CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

----- X

December 5, 2013 Start: 1:46 p.m. Recess: 5:07 p.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room

City Hall

B E F O R E:

STEPHEN T. LEVIN

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens
Peter A. Koo
Charles Barron
Letitia James
Diana Reyna
Mark Weprin

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Chris Gonzalez
Assistant Commissioner
New York City Department of Housing
Preservation and Development

Charles Marcus
Director of Operations
Planning Division
New York City Department of Housing
Preservation and Development

Nadja Ratcliff Director of Homeownership Programs New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Ken Fisher Attorney/Public Policy Consultant Cozen O'Connor Law Firm

Wayne Hamilton Facilities Director Long Island University, Brooklyn, NY

William Kenworthey Architect/Partner Cooper, Robertson and Partners

John Suarez
Director of Athletics
Long Island University, Brooklyn, NY

Justin Gomez Organizer SEIU Local 32BJ

Carlos Galvez
Public Safety
Long Island University, Brooklyn, NY

Kenrick Ou Senior Director for Real Estate Services New York City School Construction Authority

Melanie Meyers Partner Fried Frank Harris Shriver and Jacobson Law Firm

Jack Hammer Director of Brooklyn Planning New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Steven Lenard Team Leader New York City Department of City Planning

Mary Zelig Representative New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Darren Lipman Greenpoint Resident Brooklyn, NY

Jennifer Charles Greenpoint Resident Brooklyn, NY

Elizabeth Long On Behalf of Greenpoint Resident Brooklyn, NY

Kim Masson Greenpoint Resident Brooklyn, NY Aditi Sen Research Analyst Local 32BJ SEIU On Behalf of Samuel Valle Member Local 32BJ SEIU

Jessica Ramos On Behalf of Brian Cardona Member Local 32BJ SEIU

Sandra Guillermo Greenpoint Resident Brooklyn, NY

Andrew Steininger
Vice President for Economic
Development
The Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce
On Behalf of Carlo Scissura
President/CEO
The Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce

Paimaan Lodhi Vice President of Urban Planning Real Estate Board of New York

Alexandra Hanson Policy Director New York State Association for Affordable Housing

Andrew Hollweck Vice President New York Building Congress

Mark Chertok Environmental Lawyer Sive, Paget & Riesel

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [gavel] Good morning. This opens the Planning, Dispositions and Concessions Committee hearing of December the 5th, 2013. I am Council Member Inez Dickens. The chair of this committee, Chair Levin, has a... he opened, but he has a hearing right next door and I will be taking his place momentarily. I want to announce that we have members of the Planning Committee, Council Member Peter Koo of Queens and Council Member Charles Barron of Brooklyn, and we've been joined at this committee hearing by Council Member and Public Advocate-elect Tish James of Brooklyn, but really of the City of New York.

## [Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: We're going to open the hearing on Land Use Item Number 991, 503

Onderdonk Avenue in Brooklyn in Council Member

Reyna's district. We have for testimony Assistant

Deputy Commissioner of HPD, Chris Gonzalez and

Charles Marcus. Thank you.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Good afternoon, Chair and members of the subcommittee.

I am Chris Gonzalez, Associate Commissioner at HPD and I'm...

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [interposing]

Is your mic on?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Is it not? Hello? Hello? Oh, it's on. Should I speak up? Oh, closer, alright. How's that? Good afternoon, members of the subcommittee. Chris Gonzalez, Associate Commissioner at HPD. am joined by Charles Marcus, Director of Operations for HPD's Division of Planning, Marketing and Sustainability. Land Use Item 991 consists of a proposed amendment to a previously approved project located at 503 Onderdonk Avenue, Block 3405, Lot On January 29th, 2003, the council approved the disposition of 503 Onderdonk Avenue. original project required the sponsor to offer all existing tenants two-year leases at their current rents and sell to an income-eligible family that would agree to owner-occupy the building for three years. If the sponsor was unable to sell the building, then with HPD's prior approval they'd have the option to sell the building to a noneligible family or to rent the dwelling units. building contains four mixed use units and currently the two ground floor units are being used

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 7
2	as an ambulance station for the Ridgewood Volunteer
3	Ambulance Corps. The two units on the second floor
4	are vacant. HPD has consented to the continued use
5	of the community facility spaces and ambulance
6	station; therefore, we are before the subcommittee
7	today requesting that the project be amended in
8	order to allow the sponsor to rent the upper units
9	to tenants with annual incomes at or below 165
10	percent of AMI, while keeping the ambulance station
11	in place. Council Member Reyna is supportive of
12	this amendment. We're happy to take any questions.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Do any of my
14	colleagues have any questions? Council Member
15	Barron? Your mic.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Did you say 165
17	percent of the AMI?
18	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: I
19	said up to 165
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: [interposing]
21	Up to.
22	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ:
23	Percent of AMI.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 8
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So some
3	developer can actually go below that if they
4	choose.
5	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: If
6	they choose, yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And I'm
8	supposed to feel real good about that with the
9	[laughter]
10	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: We
11	would encourage them to we would encourage the
12	developer-owner to maintain the to hopefully
13	rent out the units
14	[crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Could you just
16	let
17	[crosstalk]
18	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: At
19	affordable rates.
20	[crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Everybody know
22	what 165 percent of the AMI is?
23	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 165
24	nercent I helieve is

don't have that information.

this specific program, these units are... those are

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 11 the terms of the program and a lot of the... most 3 of our programs, if not all of our programs, are capped out at specific AMIs and those are set, 4 5 usually within state law. 6 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do you... does 7 HPD have the authority to set aside the program that I'm describing? 8 9 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 10 sorry, could... COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Does HPD have 11 12 the authority to set aside a program; to establish 13 a program, which establishes x number of units for 14 low, moderate and middle-income families up to 165 percent of the AMI? 15 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 16 17 Depending on the program. The terms of the program most of our lending authority comes either through 18 19 federal statute or state statutes, so they're 20 capped out based on law, but depending on the 21 finances of the specific project. This one is a 22 small project. It's only four units, but depending

on the financing of the project.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:

Two...

23

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 3 units and the ... [crosstalk] 4 5 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Oh, it's two 6 units. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Two 8 vacant units, right? 9 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: It's two vacant 10 units. ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Yes. 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So what you're 13 saying is that you don't have the legal authority 14 to create a program which says the following: of the units in this building, although it's up to 165 15 percent of AMI, let's say, five percent of those 16 units are for individuals making between... making 17 up to \$40,000 or \$30,000, the next group \$60,000, 18 19 the next group \$80,000 and then up to the maximum of 165 percent of AMI. Do you have the legal 20 21 authority to create such a product? 22 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Well, yeah, absolutely and really what normally happens 23

is it depends on the specific projects... on the

project and the financing of that project so...

24

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 13
2	[crosstalk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So it's
4	project
5	[crosstalk]
6	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: We
7	[crosstalk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: By project.
9	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ:
LO	Right, so if there's so there's the terms of
11	there's the loan authority that we're given and the
L2	terms of that loan authority.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Mm-hm.
L4	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: And
L5	then anything any deeper affordability depends
L6	on really additional subsidy.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I see.
L8	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: So if
L9	you know, if we have that additional subsidy to
20	allocate, then
21	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: [interposing]
22	Mm-hm.
23	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: We'll
24	get lower AMIs. If we don't, then it's really the
25	terms of the

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yeah, it just suggests to me that we should create, going forward, a product such as that and that's a conversation for the next Mayor of the City of New York, but clearly given what you just described, there's no incentive on the developer to offer units at a lower rate. He would probably tried to maximize his return and try to appeal to families who are at the highest end of that spectrum, which is \$144,000 for a family of four. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright,
there's one correction I want to make is that
Onderdonk includes the Ridgewood area. Council
Member Diana Reyna, in whose district this is?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you very much. This particular property was disposed of to a non-profit by the name of Greater Ridgewood Restoration for the purpose of making sure that the Ridgewood Volunteer Ambulance Corps, which is occupying what would be the first floor, to occupy for community space what would be the response for an opportunity to preserve the Volunteer Ambulance Corporation of Ridgewood at 503 Onderdonk Avenue.

income; the maximum would be \$144,000. That was

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 the main issue raised by both Council Member Barron

3 and by Council Member James; the concern of the

4 ∥ area residents. With all due respect, the

5 Commissioner was unable to provide the AMI of the

6 area or the surrounding area.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

seven...

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: This is a primarily homeowner, low rise area. This has less than five units of housing primarily within the area. There is... it's a primarily market rate affordable neighborhood as far as the Ridgewood Queens area is concerned. The subsidies that have been attached to this is the land disposition and this project dates back what would be at least

CHARLES MARCUS: [off mic] 2003 I think it was?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yeah, 10 years to be exact and so the affordable subsidies that are attached to this is what?

22 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ:

There's no subsidies that are attached.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Correct. That's what I thought.

2	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Yeah.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So the land
4	disposition as far as the property is concerned,
5	was to preserve what was the Ridgewood Volunteer
6	Ambulance Corps in a joint venture with the
7	Ridgewood the Greater Ridgewood property owners
8	and the organization in need to preserve the
9	Volunteer Ambulance Corps knowing that there would
10	be cross-subsidizing of having the Volunteer
11	Ambulance Corps occupy those first two floors.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: now a
13	question, Council Member. The ambulance station
14	that's going to be on the first floor, will that be
15	paying rent to the families or is that going to be
16	occupied
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: A nominal rent.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: A nominal
19	rent.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Correct, to help
21	assist with the payment of what would be the issues
22	of utilities.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Who negotiates

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Who negotiates that rent for the ambulance station?

	$\triangle$ T	DI A MINITATO	DISPOSITIONS	7\ 7\ T\	CONTORRORTONIC
SUBCOMMUNITER.	UNIN	PLANNING	DISPOSITIONS	AINII	

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The actual
3	organization, the developer non-profit, Greater
4	Ridgewood Restoration Corps.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: But the rent once it's collected will be given to the homeowner or...

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The non-profit is the homeowner...

[crosstalk]

11 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Yeah, that's 12 what I'm talking about.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And that is collected to support what would be the maintenance of the building.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And the units that the... rental units above will be for community residents with an AMI up to 165.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: 'Kay, I just wanted to be clear, so the first floor will be for the ambulance service.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The first two floors, correct?

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: The 3 first floor and it's two units on the first floor.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Two units above.

5 Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Are there any

other...

## [crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And I'm in...

I'm sorry, Madam Chair. I'm in full support of
this project as far as the two above units, the up
to 165, I want there to be an understanding that
the market in the area; there is no market that
goes up to that particular AMI, but it is a private
market in the area of Ridgewood homeownership
primarily; landlord occupied homeownership that
stabilizes that community and we want to make sure
that we are sensitive to that and that the Greater
Ridgewood Restoration project is committed to both
the affordable housing as well as the community
facility use of the Ridgewood Volunteer Ambulance
Corps.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So therefore
3	then the sale price and/or rents is market driven
4	in essence. Okay, thank you. Are there any other
5	questions from my colleagues? Seeing none, I will
6	close the public hearing on Land Use Item 991 and
7	open the public hearing on Land Use Item 992, 154-
8	11 118th Avenue in Queens in Council Member Wills'
9	district. We have for testimony again, HPD's
10	Assistant Deputy Commissioner Gonzalez and Nadja
11	Ratcliff.
12	NADJA RATCLIFF: Director of
13	Homeownership Programs.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Could you
15	speak into the mic, please? Press the button.
16	[crosstalk]
17	NADJA RATCLIFF: Director of
18	Homeownership Programs.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright, thank
20	you.
21	ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Good
22	afternoon, Chair and members of the subcommittee.
23	I am Chris Gonzalez, Associate Commissioner at HPD
24	and I am joined by Nadja Ratcliff, Director of
25	Homeownershin Drograms Land Hee Item 992 consists

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 21
2	of a proposed UDAPP tax exemption for a property
3	located in Queens at 154-11 118th Avenue. In 2002,
4	the subject property, which is a single-family home
5	had been submitted to the City Council as part of a
6	cluster of homes proposed for sale under the
7	Neighborhood Homes Program. New York State statute
8	regarding UDAPP Applications requires the council
9	act within 150 days of submission. This property
10	was deemed approved once the 150 days elapsed.
11	Subsequently, the city conveyed the property to the
12	sponsor in 2004. Once the rehabilitation was
13	complete, the sponsor sold the property to the
14	current homeowner in 2007. Given that the project
15	was deemed approved, the associated UDAPP tax
16	exemption did not get approved; therefore, we are
17	before the subcommittee today seeking approval of a
18	tax exemption, which will have a term of 10 years.
19	Council Member Wills is supportive of this item.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Do my
21	colleagues have any questions in regards to Land
22	Use Item 992?

[Pause]

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 22 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright, let me ask you, Commissioner, 154-11, that's only the 3 one property that was in a cluster. 4 5 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 6 Right. 7 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So that's the only one that's being removed from the cluster. 8 9 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: Well, 10 the... [crosstalk] 11 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Or is it the 13 whole cluster that's included in the application? 14 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: No, it's the one-family home. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I'm sorry? 16 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: It's 17 the one-family home, so there's a specific 18 19 adjust... [crosstalk] 20 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And so and how 21 22 many use this? Is it one-family, two-family, three-family, four-family? 23 NADJA RATCLIFF: [off mic] It's a 24

25

one...

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aye on both.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye on both.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGAL COUNSEL: Council Member Koo.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOO: Aye on both.

LEGAL COUNSEL: Alright, hang on one Hang on one second. Land Use Item Number second. 992 is approved by a vote of four in the affirmative, zero abstentions and zero negatives and referred to the Full Land Use Committee. Land Use Item 991 is approved by a vote of three in the affirmative, one in the negative and zero abstentions and is likewise approved and referred to the Full Land Use Committee.

## [Pause]

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Council Member Barron, I do need you here for a minute longer. We're going to... I'm closing on the 991, 992 and we're opening... we're staying with... the hearing is open and we're now opening on Land Use Item Number 989, Long Island University in Council Member Tish James' district. Who's here? [Pause] [background voices]

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: This panel will consist of and welcome the Honorable Ken Fisher; Wayne Hamilton. Is that correct? John 1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 | Suarez and William... help me, please. [background

3 | voices] Kimworth? That... before you start your

4 | testimony, would each of you gentlemen, and I will

5 start with the Honorable Ken Fisher if it's

6 | alright, please give your name again.

KEN FISHER: Ken Fisher, Cozen

8 O'Connor, Counsel to Long Island University. I'll

9 ask my colleagues to introduce themselves and then

10 | with your permission, Mr. Kenworthey, who is our

11 | planner, he'll take you through the plan so you

12 know what we're here about and then Mr. Hamilton

13 and Mr. Suarez will tell you it's important to the

14 | university and then I'll talk a little bit about

15 | the process that's led us to this point, and we'll

16 be happy to answer your questions.

17 | WAYNE HAMILTON: Wayne Hamilton,

18 Director of Facilities. All our facilities.

19 WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: William

20 Kenworthey. I'm an architect at Cooper, Robertson

21 | and Partners.

24

JOHN SUAREZ: John Suarez. I'm the

23 Director of Athletics.

WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: So I'll start with

25 | the slides behind you on the T.V.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Please

identify yourself again.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: Sure.

COUNCL MEMBER DICKENS: Each of you,

6 before starting any testimony.

WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: Not a problem. William Kenworthey, a partner at Cooper, Robertson and Partners. The slides are behind me on the T.V. The Long Island University Campus in Brooklyn is bound on the west side by Flatbush Avenue and Fleet Street; on the north side by Willoughby Street; on the east side by Ashland Place and on the south side DeKalb Avenue. The university owns the entirety of the block other than the public place on the west side on Flatbush and the Brooklyn Hospital lot on the bottom right hand corner, owned It's across of Ashland Place. by the hospital. The area of the fields that we're dealing with today are on the northeast corner bounded by Willoughby Street and Ashland Place. university has certain needs to expand the size of the fields based on the dimension needed for regulation Division One fields for play-off use. Today they're forced to play some of the post1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 season games off campus because of the tight

3 dimension of the fields today. Part of the program

4 for the design of the perimeter of the campus is

5 the integration of the fields and heading into a

6 | new field enclosure, creating one identity along

7 | Willoughby Street, maintaining service entrances

8 along Willoughby Street, providing bleacher seating

9 for various types of events that are permanent

10 | along the Ashland Place side and providing a new

11 | public entrance on the Ashland Place side, as well

12 as on the Willoughby Street corridor. Willoughby

13 | Street is the main connector into Downtown

14 Brooklyn. It connects down to Fort Greene Park.

15 Ashland Place is very much a service street that

16 sits across from some of the uses for the Brooklyn

17 | hospital. The idea is to try to create that as a

18 new front door for the Athletics Program. They've

19 got a new facility over there called The Wellness

20 | Center. It's on the southern edge of the athletic

21 | fields, so it's been built within the last few

22 | years. In terms of the project area, we're dealing

23 | with streetscape improvements from Fleet Street on

24 | the northern side all the way along Willoughby

25 | Street to the Ashland corner and then down the

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 entirety of the Ashland Place frontage past The

3 | Wellness Center today. In terms of the

4 dispositionary that we're asking to acquire from

5 the existing wide right-of-ways, we're looking for

6 a 21-foot dimension along the Willoughby Street

7 corridor, which would leave a 15-foot sidewalk.

8 It's an accessibly wide sidewalk today. It was

9 ∥ modified as part of the superblock plan... sorry,

it was modified as part of the superblock plan.

11 Today, there's a 36-foot wide sidewalk in

10

12 existence, so we're going to acquire that land for

13 | the field's expansion, and areas that we don't need

14 | for the field expansion on the western side will be

15 | left as a public access easement. On the Ashland

16 | Place side, we're leaving 14 feet taking... excuse

17 | me, asking for a 14 feet dimension and we're

18 achieving that by taking out the existing six foot

19 | wide painted median in the middle of Ashland Place

20 | and 18 parking spaces that are along the on street

21 | edge of the sidewalk today. Those spaces have been

22 | relocated to DeKalb Avenue already. In terms of

23 the streetscape project, we're proposing

24 | improvements from Fleet Place, again, in a new

25 project called Fleet Plaza, a realignment of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

1

25

2 | curb and we'll show you some more information on

3 that in a second. We are keeping a double width of

4 trees along the western side of Willoughby Street,

5 adding 47 trees along the entirety of the project,

6 many of them occurring on the western side of

7 | Willoughby; a new fence enclosure around the

8 perimeter for the athletic fields that matches the

9 aesthetics of The Wellness Center from Willoughby

10 | Street down to Ashland Place along the area's

11 expansion of the field. Again, I mentioned the

12 | modifications to Ashland Place. We're narrowing

13 the upper portion curb to curb. It'll still allow

14 all the same traffic movements that are there

15 today. We just relocated parking spaces to DeKalb,

16 and two small structures or proposals are part of

17 | this for the baseball bleachers with some

18 concessions and restrooms on Willoughby Street and

19 a small concessions over on Ashland Place near the

20 entry to the fields next to The Wellness Center.

21 | So all this is in support of the renovation of the

22 | fields to create the fields that meet the

23 dimensions required by NCAA Division One standards.

24 | We're installing permanent bleachers along the

Ashland Place frontage as well as new field

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lighting along the entire fields; enhancement of the campus perimeter in terms of the design of the fence to create more continuity with the design of The Wellness Center; improved street lighting. the yellow dots that just flashed on the screen, those are all existing lighting locations. worked with DOT to create a set of lighting standards that work for Willoughby Street that's coordinated with their plans for all of Downtown Brooklyn's Willoughby Street streetscape and as well as the Ashland Place frontage. replacing all of the existing locations with new lighting standards. We're proposing public seating along Fleet Plaza; all the red dots that showed up with Willoughby Street as well as along the wall at Ashland Place. The double allay of trees that I mentioned earlier, keeping the existing mature trees and adding additional trees of the same similar scale and character of those trees; a single line of new street trees along the Willoughby Street eastern edge along the field's expansion and down the Ashland Place edge; climbing vines along the fence line at Ashland Place, and these are the two entries that we're referring to

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 31
2	on Willoughby Street on Ashland Place, where will
3	be the main public entries into the fields.
4	There's also additional viewsheds, and the smaller
5	red arrows on the drawing allow for additional
6	views from the sidewalk into the fields and could
7	be used on major events for additional entries and
8	exits from the field. The red dots that just
9	popped up are your bike rack locations. This is
10	the relocation of the on street parking, which has
11	already moved by DOT onto DeKalb Avenue. Those
12	spaces didn't exist you know, as much as a year or
13	two ago. A new reconfiguration of the existing
14	parking count next to the Fleet Plaza project that
15	I mentioned, there's some existing spaces there
16	today. We're realigning the curb. We're not
17	losing any parking spaces as a part of that
18	improvement. That's my presentation.
19	KEN FISHER: [off mic] Do you have
20	those before and after pictures?
21	WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: I do. I can flip
22	through those if you'd like. Ken has asked that I
23	show a couple more renderings of before and after
24	so

[Pause]

is Ashland Place on the left hand side. You'll see The Wellness Center on the... the image on the left you see The Wellness Center on the left and the existing fence line today, which is the blue cycling fence on the right hand side. It looks like the computer crashed, but you see a rendering of the new fence line, the concessions and the new curb alignment that I refer to in the drawing.

KEN FISHER: [off mic] Council Member,
Ken Fisher. Council Members, Ken Fisher. In the
packages we've given you the full presentation and
that includes before and after pictures, as well as
a lot of the details about the streetscape
improvements. The computer seems to be having
difficulty with the PowerPoint, and rather than
take the time to go through that, it's all in the
materials that you have before you. [off mic]
Introduce yourself, Wayne.

WAYNE HAMILTON: Wayne Hamilton,
Director of Facilities. Over at LIU, we've been
there for a long time and we aren't going anywhere.
We think this project not only would benefit LIU,
but it also will benefit our neighbors with the

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 | number of improvements going on in Downtown

3 | Brooklyn. We think a new streetscape and new

4 | lighting, new song would definitely enhance this on

5 | the neighborhood and it also would keep... the

6 expansion would also give us an opportunity to

allow our neighbors access to the facilities.

8 | Thank you.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN SUAREZ: John Suarez, Director of Athletics at Long Island University, Brooklyn. This is my 17th year here. Those are not familiar, we are a Division One NCAA Program, along with... one of the very few in the city along with St. Johns', Manhattan and Fordham. We play a very competitive schedule against very, very competitive universities all over the country. What started this project was our inability to host championship play-off games because of the size and dimension of our soccer and lacrosse fields. In the past, our teams have had to play on other teams' fields in hopes of winning a championship because our field was not large enough. We have a very unique situation, as five sports teams share one field, so it is a very crucial project for us for the success of our student athletes that this gets done and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gives them the opportunity to succeed further along in the NCAA Championship sports. We obviously look at our field also as a community field and right now the PSAL and the Catholic leagues can also not use our field. We would love to host their soccer championships, but we can't because the field is too short and they won't play on a regulation sized field either. So it not only involves us; it involves much more than just the LIU athletic field. Obviously, being here 17 years, I've seen the rebirth of Downtown Brooklyn and the beautification of the project. This is a crucial project for us, not only that, but also the beautification and the fence that you currently see is from 1951, so in 1951 the Brooklyn Dodgers were still here, so the lights are from 1993. have... the facility is completely outdated and we're well in need of something like this. you.

KEN FISHER: Council Members, Ken

Fisher again. So let me just put a little

perspective on this. What's before you is the

acquisition of a strip of sidewalk on two sides of

the campus. There's no zoning action involved;

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's no air rights involved. The university wants to buy parts of double width sidewalk and they're doing that because right now if the student athletes, mostly women, mostly of color on the soccer team and the lacrosse team are fortunate to make it into a championship tournament, their home games are being played on Long Island and New Jersey or someplace else. For a school like LIU, which stuck it out in the tough times in Downtown Brooklyn; they've been there for 100 years; 12,000 students, being in a Division One League is something that's important, not just for the athletes themselves, but it's a point of pride for the entire university. Some of you may follow the Blackbirds there, their basketball team. project is long overdue. The sound system is a boom box that dates from the '80s. It annoys everybody that goes by. It'll be replaced. The lighting also dates from the `80s. It needs to be updated and replaces. Sometimes buses now park on the sidewalk when teams come to visit. We've made arrangements to address that as well. So from the university's point of view, it's a critical project in terms of updating the athletic fields and sends

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

2 a powerful message to the students that the

3 university cares and has some momentum behind it.

4 | The Downtown Brooklyn community feels the same way.

5 The Chamber of Commerce testified at the City

6 Planning Commission, and not just from the point of

7 | view of Downtown Brooklyn, but one of the elements

8 of this; you may wonder why if we're buying a piece

9 of sidewalk we had to show you trees and benches

10 | and other things. Well, the university has

11 committed to the city to spend \$3.5 million to fix

12 | up the sidewalk. In fact, the public realm

14

13 | improvements will cost more than the athletic field

itself. They're going to be taking an area that's

15 now used for illegal parking and creating a public

16 plaza. They're going to be putting in trees and

17 | benches, the design of which was approved by both

18 | the Community Board and the Public Design

19 | Commission. So they're making a real commitment to

20 | improving the neighborhood and as a result of that,

21 | let me tell you something remarkable that happened

22 | in my experience, and this is not my first

23 | barbeque. When we first launched this project, the

24 | nearest residential neighbors was a co-op across

25 | the street called University Towers. It's actually

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on land that the university sold many years ago, and folks in University Towers were concerned about this because it means change. Was it going to affect their traffic; no negative impacts according to the studies? Were we going to fix up the lights and the sound system, which had bothered people for They had a lot of questions and we got put years? through the wringer at the Community Board. We met with the University Towers Board; we met with residents. We had several public hearings of the Community Board and we told them what our plans were and what our commitments were including to an open dialogue going forward, and guess what happened at the City Planning Commission? University Towers' lawyer stood up and testified in favor of our application and not only that, but there were three or four residents of University Towers who stood up and said, "When I first heard about it, I was worried about it, but now that I actually understand what it is I don't have a problem with it." There was one issue having to do with some construction stuff and what's going to happen during construction and we're completely

committed... and it was addressed by City Planning

1

2 and we're completely committed to address that. We

3 don't think it's going to be an issue. So what you

4 have here is a project that was approved twice by

5 | the Community Board overwhelmingly, once with

6 respect to the urban design elements for the Public

7 Design Commission; once with respect to what is

8 | technically a demapping action. We have a project

9 that was recommended by the Brooklyn Borough

10 | President. In fact in the out years, the Borough

11 | President committed \$500,000. It's the only public

12 money that's involved with the project, at least at

13 the moment, but the Borough President supported the

14 project. It was unanimously approved at the

15 | Planning Commission and we're asking the City

16 | Council to approve the demapping action and the

17 disposition as well. So as somebody who lives not

18 | far from here and is in Downtown Brooklyn on a

19 | frequent basis, this is something that has more

20 positives going for it and to the best of my

21 recollection, there was no testimony at the

22 | Planning Commission about the plan itself and the

23 | application itself that was in any way negative

24 | except with respect to the one concern about a

25 | particular aspect of what might happen during

2 construction, which we've already addressed. So

3 given the strong positive impact that it would have

4 | for the residents nearby, for the Downtown Brooklyn

5 community and its continued momentum, for the

6 university's reputation and for most importantly,

7 for the hundreds of students who have pride in

8 | their student athletes, we would ask you to support

9 this application and we'd be happy to answer any

10 | questions.

11 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Well, I want

12 | to thank all of you for coming in for testimony. I

13 | have a couple of questions before I open it up to

14 my colleagues. How many residents... well, how

15 | many units are in University Towers?

16 KEN FISHER: It's multiple buildings.

17 | I would say there's got to be...

18 | COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [interposing]

19 | Approximately how many units?

20 KEN FISHER: Approximately 1,500.

21 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So...

22 [crosstalk]

23 KEN FISHER: Of which, by the way, the

24 | university... it's... maybe it's 1,000, I'm not

25 | sure but...

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 40
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright,
3	multiple buildings, approximately 1,500 units.
4	KEN FISHER: Yeah, and a number of
5	which house LIU faculty and stuff.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I understand,
7	I understand, and how many did you say came down?
8	How many of those residents of those 1,500 came
9	down and provided testimony?
10	KEN FISHER: I think there were three
11	or four at the Planning Commission. Four is my
12	recollection, as well as University Towers as a co-
13	op engaged a lawyer and the lawyer came and
14	testified on behalf of the Board of Directors in
15	favor.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright and
17	pardon my ignorance, but I need to I wanted to
18	have an understanding. The Long Island Hospital is
19	right across the street, is it not?
20	KEN FISHER: Brooklyn Hospital is
21	across the street.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright and
23	there's a garage on the other side of it?
24	KEN FISHER: Yes.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Alright and
3 what's going to happen with the parking along
4 Ashland Place? There's parking; currently metered
5 parking...

KEN FISHER: [interposing] Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: According to this there's metered parking. Now, tell me what's going to happen with that metered parking for those who don't want to go into the garage or are there for a very short time.

Spaces that were going to be impacted by our plan and in the spring, Community Board raised that issue with us, so we worked... our Traffic Engineer, Philip Habib, worked cooperatively with the Department of Transportation and we identified 18 new spaces that could be created on DeKalb Avenue simply by changing it from no parking areas to areas where parking were allowed. So those new spaces were created over the summer so we could make sure that they did not create new traffic problems. They have worked successfully. There hasn't been a single complaint about them and so

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 42
2	those 18 spaces have already been replaced without
3	concern.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now
5	[crosstalk]
6	KEN FISHER: Their parking regulations
7	are different. The hours may be different, but in
8	terms of number of parking spaces, 18 will be lost;
9	18 have been created.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now, the 18
11	that will be lost, are they in existence today?
12	KEN FISHER: I believe so.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And then what
14	about the 18 that's on DeKalb that you just spoke
15	about?
16	[crosstalk]
17	KEN FISHER: Those are also
18	[crosstalk]
19	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: How many
20	KEN FISHER: [interposing] Those have
21	already been
22	[crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: They've been
24	opened up
25	[crosstalk]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 43
2	KEN FISHER: Right.
3	[crosstalk]
4	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Already.
5	KEN FISHER: Yes so unlike
6	[crosstalk]
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So then
8	then there's 36 opened right now.
9	KEN FISHER: There's 36 open now,
10	but
11	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [interposing]
12	As a result, I understand. As a result there's 36.
13	KEN FISHER: Right.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And so the
15	community has gotten used to 36 spaces now and they
16	are going to be cut back to 18
17	KEN FISHER: [interposing] But
18	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Which is was
19	originally yeah, I mean I got it, I got it.
20	KEN FISHER: And one more thing on
21	that, Council Member, which is that some of those
22	spaces are directly in front of what the university
23	calls its Wellness Center
24	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [interposing]
25	Right.
	1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KEN FISHER: Which is a facility that 3 provides therapeutic services; it's a training facility, but it also provides many services, 4 5 particularly for the elderly; water therapies, a 6 whole variety of programs. One of the things that we identified during the course of the process is that there is no place for Access-A-Ride or any 8 other transportation for the elderly to pull up in 9 10 front of the building because of the parking 11 spaces.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now is this on Ashland that we're talking?

KEN FISHER: Yeah, on Ashland, so even if none of this were going on, I think the university would be asking to have the parking from directly in front of The Wellness Center removed anyway, so in my mind at least I don't think of it as 18 spaces. I think of it at more like 15 or 16 spaces because there probably shouldn't be parking in front of that building...

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: No, as tough as parking is...

[crosstalk]

KEN FISHER: Anyway.

```
1
                                                        45
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
                COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: In Brooklyn, I
     appreciate when there's 36, 34 or 30, but now I
3
     want to get an understanding on the Access-A-Ride.
 4
5
     Is there space currently now for Access-A-Ride to
    pull in to allow for the seniors or those that are
6
    physically challenged? Will that be created under
     this?
8
9
                [crosstalk]
10
                KEN FISHER: Yes.
                COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now, I have...
11
12
                [crosstalk]
13
                KEN FISHER:
                             It's not part of our...
14
                [crosstalk]
                COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:
15
     suggestion...
16
                [crosstalk]
17
                KEN FISHER: Plan... yeah, it's not
18
19
    part of our plan, but we've already had
     conversations with DOT and the answer to that would
20
    be that it's our understanding there will not be
21
22
    parking in front of The Wellness Center.
                COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: But Access-A-
23
    Ride will be allowed.
24
```

KEN FISHER: Absolutely.

