CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK -----Х TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -----Х October 21, 2014 Start: 1:14 p.m. Recess: 3:29 p.m. HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall B E F O R E: Maria Del Carmen Arroyo Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: Annabel Palma Elizabeth S. Crowley Andy L. King Vanessa L. Gibson Alan N. Maisel Helen K. Rosenthal World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road - Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 \* 800-442-5993 \* Fax: 914-964-8470

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Gregg Bishop Deputy Commissioner of Business Development at the New York City Department of Small Business Services

Lydon Sleeper Senior Advisor for Strategic Initiatives at New York City Department of Small Business Services

Caitlin Benson Chief of Staff at Mayor's Office of Contract Services

Omar Freilla Green Worker Cooperatives the Bronx

Ted De Barbieri Brooklyn Law School

Julia Jean-Francois Center for Family Life

Moshda Puhmerham [sp?] Commission Development Project at Urban Justice Center

Michale Paone Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies for the Worker Cooperative Development Initiative

Joe Rinehart Director of Cooperative Development at the Democracy at Work Institute

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Alexis Posey Senior Policy Analyst at Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies

Christopher Michael New York City Cooperative Business Association

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 4
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Good afternoon
3	everyone and welcome to the Community Development
4	Committee hearing for today. My name is Maria Del
5	Carmen Arroyo, and I Chair that illustrious
6	committee. I'm very happy to do that. Today's
7	hearing is a second opportunity this committee will
8	have to hear testimony regarding worker cooperatives.
9	For those who don't know, and I think I'm preaching
10	to the choir, but just in case, the worker
11	cooperative business model is one where the business
12	is entirely owned and controlled by the workers.
13	When this committee first heard from worker
14	cooperative advocates this past February, the goal
15	was to determine whether worker-owned businesses
16	could be used as a means to alleviate poverty in our
17	city and to help families in poverty stricken
18	communities achieve financial independence. At that
19	hearing we hear from representatives from a number of
20	worker-owned businesses who demonstrated the
21	potential to use worker cooperatives to transition
22	low-wage New Yorkers from individuals with little
23	financial mobility to postural business owners with
24	significant stake in the various industries in which
25	they work. Since that hearing, we've been very busy.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 5
2	The City Council has approved a 1.2 million dollar
3	initiative in the city budget as a pilot program to
4	support worker cooperative businesses throughout our
5	city. While we're certainly proud of the success
6	this committee has achieved by establishing the pilot
7	program, this committee is not content to rest on the
8	laws that we've accomplished so far. We believe in
9	the future of worker cooperative businesses as a
10	model to lift New Yorkers out of poverty and achieve
11	long term community development goals. With that in
12	mind, I've joined my colleagues, Council Member Helen
13	Rosenthal and Carlos Menchaca, in introducing the
14	bill that is the subject of our hearing today. This
15	bill will require the city to do more in order to
16	determine the viability of worker cooperatives in the
17	city procurement by first determining how many worker
18	cooperatives currently contract with the city, and
19	second, whether there is need for citywide program to
20	promote worker cooperatives in the overall city
21	procurement process. The studies required by this
22	bill will be a critical first step towards
23	establishing a comprehensive worker cooperative
24	program in our city and ensuring that worker-owned
25	businesses are ultimately acknowledged as a special
ļ	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 6 2 category of business that is an available employment option for all New Yorkers. We are confident that 3 this bill falls within the scope and authority of 4 this Council and hope it will pave the way for future 5 legislation to support worker cooperative growth and 6 7 expansion in the city. Before I turn it over to the prime sponsor of the bill, I want to acknowledge the 8 work of our committee staff, Alex Allinof [sic]. 9 Ι should know that by now, right? Alex, I always call 10 him Alex. Kenneth Grace, and Alex is our committee 11 12 counsel, Kenneth Grace is our fiscal analyst, and 13 then we welcome a new policy analyst Jose Condi [sp?] 14 who's sitting in the background. Everybody say hi. 15 And now we will hear from the bill's prime sponsor, Council Member Helen Rosenthal. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you, 18 Chair Arroyo. I am grateful to you for initiating our dialogue. I'm a little bit just working between 19 my statement, written, and my phone, so sorry for 20 this going back and forth. I am very grateful to you 21 2.2 for initiating our dialogue about worker 23 cooperatives. Your hearing in February 2014 shined a

25 movement. Since the hearing, we've worked closely

24

light on the emerging and vibrant worker cooperative

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 7 2 with several organizations as you've said, to better understand how the city could expand contract 3 opportunities for worker cooperatives, both through 4 legislation and funding. And so, speaking to today as 5 the prime sponsor of this bill, but also the Chair of 6 7 the Contracts Committee. I'm delighted that you're going to be hearing discussion on this bill. 8 We believe that this is going to be a step in a good 9 direction, but it is a modest step. Intro 423 simply 10 requires that the city should monitor the 11 12 participation of worker cooperatives in city 13 procurement via an annual report of city contracts 14 with worker owned and operated businesses. The bill 15 also calls for the Commissioner of the Department of 16 Small Business Services to determine the need for a 17 citywide program to promote opportunities in city procurement for worker cooperatives by October 1<sup>st</sup>, 18 2016, which is understand is in a little while, but 19 20 we wanted to give them some time, which is also the 21 due date of the first annual report. Now, to be 2.2 sure, businesses organized as worker cooperatives 23 present a number of challenges for New York City. City contracting is governed by the State's General 24 Municipal Law, which requires that the criteria for 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 8 2 selection be the lowest cost responsible bidder. Furthermore, given that there are only 23 worker 3 4 cooperatives currently operating in New York City, 5 the opportunities for the city contracting with worker cooperatives is de minimis at best. One could 6 7 argue that this legislation is premature, that neither the State General Municipal Law, nor worker 8 cooperative capacity is on our side. Hang on one 9 We could wait until the city is better 10 second. situated to have some clear successes, and while I 11 12 understand this position, I draw a very different 13 conclusion. I see opportunity. The City Council is 14 already investing in worker cooperatives by providing 15 funding to grow this emerging business model. 16 Council Member Arroyo championed worker cooperatives 17 to the tune of 1.2 million dollars this fiscal year 18 to support current cooperatives, and to incubate over 20 more, with the goal of creating over 200 stable 19 20 and well-paying jobs. Intro 423 is a common sense piece of legislation that will move us toward our 21 2.2 shared goal of one city rising together. We all 23 agree that the city is at a moment in history where economic inequality is at an all-time high. 24 The divide between rich and poor is wide. As legislators 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 9 2 here we must leave no stone unturned to close that The most powerful way to do this is through 3 divide. 4 job creation. We have to get people to work regardless of the business model. How do worker 5 cooperatives fit into this picture? We will hear 6 7 today from the advocacy organizations which will, and they will flush out the statistics, but to suffice it 8 to say, that I agree with their conclusion, that 9 worker cooperatives consistently ensure higher wages 10 and better hours for their employees. They provide 11 12 the opportunity for stable and thriving families and 13 communities. Change cannot come too soon, and calls 14 for waiting to support the worker cooperatives are 15 short sided. I'd like to thank all of the 16 organizations and nonprofits that are working 17 tirelessly to support this emerging workforce, including the Federation of Protestant Welfare 18 Agencies, the Bronx Cooperative Development 19 20 Initiative, The Center for Family Life, CUNY Law School, Democracy at Work Institute, Greener Worker 21 2.2 Cooperatives, ICA Group, Make the Road New York, New 23 York City Network of Worker Cooperatives, the Working World, and the Urban Justice Center. Thank you 24 again, and I look forward to hearing the testimony of 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 10 2 the Administration, who I'm sure shares our 3 enthusiasm.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you, Council 4 In her closing, right, it's a mouthful, the 5 Member. 6 number of organizations that are named in that 7 breath, all of whom have worked really, really incredibly hard to get us not only to develop a 8 worker cooperative initiative that is comprehensive 9 and makes great sense for us as government to invest 10 in this business model. So, I cannot say enough 11 12 thank yous to all of them for their leadership and 13 their hard work to get us through the budget process 14 with an initiative that I believe we can all be very 15 proud of. So, with that, I want to acknowledge our 16 Council Member colleagues who will come through. 17 There are competing hearings, so Council Member King, 18 obviously Council Member Rosenthal, Council Member Gibson, yes, she's here, and Council Member Crowley. 19 20 Thank you for joining us. And now for our first panel. We're going to hear from the Administration. 21 2.2 You are welcome to share your enthusiasm for the work 23 that the council has initiated around worker cooperatives, please don't be shy. And I think that 24 I have three slips, but I only see two people at the 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 11 2 desk, so I'm going to eliminate one. We have Gregg 3 Bishop from the Department of Small Business 4 Services, and Lydon Sleeper from, also from SBS, and 5 thank you gentleman for your enthusiasm, and we look 6 forward to your testimony.

7 GREGG BISHOP: Thank you. Good afternoon, Madam Chair Arroyo and members of the 8 Committee on Community Development, and good 9 afternoon Council Member Rosenthal, Gibson, and 10 Crowley. My name is Gregg Bishop, and I am the 11 12 Deputy Commissioner of Business Development at the 13 New York City Department of Small Business Services, 14 and I'm here. Joining me is Lydon Sleeper, Senior 15 Advisor for Strategic Initiatives. I'm pleased to 16 speak with you today about worker cooperatives and a 17 business ownership model deserving of further 18 consideration by the city as we begin to develop new strategies to address income inequality in New York 19 20 City under the leadership of Mayor de Blasio. After my testimony, I'll be happy to take your questions. 21 2.2 Income equality is a critical concern for all New 23 Yorkers, and Mayor de Blasio has made clear that 24 tackling this important issue is a central goal of this Administration. SBS is equally committed to 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 12 2 achieving this goal by ensuring that every business owner, commercial corridor, and job seeker has a 3 access to the resources needed to succeed. 4 SBS is pleased to be working in partnership with the City 5 Council towards this important mission. Worker 6 7 cooperatives are a business ownership model that we are committed to developing in New York City, 8 including working to support and incubate, the 9 nescient [sic] worker cooperative segment of 10 businesses in New York City. SBS believes it is 11 12 essential to promote entrepreneurship among low 13 income New Yorkers, creating jobs and improving the 14 New York City economic landscape. Worker 15 cooperatives offer one such way to do so. As 16 entrepreneurial businesses, worker cooperatives face 17 many of the same issues encountered by all New York 18 City small businesses including access to capital, affordable space, and access to technical assistance 19 20 at the right time. Since we recognize the value of worker cooperatives and the value of fostering this 21 2.2 innovative model of ownership, we are currently 23 committed to the flowing. SBS is working with the Council to administer contracts totaling 1.2 million 24 dollars will 11 organizations that will provide 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 13 2 education, training resources, and technical, legal, and financial assistance to existing worker 3 cooperatives and those interested in forming. 4 Those organizations will provide important infrastructure 5 in helping this business community grow. 6 We are 7 working with them not only to ensure they can complete the necessary administrative process to 8 receive funds, but also to ensure the outcomes for 9 the funding are clear, realistic, and achievable. 10 Through our network of seven business solution 11 12 centers across the city, SBS offers a 10 steps to 13 starting a business course, which we have included 14 content on worker cooperatives. Last year, more than 15 600 people attended this course. We're also creating 16 a 10 steps to starting a worker cooperative course to 17 be launched at two business solutions centers this 18 quarter. We have also created informational onepager that is currently available at our centers and 19 20 on the NYC business solutions website. For people who visit the centers for one to one assistance, our 21 2.2 account managers can provide information on the 23 cooperative structure and refer interested 24 individuals to capacity building organizations, including those in receipt of council discretionary 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 14 2 funds. Our NYC business solution centers also offer financing assistance and we continue to engage our 3 lend-in [sic] network on potential products for this 4 business model, and explore possible financing 5 options, in particular, focusing on new platforms to 6 7 provide micro financing and peer lending networks. SBS supports the development of a robust worker 8 cooperative segment within the business community and 9 views it as one potential mechanism for addressing 10 income inequality in New York City. However, based on 11 12 our experience assisting business growth and grow and 13 create jobs, and on the current level of maturity of 14 the worker cooperative model in New York City, we 15 have several concerns about Intro Number 423, and 16 legislation under consideration today. First, the bill as written calls for the chief procurement 17 18 officer of the city to submit to council and publish a report on levels of procurement for worker 19 20 cooperatives for the prior fiscal year. It is contemplated that such reporting would begin in 2014. 21 2.2 According to report in January 2014 by the Federation 23 of Protestant Welfare Agencies titled, Worker Cooperatives in New York City: A Vision for 24 Addressing Income Inequality, "New York City today 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 15 2 only has 23 worker cooperatives." This form of business ownership while offering interesting 3 potential is clearly in a very nescient stage of 4 development, with 23 out of approximately 220,000 5 small businesses in New York City. Further, of those 6 total 23 businesses, at minimum, approximately one 7 third provides services such as dog walking and 8 restaurants, which city government does not procure. 9 Requiring the city at this stage of development of 10 this business community to report procurement levels 11 12 from worker cooperatives assumes that first, there 13 currently exists a viable pool of worker cooperatives 14 that would realistically succeed in winning a 15 competitive bid for city business. Second, the city already understands and has addressed whatever 16 17 barriers may exist to contracting with worker 18 cooperatives. Third, the city through the Mayor's Office of Contract Services has the ability to 19 20 differentiate between those businesses which are under worker cooperative ownership model and other 21 2.2 businesses doing business with the city. Since the 23 worker cooperative model is relatively untested both nationally and in New York City, and because worker 24 cooperatives do not represent an industry sector, but 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 16 2 rather a form of business ownership, data is very limited. Worker cooperatives are also not currently 3 recognized for reporting purposes in any of the 4 federal or state economic data sources, such as 5 census data. MOCS [sic] lacks any mechanism for 6 7 differentiating worker cooperatives from other businesses with which the city transacts. It would 8 therefore not be in a position to undertake the type 9 of annual reporting envisioned in the bill. 10 Additionally, in order to produce the type of reports 11 12 detailed in the bill, a much more exact definition of a worker cooperative would be required. The current 13 14 definition is of a business which is owned and 15 controlled by its workers. Business ownership and 16 control are not straightforward issues, nor are they 17 necessarily the same thing, therefore, they are not 18 easy to track. Ownership, for example, changes over There is a distinction between ownership and 19 time. 20 control. Do all workers need to be owners? Α majority? Must they all hold an equal degree of 21 2.2 control? Is a family owned and run restaurant a 23 worker cooperative? Clearly, part of the work of building a robust worker cooperative business 24 25 community is to agree on a clear and common

