CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----X

June 20, 2013 Start: 1:12 pm Recess: 4:59 pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

PETER F. VALLONE, JR.

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik Martin Dilan Helen D. Foster Daniel R. Garodnick James F. Gennaro Vincent J. Gentile Daniel J. Halloran III

Eric A. Ulrich

David G. Greenfield

## APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Cas Holloway Deputy Mayor for Operations City of New York

Linda Gibbs
Deputy Mayor for Health and Human Services
City of New York

Steven Banks Chief Attorney Legal Aid Society

Giselle Routhier Policy Analyst Coalition for the Homeless

Michael Stoller Executive Director Human Services Counsel

Sara Felsenthal Metropolitan Council on Jewish Poverty

David Berman
Disaster Services Lead
Food Bank for New York City

Lisa Levy Policy, Advocacy and Organizing Director New York City Coalition against Hunger

Juan Camilo Osorio Director of Research New York City Environmental Justice Alliance

Christine Rangel National Electrical Contracting Association

## APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Jeffrey Frediani Legislative Analyst AAA New York

Jose Sogard Metropolitan Waterfront Alliance

Susan Dooha Executive Director Center for Independence of the Disabled

Julia Pinover Senior Staff Attorney New York Office of Disability Rights Advocates

Jeff Lieberman Director Coalition of Institutionalized, Aging and Disabled

Sophia Carlton Coalition of Institutionalized, Aging and Disabled

Ruth Finkelstein New York Academy of Medicine

Patricia Caridad New York State Nurses' Association

Rabbi Jenice Batitia Leadership Team Disaster Chaplaincy Services of New York

Laura Abel Senior Policy Counsel Lawyers' Alliance for New York

Mark Ladove Staff Attorney New York Lawyers' for the Public Interest

> **Ubiqus** 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

## APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)

Grace Oddo New York State Nurses' Association

> **Ubiqus** 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 \* 800-221-7242 \* Fax: 212-227-7524

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Welcome

everyone to this hearing on the Public Safety Committee. I am back to the Committee room. have not been in this room in years for a hearing, and it is clearly not big enough. I remembered this being bigger. Sorry about the short delay, but today we are holding an oversight hearing to review the city's Hurricane Sandy after action report and recommendations. Additionally, we will be considering ten pieces of legislation all of which aim at improving the city's response to severe weather events and emergencies. On October 29<sup>th</sup>, as we know super storm Sandy hit. As a result 43 New Yorkers lost their lives, half of those on Staten Island. Tens of thousands were injured, were temporarily or permanently displaced by the storm's impact. In an effort to assess the city's preparation for and response to and the recovery efforts stemming from Sandy, the Council held 11 hearings in January and February of this year. At these hearings, the Council heard testimony from the Mayor's Office and various city agencies, advocates, community members - - gain insight into the city's response. With this

knowledge, the Council was able to identify
shortcomings in the city's response and develop a
list of recommendations regarding how the city can
better prepare and respond to emergencies. We
gave those recommendations to the Mayor's Office
and then on May 3 <sup>rd</sup> , Deputy Mayor Cas Holloway and
Deputy Mayor Linda Gibbs released the city's
Hurricane Sandy after action report. This
evaluated the city's response and issued
recommendation on how the response could be
strengthened in the future. These recommendations
focused on six core areas including
communications, general and healthcare facility
evacuations, public safety, general and special
medical needs sheltering, response and recovery
logistics and community recovery services. As a
result the information obtained at the hearings,
the Council recommendations and the
administration's plan, the committee is now
considering ten bills today aimed at improving the
city's response to future emergencies. These
bills would require the city's Office of Emergency
Management to work with city agency partners and
others to improve the city's preparation for these

type of events, specifically, these bills require
OEM to develop strategies and implement the
following: Intro 1053 introduced by Council
Members Arroyo and Lappin, seeks to create a plan
to track the location and medical needs of
individuals in special medical needs shelters;
Intro 1054 introduced by Council Member Comrie
would create a community recovery plan that
provides a basic structure for relief operations
in the community including recovery directors,
who would act as a point of contact for residents
of community groups; Intro 1065 introduced by
Council Members Koppell and Gonzalez would create
a plan to identify vulnerable and homebound
populations before, during and after emergencies
to ensure that they have access to necessary
supplies, such as food, water and medicine; Intro
1069 introduced by Council Member Oddo would
create a plan to ensure that the public has
adequate access to food and water; 1070 introduced
by Palma creates a plan to ensure that evacuation
shelters are properly stocked and adequate for
short, medium and long stays; Intro 1072
introduced by Council Member Reyna would create a

recovery plan for small business; 1073 introduced
by Council Members Richards, Lappin, and Arroyo
would create a plan to improve coordination in the
event of evacuations from healthcare facilities;
1076 introduced by Council Members Rose and Vacca
seeks to create a traffic management plan and 1077
introduced by Vacca would create a fuel management
plan to ensure access to fuel as well as
priority in obtaining fuel during such a shortage.
In addition, the proposed bill introduced by
Council Members Rodriguez and Gentile would
require OEM to regularly review these plans, the
coastal storm plans and any other plans created by
the office to make appropriate changes regularly
and as necessary. Furthermore, they are required
to provide copies of these plans to the Council as
well as any proposed changes to the plans
determined by these regular reviews. I would like
to remind people that prior to Sandy the Public
Safety Committee held two hearings, one in '05 and
one in '09. In '05, the city had no plan and '09
I held another hearing entitled are we ready for a
hurricane, and many people made fun of me saying
we are never going to have a hurricane, but the

city had a plan and to its credit, it implemented
that plan, and I think lives were saved because
they had that plan. Clearly, the plan didn't go
far enough, and that is why we are here today to
keep improving that plan. I do want to go off
topic for a half a second. Two days ago, I walked
around the Rockaways with about 20 to 30 members
of the community and frankly I was outraged at the
lack of progress that is going on in that area. I
was appalled that the entire peninsula has been
left defenseless. At this late date eight months
after Sandy, it is still defenseless to the next
storm. Virtually nothing has been done to protect
the residents. I watched high tide come right up,
right up, just regular high tide to the remains of
the boardwalk. The entire peninsula faces
reading from this book, and I am quoting from this
book, the entire Rockaway Peninsula faces
continued risk of flood and wave action. In the
event of a storm, the entire peninsula without
additional protection is vulnerable to a storm
surge, and yet there has been no beach
replenishment, no jetties put in, no dunes put in.
there have been small islands of the boardwalk

that have been completed, but they haven't been
made as a barrier by any stretch of the
imagination. I don't know why they are just a
boardwalk with room underneath it for water to go,
and I read this PlanNYC, and it calls for more
plans and more studies and we hope to have some
beach replenishment started in July and we hope to
put up some sort of barrier, which I heard about
today, which basically is sandbags along the
beach. Eight months after Sandy what I did see
are lifeguard stations, which look like spaceships
that cost apparently four million dollars each. I
was a lifeguard. I never had a station. I didn't
need a station, but the city put up lifeguard
stations costing millions of dollars before they
put up any protection for these stations. I hope
these stations float because if a storm hits, they
are going to be really expensive lifeboats, and
are a complete waste of taxpayer money. Who puts
up lifeguard stations before they put up
protection for those stations and protection for
our businesses? The priorities are out of whack,
and I am looking forward to hearing testimony
about not just planning, but the implementation of

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these plans and why the people in the Rockaways 2 are still vulnerable after eight months. 3 joined by the members of the Public Safety 4 5 Committee and other people who have bills on today. We have Council Members Palma and Ignizio 6 and Garodnick and Koppell and Oddo, and I know that I mentioned a few of them, so let me go to 9 Council Member Koppell, who is a sponsor of one of the bills for a few short remarks. 10

> COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you. You have introduced the bills, and I think they all deal with significant problems that were identified in our hearings. As you know, one of the responsibilities of the committee I chair are disability services and there are certainly shortfalls, shortcomings in the response to the disabled, and in fact we heard testimony at the hearing, there was as much as ten days later than people who couldn't leave their homes because of disabilities were finally contacted, and in addition many of the contacts of the disabled were done by voluntary organizations and not for profits. There is nothing wrong with that, but they were uncoordinated. There were efforts made

by different organizations and different areas and
undoubtedly some people were overlooked. There
has got to be a coordinated response. I also
might mention, Mr. Chairman, that for a number of
years long before Sandy, I sponsored similar
legislation to the ones being considered today,
which looked at providing for a plan to assist
disabled individuals in the case of an emergency.
I believe and still continue to believe that it
would be worthwhile to develop a register of
people. Now I know there is some people who are
concerned about being put on such a register, and
we certainly could have a procedure that if
someone didn't want to be on the register, they
could be taken off the register. And another
concern that has been raised with respect to
registers is that people move. Of course people
move, but with modern computers and other devices
to identify where people are, the fact that
someone moves is not a reason not to put them on
the list. Either they can be asked to identify
themselves when they move or if they have moved
and then they are identified, the list can be
amended, so I believe that in fact it would be

wise to have such a register and Bill 1065
contemplates the possibility of the creation of
such a register and I think that work has to be
done to develop such a register as I said. I
think safeguards can be incorporated, but I want
to make mention of the fact that this is not only
for a hurricane. This is where a building catches
fire. This is where there is a cutoff of
electricity for a period of time to a particular
neighborhood. This is where there is a cutoff of
water, where there is a water main break. The
government should know, relevant agencies should
know where there are people that need special help
in the case of an emergency, and I think that this
bill moves so well in that direction, and I hope
that when the plans are created it is created not
only for hurricanes, but for any emergency. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Briefly to Council Member Oddo. I do want to

point out that these aren't the first bills that

he and I sponsored legislation, which had already

been passed, which doubles the penalties and fines

for looting in an evacuation zone, so there has

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 already been legislation that we passed going out
3 of our investigation.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make a brief very comment, and that is to say that that Tuesday morning after the storm hit, when we drove down Father Capodanno Boulevard in Staten Island. Those are images that will stay with me to my dying day--stuff that we had only seen in the movie screens and on TV and there was sort of Technicolor in your home community and we still have to move heaven and earth to make sure that that scenario doesn't play itself out. I am appreciative to the administration for the work to date. I recognize that we have a whole lot more to do. probably as impatient as anyone in this building in getting the work that needs to be done, and I appreciate the ten bills that are on today. have six months and two weeks left working together, and I just I want to maximize that time, so I appreciate the cooperation and look forward to passing these bills and doing a whole lot more than that. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you. A

few more sponsors have shown up, so I am going to give them an opportunity for a brief opening statement. The testimony by the administration will be lengthy and comprehensive and we have a number of people who have signed up to testify. Remember right now look at your testimony; it is going to be three minutes. We are going to try to limit everyone to three minutes so that everyone can get to speak, so if it is longer than that you are going to have to summarize. We are going to go to Council Member Vacca--Annabel Palma? Jimmy, she waved--would you like to speak or no?

