS a number of times, and there is no funding attached, like dedicated funding streams that parents can rely on will go their students for help. They shut them up. Funding show up at the schools and you heard that principals will then decide how to use it, and this needs to end. Special ed is underfunded. The tier three special interventions for dyslexics can only be accessed through special ed. It's just a mixed ball that needs to be unraveled, and the funding needs to be dedicated and used properly, and it needs to be worked out between the city and the state. And I know you don't have oversight about what the DOE, but

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 251

you do have oversight of the budget. So, I pass that

on.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RUTH ABERMAN: I would love to add one comment. Mom [sic] was talking about how long it is that parents wait for a funding decision. I'm a nonpublic school who takes many, many Connor's [sic] kids, parents who can't afford to put the money up up front and we're still waiting for reimbursement on settlements for two years ago. So, we're a really small school. It's really hard for us to front it and keep fronting it if--like, for me, last year, even though I spent 90 percent of my time in hearing, at least I knew I'd get paid. Now, if everything goes to settlement, I don't know if I'll ever see the money, 'cause I don't know whether--when it will ever get through legal services department. So on both sides of that funding stream, it's a huge issue for us.

SUSAN CROSEN: And it puts pressure on our kids at the schools. 11,000 dollars a month.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Yeah.

SUSAN CROSEN: 11,000 dollars a month that I'm asked to pay. Both my parents died, and it's the only way that I've gotten this far.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 252 2 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Amazing. 3 SUSAN CROSEN: And my kid will be thrown out, and you know, they don't move it. 4 5 UNIDENTIFIED: And I'm the other side, I 6 can't meet salary if I don't get the money that's 7 been, you know, promised to us. 8 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you very much. I really appreciate it. Thank you everyone. Okay. 9 Now, for our last panel, Elizabeth Prillo, Stephanie 10 Jackson--is Stephanie here? Okay. Jordan Meddleson 11 12 [sp?], no? Anthony Tack [sp?]? Monica Pentallo [sp?] Aquio [sp?]? She's here. Brenda Brazelle? 13 14 She here? She had to leave, okay. And Paul Levy? 15 Nope? Okay, good. Okay. Thank you all for staying 16 to the very end. I really appreciate it, and we want to hear what you have to say. So, let me swear you 17 18 in. If you just raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole 19 20 truth and nothing but the truth, and to answer Council Member questions honestly? 21 2.2 UNIDENTIFIED: I do. 23 UNIDENTIFIED: I do. 24 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Okay, thank you very

Would you like to begin over here?

25

much.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 253

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEPHANIE JACKSON: Hi, my name is Stephanie Jackson. I'm a school aid in District 28. I'm also a parent in District 28. The reason that brought me here today is because I work one on one with a student named Kyina Atoya [sp?]. started working with her like October the sixth, and when I was put into her classroom, nobody explained to me her needs. I was told to sign the fact that I read her IEP, and the teacher really didn't want me to see it. So I finally asked and I glimpsed through As the days were going on Kyina became very aggressive, wouldn't want to take directions from me. Should would hurt herself. She would pull her hair. She would eat pencils and things of that nature. There was only one staff member on the IEP team named Dawn Kelly, the speech teacher. She would try to give me strategies to help with Kyina. I spent my lunch with her, but as far as the physical therapist, occupational therapies on down, no one would help me. They told me their hands were tied. They told me that I would have to speak to the principal. yesterday, I came in early and I asked to speak with the principal, and she wasn't very inviting, so I clocked out and I waited for her, and she basically