-	SUBCOMMITTEE ON FRANKING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now what about
3	on Willoughby? There's metered parking. How many
4	spots are on Willoughby 'cause there's currently
5	metered parking on Willoughby? [background voice]
6	KEN FISHER: We don't know the count,
7	but we're not removing anything.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I'm sorry?

KEN FISHER: I don't know what those numbers are because none of that parking on Willoughby is changing.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So that's going to remain.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS:

KEN FISHER: Correct.

Alright, I'm going to open up questions to my colleagues. Well, to my one colleague that stayed with me, Council Member Tish James.

Mm-hm.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you, Madam Chair. Isn't it true that the wellness program, we've cut back on the number of programs for seniors?

 $\label{eq:KENFISHER:Not to the best of my} % \begin{center} \beg$ 

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 47
2	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: It's my
3	understanding that we've reduced services
4	significantly for the seniors `cause I've heard
5	from a significant number of them in the
6	neighborhood in addition to my
7	[crosstalk]
8	KEN FISHER: Alright, Council Member,
9	if I can respond to that, that is if that's a
10	concern it's not one that's been communicated to
11	us. We toured the building with the chair of the
12	Community Board and the district manager over the
13	summer
14	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: [interposing]
15	Mm-hm.
16	KEN FISHER: And if we can get back to
17	you on that and if it's a question of funding, we
18	would be happy to work with you to secure
19	additional funding for that purpose, but that's not
20	a concern that's been raised to the university to
21	the
22	[crosstalk]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yeah.
24	[crosstalk]

KEN FISHER: Best of my knowledge.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: It was primarily
3	access to the pool that was cut back. I know that
4	for a fact and I know it had to do with funding.
5	Will the public have access to the field?
6	KEN FISHER: I think that's something
7	that's under discussion. The university makes the
8	field available now for a limited number of leagues
9	of different kinds that are not directly related to
10	the university. We've had a lot of discussion
11	about trying to make that more available. There
12	was actually some resistance to that
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: [interposing]
14	Mm-hm.
15	KEN FISHER: From some members. I'm
16	not saying the University Towers Board itself
17	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: [interposing]
18	Mm-hm.
19	KEN FISHER: Felt that way, but there
20	were some members of the University Towers Board
21	that when we met with them they were actually
22	against it, and the same thing; the Community Board
23	did not take a position on. We invited them to
24	give us a recommendation one way or the other.

What will not happen is that the university will

2.4

not just open its gates and have anybody who wants to come in. It would have to be by permit and that's for security reasons. You're going to understand when you're responsible for the lives of young people, that campus security is maintained, but I think it's... there's a willingness on the part of the university and I'm doing all the taking, but we can ask Mr. Hamilton to confirm that, but the university is definitely open to that, it is exploring it and I think that once the field is actually built, they'll have a better idea

of their ability to accommodate outside use.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So in addition to University, there's also Kingsview, which is on Ashland Place; Kingsview, right? It's another development. It's another co-op, which is right across the street from this field as well. You border it. I know you've talked a lot about University, but Kingsview residents are also concerned, and let me just go on to say I recognize that the leagues are winning leagues. I have applauded them; I have awarded them; have honored them and have attended a number of the games, but there still remains to be a number of concerns with

2 regards to this project; this expansion.

3 [background voice] In addition, you mentioned the

4 City Planning hearing. As you know, I testified in

5 opposition, as well as the Assembly Member.

6 Assembly Member Walter Mosley was present and there

7 were a number of members of a union known as 32BJ

8 and they expressed opposition, particularly as it

9 relates to the security officers' employment by the

10 university and issues that they are dealing with,

11 | and of today, the university has opposed any

12 | efforts to address the concerns of those security

13 workers, who are primarily individuals who

14 | represent the working people in the City of New

15 York, who basically want to organize and be

16 recognized and would like to be respected and

17 unfortunately, there's been a lack of disrespect

18 coming from the university towards working people

19 | in the City of New York. And so, although this

20 project is subject to the approval of the City

21 | Council, I believe the City Council really needs to

22 | take a stand with regards to a larger issue and

23 | that is how we treat its workers; how we treat all

workers, but specifically the workers at LIU, and

25 as of today, there have been no discussions...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 51 there have been discussions, but there's no agreement with regards to the conditions of these security men and women and the concerns that they have brought to my attention, the Assembly Member's attention, the Senator's attention, as well as the Congress Member, who represents this district. so, someone's got to take a stand and unfortunately, this project may be caught in the crosshairs of a larger discussion related to the well-being of workers in the City of New York. all know this past election really represented a change. We made a radical left turn and I am part of that change and clearly, if I'm right now in a position to use this as... this project as an example of the vision for the city and the priorities of this City Council going forward. So I want to ask you what is the status of negotiations with security officers at LIU currently?

KEN FISHER: Thank you for asking that question 'cause I want to make sure that the record is clear, so whatever action the council takes on this we all understand exactly what the issues are

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

based on the facts. First of all, I want to say

with respect to the other...

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: [interposing]

Let me just say that there are additional facts.

That's just one fact.

KEN FISHER: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: There were other factors related to the zoning application and this demapping, which directly relate to what we are voting on, but in addition to that, it includes the treatment of workers. Let's be...

[crosstalk]

KEN FISHER: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: If you want to set the record straight.

KEN FISHER: 'Kay, so let's start with this no zoning action. There's a demapping action and a disposition action. Secondly, with respect to the other housing development picture mentioned, I'm not familiar with them, and at no time during the multiple public hearings as the project has gone forward do I believe anybody from that development or anyone else in Downtown Brooklyn testified. With respect to the 32BJ workers, who

testified at the City Planning Commission and I was present for, what I heard very clearly from all of them; what they said was that they wanted to be treated with respect and that they worked hard for the university and many of them spoke favorably about 32BJ, as well they should. I did not hear anybody specifically say at that time that they wanted the project killed, although that may be different today. So let's talk about LIU and unions. LIU's workforce currently includes employees who are represented by seven different unions and I don't believe that you've heard about any labor discord, at least in my memory, with respect to any of them.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Oh, I've heard of it.

## [crosstalk]

KEN FISHER: And the... excuse me. And with respect to those seven unions, one of them is 32BJ, and 32BJ represents the janitorial workforce at Long Island University and that... and they have a collective bargaining agreement and it has been in place for many years. There were 19 security officers who were employed by the university. They

2 are not currently represented by a collective

1

3 bargaining organization. As we may know, under the

4 Federal Labor Relations law, there are two ways

5 | that a union can seek to be recognized as the

6 collective bargaining agent. One is that they can

7  $\parallel$  file a petition and that would... with an NLRB, and

8 that results in a secret ballot election and the

9 outcome of that is up to the workers themselves.

10 The other way that they can do it is by signing

11 cards that they want to be members of the union,

12 | and if a majority of the bargaining unit signs

13 | those cards, they can ask the employer to recognize

14 | them and enter into a collective bargaining

15 | situation and negotiate the first contract. It is

16 my understanding that 32BJ has not presented cards

17 | from a majority of their workers or from any of the

18 workers, for that matter, to the university asking

19 to be recognized. Rather, it's my understanding

20 | that what they have asked the university to do is

21 | to enter into what's known as a neutrality

22 agreement, which means that if they decide to go

23 | talk to the workers, which we expect they would.

24 | If they go to organize the workers, the university

25 | will stand silent during the course of that... of

2 that effort. Now, sometimes employers will do

3 | that; sometimes they'll resist it. Well, where is

4 | LIU in this process? And the answer is that LIU

5 has a new president. She's only been the president

6 for a few months. She's new to the situation.

7 There have been some meetings between the

8 | leadership of LIU and the leadership of 32BJ. We

9 | expect that those meetings will continue because

10 | what the administration has said is we need to

11 | think about this. We want to make sure that we're

12 | comfortable. We have some history with respect to

13 the bargaining unit of janitors. Sometimes that's

14 good; sometimes that's bad. That's the history of

15 collective bargaining. You know, sometimes you

16 have good years and bad years and hopefully over a

17 | period of time, people are able to work out their

18 differences. That's why we have the labor laws,

19 but in this situation, they don't know whether they

20 | are going to stand down and leave it up to the

21 | union to talk to the workers without any comment by

22 | the university or whether they would like to talk

23 | to the workers themselves and tell them what their

24 perspective on that is. They don't even know

25 | exactly what it is that they want to know before

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they can make a decision because the situation is too new and quite frankly, the engagement hasn't progressed to that point. That's a function of the ULURP clock you know, that we are at state without those circumstances having arisen and it might've been different if President Steinberg, who had been there for many years, was still there, but he's not and the new president at this point in time simply isn't prepared to stand mute, so what I can say to you on behalf of the university is that the door to that conversation is opened. The university has been met with the leadership of 32BJ; they are prepared to continue to meet with the leadership of The union has suggested that they speak to 32BJ. other employers about what their experience has That process has started. They just haven't been. come to a conclusion yet, so...

[crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So I... so I...

[crosstalk]

KEN FISHER: That's possible and at the end of the day, that 32BJ will represent the officers, just like they represent the janitorial staff and just like there are six other unions at

the university, but at the moment, the university

is not prepared to say that they're going to stand

4 silent when 32BJ goes to organize those workers.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So clearly the university has not stood silent; in fact, the university has stood in the way of men and women trying to organize, but and notwithstanding the fact that we have a new president, Gale Haynes is responsible for the negotiation and Gale Haynes, who's the provost, has been at LIU for eons and for a number of years. That notwithstanding, the fact is is that that's just one issue related to the objection of this disposition and the fact is is as you clearly... well, you didn't note, but you were surprised to note that there is another development right across the street known as Kingsview and just based on the surprise and the look at the... and the fact that you're making... you're looking at your colleague's faces, you were unaware that there's another development across the street known as Kingsview and they, too, have expressed concerns with respect to this disposition and with respect to this application and...

[crosstalk]

25

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

KEN FISHER: But they haven't expressed in thoughts.

## [crosstalk]

excuse me. It includes... but I am telling you it includes, but is not limited to the following: the fact is is that there are concerns regarding noise from the athletic field; lights coming from the athletic field; lights coming from the athletic field; the lack of parking as a result of demapped city streets; the lack of parking as a result of increased traffic during game days; street closures during the field on game days; in addition to the fact, the absence of a detailed security plan for the field, and those are legitimate concerns that have yet to be addressed and until such time as those issues are addressed, I would urge my colleagues to vote no on this application.

KEN FISHER: So I'm happy to address every one of those right now. With respect to the lighting, we agree it needs to be improved. If the application is approved and the project moves forward, the lighting will be redesigned using new technology that minimizes light pollution. With

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

respect to the sound system, we agree, the sound system is antiquated and is a form of pollution. Even though Mr. Suarez has limited the hours in which it's played, the fact is it's not a great If the application is approved and the system. project moves forward, the sound system will be replaced with... we're in the process of engaging an acoustical engineer, specifically with instructions to design it in such a way to minimize impact on the surrounding area. There is no street closures. That is a... I think it's a misunderstanding that's come from the fact that technically this is a street demapping action because in the ULURP world, sidewalks are considere street, but as a layperson will understand it, there's no closure of the streets. The streets are not closed on game days. Mr. Suarez can confirm that and streets will not be closed on game days. It's nice to think of the prestige of being a Division One team, but this is not you know, Penn State University's football team where thousands of people are coming. The audiences that they get for the student athletes for the lacrosse team or the

soccer team are measured in the hundreds, not

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 60 thousands. With respect to the parking issue, as I previously mentioned, the number of parking spaces directly displaced by the project have already been replaced pursuant to the Community Board's request and has field tested over the summer by DOT. With respect to a security plan, we don't have a specific security plan, but during the course of the public hearings the university committed to taking additional steps to make sure that buses don't park on the sidewalk; that we've identified a place where they can... where visiting teams can park during the games. There'll be additional signage. We've agreed to additional security cameras and the development of a security plan to address all of the relevant issues as the project moves forward, and more than that, we agreed to create a forum through the Community Board for concerns that were expressed before, during and after construction. and we will be happy to reach out to the additional housing development that you mentioned to include them in that project together with other stakeholders in the area. I think I covered every one of your concerns, Council Member.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 If there are others that I missed, I'll be happy to do so.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So the fact that
5 you mentioned all of that today is great, but the

6 reality is I've not seen any of it in writing, and

7 | there has been no meetings with the residents of

8 Kingsview. I would urge you to meet with

9 Kingsview. I represent the interest of my

10 constituents, and until such time as you meet with

11 | them and you satisfy their concerns, I'm not

12 prepared to support this project.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

KEN FISHER: If you can provide with a contact person, we'll contact them today and we'll be happy to meet with them before the Council takes this up and Madam Chair, and control the timing of that. There are two council meetings before the expiration of the ULURP clock. We will be happy to meet with anyone, and as far as commitments in writing being made, everything that I've said to you so far is on the record of both the Community Board, the Borough President's hearing and the City Planning Commission hearing.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Having it on the record is fine. I would like it in writing. If

you could reduce it to writing that would be greatly appreciated.

KEN FISHER: 'Kay.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And Kingsview is right across the street from the complex and there's a guard at their front door and the names of all of the officers are listed on the gate.

KEN FISHER: So if you don't have a phone number to share for us, we'll be happy to go and talk to the security guard about it.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.

Thank you, Council Member James. There's one question that I have. What is the significance of demapping the street if you will not be closing the street and/or it will not impact upon the parking?

KEN FISHER: Council Member, I'm glad you asked that 'cause this was something that was of concern to some of the community members also. Technically speaking, from a regulatory point of view, sidewalks are streets. We think of a sidewalk as something that we walk on and streets are something where vehicles go, but for this purpose, for purpose of the city map, sidewalks and streets are treated the same. So order for the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

city to sell us a piece of sidewalk, they are going to demap it, so it won't technically be street again or street anymore on the city map and then once it's not technically street, then it can be sold to us, but the lane widths from curb to curb for where the vehicles go will be approximately the same and more important than that, the entire lengths of the sidewalks on Ashland and Willoughby that are not being used by the university, and I'm actually glad you asked me this, everything that we're not using for that field expansion on the corner, the rest of the two blocks, we're buying it anyway, we're fixing it up and it will be subject to a public access easement, which means it will continue to be sidewalk, so you won't know. came and you walked on that block, you won't know whether the city owns the sidewalk or LIU owns the sidewalk. All you'll know is it looks better than it does today and it'll be completely open to the public and that is something that is recorded against the property in perpetuity, so there... I want to be absolutely clear about this. are closed today on game days; no streets are going

to be closed on games days for our best

2 professional judgment and no street is being closed

3 to the public as a result of this action.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Now a question. Once it's demapped, then the street or the sidewalk or whatever will then be owned by the university and no longer by the city.

KEN FISHER: Correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Wait, I'm not finished.

KEN FISHER: Alright.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Which means then that any time in the future if Long Island University decided to close the street, that could be effectuated without having to return to the City Council.

KEN FISHER: No, it could not.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: It could not.

KEN FISHER: It could not because an easement; a restriction was being recorded against the property in the deed. The deed is going to say that forever the public has the right to use that sidewalk just the way they do today.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Is the restrictive or easement going to be in perpetuity

 $\parallel$  or is it going to be... do you have a certain

3 number of years 'cause some have a certain number

4 of years?

KEN FISHER: It's going to be in perpetuity and it's going to run in the land so if someday LIU isn't LIU anymore, whoever owns that piece of sidewalk will have to keep the same commitments that LIU has made as a matter of law.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.

11 | Council Member James?

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yes, Madam

Chair, thank you for allowing me some discretion.

Will Fleet Street be demapped?

KEN FISHER: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Will Fleet
Street... will you allow for parking on Fleet
Street?

KEN FISHER: We don't control the...

we're not acquiring any part of Fleet Street.

