CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUB COMMITTEE OF ZONING AND FRANCHISE

----- X

December 10, 2013 Start: 10:36 a.m. Recess: 2:13 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

Chairperson MARK S. WEPRIN

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.
Daniel R. Garodnick
Jessica S. Lappin
Vincent M. Ignizio
Eric A. Ulrich
Robert Jackson
Diana Reyna
Joel Rivera
Albert Vann
Ruben Wills

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Young Tom Smith Queens Office of City Planning

David Damannion Shore Homes

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN. GOOD MOTHING. My
name is Mark Weprin, and I'm Chair of the Zoning
and Franchising Sub Committee of the Land Use
Committee. I am joined by the following members of
the Sub Committee: Council Member Robert Jackson,
Council Member Al Vann, Council Member Daniel
Garodnick, Council Member Jessica Lappin and
Council Member Rubin Wills. We also have a few
members of the Land Use Committee who are here as
well as a couple of members who have items in their
district that are on our agenda.

What we're going to do first is there were a number of items that we had hearings on already, which we are ready to vote on. And we're going to vote on those first and then we're going to follow that with the hearing on the Ozone Park Rezoning. So the following items are on the agenda for today and we're going to couple them. 975 Le Pain Quotidien, the café, sidewalk café, the King's Bridge National Ice Center, 964, 965, 966 and 967 and 988, which was the Fulton Houses Project. We are coupling all of those and will be voting on them as one item.

In the meantime, I am going to call on Council Member Fernando Cabrera who represents the King's Bridge Armory where the National Ice Center would be located. Council Member Cabrera.

COUNCIL MEMBER CABRERA: Mr. Chairman, first, I want to thank you for holding a wonderful hearing, and I want to thank the members of the committee who were present and also all of the council members who have been very, very supportive in dealing with the King's Bridge Armory. I'm very excited about this project. I know it's been a long road. It's been a long journey. It's been really about five years in the making, over a year of serious discussion particular to this project. I'm proud to have been the catalyst in bringing the parties together.

During one time we saw -- felt like we were not going to move into a project. But just last year meeting with Commissioner Seth Pinski [phonetic], he -- we had a series of discussions about eliminating all the road blocks. And out of those discussions and dealing with the administration and dealing with President Rubin Diaz, Junior, everybody came on board regarding

this wonderful project. Let me say this is goingto be the largest ice skating complex in the world.

We had some issues that I am very pleased to announce that we were able to resolve regarding traffic. I'm very happy to announce that Key Neck has partnered in being able to allocate some funding, significant funding, to address traffic issues, to address parking issues, to address even youth programs, extra youth programs that are going to now be related to this great project. And it goes without saying all is well in dealing with the King's Bridge Road Business Assistance Program that is going to be administered through the SBS.

All in all, all in all, we were able to make a good project, a great project, a better project that is going to protect the community that is going to enhance economic development. And I want to give a special thanks to those members from the Bronx delegation that stood with me, especially Anna Belle Palma and providing the leadership as dean of our delegation and the other members as well who were there right alongside with me and Speaker Quinn and Mayor Blumberg of course. Roman

Martinez, thank you for all your advice. Deputy
Mayor Wilson and Lynch who were there right from
the beginning, thank you very much. It was a
pleasure working with you. And Gail Benjamin,
thank you for -- I know we go through a lot of this
land use here and you always get a thank you, but I
really mean it. Your wisdom in this council, we
couldn't do without. And Chair Comrie, I'll thank
him later once we get to land use. And I also want
to thank my borough president who stood and always
thought that we could have a better project than a

And now we're going to have 180 jobs, living-wage jobs. Every single one of those jobs is going to have living wage. It's just incredible that we're going to be able to do that and have over a thousand construction jobs and have -- 2,500 young people are going to be provided skating opportunities for free. So, look, and there's much more that I can say. I want to think Key Neck for working together. I know it wasn't easy at times, but this is part of the process and the process just made everything better.

retail mall.

