CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

----- X

October 23, 2014 Start: 10:26 a.m. Recess: 1:03 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room-City Hall

B E F O R E: BEN KALLOS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Steven Matteo

David G. Greenfield Jumaane D. Williams

Mark Levine Brad Lander Ritchie Torres

# A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Mindy Tarlow Director Mayor's Office of Operations

Henry Berger Special Counsel to the Mayor

Bonda Lee-Cunningham Senior Policy Advisor Mayor's Office of Operations

Michael Ryan
Executive Director
NYC Board of Elections

Dawn Sandow
Deputy Executive Director
NYC Board of Elections

Pamela Perkins
Administrative Manager
NYC Board of Elections

Beth Fossella Coordinator Voter Registration NYC Board of Elections

Steven Ferguson
Director of Management Information
Systems
NYC Board of Elections

Steve Richman General Counsel NYC Board of Elections

Raphael Savino
Deputy General Counsel
NYC Board of Elections

Amy Loprest
Executive Director
NYC Campaign Finance Board

Art Chang Board Member/Chair Voter Assistance Advisory Committee NYC Campaign Finance Board

Eric Friedman
Executive Director of Public Affairs
NYC Campaign Finance Board

Onida Coward Mayers Director of Voter Assistance Unit NYC Campaign Finance Board

Steven Carbo
Voting Rights and Democracy Director
NYC Center for Popular Democracy

DeNora Getachew
Campaign Manager/Legal Counsel
Brennan Center for Justice
NYU School of Law

Neil Rosenstein Government Reform Coordinator NY Public Interest Group

Kate Doran
Election Specialist
NYC League of Women Voters

Rachel Fauss
Director of Public Policy
Citizens Union

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: [gavel] Good morning and welcome to the hearing of the Committee on Governmental Operations. I'd like to start off by recognizing that we are joined by Council Member Steve Matteo, Council Member David Greenfield and Council Member Jumaane Williams, who has a bill before this committee. I am Ben Kallos. You can tweet me @benkallos.

We're here today to talk about voter registration. We all know that New York City lags behind in voter turnout and registration is a key part of this problem. For too many New Yorkers, registering to vote is simply too cumbersome. Put simply, the process currently requires three steps: printing out a voter registration form, filling it out and mailing it in. We should be looking at ways to reduce the friction in each of these three steps.

The Council took a step towards reducing the burden of the first step, printing out a form, when it passed Local Law 29 of 2000. This law required 19 city agencies to print and distribute voter registration forms as part of their normal application forms. Although this law is 14 years old, it isn't clear that it has ever been fully

2.2

implemented. So I look forward today to hearing from
the administration about their plans to ensure that
agencies are complying with this important mandate,
but we should do more than simply comply with
existing law. We should take steps to reduce the

7 burden of the second and third steps as well:

filling out the form and mailing it in.

That is why we're considering two pieces of legislation today, Introduction 356 by Council Member Williams and Introduction 493, which I introduced. Together these bills would require agencies in this program to help New Yorkers fill out these forms, then mail it in if requested. These bills would also expand the program and improve oversight. But even this is not enough. We should be moving towards a fully electronic system, in which city agencies can send voter registration information, including a signature to the Board of Elections. New Yorkers should also be able to register to vote online through the city's website.

As of last year, New Yorkers with a driver's license or state non-driver ID can register online or through a paperless process at the DMV.

This is a great first step and I commend Governor

thank you all for coming to testify on these

25

1 COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

۶

2 important bills. I'd also like to thank Citizens

3 Union, the Center for Popular Democracy, who have

4 been instrumental in pushing these bills and other

5 good government groups who have shown support on

6 these bills.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

The bill I have today is Intro 356. in response to the fact that our city's agencies unfortunately have failed to do their part to make voter registration easier, even though they're required to by law. Some of it, I believe, may be failings in what the law currently says; some of it may be just ways that we help improve and these bills are just a nudge to try to get us in the right direction. This bill would work to improve compliance from city agencies by individually coding each registration form assigned to participating agencies. Each participating agency would be assigned a code that designates such forms as originating from such agencies... such participating agencies. Once these forms are returned to the city, we're going to be able to identify agencies that are not doing their part in making sure voters are being registered with ease and on the flip side, we'll be

able to identify agencies who are doing a fantastic

3 job.

2.2

Maximize the potential of voters coming out and voters registering, and I think for right now we are definitely not maximizing what we could be doing and it seems like we're doing just the minimum amount of work. New York is 47th in the nation in terms of participation, so we definitely should do more in the administration to try to bump up New York City's part.

Democracy released just yesterday, they found that 84 percent of visitors to government agencies were never even offered a chance to register. 60 percent of the agencies didn't even have a voter registration form in their office. Further, 95 percent of the clients were never even asked if they wanted to register to vote. This is clearly a huge problem 'cause all New Yorkers should be able to register to vote with the minimum of ease. This common sense bill would basically let us get a better accounting of which agencies are giving out the forms, which agencies are

make sure your mic is on...

25

Mayor de Blasio is deeply committed to reducing

25

2.2

barriers to voter participation and making it simple and easy to register to vote is the first step in realizing this important goal. This administration is in the process of developing a broad election reform agenda and improving agency-based voter registration is the first item on this list.

As you know, City Charter 1057-A, which was enacted as Local Law 29 of 200, requires certain city agencies and their contractors to assist and implement a program of distribution of voter registration forms to clients who are applying for, renewing or seeking recertification of services. The agencies are required, among other things, to provide and distribute voter registration forms to clients together with application for services, renewal or recertification for services and changes of address. The agencies may provide assistance in completing the voter registration forms and may transmit the form to the Board of Elections.

Consistent with Mayor de Blasio's commitment to reducing barriers to voter participation and to increase compliance with existing policies, the Mayor issued Directive 1 to the designated agencies in Local Law 29. Directive 1

2 requires the designated agencies to develop a plan to

3 ensure compliance with Local Law 29 and to submit

4 this plan to my office. It also requires the

5 agencies to submit semi-annual reports regarding

6 implementation. This directive was critical to

7 refocus agency attention on this important law, but

8 it is not just a piece of paper sent to agencies. We

have committed significant resources to making sure

10 | that this works.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

To that end, a working group comprised of representatives from the Mayor's Counsels Office, community Assistance Unit, Legislative Affairs Unit and my office are working together on a number of fronts to ensure and support agency compliance with Local Law 29 and Directive 1. I've assigned a senior member in my office, Bonda Lee-Cunningham, to coordinate this effort.

The 17 mayoral agencies covered by the current law have submitted their plans to the Office of Operations for facilitating voter registration for those who seek or renew services, either in person or online. The working group has already convened a meeting with select responding agencies to learn from them about the challenges they've faced and solutions

they've developed to become fully compliant. That
meeting assisted the working group in identifying
some systemic actions that would support the
agencies' efforts, particularly with regard to
increased use of technology to reach potential voters
and facilitate the registration process for them.

The plans submitted by the agencies have been

2.2

reviewed by members of the working group and agencies have received feedback on those plans. Where additions or modifications were called for, we've advised the agencies and the agencies are in the

process of submitting revised plans to meet the terms
of the directive and its precursors.

Further, each agency head has designated a high level coordinator for its voter registration efforts and that person will be the primary contact for the Office of Operations going forward. We see this as an ongoing collaborative process to ensure that each agency plan is sufficient to meet the requirements of Local Law 29.

In addition, members of the working group have met with the Voting Rights advocacy community to discuss best practices. The New York City Board of Elections regarding logistics of registration and

introduction of electronic interfaces wherever

feasible and with the Campaign Finance Board, with

regard to its online links to registration and

providing training to agency personnel who will be

responsible for implementing the initiative. We've

also begun discussions with the Mayor's Office of

Technology and Innovation to explore how best to

9 leverage technology in this effort for making sure
10 that agency websites have clear links to voter

11 registration materials to the ultimate goal of online

12 voter registration.

2.2

In the coming months, between approval of agency plans and the submission of the first semiannual reports from agencies, agencies will implement the initiative, communicating with the Office of Operations if there are challenges and the working group will continue to pursue systemic changes in support of Directive 1 and voter registration in the city. In addition, it is our intention to expand the agencies covered by Directive 1. We intend to do so; however, once we have evaluated our efforts to improve compliance among the currently designated agencies and have had an opportunity to evaluate our overall performance. We are hopeful about our

2.2

efforts, but I also want to be clear that this a major undertaking that will take time and significant attention. However, this Mayor is committed to this project and we look forward to working with the Council, the advocacy community and our other government partners to get this right.

The Council is also hearing two bills today, and while we support their objectives, mainly ensuring greater compliance with Local Law 29 and expanding its scope, we're not ready to fully support these bills and we'd like to share briefly some concerns that we have. Intro 356 would require that the Board of Elections assign codes to the forms used by each designated agency in Local Law 29, as opposed to the general Code 9 Form that is used for all forms distributed by city agencies.

There's a policy concern we'd like to share about having individual codes for each agency. Although the specific section of law does not directly apply here, the New York State Election Law, in its comparable agency assisted voter registration provisions, has a prohibition on identifying the source of voter registration cards that individuals receive from state agencies. This is to protect the

### COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2.2

registering.

privacy of individuals who receive services from government that they may not wish to be disclosed. We believe there are similar concerns here about potentially violating the privacy of an individual who registers to vote and we're also concerned that this kind of agency specific designation might have the unintended consequence of deterring voters from

In addition, we want to make sure that
the way we measure success makes sense, which is way
Directive 1 has established a reporting mechanism for
the agencies that captures both the number of
registration cards distributed and collected and also
gives us the flexibility to include additional
metrics and develop a thoughtful reporting structure.
We hope to continue to have conversations with the
Council about these metrics and how we measure the
success of this program.

Finally, Intro 493 adds several more agencies to the list of designated agencies subject to Local Law 29, including the Department of Buildings, the Fire and Police Departments, OATH and the Landmarks Preservation Commission. While as I've mentioned, we are supportive of expanding the scope

2.2

fared in coming into compliance.

of Local Law 29, we would like to be thoughtful about the timing of expanding the scope and also make sure that any new agencies have the highest potential possible to reach prospective voters and provide the kinds of services that are conducive to coupling with voter registration. We would like time to make sure we get Directive 1 right with the currently designated agencies fully complying before adding a significant number of new agencies.

Intro 493 also changes some of the permissive language in the law to require that the agencies provide assistance in filling out the form if it is requested and transmit completed forms to the Board of Elections. In Directive 1, we are requiring that agencies provide assistance with the completion of forms if such assistance is requested and if we are requiring that if the forms are completed at the agency, the agency must transmit them to the Board. We would like to be able to evaluate this administrative effort before legislating it and we look forward to discussing with the Council our assessment of how the agencies have

to get it done, we're going to need time and some

behavior. We look forward to working with the

to getting agency-based voter registration right, but

space to manage the agencies and correct longstanding

Council to making full compliance with Local Law 29 a

reality. Thank you for your time and we're happy to

So to conclude, we are deeply committed

\_ 1

answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much for your testimony. What a difference a year makes and having a progressive Mayor and a progressive Council where we're actually all just trying to get

the same thing done, so it's refreshing, as always, to hear your testimony. I want to recognize that we've been joined by a committee member, Council Member Mark Levine and Council Member Brad Lander.

I'm very excited to know that the Mayor had already

issued Directive 1 and I was apprehensive and eager to get the results of the study on September 9th.

What do you believe the expected timeline? Is it a month, multiple months? How far out do you believe

MINDY TARLOW: [off mic] Do you want to

take that?

we are?

Board of Elections. One of the things the Board of

Elections has promised us within the next few weeks

24

25

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

is a fillable PDF Code 9 registration form that will be electronically available to each of the agencies and we're hoping that that will make life a little bit easier. You know, there are a lot of incremental steps, but we won't have any hard numbers until right after the 1st of the year when the agencies start returning their reports to us.

MINDY TARLOW: [off mic] Right, mm-hm.

OHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That's good to in one request, in terms of the fillable form. If that fillable form encoded into the print button, could include an electronic submission and when the person pressed the button they could actually submit the text of the voter registration at the same time as they're printing, as long as they're connected online, and what that would mean is the New York City Board of Elections wouldn't actually have to do any of the data entry once the voter registration form came in. They'd look at it, look at the list of folks that had already registered through the form and we'd save some money.

2.2

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

HENRY BERGER: Well, as you're aware the major issue with that; the legal issue that we're pursuing now is the web signature requirement.

HENRY BERGER: And in a fillable form

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yes.

where you can type in all the information, the signing becomes an issue. We're excited about it and the Board of Elections is excited about it for the reasons you've stated. It takes one step out of their entering the material into their database and also deals people like me, whose handwriting is barely legible. The other problem we have is that we have 40 some odd agencies, each of which has one or more of its own computer systems, some of which are more than 30 years old. Some of the agencies still do most of their intake on paper forms; some are doing it on computers and trying to rationalize that system really becomes very difficult, so we're doing it on an agency-by-agency basis and it's part of a larger problem that, of course, the city is looking at that I think Mindy can talk to better, but you know, the goal is to move it forward and we've met with the Board of Elections already on electronic interfaces and we're working with our technical

2

3

4

5

6

./

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

people on the same issue and it's going to move forward. I can't give you a timeline on it.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: No worries and I think that one additional added benefit is with the print and submit online feature, when the voter registration form doesn't come in signed, the Board of Elections has a list of people they can follow up with and say hey, we know you started the process, but you didn't finish it. It's actually something that rocked the both on the DNC we're doing when they did their online voter registration. With regard to a working document, I applaud that. I'm a huge follower of something called Agile, which is what is used in the private sector versus Waterfall. Waterfall would be somewhere in January we get a finalized report and either we're happy or we're sad and that there's a hearing or there isn't. interest of continuing the working document and the working relationship between the Council and the Mayor's Office, would it be possible to share some of the responses you're getting as you get them so that we can work in partnership with you?

MINDY TARLOW: Well, right now in terms of the agency plans themselves, as I said in my

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

testimony, we've been going back and forth internally just about the plans themselves and we expect that to

4 happen by the end of November; however, we would like

5 to at least finalize internally our own internal

6 agreement on the plans themselves and I think at that

7 point we could share them with the Council just so we

8 sort of have a little bit of an internal dialogue

9 with the agencies and the working group so that we're

10 all of one mind about each agency plan. But I take

11 | your point. It doesn't have to have you know, some

12 | signed, sealed and delivered. You know, we just want

13 to make sure we have our little house in order and

then we can start coming back around to you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:

Introduction 493, it is refreshing that nearly all the bills we are introducing in the Council are often supported by the Mayor's Office. Often... this isn't the first time I've had a hearing on a bill where the

With regard to

20 answer is, "We're incredibly supportive. We love it,

21 but we aren't sure and we want to make sure we have a

22 proper implementation timeline." Would it be

23 possible to give a ballpark for when the

administration would be comfortable mandating and

committing to a timeline with regard to Introduction

### COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2 493 of expanding to other agencies assisting and

3 providing transmittal?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MINDY TARLOW: Yeah, I think it's difficult, frankly, to put some end date on that. think, as we've been talking about today, and I think we have a mutual appreciation for this, this is hard and we're trying really diligently to bring a number of agencies along. I think there's been very good faith efforts internally around this. I think we just want a chance to really feel like we've made some real inroads before we can commit to going forward, and as my colleague said, if we're not going to really get the first round of reports until after January, I think it would be at that time that we can start thinking about next steps, but it's very hard to commit to a timeframe without having a sense of how much progress we can make in the next couple of months.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much.

I will reserve my questions and I'd like to recognize

Council Member David Greenfield for questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman and thank you all for your testimony.

Just out of curiosity, Directive 1, was that the

2 first directive? Is that why they call it Directive

3 | 1 or it just happened to be named that way?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

HENRY BERGER: Mayors in the past have issued directives to agencies and they get numbered sequentially and this is the first one this Mayor has issued and this is the first one this Mayor has issued and I think that's significant.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: It's great, absolutely and it's certainly welcome news that you should get credit for the fact that the first directive is a good government directive to increase voting in New York City. We're certainly... voter registration in New York City we're certainly grateful for that. I'm just... I'm curious about a couple of things that you said in your testimony, Mindy, and specifically, I just want to focus on the issue of you have some concerns about Council Member Williams' bill, which deals with the coding, and you were concerned about the idea that somehow I quess the Board of Election would know where it came from. Because I mean when you talk about privacy concerns, this information is not going to the public, right, I mean so all we're saying, just to be clear, right, I mean the Board of Elections does disclose basic data

The voter

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that you fill out on your form as upon request, but they certainly, I would imagine, would not disclose where that registration, in fact, came from, so would you agree with that?

HENRY BERGER: Not entirely. registration forms themselves become significant elements at several stages in the process, including one that I used to be involved with, which was election law litigation, where we frequently pulled out the voter registration forms to check party enrollment signatures and other information. They're maintained by the Board. By law, they have to maintain for at least two years. They're scanned; you know, the information is available and as long as that information I think the policies as expressed in the state law are significant. The other thing is, you know, people know that that information is available and the possible discouraging effect of that we think creates problems. The purpose of the bill is most significantly to be able to know what agencies are cooperating and what agencies are doing their work and that's why we put into Directive 1 the requirement that reports be issued and the Office of Operations is very good at evaluating metrics and we

want to be able to collect a broad range of metrics on this, which we think will be even more effective than simply coding the registration forms.

MINDY TARLOW: And just to underscore that point, I think the spirit of the bill is exactly right, that you want to have an accountability structure built in so that we know agencies, just as you said, Councilman Williams, who are doing a great job and maybe a less than great job so that we're able to kind of track that back, but I think there are unintended consequences here in the way it's proposed and we would have other ways, as we do with other agencies operations of... you know, have building and accountability structure and reporting mechanisms that would meet the spirit of what you're trying to get to.

down on this issue 'cause obviously, it's the crux of your argument against this particular piece of legislation. So just to be clear, Council Member Williams is introducing a bill because he... by coding it, we are 100 percent sure, right, that these, in fact, are the folks who have registered from these specific agencies. How accurate are your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

numbers based on Directive 1? How are you going to get that data? Is it self-reporting or not; implying, of course, that God forbid any agency would give you inaccurate information. I'm just trying to understand that how exactly what the metrics look like and how exactly would you know what agency is giving you what and in fact, how would you verify that information because the council member's bill is pretty foolproof, right? I mean you got a code; it comes in; you know exactly where it came from. can tell down to the day literally and the month. mean that data actually would be quite helpful, alright, I mean so folks, you could see that at the end of the year, for example, there might be a push; they're trying to hit some sort of number. that information actually would be quite helpful, so when you're saying... and certainly once again, we applaud you for Directive 1 and we're very grateful for it, but when you're saying that you're going to get that data from the agencies, how are you getting it; how do you know it's accurate; how do you know what months they're coming in? I mean how do you really drill down in a way that the Council Member's bill would do that for you?

because some people take them away; some people won't

25

2 complete them even if they've been offered the forms.

3 So yes, you're right. There is some level of trust

4 | in here, but there has also been expressed real

5 commitment. We've seen that in terms of the time and

6 the effort the agencies have put into developing

7 | their plans and structuring a way to report back to

8 us, so we'll be doing our own verification and we'll

9 be doing our own checking. We'll also be talking

10 | with them to submit reports to ask them to drill down

11 | into those reports as to you know, the basis for them

12 so...

24

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]

14 | I hear you. I'll tell you my concern honestly, and

15 | that is that I understand that's it a priority now

16 and I understand that for this administration it's a

17 priority. The reason we make laws, however, and I

18 | want to take you at your word and trust that all of

19 | you good folks will do the best of your abilities to

20 | make sure this is enforced, but the reason we make

21  $\parallel$  laws is because we try to do things in perpetuity.

22 | The next administration comes along and, in fact, as

23 we've seen for the last 14 years, not a lot has

happened on this particular issue, so I think ... I do

think it's a compelling reason. I do just want to

### COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

get back to your point, Henry, about the tracking issue and the fact that it would be coded. concern I quess is that the coding system would be public somehow; that people would figure out that code 14 means HRA and then when they're reviewing the forms that they would be able to figure out if you registered at HRA and therefore you'd use it to... the political whiz kids would use it for some sort of malicious purpose and see, Henry is nodding his head, so I think that's sort of the concern. question for that particular question is and you, in your past life, and still are, although it's not what you practice, one of the foremost election experts and we're happy to have you here to ask you these questions at less than \$1,000 an hour, so we're pleased about that, but [laughter] you're not doing this for this, are you, Henry? Oh, yeah, I'm just making sure.

HENRY BERGER: Are you offering me a...

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, No.

[laughter] I think we already pay his salary in the city as taxpayers, but I'm teasing you and we appreciate the service that you provide to the city,

25 but seriously speaking, in your expertise, is there a

system and one of the wonders of computers is that as

25

2 more and more of this is done either online or on

3 computers, the tracking becomes that much more easy.

4 With forms online, we not only know how many are

5 downloaded, we know how many times they're clicked

6 on; how many people look at them. We'll know how

7 | many are downloaded; we'll know how many are filled

8 out and as we move more and more towards

9 computerization, the gathering of metrics for us is

10 going to become easier and easier and more

11 | comprehensive, even beyond I think what this bill is

12 proposing, so you know, we're moving there. A lot of

13 | is technical, but we're moving in that direction

14 | because the metrics are as important to us I think as

15 | they are to you.

1

16 COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Got it. The

17 Chair has informed me he doesn't want me asking you

18 anymore election questions, even though it's exciting

19 to have your expertise, so I'm going to pass back my

20 questions to the Chair and thank you for your

21 | indulgence.

24

25

22 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'd like to

23 | recognize the bill sponsor, Jumaane Williams, and

apologize for not having given him the first bite of

the apple on his bill.

## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: That's
3	alright. Thank you, Chair. Thank you, Council
4	Member Greenfield, for actually a lot of the line of
5	questioning that I had. I want to back into it a
6	little bit. One, I'm also appreciative that we have
7	a new administration, one that shares a lot of the
8	ideas that we have. We do run into a little bit of a
9	problem, because one, as a Council, we still have
10	oversight to do and two, a lot of the requests,
11	understandably, from the administration side to just
12	give us time basically to fix some of the things we
13	should have, so those two things sometimes aren't
14	going to work together 'cause I think we still have a
15	job that we kind of need to do. So first, I want to
16	know when Directive 1 was put into place.

MINDY TARLOW: I think it was July 11th.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: July... okay and second, I think your testimony said... we are not ready to fully support this bill, so I wanted to back into it by finding out which parts of the bill you are willing to support.

MINDY TARLOW: Well, just speaking generally, I think we support the overall notion that we want to expand the scope and we want to expand the

2 spirit of this law, so things that... and we are also

3 deeply committed to reporting. I mean that's... you

4 know, that's what we do at the Office of Operations.

5 It's our charter mandate, so certainly agreeing with

6 the accountability structure that you're seeking and

7 | with the expansion of the law itself, but if you want

8 to speak...

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So it sounds like the spirit you're willing to support, if not what's actually in the bills. Let's talk about the reporting up to the single... 'cause with Directive 1 there's a lot of reporting. So would you be willing to support a bill that codified the reporting that you were already planning to do?

MINDY TARLOW: I think that's a very
helpful line of discussion and one of the things that
I think is really helpful about Directive 1 is that
it does add this reporting component and that
operations, as I think we all know, we put out the
Mayor's Management Report; we have the Citywide
Performance Reporting System. We do a whole lot of
public facing reports to the city about what's
happening in the city, so we are a very good place
for this to sit. We have a lot of experience and

[crosstalk]

24

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HENRY BERGER: To watch it develop over time because this is a great source of information for us.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But so if I was to just kind of sum up the actual answer to the question, it would be no; that you would not today want to support codifying some of the reporting that you're either doing or is being asked for in the bill.

