CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

----- Х

Of the

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

----- X

December 11, 2013 Start: 10:00 a.m. Recess: 11:06 a.m.

HELD AT: Co

Council Chambers City Hall

BEFORE:

ALBERT VANN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Brad Lander Vincent J. Gentile Melissa Mark-Viverito

World Wide Dictation 545 Saw Mill River Road – Suite 2C, Ardsley, NY 10502 Phone: 914-964-8500 \* 800-442-5993 \* Fax: 914-964-8470 www.WorldWideDictation.com

# A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) Mark Lavatan CEO

Kristen Morse CEO

1	COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION 3
2	CHAIRPERSON VANN: Good morning, ladies
3	and gentleman. I'm Councilman Al Vann. As you
4	know, I chair the Committee on Community
5	Development. And this Committee has labored to
6	keep the poverty stricken and poverished, the
7	underrepresented and disadvantaged members of our
8	great city, at least within the conversation of
9	city government. Of course it's not been an easy
10	task because the subject is a difficult subject.
11	The low income and impoverished in our
12	city suffer significantly. The burdens they carry
13	and the responsibility we as lawmakers have need to
14	be reexamined closely and obviously need to
15	improve. The New York City Center for Economic
16	Development was born from Mayor Bloomberg's
17	fundamental contribution to the discussion on
18	poverty. The Center has gained national acclaim
19	for its many innovative pilot programs and its
20	extraordinary research efforts. Among the Center's
21	finest accomplishments, however, is its development
22	of the CEO Poverty Measure, which provides a
23	significant upgrade to the measurement and study of
24	the condition of poverty in our city.
25	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 4 In today's hearing we will consider 2 3 Intro 891A by Council Member Brad Lander. This bill will require the national submission of a --4 5 an annual submission of a report on poverty to the Council. While this in and of itself is not a 6 great complex feat, at the same time it is 7 8 something that is not being required, but forcing the Center of Economic Opportunity over the past 9 four years has provided the public the benefit of 10 11 its research on poverty. 12 This they did without, obviously the 13 requirement of law. Though the next administration 14 may be of the same mind of this one, there is no guarantee; and therefore, it is incumbent upon the 15 Committee and the Council to at least require that 16 the condition of the poor be examined, understood 17 and remain in the discussion of those who make the 18 19 law. At this point I would like to call on 20 21 the Bill's sponsor, Council Member Brad Lander for 22 comments. 23 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very 24 much, Chair Vann. And I want to start by saying what an honor it is to be moving this bill forward 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 5 2 together with you as primary co-sponsors and to be 3 doing it in your committee. Your history as a leader on the fight against poverty is an 4 5 extraordinary one in the country, in New York City, 6 in Brooklyn, as someone who has grew up in the community development movement, you know, and tells 7 those stories, you know, when Bed-Stuy Restoration 8 Corporation was founded. 9 In many ways connected to 10 things that were happening here when Mike 11 Spharadoff [phonetic] who was the HRA Commissioner 12 and then Ford was active with Senator Kennedy in 13 founding the movement for community development and 14 in thinking about innovative ways to confront 15 poverty.

16 Central Brooklyn has been such an 17 important part of that story and you've been such 18 an important part of that story. So it's an honor, 19 even though this bill is a measurement bill, to be 20 doing it together. And I want to say thank you for 21 your leadership historically on these issues and in 22 moving this forward today.

As the Chair said, Intro 891 will another New York City Charter to require future mayors to annually submit a report to the city COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 6
council, to the borough presidents and community
boards describing the City's efforts to reduce
poverty in utilizing the new poverty measure
developed by the Center for Economic Opportunity.
And I think it's really an important bill for the
long term.

As we often talk about you -- you 8 9 manage -- we manage based on what we measure and 10 you want, therefore, it not just to be something 11 that's, you know, a nice organization that was set 12 up as some kind of special initiative, but written 13 in to the charger as a thing we measure every year. 14 Not just a set of social indicators, but real attention to poverty. But not only measuring it, 15 and I think people will have read the Times 16 17 yesterday and know that we -- the work done to think about how to measure it and why that's 18 important and why we want to call out and put into 19 law the new measure, but about efforts to reduce 20 21 it. And that's what the bill calls for. Not just a reflection on where we stand with poverty based 22 on the measure but what is the City doing to reduce 23 24 it.

#### COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

And the fact that they'll be an annual 2 3 requirement under this bill to narrate the City's efforts to reduce poverty means that at least once 4 a year, in a real oversight way, this council, 5 future councils, as well as borough presidents and 6 committee boards can count on getting a report in 7 8 which the mayor comes forward and says, here are the steps that we took in the past year to reduce 9 10 poverty. And you can evaluate whether they were 11 good enough and you can push back. And we've 12 already seen the impact that the work that CEO has 13 done on this way. On the one hand, pushing forward 14 some innovative pilot programs and getting some things tested. And on the other hand, asking big 15 picture policy questions. Certainly one of my 16 17 favorite continues to be the policy effects poverty report that was done that really looked at the 18 difference, in that case, that the stimulus made in 19 preventing millions of Americans and hundreds of 20 21 thousands of New Yorkers from falling into poverty. And that in the future, whether it's 22 federal or state policy, but especially looking at 23