Thank you so much, Mr. Chair. Thank you everyone in this committee. And I'm looking forward to skating, bringing my grandchildren, my children and to maybe playing a little hockey.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I'd like to just call on Council Member Koppell who represents the adjoining district for a brief statement as well. Don't break the mic.

thank you and I'll be brief. I have advocated that the King's Bridge Armory be converted into a high-quality sports training and practice facility for over 20 years. I've advocated this with Borough President Ferrea [phonetic], with Borough President Carian [phonetic], with the current borough president, with a whole bunch of other public officials unsuccessfully until about a year ago when this proposal came up.

I think it's an absolutely extraordinary opportunity for the Bronx and for the city. I represent the district immediately to the north, and I've been very concerned about the vacant armory for two decades. I'm delighted that Council Member Cabrera, who yesterday was opposed

2.4

to this project, has changed his mind. And I'm glad to hear that certain of his concerns had been met.

Let me just say that I think the wisdom of the borough president and others who opposed the retail mall four years ago and where many of us were criticized for that have proven to have been wrong. And that waiting the four years has been worthwhile because of this very extraordinary project. And I want to thank my colleagues for supporting this project, which will make a huge difference in the northwest Bronx, which I've represented in different ways for almost 40 years and in the Bronx as a whole and in the city as a whole. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.

Koppell. So we are now going to vote on these items and then we're going to have the hearing on Ozone Park. So, again, we are coupling 975 Le Pain Quotidien, the King's Bridge Armory, 964, 65, 66 and 67 and Fulton House is 988. So I'm now going to call on Council Roberto Moralia to please call the roll.

COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Chair Weprin.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Aye.

COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Council Member Reyna. Going to pass. Council Member Jackson.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Mr. Chair, may

I be excused to explain my vote?

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Yes, Mr. Jackson, to explain his vote.

First of all, I'm so pleased that an agreement was reached. My understanding that Council Member Cabrera was not opposed to it. That he had some issues and concerns that he needed to have addressed. And, obviously, the parties have reached agreement on those issues and concerns and that's the most important thing that we have here today.

I say to all of us that based on the presentation by the organization that is building this national ice rink, which is the largest in the world, they made a very good impression of me when they presented in front of me. But the bottom line was that I was listening to the issues and concerns of my colleague, Fernando Cabrera, who represents the area. And as we all know in the city council,

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISE 11 have been sent to my office and the final letter that was sent to us today, I will vote in favor of 3 this project. Aye. 4 5 CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Thank you. 6 MR.MARELLA: By vote of six in the 7 affirmative, zero in the negative and zero abstentions, all items are adopted. 8 9 CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Great. And we will 10 be holding the rolls open. We are going to go to a hearing now with a vote to follow. So we need to -11 12 - not to go too far for us on the next item. 13 Okay. Mr. Moralia, call the roll. 14 COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Council Member Wells --15 [Pause] 16 CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: All right. So we're 17 going to move on to the Ozone Park Rezoning, 18 19 preconsidered land use -- what number? It's preconsidered -- all right. Preconsidered 20 21 Application No. C140078ZMQ, Ozone Park Rezoning. 22 And on behalf of the Department of City Planning we have Thomas Smith and John Young. 23

24

Guys, welcome. Make sure to state your name for the record as you do this description in Power Point.

MR. JOHN YOUNG: Good morning, Chair
Weprin, Council Members, ladies and gentleman. My
name is John Young and I'm Director of the Queen's
Office of the Department of City Planning. On
behalf of Planning Director Amanda Burdon
[phonetic], I'm very pleased to be here this
morning to introduce the Department of City
Planning's Capstone Neighborhood Initiative, the
Ozone Park Rezoning.

I'm joined by Tom Smith, the Rezoning
Project Manager, and he's going to present the

details of the proposal to you. This proposal is
the 46th neighborhood rezoning since 2002 that our

office has had the privilege of crafting for

communities across the borough in order to protect
their distinct and cherished characters and insure

more orderly and sustainable growth.

