CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CULTURAL AFFAIRS, LIBRARIES, AND INTERNATIONAL INTERGROUP RELATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR

----- X

September 17, 2014 Start: 10:12 a.m. Recess: 11:55 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room - City Hall

B E F O R E:

JAMES G. VAN BRAMER Chairperson

I. Daneek Miller Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

ANDY L. KING
COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES
ELIZABETH S. CROWLEY
HELEN K. ROSENTHAL
JULISSA FERRERAS
LAURIE A. CUMBO
PETER A. KOO
STEPHEN T. LEVIN
DANIEL DROMM

ROBERT E. CORNEGY, JR.

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Good morning everyone. And welcome to... [gavel] this joint hearing of the Committee on Cultural Affairs, Libraries, International Intergroup Relations and the Committee on Civil Service and Labor. My name is Jimmy Van Bramer and I'm proud to be the chair of the Cultural Affairs Committee. And thrilled to be joined here this morning by our amazing chair, my colleague and friend Council Member Daneek Miller who is chair on the Committee on Civil Service and Labor. I want to recognize Council Member Peter Koo also from Queens who has joined us here. And I know many other council members including Corey Johnson who's a co-prime sponsor of this resolution will be here today. First a little housekeeping if you would allow. We are joined today by our new counsel to the Committee on Cultural Affairs. This is her very first hearing in her career as the Council. So please join me in welcoming Amita Kelowna [sp?] who is here to my left for her first hearing as a counsel. Today the committees are going to hear testimony and discuss resolution 207. A resolution in support of the Justice for Jazz Artist Campaign which seeks

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

through collective bargaining to improve the lives of musicians working in New York City's jazz clubs by addressing workplace issues including providing retirement security. And as the son of union parents who are themselves the recipients of pensions I can say that I believe very much in pensions for workers. New York City is the preeminent international destination to experience culture in the arts. New York City's cultural sector plays a vital role in supporting the city's economy. However like many New Yorkers artists of all disciplines are faced with a myriad of economic challenges including the lack of affordable housing, workspace, and healthcare. New York City has also long been an international jazz mecha to which music lovers from around the world travel in order to experience legendary venues such as the Blue Note, Birdland, the Jazz Standard, Nerium, and Dizzy's Club Coca-Cola as well as the Village Van Guard. And just speaking with Chair here, Daneek Miller he is known to haunt some of those places as well. While musicians who play on Broadway and in Symphony Orchestras are protected by union contracts the skilled jazz musicians who work in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

major New York City venues have no guarantee of fair payment, receive no penchant, health contributions, or state statutory benefits like worker's comp, unemployment, or disability insurance and often retire in poverty. In night clubs all over the city musicians are expected to work for whatever ends up in the tip jar at the end of the night and many are not guaranteed pay at all. The Justice for Jazz Artist Campaign launched by the associated musicians of greater New York, local 802 of the American Federation of Musicians advocates for basic economic security for musicians who are not adequately compensated for their work. Justice for Jazz Artists seeks fair pay, adequate pension contributions, protection of recording rights, and a process for addressing grievances. Justice for Jazz Artists also proposes establishing a minimum wage for all musicians who work in business establishments such as night clubs and restaurants. Today's hearing is of utmost importance because all workers, including jazz musicians should not be denied their rights as employees or artists. Their hard work contributes, contributes to enriching lives of New Yorkers and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

stimulating our city's economy. I'd also like to
thank Tanya Cyrus [sp?], our policy analyst and
again Amita Kelowna my counsel for their work
preparing this hearing. I'd now like to turn it
over to council member and our co-chair for this
hearing Daneek Miller who is chair of the Committee
on Civil Service and Labor for his opening
statement.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Good morning. And thank you Chairman Van Bramer for sponsoring this important resolution. As chair of Civil Service and Labor I'm very happy to be a part of this hearing today. During my 10 year at council thus far this committee has served as a powerful tool to shed light on sections of workforce that are often overlooked. Some of the hardest working people in the city of New York work for low wages, sometimes below minimum wage pay receiving little to no benefits under bad working conditions. We've learned as much from airport workers, car wash workers, and even the non-fiction television writers. Their job titles may be different but their suffering of them and their families and the, the suffering that they have endured are very much

similar. Today's proposed resolution 207A seeks to shine light on similarly situated groups, New York City musicians who practice jazz, a unique American past time. Report struggling with low wages, having few benefits, and lacking the ... of security. Unlike their counterparts in symphony orchestras or theatre industry these workers have you, these workers have unions which are able to collectively bargain with their employers for living wages, benefits, and good working conditions. As Council Member Van Bramer said this hearing is regarding resolution in support of these jazz musicians, that they can receive salary, benefits equal to that of unionized workers working to, in other parts of the industries. Before we begin I'd like to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Rosenthal and a prime sponsor of this Legislation Council Member Johnson. I'd also like to recognize and thank the council chair, I'm sorry the council, the council's counsel, the committee's counsel Matt Carlin [sp?]. And we have a new policy analyst Goffer [sp?] is always, is always in the corner somewhere and working on his numbers. Thank you so

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

much. So with that I, I, I thank you chair for hosting this hearing and I, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: So we want to call up the first panel. I do want to acknowledge that Council Member Johnson who along with myself is a, a prime co-sponsor of this resolution and who I know believes and cares passionately about this issue. So I want to recognize Council Member Johnson's contributions to this effort. We'd like to hear the first panel. And I want to say we're thrilled today by the fact that we're joined by some terrific and legendary jazz musicians in their own right. And we're going to hear from some of those folks today. That's a big treat for us. And local 802 has been a driving force behind this so we're going to call up the first panel. There are going to be three or four panels. John O'Conner from Local 802, Jimmy Cobb [sp?] if you will join us as well, and Keisha St. Joan [sp?], if the first three members would join us at the panel here that would be great. John whenever you're ready to start then we'll go to your right.

JOHN O'CONNER: Oh excuse me. Good morning my name is John O'Conner. I'm the recording

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

vice president of Local 802, the New York City's Musicians Union. The skilled jazz musicians who work in some of New York's major clubs do not see the same retirement security and other benefits as musicians who play on Broadway and symphony orchestras and who work under union contract. Every year we see jazz musicians who have dedicated their lives to their art fall into poverty. Yet a few hundred dollars a month in penchant income can make a world of difference to musicians who are in need in their later years. Why are penchant benefits from the night clubs so important. These are the venues in which jazz musicians most regularly play. If the nightclubs paid penchant benefits on a regular basis musicians who worked regularly in these night clubs could become vested in the penchant fund in as few as 38 months guaranteeing these musicians when they require a monthly penchant check for the rest of their lives. This would be especially significant because musicians often play cash stakes, a situation that results in very little social security. In 2007 with the support of the night clubs... work with elected officials to pass legislation at the state level

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

eliminating the entertainment tax from the nightclubs. However since that time not one club in spite of numerous attempts to communicate by phone and mail chose to respond to the union's request to talk seriously about penchants. The clubs say they are not the employers of musicians. But it is undeniable that the night clubs are the regular work place for jazz musicians just as Broadway is a workplace for ... musicians and the New York fill is a workplace for their musicians. The fact is the night clubs are where these musicians work and where fans spend millions of dollars to hear jazz musicians play. And according to our analysis pension contributions based on a fair minimum scale wage will have little effect on major clubs bottom line. The fact that musicians who have provided us with one of the world's great art forms have been deprived of a major benefit that musicians working in other fields take for granted is nothing short of a travesty. While we must acknowledge the important role that clubs have made in advancing the art of jazz. We must also recognize that it is the responsibility of those who profit from these musicians to help correct the injustice. The union

2.2

is eager to work with any night club that is

willing to do the right thing. We appealed to the

city council to pass resolution 27A to help these

deserving musicians correct this longstanding

injustice. Thank you for calling this hearing and

allowing me to testify today on this issue of great

importance to jazz musicians in the city.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: thank you. Ms. St. Joan?

