CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

----- X

October 23, 2014 Start: 1:16 p.m. Recess: 5:52 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E: DONOVAN J. RICHARDS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Stephen T. Levin

Costa G. Constantinides

Rory I. Lancman Eric A. Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Bill Goldstein
Senior Advisor to the Mayor on Recovery,
Resiliency and Infrastructure
Mayor's Office of Long-Term Planning
and Sustainability (OLTPS)

Dan Zarrilli, Acting Director
Mayor's Office of Long-Term Planning
and Sustainability (OLTPS)

Emily Dean, Director Energy Programs and Strategy Department of Citywide Administrative Services (DCAS)

Ozgem Omektekin Deputy Commissioner Energy Management Department of Citywide Administrative Services (DCAS)

John Lee, Deputy Director Green Buildings and Energy Efficiency at Mayor's Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability (OLTPS)

Raya Salter, Senior Utility Advocate Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC)

Susanna Dyen, Organizer
The Alliance for a Greater New York, ALIGN

Gusti Bogok, Co-Chair Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter Gas Drilling Taskforce

Rick Bell, Executive Director American Institute of Architects (AIA) New York Chapter, New York City

Catherine McVay Hughes, Chairperson Manhattan Community Board 1

Daniel Gianfala, President and COO United Metro Energy Corp. (UMEC)

John Maniscalco, CEO New York Oil Heating Association (NYOHA)

Dehran Duckworth
Tri-State Biodiesel

Ryan Baxter, Senior Policy Analysis Real Estate Board of New York (REBNY)

Kevin Murungi, Director
Human Rights and Foreign Policy Programs
Global Kids

Bob Wyman SELF

Cecil Scheib
U.S. Urban Green Council
New York Chapter of the U.S. Green
Building Council

Lisa DiCaprio, Clinical Social Professor Social Sciences New York University (NYU) Member of 350NYC and Energy Committee of the Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter

Denise Katzman Climate Scientist

Stephanie Low Sierra Club

Patrick Robbins

Development and Communications Coordinator

Sane Energy Project

Wendy Shore New York City Resident

Alice Slater
Shut Down Indian Point Now and the
New York City Safe Energy Coalition

Buck Moorehead, Architect and Member
Damascus Citizens for Sustainability (DCS)

Marge Schaub Board Member

Damascus Citizens for Sustainability (DCS)

Appearing for Barbara Arrindell, Director

Damascus Citizens for Sustainability (DCS)

Regina Cornwell

Damascus Citizens for Sustainability

Appearing for Attorney Jeff Zimmerman

for Damascus Citizens for Sustainability

(DCS) and NYH20 and Citizens for Water

Madelyn Moorehead, Member

Damascus Citizens for Sustainability (DCS) and NYH20

Ling Cho, Co-Founder United for Action

Edie Kantrowitz, Member
United for Action, New York City Friends
for Clean Water, the Coalition Against the
Rockaway Pipeline, and New York City Safe
Energy Coalition

Ya-Ting Liu New York League of Conservation Voters

Annie Wilson, New York Environmental Law Justice Project

Philip Kahn, Co-Leader
Manhattan of the Citizen's Climate Lobby

Pamela Drew Gregory Safe Energy Coalition

Nancy Anderson Sallan Foundation

Wyldon Fishman New York Solar Energy Society

Ken Gale
New York Solar Energy Coalition
Eco-Logic (Radio Show) WBAI-FM

Ruth Hardinger Damascus Citizens for Sustainability Patrick Almonrode 350NYC Martha Cameron

Bob Alpern, Former Senior Advisor to Commissioner of Environmental Protection Member, New York City Safe Energy Coalition and New York State Water Resources Planning Council

Frank Eadie, Energy Chair Sierra Club, New York City Chapter

Melissa Elstein, Co-Founder West 80s Neighborhood Association and New York City Coalition of Block and Community Leaders

Alexia Philco, Member New York City Safe Energy Coalition

Tom Wysmuller, Meteorologist

Nicole Minitello Brooklyn Resident

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Good afternoon. I
3 am Council Member Donovan Richards, Chair of the
4 Environmental Protection Committee. Today, the
5 Committee will hold a hearing on Intro No. 378-A,
6 Local Law to Amend the Administrative Code of the
7 City of New York in relation to reducing greenhouse
8 gases by 80% by 2050.

Energy use is probably the single most important problem facing humanity today. Energy use is primarily responsible for global greenhouse gas emissions. The United States emits 22% of worldwide greenhouse gas emissions greenhouse gas emissions. Within the United States fossil fuel combustion for 94% of CO2 emissions in 2005. Since 1990, the total United States greenhouse gas emissions have increased by 16.3%, according to the Environmental Protection Agency. There is a scientific consensus that the global increases in greenhouse gases and the associated current extremes in climate are primarily due to fossil fuel use.

As many of you may know, New York City set an ambitious goal for addressing climate change.

Local Law 22 of 2008, the New York City Climate

Protection Act required New York City to reduce its

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

atmosphere.

greenhouse gas emissions due to city operations by 3% per year over ten years, and required the city overall to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions by 1% per year over the next 30 years. However, just six years later, based upon information developed from the Fifth Assessment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, this mandate must be strengthened. According to the United Nations, only an aggressive push over the next 15 years will be sufficient to bring greenhouse gas emissions under control. And if greater efforts to cut emissions are not implemented soon, future generations that are seeking to limit or reverse climate change will have to depend on technologies that currently do not exist, in order to permanently remove greenhouse gases from the

Unfortunately, until now international efforts and treaties to address climate change have fallen short. Recognizing the need to act locally in 2007, New York City embarked on a groundbreaking effort to reduce its emissions on greenhouse gases, and address long-term challenges including projected population growth, climate change, and the involving economy. As noted earlier, the City enacted Local

2 Law 22 of 2008, the New York City Climate Protection

3 Act Climate Protection Act requiring a 30% reduction

4 in city government emissions by the year 2017, below

5 2006 base year levels. And a 30% reduction in

6 citywide greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2030,

below 2005 base year levels.

2.2

Due in large part to New York City
initiatives guided by the mandate of Local Law 22,
New York City has reduced its greenhouse gas
emissions by 19% since 2005. And is almost twothirds of the way towards achieving a 30% reduction
by 2030. Cleaner generation of electricity and steam
were responsible for the majority of emissions
reductions. And New Yorkers are using electricity
and heating fuel more efficiently in buildings

Despite New York City's local progress, global greenhouse gas emissions continue to accelerate at a rapid rate. The United Nations

Framework Convention on Climate Change set a goal to limit the rise in temperature over the next hundred years to two degrees Celsius in order to prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. Global emissions would have to be cut by at least 50% below 1990 levels by mid-century if we are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

24 the Environmental Protection Committee, Council

years ago as part of the groundbreaking PlaNYC 2030

Protection Act. The Act offered by former Chair of

Members James J. Gennaro, mandated that New York City

Plan, the Council passed New York City's Climate

2.2

as a whole reduce its common emissions by 30% by 2030 relative to a 2005 baseline. This law in conjunction with other initiatives spearheaded by the previous Administration and Council has already had a tangible effect on the health and wellbeing of New Yorkers.

In the past several years as buildings have moved away from dirty sulfur laden fuel oil, and homes and businesses have taken advantage of tax incentives that will allow them to invest in renewable energy, we have already made significant progress in reducing our carbon emissions and clearing up New York City's air. Writing the 30 by 30 standard into law was a huge step forward. As referenced by our Chair, in order to meet the challenges of the 21st Century, far more must be done.

As the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change recently made clear, the planet's rising temperature is perilously close to setting in motion the irreversible melting of the Greenland ice sheet. If this happens, New York City as we know it will be deeply changed. Even though it may take many generations to feel the full effects of this melting, the impacts on weather in our society are already

here. That's why Intro 378, which requires our city
to reduce its carbon emissions 80% by 2050 is so

crucial. New York State by executive order of former

Governor David Patterson has already set this as a

6 benchmark.

2.2

By enshrining this standard into law locally, it will demonstrate to the nation and to the world that we are truly serious about tackling climate change here in New York. Setting this goal will help spur more innovation in the renewable sector leading to growth in new industries and new green jobs right here. As the largest urban market in the country, we have tremendous influence over how private housing in the energy sector has approached new development. By setting a strong goal, we can help spur the same kinds of public/private partnerships that have been the cornerstone of our city and our country.

Detractors can no longer argue that rising to the challenge before us would be an economic burden. Farmers have been severely hit by droughts throughout the country over the past several years, and as a result, food production has decreased. This means that prices will continue to

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 rise placing new strains on working men and women

3 still trying to get out of a hole left by the Great

4 Recession. In New York City, we have homeowners and

5 | small business owners in Staten Island and the

6 Rockaways and Brooklyn who were displaced by the

7 devastating blow of Hurricane Sandy.

We have residents of NYCHA housing at

Coney Island and Red Hook [sic] and elsewhere who are

still working to rebuild their lives. Can anyone say

that climate change has not impacted them directly?

We have a choice before us. Should we commit to

ourselves to the task right ahead by reaching 80 by

50 or let future generations of New Yorkers be

subjected to flooding, coastal erosion, and the loss

of large swaths of our city?

I want to thank our Speaker Melissa Mark-Viverito for her strong and visionary leadership on this. And to the de Blasio Administration, I want to commend them for taking on this goal. And, of course, thank my staff Nick Rogowski and Charles Sharone [sp?] and all of my staff for all their hard work. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

25 [applause]

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [off mic] We all need 3 to hear. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So before we hear testimony from the Administration, I just want to thank the hard-working staff, Samara Swanston for helping us get here. [applause] And our new Policy Analyst, who is coming in at a historic moment. This is huge. Your first one, Mr. Bill Murray.

[applause] And I want to thank my staff, Gerald Birney, and Frank Joseph for their hard work on these issues as well.

All right, we will have the first panel, and we will hear from first— And Samara is going to swear you in, but I'll just acknowledge the first panel. Mr. Bill Goldstein, who is the Senior Advisor on Recovery, Resiliency and Infrastructure. We'll also hear from Emily Dean, the Director o Energy Programs. Ms. Osdeen— Forgive me if I mess your name up totally, or Omektekin, the Deputy

Commissioner of Energy Management from DCAS. And Mr. Dan Zarrilli, the Director of the Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability. So now, we'll swear you guys in, and then we will hear your testimony.

2.2

2.2

2 COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Would you
3 please raise your right hands. Do you affirm to tell
4 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
5 today?

BILL GOLDSTEIN: Okay. Good afternoon
Chairman Richards and members of the Committee on
Environmental Protection. My name is Bill Goldstein,
and I'm the Senior Advisor to the Mayor on Recovery,
Resiliency, and Infrastructure. Joining me today is
Dan Zarrilli in his capacity as Acting Director of
the Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability.
Emily Dean, the Directory of Energy Programs and
Strategy at DCAS. And Ozgen Omektekin, Deputy
Commissioner of Energy Management also at DCAS. I
also want to note that also joining us behind us here
is John Lee who is the Deputy Director of Green
Buildings and their Energy Efficiency at OLTPS.

So we're here today to discuss our commitment and leadership in dealing with the causes of climate change. Before I begin, I want to thank you, Chairman Richards, and members of this committee for calling this hearing today to discuss this important issue. I would also like to thank you, the Speaker and Council Members who have kept this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

priority, and the many public stakeholder and advocates as we can see here today who continue to keep this issue at the forefront. Last month, many of us participated in the March for Climate Change, and the world's eye was placed on New York City. And we demonstrated the type of vision and resolve that makes New York City the best city in the world.

Protecting citizens from the impact of climate change including rising sea levels, heat waves, and extreme storms is a fundamental public safety issue, and a core function of government at every level. Since taking office, Mayor de Blasio has already established a track record for leading the fight against climate change. This spring, he announced the most sweeping update to New York City's Air Pollution Control Codes since 1975. And I'd like to acknowledge Chairman Richards for his leadership, and we hope to codify this into law with the New York City Clean Air Act. This administration has also increased municipal organics recycling, significantly scaled up investments in green infrastructure, and is on a pace to expand bike lanes by 58 miles citywide.

We also made environmental sustainability a key component of Housing New York, the City's Ten-

2.2

Year Affordable Housing Plan. And, of course, during Climate Week, the Mayor announced our commitment to reduce citywide greenhouse gas emissions by 80% from 2005 levels by 2050 or 80 by 50 as we say. This makes New York City the largest city in the world to make such a public commitment because nothing short of an ambitious effort will be effective in the fight against climate change. Aligned with this goal, the Mayor also announced our commitment to chart a long-term path for a total transition from fossil fuels and invest in renewable sources of energy.

So we must all work together on this issue because identifying the pathways to reach 80 by 50 will be exceptionally difficult, and will require the complete transformation of many areas of work and life in New York City. For this reason, we put forward a plan of action. One city built to last in an unprecedented and detailed plan to address the largest source of greenhouse gas emissions in New York City our buildings. Nearly three-quarters of New York City's greenhouse gas emissions come from the energy used to heat, cool, and power buildings. And, our plan is a road map that outlines how we will make dramatic investments in our public buildings,

2 and make them more efficient and sustainable. Drive

3 a thriving market, private market of building

4 efficiency and renewable energy; craft forward

5 thinking green codes and legislation together with

6 the City Council. And ultimately, make New York the

7 global hub of clean energy technology and innovation.

And we're also announcing today— We're also announcing today that the Administration will be investing \$13 million in energy efficiency upgrades across city agencies as part of One City Built to

Last. This represents one-third of the initial \$39 million in energy efficiency investments, which are underway as part of the Accelerated Conservation and Efficiency or ACE Program. ACE is a competitive funding program managed by DCAS, the fast track shovel ready energy capital projects and guarantee optimal greenhouse gas reductions and cost savings.

Collectively, these projects will result in an annual reduction of the carbon emissions and yield avoided energy costs of \$5.6 million a year. It am now going to turn the testimony over to Dan Zarrilli to provide more detail on this plan. And after his portion of the remarks, we'll answer

2.2

questions that the Council has for us regarding this topic. So Dan.

DAN ZARRILLI: Great. Thanks Bill, and thank you, Chairman Richards, and members of the Committee for holding this important hearing today. As you know, New York City is vulnerable to the impacts of severe weather and climate change, and these risks are only expected to grow. During Hurricane Sandy, we saw how exposed we are to the type of damage and loss of light that happen in extreme weather events. Tragically, 44 lives were lost in New York City, and we incurred \$19 billion in damages and lost economic activity.

Mayor de Blasio established the Office of Recovery and Resiliency in order to accelerate the city's recovery from Sandy and make investments to prepare for the risks of climate change more broadly. I have worked closely with many of you in capacity as Director of the Office of Recovery and Resiliency, and I know you understand how real this risk is. Our office is tasked with implementing the city's Climate Adaptation and Resiliency Plan, which includes strengthening coastal defenses, upgrading buildings,

2.2

2.2

protecting city infrastructure, and making
neighborhoods safe and more vibrant.

We've made significant progress over the last year in implementing this plan including millions of cubic yards of sand on our beaches, 26,000 linear feet of dunes across the city. We've advanced flood insurance reform to address the impacts of insurance rates, secured millions of dollars in funds for NYCHA upgrades, and completed much more activity as we work to secure and plan for the next round of investments that we are ultimately going to make.

at both OLTPS and NOR to reduce the causes of climate change and adapt to its impact are driven by the best available science. Prior to Hurricane Sandy, the New York City Panel on Climate Change has created a partnership from council leadership. It's comprised of the regions preeminent climate scientists, and was established to make sure that New York City would always have updated, accurate local climate risk information. Now, the Panel recently released initial recommendations in 2009, and was reconvened after Hurricane Sandy to provide the best available

2.2

projections. Which paint a vivid picture of the risks we can expect into the middle of the century, and even after 2100. For example, high-end estimates put sea level rise at 2-1/2 feet by the 2050s, and as high as 6-1/4 feet by 2100.

To put things into further focus, within 40 years the population of New Yorkers living in the 100-year flood plains is expected to double from almost 400,000 to nearly 800,000 people. And we have to consider an entire range of climate risk beyond coast storms including intense precipitation and heat waves. By the 2050s, high-end projections show the number of days over 90 degrees to go from an average of 18 days per year to almost 60. It's akin to the heat we see in Birmingham, Alabama. Furthermore, it's our most vulnerable senior citizens, the medically infirm and low and middle-income families who will feel these impacts the hardest.

In addition to gaining a better understanding of the city's vulnerability, we have invested a lot of efforts to better understand the causes of climate change specific here in New York City. The City of New York released its greenhouse gas inventory—releases its inventory annually.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And we just released the most recent inventory based on data from 2013. We know that we've seen a 19% reduction in citywide GHG emissions since 2005 benchmark to 2012. But then we flat lined at the same 19% in 2012 to 2013. There are external factors at play here including weather events like last year's polar vortex. But this is a strong indication that while we've made strong initial gains, we have much work to do if we hope to overcome the normal occurrence of weather. Not only that, much of the gains that we have seen have come about through a long-time switch in the power generation transition from both coal and oil to natural gas. Those gains can't be replicated, which is why we need to be more aggressive in our efforts to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions.

The One City Built to Last released in September was a comprehensive plan to fight climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions produced by our buildings with a package of policies and programs announced by the Mayor during Climate Week. It calls for direct investments to increase the efficiency of the city's public buildings including schools and public housing. And to spur private

2.2

building owners to invest in efficiency upgrades that can reduce GHG emissions that contribute to climate change and poor air quality, protect New Yorkers from rising utility bills and stimulate the demand for retrofitting and renewable energy jobs.

In the interest of time, I'll provide a brief summary of the plan, but you can review the entire plan at our website at nyc.gov/builttolast.

While One City Built to Last has long-term vision, it's based on a ten-year first phase that accelerates the city beyond the previous 30 by 30 goal that had been adopted, which is necessary if we hope to achieve 80 by 50. In the near term, by 2025, this plan will reduce city government GHG emissions by 35%, and overall buildings emissions citywide by 30%. And in doing so, establish the aggressive pathway needed to bring about overall GHG emissions down 80% by 2050.

Last year's report, New York City's

Pathways to Deep Carbon Reductions indicated that as

difficult as 80 by 50 will be to achieve, such

interim goals as the 35% reduction and 30% reduction

overall in our buildings is actually going to get us

on that correct pathway over the next ten years.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

There are four key strategies guiding this plan in how we're going to make this happen.

First, the City of New York will lead by example and make public buildings models for sustainability. We're going to invest in high value, efficiency upgrades, and approximately 150 to 200 city buildings per year for the next ten years including schools, firehouses, police precincts, libraries and homeless shelters. This will be accomplished through a competitive citywide process that identifies the most effective reduction measures across the public building portfolio. It will upgrade every city-owned building with significant energy uses by 2030. It will perform energy upgrades in 450 schools over the next five years including 325 comprehensive lighting upgrades, and 125 boiler replacements to improve efficiency, and improve indoor air quality.

We will increase solar and renewable energy deployment on city assets beginning with 24 schools and install solar on more than 300 city buildings generating over 100 megawatts of energy over the next decade. We will pilot cutting-edge energy technology from local clean tech start-ups in

city buildings. The city will also hire additional
operations and maintenance staff, and expand training
programs for all the city's building operators to
upgrade skills and ensure that equipment is operated

Finally, we'll partner with HUD to reform the Energy Performance Contract Program to unlock the potential for undertaking large-scale energy efficiency measures at NYCHA that will free up dollars for other critical needs and improve quality of life for its residents. Second, the plan seeks to create a thriving private market for energy efficiency and renewable energy. We will require buildings over 25,000 square feet to measure and disclose energy use annually, conduct energy assessments and upgrade lighting. We will catalyze the retrofitting of about 20,000 private buildings through our Retrofit Accelerator Program making up 15% of the city's built square footage. This program aligns building owners with the technical know-how, the incentives and the financing to make these investments happen. Two-thirds of these buildings that we're talking about are multi-family buildings

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

efficiently.

2.2

2 and roughly 40% are government assisted, affordable,
3 or rent stabilized buildings.

We will connect New York workers with new jobs and opportunities and energy efficiency and renewable energy with integrated workforce development focused throughout each initiative. We will create a green grant program for affordable housing that will fund efficiency upgrades in exchange for regulatory agreements to preserve affordability. We'll incorporate efficiency measures into all HPD moderate rehabilitation programs requiring that all buildings undergo an energy audit as part of the capital needs assessment process.

We'll organize communities to spur energy efficiency retrofits starting with about 900 buildings in Brownsville and East New York.

We will challenge the city's largest institutions to commit to deep carbon reductions of 30 to 50% over ten years, and fund training in energy efficiency best practices for building staff to save energy and promote skills upgrades. To further the development of more than 250 megawatts of private solar generation across the city in the next decade, a dramatic eightfold increase over current levels.

This program will be entirely voluntary for us because we know that these investments make sound economic sense. If we don't see the need and effort to continue along the pathway of reaching these goals, we may need to consider mandatory action in partnership with industry to hold ourselves accountable to meeting these goals.

Third, with the leadership of the Council we will develop world-class green building and energy codes. By working together with the industry leaders and City Council, the City will continue to improve standards for energy performance, and sustainable building practices and new construction. Standards will be implemented that raise the bar to better construction practices, higher efficiency equipment, and improved operations and maintenance to improve the quality of building stock, and lower energy costs for residents. Energy performance standards need strong enforcement and education to ensure existing and next standards are met. Which is why we are allocating resources to the Department of Buildings to ensure that these requirements are fulfilled in both the design phase and during construction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, we will promote New York City as a global head for clean energy technology and innovation. We'll explore innovative technology for buildings, and support clean tech businesses seeking to expand in New York City in energy efficiency, energy storage, or renewable energy generation. example, the Urban Future Lab in Downtown Brooklyn boasts 10,000 square feet of incubator, educational, and demonstration space. It hosts 17 companies, who are not only pushing the edge of innovation in sustainable and resilient urban technology, but cultivating economic development for our emerging tech triangle in Brooklyn. It's exactly these types of technologies that we're going to need in the future in order to fully realize the 80 by 50 goal.

