CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

March 14, 2014 Start: 01:05 p.m. Recess: 02:55 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway - Committee Rm,

14th Fl.

B E F O R E:

DONOVAN J. RICHARDS

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

COSTA G. CONSTANTINIDES

RORY I. LANCMAN
STEPHEN T. LEVIN
ERIC A. ULRICH

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

[gavel]

1

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: We are ready to begin. Alrighty [phonetic] good morning. I am Council Member Donovan Richards, Chair of the Committee on environmental protection. This is a hearing on the fiscal 2015 preliminary budget and the fiscal year 2014 preliminary mayor's management budget. Today we will hear testimony from the Department of Environmental Protection about its expense and capital preliminary budget and general agency operations. The capital plan is of particular interest to the committee as debt service for the water and sewer system is 1.7 billion dollars in fiscal year 2014 which is paid for by water and sewer customers. DEP's plan for fiscal year 2015 is 2.3 billion dollars which represents 10 percent of the city's total 21.3 billion dollar February plan for fiscal year 2014. The committee pleads to discuss a variet [phonetic] of, a variety of important issues with DEP today including an update on the plan Delaware Aqueduct Bypass Tunnel and preparations to minimize service impacts including restarting the South East Queens ground waters, water system. Updates on the status

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 4
2	of large capital projects such as the Croton Water
3	Filtration Plant and City Water tunnel number three
4	and the 1.1 billion dollar fiscal 2015 expense
5	budget including 10 new positions in the Office of
6	Green Infrastructure. The committee will now hear
7	testimony from the Department of Environmental
8	Protection and then members of the public. And
9	first I just want to acknowledge my colleagues who
10	are here; Council Member Rory Lancman and Council
11	Member Cossa Constinides [phonetic] Consta… did I
12	get it right, Constaninides [phonetic] did I get,
13	am I close enough, or naw [phonetic] I need to get
14	it right.
15	[laughter]
16	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Con-stand-tinides.
17	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Con-stand-
18	tinides, alrighty [phonetic] got it, alright.
19	[laughter]
20	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Way to mess it
21	up. So… now you will hear from Severa [sp?] who
22	will swear you guys in.
23	SEVERA: Gentleman could you please
	i de la companya de

raise your right hands. Do you swear, affirm to

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but
3 the truth today.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

[combined affirmations]

STEVEN LAWITTS: Okay. Thank you Chairman Richards. And good afternoon to Chairman Richards and members of the Committee on Environmental Protection. I am Stephen Lawitts, Chief Financial Officer of the New York City Department of Environmental Protection also known as DEP, the first of many acronyms you're going to hear in today's testimony. And I am joined today on my right, your left by Matt Mahoney Associate Commissioner for Public Affairs, Joseph Mira DEP's Assistant Commissioner for Budget, and other senior managers. In, in the interest of full disclosure for the next 68 hours approximately I'm Acting Commissioner at DEP as we make the transition between Commissioner Carter Strickland and incoming Commissioner Emily Lloyd who will begin on Monday, March 17th. Thank you for the opportunity to testify on the fiscal year 2015 preliminary budget. I'd like to give you an update on our strategy. Before I get to our capital and expense budgets I'd like to share some recent accomplishments and then

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'll return to some of them in later parts of the testimony when I discuss the budgets and give you a better sense of the scope of our work and its cost. In 2013 we activated stage two of city water tunnel number three to provide critical redundancy to our water supply and facilitate the vital inspection and repair of city tunnel number one which was built in 1917, increased our online permitting opportunities for businesses, engineers, and contractors, and enrolled 53 thousand customers in paperless billing. And we currently have over 133 thousand subscribers to our service line protection program which began only a little over a year ago. We implemented an agency wide forum on environmental health and safety, orientation to encourage open frequent discussion of safety and compliance concerns because safety is our number one concern. We broke ground on the round out west branch bypass tunnel for the water for the feature project which is a 1.7 billion dollar program to repair the aging Delaware aqueduct. And we broke ground on a separate but related 21.2 million dollar project to connect the Catskill and Delaware aqueducts. We activated the 1.3 mile Gowanus canal

3

4

6

Ū

/

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fleshing tunnel which is now bringing oxygen rich water from the New York Harbor and upgraded the Gowanus canal pumping station. And we expanded the Staten Island gruel [sp?] into midisland and built blue bell [sp?] type features into the projects at Springfield Lake in Queens and at the New York Botanical Garden in the Bronx. I'll talk about the preliminary mayor's management report. A number of performance metrics in the mayor's management report or the MMR are closely related to the core goals and functions to which our capital and expense budgets are directed. As well as being of interest to our customers and your constituents after reviewing those metrics and some other milestones over the past year I will reveal highlights of the expense and capital sections of the fiscal year 15 preliminary budget and finally I will reveal the capital investments in each borough. On performance metrics and in the areas of water and sewer in the last decade DEP has implemented technologies and procedures to shift from reactive to proactive sewer maintenance. For example we restructured with a focus on borough based management and accountability. And we created

the capacity management operations and maintenance
or CMOM section to employ the most up to date
strategies in areas that would benefit most from
proactive interventions like increased cleaning. To
support this section and improve service DEP
enhanced our use of geographic information systems
and computers in the field. We develop procedures
and guidelines for failed personnel. We expanded
tracking data on customer service request related
to sewer backups and we deployed smart manhole
covers to detect high elevations of wastewater in
the sewers and built the first ever field training
facility with live sewers and water mains in
Queens. Our effort to improve sewer service also
benefited from the work of our sewer operations and
analysis program which analyzes trends in data and
investigates areas that have a high frequency and
density of confirmed issues. Analysts create maps
of report sewer backups to better identify segments
and neighborhoods that have recurring problems.
Once DEP identifies the likely factors behind
confirmed backups or other service issues we
develop a remediation plan that can include
degreasing, regular cleaning, and repair

replacement of the infrastructure. Although we
can't prevent sewers from surcharging during storms
that exceed the design capacity of the sewer we can
deploy resources more efficiently to make sure that
the existing system consistently meets the criteria
for which it was designed. Talk about state of the
sewers in 2013. In November of 2012 DEP released
for the first time a report called state of the
sewers in which we documented some of the important
changes in how we maintain and improve the system
for collecting and conveying storm water and
sanitary waste. The 2013 update on that report
documents the success of our efforts to improve our
performance because fat rail and grease build up
was the cause of 62 percent of confirmed sewer
backups in fiscal year 13. DEP began a yearlong
collaboration with the New York City Housing
Authority at the Garook [sp?] houses in Manhattan
to educate residents on proper disposal of used
cooking grease. DEP also started a community wide
awareness campaign called cease the grease in the
Briarwood neighborhood of, excuse me, of Queens.
Here are some of our performance statistics. Sewer
back up resolution time has experienced a 24

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

percent decrease from fiscal 2009 to fiscal year 13. Catch basin resolution time a 63 percent decrease from FY09 to FY13. The number of catch basins with open work orders has seen an 89 percent decrease from July of 2010 to today. And confirmed sewer backups have seen a 38 percent decrease from FY09 to FY13. Sewer segments with recurring backups decreased by 39 percent in the same period. And sewer segments with recurring backups in dry weather decreased by 45 percent from FY09 to FY13. Total sewer cleaning increased 126 percent from FY09 to FY13. In the area of AR noise DEP continued to improve its performance and responding to complaints related to violations of the air and noise pollution codes largely due to operational efficiencies resulting from last year's implementation of the H2O stat program which also looked at the enforcement statistics. We responded to 99 percent of these complaints within the seven day target. A 10 and 13 percentage point improvement respectively over last year despite increases in both complaint categories. In addition the average time to close air complaints decrease by 28 percent, from 5.3 to 3.8 days. And by 38

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

percent for noise complaints from 8.5 to 5.3 days. In customer services to address peaks and call volume the bureau of Customer Services initiate a virtual call center. Staff has be nitrified in borough offices and other non-call center units that are headquarter... support the call center during peak period. This along with the hiring of staff to address attrition in the prior period led to a 25 percentage point increase in calls answered within 30 seconds. In addition the average call wait time dropped by over 68 percent to 22.8 seconds. I'll speak now about some key programs and projects. First our green infrastructure program. DEP continues to implement its green infrastructure program which incorporates different techniques to handle combined sewer overflows or CSOs without building massive tanks and tunnels which we also refer to as grey infrastructure on which the city had previously relied. In March 2012 DEP and the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, one of our regulators, reached an agreement which provides flexibility on a consent order regarding combined sewer overflow remediation

to allow the green infrastructure investments to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

counted toward that effort. In fiscal year 2014 to 2017 488 million is planned for various green infrastructure projects on public property. Some examples of recent projects include right of way Bioswales pavement and rooftop detentions such as green and blue roofs. DEP hired consultants to create green infrastructure implementation plans for the key watershed areas of Newtown Creek, Gowanus, and Flushing. It is anticipated that these plans which are meant to quantify how the CSO reduction bench marks of the consent order will be met will be completed and available for review. The green infrastructure program reflects the city's goal to improve water quality outlined in PlaNYC 2030 by reducing CSO's into our waterways by 40 percent by 2030. And many of the pilot programs already underway in Jamaica Bay are in accordance with local law 71 of 2005 as amended in 2006. Additionally Local Law 5 of 2008 required the administration to develop and implement a sustainable storm water management plan with a goal of reducing the approximately 27 billion gallons of untreated sewage and storm water that is just discharged into the city's waters in a typical