Fleet Street is not being demapped. Parking

regulations will be determined by the Department Of

Transportation. The only thing... impact on

parking on Fleet Street is right now you have an

unregulated free-for-all. Mr. Kenworthey and I and

[crosstalk]

```
1
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
                                                        67
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: To happen.
3
                [crosstalk]
                KEN FISHER: Well, I can't... I can't
 4
5
     speak...
                [crosstalk]
6
7
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: But can I
    finish, please?
8
9
                [crosstalk]
                KEN FISHER: For whether ...
10
                [crosstalk]
11
12
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Can I...
13
                [crosstalk]
14
                KEN FISHER: The City of New York...
                [crosstalk]
15
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Excuse me.
16
                [crosstalk]
17
                KEN FISHER: Allows illegal parking.
18
19
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Excuse me, can I
    finish?
20
                KEN FISHER: I'm sorry, I thought you
21
    had.
22
                COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you.
23
     it was a pause. So the fact is is that although
24
    Fleet Street is illegal, the fact is is that
25
```

residents from both University and Kingsview have used that for years and it has been allowed and people have not been ticketed; not withstanding your point that it is illegal, they will losing at least 10 spaces in and around Fleet Street and we are turning it into a pedestrian plaza, correct?

KEN FISHER: I'm not sure about the count, but if you're asking me whether people who are illegally appropriating public space will be displaced from having to be able to park for free illegally so that we can create a public parking... a public seating area that's maintained by the university, yes, those people who shouldn't be parking there in the first place and are getting away with something that they don't deserve are going to have to go someplace else.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Parking that has been allowed for at least 50 years, which you describe as being illegal, but the point is is that it's been grandfathered and recognized by DOT and most individuals who park there have not been ticketed, including myself. The point is is that parking spaces will be lost for another pedestrian plaza and that is undeniable but...

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 69
2	[crosstalk]
3	KEN FISHER: I think you'd have to
4	refer to the
5	[crosstalk]
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Because you call
7	it
8	[crosstalk]
9	KEN FISHER: Corporation Council as to
10	whether they would consider it
11	[crosstalk]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Just because
13	you
14	[crosstalk]
15	KEN FISHER: Grandfathered in.
16	[crosstalk]
17	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Call it
18	illegal
19	[crosstalk]
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Please wait,
21	wait, wait. Both cannot talk at the same time.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Just because
23	you
24	[crosstalk]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 70
2	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: So Council
3	Member?
4	[crosstalk]
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Call it legal
6	today
7	[crosstalk]
8	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Council
9	Member?
10	[crosstalk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: The fact is is
12	that's it's been allowed to exist for the last 50
13	some odd years and it's been recognized as such,
14	even by parking inspectors in the City of New York.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Council
16	Member, when you speak
17	[crosstalk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: The point is is
19	that
20	[crosstalk]
21	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I'd like
22	Council Member, when you speak I'm going to ask him
23	to give you respect.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you. My
25	point is

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 71
2	[crosstalk]
3	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And vice
4	versa.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Madam Chair, my
6	last point is that we will lose additional parking
7	in addition to the 18 that you identified, and that
8	is why I still remain opposed to this project.
9	Thank you.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you so
11	much and I want to thank this panel that's in
12	support, Ken Fisher and Wayne Hamilton, William
13	pronounce your last name again.
14	WILLIAM KENWORTHEY: Kenworthey.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Ken alright
16	and John Suarez, I want to thank you for your
17	testimony. Thank you for coming and it will be
18	taken under consideration. The next panel in
19	opposition 'cause we alternate in all fairness.
20	Carlos Galvez. Is Carlos here? Carlos and Justin
21	Gomez.
22	[Pause]
23	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: seeing that
24	there are no others and are there anyone else

is there anyone else here to testify either in

- 2 support or against the LIU, the Long Island
- 3 University plan as proposed here? Alright, seeing
- 4 | none, this will... [background voice] Seeing no
- 5 others, this will be the final panel on this.
- 6 Please give us your name and your affiliation.
- 7 JUSTIN GOMEZ: My name is Justin Gomez
- 8 and I work for Local 32BJ, SEIU.
- 9 CARLOS GALVEZ: My name is Carlos
- 10 | Galvez and I represent the Public Safety Offices at
- 11 | LIU.
- 12 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Please, who
- 13 | wants to go first?
- JUSTIN GOMEZ: [off mic] Why don't you
- 15 | read the statement?
- 16 | CARLOS GALVEZ: I've been working for
- 17 | LIU for 23 years and for the last two years we've
- 18 | been organizing to...
- 19 | COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: [interposing]
- 20 | I'm going to ask you to pull it closer to you.
- 21 | CARLOS GALVEZ: Yes.
- 22 COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And speak a
- 23 | little louder.
- 24 CARLOS GALVEZ: Yes, as I said, that
- 25 I've been working for LIU for 23 years, and for the

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

last two years we've been organizing the union and we have very little response from the We have 46 workers. administration. Three are on disability and three just resigned, so we used to have 52 workers. For the last five years, we have not received any raise. We had a freeze on our contract. Just this year, we received a two percent raise because the university recognized that the workers were not unionized and deserved a raise and for the last two years they've been getting a two percent raise, so we just received that two percent for the last year and for this year. Our main issue is the health insurance because for the last two years we have been participating in the health insurance that the university offers to us and it cost them a lot of money and we have to consider that myself I paid \$422.00 a month plus the co-payments; like it will add up to \$600.00 a month and a lot of officers don't have that luxury to pay for that health insurance, so they decided not to have health insurance. Right now we have three officers on disability, one officer is dying of cancer and he

doesn't have health insurance. To me, it has cost

me to file for bankruptcy and I'm not the only one. We have a lot of officers doing the same thing for the last three years. I represent the officers at LIU and we believe that before LIU is allowed to expand their field, they need to fix their problems at home first. They have spent a lot of money for this project and right now, we have to cover for those officers that are not working and every time we have to stay and work a double shift, we don't get paid overtime. We get an extra day off plus they have spent a lot of money on this and we consider that it's not fair to us. We've been rated number one for the safest campus in the city and number three nationally. We owe our careers to the security of LIU, Brooklyn campus. I thank this

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you so much, Mr. Galvez, for your testimony and please, give your name again.

panel and also Miss Jones for her support to the

public safety at LIU. Thank you.

JUSTIN GOMEZ: Yes, my name is Justin

Gomez and I work with Local 32BJ and I just want to
say that for the record, it takes a lot for the

officers to come here and speak. It's a very

```
75
SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
difficult process for them. There's been a lot of
intimidation during this campaign, so I am actually
just answering to some of the things that Mr.
Fisher brought up, just in response. So there were
a couple of points of misinformation and I just
want to clarify it for the record. So this
campaign has been going on for two years. For the
record, we... our union cannot do an election
process, so that option is not open to our union.
We've announced this to Long Island University;
they understand that. The lawyer is aware of that,
so it's just important that that's cleared up.
Kingsbridge actually did give testimony at the
Brooklyn Borough hearing. I know that because I've
been in communications with Kingsbridge, and so if
Mr. Fisher would like some contacts for people at
Kingsbridge, I can provide... Kingview, I
apologize, Kingsview, I can provide contacts for
that. He mentioned that LIU has not had the time
to make a decision. As I said, this is a two-year
            We've been talking to them for about
campaign.
                 We've given them all the
two months now.
information, all copies of other contacts for other
```

