CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

October 20, 2014 Start: 10:11 a.m. Recess: 2:05 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E: MARK S. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Vincent J. Gentile
Daniel R. Garodnick
Jumaane D. Williams
Ruben Wills
Donovan J. Richards
Antonio Reynoso
Ritchie J. Torres
Vincent Ignizio

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Mavroudis, Managing Member 2030 Astoria Developers

Jay Valgora, Architect Studio V Architects

Howard Weiss, Legal Counsel Davidoff, Hutcher and Criton

Debra-Ellen Glickstein, Director Resident Economic Empowerment & Sustainability New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA)

Philip Habib, Civil Engineer Philip Habib and Associates Planners and Engineers

Scott Stringer, Comptroller New York City

Eric Adams
Brooklyn Borough President

Walter Mosley, Assembly Member New York State Assembly 57th Assembly District

Melinda Katz Queens Borough President

John Trent,

Representative of Build Up NYC Hotel Trades Council

Marlon Escodo Astoria Resident

Maria Espinal
Representative of Build Up NYC

Fernando Mondano Local 12 Heat and Frost Insulators Representative of Build Up NYC

Jack Friedman, Executive Director Queens Chamber of Commerce

Bishop Mitchell Taylor, Senior Pastor Center of Hope International

Alma Nevers
Tenant in Alma Building

Justin Wood, Community Organizer Environmental Justice Program New York Lawyers for the Public Interest (NYLPI)

Charlene Obernauer, Executive Director New York Committee for Occupational Safety and Health (NYCOSH)

Maritza Silva-Farrell Lead Political Organizer Alliance of Greater New York (ALIGN)

Michael Ferguson, Representing

Arthur Rosenfield, President Long Island City- Astoria Chamber of Commerce.

Richard Khuzami, President Old Astoria Neighborhood Association Member of Community Board 1

Bruce Rosen

Audrey Sasson, Director Walmart-Free NYC

Leandra Arcana Make the Road New York

Brendan Sexton, Political Coordinator UFCW Local 1500 Representing Anthony Speelman Secretary-Treasurer, UFCW Local 1500

Diane Kesoglu Old Astoria Neighborhood Association

Zach Giuseppe Filmmaker and Astoria Resident

Giovanni Simeone Representing George Roman

Kaylen Alexander
Representing Theresa McKinney

Maria Sterling, Resident Brooklyn Jewish Hospital Apartments

Phyllis Hornbeck, Resident

Brooklyn Jewish Hospital Apartments

James Taylor Woodside Apartments 2 [sound check]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We're going to get started in a couple of minutes. So if everyone could please find their seats.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Good morning everyone. My name is Mark Weprin. I am Chair of the Zoning and Franchises Subcommittee, and I want to welcome everyone to City Hall today. I know most of you are here for the main item on our agenda, in Queens Astoria Cove. Before we get to that, we do have one cafe that we're going to be hearing in a few minutes. I want to acknowledge the members of the committee who are here with me today. Council Member Dan Garodnick, Council Member Antonio Reynoso, Council Member Vincent Ignizio. We're also joined by the Chair of the Land Use Committee David Greenfield. We also have the following members who are here on items on the agenda. I want to hear and discuss them. Council Member Laurie Cumbo, Council Member Cost Constantinides, Council Member Brad Lander, and Council Member I. Daneek Miller. I think I got everybody who is here. Yes, I did.

So first before we get to what we're
going to be doing on Astoria Cove, let me take this
cafe first and get that out of the way. So they
don't have to stay for the rest of the day with us.
I'd like to call up Ami Cohen and Telli Masaku [sp?]
I don't know if I got the names right, and they are
from European Bakery Cafe. Here they are. Land Use
No. 122 in Council Member Corey Johnson's district.
Gentlemen, if you could find your way to those
microphones without touching the Power Point because
that would really mess us up. Okay. Please state
your name, and you can describe your application. I
know there have been negotiations and discussions
with the community and with Council Member Johnson
already. Please state your name for the record, and
describe your cafe application.

AMI COHEN: My name is Ami Cohen. I represent SWA Architecture, and we have a cafe on 58th Street and 9th Avenue. And there was an agreement on what we can have for that cafe. I have a letter here that I would like to read into the record.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

8

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Terrific. I think I

3 have a copy of that letter right here, too, but

4 please read it into the record.

AMI COHEN: Okay. Dear Council Member

Johnson, SWA Architecture as an authorized

representative of Hot Bread of 58th Street,

Incorporated in connection with their application for
an unenclosed sidewalk cafe. Hereinafter, cafe, and
on behalf of Hot Bread of 58th Street, Incorporated
hereby commits to the City Council and the Zoning and
Franchises Committee that in light of concerns of the

14 Incorporated shall:

- 1. No bikes from owner on the sidewalk.
- 2. Move group of tables to east as far as possible to leave more space at corner.

surrounding community, Hot Bread of 58th Street,

- 3. No railings at either end of cafe.
- 4. No seating on 9th Avenue.
- 5. All tables to be 20 inches by 20 inches.
- 6. Shall have a reduced number of six tables and 12 seats.
 - 7. No A-frame.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2.2

- 2 8. Front door shall not be used for 3 later access.
 - 9. Waiter service should be the new door on West 58th Street.
 - 10. Beer and wine application will be filed.
 - 11. The sidewalk cafe operating hours shall be Monday through Thursday 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m., Friday and Saturday, 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m., and Sunday, 10:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.

And if there are any other questions, please call my office. Thank you. Sincerely, Shromer Vigoda, Registered Architect.

understand that you've had a lot of these discussions with Council Member Johnson on behalf of the community. From what I'm told, Council Member Johnson is okay with these changes. So we appreciate your cooperation in this. Do any members of the committee or other members have questions for this gentleman about the cafe in Council Member Johnson's district? I see none. So thank you very much, gentlemen. We appreciate you taking the time.

AMI COHEN: Okay, thank you very much.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Anyone else here to testify on this matter on behalf of European Bakery Cafe? Seeing none, we thank you very much. We're going to close this public hearing, and we'll be taking the vote a little later probably in the hearing.

We've been joined I understand by Public
Advocate Tish James, who I didn't see nor hear, which
the second part is unusual, you know. And how are
you Tish? It's good to see you here. [laughs] And
again, good morning, everyone. I want to just
discuss some of the parameters of what we'll be doing
here today. I also want to acknowledge that we do
have translation services available in Spanish for
those who might need to be translated from Espanol
into English. So a little public service
announcement.

So, today we're going to be hearing an application on Astoria Cove. And just so you know what we're going to be doing, we are going to call the applicant up to make a presentation. As you see, he has a Power Point and their group has a Power Point and charts. We will hear from them. Members of the committee will be asking questions. This will

probably take some time because we have a lot of
issues that we want to see addressed.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Then following that, there will be a number of elected officials who are here who want to testify on behalf of their-- of the community and their constituents. And then we are going to go to alternate panels between those in favor of the project, and those against the project. And we will bring people up probably four at a time, and each person unfortunately will be limited to about two minutes. I know that doesn't sound like a lot, but you can get a lot out in two minutes if you coordinate yourself. And go through your notes and try to take the highlights and bring those up. apologize that we have to do that, but that's the only way to keep it moving to make sure everyone is going to be heard. And that is why we're here today. We're here to hear from the developer, to learn about the development and what they're proposing. hear from everyone, and it's our goal to have everyone who wants to testify to speak and to be heard. So we're going to do that.

I do want to remind people that the application before us is on Astoria Cove. I know

Weprin--

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: One second. Don't get started yet. What I'd like to do is-- I apologize. We're going to let Council Member Costa Constantinides, who is the council member who represents this project to make an opening statement first because this is in his district. Council Member Constantinides.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank you Chairman Weprin. Good morning, everyone. The two questions surrounding Astoria Cove today is what this development will be? Will it be fully integrated into our community, a development that lifts all boats and results in positive change? Or, will it be a castle with a moat around it that only those that live in it will have it for a benefit? I fully support the development in my community, but it must be responsible, and positively add to the character of our neighborhood. As currently composed, I have serious questions about the Astoria Cove project.

Community Board 1 voted unanimously, 44 to nothing, recommended that it be considered for approval by the city only if the developer pledged to increase affordability, make meaningful transportation upgrades, and provide safe and good

2.2

jobs as part of the construction and operation of the buildings.

Queens Borough President Linda Katz also recommended this project's rejection based on similar concerns relating to affordability, transportation, and good jobs. As currently constructed, the developer has the ability to construct this project with AMI numbers that do not fit the average income of my neighborhood. Astoria is experiencing great growth and success, but the average income is about \$56,000 a year or 74% of the AMI. I can't tell you how many times I've heard my constituents say,

Councilman, I've lived in Astoria my entire life. I love living here. Please help me stay. Astoria Cove has to have real affordability that is within the reach of every Astorian.

Under the current Zoning Tax, the developer has the option to build on 10% of the units at 80% AMI. With the other units remaining affordable, units could go up to 175 AMI. That's the equivalent of paying \$2,700 a month for a one-bedroom or \$3,000 a month for a three-bedroom. Not only is this not affordable, but these rates are actually above market for the mass majority of my community.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Until Astoria Cove is made affordable for actual

3 Astorians, I cannot support this project. This

4 project also represents a major challenge to an

5 already over-burdened transit network. Last year,

6 | the Council approved the Hallet's Point Proposal that

7 | will add over 2,000 units to the Hallet's Cove

8 Peninsula, and Astoria Cove proposes to add another

9 1,700 units. Introducing close to 4,000 additional

10 units will overwhelm the existing transit network.

11 And this problem will not be solved by simply running

12 | shuttle buses to the local train stations.

Moreover, the residents of the Hallet's

Cove Peninsula are far enough away from existing

transit that their commute is much longer than any
other point in my neighborhood. If this plan is to
work, it must include meaningful transportation

upgrades, and new options such as ferry service that
benefits current and future residents of the

Peninsula alike. We have an opportunity for this
development to provide good jobs. We must not let it
pass. The construction must be done to the highest
standards. Real safety standards must be in place
throughout the construction, and full compliance with
all safety requirements must be a priority. There

2 must be a living wage and good jobs with benefits

during the construction phase and after to ensure

4 that this development provides a real window to the

5 middle-class in our community.

2.2

equitable development associated with this project, and we cannot overlook the needs of the residents of the Astoria Houses. For decades, the Hallet's Cove Peninsula has struggled, lacking basic amenities such as schools supermarkets, doctors and dentists offices. If done right, we can safeguard the small business character of our community by helping residents build businesses here, and grow businesses. We must also look to foster worker cooperatives, and other meaningful ways of bringing people into middle-class.

Finally, we must create a local working group that is designed to hear the concerns of the community, and work with them to resolve the problems as they arise. The Astoria Cove Development has the potential to be transformative for our neighborhood. If we find the right mix of affordable housing, meaningful transportation upgrades, the creation for opportunities for good jobs and business ownership, I

great neighborhood. Thank you.

could support this project. The developments in our
communities must be responsible and cognizant of the
needs of its neighbors. As currently configured, I
do not feel the current Astoria Cove Project meets
these standards necessary for approval. I look
forward to hearing from the community today, the
developer, and all interested parties in this matter.

I am working as hard as I can over the course of the next several weeks to see if we can create a project that will be a lasting and positive addition to this

Member. With that whenever you guys are ready.

Please make sure-- One thing I want to be clear for the record, whenever you speak, please state your name before you speak. The reason we do that is to keep-- If someone was to read the record, they will know who is speaking. They're not going to see you.

So after you speak if later on during questions before you answer a question, if more than one of you will be answering, please state your name before you speak each time. Okay. Mr. Mavroudis, whenever you're ready.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you. JOHN MAVROUDIS: My name is John Mavroudis. I'm the Managing Member of 2030 Astoria Developers, the developer of Astoria Cove. Good morning, Chairman Weprin, members of the committee, Public Advocate James, and Council Member Constantinides. We're happy to be here today and to have the opportunity to present our project to you. The entire project team is here today to answer any question you may have, and address any concerns that you may be thinking about. At this point, I'm going to turn the presentation over to Jay Valgora. the project's Architect and Master Planner, and he's going to go over the physical aspects of the project.

JAY VALGORA: My name is Jay Valgora.

I'm Principal and Founder of Studio V Architecture.

I am a certified planner in the State of New York, a licensed architect. And, my firm is responsible for doing many extensive projects especially on the New York waterfront and reinventing communities. We're proud of the role we're playing in really reimagining the New York Waterfront.

This project that we're showing you today is the culmination of over four year's work reaching out to the community, meeting in the churches,

2.1

2.2

meeting the residents of the NYCHA campus. It's very important to us that we have worked hard to try to listen to the community, understand their needs, and incorporate them into the project. And, it will be for you to judge, but I will try to describe the design and how we have worked. And I personally have worked closely with the community along with many other fine consultants here. Our world famous landscape architect Ken Smith. Some of the best engineers, Philip Habib and Associates. A whole variety of professionals have committed enormous effort over several years to try to realize a transformative project for Astoria Cove.

Today, there are no stores on the Astoria
Cove site, and even in this community. There are
very few streets. Many of the streets are actually
closed down. There is no bank, no supermarket, and
no hardware store. There are fences completely
surrounding this area, and cutting it off from the
waterfront. So we're going to show you a vision for
this community that is very, very different from
that. And that tries to incorporate stores, housing,
affordable housing, a supermarket, a site for a
school, a playground, major waterfront parks, and

- 2 more resiliency measures to protect this
- 3 neighborhood. All of that is something I'm going to
- 4 | show you. So I'm going to get up.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Before you start, I
- 6 | just want to announce that we've been joined by
- 7 Vincent Gentile, Council Member Vincent Gentile from
- 8 Brooklyn.

- 9 JAY VALGORA: Okay, is this working?
- 10 | This is our site on the Hallet's Point Peninsula.
- 11 | Neal, go to the next one. Now, this is where we are
- 12 | in the larger context of New York. It's interesting.
- 13 | This whole area around Astoria, you know, we all know
- 14 | Astoria. We know the neighborhood of Astoria so
- 15 | well. But this is the part of Astoria that it seems
- 16 many people have forgotten. Many people live here
- 17 and yet it's an unusual place. It used to be the
- 18 | gateway to Astoria. It used to be the front door to
- 20 streets, fences, and crumbling warehouses with very
- 21 | little use. Keep going. So this is a close-up that
- 22 actually shows the Hallet's Point Peninsula. It's a
- 23 very unusual community by New York standards. It's
- 24 | very divided by different components. It's somewhat
- 25 | isolated on the peninsula.

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Astoria houses, the New York City Housing 3 Campus, makes up nearly half of the total land. 4 entire area is a very disparate fabric largely made up of empty warehouses, almost no housing. So today, 5 you have empty buildings sitting on the waterfront, 6 7 very few jobs being created by that. And almost all of the housing in the community is actually the New 8 York City Housing Authority campus, which is a form 9 of affordable housing. Next. So what we're 10 proposing is actually reconnecting it to the 11 12 community and the work to do this is actually tremendous. We have to build huge amounts of 13 streets. We have to build a tremendous amount of 14 15 infrastructure. All of these lines and arrows you 16 can see here-- Sorry. I guess I should speak to you 17 guys.

MALE SPEAKER: Should I stand on the side?

JAY VALGORA: You can start to see where we have to really build most of the infrastructure. Normally, when you do a project in New York City the streets are there, the infrastructure is there. We have to create most of that. So, for example, this is 4th Street, but 4th Street just stops here with a

2.2

fence. And this is a new street that's going to connect it to the waterfront that we have to build.

I'm going to show you a picture of that. This is a waterfront, which is cut off with a fence. We're building a new waterfront street that's going to be lined with restaurants and shops. This is 8th Street. Today it's a dirt path that trucks go down. It's a mapped street. We're going to create a new garden street. It actually has to be a garden because it's too steep to drive trucks down.

So we're going to create a beautiful stepped street that's all about taking the NYCHA residents and inviting them into the development.

Not creating a note, but creating connections so that we can bring them in. And finally, 26th Avenue is going to be our neighborhood street. Today that's a fence in front of it. It's closed off, and we're going to create a new neighborhood street lined with shops, restaurants, and a new school, and a supermarket on that street that does not exist today.

Go to the next one, please. So this shows-- This is one of these kind of land use maps that shows all the different uses, but it shows the disparity in the uses today. The kind of yellow

2.2

color over here is housing, and that's primarily the
NYCHA campus. This sort of pink/purple color up here
represents manufacturing, and these are basically
empty warehouses. And there is one last pocket of
manufacturing here that does not fit in with the

residential character of the neighborhood.

Next. So this is a zoning diagram, which shows the existing and the proposed zoning, and you can see here again this disparity between R6 residential zoning and N11 in this one little pocket. And basically, we're talking about a very nuanced zoning approach, which we worked closely with City Planning, and received a great deal of help and assistance from them. In order to step down amassing what actually creates slightly higher density towards the waterfront and towards the open space where we're creating a major park. And then feathering massing down into the community to create appropriately scaled buildings.

Next. These are the overall facts and figures. I'm sorry they're a little bit small, and I know the Council has most of these. But basically, the project lot area is about 377,000 square feet. The proposed total zoning floor area is about 1.7

the water table for about 900 cars.

2.2

million square feet. Of this, residential will comprise 1.6 million. Commercial is a key component where we're doing small scale Use Group 6 commercial and a supermarket about 55,000 square feet. And there providing a major site for a school that is 60,000 square feet. The total dwelling unit count is 1,723. We're proposing 345 units of affordable housing, and this is Queens. We've got to have parking. Parking is important. We're going to have parking on the interior of the blocks wrapped above

Next. So this is a phasing plan, and one of the most important parts is that all of the public benefits of the project will occur as the project is built at every single stage. So, for example, it shows Phase 1 being built here. But already we've got to create a whole network of streets right in the very beginning of it. Phase 2 comes here and all the waterfront parks and public spaces and the amenities are built with every single phase. Phase 3 is here an Phase 4 is here. And so, the idea is that every single stage we have to build the public amenities that go with those phases and are incorporated from the beginning.

2.2

Next. This is an overall roof plan, and I guess it's hard for you to see. I'll go to some three-dimensional drawings in a minute that are going to explain it a little bit better. But basically, the towers are varied in size. They're varied in height. They have an organic feel. They're not regimented. They have different shapes and configurations to fit into the neighborhood. This major diagonal street, and this new garden street are all about creating access to the waterfront that today is fenced off, cut off, not accessible to anyone. And making that into a permanent public open space with a playground and tress and extensive plantings by a world-class landscape architect.

Next. This is the overall massing that shows the project. Looking from the southwest, you can see how the towers are pushed up along the waterfront. There is a very delicate and finely grained approach to the massing that steps down towards the surrounding community here. And extensive new streets. This is the new street of 4th Street, the new waterfront park and our new community street on 26th Avenue. This is the location of the school that we've worked closely with the School

2 Construction Authority to make sure that it's a very

3 good site. That it has a playground. That it has

4 play areas. That it's incorporated into our street.