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 254 blew me out the water for the fact that I give away my power by going to speak to other adults, but they're more educated than me and they understand the diagnosis. So, I'm just seeking knowledge. So we went back and forth and it brought me to tears because all I want to do is just help. That was the purpose of me taking the job. So I told her, you know, I would take the rest of the day because I wasn't on the clock. So then she called me at three o'clock yesterday afternoon and told me that I abandoned the student and she doesn't feel comfortable with me in the building. So I went to the superintendent's office this morning and I explained to them what happened. So they told me to return back to work tomorrow because she never told me to come. But just coming here today, I just learned like so much as far as like being a parent. I have my own child in public school, and she's struggling. She doesn't have an IEP, but my baby is struggling, and when I was in school I had resource room. My teacher, her name was Ms. Toby, and she would come get me and she would help me. And I'm on the SLT Committee at my school, and I asked them because I can't afford, you know, tutoring for my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS kids. I worked to bus my daughter out to district 25, but I can't afford the bus, but the school is PS354 in Queens is not getting the kids the support and the reinforcement that they need. They take the paraprofessionals out of the classroom and make them do lunch duty. I've been asking about a resource room and her teacher finally told me they don't have any IAF [sic] in the building. So, they have something on Thursdays and Fridays. And one of my good friends is a third grade teacher, she said that's before the IEP. So you kind of feel like I have to--my daughter needs an IEP to get help. She's a level R in reading, but she's a level two, and the way they grade it, they're grading it like maybe on a science project. So when she gets the progress report it's average. Don't tell me that my child is average, because she's over certain kids. And the principal told me in the SLT meeting that from grade three to five, only 12 kids are on grade level, and that's what made me decide that I don't want my child--it shouldn't be like that. I don't want my child to go to school in my own community. I shouldn't have to bus my child out. So it was just my concerns of my student and just my emotions, I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 256 2 want the best for her. Like, she's isolated in the side the classroom. The kids don't want to be around 3 her. The teacher tell them to sit in a semi circle. 4 5 She has to sit to the side. So why they have me doing sort of lunch duty because I want my student, 6 7 they're throwing hand sanitizer on her. And then when you ask for support, they shut you down. 8 basically inside my school, it's better not to care. 9 10 Just come to work, get paid, shut up, and then you can work, but soon as you actually care about a 11 12 child, and you know, you go a little over and beyond, it's not appreciated. Thank you. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Are you a paraprofessional or an aid? 15 16 STEPHANIE JACKSON: Ι'm a 17 paraprofessional now. I've been working for the DOE 18 for 13 years. I was a school aid for 13 years, and Mr. Green at PS 354, he nominated because he saw 19 20 something in me. Mr. Green passed away last December, so we have a new principal now. Her name is 21 2.2 Raven Asscu [sp?]. She just came in. I have letters 23 where she was supposed to staff me as a permanent paraprofessional, but the--but everything closed. 24 So

I was supposed to be staffed between 2014 and 2015

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE

ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS

257

school year. I have emails from Angela Brown, but

since I was trying to get help for this student, she

told me she doesn't feel comfortable with me in her

building.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So, just let me give you a little advice if I may. Number one, I was a Chapter Leader, so my advice to my members in the union was always don't sign anything that you haven't seen or that they throw in your face and tell you to sign it.

anything to sign. She called me over the phone and just said, "You know, I just don't feel comfortable with you in the building." So when I went to the superintendent's office today they told me don't make her job easy for her, go back, because that's the only school where I've been and that's where I've been nominated.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: And now, in regard to your own child, right?

22 | STEPHANIE JACKSON: Parish Johnson.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Right. So did you say you wanted a special ed referral for your child?

STEPHANIE JACKSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Next please?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

My name is Paul Levy. PAUL LEVY: here as a parent. Our daughter is 12 years old. She's diagnosed on the autism spectrum 10 years ago. She's been in both the public schools and in recently the last year and a half in one on one schools, and actually I want to talk about the exact same thing that Stephanie, that's your name, that Stephanie was just talking about in regard to what I wrote in my notes here listening to the hearing, which has been very beneficial to my furthering my understanding of how I can help my own child and how my wife, we both went into special ed after our daughter got diagnosed. I did it through the New York City Teaching Fellows Program, taught in East New York and Manhattan, and my notes are based on both simultaneously me as a parent and as someone, as Stephanie was saying, trying to do the right thing and be part of helping other families so that it would be reciprocal. I go in as a teacher and I'm learning things that I can bring home to daughter, and I'm taking what I learn from my daughter, and I'm bringing it into the classroom. But the environment doesn't let you do that. And I think you, Mr.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS Chairman, very nicely cut off all the data discussion that the Department of Ed was doing for the first hour of these hearings when you asked, "What are we going to do about cultural change?" And my answer to that is, is there organizational compassion? Is there organizational compassion in the DOE? And it's very easy to tell if there is building to building. You, sir, you walk into one of these buildings unannounced, not with an appointment. You walk into a class. You walk into the resource room. You're a former teacher yourself. You can sense if something's wrong, and I can tell you that the hardest part of me being a New York City teaching fellow wasn't that I was one of the oldest fellows, it was watching the compassion drain out of half of my peer who are in their 20's. Thank God the other half had the resilience not to, but that is painful to watch. Over the course of two years in the New York City teaching fellows to watch these dedicated smart students from around the country turn into bureaucrats overnight. So I have some recommendations. One, do not have a data driven Board of Ed system. I spent my career in data analysis, worked for the Port Authority New Jersey