KEISHA ST. JOAN: Good morning. At the age of three I became aware that I could sing. By the time I was five I knew what I was going to sing and that was opera. And by the age of 12 I started my vocal lessons. And I was accepted into the Manhattan School of Music. At 17 I started professionally singing with the Harlem Opera Group led by Charles Wood. And my rolls were Leading Lady Margarita in Faust, Micaela [sp?] in Labarane [sp?], and Mimi [sp?], I'm sorry Micaela in, in Common in Mimi in Labarane. I finally became acquainted with Jazz for the first time when I was 16 but of course I couldn't change my career in midway. So I moved to Philadelphia and really became interested because of a jazz club that was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

around the corner from my home. So in 1958 at the age of 19 and a half I was hired by Charlie Witson [sp?], leader of a jazz group which he renamed three guys and a doll. Of course I had to keep telling the audience that I was the doll. And so I was being paid 50 dollars a night in 1959. So now here it is in 2014 and jazz musicians are still being paid 50 dollars a night. And I understand that somewhere in the village people are being paid five dollars an hour with a meal and I have to add this, they must tip the waiter. So now I also want to state that at 17 and a half I started another career with the federal government, with the Department of the Army and at 35 years old I resigned from Drug Enforcement Administration Department of Defense to pursue my career in music. I knew that I was going to need money to care for my daughter Jewel and myself. So I asked them to give me the money I had accrued for the 19 years of my labor with the federal government. They gave me exactly 19 hundred dollars for the 19 years that I worked. So in 2004 I became the assistant to the Brooklyn Board of Elections, chief of the Brooklyn Board of Elections' Diane Rudiano [sp?]. She called

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to Washington and she asked if I could buy my time back and would I be able to receive a pension at 65. They denied me. So here I am now at 75 years old with no pension. And so I keep promising that I'm closer to leaving here than I am to staying here now. And if we, if we don't get our pensions I promise to come back and haunt every one... So I know that if I had remained in the classical field I would have a pension by now. And also I, I think that because the black musician who has moved into the classical field can receive a pension why can't there be assurety [sic] that the different nationalities of people who has claimed the art of jazz also be secured in receiving a pension as they get older. Because jazz is considered the national treasure of America. There should be a greater concern for each musician who has paid a dear price to learn his or her own craft. We should be considered as highly as doctors who heals the soul, the mind, the body of each individual, who crowd the jazz clubs whether in the United States, Europe, Asia, and now even including India. We should demand the just rewards of receiving a pension in their older age and stop the cruelty of

2.2

adding stress which causes them to die early. And I ask you please, please to support the resolution

207A. And I thank you so much.

much Ms. St. Joan. And while we take the perspective haunting seriously I'm sure you'll be with us for a very long time and we indeed agree with everything you just said and so eloquently. And so thank you so much. And now Mr. Cobb a, yes, before we hear from the living legend himself I want to recognize we've been joined by Council Member Danny Dromm from Queens and Council Member Laurie Cumbo from Brooklyn. So now it's a distinct and privilege to have Mr. Jimmy Cobb testify.

and I'm here to support... intending to do because I agree with the whole situation. And I came to New York in about 1950 and I've been here this long. I, I've probably been in the union 50 years 60 years or something like that and I've been through everything that people go through as a jazz musician like you know, like everything's, like you work in the club and have... little pass... of clubs. I've been through that. And I've been through a

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 whole lot of other things. I've been through Mr. Rome coming on, I don't know if anybody knows Mr. 3 4 Rome. Mr. Rome was a, was a delegate... just walk 5 around and see if anybody had their union cards. If 6 you didn't he would... and, and you couldn't play no 7 more until you got, you know you got paid in the union. But I went through all of that. See I'm here 8 to... whatever is, is going to happen with this, I 9 would, I would really like to see happen because 10 it's, it's overdue. And I decided to play when I 11 12 was like 14 years old or something in Washington D.C. And I stayed there until I was 21 years old. 13 14 When I was 21 years old I came here. You know I've 15 been here ever sense. So I'm, I had been adhering 16 to all the laws that you know happens with the 17 union and all that. So I'm kind of hoping that it 18 gets better. Thank you.

much to all of you for your testimony. I want to recognize we've been joined by Council Member Cornegy from Brooklyn. And if members of the committees have questions feel free to let us know and we will take them. But I want to ask you John what are the discussions, negotiations like with

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

passed and you said in your testimony that none of them had really come to the table and offered the benefits that they should have. So maybe you can update us on some of those discussions, negotiation?

JOHN O'CONNER: Well we've attempted several ways of reaching out to the clubs to try to get response from them. We've sent registered letters. We've sent letters without the registered return. We've made phone calls. We have talked to, informally on the streets because we have demonstrated in, in front of some of the clubs that there's never been, and we have always said to the representatives of the clubs that we've seen that we just want to sit down and be able to have a discussion to see if we could find our way through this. Again the, the owners of the club have never spoken directly to us. Three of the night clubs did meet with Jerry Nadler [sp?] who spoke on our behalf. And that was the Dizzy's Coca-Cola which is affiliated with jazz at Lincoln Center, the Blue Note, the Vansuzen [sp?] family at the Blue Note and the Jazz Standard which is owned by Danny

2.2

Meyer. And we, again none of these clubs agreed.

They told Mr. Nadler that they would not speak to
the union on these issues. So that was our you know
last sort of conversation because we are, again we
haven't spoken directly to them. And we can only
speculate as to why that is. Again we understand
that the clubs say they're not the employers and
we, we feel that the only real way to get these
pension contributions made have to be done at the
place where the money is received from the patrons
that it, that there's no other clear way of making
this happen. And we're, you know we're happy to, if
we can have those discussions with the clubs we're
happy to work with them to find the best way to do
that.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you. And in terms of the economics of this you know I for one believe very much that this is about justice for the jazz musicians and artists. But some would argue that this could be too costly for the club. So I was wondering if you could speak to that issue. Chair Miller and I were talking before and from the experience of being at some of these clubs they're doing very well, packed houses, charging a

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

premium for the privilege of seeing the talent. So maybe you can talk a little bit about the economics 3 of this and, and what you think makes it work.

JOHN O'CONNOR: Well we've crunched the numbers on this. We've gone to almost every one of the six clubs that we've looked at and you know most nights when they have celebrity artists come, jazz artists you know they have almost full houses if not you know overflowing houses. So we know that the, the clubs are full. They're charging hefty admission prices. We, again we're not, we're not in discussion with the clubs so we can't say what this, this would cost because that would be a matter of negotiations. So we take, we've, but we've taken a number so we can spin out a scenario and... the, the number that we use just for our own ways of doing some calculations is a 200 dollar minimum scale wage which would be, and then a ten percent or 11 percent pension based on the 200 dollar scale wage would mean about 22 dollars per musician who plays in the club. And the, you know what, what we'd like to say is that's to give one contribution for a musician would be less than the price of two drinks in one of those clubs. So we

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

really see this as very... kind of financings. We also have talked to audiences. Audiences say they would be glad to spend, you know spend more money at the door to make sure that musicians are paid fairly. So you know clubs raising their prices a few bucks would not impact the audiences. So we don't really see a clear economic argument against this.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you for addressing that issue. And I want to say to Ms. St. Joan and Mr. Cobb if what we all know is true that jazz is America's national treasure then you both are also national treasures and we value national treasures. And this is what this is all about is making sure that all of the musicians are paid appropriately, valued, appreciated, and can retire in dignity which every worker should have that right. And, and you all have brought so much joy to so many people for decades and decades. And so are the others who will testify and the least we can do is make sure that you retire in dignity and pass this resolution. I know we have a number of council members who have questions. I want to pass it to my Co-Chair Daneek Miller for his questions as well.