HENRY BERGER: No, I think we would support codifying as long as there is the flexibility to make sure that the metrics we're collecting...

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:

[crosstalk]

HENRY BERGER: And the information is the right information and is useful information.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I see, and then just to get back to some of the privacy concerns, because this is completely different and we had a hearing last year... two years ago, so it's completely different than the pushback we got from the other administration. So the privacy concerns,

I'm going to ask this question and assuming that I

HENRY BERGER: Well, I think there are some that are you know, more generic and would create less problems. I think working through that list becomes a bit of a problem, but...

I have trouble with that because if we're not worried about them publically saying they got city services, then we shouldn't be worried about necessarily all of the services that they asked for. I can see some of the services maybe, but the fact that we already have a form; that it already says that you've gotten city services to me kind of negates some of the privacy concerns that you're talking about.

HENRY BERGER: But the 17 agencies that are covered now run from ACS, Correction, Parks; you know, there are a variety of services and people go to these agencies for different reasons and some of them are to enjoy the benefits of the city...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]

25 Mm-hm.

2.2

2.2

HENRY BERGER: And some are because they require assistance that you know, for some people is

5 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]
6 So...

very, very private and...

HENRY BERGER: I think we have to respect that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But to... my question went to that exactly. Assuming that I agree, we can maybe separate out some of the ones that we felt people were... would be a little more shy about providing publically, but the response wasn't yes, we can try to do that.

have discussions about it because you know, I think once you start dividing out, you end up saying yes, well, there are some private services. Why are they private? There are some that aren't private. I mean you're already beginning to make those kinds of distinctions once you start doing that, but you know, let's have some further discussions on it and see where we go and let's take a look at the metrics we're collecting and see if it actually satisfies you know, the existing problem that we have.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Alright and

agencies.

I... by the larger figure of what you're saying, if someone is applying for Homeless Services, then we would... by this law, it would be people would not want to register because they didn't want to reveal that they were getting the services, which means we may get less people. My guess, without looking at the data, is that we don't have a lot of people applying to register to vote at Homeless Services to

begin with, so it would be hard for me to believe

that we would have even less if we did this push.

have only five percent even being asked by city

think we can only go up from here, especially if we

HENRY BERGER: Except that we're going to be so effective that we're going to be talking about a larger and larger universe, Councilman.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And too, some questions that my colleague was also asking. Well, I think he just asked it, but kind of seeing if we can just use the information for tallying, but not providing the BOE with the information of where it came from, so we can just get a kind of blanket tally without any information being forwarded to BOE to

be supportive of if it is legally allowed?

2 where it came from. Is that something that you would

HENRY BERGER: I'm just not sure how
you'd do it. I mean, you know, if we can resolve the
policy issue and the potential unintended
consequences of discouraging people, the answer is
yes, but I'm not sure how we actually can get there
and do what you're trying to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And the Chair has pointed out, I think, a very good issue here. The voter registration has a phone number, the email, the DMV number in full and the last four digits of the social security that are not shared in a public way or in a way that we have worries about privacy concerns while we have this worry about the code.

HENRY BERGER: I think those are different issues. Yeah, I don't think the last four digits of your social security number reveal very much, nor does your DMV...

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing] I have known some people who would love to have a combination of the last four digits of your social security, your DMV number, your email and your phone number and your address. There are wonders that can

really a different issue.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: We had an additional bottom line, if you can have two bottom lines; I don't know. Well, one was to increase... well, I should say two results we want to see; obviously, increase voter participation and the other one was to hold agencies accountable, so that code was toward the second one. I'm just still not clear, particularly based on this; thank you, Chair, for bringing it up, how the code privacy issue is not dissimilar to the information that you know we're filling out on the ballot... on the registration form itself in terms of getting that information transmitted and possibly providing privacy problems.

MINDY TARLOW: So just speaking to the accountability issue, which we share, we agree that we want to have ways of you know, making sure that we get underneath self-reporting and make sure that we have some ways of double checking; ways of making sure that we have some sort of fact-based independent way of verifying what agencies are doing. We're working on that. We do that on a lot of other issues throughout the city and appreciate the opportunity to think through that because we think that it's very important full-stop. With respect to the privacy

start wrapping up. I did want to ask if you have the text of the part of the election law that you're referring to.

24

register to vote because there's a code that someone

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

might say this particular ballot came from this particular agency, but I thank you for the testimony and I look forward to continuing the discussion and thank you, Chair, for allowing me the time.

CHAIPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, Council Member Williams, for introducing this amazing legislation that I hope we can pass as soon as possible once we deal with the concerns that have been raised by the administration. I'd like to recognize that we've been joined by Council Member Ritchie Torres. Now I'd like to recognize Council Member Brad Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, for convening the hearing and Council Member Williams for the bill and it's wonderful to have you guys here. I think I'm going to start in sort of a similar way to where Council Member Williams started out. It's wonderful that the de Blasio administration has made such a priority of stepping up here and that Directive 1 was issued and that you moved forward on this. I think part of the challenge is there's also ... we want to recognize the magnitude of how far we have to go and your language, understandably, is about the good steps you've taken,

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER:

Great.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

[crosstalk]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MINDY TARLOW: And we... I think so much of what's happening speaks for itself: the directive itself, the effort that we're putting into it and you know, we're aware of the concern raised by the advocates and by your body. We're sort of following that lead and the Mayor has had a deep and longstanding commitment to this issue and I think it is you know, reflected in what we're doing here.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So I agree with that and I think it is reflected. I just think it isn't something that goes without saying and I think part of the tone here is that you guys rightly come in with well, yeah, we're working on it; we're doing all these good things; give us some time and I think a good starting place... a slightly better starting place is we have a big problem to solve. Agencybased voter registration has not lived up to the promise of the local law. We have significant work to do to get there and now it is very good that you're taking a set of agency-based steps and that we want to work together at the legislative level to figure out what we need to do to make that significant improvement and I do think it's implicit

2 in the work you've already done and I appreciate your

3 willingness to make it explicit. I wonder if you

4 could take one step back and also give me your

5 analysis thus far on why agency-based compliance has

6 been so poor or you know, what accounts for the

7 difference between the relative success DMV has had

in registering people and the relative failure that

9 city agencies have had in registering people.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MINDY TARLOW: We are... I'm going to turn it over to Bonda, who has been directly involved with all of the agencies, but in general, we are, you know, asking people about the challenges that they face; then you know, some of the systemic issues and I think they fall into a couple of buckets that are not going to be a surprise. One is just about training; you know, having the commitment to train staff to do the things that they need to do. Agencies have very different issues. You know, some are just one free-standing place. Others have you know, branches all over the city and coordinating that kind of effort can be difficult. I know you know the multiple languages that are required with Local Law 29 and with the... you know, all of the voter registration issues. Language access is

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

something that we are also deeply committed to in this administration, also a complex issue, hard to manage, especially in situations where there are many, many, many branches of a given office and many different people coming in to be served, so I would say those are probably the things: training and technology, difference among agencies, language access overall, but I'll turn it to Bonda for any more particulars.

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: Yeah, well, I just wanted to add that one of the things that we're really focusing on is really working with the agency, where it is and how it operates. I think lots of times regulations are promulgated strict across the board that don't really take into consideration that each agency has different functions; operates in different ways. Just for an example, in terms of asking for the plans for agencies we did not. asked do you have a template; we said no, you need to hit all of these points in terms of what the directive calls for, but provide us with the way that your agency can best do this because the frontline is where the rubber hits the road and if the frontline staff are not prepared and properly oriented and if

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 there's not a structure for getting forms out to remote location; getting them back; calculating all 3 of this in terms of how an agency operates, I think you're doomed to have you know, less than you know, 5 stellar results, so we're trying to work with 6 7 agencies in ways that are best... are most likely to result in their being able to do this in a way that 8 is not disruptive to their operations. We're trying 9

to give them that courtesy and that respect.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So let me follow up a little bit on that and I guess I'm curious as you get the reports back some of the issues that you're talking about are really... it makes a lot of sense to me that it's about working individually with an agency. Some of the issues that you're talking to me sound more citywide, so...

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: [interposing] Some of them are.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Staff training, certain protocols. Yeah, making sure that in the standard intake application there is a... so are you planning to promulgate kind of comprehensive protocols or do training out of the Mayor's Office of Operations? You know, what are the things that

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 54
2	you're imagining you will centralize in addition to
3	this ongoing work with agencies to help them each one
4	by one?
5	BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: Well, for
6	instance, in terms of training, one of the things we
7	intend to do is say to agencies you must provide
8	training for your staff. The Campaign Finance Board
9	offers training in a number of different formats.
10	We're not going to say to agencies you must do in-
11	person training or you must do online training.
12	We're saying that you must train your staff; you must
13	show us that you have trained your staff; when, who,
14	how many.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And that'll be
16	part of their semi-annual report
17	[crosstalk]
18	BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: That'll be part of
19	their report.
20	[crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: To disclose to
22	you when, who, how many
23	BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: [interposing]
24	Exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: What the nature of the substance of the training was; who did it, those basic...

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: [interposing] Right, exactly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: 'Kay.

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: So that in

addition to the metrics that are already in the directive, you know, we see our role as adding any others that we think will ensure success. Training is one of those.

question of sort of other protocols, I assume... I mean I know this only came out a few days ago, so you probably haven't had time to exhaustively look at the broken promises report, but it calls for the establishment of additional protocols beyond what's included in the directive and I'm curious whether you agree that those are necessary, whether that's something that you're working on whether you disagree with that recommendation of the report.

MINDY TARLOW: I think it's very interesting and you made an excellent point about how some of what we're talking about is systemic, and I

think I said several times ... I think I used that word several times in the testimony about that we're looking for things that cut across all of the agencies, while recognizing that it isn't like we're talking about 17 DMVs, right? We're talking about 17 agencies that do very different things in very different ways. So by allowing them to develop their own plans based on their own agency circumstances and yet setting up a working group that's working collaboratively with them, getting all the information back, hearing about the challenges, thinking them through, looking for systemic issues that cut across, we will certainly be seeking ways of creating best practices once we get all the information back and once we realize where there are common things that we can do that will support this entire effort; what all folks have in common. Whether we're going to promulgate some specific rules associated with that, we haven't quite thought all the way through to that end game, but we are deeply committed to making sure that we work together as a group, together with the agencies to help build a system of best practices around this issue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

5

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: 'Kay, that's 3 helpful. I'm sure when you know, the folks who did that report testify they'll go into this a little 4

agency reports. I guess it makes some intuitive 6

7 sense to me, given what we've heard, that there are

more and I can see it being valuable to have the

some things that aren't in the directive; that aren't 8

in the law; that aren't in the directive that are a 9

little more than best practices and that we are 10

probably going to want to tell every agency that they 11

12 need to do and what the form in which you do that is

13 and that it comes once you've got the plans done I

14 think makes sense, but I just would like to urge you

15 to keep that on the table. Let's see, a couple other

16 things that are just from the report. I guess the

17 biggest thing in the report to me is its discussion

18 of two agencies that would be some dispute. Well, in

one case, I'm sure we wouldn't and in one case 19

20 there'd be some debate around whether we had the

21 ability to do it by local law, but obviously the New

York City Department of Education and the New York 2.2

23 City Housing Authority are enormous institutions

with... you know, I think you know, with many, many, 24

many unregistered New Yorkers and to me one of the

3 forward to have both those agencies doing

registration and on the one hand, I hear you that you

5 | want to learn... you know, make sure it's working at

biggest things that we could do is start moving

6 the agencies you've got. On the other hand, those

7 | are two places it's going to take a while to make it

8 work, make it comprehensive and I would hope we could

9 just get started. We know we need to; we know we

10 | want to, so you know, can we move forward together to

11 get agency-based registration happening in those

12 places, whether by directive or by law, and with some

13 | understanding you want to learn from what you're

14 doing, but without saying what the timetable is by

15 when it should be in place saying that the timetable

16 to get started should be today.