5 That there is room for all the classrooms. That

6 there is room for the buses. This has been

7 extensively coordinated. And the developer is giving

8 this site for a dollar to the school, even though

9 | their project doesn't generate the full need for the

10 school. And the school will support more people in

11 | the neighborhood even though they only generate a

12 small portion of it.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Next. This is a view looking from the water. And from the water, you can see how the massing steps back considerably. We provided a larger waterfront park than what's required. It's almost twice as large than what is required. Here you can see 4th Street. 4th Street is this new street that's all about bringing people down to the waterfront. Here is 26th with our new school. At the end of 9th Street, we've done an extensive study and provided that as a location for a future water taxi. We don't control the City ferry system. We can't tell them they have to be there, but at the developer's expense, we provided a full study showing

2 | that we could create a full water taxi location here,

3 | if the City designates that. And my firm is one of

4 | the firms that actually works for the City

5 Administration. Our firm is designated as one of the

6 on-call firms for the EDC. And as a follow-up from

7 that study, the City has designated that as a future

8 water taxi stop. So we can't tell them to put them

9 | in there, but we've done everything possible to

10 provide the place, to provide the study, to get it on

11 | the City map. That's ready to happen if the City

12 wants it to happen. And we've done all of the work

13 for them.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

Next. This is an interesting diagram, and it tells you something that I think will be transformative for this community. This is a larger diagram of Hallet's Point, and in the City's Long-Term Waterfront Plan, and this was identified as one of the most challenging areas and neighborhoods, communities to create continuous waterfront access. But what we're showing here is that along with the approved Hallet's Point Esplanade, which is already approved in law for the City, if you approve the Astoria Cove Esplanade here, you have the opportunity to create nearly a continuous waterfront park on the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

entire Astoria Waterfront that is unprecedented, and would have been thought almost impossible with our lifetime. From Randy Park to connection around the coast, Socrates Sculpture Park along the road; Goodwill Park, Astoria Houses Esplanade, Astoria Houses Playground, Hallet's Point, Whitey Ford Field. One of the last missing links in a continuous green waterfront park and open space network with the Astoria Cove. And the two remaining sites that are there, are already working with my firm, and will come before the City Council. Especially, they're going to be watching this case to see if this case succeeds. And if the Council wants to support development that will create a continuous network of parks all the way from Rainy Park to Astoria Park, that would have been almost un-thought of within our generation. That will happen in the next few years in this administration if you support the project. That is waiting to happen.

Next. This shows you some of that waterfront open space access. This kind of dark green area here is meant to show you that that's really what's required for the park. But this area that goes back all the way into the pink and the

2.2

these green areas here.

lighter green, shows you that we made the park twice as big as it was required to be made. We had the opportunity. We had a deeper site, and the developer said, Let's actually provide more open space. This is a community that could use it. We're providing nearly 40% of the total land area as open public space; parks and streets, and greenery that I'm going to show you. That in my experience is almost unprecedented for a project of this scale. The tremendous amount of work, investment, infrastructure, and open space is nearly unprecedented in addition to these streets here and

Next. This is kind of a ground floor thing. And it's colored in because it allows you to see what some of the different uses are. So, for example, all this kind of orange stuff that's retail, and what that's meant to communicate is these are active edges. This is a community that needs amenities. When I meet with Claudia Clover and she says, Well, what my residents really need, they need shops. They need a bank. They need a supermarket. They need a hardware store. They need a pizza place and coffee shop. That's what these are, small-scale

2 retail. No big box. All creating local jobs, local

3 benefits, and local amenities as well as a more

4 significant supermarket. And this yellow stuff over

5 here is actually residences where we're creating kind

6 of town houses built into the edges. So that all the

7 edges are activated and creating eyes on the street,

8 and creating a sense of a community where today you

9 have fences and blank walls.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, the parking is in the center of the development. It actually means that it's protected. It's kind of hidden. We have to provide a lot of parking, but it's done in a very sensitive way where all the edges facing onto the public spaces in the streets are activated.

Next. This shows you a view down that 8th kind of garden that I told you about. We're calling it the news [sic], but it's really a beautiful garden because this is too steep. You know, Philip, our engineer said, Jay, you can't drive cars down that. It's not safe. That's the topography today. So we said, Why don't we make it into a beautiful pedestrian street that extends up to the NYCHA campus that actually encourages everyone to come to the waterfront, not creating a moat, creating

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

31

2 connectivity. Very expensive beautiful green

3 streets, and it captures the rainwater. It's more

4 resilient, too.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Next. This is a section through the shore public walkway, and you can see how it's unusually wide. Typically, it's only about 40 feet wide. We've made it over 80 feet in terms of its typical width having a double row of trees. And we worked closely with Ken Smith and his people who wanted to do something extraordinary. He spoke about the rockeries. He spoke about making the edge more resilient, putting a grip wrap, but not just making it a hard edge, not just making it safer and armoring it. But putting plantings all through here, which I'm going to show you. Creating a beautiful, magnificent crescent with a double row of London plane trees. Beautiful traditional trees within New York. Creating a wonderful small roadway that's covered with cobblestones with no curb that allows access neighborhood restaurants and cafes. You know, our applicant John grew up in Astoria, and they wanted to create small local restaurants and cafes all along the waterfront to activate that edge, and

2.2

to extend the unique character of Astoria right to
the waterfront.

Next. This shows you an existing view of the site today. We're near the end so I'm going to show you the perspectives. This shows you today empty warehouses, crumbling infrastructure, fenced off streets that aren't there today. No access to the waterfront. This area, which looks green, is just weeds and trees growing there with fences around it. And if you go to the next this is what's proposed for that same view. A major waterfront park, new streets, school, supermarket. All about connecting and bringing people to the waterfront.

Next. This is a view of the waterfront today. It's dirt, gravel. It has piles of random boulders, and yet you have these magnificent views of the Triborough Bridge and the Hells Gate Bridge.

Today, there is no access to this waterfront. It's surrounded by fences and padlocks.

Next. This is 4th Street that's going to connect all the way from 26th Avenue and in a similar view bring you down. It actually points right towards that view of the Hells Gate Bridge. It encourages and welcomes and brings you to the

waterfront lined with all small local shops and restaurants and beautiful street trees. This is actually the new gateway to the waterfront that

5 connects everyone and brings them there.

Next. Finally, this is an existing view of the waterfront. You can see today the kind of weeds and scrabble, the empty warehouses that aren't contributing any jobs.

Next. This is a view of that proposed waterfront park and esplanade. Here you can see the double rows of trees, the restaurants and cafes that line the edges. All of this has to be built from scratch. There's no street, no infrastructure, no schools. All of this is going to be provided by the developer.

Next. And then finally, the existing view of 26th Avenue. 26th Avenue has been cut off for decades. The street is closed. There are fences in front of it, and this is going to be the 8th Street News that creates that new connection that actually reties together the different levels. That actually has housing along it, and these beautiful stepped gardens that collect all the rainwater and

2.2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

channel it into greenery and create a new benchmark
for a sustainable development.

The last one this is the view of 26th Avenue. This is the chain link fence that separates it from the community. This is actually looking towards the west, towards the city. And the proposed 26th Avenue has a major supermarket. It's going to be part of the city's Fresh Program offering fresh fruits and vegetables. There is one over-priced deli in this community today that's more expensive than any deli that I could go to in Manhattan where you can't even get a cup of coffee. There is nowhere to buy things. There are no amenities. When I meet in the churches with the residents, they tell me, Give us a supermarket. Give us a place where we can buy affordable food for our families. Give us a bank so we don't have to go to check cashing places. This is the street that's going to have all the neighborhood amenities, a small bank, a hardware store, a supermarket, and a school for their children.

So in conclusion, you have a choice in front of you. Four of years of work have been done in working with the community, and listening to their concerns. And trying to offer a balanced development

- 2 that will relate to the needs of Astoria, and the
- 3 special characteristics that make Astoria unique.
- 4 And we're proud to present this project to you today
- 5 to take down the fences, take down these crumbling
- 6 warehouses, and replace them with a beautiful mixed-
- 7 use community that offers amenities to the people who
- 8 live there. Thank you.

- 9 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.
- 10 Mr. Weiss, do you want to go now.
- 11 HOWARD WEISS: Yes, sir.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Make sure you
- 13 say your name anyway.
- 14 HOWARD WEISS: Good morning, Mr. Chair,
- 15 | Council members. Howard Weiss. I'm a member of
- 16 Davidoff, Hutcher, and Criton. We are project
- 17 | counsel, and I join Mr. Mavroudis in expressing my
- 18 | pleasure to be here this morning. It's been a long
- 19 road, but a road that we're very glad to have
- 20 | traveled to bring us to today. I'm going to briefly
- 21 | talk about the zoning actions that are before you,
- 22 and as well talk about the Zoning Text Amendments
- 23 that lead us to what is for this project a
- 24 groundbreaking inclusionary housing proposal.
- 25 Nothing that the City has ever seen before in terms

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

of inclusiveness and in terms of opportunity for affordable housing at the City's waterfront.

The actions that are before you are actually typical of the kinds of zoning actions you've seen for these large-scale waterfront developments. A zoning map amendment that would change the existing zoning from N11 and R6 to R7, R7A and R6B to provide for a contextual development, a development that also would foster mixed-use including both inclusionary housing and marketable Zoning Text Amendment that relate to the house. affordable housing Inclusionary Housing Program, which I'm going to address shortly. A large-scale special permit that allows certain zoning waivers as well as a waterfront special permit on the waterfront park that allows waivers. That are provided for in the Zoning Resolution that then allows a design team and a developer to propose creatively the kind of development that Jay presented to us this morning. There are authorizations to modify the waterfront regulations, and that's something -- It's a tool that Ken Smith worked with, with his team to create what is I think one of the most spectacular waterfront parks among the waterfront large scale projects that

2 have before Council probably within the last decade.

3 As certification by the chairperson that the

4 waterfront park that is before you, in fact, complies

5 | with the waterfront regulations as modified by our

6 authorizations. And the city map changed to create

7 | the street grid that was presented by Jay Valgora

8 that allows for the access to the site that you've

9 seen this morning.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

In terms of the text amendment in the project's affordable housing, as I said, this project is trailblazing. It gives voice to the Mayor's 10-Year Housing Plan, and it gives voice I think a lot of what has been discussed in recent years. But in particular with the advent of this new administration, this new council which is particularly focused on economic opportunity inclusiveness. It's a zoning text that for the first time will make affordable housing mandatory. For the first time in the history of the city when this Council approves this project, if the Council approves this project, the developer will have to provide affordable housing. If one unit of residence is to be developed that has never happened before in this town. Previously on the prior projects the

housing without any affordability.

2.2

zoning texts allowed a developer to avail itself of the Zoning Map Amendment to modify the zoning, to create residential or manufacturing zoning permitted with a bonus for affordable housing. But it was at a developer's option at the end of the day whether or not then to actually develop with the inclusionary housing bonus. And if a developer elected to do so, then the developer would go forward and develop

With respect to Astoria Cove, working with the Administration and the City Planning, we proposed now a text amendment that makes it mandatory. Not only is it mandatory under the new text, applicable to this project for the first time, this going to be 20% of affordable housing without public subsidy. It's all on the developer's dime. If, in fact, down the road the developer should elect to request public subsidy to help support the affordable housing, then it will be within the discretion of City Planning working with HPD to increase the proportion of affordable housing beyond the 20% that's in the present zoning text.

Significant as well is the fact that the affordable housing will be at 20% low income, and a

2.2

maximum 80% AMI if the developer should look to reach a higher band of affordability. And there's been talk as advocates and stakeholders have looked at the future of affordability in this town and affordable housing, there's been talk about not only reaching lower bands, but reaching higher bands of affordability. And this zoning text contemplates that going forward if a higher band should be reached, for example, moderate income, then there would have to be at least 10% low income. And it would increase the proportion of affordable housing by another 15%. So you have a total of 25% in order to reach a higher band.

However, I think what needs to be stressed is that although that's a technical construct that was provided in the zoning text, it's applicable only to this site. And I think that needs to be emphasized as well that this project came into ULURP, and was certified at a point in time where the dialogue on the part of this administration and this Council regarding affordable housing was in embryonic stages. But yet the ULURP clock was ticking. So what we did was working with the Administration and City Planning is we agreed to propose zoning text

And, in fact, someone walking into this development

will not be able to discern who is in an affordable

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 41				
2	unit, and who is in a market rate unit. Because this				
3	project is being designed to be totally inclusive and				
4	to be totally egalitarian in terms of the way it				
5	treats both those in the affordable units and those				
6	in the market rate units. With that, I'd like to				
7	thank you for your time, and I'm going to turn this				
8	over now to Debra-Ellen Glickstein, who is going to				
9	talk about how this project is connecting to the				
10	community.				
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Ms. Glickstein,				
12	before you set up, I just We have to vote on the				
13	cafe we heard before, and I'm just going to quickly				
14	interrupt the proceedings, and ask the clerk to call				
15	the roll on Land Use No. 122, Sidewalk Cafe, European				
16	Bakery Cafe. I'd liked to call on Ann McCoy to				
17	please call the roll.				
18	CLERK: Chair Weprin.				
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yes.				
20	CLERK: Council Member Gentile.				
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: [off mic] I vote				
22	aye.				
23	CLERK: Council Member Garodnick.				
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.				
25	CLERK: Council Member Reynoso.				

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Aye.

CLERK: Council Member Ignizio.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.

CLERK: By a vote of 5 in the affirmative 0 abstentions and no negatives, Land Use Item 122 is approved and referred to the Full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you and I apologize, Ms. Glickstein.

DEBRA-ELLEN GLICKSTEIN: Hi. Good morning. My name is Debra-Ellen Glickstein, and I'm actually very excited to be here to talk about the community connections in this project. I'm building off really what Jay talked about in terms of, you know, we talked a lot about the physical connections and the relations in particular between Astoria Houses community, a community of 3,000 public housing residents on the broader peninsula.

We spent a lot of time over the last year and myself more recently over the last few months thinking really how can we create a model where a poor development in public housing communities and the greater Astoria where they're actually a meaningful and systematic connections not just physical for these communities? And so I'm going to

2 | take some time and talk about three sort of main

3 specific elements of this. Again, this is on top of

4 | the connections that Jay talked about that were

5 physical.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So one, we want to talk about the local hiring. Now, there are going to be hundreds of construction and permanent jobs coming into this project. As I know the City Council knows what's really unique about Astoria is it is actually a site, a jobs plus sites. There are only eight jobs plus sites in New York City. These are sites that are focused on creating meaningful careers for public housing communities. So the developer is very excited to partner with this jobs plus site to make sure that there are priorities for local hiring. And in particular, hiring for Astoria Housing residents that are connected again to the construction jobs, which will hopefully be good union jobs and connected to the permanent jobs as well.

Secondly, talking about the affordable housing priority. Now, the developer has committed to exploring further with HPD and City how we can think about creating upwardly mobile opportunities for Astoria Houses residents. So figuring out a way

2 to create priority for Astoria Houses residents a

portion of those affordable housing units. 3

that would also free up existing-- Those existing 4

NYCHA units and free up for other people to 5

transition into those units. 6

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Connected to that, we are also excited to explore different credit options. And I know this is something the City Council has worked hard on in the Thinking about how often times a resident may actually quality for the lottery, but their credit score or a lack thereof of their credit score may actually inhibit them from actually getting an affordable unit. So that is absolutely something that we don't want to see here for residents that would actually be excellent tenants. So the developer is committed to exploring not only using a credit score, but thinking about potentially past rental payments as a proxy for that credit option.

So the third element I want to talk about that is really exciting, and also builds on the City Council's work is creating and fostering in our work with Council Member Cost Constantinides around the creation of cooperative businesses in the Peninsula.

This builds on the \$1.2 million that the City Council

has committed to create a network of cooperatives
across the city as well as the innovative work of
Urban Outbounds getting a small business innovation
award. So the developer has committed that the Fresh
Supermarket, that Jay spoke about, that that will
actually be a cooperative business, a cooperative
supermarket. That will be owned and run ultimately
in part by residents of Astoria Houses, which is
absolutely awesome. He has committed to not only
paying for the upfront costs of that supermarket, but
also the transition costs. So hopefully, down the
line we'll all be at that groundbreaking together.

Secondly, we are also— he's also committed to creating a security cooperative that will take advantage of the spending that will be happening in the Peninsula. And this will again be part of a broader initiative on the Peninsula connected to creating a broad network of local cooperatives. And so, with that, we are really hopeful that this connection to the Astoria Houses Community could be a model for other communities that are having development with strong public housing neighborhoods.

2.2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEBRA-ELLEN GLICKSTEIN: Oh, and just for clarification, the Security Cooperative would be a cooperative of security guards. That would be part

of Astoria Cove. And sow, with that, we are going to

6 turn it over to a multi-media presentation.

MALE SPEAKER: What risk can we count [sic] when we're looking at here with this Astoria Cove Project? We're looking at number one, job creation. The construction jobs that will actually open up apprentice opportunities for young men with priority on those residents that live in Astoria The permanent jobs. The permanent jobs are Houses. going to be union jobs. They're going to pay a living wage so that people can support their families, and move up the economic ladder. When this project is developed, there'll be restaurants. There'll be a supermarket. There'll be drug stores. There'll be clothing stores. This developer has a heart for the neighborhood, wants to see the neighborhood grow together. With his ability to bring housing and then opportunity for affordable housing for people that want to move to the next level, and can move to the next level. So I applaud this developer, and I applaud this project. I

believe that the benefits of the community are going
to be enormous, and they're going to have a

tremendous impact on the economic and social status

5 of the community at large.

2.2

resources that we needed for a stable family was here. We lost our grocery store. We had a couple of drug stores at the time and shoemakers, butchers, everything that you could— in walking distance.

Today, those things are not here, but the people we still are walking approximately 15 to 20 blocks where there are necessities and staples.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Astoria Cove is a great project because it's about to build a new exciting waterfront and buildings, and great new opportunities for my kids, as well as me and my husband. I'm also excited about the Astoria Cove Project because stores will be built for new opportunities for all of the residents of Astoria Projects.

MALE SPEAKER: I'm also excited to know that there will be union jobs available within these new projects because I work in the city so I might be able to just transfer to a closer job directly to work here.

2 MALE SPEAKER: I believe in the Astoria
3 Cove Project because it's going to bring cooperative
4 businesses.

FEMALE SPEAKER: We are so excited about the idea that we're getting a new supermarket in the Astoria Cove area.

FEMALE SPEAKER: Not only will I be able to work in a supermarket, but I'll be able to own it.

FEMALE SPEAKER: It's opening up the waterfront for the Astoria residents, and the surrounding Long Island City area, and I'm excited about. And I'm sure a lot of other residents are. Thank you.

