CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

----- X

June 11, 2014

Start: 10:53 a.m. Recess: 11:26 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers - City Hall

B E F O R E:

BRAD S. LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens

Daniel R. Garodnick Ydanis A. Rodriguez Margaret S. Chin Deborah L. Rose Jumaane D. Williams Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Mark Levine

Vincent M. Ignizio Melissa Mark-Viverito COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Good morning. Welcome to the New York City Council's Committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections. I'm Brad Lander, Chair of the Committee. Before we get started, let me introduce the members who are with us her this morning. We have Minority Leader Vinny Ignizio from Staten Island; Margaret Chin from Manhattan; Debbie Rose from Staten Island; Rafael Espinal from Brooklyn; Mark Levine, and Garodnick, and Ydanis Rodriguez all from Manhattan. Good morning. We'll be joined by several other members of the Rules Committee later today. I also want to acknowledge the Committee's Attorney Amatia Booth, and thank the staff members of the Committee, the Council's Investigative Unit, Chuck Davis, Deandra Johnson, and Diana Arriaga [sp?] for their work in preparing the materials that we have. We're also joined by Council Member David Greenfield from Brooklyn. Thank you joining us.

In a letter dated May 19, 2014, Mayor
Bill de Blasio formally submitted the name of
Meenakshi Srinivasan to the Council for our advice
and consent regarding her appointment to the
Landmarks Preservation Commission, and I'm here that

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS Ms. -- pleased that Srinivasan is here with us this morning. We, after that submission on May 23rd, we had a very thorough hearing in this Committee next door with Srinivasan reviewing the materials that were prepared our staff. And reviewing her statement and asking quite a lot of questions about the LPC and her qualifications. I learned this morning, I'm pleased to report that her parents, and much of her family in India where she was for some time between that hearing and now watched our hearing. So the live streaming and webcasting of Council hearings not only helps New Yorkers have a better transparency in our government, it enables family members all around the world to take nahas [sp?], as we say, pride in their children's accomplishments. While this is first and foremost about getting a great person to lead Landmarks Preservation Commission, the ongoing story of New York, which is people from all around the world coming here, and making good, and rising up to take real leadership. And their parents being proud of them is also a good part of the story. And we're glad that our webcasting the hearings helped in that regard.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS

Today, the Council will consider whether
to give its advice and consent to Ms. Srinivasan's
appointment through a vote on the attached M67
Message, which is in your packets. Ms. Srinivasan is
a resident of Manhattan, and if we give our advice
and consent, she'll be appointed to the Landmark
Preservation Commission. And subsequently designated
by the Mayor as Chair filling the vacancy, and
serving the remainder of a three-year term, which is
set to expire on June 28, 2016. Pursuant to the New
York City Charter, Section 3020, The New York City
Landmarks Preservation Commission, known as the LPC,
is responsible for establishing and regulating
Landmark, portions of landmark sites, interior
landmarks, scenic landmarks, and historic districts.
The LPC also regulates alterations to designated
buildings. The LPC consists of 11 members. We must
include at least three architects, one historian
qualified in the field, one city planner or landscape
architect, and one realtor, and it must include at
least one resident of each of the five boroughs. The
Mayor appoints the members of the LPC with our advice
and consent. When taking those requirements into
account, the Mayor may consult with the Fine Arts

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS Federation or other similar organizations in the course of making the appointments. Members are appointed for staggered three-year terms, and each member serves as a commissioner until his or her successor is appointed, and approved by the Council. And as mentioned earlier, the Mayor also designates one of the LPC members to serve as Chair, and another member to serve as Vice Chair. The members serve without compensation, but are reimbursed for necessary expenses. The Chair, who also leads the LPC staff, is a salaried position, and the current salary is \$192,198. I won't go through the process again by which Landmarks are reviewed, considered, evaluated, designated and brought through the City Planning Commission and the Council. We talked about those at the hearing, and we had Chair Koo, who chairs the Landmarks Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting, and Maritime Uses here as well. And he expressed his enthusiastic support for Ms. Srinivasan.