MINDY TARLOW: Well, we certainly agree that DOE and NYCHA are very high potential agencies to achieve our goal of increasing voter registration by the sheer number alone, but also because they are places where many, many, many people go to be served. You pointed out the differences in relationship structurally between the Mayor's Office and those agencies, right, so that's one issue.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I was pointing out the Council's different relationship. The Mayor could make it...

[crosstalk]

MINDY TARLOW: No, No, I'm saying...

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Happen in both of those agencies.

MINDY TARLOW: No, no, no, no, no, I'm talking about just within the directive itself about you know, when it's a specific mayoral agency. There are different kind of categories is my only point, but I... we are supportive of thinking that through. We understand the potential that's there. I would simply go back to what I said earlier, which you underscored, about the distance that we have to travel with what we're doing with the agencies that are under the law currently.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Alright, I'm going to... I will push back a little further on that. I don't think it makes sense to have... you know, it makes sense to do sort of iterative learning with what's going in those agencies. It does not make sense to start planning for agency-based

2 registration in NYCHA and DOE until we get some

3 number of semiannual reports from other agencies. It

4 makes senses to commit to doing it and start the

5  $\parallel$  planning process in both those agencies and have that

6 planning process informed by what we're learning from

7 the others I would think. I know this is a topic

8 that's near and dear to the Chair, so I will let him

9 follow up on it unless... Henry has something...

10 good, alright. Well, I have a couple other

11 | questions, but I've asked quite a few, so I'll defer

12 to the Chair to ask them.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

courtesy.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: We're now on round two and I'll be batting cleanup, so I'll recognize David Greenfield and thank him for having ended his line of questioning earlier a little early as a

with the chairs, Mr. Kallos. The chair tells me to do something, believe me, I listen. I just have a quick follow-up question for you. I'm just trying to understand the exact process. For example, in my office, you come into the office. On the front desk we have voter registration forms. We encourage people. You know, when they come in we ask them,

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

issue is for people who may not fill it out in the

"Did you register to vote?" We try to sort of push it along. Is there a similar formal process when dealing with the agencies? Are they required to have it at a certain location? After they provide the service are they supposed to say, "Thank you for coming into HRA. By the way, are you registered to vote?" I mean or is it sort of just more informal "loosey goosey?" I'm trying to sort of understand if it was an actual... in that directive does it actually say here's what we have to do and here's how you do it and here's how we get it done?

HENRY BERGER: Well, under both the law and the directive the voter registration form has to be part of the certification/recertification package when people come in for services. Now, one of the questions that we have been discussing is in some cases it should be a printed part of the package, which is what the law requires. In other cases, it might be more effective to have it as a self-mail. The problem is if it's printed within the package, first of all, it's only going to be in one language or at most two and we're talking about language accessibility, which expands that, and the other

office, but who take it home with them, a self-mailer is much more effective than taking a page out of a package of applications. But it has to be part of; whether it's attached to or printed within; it has to be part of the application package.

that's great. I'm just curious about the... many times people reach out to city agencies and they're not necessarily certifying something, right? They're just interacting or they have a question and they're coming in. Is there any directive or direction for that or is it really just limited to when you are recertifying; specifically recertifying as opposed to simply interacting with an agency when you are not necessarily recertifying a particular program?

HENRY BERGER: That's interesting. The law and directive speak to applications for certifications, recertification or change of address. You know, I think you're talking about people who drop in and ask a question. You know, it's an interesting issue that I hadn't even gotten to yet, but I think it's worth pursuing. The other thing is since a lot of this sort of just inquiry stuff may be happening online we're working on beefing up the

online availability, including having agencies putting links and access to voter registration forms right on the front page of their websites and having it available with all of their information on the website, which will have it there. Once again, that would either link them directly to a voter registration form or have a form itself; since we're going to get these fillable PDFs; have them immediately available on the websites.

I would love it if you would internally consider that question of folks who are not necessarily going in to complete something like a complete recertification and they may have a question or an issue or simply a more minor task that doesn't get to that level and whether there is the possibility of you know, having the forms be present or even you know, at the end of the conversation, "By the way, are you registered to vote?" Or something to that effect. I think it might actually increase it even more than simply just slipping it into the package, which we're doing now, and obviously we're trying to improve, but thank you very much.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Great point. like to recognize Council Member Brad Lander for his second round of ... sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I just have one. One of things that the report looks at is the decision to use subcontractors and I wonder whether you've looked at that issue. Obviously, quite a lot of government work at this point is obviously done through subcontractors and making sure that they are on board as well. Have you looked at that and how are you planning to address it?

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: Alright, sure. Because these are the requirement, to provide assistance and access to voter registration in the contracts, they are... this is an auditable and a reportable process in that contractual relationship. So just as an agency that contracts with a subcontractor can ask about the primary performance metrics, they're also expected to inquire about this particular contractual obligation as well. So it is a reporting back to the contracting; original contracting agency.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And if I may, I got to actually look at some contractual language

4

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2 23

24

25

65 yesterday on the specific issue and one, it was extensive, but two, the most important thing was at the very end it says, "This is a material part of the contract," so that it's not an add-on; you got to do It's... you know, it's a material part. subject to performance audit like anything else. It's got to be and for a lot of those agencies that do direct services on behalf of the agencies. how will that relate to the report? I mean it's great that it is auditable. Obviously, the agencies were already supposed to be doing it, but they weren't reporting on it, so you now have the agencies reporting and they presumably will be giving you metrics of volumes and numbers, but do the contracts contain a reporting obligation? You know, how will we achieve information; the metrics on the subcontractors?

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: One of the things that we're going to have to look, and I have to say we haven't done that yet, is look at the clauses in all of the standard contracts that our agencies have. Some of them have provided us with that language and we can see what the reporting requirement is. In some, it may not be as robust as we'd like it to be

and we will ask that it be upgraded if that's necessary. So that's something we'll be looking into and then the contract; the city contracting agency will then be responsible to report back to us because of course, there are certain instances where agencies contract substantial amounts of their mandated responsibilities, so this is really a key part of their responsibility under this directive.

COUNCIL MEMER LANDER: So the idea is that the contractors will be obligated to report the information that the agencies need to be reporting to you and that if the contracts don't currently provide for that, that they'll be amended upon renewal to do so, so that the agencies get that information and then you get that information. Okay and one last question is about whether you plan to set targets and goals. The Mayor's Management Report is a document which involves reporting, but it then involves goals as well. We want it to be that 100 percent of the agencies have the reports available; that we anticipate 70... well, you can imagine the... do you plan to have goals and targets as part of the agency reporting process so that what's being reported is

2 against you know, goals that we can measure progress

3 toward?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: Yes, however, I think that experience will tell us how to structure them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Of course.

BONDA LEE-CUNNINGHAM: One of the things that it should be based on is some sense of the volume of traffic that an agency... you know, the broad universe that they're operating in, the potential candidates for voter registration. So what we'll be looking for certainly is 100 percent offering of the opportunity to register to everyone who comes in for the categories of services that are covered by the directive. In terms of completion rates and that kind of thing, that can play any number of ways and certainly depending on the circumstances; depending on what someone is coming to the agency for; depending on how much time they have, closing that loop in terms of whether we actually capture the forms and send them in ourselves and can physically count those forms, we will have to depend to a great degree on the Board of Elections capturing the final outcome of the number of forms that are

[crosstalk]

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HENRY BERGER: But the Board of Elections may have more information on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And I also just wanted to make clear, the privacy concerns aside, I'm not sure I fully agree, but to the parts that I do I think it is something that we can work through so that they are not a concern, so assuming we can do that, the public accountability of knowing how many forms go to an agency and how many come back, is that something you are supportive of?

HENRY BERGER: Yes, we do get information from the Board of Elections now as to how many forms they distribute to each agency and we get that and I can follow that in terms of what's coming back. That's what our metrics are going to have to look at.

CONCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you and then just following on one thing that Council Member Lander had said. Why would it be beneficial to wait for an agency like NYCHA? I mean there were very compelling statements made that I think make a lot of sense and I see why you'd want to kind of get some empirical data I guess, but something like NYCHA, which is going to be massive and actually probably has one of the biggest needs and kind of a captive

2 audience there, why would that want to be an agency

3 | that you wait to move on?

2.2

NYCHA and the Department of Education, the issue wasn't whether we can do it. The issue is how we're going to do it. I mean I see the Department of Education as 1,700 registration sites, but the problem is it's 1,700 registration sites similar kinds of problems, so you know the issues we have to work through and you know, we're not thinking about this for the first time hearing it from you today. We have been thinking about this and you know, and having discussions as to how are we able to implement it in an agency such as the Department of Education and in NYCHA and it's complex, but... it's a complex problem, but we are going to work it through.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So I see the bill that would include NYCHA more as a nudge to help thinking through that as opposed to making it more prohibitive to actually accomplish it.

HENRY BERGER: I will leave it to your attorneys as to what your authority is to tell NYCHA what to do or not do something. I mean it...

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON KALLOS:} \mbox{ To the extent that}$  there are plans that are not... do not require

share the plans with the Council at that time.

23

24

Thanksgiving. [laughter] I hope to have a happy one

1 73 2 and to feed as many New Yorkers as possible while I 3 am enjoying as well. Since the requirement was 4 instituted 14 years ago, as opposed to simply attaching the form, but it was actually supposed to integrated voter registration and was supposed to be 6 integrated into the form, not just you got a 50-page form for benefits and then at the end of it you have 8 stapled to the back a voter registration form that 9 you kind of just tear off and then hand in your 10 11 application. How many of these 17 agencies actually 12 did more than just... did anything, whether it was just staple it on the back or do more? 13 14

MINDY TARLOW: I don't think we know the answer to that question.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, in terms of for Directive 1 is there a goal to... is it just the stapled form on the back of the applications and documents or is it to actually get the true integration we were seeking 14 years ago?

HENRY BERGER: The problem with simply printing the form as part of the application is one, the language accessibility issue and two, the issue of are people with that particular agency actually filling them out as part of the application form or

1 2 is it a take-home where a self-mailer is more 3 effective? I think we've got to work that through 4 with each agency and with those agencies that have it 5 computerized I think it's going to be a lot easier 6 because we're going to get the fillable PDFs from the 7 Board of Elections and that could be part of ... that's easy to do as part of the package because 8 those come in multiple languages. So you know, it's 9

not a simple answer to a simple question.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Okay, I quess it's been a long time since I've renewed or applied for a driver's license. It took me 'til I was 21, but still it's... I look young, but it seems like with the motor voter, I just fill out my driver's license application and there's a check box like would you like to register to vote and then pick a party and you're done. Is that possible for as many of the agencies as possible? Is that part of the Directive 1 rollout?

HENRY BERGER: Well, one, it's not quite that simple on the DMV form, but it's... it ends up you know, if you say yes, it finally kicks you down at the end to a separate form, which then has to be filled out and also signed. The DMV has an advantage 1 COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2 over us. One, they're all computerized and one, they

75

3 have a single computer system, so operationally...

it's a goal, but operationally it's also a challenge.

5 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: With regard to

6 Introduction 493, you indicate we'd like to be

7 thoughtful about timing of expanding the scope, which

8 was already asked and then making sure any new

9 agencies so on and so forth conducive to coupling

10 | with voter registration. Can you comment at this

11 | time if they are any agencies that we're seeking to

12 | add that are agencies that you're concerned about

13 adding?

14

24

25

4

MINDY TARLOW: [off mic] Or me? You want

15 | to take it?