FEMALE SPEAKER: I feel developing this site will bring jobs, revenue, and motivation to the neighborhood.

MALE SPEAKER: It's going to enhance the social fabric of the area. It's going to create additional revenue [sic] of the area. The transportation system it's going to be upgraded as a necessity of this development. And now to be able to go through to Astoria parks of that area it's going to be the most beautiful thing.

2.2

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 2 MALE SPEAKER: Anyone who's seen that 3 property it's a no-brainer the end result. going to bring an infusion of people into that area 4 5 who were simply not there. I beg you to approve the 6 FEMALE SPEAKER: 7 The sooner we get this thing going, the project. better for all especially the youth of the area. 8 FEMALE SPEAKER: With the development 9 that's going on, to me I believe that it can be a 10 11 plus for the stability of the people who are here, 12 especially the young families to raise their children. 13 14 FEMALE SPEAKER: We can be an example. 15 [Pause] 16 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Thank you. 17 guess all those people who were testifying, luckily 18 they were all under two minutes. So it's okay. I don't know, Mr. Mavroudis, did you want to speak 19 20 again or we can get onto the panel. Okay. Ready?

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, great. we've been joined by Council Member Elizabeth Crowley as well. I think I mentioned Council Member -- I did

JOHN MAVROUDIS: We can move onto the

21

2.2

23

24

25

panel.

HOWARD WEISS: Yes, the impacts were

reviewed across the board with respect to all of the

24

Council Member Williams has joined us, and he just

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 wants to vote on the cafe that we passed before.

3 | Council Member.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Uh-huh.

CLERK: Council Member Williams, LU 122.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I vote aye.

CLERK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Were you in the middle of answering that question?

PHILIP HABIB: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Make sure to state your name.

PHILIP HABIB: All right. Good morning.

My name is Philip Habib from Habib & Associates, the firm which is responsible for preparing EIS. So there are fairly substantial documents, and so our summary is probably best thing to read.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:
[interposing] The summary is best on the significant adverse Impact Study.

PHILIP HABIB: The project is predominantly residential, and so the impacts associated with the project are predominantly residential-oriented. The school, as an example, is identified as a potential impact, and the new school

2.2

the project.

is incorporated into the project as a consequence of that analysis. Open spaces, also a typical residential-oriented impact was identified in the planning process, and the project also then includes approximately two acres of new public open space. So many of-- At least in those two areas of the

potential impact are actually incorporated into the project as part of the planning process.

One of the impacts that you mentioned was transportation. I think that has come up, and often those kinds of impacts are only partially under the jurisdiction of the applicant. So the applicant's project actually includes a new street systems that diffuses both pedestrian and traffic circulation in the immediate vicinity of the site. The applicant also in order to compensate for the assistance to the subway system has committed to implementing shuttle service to two stations both on the F-Line and Q. And so, those impacts are also partially affected by

The project does have remaining significant impacts on the traffic system. And that has been an ongoing discussion with the Department of Transportation leading a requirement by the applicant

2.2

to actually conduct phase management studies as the project gets built. Often it's very hard to predict 10, 15 years into the future, and many of the projects that are being implemented Domino Sugar and others, actually require the applicant to look at this again after the first couple of buildings are built. And then to address impacts as they evolve.

In the EIS there are project impacts that are solved by new traffic signals, parking regulation changes and so forth. However, the street system is dynamic. In this particular EIS all of the impacts consider that Hallet's Cove is already implemented as a base. And so, in some cases it might be considered the conservative, but that is typically how EIS's are done. So that is one of the reasons why the DOT and others actually like you to look at the project as it's being implemented. And that is also part of the project's mitigation requirements. So those are the big areas involved.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And do you feel that the transportation impacts are sufficiently dealt with by just these shuttle buses?

PHILIP HABIB: Actually, there are two issues that came up that I think maybe I did not

2.2

mention. One was that a project of this scale really needs to accommodate all of its parking on site because it's fairly big. And so, that was one of the main elements. I think Jay indicated that it's almost 1,000 parking spaces or 900ish are going to be provided on site. So that addresses one of the elements. The other one that I think you brought up maybe, and that has been brought up, is an alternate mode of transportation by a ferry. That has proved to be a very successful East River transportation

element along the East River Ferry that has happened.

And it is also part of a long-term plan to extend that East River Ferry up the East River to a northern terminal in Astoria. And the applicant, therefore, has incorporated in the physical sense the plan for that ferry. Because it is part of a network or a shuttle, if you will, it's not the applicant's ferry. And so, the applicant can and has committed to putting that ferry system at the foot of 26th Avenue. No, I'm sorry. At 9th Street as part of the plan. And just for your information, as part of planning as well, we anticipate that is implemented, the shuttle, that would take people to the subway would likely also pick up other individuals headed

2 back to the project's ferry system. So the shuttle

3 while it delivers users to subway stations, also

4 because it comes back, it also works as an access

5 system to the ferry. And so, both elements would

6 also help. The parking and the ferry also contribute

significantly to reducing the impact of these

8 projects.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And what sorts of conversations have you had with EDC and the Administration regarding that ferry site?

back two years, we met initially with EDC and advocated to include a taxi location, a ferry taxi location at this site. As you know, in the latter part of last year EDC came forth with an updated report on the experimental ferry service. In fact, included this site as part of the future. We have not had recent conversations because at this point the City itself has with the developer of Hallet's Cove funded a study going forward to conclusively determine whether a ferry that would be located at Hallet's Point. We publicly avowed that we will work with the administration, with you, Mr. Council

2.2

Member, and whoever else has a strong interest in locating a ferry terminal at this project site.

PHILIP HABIB: One other point to be added. The adjacent development at Hallet's Point it was recently in the press that it was purchased by the Durst Organization. It's well known that the Durst Organization runs one of the major ferry services in New York, and they have attended each of the Community Board meetings and spoke in favor of ferry service. So one of the actual ferry operators is actually doing the development immediately next door and is very quid pro quo.

appreciate that. I mean look I'm a life-long resident of Astoria, and on my way here this morning on Astoria Boulevard this was a little bit of a hiccup on the N-Line, and I didn't have a shot at a seat. I know the people at Broadway had no chance whatsoever. And I can see that the addition of 3,800 units requires us to make some sort of major transportation upgrades. There just isn't enough? There aren't enough trains, and there certainly aren't enough buses, even if we ran them every five minutes, which I don't think the MTA is committed to

make sure to say your name when you speak.

HOWARD WEISS: Pardon?

23

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Just repeat your

3 name when you do take the mic.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

That's correct. HOWARD WEISS: Howard Weiss. Twenty percent of the residential developments in each phase will be affordable units. So there's a total of 345 affordable units in the project right now. And within each phase the number of units that are developed 20% of those. So we're not loading the affordable units in any particular phase, but we're spreading it across the entire project. And with each phase, 20% will be developed. So I understand the first phase will have 28 units, which is 20% of the total residential floor being developed. The next phase 97 units. The next phase 211 units, which then it totals. And then finally we reach the 345 units with the last phase. So, it's cumulative as we move through the phasing, but each phase is 20%.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: You keep talking about this 20% as it's a certainty. But doesn't the zoning text provides an option for you to sort of take that down to 10% of the 80 and then build another 20% at 165, which is really beyond the scope of what residents in Astoria can pay. And you

reached, but then that is also without public

subsidies. So the dialogue would be that if, in

24

2.2

fact, we wanted to reach a greater percentage of affordable housing, then one would have to look not only at the bands, but also whether or not the project then produces affordable housing. And it's certainly a conversation, Mr. Council Member, that we wanted to have with you. So at the end of the day, we hope that you and your colleagues in the Council can be satisfied that the program as proposed for this project works for the neighborhood. And also,

that it's going to be economically viable.

So right now, Astoria Cove without public subsidy at 20%, at 80% AMI absolutely works. We certainly are open, and we've actually—Because we know your concern, we've actually been looking at other formulas that we are interested to discuss with you and your colleagues at the Council. And come up with a formula that continues to keep this project economically viable, but may then change the configuration. Not only in terms of percentage and bands, but the necessity for public subsidy.

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yeah, and I would just like to add that our proposal to HPD has always been all of the affordable units are at 80% of AMI.

There's no deviation from the 80% number. If our

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

Affordable Housing Plan was approved today, every single unit would be at 80% of AMI.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank

you, Mr. Mavroudis. I mean, I just-- I mean I hear

what you're telling me, Mr. Mavroudis. I understand

that, but I still have this zoning text that provides

that opportunity, that option to go to 30 and 20% of

it being way above market for the community. I still

have some reservations about that. And I hear you,

and we're going to continue to talk.

HOWARD WEISS: And that's fair. We respect that, Mr. Council Member, and we will have-COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

[interposing] Mr. Weiss

HOWARD WEISS: --that dialogue with you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: And I
think, you know, again we talked about the Astoria
House and how we're going to integrate the
surrounding community into this development. The
average income there is somewhere around less than
\$30,000 a year, but right now the AMIs are closer to
80, which would really sort of lock them out. If
they were to win the lottery, they wouldn't be able
to afford the rents. If we talk about preference in

bringing the Astoria Houses and the surrounding
community into this development, how do we sort of

HOWARD WEISS: We--

meet that new zoning text?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [interposing]
Whichever one of you talks, say your name.

HOWARD WEISS: I'm sorry. Howard Weiss. We have taken a look based upon available data at the income levels at Astoria Houses. And what we understand is that there are at least 90 households earning \$50,000 to \$74,000; 41 households earning about \$75,000. So that these households totaling 131 households presently at Astoria Houses would certainly qualify for the low-income band at 80% AMI. And if we create that upward mobility for the residents in Astoria Houses to come into the affordable units in this development at 80% AMI, it then opens up the opportunity in Astoria Houses for more persons at perhaps low income to be able to come into Astoria Houses. And it really does create an interesting fabric and fiber for this community in terms of affordability moving from public housing to privately developed housing, and then availing itself of the Affordable Housing Program. So we're

2.2

extremely sensitive to the concern that you expressed regarding that mobility, and the opportunity. And we've given a lot of thought about that, and that's definitely in the mix. And I think that's one of the reasons why you heard today, and you'll hear further, that this project has the support and the endorsement of the Astoria Houses Tenant Association and its President. Because there's been a lot of focus with respect to this project on a very significant neighbor, which is Astoria Houses.

[Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Sort of lastly so talk to me a little bit about the school. Talk to me about the process that SCA will have the option processes on the work. What's going to happen if it's not optioned? What is your intention with the site if there isn't an option? Of course, we hope that there is, but if not?

HOWARD WEISS: We have a letter of intent with the School Construction Authority. It's something that was drafted and redrafted and redrafted again based upon concerns that were expressed by City Planning concerns that we heard from you, Council Member, and from others in the

2 community. So, the School Construction Authority

3 | will have the opportunity when we're about to enter

4 into phase 1 of the development to consider

5 developing the school at that point in time. If they

6 elect not to do so, we will then develop an interim

7 park for the use of the entire community. And then

I'm going to let John go into the details as to how

9 he envisions that working as developer.

Mavroudis again. And with the help of the Astoria
Houses Resident's Association, which sponsored a
survey to residents and other local community
stakeholders asking what would be a good interim use
for that school? We've gathered data from them.
Currently, you know, it's still being tabulated
because they're still out there, the surveys. But
they have suggested the need for something related to
seniors and something related to the youth. So what
we envision at this point is something to the effect
of a senior center that could basically improve upon
the programs that are currently not being available
to the seniors at this point. And then a combination
of some outdoor recreation or farming activities. So

2.2

2.2

2 it's still being looked at, but we're gathering the 3 information that we've received so far.

HOWARD WEISS: But in terms of— Howard Weiss again, but in terms of the development of the school, what John described is the interim use. When we reach the final phase, if the SCA elects at that time not to develop the school, and it's within their control, what we will then need to do is return to City Planning and the City Council. And the future of that site will have to go through ULURP. It could be a community facility. It could be housing, perhaps senior housing. It could be whatever both you, Mr. Council Member, and the community envisions would be appropriate at that site. But we would have to go through a public review process again if the school is not developed by the School Construction Authority.

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Mr.

Chairman, at this time, I'm going to allow some of my other colleagues to ask questions while we're all her, and I'll come back as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Council Member. Just for the record, we have a number of people who have questions, Council Members. This is

3 important questions that people want to know the

still going to take some time, but these are

4 answers to. I would like to call on Council Member

5 Reynoso, and then that's going to be followed by

6 Public Advocate Tish James.

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Good morning, fellows. I just want to say you-- I'm a little confused over how you guys are making statements. Ιf anyone was new to this whole process and was listening to you speak, they would think that you guys are doing something that's out of the ordinary. When 80/20, which is what you're proposing to do is something that comes from the old book of Bloomberg. It is something that we've thought has failed the communities especially communities of color or lowincome communities throughout the City of New York. It hasn't worked. Then we have other projects that are as large comparable to yours. Let's say North Brooklyn. Like Domino who proposed to give 27% of the entire square footage of the project, not just the residential square footage for affordable housing without subsidy. So, what you're doing is not unprecedented in any way, shape, or form. I want to be very clear that you guys in providing us with

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 69 2 square feet. So that's looking at about 1,000 or 955 square feet per unit and on average--3 4 HOWARD WEISS: [interposing] Correct. 5 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: --is what you're proposing. Sorry, I'm just trying to get my math 6 7 together. So, if you had the entire proposed total floor area, which is 1.7 million, it would be 352,000 8 square feet at 20% AMI of the total floor area, not 9 just the residential floor area. So those are two 10 things to consider, and then when we're looking at 11 12 AMI, do you know the average AMI for an Astoria 13 resident? 14 HOWARD WEISS: I believe that based upon 15 2012 data, which was within a half mile radius of the 16 study area, it's \$47,000. 17 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Do you know what 18 \$47,000 is according to AMI? AMI, not \$47,000, the percentage of AMI. You guys are proposing 80% AMI. 19 20 I want to know what \$47,000, which is-- which sounds 21 funny, by the way. You said a one-mile radius giving 2.2 that Astoria Houses--

HOWARD WEISS: [interposing] Definitely.

24

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONING	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Astoria Houses is half a block away, and you're average AMI is \$47,000. That doesn't make--

HOWARD WEISS: [interposing] We don't have the data as to the AMI in the Astoria Houses.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: All right, so you guys said that you've been working with the community for four years, working very closely with the entire community for four years, and you can't tell me how much Astoria Houses— Astoria residents make in a year? That's not four years of work.

What's the AMI?

[audience discussions]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Keep it quiet, and the record will note a lot of hands are in the air. Okay.

HOWARD WEISS: The average. Okay, the average income--

20 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing]
21 Yes.

HOWARD WEISS: --in Astoria Houses would average anywhere--

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: [interposing] No, in Astoria.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2 HOWARD WEISS: In Astoria Houses.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Astoria. Not houses. Astoria, Queens. Because you guys have a CB1. You guys have a CB preference that you're going to have to give to Astoria residents. So you should know what they make so that you can try to conform the rent to them.

HOWARD WEISS: The Queens median income is \$52,000 and I have it at \$48,000. [sic]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: That's Queens.

I'm talking about Astoria. What's the Community

Board, Council Member Constantinides?

HOWARD WEISS: Community Board 1.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Community Board

1 AMI is how much?

 $\label{eq:howard_weiss:} \mbox{We don't have that number}$ right now.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: And that's not good. I just want to be very clear that if you guys have been working for four years, you should know at least that the community you're coming into you should know how much they're getting paid. Because it's not \$57,000 or \$52,000 or \$47,000. And then you should abide by putting the AMI to reflect the

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

different standard now.

2.2

average median income of Astoria not of Queens and not of 80%. Remember, bare minimum. We don't want that any more. We don't want that any more. We don't accept that. That's a different-- We have a

You guys said that there's an opportunity here. Did you get HPD to commit to having a preference outside of CB to include a more targeted preference to Astoria Houses?

MOWARD WEISS: We've met with HPD. We've made the request. They said they're looking at it.

And so, we're working with them to try and— As we said, that's something that we're striving to implement. And so, we have been meeting with HPD, and asked them to consider whether we can do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: That would be the only thing that you guys are doing here that would be unprecedented, would be to allow for a double preference, a dual preference here with a specific one to Astoria Houses. I think it is the only thing that is of significance so far. Also--

[Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Do you know the breakdown of units that you're going to be having at

Astoria planning.

2.2

2 HOWARD WEISS: Okay, so in Astoria single 3 seniors, 13.3%; families with two plus seniors only, 4 1.3%.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: Whoa, what are you referencing? I don't understand what you're saying.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNOSO: I'm referencing the demographics for Astoria for household size?

HOWARD WEISS: So again, seniors only,

13.3%; two or more seniors in a single household,

1.6%; singles, non-senior, 10.8%; couples no

children, .8%; two parents and a child or children under 18, 6.6%; two parents with a child over 18,

14.3%; a single parent with a child or children under 18, 25.1%; single parent with a child or children over 18, 19.7%. And then there's some numbers for the grandparents current [sic] to the household.

of this community is in need of either two or three-bedroom apartments in Astoria. So I just want you guys to be mindful during or after the master planning that you look at apartment size. And the only concern that I have regarding that, and I know this is a master plan, and you guys don't calculate

	_	_		
SUBCOMMITTEE	\cap N	ZONTNG	AND	FRANCHISES

2.2

exactly what unit breakdown is. But when you have 345 units out of a 1,723-unit building, you're not filling a need in our community. There's a need for affordable housing in Astoria. Not for market rate housing in Astoria. So 80/20 is no good. Right now with this plan, I hope you are talking to Costa Constantinides, but you guys are way off. There is no chance that it's going to move through, or that I'm going to vote this through anywhere near where you have it right now. You guys are very far off,

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Council Member Reynoso. I'd like to call on Public Advocate Tish James, who has been leading-- I understand leading some of the hand waving from behind.

very, very far off. And I'm done with my questions.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Well, Mr. Chairman, the wave was named after me.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [laughs] Okay.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: Let me just say that I'm very familiar with Alma I've worked with Alma in my district in the past. But now as a citywide elected official, the question here today. Astoria Cove has become the latest flashpoint in a broader discussion regarding the crisis in affordable

housing New York City. And by increasing the value of your property, in effect extending a public benefit, the question is, is 20% enough? And within that 20%, are you really focusing? Is your AMI targeted toward residents who need it most, primarily the 3,000 residents who reside at Astoria Public Housing. You indicated in your comments that the AMI

only targets around 130, which is clearly

10 insufficient.

2.2

build a New York City non-union labor at a time when there's a growing income inequality? And we know that union labor really provides for middle-class families. And the other larger question is can we make sure that men and women who work on these sites are paid decent wages and benefits. And more importantly, can they afford to live there. And I particularly want to focus on the residents of Astoria Housing because I've seen this playbook before. I saw this playbook at Atlantic Yards where they had wonderful clergy and residents of public housing come out and support the project. They had rallies in support of the project, and you know what happened.