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 17 2 definition and ensure those are used consistently. Such definitions would be essential to fulfilling the 3 reporting aspect of this bill, and it seems like much 4 work remains to be done in partnerships with 5 advocates and capacity building organization. 6 I will 7 now turn to the second aspect of the bill, which instructs SBS with MOCS [sic] to undertake a study to 8 be completed by October 2016, which analyzes the 9 participation of worker coops in city procurement, 10 determines the need for a citywide program to promote 11 12 city procurement as a means to grow worker 13 cooperatives and should such a need exists, makes 14 recommendations on a number of matters including 15 participation goals. Given what I have already said 16 concerning the nescient nature of worker cooperatives 17 in New York City and the problems attending the 18 reporting of city procurements with them, we would clearly support the need to study the potential of 19 the model overall. The specific opportunities which 20 may exist within government procurement, the specific 21 2.2 barriers which worker cooperatives may face in 23 winning city contracts, and the programs or interventions which could be put in place to support 24 them in doing so. Furthermore, as the Council is no 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 18 2 doubt aware, the Court of Appeals has determined that municipalities including the city may not unless 3 otherwise authorized by state law include 4 requirements in procurement solicitations or 5 6 contracts that have the purpose of advancing a social 7 policy unrelated to advancing the goals of public procurement to obtain the best possible products or 8 services at the best possible prices without risk or 9 fraud or favoritism and corruption. Absent state 10 law, authorizing the advancement by the city of the 11 12 social policy of favor in worker cooperatives, 13 proposals that create participation goals or similar 14 measures for worker cooperatives would be legal only 15 if they can be shown to advance the goals of the 16 State procurement statutes, rather than simply 17 benefit worker cooperatives. We therefore, have 18 serious concerns about any approach that presupposes the city would be able to establish participation 19 20 goals for procurement from worker cooperatives. In summary, SBS and the de Blasio Administration 21 2.2 strongly support the development of a robust and 23 growing worker cooperative segment in New York City economy. We are also open to studying the potential 24 for such businesses to win government contracts. 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 19 2 However, we believe that Intro 423 is premature, 3 given the very early stage of development of this model. We believe that we should continue to work 4 with the City Council, with advocates, and with those 5 who provide services to worker cooperatives to build 6 7 the capacity of the segment and grow the number and maturity of these businesses. We must also continue 8 to customize the suite of business support services 9 offered by SBS to ensure that they are relevant to 10 the needs of worker cooperative. And we must better 11 12 understand the potential for worker cooperatives to 13 compete for and win city contracts within the 14 framework of the law, including whether specific 15 types of good or services are particularly suited to 16 worker cooperatives provision, the barrier co-ops 17 might face when bidding on city contracts, and the 18 interventions which could best assist them. These are key steps that must come before we invest in 19 20 procurement assistance programs. At SBS we are committed to fulfilling Mayor de Blasio's visions for 21 2.2 a progressive, diverse, and economically thriving New 23 York City. We share the council's commitment to 24 supporting worker cooperatives as one strategy to improve the economy and create good jobs. Together, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 20
2	with the support of the City Council, we will
3	continue to work to strengthen our neighborhoods,
4	grow the economy, and improve the lives of
5	hardworking New Yorkers. Thank you for inviting me
6	to speak today about worker cooperatives and you're
7	your dedication to continue to improve our
8	communities and our economy in New York City.
9	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for that
10	enthusiasm.
11	[laughter]
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I do firmly believe
13	that we sit on the same side as it relates to the
14	desire to ensure that this business model can be
15	cultivated and grown in our city. How we get there
16	might, there might be some disagreement on. I have a
17	couple of questions, and I will turn it over to
18	Council Member Rosenthal and then Council Member
19	Crowley. Now, the legislation doesn't seek to
20	establish goals for procurement for the city with the
21	business model that we're discussing here. So that's
22	the first thing. And I appreciate what SBS has to
23	date accomplished in trying to reformat its training
24	and available services to those interested in
25	starting new businesses. So tell me how you plan to

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 2 continue to customize the suit of business support 3 services.

GREGG BISHOP: So I think, and we're 4 currently in the process of working with the 5 organizations that the council identified and the 6 7 advocates and with council to ensure that we understand the industry, understand the barriers that 8 prevents worker cooperatives from being successful. 9 If you look at--it's entirely a business model. 10 So, 11 all businesses have the same issues, you know, what 12 sector should they focus on, access to capital, 13 etcetera, etcetera. So we want to make sure we 14 understand what is unique to worker cooperatives and 15 make sure that we offer those services. You can 16 clearly see that we've already taken steps in terms 17 of introducing that model to entrepreneurs who may 18 not have considered a worker cooperative as a business model to actually use to start their 19 20 business. So we've introduced it in our Ten Steps to Starting Business course, and currently we're working 21 2.2 with the organizations that were funded by council to 23 develop a scope of work to ensure that we are capturing all the set of services that's necessary to 24 make them successful. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 22
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Now, part of the
3	responsibilities that these organizations that are
4	funded under our worker cooperative initiative is to
5	grow 23 at a minimum, right? We're hoping for more,
6	right guys? And so one of the criticisms about the
7	legislation is that while you know, it's a young kind
8	of movement and the types of businesses that are
9	currently operating as worker cooperatives are not
10	the kind of services that the city procures. So, my
11	hope, and this is not so much a question but a
12	recommendation, is that in the work that's being done
13	with the organizations that are working the
14	initiative, that the discussion about what sectors
15	they should be focusing in the development of those
16	new businesses, and whether or not there's an
17	opportunity to get some started within the sectors
18	that the city absolutely does procurement for
19	services. I think that that would be not only wise,
20	but would help us move the conversation forward in a
21	more productive and effective way.
22	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So that, you know,
24	dog walking, well I don't know, I guess the police
25	department walks their own dogs, right? But I mean,
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 23
2	and I think the point is well taken, and I think for
3	our advocates and those who have worked so hard in
4	the community that they understand that, you know,
5	worker cooperatives, dog walking businesses are
6	necessary in the community, but they're not going to
7	make their business grow expecting to contract with
8	the city. So, please, when having the conversation
9	and the development of those new businesses that we
10	can get created, that the sectors in which the city
11	does procurement in, that that also be worked with
12	the advocates in order for the new businesses to
13	develop in those sectors.
14	GREGG BISHOP: Sure.
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And also, you know,
16	I want to deal with the challenges of helping
17	businesses grow to be at a very competitive edge with
18	every other longstanding company that's doing
19	business with the city. So we appreciate the
20	feedback. And I hope you guys are taking notes,
21	right? So, for the folks who visit the centers and
22	get one on one assistance, the account managers
23	provide information on cooperate cooperative
24	structures and refer interested individuals to
25	capacity building organizations. What's the process?
ļ	

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 24
 And I would imagine you're--the organizations,
 capacity building organizations of these guys over
 there.

So, it's similar to 5 GREGG BISHOP: Yeah. a legal referral process where we sit down with the 6 7 individual. We identify the need. If they are interested in actually starting a cooperative, then 8 the process would be that we refer that individual to 9 one of the organizations that can provide that 10 technical assistance. What we want to make sure is 11 12 that we're not duplicating services, and that's one 13 of the exercise that we're conducting right now with 14 these organizations is to really understand, you 15 know, what services they offer, where there's 16 overlap, and ensuring that the goals that we set with 17 these organizations make sense. So, identifying the 18 best organization is part of that, and they will be part of our network, and we've done this with other 19 20 services.

21 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I don't know about 22 the best organization, but the best suited. 23 GREGG BISHOP: Well, when I said--24 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] For 25 whatever.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 25
2	GREGG BISHOP: When I said the best
3	organization, I meant the best suited. You know, a
4	person could be in one particular area of the city,
5	so we want to make sure we connect them to the right
6	organizations that's servicing that area.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We've been joined by
8	Council Member Maisel. Thank you for joining us.
9	So, on your Ten Steps to Starting a Business course,
10	what's the content on worker cooperative that's been
11	included?
12	GREGG BISHOP: So, if you'veso in the
13	deck, we talk about all the different steps you need
14	to start a business. We talk about credit. We talk
15	about marketing. We talk about actual, you know,
16	recruitment, and then there is a area where we talk
17	about structure. So, typically, we would only talk
18	about you could start a business as a sole
19	proprietor. You could start a business as an LLC.
20	You can start a business as a corporation, and now
21	we've included worker cooperative as a potential
22	structure. We explain the benefits of all those
23	structures. Obviously, everyone has their benefits
24	and there are some, you know, I guess the cons in
25	terms of, you know, if you start a business as a sole

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 26
2	proprietor there's more liability, etcetera,
3	etcetera, etcetera. So we go through the pros and
4	the cons for the structure, and then usually at the
5	end of that course we follow up with some type of
6	referral. Now, usually it's toif it's an
7	entrepreneur that's looking to start a business and
8	need the legal services then we refer them to our
9	free legal service.
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Council
11	Member Rosenthal?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [off mic] for
13	those questions, Council Member Arroyo, because I
14	could tell that their enthusiasm for worker
15	cooperatives grew as you were answering those
16	questions, right, Mr. Bishop? I want to start by
17	saying that the way that I'm reading your testimony,
18	and I want you to correct my understanding, I'm not
19	I'm reading it that you have concerns not about this
20	legislation, but about the legislation that would
21	come after, right? I hear that you have concerns
22	about being held to standards, and I'd like to talk
23	about that for a minute, minority women-owned
24	businesses for a minute. But that you have concerns
25	about being set to, held to standards about a

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 27 2 business model that SBS is less familiar with. And 3 so I hear, or I'm reading in your testimony that your unfamiliarity raises this factor of concerns, but 4 what I don't see is the connection between those 5 concerns and the reality of this legislation. 6 You 7 know, it's so interesting, the concerns you raise would be 100 percent valid for the first piece of 8 legislation that I was looking for, which was indeed 9 to set some aspirational goals much in the same way 10 that we do with minority and women-owned businesses. 11 12 Right? Minority and women-owned businesses, if we could just sort of--well, I'll finish that point. 13 14 Set some goals and possibly even change some of the 15 rules of how to define what a business is for the 16 purpose VENDEX and procurement as worker cooperative 17 could more easily fit into the VENDEX system, but 18 that's not what this legislation does. I would define this legislation very generously as milk toast, as 19 20 the most modest step to introducing a new business model and giving the city an opportunity to notice 21 2.2 its existence, right? Because all this legislation 23 does is say, "How you doing?" It's all it does. It doesn't ask you for an aspirational goal, to meet an 24 aspirational goal. It doesn't say we're looking to 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 28 2 contract even with worker cooperatives. It doesn't even ask you to. All it does is say, "Could you let 3 us know." Sort of, let's think about a baseline to 4 start with. So let's start at zero, which is 2014, 5 6 I'm assuming unless I think maybe one of these newly 7 funded contracts might be with a worker cooperative, but ostensibly, start at zero and over a lifetime, do 8 9 we have any numbers? Now, we may--the second thing it does is it says, "Could you please identify the 10 hurdles to contracting with worker coops? And those 11 12 hurdles, we're really interested to hear about it. In 13 fact, we're so interested that we want to see. We want you to formally tell us about what those hurdles 14 15 are." And that's all the report calls for. You 16 know, so actually, let's start with your response to 17 that.