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 261 Transit. There's a limit to what data can do. Board of Ed is way over that limit. It's reached the point of absurdity, absolute absurdity. The IEP process is a fake process. It's not real. The IEP's that I wrote took me between 10 and 20 hours to write for each student, and I wrote it for each student as if it was my own daughter or my own son. difference in the time I put in because those parents deserved it also. When you do that, you're attacked. And let me tell you this, not only is there a lack of compassion, but for all the talk of diversity in the city of New York, the least accepted diversity are people that have an intellectual deficit, whether it's autism, whether it's mental retardation. can put theirselves [sic] in that position where a knock on the head or an infection can turn you into the same state as those people that you feel you're too good to help, and that's not the case. So, two quick stories. I have title for the first one. first one's called Silent Lunch. Our daughter was in the community school. She was the only autistic student in the school and we sent her there out of desperation because we had fought the Board of Ed for seven years, and she was thrown out of the school

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS that she was in on a technicality, a school that was doing wonders for her, a private school, and we just ran out of energy, and we threw up our hands and we sent her to the school down the block, the only autistic student in the school. After about seven months she'd come home and say, "Silent lunch. Silent lunch. Silent lunch." And we didn't know. she going crazy? What's going on? And then the next year, I came in and took her to school. She was running late after a doctor's appointment, and I hear the words, "Silent lunch. Silent lunch." And it's coming from a DOE paid staff member yelling at the general ed students, "Silent lunch. Silent lunch." Now, for my daughter, that's as much as a trauma as the poor gentleman who had to drag his child into the hearings, the impartial hearings, not as death threatening as that man had to live with and he lives with now, but on an intellectual level, taunting torture for my daughter. And I looked at her, and I said, "Is that what you've been talking about, silent lunch?" And she said, "Yes." And she felt better instantly. She was trying for a year to tell us silent lunch, silent lunch. And we told the principal, and we told everyone, and no one was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 263 outraged. No one was outraged. And the flip side for me as a teacher, I taught in three schools in East New York and two high schools in Manhattan. the high school in Manhattan, 1,500 students, one autistic student, and thank God I was his teacher. And it was co-teaching. And my co-teacher, some of which were wonderful teachers, wonderful, wonderful, dedicated teachers, but they didn't understand that this is a child who can put his head on the table. He needs to put his head on the table. He needs to draw pictures. And guess what? He understands math better than anyone in the room, including me and my coteacher. And I didn't teach that student a drop of math. All I said to him, day one when I met him, was, "You can ace this class. You can get a hundred on this. And I know you're listening, and I know you get it all." He's looking obliquely at the board, and my co-teacher, a wonderful man, he laid off him. He let him do whatever he needed to do, sit in the back and be distracted, and that was a wonderful happy story, except the DOE in the beginning of the 2013 school year, in the year that I was in, did not have students assigned to classes. So they assigned students randomly the night before. I walked into my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 264 science class, 50 students. I do not exaggerate. Fifty students in a room that has 20 seats, and my students who I was so happy that I helped the year before in all the juggling that went on the next few weeks, they did not have him on a no-transfer list, that you don't take an autistic student and transfer his classes after six weeks when he's comfortable. They transferred him and he had a meltdown, and he freaked out, and he was never the same again, and he was unreachable by me and by everybody else because of what they did to him. So, I plead with you, in addition to all the good work you're doing here, pop in to the public school systems. Pop into the one on one schools, like the school my daughter is in, and you can judge by the size of the principal's office, where it's 20 percent of this room for some of the principals in the public schools, but my daughter's principal sits in almost a closet because the office is not important to her, my daughter is and the other students. Thank you for taking the time to listen to us.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you. I've heard of this silent lunch thing before, but I'm hoping that it's not something that has been exported from

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 2 the charter schools, because a number of the charter schools that I visited require silent lunch as well. 3 I don't get the purpose behind it, because that's the 4 5 only time kids can, you know, talk to each other, and actually the Chancellor has said that she wants to 6 walk into cafeterias and hear conversation. This is 7 8 a goal of hers, you kwon, because that's how kids learn language is by talking with each other, 9 10 particularly kids that are English language learners, you know. That's really where they pick up the 11 12 language, but yeah, I mean I've seen many charter schools that have silent lunches. It's just--I don't 13 14 get it. So, let's go to the next couple of people and 15 then we'll finish up. 16 MONICA PINTADO-AGGUIO: Hi, good 17 afternoon--18 CHAIRPERSON DROMM: [interposing] Can you just speak into the mic, and then introduce yourself 19 20 as well? MONICA PINTADO-AGGUIO: Hi, my name is 21 2.2 Monica Pintado-Agquio [sp?]. I'm also in District 28. 23 I have a child in elementary school, nine years old in the fourth grade, and an eighth grader in middle 24