speaking for years. Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you - - . I wanted to speak on my bills and we in the Bronx were significantly spared compared to what happened in other boroughs in this city, yet we still had major problems and what we do in the future concerning fuel management and traffic pertains to all of us here in the city of New York. If super storm Sandy taught us anything it is that we need to be better prepared for future disasters. We can't control the weather, but it is absolutely imperative that we learn from our

prior shortcomings and plan for future states of
emergency. I propose two pieces of legislation,
Intro 1076, which calls for a traffic management
plan in response to emergency conditions and Intro
1077, which calls for a fuel management plan. I'd
like to thank Council Member Rose for co-
sponsoring 1076. As we remember, it was not easy
to get around the city following the devastation
that super storm Sandy brought. Subways were
flooded, were severely damaged. Roads were
treacherous and a prolonged power outage
victimized our city and left it in the dark.
While many of these things were out of our
control, 1076 requires OEM to work with other
agencies to devise a traffic management plan so
that New Yorkers are not left stranded when
disaster strikes. The plan would include back up
sources of power for traffic signals and street
lights, expanded bus and ferry service, alternate
bus routing, permitted for livery vehicles and
better accessible transportation for people with
disabilities. While traffic management is key,
this plan will be difficult to implement with the
fuel management plan proposed in 1077. My bill

2	requires OEM to devise a fuel management plan
3	during times of scarcity. In emergency
4	conditions, it is essential that we are able to
5	receive critical fuel supplies. Furthermore, it
6	is important that those limited fuel resources are
7	prioritized for emergency and rescue efforts and
8	other critical infrastructure, so I thank you,
9	Chair Vallone. I thank members of the Committee
10	for their consideration.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.

Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Chairman. One thing that we as a city learned is that after Irene and Sandy is that it is in our history to expect that we will be hit major natural disasters every 100 years. all the experts they are saying that we can even be hit every ten years, so we have to continue being more prepared as a city, and that it is why it is so important - - calling on my colleagues to support the Intro that I have to - - Council Member Gentile, 1075, would call for reporting, talking points. The OMB reporting bill will provide full transparency as to how the city government has

2.

learned from the recent storms and the Council
to inform our constituency of our emergency
preparedness and how agencies will cope with large
scale emergencies. As such this bill is a forward
thinking policy that if implemented will reduce
the human and financial costs for coordinated
disaster response in our city. So I believe that
this is a bill that also will allow to learn
from previous and future natural disasters so that
we can be better prepared and inform our city on
what they should do in order to be ready for a
potential natural disaster. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Council

Member Reyna?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you,
Chair Vallone. I just wanted to chime in on the
proposed bill that we have in front of us for the
small business recovery plan, Intro 1072. In
February the Committee on Small Businesses held a
public hearing on the state of the small business
community post Sandy, and we were amazed at the
approximate number of 13,000 plus small businesses
accounted for post Hurricane Sandy. Two of the
main points that were made very clear by the

business owners and advocates that testified at
that hearing despite best efforts, many impacted
businesses did not know that there were resources
provided by the city, specifically for businesses
in need and two, the city did not have the proper
mechanisms in place to identify the most pressing
needs of impacted businesses quickly and
efficiently. The bill that I have sponsored and
that will be discussed today will call upon the
city to develop an emergency plan to address those
concerns in the small business community. The
bill requires the Mayor's Office of Emergency
Management to coordinate with the Department of
Small Business Services to create a strategy for
how the city can predict and prevent damage to
businesses and in the event of an emergency to
expeditiously assist in the recovery of impacted
businesses so that there is a reduction or at best
the elimination of any delay. I would like to
thank our Speaker for her unwavering leadership
post Hurricane Sandy, working with the
administration on their efforts in fulfilling the
commitment of getting to this point and Chairman
Vallone for conducting this hearing and my

2.

colleagues for the package of bills as we better
prepare for any emergency disaster. I would like
to thank Jeffrey Campana [phonetic], counsel to
the committee and Faith Corbett, policy analyst to
my Committee on Small Buginess Thank you Chair

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Now we are going to go to our witnesses from the city. I guess we will begin with Deputy Mayor Cas Holloway. Thank you.

and good afternoon everybody. Good afternoon,
Chairman Vallone and other members of the Council.
Thank you for having me here today to talk about
the progress we have made evaluation the city's
response to Hurricane Sandy and our roadmap to
improve our operations for the next coastal storm
and other severe weather events. I am joined
today by Deputy Mayor for Health and Human
Services, Linda Gibbs, who co-chaired the city's
post storm review, and when I testified before the
City Council in January of this year, I committed
that we would review every aspect of the city's
preparation for and immediate response to
Hurricane Sandy including the operations to clear

debris, de-water infrastructure and housing,
provide temporary power to critical facilities and
housing with generators, assess damage to
structures and effected areas and provide relief
by distributing food and water, medical care and
supplies to thousands of New Yorkers. We have
fulfilled that commitment on May 3 <sup>rd</sup> when Deputy
Mayor Gibbs and I released the Hurricane Sandy
after action report here. It contains 59 specific
recommendations in six categories that Chairman
Vallone you described and they are designed to
strengthen the city's capacity to respond to
future coastal storms. The after action report is
the product of a rigorous collaborative process
with more than 125 city employees who work for
more than two dozen city agencies and offices. In
addition to input from frontline responders and
agency managers, the Council held comprehensive
hearings about Sandy earlier this year, some of
which were discussed in opening statements. You
shared many of your findings with us and thanks
very much to the very great work of the staff who
put together very intensive summaries of those
hearings. Thank you for your thoughtful attention

2	and feedback. In comparing the Council's
3	recommendations with our report, a majority are
4	completely aligned with our findings. Our other
5	recommendations seek to achieve goals that we also
6	identified in our review, but differ on the terms
7	of proposed implementation and other details.
8	Some brief context. Hurricane Sandy was a
9	devastating storm that tragically took the lives
10	of 43 New Yorkers and severely impacted thousands
11	of families. The details of the storm and its
12	impacts are already well chronicled and I won't
13	recount them here except to direct you to the long
14	term resiliency plan that Mayor Bloomberg released
15	on June 11 <sup>th</sup> . We call it a stronger, more
16	resilient New York, and it is available on
17	nyc.gov, and if you know who to talk to you, you
18	might be able to get a copy. Taken together the
19	after action review and the mayor's resiliency
20	plan provide a detailed roadmap to first
21	strengthen the city's emergency preparedness and
22	response to future disasters; second, understand
23	the full impacts of Hurricane Sandy on the city
24	and future climate change impacts New Yorkers can
25	expect; and third, the concrete steps we can take

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the immediate, medium and long term to prepare the city to weather these impacts, and just with respect to this plan which Chairman Vallone, you referenced at the beginning as a book, this is a comprehensive plan, not just a report that has 250 initiatives in it. It is true that some of them require plans because planning is important. of them though chronicle things that we are already doing including on the Rockaway Peninsula where for example, the 1.5 million cubic yards of sand that Sandy took away from beaches along the Rockaways is going to start to be replaced by three and a half cubic tons of sand as of two weeks from now. All of the 35 lifeguard stations that were destroyed as a result of Sandy have been replaced. It is true far from being a waste of taxpayer money though, all of those have been elevated and replaced so that the beaches could be opened in time for this year's summer season, which I think considering millions of New Yorkers use those beaches for the entire summer that is probably a pretty good investment of money. also have dozens of other initiatives in the Rockaways, all of which are chronicled. In

addition for all of the neighborhoods that were
hardest hit by Sandy, you will find in this report
and plan which I submit is probably the most
comprehensive plan of its type that has ever been
done, and it was done in seven months and that is
thanks to Seth Pinsky and a team of 30 professions
we put together, they detail the history of the
neighborhoods most heavily impacted, their
geography, what Sandy did to them, the
infrastructure that was impacted, the economic
impact and a full demographic breakdown in
addition to very detailed initiatives, many of
which are already underway in terms of bringing
resources to those neighborhoods, so I should
start by saying that while this is about a report
of an after action plan and since in opening
statements you referenced the SIRR review we are
doing far more than writing words on paper. In
fact we are not just planning. We are acting.
The United States Department of Housing and Urban
Development has approved the city's action plan A,
which outlines how we will spend the first
installment of 1.77 billion in federal relief aid
to assist many New Yorkers impacted by the storm

as possible and better prepare the city for the
next storm and other climate related impacts. In
fact on June $3^{\rm rd}$ , the mayor launched build it back,
the city's program to assist homeowners, landlords
and tenants that is currently accepting
registration on nyc.gov and 311. As of 8 am this
morning in fact and Council Member Oddo, you were
there, the announcement of this, we were in Staten
Island together, 9,000 property owners and tenants
have registered for build it back, all of which
has about four or five separate programs, all of
those are on schedule, and we are helping business
owners recover too. Today we have approved more
than 650 loans totaling nearly 15 million and we
have waived three and a half million in sales
taxes related to 157 million of rebuilding work by
nearly 100 businesses. We are also accepting the
applications for loans in federal relief funds and
expect to begin issuing those loans shortly from
our action plan. Now, turning to the after action
report specifically, which again is about the
immediate preparations for and response to the
storm itself by the city, it is a high level
summary of recommended improvements to the city's