GREGG BISHOP: So, and what I would say is that we are certainly excited about worker cooperatives, and I think what we are saying is that the fact that there is 23 known worker cooperatives in New York, and the fact that we do not have the necessary capability of actually identifying because it's a business structure who's winning city

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT292contracts. I think that's part of our concerns with3the legislation.

4 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Mr. Bishop, I'm 5 sorry, but on page four of your testimony, you had 6 three bullets. The third one is the city, through 7 the Mayor's Office of Contract Services has the 8 ability to differentiate between those businesses 9 which are under a worker cooperative ownership model 10 and other business doing--

11 GREGG BISHOP: [interposing] No, so those 12 points were part of the assumption that was made by that bill. What we're saying is that those were 13 14 false assumptions. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right. 16 GREGG BISHOP: But we do not have the 17 ability to differentiate. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, I mean one of the--19 20 GREGG BISHOP: [interposing] So we would

21 love--

22	COUNCII	MEMBER	ROSENTHAL:	nic	ce
23	GREGG E	SISHOP:	[interposing	3]	Sorry.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 30
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: One of the
3	nice things about there being just 23 worker
4	cooperatives with a possibility of 20 more is that
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] But
6	no. I'm sorry, Helen, but
7	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
8	you actually would have.
9	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: The legislation
10	assume, wouldwe're assuming that all of that would
11	be in order.
12	GREGG BISHOP: But no, what we're saying
13	is that premise of the legislation is assuming that
14	these three points, and oneand the third point
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] But it
16	doesthere's no assumption.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I don't think
18	that assumption exists, right?
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Now
20	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
21	It doesn't, the city doesn't have to have the
22	mechanism in place to have, to be able to recognize
23	it as a business model. You couldn't have it in
24	place yet, because I mean, as Chair of Contracts I'm
25	learning more and more about the procurement system

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 31
2	and VENDEX, but right now, it doesn't, it doesn't
3	exist. However, as you say, there's a de minimis
4	number of organizations, and if we were going to ask
5	you toand you're working with us and with the
6	worker cooperative community to grow worker
7	cooperatives, I don't think it's such a challenge to
8	be able to say, I mean, just knowing Excel a little
9	bit, whichare there any on this list that areit's
10	search/find, and you can do that 40 times and you'll
11	be done. So, I don'tand frankly, if we do have a
12	contract with the worker cooperative, trust me, all
13	of us are going to be aware. So, this bill doesn't
14	ask you to set up the systems so that you would know.
15	All it says is tell us, and if you would like us to
16	write that in a more milk toast way than we already
17	have, I'm delighted to hear that language.
18	GREGG BISHOP: Got it. So I think we'd
19	be happy to work with you on figuring out a way for
20	us to identify the worker cooperatives.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Well, you know, I
22	think we have to do our work on parallel tracks, and
23	one of the things, several of thethere were at
24	least three overwriting issues that came out of
25	hearing in February, which I think you testified at.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 32
2	GREGG BISHOP: Actually, Miquela was the
3	lead and I was answering questions.
4	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. So, but you
5	recall the hearing?
6	GREGG BISHOP: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: If you stayed
8	throughout the hearing, you heard that the challenges
9	that we have in the city with regards to this
10	business model is one, awareness, that individuals
11	out in the city do not have the information about his
12	business model being an opportunity for them as a
13	business owner, let alone, you know, bringing in
14	others to share the burden and the success of a
15	business. So that we're having this conversation not
16	nine months after a hearing, I think is incredible.
17	And I really have not seen at least in almost the ten
18	years I've been here, us go from zero to where we're
19	at on such a meaningful level on anything here in the
20	Council. So, the recommendation about, the
21	assumption that the city would understand and has
22	addressed whatever barriers may exist in contracting
23	with worker cooperatives, and that the Mayor's Office
24	of Contracts would have the ability to differentiate.
25	So part of the parallel track work that we need to

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 33 2 do, is how do we create the system that would on an 3 Excel spreadsheet be able to count the number of 4 businesses that are engaging with the city for 5 selling services that they provide. So, I appreciate 6 the statement, but if those assumptions are 7 interpreted from the legislation that we're discussing, then let's talk about how we create those 8 monitoring systems, moving forward. And I think that 9 that certainly advances the conversation in a very 10 productive and effective way. We don't want to have 11 12 to legislate any of what you do, none of what you do 13 actually, because you're doing it so well. 14 Everyone's so happy that we don't need legislation. 15 Okay? And I for one am not, and I'm not going to 16 speak for the prime sponsor of the legislation, want 17 to force the issue this way. I would prefer it to be 18 a business practice and policy that the agency functions from so that, you know, everyone who 19 20 advocates on behalf of this business model is satisfied that we're putting some skin in the game as 21 2.2 government, which was one of the other issues that 23 was raised in the hearing, that we're not making investments in this business model in order to grow 24 it, and you know, how and individual goes into a 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 34 2 business venture. You know, it's that individual's 3 prerogative, but to provide for individuals in communities that otherwise would not have an 4 opportunity to become a business owner. I think the 5 level of responsibility that we share to make that 6 7 thing possible is enormous. So we don't want to have to do legislation. Let's work on the process and 8 policy so that we can get to a place where the issues 9 that were raised in our February hearing were making 10 headway with. And the fact that you've already 11 12 included it as part of the steps for business 13 development, I congratulate you, and SBS really hit 14 the ground running with modifying the training and 15 services available to potential business owners. So I 16 thank you for that. So, you know, as I said before 17 this hearing started, we're not here to give you a 18 hard time. I don't want to have to say to my colleagues, "Please vote in favor of this 19 legislation," because stuff is so organized that it's 20 21 not necessary. 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: What she said. 23 I mean, the only way I'd continue is just ask, you know, to think back and Council Member Arroyo and 24 Crowley, you were here. I wasn't, but I imagine that 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 35
2	the discussions about the aspirational goals for
3	minority and women-owned businesses perhaps started
4	this way. You know, we cannot legislate goals for
5	minority and women-owned businesses, right? Because
6	we're all governed by the general municipal law.
7	We've all agreed to that, and the way we're dealing
8	with that is by having aspirational goals. I
9	understand that, right? But at that time when you
10	guys were legislating the aspirational goals, we
11	found a way around the hurdles. Here, I'm sort of
12	hearing sort of the same resistance, and I would
13	argue that in this bill I'm really interested to hear
14	from you which are the hurdles that are real and
15	really relate to this bill, because the hurdles that
16	you're raising in your testimony, to me, are sort of
17	imagined or are ones that would happen if the, if and
18	when the next piece of legislation would come along.
19	It really is not intended to be anything more than
20	giving us all an opportunity to mark our great
21	success in seven years, and to do that in a very
22	public and hopeful way, and the bill asks us to
23	report and make a report, make a hopeful report in
24	2016 about what we can do to move it forward so that
25	if even one contract pops out of that, we're at 100
ļ	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 36 2 percent better than zero. And I think that's a goal worth, that both sides of the Administration and the 3 Council would think are ones that would be exciting. 4 5 GREGG BISHOP: I think we're looking at 6 actually, and you'll see throughout my testimony, is 7 the fact that we're looking to build that industry, and you're absolutely correct, not only just worker 8 cooperatives, but businesses in general. Contracting 9 with the city, you need to have a better 10 understanding before you step into it, and we have a 11 12 course called Selling to Government where we actually focus on teaching all types of businesses, sole 13 proprietors, LLC's, and also including worker 14 15 cooperatives, like how to sell to New York City. So 16 I think we are really--we want to work with you and 17 the advocates to not only help build the pool of 18 worker cooperatives, but build them in the sectors that make the most sense, that may actually have 19 20 opportunities with the city. One of the things you also need to know, and you probably know already, is 21 2.2 that, you know, as a young business, typically if the 23 business is less than a year old, we actually advise them not to do business with the city. They do not 24 have the financial capacity. They may not even have 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 37
2	the personnel capacity to handle a city contract.
3	So, there's a lot of growth that we think worker
4	cooperatives will need, and we need to help them
5	through that process. So, I think that's what you
6	will find throughout the testimony is that we want to
7	actually go through this process. We want to work
8	with the advocates. We want to work with you. We,
9	you know, can certainly help and work with you to
10	figure out we can figure out how many cooperatives
11	are actually bidding on city contracts, etcetera, but
12	we, you know, we do have some concerns with the fact
13	that it's legislation. I'm sure if we just, you
14	know, work with you as we have been doing, we can
15	accomplish the same goal.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Last question,
17	I promise, and then I'll turn it over to Councilwoman
18	Crowley or back to the Chair. But Deputy
19	Commissioner Bishop, do you think that it's good that
20	we have the MWBE law in the books?
21	GREGG BISHOP: So, the WMBE program is a
22	little bit different, the
23	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
24	It's a lot different, yeah.
25	GREGG BISHOP: So, certainly yes, it is

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 38
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
3	It doesn't get to business model. It has to do with
4	some other criteria that are not relevant to the
5	general municipal law.
6	GREGG BISHOP: Right, which is historical
7	discrimination against certain groups.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah.
9	GREGG BISHOP: So, again, you know, yes,
10	I would say that it's good.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That we have a
12	law on the books? I'm really glad, too, and I'm glad
13	we didn't just say that the council that preceded me
14	didn't just say, "Yeah, we're all working for it.
15	We're all going to work really hard, and we're going
16	to put money into it, we're going to do more
17	training." I'm really glad they didn't do that, and
18	I'm glad, very glad that they set out to have
19	aspirational goals. It's valuable. I think it's
20	really important. Thank you.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you to our
22	Chair and to Council Member Rosenthal for sponsoring
23	this bill. I think you wrote up some valid concerns.
24	First, Deputy Commissioner, business solutions, New
25	York City Business Solutions, is that still?
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 39
2	GREGG BISHOP: NYC Business Solutions
3	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: [interposing]
4	Under your
5	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Is that under
7	you're realm? Now, how is that different than the
8	strategic initiatives?
9	GREGG BISHOP: I'm sorry, can you
10	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: How does the of-
11	-the office different from strategic initiatives?
12	GREGG BISHOP: So, Lydon is in the
13	Executive Office, so he can
14	LYDON SLEEPER: Yeah, so I
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: [interposing]
16	Sorry, have you spoke yet?
17	LYDON SLEEPER: I have not.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: You need to
19	introduce yourself for the record.
20	LYDON SLEEPER: Thank you, Council
21	Member Crowley. My name is Lydon Sleeper, I'm the
22	Senior Advisor for Strategic Initiatives. So, Gregg
23	oversees the business development division, which
24	among other things oversees our NYC Business Solution
25	Center, which we think are the, a great vehicle for
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 40
2	supporting the development of worker coops in the
3	city. My role here is both as Emissary of the
4	Commissioner and also I work with Gregg and the rest
5	of the team and Jackie's team to cooridinate between
6	our workforce systems and our Business Solutionsand
7	our business systems to make sure
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] What's
9	Jackie's team?
10	LYDON SLEEPER: they work together.
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: What's Jackie's
12	team?
13	LYDON SLEEPER: The Workforce Development
14	Division.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Okay, good.
16	Okay. So I see why it's important that you're here
17	today.
18	LYDON SLEEPER: Yeah, collaboration with
19	the Council with the worker coop groups and also
20	within the divisions in our agencies.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Because the
22	worker cooperative is a workforce business. It's
23	owned and operated. It's interesting and unique in
24	that sense. So it, bothit fully encompasses your
25	role and your strategic initiatives. I, you know,