It's important to reiterate that while this plan has a long-term perspective, this work begins now, and the impacts will begin immediately and for all New Yorkers. And with aggressive interim goals to get us on track with the 30 by 25 goal and 35 by 25. Over the next 20, over the next 10 years, several years, these impacts will be felt environmentally in terms of publicly health, and economically in terms of green jobs. Specifically,

2 | the proposed plan will reduce GHG emissions by 3.4

3 | million metric tons per year inside of ten years. By

4 2025, that's 10% reduction in building based

5 emissions, equivalent to taking 715,000 vehicles off

6 the road or decommissioning an entire coal-fired

7 | plant. The plan will also generate cost savings of

8 more than \$1.4 billion annually by 2025 for public

9 and private sectors leading to \$8.5 million

10 | cumulative of energy costs over ten years. This plan

11 | will also create nearly 3,500 new jobs in

12 construction and energy services, and train 7,800

13 | workers to upgrade their skills.

Already, the City has taken action to install solar panels, securing \$28 million to fund 24 installations on city schools as part of the implementation of our plan, tripling the amount of solar currently planned on city-owned buildings.

Furthermore, by developing a comprehensive plan to address building efficiency, we are building out an 80 by 50 framework that we can apply to other sectors like transportation, energy, and solid waste.

Planning for the 2015 PlaNYC update is already

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

underway.

2.2

I will my remarks by reinforcing the tone that Bill had in the beginning of his remarks. This is a crisis of the century, and nothing short of the full cooperation of every New Yorker from every walk of life will be needed to fight the effects of climate change. In that spirit, we'll work closely with the city's world-class real estate industry, architects, and engineers, labor unions, affordable housing experts, environmental justice leaders, and academics to carry out one City Built to Last in as collaborative way as possible.

And make no mistake, we are serious about this goal, and the transformation needed to complete it. We have no illustrations that New York City alone can solve this crisis of global climate change. But what we can do is show other cities how we can take action to reduce these effects while at the same time continuing to pursue an aggressive resiliency plan to address the vulnerabilities we will face into the future. I'm confident that just as New Yorkers have responded to every crisis put in front of them with strength and vision, they will address this great crisis of climate change before us. It's imperative that with City Council's continued

Thank you.

2.2

may have.

cooperation, we provide the direction and leadership
necessary for them to do so. Thanks for your time,
and we will now be able to address any questions you

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much,

Dan. Before we continue I just would like to

acknowledge we've been joined by my colleagues Rory

Lancman from Queens, Council Member Eric Ulrich from

Queens. We have Steve Levin from Brooklyn, and

Council Member Brad-- Both Council Members Levin and

Lander from Brooklyn. Queens is on one side and

Brooklyn is on the other side evidently. There is no

divide here on this issue, however.

COUNCIL MEMBER: [off mic]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [laughs] So I'll begin by saying by raising some questions. Then we'll hear from my colleagues who have questions as well. In your report, in the Mayor's Office Report on New York City Pathways to Deep Carbon Reductions, you guys mentioned it will be difficult to really achieve 80% by 2050. What I want to know is what do you see the difficulties being, and if you can address any specific areas you think are going to make it hard to achieve this goal?

2 DAN ZARRILLI: Sure. Thanks for the 80 by 50 is very much an aggressive 3 question. 4 target, and I think that's in part why we chose it. 5 We need to be setting aggressive targets to able to catalyze the transformation that we really need. 6 7 There are technologies that may not exist at this point in order for us to fully achieve. There are 8 major infrastructure changes that we're going to need 9 in order to really chart that long-term path away 10 from fossil fuels. And that's going to take time, 11 12 and that's going to take investment. I guess the way 13 we look at, it's important to set the long-term 14 vision, but it's also just as important to set 15 interim targets and goals that get us on that 16 pathway. And what we've learned, and what that 17 report shows is that if we were to simply achieve the 18 30 by 30 goal, which absolutely important to set at the time, and we continue to make great process on 19 20 that. If we simply reached 30 by 30, we will be off the pathway, and achieving 80 by 50 will be 21 2.2 incredibly difficult, even more difficult than if we 23 take more aggressive action now. And knowing that buildings make up the key driver of our emissions, it 24 like three-quarters, that's where we chose to start 25

2.2

first to make sure that we're putting the right investments in place now, and accelerating that investment to get us on that correct pathway. And holding ourselves accountable to that progress in a much more near-term way.

We've heard in your testimony in particular you spoke a lot about voluntary. Industry being— having to be able to voluntarily opt into doing some of these things. Do you think that that will help us there.

Do you think that there should be more regulations put in place. I understand we want to give people an opportunity to understand the new lay of the land.

But when do we say now is the time we need to get more aggressive? Is it two years, five years. Is it eight years.

right. We want to give them time to learn-- Get the sense of the lay of the land here. And I can't give you an exact timeframe, but we're willing. What we've committed to do is to meet with a technical group that represents various folks in the real estate industry, and the environmental community. Meet with them to identify triggers that would give

2.2

us some sense of if they're not being met, when we would come back to the City Council and talk about mandatory goals. Exactly, we'll be back to you as we start those meetings up, and get a better sense of what the time frame will be.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. I would just hope that we're going to really move fast on this because there are communities as we know with the anticipated sea level rise predictions that won't exist if we take our time here. So, yes we do want to give people an opportunity to get this right, but we should not wait too long before we get there.

I wanted to speak on—— So next year I wanted to speak on queen heat for a second. So next year I know everyone is pretty much supposed to phase up with No. 6 oils. Do you foresee us meeting that particular goal next year, or mandate rather? Not goal, mandate. And how are we going to ensure that enforcement in particular in environmental justice communities are really taking place for us to meet that mandate?

DAN ZARRILLI: Yeah, absolutely and the clean heat has actually been a really important model as we think about the retrofit accelerator, and it's

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

been really phenomenally successful. We have the cleanest air in the last 50 years in the city. particulate matters are significantly reduced, and we've reached many of our goals. As we head towards that mandate coming into effect next summer, as of September this year, we've already achieved 75 or a little over 75% of the No. 6 phase goal. And we're seeing and we're hearing from Con Ed as well that there's a significant increase in folks that are actually making these transitions. Everyone knows what the law is. They are making significant progress towards that goal, and we expect to them achieving that goal.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So there are communities particularly in the South Bronx, Brooklyn, and East Harlem that we have not seen as much progress as we would like to see. And, so I would want to know is there going to be more enforcement agents out there to ensure that these people are converting? Because these are the particular communities that have not seen the conversions go as fast as we would like them.

DAN ZARRILLI: So we've taken significant action to make sure that people are aware of the

COMMITTEE	OM	ENVIRONMENT	٦Т.	PROTECTION

2.2

mandate, and the deadlines that are coming. There
have been enforcement actions that have been
successful in helping spur a number of the
conversions. So we're going to continue that effort,

and get us on track to meeting that goal.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Do you thin that we can— Because I know that we're trying to move people from six to four, but how soon do you think we can move people from four to at least two?

DAN ZARRILLI: You know, that's a great question. I could probably— We're at right now at 32 or almost 33% on that goal, and, of course, that's not part of next year's mandate. But we're going to continue to make significant progress to clean the source of fuel that we are using in the city.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You spoke of some resilient measures that have taken place, and in particular I know that the sand being pumped on Rockaway Beach and in other parts of the city. Is there any particular plan to be deal with the bay, any protection along the bay?

DAN ZARRILLI: There are a number of things that are happening on the bay side in the Rockaways. There have been some investment along

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Beach Channel Drive. As you know, there were some upgrades that happened. There was an existing bulkhead project, and we upgraded it after Sandy to make it even more resilient, and close off some of the pathways for flooding. We are working with the Army Corps. pretty extensively on a major study and authorization that they have for additional protections in Jamaica Bay. And we've highlighted for them very specifically where we think the vulnerabilities are including in the back and the East End of the bay. You know, all the vulnerable neighborhoods, those low-lying neighborhoods. absolutely committed to finding solutions to this challenge. The Army Corps. provides a pathway to achieving that and has authorized and appropriated dollars for achieving that as well. So this will take a bit of a process to get there, but I think together we can continue to advocate for the right solutions in Jamaica Bay.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: There currently are some bulkheads in particular, and since we spoke of the Rockaways, in particular Auburn that have been damaged and there have been no repairs to them, can you speak to is there a plan in place to at least

2.2

2 repair the temporary-- The bulkheads that are there
3 temporarily?

DAN ZARRILLI: So we released a plan and an RFP I think two weeks ago at this point. We have funds through the Sandy Recovery dollars to pursue repairs to bulkheads, upgrades to bulkheads, raising coastal elevations, and really continuing to strengthen our coastline against long sea level rise and erosion. We're doing an assessment of how to best allocate those dollars. We're absolutely going to be taking a look at Auburn and making sure— and see what can be done there. And we'll be making assessments on how to allocate those dollars. But we have a program. We're aware of it, and thanks to your office for highlighting some of the incredible challenges we have here. But we are aware of the problem.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I wanted to speak to the Office of Long Term Planning and Sustainability. Any plan to hire, or get a director for that particular office?

BILL GOLDSTEIN: We're looking far and wide for a director. We want to hire. I know it's been a long time, but we want to hire the best person

possible. However, in the meantime I think it's important to note that Dan is Acting Director with myself as Senior Advisor to the Mayor. We haven't stood still and waited to continue to push forward on all of our initiatives that the office has as well as just last month producing this extensive plan One City Built to Last. So we hope to bring somebody on as soon as possible on a permanent basis, but we're not standing still all along.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Will it be a month or two or when do you anticipate?

BILL GOLDSTEIN: As soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. We hope very soon. So I know you spoke of energy audits and obviously you require the energy audits in each particular building. But right now the law says I think every ten years they're supposed to report to the city. Is there any plan to cut that time in half? Because if we're going to be aggressive on this particular issue, I don't think we can wait for a decade to find out if people are really making their buildings as energy efficient as we would like them to.

2.2

2.2

DAN ZARRILLI: So the plan that we laid out continues the ten-year cycle for the buildings over 50,000 square feet. But what we've done is accelerate that by providing for audits of over 25,000 square feet. So lowering the threshold for buildings that need those energy audits. It's a key part of understanding our energy profile and usage so that we can accelerate to more buildings these types of retrofits.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I know-- I don't think that. So every ten years now they would have to report to the city on it?

DAN ZARRILLI: That's right.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So at any time because if we're going to be aggressive, and really reach this goal I think waiting ten years is a long time. Is the Administration at least rethinking that?

DAN ZARRILLI: Not to date. I think
we've been, you know, we think this is the cycle that
works together to get the information in on a cycle
that's manageable and aggressive at the same time.
And it certainly doesn't hold up anybody from
participating in the retrofit accelerator, and taking

- 2 advantage of the incentives of the technical know-how
- 3 and the financing to pursue additional retrofits.
- 4 That's just the cycle of the way they have to provide
- 5 | the audit information to the City.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, I'm
- 7 going to-- This will be my last question because I
- 8 know my colleagues that-- My colleagues really want
- 9 to raise some questions. Enforcement, enforcement,
- 10 enforcement, enforcement, enforcement, enforcement,
- 11 enforcement, enforcement is going to be key here.
- 12 [applause]

- 13 | SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Everybody, please
- 14 | quiet down. Thank you.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I think, and
- 16 you know, we have a new Administration now, and I'm
- 17 | very grateful for the relationship that we have, you
- 18 | have with the Council and all of the work that you
- 19 guys have done to bring us here to aim to reach this
- 20 goal. But in the past we've seen inadequate
- 21 enforcement on just about every issue across the
- 22 | board. And I'm hoping, and the question I want to
- 23 | have is in particular when we speak of clean heat for
- 24 | instance, is DEP going to hire more enforcement
- 25 agents around this particular issue? Or is there any

2.2

particular goals?

plan to really ensure that there's an adequate amount of enforcement staffing so that we can really ensure that communities, not just in Manhattan, but the outer boroughs as well. We want Manhattan as well, but that the outer boroughs are reaching these

DAN ZARRILLI: So we've been aggressive in enforcement on clean heat with DEP. We're also, this plan is getting more resources to the Department of Buildings for enforcement of the Energy Code.

This is something that's key in our mind, and we want to make sure that we establish aggressive codes, and we make sure that they're being followed. So that's exactly what we're doing.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you speak of the number of staffing you have.

DAN ZARRILLI: I think we'd have to get back to you on exact numbers, but we are increasing the staff that's looking at enforcement.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So, if you could get the committee those numbers that would be appreciated. I will stop, and I'll come back for a second round of questions because I have some anxious colleagues. And I will start with the sponsor of

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 this particular bill, Council Member Costa Constantinides.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: afternoon. Thank you for being here today, and thank you for your good testimony. I have a few questions, one being the aftermath of Sandy, the Panel on Climate Change conducted across benefit analysis of future courses of actions the City might take. And they found that every dollar invested now will save four dollars in the future through reduced damage. Has the Department of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability Conducted analysis on the economic costs of storm damage, negative health effects, and commodity prices that impact New Yorkers if we didn't hit these goals?

DAN ZARRILLI: So we did an analysis when we released the plan A Stronger and More Resilient Into that plan, one, we know that Sandy New York. itself was a \$19 billion event in terms of damages of lost economic activity. We did some really interesting modeling with Swiss Global Reinsurance Company that showed that simply on today's city with today's population, today's development, and today's dollars, that simply by changing the variable climate

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

into the 2050s, that \$19 billion event becomes a \$90 billion event. So I think we've shown that that's absolutely the trend line that we need to be taking action now. The Sandy Supplemental Appropriation gives us really a unique opportunity to buy down that future risk in advance of another event where we may not have the federal government to rely on for future dollars. But we have that opportunity now to buy down the risk, and avoid that \$90 billion number. And you're right. So the stat on every dollar invested is a four dollar savings in future damages, savings in future damages that comes from FEMA. that's absolutely part of our thinking that by making the right investments and cost-effective investments that we can buy down that future risk.

Queens, I'm very excited to hear about that because we have— We generate almost half the city, more than half the city's power. However, they are not looking, they're not sort of under the same mandate that we are with four and six oil. They've been very

2.2

clear with me that they do not have to take that
step. What can we do to work with the EPA and our
state partners to reduce the emissions from our power
plants, and reduce their sort of dependence on four
and six oil to make it cleaner for all?

DAN ZARRILLI: We've been working closely in our office through the Public Service Commission on a number of the rate case filings. The State has announced its own 80 by 50 goal recently, and I think several years ago. And that's guiding I think some of the work they're doing through the Public Service Commission and how they regulate the energy sector. So we continue to see progress. I think something like 85% of the 19% reduction in emissions that we've seen in the city over the past several years has come from a transition to natural gas from oil and coal. You take a hiss. [laughter] That's a one-time-- I think that's a one-time--

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

[interposing] I want to be fair. I'm talking about that that gas. [sic] [laughs]

DAN ZARRILLI: -- a non-replicable reduction in emissions, which is why we're focusing in the long-term on renewable energy. But I think

streets more livable?

2.2

know, certainly a critical issue. I think as you—
It's another component of making the city a more
livable city that kind of complements everything
we've talked about here in One City Built to Last.

Making the streets safer, more sustainable design
standards that change that I think not only deal with
traffic issues. But also have sustainable components
with design features such as trees and bioswales and
things like that. So it's really a complementary
component of everything we're talking about here.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Okay, and lastly, as Chair of the Subcommittee on Libraries,

I'd be remiss if I didn't bring up how our libraries

can be part of this plan. I'm very excited.

[applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet please.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I was very excited to see in the Mayor's plan about the reduction in city buildings, the investment in solar panels on our schools. Our libraries sort of facing a large capital deficit to begin with, but how do we sort of bring our capital— How do we sort of get capital to our libraries to sort of make them

DAN ZARRILLI:

Thank you.

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank
3 you, Mr. Chair, for the time to ask questions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We will now hear from Council Member Steve Levin. Oh, so we'll hear from Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and Council Member Constantinides thank you for putting forward this bill, and it's great to have the Administration here, and so aggressively working on this. It's good to march with a couple of you in the climate march. And I appreciate how hard you're working, and how much energy there is. At the same time, I think it's important that we flag just how big a job this is. You have a lot of good things in this testimony. You are working hard, and the challenge before us might be bigger than we are. I even, I spent some time before the hearing looking at the New York City Pathways to the Carbon Reductions, and it's an honest document that says this is a very serious goal. This isn't one to just say it casually, and I don't think you've said it casually. But I guess I just -- So, I appreciate all we're doing, and I want to keep that sense of urgency that we've got to be doing more. And questions are a

2.2

little bit in that vain. On large buildings, that report rightly reflects on clean heat as the model for large building energy retrofits, but clean heat relies on mandates and time tables. Help me understand what's different about large building energy retrofits. I appreciate you saying if it doesn't work, we'll move to mandates. You know, my gut is that we will need to get 75% of the buildings retrofit. Is there reason to be optimistic given what we've seen so far that we will achieve what we need to with the voluntary approach as opposed to the mandate model of clean heat?

DAN ZARRILLI: Sure. I think the first point on this is that we see a lot of these retrofits make sound economic sense. And so there is a history already in the city buildings that are taking action on this. Our carbon challenge I think is a great example of this where simply by challenging institutions and hospitals and now multi-family buildings to achieve carbon reductions, we're seeing great progress. And some of the partnerships within the carbon challenge have already met their 30% goal early, and are looking to set potentially more aggressive goals. So there are reasons to believe

2.2

that this because it makes sound economic sense,
because we have a track record on the carbon
challenge, but there's a voluntary approach here.
But we don't have any illusions that— You know, the
goal certainly is not up for debate at this point,
and we've set the goal. We're going to track this on
a voluntary basis, but if we feel that we're heading
down a way where we're not going to be on the
pathway, we're going to make sure we're getting
ourselves on that pathway.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And I'd love for it to work in a voluntary way, but I think I share the Chair's concerns that really getting there will take more a more clean heat like approach with those mandates.

I want to underscore your earlier statement that we're taking on a significant management challenge here. And it's one point that I always try to make when we talk about this. That, yes, doing the outreach that we need to do, doing the work that needs to be done with all the building owners particularly the smaller ones as opposed to the larger ones is going to be a lot of work. And I

about financing. I think it's going to be a question

25

of outreach, and we will see. But I'm confident that
we will get more people signing up than we've had in
the past.

_

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Well, I'm just like here-- I feel like that program is still do an audit. Get a big report about your home, and then try to find the resources you need to do the things that audit says. And I'm skeptical that model is going to scale. So whether the model is here are some things everybody has got to do by this date. whether that model is like here's the Good Humor truck that shows up on your block with all the things you need to retrofit your home. Some new model is needed to scale the small buildings as opposed to the kind of audit intensive find your own financing. know there was obviously a whole set of debates around On-Bill or PACE. But I agree we need outreach and technical assistance, but I actually think a new model is needed for small buildings, or we're not going to get the scale we need.

DAN ZARRILLI: I think we agree with you, which is why the Retrofit Accelerator is pulling together the technical know-how. You know, we may need to hand hold a bit with some building owners who

don't have the capacity to spend the time or think this through, or source out the incentives. We want to make that as easy for people as possible, and the incentives are there. You're right, there's been a slow uptake on those incentives, but that just means we need to be able to get people to them. There is financing that exists, and there's technical knowhow, but we need to put that package together for people to enable it to be as easy as possible.

testimony to the need to really push the renewables market forward. And you speak to the New York City's buildings as one model of that. I wonder-- I know that there are some cities looking at setting goals for municipal elimination of carbon purchase. And there's a whole set of people on the investment side as well. I'm sure some of them are here, but you guys aren't the ones that manage the City's money. But you do purchase the City's stuff. And I know that there are some cities around the world that said if we're going to get there by some date, we need to stop buying fossil fuels. With the goal of not only dramatically [applause]--

2.2

2.2

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [interposing] Quiet 3 please.

fuel productions, but also with the goal of driving a renewables market, but then we'll also be selling those same kinds of renewables to the private sector as well. Are you looking at city procurement, and thinking about a target of this type as both a reduction, a fossil fuel reduction, and a market driver?

DAN ZARRILLI: So we're exploring it.

What we've done with One City Built to Last is take on the challenge of buildings, which makes up the bulk of our energy uses. But then, there's the underlying energy generation, of course, that comes with that. We'll have more to say on this probably in several months as we begin to look at other sectors through update that we'll see in the spring. You know, we've put a significant effort into the Building Program right now, and we're going to be doing more to continue to make sure that we'll be able to achieve this 80 by 50 pathway.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Fair enough and I appreciate how much you've moved in a short time on

2.2

buildings. And obviously, we look forward to the PlaNYC 3.0 revision to get at a lot of these other areas. Just one other place holder I'll put in, and I'm willing to wait until the spring to ask my questions about is transportation. You know, the pathways to deep carbon reduction says to get to 80 by 2050 we will need dramatic shifts. I know that we're right that 75% of the city's emissions are buildings, but an equal amount of it is gas burning cars. So, if you don't have more to say on it today, I understand, but I just want to make sure that we're looking at it. And by the time we bring that revision forward we'll also have something that really looks like the model shifts that we need in

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We'll hear from Council Member Eric Ulrich.

transportation to achieve this goal. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER ULRICH: Thank you,

Chairman. I don't have a question. I just want to

apologize. I have to skip out and go downstairs. I

have a meeting with the Speaker, but I want to

commend and join you and the rest of my colleagues

commending the excellent, phenomenal work that Bill

2.2

Goldstein and Dan Zarrilli have done particularly not only in the Rockaways but throughout the city, making our city more resilient. As you know, our districts were impacted by Hurricane Sandy, and they have done a tremendous amount of work trying to make sure that God forbid the next storm comes, and we know that that day may come soon, that we're more prepared, we're stronger. And that we are doing our part as a city to reduce the negative impacts on greenhouse gas emissions. So I want to commend you and thank you for all of your work. And also let you know that I will be signing on as a co-sponsor today to the bill. So the bill will have bi-partisan support. Thank you very much. Thank you. [applause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Dan Zarrilli slipped something in his water obviously. [laughter] All right, here we have a question from Steve Levin.

much, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank this panel. I wanted to ask I've recently kind of become kind of acquainted with the model of Passive House

Technologies that are very widely used by countries in Europe, cities in Europe. There have been 25,000 certified Passive Houses, buildings, Passive House

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

buildings in Europe. In the States, we're kind of like in the double digits right now. I think there were like 13 certified Passive Houses in the United States as of last year. This is, for those who don't know, is ultra low carbon emission buildings through a variety of techniques, insulation, triple pane windows, recycling of warm air in the winter and cool air in the summer. Each unit having its own compartment for heating and cooling, and gets to significantly lower standards -- higher standards, lower emissions for buildings. And it seems to be that that's where we ought to be going with new construction. There's been on affordable housing development midsize apartment building in Brooklyn that recently came online, which is exciting. Are we looking -- Two questions around that. Are we looking at ways within the public sector municipal buildings, new schools for example to adhere to those types of standards with new municipal buildings? Is that something that is within our ability as a city? BILL GOLDSTEIN: I'll ask Emily to take that one.