2.1

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Thank you Mr.

Chair. So John I have a few questions for you in, in terms of the negotiations or lack thereof as pertains to these six establishments. But I want to digress and then we'll go back to work. Chair Van Bramer mentioned the incentives in the 2007 agreement through, with the state of New York specifically was there any preconditions based on the, was those incentives based on any preconditions as it pertained to the musicians and artists.

JOHN O'CONNOR: The attempt to get legislation passed back in 2006 and 2007 as I understand it this was previous administration. My understanding is that they had hoped to have legislation passed at the state level that would give tax relief to the night clubs that eliminate the tax. And they would find some legislative way of making that, those funds, the tax funds go into the musician's pension fund on behalf of the musicians. In the end we found out that that wasn't possible that the legislation would have... only as an elimination of the tax so that's, that was the outcome. We had a, there were a number of three or

2.1

2.2

four night clubs that did support passing the bill
that would eliminate the tax. Are the, to your
knowledge are the, do any of these establishments
receive any other subsidies?

JOHN O'CONNOR: I don't know. Not, I don't think so.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay so, so this is basically just based on what we, what you have seen on the door currently. And aside from any government or any other agency assistance that in your opinion they could afford to participate in, in, in a program which, which compensates in this case musicians in an appropriate manner.

JOHN O'CONNOR: I'm, I'm... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So, so it's your opinion that what they take in without subsidies is sufficient in, in compensating the musicians in an appropriate manner.

JOHN O'CONNOR: Yes that's what we believe.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. So in terms of the pension piece has there been any actuality over what, what the cost would be on this, what what the cost would be on the pensions.

that would really be a result of negotiations...

[cross-talk] So we have only been able to spin out certain scenarios of what it would cost. And again it would be, we think not more than 20 dollars per musician per night which you know if you have a quintet you can see it's not a lot of money for an evening where there are you know thousands and thousand of dollars coming through the door from admission costs.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And many of it mine so. So I, I see that you've done your due diligence and in terms of actual negotiating, negotiation sessions you said there've been none or... [crosstalk] And have they bargained with 802 in, in, in a good faith bargaining sessions with 802 in terms of compensation, benefit package, wage package...

[cross-talk]

JOHN O'CONNOR: Not with the night clubs.

CHAIRPERSON MILER: Have you requested such sessions or hearings to, to exist?

JOHN O'CONNOR: Yes, numerous times.

2.2

2.2

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: And, and they are refusing. If you could, if you could provide the committee with, with those letters of request to sit down we'd be greatly appreciative.

JOHN O'CONNOR: We'd be happy to do that.

much. So to the legends and we thank you both for, for being here this morning. It's an honor and privilege to have you here. When you play anyone of these six venues are you... Mr. Cobb you belong to the union of course are you paid union scaled when you play any of these venues? When, when you play one of the six venues that's, that was mentioned in the earlier testimony are you paid according to union scale or union contract or you negotiate something separate or are they... [cross-talk]

JIMMY COBB: I don't know. Most of the time it's negotiable.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: For you?

JIMMY COBB: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Any other union to your knowledge any other card carrying members that

play these venues are they paid scale or is that
negotiable as well?

2.2

JIMMY COBB: I don't know if I'm quite understanding what you're saying. Say that again please.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: So is there a set sale, set scale according to the union collective bargaining agreement or is it, not just in pay in terms of benefit package or whatever else that you would receive by performing at any venue that you do or don't receive by performing at these six venues here?

JIMMY COBB: Well I, I, I kind of hope that as long as I've… set scales right?

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Yeah, yeah of course.

JIMMY COBB: So I, I negotiate with, with the club owner when I get there you know so that's basically how it happens with, for me. But I imagine there are some people that have, that deal with the union scale. I... in a minute

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Okay. Is there any, a portion of the benefit package that they're responsible for as well... [cross-talk] union. Okay

2.2

2 so... that's it thank you, thank you so much for your 3 testimony.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: I want to... [cross-talk]

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Turn it over to Chair Van Bramer.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Recognize we've been joined by Council Member Steve Levin Brooklyn and Elizabeth Crowley of Queens. And the, we'll turn it over to Council Member Johnson for questions.

Van Bramer and thank you Chair Miller for holding this hearing today on this very important topic. I want to thank the folks that are with us who have testified; John O'Connor who is vice president of 802, is a constituent, lives at the... on the Westside Manhattan Plaza which I believe has allowed many musicians to make their living and have an adequate and affordable place to live on the west side. I am proud to be a prime sponsor of this resolution today. I'm proud that Local 802 is located in my district. And what I'm not proud of is that a good number of these jazz clubs that have

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

refused to negotiate and refuse to compensate the musicians fairly are located in my district. ...three of the six I think are located in the district; Birdland, Village Van Guard, and Dizzy's I think are all located in my district. Today this resolution is about saying that if you work regardless of what the work is and you put in your time you just, you deserve to be compensated fairly and adequately. You deserve to be able to retire with dignity. You deserve to not have to worry about going bankrupt or needing government support if you have a health problem. This is about saying that musicians who live and work in New York City and perform at these very famed venues deserve to be treated with dignity and deserve to be compensated fairly. We just went through Local 802... just went through really difficult negotiations with the... and in the end there was some compromise and negotiation. It wasn't a perfect deal but at the end of the day they're great musicians and singers and artists that work at the mat now are going to have some surety and sense of what their pay is going to be, what their pension contribution is going to be, what their healthcare coverage is

2

4

going to be, and it should be the same exact way for jazz musicians. There should be a similar 3 process of negotiation that should happen. And folks that are performing in these clubs should not have to be 75 years old and not have a retirement 6 7 package or a pension plan. There are stories I know of very famed jazz musicians who have become 8 destitute and who cannot support themselves in any 9 way after a long and storied career. It is 10 shameful. And the folks that are running these 11 12 clubs have the ability to both pay musicians fairly 13 and make a pension contribution, as well as sit down and listen to musicians and let their 14 15 experiences... what they need. So today I am proud to 16 co-sponsor this resolution. I'm proud to stand with you all to continue this fight moving forward. I 17 18 don't have any questions. I think that Chair Van Bramer and Chair Miller really cover it. But I 19 20 wanted to be here this morning to continue to say that this city council over the past eight and a 21 2.2 half months in my time here has always stood on the 23 side of working people, working families, and trying to level the playing field. Every committee, 24 25 every vote we've taken has been in that vein. And I

2.2

know that the support that hopefully will take place for this resolution will continue... towards support, supporting working men and women artists and musicians in New York City and I'm proud to stand with you and I'm proud to stand with you and continue that fight. Thank you for being here today and thank you for... forward to be supportive of this great effort. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: thank you very much Council Member Johnson for your continued leadership on the, this effort. And Council Member Rosenthal would you like to ask... it is actually. Perfect timing Council Member Rosenthal.

bringing the issue to the council's attention and chairs thank you so much for your leadership. I'm bringing this resolution in front of us. In the interest of exploring the ideas that you pursued with Congressman Nadler and working with the state and I'm wondering if, I'm very interested by this, I'm so glad you're here, I'm so glad you're here trying to right a wrong. I'm wondering if you spoke with the businesses that you mentioned and with the state about other ideas and if you got a sense if

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

they might be flexible to other things. I like the idea of tax credits to the businesses that participate in a pension program. I'm wondering if maybe they would consider contributions to personal IRAs. If any other ideas we'll explore and you know if we could be helpful in pushing for other ideas to be explored.