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 41 2 want to follow up Council Member Rosenthal's talk about--did you get it? 3 4 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: I think he--I 5 saw the light --COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: He's working 6 7 with workforce as well as business, and here we have workface is the business. They run their own 8 business there and that's what makes worker 9 cooperatives unique, because you're going to--if you 10 do a training for the workforce, you're training the 11 business owners. 12 13 LYDON SLEEPER: Right, and I think 14 that's--15 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: [interposing] 16 You have the special initiative that does, you know, 17 workforce training initiatives with this particular 18 group. LYDON SLEEPER: Right. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Any type of help in by in itself you are helping the business. When it 21 2.2 comes to women and minority-owned businesses, our 23 city has a roundabout idea of how many businesses there are, and that's how we're able to set goals, 24 and it's your office that provides the certification 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 42 2 if a women or minority-owned business wants to be certified as such, and earlier you said there were 23 3 known worker cooperative businesses, but there might 4 5 be 46, or you know, or five or ten times as many than we currently know, because I don't know that you have 6 7 a certification program that would certify a business as a worker cooperative. 8 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And if I may add, 23 9 in the city, but I know that the city does business 10

with entities that are not necessarily in the five 11 12 boroughs. So, you know, in capturing the universe of worker cooperatives, if we only count the ones that 13 we know about in the city, I think we're short 14 15 changing the count, and how you identify what 16 businesses are available to do a business with the 17 city, not only in the five boroughs, because I see a 18 lot of New Jersey license plates coming into the city doing a lot of work, tearing up our streets, you name 19 20 it, rebuilding a park. So I think we need to get away from in the city we have 23. I think the 21 2.2 universal worker cooperatives that could potentially 23 contract with the city is larger than that. COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Right, and I 24

25 think what we're getting to heart of is maybe we need

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 43 2 to introduce language whereby when we're giving out city contracts, at least we'd know if there was some 3 certification. So we need like a certification that 4 should be given out by the small businesses in order 5 6 to start tracking the numbers. Because when you look 7 at the number of minority women-owned businesses in the city you're talking about in the thousands, and 8 so we have over 200,000 businesses in the city, we 9 know that, you know, close to 40,000 at least, you 10 know, I'm guessing, but there's a clear percentage, 11 12 close to 20 percent, and that's how the city can set 13 the goal. So once we understand how many worker 14 cooperatives are and what percentage they are, and as 15 Council Member Arroyo said, you know, you could go 16 and register as a worker cooperative business and be 17 practicing in New Jersey but still get a 18 certification from Small Businesses. I think it's important to know exactly how many people work for 19 20 those companies as well. So we know it's 23, but does that mean there's 23,000? We heard some very 21 2.2 successful worker cooperatives were there were a 23 significant number of employees. So, it needs to get a handle on that, the number of people working there. 24 And what is the percentage of minorities that are 25

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT442working in the--right. And is there hurdles for3undocumented individuals, New York residents? Are4they able to do the work and be a part of a worker5cooperative? Do you know an answer? Do you have an6answer to that, to know if there are?

7 GREGG BISHOP: So I think you're raising a lot of really great points, which goes back to the 8 fact that we want to actually understand the sector a 9 10 lot more, because to all the points that you just 11 raised. One of the things I would say is that the 12 certification is a little bit different with worker 13 cooperatives than with MWB, because MWB we have to 14 verify that the control is with the minority or the 15 woman, whereas worker cooperatives, I don't think we 16 would need to have some type of formal certification. 17 We just have to figure out a way to indicate that the 18 business structure is different from a corporation, but it's a worker cooperative. 19 20 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Right. You have 21 to. 2.2 GREGG BISHOP: Right. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: You have to make sure it's being operated by the workers. 24

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 45
2	GREGG BISHOP: Right, and I would say
3	that we should, you know, sit with you in Council and
4	figure out where's the best place to capture that
5	information. Most of the businesses that do business
6	with the city register within a database called FMS,
7	and maybe we can look at possibly doing something
8	there, but the point is, there'swe would love to
9	sit down with you and figure out a way to capture
10	that information, and then further, you know, working
11	with the partners that we have already understand
12	some of the issues that you just raised in terms of
13	the number of employees, the barriers to entry,
14	etcetera, etcetera. And that's why there's that
15	collaboration between workforce and Business
16	Development, because we certainly see a lot of
17	unemployed New Yorkers that entry and being able to
18	join a worker cooperative might be the right solution
19	for them.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: And last
21	question, have you looked at other municipalities or
22	even in other countries? When we heard testimony
23	when we had the hearing a few months ago, there was
24	some very impressive numbers from city in Spain or a
25	
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 46 2 city in Italy, and even some other cities in this 3 country. GREGG BISHOP: So that's all part of the 4 research to figure out, you know, what best practices 5 6 are happening across the not only the country, but the world. I know there's an organization out in 7 Cleveland that we hear a lot about. So yes. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: No further 9 10 questions, thank you. 11 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you. So, in--12 you're opposing the legislation, is that what you're 13 here to say? 14 LYDON SLEEPER: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. The--what in 16 it needs to change or would you recommend be amended 17 in order for there to be a place where we can agree 18 on the legislation? GREGG BISHOP: So, I think we should have 19 20 a conversation and figure out if we even need the legislation to begin with. 21 2.2 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So you're not--23 you're not prepared to make recommendations on some modifications to the language today? 24 GREGG BISHOP: No. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 47
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. Theso, I
3	had a couple of questions for the Mayor's Office of
4	Contracts, but they did fill out a slip, but we're
5	not testifying? Okay, so I'll ask you and then you
6	can ask her, okay? But I'm going to concentrate on
7	SBS first. So, haveIdoes SBS have a sense or
8	have you done some study on the impact of worker
9	cooperatives on employment in the city?
10	LYDON SLEEPER: No, we do not. We have
11	not done a study, no.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. And so,
13	you've already taken steps to engage or create an
14	environment that would help develop worker
15	cooperatives in the city, and I congratulate you for
16	that, and thank you for that collaboration because we
17	could not say that February when we had the hearing.
18	So, and thank you for hearing the recommendations of
19	the advocacy community in that regard. So, would you
20	be able to through your Business Development,
21	Business Solutions Center know whether worker
22	cooperative businesses came to SBS seeking
23	assistance?
24	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 48 2 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: You can? So, would 3 you be able to tell us how often that occurred, how 4 many, and then with that, you know, were there 5 specific areas in the city or neighborhoods where 6 these businesses came to SBS seeking assistance? And 7 the types of businesses, okay? So they're dog walkers, okay, fine, but maybe there's one that's 8 actually selling paperclips. We buy a lot of 9 10 paperclips. 11 GREGG BISHOP: We do. 12 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We do, right? A lot 13 of pens, a lot of paper, toner, you know, Staples 14 should be put out of business and you know, the city 15 should be buying from worker cooperatives. My 16 recommendation. Anyway, so neighborhoods where these 17 businesses came from, the types of businesses that 18 came to you for assistance, and how do you follow up with the ones--so you've engaged in modifying what 19 20 the ten steps and included information on worker cooperatives. So for those, how many have 21 2.2 demonstrated interest? What's the follow up that SBS 23 does for those that you refer to one of the partners in this initiative? So that we can measure the work 24 they're doing. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 49
2	GREGG BISHOP: So, I just want to be
3	clear, you're asking us to provide that information
4	going forward, correct? Okay.
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I recognize that you
6	don't have it today.
7	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Or do you?
9	GREGG BISHOP: No, I do not, because it's
10	relatively
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] It's
12	not uncommon for us to say to the agency, if you can
13	bring us back information.
14	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: What, you know,
16	getting at the heart of how to operationalize
17	legislation that you have concern about being able to
18	do. And maybe you have the capacity already and
19	don't even know it. Or with some existing systems
20	that you have in place modified and enhanced, we can
21	potentially capture the information that the study
22	this legislation calls for would include. Okay? And
23	the follow up thatwhat follow up you do to those
24	that, for those that request assistance from SBS, and
25	what work are you doing to assess their needs, to
l	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 50
2	help them assess the needs or identify their needs?
3	And if you can add into that, because I believe that
4	the funding that the City Council adopted into the
5	budget I think can help you extend the net on what
6	support these businesses can get, real boots on the
7	ground support, not from your legal department. Not
8	that I have any question about what kind of work they
9	do, but are you, do you have the capacity to provide
10	the legal?
11	GREGG BISHOP: So just to be clear, it's
12	actually legal services, so it's not our legal
13	department. We do have a network of attorneys.
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I misunderstood.
15	GREGG BISHOP: Attorneys that we refer
16	our businesses to, but one of the things I want to be
17	clear as well is that part of understanding the
18	services that our partners provide is to make sure
19	that we don't duplicate services. So we're
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]
21	Partners, you define as whom?
22	GREGG BISHOP: Meaning the partners that
23	are part of the City Council's initiative, because
24	again, a year ago we were not actually talking about
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 51 2 worker cooperatives, and we really started it 3 earnest. 4 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Say it again. 5 GREGG BISHOP: A year, yeah. 6 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: He's driving a 7 point. 8 [laughter] LYDON SLEEPER: And can I just--9 10 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] So let me sum up on the -- so, now that you're providing that 11 12 information to those who are coming in asking for 13 assistance, with the ones that you refer for the 14 worker cooperative services, how many, the kind of 15 services they're asking for? At some point we 16 probably see that again in the matrix that's being 17 reported under the initiative, so that it's almost 18 like a check and balance, if you will, between the information that you provide for us but also what we 19 20 hope to see from the contracted services that are, that the organizations are providing. I don't--I 21 2.2 thank you for not duplicating effort, and you know, 23 we can make this initiative a great deal more successful, you more productive if you don't try to 24 do what you're not really good at. Not that you're 25

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT522not good at worker cooperatives, I'm just--you know,3I think they're better at it.

LYDON SLEEPER: Very skilled at a lot of 4 If I could just add to your point. You ask 5 things. 6 a lot of very good questions and very important 7 questions. One of the things as we talk about worker coops, and prior to seeing this legislation and 8 looking deeply at this legislation, we agree that 9 there needs to be a closer look at all of the world 10 of worker coops in New York City and around New York 11 12 City, and part of that is understanding where the 13 model is best fit and what sectors, how to grow them, 14 with their barriers to growth are, how to grow both 15 their size in terms of employees, their profits, and also the sheer number of them as a percentage of 16 businesses in New York. And we think all of that is 17 18 critical to look at. And then also apply it to where, you know, opportunities may be in the future 19 20 for procurement, but I think for worker co-ops and our goals to be successful, we should shoot toward 21 2.2 growing the number of worker co-ops and their success 23 within the city, and not direct it specifically toward procurement. Although, that is a lever that 24 government has used for other, in other areas. What 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 53 2 we need to look at is making them strong, sustainable 3 and robust across all sectors as opposed to saying this is a sector that the city procures and we should 4 work them into that. 5 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: The--6 7 LYDON SLEEPER: [interposing] It's a slight difference, but I think when we consider a 8 study and look at a study, that's how we think it is 9 best to pursue that as opposed to saying procurement 10 11 is the angle, we think growth in the number of 12 businesses, growth in the number of workers and 13 worker co-ops, that is the ideal thing to look at as 14 opposed to--15 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] You'll 16 get--LYDON SLEEPER: [interposing] letter on a 17 18 spreadsheet that we--CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] There's 19 20 no disagreement--LYDON SLEEPER: [interposing] coordinate 21 2.2 with MOCS. 23 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: on that. There is 24 no disagreement, but I did say parallel tracks, right? So while we do that, we can also try to get a 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 54
2	handle on well, we might already be doing business
3	with the city or the city might already be engaging
4	these business models or these businesses and we just
5	don't know it. So, I agree 100 percent that there's
6	a laundry list of things that need to be developed
7	further with the help of the worker cooperative
8	advocacy community, and but we also, and this doesn't
9	speak to procurement with the city as a mandate.
10	LYDON SLEEPER: It presumes that angle.
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It does not presume
12	it. It doesn't assume it. It doesn't, you know.
13	So, I think that we need to step away from that
14	assumption, because that's not the goal of this
15	legislature. We cannot. We're just not there. The
16	fact that we're having a second hearing in the City
17	Council on this subject is, I think, advance the
18	conversation that the advocates brought to us in
19	February significantly. So, but you know, once we've
20	uncovered the desert that we have in our city with
21	regards to government investment in the development
22	of this business model, we don't have a choice but to
23	move the conversation forward. So, this legislation
24	does not assume anything. It helps us engage in the
25	conversation of what it is that we need to develop,