To date zoning changes have been implemented for nearly 6,800 blocks encompassing about 44 percent of Queens. As Tom will describe for you, today's rezoning proposal is a very large

and comprehensive one. It encompasses 530 blocks and nearly 20,000 residential lots and it is proposing nine new zoning districts to reinforce the building patterns and support local shopping streets for this southern part of Queens.

I want to particularly note that we could not have successfully crafted this proposal without feedback from our community advisory committee that was organized with assistance of Council Member Eric Ulrich and the participation of members of community boards nine and ten, dedicated members of area civil groups and cultural groups and representatives of other area officials.

Following the September 9th certification of the proposal, we are gratified to have received very quick and strong support from community boards nine and ten and Queens Borough President Helen Marshall. The City Planning Commission held a special meeting on December 2nd to expedite their review and approval of the rezoning. In that report the Commission carefully examined the concerns raised by property owners regarding the proposed R3X zone in the portion of Ozone Park known as Centerville.

But the Commission concluded that his zoning designation is the most appropriate to reflect the build context and narrow one-way streets that define this area. Tom will note this area when he describes the purposed zoning changes to you, and we hope that you, too, will support this finely tuned rezoning initiative to reinforce the build character and land use patterns of this large and varied and important Queens neighborhood.

You should've received several handouts to accompany Tom's presentation about the Power Point and background on this rezoning. And now Tom will present.

MR. TOM SMITH: Good afternoon. As

John stated, the Ozone Park Rezoning is a

comprehensive plan that would preserve the look of

the neighborhood's residential side streets and

reinforce the neighborhood's major commercial

corridors. The Ozone Park Rezoning covers an area

of approximately 530 blocks and encompasses other

sections of Queen's Community Board Nine as well as

the northern and central sections of Queen's

Community Board Ten. The zoning in this area has

gone generally unchanged since 1961.

The rezoning area is generally bounded by Atlantic Avenue, 101st Avenue, the Van Wick,
Leppard Boulevard, the Belt Parkway and the
Brooklyn border. The major corridors in the
neighborhood are Atlantic Avenue, 101st Avenue,
Liberty Avenue, Rockaway Boulevard and Cross Bay
Boulevard. The elevated A Train runs along Liberty
Avenue with one branch extending to Leppard
Boulevard and a second branch that runs south
serving Aqueduct Racetrack and Racino and the
Rockaways.

Although the bulk of the rezoning area is in the Ozone Park neighborhood it does cover portions of Woodhaven, South Ozone Park as well as smaller neighborhoods of Locust Grove, Centerville, Tudor Village and Liberty Heights.

The rezoning proposal was developed in response to concerns regarding out-of-character development in the area that were expressed to City Planning by local elected officials, the community boards and civic groups during the study that led up to the Woodhaven, Richmond Hill rezoning, which was enacted in 2012. That rezoning area shown here in the orange shaded area.

As previously stated, the zoning in the area has gone largely unchanged since it was put in place in 1961. The rezoning area is dominated by three general resident districts that do not reflect the existing built character or differentiate between shopping streets and residential side streets in the area. In the southern section through here is an R32 general residential district. Through the central section there is an R4 general residential district. And in the northern section is an R5 general residential district. There are also locations with existing C81 districts, M11 and M12 districts and a C42 district located at the intersection of Leppard and Liberty.

While most common building form in the rezoning area is the one and two-family detached house, there are also sizeable areas composed of one and two-family semi-detached and attached residential buildings. Mixed use buildings are also prevalent along the neighborhood's major corridors.

If you go back, you can see on this map all the light yellow shaded area. Those are all

retail and residential above.

one and two-family detached homes. You really see
that that is the most major land use in the area.

And these two photos really illustrate the types of
development we see. There are also the semidetached, the attached row houses and mixed use
buildings along the corridors, the ground floor

Recent development in the area has taken advantage of the looser restriction on use and built form allowed under the existing zoning. The recent out-of-character developments tend to be much larger and denser than their neighbors and are generally not consistent with surrounding yards or building height. In a lot of areas where there are the detached one and two-family homes, we have a lot of attached multi-family homes going in. And even some areas that are strictly one and two-family area, we're getting multi-family, semidetached homes.