16 HENRY BERGER: I think the better way to

17 | look at it is there are several agencies that we're

18 | looking at, which have specific problems that we're

19 going to work through that we will add. So, for

20 | example, there is an agency that provides services,

21  $\parallel$  including legal services. The legal services are

22 | funded by the federal government. There's a

23 | restriction on that agency using non-attorneys for

doing voter registration. It's an issue that has to

be worked through. Several of the agencies are

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

76

currently covered by the National Voter Registration

Act. They have their own requirements and their own
reporting requirements. We have to work with them

how we integrate those so that there's not

duplication, so that there's a single set of

standards that applies across the board. So some of

the agencies we think are agencies that don't deal

directly with the public and adding them really doesn't add any you know, significant opportunity to

11 register more voters, but we're going to work through

12 | them you know, and it's not just the ones you know,

13 you've recognized. There are 44 city agencies,

14 something like that and there are only 17 that are

15 covered by Local Law 29. We really have to look at

16 all of the others and see which ones are appropriate

17 | to add here and we're going to do that.

MINDY TARLOW: Right and if I could just underscore that was kind of the conversation

Councilman Lander and I were having before, right, when there are agencies that have really high potential with tremendous numbers of people seeking services and others where they'll have fewer people seeking services you know, we're trying to balance that out and get the most high potential that we can.

2	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: As a kid in a candy
3	store, I think that we started with 19 in the statute
4	and we're adding 15, getting us to 34. Hearing the
5	number of 44 is just if you could forward us the
6	next 10 we'd love to include them in the next version
7	so that we codify it so that whoever the next Mayor
8	might be after all of us are long since gone, it's
9	still something that's mandated. So is that
10	something that could be shared?
11	HENRY BERGER: In principle yes, but
12	you've got to remember several of these agencies
13	don't provide services directly to the public. They
14	don't interact with the public on a regular basis.
15	There are other agencies that are specifically
16	excluded under Local Law 29 under the public safety
17	exception. There's a reason for that and we've got
18	to work through that with each of the agencies that
19	we deal with.
20	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: As
21	[crosstalk]
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I
23	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yeah.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I was just going

to say I really like the idea of the NYPD offering

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

voter registrations in all its interactions with the public. I think that would go a long way to solving many problems all at once.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you, Council

Member Lander. It would go a long way towards building a stronger relationship as we move towards community policing. Going towards the... Council Member Williams' bill and the voter registration forms, I am dying to get the phone numbers and emails off those voter registration forms, but I can't get them because those are protected by privacy. number, social security number also protected by privacy and so my understanding is that those pieces of information are available, but the Board of Election keeps the voter registration on file, but just members of the public if we want to see it, we don't really get to see it an unredacted [sic] version unless I guess in litigation. Is that correct?

HENRY BERGER: You know, i... you know, from my own experience I know that they have been accessible when they have been needed. As to what those accessibility requirements are I think the Board of Elections can answer it better, but I know

25 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: And so...

24

address.

[crosstalk]

2.2

HENRY BERGER: It's just a street address.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Anyone who's looking at a voter registration form or voter registration data right now knows who is receiving services from NYCHA, Homeless Services and various other places where the services are residency-based. Is that correct?

HENRY BERGER: In some cases yes; in some cases no.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Oh, okay, I think...
oh, one last piece. I almost forgot this. Back in
2008, we did a DNC site where we actually did this
tracking on voter registration where the voter
registration forms were embedded and on the website,
so we did it on the state party website and elsewhere
and it was great because when you did it one of the
first things it asked you for was an immediate email
capture and once you did that, if you didn't fill out
the form it emailed you and said hey, did you fill
out your form? Do you need help filling out the
form? Can somebody call you and help you fill out
the form? Once you filled out the form they'd send

you an email saying hey, did you remember to print
the form? Did you remember to mail the form? And

4 then when... if it didn't get received by the Board

5 of Elections and updated in the voter registration

6 system they would then follow up with hey, your form

7 wasn't received. Can you do something about it? So

that software already exists; it's already been done.

9 It's six years old at this point and I'm happy to

10 make it available. Barring any further questions, I

11 want to thank you for taking questions for an hour

12 and a half.

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MINDY TARLOW: Okay, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. I'd like to call up the next panel. We have Mike Ryan and Dawn Sandow from the New York City board of Elections and Amy Loprest and Art Chang from the New York City

[Pause]

Campaign Finance Board.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: While we wait for our next panel to be seated, I did want to take a moment to thank and publicly acknowledge one of the Commissioners for the New York City Board of Elections for being the audience today. It is not something that we're quite used to, but we hope that

Coordinator of Voter Registration Beth Fossella; MIS

25

Director Steven Ferguson, as well as General Counsel and Deputy General Counsel Steve Richman and Raphael Savino, respectively.

Before I start my testimony proper, I would like to point out that this matter was discussed with the Commissioners of the Board of Elections in the City of New York and while there was no consensus amongst the Commissioners whether to support or not to support either piece of legislation, I think that's in keeping with the tradition of the Board of Elections and its exercise of its ministerial duties. Nonetheless, we're here today to offer some testimony with respect to the two proposals and hopefully give some guidance to the City Council as it makes its decisions moving forward.

As has been stated previously, Local Law
29 went into effect on August 23rd, 2000. The
identified agencies were required to distribute voter
registration materials to person utilizing their
respective services. A version of the New York state
voter registration form was created bearing the
legend "Code 9." These forms are identical to the
standard registration forms issued by the New York

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2.2

State board of Election in all other respects.

Agencies make these coded forms available along with any of their own documents to service users. To clarify, the purpose of the Code 9 legend is to allow for the tracking of both distribution and receipt of these forms.

The two Intros, 356 and 493, pertain mainly to enforcing and increasing the number of city agencies who solicit and promote voter registration by including these coded voter registration forms along with other distributed documents. If any agency or service user completes the form and returns same to an employee of one of the 22 required agencies or by mail, said voter registration application is forwarded to the Board.

Addressing Intro Number 493, I stated previously the Board takes no position as to the additional agencies proposed to be included in the requirement to distribute voter registration forms or materials. Should this Intro become law, the Board will work closely with these agencies and the Office of the Mayor to ensure compliance and as was previously testified earlier, we have been doing so

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

\_ \_

21

22

23

24

25

already with respect to the currently covered agencies.

As stated, presently there is one code for the mandated agencies. Election Law Section 5-211, subdivision 8 states in pertinent part "such voter registration application shall be designed so as to ensure the confidentiality of the source of the application." It is the considered opinion of the Board that the current use of the general "Code 9" for all mandated agencies complies with the New York State Election Law. The proposed distinguishing of individual agencies set forth in Intro Number 356 requires a comprehensive analysis of the effects thereof to determine whether such proposal adheres to the requirements of Election Law Section 2011, subdivision 8 whether in spirit or in actuality. any event, the Board will comply with any legally mandated requirements.

I recognize that our testimony is brief.

We are available for questions and answers. Given the timing of this hearing, we have an election... a General Election to put on in a week and a half, so I will admit that we did not dive into this particular issue as deeply as we might have under other

circumstances, but I'm certainly you know, willing to
answer any questions and hopefully provide additional

4 guidance.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Campaign Finance

and members of the committee. My name is Amy

Loprest. I'm the Executive Director of the New York

City Campaign Finance Board. I'm joined today with a

member of our board, Art Chang, who is also the Chair

of our Voter Assistance Advisory Committee. I, too,

have a number of staff members here who might be able

to answer questions if needed: Eric Friedman, our

Executive Director of Public Affairs; Onida Coward

Mayers, who's the Director of our Voter Assistance

Unit.

I thank you for the opportunity to

testify here today. With an important election less

than two weeks away, there should be renewed focus on
improving New York's low voter participation rates.

New Yorkers who demand better performance from their
government can start with their own behavior. The

starting point is voting. By simply casting a

ballot, citizens can ensure government is accountable

to their needs, but government needs to do its part
as well. Healthy democratic governments encourage
civic participation by enabling the broadest possible
access to the electoral process. While the voter
registration deadline is past for this year's General
Election on November 4th, the effort to involve more
New Yorkers in our democracy will continue. For that

9 reason I am pleased the Council is holding this

10 hearing and considering the two bills we are

11 discussing today.

2.2

Increasing voter registration is a core mission for the CFB. Through the work of our Voter Assistance Advisory Committee and our NYC Votes Campaign, we reach thousands of New Yorkers at events around New York City each year to help them register to vote. A central element of this work is helping our partners, both public and private organizations, build their own programs to educate and engage their constituents in the electoral process. Our popular "Train the Trainer" sessions provide these organizations with the tools to build a voter engagement program that is consistent with their own culture. These efforts have been very successful. In collaboration with more than 100 community and

1

3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

corporate partners, we collected more than 15,000 voter registration forms in 2013.

The City Charter requires the CFB to coordinate the activities of the 18 city agencies and 59 community boards that are required to help register voters under Local Law 29 of 2000. We have taken an active role in helping these agencies meet the goals of the law. Our Voter Assistance Staff works directly with each agency to develop and implement a voter action plan. Earlier this year, we held the training sessions at our offices attended by representatives of 14 of the 18 covered agencies and 13 of the community boards. We train agency staff to conduct on site voter registration drives. provide graphics spotlighting upcoming elections and deadlines for agencies to post on their websites, on social media and in emails to their clients. trainings and VAAC regular public meetings, provide a forum for these agencies these agencies to discuss their voter assistance efforts and compare notes on best practices. Our next VAAC meeting will be held next Tuesday evening at our offices at 100 Church Street and I invite everyone here and who's watching this hearing to attend.

## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 Clearly, there are challenges in 3 implementing the law and there are areas where 4 agencies compliance can improve. We have seen a renewed push from this administration to make those 5 improvements. In the course of our work, we have 6 7 also seen a deep commitment among city agency staff 8 to the broader goals of increasing voter registration and participation. Programs developed by our agency 9 partners have made important contributions to 10 11 increasing voter participation in New York City. 12 encourage these efforts and offer city agencies some 13 flexibility to meet their pro-voter mandate in ways

that mesh with their own mission and culture.

Many of the agencies we work with go above and beyond the law's requirement and I'd like to highlight a few examples. The Department of Youth and Community Development has long been an active participant in our Youth engagement initiatives.

DCYD hosted Youth Voting Workshops conducted by CFB staff at 16 of its Summer Youth Employment Program sites and invited the young poets from the NYC Votes Youth Poet Laureate Program to perform. DYCD has also been a big participant in National Voter Registration Day. In recognitions of these efforts,

1 COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2.2

the CFB awarded DYCD with our First Annual NYC votes
Award for Excellence in Public Outreach last year.

The Taxi and Limousine Commission has aired voting themed public service announcements in taxicabs throughout the years. They've also conducted NYC Votes Election Day surveys on Taxi TV and yellow and green borough cabs, with more than 16,000 participants from 2012 to the present.

The Administration for Children's

Services invited NYC Votes to register and talk to

teenagers in its housing works who are approaching

voter eligibility. ACS is also a regular participant

in National Voter Registration Day, holding a

registration drive at its office on Williams Street.

In addition, we've worked with city
agencies which are not covered by Local Law 29, but
participate in our programs voluntarily. One example
is the Health and Hospitals Corporation, which
routinely partners with us to hold voter registration
drives in its hospitals and other facilities
throughout the city. In 2013, HHC aired our Video
Voter Guide in some facilities for two weeks leading
up to the Primary and General Elections. HHC has

2 registered over 2,000 citizens since first partnering

3 | with us in 2012.

2.2

Other agencies have been fantastic voluntary partners, including the Department of Aging, the Human Resources Administration and New York City Housing Authority. These agencies are helping create a voting culture that fits with their own missions and their efforts are to be commended.

Intro 493 would significantly expand the scope of agency-based voter registration mandate to cover new agencies. The bill covers some of the voluntary partners I mentioned and we suggest you would consider expanding the bill to the extent the Council is able to include other willing partners like HHC and NYCHA. We should encourage all of these agencies to go further and provide more New Yorkers with the opportunity to register to vote.

As I mentioned, agencies face some real challenges implementing Local Law 29. One concern we consistently hear is how to best provide voter registration forms to their clients. Incorporating the voter registration form into their own forms, as the Charter requires, can be cumbersome. We've heard a lot about that from the administration. We are

working with the new administration on ways to help agencies more readily provide voter registration

4 forms to clients and make those efforts more

5 effective. We are developing webinars and video

6 resources to extend the reach of our training to

7 agency staff who may not be able to attend in person.