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 55
2	establish, or strengthen to be able to just help us
3	understand how as government are we providing
4	opportunities for these businesses. It sets no goal.
5	It sets no language. It has no language about you
6	have to do business with
7	LYDON SLEEPER: No, we
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: worker cooperatives.
9	That language is not part of this legislation.
10	LYDON SLEEPER: And we do believe that
11	our role right now in supporting the growth of the
12	worker co-op community within all businesses in New
13	York City is by helping grow the number, grow their
14	capacity, grow their number of employees, grow their
15	profits, and increase access to capital in all the
16	things that we are doing and working to build out,
17	and making scientific as best we can how to best
18	proceed with growth moving forward. And we agree
19	with you guys on all of those goals, I think.
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We agree on a lot of
21	stuff.
22	LYDON SLEEPER: We do.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We do, we do.
24	LYDON SLEEPER: And I think that
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 56
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] It's
3	just the nature of the beast for you to be there and
4	for us to be here.
5	LYDON SLEEPER: You absolutely have our
6	commitment to continue to work with you guys with
7	worker co-ops and the groups representing worker-cops
8	to move the ball forward in a meaningful way.
9	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, so a couple,
10	two more questions and then I'llI think Council
11	Member Rosenthal, I'm sorry Helen, has a follow up
12	question. So the Mayor's Office of Contract
13	Services, any feedback on the hurdles that are
14	envisioned in integrating worker cooperatives into
15	the city procurement process, what type of assistance
16	if any does MOCS provide to companies going through
17	the procurement process, and anyway, okay. So, we'll
18	leave it there. If you're going to say something,
19	you need to come to the table, identify yourself for
20	the record, and make sure that we have a slip. We
21	have Caitlin. That's Caitlin Benson, right? There
22	you go. So we have your slip.
23	CAITLIN BENSON: Good afternoon. My name
24	is Caitlin Benson, I'm the Chief of Staff at the

25 Mayor's Office of Contract Services. To speak to

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 57
2	your question, Council Member Arroyo, we, the Mayor's
3	Office of Contracts would be involved in the
4	reporting aspect of this legislation. Thewe, you
5	know, support what SBS had already, you know, shared
6	with you about the services that they're providing to
7	worker cooperatives. We are not providing any, you
8	know, direct services to the worker cooperatives.
9	It's through the agency.
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So, in regardso in
11	the question, what hurdles do you envision in
12	integrating worker cooperatives in the city
13	procurement process?
14	CAITLIN BENSON: Yeah, go ahead.
15	GREGG BISHOP: And when you say
16	integrating, you're talking about sothe way you
17	look at worker cooperatives it's as any other
18	business that are actually trying to do business with
19	the city, and whether it's a worker cooperative or
20	whether it's a corporation, etcetera, you know, city
21	procurement depending on the levels, we have to
22	actually pick the best price. So, for instance, if
23	you're competing the mark off [sic] space, there
24	might not be hurdles. It's just a matter of
25	awareness. It's a matter of awareness. It's the
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 58 2 matter of the agency chief contracting officer being aware of the service that you provide, because 3 agencies have full discretion. If you're talking 4 about the small purchasing space, it's making sure 5 that the worker cooperative knows about how the 6 7 procures in that particular area, because the city pulls five certified firms, MWBE's, and five 8 noncertified firms, and only those can compete on 9 that contract. So it's just a matter of education at 10 that level. I think what you're really asking is if a 11 12 worker cooperative for contracts over 100,000 where 13 the city has to go with the lowest competitively 14 priced bid, then we have to really help that 15 cooperative, and this what Lydon and MOCS would agree 16 as well, produce the best price. And that could be a 17 number of things. We've seen it, and not to div--you 18 know, move over to MWBE, but that's one of the reasons why we've invested so much money on capacity 19 20 building to help them understand about pricing, to help them with their operations. A lot of businesses 21 2.2 lose money based on the fact that they don't know how 23 to run their business, which increases the prices of their goods. We focus a lot on how to sell your 24 25 service. So those are the things that we need to do

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 59
2	in general, and you know, worker cooperatives are no
3	different in that area.
4	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And you do it MWBE's
5	only because the law has mandated for you to do it?
6	GREGG BISHOP: Actually, no.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Or because you think
8	it's a good business practice, it's good policy.
9	GREGG BISHOP: Because of the limitations
10	of the law, actually, is why we do it, because we
11	realized that we cannot award a contract to an MWBE.
12	They have to be competitive, and the only way for MWB
13	to be competitive is to address some of the
14	efficiencies we've seen over time in the operations
15	of their business. So we talked about invoicing, you
16	know, having an MWB understand the right systems to
17	invoice on time, having them understand when they
18	need to, you know, submit change orders. There's a
19	number of programs where we go into the operations of
20	the business itself to make sure that they run
21	effectively and efficiently, so that way they can be
22	more competitive on city contracts.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: And how would that
24	be different for worker cooperative businesses?
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 60
2	GREGG BISHOP: Well that'sand that's
3	one of the areas I was talking about, so we need to
4	understand. So because we've spent the number of
5	timenow, I've been with the agency for six years,
6	and LL 129 came about in 2005. We've been working
7	with MWB's for quite some time. So we were able to
8	understand some of the shortfalls and some of the
9	barriers of entry for MWB's and city contracting.
10	That's the same sort of analysis that we want to
11	advocate for, and that's why we want to work with the
12	advocates and we want to work with you to understand
13	some of the barriers. And some of the folks that
14	I've talked to with the organizations, you know, what
15	I know one of the areas with cooperatives is the
16	unique nature of the business structure. Every owner
17	has a share. So for financing that might be a little
18	bit difficult. So I'm making assumptions, but you
19	know, access to capital might be one of the areas
20	that worker cooperatives has a barrier because banks
21	do not understand the structure of a worker
22	cooperative. It could be in other areas or it may
23	not. I mean, a worker cooperative depending on the
24	sector, they need to understand the market forces and
25	whether or not, for instance, a dog walking business

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 61
2	actually makes sense in a particular area of the
3	city. The same way they need to understand that, you
4	know, for instance, the Department of Corrections buy
5	coffins, right? But is that an area of opportunity
6	for a cooperative? Maybe, maybe not.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: The Department of
8	Correction buys
9	GREGG BISHOP: [interposing] Coffins.
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: For dead people,
11	right?
12	GREGG BISHOP: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Not coffee, coffins?
14	GREGG BISHOP: No, coffins for dead
15	people because they
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] No, I'm
17	just
18	GREGG BISHOP: I mean, the point is
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] You
20	could have said coffee. I didn't understand.
21	GREGG BISHOP: No, the city buys a lot.
22	The corrections administer Potter's Field [sic], so
23	that's why you see coffins pop up
24	
25	
I	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 62
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] Okay,
3	no, no, I just wanted clarification. I really did
4	not understand what you said.
5	GREGG BISHOP: Yeah. So my point is that
6	we will work with, you know, our partners with this
7	initiative to identify some of those barriers, and I
8	think once we identify those barriers, then we could
9	come up with really creative programs with the
10	partners to address some of those issues to help them
11	become more competitive on city procurement.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, and now, you
13	know, you areone of the discussions that we've had
14	recently, in your staff, SBS staff was that at the
15	meeting with us what other things could be done at
16	SBS that can help you get a better handle of the
17	industry or the model, the business model, and help
18	us move that conversation forward, identify the
19	barriers, the hurdles that could be experienced or
20	confronted by these businesses. So we're on parallel
21	tracks. We're going to have conversations about
22	SBS's, how it organizes itself and some
23	recommendations on how we think SBS can organize
24	around supporting worker cooperative business as a
25	model. Okay. Council Member Rosenthal?

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 63
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: This was just
3	a great conversation, and I really wanted to thank
4	you for all the issues that you're raising. I could
5	add to them, actually. I think one of the hardest
6	things about worker cooperatives signing up and
7	getting approved by VENDEX is that there are so many
8	owners, and each of them then is required to fill out
9	quite a bit of paperwork, right? So, and that
10	actually I would argue is a little bit, is one of the
11	biggest ones. That's a challenge. And I really like
12	a lot of your suggestions. I likeI thought Council
13	Member Crowley had a great point about certification
14	and certainly Council Member Arroyo's, and the
15	questions that have been raised here arebring to
16	light some of the challenges. And what I think, and
17	what we strove to do in this legislation is that the
18	report that we're asking for would give us the
19	opportunity to flush out those issues. It would give
20	us the opportunity to lay out that, you know, because
21	the report, the details of the report are for us to
22	determine, right? They're not regulated by any
23	stretch, but it would be great just from what I'm
24	hearing to report on, you know, the number of worker
25	cooperatives out there, right? Because that's a
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 64 2 success for SBS as well. You could say, well today there are 23, but in 2016 there are 60. And not that 3 they're contracting with the city, because we haven't 4 been able to meet those challenges, but that has 5 happened, and that of course, reflects great on SBS. 6 7 I mean, your point about the, you know, trying to understand what are the businesses or the areas of 8 services that make best sense for there to be worker 9 cooperatives with procurement is incredibly 10 important, and I would hope that that type of 11 12 information would be reported on, so that we would be 13 saying, you know what, for paperclip buying, which is 14 a big area of purchasing, for the city not so much, 15 but in construction and developing, you know, or in 16 cleaning services, those are areas where worker 17 cooperatives could make sense for in terms of city procurement. So, I reiterate that because I still am 18 not persuaded that this legislation doesn't ask for 19 20 anything more than that. I think that we really tried to be as careful as possible to use the 21 2.2 legislation as opportunity for recognizing worker 23 cooperatives as a business model. I'm interested. You know, I'm sure you'll go back and reflect on this 24 more, but haven't quite heard it today. 25

1	
1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 65
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Are you?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: No question.
4	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: That wasn't a
5	question.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: It was a
7	statement, unless you wanted to answer.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Do you? Do you have
9	a response? Okay, so my hope is that you will
10	continue this conversation with Council Member
11	Rosenthal, and that what I think the legislation is
12	seeking to accomplish is get us off the ground in
13	understanding what we're doing, how we're doing. It
14	doesn't mandate or assume anything else. So, without
15	the assumption to continue a conversation and see if
16	there is a middle of the road where other language
17	can be amended so that the concerns that you're
18	raising we all perceived can be addressed. And I
19	will not challenge you on whether they're real or
20	not. They are your perception and that's real to
21	you, so my hope is that this conversation continues
22	and that we come back to deal with an A version of
23	this bill that has language that has addressed what
24	concerns you have raised or removes any assumption
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 66 2 that the Administration has created around what this seeks to accomplish. 3

GREGG BISHOP: We look forward to that 4 5 conversation.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your 6 7 testimony, and I'm sure that someone will remain for the remainder of the hearing to hear the public 8 testimony that's going to be provided by some really 9 hardworking individuals. Okay, thank you very much. 10 We're going to split you guys up in two panels. How 11 12 many do we have? Yeah, we have seven individuals 13 signed up to testify. So, I'm going to butcher your 14 names, so you're going to forgive me in advance, but 15 when you speak you identify yourself for the record. 16 We have Michael Paone, Michael, Federation for 17 Protestant Welfare Agencies with the real cool tshirt. We have Omar Freilla, Green Worker 18 Cooperatives, and Omar, I'm sorry, I always 19 20 mispronounce your name. I apologize. Alexis Posey, New York City Worker Cooperatives Coalition, Alexis? 21 2.2 And Moden [sp?], how do you pronounce that? Okay. 23 Pormarhan [sp?], Urban Justice Center. Maybe you know who you are with. Okay. What's up guys? Would 24 you like to see a different order? Okay. So tell me-25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 67 2 -okay. So I'm going to call all of you up, and then you can, you know. Ted De Barbieri, Brooklyn Law 3 School, Joe Rinehart, and Julia Jean Francos [sp?]. 4 I think that's Manankos [sp?] Okay, so who do we have 5 at the dais [sic] now? We have Omar? Okay. Your 6 Orishum [sp?]. Who else? I still don't know 7 name? all you guys by name. Okay. Michael, you're not 8 coming? Where's Michael? You're going to wait? 9 Okay, so here, put them up. Okay. So, identify 10 yourself for the record. You can choose, flip a 11 12 coin, whatever you like, and you may begin when 13 you're ready. Speak into the mic. You're being 14 recorded, and the sergeants kind of get cranky when 15 they can't hear us. 16 OMAR FREILLA: Good afternoon Council Members Arroyo and Rosenthal, and thank you for--and

17 18 the Council staff, and those of you that have remained, thank you for having this hearing first and 19 20 foremost. There is going to be a testimony coming from the Coalition for Worker Cooperative that's 21 2.2 going to be read in the, I guess the next panel, and 23 so we're representing different organizations, and so I'll just start with first a thanks, and I would like 24 to specifically speak to what was raised by--25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT	68
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]	Say
3	your name for the record.	