2 year. A bid on the green infrastructure grant 3 program on March 4th DEP announced this year's fourth annual round of its greener infrastructure 4 5 grant program encouraging community groups, nonprofits, and property owners to apply for the 6 six million dollars in new funding that is available for green infrastructure projects. These 8 projects to be built on private property will 9 augment the public space projects being built by 10 11 the city both of which will soften the impervious 12 urban landscape and help absorb storm water that would otherwise drain into the combined sewer 13 14 system and contribute to combined sewer overflows 15 into local waterways. Notable projects that were 16 funded during the first three years of the grant 17 program and have it completed construction include 18 a 43,400 square foot green roof at the Brooklyn Navy Yard, one of the nation's first blue green 19 20 roof combinations at the Osborne Association in the Bronx, a green roof at Lenox Hill [sp?] 21 2.2 neighborhood house in Manhattan, permeable pavers 23 and rain gardens at Queens College, a New York restoration project community garden in Brooklyn's 24 Gowanus neighborhood, and a green roof at Bishop 25

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

Laughlin Memorial High School in Brooklyn. Water for the future; most members are aware of a leaking portion of the Delaware aqueduct, also known as the round out west branch tunnel. Designated as our water for the future program a new three mile tunnel will be built to bypass the leaking portion of the Delaware aqueduct in Orange County. Other repairs to the portion that runs under Ulcer [sp?] County will be done from within the existing agueduct. During construction when the newly constructed bypass tunnel is being connected to the existing aqueduct the Delaware aqueduct will need to be shut down and it will become unable to deliver water to the city. Currently the aqueduct carries approximately half of New York City's drinking water to more than eight million people daily. Approximately 500 million gallons per day. It is capable of delivering 900 million gallons of water a day, DEP received approvals from local towns and two shafts, one at each end of the planned three mile bypass tunnel, and one on each side of the Hudson River, are currently being drilled and blasted. Once the shafts are completed the tunnel boring machine can be lowered to begin

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

the tunnel work. The February capital commitment plan includes 560 million dollars planned in fiscal 2014 to 2017 for the construction of a bypass tunnel to repair the round out west branch tunnel. Related projects which we call the water for the future demand management program will help cope with the aqueduct being off line at a later stage of the project. The goal of the water for the future water demand management program is a five percent reduction in current demand by 2021. These reductions will come through two major programs, the toilet replacement program, and the municipal water efficiency program. The toilet replacement, replacement program will target residential properties through the, throughout the city to replace as many as 800 thousand toilets. We expect the toilet replacement program to reduce New York City water demand by approximately three percent or 30 million gallons per day. The municipal water efficiency program is projected to reduce conception by an additional 1.5 percent to two percent through partnerships with New York City Housing Authority, the Department of Education, the

Parks Department, the Fire Department, and City

2 Universities. And to date DEP has made progress on 3 retrofitting over 100 spray showers in city parks, the installation of low flow fixtures in 26 schools 4 will also be complete by the end of this fiscal year, fiscal year 2014. The first phase of the 6 7 toilet replacement program has been initiated with the solicitation for request for contractors to 8 facilitate the voucher in toilet replacements. 9 Registration for the contractors for the toilet 10 11 replacement program is expected by the end of 12 April. DEP did not receive any proposals for 13 porcelain crushing to recycle the toilets that will 14 be replaced and was looking at alternatives or 15 rebidding the contract. DEP will be reissuing the 16 solicitation in April. And DEP will continue its 17 operational efficiency programs such as leak 18 detection, meter replacements, and pressure managements in a further effort to conserve water. 19 20 Separately DEP is also undertaking a survey of our obsolete wholesale customers' conservation efforts. 2.1 2.2 In the next year we hope to introduce an upstate 23 conservation program that will assist our upstate wholesale customers in developing and implementing 24 conservation plans and incentivize a reduction in 25

2	water use. Currently our upstate customers account
3	for approximately 10 percent of the system's water
4	use and about two percent of the system's revenues.
5	And now for the Catskill ultraviolet disinfection
6	facility, also known as the UV's, the UV facility
7	to provide ultraviolet disinfection for the
8	drinking water from the Catskill in Delaware water
9	sheds which currently supply all of New York City's
10	drinking water. In 2013 DEP completed the 1.6
11	billion dollar Catskill-Delaware facility, the
12	largest facility of its kind in the world. And also
13	larger than all the other UV capacity in the US
14	combined. That facility was constructed pursuant to
15	a federal mandate that requires treatment of
16	surface water supplies with two forms of
17	disinfection. Prior to the UV plant's completion
18	and operation the addition of chlorine provide the
19	only form of disinfection for our drinking water.
20	The carbon filtration plant in December 2013 we
21	began start up and testing of the Croton plant
22	officially to treat the drinking water provided by
23	the Croton system, the oldest of the three drinking
24	water systems serving New York City. The Croton
25	system goes back to 1842. Testing at the Croton

2 plant will continue during 2014 as we wrap up construction. The Croton plant will allow the 3 Croton supply to meet all drinking water quality 4 goals even during times of the year when the system would have been taken offline because of seasonal 6 7 color and taste variations. A consistent and reliable Croton supply is a key part of our 8 planning to meet the city's drinking water needs in 9 the 21st century. And the plant's treatment 10 capacity will provide up to 290 million gallons of 11 12 water per day. This capacity will be a critical 13 component of our water for the future program and 14 will help meet New York City's daily drinking water 15 demand in the absence of the Delaware aqueduct. In 16 connection with the construction of the Croton 17 plant DEP is also undertaking significant 18 construction at the 108 year old Jerome Park reservoir, the only drinking water reservoir 19 20 actually inside the city. On city water tunnel number three the tunnel is one of the largest and 21 2.2 longest running public works projects in the city's 23 history and also in the country. When complete it will improve the reliability of our water supply 24 and allow for the inspection of city water tunnel 25

number one for the first time it came online in
1917. City water tunnel number three has been built
in stages; the first stage running from Yonkers to
Midtown Manhattan and then to Astoria was completed
in 1998. A tunnel boring machine began mining the
second portion of the Manhattan leg of the tunnel
in 2003. By 2006 it had excavated a 12 foot
diameter tunnel roughly 500 feet below street level
down the west side of the island from Central Park
to Canal Street and then onto the East side, the
East Side of Lower Manhattan. It also dug a branch
that runs East at 30 th Street and loops North to
the Manhattan side of the Ed Koch Queensborough
Bridge. By 2010 the tunnel had been lined with
nearly three million cubic feet of concrete. In
addition ten shafts spaced roughly 20 blocks apart
were constructed in order to bring water up from
the tunnel to the large trunk water mains where it
enters the local distribution system. As part of
the project more than six miles of trunk water
mains and more than 11 miles of smaller
distribution mains have been installed under the
streets of Manhattan to deliver the water to
residences and husinesses in the service area. The

2	activation of this phase of the tunnel was
3	announced last October. For Newtown Creek [sp?] in
4	2011 as a result of federally mandated five billion
5	dollar upgrade project DEP began providing full
6	secondary treatment at our, at our largest sewage
7	treatment plant. The Newtown Creek wastewater
8	treatment plan in Greenpoint, Brooklyn in Council
9	Member Levin's district. Full secondary is the
10	federal standard for wastewater treatment. Until
11	then Newtown Creek was the only one of our 14
12	plants that was not able to consistently meet that
13	standard. This five billion dollar project
14	accomplished while the plant was in service allows
15	New York City to meet the requirements of our
16	regulators and reduce pollutant loadings into our
17	waterways. With regard to the service line
18	protection program in January 2013 we announced the
19	availability of an optional, voluntary, service
20	line protection program. The water and sewer
21	service lines that connect homes to the city owned
22	water and sewer mains are the responsibility and
23	have always been the responsibility of the
24	homeowner. Repairs to broken service lines can cost
25	between 3,000 and 15,000 dollars per repair and be

2 financially devastating to a homeowner. Under our 3 service line protection program American Water Resources or AWR will repair an enrolled customers 4 leaking water service line or a broken or clogged sewer service line for a small monthly fee 6 7 currently \$4.49 per month for the water service line and \$7.99 cents per month for the sewer 8 service line. Homeowners who choose to enroll in 9 the plan sign a contract with AWR and have the 10 convenience of paying the enrollment fees through 11 12 their water bills. In a little over a year over 133 13 thousand customers have enrolled in the plan with 14 97 percent of the subscribers having enrolled in 15 both the water and sewer plans. And I just want to interject that this far exceeded the previous 16 17 experience that AWR has had in other cities where 18 it's been operating a long time. We've had a much faster enrollment rate than other cities. Based on 19 20 historical repair rates these 133 thousand enrolled customers are likely to generate over 5,000 repair 21 2.2 calls per year. Coverage under the protection 23 program will save these enrolled customers 24 collectively more than six million dollars per year. For automated meter reading as of March 6th, 25