JOHN O'CONNER: Well again the nightclubs refused to talk to us about anything. They don't talk to us and will not return our phone calls. They will not, they do not acknowledge our mail so no alternatives have been talked about because there's been no discussion. I would like to point out in response to your, you know the idea of setting up IRAs and so on that it's important to understand that the AFMEPF which is the music... the pension fund that is connected with the musician's union is a robust pension fund even after all the trouble that pension funds have been in that it is a secure fund that's been around since 1959. And it's, it's a tool that we can use, it's a variable tool, and it's a very good tool. And the, the problem is that we just need to link it from... it has to be employer contributions to make it work.

And so the problem is we just need to link it. And once it's linked you're going to have a fund unlike IRAs or a, a 401K you're going to have a fund that guarantees... it, it's a guaranteed benefit which means that once somebody starts collecting that pension it's guaranteed for the rest of their life,

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Thank...

[cross-talk] it was my lack of understanding of that... [cross-talk]

JOHN O'CONNOR: Mm-hmm.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: And so the state is saying, when you explained the ideas of the tax rebates to employers that would contribute to the pension fund that's just not technically possible or... [cross-talk]

JOHN O'CONNOR: My understanding, this was a, was passed under the Pataki Administration and my understanding, there really is no written record of this, but my understanding is that it was, that the bill was passed only to eliminate the tax because it was not, the opinion was that that it was not legally possible to...

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Link it.

2.2

it doesn't run out.

24

25

2 JOHN O'CONNOR: ...to link it to the 3 pension fund because they have to be employer contributions. Now, so this was a, it wasn't a tax 4 5 abatement, it was, it was tax relief. There wasn't 6 sales tax, there were sales tax on admissions. So 7 audiences, customers no longer have to pay that sales tax. And in one case as we understand it 8 there's one night club that included the sales tax 9 10 in their admission price. And when the relief came they still continued to charge that price. So they 11 12 are the one club that it actually made financial gains from the tax, from that tax waiver. All the 13 14 other clubs the tax was put on the bill at the end 15 of the evening so the customer paid the tax. 16 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Right, and 17 not necessarily part of the admission... [cross-talk] 18 JOHN O'CONNOR: Right. 19 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: ...piece. 20 JOHN O' CONNOR: Right. COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Is it, have 21 2.2 we explored... apologize we could talk about this 23 offline but the possibility of it as de minimis as

this is there being just a city component where we

would you know ramp up enforcement to make sure

that the taxes were being paid on the admission price. And then you know with oversight require that it's such a de minimis amount of money so it's painful to talk about but require that the city component of the tax be directed by the employer to the pension fund with the incentive... I mean if it's a, with enforcement requirements, so that you know it's not a matter of bringing the stores to the table, it's an enforcement issue of the tax, the city you know sales tax component. It just might be worth exploring. I'd be happy to think about this more. I'm glad you're here talking about it.

know I can't speak as to what is legally possible.

I understand that the, the elimination of the tax
was a state matter and not a city matter but that's
not my expertise. I think any attempt by the city
council to try to do anything would help shed light
on the issue and that, that's what's important to
this, this cause is to have, and we really
appreciate this hearing, we appreciate the sponsors
of this bill, considering it because it does shed
light on this really important issue. It's a, it's

2.2

2 such an example of an injustice that really we
3 don't think would take much to correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSENTHAL: Yeah we have more support. Thank you to the chairs.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much Council Member Rosenthal. Thoughtful and important contributions as always. I want to say a couple of things John. The fact that the clubs will not even talk is absolutely unacceptable. That's outrageous because there are no jazz clubs without jazz musicians and artists and the legends that are here today in this room. And we've demonstrated in this city that businesses can both make money and treat their workers well, pay them well, and make sure they retire in dignity, that works. That happens. And that needs to happen here. So I just want to say those, those things. Obviously you have quite a lot of support here. We have a three more Council Members for this panel and then I want everyone to know we have a number of other legends in the room who are going to be testifying as well. So we want to allow them as well. But first we'll hear from Council Member Cumbo, Council Member Cornegy, and Council Member Levin.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Good afternoon.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Thank you so much for coming. Thank you to our chairs. I'm just very excited that you all have taken the leadership in terms of bringing this to the forefront, to all of us. But I've worked... for a number of years and one of the things that I notice is that anytime something is being done people will say alright we've got to, we got to go buy the liquor, we've got to go buy the food, we've got to go get the caterer, let's see if we can get a photographer to do it for free, and let's see if we can get a musician to volunteer. And that, and that seems to be somewhat of a dynamic that I see happens often. What I wanted to know was are there any clubs in New York City currently that have gotten this right, that are doing it in a way that all musicians would say this is the club that we want to perform in because with this club we're taken care of well and or are there any cities either in the United States or abroad or countries where people feel we want to perform in X, Y, and Z place or we know that the musicians in this city or country they do very well as musicians living and or thriving in that city?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

JOHN O'CONNOR: Well that's a... And ... at this ... I don't know ... to answer to the second part of the question as you know there are European countries that support the arts much better than our country unfortunately. And there are safety nets in European countries, the sort that musicians don't have to worry say about health care in other countries. And that's maybe tangential to this, to this issue but... And now I'm forgetting the first part of your question. Oh right the clubs. Yeah, no... None of ... right ... none of the jazz clubs have, there have been over the years my understanding is that night clubs have made agreements with the union but they have not been long lived. There was one nightclub that we entered in negotiations with recently, it's not a jazz club, it's more like a cabaret club called 54 below which is on 54th Street. And we were able, able to enter in negotiations with that nightclub because 90 percent of the musicians that worked in that nightclub were musicians that all so played Broadway and they're union musicians. So we had frankly speaking we had to leverage to get them to sit down and talk with us. And we did work out an agreement. And this is,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

we think this is an important agreement because it is a nightclub even though it's not a jazz club we think it is an important part of the path to getting to the idea of having nightclubs sit down and come to terms with us as far as collective bargaining... in the nightclubs. The nightclubs historically have not been in collective bargaining relationship with a union. We think that's something that needs to change. You know 30 or 40 years ago the, this was a different city. This is a city that had lots, more live music than we have now. And we had a lot more union membership and, and the culture of music was much more harmoginized [sic] in the sense that people played a certain kind of dance music so everybody's playing the same kind of music. And the union was able to, it was a what we'd call a protective association. Musicians joined and, and they upheld the rules of musicians had to not play for less than a certain amount of money in clubs. And so there, there was that interdisciplinary thing that was going on in the nightclub so people always got paid because we had a, a strong union, lots of music. But times have changed and so now we have nightclubs in New York