OMAR FREILLA: the Commissioner. My name 4 is Omar Freilla of Green Worker Cooperatives in the 5 Bronx. So, what I--I won't spend too much time. I 6 7 won't spend any time talking about things that have already been refuted or assumptions that have already 8 been refuted, particularly that you're introducing 9 any kind of requirements. What I do want to speak to 10 was the, one of the other--the other source of 11 12 concern, which I heard, which was about the 13 distinguishing ownership and how you're 14 distinguishing ownership, and I'd also like to speak 15 to a problem that I see in how the scope of what's 16 possible is a bit problematic in what I heard in the 17 statements from the Deputy Commissioner. So with 18 respect to distinguishing ownership, first, it's completely within the--it is the prerogative of the 19 20 city to create whatever standard is deemed appropriate as to how many workers, how much 21 2.2 ownership and control exists within the cooperative. 23 So, there isn't really a precedent here in the United States for this in terms of creating a standard. 24 So, the city could say whatever amount is deemed 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 69 2 appropriate to say it could be 75 percent. It could 3 be, you know, 65 percent. It could be 90 percent. It could be 100 percent, and we'll certainly be 4 willing to work, all of our groups I'm sure will 5 certainly be willing to work with the city to 6 7 distinguish what the ownership requirement could look like. The fact that there isn't one held within, 8 held by the city and the fact that ownership is a bit 9 problematic for Department of Small Business Services 10 11 and MOCS to be able to use that as a quiding frame is 12 a bit problematic in and of itself. You know, 13 ownership is something that people talk about all 14 over the city, who owns the business. You know, so 15 there should be some kind of requirement or not 16 requirement, but there should be some level of 17 measurement. So creating that measurement tool is 18 something that's certainly doable and within the realm of being able to make that happen. 19 Beyond 20 that, the--when it comes to distinguishing ownership, 21 Council Member Crowley suggested the possibility of a 2.2 certification for worker cooperatives. So just 23 setting a number and saying what that looks like could be that certification, and the city could 24 create its own certification program. We would love 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 70 2 to see that. That would be a great--it would also be great as a tool for marketing worker cooperatives, to 3 say that these are the official worker cooperatives. 4 So that's certainly doable. There were questions 5 about how much control per worker. That's a very 6 7 simple one to answer. Each worker has one share. So one share per worker, one share equals one vote, and 8 that's the basic characteristic of all cooperatives, 9 all worker cooperatives, I should say. So that's it 10 on the question of distinguishing ownership. Now, 11 12 there's the issue about what I identified as a 13 problem in the vision or the scope of what's 14 possible. So what I heard as considered a problem 15 was this idea that, you know, you're talking about--16 we're talking about contracts that where there is a 17 specific prevention of saying that you can have--the 18 city can require certain or direct contracts towards certain groups. So there is a wide range of 19 20 contracts that are available. And right now, there is actually one worker cooperative in New York, at 21 2.2 least one worker cooperative in New York City that 23 has gotten contracts with the city of New York. I'm very proud to say it's a cooperative that came out of 24 25 our co-op academy, our cooperative business boot

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 71
2	camp, and they're called HTINK. They're doing
3	business as the name HTINK, but they're actually as
4	Stem 2gether, Stem, the number two, -gether. It's a
5	very awkward clunky name. They go by HTINK. And
6	they exist to provide training, and they provide
7	curriculum for schools and after school programs.
8	So, over the past two years they've gotten what are
9	known as mini bids through the Department of
10	Education totally about 20,000 dollars. So it's
11	small certainly when you compare the scale of
12	contracts that are available and the size of the
13	amount of money the city spends, but it's something,
14	you know, and it's a starting point. The idea that
15	the worker cooperative sector and cooperatives in
16	general are a nasient [sic] sector, it's true,
17	certainly it's true, but that is no reason to not
18	keep track of what's happening. And the benefit of
19	this bill is simply to be able to keep track. You
20	can't win a game if you don't know the score. And
21	all this is doing for us is keeping track of the
22	score, and you have to set the rules of what is the
23	score, how are you keeping score, so those are very
24	basic things that have to be done. So it's great
25	that this is moving forward. So, you know, I wanted

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 72 2 to make those particular points to let it be known 3 that distinguishing ownership is something that we can work with the city to lay out of what it could 4 look like, and the idea that contracts can come in 5 many different forms. It doesn't have to be a 6 600,000 dollar or million dollar contract. We can be 7 talking about a 1,000 dollar contract to provide 8 services. And there are a wide range of different 9 cooperatives that can provide services. No one in 10 11 this room, I think, before I mentioned HTINK would 12 have thought that providing training or teaching kids 13 how to, you know, how to engage with technology in 14 the school system would be the kind of contract that 15 we would be talking about, but there are a number of 16 other cooperatives that do that in similar, you know, 17 or other kinds of work. We have another cooperative 18 called Ginger Moon that provides training for new mothers on how to eat properly, how to cook properly. 19 20 They could be doing that kind of work where we have WIC, where WIC programs are operating, and where 21 2.2 there are requirements for classes. You know, we have 23 another cooperative called Caracol Interpreters 24 Cooperative. They are translators and interpreters, 25 and that is a sore need in New York City, and that's

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 73 2 something that the city currently pays for. So we 3 see that, you know, replicated all over the city in 4 many other kinds of niche industries, different kinds 5 of businesses where there's a huge amount 6 possibility. And that's where I'll leave it.

7 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, I neglected to saying thank you, Omar, for being succinct that I 8 don't like to use a clock, but we do have one in the 9 So, tell us, you know, straight and to the 10 room. point. One, do you support the legislation? Two, 11 12 some thoughts about the challenges that were raised 13 by the Administration and some thoughts about how 14 that could be handled, or you know, and obviously 15 this is conversation, ongoing to be continued. So 16 don't think you have to get it all in today. Okay, 17 thank you. Let's hear from all of them, and then you 18 can--okay.

JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: I'll be brief. 19 20 Thank you so much Council woman. Oh, turn it off? Oh, I'm sorry, I just have to talk louder. 21 Just 2.2 many, many thanks to you Councilwoman Arroyo and also 23 Councilwoman Rosenthal. We're deeply grateful. This is an amazing time in history and we're very grateful 24 to you both for this. Center for Family Life over 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 74
2	the past eight years has supported over 120 low
3	income women and men to embrace
4	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] Your
5	name for the record?
6	JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: Oh, I'm so sorry.
7	Julia Jean-Fracois, Co-Director at Center for Family
8	Life. We've supported these individuals to embrace a
9	dream of business ownership through the development
10	of cooperative businesses, and to date, none of the
11	successful businesses including to the point made by,
12	earlier, including a seven year old, 61 member
13	cleaning cooperative, which seemed to poised in any
14	way that I could imagine to take on a significant
15	contract. We have not been able to help them more,
16	understand how to help them access city contracting
17	opportunities. Thosefurther, I think that we've
18	seen that businesses, we support businesses
19	developed, to develop where business opportunities
20	present, and unless those opportunities are
21	recognized and understood by the Small Business
22	Services, it's going to be difficult for us to
23	connect to these opportunities. There were several
24	comments about dog walking cooperatives, whichand
25	we are the incubator of the dog walking cooperatives,
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 75 2 but I just have to say that I feel like even in that example as a person who just happened this weekend to 3 go to the Staten Island New York City Animal Care and 4 Control Office where I entered through an unmanned 5 door. No one greeted me. There was no introduction 6 7 to the animals. I think that even there there are opportunities for cooperatives that are creative and 8 niche focused to find their way into city contracting 9 opportunities. We see only potential benefits to the 10 legislation that was suggested today. Principally, 11 12 because the men and women in Sunset Park have not 13 felt seen and they have not felt counted. They 14 haven't felt seen and counted in terms of their 15 contribution through work and through business 16 development to the economic wellbeing of the city and 17 to also their significant efforts to broaching 18 economic inequality. And I can't think of a downside to having people be seen and counted. We've--if we 19 20 don't move forward and recognize the contribution of people in some organized quantitative fashion, I 21 think we loose and important opportunity at the start 2.2 23 of this exciting initiative to benchmark where we are, and then as everyone has said, it will be 24 impossible for us to understand whether we've made 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 76 2 progress or whether we haven't made progress in the future. So, I think for all of these reasons, because 3 4 I think there are undiscovered opportunities that this city has not made available yet to these 5 innovative groups, and because people would like to 6 7 be recognized for the hard work that they do, there is only an upside to moving forward with the 8 legislation that you've presented. So, thank you. 9 TED DE BARBIERI: My name's Ted De 10 Barbieri. I'm a assistant professor of Clinical Law 11 12 at Brooklyn Law School, and I'm here to speak in 13 support of the bill. So, in addition to HTINK that 14 Omar mentioned, there's another group that's already 15 contracting with the Council Member from Sunset Park 16 for cleaning services, and I think that's, you know, 17 just--there's groups out there that are already doing 18 this, and I think we need to know that. I'm speaking in support of the bill because I think this is a 19 20 sunlight, a sunshine in transparency bill that's very important. I share the Council Member's opinion that 21 2.2 it is a benign sort of milk toast legislation, and 23 I'm kind of surprised that the agency isn't, wasn't willing to support the legislation. In terms of 24 challenges, if they have a problem counting, there's 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 77
2	advocates in the community who can help them do it.
3	I'm not really sure why that was a challenge that
4	they articulated. We're here. We're open. You
5	know, clearly this is an important issue, and we're
6	here in the community to partner with them. The last
7	thing that I'll say is just dollars spent locally
8	recycle locally. That's an important feature of
9	worker co-ops, and really should be a priority for
10	Small Business Services. They already contract NYC
11	Business Solutions. We're one of their legal
12	services providers in Brooklyn, and to the extent
13	that they can study how to partner with worker co-
14	ops, I think that would bring a lot of important
15	change to the economy locally. Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I need a cleaning
17	company for my office, so I need that card.
18	MOSHDA PUHMERAM: Hello, my name is
19	Moshda Puhmerham [sp?]. I'm with Community
20	Development Project from Urban Justice Center, and
21	I'm here to speak in favor of the legislation. CDP
22	has collaborated with community based organizations
23	to develop worker co-ops for over 10 years. We've
24	seen a lot of their successes. We're also a founding
25	member of the New York City Network of Worker Co-ops,

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 78 2 and we urge the city to adopt this legislation as one 3 step towards creating more high wage jobs in the city 4 and supporting worker co-ops. We believe that the report that is submitted as a result of this will 5 help the city strategize on how to increase 6 7 participation of worker co-ops and procurement, and will also help worker co-ops develop business 8 strategies for growth. We've seen other cities where 9 city involvement in legislation has been important 10 and beneficial to worker co-ops such as Cleveland. 11 12 New York City also already contracts with some worker 13 co-ops, which I know was spoke to, but there's also 14 the Cooperative Home Care Associates, which employs 15 over 2,000 people and provides services to several 16 New York City agencies. We think this legislation 17 and New York City creating more policies that include 18 worker co-ops will help recognize co-ops as a solid business model. Just a couple of examples of some of 19 20 the co-ops that we've worked with that we've seen a lot of success in, Si Se Puede and the Sunrise 21 2.2 Cooperative. Si Se Puede, in the five years that 23 we've worked with them, they've gone from 11 or 12 members to over 50 members. They've tripled their 24 wages to as much as 25 dollars an hour, and there are 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 79
2	no fees because there are no middle men. So, people
3	who are part of the co-ops see 100 percent of their
4	pay. Sunrise Cooperative is a group of 60 immigrant
5	owned automotive shops. They face displacement under
6	Bloomberg's plan to develop Hunts [sic] Point Queens,
7	and they grouped together to relocate as a group to a
8	location in the Bronx. We just think these are
9	examples of cooperatives that have done really well
10	and that can benefit from this legislation.
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Sunshine Cooperative
12	is the automotive
13	MOSHDA PUHMERHAM: Correct, yes.
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: They're in Hunt's
15	Point in the Bronx.
16	MOSHDA PUHMERHAM: Sunrise Cooperative.
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Sunrise, sunshine,
18	okay. There's a sun. There's a sun. Helen?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you all
20	for your testimony. I want to ask you to please go
21	back and send us a little bit more specifics about
22	the worker cooperative that currently have contracts
23	with the city. I think it'll help us all in our
24	understanding. So, the HTINK, you mentioned that
25	they have a contract with DOE and DOE as you know is

