CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING & BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

----- X

April 30, 2014 Start: 10:14 a.m. Recess: 12:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

250 Broadway - Hearing Room,

14th Fl

B E F O R E:

JUMAANE D. WILLIAMS

Chairperson

Committee on Housing & Buildings

MARGARET S. CHIN

Chairperson

Committee on Aging

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Committee on Housing & Buildings

Antonio Reynoso Eric A. Ulrich Helen K. Rosenthal

Mark Levine

Rafael L. Espinal, Jr.

Ritchie J. Torres

Robert E. Cornegy, Jr.

Rosie Mendez Ydanis Rodriguez Committee on Aging
Chaim M. Deutsch
Deborah L. Rose
Karen Koslowitz
Maria Del Carmen Arroyo
Mark Treyger
Paul A. Vallone

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Anne Marie Santiago Associate Commissioner Enforcement and Neighborhood Services HPD

Deborah Rand Assistant Commissioner Housing Litigation HPD

Ian Davie Legal Services NYC Bronx

Jason Blumberg MFY Legal Services

Katherine Brennan Staff Attorney Legal Aid Society, Brooklyn

Sheila Garcia CASA New Settlement

Nalia Moore Pratt Area Community Council

/

welcome everyone to this joint hearing of the

Committee on Housing and Buildings and the Committee

on Aging. My name is Jumaane Williams. I'm the

Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I'm

joined today by my wonderful colleague Council Member

Margaret Chin, the Chair of the Committee on Aging,

and we're also joined by Council Member -- Oh, I was

about to do that [sic] -- by Council Member Paul

Vallone. Today, we'll be holding our first hearing

on Intro 129, which deals with tenant harassment.

This bill was sponsored by my fellow Chair, Council

Member Chin. She's going to walk us through it in a

moment.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good morning, and

Before she does it, I just want to say that we are hearing this bill because the Council is very concerned about tenant harassment. Now, it's no secret that landlords don't like rent regulation. I hope that isn't going to come as some shock to someone here. But there are some landlords -- not all of them -- but some of them that are a little too eager to get their tenants to move out so they can get their apartments deregulated. And those

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

landlords are willing to harass their tenants to get them out.

They are willing to do this -- to do

things like being -- like bringing frivolous and

repeated lawsuits against a tenant, sending tenants

false notices saying their rent is unpaid; accuse the

tenants of subletting when they haven't, and that -
and what's maybe the worst refusing to do basic

maintenance and repairs. This kind of harassment is

unacceptable. It's bad enough that because of

URISTAT [sp?], the City can't extend the tenant

protections provided by Rent Regulations.

But we cannot sit by, and allow landlords to further erode those protections by bullying tenants out of their homes. As having started my career in tenant organizing and working with tenants, I know first hand a lot of the harassment issues that are happening. I'm very glad that my colleague here has this bill here. I'm excited that I can be a Chair at a time like this to help get this bill moving forward. With that said, I'm going to ask my fellow Chair to give her opening remarks about the bill.

CHAIRPERSON CHIN: Thank you, Chair Williams. Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good morning.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I'm Margaret Chin,
Chair of the Aging Committee. I'm the sponsor of
Intro 129. I want to thank Council Member Williams
for holding this hearing together with the Aging
Committee. Housing issues are near and dear to the
hearts of seniors. So I'm really pleased we were
able to get both committees here today, and hopefully
not the last time. A year ago the Committee on Aging
held a hearing on harassment of senior tenants. The
testimonies we heard were disheartening. We were
told again and again that harassment of elderly
tenants was on the rise despite the enactment of the
Tenant Protection Act.

We heard from one group representing a senior whose landlord began renovating the building around his unit. They called Adult Protective

Services to report that the tenant was living in unsafe conditions. Once senior told us that the stress of dealing with harassment from her landlord was causing her hair to fall out. For many landlords the fines they might receive for harassing their

tenant is just the cost of doing business. We all know that the temptation to kick out rent regulated tenants for a market rate renter isn't going away.

So unless we continue to fight for tenant rights, we're going to continue to hear stories like this. It's time that we try to level the playing field between tenants and landlords, and Intro 243 would make two important -- Intro 129 would make two important amendments to the Tenant Protection Act to help us get there. First, it would substantially increase the fines landlords face from \$1,000 to \$5,000 and up to from \$5,000 to \$10,000. Second, it would require HPD put more information on their website about landlords that have been found to have harassed their tenants.

If you are entitled to find out whether a building has a history of bed bugs, there's reason you shouldn't be able to learn whether the landlord of apartments that you are looking at has been fined for harassing tenants. I'm eager to hear from the Administration on this bill, and hope that today is just the first step towards the Council taking action to improve the Tenant Protection Act. I also wanted to thank our Committee Counsel Kelly Tower [sp?], and

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 8 our Legislative Financial Analyst Tahini Sephora 3 [sp?] for helping to organize this hearing, and we're looking forward to hearing from the Administration. 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I was going to thank myself last, but since you did, I will. I want to thank Nick Smith from my office. Jim Arpotino 8 [sp?], Jose Conte [sp?], Sarah Argosato Dom [sp?] and 9 Ed Atkin from the Committee staff for their work on 10 11 this. We are at this time going to have our first 12 panel who is already seated, Deborah Rand and Ann 13 Marie Santiago from HPD. I just want to remind 14 everyone who would like to testify today to please fill out a card with the sergeant at arms, if you 15 would like to testify. And I would ask the Panel to 16 17 please raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 18 the truth before the Committees today? 19 DEBORAH RAND: Yes. 20 21 ANN MARIE SANTIAGO: I affirm. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank and you can 22 start when -- at your leisure. 23 24 ANN MARIE SANTIAGO: Good morning, 25 Chairman Williams and Chairwoman Quinn -- Chin.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 9								
2	sorry. And members of the committee. My name is								
3	Anne Marie Santiago and I'm HPD's Associate								
4	Commissioner for Enforcement and Neighborhood								
5	Services. I am joined by our Assistant Commissioner								
6	for Housing Litigation, Deborah Rand. We appreciate								
7	the opportunity to testify on Council Bill 129								
8	related to penalties and reporting cases of tenant								
9	harassment. I will offer some suggestions for the								
10	Council's consideration to improve the bill and make								
11	it easier to implement. But first, I would like to								
12	explain some of our department's work to protect								
13	tenant's from harassment.								
14	In 2007, the City Council passed the								
15	Tenant Harassment Legislation, which was codified in								
16	the Housing Maintenance Code creating an option for								
17	tenants to bring a claim for harassment against								
18	property owners in Housing Court. Some of the								
19	actions that qualifies harassment under this								
20	legislation include:								
21	Using force while making threats against								
22	a lawful occupant;								
23	Repeated or prolonged interruption of								
24	essential services;								

2 Using frivolous court proceedings to

3 disrupt a tenant's life or force eviction; and

Removing the possessions of a lawful occupant, or any other act designed to disturb a lawful occupant's residence.

Council and tenant advocates in crafting this legislation. This is one of many instances where HPD has collaborated with the Council and with tenants and their representatives to ensure the preservation of the City's affordable housing stock. It is important to note that tenants and not HPD initiate tenant harassment cases in Housing Court. HPD's Housing Litigation Division also does not conduct independent investigations related to harassment in connection with Housing Court cases.

in such cases where the claims do not involve physical conditions. HPD may seek to settle the matters. However, when they are disputed the cases are generally referred to the court and handled by the judge or the judge's court attorney. When HPD does participate in trials, where there are no outstanding violations, the HLD attorney may seek to

elicit the facts from witnesses to aid the court in
make its determination. In cases where there are
violations, HPD seeks corrections of those

violations.

Civil penalties when sought in connection with harassment may be sought by the tenant, but are awarded to HPD on behalf of the City. Since the Harassment Law as passed, HPD's litigation management system records indicate that 3,206 cases claiming harassment had been initiated. Of the 3,206 cases, the majority of the cases, 2,195 are discontinued, dismissed, or withdrawn. 608 cases were settled. It is unlikely that a property owner will settle if the settlement includes admitting harassment. So we are not revealing those cases for a finding. Only 44 cases have a disposition in our database that indicates that there may be a finding by the judge about harassment.

The other cases were resolved in a variety of ways. In order to determine whether there is such a finding or civil penalty related specifically to a finding of harassment, HLD staff will have to manually review the court orders. On a cursory review, we reviewed 32 cases, which were

initiated since 2012 in preparation for this hearing, and found that all but three of the cases were initiated against owners of properties with four or

5 | fewer units.

Those 32 were initiated in the following boroughs: 23 in Queens; six in Brooklyn; and three in the Bronx. Findings of harassment were generally made only after a default by the property owner, meaning that the property owner failed to appear in court. Although we do not oppose this section of the bill increasing civil penalties, we would advise the Council that in our experience, judges are less likely to impose these higher civil penalties especially on landlords of small buildings.

Overall, HPD supports the measure that protects the rights of tenants to live safely and peacefully in their homes. We would offer only the following suggestions to improve legislation, and reduce some administrative hurdles. The second section of the bill requires HPD to post information on our website related to findings of tenant harassment. HPD already provides important information about litigation on our website. Anyone can research for an address to retrieve complaint

outstanding.

violation, litigation, property registration, and
emergency repair charge information. The litigation
information already includes the case type, the date
the case was opened, the current status, whether it's
open or closed, and whether a judgment is

by clearly indicating when part of the tenant's claim is for harassment. Often, claims of harassment are made in conjunction with claims of poor conditions, and currently our website does not distinguish the two claims. Information about any civil penalty imposed on the date of the judge's orders specifically related to a filing of harassment, can be provided. However, it should be noted that since most of the awards are upon default, and not after a contested trial, they maybe subject to challenge.

The proposed legislation requires HPD to post information regarding the findings and civil penalties within 30 days of the finding. As HPD may not receive notice of a finding within 30 days, we request that this timeline be extended to 90 days in the final legislation so that we can reasonably comply with the requirement.

Finally, this amendment will require an update to our data system as well as to our web application. Giving competing needs for our technology resources, we request the implementation date for the legislation be extended to 180 days.

Thank you for the invitation to testify today. I or Assistant Commissioner Rand would be happy to answer any questions from the committee.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. I'm going to in a second defer to Co-Chair so she will have the opportunity ask if there are specific questions since it's her bill. I did want to follow up on something to be sure I understood clearly. We're looking at you said records indicate 3,206 cases claiming harassment.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Uh-huh.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: From which time

period?