24 City policy, this bill will at least mean that you25 know those things are going to be measured and

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 8
2	reported, so you'd be wise as an administration to
3	set up a series of policies and programs that give
4	you something good to say when that report comes
5	around. And then if you don't have it this year,
6	you should count on us to have a hearing that says,
7	we said we're going to measure this, we said this
8	was important. Our efforts to reduce poverty are
9	looking great and should be continued or are really
10	inadequate and not getting to what we need and need
11	to be strengthened next year.
12	So in some ways it's no more than a
13	measurement bill, but in many ways it's also
14	something that sets the platform for strong
15	progress forward. So I'm honored to be doing it
16	with you and very excited that we're having this
17	hearing today, and I hope we'll be able to move
18	this forward into law. Thank you very much.
19	CHAIRMAN VANN: Okay. Excellent
20	testimony from the sponsor of the legislation. Now
21	we're going to hear from not strangers for sure,
22	representatives of the CEO Mark Lavatan [phonetic]
23	and Kristen Morse. If you all will come forward
24	and tell us what you think about this legislation
25	
I	

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT92and the continuation of CEO in some form if that's3admiral.

MS. KRISTEN MORSE: Good morning. I'm 4 5 Kristen Morse. I'm the Executive Director of CEO 6 and I have a formal statement that I'm presenting. But really today I just want to say thank you very 7 much. We are honored to have collaborated with 8 this committee over several years. We appreciate 9 10 your leadership Chairman Vann. And we thank you, Council member Lander for spearheading this bill. 11

12 Hands down, I would say that the 13 poverty measure is one of the most important things 14 that CEO has accomplished. It is more than an analytical exercise. I think for generations we 15 have done ourselves locally and nationally a real 16 17 disservice by not appropriately measuring poverty. What that has led to is a real profound sense of 18 nothing we do ever works. I think what this 19 20 research shows is what is working. From it we know 21 that employment matters, housing assistance matters, tax assistance matters, work supports 22 including food stamps, all of these are profound 23 24 levers that do, in fact, help to reduce poverty in New York City and across the country. 25

## COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

We also know that factors like 2 immigration status, family compensation, medical 3 needs and expenses, are also important drivers of 4 poverty. So, again, we thank you. We think that 5 the real value of this is to continue to support 6 and inform public policy. It gains value each and 7 8 every year as we're able to really see trends and to see trends within different populations and in 9 different communities. And it remains critical 10 that we look at these trends year end, year out, 11 12 whether the news is good or bad.

13 I think, you know, one of the things 14 that it underscores and we've seen over the last several years is the persistence of poverty. And 15 we need to measure that and we do need to be held 16 accountable for that. And so we thank you for this 17 legislation. And we think that this work and these 18 reports will continue to help inform the City's 19 20 efforts. So I want to turn it over to my colleague 21 Mark Lavatan.

22 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Good morning. I'm 23 Mark Lavatan, CEO's Director for Poverty Research. 24 Let me begin by echoing Kristen's remarks. We are 25 deeply appreciative of the effort by the cosponsors

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 11 of the bill. I would say of all the potential 2 3 accomplishments we've made, this would be the capstone. I mean, the most important thing is to 4 see that the work continues and becomes ever more 5 useful in how the City approaches this very chronic б problem. 7 So I want to just briefly -- which has 8 not always been my way before this committee --9 10 touch upon the following: The reason why CEO 11 developed an alternative poverty measure, how we 12 measure poverty, and I also want to provide a few 13 examples of how the CEO poverty measure provides new insights into the effects of public policy on 14 poverty in our city. 15 It's widely agreed that the current 16 official policy measure is willfully out of date. 17 The only economic resource it recognizes is cash 18 income before taxes. Although taxes and in kind 19 20 benefits have been a growing share of government 21 anti poverties -- policies for decades, these supports to low-income families are uncounted in 22 the official measure. 23

The official poverty threshold has alsofailed to keep up with the changing society and has

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 12
2	become disconnected from any underlying rational.
3	The poverty line the official poverty line,
4	which is originally based on the cost of food, no
5	longer reflects family expenditures for
6	necessities. Housing has replaced food as the
7	largest item in a typical family's budget. The
8	threshold has also lost touch with the American
9	standard of living.
10	When it was first established, the
11	poverty line for a family of four equaled 50
12	percent of median family income for a four-person
13	family. The poverty line now comes to less than 30
14	percent of that median. Finally, the official
15	poverty form across the United States. The
16	threshold that defines who is poor in Manhattan is
17	the same as that in rural Mississippi. The need to
18	account for New York City's relatively high cost of
19	living is obvious in light of the tight squeeze
20	that local housing costs put on family budgets.
21	If the primary reason for measuring
22	poverty is to inform public policy, these
23	weaknesses must be addressed. The definition of
24	resources needs to include the effective tax
25	programs like the earned income and child tax
I	

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 13
credits that support low income working families.
The value of in-kind benefits such as food stamps
and housing subsidies that can be used like cash to
secure food and shelter should also be counted.
The advocacy of family resources also need to be
measured against the more realistic sec of poverty
thresholds.
We've concluded that CEO should base
its measure on recommendations that had been
developed by the National Academy of Sciences panel
on poverty and family assistance. We issued our
first report on poverty in New York City in August
2008. In the fall of 2011, the U.S. Bureau of the
Census issued an initial report on poverty using a
similar method called the Supplemental Poverty
Measure or SPM for short. Our subsequent annual
reports include several revisions we have made so
that our estimates for poverty in New York City are
now comparable to the Census SPM poverty rates or
the nation.
The CEO and Census Bureau's SPM poverty

threshold are based on family needs for clothing, shelter, utilities as well as food. For 2011, this methodology produces a U.S. wide poverty threshold