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

City that don't pay anything. And we've heard of night clubs actually where you have to pay to have an opportunity to play your art. So times have changed in a way that we feel that collective bargaining is the solution.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Let me ask you a question to that point. So how does it work in let's say any of these club whether it's the blue note or, or whatever? Is it that you as the new, are you called let's say like by the Blue Note and they say hey we want to know if you want to perform next month, Friday, October 10th and you say yes and you negotiate like that? Or is it one of those things where a club like that will say we want to put you our roster for the next two to five years. We're going to sign a contract, you'll play maybe every, six times a year on these specific dates and we create a contract? Or is it usually a one off situation where when they think of it they'll say hey let's bring in X, Y, and Z musician and it happens that way? Because you know everything from these jazz festivals you know from Newport to others and the clubs. To me, on the, from the outside looking in it seems like they're one off

situations where people... but it would seem in terms of what we're trying to do to negotiate the ability to have a contract out of a pension would seem that there would be more strength in it by having long term contracts where people would perform for longer periods of time... [cross-talk]

JOHN O'CONNOR: Well in most cases I mean it's the market. This is, you know used to be that a jazz band would play weeks in a club. And now the standard is usually a week, actually less than a week, it might be four nights, five nights a week, and that they, it's one week.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Mm.

might have someone who comes in the city might do two weeks on a rare occasion. There are regular musicians that play in some of these nightclubs as a sort of house big band. I know the Village Vanguard, and someone's going to speak to that later, the, the Birdland has a number of regular bands that play there. Of course the rages for the big bands are considerably less than you know quintet... [cross-talk]

2.2

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 JOHN O'CONNOR: ...act. But it's really, 3 this business is based on what the market can bear in these times and I think the market does not bear 4 5 much more than someone playing for a week at a 6 time. And they are one offs as far as I understand. 7 So we're, our concept is put a contract in place that's very simple which means that everybody, 8 every time somebody does come and play in this 9 10 nightclub they can expect at least a minimum scale wage at this amount of money and a pension 11 12 contribution that will go under their social security number into the pension fund so that those 13 14 musicians who play regularly in these bands, and a 15 lot of musicians play, for different bands and 16 different leaders. And they, they are really the, you know the workhorses really so to speak in the, 17 18 the, the first call musicians for these bands would doing this regular work automatically get a pension 19 20

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: My final question is how is what you're doing, because I'm trying to get an education at the same time on this, how is what you're doing in alignment or with keeping with actors in terms of having a SAG card or something

at the, you know when they turn 65.

like that or, or, or the ability to have that. How does, how is what you're doing similar to that or different?

JOHN O'CONNOR: Well I think what we're doing is different not just from actors but in you know in... [cross-talk]

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Not in terms of art form but in terms of the, the economics or the, the benefits rather.

JOHN O'CONNOR: Yeah I think we're just trying to break out of the idea that the only way that you are going to have security through the pension is working in one orchestra that has a job all year around at the same place.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Right.

JOHN O'CONNOR: These are musicians who don't have that sort of employment.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Right.

JOHN O'CONNOR: And that's what we're, that we're trying to break out of the idea and saying it's possible to do it for those kind of musicians who work for different employers every week.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Right.

2.2

JOHN O'CONNOR: But every employer of a certain stature is bound by the same rules so they all contribute equally a modest amount for the security of musicians when they retire.

COUNCIL MEMBER CUMBO: Understood. Thank you very much and thank you for your testimony.

It's an honor to be in your presence thank you.

JOHN O'CONNOR: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much and the final questions for this panel and I know that Mr. Cranshaw among others have to get going but we really want to hear from you Mr. Cranshaw so if you can hold on for a few more minutes. We want to give Council Member Cornegy the opportunity to ask some questions of this panel.

chairs Van Bramer and also Miller for this important hearing. And I will, I will be brief. I just would like to say that I am very proud to be a co-prime on this resolution. I've had the pleasure of living in Israel, Turkey, France, Spain, and Columbia. And as some Americans go through those countries and gauge the tolerance through McDonalds I gauged it through jazz clubs that were there. So

2.2

I king of found my way home through jazz in other countries. What it did was it gave me an, an opportunity to see the way that the world view of jazz is and we have to do better. Some of those countries I've stayed in demonstrated a commitment to the art form through the clubs and their support and also through government support. And I just think that it's so far, we're so far off that I had to go there and witness. And so I began to have friends who, who've gone abroad and who have left the country and have lives abroad based on the commitment of those other countries. So I think that we just have to do better and I'm proud to be able to be a co-prime on this.

So much Council Member Cornegy. With that I want to thank this panel for opening up this important hearing. Thank you to local 802, Mr. Cobb, and Ms. St. Joan thank you so much for being here. Now we'll call the next panel; Bob Cranshaw who some folks know is a legend and has played with Sonny Rollins among others, Jimmy Owens if Jimmy Owens with us, is there a lot of jazz musicians named Jimmy which is alright with me, and, and Gene

2 Perla, if Gene Perla is here would join the panel.

3 And Mr. Cranshaw you go first and if you need to

4 skedaddle after your testimony feel free to do so

5 | we'll take your testimony and follow-up with

6 questions, thank you so much. Go ahead Mr.

Cranshaw.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

BOB CRANSHAW: Hi I'm Bob Cranshaw. I've been a bass player, I'm a jazz bass player and a teacher and an executive board member of Local 802. I've been active on the scene in the United States and around the world for over 60 years. I've been the bass player in the band for Sonny Rollins since 1959. I'm 81 by the way so I've been there a long time. I have appeared with many artists over the years, singles Ella Fitzgerald, Sara Vaughn, Peggy Lee, Liza Minnelli, Dizzy Gillespie, Charles Aznavour, Quincy Jones, and others. I was the house bassist player for over 36 years... down here 25, 36 years for Sesame Street so I've been in everybody's home. Whether you wanted me or not I was there. Okay. I've also worked on TV shows the Electric Company, 3-2-1 Contact... I was the original bassist for, with the live band for Saturday Night Live. I left after Belushi died but I was there from the

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

very beginning. I also am a member and board member and, for the Jazz Foundation of America. I have been advocating with the musicians union particularly on the subject of pensions. I have seen countless musicians in crisis, people who are highly respected, incredibly talented people, but who failed to prepare for their retirement due to, due to the lack of benefits available to them. By the way I'm one of the musicians who made it through with all of, with the union behind me. I'm one of the musicians who made it. The system worked for me. And part of that was because of the work I've done outside of playing jazz. If I got a pension from monies paid in jazz I would probably getting 18 hundred, I would eight, 18 thousand dollars a, almost a month from my pension had monies gone in for me with the jazz. All of the studio things that I've done over the years is many, I got paid so I was taken care of. But I'm one of the few. And my fight is because I was one who was fortunate. Now I got to help the others coming up. All of the guys who were my age and have nothing. I'm, I look around the people that I grew up with very few of them have anything. So I'm in

2.2

the fight because I got to help my friends and the brothers who didn't have the opportunity to do. I won't read the rest, you all can read. But I want to thank you for listening to us. I really appreciate you all having us here today. So please help us do what we need to do. And I thank you. I'm going to leave you but I'm, I'm in everybody's home. Remember every time your kids, Sesame Street you know some of the guys.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you so much Mr. Cranshaw for everything that you've done and meant to all of us in our childhoods and beyond. Gene Perla do you want to go next?

opportunity to present our case to you today. I'm going to skip that first paragraph there and just tell you a little bit about my association with the musician's union. It started out in 1963 when I was a student in Boston and I was offered a gig in a strip club. But it turned out that I had to join the union in order to do the job. And at that time there were two musician's union covering the territory of Boston. Essentially one was white and the other was black. When I looked into it I saw