school. I have to say that it can't be a coincidence

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 266 sitting here at this table that you have two parents who have to share anecdotes about District 28. sort of--I'm going to start kind of backwards. daughter was diagnosed with Stage III cancer in April 2011, right before her sixth birthday. She spent a few days at LIJ. We transferred her to Sloan because the doctor there was world-renowned for her type of cancer. When we came back--initially, we were told she could go back to the school. Then we told she was immunocompromised and she couldn't, but we sought out assistance for the school to get her home instruction. Instead, I got in writing that my daughter was not entitled to home instruction. We subsequently--I can't tell you the stress. sitting here and I'm empathetically crying because I'm feeling everybody's pain and frustration. So a friend of a friend referred me to a pro-bono attorney, well not really pro-bono. The ones that they do the work and then they charge at the end. can only imagine what the impartial hearing must have cost New York City DOE simply because the principal and her staff did not want to assist with the application for home instruction, and of course at impartial hearing, we did get home instruction for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE 1 ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 267 2 her. We wanted some normalcy, because with Stage III, we really thought we were going to lose her. 3 But the interesting story, the way we found out my daughter had cancer was because she was the tonka 5 6 She never got sick. I had actually asked my 7 children's elementary school for assistance for my son Aiden who's chronically medically ill. He misses 8 on average if you look at ARIS [sic], 25 to 30 days 9 10 per year not including latenesses, not including early pick ups. I can't even do the math to tell you 11 12 how much lost instruction we're talking about. Having said that, he missed about a month that 13 14 February 2011, went back to school, was given a math 15 test. He came home and hesitantly had his hand 16 behind his back with a tear in his eye, and I asked him, "What's going on, Aiden?" He said, "Mommy, I'm 17 18 afraid to show you what's behind my back, because you're going to think I'm a failure." He showed me a 19 20 big fat zero in red for his math test. I mean, I'm a product of the old Board of Education. I grew up in 21 2.2 Washington Heights. When a kid was absent, teachers 23 sat you down and reviewed, whether it was their lunch hour or after school or before school. They sent the 24 25 work home. So, I--he got sick again, and I went to

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 268 the pediatrician. The pediatrician said, "Aiden should have services. There's no reason why he shouldn't have at the very least 504 services or an IEP. You go in there and you tell them that you demand services for your child, and I'll give you the medical documentation." So I did. I wrote a letter. What the principal did and what the school psychologist did was that they sent a case worker to my house and accused my husband and I of educational neglect. The case was subsequently unfounded because I invited the case worker in, and I showed her all my email correspondence, all my scanned email medical doctor's notes, putting everyone in school on notice of his illnesses. The reason the found my daughter's cancer was that because they were unhappy with the fact that they couldn't get me on my son, they examined my daughter without my knowledge or consent, and the way I found out that she was examined at school was my five year old telling me over dinner that something strange happened and she got a checkup at school. Now, we could sit here and say that it's a wonderful silver lining and the world works in mysterious ways, and I wasn't very religious before, but amen to that, but the point is that they thwarted

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 269 my efforts in getting my son any help and he's 12. He'll be 13 on November 13, and to date he has no services. The first time I asked for assistance, or the first time I was made privy to that he might have an issue was his second grade teacher at the November parent/teacher conferences in 2008. She said, "Ms. Agguio, Aiden is very bright, but I think you need to have him evaluated at the school level. I give him extra time, but he's not going to do well in third grade if you don't get him that assistance." In the interim I've had two kidney transplants. I had had my second kidney transplant, so when I asked for the evaluation in April, they put it off and they said, "Do it in the summer, so it could be done under one umbrella so we don't have to rush it." Then they called me in June and they said, "Do it in the fall so that a staff that knows him can evaluate him." The fall came, he was now in the third grade, and then they said, "You need to resubmit the application because otherwise, you know, the clock doesn't tick." When they evaluated him they said that it wasn't that he had ADHD or serious underlying medical issues, they said it was the "life stressors." I had had a kidney transplant, and I had failed my son with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 270
anxiety, and they closed the case. And just to give
you how insidious this is, last year he was sick six
consecutive weeks. He had an upper respiratory. He
had strep and then acute bronchitis. He missed two
days of the ELA. He came back on Friday. He was
made to take both ELA sections in the same day with
less than a full 40 minute lunch break, sick, and he
didn't finish either part. Then he went into the
math exam with strep and on antibiotics. I told the
Assistant Principal, "Please let him go to the
bathroom, because the antibiotics will give him GI
issues." My son said the proctor did not allow him
to go to the bathroom. Once again, he was unable to
complete the test, and now it's impacted his scores,
and now he's disqualified from applying for screen
programs for the high schools. So, what does a
parent do?

moving, and I don't know what to say. We're going to try to see if we can do some outreach for you to try to get you some help as well. Thank you.