[Pause]

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So, we went through at that hearing all of the various issues around designation, around regulation, and around the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 6
Hardship Appeals Panel. Members have the opportunity
to ask a great many questions. We do have Ms.
Srinivasan here today. So we're not planning to
reopen the public hearing, but if there are members
who in the intervening amount of time had questions,
we can make available time to do that. So, I see
that Council Member Ignizio has a question. But
before that, does mean we need to not only welcome
Ms. Srinivasan, but swear her in. So, let me ask her
to first be sworn in and then we can continue this.
Oh, you don't have a question?

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZO: No.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, great, so if any -- if people don't have questions, we've got a very thorough hearing, then we won't reopen the public hearing and we don't need to swear Ms.

Srinivasan in. If people have statements they'd like to make before we move to the vote, then I'll first recognize Council Member Ignizio.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you very much, and I went through your extensive resume, and welcome you upon your consent from this Council. I just wanted to convey where I am in this process. I am not what you'd call the biggest fan of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 7 landmarking process. I think sometimes the Landmark Preservation Commission doesn't appreciate that there are owners behind these buildings that put their life savings into these buildings. And I just wanted to convey and speak for them, if I can that, as I said, there are people who have spent their lives investing in their homes only to having a partner in government that ultimately is sometimes a bad partner. I believe what we should do in the city is to work on some kind of process where through the Property Tax Codes in this city that we encourage an owner to want to be a part of landmarking.

But I don't think landmarking should be thrust upon any owner. That's just my opinion. I vote that way every time, and just to give you an example. Two cases in my district: One where the process was, for lack of a better word, bastardized in that on Amberley Road in my district Mayor Bloomberg came in. There was a gentleman who unbelievably spray-painted his building red and green and all these different colors to basically thumb his nose at the community. In response, Mayor Bloomberg came out and said that he would landmark this as a punishment, if you will. And this was several years

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 8

ago. I think it was in 2005. I thought it was an

egregious end run landmarking process, and something
we should not have done.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But today, ladies and gentlemen, that building remains vacant. There's a cycling fence around it, and it's falling into disrepair. So it was of no benefit to the community. It is an eyesore now in the middle of the block, and ultimately, there has been no benefit there. Likewise on Sinaian, [sic] Avenue as well. So I just think and I want to encourage you to remember there is more than one side of the landmarking debate. Very often people are come to you as the pretty committee and saying, This house looks beautiful. So let's landmark. T had that case with your predecessor where they wanted to landmark a home Arthur Kill, which burned down, and was rebuilt with new materials in the 1980s and they wanted to landmark it.

I went to the Chair and said you are wrong. The Commission is wrong. This is not a landmark, an originally installed building. This is a new one, which was made to look like the old one with new materials. I just wanted to convey to my colleagues that there's more than one side of the

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 9

landmarking debate, and I hope that this new

Landmarking Preservation Commission takes heed of

that. And when they are making these

recommendations, they acknowledge there are people

whose life's work is invested in the buildings that

you -- the City is coming in and saying that they are

now partnering. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right. Thank
you, Council Member, and I will just reflect. I was
going to say a little something before, but I think
this is a helpful setup. You know, just speaking
personally, I thought at the hearing that we had that
Srinivasan gave a very thoughtful set of answers to a
good set of questions from a wide range of points of
view. I thought there's no doubt, and we saw this
when we did the Taxi and Limousine Commission as
well. It's certainly true in Landmarking that
people's passion for preserving the character of
what's great about New York and its neighborhoods,
and some of its old buildings and helping us make
that happen.