2 2014 automated meter reading or AMR transmitters 3 have been installed on over 816 thousand meters representing 96 percent of the meters city wide 4 based on a total city wide population of meters of about 850 thousand and this is the largest 6 automated meter reading program for any water system in the country. Staten Island is the most 8 built out borough with a 98 percent coverage rate 9 with an increasing percentage of our counts moving 10 onto AMR we are seeing a corresponding decrease in 11 12 the percentage of monthly bills that are estimated. 13 In January 2009 as we were beginning the MR 14 instillation 17.4 percent of our bills were 15 estimated while for February 2014 the last month we have available only 3.7 percent of the bills were 16 17 estimated. That's a 79 percent reduction in the 18 number of estimated bills. Additionally as part of this effort DEP has replaced over 431 thousand 19 20 meters citywide. Regarding upstate land acquisition 2.1 DEP is in its seventh year of its 10 year Filtration Avoidance Determination or FAD which we 2.2 23 originally secured in 2007. Land acquisition continues to be an important part of our source 24 water protection program. And DEP remains on track 25

2 to meet the land acquisition solicitation goals established in the FAD. We continue to prioritize 3 solicitation taking into account the high levels of 4 protection that we've attained in many parts of the 5 water shed. I appreciate the opportunity to discuss 6 7 these achievements and I'll turn to a discussion of the expense and capital budget highlights for our 8 expense budget, our preliminary expense budget for 9 FY15. The projected expense budget for the current 10 fiscal year FY14 is 1.5 billion which includes 11 12 approximately 367 million in community development 13 block grant funds for the build it back program for 14 which DEP serves as the contracting entity for the 15 city. For FY15 we expect DEP's expense budget to be 16 1.104 billion which is largely in change from the 17 prior estimates. The only change that has occurred 18 is the funding of 1.2 million dollars in overtime for the air noise and asbestos enforcement program 19 20 areas. The expense budget breaks down into the following large categories, the preliminary FY2015 21 2.2 budget projects 454.6 million, 41 percent of the 23 total in personal services to pay the salaries for our nearly 6,000 funded positions. Taxes on upstate 24 water shed lands make up the next largest category 25

4

2 accounting for 157 million dollars or nearly 14 3 percent of the expense budget. And I just wanted to interject that DEP is unique among city agencies 5 and I think paying any property taxes outside the city let alone 157 million dollars. As the chairman 6 7 and the committee members know well the ownership of watershed lands represents a critical investment 8 of maintaining the high quality of New York City's 9 drinking water by protecting it at the source and 10 ensuring that it does not require more expensive 11 12 treatment such as filtration. I'm pleased to report 13 that we have successfully negotiated agreements 14 with upstate jurisdictions to make our tax 15 obligations more, more stable and predictable and 16 in some cases to reduce them. Heat, light, and 17 power, our energy costs account for 111 million or 18 ten percent of the fiscal year 15 expense budget. DEP is the third largest municipal consumer of 19 20 electric power in New York City after the Department of Education and the health and 21 2.2 hospitals corporation. And our consumption will 23 grow as we bring online new treatment facilities 24 for both drinking water and wastewater. To control 25 energy costs that meet PlaNYC's goals for

2 greenhouse gas reduction DEP is investing in 3 projects to reduce energy needs. One of these is a cogeneration plant at North River that I mentioned 4 below. Sludge management of 1,200 tons per day is 5 projected to cost about 40.1 million dollars in 6 7 FY15 or about four percent of our projected FY15 expenses. Now to talk about our capital plan for 8 the four year period of FY14 through 17. DEP's FY15 9 preliminary capital budget is 7.5 billion dollars 10 in that period as presented by Mayor di Blasio on 11 February 12th of this year. Highlights of the 12 13 preliminary four year plan are in wastewater 14 treatment the plan provides 2.8 billion dollars for 15 investing wastewater treatment projects, 2.1 16 billion for the reconstruction or replacement of 17 components of the wastewater treatment plants and 18 pumping stations. The remaining 680 million dollar investment will be used to mitigate combined sewer 19 20 overflows with 488 million for green infrastructure such as green roofs and Bioswales and the remainder 21 2.2 for grey infrastructure such as tanks and tunnels 23 to store wastewater. In addition 181 million is budgeted for the construction of a new 24 25 cogeneration, [page flips] excuse me, plant at the

2 North River wastewater treatment plant. The new cogeneration plant we use renewable Digester Gas 3 4 produced by the wastewater treatment process to 5 both power equipment and heat the facility. It will 6 help us reduce our energy use and help the city 7 make the ambitious greenhouse gas emission reduction goals in PlaNYC. Now on upstate 8 facilities and water mains. Over the next three 9 years the administration is preparing to invest an 10 11 additional 1.9 billion dollars in protecting the 12 quality of our reservoirs and the integrity of our 13 dams providing for treatment where necessary and 14 maintaining the repairing, and repairing the water 15 main system conveying portable water to all New Yorkers. We've budgeted 260 million for the 16 reconstruction of dams and our three water sheds 17 18 and 40 million dollars for the pressurization of a two and a half mile segment of the Catskill[sp?] 19 20 aqueduct which will increase the volume of water available to the city and reestablish DEP's ability 21 2.2 bycast [phonetic] the, bypass the Kensico Reservoir 23 when necessary to access the highest quality water. For the continuation of our current filtration 24 avoidance determination programs the preliminary 25

2 FY14 to 17 capital plan includes 209 million 3 covering all of our capital needs for the current FAD including 57 million dollars for land 4 acquisition. For the round out west [sp?] branch tunnel and water for the future, although this 6 7 project extends even beyond the ten year plan, in this four year plan period the preliminary budget 8 provides 560 million for the bypass tunnel and over 9 100 billion for other projects really to proving 10 supplemental source of water during the Delaware 11 12 Aqueduct shut down increasing the capacity of the 13 Catskill a project distinct from pressurization 14 accounts for an additional 146 million on water 15 tunnel number three to modify the chamber is built 16 during stage one at the Hillview reservoir the 17 preliminary four year plan allots 51 million. Stage 18 two of city tunnel number three includes a section running through lower Manhattan as well as a 19 20 section running from Astoria Queens to Red Hook, 21 Brooklyn. Although the Manhattan Lake and the 2.2 Manhattan... water tunnel number three have been 23 substantially completed we're budgeting 48 million dollars in this plan for additional work related to 24 the activation of the Manhattan leg of tunnel 25

2 three. The Department of Design and Construction 3 using our funds completed those water mains critical to activating the Manhattan leg. And as I 4 mentioned on October 16th of last year we marked the activation of that section of tunnel three 6 7 enabling us to provide much needed redundancy to city water tunnel number one. For Sewers that 8 preliminary program projects 1.4 billion dollars of 9 spending on sewers including 317 million for 10 replacement of stewers [phonetic], sewers including 11 12 storm sanitary or combined, 890 million for new 13 sewers of all types of which storm sewers as a 14 category by South either new or reconstructed 15 accounts for 612 million of projected spending of 16 which 152 million is for high level storm sewers 17 including third avenue and Brooklyn. And 257 18 million of the totals for both conventional sewers and the land that's necessary to create blue belt 19 20 systems which are also being extended beyond Staten Island to Springfield Lake in Queens, Van Cortlandt 21 2.2 Park in the Bronx and the Bronx botanical gardens 23 and other locations. And some highlights by borough. In Queens that preliminary four year plan 24 shows a total of 1.3 billion allocated for projects 25

2 of all types, sewers account for 340 million. Six 3 million is budgeted for work on two shaft sites 4 that will connect stage two of city water tunnel number three to the distribution system. 84 million 6 is projected to evaluate, assess, and restore 7 groundwater wells in southeast Queens for the purpose of providing additional water during the 8 Rondout bypass construction and during any droughts 9 or other instances where the city's surface water 10 supplies are not adequate. In Staten Island the 11 12 preliminary four year plan produces a total of 622 million of which 427 million is for sewers. The 13 14 Snug Harbor sewer project is budgeted for 24 15 million. Repairs to Opera Beach Plant and the 16 Hanestry [sp?] pumping stations are provided to, 17 are projected to cost 68 million. I note that the 18 preliminary four year plan does not include the cost of repairing damage to the Staten Island 19 20 siphon project caused by Hurricane Sandy. The cost of damage to the project which will replace the two 21 2.2 existing underwater water siphons that supply 23 drinking water to Staten Island are under 24 discussion. Some of the cost may be covered by the 25 contractors insure [phonetic]. We are hopeful that

Wards Island wastewater treatment plant,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 reconstruction of the final settling tanks, construction of the boiler complex, and 3 installation of new dewatering centrifuges. 146 4 million will fund the construction of water mains connecting two of the city water tunnel number 6 7 three shafts with the local water distribution system. In Brooklyn the preliminary budget includes 8 820 million of plant commitments. The 26 Wards 9 wastewater treatment plant and associated sewer 10 11 work to reduce CSOs into fresh creek account for 12 about 317 million. 14 million for Coney Island 13 sewer improvements is funded in FY14. An additional 14 94 million is projected in FY15 through 17 for 15 Coney Island Sewers. And a word on an unfunded mandates. I want to take this opportunity to remind 16 17 the committee that DEP remains concerned about 18 unfunded state and federal mandates that threaten our ability to provide real value and services for 19 20 every dollar we receive from our rate paying 2.1 customers. As you know we have expressed doubt 2.2 about the timing or need for certain federally 23 mandated projects that create enormous pressure on the raise. For example we do not believe that 24 25 building a concrete cover over Hillview Reservoir