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 14
2	for a family composed of two adults and two
3	children at \$24,999. Then CEO adjusts this
4	threshold to reflect inter area differences in
5	living costs. The New York City CEO threshold at
6	\$30,945 is 24 percent higher than the U.S. wide SPM
7	threshold and 36 percent higher than the official
8	threshold.
9	One the appropriate poverty lines had
10	been drawn, they must be compared against the
11	family's resources to determine if its members are
12	poor. Our measure of income begins with cash
13	before taxes but goes on to include the effect of
14	income and payroll taxes in the value of in-kind
15	benefits. Our income measure also accounts for
16	what families spend for transportation to and from
17	work, childcare and medical care that must be paid
18	for out of pocket. We refer to this more inclusive
19	definition of family resources as CEO income.
20	Although this income measure consists
21	of reductions as well as additions, CEO income is
22	higher for families in the lower tier of the income
23	distribution than the official resource measure of
24	pre-tax cash. In 2011, for example, CEO income at

the 20th percentile of its distribution equaled

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 15
2	\$30,195. Pre-tax cash income at the 20th
3	percentile of this distribution was \$22,944. When
4	we applied the expanded definition of resources
5	against the higher CEO thresholds, we find that
6	21.3 percent of the New York City population was
7	poor in 2011. This is two percentage points higher
8	than the corresponding official poverty rate of
9	19.3 percent.
10	CEO's poverty measure consistently
11	places a larger share of the city's population
12	below the poverty line than does the official
13	measure. This is an attention getting difference
14	indicating that the effect of using a higher and
15	more realistic threshold outweighs the effect of
16	using a more inclusive definition of family
17	resources. But this is only the beginning of
18	either a new understanding of poverty or a more
19	informed assessment of the adequacy of anti-poverty
20	programs.
21	Going on finding from our most recent
22	report, here are a few examples of what the new
23	measure has told us. Comparing the CEO to official
24	poverty rates by age group, we find that tax
25	credits and income benefits have a considerable and

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT162hither to unappreciated effect on the incidents of3poverty among children. Although children remain4poorer than adults under our measure, the CEO5poverty rate for children was 24.7 percent in 2011,6four percentage points lower than the corresponding7official poverty rate.

Compared against the official poverty 8 9 measure, the CEO poverty measure finds fewer New 10 Yorkers in extreme poverty but more New York City 11 residents near poverty than does the official 12 measure. In 2011, 7.9 percent of the city 13 population was living below 50 percent of the 14 official poverty threshold. The corresponding share using the CEO measure was only 5.6 percent. 15 On the other hand, the CEO measure finds a larger 16 proportion of New Yorkers that are living below 150 17 percent of the poverty threshold. 45.8 percent 18 instead of 30.6 percent found in the official 19 20 measure.

Looking at how poverty has grown since the onset of the Great Recession we find that federal economic stimulus programs, especially President Obama's economic -- American Recovery and Investment Act, blunted what would've been an

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 17
2	otherwise very steep rise in this city's poverty
3	rate. Absent the expansion of tax credit programs,
4	the cut in payroll taxes and an increase in food
5	stamp benefit levels, we estimate that the CEO
6	poverty rate would have reached 23.6 percent in
7	2011, 2.3 percentage points higher than its actual
8	21.3 percent.
9	We also find that non-citizen New
10	Yorkers have been particularly hard hit in the
11	recent recession and its aftermath. From 2008 to
12	2011, the CEO poverty rate for all New York City
13	residents rose by 2.3 percentage points. The
14	poverty rate for New Yorkers who are not citizens,
15	a group that is highly dependent on earned income,
16	climbed by 4.2 percentage points over this period.
17	We believe that the New York City
18	Center for Economic Opportunity has developed a
19	metric that offers insights into the effect of
20	current policies on poverty. It can also be used
21	to estimate the impact of new initatives such as
22	the impending increase in New York State minimum
23	wage as well as other initiatives that could lift
24	the wage floor in New York City. It can also be
25	employed to forecast and track the effects of
I	

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT182cutbacks to programs vital to low income families3such as those being contemplated in congress for4the food stamp program.

5 In short, an improved poverty measure 6 can restore credibility to counting who and how many New Yorkers fall below the poverty line. 7 8 Perhaps more important -- and this is a point made earlier this morning. Perhaps more important it can 9 10 help create accountability for how well our efforts 11 to address poverty are succeeding or falling short. 12 Thank you again for your continued support. It's 13 been a pleasure.

14 CHAIRMAN VANN: Thank you. Thank you very much. Let me start with a question or two. 15 If mayor elect DiBlasio, well he'll be here let's 16 17 say after January. And among of the first meetings he will be reviewing, questions and everything, and 18 he says, look, I'm aware of CEO and what you've 19 20 done. I know we have a poverty measure. I am committed. I would like to eliminate or at least 21 begin to show serious reduction in poverty in New 22 York City. And since you've been around for all 23 24 these years and you study it and you've had the initiatives, what advice would you give me? 25 What