2.2

As of today, all we have is an arena. No one has a job. There's no affordable housing at that project, at that development. Despite all of the clergy and residents of public housing saying how great Atlantic Yards was and is or was. We're not saying how great it is now. And, in regards to the Brooklyn situation, at the Brooklyn Jewish Hospital Complex there are 700 units owned by this developer, and he has provided notice to the residents that they're about to destabilize. Which will have a destabilizing effect on the community.

So I know and I respect the local council member, and I will respect and defer to his wishes.

But this project raises significant broader issues in the City of New York. Primarily, the lack— the non-union labor, and two, the crisis in affordable housing, and how you're really targeting market rate housing. To say low income is really not accurate, and really doesn't reflect the incomes of the residents primarily in Astoria Public Housing, which I'm not— Let me end by saying it totals 3,000 residents who the vast majority of them work, and would love to be a part, and to be integrated in this wonderful project.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Say your name.

HOWARD WEISS: Madam Public Advocate, we certainly appreciate those concerns. They are concerns that, you know, echo concerns that have been expressed by Council Member Constantinides. We have an ongoing dialogue, and we hope to come to a point where there is a level of comfort among the council member and his colleagues and yourself. And also that involves a development that would be economically viable. So that, in fact, we will be able to go forward, and produce even more meaningful affordable housing, but in a way that everyone will feel comfortable with. And we certainly appreciate what you have articulated this morning.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE JAMES: And I appreciate the fact that you are looking at credit. issue that my office is focusing on, credit eligibility in the City of New York. There have been barriers that have unfortunately prevented a significant number of moderate and working class people in the City of New York for working to apply for some of the units that are available now in the City of New York. So I applaud you for that. I also applaud the developer on the supermarket. There was

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

a supermarket that was built in Brooklyn around the corner from Brooklyn Jewish. I worked with the developer to hire local residents. I thank him for It is a wonderful success. But again, and I'm not trying to connect the two. I would love to have a conversation with you and the developer regarding Brooklyn Jewish Hospital, along with the Brooklyn Borough President and local assembly members who are here. And in respect to this particular project, I would urge you to really look at your AMI to perhaps expand the number of units. I would join with the Council Member in working with -- in contacting HPD, and negotiating additional resources. And last but not least, I believe union is middle-class, and I believe that this project should be union if we are really interested in focusing on addressing income and equality in the City of New York. And I thank you.

HOWARD WEISS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you Council-Public Advocate Tish James. Excuse me. I'd like to
now call on Council Member Elizabeth Crowley.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you, Chair Weprin. Good morning. I'd like to ask the

project, and what it will provide for the community.

unions that are also concerned about after the

such as this, you should allow enough funding to pay

2 livable wages with people who are working on the job,

3 coming from the training of apprentice programs.

4 Skilled workers given the opportunity to people of

5 Astoria to have a good paying job whereby possibly

6 affording a unit in your building. But there is no

7 guarantee of that. And, you know, the impression is

8 the community has been lied to or fooled to a certain

9 extent. Because they believe that good jobs are

10 coming out of this project, and there is no plan yet

11 in place. Now, you said--

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

HOWARD WEISS: [interposing] Well, Madam
Council Member, let me just clarify something and
elaborate on something that John said. We have a
letter of intent that was returned to the Trades
Council, and the only— And we have not received
back that executed letter of intent. It's their form
of letter of intent. The only thing that's different
is we asked them to confirm that if we execute that
letter with them that won't do anything adverse to
prevent the approval of this project. But otherwise,
there is a letter of intent with the Trades Council.
We've also been in ongoing discussions with 32BJ,
and, in fact, I had a meeting at 32BJ just last week.
And moving to try to enter into a letter of intent

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: If that's not a public subsidy, I'm not sure what to call it. I know how much I pay on taxes on a small little unit that I have in Queens. Not to mention this property which will have direct views of the City of New York, which will be very expensive for people who do rent your market rate housing. And your market rate housing will drive up area rents. Whereby displacing people from Astoria. How many square footage of your plan will be set aside for the affordable units? Will

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

abatement will help us carry the project moving

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 forward to offset the affordable housing. But it

3 does not help us in terms of the financial structure

4 of the construction of the project.

[Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: All right. In closing, I have no further questions. I hope that you can come to an agreement with the Council Member and with the Trades. I hope that you'll have more units available for affordable housing, and that your project will be built safely, and provide good jobs

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Thank you.

to our community residents. Thank you.

Member Crowley. I do want to acknowledge for those who are watching at home or who are unaware that while we are having a hearing today, we expect to hear from everyone who wants to testify on this matter. We are not going to be voting today, and there will be a number of— Some time to negotiate. What we hear today obviously is important to us, and what we hear today we want to talk to the developer about. And any discussions about an approval would have to incorporate a lot of the testimony we hear

2.2

like people having housing should at least have some

more weight than it does, and not make it just all

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

88

23

24

from what I've heard, and it's not completely your

Resolution allows for a varying degree of AMI levels.

So if we have a certain percentage lower, we can then

24

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 91 2 split up the difference and go higher on a certain other percentage of the AMI. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. 4 JOHN MAVROUDIS: And it also increases 5 the total percentage of affordable housing. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Just explain that again one more time. 8 JOHN MAVROUDIS: So if proposal were to 9 include a different level, different levels of AMI 10 11 ranging from the low going up to mid/mod, then it would create for more than 20% of affordable housing 12 13 floor are in the project. 14 HOWARD WEISS: If I could-- Howard 15 Weiss. By example, Mr. Council Member? 16 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Sure. 17 HOWARD WEISS: So, if we were to--18 start out with 20% at the low income band at 80% AMI. If we want to reach to include a higher band of 19 20 affordability, the way the Zoning Text is written, we 21 would always have to have at least 10%. But if we 2.2 were then to reach for the moderate band of 23 affordability--

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 92
2	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Let me I
3	just want to walk it through. So right now, you have
4	20% affordable?
5	HOWARD WEISS: Right.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: At 80% of AMI?
7	HOWARD WEISS: That's correct.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And that is 345
9	units?
10	HOWARD WEISS: That's correct.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Of 1,723 units.
12	HOWARD WEISS: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Just so we
14	know, I think that's unacceptable, but that's what's
15	here now. Now, let's continue.
16	HOWARD WEISS: So under the current text,
17	which is not our proposal, but theoretically it would
18	be possible. If we wanted to reach a higher band of
19	affordability to bring persons with higher AMIs to
20	the project. Let's say for the moderate band.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: When you say,
22	are you talking about 60% of AMI or
23	HOWARD WEISS: [interposing] No.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:or 100% of

AMI?

2.2

2 HOWARD WEISS: No, 120% AMI.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So if you want to go up to 120% of AMI-

HOWARD WEISS: Right, we'd still have to provide at least 10% at the 80% of AMI, and then we'd have to provide another 15% at the moderate band at 120% AMI. So it would increase the percentage of affordable housing in total--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]
Wait. Stop. 120% is not affordable housing. Let's
just be clear about it. So we keep using these
terminologies for things that are not affordable
housing in my eyes as the Housing Chair, and many of
the people who are sitting here. So let's just be
clear about that.

proposal, and I understand the point you're making,
but I'm simply explaining what the Zoning Text
provides, and this is the way it's always worked.

It's not something that we're inventing for the
project in terms of how you define the different
bands of affordability. But, in fact, the affordable
housing in the larger scheme of things looks at low
income, very low income, moderate, and middle, which

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 then takes in different AMIs. But I understand your
3 point--

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: [interposing]
Yeah.

HOWARD WEISS: --about feeling that it needed to be at 80% to lower. But in terms of the Affordable Housing Program, it does recognize higher bands as still being affordable housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So 130%. know you're saying 120%. I don't have that number. 130% at a family of four is \$111,670. I personally believe-- I try to use the words "income targeted" so that we can get exactly to what I'm trying to get at. And I believe there needs to be income targeted housing. I believe that people who make \$111,000 should also have housing, and they may also need subsidies because the more years that pass, the more that this includes people who are middle-class. I want to make sure they have funding as well. But the problem is we also keep forgetting about the people who are much lower, 60, 50, 40% of AMI. not think that the way we've been doing it has been working. I refuse to accept that metric system that we've been using to continue. I'm very happy that my

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

colleague has taken the lead in pushing that forward. I don't believe that developers such as yourself who actually do a lot of good things for the City. You build things. You provide housing. You provide tax revenue. However, I don't think you will do this on your own without nudging from the Council. Without nudging from New York City, and from some of the good people who are sitting here today. And I believe we have to continue to nudge, and continue to push. Because the fact that you would come here and present this as an affordable housing plan again shows me that the rap lyric I pointed out to you is the only basis we're using when we're building this housing and we're building moving forward. I want to make sure that we change that. So it's some about the money, and also about making sure that the good people in New York City has places to live. So that they can provide the services that are needed for the people who are actually getting most of the housing that you're building. So I want to thank you very much, Mr. Chair for that time. And I hope that Council Member Constantinides and yourself will reach a deal that his helpful for every income band in Queens and in New York City.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 HOWARD WEISS: Thank you, Council Member.

3 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you Council
4 Member Williams. I would like to now call on Council

5 Member Laurie Cumbo from Brooklyn.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Thank you, Chair for the opportunity to address some very important concerns and questions not only from me, but also from my district. I wanted to talk about how you go about the relationships with your contractors. so, for me as an African-American and an African-American woman, it's also very important to know that the practices in which you engage with your contractors are fair and equitable. And I really wanted to bring light to your relationship with SSC High Rise, and want to understand how is it that you go about creating the relationships with those that you contract out with? Because in this case with the Burnham [sp?] project, it was noted that there were some serious and egregious issues in terms of how people were paid based off of their race.

With many African-Americans and Latinos being paid unfairly and inequitable to their White counterparts. We want to understand how you create the dynamics of how you contract with individuals?

didn't hire them directly. They were hired by a

2.2

general contractor. So then we asked for a follow-up question. We looked into their background. We understand that they went through I guess trials and came to punishment and penalties that they paid. We did confirm that those practices are obviously absolutely no longer continuing and never have continued at our site. And the only practice we can maintain going forward is to make sure that not only do our contractors go through the same process and background checks. But that their subs, which is just like this case, go through the exact background passes. You known, we don't-- I agree with you. I think that's all deplorable and doesn't exist anywhere today.

ensures that that—— I'm not clear in terms of what would your process be moving forward to make sure that that doesn't happen? Is there going to be some additional oversight that you all are going to utilize? Will you be able to with a much larger process, or project effectively utilize and understand who all your general contractors are subcontracting with? Will there be any policies or procedures put in place to make sure that something

2 like this doesn't happen? And what were, most

3 importantly, the ramifications of that subcontractor

4 for that particular practice on that site? What came

5 of that?

2.2

JOHN MAVROUDIS: So, the second question

I'll answer first. I believe he paid hefty, hefty

fines in the million dollar range, and it was I

believe three or four years ago. Going forward, we

actually have looked into policies based on what just

happened. And our practice going forward is to

establish a background check similar to the type you

would do if you were trying to get any type of job,

but on a company basis. Whereby they have to confirm

and affirm, you know, like a-- at least a minimum of

a ten-year history in terms of any types of

violations relating to the construction industry or

employment labor law. So going forward, that policy

will remain in effect. And not necessarily just

under this particular project, but company wide.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Well, I think that particularly in this audience and beyond there are a lot of subcontractors that have far more reputable ways in order to evaluate the quality of their work and their hiring practices. And a lot of that comes

from working with a lot of our labor unions that do
have an equitable and fair policies. And have a
strong track record that you could find out about
more so than perhaps a newspaper, or some sort of
listing in that way. So I would hope that in the
future, you would look at some of the individuals and

hard-working individuals throughout our community.

The next one I wanted to ask in terms of what is your thought process in terms of even why are you considering moving into creating affordable housing units, and the project that you're putting forth? Why even consider it?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: I'm sorry. I don't understand the question? Why would I consider building?

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Why even put forth or even have a commitment to even introducing affordable housing units in the development that you're proposing in Astoria?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: That's a good question.

Our project has evolved over time, and that's the current status on that. I mean I believe from the very beginning we did include some level of

2.2

Cover, we have an agreement with this Administration,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So you would agree with the Mayor's plan or desire to want to create and sustain affordable housing within New York City?

20

21

2.2

23

24

2 HOWARD WEISS: This project is very much 3 reflective of the Mayor's Ten-Year Plan not only in 4 terms of making the affordable housing mandatory, but that the affordable housing that is provided 5 6 presently with our proposal the 20% is without any 7 public subsidy. I know it's been expressed. people have the perspective that when there's a tax 8 benefit attached to a development, that in and of 9 itself, is viewed as a public subsidy. But I think 10 that in terms of both zoning and what has been the 11 12 City Housing policy. And we talk about public 13 subsidy when you read the Mayor's Ten-Year Housing Plan that what we're talking about is, in fact, 14 15 beyond any tax abatement. Public resources being 16 used to support the subsidized housing, and that is 17 something that's going to happen with respect to this 18 project. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: So ultimately, you

have a desire to be a team player, and to implement the Mayor's plan to create and sustain affordable housing in New York City?

HOWARD WEISS: To implement--

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: [interposing]

25 Would that be yes or no?

2.2

HOWARD WEISS: No, it is I think the fair response is to implement the Mayor's plan in a way that would, in fact, be economically viable for the project. And I think what is before the Council now based upon what was approved by the City Planning Commission is something that's economically viable. Although we have said that we are developing some alternatives. And we are going to have ongoing conversations with Council Member Constantinides and others who are concerned that the shape and the form of the present Affordable Housing Program that we might be able to meet some concerns, and also still keep this project economically viable.

ask is because -- and I'm going to conclude my questions -- is that in an interest as you stated to want to uphold the Mayor's Affordable Housing Plan.

In my district there are 700 units that will come offline, and be turned into market rate that Alma owns. And so, in that interest, what I'm seeing is that what you propose to do in Astoria is to create 345 units out of 1,700 that would be offered. In my district simultaneously, there will be 700 units of affordable housing that will be coming down. So what

2.2

I'm seeing in this project is that in your interest
to carry out the Mayor's plan, unfortunately what
will be happening in Brooklyn will go against the
very desire that you want to utilize in order to

6 create affordable housing throughout New York City.

matter of trust. And in negotiations unfortunately a large part of that is built around areas of trust. It's built around areas of being forthcoming. It's in many ways unfortunately that we have to take you at your word. So I'm trying to understand based off of my own experiences that are unrelated to this project, wanting to make sure that what you're staring here and what you're going to do moving forward is going to be fair and equitable. And that you are, in fact, going to live up to your word. Although even with the word that you put forward many of my colleagues are pleased with that word. But we just want to understand that in moving forward.

HOWARD WEISS: Madam Council Member, You know, I appreciate what you just said. I want to just say first, the Brooklyn site that you're talking about is not a site that's controlled, and it's not owned and operated by 2030 Astoria Developers. It is

2.2

owned by one of the partners in 2030. And certainly

I can tell you that Alma would be very interested in

speaking with you today to clarify exactly what

5 happened with respect to that project and look at the future.

that, and as stated before, this conversation could have been handled a long time ago. And so, it's very important that when a major developer and/or it's partners want to move 700 units of affordable housing into a community in that way, out of a community in that way, that that's discussed. But I do appreciate that very much. And I do hope that moving forward what happened in my district wouldn't happen in another community. But I thank you very much for your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Council
Member Cumbo. The last person on my list is Council
Member David Greenfield. We're going to call on him
now. Council Member Greenfield/

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Chair Weprin. I want to thank you first for your

testimony today. I want to thank everybody that came

out here. Just so that you know, for folks who are

why folks are going in and out of this group, folks

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

plan?

2 in the audience and folks who are watching this at

3 home. I just sort of want to, I guess, recap because

4 a lot has been said today about a lot of different

5 issues. I just want to sort of focus on, you know, a

6 lot of the outstanding issues that we still have, and

7 | just try to get some clarity. Just so that folks who

8 are here, and folks who are watching at home sort of

9 understand in terms of what's still outstanding

10 | before the subcommittee and full committee votes.

heard a couple of different things today. So I just want to clarify. So we understand that the—Based on the proposed Zoning Text Amendment by City Planning, that it would—you could build 20% at 80. Twenty—percent of the property at 80% AMI, which is what you've indicated that you're looking to do. Or, alternative, you could build a hybrid where it would be a 10% at 80% and 25% at 125. And then, potentially another 20% at 175 AMI. So one thing I just want to be clear because it just seems like we've heard different things on this particular issue today. So the plan right now is currently to build 20% at AMI—20% at 80% AMI? Is that your current

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 HOWARD WEISS: Yes.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's your current plan. Okay. However, you're not comfortable with the idea of striking that cause within the Zoning Text Amendment that would potentially allow you to change that plan. Is that also correct?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Well, I don't know if we would strike it only because it would lead to the creation of more affordable housing. So we wouldn't strike it for that reason, but other than that, our plan is 20% on 80 of AMI.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, so this gets back to Council Member Constantinides' point before, which was so that if you are okay with 20% then you would have no objection to the Council solidifying that and making it clear that at the middle it would have to be 20% affordability at 80% AMI. And then taking out the opportunity to change those numbers later to 10% at 80 and 20% at 175 for example. Is that correct?

HOWARD WEISS: As of now, yes, that's--.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: [interposing]

Okay. Well, that's good. So that's a good starting

point at least just so we know where we're at from

2 there. I think the other remaining issues and issues

3 obviously that we're going to discuss with the

4 | Council Member are around the other areas of

5 affordability. As you know, there are a wide varying

6 views of affordability. Council Member Williams

7 pointed out that he doesn't believe that 80% or 120%

8 | is affordable, and those are conversations that we

9 know are going to continue with the Council Member to

10 | try see if you can get to a point where there is a

11 | comfort level with the broader issues of

12 | affordability.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

Decause there is also just a little bit of confusion. Just to clarify that as well. Obviously, the way we look at it, and just, you know, and I think Council Member Crowley actually raised a similar point. But the way that we look at it in the Council is that yes technically there is no actual public subsidy as will be defined by the Administration. But the fact that we take an area right now that's essentially warehouses and we allow a developer to convert into housing, which, therefore, provides significant upside to the developer. That on its own we consider that to obviously be the biggest of subsidies, which

I imagine that you would agree with. And so part of
our concern is when we look at these projects
holistically, we say, Well, what are the issues that
matter to us the most. And one of the issues that
matters is that we want to make sure that a building
will be built that is a quality building. And I
think a project of this size, quite frankly, I don't
even think it's feasible that you can do a non-union
project of this size. Just because the expertise,
quite frankly, isn't there. So certainly, you want
to have folks who have that background and training.
And obviously the other thing is that we want to make
sure that when we're giving what we consider the
subsidy of allowing people to build up and profit
from something was, in fact, a warehouse that those,
in fact, create good jobs. And that's where the
project labor agreement is something that we're
concerned about as well. So just to be clear on that
point. You have an offer outstanding on your part,
and you're waiting to hear back. But you are
certainly committed to make sure that this is a union
project. Just so we're all on the same page.