8 We are working to develop annotative voter

9 registration forms to guide agency staff and their

10 clients on how to successfully complete the forms and

11 | we are creating brochures to elevate the profile of

12 this important mission among frontline agency staff.

13 These programs should help agency staff highlight

14 voter registration opportunities for their clients,

which should make those clients more likely to

16 register and vote.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

As more and more New Yorkers interact with their government online, establishing true universal online voter registration would absolutely enhance agency's efforts to meet the goals of the pro-voter law. Currently, access to online voter registration is available only to customers of the State Department of Motor Vehicles. We commend the Chair of this committee for his bill to establish an online voter registration portal for New York City.

Just think, one stop site where New Yorkers can transact all business of democracy would be a game changer. Electronic registration would also enable more thorough real time tracking of agencies' efforts to help New Yorkers register.

As a simple step in this direction, we have asked the City Board of Elections, and I'm happy to have heard from the testimony previous that they're going to make available the electronic PDF form that will include the tracking code for city agencies. Providing an electronic voter registration form for these agencies that they can make available on their websites would help the agencies better serve their clients.

We support Intro 356, which will allow better tracking of agencies' voter registration efforts. Agency specific codes would allow agencies to evaluate which of their programs work best and allow others to hold the agencies accountable when they fall short. Better tracking will help the CFB recommend specific ways our partner can help and improve their voter registration efforts. Clear, trackable data about the agencies' voter registration efforts will also make it possible for the agencies

and their staff to see that their work in this area is making a difference. We would suggest that other agencies not covered by the charter mandate may benefit from agency specific tracking codes. The Department of Education, for instance, has a separate mandate to provide voter registration forms for students as they graduate from high school, but we cannot track the success of these efforts. The CFB is also mandated to assist in voter registration and a unique tracking code would allow us to more precisely quantify the impact of our own extensive voter registration programs.

I'd like to thank you again for the opportunity to testify today and I look forward to hearing from the agency representatives today about their experience with Local Law 29 and of course, I welcome any questions.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you particularly to the Board of Elections, who kind of have the biggest deal you get all year coming up, so thank you for being here and glad that you've brought any testimony, given everything else, and I know you do have an election to run. I...

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

MICHAEL RYAN:

[interposing] And I'm

3 sorry I wasn't able to make you smile as broadly as

Miss Loprest. [laughter]

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: No worries. Ι'd

also like to acknowledge...

[crosstalk]

AMY LOPREST: It's not a competition.

[crosstalk]

10 CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That we have... we

usually do these hearings at 1:00 and that means we 11

12 can go as long as we want, which tends to be long.

Today we will be kicked out of this room at 1:00, so 13

14 we will be a little bit briefer than usual. With

15 regard to Board of Elections, just understand there's

16 the Code 9 and then can non-profits that do voter

17 registration get a tracking code as well?

18 MICHAEL RYAN: We have another tracking

code that we use for CUNY, which is Code D. 19

20 are the two tracking codes that we have presently and

typically, my understanding is that CUNY, and I could 21

2.2 be stepping out of turn and I know that Mr.

23 Rosenstein is here as well, but my understanding is

24 that they partner with NYPIRG on that issue as well

phone numbers?

1	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 97
2	MICHAEL RYAN: Whatever else is on that
3	form other than those categories goes out.
4	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I'm holding you to
5	this 'cause you're 'bout to I'm going to go buy a
6	CD after this.
7	MICHAEL RYAN: Come by and we'll let
8	you we'll go we'll put you on public public and
9	you can run all the stuff you need.
10	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: That sounds great.
11	We hear a lot about printing voter registration
12	forms. There's a wonderful thing that folks are
13	doing now of print on demand and just did the Climate
14	March. Do you think there's any reason why the BOE
15	needs to print and send out how many hundred thousand
16	voter registration forms in order
17	[crosstalk]
18	MICHAEL RYAN: Well
19	[crosstalk]
20	CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: To get 25,000 back
21	and
22	[crosstalk]
23	MICHAEL RYAN: It's interesting.
24	[crosstalk]

\_

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Do you believe it might be better to let the agencies just print on an as needed basis?

MICHAEL RYAN: In 2013, we distributed over 100,000 forms, so slightly over. It was like... I think it was 106,250.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Mm-hm.

MICHAEL RYAN: Already in 2014, and I think this is indicative of a see change not only in the City Council, but in the administration as well, we've already distributed over 280,000 and the year's not over. So there's a lot of paper going out, but I think you're right. We're not getting a large return. I don't have the return numbers for 2014, but the return numbers for 2013 were 4,339 based on the 106,000 distributed. What we don't know and what we can't track is whether or not the inventory that's going out to the individual agencies is right sized or whether they're simply having enough available in case anybody asks for them. So that's a piece of the puzzle that we can't track and quite frankly, we'd prefer, although we would follow any statutory mandate, we would prefer not to be in the business of overseeing city agencies in terms of their compliance

with the law. We prefer to impart our information on the back end, which would be a success rate, keeping in mind that the mere fact that a voter registration form is transmitted to us doesn't mean that they automatically go on the voter rolls because there's a clearing process that must be done. The person might already be registered to vote, in which case they

wouldn't be included. They might not... they might be ineligible or if they missed information, we do send out a missing information notice.

embarrassing myself, I know that my office has voter registration forms. I'd love to make sure all the other... all of my colleagues have them. Could I get like 10 voter registration forms in English, Spanish, Bengali, Korean and Chinese and 10 per office, so if you could drop off 510 at 250 Broadway we'd love to get that distributed to my colleagues and then make sure that they know not only can they have them on hand, but they can just print them out and that's perhaps why I'm going to ask for fewer, so that's a yes?

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Perfect.

2.2

## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL RYAN: And we have 500 on hand. With the agencies the way we handle this there's about a two-week turnaround. We have an outside vendor.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Yep.

MICHAEL RYAN: And when they ask for a certain number of forms, we get them printed and they're distributed. The difference though I think with the printing on demand that does change the dynamic. The one that we distribute to the agencies is a self-contained self-sealable form requiring no postage and I think... and I'm not a printing expert and I'm not an MIS expert, but what I've been told is that there are challenges, technology challenges associated with that and perhaps Mr. Chang or some others can speak to those things better than I, but making it foldable, sealable, self-contained with a stamp; you know, no postage necessary presents challenges and I know that we have had challenges with those envelopes even doing them well in advance because there is a plastic template that we have to put over these envelopes that is provided by the post office to make sure that all of the various codes that the Post Office needs to process these things

## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

electronically is all in the proper place. there's a lot more detail to these things than otherwise might seem and so you know, the way it's been handled up to this point is to distribute the forms to the agencies.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I am actually very familiar with mail house operations [laughter] and exactly what you're talking about and I'd be committed to working with our members of Congress and the Post Office to ensure that when it comes to a voter registration form whatever regulations could be waived so if somebody printed out wrong it could still get mailed for free. But yes, the one that you print out online from the... that I just printed out online from your website says that it's no postage necessary, so...

> MICHAEL RYAN: [interposing] Right.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: There are probably a lot of people who just take it, fold it, put it in the mail and hope it gets there.

> MICHAEL RYAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Can you explain a little bit to me, and perhaps this is for Mr. Ferguson, but can you explain a little bit about how

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

1617

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

electronic voter registration process works between your agency, the DMV or perhaps if any agencies do it or did it, what's the process? Does it save you time? Does it save money? Is it more popular and have there been any challenges to implementation?

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, in terms of

popularity, we made a cutover on March 11th of 2014, so there really is no way to gauge the popularity. We changed the way business was done. We're not... clearly if somebody picked up a voter registration form out of the DMV office they could mail it into us, but if they go through the DMV process it comes to us electronically. How that worked, and apparently it wasn't all that complicated, since we don't really need to talk in the computer language, they developed a program to do this; they meaning DMV. We then had to do some interface work on our end, which was completed and there was data testing and on March the 11th, a Tuesday, 'cause we get a... I know this is not necessarily an attractive term, but we get a data dump every Tuesday and Friday and then what happens with that is it goes into a queue and then our bipartisan team of employees have to work that to make sure that the people that go on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

rolls ultimately are eligible to be on the rolls and for example, if somebody... I know there's been some talk recently about birth dates. If somebody's missing their birth date on their form now, we send them a voter... a missing information notice to the voter; potential voter and when they send that information back to us, their record is then complete and we put them onto the rolls. So, but in terms of the DMV, the challenge here is the capturing of the signature. The DMV is considered to be a custodial agency for the purposes of the signature. not looked at whether any other city agency might also qualify to be considered a custodial agency for the purposes of us, BOE, being able to accept an electronic version of their signature. But even if we stopped short of that, having an electronic... a way for voters to input their information electronically, even if that still meant ultimately that they had to print up a form and sign it back and get it to us, that information would be already in the system and all we would have to do is scan the form in, clip the signature out and put the signature into the completed record and then have it be examined by our bipartisan team. So not having to

decipher handwritings by bleary-eyed employees who are trying to get everybody on the rolls you know, leading up to an election event would be a very, very helpful thing and it would eliminate a tremendous amount of data entry for us.

Of the comments that I offered to the Mayor's Office of Operations, would you agree to reach out to me and this committee and this body to provide assistance, whether it's software that's already been built and six years old or other processes that have already been built and would probably be available for free in order for the Board of Elections to better improve their process, including having that button do two things at once?

MICHAEL RYAN: One of the things that our staff is currently working on to make sure that our version ultimately is system independent and doesn't necessarily have to interface with other agencies that way that ours does with the DMV is they're developing a web-based application that will be a separate application for the city agencies; you know, under the present circumstance, those Code 9s and then the same exact thing for the general public

except it won't have the Code 9 attachment to it and that's I would say about six months in the offing, but it's under development now and we expect to be able to roll that out and we're targeting 2015 for a lot of things because 2016's going to be a bit of a bear in terms of election events.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: For what it's worth, the software's already built and you can probably put it up tomorrow or consider it. And then just I'd be remiss if I didn't say thank you for distributing your conflict of interest... sorry, your conflict of interest and close family relative policy. I would love to see a explanation of the difference between what you have and what DOI had provided and then I would remiss if I didn't have you in front of me and didn't ask when the Board of Elections will be voting on posting its... all of its available positions online or for the public.

MICHAEL RYAN: Well, first of all, the thanks, and I will accept the thanks on behalf of the Commissioners, but the thanks goes to the Commissioners on the issue of the COIB and the close relative policy. With respect to the job postings, I know that this has been an issue that has been

2.2

discussed in great detail amongst the Commissioners and I'm certain if there is a consensus on exactly how that should be done amongst the Commissioners, then they will vote on that and that will be taken care of.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Three of them came in and swore under oath that they would do it at the next meeting.

MICHAEL RYAN: I understand and as I've stated here before, it still remains more of a legislative process and you know, you need six and when six Commissioners agree on any policy, whether it be the posting of jobs or any other such policy, then that's the mandate that the Board follows and until that happens, it's not the mandate.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much and my last question I'm getting older and perhaps more vain, can I put I'm 30-plus so that I can just keep celebrating my 29th birthday or...

MICHAEL RYAN: That used to be the case. It is no longer the case. If you do not give us a complete date of birth now when you go to register, you will get a missing information notice and you will not be added to the voter rolls until such time

community boards. You only had 14 agencies show up,

which is actually pretty great and 13, which is

24

25

J

2.2

slightly disappointing and now I have oversight over community boards and perhaps we should ask them to come by and explain; get a little compliance. But one question is seems like you're doing what you're supposed to be doing and in fact, you're going above and beyond on being proactive, but the Center for Popular Democracy's recent report finds that those 14 agencies didn't seem to get the results from what you tried to achieve. What can we do to support it?

Would this legislation help or what should Mayor's Office of Operations be considering?