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

that the black union was cheaper so that's what I joined. And as time went on I then moved to New York City and then became a member of 802 which I still am. In early 70s I decided to, decided to start a record company and that led me to another association with the union as my record company corporation signed signatory agreements with the American Federation of Musicians where I had to pay according to the rules and regulations that was set up. The third was in, on Broadway. I became involved in doing Broadway shows including the Lion King, doing sound design for that and, and The City of Angels if you might know that show. And then in the last five years I became a teacher at the new School for Jazz and Contemporary Music in New York and the adjunct instructors are members of the Musicians Union pension fund. And finally a few years ago my corporation signed a, an agreement with the Musicians Union where I'm responsible to take care of pension payments for that program. So... During the last seven years Justice for Jazz Artists and AFM Local 802 have reached out to club owners with formal offers of negotiation in the form of registered letters on at least seven

2 disparate occasions. Local 802 and Jazz, and Justice for Jazz Artists it should read, not 3 4 musicians, have also delivered petitions to jazz 5 clubs including a petition where there were 2,000 6 signatures of support delivered in September 2009 to the Blue Note. That petition has since swelled to over 7,000 signatures. Additionally Justice for 8 Jazz Artists and 802 have placed dozens of phone 9 10 calls to the clubs over a period of seven years requesting communication on these issues. Neither 11 12 the letters, phone calls, nor the petitions have resulted in anything substantive in the form of a 13 14 response from any club and in the case of the 15 majority of the clubs those calls and letters and 16 petitions had been similarly ignored. Musicians and 17 Justice for Jazz Artists supporters has peacefully 18 demonstrated sometimes at the risk of arrest in front of the major jazz venues on more than two 19 20 dozen occasions since September 2009. In the fall of 2013 musicians Ron Carter, Christian McBride, 2.1 2.2 Jason Moran, Joe Lovano, Bob Cranshaw, Mr. Jimmy 23 Owens, and Bill Frisell signed a letter that was 24 mailed to several clubs asking for an off the 25 record sit down to discuss the issue underlying

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

jazz for jazz artists demands. On at least two occasions, once in the fall of 2009 and more recently in the spring of 2013 musicians were threatened with loss of work by club owners for participating in Justice for Jazz Artists demonstrations. The fear of reprisals by club owners has kept many musicians who would otherwise support this effort from publically stating their support. To date only, and it should read, one club has formally responded to Justice for Jazz Artists and those talks broke down when the club refused to acknowledge the employer contribution model in place at the pension fund proposing instead that its patrons voluntarily and randomly contribute to the fund in the form of a suggested donation. The reason we, why, excuse me, the reason we are here today seeking a resolution from the city council is because the clubs have lest us, left us with no recourse but to take our argument to the public and to our elected officials. I urge each and every one of you to support Resolution 207-A in order to create a retirement security for the jazz musicians who work these clubs and to ensure the future of the music. Finally I just want to say a little

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 thing that I've had a, a wonderful career as a musician. I continue to be a jazz musician and I 3 perform regularly. And the only reason I'm able to do that is because I do all these other things in my life. If I didn't have these other things I'd be 6 7 struggling, I know it, because I've seen so many of my brothers and sisters come to the end of their 8 line. They can't handle their instruments anymore 9 as they used to. And they're in a mess. So I look 10 for some relief. Thank you. 11

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much. Mr. Owens.

JIMMY OWENS: Ah yes. Thank you very much. My name is Jimmy Owens and I'm a jazz artist. I play trumpet and flugelhorn. I was born and raised in the Bronx. I'm a product of the public school system. I learned music in the 7th grade. I went to the High School of Music and Art. And after graduation I proceeded to work with musicians like Lionel Hamptom, great xylophonist, saxophonist Hank Crawford, Bassist Charles Mingus, Duke Ellington, Count Basie, Willie Taylor, Max Roach, Art Blakey. I worked with the giants of this music. This is my 56 year as being a professional jazz artist. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

travel all over the world and I speak to musicians in other countries who have been jazz artists less time than me and they have pensions from their countries. All of those people I knew and I work with not one of them paid into a pension fund or was given pension fund dollars from contributions from places that they worked. So consequently the pension fund started in 1959, Duke Ellington is still alive, Count Basie. Their bands were working 300 if not more days a year. And there was no pension paid to Duke Ellington for any of this work so consequently those musicians didn't get any pension. The musicians who work in the clubs in New York more than 3,000 musicians easily in a year. Many of them don't or will not have large social security contributions. They will not have a pension unless they started one themselves. The AFofM pension has more than 2,800,000 dollars in it right now. We find that Jazz artists as they get into their older age they're called less for work. At the same time they work less, maybe sometimes before, because of health. That's why we had to start the Jazz Foundation of America to help artists who are in difficulty. Being able, not

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

being able to work the Jazz Foundation has come to their aid in paying rent, back rent, and mortgages, buying food. And this is largely because in all of the years of work they have not been able to accrue a, an American federation of musicians pension fund because the places they work don't pay into the pension fund. As Bob said he's one of the fortunate ones. I'm one of the fortunate ones also because I've worked in a lot of different situations where I've accrued pension contributions. And then in 1995 my accountant told me I should start a corporation so I could pay into the pension for myself and my musicians of which that's what I do and I pay into the pension fund from every job that I do for myself and my musicians because I know the places that we're working are not going to be paying into a pension fund. And I'm speaking about colleges across the United States. So in 1972 I pretty much gave up playing in the clubs for a living and started to do other things that were more important from the standpoint of the amount of money I would make as well as being able to control that money and pay into the pension fund when I could. I'd like to say that you have a history of

2 helping important things. Many of you probably don't know that you passed in committee here in 3 4 1986 a law that said jazz was a national treasure 5 to New York. And the reason you did that, I was a 6 part of that... joined congress... brought in here so it would be easier to pass that law in Washington 8 D.C. The City Council stepped up first and did it. 9 You need to help us now. In some way... of people 10 I've been playing the trumpet for 60 years. I'm 70 years old. There are numerous people who would 11 12 benefit tremendously by something positive 13 happening in this way. I've been involved with all 14 of that. I sat down with someone along with Bob 15 Cranshaw and this particular owner of a jazz club 16 told us shh, it'd be a cold day in hell before I 17 work with the Musicians Union on anything. Say 18 what, you have all of these benefits in your club to help jazz artists, why won't you do this when we 19 20 got the tax repealed, 8.25 at that point now it's 8.75 I think. I went up to Albany. I played in 21 2.2 Albany and they loved the performance and went back 23 and passed the bill. Governor Pataki was about 24 ready to sign the bill when he said he could not 25 legislate where that money would go. Yes I can stop the tax from having to be paid but I can't say what you have to do with that money. So that is the problem that we had at first. The bill was rewritten in such a way and then all of the clubs that said they would do it reneged on it. So that's the problems that we're facing. I wanted to end my words with a few notes of music that mean something very important to me. This is a spiritual that I play quite often at many of my friends' funerals.

Nobody knows the trouble I've seen. [jazz music plays]

[applause]

JIMMY OWENS: We perform for you under many many different situations. And you usually never know the problems we're having. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you.

First of all I just want to say thank you both of you for your advocacy and Mr. Owens thank you for reminding us why we're all here and, and for making me a, once again... very very lucky to chair this committee every once in a while we get to do some extraordinary things. That was one of them. So thank you for that.

2.2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

JIMMY OWENS: And did you say that Jimmy
Cobb and myself have been given the highest award
that you can have given it by the United States
government. We are both, National endowment for the
Arts jazz masters.