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

in Yonkers at a cost in excess of one billion dollars is necessary to protect our drinking water but a blanket EPA rule that we do not agree with applies, that we do not agree applies to our water system says otherwise. We are very involved in sponsoring scientific research and in promoting sensible solutions. And we are certainly committed above all to protecting public health. In terms of resiliency in October 2013 on the first anniversary of hurricane Sandy DEP released the New York City wastewater resiliency plan, the nation's most detailed and comprehensive assessment of the risk that climate change poses to a wastewater collection and treatment system. The groundbreaking study initiated in 2011 and expanded after Hurricane Sandy was based on an asset by asset analysis of the risk from storm surge under new flood maps at all 14 wastewater treatment plants and 58 pumping stations representing more than one billion dollars in infrastructure. If no action is taken we project that damage to the equipment from repeated coastal flooded at projected rising sea levels could exceed two billion dollars over the next 50 years. We estimate that if we invest 315

2 million now to protect valuable equipment and 3 minimize disruptions to critical services during 4 future storms we will help protect the infrastructure from that two billion dollar plus in repeated flooding losses. DEP will coordinate this 6 7 work with a broader coastal protection initiatives such as engineered barriers and wetlands described 8 in the 2013 report, A Stronger More Resilient New 9 York, and continue to implement the drinking water 10 and drainage strategies identified in the report to 11 12 mitigate the impacts of future extreme events and 13 climate change. On behalf of the almost six 14 thousand employees of DEP who make a difference in 15 each and every day for our environment I want to 16 express our commitment to work with you Chairman 17 Richards and the members of the Committee in the 18 coming years of this new administration. And I welcome all of you aboard on behalf of outgoing 19 20 Commissioner Carter Strickland and incoming Commissioner Emily Lloyd. That completes my 21 2.2 prepared statement. Thank you for the opportunity 23 to present my testimony. Thank you for your patience and I look forward to answering any 24 questions that you have. 25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you so

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

much. And first I just want to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Eric Ulrich who we had the fun of cutting the ribbon of a brand new YMCA in the Rockaways [sp?] today so thank you for joining us. So I'll start off and then some of my colleagues have questions. I'll start with when will the water board's public hearing to announce

the fiscal year 2015 water and sewer rates be held?

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes. Thank you Chairman Richards. The, the current schedule is that the DEP Commissioner will propose a rate at a public meeting of the New York City Water Board on Friday April 4th at 8:30 A.M. at 22 Wreath Street in lower Manhattan. As the water board has in the past it will schedule one public hearing in each borough. Those public hearings are currently scheduled to take place the week of April 28th through May 2nd. And then finally a late adoption meeting is scheduled for Friday May 23rd. At that meeting the water board will consider the testimony presented at the hearings as well as the information provided by the DEP commissioner and make a decision on the waterway to take effect on July 1st of 2014.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. And I know that more people are paying their bills and we've seen an increase in that. So I'm hoping that our rates are not going up tremendously.

STEVEN LAWITTS: That, that is certainly our hope as well Chairman Richards. And you're observation is absolutely correct. With the widespread coverage of automated meter reading covering 96 percent of our customers and with the estimated bills having dropped by nearly 80 percent... Estimated bills in, in past years where the single biggest cause of our customers having disputes about their bills and the single biggest reason why customers refused to pay their bills often in their entirety we've largely eliminated that. And so our customers are paying more on time. They're paying higher percentages of their bills. And that certainly has contributed to an improved financial condition for us. And so that will be one of the major factors that goes into what we certainly hope will be a, a, a rate that gets proposed on April 4th that you know is, is one that our customers will find affordable.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. What will the 10 new full time hires for the green infrastructure program be working on.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Assistant Commissioner Joe Mira will answer that.

JOE MIRA: Thank you Chairman. Those 10 employees will be hired by the Department of Parks on behalf of DEP because we have a working relationship memorandum understanding with Department of Parks where they do the maintenance for much of our green infrastructure. The new bioswells that I think a lot of members might have seen in their neighborhoods, those parks have been going out and tending to to makes sure that there's not accumulation of garbage or other debris in them so that they work effectively in terms of the storm water management. So those will be primarily maintenance type positions, some gardener types as well but primarily maintenance positions.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how often do they do maintenance because I know in my particular district I'm sure and in other, I know in Ruben's district, my, myself we, we have like green streets but they're not maintained to their fullest

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

capacity. So, so how often would you say they are maintaining green infrastructure?

JOE MIRA: At this time I wouldn't be able to give you an exact maintenance schedule on, you know because primarily it's based on the usage, if it's a high traffic, high volume area they're supposed to be getting out there more frequently. And we have a relationship with the Parks Department where we're monitoring and that reporting on that. And that's where we're you know working with them to make sure that they're having as effective you know an impact as possible. And that's why we're looking as we go forward to see what the actual resources will be needed over time for those green infrastructures maintenance so that you know if ones need more or some need less that's where we will deploy them. And since the program's been running about a little, maybe two years to 18 months now we're still working out a lot of that with the Parks Department and you know we'll be continuing to work with them to see what the most effective deployment is and where they need to be and how many staff we actually need as this program continues to ramp up over the next five to ten

years because there is a significant capital investment that's going to be made. And you'll see them coming out much more in the rest of the, the boroughs.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So you're increasing the budget towards that?

2.2

a plan and you know and there's not you know a completely settle jet because that's something we'll work with, with Parks and with OMB as the green infrastructure program ramps up because more, the more you have the more efficiently the people should also be able to operate. And that's what we need to work out with Parks as well. So you may be able to have one crew now that might be able to do more work so you may not need to deploy another crew 'till you have more canal for you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay great thank you. Can we speak of the service protection program quick. Just so I think if I heard you correct 133 thousand New Yorkers have signed up for this program. What are we doing to better promote this program. A lot of my constituents don't know that this program even exists unless we tell them. So

2 are, are we putting it on the billing statements?

3 Is there a way that we can better promote this

4 program? I think it's a wonderful program and I,

5 and I commend you for certainly signing up and, and

6 making it obviously the most successful program

7 across the country but want to know how can we make

8 | it better? How can we make sure more New Yorkers

9 know about this program?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEVEN LAWITTS: Right thank you, [clears throat] excuse me, Chairman Richards. So yes... 133 thousand and in fact I think even since we printed out testimony it's up to 144 thousand. We, when the program was first introduced in January of last year the, we worked with the vendor American Water resources or AWR to send a mailing. We sent a mailing to every eligible household. And so buildings that are eligible for this protection program are buildings that have a water service line that's two inches or less in diameter that is somewhere in the neighborhood of 600 thousand buildings are eligible to participate in this program. So our vendor AWR and we collaborated on a mailing that went to every one of those 600 thousand buildings on DEP letterhead and signed by

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

DEP's Deputy Commissioner for customer services to lay out the program. We also reinforced the program on the DEP website. And as I had said earlier we got a tremendous response in terms of for AWR our vendor an unprecedented enrollment rate. And our vendor has told us that at our current 134 thousand enrollment based on their experience in other cities in which they've been operating a, a very long time. They didn't expect us to get up to 134 thousand until more than a year from now. We, on the first anniversary of the program, worked with AWR to send out a second mailing to all eligible buildings that aren't already subscribers to the program. I know in, in my own neighborhood we got that mailing sometime in the last couple of weeks. Also when we have reason to go out into the communities and appear with council members for, for any community centered function we, we try to make a point of reinforcing that that program is out there. We often carry literature that we can hand out on the program and certainly we can work with any of the Council Members, any of the Community Boards to do a more targeted outreach if you think that would be beneficial.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I think that

2

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

capacity available to absorb the storm water.

would definitely be beneficial especially for flood prone areas to, you know across the city and obviously places that you know have a, get a lot of flooding. Let me see here. How do you prioritize

regular chemical degreasant to reduce sewer

the areas for increased sewer cleaning and, and

backups.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Well we, we, we keep and collect and analyze numerous amounts of data on sewer backups and areas that are prone to flooding. And we... update for a number of purposes. One is to prioritize in our capital program we were going to invest our sewer, new sewer construction dollars or programs to expand sewer capacity. And we also used that same data so that when we know a major rainstorm is coming and the city Office of Emergency Management activates the flash flood protection program. We can proactively send our crews out to those known problem areas to proactively inspect and clean catch basins and help to ensure that the sewers have their full design

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: How do you

3

1

prioritize, so you prioritize... [crosstalk]

4

STEVEN LAWITTS: So we... yeah, we, we

5

prioritize based on all the data that we collect

6

and analyze and where we're able to target problem

areas.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

9

8

STEVEN LAWITTS: Especially areas that

10

have repeated flooding, repeated sewer backups.

11

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So your debt

12

service course almost reaching 1.7 billion and I

13

wanted to know how it, are you, are guys are

14 15

projects how are you considering reprioritizing you

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes well as, as the

starting to wrap up a lot of these project, capital

16

know your strategies?