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 19 policies should I enact? What should I do to 2 3 demonstrate a serious reduction of poverty in our city? What would you tell the mayor. 4 MS. KRISTEN MORSE: Great question. 5 6 Thank you very much. One of the things that we've thought about and in particular having looked very 7 8 closely at Mark's research and just continuing to look at the labor market data is we have a real 9 10 crisis in this city and, frankly, nationally with 11 low wage work. We're seeing finally and welcome 12 the return of more jobs, but many of those jobs are 13 low wage jobs. So I think if we had the 14 opportunity, we would want to work with the Mayor and talk about how we can support an agenda that 15 both helps more people to become employed but also 16 17 looks very critically at all of the ways that we can either lift the floor on wages or provide 18 supports via tax credits, housing assistance or 19 20 food stamps and other types of benefits that 21 recognize that those wages are likely to remain low for many and that we need to do more to help lift 22 people out of poverty. 23

24 CHAIRMAN VANN: If he needed more 25 advice?

# COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

2 MR. MARK LAVATAN: I would add, you 3 know, two other things to the agenda. Not only what Kristen said but, you know, another piece of 4 it is not just what we're doing for the low-wage 5 6 workers but how the city can impact the evolution of the local economy. And there, I think, we need 7 to redouble our efforts to try to promote 8 industries and occupations that offer, you know, 9 10 what have been called, you know, middle tier jobs. 11 That is jobs that pay a living wage to workers who 12 don't have a Bachelor's degree and who are still 13 going to be a majority of our city's population. 14 You know, people with a high school degree, people with Associate's degree. That really needs to be a 15 focus. And that's going to be a long and hopefully 16 17 well thought out process that will take some patience to bear fruition. But it's vital. 18

19 The second thing and this is not news 20 to anybody in the room, it's certainly not news to 21 the mayor elect, is the issue of affordable 22 housing. When we think about what makes New York a 23 tough place to live, it's the rock of low wages 24 against the hard place of high housing costs. And 25 the mayor elect has spoken to those issues. And,

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 21
2	you know, I think going forward the questions will
3	be, well, where do we find the resources to develop
4	more affordable housing, especially in light of,
5	you know, my own pessimism and probably realism
6	about whatever aid we might or might not get from
7	the federal government going forward. We're going
8	to have to create more home-grown resources for
9	affordable housing.
10	CHAIRMAN VANN: And we've been doing
11	that council member Gentilly [sp?], thank you,
12	thank you brother. How reliant would we be on the
13	federal government in our efforts to deal with this
14	crisis with reduction of poverty? I guess my point
15	I'm trying to make is what within what we have
16	in our city, within the power that we have in our
17	city, are we maximizing what we can do
18	understanding that there obviously is a need for
19	federal resources, for example, whatever, whatever?
20	But are we maximizing what we can do? It's one
21	thing to say, well, you know, the federal
22	government they cut funding to NITRA, you know,
23	they cut funding here and it's true and it has an
24	impact. But on the other hand, we still have a
25	responsibility to look after New York citizens, New
I	

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 22 2 York City citizens. So are we maximizing the power 3 that we have to reduce poverty or are we just relying solely on what we know what to be coming 4 5 from our federal government? MS. KRISTEN MORSE: I think I share 6 7 with Mark the pessimism that those federal 8 resources are likely to remain flat. And so we do have an opportunity and, in fact, an obligation to 9 10 use those resources more effectively along with the very significant resources that New York City 11 12 continues to put into these efforts. Another 13 program that we've done that we're enormously proud 14 of is CUNY ASAP. Obviously an important pathway out of poverty is getting a college degree. 15 CUNY is increasingly looking to its own resources and is 16 17 expanding that program using the money that it gets already from the city and the state. And I think 18 that there are, throughout government, still more 19 20 opportunities to continue to build on what we are 21 learning is effective and make sure that the resources are allocated to the practices and 22 23 programs that have the biggest impact. 2.4 CHAIRMAN VANN: One other question 25 before we go to Brad. Do you feel that you have

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT232protected your measurement of poverty or is there3something else you would do? Is there any new4addition or additions?

5 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, I'm a researcher so it's never it. I would say in broad 6 outlines this is it. I would say that there only 7 is technical details that can be done in a somewhat 8 different way. We're always thinking those things 9 10 over. You know, since we've put out our report a number of research organizations across the country 11 12 have done similar work. We're in constant 13 communication and conversation with those folks. 14 How did you approach this? How did you approach that? What did you do about this technical issue? 15 We learn from each other. And, you know, we should 16 17 continue to incorporate best practice going forward. But I would describe any changes that 18 would be made as technical rather than 19 20 methodological.

21 CHAIRMAN VANN: Obviously, the primary 22 use of your research is for policymakers, if you 23 will, to see if the government can, you know, 24 establish its priorities, where the money goes, so 25 on and so forth to deal with the crisis. Is there 1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT242a value to your research also being made available3to common folks, people -- you know, in the city,4in the street? And if so, what could we do with5it?