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 80 2 a--their contracts do not go through VENDEX, the usual VENDEX city system, they sort of have their own 3 thing going on. So I'd be interested in knowing how 4 they manage that. Any sort of information, if you 5 could reach out to them about that, how they 6 7 qualified and what their experience was getting qualified in order to win contracts, that would be 8 great. And then for--I would imagine, Ted, that it's 9 Menchaca who has the discretionary grant. On that 10 one, I think you do not have to be listed as a vendor 11 12 for the city in order to win discretionary funds, but 13 I would be very interested in knowing sort of if you 14 could track that, how they're doing, because they 15 still have to get various approvals from the city 16 including conflict of interest for their board of 17 directors. So, sort of how are they juggling that? 18 And we'll do some research on the finance side to see have they cleared the City Council and MOCS yet 19 20 before it even--21 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] Now, 2.2 Helen, Council Member Rosenthal, I'm sorry. On the 23 record, I keep calling you Helen. But, now, their

25 are they receiving a discretionary grant or--

24

contract with the city is for cleaning services not --

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 81
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yes.
3	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: are they being paid
4	to clean the Council Member's office?
5	TED BARBIERI: They're being paid to
6	clean the Council Member's office.
7	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: If
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: But it's
9	through discretionary funds, right? Or is it through
10	his own
11	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] No,
12	it's not
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: budget?
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Yeah, OTPS.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That's a
16	really important difference. If you could let us
17	know that.
18	TED BARBIERI: Right.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Because if
20	it's through his OTPS funds, he did not have to get
21	it doesn't necessarily have to go through the
22	contracting process. We justit would be helpful to
23	get this clarification.
24	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: But the procurement
25	process is engaged, never the less. Closer to you.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 82
2	JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: Okay, I think that
3	you are correct. I think the barrier for them having
4	city contracts is exactly what you mentioned earlier
5	on. Sixty-one people would have to complete a
6	VENDEX, and that was just an insuperable barrier for
7	them. But this individual job was a different, was
8	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: [interposing]
9	It's important to get that information, if you could
10	get that to us, because it could just be a
11	reimbursement. I've done that where my office needs
12	to be cleaned and I get reimbursed for that, for
13	paying for that service through an invoicing process,
14	and we need to understand what the barriers are.
15	It's not that it's a problem, I just want to
16	understand it.
17	JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: Right.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: That'd be
19	great. And then the third one that you mentioned,
20	which is Cooperative Home Care Associates already
21	contracts with the City. If we could get more
22	information on that as well. Is that through DIFTA
23	[sic] orand how is that contracting process gone?
24	You know, if we have successful models that'll help
25	

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT832us in pushing this through, so that's why I'm asking3these questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: That, and just you k 4 now, my statement earlier is that we just don't know 5 6 how many are doing business with the city. What the 7 whole goal of this legislation is to try to get us to a place where we can keep score. Right? And know 8 whether we're winning or losing the game. So, do you 9 have any suggestions for any of the language that's 10 included in the legislation, recommendations that you 11 12 would hope for us to consider in amending some of the 13 language? If you're not ready to respond to that, 14 then be ready to respond to the Council Member sooner 15 rather than later as she engages in the discussions 16 with the city agency on that part of it.

17 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Omar, is it 18 okay with you if I lift your expression? Oh, I wrote it down. You can't win the game without keeping 19 20 score. You might see that in one of my press releases. Is that alright? 21 2.2 OMAR FREILLA: I give you permission. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank you. Ι 24 just had to get that out.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 84
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: On the record, okay.
3	So, that I don't leave you without giving you a hard
4	time, right, because that's what we do to folks that
5	sit at that table. It's just the nature of the
6	beast. We don't always disagree, but theso, we've
7	made some headway with SBS on how they'reso, do you
8	have any sense or opinion about how engaging SBS has
9	been since we started the conversation about what
10	they can do better to promote support worker
11	cooperatives?
12	JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: Well, I think Omar
13	and I both have had the opportunity to do one of the
14	ten step presentations at SBS at the New York City
15	Small Business Services. I conducted one in July, I
16	believe it was, and you conducted one recently? Two
17	recently. So, there has been progress in that way.
18	But I don't have any other developments to relate
19	other than the presentation.
20	OMAR FREILLA: Well, I would just say
21	that there's certainly a demand and a desire and
22	plenty of opportunity for more. I conductedJulia
23	led one in Brooklyn, and I led, I led two, one at the
24	Upper Manhattan Business Solutions Center in Harlem,
25	and the other in the Bronx, at the Bronx Business

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 85
2	Solutions Center. At both there were people from the
3	outer boroughs who came out, and I was amazed that in
4	the Bronx there was one person that came all the way
5	from Staten Island. She said that she's been wanting
6	to get more information on cooperatives since she saw
7	Michael Moore's documentary that, you know, where
8	there was a 15 minute segment on worker cooperatives,
9	and since then, that was about three years ago that
10	she saw it, and so she's been looking for info on
11	worker co-ops and was excited when she saw this. She
12	missed the one in Brooklyn, so she said she's going
13	to trek to the Bronx. So, I was amazed. So, there's
14	plenty of opportunity there, and I think one thing
15	that certainly they could do is just do those
16	regularly.
17	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay.
18	OMAR FREILLA: Consistently.
19	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: So, where we were
20	February and where we're at today after that initial
21	conversation, are you comfortable, confident that SBS
22	got the message and is really working to advance this
23	conversation?
24	OMAR FREILLA: From my perspective,
25	they've been a good partner in moving this forward.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 86
2	What I, mywhat I heard up here was a bit of
3	resistance, which I would naturally expect from any
4	city agency that gets told that they have to keep
5	score, and that they have to do, you know, that
6	there's steps that they have to do. Because once
7	you're keeping score, everybody else knows what the
8	score is and they're going to be expecting more, and
9	then it doesn't go beyond what's volunteering, but
10	then there are expectations that other people have,
11	and we're a group that has lots of expectations.
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay. So, what
13	would you like to see? What else would you like to
14	see, and you don't have to, you know, because we keep
15	talking off the record on how we're going to move
16	forward. The recommendations that we heard last week
17	in a meeting on what SBS can do to establish a
18	center, I think that was the term that was used, a
19	desk, you know. What are the next logical steps for
20	not just SBS, because I don't want us to be tunnel
21	vision about what, how many of the city agencies need
22	to be involved in this process, and I believe, I feel
23	like if we narrow it to SBS we're going to limit
24	opportunities in other, with other city agencies and
25	through other business development opportunities, you
ļ	l

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 87
2	know, and the Economic Development Corporation comes
3	to mind. So, you know, wewhat are the other
4	agencies that we need to have at the table to have
5	these conversations on the business development
6	front, SBS, but where are the other opportunities?
7	Should we be having conversations with a agency to
8	say, "Well, what do you know about worker
9	cooperatives, and what opportunities may be within
10	those agencies to advance this conversation?" And I
11	don't know that we've had that level of conversation,
12	so that might be one of the next steps.
13	TED DE BARBIERI: I guess one thing I'd
14	mention about EDC, their Competition Thrive Program
15	it has fiveit's an immigrant owned businesses. You
16	may have been familiar with it, but they have five
17	finalists, and some of the finalists are involved
18	worker co-op strategies. Finalists get 25,000
19	dollars to pilot a program and possibly, and then one
20	finalist gets 100,000 to implement a program.
21	Working World is an organization that's in the
22	coalition. That's a finalist in that competition.
23	So, I think EDC knows about it, and I think we should
24	continue to involve them, their workforce strategy
25	folks. It was great seeing staff from the Council's

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 88 2 Economic Development Division here at the hearing, 3 and chatted with them a little bit in the hall. It 4 would be great to involve that division in the 5 Council, too, to figure out coordination. Just 6 wanted to mention that.

7 JULIA JEAN-FRANCOIS: I would also say that it would be wonderful if you were able to 8 facilitate meetings with a number of city agencies. 9 I know when we had the meeting across the street some 10 months ago. The Commissioner of DYCD was there and 11 12 he was surprised to learn and very happy to learn and 13 has mentioned it to me since then that some of the 14 recruitment for cooperative members happened from the 15 parents of afterschool children. And so I think that 16 there is a--we're also a contractor with ACS, and I 17 think it would be important for ACS to understand 18 that families that are confronting really dire poverty have options for how to connect to employment 19 20 and to also to business opportunities. Many of those cases would be more quickly resolved if families had 21 2.2 access to income. So, I think there is something in 23 it for everyone and that all of the city agencies would benefit from an introduction to this--24

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 89
2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] Sounds
3	like a round of meetings and/or hearings with those
4	committees. Okay. Well, thank you for your
5	testimony and we'll see you again soon, I'm sure, on
6	the continuing conversation. Okay. Michael
7	OMAR FREILLA: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Joe and Alexis.
9	Okay, so I've been informed that we need to be out of
10	here by four. We're not going to talk for another 40
11	minutes, I don't suspect, right? Okay. Go ahead.
12	Identify yourself for the record. Begin when you're
13	ready.
14	ALEXIS POSEY: So, I am Alexis Posey,
15	Senior Policy Analyst for Workforce Development at
16	Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies. So, good
17	afternoon Chairperson Arroyo, Council Member
18	Rosenthal, Council Members of the New York City
19	Council and the Committee on Community Development.
20	As I mentioned, I am Alexis Posey, Senior Policy
21	Analyst for Workforce Development at FPWA. FPWA
22	advocates on behalf of vulnerable New Yorkers to
23	ensure that they have the economic means to support
24	themselves and their families. It is because of this
25	FPWA has joined the New York City Worker Cooperative
ļ	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 90
2	Coalition and stands in support of legislation Intro
3	423. Just to give you a brief summary, the New York
4	City Worker Cooperatives Coalition is a New York City
5	based group advocating for the growth and expansion
6	of worker cooperatives as a means to reduce poverty
7	and income inequality in New York. The comI'm
8	sorry. The Coalition is comprised of many New York
9	City based worker cooperatives and not for profit
10	support organizations such as the New York City
11	Network of Worker Cooperatives, Democracy at Work
12	Institute, Make the Road New York, and the Federation
13	of Protestant Welfare Agencies, and on behalf of the
14	coalition I just want to again say thank you for
15	having us here today.
16	JOE RINEHART: Thanks so much for having
17	us, Council Member Arroyo and Council Member
18	Rosenthal. And I wanted to just take a minute to
19	talk. I think we've covered thewe've covered some
20	of the benefits of worker cooperatives and what
21	worker cooperatives are. So I thought I would just
22	take a second.
23	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Your name. Your
24	name, please.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 91
2	JOE RINEHART: I apologize. My name's Joe
3	Rinehart, I'm the Director of Cooperative Development
4	at the Democracy at Work Institute. But I would like
5	to sort of touch for a moment on the fact that, you
6	know, it's always important to remember that while
7	we're creating and supporting and while the
8	entrepreneurs are making these amazing businesses
9	that they are businesses and so are constrained by
10	other markets around them. So in order tothey have
11	to turn a profit in order to turn that profit to
12	employee and community good. And one of the best
13	ways in one the places thatone of the best ways for
14	a business to turn a profit is to reach that scale
15	through large contracts and through scale. The more
16	scale, the more profit, and honestly, the more profit
17	that can be returned to workers and put in the
18	communities. And so, business to business
19	contracting is a critical part of a business reaching
20	scale, and government contracting is a critical part
21	of that and other anchor institution contracting.
22	And so that's really where we see that Council Member
23	Rosenthal's bill would push to have the city
24	engagement along with worker cooperatives contracting
25	comes in and it'sI think that's a really critical