operations before, during and after the storm. It
is not intended to be and it is not an exhaustive
list of all lessons learned and internal
adjustments that city agencies will make and in
many cases already have made to staffing,
communications, and deployment of resources. The
report has been online for several months and I
have actually spoken myself extensively about it,
each with some of the members here individually,
so I am not going to summarize every
recommendation, but I would like to highlight a
few that will illustrate the breadth and depth of
the effort. First on updated hurricane evacuation
zones, one of the key recommendations was to
review and update the evacuation maps and zones
that are a critical component of the city's
comprehensive coastal storm plan. This past
Tuesday OEM Commissioner, Joe Bruno and I released
new hurricane evacuation zones that reflect more
sophisticated modeling and forecasting from the
National Weather Service than was previously
available. The evacuation zones on the pre-Sandy
maps are correspondent to the anticipated flooding
caused by hurricanes categorized on what is the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Wind Scale. One of the major differences between Hurricane Sandy and Irene that explains their vastly different impacts on the city was the different bearings of those storms, specifically the direction and strength of the storm winds as Sandy approached and ultimately struck the city, and in fact, the first chapter of the SIRR report is a detailed analysis of exactly how this storm formed and impacted the city, and I strongly encourage you if you want to understand why it was so unique and powerful in its impacts to review that chapter. Based on this updated modeling a Category 1 hurricane heading north/northwest would have impacts similar to a Category 2 storm brewing north/northeast. more finely calibrated evacuation zones will allow the mayor to more effectively communicate with and evacuate those residents who are most at risk based on the characteristics of a particular During Sandy, we saw that many New Yorkers who live in an evacuation zone did not follow the mayor's instruction to evacuate in advance of the In fact a survey we conducted as part of storm. our after action review shows that people decided

not to evacuate for a number of reasons ranging
from concern about personal property to a believe
that their homes were well-built and could
withstand the impact of a storm. Tragically we
saw that that was too often not the case. In
connection with release of the new maps, OEM is
update its ready New York hurricane guide in 11
languages and a copy will be mailed to every home
and business in the evacuation zones later this
month. We are also working with community groups
and organizations to raise awareness of the zones
and emergency preparedness in these areas, and
while these efforts should help us to boost
compliance with an evacuation order next time,
anything that the Council can do generally and
specifically to spread the word particularly
members who represent New Yorkers who live in
those zones would be greatly appreciated.
Improved healthcare facility evacuations, one of
the findings in our after action review was that
not enough of the health care facilities from
hospitals to senior centers to other special needs
facilities had adequate emergency preparation
plans either to shelter in place or to evacuate

both before and after the storm. New York State
regulates healthcare facilities and requires that
nursing homes and hospitals have a backup power
source to allow them to shelter in place and
continue services in the event of a power outage.
The city's special medical needs shelters are
intended to serve individuals who do not live in
or receive care from those facilities, but who
require more resources than what are available at
general evacuation shelters. In the case of
Sandy, we saw that special medical needs shelters
became a last resort placement option for licensed
facilities that were unable to shelter in place or
evacuate their residents to another location to
limit the disruption to care and risk of the
increased morbidity associated with healthcare
facility evacuations, a concern that I highlighted
in my testimony in January and Dr. Farley did as
well, and that is discussed in our after action
review. We committed to work with the state
Department of Health to enforce existing
regulations for minimum facility standards
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
Can you please make sure that they don't use that

door	that	they	come	through	that	door	on	the	side
Thanl	ς you	•							

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Thank you.

...Department of Health to enforce existing

regulations for minimum facility standards and

evacuation planning and to develop any new

regulations necessary to fill gaps in the existing

regulatory framework.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Excuse me.

Standing inside the door is not going to do it.

Someone has to go outside and make sure that they know that and put a barrier up there. Thank you.

public safety assets, the city had approximately
120 light towers on hand immediately after the
storm to maintain public safety and continue
recovery efforts after dark in the areas that lost
power. Given the scope of the power outages Sandy
caused across the city, it is clear that we need
more light towers and other assets available in
future emergencies. Just yesterday I reviewed an
acquisition plan with chief fleet officer Keith
Kerrman [phonetic], who works in the Department of
Citywide Administrative Services, and we are

already moving forward with the purchase of 26 2 million dollars of equipment which includes 200 3 light towers, 35 fuel trucks and 60 forklifts. 4 In 5 fact I was out in Flushing Meadows Park at the annual fleet show that we do, and saw there are 6 actually some solar light trucks that we are going to be getting as part of these 200 additional 9 light towers for use by the police department and 10 public safety agencies. In addition, the police 11 and fire departments are purchasing boats and 12 other assets to increase their response capacity 13 in future emergencies. Better data integration 14 and coordination, field data about on the ground 15 conditions was critical to target response 16 operations where they were needed most. For 17 example, matching HPD's records of high density buildings with utility data enabled us to quickly 18 19 identify high density buildings without critical 20 services -- heat, light and power. This and many 21 other data collection and analytics efforts 22 generally expedited recovery efforts, and in fact 23 it was those efforts that gave rise to one of the 24 more successful immediately post storm programs 25 rapid repairs, in which we decided rather than

bringing temporary shelters like trailers in, we
would try to get people back in their homes. FEMA
worked with us to help us to do that, and is
paying for it, and we ultimately made repairs to
20,000 residential units. In this year's state of
the city address highlighting the importance of
the data and analytics that made those kinds of
initiatives possible, Mayor Bloomberg appointed
Mike Flowers to the newly created position of
chief data and analytics officer. In this role,
Flowers and his team are pulling and synthesizing
data from dozens of agencies and scores of data
sources to increase our understanding of
properties and infrastructure that could lead to
problems down the road or in an emergency. In
fact, the recently activated risk based inspection
system at the fire department is a prominent
example. Based on an algorithm developed with
firefighters, officers and other indicators, for
example, age, construction, and use of a building
fire suppression and egress systems, the new
system dramatically increases the likelihood that
fire companies will be directed to inspect those

point of this example is that by using multiple
data sources to find out where you could see the
highest risk locations or where problems would
exist you can allocate resources more efficiently.
There is no time that that is more important than
in an emergency. The conditions immediately after
Sandy required massive around the clock recovery
and relief operations spread over a large
geographic area that encompassed communities in
all five boroughs. City employees worked
tirelessly to manage the logistics of de-watering
buildings and infrastructure, placing generators
at hospitals and nursing homes, distributing food
and water and instituting high occupancy vehicle
lanes when other transit options were not
available among many other roles. Some
preparation and response operations like
activating the evacuation shelter system proceeded
almost seamlessly because of experience gained
from Hurricane Irene as well as training and
intensive planning in advance of the storm.
Others while successful were developed in a
comparatively ad hoc way based on operational
need. Through the after action review Linda and I

concluded along with many others that a number of
these operations should be codified in what we
called in our review playbooks, written plans that
detail a strategy and implementation plan to
deliver a service or services following an
emergency that can be activated in advance of a
coastal storm or other event. Playbooks currently
in development include a food and water
distribution plan, a fuel and transportation plan,
as I believe Council Member Vacca discussed, a de-
watering and generator plan and a volunteer and
donation management plan. There is a voluntary
and special medical needs plan as well, Council
Member Koppell. We are currently meeting with
stakeholder agencies to put many elements in as
many of these plans in place as possible by the
beginning of the New York City hurricane season on
August $1^{st}$ . June $1^{st}$ is on the National Weather
Service's calendar as the beginning of hurricane
season in New York City as a practical matter. We
don't see the temperatures and kind of conditions
that can give rise to those kinds of storms until
Augustat least not so far. These are just a few
examples of the recommendations in the after

action report. If there is an area I neglected to
mention or cover, please raise it in the questions
and answers following my testimony. I will turn
now to the proposed bills, many of which seek to
legislate the creation of the additional plans or
playbooks that I just described. I'd like to
begin with a heartfelt thank you to Speaker Quinn
in particular and her team as well as you, members
here before me and many other members of the City
Council who worked throughout the storm with Linda
and I toand we were really side by side out in
the boroughs, at OEM, in many places at every part
of the city helping New Yorkers respond and we
witnessed together how quickly conditions change
on the ground and how important it is to have
flexible options to meet the most critical needs.
Indeed, that is precisely why the coastal storm
plan has a modular framework that allows for
flexible activation at the discretion of the
mayor, his senior staff and commissioners and the
seasoned managers and public servants responsible
for everything from policing to sanitation to in
Linda's case navigating complex human and
emergency service processes. The general

observation at the outset with the exception of
Intro 1075 the so called reporting bill and Intro
1073, the special medical needs evacuation bill,
which I will address shortly, the administration
supports the general goals of these bills, and we
recognize that additional planning is needed in
these areas in the form of additions or
refinements to the existing coastal storm plan.
As currently drafted and as we have made clear to
Council staff in advance of this hearing, so no
surprises, many of the bills however, seek to
legislate to a level of detail and impose layers
of iterative oversight that we believe we
inappropriate, unworkable and will actually
decelerate and delay future emergency responses
rather than accelerate them. They are
inappropriate because the details and
responsibility for implementation are properly a
function of the mayor and his or her staff and
responding agency personnel, unworkable because
this level of detail will either prevent the
planning and execution of a successful response
plan or else the law will be ignoredI know the
Council wouldn't want that to happenand a

decelerate [phonetic] because the requirements of
the law in the face of unanticipated or ill-suited
circumstances will at best create confusion and
delay and at worse create a bureaucratic tendency
toward mindless and ineffectual adherence.
Unnecessary perquisite to maintaining public
safety is the ability to bring to bear the
expertise, judgment and discretion that first
responders, emergency managers, healthcare
professionals and dozens of other city employees
in managerial and line level positions are hired
and trained to provide. That includes established
the operations necessary to provide dozens of
services in a manner best suited to the particular
circumstances of an event and not in a matter
dictated by a rule or law. Rather than address
each bill individually, I will discuss our general
concerns as they apply to the group and let me say
that I do believe most of these issues can be
addressed through basically markup sessions
between our staff and the Council staff, as long
as you are willing to do that. The level of
detail included in the legislative plans,
emergency plans are not assembly manuals, they

must be flexible enough to accommodate particular
circumstances and cannot rigidly prescribe how the
mayor and city responders must react to every
condition triggered by severe weather. Our first
concern about this set of bills is a level of
detail and specificity that far surpasses what is
practicable. For example, the community recovery
plan would have us set requirements for the exact
criteria of how to select a borough recovery
director and a deputy borough recovery director.
It would have us detail their roles and points of
contact for generator placements, debris removals,
shelter options, operations, food and water
distribution, household item distribution and
medical services deployment. This level of
specificity ignores the reality that future
emergencies will likely require different
community services with different leadership
structure than what was put in place during Sandy
as effective as they may have been. Or that at
best the person for the job might have a different
background from the borough recover directors who
Mayor Bloomberg appointed following the most
recent storm. The shelter plan required under

Intro 1070 legislates the specific mechanism by
which outgoing shelter staff must transition to
incoming staff. Like the hearings that produced
this legislation our after action review found
room for improvement in shelter staffing
operations, and we have committed to developing a
plan to shorten how long congregative evacuation
shelters remain open, so let me be clear we agree.
Improvements need to be made to the shelter
staffing process, but the requirements in the bill
are unduly prescriptive and they single out just
one of many elements in a shelter plan. The bill
calls for the assignment of an OEM staff member at
every evacuation shelter effectively eliminating
the ability of the OEM commissioner to direct
agency operations or the mayor or other senior
managers to decide which staff are best suited to
which assignments, and let me just offer personal
observation that overly prescribing at the staff
level who should do what would make things really
difficult unless you made a decision in the
executive capacity that you just were going to
take it as advisory and then do what was best, but
I assume that is not what the Council has in mind.