6 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, there are three ways they're available. One is our reports. 7 The second thing is we now have online two tools. 8 One is -- we're calling it Table Creator. 9 So 10 anyone can go to the CEO website and click a few 11 buttons and generate poverty rates by neighborhood 12 or education or work experience, you know, using 13 our measure. The third thing we have is something 14 we specialize for research -- for researchers, which are the data files that include the CEO data. 15 So if somebody at the City University or Columbia 16 or whatever wanted to, you know, say, you know, the 17 CEO folks did this, but I kind of want to look at 18 it from a different angle, they could readily take 19 20 our data and use the dataset to explore things 21 that, you know, haven't occurred to or we haven't had time to get to. And, you know, we welcome the 22 use of that. We want -- we want people to use our 23 24 data.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 25
2	CHAIRMAN VANN: All right. Let me
3	yield to the sponsor of this legislation. Brad.
4	MR. BRAD LANDER: Thank you so much,
5	Mr. Chairman. Thank you both for the testimony
6	and, of course, much more for the all the great
7	work behind it. So I want to ask a couple of
8	questions about how you see this being best used
9	going forward. CEO came from a kind of a
10	particular moment in time. It was created with
11	sort of energy that brought people together across
12	agencies and drove some policy innovation and
13	established the research, you know, looking forward
14	to become something different. And, you know, I'm
15	I'll be excited to have this report and as long
16	as I'm in the council I'll work hard to make sure
17	we have a hearing on it every year and we dig down
18	into what we're learning. But, I guess, I'd also
19	like your reflections in some ways a similar
20	question to what Council Member Vann asked.
21	If you could reflect a little on how
22	you see it being used most productively with the
23	agencies so that it doesn't just, you know, kind of
24	go out into the you know, where either from your
25	experiences working with particular agencies where
l	

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT262the research helped something get picked up or3corrected or bring two people together or across4agencies, how do we make sure -- how does the other5-- how does the next administration make sure this6is used in a way that helps drive good policy7decision making.

MS. KRISTEN MORSE: Certainly we think 8 that this work will continue to inform the next 9 10 administration, and we'll be eager to see how they choose to use it. In terms of how we have 11 12 interacted with the agencies to date, I think we can point to a number of different collaborations. 13 14 Certainly the finding that poverty is, in fact, higher among the elderly has led to a lot of really 15 fruitful conversations with the Department for the 16 Aging. And I know there's a hearing next week to 17 talk in particular about poverty among older women. 18 19 We've also worked with HPD and they

have, of course, been very eager to see borne out in this how important and critical continued investments in housing are. Just yesterday several of us had a meeting with the folks from the Mayor's Office of Immigrant Affairs. After Mark's report last year when we saw a real significant increase

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 27 in poverty among immigrants, in particular people 2 3 who weren't naturalized, we started collaborating with MOYA with the Office of Financial Empowerment 4 and subsequently learned that New York is among the 5 worse states in terms of naturalizing citizens. б We've got roughly 700,000 people in the city who 7 8 are eligible to be naturalized and who haven't. And for some, the \$700 naturalization fee really is 9 10 a big burden.

11 And so we developed a pilot program 12 with them. They just presented the data to us 13 yesterday of how it's going so far. Where 14 essentially we have offered to pay and waive that fee resulting in people getting naturalized. 15 So I think that, you know, in every single report there 16 have been real nuances, whether about particular 17 populations or particular communities. And I think 18 really give us all a reason to sit down and roll up 19 our sleeves and think about what we can do about 20 21 it, whether it is sort of very specific, you know, pilot projects around paying fees for a group or, 22 you know, more broader strategies around addressing 23 24 particular community needs.

## COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

28

2 MR. BRAD LANDER: Interesting. You 3 know, your comment about HPD makes me think almost by definition -- you know, that your definition, 4 5 the vast majority of people who would move into subsidized housing, sort of HP -- you know, the 6 kind of housing that HPD and it's, you know, for 7 8 profit and not for profit developers create as well as Section 8 and NYCHA. The vast majority of them 9 10 are probably moving out of poverty. I don't know 11 they're still -- many of them are still low income 12 but using the subsidized housing definition, have 13 you guys looked at all at sort of -- you know, I 14 think of that as an interesting set of people to imagine, those folks who are making that move and 15 what it sets up. There have been some of these 16 studies nationally coming out of the Chicago Moving 17 to Opportunity Experiment. But it strikes me that 18 that would be kind of a fruitful area of 19 20 investigation. I wonder have you talked with them 21 at all about --

22 MR. MARK LAVATAN: I haven't. I mean, 23 I can share with you just some preliminary work 24 we've done that sort of speaks to this. So because 25 it's a natural question flowing out of our work,

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 29
2	sort of the connection how does housing assistance
3	help low wage working families. We've begun to
4	look at families with children who across the
5	family work the equivalent of one full-time, year-
6	around worker. And what one of those people,
7	what proportion of them would just be poor if they
8	were just reliant on their earnings. And it's a
9	pretty large proportion, it's over 20 percent. I'm
10	just don't hold me to that number.
11	And then we said, well, okay, so then
12	how many of them are poor when we count all their
13	other resources? And most of those families are
14	lifted out of poverty when we count those other
15	resources but what's what's the key difference
16	between the families that get lifted out of poverty
17	and don't get lifted out of poverty? And the key
18	difference is their participation in housing
19	programs.
20	So the thing about the HPD, you know,
21	it's not the poorest of the poor. You know, it's
22	people who are probably, you know, close under
23	but close to the poverty threshold so it the
24	housing assistance gets them over that line.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 30
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Interesting.
3	And then that's let's true say for families living
4	in NYCHA because their incomes are lower so their
5	housing assistance keeps them from being extremely
6	poor but lifts fewer of them above the poverty
7	measure?
8	MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, actually, when
9	we look at the people getting means to the housing
10	assistance, the effects on their poverty status is
11	really pretty dramatic. I mean, they still remain
12	a very poor group but, you know, for NYCHA, off the
13	top of my head we're talking like a 20 percentage
14	point difference. And that's because for those
15	folks the subsidies are so deep, right. So when we
16	add that to the value of food stamps and, you know,
17	that's really becoming a big bump for those folks.
18	You know, for people in other housing
19	assistance, the subsidy is not that deep so you
20	have to keep that into
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: What do you
22	measure down from as the market rate so when you
23	measure the value of the housing subsidy into your
24	measure, what's it like what do you use the
25	market rate like what's the
I	

### COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

MR. MARK LAVATAN: Yeah, so what we're 2 3 doing is we're looking at people who we think are not paying market rate. So it would be people own 4 5 their home free and clear and then among renters it would be the people participating in the means б tested programs like Section 8 or public housing 7 8 and then basically all the folks who are in some 9 form of rent regulation. And that could be, you 10 know, in HPD housing because those folks, you know, 11 get brought into the rent regulation system.