EMILY DEAN: Sorry. For the schools there's one school coming up in Staten Island, 62R.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So that's

encouraging and I like that. Can we do that in every

24

25

2.2

2 school? Can we do that in every new school that's being done?

EMILY DEAN: So I think I'm not qualified to answer that for the schools, but it depends on where the school is. So in Staten Island it kind of makes sense because it has a lot of space, and it's lower. It's not as high levels. But let's say in Manhattan when you don't have the space and you have to go up, you don't have enough space for solar panels on top to make up for the net zero or passive. So I think it will depend on where the school is located. And based on the conditions around the neighborhood and the space and the community that I think the School Construction Authority is open. As they showed in Staten Island that when the rental conditions are right, they'll take advantage of it. But it will depend on where it is.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. What I would like to see is that for each new public building whether it's a school or other building that there be an exhaustive—that that be the goal. The goal be that either net zero or more, but that there be a framework in place where every avenue towards that is exhausted. So I think that that would be

4

24

25

simple.

2 something that would be-- that we would really like to see. And I think honestly should be something 3 that maybe we could look at doing some legislation 5 around in terms of requiring that that type of report 6 be made public then. In terms of private buildings, 7 are we looking at ways to incentivize Passive House Technology in the Building Code. So the City of 8 Brussels, for example, is using the Building Code as 9 a tool to not only incentivize it but in cases 10 require it. Is that something that we're actively 11 12 looking at changing the Building Code to get there? 13 BILL GOLDSTEIN: So we in the city are 14 all always actively looking at changing the Building 15 Codes to make it better. We're looking around energy 16 conservation as well, and this is something that the City put ourselves on a path to when we joined the 17 18 International Co-Council Community, which is on a three-year revision cycle. We openly speak about 19 20 Passive House in our One City Built to Last report as model for the kinds of improvements to the code that 21 2.2 we're looking to. We are very much interested in the 23 promise of Passive House, but we cannot necessarily

take it wholesale at just face value. It's not so

We don't live in a city looks like let's say

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

the hills of Germany. Not every site is particularly oriented and in the ideal condition to take advantage of Passive House. And the solar gains that allow for Passive House construction to work. We will look at it in depth, and it will inform us as to how we will look to our codes and improve on them. And make sure that every building is required to achieve the highest energy efficiency standards.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. represent Downtown Brooklyn. I represent Greenpoint and Williamsburg. So these are areas that are all building right now. Just to be totally frank, a lot of the construction that we've seen over the last 10 or 15 years is clearly not up to standards or ambitious standards of energy efficiency. And a lot of those buildings that are happening in my district it's kind of build fast, build cheap. You know a lot of them are condos. They sell them to the condo owner, and then like they're out and made a quick buck. And that's been the reality of what we've seen certainly in my district over the last 10 or 15 years. It's been really upsetting because a lot of that represents a missed opportunity in a lot of And so I think that the sooner that we could

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 64
start to do this, the more we can get new buildings
covered under higher energy efficiency codes. I
think that that would be a big positive steps I mean
just anecdotally. I'm no expert at this stuff, but
I've seen an uptick in construction, coming back from
the recessions and things like building is happening
again in New York City and funders are funding it.
And so, I think that we should kind of strike while
the iron is hot, as there seems to be more building
coming online now. to get a lot of these
requirements in sooner rather than later. I think if
we wait three years, we'll probably miss another
opportunity. [applause]
SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet please.
BILL GOLDSTEIN: By the way, that was
John Lee, who is the Deputy Director at OLTPS for
Green Buildings and Energy Efficiencies.
COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: And then, I guess
my last question would be with regard to city
buildings are we looking towards getting removing
boilers entirely from new city buildings? Is that an
achievable thing to do? Can we say that every new
city building will be without a traditional boiler?

[Pause]

2 EMILY DEAN: [off mic] I don't think I
3 can answer that. I think that he can. [sic]

2.2

BILL GOLDSTEIN: First, I would like to point out that we issue them as a vilified boiler itself. The boiler is actually a very good instrument for delivering heat, and we have a city that was built on thousands and thousands of boilers. What we're looking to fundamentally transform the future—

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing]

Speak a little bit more into the mic, please.

BILL GOLDSTEIN: What we're looking to fundamentally transform in the future is the fuel sources that power our buildings and provide the heat. That doesn't mean that the boilers are going away. We just need to rethink the way that we deliver fuel, and what fuel we use to source those boilers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay, so boilers that don't operate on fossil fuels? So do you that's-- Is that possible that we could do in all new city-owned buildings, if it must rely on a boiler that it not be a boiler that operates on fossil fuels? [applause]

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet please.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: You don't have to

4 clap.

2.2

think on the panel that the fossil fuels are an interim way of getting to where we need to go to, but they're not the answer to the ultimate question. But I don't, we don't see that it's going away in the near term. But I think that's a question to the community, and the scientists and technology developers that there's a demand for such boilers that don't use fossil fuels, and that we should be looking forward to that, and investing for that. And making sure we're actually looking for those technologies going into the future. But I think in the short term we are going to have to do the cleanest that we can possibly get there.

DAN ZARRILLI: And I guess to add to that, you know, it's why the fourth strategy of the plan is about spurring entrepreneurship and support research into new technologies. We've set interim goals over the next ten years of what we want to achieve to get us on that pathway. We have no illusions that the rest of the pathway is easy, and

2.2

it's going to need to rely on major infrastructure investments as well as new technologies that may not exist right now. That's what we need to continue to support. So that when we get to the end of our ten-year goal that we don't just say, you know, we can't get any further. We need to continue to support those long-term goals as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you very much, Ms. Dean. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I just want to ask one question on that. So geothermal technology are you guys looking at that? [applause]

DAN ZARRILLI: Yes, and in fact, we are conducting in partnership with Local Law-- I forget the number -- passed by the City Council, we are conducting a pretty exhaustive geothermal study.

It's something that's important to us, and we want to make sure that we are actively looking at all sorts of renewable sources. And also, I should point out that the Department of Design and Construction has published a fantastic manual on how to best utilize and the possibilities for utilizing geothermal for new construction. And there are some interesting maps that show where in the city it is better used

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you, but that may be the answer to no boilers. [laughs]

EMILY DEAN: [off mic] Yes, you may be.

2.2

Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We have questions from our Council Member Lancman.

Mr. Chairman, and Costa, thank you for this
legislation. This hearing is very important, and I
am proud to be a co-sponsor of the legislation. I
want to ask a question regarding the fourth prong of
the plan promoting New York City as a global hub for
clean energy technology, and innovation. Which in
your testimony, at least is written only about a
paragraph. What specific efforts do you see the City
undertaking to promote clean energy technology
business in the city? What kind of partnerships
might you envision with, for example, the City
University of New York, and integrating this
collaboration or a collaboration with the Cornell

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Tech School? And really creating an economy within
New York City for green energy and clean technology.

DAN ZARRILLI: Sure. I think, as you say, we've made this a key priority of our plan to support entrepreneurship and research, and we really want to be that global hub. We've seen some great progress in the incubator programs that have been run in the city. They are in the Future Lab in downtown Brooklyn. It has, I think, been a great model of that. We're looking to expand that incubator to continue to attract and stimulate local business and really world-class technology to be here in New York City. Another really great example of that is the NYC Rise Competition that's been run recently. has not been concluded yet, but it was a competition to source the most innovative global technologies for resiliency measures in the city. And what we've gotten is a really interesting selection of technologies for telecommunications for energy, for flood protection. And right now we're pairing them up with small businesses for deployment. And it's \$30 million program that's being deployed to really pilot those technologies all over the City, and show how we can continue to scale those types of

2.2

technologies up. Supporting incubators and
supporting small businesses I think is an incredible
important part of this plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Well, let me ask you about CUNY. Because one of the things that the State is doing, and we don't know if it will be successful, but we think it will be, is looking at universities and colleges across the state as economic engines. As part of your planning, would you commit to meeting with CUNY and industry leaders in determining whether or not the programming, the majors, the minors, the concentrations that currently exist in CUNY are those that best matched and suited toward serving what we hope will be a clean energy industry in New York. And reporting back to their committee for what you found, and whether or not there are things that CUNY says you should do differently?

EMILY DEAN: So one thing that I would like to add is that the City is already working with CUNY, and we have developed a training program to train our building operators across the city to be more energy efficient with the operations. Offering certifications like building operators certificates,

certified energy management and things like that. And those are all done through like CUNY. So that partnership still exists. And in addition to that, we are also with them in terms of analyzing what we are doing in our programs. To make sure that we keep getting feedback in terms of the success of how we're doing, and where we're going. And getting feedback from them as to what the new technologies are that we could possibly invest. In addition, DCAS has a program called IDEA that invests in new technologies, and we did the first round of testing, and the outcomes of that have not been yet concluded. And that was on building controls, and currently we're going after battery storage. Every year, we'll come out with another round to test different technologies as we need. And we're working with CUNY in our evaluation of those. So in addition to that, I am going to ask Dan to address that at this time.

DAN ZARRILLI: Only that I think I didn't address it in your earlier question. The opportunities are coming about through the new campuses and the tenant campuses that are coming to the city. This creates a great opportunity to bring

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 more technical know-how, and really support the goal
3 of being that global hub.

would like it, you know, if the City would not merely rely on the increased technical know-how. And really look at the CUNY campuses and the Applied Sciences campus and come up with some specific programs that students can enroll in and graduate with that will enhance their ability, and encourage them to be part of the— Not just the tech economy, but the green economy. But let me ask you one parochial question, my other hat as I chair the Committee on Courts and Legal Services. People don't realize it, but the City maintains the courthouses. Are the courts part of the greening? Are court buildings going to get greened also?

DAN ZARRILLI: Absolutely. Yes.

EMILY DEAN: Because they are public buildings as long as they're City owned court buildings, they're part of our inventory [sic] at DCAS along with the libraries and the schools. So we are supporting all of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Terrific. Well, thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Okay,
3	I'll just ask some last questions, and then we'll get
4	to the public testimony. So I just wanted to
5	Well, one since he just got off of training. I
6	wanted to know So I know there's going to be
7	somewhat of a \$10 billion investment towards
8	renewables over the next ten years. I think that's
9	something you guys laid out in your plan. What's the
10	plan to really ensure that local communities, in
11	particular those who might have not went to Yale but
12	went to jail, have an opportunity to be trained in
13	these particular programs? Because [applause]
14	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [interposing] Quiet
15	please. Quiet please.
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:because there's
17	an opportunity here obviously for these people. And
18	you don't necessarily have to have the best degree in
19	the world to technically know how to install a solar
20	panel. So what's the plan to ensure that MWBEs and
21	MBEs also You know, local people are going to be
22	afforded these hiring opportunities?
23	BILL GOLDSTEIN: I think like every other
24	initiative we're undertaking, whether it's Built It

Back or Resilience Work this will have the same set

2.2

of requirements around it, and goals around workforce and MWBE participation. And as well as we know in this area there's another opportunity, which is the focus on training because there will be new jobs because there will be new jobs. And we'll be working both within the union environment and outside that if necessary. So you have our commitment on that particular set of goals.

thank you for the job fair, your partnership in the Rockaways the other day. Almost a thousand people showed up. So that showed the need for this particular training, and I definitely want to— Even as we encourage the unions to be a part of this, also look to ensure that local communities have an opportunity for apprenticeships towards this stuff, but also that there's training outside of that. So I want to ensure that everybody has an opportunity there.

The last two questions I guess are related to transportation, and I guess this question is for DCAS. I would love to see more electrical vehicles out on the roads, and more charging stations especially in the City fleet. And I know California

2.2

has a very ambitious plan, and New York City is the most progressive city in the world, and the greatest city in the world. And I don't think we should be following behind California. I think California should be following behind us. [applause] So I hope I'm invited back to California now. But I'm hoping to hear a plan towards laying out more opportunities especially in the City fleet for electrical vehicles.

DAN ZARRILLI: Yes. So I mean we've said before, we've taken the buildings as the first focus of our 80 by 50 goal. We know that we need to come back, and we're doing some thinking already on the transportation sector, the energy, solid waste, other sectors that are going to help us achieve that 80 by 50 goal. So nothing necessarily to say today on it, but we are absolutely looking at electric vehicles and the measures that can help us achieve those 80 by 50 goals.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And then, my last favorite subject, which is bus rapid transit, and I know that DOT is not here and the MTA. But I'm hoping that a full-fledged BRT system is going to be put in place in New York City. We know buildings are a major emitter. Seventy-five percent of emissions

2.2

come from buildings, but the idea is to ensure that
we are getting people out of their vehicles, and onto
mass transit. And more reliable transit obviously
will get less people driving into New York City, in
particular in Queens where we have some of the
longest commutes. So I'm hoping to see and hear that
that's going to be a part of a plan to get us to 80
by 50.

DAN ZARRILLI: Yeah, we're evaluating many different options.

BILL GOLDSTEIN: I know DOT is having extensive discussions with MTA.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:} \mbox{ But I want you to}$ be in that discussion.

BILL GOLDSTEIN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [laughs] Well, I want to thank you, and thank you for all your hard work on this issue. And I want to thank the Mayor, who did not have to adopt this goal, and saw fit the need. And he was out there during the storm with us, and actually before my capacity as Council Member out there on the ground. And I know that he understands the need. So, first and foremost, I want to thank him, and thank you guys for adopting this goal. We

2.2

look forward to working with you. We look forward to enforcement. We look forward to continuing to ensure that this city is moving in a way that's going to ensure that our children have an opportunity to grow in a nation, in a city where they won't have to worry if their home will exist or their community will exist with a storm.

With that being said, thank you for your testimony. I also want to thank Reggie Thomas I see who's sitting there. Just give him a shout out for all his hard work on this issue as well. And I look forward to spending many, many, many more days with you. God bless you all. Thank you. [applause]

DAN ZARRILLI: Thank you, Donovan.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [interposing] May we have quiet, please? [sic]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, we're going to get to our next panel now. I'll ask Mr.

Rick Bell from the American Institute of Architects to come up.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet down and please get back to our seats. [sic]

	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 70
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Ms. Catherine
3	Hughes of Community Board 1. Susanna Dyen from
4	ALIGN. Gusti Bogok from the Atlantic Chapter Gas
5	Drilling Taskforce, Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter Gas
6	Drilling Taskforce, and Raya Salter from NRDC.
7	[Pause]
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Catherin Hughes,
9	Community Board 1. Rick Bell is there. Raya Salter
10	NRDC; Gusti Bogok from Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter
11	Gas Drilling Taskforce, and Susanna Dyen from ALIGN.
12	[Pause]
13	COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Would you
14	please raise your right hands. Do you swear or
15	affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
16	nothing but the truth today?
17	[Pause]
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin.
19	RAYA SALTER: Hello, Chairman Richards
20	and members of the Committee. My name is Raya
21	Salter, and I'm a Senior Utility Advocate at the
22	Natural Resources Defense Council. Thank you for the
23	opportunity to be here to testify in support of the
24	City's commitment to a 30% reduction in citywide

emissions by 2030. And an 80% reduction by calendar

2.2

year 2050, the 80 by 50 goal NRDC thanks and applauds the City Council for, in partnership with the Mayor, courageously taking national leadership in reducing carbon emissions and addressing climate change. 80 by 50 is recognized by the United Nations as the international standard consistent with avoiding the worst impacts of climate change. It's the right goal. New York City is highly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change.

In 2013, the New York City Panel on Climate Change projected that by the 2050s, among other things, the city may experience up to 31 inches of sea level rise. That threatens to flood zones across the city. Two years after Sandy caused tragic loss of life and property while ravaging the city's infrastructure; this is an impressive and substantial commitment to create a more stable climate for the future. This landmark legislation is an affirmation that the science is in, the times of debate, the reality of climate change has come and gone. Climate change is here, and New York City is acting now.

New York City can achieve 80 by 50. In order to do so, we will need to make large gains in energy efficiency in our buildings. We will need to

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 make clean and renewable generation including solar, 3 and offshore wind into an everyday reality. And, we

4 | will need to electrify the transportation sector.

Here is where I can begin to deliver the good news. Taking on carbon in New York City is far more than a greenhouse gas reduction strategy. Tackling fossil fuels is also a way to help the city become stronger and more resilient in the face of climate change. Saying no to carbon can also make New York City a cleaner, green city for all New This is a challenge but it's also a major Yorkers. opportunity. The pursuit of 80 by 50 can make the city into a stronger, more affordable, and healthier place. In particular, addressing carbon in the building section, which is responsible for 75% of carbon emissions, can help bring the City's Affordable Housing Plan to fruition while making our communities healthier and wealthier.

Home energy costs pose a crushing burden to New York City residents today, particularly for very poor individuals and families. Home energy costs threaten a household's ability to cover expenses for housing, food, medical care, and other essentials. In this way, energy efficiency in

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

buildings can make a positive difference in the
health and wealth of our community. Further,

integrated strategies to address dirty fuel oil,
improve the health of indoor environments-- [bell]

I'm out of time, but thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

SUSANNA DYEN: Thank you Council Member Richards for having me speak today, and to the other council members. Oh, sorry. [laughs] Okay, how about now? Thank you for having me. My name is Susanna Dyen, and I'm an organizer at ALIGN, the Alliance for a Greater New York. We are a community labor coalition dedicated to creating good jobs, vibrant communities, and accountable democracy for all New Yorkers. ALIGN also coordinates the Alliance for Just Re-building, a community labor alliance dedicated to ensuring post-Sandy rebuilding is done in a just and equitable way for workers and Sandy impacted communities. We are in support of the proposed 80 by 50 goal, coupled with the interim 30 by 30 goal, which is already in place. This is a strong mandate for reducing New York City's contribution to global warming. Our concern is how New York City plans to actually achieve this goal,

2.2

and whether or not workers will benefit from these investments in reduction of emissions. As some of the questions that have already been asked today, illustrate here a similar alignment in what happens to our buildings, which make up the largest amount of emissions? Additionally, what kind of training and skill shares can be done so that workers can, particularly those that have not always gotten opportunities, can really access opportunities and careers that will be coming from investment in our public sector and from our public sector?

New York City's current plan, Greener,
Greater Buildings developed by former Mayor Bloomberg
requires large buildings to be audited for energy
efficiency, to report on energy use, and to retain
building equipment. There is no mandate that large
buildings actually act on their audits or reduce
their energies below current levels. Therefore,
we've seen only a fraction of buildings in the city
voluntarily conduct retrofits.

On the other hand, the Clean Heat

Program, which requires buildings to switch to

cleaner fuel sources, has resulted in enormous uptick
in boiler retrofits, and replacements once the

program went into effect. Additionally, most of the emission reductions in building sectors identified in the recent Annual NYC Emissions Benchmarking Report have resulted from this mandatory clean program, clean heat program. Mandates works. So the current proposal by the Mayor and the Speaker would make important contributions towards address climate change. But we believe that a mandatory energy efficiency retrofit is a necessary ingredient to achieving the 80 by 50 goal. We recommend requiring large buildings to reduce their energies by 60% below current levels by 2050.

Hurricane Sandy demonstrated that we can no longer wait for those who are most responsible for climate change to act voluntarily. Sure, some buildings have taken up the City's, the Mayor's carbon challenge and made big improvements in their emissions. But these building owners are the exception to the rule. A mandate is essential and should be done immediate, and that mandate should be tied to training, and to job opportunities and making sure that they get into all communities. Thank you for letting me speak.

2.2

[Pause]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

GUSTI BOGOK: Good afternoon, and thank you for holding these hearings, and thank you for sponsoring this bill and for this initiative. Given the recent report issued by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, it is imperative that the Council moves forward with this ambitious initiative. And once again, thank you for your work on this. However, how we achieve these goals is key, and it is important that we avoid the most seductive and glaring pitfalls by employing honesty, vigilance, and scope in our methods. For example, replacing one dirty fuel, i.e., No. 6 oil for an equally dirty or more potent greenhouse gas emitter, i.e, methane, or quote, unquote, "natural gas" as NYC's misnamed clean heat program is currently advocating. It's not a true solution, particularly when lifecycle emissions form shale gas extraction such as through the process of hydraulic fracturing or fracking, through much of our methane gas is derived, and are factored into the equation. The build out of gas infrastructure and dependency locks us into an outmoded dirty fossil fuel economy for years to come. And for those of us who have been studying the grim realities of

2.2

fracking, it is akin to jumping out of the frying pan into the fire. Indeed a 2011--

[applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: There will be no clapping.

University study has shown that the shale gas footprint is 20% greater than that of coal within the first 20 years, and maintains its climate warming supremacy over coal up to and beyond 100 years when the cradle-to-grave process is considered. Neither is the replacement of fossil fuels with nuclear energy a viable option given the catastrophic 2011 nuclear disaster in Fukushima, Japan. The reactor's ongoing meltdown issues, and the unsolved problems with nuclear waste disposal, environmental destruction, human health impacts, and the habitat and wildlife threats posed by nuclear energy reactors.