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: That's since the -- that was implemented from 2008.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And 2,195 were discontinued or dismissed, and 608 cases were settled. It is unlikely that a property owner will settle if the settlement includes any harassment. So

```
COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
1
                                                       15
     JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING
    you're not reviewing those for a finding? You're not
3
    reviewing those -- the 2,195?
                ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Either, right.
                                                       Ιf
 4
     it was withdrawn or dismissed --
5
6
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I
7
     see.
                ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: -- then there was
8
    no finding, and also if it was settled, then there
9
10
    would most likely be no admittance of harassment.
11
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I
12
     see. So the settlements don't admit harassment?
13
                ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Correct.
14
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: But those settled
    for financial?
15
                ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: They could have
16
    been settled either for an order to correct--
17
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] I
18
19
     see.
                ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: --or for penalties.
20
21
    Most like for an order to correct.
                CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So only 44 have a
22
     disposition, which are available to indicate that
23
24
    were made on harassment, and then of those 44, you're
     talking about 32?
25
```

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I see.

DEBORAH RAND: The determinations were almost entirely on default of these. They were buildings that were small buildings. Some of them may have been illegal threes and fours. They -- it appeared that the claims involved shut off of electricity, shut off of services, or verbal harassment. I imagine that while it appears like it's easy to evict a tenant, there are in my experience many owners would think it's easier to shut off services, and make someone leave.

None of these cases -- Well, no, two other cases were restored for non-compliance because when the judge issues the order finding harassment they issue the penalties, and they also issue an order mandating no further harassment. In the cases I reviewed, my memory is only two of them were restored by the tenants for non-compliance with the order. Now, that could be because the tenants left, but it does appear that those were the only two that were restored.

The owner didn't even appear on the restoration day. There were additional penalties imposed, but I don't know why. The three buildings

that were bigger than four units were a four-unit build -- a six-unit building, and I think a 49-unit building. And in those buildings, they were not restored. I think they were resolved with a finding and a fairly low civil penalty actually. The higher civil penalties seemed to be when the tenants came back. Almost all of this, just so you know, the judge went for the lowest civil penalty, the \$1,000. And on the ones that were restored, it was the second time that they issued the \$5,000. It didn't seem like judges were willing to impose the higher penalty the first time.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Let me just rephrase. I shouldn't have used the word "easier." It can be difficult to evict a tenant.

But I want to say that there are claims that can be used in one to fours that can't be used in the higher units, and they're not rent regulated. And there's easier ways that you could not that you could not when you're when you're releasing the other things.

So that's what I meant to say that there are other claims that can be used, and so I was wondering why they were doing the harassment method.

We've been joined by Council Member

Koslowitz, Council Member Rodriguez, and Council

Member Cornegy. I think I got everybody. I have

some questions, but I want to defer to Council Member

Chin. And then I will have some additional

questions, and then we will go to our colleagues who have questions who will be Vallone and Cornegy.

Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I just thought of something, and I defer to my colleagues because I have to go into another hearing that's also going on. So, do you believe that -- I mean we're -- in this bill we are asking to raise the penalty. So if judges are only asking for the lowest ones, and now it's \$5,000 instead of \$1,000. Wouldn't that be effective in terms of really sending a stronger message that is not -- you know, that's cheap to harass tenants?

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: I think it's been our experience that the judges in determining that there is a finding of harassment are going to consider what the penalty would be when coming to that finding. And they're going to make a determination to fine or not, and they may determine

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 20 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING to not fine, if it's going to be a \$5,000 penalty against a small landlord. Rather than fine if it was 3 a \$1,000 penalty small landlord. 4 DEBORAH RAND: All right. Can I just say 5 6 ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Uh-huh. 7 8 DEBORAH RAND: To me, in my experience I think raising the maximum is really effective, but if 9 10 you bug -- if you raise the minimum, I think what 11 Anne Marie Santiago just testified to is what's going to happen. In fact, when reviewing these cases, I 12 13 found instances where the judge awarded less than the 14 minimum, even though the minimum is set forth in the statute. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: The judge will 16 17 still have that ability anyway, but think that -- I mean do you really think that -- the Tenant 18 Protection Act was passed in 2007 and 2008. 19 So has 20 it been effective in terms of protecting tenants from 21 harassment, evictions? ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: I think we feel 22 that the claim has been helpful to tenants, right. Be 23 24 able to make that claim in Housing Court about harassment, whether or not there's eventually a 25

finding. So to that extent, we do believe that it's been helpful to tenants. And whatever the penalty is, as you said, the judges will make their decision. It is a strong statement to increase the penalty from a legal standpoint for us to say yes, it should be \$5,000. I don't think we have any concern in terms of making that statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: The other thing I guess generally is that -- I mean we're trying to strengthen laws that can really help protect tenants. So I mean from your experience what else can you -- what else do you think that we do as a legislative body to really do something more.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: [interposing] And I think that --

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: [interposing]

Especially seniors because when we talk about the harassment, as it was laid out in the original bill, it's lack of services. And a lot of times that how landlords do that. They turn off the heat. They don't do repairs, and those are forms of harassment that's really more common than costing a resident hour or knocking on their door constantly. But these go on everyday.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: And I think we do take strong stance. We didn't talk about all the other work of the Housing Litigation Division to bring litigation on cases where there are no services, or where there are significant numbers of violations. That work is very important, and certainly we continue to do that work everyday, as do a lot of the organizations here. And that is a strong defense against that type of harassment. We continue to do emergency repair work to restore services when services are turned off.

Specifically, on the topic of harassment, though, we are looking at other options, and we would be happy to meet with you, and hear any ideas that your office has as well, about strengthening harassment specifically. But in terms of supporting tenants for being harassed due to physical conditions, our inspectors are out there everyday responding. We encourage everyone to use 311 to report those conditions so we can go out there. We can document them if the tenant has the will to go to Housing Court, but if they don't so that we can pursue legal action as necessary.

		COUN	CIL MEMBER	CHIN	: 0	kay.	I	'11	CO	me
back	with	other	questions.	So	you	can	go	bacl	< †	to
your	other	colle	eagues.							

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you. So of the 608 cases that are settled, do you know what those claims were? Did they come from multiple families? Did they come to larger buildings?

DEBORAH RAND: I did not review the 608, but I suspect that those included an array of violations and claims of verbal harassment. They were both harassment and violation claims. Those were settled probably with prospective orders that you shouldn't harass, and with orders to correct.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: We can certainly get information on the average size of those buildings.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And of the 2,195 that were discontinued, dismissed, or withdrawn, do we know the breakdown of which was continued, which were dismissed, and which were withdrawn?

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: No, and again we can provide that information.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, and then you said the judge sometimes levied fines that were less. They can legally just ignore statute and give them a minimum -- less than the minimum?

DEBORAH RAND: I can't speak for the judges.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.

We have questions from Council Member Vallone and
then Cornegy. Oh, we've also been joined by Council
Member Reynoso. Your question is.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Good morning and thank you. And I also want to highlight that Council Member Chin, who as our Chair of Aging we've also put in requests for mandatory representation for our seniors when facing eviction. And I think that she reported that they're doing that, because this is one of those areas where a senior could face harassment or not have the ability to have legal representation to fight off a landlord. So we are taking more progressive steps in those areas.

I think a couple of things we could maybe ask you advice or address. Raising the minimum fine sometimes for a landlord of one to four families, there are good tenants, there are bad tenants. There

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

are good landlords, and there's bad landlords. So I think we have to try to find a way to balance that.

And there may be a situation where one to four-family landlord with a \$5,000 fine you're going to lose the home, and you're not going to be able to provide apartments also. So of these 44 cases that went to disposition, and the 608 that settled, it sounds like

we need more information there. Is that so?

DEBORAH RAND: Well, of the 44 -- of the 32 that were -- we looked at the 32 files since 2012. There were 32 of the 44 with dispositions finding harassment that were filed in 2012-2013 and this year. And of all of those, there were findings of harassment, but in most of those the owner didn't appear. So I don't know that we would find any more information. In terms of the ones that were settled, they were clearly resolved with some agreements between the owners and the tenants as to what the future conduct would be.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Is there any information on repeat offenders? So a landlord who has had multiple claims of harassment in one or additional buildings?

I think as a

2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Yes, we can certainly look at that as well. Again, we did a very cursory review of the data in preparation for the hearing, but we can certainly provide you with that information.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:

Council body we're a very frustrated with the -- what do you call it, slum landlords, or landlord who just continually abuse. These are the ones that I want to see the fines raised through the roof. Somebody who just arrogantly didn't show up at a hearing, or said, "Whatever. It's the cost of doing business." are the ones where the judges should have the ability to raise -- You know, this is the third time, Mr. Smith, that you've failed to address a harassment If it's the first time landlords like with charge. any first-time case, I think there should be some discretion there I think in working out settlements. It's the repeat offenders, I'd like to see this law.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: And we have that repeat offender type methodology to address other conditions like heat and hot water recent legislation within the past few years. It raises the civil

increase the fines.

problem. So we need to look and see if they're

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

dismissed were seniors?

actually following the law that we passed. Just to
follow up on something that the council member
mentioned. How many -- do we know how many of the
cases that were brought whether or not they were

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: We don't collect information the age of the tenant who is filing the

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And I agree and I share many of the concerns that you mentioned about small landlords and the results of a \$5,000 hit. And I've often tried to see if we can, Council Member Vallone, even put in guidelines for purposes and other purposes split. And because the way the laws is set in the state, a lot of times we can't split. We can't differentiate between a small landlord or a larger landlord. I don't know if that's the case here. We can look into it, but my guess is that it's -- we can't differentiate between who it is. But we're interested to look into that. But I also agree we just want to be careful. The purpose of the law is to change the behavior, not to destroy someone if we don't have to. So I fully agree with that as

case.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

30

well. Council Member Cornegy and then Council Member Reynoso.

[Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER CORNEGY: Good morning. So it's always great to sit next to Paul. As an attorney, I get to cheat off of his paper. But as a former policy analyst or agent, this is incredibly important to me. And as a council member who has one of the only aging improvement districts in this community, I'm excited about the law. It's just in my district I find I have a lot of seniors who are very proud, and don't know that they even have this recourse available, and are not accustomed to actually having advocacy on anything. So they're former employees and people who've had some standing in the community, and don't know that this is available. So I'd definitely like to partner with your office to make sure that we get the information out and in the hands of people that could really use it. So thank you.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Council Member

24 Reynoso.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and thank you guys for being here, and it's been an eventful couple of weeks for us. I've been working alongside HPD, the Department of Buildings, and DHCR. Who else was there? The DA's Office, the Mayor's Office, and the City Council in an effort to really start addressing the issue of harassment specifically in Bushwick where the rents have increased so much, almost \$3,000 in some cases for a one-bedroom in Bushwick that landlords use the cost of doing business to harass a portion of it. The fines are a cost of doing business to them.