After in-depth research and extensive community outreach three objectives were established for the rezoning. They are: to protect neighborhood character and reinforce existing development patterns, to strengthen the

character of neighborhood's commercial corridors and support mixed use developments, and to prevent commercial encroachment onto residential blocks by reducing the depth of commercial overlays and ensuring that land use patterns are consistent with commercial uses.

As a result of the detailed block-by-block analysis, nine contextual zoning districts are proposed for the rezoning area. R3A, R3X, R4A, R41, R4B and R5B contextual zoning districts are proposed to more closely match one and two-family residential building patterns found among Ozone Parks residential blocks. These zoning changes will ensure that the future development will reinforce the surrounding residential contacts.

And these are really the development building types that we matched very closely with the recommendations. Our 5D, our 6B and our 6A districts are proposed for portions of Cross Bay Boulevard, Rockaway Boulevard, 101st Avenue and Liberty Avenue. These proposed districts will provide a moderate increase in development potential where it can support and strengthen an

2 already established mix use area along wider
3 streets near locations with access to mass transit.

along the area's major commercial corridors to support existing retail and service uses and provide future business location opportunities.

The proposal would also update and reduce the death of commercial districts along the major corridors to prevent commercial uses from encroaching onto residential side streets. New overlays are proposed to be established at locations, reflect the location of existing commercial uses and to provide new business location opportunities.

The City Planning Commission held its hearing for the application on November 6th. At the hearing testimony was provided by property owners in the Centerville area outlined here in the Centerville section of Ozone Park who stated that the rezoning from R4 to R3X proposed for the area would be an inappropriate reduction in the development potential in the neighborhood and could preclude future development from occurring on many of the vacant properties in the area.

2.4

The Centerville section is one of the last sections of the rezoning area to be developed. The proposed R3X rezoning most closely reflects the low scale one and two family, detached, auto dependent suburban character of these areas. A significant number of the properties in the Centerville area have remained vacant until because the late 2000s much of this property was owned by the state.

Centerville also has several unique infrastructure constraints, which further support the appropriateness of the proposed R3X zoning.

Such as it has an irregular of short and narrow one-way streets with limited connections to the surrounding street network. There is also a lack of storm water drainage system in the area. There is also a serious on street commuter parking congestion problem near the A Train stop on eastern blocks near the Aqueduct north conduit platform and stop.

The proposed R3X zone addresses these constraints and related concerns raised by CB10 and the area residents by ensuring more expansive yard and planting areas to minimize additional storm

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISE

water runoff. A reduction in the number of possible new curb cuts would result in less displacement of commuter parking onto other blocks in the vicinity. The proposed R3X district overall would allow an amount of new development that could be more readily tolerated by the existing infrastructure limits.

And you can really see the infrastructure issues illustrated -- oh, wrong way -- illustrated in these photos. You can see, even after a small rainfall you get ponding on the streets. You can see how narrow the streets are. The existing one and two-family character buildings that are in the area. And you can really see this is the very typical parking situation near the station. Almost every street parking spot is taken by commuter. And we do have examples of new development in the area that would work under the proposed R3X.

This building is -- may have just been finished under construction and works very well with the R3X. So development is possible. Now, our analysis of the vacant lots in the area concludes that only two out of the 62 privately

1 1	SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONING	AND	FRANCH	TSF

owned vacant lots would not have as of right development potential under the proposed R3X zoning. But these lots are so small and narrow that they would probably lack any development potential under the existing R4 zoning.

Of the 85 vacant properties within the R3X, 23 are still under state ownership. 62 are privately owned. Of these 62 sites, eight are used by adjoining properties as yard space for swimming pools, planted areas, things like that. 52 are privately owned and would be developable under the R3X.

When these properties were sold off by the state, the purchasers tended to buy adjoining lots. These assembled properties could easily be developed under the proposed R3X. And as I said before, only two of the properties, privately owned, would be undevelopable under the R3X.