2.2

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yeah, I think we've made

our intention clear to Building Trades and to Service

employees also.

answer is yes. You're absolutely committed to making sure this is a union project both from the Building Trades perspective and the 32BJ service perspective as well.

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yeah, I don't think there are any outstanding issues left with the Trades. There is maybe one outstanding issue with Service.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, that's fair, but I just wanted to make sure the commitment is there on your end. Is that correct?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yeah, we've been dealing with them, and we think yes.

council Member Greenfield: Okay, very good. So once again, those issues are still to be resolved, and we're going to be watching that closely. Many council members have made it clear that they obviously would not vote for a project that didn't have those issues resolved. And so, we're looking forward to that being resolved as well.

2.2

The final issue that I want to raise, and I'm just going to make a small note on the other side is transportation. Because the transportation issue is a big one. I think that we've heard from the experts that based on the Environmental Impact Study that there will be increased traffic already in an area that very congested. The trains are going to be used a little bit more obviously than they currently are. And we're currently going to see more people, and as a result more traffic.

So one of the key items, of course that the community and Council Member has been pushing is the idea of a ferry, right, which would transport folks to the City and allow them to get there in a quicker fashion to take folks off of both the city streets and public transportation. So just to be clear about that, you're supportive of that concept, as well. Is that correct?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, and so to the extent that you've made some accommodations, and I think we've heard that before from your planning experts, that there are going to be some accommodations. Those accommodations are what? Do

you have some space? Are you going to build a dock for example? I'm just trying to understand what it means when you say you've made accommodations to that end that would allow a ferry to be built there in the future. And to be clear, by the way, I think a ferry would be beneficial from a business perspective as well. you can market a location say, Hey, we've got a ferry. You can get the city in 15 minutes. I

think that makes it a lot more attractive as well.

11 | Is that fair?

2.2

JOHN MAVROUDIS: That's fair. We've crated a physical placeholder for the construction of the dock in terms of where it will be built, and then leaving the land associated with it open for that function. Further to that, we are still actually thinking ourselves of how we can help support the deployment of the construction of the dock. We haven't figured that out yet, but we're thinking about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay. So that's helpful. So that's something that you would consider in terms of the actual waterfront of a dock. We can't do that it without the location. So that's an important piece, and I think it's something that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

you're going to discuss with the Council Member as well. And I would say on the related point that Council Member Cumbo and the Public Advocate brought up in regards to the Brooklyn Jewish Hospital, I would say it is an important point to clarify. And I think the concern there is that it does go directly related to the issue of affordability in terms of the intention of whether you're going to build what I discussed before. Which is are you going to build 20% and 80% of AMI or are you going to build, or are you going to be 10 and 15 or 10 and 20? There is an issue over there as well. So do you mind just giving us some background so that we have a greater understanding of what happened over there. Because it's obviously an issue of concern in terms of how it was handled. And it seems like at the very least there was a significant miscommunication or noncommunication perhaps between the partnership that has a piece of this. Which obviously has a piece of this project as well, and at least the community leaders and the local elected officials. So can you sort of just give us a quick history or summary of where we're at on that, and what you're looking to do on that particular project?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yes. So just to clarify from the beginning, the project in question in Brooklyn and Crown Heights is not and has never been an affordable housing development. It was a market rate development. It was built completely with private money, no subsidy. And it was a phased-in project over the course of seven years. It was formerly a hospital building. The hospital sold it, the building to Alma, or the campus rather, and they proceeded to develop one building at a time. anticipation of receiving a J-51 Tax abatement, the developer used a form of lease, which is identical to the rent stabilization lease form. As part of the requirements for obtaining a J-51, the apartments must be given Rent Stabilization Lease forms. then registered as rent stabilized apartments once the tax abatement is granted.

Because of the nature of the construction and the time frame in which this phase in development occurred, the HPD application did not grant the tax abatement to the developer because it did not meet certain criteria that revolved around time frames.

Because this property was one block, and that the original building permit started the clock on the J-

51 application. But because it was a phased-in
development over time, the developer missed that
four-year window that HPD allows for the granting of

5 the tax abatement. The developer was notified of

6 this, and that was it. And they decided basically to

7 convert those rent stabilized lease forms into market

8 rate lease forms.

2.1

2.2

you can see how that could be honestly pretty confusing right for tenants who are coming in. And they have rent stabilized lease forms, and then it sort of gets swapped. And it seems like just from once again just from reading the media reports that there wasn't a lot of clarity. So I have two questions in this regard. The first is did you reach out to any of the local other community leaders or elected officials to discuss this issue? And also have you discussed this issue with the administration in terms of the possibility of trying to get back the J-51?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: So this issue first came to light back in March of 2014. That's when the first tenants were notified of the switch over from the rent stabilized lease form to the free market

lease form. Keep in mind even though the properties

were never rent stabilized, the developer followed

the form of rent stabilization increase throughout

the period of basically the buildings were all one.

[sic] So back in March the developer of the project

[sic] So back in March the developer of the project
met with the Tenants Association. The Tenant's

Association also has their own legal counsel.

Their counsel conferred with the developer's basic determination from HPD saying that it was his legal right to do so. And around April or May of 2014, it was probably the last meeting that the developer had with the Tenants Association regarding this. It's also to note that the developer is still in most cases following a rent stabilized form of increase. So it's not the form of lease that's changed, but the practice has really remained the same. And again, I want to reiterate it is not an affordable housing development.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, not to quibble over it. I think that the proposed increase is significantly larger than the rent guidelines that he has agreed to for this year. Is that correct? I just want to make sure we get the fact straights.

2.2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Well, as it relates to this year, the increase just came into effect. I'm not sure what it is now. But going past the last seven years, it's been exactly the same.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, I just once again I think it would be helpful to clear up this issue in terms of just once again to be clear, we don't vote on projects based on unrelated projects. But it does relate to the question of affordability under the current project in terms of the commitments that you made on this particular project. So I think that I would encourage you to continue those conversations with Council Member Cumbo and the other elected officials to try to get some greater clarity. And also to see if perhaps we can work with the administration to rectify this situation. So that the intent which was your intent originally to keep these units affordable that we can actually get that done.

JOHN MAVROUDIS: I would also like to stress how we look forward to meeting with anyone associated with this development. But in particular if there is anyway around the timeframe issues on the HPD J-51 applications. We would more than welcome

wasn't possible.

2.2

the opportunity to accept that abatement, and then
keep the property rent stabilized. However, our
attorney who filed the application advised us that it

then just a final related point. You know, there's a group, a well known group in New York now called Build Up NYC. They've raised some significant issues, which Council Member Cumbo just raised about some subcontractor, which relates to a bigger issue as well that we're concerned about. We want to make sure that the contractors and the subcontractors are the ones who are doing good work, and obviously fed all of their employees. So to be clear on that incident that happened, you're saying that was an aberration and it won't happen again, and you're putting in place measures to make sure that it won't happen again?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Yeah, well in terms of the hiring practices of our general contractors to his subcontractors, we didn't previously have any control. We currently reworking our agreements between our general contractors to include control over the hiring of subcontractors, and obviously

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 their track records, the approval of their track
3 records.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So for the future you will have that control, and you will have that review in place?

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: And then so the final point I just want to make is that we're not-- There was a very good presentation that was made by your planning expert. It's not that we're not excited by this. We certainly are. All of us I think. We're pro-development. We want to see good things happen. We want to see housing. We want to see affordable housing. We're certainly pleased by the open space plan in terms of how that will continue access. But at the same time, there are greater issues. I don't want those issues to detract or to imply in any way, shape, or form that we are not excited by the opportunity to develop this particular neighborhood. I think that we are, and I think that the plan especially in terms of the aesthetics of the plan is something that is significant and is impressive. But these are key outstanding issues. Just the nature of the hearings.

2.2

Because our time is limited, we tend not to focus on the good, and we tend to focus on the good, and we tend to focus on the issues that are still outstanding. So to be clear, we certainly appreciate the time and the effort and the thought that has gone into this. However, these are some critical issues that need to be resolved, obviously, these issues are going to have to be resolved before the subcommittee and committee votes on this. So with that, I want to thank you for your testimony, and I look forward to hearing ongoing conversations with all the relevant parties to make sure that we get these issues resolved over the next weeks before we go onto this proposal. Thank you very much.

JOHN MAVROUDIS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Council
Member Greenfield. And I think Council Member
Greenfield summed up a lot of the key issues that we
have before us today on affordable housing, of
transportation, of skilled local workers, and those
issues. The last thing I just want to ask, and I
want to be clear on the record, Mr. Weiss. I know
the issue was raised about the way it was done in the
past, and why this is any different than it was in

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, and the

difference is in the past when we had-- that it was

24

25

2.2

JOHN MAVROUDIS: No, the zoning text before this amendment provided that they, for example, in a R73 district, provided a base FAR of 3.75. The developer then was given the option to provide affordable housing and then get a bump up in the FAR from 1.25 to FAR of 5.0. Here, what City Planning has done and mandated working with us was to allow in the R73 district, 5.0 FAR and it has to be affordable.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [interposing] And the--

HOWARD WEISS: There is no option.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: The distinction I'm trying to make is also you're referring to inclusionary housing and someone opting into an inclusionary housing plan. What happened often in our things, someone may not have even have opted into an inclusionary housing plan. But in the end, made an agreement, I will give you 20% of affordable housing. That was not always a binding agreement,

Blasio Administration has put into place is something

25

very different. That this mandate is for first time
ever that this puts the teeth into mandating
affordable housing. Whatever happens with this
project, but it mandates that 20% for this, and in
theory, and hopefully, in future projects that take

7 place in this city.

2.2

HOWARD WEISS: Yes, Mr. Council Member, and if I may, there's one other significant change, which is this project is going forward with out public subsidy. Meaning apart from tax abatements, city funds to support the affordable units. The new Zoning Text that travels with this project will allow City Planning, if the developer in the future wants to avail itself of any subsidy, will allow City Planning to open it up again. And to require a greater percentage of affordable units to allow that public subsidy.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, thank you, Mr. Weiss. Well, we'll excuse you now. You guys can take a break. As I mentioned, and I'm just going to recap what we're doing here. Some of you came late. We're now going to call on a number of elected officials who are here, and them have the opportunity on behalf of the community and on behalf of the City

2.2

of New York to speak on this project. Then we are going to go to panels. We're going to start out in opposition, a panel in opposition, and a panel in favor. We have a lot of people here to testify. We have to limit the people that are going to be testifying on those panels in favor and in opposition to two minutes a person. I apologize. You will be on a clock with an annoying buzzer at the end. So if you try to— In your mind try to make a synopsis as much as possible.

All right, I'd like to call on the following elected officials who we are privileged to have joined us. Controller Scott Stringer, Borough President of Brooklyn Eric Adams, and Assembly Member Walter Mosley. We are also--

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, got it. All right. Good. I know Council Member Melinda Katz is on her way here, but we're going to do this panel without her, and she's going to come a little later on, and we're going to have her testify then. So, gentlemen, we're going to let I guess let Comptroller Stringer in protocol, even though he was the last one here, but he does represent the most people, Borough

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

President Adams. So I apologize. So we'll go in reverse order, guys. And Mr. Stringer, whenever you're ready. It's good to see you again.

SCOTT STRINGER: Well, thank you, Chair Weprin and members of the Subcommittee on Land Use, Zoning and Franchises for the opportunity to speak today on the proposed Astoria Cove development. developer Alma Realty is before you seeking greater density, with lax design guidelines and a text amendment that would allow them to construct a mixed residential and retail large scale development. total, the actions before you would permit Alma Realty to construct over two million square feet including over 1,700 units of housing across five buildings. Without the rezoning, they can only build 200 units of housing and light manufacturing. exchange for this 1,500 unit windfall, Alma Realty is submitting a plan that would set aside 20% of its units as affordable housing.

Astoria Cove is the first privately developed affordable housing plan to be subject to mandatory inclusionary zoning. And as a result, this proposal will set a precedent for our city. But a deeper analysis calls into question whether or not

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 these units are truly affordable. The stakes are too

3 | high not to get this right. Under the City's Non-

4 | Mandatory Inclusionary Housing Program, 20% of all

5 affordable housing units must be available to low-

6 | income New Yorkers. The new mandatory rules,

7 however, give the developer the option to reduce the

8 number of low income units to only ten percent of

9 approximately 173 apartments. Under this scenario,

10 the developer would need to make another 20% of the

11 | building affordable to middle-income tenants.

The difference is simple. Instead of the majority of the units being affordable to a single person making \$48,000 a year, the majority of the units would be affordable to a single person making over \$100,000 a year. Potential rents could be as high as \$2,700. This is higher than the average market rate rents for Astoria, and nearly two times higher than the rest of Queens. The bottom line is that \$2,700 for a one-bedroom apartment is market rate for this neighborhood. Not affordable. If we're going to meet the City's goal to create 200,000 affordable housing units, they cannot be made through this kind of deal. To make matters worse is Alma Realty is seeking rezoning approval.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

It is trying to deregulate 700 affordable units of Brooklyn Jewish Hospital apartments. I recognize that I'm a former borough president, so I recognize that from a strict land use law perspective these issues are separate. But it would be malfeasance to ignore what Alma is doing in real time in Crown Heights, Brooklyn. This must be on the The residents of Brooklyn Jewish Hospital Apartments entered into a contract with Alma in a good faith deal that should not be stripped away. This realty company is running a shell game across two boroughs where they provide a little affordable housing with one hand, and take away with another. So we have to send a strong message, and I'm asking the City Council to take a hard look at the proposed Astoria Cove Affordable Housing Plan with as few as 173 units could be truly affordable.

The developer must dig deeper at Astoria

Cove. We should increase the percentage of

affordable units, and second at a rate New Yorkers

can actually afford. And, as Council Member

Greenfield brought up, ensure that the development

provide good jobs this community deserves. Moreover,

I ask that you not ignore the tenants across the city

- 2 | like those in Crown Heights seeking a fair deal from
- 3 Alma. We have a responsibility to hold this
- 4 developer accountable in Queens, in Brooklyn and
- 5 wherever else these tactics surface. Let's not add
- 6 173 truly affordable housing units, and lose 700.
- 7 That is no way to reach our collective goal. I thank
- 8 this Council for giving us the opportunity to speak,
- 9 for looking at these very complicated issues. I'm
- 10 | happy to be here today with Borough President Adams
- 11 and Assembly Member Mosley, who are representing the
- 12 | Borough of Brooklyn in a way that I think speaks to
- 13 | their desire to get something done for their people
- 14 | as well. And I thank you being in the Council.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you
- 16 | Comptroller Stringer and I am delighted to see you
- 17 | joined by our Brooklyn Colleagues. I can't help but
- 18 comment so this bill, this project is in the shadow
- 19 | in the Triborough Bridge, and you guys don't
- 20 represent any of those Triboroughs. So, what brings
- 21 | you here today?
- 22 ERIC ADAMS: Well, we know that Brooklyn
- 23 | is the center of the universe. So I just want to
- 24 make sure. [laughs]

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Touché. We love 3 Brooklyn.

ERIC ADAMS: Yeah, thank you so much, Council Member Weprin and good morning. As you stated, my name is Eric Adams. I am the Brooklyn Borough President, and I want to thank you, the Chair, for allowing us to speak today. And we're clear that some of our issues do not impact the decision you're making. You're making a unique decision on a particular project. We're clear that, you know, some of our issues do not impact the decision you are making. You're making a unique decision on a particular project. We're clear that or we believe that all of this information should be taken in its totality. We, and I want to join the Comptroller that we have to be careful with funding now. We can't add in one area and subtract in This is not that old street game called another. Three-Card Monte, where you have to find the housing card. We need to make sure of that.

Last week it came to my office's attention that Alma Realty has plans to deregulate a much needed J-51 rent stabilized apartment impacting the lives over 1,000 tenants who call 545 Prospect

Place their home. In fact, I've lived one block down from that for the last 25 years. This action would be done in the interest of simply boasting their bottom line. The vast majority of the residents of 545 Prospect have rent regulated leases. Yet, no notice was given that their rents will be increased by up to 15%. Alma's actions couldn't have happened at a worse time in the Crown Heights area. Rents in the neighborhood have nearly doubled in the past year, and they continue to rise. At the same time, household incomes in the neighborhood have either stagnated or remain below what is needed for hard laboring Brooklynites and New Yorkers.

This action is counter to Mary de
Blasio's goals of creating and preserving 200,000
units of affordable housing throughout the city. If
Alma is allowed to proceed with this plan, it will
set a new precedent that would threaten affordable
housing not only in Crown Heights but also in Queens
with the project that they are proposing. We cannot
let this happen. We need to take appropriate actions
to make sure that we continue to have communities
that will be there for middle-class and low-income

2.2

2.2

- New Yorkers. And so, I am requesting on three items
 that we must do.
 - 1. We must ensure that jobs when we build housing in this city are union jobs, and we must ensure that people who are building the housing can afford to lie in the housing.
 - 2. We must not participate with bait and switch developers that want to destabilize rent stabilized apartments.
 - 3. As elected, we are the last fort, and we cannot become Fort Surrender. We must become Fort Defender and defend the housing stock in our city.

Lastly, there is enough for human need. There is not enough for human greed, and there is a lot of greed in this city that we cannot continue to participate in. Not in the borough of Brooklyn and not in the other four boroughs that we call the City of New York. Than you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.

Borough President. Assemblyman Mosley. I know I spoke to your colleague last night, Linda Rosenthal, who was leaving the Stringer residence because it was Max's bedtime. But she expressed a lot of the same

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

feelings of the Comptroller, and she mentioned that you will be sharing some of her views as well.

WALTER MOSLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and to my colleagues on the Council thank you for this opportunity to testify before this committee. As the New York State Assembly Member representing the 57th Assembly District, which in part includes Prospect Heights and parts of Crown Heights. And as a member of the Housing Committee of the New York State Assembly, I testify here today to inform you that the precarious housing situation that is happening between the members of my community and the landlord Alma Realty. I believe that this information, in part, as was noted by Council Member Cumbo about trust is pertinent to the ongoing uniform land use process, which is underway to determine if Alma Realty should be approved to build New York City's largest housing development in recent history, Astoria Cove.

In the early 2000s, Alma Realty purchased the properties around Prospect Place and Classon Avenue in the Crown Heights area of Brooklyn, formerly the site of the Brooklyn Jewish Hospital run by the Interfaith Medical Center. Now, this hospital

were being offered market rate rents.