So the city's non-profit service providers and
advocacy groups took over food and water
distribution for the city as we transitioned from
immediate relief to ongoing recovery. This
transition worked well and we expect to
memorialize it in the food and water distribution
plan that we are developing; however, the food and
water plan requires detailed logistics, planning
and execution that will depend on the specific
incident. For example whether the affected areas
have power, the impact of the incident on regional
transportation and that supplies the city with
food and the needs of effected communities. As
written this bill would not allow the city to
focus recovery efforts where they are most needed
because it adds the additional obligation of
supporting food pantries, soup kitchens and food
benefits programs without consideration of whether
or not these providers will have the greatest
impact where help is needed the most. This bill
would have us sacrifice valuable time to figure
out the questions such as whether a building that
houses one of these providers has power, is
structurally sound and is accessible by available

means of transportation, another personal
observation. The identification of buildings,
residences and other facilities without power or
the prioritization of what was going to be
repowered and how was probably one of the tasks
that consumed the greatest amount of my time
immediately after the storm, and while we
certainly need to develop a plan and our after
action report contemplates that and we are happy
to be ordered to do so in a bill. The level of
detail that is included in the bill has to be
carefully balanced between wanting to have a plan
that you can know what is going to happen or know
that that need that was done in an ad hoc way this
time around is going to be addressed and you are
going to be able to do things in advance the next
time around and deciding that the bill is going to
decide how you are going to execute that. So that
is the theme that runs through the
administration's objections to most of the bills,
and again, these are details that I think the
quickest fix to many of them is just strip out
some of the detail, and we will produce the plan
and we will take your feedback. Pre-

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

identification of resources and locations and the need for confidentially, the effects of Hurricane Sandy were most severe in the communications - -Jamaica Bay, and eastern shores of Staten Island and Lower Manhattan. One thing we learned while researching a stronger more resilient New York was if the storm had had during a different period in the tide cycle, the Bronx and northern Queens would have borne the brunt of the damage. I note this to illustrate the futility of committing to use pre-identified resources and locations for recovery operations, not to mention the planning and other resources that would be wasted in doing so. Recovery operations must be adapted to the circumstances on the ground. Without mass transit or fuel even a quarter of a mile is an insurmountable distance for some and city agencies cannot be bound by two locations are forced to make personnel assignments dictated by a law that has no connection to the facts. Moreover, preidentifying and publishing the locations of assets and staging areas presents a public safety hazard by introducing the risk that New Yorkers seeking shelter would arrive at a shelter that had not

been opened or that people seeking food would
arrive at a location that had not been activated.
Unfortunately, we must also consider that people
with malicious intent could use this information
to damage facilities that are critical to city
operations. This is also true for fuel sites,
evacuation routes and transportation routes for
priority goods and services. This is not to
suggest that any reporting would be unduly
burdensome. A number of city agencies including
the NYPD have regular reporting requirements to
the Council and have protocols in place to redact
certain types of information and to limit how the
documents are distributed. It is imperative that
these sites or protections be applied to any
emergency plan that is shared with the Council,
and I believe that we did develop such an
effective way of transmitting information even
with the current coastal storm plan before the
hearings in January. Registry andbefore I move
on to the registry of vulnerable and homebound
individuals maybe a summary 'cause these are the
areas on which as a practical matter there is no

the evacuation plan that I will talk about in a
minute for special and medical needs people that
we object to and nor do we object to being
legislated, but this balance between the detail
and flexibility and what is actually being asked
for in the law is extremely important, and while
all of us here to a person actually went through
the storm experience once you legislate things
like this, and I have seen this in the application
of rules by other government agencies, they will
follow the rule before they will think
independently about what is going to best meet the
circumstances of the situation, and that is
something that we definitely do not want. New
York City is famous for not doing that. We don't
want to start doing it. In the months after the
storm, the city with the National Guard and
nonprofit and voluntary organizations to go door
to door to check on the well-being of the city's
homebound residents in areas without power.
Although this operation was one of the most
important ways in which provided necessary food,
water and household items and medical care to some
of the city's most vulnerable residents, we cannot

support the creation of a standing registry of
citizens who may require care at their homes
during and after an emergency. FEMA and the
Department of Homeland Security Office of Civil
Rights and Civil Liberties in fact has published
guidance about the limitations of registries for
special medical needs and vulnerable populations,
including "that registries should not be used as a
master tool for first responders and that the
smaller the community, the more effective the
registry." Unfortunately, that doesn't really
apply to New York. For these reasons we do not
think that a registry is a viable solution to the
challenge of providing care to homebound and other
vulnerable individuals in a city as large as New
York, though the plan we are drafting will
certainly address this challenge through other
strategies and again, it is not that wewe
understand that you have to be able to identify
people with special needs. The specific remedy is
the question, and one more thing. We have
registries that are very effective. For example,
the World Trade Center healthcare registry. It
also is true that we have invested millions and

millions of dollars in making that effective, and
it is a fixed comparatively population that we
followed for years. This is a much different
thing, and so if it is going to be required, you
need to keep in mind the level of resources that
it would take to do something which in our view
one of the biggest problems with it is it
essentially becomes obsolete from the minute it
gets finished, and so that is one of the most
significant objections we have. I am going to
turn now to the two specific, probably more
significant objections or issuesregulatory
authority of the special medical needs evacuation
bill and duplication of work for the special
medication needs tracking bill. As I described in
my testimony on January 16 <sup>th</sup> , the city collocated
many operations with our partners in the state and
federal governments. One example is the state
Department of Health, the regulatory authority
over healthcare facilities who worked from the
healthcare evacuation center at the OEM emergency
operations center. Based on the experience that
many facilities that are required to have systems
in place to allow them to shelter in place did not

have those systems in place or that those systems
were inadequate, the city committed in our after
action report to work with the state to hold
facilities responsible for meeting the existing
requirements for backup power and patient
trackingthe subject of two of the considered
bills. Linda and I both have dealt with
Commissioner in the state Department of
Health, and it is obviously a priority for them as
well. Although we are firm in our commitment to
better preparing health care facilities for severe
weather events, the city does not have the
jurisdictional authority to implement the measure
of the special medical needs evacuation bill and
must oppose it. Furthermore, on June 5 <sup>th</sup> , Governor
Cuomo announced the New York State evacuation of
facilities and disasters system also known as the
New York State e-fines [phonetic], which will
largely fulfill the goal of the tracking bill. I
urge the Council to work with us to form an
appropriate solution for achieving these goals in
a way that does not give rise to a battle between
the city's administrative code and New York State
law or that duplicates the efforts of a parallel

state agency. Threshold for activation and
reporting, many of the city's weather related
emergency plans are activated frequently and
without need for significant review after every
activation. For example a personal favorite, the
flash flood warning plan, was activated three
separate times during the weekend of June $22^{\text{nd}}$ and
23 <sup>rd</sup> . This is not uncommon duringlast year that
is. This is not uncommon during New York City's
hot and humid summers. As former DEP
commissioner, the flash flood activation plan was
the most often activated plan, and it is a good
example of how the reporting bill would impose an
impractical and burdensome obligation if required
to assess the adequacy of this plan after every
activation. In its current formand by the way
that is every time that the weather forecast calls
for the potential of an inch or more of rain in a
60 minute period. In its current form, the
reporting bill is unworkable and we have to oppose
it. We are very willing to work with the Council
to amend the bill as well as of the proposed
legislation in all of this legislation in ways
consistent with my comments, and I hope you will

work with us to do so. For example, we propose
that the reporting bill be limited to the
component elements of the coastal storm plan and
that the city only be required to notify the
Council of material changes to these plans
regardless of whether they are made in connection
with an activation or for any other reason, and in
fact that is one way in which we are suggesting a
broader reporting requirement. After the plan is
activated of course you are going to want to
evaluate what happened in a given situation.
Agencies do that all of the time for things big
and small. If we are able to draw the right kind
of boundaries for what would be required in terms
of reporting to you even when those evaluations
are done, I am sure that we could come to some
kind of an agreement, but it is the case that some
of these plans are being looked at in ordinary
course or because new leadership or management
comes into play, and I presume that the Council
would want to have any material change to a plan
reported to it regardless of the circumstances
under which it was made, so we would certainly be
willing to do that as well. Now I have only

discussed the major themes of our objections to
the considered bills. There are many other
smaller specific concerns that I am sure as I said
can be address on a markup session or two that we
stand ready and willing to do. In closing, I want
to reiterate that we share almost every one of the
goals in the bills, and we are absolutely in
alignment on the overall goal, better preparedness
going forward and capacity to respond before,
during and after an emergency whether expected or
unexpected. Thanks for the opportunity to
testify. I can't wait to do it again tomorrow.
Deputy Mayor Gibbs and I will now answer any
questions.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.
Tomorrow if people want to come back we are having
a hearing on the 911 system. It should be just as
interesting as today's hearing. Very

interesting as today's hearing. Very
comprehensive thank you. Let me start quickly
back with our lifeguard stands. How many
lifeguard stands did you say you replaced?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: 35 are
planned. I think we are probably in the 30s. I
am not sure if we have finished all 35.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I think we will have to just disagree.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We do. How much of the beach will actually be open?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: What's

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 that?