schools that have the same situation.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
76
```

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS called all of them; they still haven't returned our calls. Just to clarify, I'm in really close communication with the other unions at Long Island University and I don't think it's quite as good as perhaps described. Back in 2009, the teachers went on strike. They ended up getting a pretty bad contract out of that and it was a nasty fight, and I can tell you they're still pretty unhappy. There's been a three-year contract fight with Local 153 of OPIU. They still haven't settled that for three years and LIU is having... they're not being... they're not negotiating in a good way, and they just finished a four-year fight with the Engineers Local; again, four years after their contract expired, they were still discussing it. And for our own cleaning contract, it went a year and a half past the expiration of the contract. LIU was being difficult in their negotiations and it took an extra year and a half. So they don't really have a great union history and I'm in close contact with all the unions there. It's important that you note that there's not 19 security officers. There's actually 52 positions at LIU, currently filled by 46 officers, which means that a

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1	SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	PLANNING	DISPOSITIONS	AND	CONCESSIONS

lot of them are overworked and overtaxed, and the two percent raise that Carlos mentioned, it's true they hadn't had a raise in five years. LIU gave a two percent raise the day before we met with the Brooklyn Borough President about this hearing at his... when he had the hearing at his office and I don't believe that that was a coincidence. I believe that that happened to fall on that exact day for very specific reasons since it had been five years since LIU had not given a raise and so I don't believe that there's a coincidence behind...

I believe if there is coincidence, I believe that

I believe if there is coincidence, I believe that they were trying to quiet the officers from speaking up, and that's what I wanted to say for the record to clarify some of the misinformation I felt was given.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: I want to thank both of you for coming down to give testimony. It's always good. This is the people's house.

JUSTIN GOMEZ: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And it's good when the workers come in to provide testimony and

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 7
2	input on the decisions that are made in the
3	people's house, so I want to thank you and
4	[crosstalk]
5	JUSTIN GOMEZ: Thank you for all your
6	time. I appreciate it.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you.
8	CARLOS GOMEZ: Thank you.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Thank you to
10	both of you. I'm going to close now the public
11	hearing on Land Use Item 989 and
12	[Pause]
13	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: And then I'm
14	going to open, but I want to take a few minutes
15	before I do, on Land Use Item 971, the Greenpoint
16	Landing. [background voice] 971 to 974 and 990 are
17	the actual Greenpoint Landing Numbers; Land Use
18	Numbers. So we're going to take a break for about
19	six minutes. Thank you.
20	[Pause]
21	[background voices]
22	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, resuming.
23	[background voice] Okay, we had a break over. I
24	have replaced Council Member Dickens to chair the
25	committee. I want to wish everybody a good

2 afternoon. Today, we are here for an item in my

3 own district, in District 33, the Greenpoint

4 | Landing project. In total, this project

5 encompasses approximately 20 acres along the North

6 Brooklyn Waterfront, most of which is privately

7 owned. The action before the subcommittee today

8 | includes both disposition and designation of 16

9 DuPont Street, Lot Number 6 or the quote unquote

10 | "Sludge Tank" and 219 West Street, Lot 32 as an

11 Urban Development Action area. These proposed

12 | actions would facilitate the construction of a 640

13 seat school, 431 units of affordable housing and

14 approximately 3.3 acres of open space. I just want

15 to make sure that I'm being very clear here for

16 members of the community that have raised concerns

17 | about this project... this UDDAP action today. A

18 disapproval of these actions before us today would

19 | not prevent the Greenpoint Landing project from

20 going forward, so the Greenpoint Landing project,

21 | which includes approximately 10 towers that would

22 | rise between 30 and 40 stories on the East River

23 | Waterfront were made possible by the 2005 rezoning,

24 | and while I have my issues with that rezoning, that

25 | rezoning is not on the table today and it cannot be

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

undone today. That being said, the actions that this subcommittee is considering today are very complicated and I do have a number of questions about this project. It is a project that will transform the Greenpoint community. Greenpoint is, as many of you are aware and as I am aware because I live, an already overburdened community. We have transportation issues, environmental issues and infrastructure issues. In fact, as we were hearing next door, you've heard quite a bit about that already. Additionally, our community is facing increased pressures from the influx of new luxury housing development and there's not a day that goes by where a senior citizen or a long-time resident doesn't tell me or my staff that they are facing rising rents or in worst cases eviction by a landlord looking to capitalize on the growing popularity of the neighborhood. Rents, if you follow, in the neighborhood have increased at a staggering pace, and are threatening the very stability of the neighborhood as it has been, and many people who have worked and sought to stabilize the neighborhood over the years have fought to stay

there. We clearly need more affordable housing,

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

but we need to make sure that the development of affordable housing is done responsibly and not at the expense of other aspects of the community fabric. And so with that, I look forward to an informative hearing today that allows us to have a real dialogue, an honest dialogue about this project and some of the larger issues that are facing the neighborhood of Greenpoint, and with that... [background voice] Okay, we have three... [background voice] Oh, excuse me? Five, excuse me, five actions that we are contemplating today. are Land Use Number 971; that's Application Number C 1400019 HAK, Greenpoint Landing; related Applications 972, N 140028 ZRK; Land Use Number 973, Application N 140022 ZAK; Land Use Application Number 974, Application N 140020 ZAK and Land Use Number 990, Application Number 20145125 SEK, which is the 640 seat primary intermediate school for related application, testifying on this item this afternoon, Chris Gonzalez of HPD; Jack Hammer of HPD; Melanie Meyers of Fried Frank representing Greenpoint Landing Associates; Steven Lenard of

25 | Construction Authority.

City Planning and Kenrick Ou of the School

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER GONZALEZ: 3 afternoon, Chair Levin and members of the subcommittee. I am Chris Gonzalez, Associate 4 Commissioner of the Department of Housing 5 Preservation and Development and I am joined by 6 Jack Hammer, Director of Brooklyn Planning at HPD; 8 Steven Lenard, Team Leader at Department of City Planning's Brooklyn office; Melanie Meyers of the 9 10 law firm Fried Frank Harris Harris Shriver and 11 Jacobson representing Greenpoint Landing 12 Associates. We appear before the Planning, 13 Disposition and Concessions Committee to offer 14 testimony in support of the ULURP Applications for Land Use Item Numbers 971 through 974 and 990, also 15 known as Greenpoint Landing. The Application is 16 17 for a series of Land Use Approvals related to development of several parcels of land in the 18 northwest corner of Greenpoint, Brooklyn in 19 20 accordance with the 2005 Greenpoint-Williamsburg 21 points of agreement, the points of agreement developed by the City Council in connection with 22 the 2005 Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning. 23 24 project area is comprised of city-owned parcels located at Block 2472, Lot 32 and Block 2494, Lot 6 25

1

24

2 and nearby privately owned parcels. The proposed

3 | actions will facilitate the construction of 431

4 units of affordable housing on three privately

5 owned parcels, the construction of an approximately

6 | 120,000 square foot Pre-K to eighth grade public

7 school on a private site, as well as publicly

8 accessible Waterfront open space. Development of

9 the city-owned parcels would proceed in accordance

10 | with zoning. To enable the proposed project, the

11 | city would convey the city-owned parcels and

12 development rights associated with adjacent city-

13 owned land to the private owner, Greenpoint Landing

14 Associates, in three phases. As a condition of

15 | each closing, Greenpoint Landing Associates will

16 develop affordable housing on privately owned

17 parcels. Overall, the city property and associated

18 development rights would generate approximately

19 | 589,000 square feet of development rights or

20 | approximately 693 dwelling units, of which 431

21 | would be affordable to households earning from 40

22 | to 120 percent of AMI. The city would retain

23 | ownership of the remainder of Lot 32, for which the

developer will donate \$2.5 million to the New York

25 | City Department of Parks and Recreation. These

funds will facilitate the incorporation of an additional approximate 1.3 acres from the northern portion of the lot into the existing Newtown Barge Park.

Land Use Item Number 971 consists of HPD's UDDAP proposal for the disposition of city-owned parcels and associated development rights allowing for the construction of one seven-story building and two six to 16-story buildings that collectively would provide 431 dwelling units of affordable housing that reflect the 2005 points of agreement. The 431 units of affordable housing are a key component of the points of agreement.

Land Use Item Number 972 consists of zoning text amendments that would apply to the properties described above. The amendments would facilitate the disposition and development of cityowned land and development rights and the provision of a private site to the SCA for construction of a proposed 640 seat public school.

Land Use Items 973 and 974 consist of zoning authorizations to modify certain zoning requirements related to Waterfront public access to enable the proposed development to be more

2 resilient to future flood events and to accommodate
3 a high quality design for required public open

4 | space along the water's edge.

We thank you for this opportunity to testify in support of these actions. Kenrick Ou, Director of Real Estate at the School Construction Authority will provide separate testimony on Land Use Item 990 with details about the proposed public school.

KENRICK OU: Good afternoon,

Chairperson Levin. My name is Kenrick Ou and I'm

Senior Director for Real Estate Services for the

New York City School Construction Authority. I am

here to speak on Land Use Item Number 990 at

today's hearing. The New York City School

Construction Authority has undertaken its site

selection process for a new public school facility

on a site consisting of a portion of Lot 1 on Block

2494 in the borough of Brooklyn. The site is

located at the southwest corner of Franklin Street

and DuPont Street in the Greenpoint section of

Brooklyn within Brooklyn Community District Number

1 and Community School District Number 14. The

proposed site consists of a total of approximately

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

20,000 square feet of land, currently containing a vacant one-story building and paved area. site is owned by the developer of a large earnage one and Greenpoint Landing Development. Under the proposed plan, the SCA would enter into a long-term ground lease with the developer and would construct a new approximately 640 seat public school facility on the site. The SCA is in the process of negotiating the ground lease with the developer, but execution of the ground lease and the construction of the school will be contingent upon final approval of the site by the Mayor and Council, consistent with the requirements of the public authority's law. The notice of filing for the site plan published in the New York Post and City Record on August 1st, 2013. Brooklyn Community Board Number 1 was notified of the site plan on August 1st, 2013 and was asked to hold a public hearing on the site plan. The Community Board held its public hearing on August 13th, 2013 and subsequently submitted written comments recommending that the proposed school facility be used for a District 14 school, and not a Charter

School and that the programming of that school be

developed with School District 14 and be based on the latest information regarding projected needs in the area. The City Planning Commission was also notified of the site plan on August 1st, 2013 and it recommended in favor of the proposed site. The SCA has considered all comments received on the proposed site plan and affirms the site plan pursuant to Section 1731 of the Public's Authority's Law. In accordance with Section 1732 of the Public Authority's Law, the SCA has submitted the site plan to the Mayor and City Council on December 3rd, 2013, and we look forward to your subcommittee's favorable consideration of

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very much, Mr. Ou and Mr. Gonzalez. I want to start actually with discussion just about the school, if you wouldn't mind. I guess first off, one concern that I've been hearing a lot from the community is concern about environmental impacts in the neighborhood pre-existing. We have a myriad of environmental hazards going back many years due to an industrial pass in the neighborhood where you have state superfund sites, brownfields, a federal

this proposal. Thank you.

2 superfund site just a few blocks away, the largest

3 | terrestrial oil spill, which is in the history of

4 | the United States, and now that's you know maybe a

5 mile away, but also along the Newtown Creek. I

6 wanted to ask in terms of where the school is going

7 | to be located, have you done borings there to

8 determine whether or not there's any environmental

9 | issues and if there are or if there's potential for

10 environmental issues, if SCA has a plan for how to

11 address that because I've heard from people of the

12 community who are going to be the ones that we'd be

13 asking to send their children there on a daily

14 | basis and they have concerns; unanswered questions

15 about it, so if you could speak to that a little

16 | bit.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

KENRICK OU: Yeah, so I think the first response is that the city through the Department of City Planning certainly did undertake an environmental review associated with the 2005 Greenpoint-Williamsberg rezoning and I believe others on the panel probably can speak to the recent updates associated with this broader view of

undertaken in the context of City Planning's

environmental conditions in addition to what was

2 | review, the SCA did, in fact, on its own undertake

3 phase one investigation and a phase two

4 | investigation of the site. The phase two

5 | investigation did include actual testing of the

6 site to determine site conditions. We are aware of

7 the concerns that we have heard both in the past

8 and also more recently regarding the environmental

9 concerns of the area, and as part of the proposed

10 | school's design and construction, it would be

11 designed to include an active sub-slab

12 depressurization system and a soil vapor barrier to

13 prevent the potential migration of petroleum

14 associated or other organic vapors into the

15 | building, while as I understand it is an ongoing

16 | investigation and I believe there is a state

17 | superfund program underway on the nearby side as

18 | well.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So there... the results of sampling has shown that there is some petroleum or other organic compounds or...

KENRICK OU: I think... I don't have the results right in front of me, but I can tell you that the investigation ended up recommending both... and I don't believe it was because of on-

2.4

site conditions as much as it was the concern about the potential context in future migration that this sub-slab depressurization system and vapor barrier be installed and I would also just contextualize that by saying that the sub-slab depressurization system and vapor barrier are engineering controls that the SCA has used not infrequently across the city to address concerns that exist in the moment, but also to provide protection across time for the occupants and users of the school building.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: If we can continue to work together around those issues as those issues come up to me, I would like to be able to share them with SCA and Greenpoint Landing and have ongoing dialogue about that because when residents come to me about it, you know it's obviously a source of concern. But with regard to the school... the programming of the school, can you describe a little bit about what SCA has in mind? There's you know, a need for seats, but with the... you know, with development that is as-of-right from the 2005 rezoning and obviously there's a projected need that far exceeds that. Can you speak a little bit about whether it's going to be... and I think,

2  $\parallel$  isn't it; it's supposed to be now a K to Eight

3 school; whether that's set in stone; whether

4 | there's additional measures that we can take here

5 to guarantee that or how we want to advance that

6 discussion.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KENRICK OU: So the... what we are proposing right now is to construct on the site a school building that could accommodate 640 students and would have the instructional features necessary to support instruction for students from Pre-Kindergarten up through grade eight, so and that includes for example, toilets that are proximate to the classrooms for the youngest children, as well as signs, instructional facilities and gymnasium facilities that are appropriate for use by older students. This is a policy on the part of the Department of Education and the SCA that when possible, and we have these school buildings that are designed to serve that full range of instructional needs because across time, as needs may change, we found that it's really most costeffective to bake in at the beginning these facilities. That speaks to the building itself. The actual use and how and what that school

organization would be using the building when it opens is actually something that would be discussed and determined between the Department of Education and I believe the Community Education Council as well, closer to the point when a school opens. I can give you an example where we built a building that can serve grades K through eight in Council Member Garodnick's district. It's known as PS 281. That was designed to serve all of those grades, but based on the latest data and consultation between the Community Education Council, local schools and the Department of Education's Office of Portfolio Planning, the decision was that when that school

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Would the SCA agree to have a Task Force in place that would address both the issues of construction, SCA related issues and configuration of the school programmatically from the DOE side? Can we get a commitment that we can have like an ongoing Task Force that's established so we could discuss these issues in a form that is open to the public and that allows for meaningful participation from the community?

building opened, the organization that would occupy

it would serve up Kindergarten through fifth grade.

mean we've worked with your and Council Member

Lander's leadership with another school in

Brooklyn, PS 133. We found that model to be very effective and would be happy to participate in that.

wanted to ask about... there's an issue regarding child care slots that... so in the 2005 rezoning, it's contemplated or it's agreed to that there would be funding that would be provided for child care slots by Greenpoint Landing for a specific amount of child care slots that was discussed in the 2005 rezoning, but there doesn't seem to be community facility space that is designated within the development site for a child care facility. Is there a possibility or is SCA willing to examine having space in the school for potential child care facilities that will... essentially the slots have been agreed to be funded by Greenpoint Landing?