2.2

complex was then turned into nine apartment buildings with roughly 700 residential units. When the tenants were first offered leases to the apartments in the building complex, they were given Department of Housing Community Renewal Riders. Riders which indicated that those units were rent stabilized and afforded all the protections, that were provided under the Rent Stabilization Act. At the beginning of the month of September of this year upon receiving

lease renewals tenants were alarmed to see that they

Upon calls to building management, Alma
Realty stated that the company applied for J-51 tax
abatements for the hospital complex, which would have
required by law that the apartment units be
classified as rent stabilized as long as the tax
abatement was in place on the property. But were
eventually denied. Upon request by my office to the
Department of Housing Preservation and Development,
the City agency that processes J-51 Abatement
Applications, we learned that Alma Realty had applied
for J-51 abatement. However, the application process
was never completed by Alma Realty, and thus deemed
withdrawn by HPD. Due to the unprecedented legal

2 nature of this complex housing sit

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

nature of this complex housing situation, it is crucial that the Legal Department of both the State Agency DHCR and the City Agency HPD look carefully into this, and consider a favorable resolution that benefits the needs of the community.

After the legality of the situation is sorted out, the lives of over a thousand tenants will be impacted. Rents in the Crown Heights area of Brooklyn have nearly increased by 10.5% over the past year alone with the median income for the community has stagnated around roughly \$41,000 a year for the past decade. This situation coupled with the fact that Alma Realty's track record of poor labor practices has brought me here today to speak out. The fact that on September 26th of 2014, SS High Rise Construction was ordered by the State Supreme Court to pay \$1.6 million back wages for overtime in 2011 because the company paid White workers \$25 an hour, Black Workers \$18.00 an hour, and Latino workers \$15 an hour, should have us stop and seriously question the intent of Alma Realty.

Now, I will continue to advocate for the residents of Brooklyn Jewish Hospital Housing Complex because the loss of nearly 700 units or rent

2 stabilized housing will have a blow and an impact on

3 the community that we don't think we can ever

4 survive. Moreover, I believe what Alma Realty is

5 attempting to do is out of line with the Mayor's

6 Affordable Housing Plan, which calls upon not only

7 | creating roughly 80,000 units of affordable housing,

8 | but to preserve roughly 120,000 units so hard working

9 New Yorkers have a place to live, and have a place to

10 raise their families.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Now, I fervently hope that you will take this information into consideration in part when making your decision about Alma Realty's Astoria Cove project. As elected officials, we are beholding to the people of New York to make decisions that impact their lives, and shape the very physical existence of our communities. The decision of which private entity government chooses to work with and to provide tax breaks to is a very weighted process, and must be entered into carefully. I thank you for this opportunity to speak here today, and I hope that we can come to a resolution to preserve affordable housing, but most importantly to preserve the integrity of the Council and of the City at large.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.

Mosley. We are delighted to be joined, and in

perfect timing, by the Borough President of my

borough of Queens where Astoria Cove is located and

Borough President Melinda Katz. Welcome.

MELINDA KATZ: [off mic] Good morning.

Nice to be back. Nice to be back in my old house.

So thank you Chair Weprin, Chair Greenfield. And may I start this by saying how great it is that Queens is getting all this attention. I love that. Thank you. There you go. I want to thank Costa Constantinides for the great work that he has done on this. I can tell you that we are in constant contact, and he is a great council member who wants the best for his district, and as important the best for Queens. And I think that those two are really the same. So I'm excited to be working with him. To Comptroller Stringer and to Borough President Adams, welcome.

This is about Astoria Cove and this is about one of the largest projects really in the Borough of Queens. I have testimony that will be handed in. But if I might, New York development is always welcome in the Borough of Queens and in New York City. It has the potential of creating well

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 paying construction jobs, and permanent jobs, and

3 results in new housing clearly. And in the Borough

4 of Queens, as many of you know, I have created a

5 mantra that said we are the world's borough, and you

6 should be able to get a job, work, educate your

7 children, go to anything you want in the Borough of

8 Queens. You never have to go over a tunnel, or over

9 a bridge or under a tunnel to get that.

I do believe that creating responsible development and permanent living wage jobs in the Borough of Queens is part of that development in this great borough. The proposed Astoria Cover project promises to transform a very underutilized manufacturing area into a new community. New housing, a publicly accessible waterfront, open space, a new school, and a much needed supermarket. And I have to tell you that as much communication as we have had with Alma, and all of the players in this. We've had various discussions. Nobody I think doesn't know where everyone stands on this. And I just want to be clear, though, that there has been good communication. But Community Board 1 in the Borough of Queens and I have asked for much more affordable housing. I believe that the Mayor's 20%

it's a great policy to follow.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Inclusionary Mandatory Housing that's affordable
throughout this borough is great, and I think that

I do think that certain projects in the City of New York can go further. And so having a bar or a base of 20% is good, but let's do more where we can do more. The Community Board has asked for 35%. I believe that at 20% of the housing units it's just not enough especially at 80% AMI. We have a family of our working for \$60,000, \$70,000 a year. Folks in the community are going to get priced out. They're just not going to be able to live there. I'm also concerned about community priority and making sure that we keep the community how it is, and make sure that we're not having grandfathers and grandmothers who want to help raise their children or there grandchildren so that the children go out and work aren't able to live in the community.

My recommendation is that we either lower the AMI or we expand the unit or, by the way, we do both. Because I do think that this has the potential of being not only expanding units, but also to lower the AMI for folks that live there. We also need transportation. We need a ferry service. We've got

2 | to get folks in now. We can't be building

3 communities in the Borough of Queens when people

4 can't get into work, out to work, take their kids to

5 school. It just doesn't work, and transportation in

6 that community is just under-- It's just no good,

7 and we need to make sure that we expand that to ferry

8 service or to other great transportation

9 opportunities.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We also have to make sure, Mr. Chair, that it's built well. When I say built well, this is a 1,700-unit in the Borough of Queens. It's one of the largest projects going in. You cannot build this project without thinking that it should be trade, without thinking that we need apprenticeships. Without thinking that you need good trained workers building this project. We also want to make sure that after the workers are finished doing what they do best, that we have good paying living wage jobs after. I know there has been a lot of discussion of Alma, and what's going on in other parts of the District of Queens and also other boroughs. But I believe that if you're going to build 1,700 units in this area, you need to make sure that the workers are paid and paid well.

2.2

I do hope that this is the beginning of a conversation. I wanted to come here today to show that we do want development in the Borough, but it has to be responsible development. And it can't be any of less than would be required anywhere else in the City of New York. Queens cannot expect less.

And so every single time we have a big development come up, I will be here to talk about what I expect, and what the elected officials and what the communities expect from this development. You have a very, very hard job in front of you, Councilman Constantinides as you move forward in negotiating this. We know that you will do the best, but as it stands now, as Borough President, I cannot support the project.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Madam

Borough President. Anyone on the panel have a

question for our distinguished panel? Council Member

Greenfield and then Council Member Miller. Sorry

about that, Daneek.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Really a comment more than a question. I think first of all, all of the excited leadership of Council Member Constantinides I can just tell you as Chair of Land

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Use Astorians have no greater advocate than the Council Member who is fighting for him. And I think we all appreciate that, and I think he appreciates support with really a Who's Who of elected officials who have come out here today. It's never everyday that we get such prestigious guests in the City Council, and so it's good to have you. I just want to thank you for giving voice to the voices on this issue. I'll start on bias. I'll start with my own Borough President, Eric Adams. I want to thank you for speaking out on the affordable housing issues and, of course, our Controller. We appreciate your advocacy as well. I didn't realize that there are late night meetings with Assembly Members going on in your apartment. Now, I know. I'll be coming over

COUNCIL MEMBER KATZ: [laughs] You're invited.

for dinner one of these days.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: A strict disclosure just FYI, and our Queens Borough President and former Land Use Chair for her tenacity. I'm very grateful for that and, of course, Assembly Member Walter Mosley, who first raised the alarm on the local issue in his community. I'm grateful as well.

2.2

- So I just wanted to thank you. It's certainly
 helpful to get this feedback and insight from our
 elected leaders, and it will definitely help us in
 terms of our deliberations, and we appreciate your
- support with the Council and, of course, the Council
 Member as well.
 - CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Council
 Member Greenfield. I think Costa Constantinides not
 only appreciates the support, but I think that people
 can say correctly Constantinides. That's been a big
 deal. That's been a big deal.
 - MELINDA KATZ: [interposing] I see him all the time. [sic]
- 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Council Member 16 Miller.
 - COUNCIL MEMBER MILLER: Good afternoon.

 Thank you, Chair Weprin, and to the other members of the Council and Council Member Costa for his—
 [laughter] He's on two of my committees so I've got to say it all the time, but for your leadership on this issue. And to the distinguished panel I thank you all so much for being here today and lending your voice with the Borough President, the Comptroller, and Assemblyman. We've all had individual

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: I want to thank all of you for being here today. Controller Stringer, I think you speak for everyone here in New

the aforementioned Constantinides.

2.2

23

24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 York City, and Borough President Adams, and

3 Assemblyman Mosley thank you for raising these very

4 deep concerns and representing your constituency

5 beyond the best of your ability. You two have been

6 strong advocates for affordable housing, and we

7 definitely appreciate that. And Borough President

8 Melinda Katz, I wanted to thank you on the record for

9 your great work. Throughout this process you've been

10 working as hard as possible providing great guidance

11 for everyone in Astoria. So we are very lucky to

12 have for a president. So I look forward to

13 | continuing working with you as we evolve this process

14 and the City Council. Thank you all.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Well, thank you all very much for coming. You guys can go out to lunch together now, and who's... I know Mosley is not buying, but what about which one of you guys is going to buy? Okay. All right, well thank you very much. I'll try to get decorum back here. I know there are a lot of people who are scheduled to testify many of whom have other things to do today, and didn't expect us to go this long. And we're just getting started. But we will read their name, and

their position of everyone who did put a slip in.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 148
2	know some people had to leave, but in the meantime,
3	we are going to call a panel in opposition to start.
4	And then again right after that composition, a panel
5	in favor. Again, we're going to put a two-minute
6	clock on the wall there. I'd like to call up the
7	following people. John Trent[sp?] Once again, John-
8	_
9	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: [off mic]
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Robbie.
11	John Trent, Maria Espinal. Is it Marlon Escodo or
12	Marvin Escodo, and Fernando Mondano it looks like.
13	[background discussion]
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And give those to
15	the Sergeant-at-Arms. Those are the
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We've got four,
18	good.
19	[Pause]
20	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No. Sorry. Yeah,
21	whenever you're ready, please state your name. You
22	can decide who goes first, and please give your
23	testimony, and then if there are any questions, we'll
24	have the panel ask. Thank you.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 JOHN TRENT: Thank you. My name is John I'm a representative of Build Up NYC from the 3 Hotel Trades Council, and a long-time Astoria 4 resident. Build Up NYC is an alliance of working men 5 and women in construction, hotel, building 6 7 maintenance and operation services working together to strengthen our middle class with good jobs and 8 responsible real estate development. At Build Up 9 we're fighting together to make sure developments 10 like Astoria Cove benefit our communities. All the 11 12 realty needs to make a strong commitment to 13 affordable housing, environmental sustainability, and good safe jobs. This project can be done right if we 14 15 all work together. All of Astoria should benefit, 16 not just the developers.

Last year Build Up worked in partnership with Council Member Debbie Rose to ensure that Empire Outlets, which also went through ULURP provided real benefits to local communities including good jobs for local residents. We have the same opportunity at Astoria Cove. However, Alma's track record and history in NYC is the best way we can predict what Alma is likely to do in Astoria Cove without a binding commitment to the community. More powerful

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

2 than what Alma says is to look at what they are

3 actually doing. Alma has proposed that only 20% of

4 the 1,700 units will be affordable. The community

5 needs more. However, in Crown Heights Alma has set

6 market rate rent renewals to tenants that previously

7 had rent regulated leases at the six-building

Brooklyn Jewish Hospital complex that manages.

Elected officials have informed us that the tenants are estimating that roughly 700 units will be converted to market rate. This is approximately double the number of affordable units Alma intends adding to Astoria Cove representing an affordable housing net decrease. Our communities deserve real commitment that Alma would be a good landlord who will responsibly maintain its properties. Alma is failing tenants across the city. Just last week, Public Advocate Letitia James named 1114 Ward Ave., LLC an LLC headed by Alma CEO Steve Valiotis, one of NYC's worst landlords. Alma and entities associated with Alma currently have more than 1,200 open HPD violations in their capacity as owner or landlord at their projects across the city. Alma's own Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment reveals that there is a need to thoroughly

- 2 | investigate for a variety of toxic materials
- 3 | including asbestos, lead, and PCBs. This is
- 4 particularly important since the project is a flood
- 5 | zone and will include a school.

6 Building Astoria Cove will generate

- 7 hundreds of construction jobs, and permanent
- 8 maintenance and retail jobs. Alma has an opportunity
- 9 to create good, safe jobs with priority for hiring
- 10 from local residents and opportunities for local
- 11 | business. This is very important to Astoria
- 12 residents. For example, we've been in discussions
- 13 | with Bishop Trailer about ensuring community members
- 14 | can have good jobs and security at Astoria Cove.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, I've got to
- 16 ask you to wrap it up because of time.
- JOHN TRENT: Okay, fine. I--
- 18 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [interposing] You're
- 19 | the first one. If I do this on the first one, I'll
- 20 have to do it for everyone. Let me move onto the
- 21 next people, and then you'll get a chance, you know,
- 22 maybe we can add it.
- JOHN TRENT: If I could just read one
- 24 more paragraph to summarize.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No, that one paragraph is a little over the limit. So if I vary on the first one, I can't get going over the thing. So who wants to go next? Okay.

MARLON ESCODO: Good afternoon. My name is Marlon Escodo. I'm a long-time Astoria resident. I'm also a member of 32BJ. I'm speaking on behalf of Joseph Caba. My name is Joseph Caba. I am an Alma maintenance employee at Shorefront Parkway in the Rockaways, and a member of SEIU Local 32BJ. I would like to tell you the truth about the kind of employer that Alma is. Alma has not respected me and my coworkers decision to be represented by 32BJ. Recently, Alma management threatened some of us at Shorefront Parkway that if we didn't sign a petition claiming that we no longer wanted our own union. we do want our own union. Alma doesn't want our union.

My co-workers and I have been without a union contract for four years. All we want is Alma to agree to the same decent pay, health insurance and pensions as other building service workers in New York City. Unfortunately, Alma does not think we deserve union wages and benefits. Alma is not only

It's been submitted already.

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: --minus the five

3 seconds I just spoke.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MARIA ESPINAL: I'd like to reiterate. lot of what I was going to speak of was already spoke about with different council members. And I was really happy to hear that, that a lot of it is being heard. Alma's track record, and it seems pretty clear in the testimony that we're getting today, is not one that can be trusted to do the right thing just on their spoken word. And it seems pretty clear to me, and hopefully it seems pretty clear to the council members that we need assurances from Alma and we can't just take them on their word. They have give to certain workers within their facilities a heard time when it comes to them representing themselves and asking for prevailing wage. They have practices, as you know, of hiring contractors who have set up egregious practices of paying -- Racially discriminating and paying different workers based on their race. To me, that's horrific. So I'm glad to hear that that testimony has already been put in there, and I already also have that written testimony. I would also like to reiterate, too, that there's been a lot of track record with Alma that

2 several of their contractors have been fined by OSHA,

3 very big fines. And I'll just talk a little bit

4 | about that, if I can.

2.2

Alma has used contractors that do not participate in State approved training and apprenticeship programs. In December 2012, Alma Realty and Adonai [sp?] Contracting and Supplies Corp. were issued willful citations by OSHA. OSHA proposed a total of \$465,000 in penalties against Adonai and its subcontractors for electrocutions and such hazards. My main point that I really would like to say is that a huge track record that we can point out to as to how Alma has done business in New York City, and I think that we need to hold them accountable to that. And also ask for reassurances. We can't just take them on their word. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms. Espinal. Fernando.

FERNANDO MONDANO: Good morning. My name is Fernando Mondano [sp?]. I'm here representing Local 12 Heat and Frost Insulators and Build Up NYC. I would like to discuss the points of affordable housing in Astoria Cove. We need Alma Realty to be a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

responsible landlord. Unfortunately, Alma and its affiliates' behavior as landlords across the city's real estate is a cause for concern. Just last week 1114 Ward Avenue, LLC, a company headed by Alma CEO Steve Valiotis was deemed as the City's worst landlord by Public Advocate Letitia James. Other LLCs headed by Mr. Valiotis have previously been pasted on a list of Public Advocate Advisor 2013. Ву June 2013, there have been over 1,200 open violations issued by HPD in properties throughout NYC, owned domains by Alma affiliate entity. More than 250 of these violations are Class C immediately hazardous violations, the most serious violations. Many of these violations concern health and safety of young children, including lead-based paint, and missing or defective window guards in apartments with young children.

Furthermore, since 2010, Alma affiliate entities and Alma affiliate construction companies like Adonai Construction and Supplies Corporation have been issued nearly 50 NYC DOB violations at properties across the city for failing to maintain elevators. Alma also needs to make a commitment to make real affordable housing at Astoria Cove. Both

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yes. Bishop Taylor, we won't count the time on video against your time.

[background discussion]

23

24

[Pause]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Before you start, I want to just acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Donovan Richards who is now going to cast a vote on the Land Use item cafe that we heard before. Will counsel please call Mr. Richards' name.

CLERK: Council Member Richards on LU 122.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: I vote aye.

CLERK: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, that was easy. Whenever you're ready. Bishop, are you going to start? Who is starting? You guys can decide. Ladies first. Okay.

BISHOP TAYLOR: We're going to let the lady go first especially since we're on video.

ALMEDA RODNEY: Thank you. Good
afternoon. My name is Almeda Rodney. I've lived in
Astoria for 45 years. I am here to read the
testimony of NYCHA Astoria House Resident Association
President, Claudia Coger, who could not be here
today. I have called Astoria Houses my home for the
past 60 years. I am here today to support some very
promising enhancements proposed for my community.

2 Astoria Houses is tucked away in an isolated

3 peninsula that is under developed and for a long time

4 has been overlooked. It is home for 3,000 public

5 housing residents with an average annual income of

6 approximately \$27,000. That said, we live in a

7 beautiful neighborhood, but are unable to fully take

8 advantage of its beauty for reasons I will detail.