Further, as escalating global warming continues to heat our water bodies, the use of water to cool nuclear reactors will become increasingly costly while the growing problems of water scarcity and contamination to make water use for nuclear

2 cooling not only a foolhardy, but dangerous

3 enterprise. Instead, greenhouse gas emission

4 reductions must be achieved through vigorous programs

5 | that promote energy efficiency retrofits for all

6 buildings, energy conservation, and energy use

7 reduction measures. And the long overdue shift to

8 renewable energy sources such as for wind, solar,

9 geothermal and gas derived from biological sources

10 such as algae and waste products.

GUSTI BOGOK: It is interesting to note here that the Newtown Creek Wastewater Sewage

Treatment Plant in Brooklyn was at one time energy self-sufficient generating all of its operational energy needs from the methane contained in the processed waste. Until a misguided change in leadership and policy reversed course, and reverted the plant back to wasteful and costly energy guzzler that it is today. [bell] So can I just finish just two sentences?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Sure. Since they clapped for you and I clapped for you, you get an extra 30 seconds.

Pardon.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We clapped for you 3 so you get an extra 30 seconds.

Would like to recommend that the New York City

Council implement strong incentives and legally

binding guidelines to encourage and ensure that

building owners take the urgently needed steps to

actually reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Rather

than relying on good faith or recommendation

initiatives that can easily be dismissed or ignored.

Once again, thank you for taking this much-needed

initiative.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. Oh, fracking. That's what it was.

GUSTI BOGOK: Oh, I should say my name for the record. It's Gusti Bogok. I'm the Co-Chair of the Sierra Club Atlantic Gas Drilling Taskforce.

minutes of my time, too, to plug that statement. My name is Rick Bell. I'm Executive Director of the American Institute of Architects New York Chapter here in New York City. And I'm delighted to be here this afternoon, Chairman Richards and all the members of the committee to offer testimony on Intro 378.

This local law to amend the Administrative Code of
the City of New York to reduce greenhouse gases by
80% by 2050 is maybe the most important thing that we

5 | could be talking about.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

AIA New York and its members are dedicated to the structural integrity and health aspects of buildings to try to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public through design. believe that Intro 378 could help advance those goals. Architects will have a key role to play in reducing carbon emissions by 80% by 2050. Owing to the U.N. Climate Summit and Mayor de Blasio's pledge to overhaul the energy efficient standards of all New York City buildings, the New York City public buildings in particular. We have at the Center for Architecture the founder of Architecture 2030, an architect named Ed Mazria [sp?]. He addressed a full house at our space, which Council Member Richards, you know, is heated by what we call veggio [sic] geothermal. And he spoke about an outline, a blueprint really for a carbon-free and just built environment by 2050. He emphasized the critical role that architects and designers must play in securing a livable future for New York City. And the

2.2

implementation of sustainable design in consort with principles of resilient design is required to effectively address both the causes and the effects of climate change over the long term.

Architects and AIA members believe that concerted efforts on the part of the building community can result in a significant impact toward halting the damage of climate change. We cannot overstate the importance of implementing both mitigation policies and adaptation measures.

Adaptation along cannot protect our city's residents from the anticipated effects of climate change.

AIA New York commends the City Council's pledge to drastically reduce the city's greenhouse gas emissions by focusing on building design. We've advocated for a long time for local laws and code changes that support energy conservation. Upgrades to public buildings including housing, need to concentrate of renewable energy sources, and innovative design solutions such as geothermal. We think that these will benefit all of New York City residents, and set a powerful example for the private sector, and the rest of the world. And speaking of the rest of the world and thank globally and acting

2.2

locally, I think here today, we're doing both. We're acting locally and thinking globally are consistent with what we as members of the AIA were able to say and do in South Africa when the International Union of Architects met this past August and voted to adopt many of the same standards that we're debating here that would benefit New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you and thank you for your work.

CATHERINE MCVAY HUGHES: Good afternoon.

My name is Catherine McVay Hughes. I am Chair of

Manhattan Community Board 1. You are sitting in

Community Board 1. Thank you very much for having

this hearing. It's very appropriate as we approach

the two-year anniversary of Super storm Sandy. I'm

sure you all know we had over seven feet of water at

South Street Seaport. We're still trying to recover

from that. In addition, we had two people drown. We

had one person drown in the Financial District, and

another one in Tribeca. As you know, Lower Manhattan

is bounded by water on three sides, and we're still

repairing the underground transit infrastructure from

Super Storm Sandy. So you might recall the

2.1

2.2

2 inconvenience on the R Train and the other subways 3 that are being renovated.

As you know, the entire Community Board 1 is within the— The whole area is within the new flood zone area. So we are on the frontlines. We passed a resolution that went before the Executive Committee last week supporting your introduction, your Intro 378, an initiative to reduce greenhouse gases by 80% by 2050. We're also urging that the New York City Administration remain diligent in the implementation and the enforcement of policies relating to this initiative to ensure that the City can meet or exceed the goal of reducing greenhouse gases by 2050.

I also just want to make sure that you know that recently we released a report called Community District 1 Green Spaces Profile in September 2014. We've been a long-time advocate of greening and sustainability. The Green Spaces Profile summarizes the transition of green building infrastructures in Community Board 1 including the LEED Rated and Energy Star Certified spaces. We have roughly 60 of them. But all the new buildings that are going up are in alliance with that. So we really

in New York City over the last decade. UMEC supports

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the goals of Intro 378 to reduce greenhouse gases by 80% by 2050, as well as the vision laid out in Mayor de Blasio's transformative plane One City Built to Last. The goal of 80% greenhouse gas reduction by 2050 cannot be realistically accomplished in New York City without the increased use of bioheat, a blend of biodiesel and heating oil. And UMEC hopes to play a major role in further applying the clean air and environmental benefits of bioheat in New York City. Biodiesel is a biodegradable virtually zero sulfur and totally renewable energy source that is made from plant, vegetable, or animal fat based oils. It is then blended with diesel for use in transportation fleets and with heating oil for use in buildings, also known, as bioheat. Biodiesel reduces particulate matter that causes asthma, carbon emissions that contribute to global warming, and they lower our country's dependence on foreign oil and fossil fuels.

According to the American Energy

Coalition, B12 bioheat burns cleaner than natural

gas. And let me emphasize this point. A B12 blends,

which means 12% biodiesel and 88% traditional heating

oil, bioheat fuel can produce lower lifetime

2 emissions than natural gas. Last year, UMEC blended

four million gallons of biodiesel into our heating 3

oil, and diesel products, eliminating 58 million 4

pounds of carbon, and substantially reducing 5

pollutants in the City of New York. This carbon 6

reduction is the equivalent of removing more than 7

7,000 cars from the road annually. UMEC walks the 8

Our truck fleet of 55 vehicles uses B20 for 9

eight months, and B5 for four months in the winter. 10

By solely using these two grades of biodiesel, United 11

12 Metro is able to reduce its carbon output by 750,000

13 pounds annually.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

UMEC has been a vocal advocate for bioheat requirements, and actively supported legislation to phase out Nos. 4 and 6 heating oil. Only three years ago nearly 10,000 buildings in New York City burned No. 4 and 6 heating oil, and through the efforts [bell] of the New York City Clean Heat Program and companies like United Metro, several thousand buildings have converted to cleaner fuels. In recent years, many more are actively pursuing conversions. UMEC has offered incentives to building owners reducing, helping to accelerate conversions to

the cleanest heating fuels. As well as educating

building owners, real estate managers and tenants on
the benefits of bio heat. UMEC is in a period of

[bell] substantial growth. Earlier this year, we

5 acquired the expansive heating oil portfolio of Hess

6 Oil Company. This acquisition makes the largest

7 heating oil and biofuel provider in New York City

8 Metropolitan Area.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And soon in 2015, we will open one of the largest state-of-the-art advanced biodiesel production facilities in North America to be based right in Greenpoint, Brooklyn. It is designed to produce 50 million gallons per year of biodiesel fuel, and the facility will be the only one of its kind in New York City. It will be capable of accepting multiple feed stocks including recycled restaurant grease, and soy oil processing it into biodiesel for distribution in the New York City The maximum output of our processing region. facility will allow for the offset of 365,000 tons of carbon or 730 million pounds annually. We recently opened the city's first public biodiesel marine fueling dock where we will be loading tugs and barges purchasing biodiesel fuel on the marine waters of our city.

2.2

UMEC is in support of the goals outlined in Intro 378, and the Mayor's plan to transform buildings for a lower carbon future. We feel strongly about bioheat has an important role to play in the city's energy portfolio, and we are prepared to meet the biodiesel and bioheat demands of the New York Metropolitan area. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

[Pause]

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the committee. My name is John Maniscalco, and I'm the CEO of the New York Oil Heating Association. It's a 75-year old trade association whose members are large family-own heating oil distributors and terminal operators delivering the country's cleanest heating oil to over 1.8 million housing units throughout the City of New York. And we also employ thousands of New Yorkers directly and indirectly.

NYOHA supports the goal of Intro 378 that seeks an 80% carbon emission reduction by 2050, and we commend the Mayor on the One City Built to Last Report focused on improving sustainability in buildings throughout New York. Today, I'm very proud to sit before this committee and confidently assert

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

including the following:

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- 2 that New York City has the cleanest heating oil in the United States. This is no accident. New York 3 Oil Heating and its partners and colleagues in the 4 heating oil industry provide diesel industry labor. The Environmental Public Health and Environmental 6 Justice Advocacy Communities have worked very closely to reach this significant achievement. In the last 8 two years alone, NYOHA has proactively sought and 9 achieved sweeping reforms in the heating oil industry 10
 - 1. The mandating the 15 parts per million ultra-low sulfur heating fuel oil for all No. 2 heating oil statewide.
 - 2. The City Council's 50% reduction No. 4 from 3,000 PPM to 1,500 PPM.
 - 3. The phase out of No. 6 oil by 2015 and 4 oil by 2030, and $\frac{1}{2}$
 - 4. The City Council's B2 Fuel Mandate for all grades of heating oil, which now has been in effect for two full heating seasons.

These truly monumental reforms have already had a tremendous impact on air quality, reduced dependence on fossil fuels, carbon reductions, green local job creation, and job

retention. The Bioheat Fuel Mandate alone has already displaced more than 50 million gallons of petroleum since its implementation. Not including voluntary shifts to higher blends, which accounts for millions more offset in gallons and offset in carbon. Bioheat fuel is a blended product of petroleum and 100% renewable biodiesel that reduces the dependency on fossil fuels like oil and natural gas. But improves air quality, and reduces our carbon footprint.

I would like to make a few statements
that I hope resonate with you before I leave. Clean
2 oil with bioheat is one of the cleanest heating
fuels available. With respect to particular matter
2.5, clean 2 with biodiesel is as clean as natural
gas. According the New York City Clean Heat Program,
and I quote, "Ultra Low-Sulfur 2 has close to zero
soot emissions, the lowest of all conventional
heating fuels." Many of our companies have been
selling B20 Bioheat fuels for years on a voluntary
basis. Others sell B10, B5. We all sell B2. B2 is
a necessary fuel standard because it allowed for
biodiesel to go into the market, and for every

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

building in the City of New York to get an experience
with the product.

And while NYOHA is certainly open to discussing how to achieve citywide standards over time, it is important to [bell] to acknowledge that why we made B2 mandate so successful is that it did not go too far too quickly and considered affordability and supply. We would like to see more focus on bioheat fuel for clean heating, as the City Council contemplates this bill and specific ways to reach important carbon air quality milestones over the years and decades to follow. This kind of saving we're talking about in this bill with Mayor's report are simply not possible without Clean No. with biodiesel. Natural gas is simply not available in all parts of the city, and it is also 100% fossil fuel that is by definition less renewable that biodiesel blends. Thank you for the opportunity to testify, and I'm pleased to take any questions.

[Pause]

RYAN BAXTER: Good afternoon, Chairperson
Richards and the members of the Committee on
Environmental Protection. My name is Ryan Baxter.

I'm a Senior Policy Analyst for the Real Estate Board

2.2

of New York. The Real Estate Board of New York representing over 16,000 owners, developers, managers, and brokers of real property in New York City thanks you for the opportunity to testify regarding the proposed changes to the Administrative Code regarding greenhouse gas reductions. We appreciate our continuing dialogue with both the Environmental Protection and the Housing and Buildings Committees where many of the follow-up proposals will likely fall. We thank Chairman Richards and Williams for their leadership, and for continually going out of their way to sit down with the real estate industry.

We have been actively engaged in discussions with our membership to help ensure the proposal is not an imposition of undue costs or burdens on building operators while pursuing an aggressive reduction in greenhouse gases to help curb global climate change. We are pleased to report that we support Introduction No. 378. We believe an 80% reduction in greenhouse gases by 2050 to be an ambitious target that will ensure New York City continues to lead the world by example in regards to sustainability. As there are many unknowns to

2.1

2.2

consider, we would like to volunteer ourselves to assist the Council in every fashion we can in order to accomplish this goal. Thank you again for the opportunity comment. We look forward to continuing our conversations with the Council to continuing improving sustainability throughout the city for all New Yorkers.

[Pause]

DEHRAN DUCKWORTH: Good afternoon. Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak this afternoon on this important subject. My name is Dehran Duckworth. I'm with Tri-State Biodiesel. We are a regional producer of biodiesel from recycle cooking oil that we also collect from over 7,000 restaurants across the city and the region. I would like to present my testimony at this time.

To the esteemed members of the NY Council
Committee on Environmental Protection regarding Intro
378, I offer this testimony in support of the bill.
Tri-State Biodiesel has been on the forefront of the
environmental movement here in NYC for ten years
operating from the conviction that implementation of
biodiesel, the only renewable alternative fuel to
achieve the designation of an advanced biofuel by the

2.2

EPA, which requires 50% or more emissions reductions compared to its fossil fuel equivalent for this designation. It is the most viable and effective means we have immediately available to our community to reduce harmful emissions from diesel and the heating of buildings here in NYC. Which make up 75% or more of the harmful emissions, which have been directly attributed to causing the highest rates of asthma among children in the whole country here in our City. As well as a host of other pressing health and economic issues, that accompany our unnecessary addiction to fossil fuels.

Biodiesel has a solid track record of seamless functionality in a host of legacy equipment ranging from the most complicated diesel engines powering generators and heavy duty diesel trucks and equipment to the most basic boiler systems that heat everything from single-family homes up to the largest buildings in the city. At this time, the biodiesel industry has matured to the point where it is able to supply a major portion of the city's fueling needs. In fact, NYC is already the largest purchaser of biodiesel fuel in the country with the Department of Sanitation and New York Parks both wholly embracing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the move to B20 and beyond at their own discretion. Miles ahead of local government mandates, which are already in place to bring all municipal fleets up to speed in the coming years. Biodiesel is the safest, cleanest, cheapest, and socially and environmentally responsible heating fuel currently available on the market. Here is why. Safer and cleaner than natural gas. When burned by the end-user, natural gas burns as clean as B11 biodiesel blend, which would be 11% biodiesel and 89% ultra-low sulfur diesel. However, this estimate does not take into account the huge amount of dangerous greenhouse gas emissions released during the extraction and delivery of natural gas. Essentially reversing any emission reductions into the negative.

This estimate also does not take into consideration the well documented irreversible damaged caused to the land and communities in areas where fracking for natural gas is rampant. In stark contrast, biodiesel is non HAZMAT, non-toxic, biodegradable. And most importantly, it does not present a public safety issue should it leak for any reason. A risk made blatantly obvious last winter when a gas main in Harlem leaked into the building

2.2

above and exploded. Which ironically was owned and operated by anti-fracking activists using [bell] 100% B100 bioheat in the building.

I have more but I'll leave it there. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, thank you guys for your testimony. I just have a question for John. I don't mean to pick on you John. So I know there is this big push now to move a building from 6 to 4. How doable is getting buildings to 2 at least?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: [interposing] How to them from 4 to 2.

JOHN MANISCALCO: How doable?

JOHN MANISCALCO: How to get buildings to do that? Well, I know I heard the testimony earlier by New York City, and I think you hit the nail on the head how to get that done, and to quote you, Chairman, it was enforcement, enforcement, enforcement. I mean that's what it takes. Everybody is well aware that by July of next year, those 6 oil buildings have to go to 4, 2 or, in fact, natural gas. They just need a little push, so to speak. I know my association recently, actually, we sat with DEP Commissioner Lloyd. And we're going to discuss

2 some opportunities about how I could reach out to my

3 companies. And my companies in turn can reach out to

4 these companies who are not, these buildings who are

5 | not in compliance. And let them know that

6 enforcement may be very well timed. [sic]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You guys want to chime in and add anything to that?

answer that question. It's a very easy fix to move a number 6 boiler to burning biodiesel up to 100% biodiesel, which is absolutely carbon free, and it's a process that we are involved as well as a number of other specialists in the area on consort with New York City Clean Heat Program, which has been very effective in reducing emissions. We would like to see them begin to focus more on moving toward renewables such as biodiesel, which in this case happens to be the easiest drop in available renewable alternative fuel available at this time.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Mr. Daniel if you can speak to-- So I know you said some of your trucks are going on-- They're doing B20.

DANIEL GIANFALA: That's right.

2.2

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Can you speak of
3 the experience. We-4 DANIEL GIANFALA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: To the mic.

DANIEL GIANFALA: It's been a very good experience. We have been utilizing a B20 blend for our tractor-trailers, the trailers that you see, the dark green metro with the yellow on the side lettering delivering home heating oil throughout the city. The metropolitan area of Long Island they use B20 for eight months of the year. And during the winter, we switch down to a lower blend. And it's been very successful. It's cleaner burning, cleaner operating. We have less in accountable issues. So we're very excited about that, and we're pushing that wherever we can. Where we can speak to a pending customer.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. All right, thank you guys for your testimony. Thank you. The next panel Bob Wyman for Self, Kevin Murungi, from Global Kids. Lisa DiCaprio 350NYC and the Sierra Club. Cecil Scheib and Richard Lee from the Urban Green Council. Catherine Skopic from IMAC 350NYC and I can't read the last one, or is it We

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Act. Oh, of course, I can read that one. Denise

Katzman fron Enviro Enhancement.

[Pause]

2.2

[background discussion]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I just want to acknowledge that we received testimony from Comptroller Scott Stringer in support of this bill as well.

COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: So, I'll guess you'll switch the chairs. Can you please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth today?

PANEL MEMBER: [Chorus of I dos]

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin.

BOB WYMAN. Okay, thank you for the opportunity. My apologies. Given only three minutes, this won't read as great pros. But I would like to say that we very much support the City Council's plan to adopt the 80 by 50 target. I would like to suggest that I think it might actually be not as aggressive as you suggest, and others have suggested. And, in part, that's because it turns out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that a very important thing to understand about the clean technologies that we need to switch to in the future in order to meet the carbon pollution standards is that, in fact, we found over and over again that clean technologies are, in fact cheaper technologies, cheaper than fossil fuels. This was, for instances, one of the important things we discovered in the Clean Heat Program. There was a tremendous amount of pressure from the real estate business wanting to avoid the mandate to switch off No. 6. When it was pointed out to them that, in fact, doing would not be an economic hardship, essentially that resistance fell away. And the people who realized that they could actually switch from No. 6 to No. 2, or to other alternatives and save money were, of course, motivated to move quickly.

We have a tremendous number of opportunities, in fact, within our city to get cleaner, cheaper, energy by doing fuel switch. For instance, we have two million vehicles that are registered to operate in this city. Virtually everyone of those is a fossil fuel burner. However, we already know that in the electric automobile

2.2

business some of the automobiles that are being offered on the market now are already cost competitive in terms of total cost of ownership with the internal combustion engine competitors. We can only anticipate that this trend will continue. If after only essentially five or six years of a serious electric vehicle business, we're already cost competitive in many of the classes. We should anticipate that by 2050, and certainly long before 2050, there would be no economic argument for continuing to use fossil fuels. People who use fossil fuels will essentially being doing so only because they've been fooled by marketers or they have an ideological problem.

We also have one million buildings in our city. Almost everyone of them is burning fossil fuels. However, we have research from Columbia
University, Professor Modi's people who have gone and established that we should be able to replace the heating systems in those buildings by ground source heat pumps in 80% of the buildings. So we can have 80% of the buildings in this city fossil fuel free using heat pump technology, and we can do it cheaper than fossil fuel today. For instance, if a ground

2 source heat pump replaces a No. 2 fuel oil, the

3 ground source heat pump will have operating costs 50%

4 of the operating costs that it would have if it's

5 | burning No. 2 fuel. It will have 51% the cost of a

6 propane system at the moment. And by the way, these

7 costs I'm giving you [bell] are just the cost of a

8 system, which runs at the minimum permitted

9 efficiency according to the EPA for an Energy Star

10 | Certification. Actual systems, which run much higher

11 | than the minimum would be cost competitive even with

12 | natural gas.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

Point here is, and this is just one of the three points I wanted to make is that we need to understand that cleaner energy is cheaper energy.

We've see that consistently. I would like to point out that the moment the PFC and NYSERDA have explicit policies that prevent fuel switching. Even though fuel switching is exactly what we must do as a city and a state and a country in order to address this problem. Yet PFC and NYSERDA have explicit policies discouraging people from doing precisely that. I just have run out of time. Please read the rest of the comments.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You can finish.
3 You can finish up.

BOB WYMAN: I can finish? Okay, great. Thanks. I'd like to suggest as well once we accept that the cleaner energy is cheaper energy and that's been proven over and over again, there's another very important factor that we need to consider as we go forward. And this is essentially that we need as a city, as a state, a nation to go through what is essentially the second grade electrification of our nation. The first electrification you'll remember from the history books. That's when Thomas Edison came in, and we essentially brought electricity not only within the cities by throughout our rural communities for primarily lighting, appliances, communications, technologies, including entertainment technologies. But we didn't address transportation, and we didn't address the thermal applications primarily heating of buildings. Today, electricity accounts for only one-third of the delivered energy in this country. One-third, only one-third. two-thirds, the remaining energy, which is delivered to end-use applications is primarily fossil fuels that are burned at direct point-of-use applications.