And then they go to court, and they have, you know, judges that don't lay down the law or bring justice to these landlords. It is very easy to dismiss a case against a landlord for these tenants. I originally thought that Housing Court was built specifically to protect tenants. What we have now is a system that absolutely protects landlords. I do want to say that there is a lot of work that we can all do in an effort to address this issue, and specifically in Bushwick I just have to say, and now that start, by the way in Bushwick and that start.

The landlords -- the fines that they are currently receiving are not doing any good. It doesn't hurt them enough. I'm not trying to go after the one to four or five new homes. That's not what we're trying to do here. There are players in this game that are very clear to us who they are, and at this point they're criminal. We have seven cases, for example, in our district where the landlords were doing construction while the people were living in their homes.

Now, they have no kitchen, no bathroom.

They're using the restroom in the neighbor's homes, and he's done this in almost ten buildings. And he's still doing these terrible conditions that are unbearable that none of us in this room would ever consider living in. The fines mean nothing to him.

The judge dismissed a recent case when he did go to court. ECB is another issue, the Environmental Control Board. When we talk about harassment, and the work that we're trying to do in the City Council to truly protect tenants, we are so far away.

We are so far away from doing it the right way. And I'm glad that HPD is in conversations with us, and the small task force that is starting in

just positions locally. But I would just recommend that all Council members -- They have other issues of harassment. Especially extreme harassment, which is going on now. They're drilling holes, craters in living rooms while people are living in them. You HPD and you ask for someone to help you set something up so you can start attacking issues locally.

Because we're so far away from doing this
the right way that I'm really grateful for you,
Chair, and the rest of this Committee for bringing to
light the issue of tenant harassment. I must say I
don't necessarily think the law that was passed
before in regards to - - One second, to harassment -the Tenant Protection Act, in my district
specifically the matter is all managing. So thank
everybody for coming, and I guess members have
noticed that you have question, and thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, council member. I have two quick questions. Aside from the venue Tenant Protection Act, what are other ways we can help prevent harassment and hold landlords accountable? And kind of similar: Does the Administration have any other plans to pursue new policies regarding to tenant harassment?

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: And I think if I could fill in Council Member Reynoso and Council Member Cornegy, who is there as well, we are starting to look at other options to discuss. Both internally and with the Council, with the Mayor's Office, with the Brooklyn Borough President's Office, who also represented that; Congresswoman Balasko to really look at what other options we can consider. And certainly again as we go through these discussions, we'd be happy to obviously to keep the Housing and Buildings Committee involved as well as the Committee for the Aging. As we know, you're very interested in this issue. So we don't have any substantive to put -- anything substantive to put on the table to you today, but this is definitely a work in progress.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, and we've been joined by Council Member Levine and Rose, and I'll pass it back to Council Member Chin. If anyone else has any questions, please let us know.

Otherwise, after Council Member Chin, we're going to release the panel.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Well, I think we're also going to hear from a lot of the advocates, and we've got some real examples on the ground, but it is

set. And even though the board -- we try to improve on it, it is very difficult to get people to go to court. I mean the whole idea of like - - Especially for an immigrant community, and we talk about the seniors. And also I think that down the road HPD as a city agency really needs to take a more stronger and aggressive role in terms of really helping tenants.

So that not necessarily it's like that they have to go and initiate the court action. But the minute that they file a complaint - - I mean really for all of these residents, the fact that they called 311 is a big step. So that following up on these repair issues is significant. And in my district I think the issue of -- you know, we have a lot of harassment in the way of not fixing the building. It gets to the point where the building is so bad that there's fires or they vacate. Then the landlord is just like, Wow, we won the lottery.

Now everybody is out of the building.

And their took their sweet time to try to fix the building. Maybe not fix the building for years, or it gets so bad that they get to tear down the building, and never build it back, and we've lost

affordable housing. And meanwhile, he City is paying for the shelter like for housing we spend. So, I think besides legislation if HPD can really take on a more aggressive role in doing emergency repair, and doing any kind of repair. And just billing it back to the landlord, and if they don't pay, then put a lien on the building. I mean a more aggressive approach.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: And I think that in terms of resources, you might look at how to increase resources so that you can do more of this emergency repair that you could just step in and sort of take over, and fix the conditions in the building.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: [interposing] Uhhuh, and I think --

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I believe that that will go a long way

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Right, and not to trump the housing plan, but I'm sure we're all aware that the housing plan is being released tomorrow, and enforcement is going to be a key part of what we're doing going forward. So certainly we're expect that we will reinvest resources in doing enforcement in

continuing to bring litigation, and continuing to do emergency repair. Again, any ideas you have on how to get people to even call 311, which is kind of the same for some people who are going Housing Court as the council member -- the other council member said as well is call us.

So we can go in, and then you can see we have the Proactive Preservation Program or we have been without a specific complaint from a tenant going to a building and looking at the whole building, and dealing with the landlords on that level. We do have the Alternatives Enforcement Program, which is obviously the most extreme case, but we're trying to creep in a little and catch buildings before it gets there, right. Which is Proactive Preservation, and we are moving in that direction. So I appreciate your support of our enforcement efforts, and certainly that's going to be something going forward that we're going to put even more effort into it.

DEBORAH RAND: I just want to say that we have had some success working with your office actually on the Grand Street building where even after there was a fire in the neighboring buildings,

2 and a vacate, working with an Asian-American League - 3 What as it.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: That was ours.

[sic]

DEBORAH RAND: Yeah, and with John Gorman and your office, we were able to get the tenants back in their homes, and get the building fully renovated. It took a while, but we really did get the owner to make the repairs. So it's a tough road, and I think what Anne Marie said is important, but also we are having some successes, and not to diminish those successes, but you've been involved with them, all of us have been involved there.

I remember that one, but the whole -- what really got me on that one is that yes we got one building fixed, but we lost two buildings. And if we can have a more aggressive HPD, we would have been able to take that site, and build affordable housing on it. Rather than now seeing a commercial unit and a commercial space in there, and the landlord is making money. He didn't lose anything, you know, but hundreds of people were vacated, and the city had to and a non-profit organization had to take up the slack and

house these people, these families. So that's what I'm asking for a little more aggressive. And when things happen we can take those opportunities and really build more affordable housing. So I'm looking forward, we're looking forward to seeing the Mayor's plan tomorrow. So, thank you.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We've been joined by Council Member Torres and Council Member Treyger.

I know Council Member Rose has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. Good morning, and you probably -- you might have addressed this, but I'm really concerned that landlords play this game where they turn off the electric and the water, and the heat. They know that HPD will turn it on. So, it seems to me this little game, and they know that they'll turn it on before it becomes a critical situation for the residents that live there. What are you doing to combat that, the back and forth, this game where they know that it will be restored, and so -- and they really don't take any measures to avoid that?

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: You mean that HPD will restore the service?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Yes, that HPD will restore the service.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Well, when we restore the service, we bill the landlord and there's actually a pretty hefty administrative fee associated with that bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: But these -- this is a strategy that they use repeatedly. Is there something like if this happens a certain number of times that the enforcement will be maybe to take over the housing? What can be done to keep landlords from using this strategy time and time again?

DEBORAH RAND: Well, I mean one of the things that we do in litigation is for extraordinary cases we don't have the ability to it in massive numbers of buildings. But HPD does bring 7A cases seeking to have an administrator appointed to take over the management of the building. Those are litigated, and sometimes owners vigorously oppose them. But to that extent then the owner cannot go in and do that, and that's one of the things — one of the elements of proof for a 7A is that an owner has repeatedly not managed a building properly.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: And so at what point do you consider a 7A as the alternative?

DEBORAH RAND: Well, normally we get a referral either from a tenant advocacy group or from an office of a council member or from internal -- our Neighborhood Preservation or code, and then the 7A evaluates the building, but that's it.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: So there's nothing that automatically triggers that?

DEBORAH RAND: Before -- let me speak specifically to heat and hot water because that's a very common one, right? Certainly, there are precivil penalties. We also have an inspection fee that starts getting levied against a landlord the third time that we go out and the heat isn't on, two consecutive heat season. So there are increasing penalties on that end. We also -- our Division of Neighborhood Preservation goes out and does assess these buildings once we see that they're repeat offenders.

The Division of Neighborhood Preservation has a number of options after they go out if they see that there is -- whether it's more of a harassment issue, or whether it's a condition, a physical

7A. It's usually the new older, but possibly the old owner has to make a motion to the court for discharge, and the court evaluates. And generally the standard is the court looks to see if the owner - First of all, if the work that led to the 7A has been completed. Second of all, if the owner has paid off his liens.

Third of all, if the owner has a plan for the proper operation of the building in the future. That's a court decision. We have traditionally, but now always, opposed discharge. We sometimes support discharge, but generally we oppose it. But it's not up to us, the discharge. It's up to the court. And it's the result of a motion by an owner either an owner that lost the building, but more generally it's a new owner.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you. I just, you know, I just think that we should look at ways that we can really enforce something because of these bad actors. I think repeated bad actors should not be allowed to be landlords at some point.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: That's right the ability of --

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 46 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING believe that HPD should have that authority? Like if 3 there's a pattern of systematic harassment against tenants much like TPU will initiate action, will HPD 4 do the same? 5 ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: I think our actions 6 7 should always be based on conditions, which is something that we factually will know, right. Some 8 cases of harassment are more the tenant said that 9 10 they owner did this. If it doesn't involve conditions, it's going to be hard for us to initiate 11 12 that. 13 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay. I know 14 that TPU has a habit of using subpoena power, and I'm wondering does HPU have subpoena power? 15 ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: We do. 16 17 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: And what's the manner in which you've used it, and what's the scope 18 19 of the power? 20 ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: We have used it 21 very rarely. I'm not personally familiar with how it's been used, though. So we can get back to you on 22 that, council member. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER TORRES: Okay, that's the 24 25 extent of my questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

3 | Council Member Treyger.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you,

Chairs Williams and Chin, and thank you I'll say

Commissioners from HPD. I represent the district,

though it may, it has a very large immigrant

community. And what measures does HPD take to address

and accommodate the needs of immigrant communities?