KENRICK OU: I think this is the first that I've really heard about this idea of possibly creating child care space within the school. I think we would have to look at that very closely

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 94 because... in large part because of the size of the site and the proposed envelop under this action really has been designed and contemplated with the amount of space that was needed to accommodate the programmatic requirements for the K to eight DOE public school. I do want to clarify that our new public school building with 640 seats would include two Pre-Kindergarten classrooms, which is part of the Department of Education's policy of providing space for a Pre-Kindergarten in new school buildings. I think we would have to look at that very carefully and would defer to City Planning and Greenpoint Landing to speak to the broader issues of child care.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: 'Kay, thank you.

So I think those are all my questions for the moment on the school issues. I want to turn to the broader development and to the issue of the Points of Agreement units. So I wanted to ask with regard to the Points of Agreement affordable units. Can you explain how those would be... would those be integrated units in the rest of the development or are those going to be set aside in individual

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

buildings? Please identify yourself for the
record.

MELANIE MEYERS: Sure. Melanie Meyers from Fried Frank. Did I do it the other way?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Speak a little bit

MELANIE MEYERS: Okay, is that better?

9 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Yep.

closer into the microphone, please.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MELANIE MEYERS: Okay, so as you know, the project that you were looking at before is really related to the city-owned properties in the middle and how the Points of Agreement units would be included in the site. The conversation that we've had with HPD regarding the Points of Agreement units has contemplated that there's three sites within the larger Greenpoint Landing project and you have that site plan here. They're the lightest orangey color and those would be the three sites that would be... have been identified as the location for Points of Agreement housing. Those... the first unit is... the first building is at the corner of Eagle and West Street and that would be 98 units of housing affordable to 40 to 120 percent AMI, so it is a stand-alone individual building.

CIIRCOMMITTEE	ON DI.A	NNTNC	DISPOSITIONS	$\Delta MD$	CONCESSIONS

The Points of Agreement units would be integrated into a larger Greenpoint Landing project, which will include a variety of building types and we expect then in that larger project that there'll be a mixture of projects, which might have stand-alone affordable. For example, we're doing a LAMP project or hope to do a LAMP project on one of the privately owned sites, but we also expect that the project will have other sorts of affordable housing, such as 80/20 and there will be a mixture and for example, for the 80/20s that would be a situation where you would have the affordable distributed throughout the building, so it will be a mixture. There will be some stand-alone buildings and there will be some that we expect will have units that are distributed with market rate.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And for those units that are within the same building as market rate that would be distributed through all the floors or would it...

[crosstalk]

MELANIE MEYERS: We would follow...

[crosstalk]

23

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: For 80/20 is there
3 a requirement in the 80/20 program that spells that
4 out or can you explain...

MELANIE MEYERS: There is a requirement; I think Jack can probably talk to it a bit as well. It requires distribution through the building. It's not 100 percent, but it's very close to that and we would look and we would follow those rules.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can you... so those are the non-POA units. Can you... is there... and I know that there's a tremendous need in the community, as I spoke to in my opening statement, for housing for senior citizens; affordable housing for...

## [crosstalk]

MELANIE MEYERS: Mm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Senior citizens.

Is there a commitment on the part of Greenpoint

Landing to explore either a 202 program or if the

city HPD works under a new administration on

developing a new program for senior housing that's

city-based, if there's a commitment to exploring

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
that as meeting some of the affordable needs for
senior citizens?

MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah, absolutely. I mean we would be looking at all of the programs that are available to the city. We understand that there is a particular need and desire to see housing for senior citizens and subject to programs, subject to funding, that's something that we would want to explore and something we would want to work and talk to HPD about.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mr. Hammer, do I have your commitment to start working on a program?

JACK HAMMER: So we've also had, as described by...

## [crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You need to identify yourself for the record.

JACK HAMMER: Oh, sorry. Jack Hammer, Director of Brooklyn Planning, HPD. So just to piggyback on what Melanie is saying, we've had you know, a consultation on exploring other programs going forward, especially you know, the second or third phases, which you know, there had been concern, as you had indicated, for a senior citizen

99

2 component and you know, other potential concerns

3 you know, for example larger bedroom sizes or

4 whatever the case may be that could be accommodated

5 | through available programs in you know, going

6 | forward and that could include city housing

7 programs, Housing Development Corporation, as well

8 as state and federal programs pending funding

9 availability, so we're certainly you know, prepared

10 to work with Greenpoint Landing Associates on

11 pursuing those options.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And speaking to the issue of unit sizes, what's proposed in terms of size of units for Points of Agreement units and then...

MELANIE MEYERS: The plan for the

Points of Agreement unit currently is 25 percent

studios, 25 percent one bedrooms and 50 percent two

bedrooms. Again, the first Points of Agreement

project, a 98 unit project, is following that

breakdown and that's the... that is what we would

propose to have for all of the Points of Agreement

units. The LAMP project, the individual private

project, has a similar breakdown; 25 percent

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 100

studio, 25 percent one bedrooms and 50 percent two

bedrooms.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We've been joined by Council Member and Chair of the Zoning Subcommittee, Mark Weprin. And then in terms of the affordability, the AMI levels...

MELANIE MEYERS: [interposing] Mm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Could you just

speak to that for a minute?

MELANIE MEYERS: Sure. I mean again, in our initial discussions with HPD, we were looking at units ranging from 40 percent to 120 percent AMI. We thought that was quite consistent with the Points of Agreement thresholds and that is going to be the breakdown for the first Points of Agreement building. We certainly heard at the community board level... actually we heard a range of opinions, but we heard from the Community Board that there was a desire to look at more units at the lower income levels and again, that's certainly something that we're prepared to work with HPD to see if that's feasible for the Points of Agreement buildings.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

JACK HAMMER: And if I could just add to that just piggybacking on what Melanie had said, is that the Community Board did have a recommendation for... and I think it was the majority of 60 percent of AMI units. The Borough President recommendation did call for more of a mix between 60 and 130 percent of AMI, so we're prepared to again, moving forward to facilitate hopefully income thresholds that are consistent with what this approval process will lead us to.

MELANIE MEYERS: Right and again, just one other item, the LAMP project again, not before you today because it's one of the other projects, would be a mixture of 40 percent and 60 percent AMI units, so that project, which would be one of the first buildings would be skewed towards a lower AMI level.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And just for the record and to make clear reading from the Points of Agreement on page nine and letter D, the section titled Commitment on Public and Partner Sites, which I imagine these units would be covered under that, it says, "The administration commits to developing affordable housing using available

2 public sites and to work with the existing owners

3 | to develop affordable housing on the partner sites

4 listed below. The administration anticipates that

5 these sites will generate 1,345 affordable units.

6 These units will target the following income

7 groups: 20 percent between 20 and 30 percent of

8 AMI; 40 percent between 30 and 60 percent of AMI."

9 So that is 60 percent... under 60 percent of AMI;

10 | 20 percent between 60 and 80 percent of AMI and 20

11 percent between 80 and 120 percent... 25 percent of

12 AMI, so that would leave between the non-POA units,

13 which are covered under either 80/20 or LAMP or

another program, all being up to 80 percent of AMI

15 probably; then really only the 20 percent of the

16 POA units being up to 125 percent of AMI.

17 MELANIE MEYERS: Jack, do you want

18 | to...

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JACK HAMMER: Yeah, so when we started looking at the income thresholds for this project, we still were well aware of the Points of Agreement thresholds, so to the extent that the 431 units could reflect the Points of Agreement breakdowns, that has been the attempt, to make an honest attempt to establish thresholds that were you know,

1 | SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS

fairly similar to the thresholds in the Points of Agreement. We know they're not exact, but we don't think the projected 1,345 units contemplated an exact breakdown for every single project in Greenpoint in Williamsburg, but we, in working with Greenpoint Landing Associates, made an honest attempt to come close to those thresholds and to the extent that there are concerns about the exact tiers well, our ears are open, but that was our joint attempt to be respectful of the Points of

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So you've been actively hewing to those guidelines.

Agreement thresholds.

JACK HAMMER: I mean for this, that's actually been very important to us in planning for this development. It is... you know, since the 431 units of the single largest site identified in the Points of Agreement for the development of affordable housing and we felt it was important to the extent possible to come close to matching those thresholds to the extent we can given our funding resources through HPD, HDC to meet those guidelines to the extent we can.

2.4

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm going to switch gears for a second here and just ask about transportation issues in the neighborhood. neighborhood, as you're aware, is transportation starved I would call it. You know, it's like a transportation desert. You know, you don't... you have some MTA bus lines; you have one subway line that goes not into Manhattan. You need to transfer to get into Manhattan and it does not... it's not... you know, the train isn't long enough and it's doesn't adequately serve the transportation needs of the community. We're looking at an increase and the magnitude you know, thousands upon thousands of new residents that could come in as a result of this development. What type of transportation mitigations is Greenpoint Landing looking at because without, nobody's going to want to live there if they can't get to work.

MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah and we appreciate that and you know, there's a broader discussion I think about transportation generally, but the things that Greenpoint Landing is looking at is some adjustments at the local train station, but you know, which is in terms of facilitating access

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

more directly on the site, and we have discussed and we... a shuttle bus service, which will help get people from the site to the other mass transit in the area and across the bridge into Queens to the 7 Line. We have identified a location for ferry service on the site and that's something that we are very interested in pursuing and would... [background voice] oh, sorry. The sound goes through that door very well. And so we also are interested and we started discussions with the city about having a ferry service at the site and that's something that we want to pursue and we want to pursue collectively. I know there's been some upgrades and increases in bus service in the area, and we certainly want to work as we can as an owner and a neighbor to the neighborhood to work on trying to encourage and improve transit in the area.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, 'cause it's... I appreciate that. I think that there needs to be a broader discussion with all of the transportation stakeholders about this. There is... right now we have a very limited toolbox with which we can... we have access to for real

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 106
transportation issues without significant capital
upgrades and support from City Administration and
the MTA and I think that it is we are if you
look at it, there's a G Train study that was done
earlier in the year that was commissioned by MTA,
but with support from Senator Squadron and Senator
Dilan and Assemblyman Lentol and you know, they
basically admitted that there's significant
limitations, but they don't have the resources to
overcome them and so you know, again, we're going
to you know, over the course of time if things
don't change we're going to be facing a significant
and real problem about how we're going to address
all of this, and so just then not just only for
public transportation, but our roads as well and so
I don't want to kind of sound like a Cassandra here
and say you know, that this is going to happen,
this is going happen and then nothing happens and
so that we all need to kind of take a hard look at
that. Let's see, I wanted to go back to non-
transportation related question and if you'll just
give me a moment here, I got too many pieces of
paper.

25 [Pause]

2.4

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Actually I do want to ask another question about transportation and infrastructure. There is... can you speak a little bit about the conditions of West Street and Commercial Street and is it DuPont Street; as they exist right now where there doesn't seem to be... there's... it's a pretty desolate area as it exists right now and what do we think is going to be needed there to you know, make for reasonable upgrades to the sewer system; to the roadways and are those commitments there from the city or if they're not can we lay out what's not there at this point?

MELANIE MEYERS: So I can answer to some degree. I'm going to ask, Steven, if you're in a position to answer for the city more generally. But at this point and I know that this is the best image for this, the roadway... West Street basically terminates at the end of Eagle Street and then Commercial Street dead ends at DuPont Street, so at this time, there's actually not a connection through the site that connects West Street and Commercial Street. We're aware that the city is in the midst of an improvement

25

to make that work.

MELANIE MEYERS: I think there's two parts to that. Our understanding is that DOT has the programs to the north and the south. We've had discussions with the city about the need to... you know, and the desire to have that connection and that's a conversation that you know, we will continue to have and as part of our discussion with the city in terms of this project.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mr. Lenard, do you want to maybe speak to that a little bit from City Planning's perspective? I know there's no representative from DOT here, but the issue of connectivity and making sure that a portion of the area is being addressed with street reconstruction that the whole thing is.

STEVEN LENARD: Yeah, I know that DOT has projects, as you said, for West Street and for Eagle as part of the Brooklyn Greenway and for Commercial as well, so that's most of the streets in the area and I know that I'm not familiar with the exact details of the designs for those. Some of them haven't been set yet, although that it addresses some of the concerns you had in terms of storm water and resurfacing and making those

there's not water mains on West Street, you know

how are they going to get water?

23

STEVEN LENARD: Right. Well, you know, so the 4,000 units is really part of the development that is permitted under the 2005 rezoning and so the incremental increase that is represented by these actions was analyzed in the environmental assessment statement and is not expected to have any impacts. In 2005, you know, we looked at the potential for impacts in these areas for the overall development of the Waterfront and didn't see any unmitigable impacts in terms of infrastructure. So yeah, you know, the city basically is committed to maintaining a high level of service in this area and is going to... moving forward as the need arises to take the steps necessary to do that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Is there someone from the city that can kind of make a commitment that we have an interagency either a Task Force or an ongoing discussion interagency so that we have DEP and DOT and Greenpoint Landing all in the room at the same time and other city agencies planning to deal with some of these infrastructure issues because the last thing that I want to see... and I'm sure that that will happen, but the last thing

I want to see is DOT come in or Greenpoint Landing come in, do a street repaving or do a nice new protected bike lane and then it's well, we don't have the... you know, we have to tear it up because we have to lay down a new sewer infrastructure or a water main infrastructure. Can we kind of agree that that is something that should happen so that we're not making efforts that have to then be redone?

STEVEN LENARD: We can certainly...

there isn't a representative from City Hall here?

She was here before, but I'm not sure, so we can

certainly take it back and get back to you on that.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, okay, I think that that's an important piece. I wanted to talk for a moment about retail and space in you know, in the project that's a part of this or part of the project that's part of this UDDAP, but then if I could potentially talk about the larger Greenpoint Landing project in terms of the type of retail that we're expecting to see there. This is a community that has a lot of inventive entrepreneurs and a lot of folks that are you know, kind of small

businesses; Mom and Pop type businesses and you

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

know, one fear that we would have is that it would become kind of more bland or generic and so we're wondering if there's a possibility that we could limit the size of the retail spaces so that we're not allowing for box stores or you know, big Duane Reade; no offense to Duane Reade, but those types of kind of more generic type stores.

MELANIE MEYERS: Well, let's talk about what the goal is at this point. I think it might be a discussion that continues. The zoning, as I'm sure you know, allows for one story of retail along the main streets, so along West Street, along Commercial Street and then actually up at the lower level, which is a ways away, along Green Street. So the footprints that exist on each block, it's about 100 feet deep and about 150, 200 feet long. The intention is that the retail along those streets are retail that helps frankly to define the project, which they want to be Brooklyn-based. They want to have it be... you know, they want the retailers to be, you know, local retailers. They'd love to work with the Greenpoint Chamber of Commerce to help identify users of those spaces, so the goal is the same. There is not a plan to have