In conclusion, the 530 block Ozone Park
Rezoning is a comprehensive plan to protect
neighborhood character, strengthen the character of
neighborhood shopping streets and ensure that
commercial zoning matches up with land use
patterns. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: I'm going to call on

Council Member Ulrich and then Council Member Wills

who have statements on this. Council Member

Ulrich.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. OLRICH: I want to than City Planning for their testimony, more importantly for their hard work on this rezoning resolution. Three years in the working and probably 50 years in the making. And a lot of the civic and community groups are very much looking forward to this being enacted into law. They worked so hard on this. Community Board Nine and Ten in particular took particular interest in fine tuning and working with City Planning to make sure that the map that we see before you most currently reflects the desire on the part of the community to see growth in the appropriate area where we up zone in the commercial strips for instance and appropriate development in areas that, for lack of a better term, we decided to down zone, Centerville, Tudor Village, Locust Grove, parts of South Ozone Park and the area just adjacent to Atlantic Avenue there between Rockaway Boulevard.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

really supportive of. The community boards supported, the elected officials supported, the borough president supports it, the civics support it. Unfortunately, not everyone supports it but the stakeholders that really matter, the people from the community, living in the community, doing business in the community, they are the ones who are most in favor of this.

And I want to thank my colleague

Council Member Wills because he was involved very,

very early on making sure that this rezoning

expanded into his district. Because the original

proposal was much smaller in scale and now we have,

as I believe you mentioned, the second largest

rezoning in Queens, 530 blocks. So it's a massive,

massive rezoning and I'm urging my colleagues to

approve it as swiftly as possible. So thank you

very much.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Ulrich. Mr. Wills.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: I, too, want to add to Council Member Ulrich's comments about how great you guys really work with the community. Up

until the redistricting I had a smaller portion of this and you still reached out equally. And after the redistricting I believe I have upwards of 45 percent of the district now.

The zoning for the commercial areas, especially at the intersection of Leppard and Liberty will go a long way to help with the development of some of the commercial areas. It has a great balance, I believe, of residential and commercial and that is one of our more transit rich hubs, so that will have a lot for economic development for this community.

I want to thank Council Member Ulrich for your leadership on this, day or night, rain, snow, whatever it was, you were actually pushing to make sure these meetings were attended and were attended by the proper parties, the stakeholders in our communities. I support this fully. I'm urging all of the members here to vote age on all or yes or whatever your quote would be, but I urge you to vote yes on this.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Wills.

Gentleman, thank you very much for your

presentation. We have someone to testify -- did

L	SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONING	AND	FRANCHISE

you want to say something? Did you want to add something? I'm sorry. Council Member Comrie, the Chair of the Land Use Committee wanted to add something.

MR. COMRIE: I just wanted to echo the comments of Council Member Wells and Ulrich. Due to the excellent work that has been done by the Queen's City Planning staff in order to make sure that this Ozone Park rezoning happened. I personally attended two community meetings where the presentation was given, an opportunity to civic groups, and I noted that Tony -- Tony? Tom, sorry. Did everything to make sure that anyone that was impacted would be aware and had an opportunity for input.

And I just want to thank him and John
Young for everything they did. And I should shot
out Carolyn Rouseman [phonetic] because she's
Carolyn Rouseman and she truly is a great liaison
for the City Planning Office. So with that I would
encourage members to vote aye on all also.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: You're just being nice because you're going to be neighbors. All right. So thank you, gentleman.

2	I'd like to now call up a
3	representative from Shore Homes. I'm having a hard
4	time reading the last name. Is it Dilmane?
5	Dimannion [phonetic]. Okay. Sorry about that. So
6	just pick out whichever mic you want. Make sure to
7	state your name for the record. If you do it, you
8	know, as quickly as possible. I'm not going to put
9	the clock on you but we'll you're the only one
10	testifying.
11	MR. DIMANNION: I'll be brief.
12	CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Go ahead. Just read
13	the statement.
14	MR. DAVID DIMANNION: Good morning,
15	Chairman Weprin, Council Member Ulrich and members
16	of the Committee. My name is David Damannion of
17	Shore Homes. I'm an owner of property in the
18	proposed rezoning area on the east side of
19	Centerville Street between 135th Drive and Bristol
20	Avenue in the Centerville North area of Ozone Park.
21	While I generally agree with the intent
22	of the rezoning in most of the areas of Ozone Park,

