CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

----X

April 14, 2010 Start: 10:55 am Recess: 11:07 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DOMENIC M. RECCHIA, JR.

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Gale A. Brewer

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

Lewis A. Fidler Robert Jackson G. Oliver Koppell Darlene Mealy

Diana Reyna Joel Rivera Albert Vann

Fernando Cabrera Julissa Ferreras Karen Koslowitz

James G. Van Bramer Vincent M. Ignizio

James S. Oddo

Larry B. Seabrook

James Vacca

Inez E. Dickens

## A P P E A R A N C E S

Larian Angelo
Deputy Director
Office of Management and Budget

Photeine Anagnostopoulos Chief Operating Officer Department of Education

2.0

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Good morning
and welcome to today's Finance Committee meeting.
My name is Domenic M. Recchia, Jr., and I'm the
Chair of the Finance Committee.

Today we have two items on our agenda. Our first item is legislation that extends the dates for various actions relating to the budget process for Fiscal 2011. The Administration has asked us to extend the date for submission of Fiscal Year 2011 Executive Budget from April 26th to May 6th.

By providing the Administration extra time to release the Executive Budget, the Council will need extra time to hold its Executive Budget hearings, which will now conclude no later than June 10th rather than May 25th.

The first day of budget hearings is tentatively scheduled for Thursday May 13th. This legislation would also extend the other Charter mandated dates relating to the Executive Budget actions taken by other officials such as the Independent Budget Office and the borough presidents.

The legislation also changes the

2	target budget adoption date, the date for the
3	Mayor's submission of the revenue estimate and the
4	date for fixing the tax rates from June 5th to
5	June 18th. So Friday, June 18th is our goal for
6	budget adoption.
7	Our last piece of legislation is an
8	Expense Budget modification. This mod is
9	different than past modifications because it only
10	deals with one agency, the Department of
11	Education. Funding for the Department of
12	Education in the adopted budget reflected
13	projections made by the DOE. Unfortunately,
14	projects in the area of special education were off
15	by approximately \$380 million.
16	This modification provides
17	realignment with actual spending needs in the area
18	of special education. Because this is a transfer
19	of funds within an agency, the net effect of this
20	modification is zero.

Representative from OMB and DOE are here today to answer any questions you may have on the Executive Budget extension and the modification. Please identify yourself.

LARIAN ANGELO: Larian Angelo,

2.0

2.3

Office	of	Management	and	Budget
	$O_{\perp}$	Management	and	Duaget

PHOTEINE	ANAGNOSTO	POULOS:	Pho	teine
Anagnostopoulos, Chief	Operating	Officer	for	the
DOE.				

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Do any members have any questions? Leroy Comrie.

moving the money from special ed to a general education fund? Does that meet all of the state and federal guidelines that's supposed to be used for special education money? If so, is there an opportunity to fill some other gaps in services that principals needed to cover their budgets with that money?

LARIAN ANGELO: We're moving the money out of special education unit of appropriation because, as the Chairman said, every number in a budget is an estimate. When we adopted the budget last June this was our best estimate of the funding needed to supply special education students with all the services they would require.

As the year goes on, you observe how the actual spending is going. So this

2.0

2.3

modification really just moves the budget so that
it's in line with the actual spending. The
movement will make sure that there is sufficient
funding available in general education. I am
assured that all of the special education mandates
are met.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: You'll still be within your legal confines to meet those are. Is the special ed population going or did you underestimate the population?

estimated the spending needed. Part of the issue in special education is the spending will appear in many units of appropriation. The one we're talking about is what we call special in the schools. There is special education related services. There is special education in transportation.

So it isn't necessarily the case that total spending on special education is declining. In fact, it's probably increasing. It may just be showing up in different places.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And that money, once it's moved to OTPS and PS in the

2	general ed, will that be able to make up some of
3	the shortfalls in the principals' budgets that are
4	trying to maintain critical staff? What is the
5	money going to be used for?
6	PHOTEINE ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: The
7	principals at this point have already budgeted
8	their money to make sure that they could cover
9	their needs. This money will allow them to do
LO	that. So they have their own budgets by moving
11	the units of appropriation, the dollars within the
L2	units of appropriation, it will get the money to
L3	those allocations with the schools' budgets. So
L4	it will cover the needs that the principals have
L5	identified. That's the whole goal.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: It's
L7	covering to fill money that the principals already
L8	request, is that what you're saying?
L9	PHOTEINE ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Yes.
20	This will cover the budgets the principals have
21	already put into place.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: But isn't
23	it extra money that you didn't have?
24	PHOTEINE ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: No, it's

not extra money. The total pot is the same to the

2.0

2	principals.	It is jus	st a ma	tter that	t it was	in a
3	different are	ea from wh	nere it	was need	ded. It	's the
4	same total po	ot.				

I don't know if I buy that. Weren't the principals' budgets already fixed and allocated?