```
1
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
                                                        114
    a large you know, department store. There's not a
3
    plan to have large big-box there. There might be
     an idea that it would be nice to have a nice
 4
5
     supermarket, which would be more than say, 3,000
     feet. It would probably be more like 10,000,
6
     15,000 feet. So I think the goals are the same.
     think we need to figure out sort of what might make
8
     sense you know, in terms of the discussion, so I
9
10
     think our goals are the same. I don't know that a
    restriction of a certain amount is the way of
11
12
    necessarily achieving that goal.
13
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I think that
14
     there's... there's a balance I think to be struck
     out there and I think and while...
15
                [crosstalk]
16
                MELANIE MEYERS: Right and that's what
17
    we'd like to do and work...
18
19
                [crosstalk]
20
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You know and...
21
                [crosstalk]
                MELANIE MEYERS:
                                  To do.
22
                [crosstalk]
23
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And while there's a
24
```

need for... you know, for an affordable and high

the school. Is there a plan for any type of

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

site.

schoolyard or open space for the school or a place

3 outdoors where these 600 children can run around?

KENRICK OU: Based on our test fit studies, which had informed the zoning envelop, I think we were anticipating and again, this is not a design, but that, given the footprint of the land, that open space would have to be provided at an upper level, so it would be a terrace or a rooftop open space and not necessarily a street level schoolyard. That is, of course, subject to working through the entire design process once it begins. The other component that has been discussed just or considered in a very preliminary was the potential to work with the Parks Department because there is that park right across the street from the school

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, but the park would be then available for recess or something like that or...

## [crosstalk]

KENRICK OU: Yeah, that... if that happened, then that would have to be discussed with the Parks Department. It's not uncommon across the city where the Parks Department and the Department

of Education have partnered to make spaces

available for public school students during certain

hours exclusively and then opened to the general

5 public outside of those school hours. That is a

6 long you know, complicated discussion, which is

7 | really in its infancy. I think that the... one of

8 our goals with our new school buildings is to

9 provide as much on-site recreational space as

10 possible, but unfortunately, sometimes that has to

11 be at an upper level or a terrace level of the

12 | building.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And there is resources... there would potentially be resources available to do that or does that make everything a lot more expensive or I mean is the...

that's part of what's worked out through the design process; the structural requirements, the egress requirements and the code requirements are factored in by our architects and engineers. I can tell you that not too far from here at the Gehry Building, where we have a public school condominium, there is a terrace level play area at Peck Slip where we are in construction to develop a new school on a former

25

microphone, please.

MARY ZELIG: We don't have a design yet
for the expansion of Newtown Barge Park. We
envision incorporating the current park into the
larger space, but again, we don't have a design
just yet.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Well, what type of... what's the process going to look like going forward? Are there resources available to build up the whole park? Maybe that's one question.

MARY ZELIG: We do have \$4.5 million in the budget now to build out the park. There was a donation from Greenpoint Landing to the park of \$2.5. That would give us \$7 million for the build out and the incorporation of the expansion site into the whole park.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and then the process going forward for how can they design that.

## [crosstalk]

MARY ZELIG: So we have consultants on board right now and they are currently looking at the sites. We hope to soon have some sort of a conceptual design to share with the public.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Mm-

25 | hm.

Τ.	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
2	MARY ZELIG: We've been working with
3	the Community Board; with the community itself to
4	come up with you know, with community need and what
5	the public would want to see there, so we just
6	we don't have the design as of yet though.
7	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay and would it
8	be a mix of active pass or that's all to be
9	decided?
10	MARY ZELIG: It's all to be decided and
11	we do you know, we do envision working with the
12	community to decide that.
13	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so does the
14	Parks Department view it as that the design will
15	fit the budget or the budget has to fit the design?
16	MARY ZELIG: Well, currently we
17	envision that the design will fit the budget that
18	we have for the park.
19	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, so a greater
20	budget would yield the expanded design or more
21	bells and whistles?
22	MARY ZELIG: For an enhanced park,
23	correct.
24	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: An enhanced park.

Okay, we have a commitment from the Park Department

and there's been ongoing dialogue around the configuration and the type of park it's going to be?

MARY ZELIG: Yep.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, those were my questions about the park. Hold on moment.

[Pause]

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I think because obviously it's late in the afternoon and there are many individuals that seek to testify on this item, I will yield the rest of my questions for a follow up discussion in private, but I think that in the interest of time and in the interest of those that are here to testify, I think we should move on to public testimony, but I want to thank this panel for presenting and if we can agree to keep up the conversation over the next several days I think that would be very helpful on our part. any questions arise, I hope that you'll be available to answer. Thank you. Thank you very much. Okay, so we're going to call the public testimony and I again, want to apologize to everyone who's been waiting throughout the entire day here to testify. Like I say, we have a lot of

```
1
    SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
                                                        122
     items on the agenda and a lot of very important
3
     items that have been keeping us occupied today.
     [background voice] Panel one in opposition...
 4
5
     [background voice] [off mic] Did you? Panel one in
     opposition, Michael Brown; Jennifer Charles;
6
     Elizabeth Long and Lana Scott Walker.
8
                [Pause]
9
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sorry, if you
10
     can...
                JENNFIER CHARLES: Lance is not here,
11
12
     so you might want to call someone else.
13
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm sorry?
14
                JENNIFER CHARLES: Lance had to leave,
     so you might want to...
15
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: [interposing] Oh.
16
                JENNIFER CHARLES: Call someone else.
17
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, Lance... I'm
18
19
     sorry, Lance. Is this... oh and Michael. So we're
20
     going to... [background voice] Teo Gonzalez. 'Kay,
21
    Kevin Corrigan.
22
                JENNIFER CHARLES: He had to leave as
     well.
23
24
                CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Peter Spagnuolo.
```

summarize the issues. Toxicity: these buildings

are to be built next to a superfund site. The air

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 124 and land are toxic and will negatively impact the health of the new residents for years to come. Infrastructure: the increased population will put a strain on infrastructure; not enough hospital beds; a local Fire Department incapable of highrise fires; sidewalks that are not wide enough and are only some of the issues. Transportation: overcapacity, subway trains will make the commute even worse for the current and future residents. water taxi to nowhere or a bus to the overcrowded subway won't fix the issue. Stop building until we can address these issues. Flood zone: we have to stop building in flood zones. No set of guidelines is going to protect us. The Fukushima Nuclear Plant was built to withstand a tsunami; 18 feet high concrete walls, four back-up systems all failed. New Orleans: the levees were built to withstand a Category 5 hurricane and they failed. No amount of planning could ready us for nature's Sandy hit us as a tropical storm with very little rain. 77 of Greenpoint Landing's lots were completely submerged in toxic water during Sandy. What happens when we get hit by a real Category 5

hurricane with 20 inches of rain? And don't

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And you need to...

[crosstalk]

DARREN LIPMAN: Greenpoint Landing

relocation. We're going to haul away a bunch of

toxic dirt and put it in someone else's backyard to

leach into their water table. I hope it's not the

Catskills. With this remediation, we, in New York

City are going to be the biggest polluters of this

21 should go...

decade. [chime]

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You can finish, by the way 'cause since it's a small panel and you've

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

JENNIFER CHARLES: Jennifer Charles

here. As a long time resident of Greenpoint and a

24

```
127
```

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS New Yorker since the eighties, I'm very concerned 3 and disturbed at the unethical GPL has been knotted through this far along the ULURP process; so many 4 5 reasons why Greenpoint Landing doesn't belong here. 6 1. Scale. It's completely out of scale; disproportionate with any other buildings in 8 Greenpoint. Where the average height is four or five stores, these buildings propose 30 to 40 9 10 stories. 2. Density. These developments would bring an estimated 15,000 new residents to the 11 12 small community of Greenpoint, where I and 13 thousands of others live, not an industrial area 14 for years and a 40 percent increase in population. Infrastructure. There's barely enough 15 16 infrastructure to support the community as it is. 17 Overpopulating the area is going to be very dangerous. I need to add that five groups of 18 people waiting for one L train this morning to get 19 20 here. Did anyone else go through that? It's quite 21 spectacular, but normal from what I understand. Zone A. These developments are to be built on a 22 flood zone, officially known as Zone A. 23 24 saw these entire areas where Greenpoint Landing was

slated under filthy water just last year during

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Sandy. Even if developers put their structures on a perch, in another such disaster, residents will suffer, as the toxic water will then have to go somewhere and there will be a gully effect. 5. Loss of character. As fellow New Yorkers, I think you can agree that New York as a whole is becoming a little less original, sadly homogenized as big businesses come in and plow through once distinct neighborhoods of character. The Mom and Pop stores, the multi-cultural diversity, the artist communities are all endangered. Did everyone read David Byrne's piece in The Guardian? Where will the artists go? 6. Displacement. developments displace working class immigrant households in favor of luxury living. businesses go under as chain stores come in. This is bad for income equality as well as diversity in our city. This area has also been very attractive to the film and TV business over the last decade. We had a well known film actor here earlier who had to leave and they'll be no longer able to film here is what attracted him here will no longer exist. 7. Loss of views and light. Everyone has a favorite

view. Mine happens to be riding down Franklin,

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Greenpoint's second main street as you approach Commercial Street. That view of the Empire State and majesty of the city never cease to take one's breath away. Well, with GPL we'll kiss that goodbye. That's life giving stuff, folks. long time community here will no longer have the views of the water, the city, the sky and light that they once had, making views only for the wealthy, the people in the sky, while old-time residents would be in the shadows in all dark and their already humble priorities of life will be degraded. I'll be certain to remind the welfare children we'll always have Paris. 8. Perhaps the most egregious is the toxicity factor. sites would be built atop a toxic nightmare. Many residents already suffer immune disorders and cancers, including many young friends of mine. Hell, it's even evidenced in our beloved wild cat colony that resides on Commercial where Greenpoint Landing would build. According to Mary Bernstein, the caretaker there, all the neighborhood cats died prematurely of cancer. This is the why doctors treating people here first inquire about the status of the person's pet. It's no dirty little secret

2 | that that's how they find out if the person might

3 | be suffering from an environmental disease.

4 Residents will be exposed to all kinds of toxins

5 for at least a decade as this toxic ground is dug

6 up and developed with these neighborhood projects.

7 | Would you sent your child to school there? I just

8 want to say one word to you, just one word. Are

9 | you listening? Plastics. Greenpoint is one of the

10 | last boroughs/neighborhoods that reflect its

11 | colorful tapestry, where artists, Latino, Polish,

12 | Italian communities, working families of different

13 colors, space and backgrounds co-exist in harmony

14 and have for decades. We're not an affluent

15 community, but we are a happy and peaceful one with

16 great schools and great independent businesses. I

17 | might add that it's disturbing how good at the

18 games you play our ears trying to let their great

19 | wall of towers slide under the guise of affordable

20 | housing or even philanthropy. They're building a

21 | community where there is one. We're lucky. These

22 | are not the last parcels in New York and there is

23 | no shortage of luxury housing in this town.

24 Greenpointers don't want their sacred community

25 | highjacked for luxury living. The Waterfront, the

2 sky, the panorama of our beautiful NYC across the

3 | river should be for everyone, not just the highest

4 | bidder. This is a blatant money game before us,

5 | folks, blatant. If buildings get built, they

6 should be no more than six stories or 60 feet and

7 | restricted to West Street and this is only after

8 remediation, of course. Commercial Street should

9 just be ah, a beautiful park. Commercial Street

10 Waterfront has the potential to be a real class

11 | natural landscape. It could blow people's minds

12 | and we sorely lack green space. We have a

13 community, a community fighting tooth and nail

14 against these gross developments. We beg you, hear

15 us; make the responsible choices to please vote no.

16 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, thank

17 | you.

18 JENNIFER CHARLES: Thank you.

19 ELIZABETH LONG: Hi, my name is Bess

20 Long. I am reading on behalf of a friend who isn't

21 | here today.

22 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You need to speak a

23 | little closer to the mic, please.

24 ELIZABETH LONG: Alright. Rejection of

25 | the Greenpoint Landing, due in part to the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

significant negative desperate impact that this mega-development and its requested structure of subsidies it will create on the low-income residents of this part of Greenpoint, many of whom are Latino. The City Council should undertake a hard look at the structure of both inclusionary housing program IHP and the 421-A tax abatements that the developer's pursuing as part of the development's government subsidies; specifically, the movement of developers with the blessing of HPD, DCP and DCHR apparently to retool the IHP program to meet the required affordable units percentage of project square footage by making the affordable units for moderate and middle-income applicants and not low-income creates a distinct disparate impact upon the very low and low-income residents of the immediate census tract situated in and around the Greenpoint Landing project. illustrate, the following census tracts that create the northernmost point of Greenpoint have the following percentage of households that make less than \$30,000 a year: Census Tract 563 is 32 percent below \$30K; Tract 579 is 38 percent below

\$30K; Tract 575 is 27 percent less than \$30K and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tract 565 is 31 percent below \$30K. Also, it is important to note that many of these households are Latino. Census Tract 563 has a 30 percent Hispanic population and the Census Tract 579 has a Hispanic population of 48 percent. The Furman Center at NYC calculates the median income sub-borough for the Greenpoint-Williamsburg for Hispanic households in 2009 of just \$22,243 annual [chime] income a year. When you compare this fact to the fact that many of the residents in the census tracts within the quarter mile and half mile radius of the Greenpoint Landing project don't even make 50 percent of the IHP's base AMI of \$85,000, one can see that most likely to be displaced can't even... one can see that those most likely to be displaced can't even apply for the project's affordable even in the lowincome band of HPD's IH program of 50 to 80 percent AMI. In fact, with so many HH in the area below \$30,000 in income, they would be zero to 35 percent of AMI. The recent zoning resolution text amendment requested by Two Trees for the Domino Site would affect the GPL project as well. tax amendment and 140131 ZRK. This text amendment appears to allow the swapping of moderate income