There were the needs and concerns of the owners of those buildings, both before and after designation, and their rights to be heard. And have

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 10 a process that they can count on and its fair, and to balance that range of interests thoughtfully. is what we're looking for in an LPC Chair. appreciate your point of view on all of the members, and I certainly felt like from the answers, the materials, from her background, and from all the answers that we got, that we, you know, if we approve her -- and I urge members to do so. I certainly plan to vote for her. Getting someone new who has not just a real strong professional experience in all the relevant technical matters, but has shown herself to be fair, balanced, a good listener, and somebody who arbitrates amongst constituencies. So I think it's a valuable set of points. Council Member Greenfield followed by Council Member Rose.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you,

Mr. Chair, and I appreciate the time. I just wanted

to -- I just wanted to share my thoughts as well. As

many of you know, of course, as the Chair of the Land

Use Committee of the City Council that has oversight

over Landmarks, and has to ultimately approve

landmarks, I have been a somewhat vocal critic of the

landmarking process to date. Specifically, by the

over-landmarking of Manhattan where we're over 27%.

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 11

The concerns that I have, and I think, I know it was said before, but I think our Minority Leader expressed it certainly in an interesting way as the ... I think he called it the Pre-House Commission.

Sometimes the logic as to why certain buildings and landmarks is not obviously evident in terms of what we would think as the objective criteria. I think one of the issues that we've discussed is that folks have used the landmark process as a back door to achieve other goals typically to prevent folks from developing in the communities, and keeping other people they may not want out of their communities.

And finally, my concern as I've raised with the calendaring process where we have 70 items from the '90s and before including 20 from the 1960s that have been calendared. I think it's important, of course, to make the distinction that was, of course, under the old leadership of the Landmarks Preservation Commission. And we made that point because Landmarks is a small agency that despite its size has a disproportionate influence over the City of New York especially as it relates to development and other issues. And especially now as we look to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 12 create and preserve 200,000 units of affordable housing in New York City.

That being said, I did want to add that
I'm actually very proud to support Meenakshi's
nomination today. I believe that she's exceptionally
qualified for this position. I think that her work,
her prior work not just her experience at City
Planning, but also her many years as the Chair of the
Board of Standards and Appeals. Many of us that have
appeared before her have seen the way she's run that
agency. She does it in a diligent and fair and
professional manner. I will note just an interesting
point, because I don't think it's super confidential
from the confidential briefing that we have over
here.

Which is that I believe in the ten years that she has been at the Board of Standards and Appeals, and they have something like 36 public and 36 private meetings a year, she's never missed a single meeting. Which I think is just an incredible testament to her diligence and her professionalism and her character. I think her experience is perfectly aligned with the work of the Landmark Preservation Commission. I've had the opportunity to

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 13 chat with her, and to meet with her and to speak about many of these issues. And I feel that she is committed, in fact, to bringing reform and leadership to the Landmark Preservation Commission. And so I enthusiastically support her nomination today. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Council Member Greenfield. Council Member Rose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you Chair and good morning. I would just hope that a concern that I've heard resoundingly in my district is from owners who are ambivalent about landmarking, and the major reason being of the cost to make repairs or to preserve the exteriors of their buildings, and the cost that's attributed to that. So, I would hope that one of your priorities would be to look at the funding sources that you have, and to aggressively come back. And make it a budget item where -- that you have a reasonable amount of money approved that people could utilize and pull from to help with the required -- the requirements of maintaining a The cost is often prohibitive. landmarked home. do have some things in place, but I do think that the amount of money that you have available to make

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 14 available to homeowners is not significant. It's not nearly enough, and I would hope that one of your priorities would be to see about enlarging that part. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And I'm pleased we've been joined by the Speaker, who's a member of this Committee as well. Any other -- are there other members who have comments and statements they'd like to make for the record before we vote? I will flag that Council Member Williams, who is a member of this committee and is on his way, just asked that I mention on the record both his hopes that the Jackie Robinson House and the South Midwood Sections of his neighborhood be considered going forward for designation and evaluation and designation. So I'm putting that on the record as well. Hello to Ms. Srinivasan. So thanks.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right. So I think we're ready to proceed. I'm sorry.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Super. So I'll just flag for the members, you know we had a -- and for those who have just joined, we had an extensive