17

18 Chairman has observed some of our largest projects

19

are behind us or, or almost behind us. Prior to

20

2012 70 percent of our capital program was for

21

mandated projects, projects that are required by

2.2

our federal and state regulators that must be built

23

at a specific scope and must be built on a specific

24

time table or we're subjected to substantial fines

25

which can be 30 thousand dollars a day for every

dollar per year operating cost. So that's largely

percentage of our capital program, approximately 80

percent of our capital program to projects that are

our priorities as opposed to the priorities of the

behind us now. And we can allocate much larger

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

regulators. And you know I just, I just want to say
that I, even though I spoke about these very costly
projects being mandated most of them are projects
we would have built on our own anyway but we would
have, if we had the luxury of it we would have
spaced them out over a much longer period of time.
As it was we concentrated them largely in the 2006
to 2008 era which like many other heavy
construction projects in this area at the height of
the New York City economy we were getting very very
high bids for these projects. It's a much better
bidding climate now. It's, it's the silver lining
in, in the economy faltering over the last few
years. But, and we've, we are trying now to take as
much advantage as possible of that bidding climate
and, and we have taken advantage. And many of our
bids have come in well below our estimates. So we
are allocating a much larger percentage to things
like rebuilding and expanding sewers, increasing
the blue belt projects, and green infrastructure
and so we're using that again and taking our sewer
data, our flooding data and to kind of prioritizing
how we can make these investments and work with DDC

on the sewer construction projects, get the best out of a favorable bidding climate.

1

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: How many of your lead general contractors are MWBE funds or are MWE funds mainly subcontractors. And also how many of DEP subcontractor, subcontractor funds are MWBE funds?

STEVEN LAWITTS: Right so we, we have our, what we feel is our, a, a very aggressive MWBE outreach program. There're, there are three different MWBE programs under which we have goals. And I'll just describe them briefly. There's the citywide program that expired last year under... it, it was local law 129. That got replaced by Local Law 1 but many of our projects because they're long term and there were awarded, they were registered back when local law 129 was still effective, we're still governed by that. All, all new projects taking place in this city are governed by Local Law 1 and again unique among city agencies I believe is because a large portion of our capital work in our watershed area takes place outside of the city were subject to the state MWBE program which is... it, it's called the State Revolving Fund or SRF program

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and, and that has different goals but... So I wanted the committee to also understand that the nature of DEP work is different than a lot of other city agencies, construction projects. And if, if I could just elaborate for a minute. Just... I previous to DEP I was at the school construction authority and the school construction authority also has a very aggressive MWBE program. But the main difference between the SCA and DEP is that school construction authority has hundreds of relatively small projects of repairs of various types to existing schools. Those projects are better suited for most MWBE contractors who tend to because of the, the relatively small size of those firms and their relatively smaller financial capacity tend to bid or are selected for smaller dollar value projects. Most of our projects like the 1.6 billion dollar filtration plant, I'm sorry UV plant, the three billion dollar filtration plant... most of our general contracts are enormous by the rest of the city's standards. And even our subcontracts are often a, a such high dollar value that they're, they're more expensive than the general contracts that many other city agencies award.

year's MWBE percentage of 43 percent. For micro and

25

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 48
2	small purchases we've awarded 35 percent of those
3	to MWBEs which is a much higher percentage than
4	last year's 24 percent.
5	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And how much
6	money would you project?
7	STEVEN LAWITTS: I don't have that with
8	me
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.
10	STEVEN LAWITTS:Chairman Richards but
11	I can certainly provide that.
12	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, great. If
13	you guys can get back to me on that [crosstalk]
14	STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.
15	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:I would
16	appreciate that.
17	STEVEN LAWITTS: And then [crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Because even if
19	you increase it's, we need to know the numbers of
20	what's, what does that mean. Is it
21	STEVEN LAWITTS: Sure.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:did we increase,
23	so 10 dollars or a million or… [crosstalk] you know
24	so if you can get back to us

_	
2	STEVEN LAWITTS: Well, yeah it
3	certainly, it's certainly in the millions and we'll
4	get you the precise figures. And then for the the
5	Build it Back which I testified previously is, it,
6	DEP is the contracting agency for Build it Back. 52
7	percent of the subcontracts or 322 firms are MWBEs.
8	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Build it Back?
9	STEVEN LAWITTS: Build it Back. That's,
LO	that's
L1	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: I, I, I'm
L2	STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.
L3	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So Build it Back
L 4	to Hurricane Sandy?
L5	STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.
L 6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But you guys are
L7	aware that no money has technically gone out on
L 8	Build it Back yet?
L 9	STEVEN LAWITTS: But the, the contracts
20	have been awarded but the actual construction has
21	not yet started, that's correct.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay, alright,
23	since we're on that subject so when will

construction start on Build it Back? The contracts

24

25

have been awarded...

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

STEVEN LAWITTS: So I, I will give an as complete answer as I can Chair Richards because despite DEP being the contracting agency because when, when Hurricane Sandy hit and rapid repairs program... we saw basic utilities to Sandy damaged houses and later Build it Back to replace floors and walls and appliances and so on were created. There had... legally had to be established a home agency that could procure contracts. And DEP was selected by the administration to be the housing agency because we already had a large contracting office, a large budget office, and we could get these contracts drafted and out on the street quickly. So shifting forward to Build it Back contracts have been awarded through a series of job order contractors but it's my understanding that the critical path at this point is that the intake I, which, which is the house by house determination of what each house needs the, the menu of repairs needed for each of the 20 something thousand houses is not complete. And having said that Mr. Chair the, the Program Management Office for Build it

Back is, it operates largely separately from DEP.

We, we provided the mechanism for them to contract

but the operation is conducted out of a, a separate office at 250 Broadway. And...

 $\label{eq:charperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I, I'm aware}$ of that so...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: ...you're saying...

So these contracts have been awarded and once
intake is done the money is in the contract that
stands already if I heard you correct.

STEVEN LAWITTS: No, no, no the ...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay.

into the contractor's hands until after they complete their work they submit invoices to us. We, we verify that that work's actually been done at the prices that they've contracted for and then we approve payment. So awarding the contract just means that when this intake is competed and a work order can be generated for each house we can, the, the program management can give these work orders to the contractor and say go out and make these repairs. The contractors don't get any payment from us what's so ever 'till they submit invoices based on completed work only.

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So would you say intake is the hold up here?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay I'm

STEVEN LAWITTS: It, it's the critical pack at this point yes.

just going to run it because I know a lot of my colleagues have questions and I want to be kind. I want to, just going to, I'm going to be selfish for a little while. I'm just going to speak on the Southeast Queens wells. So can you give us an update on the funding and timeline for testing and selecting wells in Southeast Queens. And I, I heard you mention that 84 million dollars projected to be spent between I believe 14 and 17 but what does projected mean? Does that mean this year or next year or what does that mean? And then also I know that before I was chair there was 151 million put in the budget to really start addressing this, the station six. And that money's disappeared so I, I want to know what are we going to do moving forward to make sure that that money is put back in place? As you guys know my Council Member Ruben Wills is here, also Council Member Daneek Miller's not here but we spoke the other... but his staff member is

here. And our communities have been inundated time
and time again with, with this issue of water. And
I think it's time that we, we stop beating around
the bush and this issue is not going to go away as
me, with me being chair. We have to start really
helping people who every time there's a light rain
have basement in their, in their water, in their,
water in their basement. So I, I know you guys have
done some things and I'm very appreciative of what
you've done in my district with Springfield lake,
you're really making a difference. And the area I'm
speaking about is not in my district, is in Saint
Albans. It is very unfair for these people to have
to endure this. I heard you speak of 80 percent of
the capital budget now can be reallocated into
other places. I think 151 million dollars is not
enough to ask for people who live in New York City
who pay their water rates and pay their bills, it's
very unfair for them, and for BEP and the water
board to come to them and say we're going to give
you an increase, and for them to have to pay an
increase and have to endure water in their
basements it's ridiculous. This is New York City.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: But we want to

see this money in the budget. It is very unfair to

24

25

2 this community. Once again I'm grateful for the 3 work you guys have done but it is unfair to these

4 communities.

2.2

add a little bit of, of context to this discussion.

And I know you and I have spoken about it before
and some of the other members. You're talking about
station six which is a ground water pumping
station. The, the vast majority of flooding that
occurs throughout the city of New York and
especially in Southeastern Queens or really any
part of Queens is from stream water and not ground
water. As you may be aware there are...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Not to, not to cut you off but in 2007 you guys started pump, pumping, using that station and the ground water level did go down. The, the, the water table decreased.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: It, it, well I'll let you tell me if that's true or not but I believe... based on things that I've seen and read...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Okay.