So they were going to get that amount of money for personnel anyway? Can a principal hire another person with the money that's reallocated?

allocate out the budgets at the beginning of the based on the total dollars that we have. The principals themselves then budget individually. It is school-based budgeting. So it's not necessarily that the units of appropriation the way we've allocated at a very high level will match how the principals actually budgeted their money. As Larian said, this is putting this back in line with how the principals actually budgeted their money. There is no new money going in and no money being taken out. It's the same amount of money, it's just being moved so it matches with what the principals are spending the money on.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE:

Okay.

25

budget?

2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

3 CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Before I ask 4 the next Council Member to ask some questions, can 5 I please ask everyone to keep down your private conversations? Before we move on, I'd like to 6 7 recognize all of the members. Diana Reyna, Joel 8 Rivera, Leroy Comrie, Jimmy Van Bramer, Gale Brewer, Fernando Cabrera, Larry Seabrook, Rosie 9 10 Mendez, Inez Dickens, Al Vann, Karen Koslowitz, Jimmy Vacca, Oliver Koppell, Julissa Ferreras, Lew 11 12 Fidler and Darlene Mealy. Did I miss anybody? Also, we have Vincent Ignizio. How could I miss 13 14 you, you're right in front of me. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Mr. Chairman, 16 you're not as good as Leroy. He did a better job 17 with that. 18 CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: You know 19 what, Al, I'm sorry I disappointed you. I'm going 20 to make that up the next time. Gale Brewer has 21 questions. 22 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: How does 23 this change in date, if at all, impact the state?

Does it have any impact at all with the state

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

25

LARIAN ANGELO: No, it will have no
impact on the state's actions. Part of the reason
we'd like to extend the date a little bit is to
have a greater chance of having a state budget.
We may or may not.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Lewis Fidler

is next.

## [Pause]

LARIAN ANGELO: Generally speaking, when we say realignment, what we mean is that when we last did an action on the budget, which I think this year was adoption, we put funding in units of appropriation. That's the best estimate we have at that moment. And then you observe how the spending actually takes place. This happens in every agency. But in DOE it's a special challenge because the principals have control over their budget and can decide PS, OTPS, et cetera. So what we mean by realignment is that after observing how the actual spending is going, we believe that we needed to move money from special education into general education PS and OTPS.

COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Realignment

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 11
2	is the purpose?
3	[Pause]
4	LARIAN ANGELO: I'm not sure I
5	understand the question.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Budget code
7	401 is for general education. Then it says
8	realigning to schools \$320 million.
9	LARIAN ANGELO: Correct.
10	[Pause]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: What you're
12	doing is realigning?
13	LARIAN ANGELO: What we're doing is
14	realigning.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: I just
16	wanted to make sure this isn't something to do
17	with school closings and moving money around.
18	LARIAN ANGELO: No, not at all. It
19	was simple moving money to follow actual spending.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Do any other
22	Council Members have questions? Without further
23	questions, both items will be couple for a vote.
24	Number one, Intro 0128, the Executive Budget
25	extender and number two is the MN-3 Expense Budget

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 12
2	modification. Call the roll please.
3	WILLIAM MARTIN: William Martine,
4	Committee Clerk, roll calling the Committee on
5	Finance. Council Member Recchia?
6	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: Yes.
7	WILLIAM MARTIN: Rivera?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
9	WILLIAM MARTIN: Reyna?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I vote aye.
11	WILLIAM MARTIN: Brewer?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes.
13	WILLIAM MARTIN: Comrie?
14	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: [No
15	response].
16	WILLIAM MARTIN: Fidler?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Aye.
18	WILLIAM MARTIN: Koppell?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Aye.
20	WILLIAM MARTIN: Vann?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Yes.
22	WILLIAM MARTIN: Mealy?
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Yes.
24	WILLIAM MARTIN: Ferreras?

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Aye.

25

13
?
Yes.
tz?
: Aye.
mer?
R: Aye.
?
Yes.
Member
Aye on
'll keep
e on the
mative,
and will
d like to
s of the
to working

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 1
2	WILLIAM MARTIN: Cabrera?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Yes.
4	WILLIAM MARTIN: Koslowitz?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Aye.
6	WILLIAM MARTIN: Van Bramer?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.
8	WILLIAM MARTIN: Ignizio?
9	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.
10	WILLIAM MARTIN: Council Member
11	Jackson?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Aye on
13	all.
14	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: We'll keep
15	the vote open for 30 minutes.
16	WILLIAM MARTIN: The vote on the
17	Committee on Finance is 14 in the affirmative,
18	zero in the negative and no abstentions and will
19	remain open by request of the chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON RECCHIA: I'd like to
21	thank the members of OMB and chancellors of the
22	DOE for coming today. We look forward to working
23	with you. Thank you very much
24	PHOTEINE ANAGNOSTOPOULOS: Thank

you.

1	COMMITTEE ON FINANCE 14					
2	[Pause]					
3	WILLIAM MARTIN: Comrie?					
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye on all.					
5	WILLIAM MARTIN: Oddo?					
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Yes.					
7	WILLIAM MARTIN: The vote now					
8	currently stands at 16 in the affirmative.					

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

		Č	bura	deale	
Signat	ure	_		0	
Date	April :	28.	2010		