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 15
2	public hearing where we asked a lot of questions, got
3	a lot of answers. And the statements that were just
4	made were the statements of members prior to vote.
5	So we're not going to reopen the public hearing this
6	morning. Folks can look forward to that testimony or
7	still go watch it on the web. Wonderful. All right,
8	well thanks to the members for those statements.
9	Thanks to the Speaker for joining us, and with seeing
10	no further comments, we will now take the vote on the
11	Message pursuant to M67 regarding Ms. Meenakshi
12	Srinivasan's appoint to the Landmarks Preservation
13	Commission.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: William Martin,
15	Committee Clerk. Committee on Rules, Privileges, and
16	Elections. Council Member Lander.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Enthusiastically
18	Aye.
19	CLERK: Garodnick.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:
21	Congratulations. Aye.
22	
	CLERK: Rodriguez.
23	CLERK: Rodriguez. COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.
23 24	
	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Aye.

1 COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 16 2 CLERK: Rose. 3 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Permission to explain my vote. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Granted. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: I just want to say 7 that, you know, I'm really pleased with your breadth 8 of knowledge and your experience at BSA, and the fact that you successfully streamlined some processes, and 9 10 made the system a little more user friend. I know that we'll see those same qualities brought over to 11 12 Landmarks. I'm looking forward to working with you, 13 and I unequivocally vote aye to your appointment. 14 Thank you. CLERK: Espinal. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER ESPINAL: Aye. 16 17 CLERK: Levine. COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Congratulations, 18 19 Ms. Srinivasan. I look forward to working with you, 20 and I vote aye. 21 CLERK: Ignizio. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: I want to add to the comments of my colleague Debbie Rose. 23 that we can look at 74-711 [sic] and derelict 24 landmarks that are in disrepair because of the 25

COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 17 inability for any economic incentive on behalf of the owner. So I know your experience at BSA will help you with that, and I'm happy to work with you the best we can. And I vote aye.

CLERK: Speaker Mark-Viverito.

aye. Congratulations, and I know that there is a lot of work that we need to do with Landmarks

Preservation, and I really would hope... I know that you've heard from my colleagues. It seems like we're going to have a productive working relationship with a lot of revisions. And looking at ways that things can be done differently, I think is obviously in order. But with that, I'll vote ayes.

CLERK: By a vote of nine in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and no abstentions the item is adopted. Members, please sign the Committee Report. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: That will be referred to the Council for a vote at our full Stated Meeting, and we'll hold the vote open for 15 members for other members. Thank you very much.

CLERK: Council Member Williams.

1

3

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye. First,

I'd like to make a statement. Many of these issues

I've spoken to with a candidate previously. And I 4

was happy to engage her on it. Two issues just 5

6 bringing them up on the chair. Two issues specific

to my district, the Jackie Robinson House, which I'm

hoping she'll really take heed. And to our second 8

request, I don't think the full story was taken to --9

10 was given weight when the decision was made to deny.

11 And also Southmere[sp?] which was part of the

12 Victorian Flatbush quote. That should be landmarked

13 as taking way too many years. I hope she'll push

14 that forward, and just whereas the landmarking

process in general I think definitely needs to be 15

reworked, rehashed in terms of community input, in 16

17 terms of owner information when they're purchasing

it, and just the expediency, which they choose which 18

ones to landmark and which ones not to landmark, and 19

the timeframe to make those decisions. 20

21 And two competing interests. One, south

of Eastern Parkway in Brookwood, doesn't usually get 22

much respect in terms of things that need to get 23

24 landmarked. And then I say competing because there

are some communities I think they are overly 25

1	COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS 19
2	landmarked, and they're looking at it in terms of
3	issues that we brought to that community. And I hope
4	that she can deal with both of those competing issues
5	as well as I've been hearing problems with possible
6	building of affordable housing on landmark sites.
7	And I'd like to get more research on that. But with
8	that said, I vote aye. Thank you.
9	CLERK: The current vote in Rules is now
10	at ten in the affirmative.
11	[Pause]
12	CLERK: Council Member Dickens.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aye.
14	CLERK: The final vote in the Committee
15	on Rules is now at 11 in the affirmative, zero in the
16	negative and no abstentions.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER: This hearing of the
18	Rules Committee of June 11th is hereby closed
19	adjourned.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____June 13, 2014_____