1

3

things increase.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERWSON RICHARDS: ...that these

STEVEN LAWITTS: Sure pump, pumping from

water wells would cause the ground water table in a certain area to decrease until there's recharge from rain. That's a constant you know yearlong battle day to day. But again it makes my original point. The vast majority of flooding that occurs in this city... if... majority it's from storm water. And the, the answer to that, our storm sewers for which we have in the four year plan includes alone 340 million dollars budgeted. When we're talking about groundwater right now elected officials from, throughout Southeast Queens have given us a number of addresses as, as well as the addresses we have through 311. And we have approximately 235 known locations, known to us where people have complained about groundwater. Now that does not mean, you know there are two things. It doesn't mean that everyone who's complained that they have groundwater, groundwater actually has a groundwater issue. And the other thing is that number could also be let's say quadruple, maybe perhaps a thousand homes. When we're talking about building storm sewers we're,

	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 5
2	we're talking about tens of thousands, hundreds of
3	thousands of homes. So with a great disparity
4	between perhaps what you would spend 151 million
5	dollars on a pump station which may alleviate a
6	groundwater issue for one or two blocks versus 151
7	million dollars' worth of storm sewers which would
8	have a much larger impact. And the other thing
9	that's important to remember, just like any home;
10	if someone was experiencing water coming into their
11	home that means that there is a crack or a, a void
12	in the actual foundation of that building and
13	whether that's been caused by pressure via
14	groundwater which the city is, does not regulate or
15	control is a real issue. Does someone need repairs
16	to their home in order to fortify that home against
17	groundwater. Where I live in Staten Island I also
18	have groundwater in my, on my property. And until I
19	took some very inexpensive measures at home depot
20	my basement would flood as well. So there are
21	things that we can do and talk about those 235
22	homes for example this spring DEP is going to be
23	doing a door to door assessment with a local 501 C3
24	which we're about to put an, and with a private
25	engineering firm that's going to contact those

folks and do free assessments to try to determine what their problem really is and how in some cases I'm sure that there are problems that folks can very very rapidly fix on their own. There are going to be other places that are identified as really serious conditions. And I think once we sort of narrow those homes which a trip to home depot or a minimal contractor could fix versus something that may require a more enhanced city solution. I think that'll be the first step in doing that. And they're going to do that this spring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So can you speak on the 84 million that I saw in here, the projected 84 to assess. So how far are you guys along in your study?

STEVEN LAWITTS: In, in groundwater wells?

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yeah.

STEVEN LAWITTS: So as part of the Water for the Future program what we're doing right now is looking at which wells could be used for potable water when we have to shut down the Delaware aqueduct. The testing is still in progress and it's scheduled to end this May. And they're site

COMMITTEE	$\cup N$	ENVIRONMENTAL	PROTECTION

2.2

specific basis of design reports that are being generated in parallel with that work to continue towards design. We expect to start construction on some of those well heads by mid-2017... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Why so far away? [crosstalk]

are... by in large these are well heads that have been inactive for well over a decade so they require... it's, it's not as simple as us coming in and switching out a couple of light bulbs. We're, we'll actually have to pull out well heads, replace, and repair. These are not things you can sort of buy off of the shelf. So we don't think... I mean I, I think our original estimates were 2018 so we're actually a little bit ahead of that at the moment. And you know...

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So we'll have to endure flooding until 2017.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Well no. I, I, I think that there are some people that may have groundwater issues. Just because you have a groundwater issue doesn't mean if I turn a well on 10 blocks from you that you're going to feel any

draw down at all in the ground water near your home. The, the, the aquifers and the groundwater that we're talking about, these wells go down, some of them, hundreds and hundreds of feet below the earth surface. So the drawdown of one particular well and we're looking at turning on about 23 of them does not necessarily mean that someone's going to experience any type of relief from that Sir.

with you that obviously there are different issues for different homeowners obviously. But we do know and, and, and if you can just answer this question. In 2007 when you guys started the pumping station did we see a decrease in, in, decrease in complaints? And I know a lot of those homeowners personally who said when you guys started pumping that they saw relief in their, in their, in their, in their places. So would you say in 2007 when you guys piloted it or reopened it that there was a difference?

STEVEN LAWITTS: I, I, I don't have that in front of me. I can get it to you. But you remember that any water that was pumped from there would have to go into the drinking water system.

STEVEN LAWITTS: So there is no storm

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Mm-hmm.

sewer at station six. So are you talking about taking that water, cleaning it and putting into the, the actual drinking water system which then instead of maybe 235 homes throughout Southeast

Queens that may be affected by groundwater you're now talking about from just that one well maybe 10

or 20 thousand people drinking that water. So...

[crosstalk] I, I can't tell you. I'm going to have

to look up the data for that but... [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: ...other, are there other renewable energy things we can look at, geothermal, other things we can look at that, that can possibly, we can reutilize this water for, rather than the going into the... [crosstalk]

preliminary looks at some of that stuff, geothermal the water has to be cleaned. They need, they need clean water to do that. And so anyone who would let's say if we had a company that was nearby that could build and had room to build and wanted to do geothermal or, they'd have to clean that water

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: How expensive

not say that.

first. So that would require treatment facilities on their end which could be expensive.

STEVEN LAWITTS: It would depend on the size and what, and what you're doing with it. So I couldn't give you a number unless there was a specific project. There's definitely... you know we, we're looking to or... to see if there are any MTA uses for some of the nearer bus depots or train stations nearby. We've looked at preliminarily the Port Authority and getting water to the port, more grey water or non-potable water to the Port Authority. We're still looking at that but it will require a massive amount of infrastructure at least at first glance. And then again we'd have to make the, you know the decision do you want to spend X number of millions of dollars for storm sewers or X

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So 151 billion you would reallocate into during storm sewers possibly... [crosstalk]

number millions of dollars to pump one well head?

STEVEN LAWITTS: I, I didn't, I, I didatat.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Okay. Okay. If I ... [crosstalk] ...we'll, we'll continue the conversation only in the interest of time and I don't want to ... [crosstalk]

STEVEN LAWITTS: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: ...my colleagues. I just want to go into the temporary boilers in many of the NYCHA residences. So I represent the Rockaways [sp?] and I know Council Member Treyger's not here from Coney Island. And we want to know have you guys got any air quality reports on the temporary boilers? And, and how are you dealing with the indoor air quality complaints when they, when they come your way?

STEVEN LAWITTS: So indoor air quality complaints is not something that DEP responds to. We do, and we have gotten requests from... where, so if a NYCHA resident calls 311 to complain about a noise, a noise or a, an air issue for example we do respond and we have responded to those generators. We have to make sure they're in compliance with the New York City air code. If they're not in compliance they would receive a violation. Well they would first receive perhaps a commissioner's

order to rectify the situation and then if not,

 \parallel they would get a violation.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And are you guys monitoring the temporary boilers now because we, as you know we had a hearing a few weeks ago and I know in my district where, some of the nights the houses are still on temporary boilers in...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Right.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: ...Council Member
Treyger's district. And, and we've gotten
complaints about them. So one of the things we
requested is you know air monitor be placed in
these NYCHA housing, NYCHA houses until obviously
the temporary boilers go offline in I think 17, I
think they said a 16 or 17... So are you guys
monitoring those as of now or...

STEVEN LAWITTS: I, I wasn't at that hearing. Unfortunately I was away but I, I'll double check on that. But we generally don't have like the Community air monitoring system in place. We would respond to each individual complaint.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: So I was, could suggest that you, that you guys consider doing air

2 monitoring somebody's location because we're 3 getting a, the, complaints of smog...

2.2

STEVEN LAWITTS: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And... And I'm going to now stop because I know my colleagues have been very patient with me and I want to be kind. So I will start with Council Member Lancman who had questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Good afternoon.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Good afternoon.

ask you a, a very specific question about the water rates that New Yorkers pay to fund this, this capital program. And just to bring our focus or our attention to go through the extraordinary rate increases that New Yorkers have experienced in the last ten years. Starting in 2005 five and a half percent, three percent, 9.4 percent, 11 and a half percent, 14 and a half percent in 2009, 12.9 percent, 12.9 percent, seven and a half percent, seven percent and 5.6 percent last year and we don't know what the rate increase will be this year. And the reason is, as we know that we pay these very high rates is because the revenue that

2 we generate from these rates is far in excess of 3 what it costs to pay the debt service on the 4 capital projects that DEP oversees. And, and here's what candidate di Blasio had to say about the 5 system. For decades the water system only charged 6 customers what it needed to cover its costs. But now anyone who pays a water bill is sending more 8 and more of their money into the city's general 9 10 budget. It's wrong and it has to stop. So my 11 question to you is what does this budget, now Mayor 12 di Blasio, what is Mayor di Blasio's budget do to 13 write what he described as a wrong and make it 14 stop. Well Council Member Lancman the payment to 15 the city that you're referring to is called the 16 city rental payment. And it's about 200 million 17 dollars per year and it is required by a lease 18 between the city and the water board and a financing agreement that is among the city, the 19 20 water board, and the water finance authority both 2.1 the lease and the financing agreement dating back 2.2 to 1984 and rooted in state law. So the, those 23 documents require the water board to pay the rental 24 payment to the city if the mayor requests the 25 rental payment. Every year so far the mayor has

requested that the water board pay the rental payment. And, and therefore the water board has paid that rental payment. So that rental payment is outside of the DEP budget. It, it, it's not anywhere, it's not a DEP payment, it is... and again the, the financing agreement and the lease say that the rental is set at 15 percent of the debt service that the water finance authority pays on the bonds that are outstanding.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Well that's half of what it says right? The other... that, that's half of what the lease says. The other half is that I guess of the, the election of the city, the rental payment is either the 15 percent of the debt service that DEP is paying on the water finance authority is paying on its bonds or it's the amount that the city is paying on the general obligation bonds for a DEP infrastructure. So it's a choice between the two.