AMY LOPREST: I think one thing that's very positive is just the commitment of the current administration to encourage agencies to develop their plans and work the plans and I think that we'll see a lot of result from that. Again, it is disappointing that the results have not been as one would have hoped, but there's always room for improvement in any system and I really... I'm encouraged by the Mayor's commitment to this issue. I think that will help.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you for your commitment. In your recent report, you noted that many people have donated that never had before and you also came out with a new report that I really

addresses are the right people.

25

\_

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I recommend matching by zip code, first name, last or first initial last name and then doing additional... Art and I can geek out about it later. [laughter] Would the CFB be... it's funny 'cause I was thinking about this. It would be odd to have a candidate running for office that wasn't registered to vote, but as this body has learned, you need to update your voter registration forms sometimes; otherwise, what have you. So is there an opportunity to try to register people who are donors; perhaps through New York City Votes application or what not and allowing people you know, if we can get this fillable PDF to say hey, we can register you and what have you and even mail your voter registration form as part of the New York City

AMY LOPREST: Well, the app actually does point you now; I mean you can go right to the form that you can fill out on... that's on our website, but, of course, again, it's you know, the kind that you have to print out and sign and mail in. If we develop this portal, which you know, that would be great and so we could always just link into the

Votes app or other point in voting.

Member Williams.

25

1

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr.

3

Chair. Thank you so much for your testimony. I

4

think most of my questions will probably be for the

5

Board of Elections, but anyone can chime in. I do

6

want to focus on... it seems I think you have some of

7

the similar privacy concerns that the Mayor's Office  $\,$ 

8

has. Is that correct?

9

MICHAEL RYAN: Yes.

10

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Uh-huh. Now,

MICHAEL RYAN: No, the registration forms

11

first I wanted to ask... this is probably a strange  $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) +\frac{$ 

12

question, but do the registration cards expire at any

13

point? Like 10 years ago if I found one can I fill

14

it out and send it in or what's the deal?

15

16 don't expire, but some of the older forms, depending

17

on how far you go back might not have all of the

18

19

information that we collect now, but if you filled it

out and sent it in and it meets the ... you know,

20 otherwise met the requirements we would send you a

21

missing information notice in the event that you left

22

something off or that something wasn't on that form

23

that's on the current forms.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So out of the

25

24

hundred and some odd thousand last year, for example,

2 that were given to the agencies, do we know how many

3 | they actually sent out?

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: We don't know that. what we do know is how many we got back and that number is 4,339.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Do... is there a count of like do we know if they have 10,000 left so we don't have to give them another 10,000 or we just give it to them anyway?

MICHAEL RYAN: We respond to the agencies based on request, so when they request additional forms, we process the request and we give it to them. You know, interestingly enough, I don't know that we necessarily need to be in the voting form distribution businesses. The vendor that is used is a vendor that's commonly used amongst many city agencies and perhaps it could be done more directly and we don't need to be the middle man necessarily and that would be a more efficient system in my opinion, but again, being clear, those are just operational concerns. Whatever is mandated by the City Council, we will certainly follow.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And I just wanted to understand again. I think... and I missed

2 som

some of it, but there are some parts that I think you're saying of the information on the form that does become public or doesn't, so can you repeat that again?

understand it, there's only four items pursuant to Election Law Section 3-220, subdivision 1. If a voter has a driver's license, their driver's license ID number does not get made public. If they're a non-driver and they have a DMV issued non-driver ID, that doesn't get made public. The last four digits of the social security number do not get made public and if you have a fax number... I don't know why a fax number is differentiated from an email, but it is. I guess they don't want people's you know fax machines running out of paper.

AMY LOPREST: [interposing] So you can't have an update of the election.

MICHAEL RYAN: But... right? But in any event, those are the four items that we're presently precluded from giving out, so based on the conversation that happened earlier, we believe that we would be required to publish any other information and there may be some sensitive agencies obviously.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WI

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: How do you cull

3 | that information?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MICHAEL RYAN: It's a Code 9 and it's called Automated Way by the computer.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Mm-hm. So we're just saying that if we put this code on, even if we said don't make it public, you believe you would have to make it public.

MICHAEL RYAN: Based on the current iteration of State Election Law. Again, with respect, Council Member, we have an election coming up in a week and a half. We got this notice last week. We didn't really dive deep into it or reach out the State Board of Elections to see whether they had an opinion, so I don't want to make an authoritative statement today when I'm really not prepared to do so. It's simply a concern and I know that we have lawyers that work for us and the Council has lawyers and I think we could all put our heads together and I think the conciliatory tone that is struck here between the administration and the Council; there's a lot of smart people; we should be able to figure out a way to make this problem go away.

2 COUNCIL MEMBE

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay, thank you

very much.

2.2

MICHAEL RYAN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Last question to

Campaign Finance Board. There's an electronic Voter

Guide, an online Voter Guide this year. What is the

URL where anyone watching this or anyone from the

press can report so that any New Yorker; anyone in

New York City can find out what's going to be on the

ballot and who's going to be on the ballot, the

various propositions and all sorts of links to the

candidates and further information?

AMY LOPREST: Well, the main URL is www.nyccfb.info. I have to check. Usually there is a separate URL that's just nycvoterguide.org, but I have to check on that, but if you go to our main page you would be able to link right to the Voter Guide.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: How long before we have nycfb.nyc?

AMY LOPREST: Well, you know, now we have... we own those... we registered for those URLs when they first proposed them, so we will be adopting them soon.

1

\_

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you very much for your participation. As always, it's a pleasure. I'd like to call up the last panel. It consists of our brothers and sisters in the good government, from which I recently no longer get to serve quite. just perhaps an honorary member. Steven Carbo from the Center for Popular Democracy; Denora Gatitu from Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law; Neil Rosenstein from New York Public Interest Rights Group; Kate Dorin from the League of Women Voters of the City of New York and Rachel Fauss from Citizens Union. While we are all getting seated and moving about, I would like to take a moment to thank them for their recent report that came out this week. do not comment as to whether or not we timed this hearing to coincide with that report, but we do thank them for that. This report follows up on a report originally done by the City Council several years back and the City Council no longer has an Oversight and Investigations team in the same way that we once did before. I also want to apologize for the fact that the previous two panels were a little bit longer than they should have been or could have been and I just want to ask everyone who is watching on

1 2 television or online to please take a look at the report put out by all of these agencies. 3 find it at populardemocracy.org. It's entitled, "A 4 5 Broken Promise: Agency-based Voter Registration in New York City," and without further ado, I'd like to 6 7 ask for the panel to please go and the only thing I'd request is we have a whopping 20 or so minutes left, 8 so to the extent you're able to abbreviate your 9 testimony and give guick summaries, that will allow 10 us to have conversation, but otherwise, I'm happy to 11 12 let you guys and gals go until we get kicked out at 1:00. [background voices] I will not be swearing you 13 14 in, but I [laughter] hope that you will be truthful

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in your statements.

STEVEN CARBO: Thank you for that level of trust. Good morning, Mr. Chairman. My name, of course, Steven Carbo, Center for Popular Democracy and I want to thank you for the opportunity to appear before the committee today and thank the committee for convening this hearing on an issue, which I think it's crucial to the vibrancy of our democracy, which is the extent to which voter registration opportunities are being offered in New York City and we, of course, know that voter registration is the

number one barrier to the vote, with about 25 percent of Americans across the country unable to vote; case a ballot November 2012 simply because they weren't registered to vote.

Agency-based voter registration is, of course, a critical resource around the country and particularly in New York City, where so many New Yorkers don't have a driver's license, are not going to the DMV and are not being offered voter registration opportunity there, as so many other Americans do around the country. Agency-based voter registration is particularly effective we know because of data that's come out of the NVRA, the federal requirement for public agencies under the federal statute. Good programs are registering 15 to 20 percent of agency applicants. I stress that because I think that's the kind of goal and the kind of outcome that I would hope that we would set for ourselves here in New York City.

We've heard a lot of reference to the report, of course, today and I don't know that I need to belabor the point about how poor performance was across a whole range of measures in our investigations and in our interviews of clients

\_

outside of agencies; in our interviews of agency staff inside in the 14 sites that we visited over the summer, but it is clear that the pro-voter law up until that point has been an abject failure. And so fast forward, certainly to July 11th and the Mayor's Directive Number 1; our conversations with folks in the Mayor's Office since then; our conversations with your office and others on the Council, we are very hopeful that we can turn the page and perhaps for the first time in 14 years actually have the pro-voter law implemented.

We, of course, have a number of specific recommendations and ideas on how the promise of the law can be realized and myself and my colleagues will highlight them in a time that we have today, but certainly look forward to continuing our conversations with you; your staff; with the Mayor's Office about those specific recommendations that can make all the difference in terms of voter registration opportunities here in this city. We've got to train all the employees effectively and that the training protocols need to get down to the level of the frontline staff, which we found was not the case. 11 of 11 such people that we talked to

1 2 admitted that there was no training for personnel at the agencies. We need to establish comprehensive 3 protocols on how it'll all be implemented and we 4 think that that should happen by the end of the year. 6 There is some urgency. It was a tremendous lost 7 opportunity under in the city; in the agencies this year when over 30 percent of the people that we 8 talked to were not registered to vote and now at this 9 point in time have missed their opportunity. If they 10 have not otherwise registered through some other 11 12 means besides the... apart from the agency 13 interactions, they've lost their opportunity now to 14 vote on November 4th. So there is some urgency that 15 we move expeditiously to turn around the ship and 16 certainly by the next election cycle we would hope 17 that we would be singing a different tune, but we can 18 make a lot of progress before then and we need to see some progress I think by the end of the year on some 19 20 of these issues.

Subcontractors need to be providing the services. We need... agencies need to be asking for the metrics from the subcontractors so that we know that they're fulfilling their legal requirement. need physical integration of voter registration forms

21

2.2

23

24

25

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

into the agency application forms. I would highlight that the ACS was one we... through our Freedom of Information Law request we found that ACS is using an integrated form. That integrated form originates from the state because they are providing some of the services that are required for which a voter mandate... voter registration or mandate is triggered under federal law. I think the fact that ACS and the federal law... I think we should look at it as a model for what the city agencies can do in the future.

A number of our recommendations directly track what is required under federal law. collection and data reporting, for instance, for which there was a conversation earlier. There are specific mandates in federal law, which apply to HRA, a city agency today, because they provide a service that triggers the federal statute that where they're tracking the number of people who are offered voter registration; the number of people who are accepting that offer; the number of people who are declining that offer and that data must be maintained for certain periods of time. So to the previous conversation about metrics, we can actually look to a

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

city agency today and look to see how they're capturing the data and how they're maintain the data, which goes directly to whether people are being offered voter registration or not. So we don't need to reinvent the wheel on much of this and certainly data collection is one of those issues.

One of the recommendations that we've suggested was a mayoral appointment. A city level coordinator on this program I think will be helpful. We heard that there agencies who are designating people at the agency levels to coordinate. I think that's a step in the right direction. Voter Assistance Advisory Commission can perhaps play a stepped up role in terms of being an independent monitor of what's happening at the agencies. So my colleagues will touch on some of the other issues around metrics and around comprehensive monitoring programs; mandating the kind of assistance that should be offered, not only as Chairman Kallos, you suggest in your bill. I think it... the pro voter law canon should follow the federal statute in terms of offers of assistance, electronic transmission of forms and, of course, expansion of the law to reach

2.2

some high traffic agencies, as was discussed earlier, like NYCHA and the Department of Education.

So in closing, agency-based registration and the pro-voter law, is a very, very powerful tool to help Americans... residents of the City of New York access to vote. I think we have an opportunity here to not only realize the promise of the pro-voter law in New York City, but to really serve as a model for the rest of the country in terms of what forward thinking progressive municipalities can do to try to help engage citizenry in elections. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you.