12 And so for all those people what we're 13 doing is measuring the difference between what they 14 would be paying for their unit based on its -- the condition of the building, the physical condition 15 of the unit, their neighborhood, against what 16 17 they're actually paying out of pocket for their housing. So that difference gets added to their 18 income but it's capped. It can't exceed the 19 20 housing proportion of the threshold. And the 21 reason for that is that we want to make sure that even if you're getting a lot of implicit income, 22 you know, from Section 8, you still have enough 23 24 other resources to meet your non-housing needs.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 32
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So like for
3	someone living in NYCHA, do they just pretty much
4	automatically go up to the maximum allowed by the
5	formula because you have an FMR there
6	MR. MARK LAVATAN: I would say that 80
7	percent of the time people are reaching the cap.
8	And the reason for calculating their market rate
9	rent was really motivated by our desire not to give
10	people too much implicit income if they were living
11	in substandard housing. I mean, we want to
12	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Right. So what
13	do you do in public I mean, I guess I should go
14	look at the formula, but now I'm really curious how
15	you calculate what the market rent would be for
16	someone living in public housing in New York City.
17	MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, we know what
18	the rents are for market rent housing. So we can -
19	- now we're really getting into the weeds, but I
20	know you're a scholar of these things so I'll
21	entertain you. So we develop a regression model
22	that says looking at market housing what is the
23	expected market rate gross rent for a unit with
24	these characteristics in this kind of building in
25	that kind of neighborhood. And then we apply the

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 33 model to the non-market rate units, and that gives 2 3 us our estimated what the market rate rent would be. 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Interesting. 6 Well, we'll follow up. I can imagine what that looks like for the HPD stock pretty easily. 7 What it looks like for the NYCHA stock, I'm curious. 8 But we'll follow up on that. 9 10 So my last question is just obviously 11 in the mayoral campaign and in whatever. The 12 President talked about it last week in the dialogue 13 in general. The conversation around inequality has 14 really been on people's minds lately. And it's obviously an overlapping but different conversation 15 than the conversation about poverty. You think 16 about it as poverty. You say, all right, what do 17 we do to help this set of people who are poor? 18 19 The President, the mayor elect, a lot 20 of other people have focused on the challenges 21 presented to the economy from inequality specifically, which obviously is, in part, a 22 problem that there are people who are poor and 23 24 can't meet their subsistence needs. But it is also a problem that there's -- you know, at least for 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT those of us who think of it this way, an even 2 3 greater moral problem if you've got an economy that has great wealth and great poverty. 4

5 Have you guys looked at all in your 6 research on the intersections of inequality and the different ways to think about? And those to some 7 8 extent, Kristen, your point about low wage work. But I just wonder if in any of the research that 9 10 you've done or in any of the pilot programs you thought about this particular intersection between 11 12 ways of thinking within the city or more broadly 13 about inequality and what that means for poverty 14 and the poverty measure.

MS. KRISTEN MORSE: Sure. Tt. is 15 16 definitely something that we have thought about a lot. I think in our work to date we have chosen to 17 interact in this issue, mostly by really focusing 18 on economic mobility. So within income inequality 19 20 what can we do to really look at who is poor in 21 this city and what can we do to make it as transitory as possible so that this city is still a 22 place of economic opportunity and mobility and how 23 24 do we make sure that people are moving up that ladder. 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 35
2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So maybe I
3	should have dug in on this a little more because,
4	obviously, one important question within inequality
5	is that, how much mobility is there either for
6	individuals or across generations? So have you
7	done some research on that question, around
8	mobility in New York City and either how it
9	compares historically or how it compares to part
10	to other comparable cities or places?
11	MS. KRISTEN MORSE: There's been some
12	great research done both by Pew [phonetic] and
13	recently by Chetti [phonetic] and some other
14	scholars at Harvard and looking at New York City
15	and New York State. And on the whole New York
16	continues to fare pretty well. This still is a
17	place where there is pretty good economic mobility.
18	And I think, again, the big ticket there and the
19	place where I think we've seen some good recent
20	progress is education.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very
22	much. I really appreciate the work, appreciate the
23	time today. Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the work
24	together on the bill.
25	

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 36
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman and thank you for being here today. I'm
4	curious, as policymakers and as budget negotiators,
5	I think it would be helpful to us if your report
6	identified those programs that you thought were not
7	working or not working well enough and those
8	programs that you thought needed more support. But
9	from what I'm hearing, and correct me if I'm wrong,
10	your report doesn't do that; is that correct?
11	MS. KRISTEN MORSE: So we've got a
12	number of different reports that CEO produces. One
13	is the Annual Poverty Measure Report that Mark and
14	his team put out. And that looks at, again, some
15	of those big major programs like food stamps and
16	the tax credits. In terms of looking at specific
17	programs that either CEO or the city funds, we have
18	a number of other different reports and evaluations
19	that we do each year.
20	We have typically focused mostly on
21	looking at the programs the CEO funds. We have in
22	the last year, and this is certainly something that
23	we have been talking about doing more of, we've
24	begun to look more into putting our critical eye
25	not just on the small pilots that we fund but on