2.2

And those are in our city for instance primarily the two million automobiles and the one million buildings.

What we need to do is we need to look as we go forward with this period between now and 2050, we need to understand what that period is about.

It's about gas efficiency and things like that, but also the primary goal really needs to be forming the second electrification, moving off of the filthy fossil fuels that we used temporarily, and moving onto the clean electricity, clean electrons and to do it as rapidly as possible. In the process, we will save money. A very important aspect of this situation is something that I couldn't find at all addressed in the plan is the fact that we're going to go through a fundamental shift in the economics of energy.

Essentially what is happening if you think about is in the old days what you did is you paid for energy as you used it, as you consumed it.

As you used a gallon of gasoline, you've got to replace it. If you were, using logs and you were a caveman you essentially had to go get another log and replace it. But that isn't the way energy works

2.2

today. Energy today is something like you go get a solar panel. You spend a lot of money. You put it on your house, and essentially, it's free. It has absolutely no cost at that point. You are no paying for consumption. What you're paying for is capacity. You're paying for the opportunity to consume, not for the actual consumption itself. The same thing happened to—

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I'll ask you to wrap up.

that happens in almost all of the renewable and all of the clean technologies. They all are primarily questions of upfront capital costs, and with very low maintenance and operating costs over time. So what we need to understand is the way forward is not necessarily what we've done in the past with cash subsidies and stuff. But we really need to focus on financing people's upfront costs, making it possible for them to pay the upfront costs so that they can essentially afford to save money. Because today the only people who can afford to save money in the energy space are the people who are relatively wealthy and have good credit ratings. Poor people

can't afford cheap energy. Only wealthy people can afford cheap energy. In order to make it possible for the poor people and the middle-class to afford cheap energy, we have to find ways to help them with their upfront costs. And that's going to be things like loan guarantees, risk assumption by the state, the city, et. cetera. Anyway, thank you very much particularly for allowing me to go over time. My

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No problem.

KEVIN MURUNGI: Thank you very much. My name is Kevin Murungi. I'm. It's a not-for-profit youth development and global education organization working with young people from under-served communities in New York City and Washington, D.C. I thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to come and speak today on behalf of Global Kids students to support this bill to reduce carbon emissions. And to thank you for the continued support of Global Kids students both of Chairman Richards and Council Member Constantinides who worked with our students on numerous occasions in the last couple of years.

2.2

apologies to all.

2.2

23

24

25

2 Even before Super Storm Sandy, Global 3 Kids students recognized climate change and climate justice as a global emergency. And they've been 4 working to demand action from their peers from the 5 schools, from their government, and from governments 6 7 around the world. They used the Human Rights Action Project, which is the program that they create 8 campaigns addressing human rights issues to work on a 9 moratorium on fracking in New York State two years 10 ago. Two years ago, they worked on a campaign to 11 12 install green roofs on New York City public school 13 buildings for all the benefits that we already know 14 about, reducing heating and cooling costs. 15 Addressing storm water mitigation, and actually 16 creating a living lab on top of your school for 17 science class. And they actually managed to install 18 a green roof on one school in Queens, William Cullen Bryant High School. 19 20 the invaluable help of both Council Member 21

Last year, the worked on a campaign with the invaluable help of both Council Member

Constantinides and Chair Richards on a campaign to mandate climate education in all New York public schools. And that is a campaign that's going to continue and push forward this year. The students of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Global Kids would like this chamber to know the following:

Global Kids Leaders representing schools in all five city boroughs want to see leadership on climate change, and as such enthusiastically support Council Member Constantinides' carbon emissions reduction bill. Many Global Kids leaders were impacted by Super Storm Sandy, and know first hand the devastating impact of climate change. Global Kids leaders have roots in countries around the world that are especially vulnerable to climate change like Haiti, Nepal, and Bangladesh, for example. And this issue hits close to home for them on several different fronts. Global Kids leaders as global citizens ultimately want to see world leader's work together to make a binding climate treaty to curb global emissions immediately. The council member's bill is a step in the right direction towards achieving this goal.

I will close with a quote from one of the students who lost a family member in Super Storm

Sandy, one of the 44 that was lost. "This is the future I want: A country that is better prepared for climate and environment disasters and is working

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

reality. Thank you.

proactively to mitigate global warming. Just like we have fire drills in school, we need to have evacuation plans and disaster preparedness kits. must rely less on oil, and more on alternative energy and reduce carbon emissions by any means necessary. We cannot continue to provide subsidies to oil and gas company that are wreaking havoc on our earth. We need more preservation of natural resources, and less consumption. Science matters and we must educate the next generation on the realities of climate change so we are all working to promote a better, more sustainable future. I am more committed than ever to work to make this future. I want a reality and Global Kids students remain committed to working with you, Council Member Constantinides, Chairman Richards

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

and the rest of the Council to make that future a

CECIL SCHEIB: Good afternoon to Chair
Richards and also the bill sponsor Costa. Thanks
much for having me. My name is Cecil Scheib. I'm
from the Urban Green Council, the New York Chapter of
the U.S. Green Building Council. I did not bring a
working model of a windmill with me here today.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Obviously, that's my first mistake [laughter], but I will do my best in the absence.

We're here to speak in support of the bill. It's a great bill. It's fantastic that the City is setting both near-term and also long-term goals. If you want to go to Google Maps, and you want to chart out a route, you can't do that unless you know where you're going. And this bill says here's where we're going so that we can start to actually map out a route, and that is very important. We like that number. We think it's in consort with our global science about climate change. So we're very supportive. Of course, you won't be shocked to hear I'm going to quote Urban Green's own report 90 by 50, which shows one way that we believe that this goal is technically feasible. In fact, we believe it is feasible with current technology. We don't think we're waiting for anything new that has yet to be invented to get to that goal, and even beyond.

Just to touch on a couple of key findings from that report, number one that we can't do it with the presently available labor force, and the technology. Also, there will be a lot of jobs growth from doing this. By making buildings more efficient,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

that's a lot of work for the city, and that's a lot of jobs. We do believe that the city's building stock can be overall improved in its actual energy efficiency by about 60%. And no matter what you think about different sources of energy, whether you think we should be using wind, solar or whatever sources, if you could have the use in the building, you need less of it. And it gets easier to go to all those sources when you start by just using less in the buildings. So that's the first place to start. Of course, in the end we won't be using fossil fuels because there's no way to get to that very deep goal, and still use fossil fuels in buildings. So there has got to be a route to get there. Buildings will go 100% electric. In our model in the end once you get rid of fossil fuel use, and go 100% electric, we won't use more overall electric power than we do now. The total amount used on an annual basis will be the same as we do. However, the peaks will be different, and so we will need to look at energy storage and overall how the grid works to make that work.

We do think that over the cost of doing this for the city it's overall going to be a cost-effective strategy for the city. If you look at the

2.2

societal cost of the energy versus the savings from what we don't burn, those things are reasonably equal to each other. But it's going to be a long term to figure that out. [bell] Thanks very much for the chance for these comments. I look forward to answering your questions.

I am a Clinical Social Professor of Social Sciences at NYU where I teach courses on sustainability. I am a member of 350NYC and the Energy Committee of the Sierra Club Atlantic Chapter, which advocates for policies to facilitate the transition from fossil fuels to renewable energy in New York State.

I am testifying in support of the new local law to achieve an 80% reduction in New York City's greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. This is one of the new environmental initiatives outline in the City Council's comprehensive platform to combat climate change. The proposed law aligns New York City with the greenhouse gas reduction goals adopted by New York State, the U.S. Federal Government, several U.S. cities, and countries that made this pledge at the U.N. Climate Summit. However, the law should also specify that the 80% by 2050 reduction

2.2

must be met with energy conservation efficiency and renewable forms of energy. Without this requirement, as with the original PlaNYC goal with a 30% reduction by 2030, the new goal of an 80% reduction by 2050 could unintentionally provide a rationale for (1) maintaining the nuclear reactors at Indian Point since there are no greenhouse gas emissions for nuclear power, and (2) facilitating an expansion of New York City's natural gas infrastructure. As the EPA's method for calculating greenhouse gas emissions from natural does not take into account fugitive methane emissions throughout the entire life cycle of hydro fracking from extraction to combustion.

Currently, two-thirds of electricity

consumed in New York City is generated by natural gas

plants in the outer boroughs. Here are eight

recommendations for how we can achieve the goal of

reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 80% by 2050 with

energy conservation efficiency and renewable energy.

1. Review the February 2013 Urban Green Council Report, which Cecil just mentioned 90 by 50. New York City can reduce its carbon footprint 90% by 2050. And the December 2013 report also mentioned earlier today, PlanyC, New York City's Pathways to

2.1

2.2

Deep Carbon Reductions, which was commissioned by the Bloomberg Administration to explore ways to reduce qreenhouse gas emissions by 80% by 2050.

- 2. Mandate a schedule of five-year benchmarks with bi-annual public progress reports that would include information about reductions from specific sources of emissions. For exampled, buildings and transportation.
- 3. Facilitate the creation of the renewable energy systems web portal, which was mandated by Local Law 12 in 2013, but is still not available to the public. The interactive web portal is to provide information about renewable energy options in New York City [bell] on the website of the New York City Department of Buildings, and other appropriate New York City home pages.
- 4. Explore ways to implement New York
 City's heating oil rules that do not provide
 incentives for the conversion of boilers burning No.
 6 or No. 4 oil to natural gas. In the current phase
 of this program, no new certificates of operation are
 being issued for boilers burning No. 6 oil and all
 boilers burning No. 4 oil must convert to natural
 gas. No. 2 oil or biodiesel by January 1, 2030.

and radium content.

2.2

Public education is required to [bell] to inform

building owners about the alternatives to natural gas

boiler conversions, which all we'll discuss today.

These conversions are expanding New York City's

natural gas infrastructure in the New York City

market for frack gas from the Marcellus Shale in

Pennsylvania, which has an especially high uranium

- 5. To facilitate the retrofitting of privately owned buildings with less that 25,000 square feet, the proposed new threshold for mandatory energy audits call on the New York State Legislature to grant New York City home rule for the purpose of issuing green bonds to subsidize electro fitting of these buildings.
- 6. Mandate the DEP to provide information about energy conservation efficiency and renewable energy in the water bills that are sent to all building owners. This will ensure that all owners are informed about the fossil fuel free options for electricity and heating and cooling.
- 7. Explore ways for the City Council to support statewide policies, which will expand renewable energy in New York City. For example,

2.2

expanding the current goal of the Renewable Portfolio standard from the current 30% renewable energy goal by 2015 to a new goal of 50% renewable energy by 2025, which the Sierra Club is advocating for. In addition, as we know that New York City cannot generate all of its own electricity from within our borders, it is also important to support the New York Wind Initiative launched by the Sierra Club to expand on-shore wind generation as well as new wind farms offshore of New York City.

8. And finally, building on the success of the People's Climate March, and the City Council initiative supporting the march. City Council members could include information about energy conservation and efficiency and renewable energy options on the websites and in the newsletters that they send to their constituents. And the City Council could introduce next year a resolution of support for Earth Week 2015 along the lines of the People's Climate March Resolution in which City Council members would encourage their constituents to attend at least one Earth Week event.

I would like to conclude with a quote from Rajenda Pachauri, Chairman of the U.N.

2.2

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Speaking at the press conference held in Berlin on April 18, 2014 to announce the report of Working Group 3. Which provided us with a 15-year opportunity to assume decisive action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. He stated, quote, "What comes out very clearly from this report is the fact that the high speed mitigation train would need to leave the station soon. And all of global society would have to get on board if we really want to bring about a limitation of temperature increase to no more than two degrees Celsius." end quote. Thank you.

I'm with iMAC 350NYC, Food and Water Watch, and We Act. Thank you City Council Member Costa
Constantinides for introducing this bill to reduce greenhouse gases, and thank you to each of the 38, now 39 members and the Public Advocate who have also signed. I thank you for your comprehensive platform to combat climate change. As we have moved from the geologic age of the hologen [sic] to our processing [sic] being manmade, and read reports of the IPCC, as well as experience climate change around us, we recognize the urgency to do all we can to halt global

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 warming. The main cause? Burning fossil fuels.

This bill serves to reduce the amount of greenhouse gases, and for this reason is to be applauded. Two significant ways to reduce emissions are (1) efficiency, and (2) transition to renewable energy.

7 | I'd like to speak to the second.

The City has been working to expand renewables. For example, CUNY led the development of the New York Solar City Map. This map shows that as of April 15th, New York City's installed capacity is 39.1 megawatts. Log onto this map. You all should try this. It's really fun. Enter your address and you can see the solar potential for your building. did this. I discovered that in the building where I live with solar panels installed you could have an annual savings on your electric bill of \$1,632 with as much as 8.95 kilowatts of solar. This would reduce carbon emissions by 6,026 per year, or the equivalent of planting 16 trees. Lincoln Center recently installed solar. Just imagine the reduction and emissions we could have with solar panels on all our buildings, private, municipal, schools, universities, hospitals, museums, stores, garages, warehouses and so forth. And this afternoon we did

2 hear that that \$28 million has been allocated for
3 solar in schools. So that's an excellent beginning.

We also could be installing generative wind turbines. For example, like this model of a VAT, a Vertical Active Turbine. [background discussion] And there are many designs of these buildings. There's a building in Brooklyn that's been built with these designed into the building. So these in addition to the regular solar. Regular means they could be installed. Okay, with all this solar and wind electricity generation potentially enabling us to reduce fossil fuel use, I would not be at all surprised that if all segments of our society were to get behind this effort 100%, we would be able to even far exceed the goals we have set for greenhouse gas emissions reductions. In addition to this monumental potential contribution to health and climate change, New York City could set the example for refusing use of natural gas. [audience comments] As fracked gas methane is many times more greenhouse gas producing than the CO2. Fracking also threatens our water and food supply, and with the radon it carries, it negatively impacts our health.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

Gas pipelines leak and explode, and here I'm just going to take a minute to ask a question. As Robert Howarth has shown, that from extraction to delivery of gas, there is more greenhouse gas produced than is coal. How is that that by transferring to coal to gas, we have reduced by 19%? That doesn't make sense. The only way that it makes sense is that that gas is being extracted outside New York State, which is also causing a problem. there's a problem there, a big problem.

Okay. I ask the City Council to do all it can to prevent use of natural gas, fracked gas and to continue on the path we selected of greenhouse gas emissions reduction and installation of renewable energy. I congratulate the New York City Council for your forward thinking, comprehensive platform of bills to address climate change, and pledge all I can to work with you for that accomplishment. Thank you.

DENISE KATZMAN: Good afternoon. Denise

Katzman. I am a business manager and climate

scientist, analytic and initially, I had some

skepticism about number 378 because of that magic

illusive word that Chair Richards so vibrantly

presented, early enforcement. Any bill, any law, any

reso without enforcement doesn't mean a heck of a lot. So, the ability for EP to perform enforcement, for the City Council to perform enforcement where this is concerned is highly beneficial because the benchmarks need to be set, and there must be thresholds for all relevant parties to meet at minimum on a bi-yearly basis, and accomplish the necessary goals. Solar has been talked a lot about on city schools, and throughout the city. We also need to take the viable opportunity for storage of that solar. Because if we miss it this time, it's going to be worse than shameful. The companies are producing it. Schools are working on it. So there is great, great unification on that platform. We all know by now per last year the World Health Organization had declared that the outdoor air is cancerous. And our indoor air quality isn't a heck of a lot better. And by creating the need for, the long overdue need for clean energy jobs. bring in the long overdue need for clean energy investment that produces what is known in the finance world as ROI, return on investment. And it's positive return on investment that will benefit

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 shareholders, and allow NYB [laughs] allow NYC to be a vibrantly clean metropolis.

The Union of Concerned Scientists in a recent study criticized EPA because they believe that they can utilize and quadruple renewable electricity in the next 15 years by 23% and that's the year 2030. And EPA is looking at an isky-pisky goal of 12%, and they're stating that it's business, and they don't want to abide by it. And they know that they don't have to because we have the platforms out there.

And speaking of cities that are getting it done now, and will get it done in two years,

Johannesburg is doing it now, and they have declared that in the next two years, they are going to be totally carbon free for all of their public vehicles. And the City can do that also by utilizing an entity called eNow energy in Rhode Island, every single vehicle can have a roof that is a solar micro grid.

[bell] It's being done, and it's an opportunity that shouldn't be missed.

The Carbon Disclosure Project, 2014 Study is based on the S&P 500 Climate Change Report. There are 767 investors with a total of 92 trillion

American Dollars that know that clean energy

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

investment, and climate change risk mitigation are doable here and now because we've wasted way too much time. The city also has to enforce Rebuild By Design. The entities that one, have to be kept schedule and we have to go through with what they're doing because the resilient buffers that are being designed -- bioswale came up and it's one them -need to be put in the city's shoreline. Because the city's shoreline is overburdened with hardscape. that is what allows climate crisis to become even The city needs to start looking at Cat Bonds, worse. which are Catastrophe Bonds, and there is a way to do that with the Controller and the EP Council should be uniting with the Controller on that platform.

And lastly, science has proven that methane is 21 times as powerful in trapping heat with an equal quantity, an equal equation of CO2 over 100-year timeline. And we all know that we can lessen it. We can stop it, and we reign in the runaway greenhouse effect. We have all the necessary resources. We've had them for a long time. So again, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much. Thank you for your testimony.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 133
2	[Pause]
3	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, we'll
4	have Stephanie Low from New York City Safe Energy
5	Coalition; Wendy Shore, Alice Slater and they are all
6	from the New York City Safe Energy Coalition. And
7	then we'll have Ken Bragette from Sane Energy
8	Project; Patrick Robbin from the Sane Energy Project,
9	and also Pamela Drake-Gregory from the Safe Energy
10	Coalition.
11	[Pause]
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And we're really
13	going to hold you to three minutes because we have to
14	get out of here in a timely fashion. So we're going
15	to ask everybody to keep their remarks to three
16	minutes. Thank you.
17	[Pause]
18	MALE SPEAKER: My colleague, Kim, had to

MALE SPEAKER: My colleague, Kim, had to step out so I presented her testimony. That will be circulated to you.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So if your colleague is not going to be here, then you should just state your testimony. No problem. Thank you.

COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Can you please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to

2 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the 3 truth today?

My name is Stephanie Low. I'm a volunteer here with the Sierra Club working for the last few years on the Trans-Pacific Partnership Trade Agreement, known as TPP. I'm chair of both the chapter and the New York City TPP taskforces. Before that, I worked as a member of the Gasoline [sic] Taskforce, which focuses on fracking. I'm not an energy expert, and my comment are common sense ones. Some of my concerns have already been voiced by Lisa DiCaprio of the Sierra Club. So I would like to start in the middle so I can get through with this.

There are many aspects of emission reductions possible by way of conservation and efficiency, including laws that are already proposed or on the books, such as:

The lights of municipal and business offices as well as storefronts should be turned off when those businesses are closed for the day.

Waiting vehicles such as school buses, repair and delivery trucks should be required to turn

2.2

off their motors after their immediate purposes are addressed, and turned on only when ready to move on.

Metropolitan buses required to employ air conditioning should be required to employ air conditioning only when outside temperatures reach a level such that the inside temperature would reasonably discomfort the majority of passengers.

Somewhere between 70 or 75 degrees Fahrenheit.

Street lights should be regulated at half power from a specific night time hour say 2:00 a.m. to daylight. Bike lanes should be expanded throughout more city streets with sufficient protection from vehicular traffic with biking rules posted online and enforced by fines and/or court charges depending on the severity of any accident. We could create a reduce your carbon footprint website so residents can check whatever rules they are expected to follow.

Additionally, there are initiatives outside of the purview of the City Council that could nonetheless be supported by the Council such as:

1. A Take Your Car off the Road campaign both on and offline to encourage interact a share

2.2

2.2

2 ride community with multi prizes for most rides
3 logged.

- 2. A monthly public contest for the family that say reduces its electric bill the most in each borough with prizes such as energy-saving appliances.
- 3. A grade school science class competition for the best suggestion to lower New York City's carbon footprint. This could also be proposed to New York City's colleges and universities.

The possibilities are endless. Adoption of several initiatives under a general title such as Save Our Species, Lower New York City's Carbon Footprint might ender a huge public support given the 400,000 New Yorkers who demonstrated their concern for the climate by marching together last month. Harnessing that concern by putting effective solutions for global warming [bell] on the community radar screen will bypass the two common response that is just too big to deal with, and generate positive enthusiasm for whatever needs to be done. It could also unite the community in unforeseen ways to benefit other aspects of our lives together:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

you so much for the opportunity to voice my concerns
and my suggestions. Thank you.

PATRICK ROBBINS: Hi, good afternoon. I'm the Development and name is Patrick Robbins. Communications Coordinator for Sane Energy Project. First of all, thank you so much to the Council. Energy Project is happy to see the City Council taking this important step toward meeting New York City's climate change Responsibilities. We want to begin by applauding the Council for targeting the reduction of all greenhouse gases, which must include methane, not just carbon dioxide. We understand that this 80% reduction target is a bold step toward climate action. That said, we remain committed to a New York City that is powered entirely by renewable energy. And we know that this vision of New York is not only possible, but within our grasp.

Stanford Engineering Professor Mark

Jacobson has outlined how we can get there from here
and what his work shows us is that we have a choice
to make. We must actively choose a renewable future,
or have that choice made for us, and remain locked
into an energy system that endangers our health, our
security, and our climate. We can begin making this

2.2

City.

choice through some of the steps that the Council has already identified. There are important gains to be made via efficiency and retrofits, and we applaud that the Council is prioritizing investment in environment justice communities in the creation of green jobs. Further steps can be taken to streamline the permitting process for renewables. In particular, solar this is one of the largest upfront costs, and it is actually something that is city jurisdiction, and that would encourage the deployment and development of renewable energy technology.