DENORA GETACHEW: Good afternoon, Chair
Kallos and Committee Council and members of the
audience. My name is DeNora Getachew. I am the
Campaign Manager and Legislative Counsel at the
Brennan Center for Justice. I thank you for the
opportunity to testify today and in light of the
timing, I will keep my remarks brief, but I can
guess... I bet you can guess what I'm going to talk a
lot about during my testimony.

As you are probably familiar with, the Brennan Center is a nonpartisan law and policy institute that focuses on issues of democracy and

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

2.2

23

24

25

justice. Among other things, we work to ensure fair and accurate voting procedures and systems and that every eligible American can participate in elections. We work on these goals nationally, as well as in New York, where we're based.

As I alluded to, my testimony today will focus on efforts to expand voter registration opportunities at city agencies, including most notably, the Brennan Center's Voter Registration Modernization proposal to establish electronic registration at agencies that are already supposed to be conducting voter registration. I will specifically request that this Council expand voter registration opportunities at city agencies by electronically transferring voter registration information directly to the Board of Elections. as you heard Mike Ryan talk about today, while the Board didn't empower him to come out and support that proposal, he did say that it would help ease operations at the Board of Elections offices.

Our research shows that implementing electronic registration at agencies reduces long lines and Election Day chaos, as well as increases voter registration rates, reduces the cost of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

administering the system and curbs the potential for While our work nationally on this issue relates to agencies that are bound by the NVRA, which has been in effect much longer than the city's provoter law, there is still some work that can be done at the city level to improve this effort. particular, I would highlight you know, to date that our agencies both as we were trying... I mean our organizations as we were trying to engage in the investigations and research related to our joint report, "The Broken Promise," we came across a lot of information that Steve talked about; that agencies are actually not you know, registering voters in the ways they should be doing it and in fact, are squandering that opportunity.

As we think about how to move this forward, we engaged both the Council and the administration in a dialogue about our recommendations and I'm you know, dissatisfied I think to the point today that we still haven't gotten much clarity from the administration; one, about the contents of the plans, when they plan to release them to the public, how they're going to hold agencies accountable for you know, putting forward their best

effort with those plans and actually registering voters in a meaningful way. So I would say that hopefully our allies are in agreement about the fact that this hearing should have presented an opportunity for some concrete answers that still remain unaddressed.

So consistent with the Brennan Center's work nationwide to encourage states to modernize voter registration, Council Member Kallos, I would strongly encourage you to amend Intro 493 to require agencies that conduct voter registration to do so through an electronic system and that updates to voter registration should just go directly from the agencies to the Board of Elections in a seamless and electronic way. Similarly, any future Council legislation that you consider should include a mandate to develop electronic registration at agencies and incorporate the other recommendations included in our joint report.

I'll just give you a quick summary about the benefits of electronic registration, although I'm sure you're already familiar. As I mentioned, it would ease the voter registration process for the agencies that are already doing this. It would make

administration as well, this is not a new idea.

25

majority of the states nationwide can get this right, we can get this right here at the city level and more importantly, there's a precedent already for doing this. The DMV is transferring all of its voter registrations that it receives electronically throughout the city and so that's a big step forward.

And so I would just note that you know, we continue to look forward to working with you and your Council colleagues, as well as the administration to bring all the reforms that we've identified to a future piece of Council legislation and to most importantly bringing electronic registration to the city.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Thank you. I admire your speed reading and I [laughter] apologize to the transcriptionist.

RACHEL FAUSS: Hi, good afternoon, Chair Kallos. I'm also going to be as brief as I can. My name is Rachel Fauss. I'm the Director of Public Policy at Citizens Union.

We're pleased that the hearing is being held today to discuss both compliance, as well as legislative solutions. I think given that our report pointed out widespread failure by agencies, and I

2.2

won't belabor you with the statistics. We all know how bad voter registration is, but you know, 48th in the nation in 2010; 43rd in the nation in 2012. In the Democratic Primary for Mayor only 21 percent of registered voters turned out and so many more were not even registered to vote. I think while we're encouraged by the directive, the staggeringly low voter participation rates indicate that we can and we must do better on this issue to address the lack of voter participation in our democracy.

Outlined a path forward with our report to address comprehensively how to fix this problem. It's our hope that these recommendations will inform both the administrative action, but as well as a Council response to update and codify our recommendations to ensure that New York City's program is a national model. The two bills on the agenda for today help embody some of the important components in a comprehensive program.

I wanted to address Intro 356 a little bit, given that Citizens Union worked with Council Member Williams in drafting that bill. The accountability there is I think is extremely

2 important, especially if we're going to move to an 3 approach where self-mailers are attached to forms for 4 agencies. That's going to encourage voters to take those home. That's not going to encourage them to 6 give them back to the agency to then send to the Board where that tracking would be possible. If we're going with an approach in the interim as we 8 move toward electronic registration of self-mailers 9 attached separately, there's not going to be an 10 11 incentive for voters to fill out yet another piece of 12 paper; give it back to the agency staff. I think

can code those forms to track by agency because we're missing an important piece of data if the voter takes that home. We have no idea what agency that form came from if we only have a simple Code 9.

it's a missed opportunity to not explore the ways we

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

And obviously your bill, Council Member

Kallos, I think it's also another important marker

that there's more we can do on this issue. You know,

I think Steve and others have talked about the

accountability metrics. I'm not going to belabor the

point, but I think what's important is that we're not

reinventing the wheel. NVRA has some important

metrics that are done. The declinations, for

example, that are done at some city agencies already to find out if someone who came in decided actually I don't want to register to vote. That's another important part of data that's not in the city system that could be.

Another reason why legislative codification of these recommendations is important is that the Mayor's directive doesn't address two agencies. It doesn't address the City Clerk because of the role of the City Council in that appointment and it also doesn't address the community boards. So without their... we don't have plans from the City Clerk's office and we don't have plans from the community boards on how they're planning to implement the law.

So lastly, I just you know, indicate that you know, while we are working with the administration you know, we haven't yet seen the plans and I think a Council legislation would be very helpful to ensure that there are minimum standards for those plans.

NEIL ROSENSTEIN: Thanks. I'll also be very brief. Good afternoon. My name is Neil Rosenstein. I'm the Government Reform Coordinator

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

for NIPIRG. It's a pleasure to be speaking before you today. I was here 14 years ago speaking at the advent of pro-voter and it's good to see some of the provisions that were weakened by Mayor Giuliani and the threat to the Council being considered for reinclusion in the law and the legislation that you have before us today.

I just want to say some important things to put in context. One, another set of stats about why pro-voter is so important when we have motor voter and the answer is clear: 52 percent of city residents have driver's licenses. For the rest of the state that number is 92 percent. There's a tremendous gender gap as well. 63 percent of male residents in New York City 18 and over have a driver's license. 43 percent of women do. 450,000 more women living in New York City; 400,000 less driver's license holders than men for women. what pro-voter is supposed to address. That's why strengthening it in the way the Council's considering is so important.

I must tell you we're hopeful the de Blasio administration will properly implement the law and Mayoral Directive 1 is a great opportunity to

right past wrongs and we're heartened by the testimony today from Commissioner Tarlow, but minimal Compliance isn't enough. The city needs to integrate each of the optional improvements allowed under current law like verbal assistance or it will be a grave disappointment, and within a year DOE and NYCHA need to be added to the list of agencies. That's enough time. If the Mayor is serious about boosting voter participation, he needs to figure out how to get that done.

Focusing on verbal assistance, as early as '86, Mayor Koch issued an Executive Order, which required that designated employees would assist the public in filling out voter registration forms.

We're now what, 30 years later and we still haven't figured it out, despite 14 years of the pro-voter law on the books. Our old report showed 84 percent of agency officials even failed to provide voter registration forms, so how were they going to provide verbal assistance? We need verbal assistance. We need training. We're going to take the Mayor at their word, but it was pointed out earlier by a member, this Council needs to put it into law because maybe the next Mayor may not be so accommodating.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

some time too.

I'd just like to end in reflecting on this whole debate that was going back with coding, coding, coding and privacy. Privacy is very important. We want nothing that will detract from folks filling out voter registration forms. There's an easy answer. That says coding. It's doesn't say give them a Code A; it doesn't say give them a Code B; it doesn't say give them a Code C. Bar code the forms, a simple solution or you add the word bar coding to it. A bar code is virtually indecipherable. It will protect the individuals' identities if there's a petition challenge and we will get the kind of reporting; we will get the kind of information and metrics about exactly how many people register through that kind of a system. should say the Board of Elections, though they've improved, doesn't always enter the codes if you physically put them on the form. It takes a worker to do and they concentrate on the name, the address and that information and we know that those codes aren't always entered and they haven't been in the past. So I just wanted to end with that and realize... I want to hand it over to Kate so she has

## COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

2 KATE DORAN: Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: A just quick yes/no from Citizens Union. Would you be friendly to adding the word bar code?

RACHEL FAUSS: [off mic] Absolutely.

Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: Perfect. Thank you and thank you all for being so brief and thank you to the folks who are waiting for the 1:00 hearing. We are wrapping up a hearing on agency-based voter registration and expanding the franchise.

KATE DORAN: Okay. [applause] Okay, good afternoon, Chair Kallos. Good afternoon, David. I am Kate Doran from the League of Women Voters. For over 95 years now, voter registration has been a real big priority for the League of Women Voters, so we're very happy to be here.

Assuming that it's fully consistent with all state and federal laws designed to protect the privacy of citizens registering to vote, we would be in support of Intro Number 356 to amend the New York City Charter in relation to improving compliance with the city's pro-voter law. The use of coded voter registration forms can be viewed as reasonable and

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

necessary for the collection of data in order to determine compliance related sections of the charter. We would certainly endorse bar codes. We are pleased to see the additional requirement that the Board of Elections and the city provide a listing of the number of coded registration forms distributed and returned, but we would hope that they ultimately would not have to be distributed.

We support Intro 493, local law in relation to expanding agency-based voter registration to additional city agencies, assuming it can be done through a local law. We endorse the mandating language of "shall" replacing "may" in connection with providing assistance to applicants and transmitting forms; however, we believe, as my colleagues have said, that these proposed laws can be better and can do more.

The League of Women Voters has previously testified before this committee December of 2013 that we strongly support online voter registration and the expansion of it. It's more accurate, more secure, less expensive than paper registration and we know that the Board of Elections is no longer receiving any paper from the DMV and they're very comfortable

\_

\_

with that process. We suggest that these laws be amended to include a provision for... explicit provision for collaboration between the agencies and the Board of Elections to transition from paper to electronic within a reasonable period.

and I want to just say quickly that I really appreciated DeNora's comment about the being asked when encountering or engaging with a city agency and Neil's comment about the verbal assistance being essential. In a conversation just Tuesday with an executive member of the Board of Elections, I learned that thousands and thousands of these paper registrations come back from the city agencies and the box is checked that says I'm not a citizen. Now, why should that even be happening? So we need to get away from all this paper and we need certainly to have a mandate of verbal interaction between the citizen who wants to vote and the agency rep who's speaking with them. Thank you very, very much and...

CHAIRPERSON KALLOS: I just want to thank this panel. I want to apologize that we won't be able to engage in full vigorous conversation as part of this hearing. For members of the public, people watching on television, you can comment on my bill on

## 1 COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS 139 2 benkallos.com/legislation or gethub.com/benkallos. 3 You can also email any feedback to bkallos@benkallos.com. I will be inviting the good 4 government groups from this panel, as well as anyone 5 else who's interested to meet with me later and 6 7 because we can't do a hearing every time we have conversations, this stands as public notice that this 8 conversation will happen. If you're interested, 9 please email my office so that you can join us for 10 11 the meeting and we hope to be as inclusive as 12 possible and meet in the next month to further discuss this and about 20 plus other initiatives to 13 expand the franchise. And then, for those of you in 14 15 the audience, this group of people are the people who 16 are improving our government every day, saving you 17 tax dollars and making sure that your voice can be 18 louder in government. Thank you. I now adjourn this meeting of the Government Operations Committee. 19 20 [gavel]

21

22

23

24

25

## ${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 10/29/2014