```
SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS
                                                  134
for low income; hence, codify the ability of
developers aided by city agents, the Council, HPD,
DCP and DCHR to discriminate against the long-time,
low income residents of both Williamsburg and
Greenpoint and further push them out of these
developer targeted neighborhoods, where even so-
called affordable units allotted for local
residents aren't meant for them to utilize.
City Council, as well as city agencies involved in
both the IHP and the 421 programs should stop and
pause in the approval of these mega-developments,
funded with hundreds of millions of taxpayer
dollars that are applying those programs utilizing
public funds in a way that clearly is
discriminatory via the desperate impacts created
upon populations of race and income.
                                      It should not
be lost on the City Council that the IHP program
and its related subsidies has created less than
acceptable results in the building of new
affordable, as per these figures from a recent AMHD
study. Quote, "It is estimated that the more
that... excuse me, quote, "It is estimated that
over the more than 21,000 new market rate housing
```

units built as a direct result of major upzonings

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

2 | in designated areas, IHP, only 15 percent of those

3 units, 2,700 units, are affordable housing." One

4 can also say that the "affordable housing" quote,

5 unquote, is often unaffordable to the true low-

6 income, as the situation in Greenpoint today now

7 | illustrates. With this factual data in mind and

8 the duty of the City Council as agents to uphold

9 their fiduciary, excuse me, responsibilities to the

10 | public, we ask that the Council votes no on the

11 Greenpoint Landing project at this time. Thank

12 you, signed the Community of Greenpoint and

13 | Williamsburg. And in addition, I just have two

14 | random questions to put forward. The additional

15 garbage will go where? To East Harlem? And the

16 | introverted radially planned towers will create a

17 | large, dark, solid mass equivalent to a solid wall

18 of construction on Brooklyn's Northwest Waterfront.

19 | Thank you.

20

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you. Thank

21 | you very much.

22 KIM MASSON: Hi, my name is Kim Masson.

23 | I am a Greenpoint resident. I'm going to keep this

24 | brief. Do you mind if I get off the mic for a sec

25 \ 'cause I want to use this map? [background voices]

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: I'm sorry, you have 3 to speak into mic in order for it to be on record.

4 KIM MASSON: Okay, can I just reach

5 | that mic over?

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Sure.

KIM MASSON: Okay, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Or you can pick up that mic and do it that way. Just hold it from the base, please. [background voices]

KIM MASSON: In the meantime, the antiharassment area...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Hold it from the base.

Okay, I just wanted to show you this school site here. This building right here is Newhart Plastics superfund site. It's 100 feet or less away from the school site. Councilman Levin, I have walked around with a staff member. We counted 21 water stations to test. There are two pools of liquid plasticizers going that are uncontrolled right now and are going through remediation. I think it would be obvious that putting a school so close to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS a superfund site would be wrong morally and 3 ethically, but apparently, the School Construction Authority doesn't seem to think so. Alright, hold 4 5 I'm going to sit back down again. [off mic] I on. just wanted to share that with you. Okay, alright, 6 I'm back. Alright, let's see here. Both the SCA 8 and Greenpoint Landing have done environmental tests but yet, after many request, this information 9 10 has not been released to the public. Why is that? 11 Yesterday, the EPA wasn't even able to get the test 12 results from the School Construction Authority. 13 They were deferred to the New York City Department 14 of Lawyers. Again, why is that? What are they hiding, evidence of a superfund site? I didn't 15 think that would be something to hide because it's 16 17 there, but apparently that might be the case. Also, according to the School Construction 18 Authority, they'd never even heard of any 19 contamination. This is a lie. Because Greenpoint 20 21 Landing plans on leasing the land for a nominal fee, the School Construction Authority is not 22 looking into any alternative sites. This is not 23

acceptable. The School Construction Authority has

lost millions through reckless school siting.

24

2  $\parallel$  to give you a couple of examples, PS 51 was built

3 on toxic ground. Residents sued, but the city

4 lost; they won. PS 20 sunk into a landfill, which

5 was delayed opening for three years. PS 43,

6 affectionately known as the floating school,

7 | because it was flooded with 400,000 gallons of sea

8 water. [chime] And now they have plans to build a

9 school next to a superfund site on an E-designated

10 | brownfield. This is a public health threat. I am

11 | urging you to get the results, see what they're

12 doing and vote no against this project. The health

13 and safety of our kids and the residents are not

14 ∥ worth the risk. Also, I would just like to point

15 one other quick thing; that my building and other

16 residents within their map is not even listed as

17 | residential. We're considered industrial, so

18 according to the developers, we don't even exist.

19 | That's it. Thank you.

25

20 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very

21 | much. So I just want to ask a question about... so

22 you sought the test results for the borings that

23 | have been done and they haven't... they've...

24 KIM MASSON: No, I have asked; I've

called. I had a very interesting conversation with

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 139
2	someone at the SCA, who didn't know anything about
3	it. I was making phone calls all day to the DEC.
4	I even went down to the repository. I even went
5	down to the Community Board repository to get any
6	kind of information not only on the superfund site,
7	but on the other testings. I have asked your
8	office; they haven't been able to get results. I'm
9	at a loss. I don't know; I've tried every outfit I
10	can.
11	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And so the EPA
12	asked for the results on that?
13	[crosstalk]
14	KIM MASSON: And the EPA has asked for
15	the results and they were referred to the New York
16	City Department of Lawyers.
17	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: The corporation
18	counsel.
19	KIM MASSON: What?
20	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Corporation
21	counsel? They were referred to
22	KIM MASSON: On the email it said the
23	New York City Department of Lawyers.

2 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: And would you mind
3 forwarding that? There's an email from the EPA to
4 you?

KIM MASSON: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: You're going to

forward that to our office?

KIM MASSEY: Mm-hm.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, I thank this panel for your patience and for, you know, your dedication to your neighborhood and for staying to testify this afternoon. So and I want to just acknowledge that the names that we called before are people that had to leave. It was a pile of eight in opposition and two that were neither in opposition nor in favor. Thank you very much.

Thank you very much for your testimony.

ALL PANELISTS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: We have individuals here to testify in favor. I will call them up as they are listed here. Aditi Sen representing 32BJ SEIU; Jessica Ramos, also representing 32BJ SEIU; Sandra Guillermo, representing herself and Carlo Scissura, represented by Andrew Steininger from the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. So we're going to

2 have one more panel after this. If you could

3 | identify yourself for the record, please.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ADITI SEN: Good afternoon. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. I'm going to be reading a statement on behalf of Samuel Valle, who could not stay for the nine hours that this hearing has taken. My name is Samuel Valle and I've been a member of Local 32BJ for seven years. SEIU 32BJ represents 70,000 members in New York City, including janitors, security officers and residential member such as myself. I work as a porter at City Lights in Long Island City. Previously, I was a contractor and there would be times where I would not have work for a couple of weeks at a stretch. I was looking for a job with benefits because I didn't have any; no health plan, no sick days, vacation or retirement. I have an injury that had to be treated, but I could not get the proper medical attention. Once I got the job at City Lights, I became a 32BJ member and finally got health insurance. Being in the union has given me support and access to schooling. I've been able to get certified in electrical, plumbing and carpentry and I hope to finish my HVAC

2 certification soon. The union job has also

3 provided me the stability that was missing before

4 | through having a job every week to go to and the

5 benefits it gives me and my family. The attention

6 | I put into my job every day means a higher quality

7 of service for the tenants. Residents are treated

8 ∥ with respect and know they'll come home to a

9 familiar face. I'm glad Park Tower Realty Group

10 has committed to creating good jobs. For this

11 reason, I urge you to vote in favor of this

12 project. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very

14 | much.

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JESSICA RAMOS: Good afternoon. My
name is Jessica Ramos of 32BJ, Service Employees
International Union and I'll also be reading one of
our member's stories for the record. My name is
Brian Cardona [phonetic] and I've been a member of
32BJ for almost four years. Before becoming a
member of Local 32BJ, I worked at the non-forprofit the HOPE Program for five years. Then the
financial crisis hit. First, my hours were cut
from full-time to part-time, and then eventually I
was let go. After working numerous jobs, I landed

a job as a vacation replacement and then from
vacation replacement I became a full-time
residential member of Local 32BJ. As the single
parent of a five-year old son, being a member of
32BJ has given my family financial security and

7 affordable health care. My son suffers from asthma

8 and I'm now able to take him to the clinic to make

9 sure he's well, getting all of his check-ups and

his medicine. With the Greenpoint Landing project,

11 | I feel positive that the developer, Park Tower

10

16

17

18

19

24

12 Realty Group, is going to give other people the

13 opportunity I had because of 32BJ and give them a

14 chance to improve their way of life. Thank you.

15 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

York City Council members. My name is Sandra

Guillermo and my three children and I have

benefitted greatly from living in an affordable

SANDRA GUILLERMO: Good afternoon, New

20 apartment. I'm happy to be here to briefly share

21 my story and support the Greenpoint Landing project

22 and the affordable housing it will provide to help

23 other families like mine. I was born and raised in

a tough neighborhood in Williamsburg. Back then,

25 | it was not the safe and exciting community it is

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS now. I grew up in the environment circled with gangs, violence and drugs. My family and I spent most of our time indoors for fear of becoming a product of or a casualty of our dangerous surroundings. Something I will never forget is on one occasion when we were watching TV at night and all of a sudden in the hallway there was a big shouting noise of people. When I opened my door to look, there was two guys fighting each other. got so scared and nervous thinking oh, my God, what if they start shooting? I remember telling my kids at the time to go into the bedroom and not to come out until I told them so. That's when I decided and realized that I had to get out of there. often tried to move to a safer neighborhood, but limited resources did not allow me to do so. day, some friends told me about a lottery for new affordable rent restricted apartments that were financed in part by the city. I was very excited to have the opportunity to move to a safer neighborhood and into a brand new apartment. entered the lottery, but unfortunately, did not get awarded an apartment. Over the years, I continued

to look for and apply to similar affordable housing

2 developments. Unfortunately, I was not awarded the

3 | opportunity to reside in a new affordable

1

23

4 | apartment. Despite being disheartened, it was

5 encouraging to see that affordable housing projects

6 continued to be developed and opportunities were

7 provided to families in need. Moving became

8 | increasingly important as I became an adult and

9 needed to raise my three children in a secure

10 environment. On another day, my cousin told me

11 | about a new project in Williamsburg called 15

12 Dunham Place. As was done with similar projects

13 before, the apartments would be rented through a

14 | lottery process. After years of trying, [chime] my

15 cousin told me, "You might as well apply. What do

16 you have to lose?" Try I did and unlike many times

17 | before, I was awarded a new affordable rent

18 restricted apartment in a transformed Williamsburg

19 community. In March 2013, my children and I moved

20 | into our new apartment in a very safe neighborhood.

21 | The new apartment represented a new life and a new

22 | beginning for me and my family. The transformation

that my affordable new apartment has had in my life

24 | and my children's lives has been invaluable. I

25 | look forward to new doors of opportunities for my

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

family. I urge you to support and approve the Greenpoint Landing project. Creating hundreds of affordable apartments is extremely important. It would help hundreds of families that are currently in the situation that I was in; looking to escape, but not having the finances to do so. Please approve the project and open new doors of possibilities in the future of the other families.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

Thank you for your time. I greatly appreciate it.

ANDREW STEININGER: Good evening. My name is Andrew Steininger and I am here on behalf of Carlo Scissura, who was here earlier today and really wishes he could be here to testify, but couldn't stay, and I am the Vice President for Economic Development at the Brooklyn Chamber of Commerce. The Brooklyn Chamber is a membershipbased business assistance organization, which represents the interests of nearly 1,500 businesses. Brooklyn is booming and its growth has been reflected everywhere, particularly its Waterfront. The Greenpoint Landing project will transform a half mile stretch of Brooklyn; Brooklyn's Waterfront from its current state, a

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

largely underused vacant area into a world class and vibrant mixed use development that will have a positive impact on both residents and area businesses. The Greenpoint Landing project is a wonderful development because it seeks to create three very important elements that are needed in Brooklyn; affordable housing, Pre-K through eight grade school and more park space. The creation of 431 affordable housing units within this project will greatly help the Greenpoint-Williamsburg community at a time when rents continue to balloon in Brooklyn. This will allow those already living nearby with a chance to stay in the neighborhood. This is an opportunity we can't pass up. addition, the construction of a new 120,000 square foot District 14 school will help alleviate overcrowding in the neighborhood. The construction of a 640 seat Pre-K through eight grade school will be designed and built by the School Construction Authority on a parcel of land belonging to Greenpoint Landing. Again, this will greatly benefit the community. Finally, the addition of public space is essential for this project to work.

The Greenpoint Landing project calls for a total of

2  $\parallel$  four acres of publically accessible open space.

3 | Greenpoint Landing will also be building

4 approximately 30,000 square feet of publically open

5 space than what is required by zoning, and if that

6 wasn't enough, the Park Tower Group will donate

7 \$2.5 million to the New York City Parks Department

8 towards the development of a 2.5 acre park, which

9 is scheduled to open in 2016. The park in

10 | Esplanade will also serve as a storm barrier going

11 | a long way in serving as a rebuilding and

12 | resiliency tool [chime] following Hurricane Sandy.

13 | If we learned anything from last year's storm and

14 subsequent flooding, it's that we need to fortify

15 and protect our Waterfront from future storms.

16 There is no doubt this project will greatly benefit

17 Greenpoint and all of Brooklyn. It is also great

18 | to see developers continuing to invest in the

19 | borough's Waterfront post-Sandy. This project will

20 | not only be of great benefits to its residents, but

21 | the community as a whole. The creation of a school

22 | and a park will go a long way in making this now

23 | abandoned area come alive. The area is currently

24 serviced by the G Line and the B32 bus that

25

connects Williamsburg to Long Island City and

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Oueens. Like we have done before in Red Hook and in Sunset Park, I would like to see a ferry stop place at this new location. It will go a long way in getting Brooklynites to other parts of the borough and Manhattan in a timely manner. It will alleviate subway congestion and reduce the need for the use of cars. Local businesses will also benefit from this project and new ones will be created as a result. Residents and increased foot traffic help local businesses grow. We want to see Mom and Pop stores sprout up in the area alongside national chains. The goal is to make this area vibrant. The Greenpoint Landing project does all that and more and therefore, needs your approval. We want to continue to make Brooklyn and New York City a great place to live and visit. Thank you very much. I hope you enjoyed your birthday and

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you, Andrew.

Thank you very much to this panel. I appreciate you all staying so long throughout the course of the day. I know that it's long and possibly boring, but I appreciate your time and your patience. Last panel I'm going to call Paimaan

thanks for convening all of us here.

2 Lodhi from the Real Estate Board of New York;

3 Andrew Hollweck from New York Building Congress;

4 Alexandra Hanson of the New York State Association

5 for Affordable Housing and Mark Chertok of

6 Greenpoint Landing, LLC.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[Pause[

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, whoever wants to start, go ahead.

PAIMAAN LODHI: Thank you. Good evening, my name is Paimaan Lodhi, Vice President for Urban Planning at the Real Estate Board of New York, representing over 15,000 owners, brokers and developers, builders in the New York area and we're here today to support this application that would amend in a favorable way the Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning from 2005. REBNY supported the original Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning, which provided a sound and comprehensive planning framework to transform the underutilized industrial section of Brooklyn, especially its Waterfront. This planning framework was rich in public open space requirements, encouraged a scale of housing development that could support affordable housing on the same zoning lot and provided for the

1

25

2 | integration of a nascent ferry service that would

3 enhance transportation to these new developments.

4 This project will create 431 affordable housing

5 units above the 20 percent that will be built as-

6 of-right within the Greenpoint Landing project,

7 includes the development of a new 640 seat Pre-K

8 through eight school and additional open space

9 totaling approximately four acres and a \$2.5

10 | million contribution by Greenpoint Landing to the

11 | creation of the Newtown Barge Park. This

12 development builds on well conceived plans of the

13 Greenpoint-Williamsburg rezoning in a way that

14 | brings to realization these plans and makes us a

15 | better neighborhood for Brooklyn and for New York.

16 We urge the Council to approve this application.

17 | And finally, I would like to add that with the new

18 | Mayor's Administration coming in and the focus on

19 | affordable housing and creating enough new housing

20 to meet the demand in this city, the numbers that

21 | have come out look at something about 20,000 new

22 | residential units needed to be created each year,

23 | including 8,000 affordable housing units. [chime]

24 | The Council, the community, they all need to

determine where in their neighborhood they're going

2 | to allow growth. We can't continue to keep

3 designating historic districts and mapping

4 contextual zoning districts and not allow for

5 additional growth. Thank you.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

ALEXANDRA HANSON: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Alexandra Hanson and I am here representing the New York State Association for Affordable Housing or NYSAFA. I would like to thank chair Levin and the members of the Subcommittee on Planning, Dispositions and Concessions for the opportunity to testify today in support of Land Use Items 971, 972, 973, 974 and 990 regarding Greenpoint Landing. NYSAFA's 300 members include for-profit and non-profit developers, lenders, investors, syndicators, attorneys, architects and others active in the financing, construction and operation of affordable housing. Together NYSAFA's members are responsible for much of the housing built in New York State with federal, state and local subsidies. On behalf of our membership, I would like to state our support for the Land Use Items before the

subcommittee related to Greenpoint Landing.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

York City currently ranks near the bottom of the list of major U.S. metro areas in housing affordability; 21st out of 25 according to the Center for Housing Policy. Without action, this affordability crisis facing our city will only New York City's growing prosperity and appeal is driving up not only the cost of rent, but also the cost of land for new affordable housing. Lotteries for affordable apartments built by our members often attract thousands of applicants, with applicants outnumbering available apartments by as much as 100 to one. There is a huge unmet need, which is why NYSAFA supports the Land Use Items before the subcommittee today regarding Greenpoint Landing, which will transform an underutilized half mile stretch of Waterfront into a vibrant community with significant increases in affordable housing. The approval of these items will bring even more positive impacts to an area in need of additional affordable housing and Greenpoint Landing promises and additional 431 affordable apartments, bringing the total to 1,386 units of affordable housing for the entire development. 75 percent of the units

for eligible individuals and families range from

154 SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS incomes as low as \$19,000 annually for a studio to \$69,000 for a two-bedroom unit. In addition to providing low-income families with stable housing arrangements, affordable housing has shown to have myriad improvements in the quality of life. Affordable housing has shown to improve childhood development, [chime] school performance, general health for families and individuals and additionally affordable housing provides increased economic development, neighborhood revitalization and job opportunities for New York City residents. Furthermore, affordable housing is an important economic driver of New York City's economy with every dollar invested in affordable housing generating over a dollar in private investment, as well as providing thousands of construction jobs and permanent jobs every year. Finally, affordable housing is critical to building and retaining strong, vibrant communities. Every Greenpointer deserves access to safe high quality affordable housing, and under HPD's policies 50 percent of the units will be set aside for families that have been displaced from Community Board 1 in Brooklyn.

Further, these investments will create jobs, grow

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

LI	SUBCOMMITTEE	OM	PLANNING	DISPOSITIONS	ZND	CONCESSIONS

New York City's economy, help revitalize
neighborhoods and provide thousands of residents
with an affordable place to call home. NYSAFA
fully endorses the Greenpoint Landing development
for all of the benefits it will bring to those in
need of affordable housing and to the Greenpoint
Community in general. Thank you very much for the
opportunity to testify today in support of this
important project.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you.

ANDREW HOLLWECK: Good afternoon,

Council Member and members of the committee, staff.

My name's Andrew Hollweck. I'm a Vice President at

the New York Building Congress, which is a

membership coalition serving the design,

construction and real estate industry and we are

very pleased to support the Land Use Applications

enabling Greenpoint Landing's ambitious proposal to

proceed. The City Council's action to approve this

project is an opportunity to encourage the

continued resurgence of the building industry and

the broader New York City economy. The Building

Congress recently reported that the residential

construction market, which came to a virtual

2 | standstill with the recession, is steadily

3 | improving and was forecast to return to

4 prerecession levels in the coming years. At the

5 | bottom of the market, which we all remember very

6 | well just a few years ago, thousands of

7 construction jobs simply disappeared and are only

8 | slowly returning. This is a critical observation

9 | because... and we're talking tens of thousands of

10 | middle-class jobs that disappeared and the way to

11 | returning is high... is not directly related to the

12 | actual value of construction and volume of

13 construction, so we need to spend more to get the

14 equal number of jobs back, so that's a critical

15 | fact, so we really need to encourage as much

16 | investment as possible on that and the jobs front.

17 | Moreover, projects lay unfinished throughout the

18 city, representing billions of dollars of dormant

19 economic activity and all the ancillary benefits

20 | that that activity provides. The rebound has

21 | benefitted not just the building industry, but has

22 | been an essential component of the city's overall

23 | economic recovery. Greenpoint Landing is also an

24 | integral part of this story of recovery, but that's

25 | not all. It will also in addition, as we have

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 157 observed and we've heard from our other panelists, it will create hundreds... and I just found out more than hundreds; over a thousand [chime] units of affordable housing in addition to a new elementary school, several acres of park land and other public open spaces. There's more important context to this project. The Building Congress has mounted an extensive infrastructure campaign that urges continued investment in the city's core systems to allow the city to continue to thrive in the coming century; it's a planning concept. example, the city's population grew... and this is... and when I look at this, it's hard to imagine this. I mean I moved the city 20 years ago, but the city's population grew by one million people in the last 20 years or approximately and can grow by one million more in the next 30. Even before this population explosion, the city has been in official housing emergency for a century, so as a city, we must areas capable of accommodating those millions. Greenpoint Landing takes advantage of the city's

visionary 2005 rezoning and will add vital housing

density in neighborhoods with good transportation

Increasing

stock in a moment it is sorely needed.

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 access, open space, developable land and

3 opportunities for school construction and the

4 creation of amenities to meet a growing population

5 | are frankly limited. While we must proceed

6 rationally and follow through on the creation of

7  $\parallel$  those amenities to serve a diverse population, the

8 city has no choice but to take advantage of key

9 | locations in the city capable of accommodating this

10 phenomenal growth and success. Much of the project

11 does not require further public review, as you

12 know. The disposition of city-owned properties and

13 | much of the associated modification of the zoning

14 resolution being discussed today is for fulfillment

15 of the project's most important goals; the

16 affordable housing creation, the new public school

17 | and the public open space, the core infrastructure,

18 | which is so urgently needed for the city to retain

19 | its position as a global leader. We urge the

20 | Council to approve this project so that these

21 | important goals can be realized. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very

23 much.

24 MARK CHERTOK: Good afternoon. My name

25 | is Mark Chertok. I'm an environmental lawyer with

2 the law firm of Sive, Paget and Riesel. Although

1

4 position as the last speaker of the day. I just

5 wanted to set some context to a lot of the comments

6 that have been made about contamination in the

7 | site. First of all, the site's been subjected to

8 date to three rounds or four round of sampling; two

9 by GLA's consultants, one, as you heard this

10 afternoon, by a consulting firm for SCA and the

11 | city itself did sampling on the dock area and along

12 | the pipelined area from the tank. And so

13 | altogether there were probably about close to 60

14 | soil samples and nearly 20 groundwater samples.

15 | The results are remarkably consistent. They show

16 | basically what you have is typical urban fill, the

17 same type of fill that has limited amounts, very

18 nominal amounts of metals and semi-volatiles and

19 other constituents, which are all over the city,

20 | but nothing unusual and no hazardous waste was

21 | found. So this a site that frankly the testing

22 | shows is far less contaminated than other sites in

23 the city along the Waterfront that have been

24 | developed, such as Queens West; not even close in

25 terms of a comparison. There's been a number of

these with facts and, you know, science so to

speak. Second, there's been a claim that there is

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2.4

2 | tanks below the site that hold I think 58 to 60,000

3 gallons of petroleum. Very recently, we [chime]

4 did a ground penetrating radar test on the project

5 site, which showed no basis for finding any tanks

6 on the project site. That's radar that goes deep

7 ground. It indicates whether there's structures

8 that are underground. You'd have to have a vast

9 member of tanks to have 85,000 gallons in tanks

10 underground and not one of the borings on the site

11 or even on the rest of this property have ever hit

12 | a tank like that in a boring. There's only one

13 | tank been found in a former auto repair area with a

14 | mild spill taken care of; cleaned up. You

15 mentioned Newtown Creek. As you all know, the

16 | testing done by EPA has shown that the mouth of the

17 creek, which is where this site is close to, is far

18 | less contaminated than upstream and it's even

19 unclear whether that will be kept then as a

20 superfund site and the site planning takes full

21 | account of flooding. And finally, in a sense is a

22 | Newhart superfund site. Now, that is a superfund

23 | site that is listed by the New York State DEC.

It's undergoing remediation under the auspices of

25 DEC. To date, sampling has not indicated that the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phthalates, which are used in plasticizers, have reached the site we're talking about today. plume, so to speak, of plasticizers has not yet migrated off the site and should not migrate off the site because DEC will be imposing... it has imposed and will continue to impose remediation to keep that, so there's not a threat to anyone outside that site. And finally, this site is an Edesignation, which means that in addition to the sampling that was done, additional sampling will have to be done under the Office of Environmental Remediation protocols. It'll be expensive. office will impose conditions on the construction and remediation, which will include things like dust control, air monitoring, making sure that the construction process is safe and will not endanger the community and that after the development is done this site will be capped with clean soil or concrete or asphalt and won't pose any danger. finally, I can assure you that when soil, if it has any contamination and a lot of this is mild contamination because it's urban fill, it'll be sampled and it'll be shipped to an appropriate

landfill, which will not be in the Catskills,

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 16
2	`cause I don't believe there are any open landfills
3	anymore in the Catskills. It'll be in a licensed
4	landfill and it'll be taken care of. So in short,
5	the E-designation will ensure that this entire
6	site, including the property that's the subject of
7	the development today, will be safe and won't have
8	any issues of contamination. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Thank you very
10	much. Sir, I just wanted to follow up with a
11	question. So there's been sampling that's been
12	done by the SCA and other sampling that's gone on
13	on the site where the school is set to be?
14	MARK CHERTOK: Correct.
15	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Can we have access
16	to those results?
17	MARK CHERTOK: Those results have all
18	been provided to the Office of Environmental
19	Remediation and
20	[crosstalk]
21	CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So if I call OER
22	tomorrow and ask for the results, they'll give them
23	to me?

MARK CHERTOK: They should.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mm-hm.

24

MARK CHERTOK: I can't speak for the city, but they are part of...

[crosstalk]

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mm-hm.

[crosstalk]

MARK CHERTOK: Your organization the last time I checked.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mm-hm. And then so the reason I'm concerned about it is that there's... anecdotally there's been a lot of cases of brain cancers and autoimmune disorders, other cancers in the area and it's... if you talk to anybody that's lived in Greenpoint you know, oldtimer that's been around for a while, that's again, a notorious site, the one that's the adjacent site that manufactured the vinyl, so it was... you know, it used to run 24/7 and it's a kind of a nasty imposing place and it's has... you know, there's... I mean honestly we've been in discussions with a medical school about doing an environmental health review for the neighborhood you know, widespread and so I'm trying to quantify if there are you know, hot spots in terms of different health impacts and so this is an area... that site

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DISPOSITIONS AND CONCESSIONS 165							
regardless of its proximity to Greenpoint Landing,							
having nothing to do with Greenpoint Landing, has							
become an area of focus because of its potential							
impacts over the years and those are substances							
that don't you know, don't just go away.							
MARK CHERTOK: There's no question that							

Greenpoint, like many other areas of the city, have an industrial past, but I think what's important for this particular site is that there's been sampling done, including groundwater, which is basically how contaminates migrate, and there's been no hits, so to speak, or groundwater showing any kind of concern, including the phthalates from the new park facility.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So that plume has not migrated at all off-site; it's all entirely...

[crosstalk]

MARK CHERTOK: The most recent data that we've seen and now, we don't have all the data 'cause it's on... DEC hasn't processed it yet. shows that it's not gone off that site.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: So there's no evidence of phthalates then down on the site...

[crosstalk]

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARK CHERTOK: We found...

[crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Where the...

MARK CHERTOK: We found no phthalates in the sampling that was done on our site and I don't believe TRC, which is the consulting firm used by SCA, found phthalates in the groundwater samples that were done there. Their samples were very similar... their results were consistent with existing urban fill on the site.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Okay, in my experience with DEC, we've... they've in different types of plumes, they've been able to essentially draw on a map where the plume is. Is that available now? Do we know where the plume is? Do we know...

## [crosstalk]

MARK CHERTOK: We don't know because you know, DEC is... there's been an ongoing for more information from what we can get through follow up requests, which is the only thing we can do.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: Mm-hm.

MARK CHERTOK: Because we're not the property owner or responsible party is that the remediation was ongoing and DEC believed it should be enhanced and more aggressive remediation should be put in place. That's the last we heard.

CHAIRPERSON LEVIN: What are the health impacts potentially of phthalates?

MARK CHERTOK: I believe it can affect the endocrine system. If it's... obviously, it's sufficient quantities over sufficient time like many contaminates.

everybody's testimony and I appreciate you all taking the time this afternoon and this morning to be here for this hearing. I just wanted to keep everybody 'til after 5:00, so it's after 5:00, so I'll let you all go home. We're going to close the public hearing on Land Use Numbers 971, 974 and 990. [background voice] Hm? Oh, oh, I'm sorry, 971 to 974 and 990, thank you and with that, this meeting is adjourned.

[gavel]

## C E R T I F I C A T E

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.



Date: \_\_\_\_12/24/2013\_\_\_\_\_