CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

----- X

July 21, 2014 Start: 1:00 p.m. Recess: 1:15 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Room

16th floor

BEFORE:

INEZ E. DICKENS Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Darlene Mealy

Ydanis A. Rodriguez

Andrew Cohen Mark Treyger

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Ardie Pearson
Director of Land Use
Office of Policy and External Affairs
Housing Preservation and Finance (HPD)

Anthony Richardson
Director of New Construction
Housing Preservation and Finance (HPD)

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[sound check]

CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: [gavel] Good afternoon, and I'd like quiet please in the corner. [laughter] Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Council Member Inez Dickens. As the Chair of the Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions, and Concessions, I would like to welcome everyone to today's hearing. I want to thank and acknowledge my Sergeant-at-Arms, Eugenia Sharp and Eddie Collazo and, of course, my phenomenal Land Use staff. The Director Raju Mann; Deputy Director, Amy Leviton; and, of course, my fabulous Attorney Ann McCoy. That's all right, give her a shout out. [laughter] The members of my committee who are present and on time, and I'm so proud of them are Council Member Cohen and Council Member Treyger. I want to thank you both for being here. Shout out again.

We have three items on our calendar today, two we will be hearing and voting on, and one will be laid over for a future hearing of this Subcommittee. To start, we're laying over the item, Land Use Item 70, the Dean Atlantic Project for a Section 577 Tax Exemption for properties in Council Members Espinal and Mealy's district in Brooklyn.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER: Oh, wait, is it on?

2 ARDIE PEARSON: It's on.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: The light is on?

Okay, would you start over for the recording, please?

ARDIE PEARSON: Good afternoon, Chair

Dickens, members of the Subcommittee. I'm Ardie
Pearson from HPD's Office of Policy and External
Affairs, and I'm joined by my colleague Anthony
Richardson, Director of New Construction.

Land Use Item No. 89 is comprised of the proposed exemption area located at 739, 741, 743, 745 Brook Avenue, and 3054 and 3058 Third Avenue also known as Melrose Commons Site C-1. In 2005, HPD completed the URA development of a number of vacant city-owned parcels within the Melrose Commons Renewal area. Under HPD's cornerstone new construction program the City Council approved approximately 232 residential units. They were rentals, cooperatives, and home ownership units plus commercial and open space. Included in these 232 residential units was the proposed construction of two and three-family town homes that would have included UDAAP tax exemptions for the home ownership units. It is HPD's practice to include tax exemptions for most affordable housing units in order to ensure long-term available to answer any questions.

affordability. It was anticipated that the sponsor for the Melrose Commons Site C-1 project would as-of-right tax exemptions through one of HPD's other tax incentive programs. However, the six townhomes, which received UDAAP tax exemptions through an HPD oversight the submission did not include language requesting tax exemption. Today, HPD is before the Council approval for a retroactive tax exemption for these town homes. Council Member Arroyo has indicated her support for this project. And we're

CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: I have a question.

Now, these units were built and have not been occupied as of when?

ANTHONY RICHARDSON: So the units-- I'm sorry. My name is Anthony Richardson. I'm the Director of Multi-Family Construction at HPD. So we disposed of the property in 2006, and then there was the slump in the housing market. And they were attempting to sell the homes for probably three or four years. So I think that most of these properties -- I don't have the exact date -- were probably occupied as of somewhere around 2011 or 12.

2.2

package came through, it covered more than just these

properties. so there were several properties that

were financed under different programs. So that's

partially the reason why the exemption language

2.2

23

24

2.2

wasn't there. If this were separated out, someone would have caught it because the UDAAP is the tax exemption more applicable to this type of development. But there were other properties development. There was a cooperative and rentals that were financed separately and then completed.

Arroyo is in agreement with this action. I would assume so because this will-- the tax exemption will allow for the continued affordability of the 165% affordable. A little questionable in that area. However, I am a strong advocate for home ownership because that way the community can stay within the community hopefully.

Do any of my colleagues have any questions for this? Seeing no questions from my colleagues, are there any members of the public wishing to give any additional testimony? Seeing none, I am closing the public hearing on Land Use Item 89. And opening the public hearing on the Heights, 150th Street also known as 801-05 St.

Nicholas Avenue in my district for a Section 577 Tax Exemption for property that was approved in 2013, and is now being pre-considered and, therefore, does not

2.2

have a Land Use Item Number as of yet. And that,
too, is for the amending of the wording to allow for
a Section 577 Tax Exemption. Ardie, please identify
yourself for this.

ARDIE PEARSON: Sure. I'm Ardie Pearson,
Director of Land of Use from HPD's Office of Policy
and External Affairs. Land Use Number Pre-considered
consists of a proposed amendment to the resolution
approving the disposition for at tax exemption a
projected located 801 to 805 St. Nicholas Avenue and
known as the Heights, 150th Street. In June 2013,
HPD's original submission linked the effective date
of the tax exemption to have a permanent certificate
of occupancy or an equivalent document satisfactory
to HPD. However, the certificate for this building
has lapsed, and there is equivalent document.

Currently, the building is undergoing rehabilitation, and the owner will obtain a permanent certificate of occupancy upon completion of the work. Because it was the agency's intention to have an exemption in place during the redevelopment phase of this project, HPD is before the Council seeking an amendment— seeking an amendment in order to permit the implementation of the tax exemption without

delay, and Council Member Dickens has been briefed on this project, and has indicated her support.

CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: Since I have been brief and I am familiar, I have no questions, but are there any questions of the members of the Committee? Seeing no questions from the Subcommittee, are there any members of the public wishing to give testimony? Seeing none, I now close the public hearing on the Heights, 150th Street also known as 801-805 St. Nicholas Avenue.

I note for the record the applications we are voting on today have my support and that of Council Member Arroyo. I commend Council Member Arroyo for seeking to ensure that her community can have stability by ownership. Although, rental is extremely important, owning is what will prevent the community from being moved out. I am now going to call on my counsel to call the roll on a coupled vote approve Land Use Number 89 and the Heights, 150th Street.

LEGAL COUNSEL MCCOY: Chair Dickens?

CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: I'm voting and I ask my colleagues to vote aye to approve the motion.

Pre-Considered Heights, four in the affirmative, zero

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS abstentions, and no negatives, and the items are referred to the full Land Use Committee. [gavel] CHAIRPERSON DICKENS: This hearing of Planning dated Jul 21st, 2014 is hereby adjourned. [laughter/cheers]

${\tt C} \ {\tt E} \ {\tt R} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt F} \ {\tt I} \ {\tt C} \ {\tt A} \ {\tt T} \ {\tt E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 29, 2014