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you so much for, for that testimony and for that real special treat and tribute. I want to recognize Costa Constantinides, Council Member Constantinides is also a sponsor of this resolution. And I do want to assure both of you that just as the council did in 1986 and, and you're correct none of us were here there's a little thing called... that doesn't let that happen anymore. But we, we are stepping up here as well and, and I pledge to both of you that we will continue in this effort. So thank you very very much. We have one last panel. There are four panelists I believe. And if all four would come up as we have four seats there, John Mosca, Alexander Gleason, Todd Weeks, and Andrew Lamb if all four of you would join us for the final panel of this hearing and... Why don't you kick us off there on the far end and we'll go down the line. Okay first let me correct the record. One of the speakers listed

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

was incorrectly. We have Ms. Bertha Hope who will
be joining this panel. So I want to thank Ms. Hope
for joining us and we'll start this panel now.

ALEX GLEASON: Good morning. My name is Alex Gleason and I am the policy associate for the New York City Central Labor Council, AFLCIO. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to testify today on this increasingly important topic. I'd also like to commend the sponsors of resolution 207-A and the chairs of the civil service and labor committee and the committee on cultural affairs for convening this hearing. The NYCCLC represents approximately 1.3 million workers across the city. We represent workers in a broad array of industries, construction workers, transportation workers, sanitation workers, doctors, as well as musicians and artists of all types. Music is one thing that has helped Make New York City the cultural, cultural arbiter that it is. Jazz music in particular has a storied history that is deeply woven into the fabric of this city. Every year countless tourists flock to this city seeking New, New York City culture and entertainment and packing the jazz clubs. The jazz clubs in NYC are making

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

millions of dollars each year. While the jazz artists that provide their entertainment are often paid a respectable wage they have no access to the health and retirement benefits that many New Yorkers do. These musicians are also generally paid as independent contractors which leaves them on their own when it comes to social security payments and payments of taxes. If a musician in this situation should fall ill the result is often financially devastating. The NYCCLC and our affiliates wholeheartedly support the efforts of the justice for jazz artists campaign to see that these jazz musicians, workers just like the rest of us are given the opportunity to organize and are afforded the health and retirement benefits they so justly deserve. Thank you.

JOHN MOSCA: Good morning. I'm John

Mosca, trombone player, composer, band leader, I've

played in numerous ensembles and on many records.

For more than 35 years I've plaid with the Grammy

winning Vanguard Jazz Orchestra, formerly the Thad

Jones/Mel Lewis Orchestra. And I currently serve as

its director. This is my primary workplace in New

York City. If we use the Village Vanguard as an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

example of how union pension in a club could work we begin with the fact that the Vanguard along with several other clubs on the Justice for Jazz list regularly employs the same musicians on a weekly basis. I lead a big band that has been performing every Monday night at the Village Vanguard, performing every Monday night at the Village Vanquard. We're in our 48th year. The band has been there every Monday night since 1966. This is our place of business. The Vanguard Jazz Orchestra has 16 players. Based on the Union's estimate pension for the band would cost the club about 400 dollars a week for the whole band, or 20 thousand dollars per year. That's about 12 hundred dollars annually for each musician. That's not a lot but it is a significant step towards building a pension or augmenting an existing pension. The gross annual income for the Village Vanguard operating at a 50 percent capacity is roughly 1.2 million dollars. It is most likely significantly higher than that. It's affordable for the club. Of the musicians who work in small groups who come to work at the Vanguard for a six night runs Tuesday, Tuesday through Sunday would receive pension contributions every

4

2 time they appear at the club. In order to secure a pension one needs to invest in the plan. It takes 3 five years to vest with its Justice for Jazz plan 5 in place a club like the Vanguard a musician can 6 vest after just ten week long appearances over five 7 years. The big band musicians because of their 8 weekly appearances will definitely vest in the plan. This plan can and will work. I would just 9 10 like to reiterate that this plan would help a lot of people who work at the Vanguard and other clubs 11 12 and that the jazz musicians sorely need it. The clubs are our workspaces and we should get benefits 13 14 that other workers get on the job. I strongly urge 15 you to support to resolution 207-A. Thank you very 16 much. I wanted to before I finish respond to a couple of questions I heard from the Councilwoman 17 18 Cumbo before asking about what cities in other parts of the country or world do for their 19 20 musicians. And it, it occurred to me, just had a conversation yesterday with a friend who left New 21 2.2 York a great jazz trumpet player who left New York 23 to take a job in Cologne, Germany. Now if we talk 24 about cultural capitals of the world, or jazz 25 capitals of the world Cologne, Germany might not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

come up right away. But they have a big band that performs under the auspices of the radio there. And their base pay is 90 thousand dollars a year with 10 weeks paid vacation and all sorts of the whole German... health care system. And that's, that's just one particular job. These, these things have, they exist all over Europe, Holland especially. And it's possible to do this. I mean one of the reasons that the union has proceeded gingerly thus far is because they, the union and musicians recognize that in the larger cultural war that we, we are allies with the jazz clubs. We're both, we're all trying to do the same thing which is to preserve and perpetuate this great art form. This right now is, is a squabble but it's very important to solve so that we can go forward and have something that we can leave to the people coming after us. I think you can see that most of us here today with deference to the beautiful ladies that we're happy to be in their company, both, we all have some mileage on us. And we're at the point now where we think about what's going to happen after, after ... it's, as we've seen in, at least in my lifetime I've seen things become progressively more and more

2.2

much.

difficult for jazz musicians. This is of course in partly due to the fact that jazz has declined as a center of cultural life in America that's a tragedy we don't need to go into here. But it's also because of economics and these, these things are all, all solvable I believe. Thanks, thanks very

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you so much. Ms. Bertha please... [cross-talk]

Council members and panel. Before I read my prepared text I would just like to remind people about how long ago it was when John Conyers and the Council that... referred to called the music a national treasure. That was in 1987 before the 100th congress of United States of America. So at the federal level the music has been, has it made it a national treasure but the treasures have been left depleted. And I speak to you today as one of those lucky enough in terms of health, I'm healthy enough to continue to work and do a few residences and I have just returned from Ireland and France as a working musician. And I am 77 years old without a pension working since I was 12 years old in some

2 capacity as a musician. My name is Bertha Hope. I'm a jazz pianist and educator. I worked as a leader 3 4 of my own trio and quintet and as a side musician 5 here in New York in the tri-state area for many 6 years. And have been privileged to have in my band... 7 jazz master who was just here, Jimmy Cobb along 8 with Walter Brooklyn Jr. [sp?] who was my second hand man, Andy Henderson, and my late husband Elmar 9 Hope [sp?] with whom I collaborated. Last decade we 10 have seen a loss of a number of our jazz... Many of 11 12 whom have lived to a ripe old age other than that in the best of health or with good care. Musicians 13 14 such as Hank Jones [sp?], Billy Powell, Dr. Billy 15 Taylor, Frank Ress, and... all worked with dignity 16 into their 80s and 90s and all were collecting a minimum pension at the time of their death. However 17 18 the vast majority of jazz musicians, even those who... and are considered to be in demand players 19 20 have been forced by the nature of their occupation and by its... certain standards in our society to 21 2.2 live a much less... existence as they've grown older. 23 There are seven musicians in this room and although 24 they may not want to admit it have very little in 25 the way of resources now that they are past