3 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: How much of 4 the Rockaway Beach will actually be open?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: The majority. A lot of it is already open, so I can get you the exact numbers, but there are 14 miles of beaches. My understanding is that we have most of that mileage open.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I was down there. I disagree, but we will disagree about that too. I am going to go to my colleagues quick because they are the ones that sponsored the bills, but let me just say this. On page 5 you said our bills are inappropriate because these plans such as our fuel plan are the function of the mayor. The mayor when it comes to the fuel and our gasoline, the mayor had no plan and if you think we should sit back and hope that this mayor has one for the next disaster, that the next mayor has a plan for the next disaster when it comes to fuel, well, we can't. It is too important. had absolutely no plan, and it is our job to ensure he has a plan and not take your word for it that it is your job and you will have one for the

next time. He had less than a plan. He didn't
seem to care at all about our fuel, and when he
finally did mention it, he said, it is in the
pipeline, whatever that means to people who are
sitting on a four hour gas line and getting a gun
drawn on them. He said, one day you will wake up
and it will be there. Finally, a week after
Jersey, he implemented odd, even. Odd, even isn't
a plan. It's a kids' game. So frankly, we don't
think we can sit back and wait for the
administration to come up with a plan and hope
that they have a plan. I understand your other
concerns regarding the level of detail of some of
this, and I hope that the sponsors will be able to
work with you on that because you have some
legitimate concerns about the detail that these
plans go into and how you will be able to
implement that and how that might hurt you, but I
think we do need to legislate in a lot of these
areas to make sure that future mayors have plans
that are in place and that we can review those
plans when it comes to borough commissioners and
the like, so let me first go to

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

[interposing] Council Member, if you don't mind, I
would just like to respond for a second. I didn't
suggest and in fact if you read my testimony, I
think probably four or five separate times I said
we don't disagree with the goals of making plans,
nor do we disagree with legislating the plans.
Page 11 ofpage 5 that you referred to "however
many of the bills seek to legislate to a level of
detail and impose layers of iterative oversight
that are inappropriate and unworkable. That is
saying that the level of detail and iterative
oversight in many cases are what is inappropriate,
unworkable and will actually frustrate response."
That is what I said was inappropriate, and so you
know, I took a lot of time to prepare. I'd
appreciate it if you would characterize it
correctly if you can.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. I am
reading from the top of page 5 which says they are
inappropriate because the details of and
responsibility for implementation are properly a
function of the mayor and his staff, so
DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

[interposing] Okay. Alright.

2 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I don't

disagree with you about the detail. If I misunderstand that line then I apologize, but it does say responsibility, and I think we have some responsibility. What we are going to do right now is take a two minute break and move next door because I don't like to see people standing, and it is noisy in here. They redid this room and it seems to be a lot noisier than it used to be. In two minutes we are going to start up again next door, so please—thanks.

## [long pause]

all. I think everybody has seats, which is good, and we were joined by Council Members Ulrich, Greenfield and Rose and to update everyone we agreed to disagree on lifeguard shacks and some of the language, but we agree completely about the important work to be done and that we want to work together to make sure that that does happen, and we appreciate all the work you have done on this, and then as you said, this is a book. This is a giant book, and I am almost done with it, but you guys have done a ton of work, and we appreciate

2	that.	We	are	going	to	now	to	Council	Member	Oddo.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: 3 Thank you, 4 Mr. Chairman. Deputy Mayor, I have three minutes, 5 so if it is alright, I am just going to hit you with three questions back to back to back, and 6 then wait for your response. One is sort of overarching. Two are parochial and specific. 9 appreciate the testimony and the level of 10 specificity, and the overarching question is given 11 everything that you have said and the 12 administration has done, and know that some 13 changes can happen overnight and some rebuilding 14 is multi-year, I am wondering if there is a way 15 that you could calculate how better off we are 16 today--how better prepared we are today for the 17 next hurricane, albeit it not necessarily to the level of Sandy, but if there is a way of sort of 18 19 summarizing that and the two specific questions 20 have to do with--one has to do with the report. 21 In the Staten Island section there are two 22 recommendations that rightfully suggest that we 23 accelerate a couple of capital projects in Council 24 Member Ignizio's district, and there is a specific 25 reference to pump stations. The second question

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is, can I forward you for your consideration three projects in my district in Sandy-impacted areas that are already on the books that I would ask the administration to take a second look in terms of accelerating including the infamous Mason Avenue pump station that we have talked about in the past? And then the last question I have for you is a few weeks ago during the budget hearing we had the Parks Commissioner come testify and there was an interesting exchange between the agency and myself and the agency and Chairman Recchia about the boardwalk, and we were left with the--at least I was left with the impression that some decisions about the boardwalk, about repairing it are predicated on the Army Corps' study and I was left with the understanding that this isn't about which nail we need to hammer into which piece of wood to repair the boardwalk, but this is a decision we have to make in terms of are we integrating the protection of residents, whether it is the seawall or the levy that the mayor spoke about in the report with the boardwalk, are they separate things? I think the Staten Island public needs to have some clarity about why the boardwalk isn't

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

up and if it has to do with protecting residents, then let's be clear of that, and I would gladly stand with the administration because my top priority as much as I love the boardwalk and folks exercising on the boardwalk is the protection of people, so if the boardwalk is not back online because we are trying to figure out how to best protect people, let's just simply tell the public that. Those are my mish mash of three questions. Thank you.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Okay. I am going to answer them in reverse order. So on the boardwalk, first the--I am not familiar with all of the specific details of the exchange, and I will talk to Commissioner White and others about I can tell you that there is some significant planning that is required in terms of what we are going to put on the beach. We are moving by I think anyone's measure certainly comparatively, extremely fast to bring back for example, the three and a half million cubic yards of sand in the Rockaways. We are doing emergency nourishment and replacement in Staten Island, and that is not just putting it back the way we found it. We are

actually going to be doing some significant
coastal protection measures too, and I will be
happy to send you kind of a detailed list of what
is going in. I will get back to you specifically
on the boardwalk question. I don't know what
would be drivingwhat decisions have to be made
and whether protection isI am sure it is a
question; whether it's a driver, I don't know.
Second, Staten Island yes, forward any
requests for acceleration on the pump stations.
Pump stations are a special interest of mine. You
know, there are 94 of them that DEP has, and
almost 50 of them have already been strengthened
and that was in my tenure. Mason Avenue and a
couple of others I know we need to deal with. We
did and I don't think it's specifically reflected
in the book in addition to the projects you
mentioned, we are also putting 20 million dollars
in to accelerate the mid-island blue belt, and
make some special capital investments. So let's
look at whether we can do pump stations as well.
I think maybe the most important question for this
hearing that you asked, and really for the
immediate present is how better off are we today?

Are we ready for hurricane season? The short
answer is yes, and so what is being prepared for
hurricane season mean nowwe have replaced our
emergency stockpile. In fact, we have enhanced it
with additional supplies based on things that we
didn't have enough of or didn't have during Sandy
I don't have the comprehensive list of those
things, but I will get them for you. We have our
evacuation centers are ready to go. They can be
turned on. The thing about a coastal storm is you
at least have a few days when you see the storm
forming, so I can't say we would open them
tomorrow. We would if we had to, but we would
open them within the normal number of days. In
fact, we are doing surveys of all of those right
now, making sure to address there are some
specific accessibility issues and things in
particular places that we want to make sure we
address so that anybody who is disabled or has
special needs can get into all of the places.
Those are some things that are also addressed more
specifically in the after action report. And so
activatingif we had to activate the plan based
on a storm that showed up on the radar next week,

3

4

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we are ready to do that, and it would be a better activation even I think than Sandy; however, we lost a million and a half cubic feet of sand in the Rockaways. We lost millions of cubic feet in other places. We have clearly identified some areas in this report and our recommendations reiterated and enhanced in some places in your legislation and hearings that we need to improve. Not all of those things are going to be fully baked. They are not fully baked today. Some of them will be by August 1<sup>st</sup>--what if a storm comes before August 1<sup>st</sup>? But then even after that there are some investments and things that are going to take a little bit longer. So we are definitely not where the post Sandy, post after action report world that we want to get to, but I am confident that we are prepared to activate, and I think one other final note, the new coastal storm zones and the communication of millions of communications that we are sending out now are also critically important. The storm is obviously top of mind in these areas. It can only help that that would be going out even if we hadn't changed the zones, but I think we even have a better plan in that

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 respect.

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: If I can add we have had workgroups that have been meeting throughout the storm and they just never stopped working after their operations shut down and they have been putting the lessons from the storm response into their own sort of outlines for those action plans. We have ongoing meetings where we bring them all together, and so there is a strong exchange across the various workgroups. There is a workgroup for each of the areas of the Council legislation, and so in some cases those are workgroups that are joint city, state groups, and they are under a deadline that we have set for as much as can possibly be completed by August 1st to have that as a significant milestone and then once August 1st passes, we will go on to do all of those things that can't be done by August 1st, so they are just not stopping. If God forbid, a storm happened on July 5<sup>th</sup>, we would have much of the work already documented and outlined, so even though it is not sort of tied in a bow, it is there and it is ready and probably key is that the key managers that are responsible for action on

2	those items have been part of a group that has
3	stayed together, so they would be ready to go just
4	because they have got it in their head.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We were joined by Council Member Gonzalez, and we are going now for questions to Oliver Koppell.