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 92 2 thing to remember is that it's important to support worker cooperatives and a thousand worker 3 cooperatives to grow, which is fantastic. In that 4 5 case it's really important and this is part of my work, and Chris's work and another of other people's 6 work in the country to network those businesses and 7 to help them become more efficient as small 8 businesses, but we need big businesses too. And so 9 as the city contracts with worker cooperatives, it'll 10 help those worker cooperatives grow, which when you 11 12 think about it will make the best use of the city's 13 dollars both by procuring high quality goods, but also pursuing the policy of economic opportunity for 14 15 all in the city. And also, any other clients as you 16 reach more and more scale the dollars go further and 17 further. So, not only will the city's dollars go 18 further in terms of helping the community benefit, but it also helps those other dollars go forward in 19 20 terms of doing that. And so I think that's just--it is incredible. The procurement piece both from small 21 2.2 businesses are both from the city and from other 23 areas, other large buyers is very important. And I wanted to take a moment to touch on SBS's testimony 24 as well. Looking at that, they had three areas of 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 93 2 concern. The first one that I would say that we 3 actually recognize this concern, the need for a clearer definition of worker owned cooperatives. 4 5 Currently, there's a high quality--a useable definition at the federal level, and then the next 6 7 six months, Democracy at Work Institute will be in cooperation with the US Federation of Worker 8 Cooperatives. We'll be publishing a national 9 definition that can be used for exactly this 10 purposes, for city agencies, and we're happy to work 11 12 with the city agency to implement that. On the idea 13 that there's only 23 worker cooperatives in the city 14 right now, that's probably an accurate figure, but as 15 Council Member Arroyo says, you can look beyond the 16 city to contract with worker cooperatives for 17 procurement, and specifically I would say, if you 18 look at coffee companies, I know the city must buy coffee in some quantity. But if you look at equal 19 20 exchanges, it's a coffee--it's a fair trade coffee company that's at scale that has employees in the 21 2.2 city that would be--and that's not part of the 23, 23 that's just--they have employees in the city and so that's a very doable--that's definitely a process 24 that's been going on if you look at. And this is 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 94 2 already happening in the city if you look at for 3 instance, the One World Trade Center site contains an 4 escalator produced by a worker cooperative, which we 5 probably don't know, but it's one of the Mondergon 6 [sic] cooperative corporations is providing and they 7 do custom escalators very high, sort of very specialized escalators, but that's going in at that 8 site. And then if you also look at companies like 9 Alvarado [sic] Street Baking Company, they're a 10 commercial baker. Once again, Nut Butter [sic], a 11 12 commercial nut butter manufacturer actually in Up 13 State New York, and so those are all sort of opportunities for procurement there. And further, 14 15 there's some incredibly innovative companies like 16 Center Point Counseling, which is a--drives almost 17 all of their income from government contracting in 18 Viroqua, Wisconsin, and because they're a mental health therapist and practitioners, and they provide 19 20 incredibly in--one thing you get when you contract with worker cooperatives is innovative services 21 2.2 because every worker owner there is thinking about 23 how to make the services better. To give an example of one program that they ran, they recognize it's 24 hard for kids to get to school, hard to get from 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 95 2 school to therapy, to counseling. They're now one of 3 the leaders in the nation in running sort of counseling centers in schools and sort of taking 4 their counselors into schools. And so there's--and 5 then Co-Lab [sic] is an Ithaca, New York worker 6 7 cooperative that also has individuals in the city, has members in the city that is able to contract with 8 the city right now at that scale. So these are just 9 a few examples of sort of where we can look to and I 10 like--I really appreciated the dog walking example. I 11 12 don't think it's a particularly fair assessment to 13 say that a dog walking cooperative couldn't be a city 14 contractor, and it's definitely not a fair assessment 15 to say that that's the only type of contractors that 16 we can currently provide. 17 CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL: Hello, Chair 18 Arroyo, Council Member Rosenthal. Thank you guys so much. For the record, my name is Christopher 19 20 Michael. I'm the Executive Director of the New York City Worker Cooperative Business Association, also 21 2.2 known as the New York City Network of Worker 23 Cooperatives. I'm also now recently become the General Counsel of the ICA Group. ICA Group is a 24

national not for profit business consultancy that has

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 96 2 been involved in the development of worker owned 3 firms since 1978. We're also one of the oldest 4 worker cooperative firms in this country, and I can also add us to the list now that we've been 5 6 developing here together of worker cooperatives that 7 are now contracting with the city of New York. Yeah. We're doing pretty well, and I think as Joe lightly 8 points out, there are a number of other examples that 9 we can use from the rest of the country, and I'll 10 also be speaking, and I'll keep my time here brief, 11 12 but I'll also be speaking a little bit again about 13 the examples from other countries as well. To begin, 14 I do of course support this legislation. I think 15 it's fantastic. I think it's an excellent starting 16 step. And I'll speak about the legislation in the 17 order as it's presented. To begin with, I just want 18 to-- I mean, a number of people already here spoken to the definitional issue, the definitional issue 19 question, and that's a matter that I have spoken 20 briefly with the Council's attorney that helped to 21 2.2 draft the bill. We discussed that it was probably 23 preferable to keep it open. At the same time, as it's been mentioned here today, there is a federal 24 definition. It's in the Internal Revenue Code, 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 97
2	Section 1042 Sub C, Sub Two. It's known as an
3	eligible worker owned cooperative, and for short we
4	call it an EWOC. It was introduced in either '84 or
5	'86. So it's been around a long time. IT's
6	something that we would all more or less embrace. I
7	think that there might be some slight modifications
8	to it, but it's something that we would all more or
9	less accept. At the same time, we're very
10	comfortable and happy with the legislation as
11	drafted, and that it does keep a sort of a broad rule
12	for us to all work with. I'd also just like to insert
13	that I strongly agree with Crowley's recommendation
14	and something that we talked about last week that we
15	can use this definition to ultimately create some
16	kind of a certification for democratic businesses in
17	New York City. I'd also like to agree on this first
18	part of the legislation with Council Member Rosenthal
19	with regard to the sort of the light weight nature of
20	the request. We're talking about now maybe 10 firms
21	that we can help identify. It's fairly
22	straightforward. I'd also like to moving forward
23	then with the legislation, the second part of the
24	legislation, I'd very much like to thank SBS for
25	being, for embracing this task, producing a report

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 98 2 about the barriers, the obstacles, the challenges, the sectors that would be most sort of best path 3 4 forward, the best next steps in terms of building new worker cooperative businesses or adapting existing 5 worker cooperative businesses doing work with the 6 7 city. And again, as others have mentioned, we've been very happy working with SBS so far to date. 8 Ι was uncomfortable with one sort of note towards the 9 very end of the presentation where it seemed that SBS 10 was almost brushing against the idea of sort of 11 12 zeroing in on procurement, and they sort of said, 13 "Oh, let's stand back and look at the general support 14 that we might be able to conceive that might best 15 help worker cooperatives." And I'd like to make 16 clear, that of course we would welcome that general 17 support, but at the same time, we came here asking 18 for something. We know on the basis of our expertise and the basis of our historical experience over the 19 20 last 125 years internationally building worker cooperatives that we want assistance with procurement 21 2.2 specifically. We've already identified that. We 23 would love general support, but this is what we're asking for. So the idea that they could do that 24 analysis, identify those sectors would be wonderful. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 99
2	I thought the coffee and the coffee makers was
3	absolutely fantastic as Joe mentioned. We have a
4	coffee firm, one of the largest two firms in the
5	country by revenue and employment, and they have an
6	employee now in the city. I'm sure they would be
7	well capable of providing lots and lots of fuel to
8	New York City government. And on the issue of coffin
9	makers as well. I think that's an interesting
10	example. I mean, there's nothing more that we would
11	love to do then to say well, let's start now a firm
12	building coffins, and what would that involve? Step
13	by step how might we, you know, sort of bridge the
14	issue of this one first year, lag year, that SBS
15	recommends against contracting in that first year.
16	How do we bridge that difficulty? How do we bridge
17	this issue of the VENDEX issue, which Julia
18	mentioned? If you have 60, the 60 members of the Si
19	Se Puede or a 100 member coffin making company, do
20	they have to have 100 members signing the VENDEX
21	forms? And then, as was mentioned earlier, what are
22	the other avenues that don't require a VENDEX?
23	Lastly, I just say that again, this is fairly
24	noncontroversial. Resolutions were passed by
25	chambers of worker cooperative businesses, worker
	l

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 100 2 cooperative business associations in Italy and France 3 125 years ago, asking that the city do contracts with 4 the worker cooperative businesses. Those laws were 5 passed in 1886. They can be, you know, passed in 2014. And it was on the basis of those laws that you 6 7 have a country like Italy, which albeit has many problems, but also has many strengths. In as much as 8 six percent of Italy's workforce works at a 9 democratic firm, at a worker cooperative business. 10 It's the largest in the world, and its v--and this 11 12 again, speaks to our historical experience. It's 13 very much the case that Italy's six percent workforce 14 working at worker cooperatives is directly related to 15 the preferential treatment that those firms are given 16 in public contracts. There is a--the largest 17 construction firm in Italy is the Cooperative 18 Construction Consortium. It was founded in 1912. Currently has 20,000 employees, worker members. 19 Ιt 20 does 7.5 billion American dollars equivalent in annual turnover. And we also mentioned in the 21 2.2 previous hearing that you have a city like Bologna 23 that does 80 percent of its social service provision through worker cooperatives. And Joe brings an 24 excellent example from this country of a mental 25

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT1012health services provider. It's for these reasons3that we welcome this legislation as it's written and4the opportunity it presents to build, as Council5Member Rosenthal said, to build one city rising6together. Thank you so much.

7 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Now, I didn't ask the question of the panel before you, but I think 8 there's still, yeah, one in the room. The question 9 that I posed to SBS around sector, identifying 10 sectors that are more likely to be successful in 11 12 contracting with the city, would you be opposed in 13 your role as the organizations that are looking to 14 create 23 new, to be kind of driven in that direction to say motivate individuals who start a business in 15 16 paperclips?

17 CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL: No, absolutely not,
18 actually--

19 CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] So, I-20 -because you know, people want to do a business 21 that's something that they're passionate about, but 22 then again would we be limiting creativity if say, 23 "Well, the sectors, the city contracts for A, B, and 24 C. You really should consider that as a potential 25 business." Yeah.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 102								
2	CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL: Yes, we want that								
3	very, very much. It would be one of the biggest								
4	achievements to come out of this legislation.								
5	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, alright.								
6	JOE RINEHART: I would also add that we're								
7	driving ourselves in that direction, actually,								
8	because wethere's two things								
9	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing]								
10	Sorry, Michael, I didn't want toNo, Michael.								
11	MICHAEL PAONE: Oh, quite okay.								
12	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Did you testify?								
13	MICHAEL PAONE: I did not.								
14	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay.								
15	MICHAEL PAONE: I will be								
16	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: [interposing] I'm								
17	sorry. That's why I was apologize because I got ahead								
18	of myself. Okay.								
19	MICHAEL PAONE: That's okay.								
20	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Let's let him								
21	MICHAEL PAONE: I will be very brief.								
22	Michael Peony, the Project Coordinator for the								
23	Federation of Protestant Welfare Agencies for the								
24	Worker Cooperative Development Initiative. And just								
25	to be clear, we're speaking on behalf of the								

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 103 2 coalition, so this is a coalition testimony. What a conversation today. I think it was fantastic, number 3 one, that SBS wants to do a feasibility study, and 4 this is something I think I'm speaking for the 5 coalition. This is something we've been talking 6 7 about, and like the Council Member's present, I agree that it does not have to happen in a staggered 8 manner. I think we very much welcome, in my role as 9 collecting data and people for this project, we're 10 very excited about starting to digest and use that 11 12 data in a productive way, and we would love to partner on just such a study. I think we have--we've 13 been building this ship thus far in order to make 14 15 that level of collaboration possible, and the 16 coalition is, you know, just firmed up its membership 17 officially and we're growing very quickly. So we 18 have the talent and the energy, and we would love to have the contribution of our friends from SBS to make 19 20 that happen and to drive that forward. And I think the working relationship even pre-contract with SBS 21 2.2 has been fantastic. I think this study is just 23 something that can take us one step further. CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: I--okay. So we'll 24 continue having a conversation on the parallel tracks 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 104 2 that we need to follow, and I keep saying that on purpose because there's a lot of work that needs to 3 be done and it cannot all be dealt with in 4 5 legislation, policy, and/or funding. So, we continue 6 to have our dialogue. I encourage you to work with 7 Council Member Rosenthal to get us closer to language that doesn't water down the intent of the bill, but 8 provides the Administration an opportunity to develop 9 a sense of comfort about what it calls for, what 10 we're trying to accomplish, and I'm going to ask all 11 12 of us to stop saying worker cooperatives is a new 13 business model. Because I think Chris's testimony 14 highlights how long for how many years this model has 15 been successfully used to provide economic 16 opportunities to individuals across the world. So, 17 with that, I thank you for your testimony. Council 18 Member, no closing? Work in progress. Stay close to the Council Member and make sure that, you know, we 19 20 are developing legislation that makes sense, but more importantly that we don't have to force feed the 21 2.2 Administration. I don't want to do that. Okay, with 23 that this hearing is adjourned.

25

1	COMMITTEE	ON	COMMUNITY	DEVELOPMENT	105
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 23, 2014