In my district we have families who speak Chinese,

Russian, Spanish, you name it. We have it all. What

efforts do you undertake to make sure that their

rights are protected?

anne Marie Santiago: Well, we have -our Division of Neighborhood Enforcement has -- I'm
sorry. Our Division of Neighborhood Preservation has
a unit called a Public Outreach and Education Unit,
and that unit does do community-based meetings. They
do it for both owners and tenants. They can do it in
a variety of languages. They'll come and they'll
about the various issues affecting either/or party
because a lot of this is really about educating the
tenants about they have a right to not be harassed,
or they have a right to call HPD if there are
conditions.

And I think that that's part of the strongest efforts that we can make to make sure that these things don't happen. 311 accepts complaints in, I don't know, 150 languages, 200 languages.

Whenever we get a complaint, we recognize that if that language is --I'm sorry, if that complaint is placed in a different language and we do try to outreach to tenants in the language that they indicated to 311. So we are trying to reach out to those communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Well, I will tell you that there is a disconnect because I've organized some buildings to inform tenants of their rights. And many times they're not aware of what their rights are. Unfortunately, not many landlords go out of their way to educate them about their rights. And do believe that HPD needs to really do more aggressive outreach to the diverse communities of our city to inform these tenants of their rights, particularly during heating season.

Particularly with regards to paint.

Particularly with regards to not, you know, people have a right to have hot water, heat during the cold season, to have their apartments painted every so

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 49 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING often. Not to see mice and rodents so --3 there's a disconnect between what they hear, and what they feel, and what they've seen, and what you're 4 saying here today. And I guess I just feel that we 5 need to do a much better job of reaching the diverse 6 communities of our city. 8 ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: And certainly, in 9 your case, if you want to contact us directly, we'd 10 be happy to set up. We go to individual buildings. 11 We'll do community organization spaces. If you have 12 a space yourself, we will come to your space, and 13 give our presentation. We usually have a variety of 14 representatives there not only HPD, but we bring HCR. Often the Health Department often attends also on 15 some of the issues; lead-based paint, and in 16 17 particular window guards we talk about. And we offer that to every Council Member at any time you can 18 contact us, but we certainly recognize that that's a 19 20 need. 21 COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Your information is provided in various languages? 22

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Yes.

24

1

3

4

hearings?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: And you have folks who speak different languages who come to these

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Yes, and we usually have the headsets that allow us to translate. We do work with you to know what languages we're going to need for any given event, but certainly we can do that.

> COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: Thank you.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: You're very

welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I just want to make a comment. Yeah, I think my colleague is really -we can post those events in our community, and I've done one in my district to really get the word out. It is getting people to know about what their rights are, and also we're going to hear from advocates who actually gets a lot of referrals from council members' offices to represent the tenants. Because there are a lot of tools out there. I mean even the HP action is something that tenants in the building can utilize, but a lot of people don't because of this idea of going to court. So we really need to

figure out better ways of really helping them, and getting them to know their rights. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: We have two questions from a staff member that I thought were good, from Council Member Rosenthal's office. Are the number of HPD cases filed by tenants increasingly a basis, and what's the number of cases filed each year between implementation and today?

DEBORAH RAND: We don't have the information as to the exact numbers. Actually, it's been an up and down in terms of tenant actions. It went -- it decreased fairly dramatically for a couple of years, but it seems to be going up again. And we can certainly get you the numbers of tenant action and cases that were filed.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, and if no other of my colleagues have any questions, I just want to say thank you so much for coming out and giving your testimony and answering the questions.

ANNE MARIE SANTIAGO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All right, we have
-- I'm going to try to make it one panel because it's
five people. So I'm going to try to see if we can

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 52 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING squeeze around a little bit. I think it makes more 3 sense if we can get all five so we -- we probably have similar questions for all of you. So Ian Davie, 4 Legal Services, NYC Bronx; Jason Blumberg from NY 5 Legal -- I think it's NY Legal Services -- NFY, I'm 6 sorry. Legal Aid Society; Katherine Brennan [sp?], Sheila Garcia from CASA New Settlement; and Nalia 8 Moore from Pratt Area Community Council. There will 9 10 be no time limit on the questions that we ask, but we're going to ask to have a time limit on your 11 12 presentation of three minutes each. 13 [Pause] 14 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the interest of expediency --15 FEMALE SPEAKER: Yes. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Just one second. I'm going to ask you to raise your right hand. 18 you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole 19 20 truth, and nothing but the truth before the committee 21 today. 22 IAN DAVIE: I do JASON BLUMBERG: 23

24

2 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, sir.

You guys can decide who starts first, and just say your name and start.

Legal Services NYC is the largest provider of free legal services in the country. It's spread throughout the five boroughs with an emphasis on direct legal services, and broad based litigation.

Arising from community involvement and feedback,

Legal Services NYC represents thousands of families and individuals with a host of legal problems each year, including housing, family life, domestic violence, youth services, immigration, foreclosure, consumer law, sustainability, and public benefits, to name just a few.

We regularly provide assistance advocacy and legal representation and education to community groups and individuals, including those referred by the courts, community partners and elected officials. My name is Ian Davie. I'm the Deputy Director of the Housing Unit in the Bronx office of Legal Services NYC, and I have daily contact with our Housing Advocates throughout the City, many of which are actually seated at this table right now. They have

all advised me of the importance of Intro 129 in relation to remedies for breach of the duty of an owner to refrain from harassment of tenants.

We at Legal Services NYC welcome the opportunity to testify before the Committees on Housing and Buildings and the Aging, and we strongly encourage the City Council to pass Intro 129, an important measure that will protect tenants against landlords seeking to harass them out of their homes. I'd like to thank Committees -- Committee Chairs Williams and Chin as well as the various Committee Members for the opportunity to testify today. Your leadership on housing and aging issues are appreciated by the staff, and advocates of Legal Services NYC, and residents of the city.

Specifically, we'd like to thank Council
Members Chin, Williams, Barron, Johnson, Palma,
Reynoso, Rose, Rosenthal, and Mendez for their
leadership on this bill. I won't go through the
specifics of the bill, but it is obvious that it
increases the fines on non-compliant landlords, and
requires that HPD post on its website information
about non-compliance or harassment. Already, the
Code is very clear about what harassment constitutes,

and states that an owner of a dwell shall not harass any tenants or personally -- excuse me -- or persons lawfully entitled to occupancy.

Unfortunately, tenants' advocates still see harassment happening in many forms throughout the city. Legal Services advocates as well as elected officials have observed that skyrocketing market rents combined with changes to the rent regulations, laws, and rules have created enormous economic consensus for landlords to harass tenants and create vacancies. Rent stabilization laws permit owners to charge 20% rent increases for apartments rented after a vacancy. Landlords can also obtain almost unlimited rent increases based on apartment improvements, which are often unsubstantiated.

Thus, landlords who induce tenants to vacate their apartments can hope to deregulate virtually any apartment and raise rents. Of course, this results in homelessness and a changing urban landscape we've seen throughout New York City. In the interest of time, I'm going to skip over my testimony. I would just like to talk about a few of the most recent and horrendous problems that we've seen. The Three Borough Pool Litigation, which was

2 away, and refused to let her back in her apartment.

3 It was a long saga to get her back in. Fortunately,

4 | the court did order her restored to her apartment,

5 and the Tenant Protection Unit is investigating her

6 | landlord.

One of the things I think that Intro 129 does that will be very helpful to tenants and advocates is the posting of the violations. A lot of landlord-tenant harassment claims, particularly those that I aren't related to conditions come down to a tenant said/landlord said situation, and even skilled attorneys would have a very difficult time trying to prove that. And I think that might explain why there's been such needed cases litigated of harassments claims. And it's very difficult to prove them, and a tenant's testimony regarding the ability of their landlord would be much -- it lends context and credibility to a tenant's testimony if they can show that the landlord has been --

And there have been other violations -violations of harassment posted. It sort of shows
how that there has been a practice of this, and I do
think that would be very helpful. Also, our
organizer is attending advocacy groups to determine

whether or not a landlord is engaged in misconduct even in one of their buildings or throughout their buildings. So I do think that Intro 129 is an extremely important piece of legislation. With respect to the fines, the one thing that we would suggest is we think it's great to make them higher. We also think that perhaps a provision that prohibits HPD from bringing it without the consent of the complaining tenant if they're imposed.

I do think that tenants have a difficult time litigating these claims because they are mostly also not represented. And it is extremely difficult for them even in the HP context, which is very user-friendly for most tenants. It's very hard for them to actually go forward, and almost all of landlords are represented. So I think that also could explain why there have been so few successful claims going forward on harassment. Not for lack of a harassment claim, but more for a lack of tools for the tenants to be able to put forth those claims. So thank you again for the opportunity. And I'm, of course, welcome to answer any questions.

[Pause]

morning.

SHELLY GARCIA: I don't know if it's morning, but good morning. My name is Shelly Garcia. I'm an organizer at Casa Community Action Department. We're located in the Bronx. I'm a newly appointed member of the Landlord Reform [sp?] and a member of Stabilizing NYC, a citywide coalition that has come together to fight depletion of affordable housing in New York City at the hands of predatory equity. Thank you for the opportunity to testify this

Our coalition is made up of 12 organizations, and one civil legal service provider, Cal, Community Development Project at the Urban Justice Center, Asian-Americans for Equality, CHILCDC [sp?], Casa Community Action for Safe Apartments and East Side Apartments [sic]. Sorry, Safe Avenue Committee and Neighbors Helping Neighbors, Flatbush Tenant Coalition, Goals, We Meet Again as Sisters [sic], and Community Center, Mothers on the Move, Meet us Bronx [sic], and Tri-Area Community Council, and Woodside Avenue.

And I'm here to testify more about the predatory equity side of the harassment that we're seeing across all of the city, right. So tenants are

not only facing landlords who are shutting off the elevators, and the hot water, and having awful conditions in their apartments, right. We're also seeing consistent when HPD shows up, all of the services are restored. And it's a form of harassment, and it's really difficult to prove. And I agree that just like my other colleagues have said, and many of you have mentioned that raising the fines, and really making sure that we are being more proactive on how tenants are -- You know, because the tenants don't come up and say something, as we all know.