Mr. Chairman. I noted, Deputy Mayor, you made a little comment you don't agree with the idea of creating lists or registries. I had thought that your attitude toward that had changed or the administration's attitude had changed. There was a report in the press to that effect. Are you familiar with an organization or a contractor called Delta Development Group that provides emergency registry lists or assists in compiling them and maintaining them?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I am not.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Okay. I call it to your attention because I have a report from them and it includes—it's by the way, it is a voluntary registry so people who don't want to go on it don't have to, but they apparently serve the entire state of New Jersey, and they have a

quote here from the Rockland County fire and
emergency services that talks about the value of
this. We are very pleased with this invaluable
system. it is this system of special needs
registry. We are very pleased with this
invaluable system because there is one central
source of vital information for emergency first
responders. This system has eliminated many hours
of data entry, antiquated data sharing of vital
information. So the fact is that this agency and
maybe others, and I am not promoting this agency.
I have only heard of it actually this morning, but
it indicates it serves a whole bunch of
communities. I mention New Jersey and Rockland
County, and again, I believe a registry can be
created and maintained. It is not necessarily
going to be 100 percent accurate, but it is not
going to be totally outdated the day after it is
created. Furthermore, are you aware that Con
Edison, and I believe this is true, but you can
tell me whether you know about it, Con Edison
maintains a registry of those who are on special
life preserving equipment that requires power so
that they can be in touch with those people if the

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 power goes off?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I am aware that there is some outreach that Con Ed has. I do not know the specifics of whether it is a registry or what they characterize it as. On the general issue, I want to turn it over to Linda.

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: And what I would say is that that is a great example of a potential resource of a variety of types that we want to look to. The idea that has formed in the workgroup discussion through various conversations reaching out with individuals that have experience in managing these type of registries is that you want to create a process so that when your event transpires that you have the ability to access as comprehensive updated and correct, a list of vulnerable populations so that when you are asking people to go out and to reach out to them to do the door to door, to bring them the supplies, to check on highest priorities for supplemental energy needs, whatever the variety of needs are for the different populations that would be includes that you have the most accurate information so people's resources are being used

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well, and you are not going to ten places where perhaps, there was somebody six months ago who was in a wheelchair, but they are not there anymore. They have moved or they have recovered or they have a different household need going on. So what the recommendation is from FEMA and the Department of Homeland Security Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties has said that the lessons that they have found from registries that are voluntary and intend to sort of have that kind of a sign up feature is that because of the movement of the population and the changing of the needs that they do become quickly updated. I know we all agree that we hope that the next storm is not five months from now or five days from now, but five decades from now and actually hopefully never. Right? And so, we really have to think that is really another key recommendation I make is when you think about a registry you have to think about how large and diverse your community is or small, and known your community is and what the frequency of the event is that you are anticipating. think the bottom line is this is that we believe we need the best process of establishing a way of

3

5

6

R

10

9

1112

13

1415

16

17 18

1920

21

22

2324

25

0....

quickly identifying the vulnerable populations in advance of a storm so that the moment it hits you are ready--well, in advance that you can provide the warning and the moment you hit, you have the most effective way of using resources to get to those in need. Clearly agree on that.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Well, let me just say that as I indicated in my opening remarks, we are not only talking about a storm, and these days with modern computers and many people having cell phones and other ways of contacting them to update information I believe it should be possible to create a registry that allows to a reasonable degree of reliability that we can identify in these case not only of a storm, of a fire, of a power outage or other--identify populations, and look, admittedly you have to work with that list as best you can, but for instance let's assume you just have a building and a fire in the building and you look in your registry, and it says six people are in wheelchairs, and if the registry has--you have to make an estimate as to whether you can reach those people or not, whether in the wheelchair or not, I am not asking the fire

individuals, fireman, forewoman to go to an apartment just based on a registry, but knowing that there is a person there, if it is safe to get there, they should go. If they have a cellphone number they should call and find out if the person is there. I am not asking them to risk their lives needlessly, but there are many ways that you can help people who need help without necessarily risking your life because the person might not be there. I think a registry can be helpful, and I think given modern data transmission it can be pretty reliable.

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: The way that we actually did this, and so maybe it is just language, the way that we did this is to tap into existing registries of active cases, for instance of the Department for the Aging and adult protective services at HRA, and of the visiting nurse service contracts of a variety of sorts with populations that they were serving, and so those form effectively a registry and they have the benefit of being active and current, and so we knew that those were places that there were active caseworkers that were present in those homes and

2.

that there were individuals that needed support
and services. I think we need to search to see
whether there are additional lists that can
supplement that so we can have as complete a list
as possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Just to conclude, I am obviously not your director or your boss in any sense, but what I would urge that you do or you have a staff person do because I have this is contact this Delta Development Group that seems to have prepared these registries in many communities around the country and see how they do it. Thank you. I might say that if I might Mr. Chairman, that Council Member Gonzalez is a cosponsor of me on - -.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I didn't give her an opening. She says she has one quick question on this topic, and so she is going to go ahead of some of the other council members who have graciously agreed to let you do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GONZALEZ: Deputy

Mayor, I want to tell you that during Sandy I was

out in Red Hook. I was out there in the spring

with the Office of Emergency Management so that

folks would prepare. I was out there before
evacuating and I was there every single day. And
this registry and the reason that I wanted to be a
part of this was because I understood there was a
need. I really think that we need to look at it
together, and if it needs some kind of amendment
we could do that, and work on it, but it was very
difficult in Red Hook houses for example, to get
to the folks in the towers. There were people
that waited there a long time. Fortunately, there
were incredible people there from the fire
department, the police department, there were the
national guard, but it took a lot of volunteers
and folks to make it up them stairs, and
fortunately there were healthy people that could
go up and down and bring food to people that
needed it because there was no light, there was no
water running, so I think that we need to really
look at this because it has already happened, and
so moving forward, we probably will spend some
money, but save some money in the process. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Greenfield?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
3	you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Deputy Mayors. I
4	want to specifically focus on intro number 1077,
5	and understand what if any objections you have to
6	that. That specifically deals with the fuel
7	challenges, which I think we all know is one of
8	the major challenges that we had after Sandy where
9	there was a serious disruption and shortage of
LO	fuel. I guess my question is whyit seems like
11	just from reading your testimony that you would
12	not be supportive of this bill, and I am wondering
L3	why not, and how you would approach this
L4	particular challenge that we have had.
L5	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Do you want
L6	1077? I just have the intro numbers memorized. I
L7	am sorry.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: It is
L9	the bill that deals with the fuel management plan.
20	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Sure. Can
21	you give me one second to I have the intro. So
22	while I am going through this let me just say I
23	don't have an objection and we do not have an
24	objection to a fuel management plan, and we do not

have an objection to a bill that requires that a

locations.

2	fuel	management	plan	be	done,	and	the	overarching-
_								

## COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] You do not have an objection then?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: No, no. We don't have an objection to a fuel management plan and we don't have an objection to a bill if it is Intro 1077 with the right amendments to actually do it. The overarching issue that we have and I am not--1077, I didn't go through each intro. I hit on some of the specific details in some of the plans. For example, the requirement that you appoint a borough director and a deputy borough director or that you identify specific community locations and do specific things out of those

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I heard that and I understand that. I just - - about this particular piece of legislation though.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: On this particular piece of legislation, I guess the best I can say without is that we are fine with doing a plan. We would want to sit down with you and go through the details and make sure that there is

2.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not an overly prescriptive level of detail in it,
and so there is not aso that it actually does
the opposite of what is intended, which is made
sure that we are ready.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: And that is a laudable goal in general, but then for this particular piece of legislation, and you know of no problems that you have with 1077?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, I do--hang on for one second. So to a couple of the issues that we want to make sure that we would want to address in the plan and the summary is that what you are looking at is the creation of the plan. It talks about fuel reserves and the amount to be maintained by the city, and the prioritization of fuel access for persons involved, including but not limited to emergency services and medical and uniformed services. the key issues that we would want to address in the intro is what is the threshold for activation of the plan and in terms of making sure that any reporting on fuel supply levels or any requirements to provide that information is something that we would want to address,

publication of the level of fuel reserves in the
city, we might have an issue with what exactly is
published, the level of detail in that, and in
terms of the pre-selection of transportation
routes and the prioritization of fuel access, and
I can tell you that this prioritization question
who gets fuel and how do you set up, so we set up
a couple of different things. out at Floyd
Bennett Field w set up a massive operation for
buses and emergency services and workers. We set
up contracts immediately with ten Hess stations to
enable critical personnel. One of the issues that
we obviously have to address, and we want to
codify beforehand is knowing beforehand how we are
going to set all of that up, so that is one of the
things that our plan is going to include. So I
think those are some of the areas that we would
want to make sure in the particulars that we are
in agreement.
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
So you have some concerns about some details, but
you think it is a workable piece of legislation?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Let me

are a number of different issues. First, the
primary issue was the damage to infrastructure,
and one of the things if you look at the chapter
on fuel there are two chapters in here on
power supply and liquid fuel supply that address
some of the issues. There is a common I think or
what has been written about the most in the press
at least is electricity, and that the lack of
power was the main issue. That really wasn't the
main issue. The main issue was a massive
disruption to fuel infrastructure getting into the
city that started really in New Jersey and with
the closing of the harbor. That actually took out
of the city basically three complete days of fuel
supply, and we never caught that up, and so we
initially put in place the HOV restrictions and
then I think it was probably a week after that the
fuel rationing which fundamentally was able to
address the longest lines and the biggest issues,
but we didn't have good visibility immediately
into how critical the fuel shortage was, and even
the power because there was kind of a focus on
that question of well, if I get electricity back
it will be able to dispense gas. It turns out not

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and--

2	to be tru	ie.	It wa	as re	eally	the	supply	that	was	the
}	problem,	so	there	are	short	ter	m thing	gs to	do,	

## COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] And that was limited just in New
York City 'cause places like New Jersey right over
the bridge they had fuel. I am just curious.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, New Jersey and New York City did the same things in different time, so New Jersey implemented a rationing program before New York City did, and I have not studied that implementation, but we know that one of the things we did not have that is in our review, and I am sure is intended by the bill is we did not have an off the shelf what are the triggers and conditions under which you implement a fuel rationing plan. That is one of the central questions that you need to address that needs to be part of the plan, not identifying it necessarily to the gallon, but we know that the potential disruption points are, and if those points are actually disrupted, well, the presumably that would trigger the plan. I want to point out one other thing. As far as the city's

fuel supplies were concerned, we did act quickly
even before the major part of the storm hit. We
sent trucks fromDCAS sent trucks to get extra
fuel reserves. We activated special contracts
really before the storm hit so that as far as we
had more than 100 fueling facilities that are city
facilities for emergency vehicles and recovery
operations. We never had as far as city vehicles
a serious disruption to the fuel supply for those
vehicles that were necessary either for emergency
response or for recovery, and that was thanks to
quick thinking and good planning on the part of
particularly the Department of Citywide
Administrative Services; however, what we learned
and what I was pretty surprised by is that there
are tens of thousands of people that when you take
the fuel out of the system, they are very
important to let's call it recovery if not direct
emergency response who didn't have fuel and didn't
have access to fuel and there are two or three
different ways to solve that. You can either try
and give them a pass and get them fuel. You can
put carpooling arrangements in place or you can
advise certain critical facilities that they have