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

retirement age. This means they are either forced to accept charity or to attempt to continue to work, something that most people in other professions would simply not be asked to do. Unfortunately for the vast majority of musicians now at the time of... it may be too late... pension would not do them much good. There are musicians that many are, have known and played with and... met so lucky to live to retirement age or truly had adequate resources at the end of their lives. At the very least their final illnesses could have been mitigated or at least treated more humanely had they had access to basic benefits that we all take for granted such as health insurance or a decent retirement income. One such musician was the Brooklyn born drummer Wade Barnes who I would like to say I had the pleasure to work with... wonderful and dedicated musician he was. He was known to hundreds of musicians and thousands of fans all over the tri-state area and all the New York boroughs. And he was working on a doctorate at the time of his death. And the children of New Orleans would be eternally grateful to, what he did for them during the hurricane when many musicians were

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

out of work and he traveled with his band there to do educational workshops and to keep those musicians working. In the winter and the early spring of 2012 Wade was suffering from late stage diabetes when due to his extremely weakened condition he suffered a massive heart attack while staying at the home of a friend. Wade was, was highly educated and something of a jazz purist... an extremely proud person he had no pension, no health insurance, and then he lost his living space in 2012 he was reduced to sleeping in the back rooms of jazz clubs and on friend's couches. He could not afford his diabetes medication and he often went without treatment. Rather than sit in an emergency room for six or eight hours waiting to be seen by a doctor... of decline he continued to work incessantly, he was dedicated to his craft. Wade was a strong advocate for justice for jazz artists. Have there been resources available to him, ones that were tied to all the work he did during his lifetime he may still be with us. These situations... and are avoidable. I hope we can find a way to work with the clubs, to redirect some of the money brought in admission fees to a... pension fund. It's

2.2

not that difficult of thing to do. It's not
difficult to imagine getting it done and it may be
even less difficult to implement. Thank you for
listening to my remarks. I urge you to support
resolution 20, 207, excuse me 207-A and encourage

CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you Ms.

Hope. Thank you so much Mrs. Hope for, for your

testimony and your strong words. Now final member

of the panel Mr. Weeks is... testimony.

you to encourage all of your colleagues in the city

council to support it as well. Thank you.

ANDREW LAMB: Yes. Good afternoon. My name is Andrew Lamb. I'm, I'm very happy to be here... composer educator. And I'm a strong strong advocate for Justice for Jazz Musicians. If there is not justice taken with these major... what you have to understand is that the expectation of the current day jazz musician is going to become much much much much worse in other clubs. There are places where there're three, four different bands playing and they split the door at the end of the night. That's going on in New York City, currently, nightly. Now if it, that's the kind of thing is killing people. So then my friends when the last

2 nine months have passed around what will we tell the young people, you know stress... if I'm... I can't 3 survive here. Healthcare is bad. There's no 4 5 pension. You know... it's a terrible thing to see 6 that. If we... who grew up here... music, to every 7 great musician I possibly could. And the city is 8 come down to the point now, where a committee has a reform in the musicians union. Justice for jazz 9 musicians has... here in protest and give out flyers 10 and stop people on the street. I've been out there, 11 12 marching in the cold, and in the rain. On one particular evening one particular club owner, stuck 13 14 his head our said get the hell off my sidewalk, go... 15 Did you hear what I said... job. And he's got 16 musicians in the club, the place is packed down the 17 block. It's already packed, they're waiting down 18 the block to get in... This can't be tolerated. The resolution has to be passed. Anyplace else in the 19 20 world that I have been this music is highly respected. The jazz musician is a highly highly 21 2.2 appreciated artist, every place. The artists are 23 taken care of... This kind of thing isn't going on... 24 And we're here in the birth place of the music in 25 New York City in particular and this kind of thing

2.2

is going on. It has to stop. And I'm glad to have an opportunity to speak about it. I'm glad to be here. I'm glad that the council is here. They take some type of action about it. And I, I just hope that there's more and more support and so this can be taken care of. And we... things back... on a level... of respect for music, of respect for the artists. And, and... respect for our true treasure in the country. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MILLER: Thank you so much Mr. Lamb and, and thank you to this panel. And, and I, I, just want to say as the Chair of Civil Service and Labor what an honor and privilege it was to be a part of this hearing in how we so look forward to working with this organization moving forward. And... say that... wow. We've probably done this hearing, this hearing seven or eight times in the last eight months. The names have changed, the organizations have changed but the, the lack of respect for working folks remain the same. And whether it was fast food workers, it was airport workers, it was car wash workers, and reality TV. And, and... one of the questions was asked by Council Member Cumbo was there a similarity of what, what

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

was going on and, and that probably was probably most consistent with this situation was the, the nonfiction television and those who working in network television and how they receive different compensation or one receives no compensation as opposed to the other one has a pension plan and a health care plan and the other one doesn't. Why should workers be treat, treated differently and they perform in essentially the same service. Why should the owners of these venues reap all the rewards and, and the musician and the artist and the workers not have the quality of life that they deserve. And beyond that... this, this very rich art form which I am proud of, very proud of representing an area of southeast queens that, that house the village... and... and other great jazz houses during the 60s and 50s, 60s, and 70s. And, and it has resonated to the next generation. But as Mr. Lamb said very well that there is, if, if we don't address this issue now there is no next generation. Why would people want to do this. We know that it's a, a, it's a love of the art form, it's a love for the music but quite frankly when you see the suffering of those who come before you why would

2 you want to subject yourself to that. So we have to be better. And, and I think what we have 3 4 demonstrated is that this council along with this administration value workers no matter what your 6 contributions are, what your industry is, we value 7 workers in general. We've demonstrated that and we stand firmly behind you and behind this resolution 8 and moving forward. So with that I'm going to pass 9 10 it over to my co-chair Van Bramer. And again I thank so much for, for, for bringing this to my... it 11 12 didn't take a lot of arm twisting you know but I would say. And, and here's the very very 13 14 unfortunate thing is, is that Committee on Civil 15 Service and Labor is so so busy. We have hearings 16 lined up probably into next year over work exploitation, over wage theft, over unfair 17 18 compensation, not to be able to organize... And these are some of the, you know we won't name drop but 19 20 some of the largest corporations in the world are failing to treat workers with the dignity and 21 2.2 respect that they deserve. But we'll call them in 23 and we'll address it as we are doing here. So I, I, 24 I thank you so much and again we look forward to 25 working with you in the future.

25

2 CHAIRPERSON VAN BRAMER: Thank you very 3 much. I just want to say thank you to everyone here 4 and Ms. Hope the story of Wade Barnes is powerful 5 and dramatic and tragic. And we all are committed 6 to doing everything we can to make sure that no one 7 lives out their final days like that. I want to thank my co-chair Council Member Miller and all of 8 the staff. And just to close by saying that as I 9 10 began I'm very fortunate to be the son of labor union activist and members. My parents were not 11 12 musicians but they made different kinds of music. And, and they are able to live out their lives in 13 14 dignity because they have pensions, because they 15 were fortunate enough to be members of organized 16 labor. And we will push forward with this 17 resolution continuing the legacy of the city 18 council and the work that it's done on this issue and we are grateful to local 802 for continuing to 19 20 make this very powerful stand on behalf of all jazz musicians and artists and, and workers. Mr. Jimmy 2.1 2.2 Owens did just give me two CDs which I promise to 23 listen to. And, and, and think of the struggle 24 every time I do listen to it. So with that I want

to thank everybody for being here today. Thank you

COMMITTEE ON CULTURAL AFFAIRS, LIBRARIES, AND INTERNATIONAL INTERGROUP RELATIONS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON CIVIL SERVICE AND LABOR for this hearing and we are now adjourned. Thank you very much. [gavel]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 23, 2014