Which enjoys wide support from the people of New York

Projects such as the two offshore wind farms positioned off Long Island are a necessary step toward a fully renewable New York City. According to the Jacobson plan to become 100% renewable, New York State must supply 40% of its energy from offshore wind. So we must also speak loudly and clearly when there is an obvious choice between renewables and fossil fuels. Right now, off the coast of the Rockaways in the same location where a wind farm has been proposed there is also a proposal for a liquefied natural gas port. This project called Port

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Ambrose would present a terrorism risk near the
busiest harbor on the East Coast and close to Kennedy
Airport.

It would increase the burden of energy costs on working families by facilitating exports and driving prices up in an area still reeling from Hurricane Sandy and threatened by sea level rise. Liquid natural gas presents a real climate threat as well releasing 40% more greenhouse gases than even domestic shale gas. This project would further destroy ecosystems and worsen our climate by encouraging the growth of fracking and shale gas infrastructure across the region. As New Yorkers, we have a responsibility to oppose projects such as Port Ambrose whenever they spring up. This is why we encourage the City Council to support a resolution against Port Ambrose and against all new fossil fuel infrastructure. Thank you so much for your time.

[Pause]

WENDY SHORE: Okay. Hi, my name is Wendy
Shore [sic] and as a New York City Resident over the
past 11 years having lived in four of the five
boroughs, I have a real investment in the future of
this city. Activism means fighting for a tangible

2.2

positive change, which is why this Intro 378 is so encouraging. New York City can and has set the standard for the rest of the nation. However, for this to be implemented effectively it needs more detail and more teeth. Specifically, using PlaNYC alone as a guide for renewable energy is far from adequate. For instance, Milestone No. 15 the 2015 Report calls to quote, "Encourage conversion from highly polluting fossil fuels by increasing natural gas transmissions and distribution capacity and improving reliability."

The obvious problem is that natural gas is a highly polluting fuel both in extraction increasingly using hydro fracking wells, in burning, and in leakage. Particularly as methane, the primary component of natural gas, is 75 times more powerful a greenhouse gas than is CO2 over a 20-year period. The bottom line is fossil fuel reliance will not lead us to a more stable and livable climate. Instead, to heat our cities buildings and water, we can use a biodiesel source from waste oil, as we've already heard, or we can install new solar thermal heating systems directly on building rooftops. These methods can also be used in tandem. We can also invest in

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

newer technologies such as the absorption chiller that uses evaporative cooling to utilize the energy from hot water from either solar thermal collectors or from waste heat sources for air conditioning and refrigeration systems. We cannot use the same old system to get off greenhouse gases. We need to change the infrastructure.

Second, New York City needs to encourage the use of distributed generation that is property owners feeding the electricity to the grid using their own installed solar, wind, or geothermal systems. This is the only way to make renewable energy insulations both affordable to a property owner and accessible to use on a citywide basis. York City is overdue for a feed-in tariff legislation, and other policies to ensure that renewable energy can achieve price parity with oil, gas, and nuclear sources. And, most importantly, any widespread use of renewable sources has to work in tandem with huge increases in energy efficiency. This city is a massive over-consumer of energy from our buildings' climate control systems, overheating, and overcooling to massive video billboards in Midtown to all manner of interior and exterior lights

2.2

all running 24/7. But thermostats, timers, and motion centers are pretty simple options to use these kinds of wastes. Upgrading to more efficient LED lighting is a way get more out of less wattage.

And I was going to say something about enforcement, but we already said that. So all in all, I'm thrilled to see this legislation being championed by the Mayor and so many members of the City Council. But it needs some essential tweaking to make sure it really works as intended. Two more sentences. We can set the example for New York City to really make sure that our future generations survive this world. To do that, we need to encourage real renewable energy, not methane or nuclear. Get really serious about energy efficiency, and consuming less resources, and enforce this like our life depends on it because it does.

[Pause]

ALICE SLATER: Good afternoon. I'm Alice Slater. I'm with the Shut Down Indian Point Now, a new organization. And also a new group that formed right after this incredible march, which we're calling the sustainable— The New York City Safe Energy Coalition. A lot of our people came down

2 today to support you. I don't know what I can say

3 | that hasn't been said already. So I'm not going to

4 take up your time except to ask how about 100% by

5 | 2050? There's a lot of research that says this. I

6 mean we've heard about Mark Jacobson's research, and

7 | the CUNY solar panels. But there are a lot. I'll

8 | just say that I have some in my footnotes in my

9 paper. I'm not going to go over them, but I just

10 | think that would be more inspiring.

And it would just be so inspiring and so moved by happened today in the City Council. That new march with us and that 400,000 people marched, and it wasn't just environmentalists. It was labor. It was social justice. It was the peace movement. It was doctors and scientists and City Council people and indigenous people. So we're on a roll, and I think we should go with— 80% just sounds, it doesn't cut it. You know, let's say 100%, and we can definitely do that, and we can do that just as well as we could do 80. You know, we'll see what we can do, if we really get behind it. But it's definitely possible. There are lots of studies, and there are also studies— We should really talk this up. We

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

2 didn't hear enough about this today, about green
3 jobs.

Our city is in the toilet with employment, with poverty. I mean I'm just like shocked. The media isn't even covering what's going on here. So we could put everybody back to work with solar panels. I mean we could get people right now. Painting the rooftops white would actually save energy. It sounds like a crazy little thing, but it deflects the sun, and the hot days. And you don't need so much air conditioning if your black tar roof is white. I'm just saying like a stupid— There are a million little things that we could be doing putting people to work. You know, we could get kids to do that. You know roof paining instead of juvenile delinquency. So let must— Oh, I also want to talk about something very important.

The reason this isn't taking off like wild fire is because there are forces that stopping this, some very power forces. But we are the people, and I know you guys are the people. [laughter] So go to Colorado. Boulder, Colorado took back their utility because they were shoving fossil and nuclear fuel down their throat, and they wanted sun and wind.

your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the

truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
today?

[Pause]

2.2

Moorehead. I'm an architect here in the city. I'm also a Board Member of Damascus Citizens NYH20 and I'm a Board Member of the New York Passive House group as well. We really appreciate your patience going through this long hearing, and listening the way that you do. It's really excellent. I'm not going to follow much of what I wrote there. There have been a lot of things said, but I'm going to read a couple quotes and I'm going to follow up on some issues that came up today earlier in the testimony, which I want to elaborate on.

There's a quote from Buckminster Fuller:
You never change things by fighting the existing
reality. To change something, build a new model that
makes the existing model obsolete. We applaud Local
Law 378 because in its language is the capacity to
begin to build a new model that makes the existing
model obsolete. Our existing model is a fossil fuel
economy. As Al Appleton would say if he was here,
we've heard for many years, It's a black economy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And we've had this mission for many years to move to a green economy. So, that's a new paradigm, and this is the beginning step. It feels like the beginning step for moving toward that new paradigm.

PlaNYC was a very good beginning, but it didn't change the existing paradigm because it left that fossil fuel dependence embedded within it. we are clearly taking a step away from that. really appreciated hearing the conversation about Passive House in the questioning, and I read it within the plan that's very, very good. A couple of comments I want to make about it were actually to try to clarify some of the issues that came up when the Council people were questioning the administration about Passive House. And more the role of energy conversation within the One City Built to Last program. There really doesn't seem to be an emphasis on energy conservation with respect to the building's thermal envelope. As we've heard today, and I will reinforce, 75% of the energy of the city is used within buildings. And probably about half of that is plug load or power roughly. But the other half is heating and cooling energy. And that heating and cooling energy demand is established by the quality

2 or lack of quality of the building's thermal envelope. So, the first thing you do. Passive House 3 4 came out of a German Physicist 1990 Building 5 Scientist. He was just looking at energy, and what can we do. He wasn't an architect, he wasn't a 6 7 politician. He was a guy looking at hose these things work, and he decided that the best thing to do 8 was to reduce the energy that you need first and 9 foremost. So there are a lot of things that are 10 really good ideas that are floating around, and solar 11 12 panels and the wind and this and that. But if you don't do the energy conservation piece really, really 13 14 well, then you're not getting there. It's plain and 15 simple, and the answer about the school, I will say 16 you can do a net zero school in Staten Island. 17 That's not-- The goal is to reduce-- So you don't 18 need PV panels. Like it's not good that you can put a building on one floor all over a piece of land and 19 20 throw a million photovoltaic panels on it. Like that's not a good solution. It's easier to do an 21 2.2 energy efficient school right here in Brooklyn or in 23 buildings where you can build something that's tall, that's compact that's got other buildings on it's 24 25 side, you know, on either side of it. That's a very

3 doing these Passive House buildings. I talked about

4 | it before, and I know I lost my time there. But what

5 | they're doing is they're retrofitting.

The main other point I wanted to make Retrofitting is what we have to do. years, 85% of the buildings that are here now, they're still here. So we can have all kinds of good new building strategies. But if we're not retrofitting aggressively, we're not getting there either. So Passive House it isn't mentioned. did not -- They reference new construction for Passive House. Retrofitting is available through Passive House as well. It addresses that, and this is happening. I appreciate the Councilman mentioned Brussels. Brussels is a good model for us. It's a smaller city, but within two months they're requiring that all buildings be Passive House. Luxembourg by 2017 making the same requirement. The EU by 2020 all new buildings have to be equivalent to Passive House because they want all buildings to be near zero or net zero their new building by 2020. You only get there by doing something like Passive House. So I

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

2 appreciate the extra-- I'll leave it there, and thank
3 you very much.

MARGE SCHAUB: I'm Marge Schaub [sp?], and I'm a board member of DCS, and I'm going to read the comments for Barbara Arrindell, who is our Director for our organization. And thank you for giving Buck the extra time, and for your dedicated listening to all of us. I would like to urge several items to be taken into account when mandating of an 80% reduction of citywide greenhouse gas emissions relative to such emission for the base year by the calendar 2050.

The first point that the build out of gas infrastructure currently ongoing be paused as quickly as possible. Gas usage actually increases greenhouse emissions. It should be shown that natural gas creates considerably more greenhouse gas emissions along the full path of its production, processing, transport, and distribution than is saved compared to coal or oil at the singular point of its combustion.

Gases are over 90% methane, which in near term is more than 85 times more potent than greenhouse gases and CO2. Simply put, the less methane released, the better off we are globally. Additionally, the gas

2.2

being very much a fossil fuel with profound impacts, it may very well see radical hikes in price. This new administration with better information, and it should chart a new path rather than carry the burden of the failing Bloomberg policies promoting gas usage. So stop the build out is the first point.

The second point relates to the first.

The planned build out of the infrastructure at NYC has a tremendous cost. Even just looking at the hardware, the cost that would be paid by New York

City residents either directly or indirectly these projects are not free. If even the cost of the cost of the build out is not yet built as repurposed for conservation and efficiency work. And bringing online more new renewable energy sources NYC will be in a better long-term position as it achieves its emission goals.

The third point. All decisions based on some quantitative number must have these numbers be arrive by actual measuring not guestimates arrived at by modeling by ways of guessing using estimates based on other estimates. That maybe have a few measurements using the outdated equipment. For example, measuring methane to show gas leaks is

2.2

easily possible today by a relatively new, but thoroughly tested [bell] and vetted instrumentation that is durable, reasonable in price, scientifically robust, easily available and mobile. The same instrumentation cavity bring down-- It said a special thing -- can be also used to measure CO2 as well as methane, carbon monoxide down to the parts per billion on a mobile platform. Actual measurements can be incorporated in a clear environmental scheme without actual measurements for cooling ourselves. So thank you, and I have additional ideas. I'm just submitting it, and thank you for your time, and thank you for the extra few seconds.

[Pause]

REGINA CORNWELL: Thank you, Chairman
Richards, [clears throat] and thank you Council. I
am reading the testimony for Jeff Zimmerman who
represents— The attorney who represents Damascus
[coughs] Citizens for Sustainability NYH20 and
Citizens for Water. And I am also a member of the
steering committee of the Damascus Citizens for
Sustainability. Thank you for this opportunity to
present testimony on behalf of a reduction by 80% by
2050. Going back to a comment that Buck just made in

2 the old plan the old NYC plan under Mr. Bloomberg,
3 the cornerstone of the plan was emission reduction

4 strategy placing No. 6 and No. 4 fuel oil, replacing

5 | it with natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil.

But by and far, by way and far the new choice of fuel has been natural gas due in large measure to aggressive incentive programs by the gas distribution companies, Con Ed of New York, Natural Grid with support from the Mayor's Office and other government participants such as NYSERDA.

Unfortunately, the expansion of natural gas usage in new buildings and conversions of existing buildings has only substituted one fossil fuel for another.

And in the process, increased the emissions of methane, a far more potent contributor that CO2 to increase greenhouse gas levels.

It was reported last month by the U.N. World Metrologic Organization that atmospheric levels of CO2 have reached 400 parts per million, and continued to increase especially with the more potent compounds such as methane. Rather than contributing more methane on this road to ultimate ruin, it's time for New York City to hit the pause button, and

2.2

2.1

2.2

2 rethink the strategy for reducing emissions of 3 greenhouse gases.

In June of 2013, President Obama announced the Climate Action Plan to reduce carbon pollution, and also to develop a comprehensive strategy to cut methane emissions. Earlier this year, the White House released its strategy to cut methane emissions. Among the projects included in this strategy is actioned by the EPA to cut methane emissions from oil and gas. If EPA decides to issue new regulations, this strategy requires it to complete these roles by end of 2016.

In June of 2014, New York Attorney

General Eric Schneiderman and the attorney generals

of six other states submitted extensive and detailed

comments on the five methane white papers released by

the White House. In their comments they noted that

the EPA has classified methane as one of six

greenhouse gases endangering public health, and the

environment. I will rundown and—— I don't have time

to go through all of what he is suggestion, but the

GA's comments criticized the white papers for

excluding the distribution segment from the white

papers. The GA stated that we must act to ensure

2.2

that the global warming benefits of switching from coal to natural gas are not diminished because of the release of methane throughout the natural gas system. It went on to state that if the state's position — it's the State's position that not only is targeting methane emission a necessary component of the assessor's strategy to address global warming, it is required under the Clean Air Act.

So, I will just go to the end. It's clear that nothing else has been done by EPA to reduce the methane emission from gas distribution systems. The seven attorney generals will most likely file suit to compete EPA to take action. You haven't heard today, but Damascus Citizens for Sustainability has already documented that there are significant methane leaks throughout Manhattan. And the amount of this leakage will only go up as more natural gas is sent through distribution pipelines to supply gas to all of the buildings throughout this city that have been converted or will be built to use natural gas.

So Jeff concludes that the prudent course of action for New York or the Council to take is to halt conversation of more buildings to natural gas,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

before 2050.

and the use of natural gas in new buildings until the issues related to the regulation of methane emissions from distribution gets clarified. This should occur over the next two or so years. Thank you very much.

MADELYN MOOREHEAD: Hi, I'm Madelyn Moorehead, and I'm with NYH20 as well as with DCS, our sister organizations. While other cities and countries around the globe with current achievements that have reached the 100% renewable goal, there are other cities that have a goal of achieve 100% renewable in the near future. The goal for New York City to reach 80% by 2050 should actually be 100% way before that date. There are many models by other cold weather cities and countries that can be researched and emulated. There are multiple strategies that are available for conservation as well as custom pathways for renewables that could be applied to New York City. Recognition of the necessity of removing the New York City fossil fuel certainly including natural gas entrenched utility model coupled with political will and serious commitment can surely step up the timeline on renewable capabilities to the achievement of 100%

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 We must keep step with the utter 3 necessity t step up to the plate and take every possible action to implement substantial change right 4 My written testimony today includes practical 5 conservation solutions to add in the reducing of 6 7 greenhouse gas of which many could be implemented right now. In addition, further, my testimony 8 includes a list of cities and countries that have 9 achieved 100% renewables, including Ithaca, New York, 10 Evanston, Illinois as well as Oak Park, Illinois. 11 12 lit of renewable goals that have been established. 13 lot of green and carbon neutral cities within the 14 coming decade plus a list of plans to build renewable 15 cities around the world.

My testimony also includes a recapitulation of the informative new film, the film of the future of energy highlighting quotes from the pertinent individuals and their agencies and companies driving the renewable actions that have already been completed. And those that are in progress serving as models for renewable projects moving forward. I have those. I have given you a lot of testimony there. So I'm just going to try to make it smaller here. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A conservative use would be practical conservation solutions that could be practical conservation solution that could be implemented into mandates. Conservation constituting mandates for New York City buildings to provide uniform heat to all buildings through use of conforming valves to no higher than an agreed upon temperature relieving our existing gross over-heating that exists in so many New York City residential and commercial buildings. Installing electric trees in all city-owned parking lots and other city locations to facilitate electric car charging. A community choice aggregation model should be explored for New York City. The actual definition would be [bell] -- All right, it would be to institute a mandate where office buildings turn off lights after a certain hour unless in direct use eliminating obvious unnecessary waste.

As part of the European policy that currently exits, 24-hour hall lighting is minimized. Motion censors are implemented for efficiency and energy conservation to control hall lights when not in use, eliminating obvious waste. Mandate building retrofit upgrades to include upgrading insulation and increasing barrier— increasing air barrier. We

implemented within a year.

need to retrain the Con Edison workforce to be able to do these jobs. Direct building owners through a mailing campaign with definitive steps to be taken regarding real conservation efforts. This could be accomplished with a menu of choices that would be phased in within a certain timeframe. For example, a list of ten choices on a conservation checklist of at least three of the choices by each landlord to be

And progressing on to accomplish additional choices each year. Owners need a step-by-step model to move to renewables, and to allow them to take steps to accomplish these goals. A landlord reward system for accomplishing their conservation goals could be achieved with a corresponding percentage reduction of real estate taxes for every choice on the conservation menu checklist. Not to mention a built-in reduction of yearly NOI expenses, always a tried and true incentive. Fines for non-compliance. I have here— As you'll see in the testimony, I've given to you many lists, and I'll shorten them here. Cities that have achieved 100% renewables, and that's right now.

2.2

2 Palo Alto, California, Greensburg, 3 Kansas, Evanston, Illinois, Oak Park, Illinois, 4 Ithaca, New York and there are 23 in all. Renewable 5 goals established. These are cities that have 6 established renewable goals. There are 24 of them. 7 Their goals are 100% with the exception of Germany, 80%. We've got Marin, California, San Francisco, 8 Google, USA, Lancaster, California, Ipswich, 9 Massachusetts, Aruba, Stockholm, Denmark, Frankfurt, 10 Munich, Germany is only 80%; Rotterdam, Fukushima, 11 12 These are all 100%. Another list of green Japan. and carbon neutral cities, which are 13 of them. 13 14 Vancouver, Seattle, Toronto, London, Paris, Berlin 15 and Amsterdam. These are a list of carbon neutral 16 cities that are there right not.

There are plans to build 100% renewable cities across the globe. There are four of them at present. The community choice aggregation is really an important step that New York City could take, but I'll leave it right there. You have the rest of my testimony, including a copy of the Future of Energy, which gives a rundown of a lot of the points that I have made here.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 LING CHO: My name is Ling Cho. 3 co-founder of United for Action, an anti-fracking, pro renewables crisis group in New York City. We 4 commend the City Council for this bill Intro 378. 5 While this bill sets out a goal for reducing 6 7 greenhouse gases, it does not specify how the city plans to achieve this goal. We wish to see New York 8 City achieve its greenhouse gases reduction goal 9 through energy efficiency, conservation, and 10 investment in building of renewable energy 11 12 infrastructure like solar, wind, and geothermal, and not through increased uses of natural gas or nuclear 13 energy. Natural gas is not a green energy nor is it 14 15 a transitional fuel. Under the Bloomberg 16 Administration, the city was building or converting coal fire power plants to natural gas fuel plants. 17 18 This is not sound policy, which should be discontinued. While burning natural gas may be 19 20 cleaner than burning coal, this does not take into account of the methane leakage in the extraction, 21 2.2 production, and pipeline transportation of natural 23 gas. Methane is a potent greenhouse gas. According to data from IPCC on global warning potentials, 24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 methane is 85 times more potent than carbon dioxide 3 when measured over a 20-year timeframe.

Bloomberg's Administration was also aggressively pushing for the conversion of boilers in New York City buildings from No. 6 heating oil to natural gas. While we agree that No. 6 heating oil is very dirty, conversion to natural gas is not the answer. We call on the City to advocate for more boiler efficiency, and conversion of No. 6 heating oil to low sulfur No. 2 heating oil, which creates less particulate matter than natural gas or biodiesel, which creates near zero particulate matter. These alternatives are less costly to convert, and will result in better air impacts and higher efficiency. Increased natural gas usage, an expansion of natural gas and liquefied natural gas, L&G infrastructure will lead to more fracking, and greenhouse gas emissions, and exacerbate climate change.

Nuclear energy is not clean with its radioactive and toxic waste. With energy efficiency and conservation, New York City does not need Indian Point Nuclear Plant, which should be shut down immediately. This bill does not specify how the City

plans to monitor and report the reduction of the
greenhouse gas emissions. Nor, does it specify how
the City plans to enforce rules to achieve these
reductions. Without regular monitoring and reporting
procedures, especially with our legally binding
enforcement measures with substantial fines for non-
compliance, these reduction goals will just be words
written a piece of paper without meaning and
substance. Climate change is the most critical issue
of our generation. We need to take bold actions
before it's too late. [bell] New York City can be
the leader and set an example for this country and
the whole world. Thank you very much.

[Pause]

[background discussions]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, next panel. Ya-Ting Liu, New York League of Conservation Voters. Justin Green, Build it Green; Edie Kantrowitz from UFA, NYCFC, NY-- A lot of hats.