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 37 the different activities that the different city 2 agencies do. And how can we better serve those 3 commissioners to look across their portfolios and 4 5 better understand what's effective. COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Has that 6 analysis changed in light of the new poverty 7 8 measure that you've come up with on the CEO report? MS. KRISTEN MORSE: I think it's really 9 10 been an important input across many years. I think it continues to inform what we do. For example, a 11 12 program that we've recently started is to test what 13 would an expanded EITC for single adults look like. 14 That is absolulty informed by seeing how powerful the EITC has been for families and if we offer 15 similar to single tax filers, would we see a 16 17 similar reduction in poverty and an increase in employment. 18 19 Part of that also comes out of the 20 interest from the young men's initiative and really 21 looking at, frankly, decades of stagnated and falling wages in particular for low-skilled men. 22 So absolutely, I think it continues to form our 23 24 work.

25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 38
2	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay, great.
3	I'm just curious, it says here in our briefing
4	paper I just want to be sure that this is
5	correct. The under the official poverty measure
6	the percentage of the City's population living in
7	extreme poverty in 2011 was 7.9 percent. The CEO
8	poverty measure produced a rate of 5.6 percent.
9	That's accurate? So it's lower on the CEO?
10	MR. MARK LAVATAN: That's right.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: And is there a
12	ration
13	MR. MARK LAVATAN: I can explain why.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Yeah.
15	MR. MARK LAVATAN: We're counting a lot
16	more resources.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I see.
18	MR. MARK LAVATAN: So the official
19	measure is only looking at folk's pre-tax cash and
20	we're accounting for tax credits, food stamps,
21	housing assistance. So we find even though we
22	have a higher threshold, we find a very powerful
23	effect from just a more inclusive definition of
24	income. I mean, just to expand on the point, what
25	our measure does relative to the official measure
I	I

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 39 2 is that it lifts some people up towards the poverty threshold. 3 On the other hand, looking at people 4 above the threshold, it moves some people over here 5 above the threshold down towards the threshold, б which is why we see a much larger group of people 7 8 in that near poor category. And that's being driven by the different way we're measuring income. 9 10 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. So it -11 - I see that. Well, thank you. Thank you for your 12 good work too. Thank you. 13 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN VANN: Are there more people in poverty now than 12 years ago or less? 15 MR. MARK LAVATAN: I'm sorry. I didn't 16 catch the --17 CHAIRMAN VANN: Are there more poor 18 people in New York City now then there were 12 19 20 years ago? 21 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, since the population of the city is growing, that's likely 22 the case, but I don't have any data to really 23 24 confirm that for you. Our poverty measure begins 25

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 40 in 2005, and we only have data from 2005 through 2 3 2011 as frustrating as that is. CHAIRMAN VANN: How do you quantify our 4 impact on poverty in the past 12 years or can you 5 6 quantify? 7 MR. MARK LAVATAN: We can't. We don't have the tool. 8 9 CHAIRMAN VANN: What can we say we've done or not done? 10 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, when you 11 12 say we? 13 CHAIRMAN VANN: As a result of the 14 research, there's got to be some indication that we've made an impact or we haven't made an 15 impact; right? 16 MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, we can look 17 across the city and identify the program areas 18 19 that have a significant impact on folk's poverty status. You know, we are looking at 20 21 poverty from -- you know, as people sometimes says, you know, 30,000 feet. We're not -- this 22 is not a measure that's capable of doing 23 24 detailed program evaluation, that's not its purpose. You know, we can look at poverty 25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 41
2	across demographic groups. We can look at the
3	impact of major programs like food stamps and
4	housing assistance. We can see how the effect
5	of those programs has evolved over time. But
6	we can't use our dataset to directly say, you
7	know, this city program has worked in this way.
8	It's you're asking something of the measure
9	that it's not designed to generate.
10	CHAIRMAN VANN: Does that mean you
11	need additional measures?
12	MR. MARK LAVATAN: Absolutely.
13	CHAIRMAN VANN: If I was a cynic,
14	and I'm not but if I were, and say okay you've
15	got the CEO, you've got this, that and the
16	other and the same level of poverty, as far as
17	we can tell, is still with us so what so
18	what does that all mean? You know what I'm
19	saying? If I was cynic, how do you address the
20	cynics in our city? What do we say to them?
21	MR. MARK LAVATAN: Well, I think you
22	just touched on something very important, which
23	is that this city is what can be described as
24	an income adequacy measure of poverty. We're
25	looking at people's income in a given year

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 42
2	against the poverty threshold and deciding on
3	that comparison whether they're poor or not.
4	There are lots of other ways to understand
5	material depravation in our city. And as I
б	read the legislation it calls for that
7	information being brought into the picture.
8	And, you know, we would welcome that. There is
9	a vast amount of data generated by the city
10	agencies that needs to be brought to the table
11	that can round out our understanding of what's
12	going on.
13	MS. KRISTEN MORSE: And I would add
14	that, you know, it is not fair to say that
15	nothing has changed. In the six years of data
16	that Mark has in the poverty measure, we really
17	do see changes in variation among different
18	populations and certainly the changing impact
19	of the recession. I also think it's not going
20	out on a limb to say poverty is too high. We
21	have not done enough. We would like to see
22	poverty decline and would like this measure
23	over years to show real significant progress.
24	CHAIRMAN VANN: I only have one
25	further inquiry. We've been joined by Council

1COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT432Member Melissa Mark-Viverito.Thank you and3welcome.