9 | Portions of the Astoria Hallet's Point Waterfront are

10 | currently blocked out from our neighborhood by

11 | battered buildings that remain far beyond their own

12 industrial uses.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

A walk around our neighborhood illustrates the decline of our retail corridors where liquor stores, check cashers, and where beggars dominate. These stores have short life cycles making it challenging for residents to find steady employment, healthy affordable family meals and more. As an MTA two-fare zone, getting basic necessities such as groceries requires a bus or taxi ride. That is a negative we seek to correct. I want residents of this community to have access to basic amenities within walking distance of their homes just like my family and I had when we first moved into this area. The Astoria Cove Developers, 2030 Astoria Developers

Council Member Miller and Councilman Martinez. [sic]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'm the Executive Director My name is Jack Friedman. of the Queens Chamber of Commerce. I thank you again for letting me testify today. In the diverse and growing population, our transportation system is working hard to meet the needs of everyday New Yorkers. The Queens Chamber of Commerce believes the proposed Astoria Cove development will help future residents of Astoria and Western Queens to live a much higher quality of life, and add to Queens' burgeoning economy. The specific project enhances this corner of our borough by importantly adding a mixed-use development inclusive of housing, retail and open space in an area that is sorely undeveloped with proposed 456 [sic] School K through 5. And a new pedestrian thoroughfare along the waterfront that includes green spaces, water access for recreation for families to enjoy.

Not just speaking for the many advantages to Astoria, but most importantly to the Queens

Chamber is this plan also helps bring quality jobs to Western Queens now and long into the future. With the many construction jobs with this project come.

Astoria Cove will add some 110,000 square feet of retail space, a supermarket, a corner of accounting

2 that is sorely lacking in such vital retail amenities.

4

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The neighborhood, its inhabitants and future workforce look forward to services ranging from local family restaurants, a hardware store, a dry cleaner and employment opportunities come with these new retail businesses. An increase in people who travel now to that area. There are many great restaurants already Astoria, the best in the city. It could also be enhanced to see an overall boost in business, thus even more job creation.

As part of the infrastructure enhancement, there will be parks in the complex helping with neighborhood flooding [sic], a 900-space garage for local residents including retail shoppers. Without Astoria Cove none of these improvements are even being looked at. One larger challenge with the development was transportation accessibility because of the subway being quite a bit way. So we are very pleased and the Chamber is pleased that the developer is now including a plan to seek to incorporate the additional ferry terminal. The City needs to support that type of ferry and assist with this road and operate the terminals. The Chamber believes the

- 2 project is and will be a great addition for Astoria.
- 3 We wholeheartedly endorse and support the project
- 4 that will advance and represent the Astoria community
- 5 for generations to come. Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
- 7 Friedman. Yes, sir.

8 ABDULA WILSON: My name is Abdula Wilson.

9 I'm here today speaking on behalf of those who I

10 | believe will be most affected by such a project as

11 | this. I personally have known the developer for over

12 | 25 years. I've watched him through both the good

13 | times and the bad times. I can remember how bad and

14 dangerous this site was. There was a time when he

15 and his young son were robbed a gun point. In 1988,

16 when he first came to 26th Avenue, one of the first

17 | things I remember him doing was hiring myself and

18 \parallel others to clean up his properties. I recall there

19 | being a textile warehouse that produced clothing

20 | before we had a bus stop or on 27th Avenue. The area

21 \parallel wasn't-- Excuse me. The area wasn't what it is

22 | today. He provided security and also hired from the

23 | local area. With the textile company-- When the

24 | textile company failed, he bought a distributing

25 company along with yet more jobs for the area.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Again, when that didn't work, I remember him partnering up with the bus company, which employs 3 about 30% of his workforce from the company. I've 4 been told that when this project is approved it will 5 not only bring about good jobs, more jobs, better 6 7 jobs, but it will bring low-income housing for those that need it most here in Astoria. We look forward 8 to this project going through. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Wilson. We appreciate it. Mr. Taylor.

BISHOP TAYLOR: To the Committee and to the Chair Council Member Weprin and my Council Member Costa and Donovan Richards and Daneek, and all those that are not here, I'm not going to read my testimony. But I'm going to say that I've been an advocate for residents of public housing for the last 30 years. My dad started in Queensbridge in 1961, and our family has been dedicated to breaking cycles of poverty in public housing neighborhoods. We have since established the East River Development Alliance, which is now opened up there. It has three campuses in Queens. One in Queensbridge, one in Astoria Houses with 16 full-time employees and one in Far Rockaway. Our total and entire mission is to

2 | break cycles of poverty in public housing

3 neighborhoods, and give residents the tools and

4 resources they need for economic mobility and self-

5 sufficiency.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

My collaboration with Mrs. Coger and with the developer that is at question, Astoria 2030, has been one that I have advocated on behalf of residents in Astoria Houses for, number one, access to affordable housing. Number two, apprentice slots so they can get good union jobs, and then jobs after the project. Permanent jobs in this space. And then, of course, historically when a development like this happens in urban tracks, thousands of retail space is developed. People come in from outside the community and occupy those stores, and sell to our community. But the community itself has no part in the wealth. We don't just want the jobs, we want to own the businesses that the retail space is going to offer. We don't just want low paying jobs, we want good union jobs. I've met with members of 32BJ, and I am in consort with them that union jobs are the path in the way to the middle-class and it has been since I was 18 years old. I am a union member, and member of

2 Glaziers Local 1087, and I have always been a proponent for the unions.

I think that this development is trying to do the right thing. I think that we're painting him with a brush of other activities that happened under another organization, and we're trying to use that as leverage to discredit him on this project. I've talked to Costa, who is a great leader in our community, and I agree with him on the AMIs, and on the affordability context. I think the devil is in the details, but overall, this is a project that as the tide rises, all small ships will ride together, rise together—

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Let me cut you off for a second, and then I'll ask you a question also. Bishop Taylor, I mean you've been involved in developments in other parts of the city, too. What makes you feel confident that this developer will give those jobs to those local Astoria Houses residents and other residents of the area. And give the opportunity of owning those businesses? What conversations have you had with him that are assurances that have been given?

2.2

2.2

23

24

25

BISHOP TAYLOR: Right. That's a very I've had experiences with developers good question. that looked me right in the face and said we're going to do X, Y, and Z, and as soon as they go through ULURP and get what they want, they say good-bye, see you later. I never said that. This developer has put things in writing. We have MOUs and letters of intent and understanding between Astoria Houses Residents Association, Between Urban Upbound who has the number one Jobs Plus site in the city right now in Astoria Houses. And saying that we're going to be the ones to be able to outreach and send credible candidates for these jobs. I've seen documentation that is gone to the union that said that Astoria residents must be prioritized for the jobs in the project. I even learned that the union didn't want that language in the documentation. I couldn't understand why, and I spoke to my council member about this to get some help to find out why the 3,000 residents that live in Astoria Houses would not be a priority if a project is right across the street.

So my point is this. I think I have a pretty good judge of character. My watch word in Costa knows this, Everything stinks until you put it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

time.

in ink. And, that's been my collaboration with this particular developer, and I'm anxious, and I've been working with my council member, Council Member Costa, to make sure that we can memorialize this. Use the tools and the resources that he has to hold the developers to their commitments to our community. That's the only assurances that I have, the only teeth that I have by working with the local electives and getting things in writing from the developer. And the main thing is ownership here. We're going to own the supermarket. We're going to own stores on that peninsula. So, you know, and I'm glad this is on video because I'm very famous on video now. yeah, this is real development, real opportunity for people that have been disenfranchised for a long

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right. I appreciate the opinion. Any comments or questions of the panel? No. Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Now we go back to a panel in opposition. Okay. We'll take this. I'd like to call, yeah, call up Charlene is it Obernauer or something like that. Justin Wood. Sorry about that. Karen Nevers, and Maritza Silva-Farrell. Do I have four people?

2 [background discussion]

2.2

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Are all four of you here? Yeah. One, two, three, four. We have five. Oh, okay, four. Okay. Whenever you're ready. You can decide who goes first.

[Pause]

Nevers [sp?] and I am a tenant under Alma management.

I'm here today to put into place a reality check. I represent the people that occupy 750 apartments located at Surfside on Shorefront Parkway in Rockaway, Queens under Alma management. Since 2009, our tenant association has created a paper trail with Alma and with the DHCR. The following issues continue to be problematic, and force tenants to call 311 on a regular basis due to a poor response under Alma Management:

Heat, hot water, brown water. Scheduled cleaning of hallways and stairwells in incinerator rooms typically stop. We don't have cleaning supplies to do that. Decrease in service, taking away laundry rooms to make space to rent for commercial businesses. Broken and dirty machines in the laundry rooms. \$2.75 per load. Bugs and vermin

in common areas and in apartments. Broken locks to enter our buildings and the gates that surround the perimeters of where we live. Disrepaired or broken elevators are a very common occurrence. No inspection cards in our elevators. 911 calls have now been placed to help tenants who have been trapped on numerous occasions. We are investigating that and getting the paperwork. Are these things ever really repaired? Lights out in the parking lot. Lights to the doorways that enter our building are often out. Generally building wide issues like leaks, cracks in the terraces and the brickwork.

Subpar work in the past has caused us to pay for MCIs currently. The Tenants Association is in the process of hiring an independent engineer. Elected officials are on our side with HPD to come down and do an inspection. Why are these people allowed to do further building when they did into their own pockets, and cannot even take care of the property of a rent stabilized building where we live. We are tired of it. We are tired of the fight, but as long as we are living there, we're fighting the fight.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good. Good spot to 3 end. Right there.

KAREN NEVERS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Next. Who's next? JUSTIN WOOD: Sure. Hello. Thank you Chair Weprin and members of the subcommittee, and the Council. My name is Justin Wood. I'm a community organizer in the Environmental Justice Program at New York Lawyers for the Public Interest NYLPI. And thanks for this opportunity to give some testimony We have significant environmental and public today. health concerns about potential contamination at the Astoria Cove site that was raised in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement that we saw earlier this year. And thanks to Build Up NYC for bringing these issues to our attention. I think we've heard a lot about concerns with transparency and other commitments made by this developer on everything from affordable housing to broken promises with existing housing. Similarly, we have concerns that to date as far as the public knows, only phase 1 testing and preliminary testing has been done on the proposed site. And a number of contaminants including PCBs

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.2

and manufacturing contaminants and asbestos have been
found in these sites.

The fact that a school is proposed for the site raises particular concerns because children are particularly susceptible to toxins such as PCBs. So we would propose and we would urge you to require that phase 2 soil and groundwater and other more thorough testing be done on these sites. And that the Council has ample time to thoroughly evaluate and digest the results of those tests, and that the public be given the same transparency. And that we be able to submit those results to independent scientists prior to any vote on this development. Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Wood.

[Pause]

CHARLENE OBERNAUER: Hi. My name is

Charlene Obernauer. I'm the Executive Director of

NYCOSH, the New York Committee for Occupational

Safety and Health. I appreciate this opportunity to

testify today regarding Alma Realty's proposed

Astoria Cove development. We're pleased to join with

our friends in Labor and community organizations in

the efforts to secure responsible development,

Buildings, Alma Realty has a history of 89

complaints, 132 DOB violations and 99 Environmental

24

2 | Control Board violations at two high-rise residential

3 properties in Queens. Ooh, that went fast. At the

4 end of the day, non-union projects are more dangers

5 than union projects. In 2012, nearly 80% of

6 workplace fatalities in construction were on non-

7 union job sites.

2.2

So again, the New York City Council should consider the developer's record of labor law and other violations and practices including those involving occupational safety and health as a factor in awarding, overseeing, and defining contracts or permits. The City Council should not grant project approval unless these conditions are met. And due to Alma Realty's reputation, NYCOSH recommends that the City Council vote against the Astoria Cove Project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Next please.

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: Good afternoon.

We are persons who were early this morning. Well,
thank you for giving the opportunity today to comment
on the proposed Zoning Amendment at Astoria Cove. My
name is Maritza Silva Farrell. I am a Lead Political
Organizer at ALIGN, the Alliance for Greater New

2.2

York. Alliance is a community labor coalition dedicated to creating good jobs, vibrant communities, and accountable [sic] democracy for all New Yorkers. We believe that the key to successful development is ensuring that the values of the neighborhood and its members are upheld despite the changes that come from large-scale projects. We believe that Astoria Cove could be an opportunity to transform the community, but only if it's built to the highest standards.

In recent months, the Community Board representing Astoria residents and the Queens Borough President voted down the project, as we heard today. Council Member Constantinides has also consistently raised serious concerns about the current proposal, as we heard today. The City Planning Commission has ignored these voices of dissent, and recently voted to approve the current proposal for Astoria Cove. We urge the City Council to use their power within the ULURP process to disapprove this application unless labor standards and affordable housing issues are addressed.

There is a direct connection between the rezoning of land for large-scale developments and the socio-economic impact on the community and workers of

1

the site.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Queens. There need to be labor standards for construction as well as permanent jobs. We recommend 3 that in order for these developments to be approved, 4 5 the Developer Alma Realty should make a real commitment to creating good jobs for construction, 6 7 permanent maintenance, and retail. It is particularly important if the development will be 8 apply for subsidies through the Fresh Program, and 9 also if the development is receiving 15 to 25 years 10 of no taxes. Subsidies should not be given without 11 12 labor standards that will quarantee high retail for

Just to conclude, I would just like to say that we want to make sure that also affordable housing is addressed. We would like to make sure that 50% of affordable housing will be part of the development. Everybody agrees that this is the best way to go, and also that \$2,700 for an apartment is really not affordable.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: And just to finish up, also I do have about eight members of the clergy in Astoria have shared some testimony and I would like to give it to the Council.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. We'll have 3 the Sergeant-at-Arms take that.

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: And I am very happy to attend today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

Go look at your applause [sic] and thank you very

much to this panel. We're going to now move onto a

panel in favor of the project. Richard Khuzami,

Michael Ferguson, the Reverend Dwayne Jackson, and

Reverend Bobby Moore. Is that four here? I think we

have four people, right? Councilman Donovan Richards

is going to chair for a few minutes while I just take

a break, and I'll be right back. Thank you, Council

Member Richards.

[Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: All right, you can start. Thank you.

Good afternoon, gentlemen, and thank you for this opportunity to come before you and to address you. My name is the Reverend Dwayne Jackson, Pastor of the First Reformed Church of Astoria in Astoria, Queens. And I've served the community now for nearly 20 years. The First Reformed Church, which has been there for 179 years is a community

2 church that reaches out, which services the youth,

3 senior programs and others. We work closely with the

4 seniors also in Astoria Houses. My church is located

5 on 2726 12th Street in Astoria close to the proposed

6 development site.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

My parishioners and our neighbors are all living right in the Astoria Houses, and we are thrilled about the developers taking the time to talk to the people of this community, and take our needs seriously. Many times businesses, groups have come into our peninsula without even asking our opinion. With the Astoria Cove project, this has not been the The developers have communicated effectively with Astoria Houses and Hallet's Cove residents about the future enhancements they envision our community, our neighborhood has been doing for quite a while now. We've been giving presentations, meetings, tours, and the developers have generally valued and sought our input. Together we are painting a future for our neighborhoods and our Council people. painting is inspiring to all of us. This painting articulates a vision of one for our peninsula. hopes that it will open up local streets, jobs and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

job opportunities as our community looks to move
forward together.

In conclusion, it is our hope that our community will continue to grow, that job opportunities will become available and that all of the issues that were brought up will be addressed. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

REVEREND BOBBY MOORE: Thank you to the acting chair and the Council panel. God bless you on today. My name is Bobby Moore, Overseer of the Astoria Baptist Church and Vice Chair of the Long Island City Astoria Clergy Coalition. I've been serving the Astoria community in a variety of ways for 15 years. My church is located at 3117 21st Street in Long Island City. The Astoria Cove project will breathe new life and vitality into the property of Astoria with the addition of 1,723 units, 345 of which are affordable. I am glad that the developers want Astoria Houses residents to have priority in occupying these new affordable housing units. support the project because of this: Providing a portion of priority affordable housing for Astoria Houses residents will enable upward mobility for

amenities, and the other one who gets less. Instead,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES tenants will have equitable amenities. Astoria Cove development has been years in the making. A solution to the many issues were born from research and ongoing public conversation with local communities. Astoria Cove will and is a solid community housing model that deserves approval. Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

MICHAEL FERGUSON: Good afternoon. My name is Michael Ferguson. I'll be reading a statement on behalf of Arthur Rosenfield, President of the Long Island City/Astoria Chamber of Commerce.

Members of the Council: I am Arthur

Rosenfield. I run a local news service and have long
been active in the activities across my community of

Long Island City and the Astoria Community. I also
serve as President of the Long Island City/Astoria

Chamber of Commerce, an organization that I founded.

I have the good fortunate of being part of the
renaissance of LIC and the evolving gentrification of
Astoria.

I am here to both recommend and enthusiastically support the Astoria Cove Development Proposal before you.

2.2

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Could you just 3 speak into the mic a little bit more.

MICHAEL FERGUSON: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Okay, thank

you.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MICHAEL FERGUSON: For 50 years, no one has made an investment in this isolate part of the peninsula until now. 2030 Astoria Developers has proposed to develop and bring positivity, prosperity, and life to it. It will contribute unique infrastructure so that the Western Astoria area and neighborhood can truly flourish. At this time the area west of 21st Street has limited development. This is in contrast to the community development and prosperity in Astoria that has taken place east of 21st Street. 2030 Astoria Developers has come to the table with a great vision. community will certainly enjoy the benefits this project will add including the creation of new well paying jobs and the attraction of local investment It will also serve to encourage the development of public transportation for a neighborhood, which is underserved.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 Together, with other previously 3 authorized development on the peninsula the entire area will be a model of residential development that 4 will lift the quality of life in that neighborhood of 5 6 Astoria for everyone including the residents of 7 Astoria Houses simply by its proximity. Because of my activity, I see how that vision finds its way into 8 the lives of people across our community. This 9 development partnership, 2030 Astoria Developers 10 impresses me that they have loyalty to the community, 11 12 and they have demonstrated a desire to be a good 13 corporate citizen and long-term partner investor in 14 our collective future. They are bringing jobs, 15 training and so much more. Respectfully, Arthur 16 Rosenfield. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RICHARDS: Thank you.

RICHARD KHUZAMI: Members of the

Committee of the City Council, I'm Richard Khuzami.

I'm President of the old Astoria Neighborhood

Association. I'm also a member of CB1. I'm speaking tonight with my Old Astoria neighborhood hat. The

Old Astoria Neighborhood Association is a civic group consisting of residents, property owners and business owners in Old Astoria. This includes Hallet's Point

2 and areas between 21st Street and the East River.

Many of our members regret not being here, but as small business and working-class people, they are not afforded the luxury of taking time off. So I am

6 speaking on behalf of this group.

2.2

On November 3rd, we're going to have a meeting where we discuss our final position on the New York City Planning Commission's findings. But previously especially because of the superior architectural design elements, the Old Astoria Neighborhood Association is in favor of this development, as long as certain modifications and conditions are addressed. We're in favor first of all of a holistic approach to the development of Hallet's Point, including all developers, City agencies, any others, non-profits to make this what it can be, one of the garden areas of New York City. But the following issues need to be prioritized and dealt with on a proactive basis.