Many of the tenants that are in our community are seniors, or people who don't speak English. And so an inspector coming out to your apartment and knocking on your door, and only speaking English, you can't let them. So the violation won't even go on record, right. And so, we see a lot of that, and the landlords know that they can get away with it. And it's so difficult to actually prove the harassment that we're seeing across the city. But then while we're not seeing that, they're kicking out tenants because they can no longer afford to pay their mortgages.

And so, it's a cycle of -- it's a vicious cycle where we're seeing harassment leading to tenants moving out, rents being increased, and communities being destabilized. And it's an impact that we really need to be aware of. And I think that raising the civil penalties, and making sure that HPD is being proactive in a different way like coming in the middle of the night. They do that, but it's all tenant initiated, and it's difficult for a tenant to actually go out to a community organization and say, I have this right. Can you help me fight for it. And so, it's really interesting to see many organizations are involved in this to really put forth higher [bell] fines, and be more proactive on this. And I'm happy to answer any questions.

JASON BLUMBERG: Thank you, Chairs.

Thank you Council Members for the bill, and for the opportunity to support it. I'm Jason Blumberg. I'm a senior staff attorney at MFY Legal Services in the Seniors Project. MFY serves more than 3,600 tenants each year, more than 2,000 senior tenants each year throughout New York City. We're part of the Assigned Counsel Project by which judges in the Manhattan

Housing Court can refer seniors in Housing Court to attorneys.

My clients are long-term rent regulated tenants, which means that a lot of them are targets of harassment. The Local Law 7, Anti-Tenant Harassment Bill was great, but in my experience in MFY's experience is that harassment still continues at pace especially the rent when it comes to senior tenants. Because the longer someone has lived in an apartment the further beneath market the rent is, the more economic incentive there is to try to harass them out.

It continues in the form of repeated baseless proceedings, baseless non-accounting [sic] residents basis, nuisance cases, repairs that go uncompleted for too long. We get these calls every week. We represent those we can. But the mass-produced nature of the cases we're involved in makes for everybody who calls us, everybody we represent there are dozens more that don't call or that we can't represent.

Landlord harassment of elderly tenants continues because it works, and it works because it's cost effective for the reasons I've said because so

many tenants, so many senior tenants don't have attorneys. Don't have the wherewithal to fight. A one-time civil penalty of \$5,000 is frankly not a significant deterrent to harassment especially when conservatively they could reap a profit of \$2,500 per month in perpetuity if they get a long-term tenant.

MFY supports the bill, and MFY supports all of the City Council's efforts to combat tenant harassment, particularly of seniors, and I'll accede the rest of my time for -- happy to answer questions.

NALIA MOORE: Thank you. Good morning, council members, Chairs. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. My name is Nalia Moore, and I am an Organizer at Pratt Area Community Council.

My testimony begins at -- Well, not begins, it will continue. As of yesterday, I had a senior citizen tenant come into the office seeking urgent legal assistance for himself and his neighbors in an H Unit building in Clifton Place in Bedford-Stuyvesant [sp?].

His new landlord had recently entered apartments and threw all tenant's furniture, and attempted to stop HPD contractors from conducting AEP [sp?] repairs, all in an attempt to force them out of

their stabilized apartments. Beyond that, so many of our Crown Heights tenants have been dragged back and forth to Brooklyn Housing Court on baseless eviction proceedings, including legal tenants being accused of squatting in apartments where the rent -- the rent records of DHL were falsified by the management.

I've also had tenants brought for eviction proceedings, and accused of denying management access to do repairs when tenants have stayed home numerous days from work for those repairs. No repair crew showed up, and the management didn't respond to any calls from the tenants as to what happened with the repairs. This makes it especially difficult for low-income tenants to keep up-to-date with their rent because they are missing so many days of work having to be at court.

non-payment cases. In addition to that, so many of our seniors and tenants with disabilities have been forced to endure prolonged unsafe and unhealthy conditions in their apartments because the landlords have been systematically flaunting violations on HPD inspections. And we've seen targeted retaliation against those tenants who are immigrants, or those

who don't speak English as a first language. Or,
those who are tenant leaders in their buildings being
targeted for retaliation, whether by phone call,
whether by physical threat, or for the eviction

6 proceedings being brought against them.

The laundry list continues. Constant phone calls at all hours of the night offering violence to tenants. Tenants have complained that the management offices are losing their rents. I've had tenants that over the past two years not had their rent payments accepted by management. And then had non-payment cases brought against them as a form of harassment. Tenants repeatedly receive erroneous rent statements that include fees that are illegal to their rent stabilized rents as another form of frustrating them.

So this basically is what we see as the face of harassment in Brooklyn, and I'm sure the rest of the city. And what we see is that as of right now, maybe the legal definition of harassment not encompass all of these activities. If there is any way that we can [bell] expand this definition to cover more of these things, we would continue to collaborate with you, and with the necessary

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

authorities to see that justice is brought to these tenants, and that landlords don't get away with it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you so much for your testimony. Thank you for all the continued advocacy and work that you do. Congratulations to the appointment of the Rent Governance Board. I've made no secret that I'm hoping for a zero increase this year. So I'll just make that pitch. And also I've been doing this for some time. As a matter of fact, the first Right to Organize case that will actually prove the law was when I was an organizer in that case in Flatbush. So this thing is still very near and dear to me. And they were being harassed just for trying to organize, and correct some of the fears.

I have some questions from some of the stuff that I heard, and then I'll pass it over to the Chair. So someone mentioned or more than one person mentioned how difficult it is to prove these cases, which I understand. Do you have suggestions on how we would better prove, or what evidence would help the case be stronger?

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IAN DAVIE: Well, I think that one of the main things education and advocacy support for both of those. To the extent that tenants can know about that harassment exists, and then going to -- Someone like Council Member Chin and other members have done is some kind of advocacy project whether that be for the aging or right to counsel or more support for legal services. Because we see these everyday, but often we're focused on the roof is caving in or the staircase is falling away, and it's harder to go forward on the harassment issue. And if that's a case for attorneys, if they're in court everyday, that is certainly the case for tenants who may not even know about this. But I think that having an attorney, or having some kind of advocacy can really help to handle this issue at home.

KATHY BRENNAN: I do think that's why the provision in Intro 129 that has the violations being posted. As an attorney, as an advocate I think that that would be very helpful. I think back to when I was doing -- representing my client, and I think the landlord had a very smooth story, about why it was that my client was no longer -- He alleged that she voluntarily surrendered the apartment, and my client

knew what was not true. We instinctively knew that was not true, but it's very difficult to prove that.

I think if violations are posted, you can then cross-examine it from a purely legal standpoint, and cross-examine the landlord, and say, Well, you're claiming this happened here, but there's six other time in which somebody else claimed this happened.

At some point it becomes sort of not true or at least it's -- And I do think that the postings would help that a lot. I do think that giving tenants empowerment to sort -- to go forward and to go forward on this harassment thing I think could also help. Because all the posting in the world won't help if there aren't findings.

I do think as my colleagues have suggested, helping tenants and empowering them, giving tenants the knowledge to go forward on these harassment cases will also help. I mean, every legal lockout in Housing Court that ends in frustration, it's most likely harassment. I mean there is a limited way that it couldn't be harassment, and I think the Code makes it quite clear. So those themselves should be -- Perhaps either the lockout petition could also have a claim that -- a harassment

is a form of harassment.

claim that's part of the petition. Right now it

doesn't. The pro se form that a tenant has for an

illegal lockout doesn't say that. So I think that

bolsters the claims of harassment findings that are

out there. Because I don't even think tenants of an

illegal lockout understand that what happened to them

Well just about the standard of harassment, and it's very difficult to prove even as a lawyer, as a pro se tenant it's got to be extraordinarily difficult to prove. You need to prove that if the landlord is doing more than a number of things, and there's a list of a number of things that quality. They give a case list. Lawsuits, violations placed or conditions, threatening acts, et cetera. You also need to prove that they did those things with the intent of failing to give up the rights related to their housing.

That's a lot to prove. It's difficult to prove what anybody intended. It would be better if there was certain rebuttable presumptions. If you sued a tenant more than -- if you sued them twice in the last year, there's a rebuttable presumption that

that's harassment. You know, other things of this sort. The list would work very well as rebuttable presumptions. If you've used physical threats, that should be rebuttable. That's harassment, and they should have to prove that it's not harassment rather than a tenant or a tenant's attorney having to prove that it is.

More procedurally, the bill as it stands right now, says that if you bring a harassment claim -- I forget exactly how it's worded -- in bad faith, you could be liable for attorney's fees. I have no doubt that assistant commissioners, the numbers they cited, which were shocking, that only of the 3,200 cases only 44 had decisions. A lot of that is because Housing Court judges are notoriously overburdened, and don't like to judge. They don't like to make decisions, and I have no doubt that they're browbeating tenants and plying them with the threat of attorney's fees if they lose.

I mean, at the very least take that -that could be removed, and it could be a more level
playing field. Until such a time as we have a better
right to counsel, at the very least for seniors, and
thank you, Council Member Chin for trying to do. If

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 72 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING we had an attorney's fees provision, if you prove harassment, you get attorney's fees. Even if you 3 don't and even if you leave the language as it stands 4 right how, it's bad faith. The landlord could get 5 it. But if it's not, if it's harassment, the 6 penalties aren't sufficient, including attorney's fees. All of a sudden there's a lot of private 8 tenant's attorneys in the city that will pick up 9 10 these cases. Where right now there's no reason for 11 them to. That's just a few suggestions. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So, just so I'm 12 13 clear. So right now say that if the tenant loses 14 they pay attorney's fees? JASON BLUMBERG: It's not just -- I'm 15 16 sorry. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: If the landlord 17 loses, they don't pay attorney's fees? 18 JASON BLUMBERG: If the tenant brought 19 20 the claim, and I don't think anybody has the bill in 21 front of them, and it means, they could say something like in bad faith, if it was --22 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] This 23 24 current law or the way it is now?

but if we had the power to find a way to break off so that we're not hitting as hard the one to four-family as we are with some of the larger units?

[Pause]

IAN DAVIE: You know, I don't necessarily see a benefit to that. I think that -- this might go to what Council Member Vallone was saying, which is if there are bad actors, it's not acceptable that that's just the cost of doing business. So, whether it's a smaller -- And I know that HPD did indicate that a lot of these cases are just where the landlord defaulted, and just didn't show up. I guess of the 44 of the multiple thousand that where there was a harassment finding.