I strongly disagree with the rezoning in the blocks

along both sides of Centerville Streets, the area

highlighted in the attached map as Centerville

23

24

2 North and Centerville South. The reasons for my
3 oppositions are as follows:

Number one, we and a lot of other areas along Centerville Street have been patiently waiting for the start of the upcoming sewer project by the City of New York. The lack of sewer is the reason that we have not been able to build on our lot. And to down zone this stretch before the sewer project starts, actually starts, would effectively prevent us from — to build what we intended and will create a tremendous hardship for us.

Number two, one of the goals of the rezoning is to maintain existing neighborhood character of one and two-family detached homes, but contrary to this goal there are numerous semi-attached homes surrounding Centerville Street.

Three, another stated goal of the rezoning is to direct a moderate amount of new residential development to locations near mass transit. Centerville Street is well served by the A Train Station, which is one block away. It is also one or two blocks away from the highway. So I submit to you that the existing R4 zoning along

Centerville Street will serve this goal whereas the proposed R3X rezoning would not. R3X zoning would result in 50 percent less square footage than the exiting R4 zoning in terms of residential construction.

Number four, maintaining the R4 zoning along Centerville Street will attract jobs to the area, provide much needed housing for the younger generation that depend on the subway to commute to work.

And lastly, number five, more than half of the tax laws in the highlighted area around Centerville Street do not meet the R3X minimum lot width, which is 35 feet wide or the minimum lot area, which is 3,325 square feet requirement. In effect, more than half of these lots will be non-conforming in the new R3X and would not be developable as of right. And this includes lots that either are vacant now or have homes or structures on them. So in my opinion, the R3X rezoning would cause the property values on these lots or existing homes to decrease.

So in closing, we urge the city -- I urge the City Council and the Committee to modify

2 the rezoning plan to allow the R4 zoning to remain
3 along Centerville Street. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: Thank you very much, sir. I understand that City Planning, you know, has looked into this and they don't see it as that many lots that are non-compliant currently. And I think part of the purpose is to try to stem the tide of these non-compliant lots, something we've been doing all over the city, just for the record. I mean, that's just where are thinking is on this. But thank you very much, sir.

All right. For the record, those who came in late, I see Council Member Rivera is here, Council Member Ignizio is here. I'm going to first close the public hearing on this item, on this Ozone Park rezoning. We voted earlier on a number of items including King's Bridge National Ice Center, the Fulton Houses and Café, La Pain Quotidien and -- so we have to two votes, I think; right?

So we have one vote, which were the coupled items before and then a second yes vote on the pre-considered approval application of 40078 ZMQ, the Ozone Park Rezoning that we just had a

Garodnick on the preconsidered item.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISE

- 2 COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
- 3 COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Council Member
- 4 | Lappin on the preconsidered item.
- 5 COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye.
- 6 COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Council Member
- 7 Wills on the preconsidered item.
- 8 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLS: Aye.
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER MORALIA: Council Member
- 10 | Ignizio on all items.
- 11 COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye on all
- 12 | items.

- 13 CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: I just want to
- 14 clarify. I may have stated the number on the Ozone
- 15 Park Rezoning on the last call, which is Pre-
- 16 | Considered Application Number C140079 ZMQ. I said
- 17 | eight. It's now -- it's 140079 ZMQ.
- 18 MR. MARELLO: The vote now stands.
- 19 Nine in the affirmative. Zero in the negative and
- 20 | zero abstentions. All items are adopted and
- 21 referred to the full land use committee.
- 22 CHAIRMAN WEPRIN: And we're going to
- 23 | leave the rolls open into the start of the Land Use
- 24 | Committee, which is supposed to start immediately
- 25 after this subcommittee. With that in mind we are

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISE now -- can I adjourn with that? We are now recessed into the Land Use meeting. Thank you.

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.



Date ____12/22/2013_____