Number one, security. Hallet's

Peninsula, the majority of the gun violence in the

114 Precinct has happened down there, and it has to

be addressed. Secondly, traffic, transportation, and

parking. And third, infrastructure. Also on

185

2 affordable housing. Our position on affordable

3 | housing is evolving giving the City Planning

4 Commission's endorsement of the 20% requirement. Of

5 the members who have been polled so far, about 75% of

6 them are agreeing with the Planning Commission's

7 | findings. I think this is primarily because

8 affordable units should not be increased at the

9 expense of funding of other topical issues.

Another issue that comes to mind is the establishment of a dog run and comfort station within the open areas. This is extremely important, and it's good for the developer and it's good for the community, especially a dog run. And security. I'd like to go a little further into that except that I have no time. Okay.

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you all very} \\ \mbox{much.}$

[Pause]

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 186
2	I call your name just give me a little like here or
3	coming
4	AUDREY SASSON: [interposing] Audrey.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:because I think we
6	lost some people. They're all here?
7	AUDREY SASSON: No, no. Maria is not
8	here.
9	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Oh, Espinal did?
10	That's the same Espinal. I wasn't sure. Okay. All
11	right, all right. So give me a
12	[Pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms.
14	Ramos. Leandra Recrania [sp?]. Is she here?
15	LEANDRA RECRANIA: Yes, here.
16	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, excellent.
17	Thank you. And how many are missing. Brendon
18	Sexton. Brendon are you here. There you are. All
19	right, how many have we got.
20	AUDREY SASSON: [off mic]
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I can't count when
22	you're blocking me. No. [laughs] One, two, three.
23	Brandon, are you three? Like I said, these people
24	I'm calling are all in opposition at the moment.
25	[background discussion]

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Bruce Rosen.

3 Representing him. A citizen it says. All right.

4 Mr. Rosen, that's you. Okay, come join us. There is

5 one chair left, and when the music stops you have to

6 be sitting. Thank you one person. I appreciate

7 | that. So again, we're going to give you two minutes,

8 | but whenever you're ready and decide who goes first

9 just make sure to say your name when you start your

10 testimony.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

1

Rosen. I guess I'll go directly to the issues. I grew up in Queens. My grandparents actually lived a short walk from there in the Ravenswood Houses. I think there is a terrible obsession with 80/20 in the absence of other programs at all levels of housing. I say that because the core element in real estate appraisal is looking for comparable values. You look for prices for improved or unimproved property of similar characteristics for what is built there, for what the uses are as close as possible. Putting the emphasis on the 80 is saying that that's the value you want. So you're creating tremendous displacement.

25

2 As far as development goes, right after 3 9/11, there is a forgotten town hall that took place on Pier 17, over 700 people. What they wanted for 4 the site of the World Trade Center was affordable 6 housing. Not the commercial development that we put 7 there with huge subsidies. And, of course, the schools and local shopping, and cultural 8 institutions. It was the one interjection by City 9 10 Planning to put in streets, and the Mayor [sic] pulled out of that. The project was presented as 11 12 some new thing. We never had such open space and the 13 like. Well, Queens was to the 20th Century, and in 14 some way continues to be the national -- I can't 15 think of the right work. It's the model for 16 planning. From the teens through the '70s and even 17 with the elements that are done in our world now. 18 For the Garden City movement and terrorism in the parks, one after another. So we're introducing 19 20 nothing. And it has -- this is something that was done before. We created new zoning with special 21 2.2 planned communities, which we never designated any 23 more. The places like Park Chester or Fresh Meadows 24 in Riverton are the types of models. This is not

Lastly, I'd like to say that we have used--

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [interposing] Please 3 wrap up. [sic]

BRUCE ROSEN: --we've used the zoning as a tool for affordability in Special Clinton District. It was used only once. It was used only once and I suggest that you folks revisit that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Next please.

AUDREY SASSON: Good afternoon. Thank
you so much for giving me the opportunity today to
provide testimony on Astoria Cove. My name is Audrey
Sasson. I'm the Director of Walmart-Free NYC.
Walmart-Free NYC is a large and diverse citywide
coalition committed to increasing economic
opportunities, good jobs, and vibrant businesses in
the neighborhoods across the five boroughs as well as
preventing the Walmartization of New York City.
We've been thrilled to work in partnership with many
of you in our efforts through the years, and we
appreciate the support that many of you on the
Council have demonstrated.

As you may know, Walmart has announced plans to open up small format stores in urban centers across the country and has its eyes set on New York

stores, but nationwide.

City. The square footage designated for a grocery store at Astoria Cove matches the square footage typically assigned to Walmart's grocery model, known as a neighborhood market. But as many of you know, Walmart, the largest private employer in the world, has created a race to the bottom resulting in lower wages, fewer benefits and less security for millions of workers across our country. Not just at Walmart

It is also why hundreds of workers from low wage sectors across our city came together just last week to call out Walmart's Low-Road Business Model in a massive day action that highlighted the urgency of addressing growing inequality that Walmart and its business model is driving nationwide.

Walmart-Free New York City believes that the best way to guard against the negative impact that Walmart could have in our communities is to forge a path to high road retail that prioritizes good jobs and sustainable community development. Which parenthetically today and important today. In light of the project in today's discussion also includes real affordable housing.

2.2

2.2

The City Council has the power to turn Astoria Cove into a model development for the future of our city. We are, therefore, here to urge you to use your power with the ULURP process to require that the developer of Astoria Cove prioritizes retailers with a proven track record of paying living wages, providing good benefits, hiring locally, and supporting worker organizing. More of that is in our written testimony, which you have that. [laughs] Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, and I appreciate that. Next, please.

LEANDRA ARCANA: Good afternoon. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to give my testimony. My name Leandra Arcana. [sic] I am a Queens resident. I am a leader of Make the Road New York. Today, we are all here united under the belief that all neighborhoods must remain truly affordable to a family that already lives there. When we look at Astoria Cove, an affordable rent of \$2,600 rent is unacceptable. The local Community Board, the Board, the Borough President and Council Member Constantinides understands that. Too often, our working class New Yorkers are left with a bad deal.

workers union made up of over 23,000 supermarket

workers. We tirelessly fight in our contracts to

ensure our members' livelihoods, keep up with the

23

24

2 costly living in New York City. We believe that good

3 jobs and good food are the building blocks for a

4 healthy community. Thousands of Local 1500 members

5 | work and/or live in Astoria and the surrounding

6 communities. And the supermarket slated to be an

7 anchor in the retail portion of the Astoria Cove

8 project we are concerned. Our members are worried

9 | that Alma Realty has not committed to creating good

10 jobs, and affordable housing on scale to match the

11 | community.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

Alma Realty has yet to commit to hiring local residents at prevailing union wages during construction phase, and hasn't committed to ensure the creation of good jobs after construction. Having a strong union supermarket is fundamental to maintaining and building a strong middle-class in Astoria.

As many of our members can attest to,
having a dependable job, a union contract, and
affordable housing allows our New York communities to
thrive. The Astoria Cove project is part of a bigger
development group happening in the Outer Boroughs.
Alma Realty has an opportunity to work with the
community groups, labor, and politicians through all

	\bigcirc N.T.	PONTENTO	7/ 7/ 7/	
SUBCOMMITTEE	() [\	7.() N N(-	A N D	F KANU.H I SES

2 to this group? Okay, well thank you very much.

3 Sorry to keep people waiting. Just trying to move

4 | along. I think that's everybody so--

[Pause]

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. The next panel is going to be in favor. Just give me a little acknowledgement that you hear me and you're here. George Roman, Theresa McKinney, Zach Giuseppe? Yes, he's here. Abe Karasuo. [sp?] Karasuo it looks like from 2324 Steinway. Diane Consgoloff [sp?] Okay. You'll correct me when you get here, but that's hard that one. Bill Bellequin [sp?] I think that's it. Is Bill here? So I've got two so far. Like I said, I'm reading everyone's name, and I know people have other things to do today. So we understand how people sometimes can't stick around, but--Almada Rodman, Andre Stiff. I'm going to ask if anyone else is here to speak in favor of this project whose name I haven't called. I'll add you to this panel. Okay. Well, we're going to move on, and this is going to be our last panel in favor of the project. We have a number of people still to go in opposition. Gentleman and lady, whenever you're ready. Okay.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 DIANE KESOGLU: Good afternoon, members 3 of the Committee of the City Council. My name is 4 Diane Kesoglu [sp?]. I have been living across from the development of Astoria Cove for 20 years. 5 attended many meetings, and have followed the 6 7 progress regarding this project, along with my fellow associates from the Old Astoria Neighborhood 8 Association. I have heard many views, pros and cons 9 of this development. My humble view and opinion is 10 this: If you were to pass by the area in question 11 12 during the day, you would see a rundown ugly 13 industrial site that does not belong there any more. In the night time, you would not pass by since it is 14 15 a haven for riff-raff and mayhem. In fact, when I 16 look out my bedroom window on the second floor, I see a police car stationed there nightly. Throughout the 17 18 years of living in Astoria, I have watched it grow tremendously and beautifully. 19

We all know that a number of the nicest developments in Astoria were created, in fact, by the developer Alma, Mr. Valiotis, and in general by the private sector. I plead along with my neighbors for you to allow this development, with oversight of course, to go forth since it benefits no one to leave

because to create jobs in the neighborhood. Yes, the

question about affordable housing I'm not against affordable housing, but let's understand that this development is private money. And if we can find a common ground between the community and the developer to work it, to find a way to develop it, I'm all for it. Must of we Americans we build. We don't build any more in this country. So the building of the Astoria Cove project is not only for us. It's for the future generations that's going to come after this. And much of that—— I'm a film maker. I live in the neighborhoods. I don't want to fly to Canada to go film when I can film in my neighborhood and create jobs in my community. So my motivation on this particular project is that it creates jobs, and

18 | That's my motivation.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

Now, I understand we have people to read testimony

from two of the people I called. So whoever wants to

go first. Just grab a mic, give your name, and say

what testimony you're reading. If you can do it with

two minutes, you get a gold star.

this guys, it's find it in your understanding and

give the go-ahead for the project to go ahead.

2.2

23

24

25

GIOVANNI SIMEONE: Good afternoon. 2 3 name is Giovanni Simeone. I'll be reading for George 4 Roman. My name is George Roman. I'm a resident of 5 Astoria Houses now for 17 years. Astoria Cove is 6 extremely important to me because I have young 7 siblings that are being raised in this neighborhood. I've heard many positive ideas about the Astoria Cove 8 development so far. One thing I'm excited, very 9 excited about is the opportunity for this project to 10 bring jobs into the neighborhood. I'm starting 11 12 Queens College next semester, and I will be working 13 my union job by night. My daily commute will be 14 difficult especially while I must juggle in order to get to class on time, and then to get to my job by 15 16 the time of my work shift in Manhattan. The union 17 jobs that will be brought to my neighborhood will be 18 extreme beneficial to me. Walking to work will not only make my life a whole lot easier, but would also 19 20 benefit the lives other residents around the community who also want to work on or as a result of 21 2.2 the development.

The jobs will give an opportunity for residents to improve their lives. My neighbors who gain new employment opportunities will be trained to

to the microphone.

1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

2.2

McKinney. I have been living in Astoria Houses for 37 years. My husband and I are raising our family here. Astoria Cove is a great project because it is going to create lots of new opportunities for the entire neighborhood. I'm particularly excited about the developer's commitment to create a cooperatively owned supermarket. Through a supermarket, our community will have access to fresh food and as owners have opportunities to benefit from the infusion of new residents and investment. I have worked in a supermarket for the past 17 years as a merchandiser and manager. I am eager to be a family member of this cooperative supermarket, and be not just a worker but also an owner.

KAYLEN ALEXANDER: My name is Theresa

All too often when new development
happens in a neighborhoods, outside cooperations -I'm sorry -- corporations come in, and are able to
take advantage of new residents' consumer spending.
Through this cooperative supermarket existing Astoria
residents will be able to build wealth and equity
keeping money within the neighborhood. I am looking
forward to sharing my skills and experience to be a
part of it. It would be a privilege for me to work

- 2 in my neighborhood and serve the members of my
- 3 community. For a long time there has been a lack of
- 4 | infrastructure upgrades in and around the Astoria
- 5 Houses Hallet's Cove neighborhood. The Astoria
- 6 development, especially the new park, esplanade, and
- 7 pen waterfront that my family can enjoy and do it on
- 8 | the weekends, will be great. I also look forward to
- 9 | welcoming the new faces of neighbors that this
- 10 development will bring to the community because it
- 11 | needs a makeover. Please count me as a strong
- 12 | supporter of Astoria Cove.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Great. Thank you.
- 14 Anybody have any questions. Okay, thank you very
- 15 | much. Okay, next. Okay, again if I call you're here
- 16 just let me know you're here so I know how many we
- 17 | have. These are in opposition once again. Maya
- 18 | Montalki [sp?]

- 19 MALE SPEAKER: [off mic] No.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No. Okay. Phyllis
- 21 | Hornbeck [sp?]. Maria Sterling. Isadora Gespi? No.
- 22 James Taylor. Mr. Taylor. Judith Goldener,
- 23 Goldiner? No. Okay. Is it John Semme? No. Okay.
- 24 | Maria Consuelos [sp?]. Sean Vacione? Is anyone else
- 25 \parallel here> Is that it? Anyone else in opposition who I

- 2 | did not call? No. Now, we've got a fourth anyway,
- 3 but that's okay. Okay, whenever you're ready.
- 4 [laughs]

- 5 MARIA STERLING: Hi, my name is Maria
- 6 Sterling. I am here to represent the Brooklyn Jewish
- 7 | Hospital in Brooklyn, New York. I think everything
- 8 pretty much has been covered in regards the attempt
- 9 of Alma to destabilize those apartments. I ask that
- 10 | the Council will vote no on the Astoria Park project
- 11 until they demonstrate in their actions that they are
- 12 committed to affordable housing. And that they
- 13 desist and decease destabilizing all of those
- 14 apartments that are already destabilized. I
- 15 appreciate the elected officials that have come here
- 16 | today to support what's happening to us, and I
- 17 appreciate the Council taking our testimonies today.
- 18 | Thank you.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.
- 20 Who wants to go next? Okay.
- 21 PHYLLIS HORNBECK: Hi, my name is Phyllis
- 22 | Hornbeck. I'm also a resent of the Brooklyn Jewish
- 23 | Hospital. I think we've talked a lot today about the
- 24 | track record that Alma has shown in their actions and
- 25 | not just words. And just one thing that I wanted to

address is Maria and I are here both on the behalf of the Tenants' Association. And in response to the question about what notification did Alma give to the tenants about the building going market rate, and us getting market rate leases. They claimed on the record that they notified the Tenant's Association, and met with the Tenants Association, and that we were aware. I have no idea what they're talking about. I've spoken with over 200 tenants in our buildings, and not one person mentioned that. The Tenants Association did not meet with them. So at best, he is misinformed, and at worst that's, you know, just a lie. So, I think it's something that should just be added in consideration, and I stand with Maria and all of the tenants, and all of the tenants of the Brooklyn Jewish Hospital to ask that the Council vote no until these issues can be resolved.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right, thank
you. All right, what is your name just to-- Are you
representing somebody or are you here on your own?

MARIA CONSUELOS: I just live in the

24 area?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, what's your 3 name.

2.2

MARIA CONSUELOS: Maria Consuelos [sp?].

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Go ahead.

I'm sorry, we just didn't know which one you were.

MARIA CONSUELOS: I just, I want you to

oppose--

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: A little closer to} % \begin{subfigure}{0.5\textwidth} \begin{subfigure}{0.$

MARIA CONSUELOS: I just want you to oppose to like oppose all the different buildings on that because they don't have a good reputation, and it sounds like they're going to build a straight wall. [sic] Like it just— and I've lived in the area for like 15 years now, and I never see anyone really care about the area until the find out that they can make some kind of money and some kind of profit. And they can also check my view. So I was thinking, you know—

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Where do you live exactly?

MARIA CONSUELOS: I live right on 8th Street and 27th Avenue. So I'm like right next to where they're trying to build.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. So I just-3 before I get to the last person. So you live right
4 over there, but--

MARIA CONSUELOS: [interposing] Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: --you know, we saw a video, and we saw a presentation of what 8th Street looks like today and that area around there. And I've been there myself a few times at Whitey Ford Field for something for my son. And that area is pretty rundown looking over there. Do you agree?

MARIA CONSUELOS: Yeah, the bus never come. There are holes where if you fall in them, you can just fell it, they're so big. So, like I think they should be fixing that first. Fixing it up a little bit.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Uh-huh, okay.

MARIA CONSUELOS: And there's a lot of like, you know, some areas you can't really go into at night that you can't really pass. I mean it used to be a lot worse, but it's gotten better through the years, but maybe in the '90s it was a lot worse.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.

MARIA CONSUELOS: And I just-- I would like to see it more fixed with the streets especially

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 and transportation, and it's not affordable like the 3 buildings that they want to build.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. All right, well let's get to Mr. Taylor whom I interrupted.

JAMES TAYLOR: Okay, good afternoon. Thank you very much for listening to my testimony. would also like to thank Mr. Chair Weprin and the rest of the Council Members who are present and those who are not able to be here. My name is James Taylor. I worked at Woodside Apartments in the Bronx for 20 years. I was there along with my co-workers, a good union job, good benefits, a livable wage and a pension. Now, what happened in our case was Alma Realty bought the property, kicked out the union, took away our salary, took away our benefits, took away our pension. And had no recourse and no remorse in doing that, and actually interrupting my family life, which was providing benefits, a good salary and a pension for us to be able to take care of our families. And I don't think that the question is about the development. I think the problem is the developer. I think the problem is that Alma Realty has a track record or coming in like wolves in sheep's clothing promising everything, the Brooklyn

Bridge with no cables. But as soon as they get the green light, they go back to their old practices and not working with working families who all we want to do is a decent day's work for an honest day's pay. This company has a bad track record or not supporting working families. And what we're asking is that they definitely get a serious look from the Council. I've also testified at the Community Board, and I also testified in front of the Honorable Melinda Katz in Queens. And I'm just hoping that you get the same support that they gave to this project. Thank you.

members of the panel have any comments or questions for this group. I see none. We thank you very much. I'd like to ask if there is anyone else here today who wants to testify either in favor or against this project. I see none. I am then going to close the public hearing portion of our negotiations. We've heard a lot here today, and some issues that need to be resolved, but we will see if we can resolve them. And over the course of the next couple of weeks we hope to have meetings and discussions taking into consideration a lot of what we heard today.

2.2

So I want to thank everyone for their
cooperation today. You were very good about keeping
quiet and not being any loud outbursts, and I thank
the developer who is still here now for sticking
around. And we really appreciate everyone helping
out, my colleagues who are here. And with that in
mind, the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises is
now adjourned? [gavel]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date October 23, 2014