So I don't know that making some kind of division would really help here. Because I think that if the -- You know, if that's the cost of doing business, it's going to be for the bigger owners and for the smaller owners. I think that that deterrent, the increase in the minimum fines or the minimum has to be across the board. Because if it's the cost of doing business, that cost needs to be borne equally by anyone who is going to just flaunt these regulations.

I just -- I

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

generally see particularly owner occupied rental as different than units that are not owner occupied. think they are quite logically.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS:

SHEILA GARCIA: Right, but we're working on a lot of rent stabilized apartments and I also feel like we are not getting the numbers of like thousands of cases whether those are rent stabilized, right? From my experience in buildings, especially like 16-unit buildings, those landlords are more like -- Once the tenant is taken to court, they're more likely to react in a way that's more appropriately than landlords who are bigger, and who are predatory. And who have massive amounts of building who are like, Well, you know, it might take 15 tenants taking me to court. That's just the cost of doing business in one building, right? Even if they do start the HP action, even if they go through all that, for tenants that's a real hardship to go to court and to prove all of these things.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, you mentioned the 16-unit. When I talk, I usually mean owner-occupied one to four, as opposed to not owner occupied rental one to four, and buildings that are

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 76 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 2 larger. I just usually see it as someone -- if 3 someone is an owner and living there and renting out a second story or something like that. Usually, they 4 get hit a little harder with some of these things. 5 SHEILA GARCIA: But harassment is 6 7 harassment, right? 8 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] Oh, 9 yeah. 10 SHEILA GARCIA: And if you're doing it, I 11 feel like you should be paying the fines just as 12 anyone else. 13 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Got you. 14 IAN DAVIE: But I'm just not sure that there's necessarily a need. I mean we haven't -- if 15 there are 44 units -- were the numbers of the five or 16 17 six or seven years since the law was passed, or were they in the last year? 18 19 COUNCIL MEMBER: [off mic] IAN DAVIE: Right. So 44 is maybe six or 20 21 seven a year. I don't think that we've seen necessarily that it has been an undue burden on 22 smaller landlords versus larger. I'm not sure if 23

24

there's a good need for that.

2	

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I have three questions, but I'll ask one and then pass it over. Someone said something about HPD settling without the fines, without the consent of tenants. So HPD is settling the cases without collecting the fines? Is that what you -- Tell me what it is.

KATHY BRENNAN: Generally speaking, and HPD correct me if I'm --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing]
Well, they can't correct from there, but yeah.

KATHY BRENNAN: But, they can give a description. [sic] Generally speaking, with HPD actions, HPD is a party to every HPD action. And while the tenants will go and initiate them, it's generally HP because of their lawyers, and most of the HP lawyers, at least the ones that I have experience with in Brooklyn are phenomenal and they do a tremendous job trying to advocate that the repairs get done. But most of the settlement discussions, and the decisions that they find are not to seek the fines sort of goes above the tenants' heads to some extent. And this sort of need by HPD for lots of very good reasons, and maybe not good

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

2 reasons, but HPD has this knowledge. The HPD
3 attorneys who deal with these cases all the time --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: [interposing] So I just want to be clear. Are they making them without their consent, or are you saying they don't fully understand?

KATHY BRENNAN: I think that the tenants don't fully understand what's going on. And particularly, I would think with respect to harassment cases, if it's a tenant initiated harassment case, those are primarily services and that the tenant should at least be given some say. And some explanation or some guidelines, as to whether or not they can bring a harassment violation, as opposed to something that's more physically planned or into the building.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. I'm just thinking because last you said, and I understand when you said tenants should have more say, too. I just want to be clear if you think tenants just don't fully understand what's going on, or you feel like something is being done wrong because they're not consenting to it?

KATHY BRENNAN: I think tenants don't understand what's going on.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. We've been joined by Council Members Deutsch, Espinal, and Arroyo, and I know that Council Member Levine has some questions. Oh, I'm sorry. My Chair. Chair.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: No.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, Council Member Levine.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: This mic does not like me. Thanks to both our chairs for this great topic, and thanks to our panel for this discussion.

I was so glad that Council Member Williams brought up the issue of attorneys in Housing Court who actually settle bills that are now pending. One is Intro 214. As some of you are familiar with. First, it would require tenants who have -- who are at or near the poverty line as defined as being 125% of the poverty level or lower, that the City would pay for representation for them.

This is a relatively affordable program.

It's 1,600 to 3,200 we estimate dollars per case that will make just a huge impact. Housing Court is

currently incurred with complaints. You know, 90% of landlords have attorneys, 10% of tenants do. There are almost 30,000 eviction cases a year right now.

This ties into two of the most pressing issues the city is facing. One is homelessness, and it's estimated that one-third of the people entering the shelter system are coming off an eviction, and that almost none of them had attorneys in that eviction proceeding.

This also ties into the issue, the broader issue of affordable housing. Because the vast majority of tenants facing eviction are in rent regulated units. And we know that in many cases, particularly in Manhattan when rent regulated units are vacated, the landlords find ways to exploit the vacancy to be controlled to take that unit permanently out of regulation. So we're actually losing a vast number of units a year because of this. Potentially almost as many as we're developing in affordable housing, if you do the math.

So mostly I just wanted to share that comment with you. I'm curious to know, those of you who have expertise on this, your estimates on a couple of factors here. One is if you do have a

sense of the impact of homelessness or evictions, and to the extent that the statement I made is true that almost none of the people entering shelter system had attorneys in the eviction proceedings. I'm also curious to know your sense of the percent of evictions, which really are for tenants and regulated units as opposed to market rate units. And finally whether it's true that the vast majority of cases where there was a vacancy and regulated units are coming out of the rent controlled regime?

of those questions. I practice only in Manhattan where I think the numbers are even more skewed. I think it's something closer to 99% of landlords that are represented and less that 1% of tenants that are. And I think citywide it gets closer to what you said because there are less landlords that are represented perhaps in outer boroughs. I think that people get evicted from rent regulated apartments everyday from — In a lot of those cases attorneys probably could have done something.

Every case I have it's a rent regulated tenant, and there's something I could do. It's not every case that we can win, but there's something

that we could do. I'm sure there's also market rate tenants that are being evicted everyday. I'm not sure if people read the Times article yesterday that described the plight of seniors in particular when they're losing their rent regulated apartments, but there's nowhere -- there's nowhere for them to go. There's nowhere.

In Housing Court, landlord's attorneys sometimes will try to put a friendly face on their bids evicting seniors by saying, You know, they can't walk up the stairs any more. They should be in assisted — they should be in assisted living, which it's ridiculous. I mean, it doesn't exist. Even for people that have millions, there's nowhere else to go. So I think a lot of the people that wind up in shelters yes came from rent regulated units. No, they did not have lawyers, and I think that there's a lot that we could do about it.

IAN DAVIE: And I think that Sheila and I could say at least in the Bronx that that number of 30,000 evictions per year may be a third to a half from the Bronx. And I can say being in Housing Court that the disparity or the difference between what a pro se tenant with maybe limited English proficiency,

limited educational opportunities can achieve versus what an attorney can achieve. I mean that's chasm, the difference between that. And I can say that often tenants who come in or post-evict tenants already having been evicted, already having gone through the entire legal process.

Sometimes we can resolve that in as little as a day. They'd been going to court for two years, you know, fighting, fighting, fighting. Maybe they've already been evicted. Sometimes they come in and we look at it, and we can get it resolved in a day. It is -- I mean the cost of the analysis of the whole system, including going into shelters and paying triple what market would be. I guess I can just say that having an attorney, or having a right to counsel whether it's for the elderly or for those who are at 125% of the poverty line, it would be an enormous benefit to the City.

SHELLY GARCIA: And I would just add that these numbers are astonishing, right, but these are displacing communities, and these apartments are all be destabilized. And so the 20% increase is a legal increase. And so the landlord could say that he also changed the floors of the apartment and added a new

kitchen, and did all these repairs. But the next tenant coming in most of the time the buildings that I work in, a 200-unit building 90% of the time that didn't at all happen. They're claiming MCIs and they're claiming all of these other things.

Some of them are a form of harassment, right. And so, using Housing Court, and making sure that the penalties are higher tenancy is higher. And one aspect of all of these things that tenants face, and they all have to be proactive to do them, I think it's essential and it's also really needed. I don't know, and we work with Council Member Levine to really talk about this legislation at Council because it's really important. But also, right, these are all things that we have to consider on the RGP, right?

And we are seeing all these number and this data, and it is true that costs all over are going up, but it's all cost of doing business, right. They're still making a profit, and they are I feel like in, you know, with predatory equity, they are betting that they are going to be able to harass tenants and kick them out. And I think this is a real issue. And if the cost of doing business is a

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING 85		
2	little higher, I am inclined to think that there also		
3	would be a little less of these cases happening, or		
4	this kind of harassment.		
5	NALIA MOORE: I want to add that this		
6	situation looks the same in Brooklyn. For about		
7	every ten clients that we counsel only two of them		
8	are being evicted from smaller owner inhabited		
9	buildings. Most of them are rent stabilized tenants		
10	on a weekly basis. I have also shadowed attorneys at		
11	the Housing Court in Brooklyn, and it's the same		
12	thing. On a day-to-day basis, it's either public		
13	housing with NYCHA tenants, or rent regulated tenants		
14	that are being evicted.		
15	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVINE: Thank you.		
16	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.		
17	Council Member Chin.		
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: When've been joined		
19	by		
20	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, what are we		
21	doing.		
22	ERIC ULRICH: Council Member Eric Ulrich.		
23	CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, we're joined		
24	by Council Member Fric Illrich		

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you. really want to thank all of the advocates. I mean, you guys are great. You guys are out there everyday. And a lot of times you are the people that we refer our constituents to. And I think that when you were talking about the predatory equity I mean they've bought the building with so much money, and they hire these law firms to just say in the court, right? They do like hundreds of cases all at once, and they know that they're going to -- bound to get rid of some tenants.

It's like a fishing net. They know that they're going to get rid of people, and also in my district especially in Chinatown where I reside, every time a new building owner, somebody buys a new building we know the harassment is happening. They'll send a legal notice, or even just a notice. I mean sometimes the residents don't even know that that is just a notice. It's not court paper. don't have to leave, and there are some people who left because they thought they have to leave because the notice said you have to vacate in 30 days.