STEVEN LAWITTS: No that's not entirely correct Council Member. The, it, the lease more specifically says that it is the debt service on those old general obligation bonds that were issued

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

before the water finance authority was created in
1984.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Or 15 percent of water finance authority debt service, whichever is higher.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: So the whichever is higher dictates which of those two choices.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes and, and that crossover point where 15 percent of water finance authority became higher than the debt service on an outstanding general obligation bonds was reached in approximately 2005. And with DEP having continued to invest in its infrastructure by billions of dollars each year every year they're after as their debt service continues to grow the rental payment continues to grow.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Now I hear, I heard you referenced this so I, I, I think I'm, I'm, I'm correct but I'd like you to just confirm it for me. It is still up to the Mayor of the city whether or not it wants to require DEP, the water finance authority to make those lease payments. And

	\bigcirc N.T.	TATE AT A TAKEN A TAKEN	
('() V V ' '' ' H' H' H'	() [ENVIRONMENTAL.	DBUILE:(,,I, I UM

2.2

presumably if it's in the Mayor's authority to require the water finance authority to make those lease payments at all the mayor has the authority to ask the water finance authority to make those payments only in the amount of the city's and the water finance authority's, well the city's debt service obligations correct?

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes... just with, with one correction. It's that the, it is actually the New York City water board that has to make the rental payment, not the finance authority.

it's the New York City water board. So the mayor has the authority to say to the New York City water board; listen, according to our lease you owe us actually more than what it costs us to meet our debt service obligations. But I am going to only ask you to pay the amount of our debt service obligations. The mayor has that authority correct?

STEVEN LAWITTS: That, that's an option that's available to the mayor yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: And, and I would assume that when candidate di Blasio said that he was going to write the wrong of New Yorkers

1 2 paying water rates that were far in excess of what it cost to fund the, the, the DEP's capital program 3 that that's what he meant. To your knowledge has 4 the city yet stated or, or sent to the, to DEP or, 5 or to the water board its bill for this year's 6 lease?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

STEVEN LAWITTS: It, it has not yet. In past years the demand for rental payment is usually provided to the water board in the late April to early May time frame.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Just remind me of, of the time table you gave us earlier of when the water board is going to set this year's rates.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Sure the, the ...

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Is it, is it before or after the mayor would ordinarily make the, the, the lease demand, the payment demand?

STEVEN LAWITTS: It, it, the water board would adopt the rate. It's scheduled to adopt the rate May 23rd this year. And in every year so far the rate adoption has taken place after the mayor has made the demand for the rental payment.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: I'm glad to hear that because that's the sensible order of

2 things. Well I certainly am going to be urging the,

3 the mayor. And I think the DEP should consider

4 doing so as well, at least in your internal

5 conversations with, with the administration for the

6 sake of the agency's credibility that he honor the

7 | commitment that he made to New Yorkers when he was

8 | running to not charge us or tax us through the

9 water rates for more than what it cost to actually

10 pay for the water infrastructure and not use the

11 | water rates as a hidden tax as he called it on New

12 Yorkers. And I think you know something that the

13 | council's going to be paying very close attention

14 to.

25

1

15 COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Let me also ask

16 you a, a parochial question if I may. We have a

17 | flooding program in a part of the district that I

18 represent in Fresh Meadows on utopia parkway. There

19 | are many flooding problem, including on my own

20 | street where I had to get a check valve installed

21 | at my own expense a few years ago because sewage

22 | back up into my basement. DEP has been working with

23 | the Community. It was, we were fortunate to have as

24 | our former Councilman Gennaro who was previously

the chair of this committee, ably assisted by now

2.2

Councilman Costa Constantinides. And we seem to have hit a, a, a lull or maybe a stall. Or maybe I'm just not as informed as I, as I could be regarding the progress of alleviating flooding on utopia parkway. And so we received in June of 2013 from DEP something called the Utopia Parkway update to the Homeowner's Association on, on Utopia Parkway.

STEVEN LAWITTS: IT's a DEP document.

James Roberts Pete Deputy Commissioner.

options for alleviating the flooding. The tension system on Utopia Parkway, street improvements, green infrastructure, permeable pavement, and then street improvements, a street regarding and reconsideration. And all of these were recommended by DEP to, to advance as the, the term is in the document and some required some cooperation with other agencies. Do you know if the funding for these programs is in the preliminary budget that we're talking about today? And if not how do we get there?

STEVEN LAWITTS: I'm familiar with the document. I don't, I don't have it in front of me

2 but, but I think you gave a, a very good sum, 3 summarization of what it was including working with DOT for example on some of the street improvements... 4 that are necessary. I don't know if that's funded 6 in the current budget. We're going to have to get 7 back with you but I'll check with Deputy Commissioner Roberts and Assistant Commissioner 8 Mirren and we'll see. I know that the detention 9 tank was the largest piece of that. I also know one 10 of the other things that we were trying to do and, 11 12 and just scheduling did, for whatever reason didn't 13 allow, was to deliver rain bowels to your district 14 in order for homeowners to take some of that rain 15 water off. You have a large sewer under Utopia 16 Parkway large enough to actually drive a car 17 through. The, the issue there is the, the, it's 18 basically a bowl that... I've been out to Utopia to that exact location right after rainstorms where 19 20 you could see the flood damage and it dissipates within minutes after a calm so just sort of think 2.1 2.2 of it as a flash flood for example. So part of the 23 problem isn't that there is not enough sewer but it, just how fast the water gets to that bowl. So 24 sort of retaining it as much as possible. You just 25

key also some of the… and I, I lived in apartments
my whole life, co-ops, condos? A few years back I
was meeting with a group of home owners in
Southeast Queens and, and group of clergy. And we
were talking about, you know it's funny when, when
you buy a home no one gives you sort of a how to
guide. Like how to, how to do this for your home,
how to do that for your home. And one of the
things that came out of that was DEP where, were
doing a final edits now on a homeowners guide for
flooding and how to protect your home again so And
right on Utopia Parkway. I remember very clearly
on, on one corner across from there's a synagogue
right there They have a, they have a, I don't know
how exactly they did this but they dug out a
portion of their yard and they installed below
ground pre-k facility, or a daycare facility.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: Right, right.

STEVEN LAWITTS: That floods unfortunately mostly because it's about eight feet below ground, below grade...

COUNCIL MEMBER LANCMAN: But they also get, they also get sewage... [crosstalk] from their, from their pipes also.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Just across the street

2.2

from that there were two homeowners both of which had sunken driveways. One homeowner had built essentially a barrier that he could easily put in place which I think were just a couple of two by fours and the homeowner next to him did not. The homeowner who simply barricaded his driveway received no flooding and his next door neighbor was completely flooded with a couple of feet of water in his basement. So there are some things that homeowners can do, we're going to be going around showing them what they can do on their own quite easily. But we'll get back to you on the specific questions you had with the funding for those projects.

 $\label{eq:council_member_lancman: Good. I} % \begin{center} \beg$

STEVEN LAWITTS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Right before we get to [static] something [static] forgot to leave out, water mains. So I know we've had a lot of incidents with water mains in my district in the Rockaways. And obviously we seen what just happened in Harlem, in east Harlem. Would you guys speak on

1

3

4

6

7

Harlem.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

what are we doing to better maintain the water mains as well? Did water mains have anything to do if you can speak at this time or on...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: ...what happened in

STEVEN LAWITTS: Sure. So in, in East Harlem it's, it's raised really to tell what's going on. The, the national transportation safety board is involved there as well. It appears that there's at least a, a service line break at that property. But whether it's, you know that happened before or after you know is yet to be determined. What I can tell you is that we've gone through all of our records and we did not have any calls for a water main break or service line break at that location prior to that event. The only calls that anyone is aware of prior to that event are the calls for the, for the smell of gas. There's nothing for water. But of course we have to get to the very bottom of that. And then just in terms of water main breaks obviously we've had a very difficult winter. And we've seen some water main breaks, one in your district, several throughout

the city. It's a little too early right now though to tell whether that will throw off the entire year's number. Water main breaks in general have been decreasing the last several years as a re, result of proactive work by DEP which essentially regulates the pressure put through those mains. So the more advanced we get with technology the more we can innovate some of the pressure constraints on some of those mains, some of which if you were talking about Manhattan for example we had a large one in Union Square earlier this winter. You're talking about mains that go back to the 1800s. What we've been able to do through, through our work proactive again is reduce some of the pressure that's on those mains and we've had far less water mains in, break in general. We'll have to see what this winter will do, oh well there was an uptick. I, I, off the top of my head I don't think it was so severe that it's going to you know disrupt what we've normally seen which is a, a decrease.

CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alright if we can just look at making sure... you know and once again I know we had a harsh winter...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes.