Annie Wilson, the Justice Project NYC, and Ann Law [sic] New York City Clean Energy Coalition. Philip Con, Citizens Climate Lobby.

[Pause]

[background conversation]

2 COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Please raise
3 your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the
4 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
5 today?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin.

[Pause]

[Pause]

EDIE KANTROWITZ: Okay. Okay, good. name is Edie Kantrorwitz. I'm affiliated with United for Action, New York City Friends for Clean Water, the Coalition Against the Rockaway Pipeline, and the New York City Safe Energy Coalition. But I'm speaking as an individual today. And I would like first of all to thank both the City Council and the Mayor's Office for the tremendous leadership they have show in recent months towards our shared goals of reducing emissions and addressing climate change. Four hundred thousand people marched in the streets of Manhattan in September to demand a sustainable future, and this bill Intro 378 is a beautiful start towards making New York City a leader in climate progress. However, it still does not go far enough.

The bill must make it explicit that shale gas, nuclear power, and large-scale hydroelectric

2.2

dams are not the power sources we must turn to in order to reduce emissions. Too many time and in too many ways we have heard that shale gas is a bridge fuel that will save us from CO2 emissions. But methane aside from all of the public health, economic, and environmental harm caused by fracking, is 86 times more potent than CO2 as a greenhouse gas over the 20-year period. And a recent study has show that 40% of U.S. carbon emission reductions since 2007 can be attributed to renewables while only 30% can be attributed to the growth of shale gas. So even looking simply at the standard effectiveness, we see that shale gas should have no place in our energy future, none whatsoever.

Nuclear power is simply cancer waiting to happen, and large hydroelectric dams are echo systems disrupters. So, it's impossible with all good conscience to wholeheartedly support Intro 378.

Although I very much support the measures that we're talking about today. But we must make clear that our emission reduction goals are to be met only by power sources that are truly clean, green, and sustainable such as solar, wind, geothermal, tidal power, biodiesel, and small scale hydroelectric.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Conservation and efficiency, of course, must be given an ever larger role in meeting our emission reduction qoals.

This is a low hanging fruit that we must not ignore, and that I know you are not ignoring, which I tremendously appreciate. Americans waste a tremendous amount of energy, and New York City can and should set a positive example by becoming the leader in implementing energy saving attitudes and technologies. The plan needs strong enforcement measures, which it currently lacks. And it needs to have more clearly delineated policies and procedures for meeting the 80% by 2050 goal including annual targets, and report backs on whether these targets are being met. The agencies responsible for managing new programs should be clearly identified and there should be mechanisms in place for establishing an advisory committee of scientists and citizens to allow for continuing public input. In conclusion, let's ask ourselves a really daring question. Why only 80% by 2050? Why not 80% 2030? Why can we not challenge ourselves to 100% by 2030? New Yorkers can do anything, right? For the sake of future generations, we should settle for nothing less.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 YA-TING LIU: Thank you Chair Richards. 3 I'm Ya-Ting Liu with the New York League of Conservation Voters. We have over 25,000 members in 4 New York City that want what we want, which is to keep New York City a leader in sustainability. I'm 6 7 not going to read my testimony verbatim. I think I just want to sort of get to the heart of our 8 suggestions for the Council for this Committee to 9 consider in terms of specific milestones that can 10 pave the way to get us to 80 by 50. So the first one 11 12 is in order to provide further guidance, this bill 13 could suggest intervals of five to ten years or so. 14 So that future council could monitor the progress 15 towards those milestones, and ensure that the 16 programs are on target. And that project to achieve 17 these goals are working well.

And the second recommendation is that the Mayor's Management Report, and the PlaNYC inventory of New York City greenhouse gas emissions provide published reports on greenhouse gas emissions, and have been useful tools to evaluate the City's efforts. So in order to meet these more ambitious reductions, which is the goal of this bill, we suggest that legislation should require an expanded

2.2

annual progress report. Perhaps looking at a breakdown of greenhouse gas emissions by industry sector, building type, geographic region such as zip codes, transportation sectors and more. The Council then would be able to evaluate the success or failure of the programs sort of designated by the energy consumer.

We were proud to be part of the 400,000 people in that march, and not only is this bill ambitious, it's very clear from that moment that it's necessary. And the truth is the hard work begins now, right. Because to get us there, the road is going to not be-- It's not going to be fast, easy, or cheap. Which essentially then requires a sustained political will. And we really applaud you Chair Richards, and the City Council for really comprehensive and for your leadership to set the mark and set the goal and being ready to take New York City there.

We heard from your line of questioning with the City in terms of specifics, implementation, and time line. And all of the details that we know the City is working on, but it's really important to hold the City's feet to the fire to make sure that we

2.2

are actually meeting all of these near-term milestones to get us there. So we really applaud your efforts, and we look forward to working with you, and the Administration to make this a reality. Thank you.

[Pause]

ANNIE WILSON: Good afternoon, Chair

Donovan Richards, Council and the Committee members

left. I'm Annie Wilson. I work for the New York

Environmental Law Justice Project, and I did a review

and I have some suggestions that I would like to add

in addition to what has been stated today relating to

the implementation of this 80% of greenhouse gas of

2050 as Intro 378. Well, we could include all the

greenhouse gases. There are others. The goal to be

met by 2030 is 30%, and the benchmark of the 1990

levels has now been bumped up to 2005. So

ultimately, what I'm trying to say is that we are now

looking at 2005 levels whereas we used to look at

1990 levels. So maybe there could be a

reconsideration.

In any case, the target and time frame could possibly be improved, and if there is a good implementation we might get there before 2050. And,

2.2

that will require extensive public education,
particular on consumption reduction, and relating to
the administration of the process through developing
city agencies, the question is which will be lead
agency? Will there be a taskforce? Who is going to
enforce it? Will it be DP? Will the Mayor's Office
of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability be expanded?
And if there was to be a taskforce as a coordinating
role, how would that taskforce interact with the
agencies and how would it be implemented and/or
supported? It should be made of experts and
stakeholders.

Relating to the four-year cycle of

PlaNYC, the vision. Possibly there could be a

scattered review period like two years for some of

the issues, and two years for others. However you're

going to be able to implement this. And I suggest

that there would be serious studies somehow provided

and funded by the City to best determine the course

towards the reductions as a goal for this Intro.

There would also be serious modeling scenarios of

various combinations that could be looking at various

factors, whether it be the full cost accounting of

choices, the greenhouse gas footprint in a full

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

cycle. For example, not just the fraction, but the milling, and the -- [bell] I'm already there. I'm going to wrap it up.

And looking at the other factors, and variables through efficiency of building designs, conservation measures, including the transportation sector. And encouraging and making the public aware of the greenhouse gas emissions related to the consumption of animal products, which is so often overlooked and taboo. Given that that's 51% of the greenhouse gas worldwide, it's very important to have that in the conversation. One could also consider creating and proposing municipal utilities for the larger project in addition to the distributed projects. And maybe considering how transition works, and the public's interest within the transmission systems that we have, which are currently hurdles to the deployment of distributing renewable energy. Also, with the economic and health impacts, these variables would certainly create many, many scenarios that could help determine the best course.

And I will close with the transitional fuel supply issue, and that we don't have time to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

transition. We need to go straight to where we have to go. Reduction in demand and distributed renewable energy, and also I caution you on the solution for pollution trading, and carbon offsets, which displace problems. They don't resolve them. So thank you very much, and I'll close with power for the people and power to the people. Thank you very much.

PHILIP KAHN: Hello. My name is Philip Kahn and I'm Co-Leader of the Manhattan of the Citizen's Climate Lobby. Thank you for giving me an opportunity to speak on behalf of my organization in support of Local Law 378. CCL wholehearted supports passage of Local Law 378. This law will put New York City in the vanguard of actions to save our city, our nation, and our planet from the worst effects of climate change brought about by greenhouse gas pollution. CCL's primary policy goal is a national price on carbon emissions that will allow businesses and consumers to choose their methods of decarburization. And we fully recognize the value of setting ambitious goals such as those in Local Law 378 and the Mayor's recent proposals for mitigating the effects of climate change in New York City. We applaud the leadership that New York City is showing

on this extremely important issue. But we also wish to highlight that without a national price on carbon pollution the chief way that New York City will be able to significantly reduce emissions is through regulation namely through strengthening enforcement of its building codes, as several others have said.

I want to briefly summarize the CCL Policy Proposal, and tell you how it can help assure that we meet the 80% reduction by 2050 in both New York City and our nation. Citizens Climate Lobby is a grassroots organization dedicated to the national action that will lessen climate change through marked forces rather than regulation. Our main efforts involve engage Congress to enact a revenue fee on carbon contents of fossil fuels as they enter the national economy. This fee would start at a modest level of \$15 for a ton of CO2 emissions, and steadily rise by \$10 per ton of emissions per year. proposal would refund all proceeds collected to the American people on an equal basis. And its provisions to help American businesses to help compete with firms in countries without such carbon fess.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

25

2 A recent study of the impact on the U.S. economy of a proposal similar to ours was performed 3 by regional economic modeling. The study modeled the 4 5 greenhouse gas emissions, employment, and economic activity through 2035 compared to baseline 6 7 predictions of those parameters without the tax and policy. Key results compared to baseline case with 8 no carbon fee are after 10 years, CO2 emissions 9 declined by 33% and by 52% after 20 years. 10 lives are saved annually after ten years, primarily 11 12 due to the reduced burning of coal with an accumulative 227,000 American lives saved over 20 13 years. 2.1 million jobs are gained in the first ten 14 15 years, rising to 2.8 million in 20 years. And by 16 2020, the annual GDP increases by 70 to 85 billion. 17 And this is with the Revenue-Neutral Carbon Tax, with 18 an cumulative increase of national GDP due to Revenue-Neutral Carbon Tax of \$1.3 trillion. 19 [bell] 20 A national price on carbon pollution with the proceeds equally returned to citizens will 21 2.2 especially benefit New Yorkers. We have the lowest 23 per capita carbon emissions of any large city, resulting in more funds being refunded to New Yorkers 24

than they would pay under carbon fess. This would

2	help finance some of the investments required to meet
3	the goals of Local Law 378. Thank you very much for
4	inviting our testimony on this most important matter.

5 Thank you.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you all for your testimony. It is certainly important. A carbon tax would be great, and also benchmarks, and that's something that we're certainly going to be looking at. Thank you.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, Pamela Drew Gregory, Safe Energy Coalition; Nancy Anderson, the Sallan Foundation; Wyldon Fishman, New York Solar Energy Society; Ken Gale the New York--

KEN GALE: New York Safe Energy Coalition.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Oh, yes. I didn't hear. So Ken Gale, New York City Safe Energy Coalition, Eco-Logic WBAI. That's the march that you guys-- Ruth Hardinger, the Damascus Citizens for Sustainability. Patrick Almonrode from 350NYC.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin after you're sworn in.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Please raise
3 your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the
4 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
5 today?

PANEL MEMBERS: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: You may begin. Speak into the mic. Make sure it's lit up, the button is-- There you go.

PAMELA DREW: Good afternoon, Chairman Richards, Committee Council. Thank you for convening this panel to speak in favor of 378 and thank you for your Herculean patience in listening to all our testimony today. My concerns about the bill concern not only the content and impact of the bill. Not merely its content and coverage, but also the issues inherent in the bill's oversight and implementation. To put the bill into effect in a manner that will carry the most impact would logically require a vast amount of oversight. It might potentially require a taskforce assembled for the purpose for following up with landlords, co-op, and condo board, building manager, and even superintendents. To ensure that the procedures necessitated by the bill are being implemented fully, and effectively. To perform less

than supervisory measures after the bill's passage would be almost a quarantee of failure to perform the tasks necessitated by Local Law 378. It would be all too easy for those who must make urgently needed changes in the form and deployment of energy in their buildings to rest on their laurels. This could be minimized or eliminated entirely by supervision that would demand they build and maintain the required infrastructure by a date certain, or they receive city penalties. This taskforce, of course, could be organized under and should be answerable to the Department of Environmental Protection, DEP, as the most appropriate agency to implement the bill with cooperation from other New York City agencies required. The fire department to ensure code adherence in building modifications, for example.

It is, therefore, my opinion that the City Council of the City of New York should consider appropriate legislation following the passage of 378 to create a 2050 taskforce answerable to the DEP for the direct supervision of modifications to city buildings to ensure adherence to the requirements of the bill. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

[laughter] Thank you so much.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much, 3 and you saved time. That's leadership there.

Good afternoon, Chairman Richards, and staff. My name is Nancy Anderson. I'm the Executive Director of the Sallan Foundation. Sallan is a New York City based independent non-partisan, non-profit organization dedicated to advancing useful knowledge for the inner cities. I am so pleased to testify here today, and to offer strong support for Intro No. 378, a bill that builds on and extends the goal of the City's Climate Protection Act, Local Law 22 of 2008.

It is clear that we must do more. We can do more starting today to be climate action leaders. It is also clear that much of the real hands-on innovation will arise at the urban scale, and we are up to the task. In order to act in the best interest of the city to provide for an increase in future reductions in citywide greenhouse gas emissions, I offer five recommendations for City Council action.

First, companion legislation should be drafted to establish binding intermediary greenhouse gas reductions. This is an idea that has been

mentioned on numerous occasions today. Intermediary
targets would foster and guide future City Council
oversight hearings on the progress being made towards
meeting the 80 by 50 goals. And would also inform

the Council's budget proposals and approvals.

Second, legislation should also require the Mayor's Office to produce an annual progress report in detail on how the city is advancing and the efforts to meet the 80 by 50 goal.

Third, the Council must ensure that the staffing needed for needed for detailed 80 by 50 policy making, implementation, relevant permit reviews and enforcement is made possible by annual adequate funding. This should start with the Fiscal Year 16 Annual Budget, which will be just coming up shortly.

Fourth, everyone wants to be a winner.

The Council should establish an energy reduction race and use annual energy benchmarking data required by Local Law 84 to award buildings that makes the biggest cuts in their energy consumption. The energy reduction race would be a great way to spotlight the importance of the city's benchmarking law and

2.2

2.2

2 elevated above just paperwork. Philadelphia is 3 already doing this and New York should, too.

Fifth and finally, the Council should facilitate creation of a special 80 by 30 districts. These pioneers will need the commitment, the capacity for nimbly taking advantage of the City and State energy efficiency and clean energy programs to cut greenhouse gas emissions by improving the energy performance of their buildings. These pioneers will offer us replicable energy efficiency projects and be a test bed at the community scale for cutting greenhouse gas emissions.

By volunteering to lead the way, 80 by 30 districts will show all New Yorkers how to do it, and with that, I thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today.

WYLDON FISHMAN: Wyldon Fishman, New York Solar Energy Society, and I've submitted to you ways to go green, 101 ways to be more energy efficient. Therefore, to lower your carbon footprint. And we are an educational organization. We raise money in order to educate K through 12, professionals. Our ranks are filled with architects and engineers, some solar installers, and our courses today we have the

COMMITTEE	\cap N	F.NV/TR($\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{j$	PR	OTEC	ነጥፐ	$M \cap$
	OIA			T T,		ノエエヽ	OIN

Science just for something new.

2.2

approval of three new courses by the AIA for continuing education credits. And the three are:

Ground Source Heat Transfer, which is we call Geo-Geothermal. Ground Source Heat Transfer, Solar

Thermal, and Passive Active Building Science. I've been using those terms so that we aren't reliant upon Passive House. We can say Passive Active Building

So that's about how I wanted to conclude except also to add that Albany will be difficult to deal with in the sense of feed-in tariffs. Today, we don't say feed-in tariff. We prefer to say the value of solar. Because when you decentralize your generation, you get rid of the need for more transmission lines, so solar becomes a way to defray the cost of building out transmission lines. So value of solar. The other was time of day metering would really help us out and, of course, a carbon tax. \$15 a ton would be fantastic. Thank you very much for your time.

[Pause]

KEN GALE: Thank you for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to speak. I'm Ken Gale, and since 2002 the host and producer of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

environmental radio show Eco-Logic on WBAI-FM here in New York City, and I'm the founder of the New York City Safe Energy Coalition, NYCSEC. I absolutely support reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 80%. The sooner the better. I also thank you for saying greenhouse gas emissions instead of carbon since replacing one fossil fuel with another is foolhardy. And don't let anyone in the nuclear industry make you believe that nuclear power doesn't have a fossil fuel footprint. It's so dangerous that the pumps, relays, safety equipment, and cooling systems must use fossil fuels or outside electricity. The environmental racism and fossil fuel footprint of the uranium mining and millions alone must use fossil fuel or outside electricity. The environmental racism and fossil fuel footprint of uranium and milling alone should keep nuclear power from being considered. the increased cancer rates of the people living near nukes who get exposed to routine emissions of radiation everyday. That's the benign name they have, routine emissions.

Look up radiation.org. But NYCSEC was created not just to shut down Indian Point but to help with energy solutions with accent on our

buildings as the cause of most of our greenhouse gases. Other sources of energy such as rooftop solar, ground source heat pumps, and tidal are important and need to be promoted. But I especially want to emphasize the efficiency. Using less energy means we won't burn as much fossil fuel or radiate anyone. Buildings are built to code and no better. So our building codes must take energy use into account. Just as many people buy cars with the mileage in mind, choosing energy efficient cars, so, too should buildings be made and bought with their efficiency in mind.

Passive House techniques have been around since the '70s and have been perfected to use less than one-tenth the energy of what is usually called the conventional building. New York City Architect Chris Benedict has shown that they don't have to cost cent more to build either. The easiest, fastest, and cheapest solutions are better windows, better window frames, and better or more insulation. Most of our buildings were not built with efficiency in mind. So they must be retrofitted. It will pay for itself in a few years, much less time than the life of a

2.2

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

building. This benefits landlords, tenants andhomeowners. I suggest loans not grants.

The New York Green Bank won't help homeowners being geared toward large projects. Con Ed won't participate in on-bill financing. So the City must help homeowners who want to lower their monthly energy costs to connect with financial institutions to understand the low risks of such loans. With lower monthly energy bills, the borrower will find it easier paying for efficiency loans than probably any other type of home improvement. [bell] Solar installers tell me there is still a lot of banks that don't recognize efficiency or solar as a good investment despite their amazing track record. Solar panels increase property values sometimes by more than the cost of the panels. So no solar installer should ever have financing problems. panels, insulation, and better windows cannot be installed from overseas. They mean local jobs. Let's stop burning our money or sending it Texas and the Mid East, and spend it at home. When the air and water are clean, thank an environmentalist. If not, become one. Enough said. Thank you.

[Pause]

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

RUTH HARDINGER: I'm Ruth Hardinger

working with Damascus Citizens for Sustainability. I

want to thank you so much for your new important goal

and initiatives to address climate change. I'm going

to list some things that would help reduce some of

the city emissions. But I also want to really

present new information on timeframes for the short
lived climate force in gases. There's been a lot of

talk about buildings. So I'm just going to suggest

there are also-- Back in the '80s and '70s or '90s,

there were tax abatements like the J-51, and after

9/11 there were some tax abatements that happened

that might be beneficial for converting to renewable

energies.

This seems to be in the New York City

timeframe to upgrade as water pipelines and gas lines

are being replaced and expanded all over time.

That's not good news for reducing emissions short and

long-term because these constructions are cause more

dust, asphalt emissions and odors and particulates.

Plus, the crusty-rusty old pipeline replacements are

adding more greenhouse gases because the pipeline

valves are turned off. And then, the remaining gas

in the lines is just emitted into the air. This has

2.2

not been addressed or measured, but definitely adds
to methane's climate contribution. This
infrastructure development is only supporting the use
of more fossil fuel.

In the Damascus Citizens for

Sustainability Fugitive Emissions Report that was

done in 2012 and 2013, at least 5% of the gas

distributed in New York City is leaked from

pipelines, 8.6 billion cubic feet per year, or about

2.8%-- 8.6% of the 300 billion cubic feet of gas

handled in the entire Con Ed system each year. It's

important to understand that promotion natural gas as

clean is based only, only on having one-half of the

emissions of coal or oil when burned yet that does

not even have a positive effect on reducing its

greenhouse gas. The fine particulate emissions are

either not accounted for or are deliberately ignored.

Though particular emissions are about 10% of those that are produced by coal power, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency estimates that 77% of the particulates from natural gas plants are dangerously small. These fine particulates have the greatest impact on human health because they bypass our bodies, and natural respiratory filters, and end

2.2

up deep in the lungs. In fact, these studies have been found to have no safe limit [bell] for exposure to these substances.

What are really—— I want to add. This is also they're connected to ozone, and ozone is one of the causes for asthma for children. What are our real carbon levels. CO2, the well known carbon dioxide is the strongest greenhouse gas contributor on the 100-year time frame. And now, CO2 levels are approximately 400 parts per million. Yet, there are other sources of greenhouse gases that participate in escalating climate change that raise the greenhouse gas levels much higher. The Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change in 2014, it was an April, 2014 report, says the Fifth Assessment Report of the IPCC provides the latest comprehensive evaluation of factors driving climate change.

What this means is that methane and aerosol levels are higher now than last year. This report then changes the names of these radioactive forces from short-lived climate pollutants to near-terms climate forcers because the chemistry and the degradation of these gases vary depending up their concentration, their chemical activity, and the time

2.2

frame you are considering. The IPP states that, It is not appropriate to compare carbon dioxide with these near-term climate forcers. And the IPCC discourages the use of carbon dioxide equivalents because these gases have an array of life cycles. That being said, the NTCP have strong impacts upfront. This is an important reason along with numerous other reasons why natural gas is escalating climate change. It is not a benefit to the environment, and New York City should stop the build out of gas infrastructure, push the pause button on more gas infrastructure, and emphasize conservation, efficiency, and renewable energy.

My understanding of our current greenhouse gas levels is that we are probably way close to the tipping point, as was stated by Bryce Pane, Ph.D. on September 20th. We may well be at 450 to 480 parts per million if these near-term climate forces are added to the carbon dioxide levels. So carbon dioxide being 400 parts per million. You add on 50, 80, or whatever is coming out from those short-term gases, and we've got a very higher number here. Most scientists agree that 500 parts per million is the point of no return. We have five or

way. So wait. So you were missing in action.