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to make plans for example to bring staff in and
have them stay there for a 48 hour shift. So
these are all the kinds of things that we need to
have in a codified plan, a bill that requires a
plan, Intro 1077 or another number would be fine.
It is really the details.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So just getting back to that one specific point just because it was always a curiosity, but an important one, so you do think it was the lack of the fuel rationing immediately that caused the discrepancy between New York City and New Jersey in terms of the availability of gas because it seems like what you said, you did your advanced work, right, and you got the extra fuel in, and you had the fuel for your essential city cars or automobiles or vehicles, so was that really the issue? I can't - - and you are here so I am asking is that the major distinction between what New York and New Jersey did that led to New York not having fuel and New Jersey having fuel? just trying to understand that particular point.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I can't say that definitely. I think what I said and what is

2	true is that number one, have not studied in
3	depth exactly what happened in New Jersey. I do
4	know for a fact that some rationing was
5	implemented there sooner than in New York City. I
6	do not know whether if rationing had been
7	implemented sooner here the extent to which the
8	shortages that were experienced would have been
9	lessened, mitigated, but I can tell you that going
10	forward, which I think is the most important
11	consideration that we have to focus on, we need to
12	establish clearer criteria under which that step
13	would be taken.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Just final point on this issue, I am just trying to understand. Do you know why it took longer in New York to get to that rationing point? Is that because there was no criteria or what was sort of the tipping point in your world when you guys said, okay, now we are at the point where we need rationing.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, another element of the fuel and--this is detailed quite well in the SIRR report. It is not just a city issue. In the same way for electricity and

other services regulated utility services, but
particularly with fuel, we really, the city by the
way doesn't have a strong regulatory role in it.
We are responsible for making sure people get
essential services and operations, so we need the
fuel, so we have a very strong interest in it.
The state, the federal government have the primary
regulatory authority, and so certain things to
solve the fundamental issue, which was getting
more fuel into the region or getting
infrastructure repaired, we had to work with them
on, and it was a coordinated decision. Some of
that decision making is coordinated, and so I am
just thinking back. There wasn't a particular
tipping point. I think we had put in HOV
restrictions and taken certain measures, and
eventually, the mayor came to the decision that
what we had been doing up to the point before the
rationing was not sufficient, and so then we put
the rationing in place.
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: okay.
I mean the reason I ask, I am not trying to be

nitpicky or - - even though I was calling for

rationing for one week before you implemented it,

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 I am just saying that--

## DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

[interposing] I didn't remember that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: give you my copies of press releases and Twitter feeds. I think it just might be instructive in terms of going forward, and I do think that overall you have done yeoman's work and I just want to be clear about that. I am really not trying to be nitpicky, but this was at the time a significant issue, and I thank both of you for your leadership, and I think that overall, the job that you performed has been really high, but I do think it is instructive to understand sort of what happened and why it happened, and so in terms of when we come up, we hopefully, 'cause now we are going to do this together with Intro 1077. When we come up with this plan, we have some sort of understanding of okay, this was the bar where we suddenly realized well, now we need to do gas rationing 'cause gas rationing of course is extreme, and it made international news when that decision was made. So if there is any other insight you can provide in terms of how that

2	decision was made or when it was made or why it
3	was made, I think that would be helpful for all of
4	us going forward understanding sort of when that
5	should be triggered.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, it sounds like we will be working on the plan together—the bill that requires the plan and we will certainly get your input for the plan.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

Alright. I appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Council Member Ignizio and then Reyna.

chairman. Just for some insight into my colleague vis-à-vis New Jersey it was the governor who called it immediately and as a border district as I like to call myself, many of my constituents went to New Jersey to fill up and they found the system worked well. It is so easy to Monday morning quarterback all of us. Why didn't you do this? Why didn't you do that? When you are in the head of destruction, but I think the job that it was to call the rationing rested on the second floor in Albany, and I don't think the governor

thought that we needed it as much. he was wrong,
and ultimately I hope that he learned from it as
well, and I think the mayor showed enormous
leadership, but questionable authority to give
himself the ability to make the city go on a
rationing program on its own. It was the right
decision New Jersey has a very different
geography in that you can go inland. Some of the
other counties in New Jersey didn't have a
rationing program while others did, and it worked
well for them. Hopefully we learned from that. I
want to speak though particularly about Staten
Island. Staten Island is very unique because it
is an island, and the problem is once you close
the bridges, once you shut the borders down, you
are in it. It is kind of locking down your
windows and now you are in this house until you
ride out the storm, and some of the issues that
came up with that I think just need to be vetted
and need to be discussed, some of which of the
pre-positioning of apparatus be them fire
apparatus, EMS apparatus, many of which we took
from some other communities that ultimately ended
up needing them as well. I know my colleague,

Domenic Recchia, speaks about you took some fire apparatus and you moved it to Staten Island because of its island requirement, wouldn't be able to get across the bridge, and then ultimately Coney Island needed it and didn't have it. So I don't want to talk about the budget and cutting fire houses. That is not for this discussion, but do we have or can we have sufficient apparatus on the island or is there a plan to ensure that we have sufficient apparatus be it fire, EMS, police located on Staten Island and covering the other border districts such as Coney Island so we don't have what happened here. It is kind of a conversation that I think we need to have. Let's start there.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, so a few observations. First we candidly acknowledge I believe it's candid in the after action report that there are certain things that we didn't have or that we need more of in terms of basic assets to ensure public safety—lights, boats, forklifts, fuel trucks and some of these I can tell you, one of the first things that Commissioner Cassano said that he needed the day after the storm was more

boats, and it is in here, and it is in the budget
and we are buying them, and Commissioner Kelly
said I need more light towers now, and it took us
longer to get them than we would have liked
because we needed more of them that we thought, so
we are getting them. In terms of the deployment
of resources and you are asking specifically about
fire trucks. I will say two things. One, that we
are always looking at the amount of equipment that
is needed to ensure that you can put out fires,
the fire department is one of the best in the
world at response times, particularly for fire
response have been getting better and better.
Part of that is fewer fires to respond to because
of good prevention, which is key. The best fire
is the fire that never starts, but second is the
continued training and the experience of the
department. There is always I would submit going
to be decisions that have to be made about where
you put stuff and it is in the discretion and
expertise of the mayor and the mayor makes this
very clear is the way that he runs the city that
the fire commissioner ultimately decides where
resources are going to go and we pre-positioned

sanitation assets, EDPS, that's fire assets,
police assets before the storm, and we would do so
again and it was based in part on the track of the
storm and so forth. So I can't say that there
would never be a case where there wasn't an asset
that was pre-positioned that you might say in the
next case you would put it there, but we will
always make every effort to ensure that we move
more assets where we think they could be needed
and the best judgment of the fire commissioner or
whichever commissioner for assets that is
responsible for that particular service, and that
because it is not a matter of are there enough
fire trucks in New York City to put out the fires.
There are. There are. I think the evidence of
performance by the department in emergencies and
every day demonstrates that. It is a question of
where do you put them and how do you allocate them
for the special circumstances, and I know that is
top of mind for Commissioner Cassano. So we could
I think maybe the best thing to do rather than
debate the specifics here, I would be happy to set
up a meeting with the commissioner to have him
talk through with you how that planning is done,

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what the thought process is, obviously get your

input on it, but it is a critical part of what the

4 | fire commissioner does.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Sure, and like I said, it is very easy to Monday morning quarterback. We are trying to do that to ultimately build a better mouse trap, and there are some things that the city could have done better, but in the light of when the disaster actually strikes, that is when you find the - that is when you find out what worked and what didn't work, and I just remember that night very well being in the community, being in my truck driving around and ultimately being in my home speaking to people on Facebook that 911 was a very difficult to get through. Many busy signals, many issues, fire department on the radios couldn't get to certain areas because of lack of - - or police officers would literally - - police officers tying themselves to each other to try to get people out and trying to get to the huge amount of people that were calling, and just to say when it really does go bad, that is always going to be an issue, but if there are ways that we can get ahead of it,

particularly in a place where you can't bring
other apparatus in because the bridge is closed,
because the storm is going, because of whatever,
that is my main concern, and I am happy to have
the conversation. I have very high regard for
Commissioner Cassano. I want to point out. I am
reading through the book. I am getting there.
There is only so much that you can get to in any
one day, but I will read the whole thing hopefully
by week's end if my daughter doesn't keep me up at
night, but that is a great body of work. It is a
lot of work that went into it, and the
administration deserves a lot of credit for it. I
think a lot of it is implementable, but some of it
that almost sounds like we are going to talk to
the Army Corps of Engineers about that, that kind
of jumped off the page at me because as a person
who has been in government for a long time, when
you say yeah, we are going to have discussions
about that, it sounds like a very protracted we
want to talk about that, and not so sure it is
ever going to happen. can you speak to that
somewhat about thosethat asterisk of we are
going to talk to the Army Corps and how we

б

2 actually get beyond the talking stage to

3 implementing the recommendations of the plan with

4 the Army Corps, and that is my last question.