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 7
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:but we should
3	definitely take a, a beat, take a proactive
4	approach on that as we go on. We have to
5	STEVEN LAWITTS: Absolutely.
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:expect obviously
7	to have more of these harsh winter
8	STEVEN LAWITTS: Absolutely.
9	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS:harsh winters.
10	Next I will call Council Member Costa
11	Constantinides.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Got it.
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Theego [phonetic]
14	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES: Thank
15	you Chair Richards. You've been doing a fantastic
16	job thank you sir. Good afternoon.
17	STEVEN LAWITTS: Good afternoon.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:
19	[crosstalk] if the Chair will indulge me I have a
20	few different questions on a few different topics
21	where I can just sort of run through some. And I
22	share my colleague Council Member Lancman's
23	concerns relating to the rental payment and the
24	increase of water rates over the years and better

using those dollars for the water and sewer system

that, as they were intended to be used. So I know in the, in the past, correct me if I'm wrong, there was a reimbursement to the, the fire department for the usage of fire hydrants and also for street cleaning. Is that still part of the budget. Because the main sort of functions I understand the environmental reasons and why they may be connected. But their primary functions are not of a, of a water and sewer rate, water and sewer sort of system...

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes Council Member Constantinides, is that close?

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

15 [crosstalk] just call me Costa that's fine.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Okay.

2.2

17 COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

[crosstalk] just one name's alright.

STEVEN LAWITTS: Okay, well thank you for that. Yes... currently as it has been in the past we pay the fire department to inspect the fire hydrants. So we, we have 109 thousand fire hydrants. The fire department inspects them and to the extent that they need repairs DEP repairs them. But we, we pay the fire department approximately

2.2

seven million per year for that, those inspections.

And as the Council Member also observed we pay to the Department of Sanitation approximately 30 million dollars per year which represents a portion of their street cleaning cost because the street cleaning minimizes the amount of litter that goes into our catch basins, our wastewater treatment system and, and minimizes the amount that become floatables out in the harbor.

very glad to hear it's part of the street cleaning budget. Because I, I definitely agree that's a laudable goal but it's not the primary goal of what the street cleaners are there for so... I, I'll move on with my question fitting the interest of time. As far as the, the bill that was passed a couple years back relating to backflow devices and the reporting for those priority buildings. I just want to know how is, how's that going, how are we doing in getting those backflow devices installed throughout the city in, in problem areas.

STEVEN LAWITTS: I'm afraid I don't have the exact number for you but we can provide it.

It's, it's going. Well we've gone through, we've

prioritized the number of facilities based on what
they would have at each facility obviously for, so
for example dry cleaning as opposed to let's say a
dentist office which would also require You, you
should also note that as a result of that bill a
very significant push back in the business
community because folks don't really understand why
they would necessarily have to put in the back flow
device. So we do very often go to a lot of forms
hosted by members of the council, the assembly, and
the senate when requested to discuss why a
particular business Look you, you could have a
business that let's say produces baked goods but
you may be required to put that backflow prevention
device simply because of a sprinkler system or
something like that which exists in the building.
So it does require a little bit of explanation.
There's a lot of [inaudible 1:41:53] but we, we
first and foremost prioritized the buildings that
require the most serious attention and impose more
of a risk to the system. But now we're, we're sort
of down to doctor's offices and some other more
innocuous facilities. But we can, we, we often send

2 that report to the council like once a year but we
3 can give you an updated version of that.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER CONSTANTINIDES:

Wonderful, thank you. I appreciate that. And I'm glad to hear that we've moved [static] some of the more inactions sort of uses to get those backflow devices in. Just very quickly transition to the bill that was passed by override earlier on this year. I know there was some dispute of whether or not DEP was going to be administering the volunteer environmental master remediation program or it was going to be through DOH. Has that now that it's been sort of passed into law how is that coming as far as implementation? Is it, there going to be DEP, is it going to be at the Department of Health, where is that, how is that program going to be implemented?

STEVEN LAWITTS: I, I believe so. That, that is with our agency now. And it, it's going to require, as you may be aware it requires that the, the fines sort of, that are, that are, or they're, not the… [crosstalk] the applicate, the applications will pay for us having it there. We are currently going through that process and

Plant, just want to king of sort of get a... you

2 talked earlier about this stronger more resilient

3 New York plan. I know at the Bowery Bay Sewage

4 | Treatment Plant is in a flood zone.

2.1

2.2

STEVEN LAWITTS: Yes Sir.

council Member constantinides: And I wanted to get sort of a thought on the, what's happening there as it comes to resiliency, how is it working, how are things going to be improved for the community. Because if there is a smell that sort of emanates from there and...

wastewater treatment plants are in flood zones you know for the, for the very fact that they have to discharge into the, into the receiving waters.

Bowery Bay was not significantly impacted by Hurricane Sandy but each one of our wastewater treatment facilities and then we looked at the, the pump stations with 96 total, 58 of which were affected I believe or 56 affected by Superstorm Sandy. We're, we're making upgrades now for the next storm. Where do we have to relocate... [cough] ...the electrical systems to be above the flood plain and then above sea rise. So we're doing that at each one of our wastewater treatment plants and

2	obviously prioritizing the ones that were hit
3	before. And we're making of course the assessments
4	for, for, since we don't know where the next storm
5	would hit of what other you know the, we were
6	looking at all of our facilities. In terms of odors
7	or smells generally odor complaints from wastewater
8	treatment plants have dissipated over the last
9	several years as newer technology comes online. I'm
10	not, I don't have the 311 report in front of me
11	that details the number of calls into Bowery Bay
12	but we can look at that and of course we would
13	welcome a Council Member and any of the other
14	Council Members to tour any or all of our treatment
15	plants and, and, not aware of any significant
16	current odor issues at the plant but we'll
17	definitely look into it and each time one is
18	reported we send out from the plant personnel which
19	have air monitoring devices on them and they will
20	try to track down where that odor is coming from
21	and see if it's an operational issue. Many times it
22	could be as simple as you know an open door or vent
23	that should be closed or should have been closed
24	that can be shut. We also obviously transport
25	sludge which is the residual leftover from the

process and sometimes the movement of those, or the facilities which house them can produce certain odors. So there are things that are systemic operational issues and there are things that are one off issues so... it, it often helps when we get a report of an odor we immediately go out. Even just one, if even just one report is brought in through 311 we send out personnel right then and there at the plant. So we, we take those reports very seriously and obviously if there's anything we can do to adjust it we will. And if there's a systemic problem often we'll, we'll meet with the community and the council members and we'll try to work out a solution. So we look forward to that should it be the case. And welcome all of you tour any of our facilities both here and in the water shed.

appreciate that and I look forward to actually doing that with you. And I... we're looking to, a very exciting revitalization of the northern part of Astoria and then I know that Steinway Mansion is now under contract was not too far away from there. And the possibility of what's going to happen there

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

quarter of fiscal year 2014. And compared to last

STEVEN LAWITTS: Is it?

the committee. We only respond to certain noise

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

complaints. It's obviously neighbor to neighbor complaints, things like that, or the NYPD so, so we're really doing bars, restaurants, thing, things along those lines, sort of commercial noise and less of the sort of neighbor to neighbor stuff, house parties, things like that which are really within the noise breakdown of the number one issue. Neighbor to neighbor NYPD required action is, is I think far above our noise implementation and then in terms of air quality obviously with the airport being in your district and, and then again by LaGuardia. Those are very serious issues. And all throughout Queens because of the Long Island Railroad for example. Metro, metro north and parts of Manhattan. There, there were things that are outside of our control but because they're run by the state and the federal government has jurisdiction but I know that we've provided in the past certainly for, for noise at the airport. We've provided the ability to conduct an official study which was very helpful to the community I think advancing what they can do and ... So they're all resources available at DEP and we can work with, with the council to provide you know a, another

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 91
2	level of information and really on the community
3	with the facts. And I think we stand ready to work
4	with the council and the administration on issues
5	like this… [crosstalk]
6	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: And I know
7	there's a study done I believe for LaGuardia. Are
8	you guys considering one for JFK?
9	STEVEN LAWITTS: On, on noise?
10	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: On air
11	[crosstalk]
12	STEVEN LAWITTS: On air?
13	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Yeah.
14	STEVEN LAWITTS: I'm not, I, I wasn't
15	aware the air
16	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Both air and
17	noise. I'll take both.
18	STEVEN LAWITTS: I'll have to get back
19	to you on that one. I wasn't sure that we had done
20	air at LaGuardia. I think just noise. But certainly
21	if the council requests we would, would do that.
22	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Sure. So today
23	will be my first request.
24	[laughter]

STEVEN LAWITTS: ...noted for the records.

1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION 92
2	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Alrighty
3	[phonetic], I want to thank you gentleman for
4	coming in and, and being so gracious. And, and we
5	appreciate all you're doing and we look forward to
6	working with you. And it's been a pleasure.
7	STEVEN LAWITTS: Thank you Chairman
8	Richards and, and members. And the feeling's
9	mutual. We look forward to our new and continuing
10	relationship.
11	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Thank you. I look
12	forward [crosstalk] I known him for a while.
13	[laughter]
14	CHAIRPERSON RICHARDS: Do any members of
15	the public wish to testify? Now is your moment.
16	Alrighty [phonetic], seeing none alrighty
17	[phonetic] this meeting is adjourned.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	[gavel]
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date April 12, 2014