Beyond the obvious, the obvious use 4 of your research and other research as it 5 6 effects public policy, you know, and most of 7 that is at a very high and broad level, whether we're dealing -- you know, creating jobs, 8 inequality in wages, rent subsidy, so on and so 9 10 forth, they are big ticket, broad items. We 11 also know and can identify the areas of our 12 city where is the highest rate of poverty. You 13 know, we can go -- by census data we can go to 14 district or city -- whatever level, whatever level we wish to identify. Does it make sense 15 16 then, even as we try and be able to broader 17 factors that impact poverty that there also should be a strategy, perhaps geographic base 18 or however you call it, where the city 19 20 collaborates -- its agencies collaborate and 21 they focus on these areas where we know there is high poverty and do what we can, whether 22 it's daycare or if it's -- you know, whatever 23 24 it is? Does that make sense or do we just rely

25

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 44
2	on the change of the economy and all of that
3	big stuff? What do you think?
4	MS. KRISTEN MORSE: I think we think
5	it makes a lot of sense and we would be eager
6	to support those efforts. I think we've seen
7	some of the impacts that say the Harlem
8	Children's Zone has had and certainly have
9	talked to a lot of community groups and private
10	funders and city agencies and really, I think,
11	collectively have a real growing interest in
12	thinking about how can we be more effective at
13	a community level.
14	I know just from CEO's prospective;
15	we support a number of different programs. All
16	of nearly all of them concentrated in high
17	poverty communities, but how can we better
18	connect those efforts? How can we make sure
19	that we're having the biggest impact that we
20	can have? I think we're interested in
21	continuing to do more of that. Our Jobs Plus
22	program that we have in specific housing
23	authority developments, I think, are an effort
24	to do that. And I think there are ways we can
25	build on that and do that broadly and better.

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 45
2	CHAIRMAN VANN: Council member, I
3	know you do you have any concerns or
4	questions you'd like to raise? Come on there's
5	got to be at least one? Do we have any
6	this is the last time I'm going to see you
7	guys. I better ask everything that comes to
8	mind because I won't see you again. No, not
9	really.
10	Let me take this opportunity to not
11	only thank you for coming today but for the
12	years that we've had discussion around this,
13	you know, critical and everlasting issue of
14	poverty and to commend you on the work that
15	you've done. We've been talking all day about
16	what you've done at the poverty index. That it
17	appears that the federal government has
18	recognized that it is superior to what we've
19	been doing in the past and hopefully they will
20	even adopt that measure. That in and of itself
21	is of great worth, obviously.
22	The fact that you have piloted, if
23	you will, the initiatives and you have analyzed
24	initiatives to say these work or these may work
25	and these don't, I think that's good

1 COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 46 information as well that can be issued to the 2 3 new administration coming in. So I think that though you've done a lot of good things, 4 hopefully they can be built upon as we move 5 into the new administration. 6 7 So whether the new mayor will continue the CEO or not, that is his or her --8 well, his decision. But clearly, the work that 9 10 you've done, at least for an annual report on poverty to come out, I think that's critical. 11 12 So we will continue to advocate that the new 13 administration would at least do that. 14 Having said that and there is no one else to give testimony. Thank you. As I think 15 you I also want to thank Dottie, my staff, who 16 have been very, very focused on this issue. 17 We thank you on your diligence and focus on these 18 19 issues. And I cannot say enough about our 20 comments from Thomas, I guess the speaker 21 staff, but I consider him my staff because he really has been on point. I've got a great 22 combination. So if some of the questions have 23 been kind of tough, don't blame me it's Thomas 24 and Dottie they came up with it. But it's all 25

1

2

3

4

25

## COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

been in the best interest of trying to find what we can do as a city to deal with our problem of poverty.

5 Of course it's not a new problem. There's always been -- because there always has 6 7 been doesn't mean it always has to be. But we also know there is a bias in our society 8 against those who are poor. And finding ways 9 10 to deal with that, I guess, is very deep and yet that is our charge. That is our 11 12 responsibility. And a government that does not 13 deal with how to deal with the poor, as far as 14 I'm concerned, is not a government that is not representing a people. Poor people are people 15 too and they deserve hope and opportunity and a 16 pathway that can lead the out of poverty. And 17 if we do nothing put that -- if we do that, 18 19 that will be critical that we can see that there's a way out. And I'm not sure that's 20 21 possible these days. But, again, with CEO and CEO type activities, perhaps, you know, that 22 day will come and hopefully it'll come soon. 23 24 There being no other business before us, thank you. Enjoy your holidays. And you

47

1	COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 48
2	will not see me again in this capacity, but
3	maybe I'll see you on the streets of New York
4	City.
5	MS. KRISTEN MORSE: I look forward
6	to that. Thank you very much.
7	MR. MARK LAVATAN: Thank you very
8	much.
9	CHAIRMAN VANN: Oh, the bill
10	signing, yeah, I'll try and be there for that.
11	If not Brad Lander will represent. Thank you
12	very much. Enjoy your holidays. Adjourned.
13	[Gavel]
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ll la l

## CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_12/27/2013\_