2.2

PATRICK ALMONRODE: Yes, I know. I'm sorry. I understand. Yeah, you can stay or not. [laughs] [sic] Chairman Richards, Ms. Swanston and Mr. Murray, good afternoon. My name is Pat Almonrode, and I am here today as a member of 350NYC and I've marked up my testimony over the course of this very long hearing. And so, I apologize in advance for the stumbles. I'd like to begin by commending this committee, its Chair and this Council for the extraordinary work you've all done. It is I think a great and hopeful time to be a New Yorker, and a large part of that is due to your work. It's wonderful not to have to fight city hall, but just to have to sort of urge you along.

As for Intro 378, I'm very happy that you see the need to set a goal for the reduction of citywide emissions of greenhouse gases more ambitious than was originally set in PlaNYC. As Chairman Richards noted in his opening remarks, the reduction of greenhouse gases and the stabilization of the atmosphere is the more important, and the most urgent challenge that humanity has ever faced. New York City has already made significant reductions, but we must do more. In fact, we must do even more than

2.2

this bill proposes. I urge that this bill be rewritten so that it requires an 80% reduction not by 2050, but by 2030. And further, so that it requires a 100% reduction that is an emission-free New York by 2050. As Professor Mark Jacobson and others have shown, these goals are achievable, and importantly such ambitious goals would jump start our local economy, and create significant energy cost-savings on thousands of good jobs and would do so faster than the current proposal.

Whether or not these goals are seen as possible, I also urge that the bill add language to the code specifying that these emission reductions must be achieved through conservation and efficiency measures and through increased reliance on renewable energy sources. And not by increased reliance on natural gas and/or nuclear, both of which would be exactly the wrong way to go, as many others have said already this afternoon.

Mr. Chairman, I know that you're aware of just how wrong it would be to increase our reliance on natural gas. You recently toured the fracking fields of Pennsylvania and saw that devastation first hand. As you well know, now days natural gas is

fracked gas. Reducing New York's emissions by increasing our use of natural gas would only increase the pressure to bring fracking's devastation to our state. Moreover, as many have already mentioned, while natural gas may burn cleaner than other fossil fuels, it has a greater climate impact than those other fuels when the whole extraction to combustion cycle is considered.

Mr. Chairman, given the strong words of your recent op-ed in the Daily News, we expect you to be particularly vigilant to making sure that emission reduction plans don't become a back door to fracking and to increased reliance on natural gas. [bell] The same for nuclear, which is too costly, too dangerous, too polluting, and which will take far too long to build to be part of any serious emission reduction plan.

Again, on behalf of 350NYC, I commend you for your work so far, and I urge you to make that work even stronger by setting more ambitious goals and by requiring that those goals be met through efficiency, conservation, and renewables and not through natural gas or nuclear. 350NYC stands ready

2.2

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 193
2	to work with you to make New York a world leader in
3	the fight against climate change. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Well, said. Thank
5	you. Thank you all. All right, the next It's the
6	next to the last panel. We're almost to the promise
7	land. Martha Cameron, Robert Alpern, Alec Freud
8	[sp?], Melissa Alstein, and Ms. R. Frank I can't.
9	MS. R. FRANK-EADIE: Eadie.
10	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I think Eadie.
11	Yes.
12	MS. R. FRANK-EADIE: Frank-Eadie. The
13	New York Group of the Sierra Club.
14	MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] Do you take any
15	conversations do you have copies of statements for
16	making remarks? [sic]
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: No. I ask you to
18	keep your remarks to thee minutes.
19	[Pause]
2,0	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right,
21	COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Please raise
22	your right hands. You, too, Frank Eadie.
23	[Pause]

2.2

COUNCIL SAMARA SWANSTON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

PANEL: Yes.

nothing but the truth today?

Cameron. I've rewritten my remarks so many times I have absolutely no idea what I'm going to say. I'm speaking as a concerned citizen, as a grandmother, as an anti-war and climate activist. I'm also speaking as a small landlord. I have solar panels on my building. I was the first person on my block to get solar panels. There are now four others because they saw that the solar panels worked. That said, I'd also like to say I'm very, very grateful to have you as our Chair of the Environmental Committee, and I'm thrilled that you are doing these hearings.

Others have already made all the factual points that I could possibly make today. So, I'm going to skip over all of that except to say we have to get rid of fossil fuels. We have to get rid of nuclear. We can't go with big dams. I'm not so sure about this biodiesel. And I do applaud you for emphasizing the need for mandates, and enforcement, and I'm saying this as a landlord. We need mandates,

2.1

2.2

and we need enforcement. We need laws. Don't go with voluntary. It won't work. I'd also just like to reiterate what one of the previous panelists said about the 1990 levels versus the 2005 levels. I think we increased our greenhouse gas emissions by something like 10 billion metric tons between 1990 and 2005. The Obama Administration is moving the goal post because he's now talking about 2005 levels for carbon emissions from coal fired plants. I'm very upset to see the 2005 level being used. I think we need to go to 1990. 1987 was the last time that we were below 350 parts per million, and that's the goal that we need to achieve.

The IPPC, which is as you know, a very conservative international body of scientists who says we have 15 years before climate change becomes irreversible. Irreversible means just that. We can't go back. By 2030, we're going to be in uncharted waters. We will have triggered trip wires and set in motion feedback loops all over the planet that will forever alter the world we have known for all of human civilization. So while reducing emissions by 80% from 2005 levels by 2050 is an admirable goal, it's time to cut to the chase. We

2 must strive for 100% reduction of GHG below 1990

3 levels by 2030. Nothing less will do. We must go

4 from 100 to zero in 15 years, or it's all over for

5 | the planet, and it's that simple.

For the sake of the planet, and for the sake of the people of New York, and for the sake of my grandchildren and your children and grandchildren, and for all the children to be born to quote Reggie DeBray [sp?] we ask that you step beyond what is possible and strive to achieve what is necessary. Thank you.

BOB ALPERN: My name is Bob Alpern. I've been active in developing environmental policy at the city and state level for upwards of 40 years. I was Senior Advisor to Al Appleton when he was Commissioner of Environmental Protection. I serve still as a public member of the New York State Water Resources Planning Council. I am also an active citizen activist, and one of my involvements there has been to the Jamaica Bay Taskforce, which should be of some interest to the Chair. And I'm also very recently now a member of the New York City Safe Energy Coalition.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I want to just to budge from the remarks a little bit, and talk about the role of the City Council. The City Council it's a very special role with regard to budget because it controls staffing, consultant contracting, and enforcement. It seems to me that, in fact, there's a need for more action by the City Council with regard to those budgets. pleased by the questioning of city reps regarding the capacity of the city for enforcement for policy making and for monitoring. Those are important questions. My understanding is right now the Office of Long-Term Planning and Sustainability is not well staffed for policy making and, in fact, is as you know seeking a new director. That's important, but also important are the other agencies including my old agency, the Department of Environmental Protection. All that can be-- you can influence through the budget process.

Similarly, you can do a lot through oversight. Right now, Intro 378 really introduces oversight only if there's a finding by the responsible agency that the goal is infeasible. Right now you don't have any criteria for that, and you don't have any dates for it. You don't have any

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

review process for it. That should be changed. It's a very important role of oversight on an annual basis for a report that should be developed by counsel.

I just want to finally say that there's an interesting proposal, which is being considered by the New York City Safe Energy Coalition, which relates to the Chair's area of the city. That is to create a sustainability zone for the Rockaways and Coney Island. I think we'll be working on that as the New York City SEC, but I think we should be working on it with you and other members of the Council. The idea of a sustainability zone that would demonstrate how to do the right thing both in the goals of this proposed legislation and in resiliency in general would dramatize and highlight the issues in a way in which other initiatives probably can't. Some of the things I've just said are not in my written statement. I'll try and produce some additional stuff and submit it to the Council.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

[Pause]

FRANK EADIE: Hi, my name is Frank Eadie.

I'm the Energy Chair for the New York City Sierra

2.2

Club Organization of which there are 14,000 members, and all of the concerned with this kind of issue, and many issues more, of course. We are very much involved with this whole process, and we are really grateful that the current Chair and his assistants have take the initiatives that he has. It is fabulous that we're really making process here in a place where I've spent 25 years probably, and kind of testify and get things done. And often with very little positive results.

I also would like to have my testimony reflect the concern that we have with getting the emissions down. That's so critically important. The future of the planet definitely rests on what's happening. And it can be done. It's not an impossibility at all. It may not be easy. It may be expensive and some immediate effects, but I think we've had a pretty impressive evening. As you can see, we, the New York City group of the Sierra Club isn't agreeing totally with the chapter which is New York State in terms of the level of reduction of emissions that should be achieved between now and 2050. But only by about 10%. But we also want to see at least 50% by 2030. That's a minimal issue.

23 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Great, great.
24 Thank you.

2.2

three years.

2.2

Melissa Elstein. I'm a New York City resident and a co-founding member of the West 80s Neighborhood Association and the New York City Coalition of Block and Community Leaders. Though I'm speaking today personally as a concerned resident and not representing either group. Thank you for this opportunity to speak. Thank you for your acknowledgement of climate change, it's causes and ramifications. The need to address and counter it, and your introduction of this local law. And I also thank those of you who did march at the Historic People's Planet March this September.

Upon reading the proposed law with a 10page memo attached to it, and Press Release No. 1122014 dated September 19th of this year here are my
comments and concerns in the order of the press
release. Of course, I think a lot has already been
said that I agree with. So I will try not to be
repetitive. Just I do agree that, in fact, natural
gas is not the way to go. And, of course, that means
not rolling out more infrastructure, and not
promoting the gas clusters through Con Ed giving
buildings alternatives and incentives. So I have

2.1

2.2

some attachments here from Renew New York and a news article with regard to alternative energies. I've attached the Professor Mark Jacobson Report as well as the Solutions Project: A New York Diagram. SO you can look at that. From a moral perspective, I don't see how New Yorkers can seek a ban on fracking in our state, and yet seek and demand the use of natural gas from our neighboring states. And basically be a participant and they're suffering physically and emotionally. Of course, we want to protect our precious air, land, and water, and our farms and our agriculture.

I think enough has been said regarding high radon levels. I attached a summary of my State Assembly Member Linda Rosenthal's Proposed Bill.

Maybe we need a New York City version of that bill because I think there's a lot more action that takes place these days on the local level. As opposed to going up towards a state and federal. So that's attached here as well.

Regarding the next topic, City Purchases of Fossil Fuels, about city owned vehicles being changed to hybrid electric and are biofuel. How that legislation required an investment from the City

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Pension Fund from the fossil fuel industry. And here's one little pet peeve, Can we eliminate the use of gas powered leaf blowers in the parks, which also contributes to noise pollution. With regard to reducing waste, there is a section in the Public Housing can we expand and not be limited just to public housing. Recycling is great but even better is a commitment to not using throw away one-time use containers in the first place. We need better outreach throughout the city to businesses and consumers to encourage the avoidance of single-use plastics with, and ban plastic bags or plastic bottles like San Francisco coffee cups with plastic lids. Plastic, of course, also being a petroleum biproduct. Here are some alternative, reusable forks. We need basically a shift in consciousness around our waste and overuse and our throw-away disposable So there are some sources in here. Also, culture. of course, we do say use, reduces the use for sanitation vehicles reducing the costs of fuel and also lowering pollution. So it's all connected.

Finally, energy conservation. Everyone has talked about that. I know we're a city that doesn't sleep, but why when we look out do we have to

see office buildings still aglow in the middle of the night and extremely light, bright terrace lights on all the time. And hot temperatures outside, why are women putting on shawls and sweaters inside to work to go to the office? This seems to be regulated.

White roofs in order to reduce the heat island effect. Should every building have a white roof, a light roof, if not greener solar paneled. Again the heat island effect. How about more street bioswales, larger tree beds that are systematically cultivated tracked and the replacing of dead trees from the Million Trees Project with effective street tree outreach carrying oversight rather than just letting them die and who knows what happens to them.

Finally, with the last subtitle of the memo, New Your City Clean Air Act. Is there going to be legislation regulating and eliminating the smoke and soot that fills our air from what seems like the ever-growing number of hot food street vendors.

Often, New York City streets are filled for hours with black smoke, and thank you for this opportunity. And all of the attachments are here. Thank you.

2.2

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

- 2 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so much.
- 3 Thank you. That was very good, very good. I thank
- 4 you all for your testimony.
- 5 FRANK EADIE: Thank you for your
- 6 patience.

- 7 MELISSA ELSTEIN: Thank you. Can we clap
- 8 now. [applause]
- 9 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Now, we're onto
- 10 our last panel. Maybe Samara will testify after
- 11 | everyone is finished. This is the last one. Alexia
- 12 Philco from New York City SEC, Gene Bergman or John
- 13 | Bergman, Tom Wysmuller; Nicole Minisello, Menitsulo,
- 14 Minitello. Did I get it right, Minicaro.
- 15 | NICOLE MINICARO: Anyway you want to say
- 16 | it.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, got it.
- 18 | Thank you guys.
- 19 [Pause]
- 20 CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: All right, you're
- 21 going to be sworn in, and then you can begin.
- 22 COUNSEL SAMARA SWANSTON: Please raise
- 23 your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the
- 24 | truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth
- 25 today?

2	ALEXIA PHILCO: All right, good evening.
3	My name is Alexia Philco. I'm an undergraduate at
4	CUNY Hunter College, and I'm a member of the recently
5	constructed New York City Safe Energy Coalition. And
6	you guys are tired. We're all tired. I just want to
7	emphasize the points that are the most pertinent to
8	my life, and I'm sure to everyone in this chamber.
9	This plan will not please include fracked gas,
10	nuclear, or hydro or energy from hydroelectric
11	bands. The man will include conservation efforts as
12	a critical part of how New York will get to 80%. And
13	that these efforts be backed by mandates so that
14	energy is not needlessly wasted. Compost in every
15	school, residential building, and supermarket. A
16	push for plant-based bags in the schools and at home.
17	Let's make sure the plant has regulations on
18	corporations that have a history of violating and
19	polluting. Annual reporting and as Honorable
20	Richards had put out, enforcement, enforcement,
21	enforcement, enforcement. Obviously, that's just
22	something New York City can't afford. We ware in a
23	police state. We should be policing this as well.
24	[laughter]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet please.

2.2

ALEXIA PHILCO: Plastics if we're not going to get rid of them, let's make them another thing to regulate. Styrofoam let's get it out completely. Recycling bins on every corner of New York City. And the most important to me coming as a student, I love where we're moving, but there's needs to be extensive research on the dangers of renewables as well. For example, solar panels have a cost, and I'm not talking about monetary. I'm talking about their construction leads to— They have a carbon footprint as well, and the people creating them are they are suffering from pollutants and particulate matter.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Really?

ALEXIA PHILCO: That's right. They have a poison with them. So I thank you for the time to emphasize the things that I know you've heard a thousand times already. And I really do applaud the effort of the de Blasio Administration, the Council Members and every person in this chamber. So, thank you so much.

TOM WYSMULLER: My name is Tom Wysmuller.

I'm a meteorologist. Every year I give an annual
winter forecast for New York City ahead of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

winter. And this year it's going to be delivered on the 25th of November on WBAI-99.5 FM. I do a lot of other things, but I'm going to skip that. I really appreciated hearing from the City of New York, and describing their plans because each of those four initiatives are spot on, need to happen, and are really, really good for city. Unfortunately, they all cost money, or require grants. That's up to you folks to do, or maybe pick and choose among the ones that work the best. My real grief is the choice of measure, and you have chosen CO2 as a success measure. I would rather you used things like millions of metric tons of fracked gas, not used as a measure of success. Or megawatts saved or BTUs not used. CO2 is a secondary measure. The bill proposed that it will help cure climate. You're going to see in a minute why I believe that's not going to happen. But the other measures are important, and maybe because I'm kind of last on the agenda or nest to last you can change the bill to put measures in that make sense.

There's a Department of Energy Chart on the next page. I'm going to skip the math because it's in your hand-out, but the fact is I expect the

2.2

2	bill to be passed. I expect the amendment to become
3	law, and if it does, it will save 76.8 million metric
4	tons of CO2 that won't be added to the atmosphere.
5	However, that amount though fantastically laudable,
6	and it's huge is one-ten thousandths of the total CO2
7	in the atmosphere. The impact of that on climate is
8	not measurable by any instrument available today. If
9	you look at the blue and red charts on the second
10	page, there's a green line that represents CO2. The
11	reduction that the bill will accomplish fits within
12	that green line of CO2. It is that difficult to
13	measure it on climate.

what I would like you to do is focus on energy saving, energy conservation. Use measures that really count in that. Forget CO2. It's a red herring. [bell] The impact on climate is not even measurable for New York City, but the impact on energy is spectacular. So go to it.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you.

[Pause]

NICOLE MINITELLO: Hello, my name is

Nicole Minitello, and I really appreciate you guys

staying and listening to each and every one of us.

It's so kind of you because I imagine you are very

2.2

tired, and very hungry. [laughs] And desperate to stop hearing all of the things that have been said again and again, which were so important, and which we all feel are so important. Councilman Richards, I met you at the Rising Sea Summit and it's so good to see you again. Thank you so much for being here and leading us.

I hail from Bedford, Brooklyn, but I am a lover of Queens as well and have some friends there. So definitely I was born and raised in Brooklyn, and father and my aunt had gone through some of the effects of Sandy, as we are in the Manhattan Beach and Sheepshead Bay Area. There are three things that I want to say about this bill specifically. First, is that it's not specific. We've talked about that before. How are the goals going to be achieved? We have to make sure, as people have said, there is no reliance on fracked gas. We also have to make sure that there is no reliance on nuclear power. Nuclear power and fracked gas are not clean at all. They are dirty, dangerous, and disgusting.

Two, is that the legislation has no teeth. As you've said yourself Councilman Richards as you were talking to the gentleman who was first

2.2

testifying, we need teeth in this legislation. We can't wait for five or ten years to kind of see if things will pop in and see if people play along. We need action today, and we need the City Council and its members to ensure that this legislation has those teeth. So that starting from the immediate passage of the legislation things are put into action very quickly.

I myself don't have children currently, and one of my most major concerns about having children is the state of the world that they will grow up in the next 20, 30, and 40 years. This is not a problem, as you know, for the distant future. It's a problem of today, and if we don't put teeth into our legislation, then we will not have something that is able to impact things quickly enough.

The third thing is conservation, and

Melissa Elstein talked a bunch about different things

that could be done in terms of conservation. We are

very abusive of our resources. Lights on in how many

buildings that you walk by at night that are empty

yet filled with lights? How many social functions do

you go to where there are tons of plates, cups, or

papers just thrown away that are not necessary.

2.2

[bell] We really need to focus on all different sorts of conservation because whether it's our plastic plate, cup usage, plastic bag usage that is from petroleum. That is using resources. Whether it's our light usage, our energy usage. The heat being high in the winter and the air conditioning being so cold in the summer. It doesn't make sense to waste, and that's huge thing that this bill needs to have in it. Conservation. If we don't conserve, it won't matter what else we do, we're never going to get to where we want to be.

I so appreciate you staying and listening to my testimony. Please make sure there's conservation in the bill. Please make sure there's no fracked gas, no nuclear, and no large hydro. And please make sure the bill has teeth. Thank you again very much.

and I want to thank everyone who came out and testified. [applause] I think there is something we can all take out of this, and I think that, you know, listening. I've certainly made it clear to the Administration that as we move towards this goal, mandates are going to be important for me. And

whether that means we need to take legislative action to make it happen, we're willing to do that.

Jalso want to speak of enforcement and just reiterate that. I will be looking for enforcement, and looking for staffing levels. That is my big push for this session. Last year it was infrastructure money, which we will always look for, but enforcement is going to be key in achieving this goal. And we understand that here in Council. If we're going get there, mandates and enforcement are critical components of this.

I also have a bill that is being drafted to turn the lights off in a lot of these buildings. So I can't wait to [applause] introduce that one, and there are a lot of other things that we have that were spoken of today. I think we're on the cusp of making history here, and by and large. I attended the United Nations Climate Summit, and got to speak to leaders from all around the world. And they really are looking to what New York City is doing. From Africa to Malaysia to every country you can think of is looking to what we're going to accomplish here, and they thought our goal was very ambitious.

2.2

2.2

We can get there, and I think the biggest thing is the people in this room, the people part of this movement. We will all have to be together and stick together to make sure that this happens. And, if we stick together and we're strong and continue push, this is achievable. This goal is more than achievable. I think we do have to move towards 100% renewable energy. [applause] And there are other countries doing this, and I want to speak. I just came back from Paris, and it's amazing to see some of the things that they're doing in particular in Paris like turning their lights off at night. And it's something that we should try to do here in New York City.

As we approach it, next week is going to be the second anniversary of Hurricane Sandy, and I represent as a representative of the Eastern Portion of the Rockaways and Rosedale and portions of JFK, which were certainly hit very hard by the story. I understand that if we don't get serious about this in 2050, and I've looked at the predictions, and what they would do my particular community. There are parts of the Rockaways, if not the whole peninsula, that will not exist. There are parts of Manhattan

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 that will be under water if we do not get serious 3 before 2050.

So with that being said, I want to thank everybody who contributed to this dialogue today, to this conversation who came out and spent around four or five hours with us. We are taking this serious.

We look forward to marching on to make sure that this goal is achieved and beyond. Most importantly, we're setting framework to make sure that even beyond this we're taking measures to ensure that we are moving from carbon loving. We're divorcing fossil fuels, and I think marrying renewable energies, and I think that's what we need to do.

With that being said, this historic
hearing-- Congratulations to my friend Bill Murray on
his first hearing here, and I want to thank you for
your work and Samara Swanston [applause] who has
always been great on these issues for making this day
possible. With that being said, we are now finished.
[gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 26, 2014