MONICA PINTADO-AGGUIO: Thank you for listening.

CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ELIZABETH PARDO: I really need my glasses. So, strain here. My name is Elizabeth Pardo [sp?], and I'm an attorney in the Metropolitan Parent Center at Synergia [sp?] where I have worked for over 11 years. The Parent Center is a federally funded parent training and information center. We provide training and advocacy services to parents of children with disabilities with particular attention to the needs of low income and ELL parents. Metropolitan Parent Center is a member of the Arise Coalition and a member of its Literacy Committee. support the goals and recommendations of our colleagues in the Arise Coalition. We commend the new Administration for the steps taken thus far to address the important, this important issue, and we are appreciative of the meeting the DOE has had with the coalition, where they have listened to our concerns. We thank you for holding this important hearing and for focusing on literacy. For reading has long been recognized as probably the most important skill a person is required to have to function and succeed in society. In light of the many students with disabilities having reading delays and seeing how critical a skill it is, it is

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 272 essential that the DOE take bold steps to address this problem. As an attorney assisting many parents with their child's special education needs, I don't believe it is an exaggeration to say that the DOE's failing to provide the vast majority of the students with disabilities, with their right to a free appropriate public education. I'll move quickly onto our concerns and recommendations. If you listen closely to the DOE you will have heard that when they speak of trainings, they speak of the opportunities for trainings. It is our understanding that the reading instruction trainings are attended voluntarily by principals and our teachers. the importance of reading, we cannot hope to address this problem with a volunteer line up of dedicated teachers and principals. We need every elementary, middle and high school to have at least one certified reading specialist. Number two, with respect to tool kits, which is something that we have heard about for years, it is our understanding that schools choose to access online instructional tool kits that recommend reading programs. These reading--these programs can be expensive for one school, as they probably require an array of programs to meet the needs of the varied

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 273 needs of the students. It is left to the school to choose to invest or to take the initiative and pull together with other schools to invest in these programs. When I have visited schools and participated in IEP meetings, it is not evident that the schools are using these researched based programs. Number three, the DOE's team of reading experts that conduct the trainings is small in comparison to the need. We cannot hope to address this enormous deficit with such a small team. Under IDEA, the district has a mandate to oversee and supervise the progress of special education services and to see that students with disabilities are receiving a free appropriate education. As such, we recommend that the DOE immediately conduct a comprehensive assessment, when I say we I mean the Parent Center. We recommend that the DOE immediately conduct a comprehensive assessment of the effectiveness of its initiatives. The assessment must look to what is impeding the widespread use of the tool kits, whether schools are using research based reading programs, how are schools using their special education fund, and what plan if any does the school have to address the reading delays of its

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS students. It is my considered opinion based upon the numerous families we assist that the DOE's efforts that are based upon individual initiative are not working at a level necessary to address this rampant problem. The DOE cannot act tentatively to address the problem, but must use its authority and responsibility under the IDEA to embark on a bolder initiative. To my mind, it should never be the case that a student with obvious reading delays has gone years without intensive reading instruction and that an advocate such as myself who is not an educator, much less a reading specialists must point out at an IEP meeting the need for intensive reading instruction. I'll just close with that. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON DROMM: Well, thank you very much. And I'm following along with your suggestions as well, and we'll look at them even more closely as we move along down the road. I do want to thank everybody for coming in today and for staying as long as you've stayed. An awful lot of good stuff. I wish that other people had heard some of the testimony that we heard here this evening, but word will get

out, and we have heard your stories, and I want you

to know that that's very important to all of us here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

	COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION JOINTLY WITH THE SUBCOMMITTEE
1	ON NON-PUBLIC SCHOOLS 275
2	PAUL LEVY: Thank you.
3	UNIDENTIFIED: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON DROMM: So thank you again
5	for coming here, and we look forward to working,
6	continuing to work with you. And I guess with that,
7	unless there's not anybody else right, that is it.
8	And it is now 7:00 p.m. or five to6:56 p.m. This
9	meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
LO	[gavel]
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date November 7, 2014