So there is a lot of education that needs to be done. So in terms of the harassment, how do

you - do you have any suggestions as to how we can sort of help tenants understand their rights, but also how do they prepare? How do they prove their case, what they should -- documents that they should have or notes that they should have that can really help them make a claim to their case that they are being harassed by the landlords?

SHEILA GARCIA: Can I make two suggestions really quickly as an organizer, and as a community member in the place that I organize. I think it's really important to think about funding organization, or non-profits who are doing this kind of work. And as I mentioned before, I am a part of this Coalition, Stabilizing NYC, and we do go especially -- specifically around predatory equity to make sure that if we know that there's an overleveraged property if there is harassment, that we are providing tenants with their rights.

That we are advising and linking them to the legal services that are around. But I also think like every other organization we are swamped. There is so much of it going on that I have to turn away tenants all the time to sometimes elected official's offices. And they're like, You should go to Casa.

their basic rights.

And I'm like, I can't go to every single building.

And so that's really important, but also thinking

about having more educational services like where

we're providing -- every tenant that moves in is

provided something, some kind of information about

You have the right to call HPD. And that's not only provided in provided in English, and it's provided in multiple languages because we know that we represent communities that are very diverse. And that's why we love the city, and we need to make the accommodations to make sure that this information is distributed widely, but also in the language that they understand. Because it's no use to people in my buildings where 90% speak only Spanish if I give them something in English. And that's something that's required by landlords to provide some kind of pamphlet about your rights, or HPD will mail it out or something — some kind of system in place so every tenant in the building would know.

I think that we would see some of these
- if they'd get a notice they wouldn't necessarily -
you know how many times they go to court? Miss a day

off work and say, I got this paper. And they're

like, That's just the landlord saying that you owe money. Do you owe money? No. Wait until they send you documents. But there's hundreds of tenants who just move out when they get that initial notice. I mean it's like, as I mentioned, a 20% increase is we're not seeing that harassment happening because there's no case initiated. So like do we get all this data facts? Because many do, right? I don't know.

IAN DAVIE: Yeah, whenever I try to think of a situation like this, I try to place myself as an unrepresented tenant standing in front of a judge.

And, you know, the court system can be slow to change. The Tenant Protection Act is I think about seven years old, and still there's actually a knee-jerk reaction by judges to say, Well, harassment?

Okay, whether or not that's happening, what are the real problems in the apartment? Harassment is a problem.

So what can a pro se tenant, or even a pro se tenant represented by counsel do to tell the judge, No, this really exists? I think keeping logs is really important. As crazy as it seems, just writing down everyday, Here's what happened on this

that.

day. Here's what they did on this day. Because
people forget, and that's understandable. Pictures.
Any kind of documenting evidence is huge, whether
represented or not in terms of going forward and
proving a case, and harassment is no exception to

So, a broader view of tenant's rights and education is great. Calling 311, getting HPD on board, but I think also just very simple specifics about what a tenant can actually do day-to-day to prepare for this kind of situation. And, also, of course the nuts and bolts about how do you start an HP case, and what are you actually doing in that case, and what will happen? And that's the kind of thing that can be described in a half page or -- I'll probably write it down right now. I don't want go through on that.

NALIA MOORE: I would like to add that for the council members, council members to support political organizations by showing up at our events, and also by making yourselves available to support the tenant associations that we work with. It does a tremendous thing in helping to mobilize the people, and helping them get past their fears of speaking

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

out. We've had a number of our council members come out on a one-on-one basis to speak with the tenants that are experiencing these problems in their buildings. And it just gave them increased momentum to continue the fight.

JASON BLUMBERG: A couple of other suggestions I have that part of harassment claim have to do with disrepair in the apartment. Local Law 7 specifies that I think that at least one violation needs to be placed. That they should be calling 311. Another recommendation, and it's not the easiest thing in the world, but if you've really had a meritless case brought against you, insist that it be discontinued. Not that there be some -- that it be settled in a way that makes it look more legitimate than it was. If you want to prove that repeated meritless cases were brought against you, they need to be meritless. Otherwise, you're going to have to answer that question later on as to why you settled it on terms that were favorable to the landlord when it was meritless.

IAN DAVIE: And council member, you know, I think we've focused a lot because I think it's on what tenants can do, or what advocates for tenants

can do. I think it is really important here to remember what the agencies can do. And, I know that that question was posed by Council Member Rose, by Council Member Torres before. There is a lot that within your administration, within the plan of the Mayor that is coming out that HPD can do to interact with other agencies. So when DOB places a stop work order or a vacate order, what can -- enforcement be doing to help out with that?

We have the Department of Homeless

Services. We have the Environmental Control Board,
placing some kind of violations. How can we

aggregate that data, or how can we -- Not necessarily
we, but how can the agencies work together. Also,

TPU, Tenant Protection Unit was mentioned earlier. I
know that's a state agency, but there are certainly
ways that city and state can work together, and I
think that to the extent that the committees are so
inclined that continuing oversight on that issue
would be incredibly helpful.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: I think that's really a great suggestion in terms of the interagency collaboration because right now I mean as we do this work with DOB, and when there's a vacate order that

still is not really that proactive. It's reactive.

A lot of times we get a call, Oh, there's a vacate in your district the day that it's happening, and then we'll end up also -- It's always helping relocate the tenant to shelters, and think about getting back to their home that's a really, really long process. But in the Council we are looking at supporting the

SHEILA GARCIA: [interposing] Of the initiatives.

advocates groups, the Stabilization --

Yes, that's a priority in the Council. Hopefully, we can do -- we can fund that this year, and also with other legal services. And there also will be other bills that will be introduced or have been introduced that let tenants know what there basic rights are.

But I think we really need to work on more because I mean I like what you said earlier someone talking about the cost factor. That whatever we do now, it really is going to save the city more money because the shelter system is so expensive. I mean these families have no place to go, but we can keep them where they are. And some of them actually do have a right to stay in their apartment if they have legal

representations and are within the law. So we'll definitely continue to work on them. Thank you so much for all the great work that you guys do.

IAN DAVIE: Thank you very much.

about the fines. I think Council Member Vallone was talking about. But I have always been a fan of trying to get a shot across the brawl before you take the person's head off to try to get the change. So I was wondering if you bought into that idea of having -- of focusing on the maximum amount as opposed to the minimum amount?

IAN DAVIE: Well, I mean, the reason that I respectfully don't necessarily agree is the agency had talked about the fact that the law still exists. The substance of the Anti-Harassment Law still exists. And I hope I'm characterizing this correctly, but why necessarily increase the minimum. I think that you cannot separate the two. I think that to the extent that there is a deterrent effect to having a law on the books, to the extent that the landlord might say, Well, I don't want to be found to have harassment. You also have to look at the fact that they don't want to be fined. And so, in our

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING

view, it is incredibly important to increase those minimum fines, and that's unfortunately and sadly one of the main things that landlords, whether large actors or small, and whether they're bad actors or good are going to be looking at. So I do think the minimum is quite important.

JASON BLUMBERG: I would agree with that as well. If you're at the point where you've made sufficient showings to get a finding of harassment, this has probably been going on a while. The landlord has probably been harassing the tenant in a pretty blatant way. And I think that in a situation like that, it's -- it might not be appropriate to kick the can down the road further. To just warn them with a consent order saying, Next time you're really going to get a penalty.

I think that the penalties as they are, and even extended them there's a limit to how scary they are when you're talking about what could be huge profits if they're not rent regulated tenants. I think that the penalties -- I think that they need to be cued to pre-circulated to have any effect at all at this point.

SHEILA GARCIA: And I would just add that like a concrete example. There is a tenant that I work with who has been court for seven years. She has still not been able to get her repairs, and her place is deteriorating. The landlord wants one thing: To evict the tenant. It is clear. There has been countless cases non-payment -- financial harassment for the last five years. And the landlord, you know, until the, you know --there's been like he doesn't show up to court. He comes in and says that she wouldn't open the door, and there will be advocates.

HPD will be in the room, and they still don't show up. So these fines, when she started off seven years ago, the first time there was nothing. The second time in the same opened up another case. There was not -- just like a continuous cycle of like the tenant having to prove something. And so for so long there's gaps of a year. You know, and the tenant is not allowed to -- the lawyers can say, there's a history of these with the court cases. The tenants will not have the knowledge to be able to say that.

And I think that that gap of like if you are fined \$5,000 and six months later, he tries to take her back to court and you're fined \$5,000 or more the next time. Then it just keeps escalating, I think that you'll see the landlord saying, Oh, maybe I won't take people to court, and I won't harass people in those ways or if a tenant gets me on an HP case, I will make the repairs because I don't want her to be able to make a claim of harassment later on. And we're seeing this in like across the --

I mean this is a tenant who is retired.

This is a tenant who has an attorney, who was able to fight for so long without giving up. And we see it all the time the tenant saying, I called 311 three times, and they come, and they see the condition.

And he's still not repairing. I'm just going to give up. I'll move, and it's a real thing. Some people have small children. You don't want your child living with rats or gaping holes. So it's like a call that parents and community members are making every day or whether to leave or not. And the harassment is still there, but that might not get documented or real in any concrete way or addressed.

the fines don't mean anything.

NALIA MOORE: I agree with raising
minimum fines. I've had landlords say to me that

5 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. We
6 have a couple of questions of Council Members Treyger
7 and Vallone, but I'm going to allow Council Member
8 Deutsch, who hasn't asked any questions yet, and I

know he has to leave. So that's all right.

I'll use the mic because I'm like the City Council

Members. First of all, when you have a structure

that is a dangerous condition, then the city could

come or HPD could come and knock down the building,

and bill the owner of the property. And the same

thing goes for a DOH violation if you have a lock

that needs to be cleaned and DOH comes in and cleans

the lock and bills that. Why can't the same thing be

here with tenants that if the landlord does not

correct violations in an apartment the city should

come in and correct those repairs and violations and

then bill that to the property owner, to the building

owner? I think that would be so --

SHEILA GARCIA: [interposing] They do have AP who proactively goes out and does these kind

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 99 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING of repairs, but HPD can only do so much to make these 3 repairs. And we're hoping with the new Mayor's Plan we're going to see and expansion of AP and PPI and 4 all of these programs that are really great to be 5 able to get these violations on that. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER DEUTSCH: I think if we do expansion on these programs, I think that we would 8 like friends and keep people in their apartments and 9 10 have a good quality of life where they live. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And Council Member 11 12 I believe they charge triple what the cost is. 13 but like I said, their resources are probably 14 limited, but we as a Council can recreate AP. We can expand it, and try to get some more funding. 15 16 [background discussion] 17 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah, I'm just saying. We're talking about it. 18 19 COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Yeah, and we pay for those. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Council Member Treyger and Council Member Vallone. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER: [off mic] 23 24 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Oh, I don't know 25 who asked for it. I'm sorry.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We're sharing a mic. You know, I think there's different scopes of what we're talking about. I think we're all on the page of increasing the tenant's protections and the awareness. I think also importantly you said about posting the past violations, the attorney's side would love to see the past violations to bring that to the judge's attention, that we have a repeat offender amongst us. We someone who clearly has no ability to take care of the tenants, and I would weigh that in assessing the cases. The judge maybe brought in the scope of harassment.

about. I also think what we can do is probably through our chairs increase the Tenant's Bill of Rights to be provided by the landlord on any instance of an eviction. So that if there's anything that's served to a tenant to stop this fear of, Oh, my God, I've got to get out, you give the Tenant's Bill of Rights attached to it immediately. And if you don't, then it's dismissed. You've got to show proof that you sent that. So there's lots of things that we can do just know my hesitation is I guess because I

myself as just a small family trying to get by, that if that first hit is \$5,000 I get nervous.

So I think that the maximum should be raised. I think there should be abuse levels for some landlords and landlords that you said are continually being brought back for violations, for a history. There should be no ceiling. Go after them. It's just that first time like Council Member Williams and I were saying, I think that you're taking away the ability of the judge or anyone to give someone that first shop.

And by making it \$5,000 in today's economy, is not what every landlord has seen. So there are some out there that are trying to do the right thing, and not every tenant is Jesus either. So we've got scenarios where we've got to find a way if you're a judge to say, Hey, listen, you know this guy -- No, maybe it was an immigrant landlord or somebody that didn't understand. Then again, you don't want to give them the ability to get out either because like for all the comments you brought up.

So I for one like any council member in just over 110 days or so. We learn by your testimonies and appreciate all of that. Then there

is the real world consequences of what we're doing. So you try to balance that out. So I think we learn a lot, and I think just as aging chairs we're taking this to our senior citizens. We're taking the bill to the Council to Housing. So all of the things I think we see with this new Council is we're taking these -- your comments, and now going back to all the other committees, and saying, Hey, how about this and how about that. So I appreciate it. So one of my concerns is on the maximum being the \$5,000 as the first shot. I think maybe if we increased the amount of the fine, like keep it at \$1,000 and then \$2,500 something so we kind of balance it.

IAN DAVIE: Right, and there certainly could be exceptions for first time, exceptions for certain sizes of landlords, exceptions for the number of buildings that are owned, of course. And I also agree with the point about education and Bill of Rights. I think anyway to expand tenant's knowledge of the Bill of Rights hits this from a different angle, but has the same effect. I just say, both being lawyers we should make sure that there is not too much legalese in that Bill of Rights. Keep it as simple as possible.

know, my family owns a two-family home, and for some for the harassment we seen in our buildings. I mean like I know that there is like I couldn't fix a boiler so I didn't have heat for two days or three days maybe a week. But the landlord couldn't figure out how to fix it. I know that struggle because I had it happen to me. SO I understand that, but I also think that some of the harassment we're talking about is systemic and it's also intentional. And it's an intentional harassment that's happening across rent stabilized housing or housing that is protected by some kind of law, and most of those we see it all everyday and all the time.

And so, I know that I'm not going to go to my tenant at 2 o'clock in the morning and ask for rent. Or, I'm not going to not fix a leak or paint when I know she has small children. All of those little things that it's just -- it's common sense.

And I understand that there is a lot of reasons why landlords potentially wouldn't be able to do certain things, but we're not talking about those people who really want to do the right thing. We're talking about the tenants -- the landlords who have six

the right thing --

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: [interposing]

3 But the tenant could still --

SHEILA GARCIA: -- you're bound to be effective.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: But the tenant could still assert that.

SHEILA GARCIA: Right, right. You go to court, you are able to legislate. Most landlords are protected. I feel like we could talk about that as being like okay, maybe the one time -- I mean we talked about exceptions and I think that that's a real thing that you guys could at the end make a decision around. But I think at the end of the day, if you do the right thing, this wouldn't happen. If you talk to tenants -- and it's true. Tenant could just claim harassment, but there is a -- you have your audience.

You are able to go to court and say, this is what I've been able to do. This proof that I attempted to get a contractor to come out and make the repairs. We need them to this day. I feel like most landlords don't have those discussions, and so tenants are just like clueless. And most of the time, it's not that the thing has a problem. The

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 1 106 JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING elevator shut down every Saturday at 6 o'clock, 3 because they don't want to raise the electricity. And it's up again Monday. Every Monday it's up 4 again, and it's like that's a clear form of 5 6 harassment. And so we're not talking about a landlord who can't provide it one time for a week. 8 We're talking about these landlords who are doing this systemically. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, I think 11 we're saying the same thing this time. Thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I was going to say 13 I misspoke earlier. When it's state stuff like we've 14 done on this board, we can't do the exceptions. all that we all that we are doing, we can't do 15 exceptions if we saw fit. But I just wanted to just 16 17 piggyback a little bit. I always think that there's a difference when you talk about the public sphere, 18 and what should regulate that, I always just 19 20 personally see a difference between an owner-occupied 21 with two units, or a business that's just a family with maybe two people, than someone who is actually 22 in the larger sphere. So that stuff is always my 23

concern. I think we should be able to mind what we

Although I believe everyone should be held

2.4

2 accountable, I just believe they doing something
3 wrong. I know Council Member Treyger had some
4 questions.

and thank you for your advocacy and for all your help, and I truly appreciate that. I just want to also speak to the importance of building capacity. When I encountered tenants that were not informed of their rights, I also looked for the opportunity to turn them into tenant leaders. I see a pattern in my district that I represent, Coney Island, Sea Gate, Bensonhurst, Gravesand and Southern Brooklyn.

And, for example, some of my better I guess organized -- my two buildings, for example, are run by a very actively engaged Tenant Association leaders and boards. I think that is crucial. We have to turn activist tenants into leaders in their buildings. And I think that's crucial. I also want to just speak to, you know, when you have an issue with the IRS for example, or they have within their departments a taxpayer advocate that's supposed to help you, actually argue against the IRS, interestingly. When you contact HPD and you contact these state agencies, and you contact 311, do they

notify you of available local organizations that could provide assistance to you? They don't know that. How can tenants get information about what organizations are available to their m in their communities that could provide assistance?

IAN DAVIE: Yeah, I wouldn't say that HP doesn't provide support and assistance by any means. I'm not sure about whether they have like a list of community organizations or legal service providers. I do know that a lot of those come from the courts. A lot of our referrals come from courts and from other organizations. You know, often if somebody comes through in an organization in the Bronx like Mothers on the Move, or the Administration for Peace and Justice, and they have a housing issue, they'll refer them to us. I think again it would be great if there were some way that advocacy could be more streamlined in terms of getting people to organizations that I would defer to the agency in terms of whether they're able to do that.

I also completely agree, though, the importance of a Class C building. We're part also with Casa. In addition to what Shirley was talking about earlier, I have an organization called the

Bronx Justice Network. And that is exactly what we are trying to do; ensure that those community groups and traditionally in under-served Bronx has the ability to create those tenant leaders. And that one tenant leader gets taught how to do that, and can go on and pass those rights on to 50 tenants who are in some of those large Hega [sp?] Buildings, 100 tenants and families. You know, something that is really important to us to not only build our own capacity, but to strengthen the Bronx and the city as a whole.

SHEILA GARCIA: I want to also add, right. I don't know if you have all read about this really great settlement that was agreed to between the Attorney General's Office and the Three Borough Pool recently. And I think that that happened because of the leadership that you're speaking about, right. So we have tenants in our building -- in 1501 and 1521, [sic] which is part of that portfolio, who actually go to court with other tenants to talk about the harassment that they were seeing because of predatory equity.

So, you know, really making sure that we have the base of like organizations that can really do this is really essential. And I also think that

as you all mentioned that you refer people you meet or who come into your office with these questions to organizations like ours. And I think that making sure that we're funding the organizations, but also making sure that we're being proactive. I know that HPD has referred buildings to us, and said, you know, that's like try to get the -- Because the tenants don't know that it could cost them a lot. [sic]

It's really difficult for HPD to be able to do that, and so that's where we come in to be able to do that. But I also think with the harassment part of it, we can really have a Tenant Bill of Rights, or some kind of information that's really concrete. And I love the idea of like that if you're serving a tenant that that's something that you serve them with. Because it's a real way of like saying, And in this area, you could potentially call any of these organizations, or potentially call this number where you could get referred to, or something -- Some kind of system, you will be able to, you know, or a non-profit organization to be able to react to a tenant coming to us.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: I actually think that the Tenant Bill of Rights should be given to them when the tenant first arrives at the apartment.

SHEILA GARCIA: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER TREYGER: The fact that they wanted that reorganization once they're in court, to me is already too late. I mean, it is not too late, but I'd rather them learn about this prior to prevent him to go to court. Because I think the most powerful tenants are the most important tenants and making sure that we break -- I am talking about the culture barriers and language barriers. I know so because my family comes from the Ukraine, and many families that spoke Russian.

And they came from a country. a nation where they never questioned the government. And they brought those fears and those types of sentiments to this country, and whatever the landlord said that was fine even though they're entitled to have heat, they're entitled to have hot water during the colder days. But there is a fear, a cultural thing about questioning authorities. And the reality is we have rights here, and it's just about making sure we break in those barriers.

1

3

4

5

6

•

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

availability of information knowing that great groups like you exist, that we need to kind of get that word

And maybe either through legislation or through just

out. So I will work with my colleagues about it.

And I really believe that the

urging the administration to say that if someone goes

issue, a problem with 311. To make available a list

of organizations that are within their communities

through the process to notify HPD that there's an

that they can provide them further and greater $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

assistance. That to me is key. Many people just

don't know, not that they don't care, they jut don't

know. And we have an obligation to address that

issue, and I think you again for all your efforts.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Do you have anything further for me.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: No.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I want to say thank you very much again for all the work that you're doing. I want to thank HPD for remaining here to hear what the advocates have to say, and I think with that we can say the meeting adjourned. [gavel]

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON AGING	113
2	COINTEL WITH THE COMMITTEE ON ACTIVO	113
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date _____May 14, 2014 _____