5 Thank you.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well,

7 | having line edited a lot of it, I can tell you

that the language, that specific language, the

9 language that is at the beginning of the

10 initiative that says call on the U.S. Army Corps

of Engineers some of the ones where we already

12 have work underway or where we were far enough--

here was the dividing line between call on and

14 work with. This was a big editorial discussion,

15 | not much of a conversationalist. We really like

16 to do stuff. Where we already had capital money

in the budget or a project that was underway or

18 something that was 95 percent along the way there

19 or we knew based on all of our experience that it

20 is going to move forward. That is where the work

21 with language was used. Call on the Army Corps to

22 complete a study, call on so and so for - - meant

23 to highlight two things. one is that in many of

24 these cases, especially when you are talking about

25 coastal protections and things that are in the

waterways of the United States or the interior
waterways, which are under this jurisdiction of
the Army Core of Engineers that they are a
necessary party, and that we didn't want to say
ask or write a note to and suggest. It was call
on. Now you could have said demand., but we were
trying to strike a balance between a it's 250
things, 250 demands. So there was a lot of
thought into the way that we phrased those things,
but I think the more important point and I am
sorry, Chairman Vallone is not here, 'cause I
think this is important for the whole Council and
for the people to understand probably the most
important thing to do in the next six months
because everybody asks us well, what are you going
to do in six months, well, there is a chart. I
think it is on page 413 and 414, which says what
are our priorities for the next 60 days, but there
is one thing that is more important than only of
those. In order to get a lot of these coastal
protections done, it is going to require and the
best and most effective efficient way to do it
would be to get another supplemental through
Congress, and the best precedent for doing that is

the Katrina case where a number of supplemental
were done for the immediate kind of relief and
community development block grant work that
happened. I am just happy it went through so the
fact that it took three months longer than it
should have, you know, oh well, but we got it.
This planthere are multi-billion dollars of
waysmany, many different ways to skin a cat.
This plan in the seven months that it was under
development looked at two thingswhat is
implementable as you said, what is cost effective
and what is a way to whether you think that there
is some grand solution of the coastal protection
problem. What is the most cost effective and we
think quick way to do this? We think it comes
down to 20 billion dollars of investments, 15
billion roughly of that has been identified or we
expect that we can get. You basically have a five
billion dollar gap. That is for phase one of the
coastal productions in this plan. We say we will
put up a one dollar to every five, and we need to
go to Congress and say let's get a supplemental
that authorizes the Army Corps to do that work
because let's assume that you got the money. That

is only 50 percent of the problem. The Army Corps
of Engineers which has been a great partner of the
city's in many projects, but they do what Congress
tells them to do, and that is the way that it
works, and if you talk to Congresswoman Velazquez
and others who I've met with in Washington on
other Army Corps projects, everybody talks about
the famous or infamous list of 1500 Army Corps
projects. If the 37 coastal protection
initiatives in this plan get put at the back of
that list then you know, your kids will be talking
about those coastal protection measures. What we
need is a commitment by the city to put skin in
the game. We will. Authorization explicit to the
Army Corps in no uncertain terms that they are
authorized to do these projects independent of
whatever else they might need to do, and that is
how a multi-billion dollar levy system got build
in New Orleans in seven years, and it is
impressive, and if you haven't stood on top of it-
-I think you were down there when I wasyou
should. These things are doable, and that is
pretty amazing. That is the single most important
thing to do, and the further away Sandy gets the

2	less likely it is, and so we are still here, which
3	I am happy about, particularly for this. It is
4	nice to be employed as well, but in order to get
5	this done, it has to happen now. So that is the
6	single most important thing to do. I think.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Council
8	Member, you good? Acting chairman. I am
9	practicing for next year. Thank you. How much is
10	it by the way that you would need from Congress?
11	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Five
12	billion.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Has
14	anyone introduced that legislation yet?
15	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Not yet.
16	We need to put it together and put it in. we need
17	to get somebody to introduce it.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I have
19	never met any shy Congress members. This is a
20	good opportunity Council Member Reyna?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you,
22	acting Chair. I wanted to take this opportunity
23	to just ask what is the communication between
24	city, state and federal agencies coordinating
25	during and after the storm in relationship to what

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 II	lS	novice	document?

3 DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: The SIRR

4 report?

5 COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Mm-hmm.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Okay.

Right after the storm the president identified and appointed Sean Donovan [phonetic] to become the head of--and I am going to forget the official name, but let's just say the special regional director of recovery, so in addition to being HUD secretary he has this special role, and in this role, he is responsible for coordinating the entire federal response for the entire region to Sandy. They came to us, the secretary came to us, came to Mayor Bloomberg and we sat with Linda and myself, Bob Steele [phonetic], and some other of the senior staff, and he said, this is my organization that I am building. We are--one of the key questions is what do the feds do, what does the state do, what does the city do? don't want to tell you how to do--how to best protect New York City. The idea here is not that the federal government is going to come in and take that over and he had a particular

appreciation for why that wouldn't work, being a
New Yorker and having worked for the Bloomberg
Administration. I think he was also fairly
confident that we would in short order produce
something like this. He said, I am going to
create this organization though to make sure that
the federal government is deeply involved and
responsible for number one, getting the federal
money out the door, number two, they control the
federal insurance program, another really
important area. Number three FEMA and the
reimbursement and eligibility issues very
important, getting the mitigation dollars out the
door, very important, and to their credit, a lot
of those processes have been radically accelerated
I think thanks to the fact that special attention
was put on it and that it is We communicate
with them every day at a staff level, and in fact,
the way that we established the group that put
this report together was inspired by and certainly
informed by what the way that Sean put his
organization together because we knew that they
were going to have their kind of 10,000 feet
responsibilities, get the money out the door, but

then we were going to be responsible for being
able to intelligently say what we would do with
it, both in terms of the immediate recovery, and
that is where you get rapid repairs, and then
build it back and the business and loan
programs, but then the longer coastal protection
and resilience things, which are the special what
do we do in the future, and so the integration
between the state and the federal government is
very good. The state did the same thing. There
is a person by the name of Jeremy Creelan
[phonetic], who works for Howard Glaser
[phonetic], and he has a whole team. We work with
them every daythe programs that they want to do
in terms of housing. So I think that the
communication and the coordination between the
city, state and federal governments has been very
strong. That doesn't mean we agree on everything.
I am sure everyone up here can think of one thing
that we have had different opinions on, but as a
general matter, we are working together on all of
the big issues, and it is pretty good.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So I just wanted to point out to a discrepancy as far as the

2 accountability of assessing the damages to the
3 small business community--

## DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

[interposing] Can I just say one thing too? I totally forgot, and maybe the best news in recent times our homeless services commissioner, a big loss for us and for Linda, but is now responsible for coordinating all of the Sandy response for the state, so he has recently taken on that role, and so I think our coordination is going to be even better, which is exciting. Anyway, I am sorry. Businesses...

council Member Reyna: Sure. The small businesses as far as the February hearing we had in the City Council had pointed to the 13,000 plus small businesses that were accounted for by City Hall with teams that were deployed to physically get a site observation of and a very anecdotal effort to understand who was there before, and who was not or may have been there afterwards, and there is a HUD report that estimated 100,000 small businesses, and I reference what you had just referenced to as far as better coordination amongst what would be the

2.

city, state and federal government and the issue
of connecting what would be our figures based on
City Hall's number of 13 plus and the discrepancy
of the federal government with 100,000 plus small
businesses that were impacted by Hurricane Sandy.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Better coordination. What is the question?

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: The question is if there is better coordination why is there such an enormous discrepancy in the number?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, I
think what I should do is after this go to Seth
Pinsky and the Economic Development Corporation
and look at the numbers. I am not sure if it is
an apples to apples number. I know that there
were 13,000 - - look at that testimony maybe that
were initially surveyed. If you look in the
stronger, more resilient New York report, there is
a profile of each of the areas that were hardest
hit, and the number of businesses and employees
and the number of employees per business and so
forth, and I would have to add that all up. I
don't know that it is a coordination issue that
would explain the difference between those

numbers. The first thing I would want to look at is what is in the 13,000 and what is in the 100,000?

as the book is concerned, I haven't thoroughly had an opportunity to go through it, but as I did so throughout the hearing just trying to look for what would be the resiliency package or recovery efforts in relationship to small business, is this the document that you would expect small businesses to turn to online or at a library to figure out how are they going to be helped with assistance or how to better plan for their own--

## DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

[interposing] As the primary resource? No. I would expect them to call 311 or go to nyc.gov and they will be able to go immediately to the NYC recover page, which will direct them to immediate and much more direct loan, grant and other resilience programs that they are already going to be able to sign up for or be eligible for. We have already given 14 million dollars' worth of loans and grants to 630 businesses, and that number is going to go up dramatically as we start

to get the CDBG programs in place. That is not to
say this is not very important. I would encourage
any business in one of the impacted neighborhoods
to look at this and get an understanding of where
they fit in and there are some longer term
initiatives that are contemplated in here that
people can definitely get a jump on thinking about
okay, are these the kind of protections that I
should be thinking about and working with us over
the intermediate and long term to do it, but I
think if a business is in the circumstance that
right now today they are either not operating or
operating in a severely reduced capacity as a
result of Sandy they don't have to go to the
library to get this or download it. They should
be calling 311 or going right to the website.

agree, Deputy Mayor that creating an opportunity to have a small business registry not to reference back to the original two comments earlier, but in our hearing that was one of the many suggestions that were brought up in order to be able to have real time access to information for small businesses to have an accounting of in the midst

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of an emergency to be able to send out a message 2 through technology to be able to give specific 3 4 instructions, whether that is in preparation of or

post any emergency?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, why don't we talk about that -- the contours of what that would be after? I think that we do have, and I just want to note Rob Walsh and Small Business Services, he I probably spent more time with him in the last seven months than certainly in the prior seven years only because our portfolios lined up because has been out in every one of these impacted communities talking to thousands of business owners and putting programs in place, and we do have I think a good interface with the small business community in these areas. Whether that translates into a registry or should translate into a registry that would be used for both pre and post storm purposes I don't know whether that--what he thinks of that idea, but we should find out.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I just want to share the idea was shared at the hearing and wasn't met with resistance, and I just wanted to

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 103
2	point to page 410 in this book. There is no
3	mention of the Department of Small Business
4	Services, and it concerns me
5	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:
6	[interposing] On 410?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Yes. The
8	difference between one week, a month for a small
9	business can mean just bankrupting, going out of
10	business and the assistance that it has provided
11	starts with the city of New York.
12	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I agree. I
13	am looking at page 410.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And while
15	you are looking at 410, I just want to point out
16	it is important that we have a systematic
17	methodology in order to understand that an
18	anecdotal team being deployed from city hall as
19	admirable as that was it spends a lot of time
20	unproductively and doesn't really take into
21	account with accuracy and to increase the accuracy
22	it is important that we build a system so that

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: If the goal is to improve and strengthen the ability to

there is an accountability that is more doable.

23

24

2	immediately communicate with businesses before and
3	after they know what researchers are available and
4	help them we totally agree. I don't know the
5	specific page that you are referencing or the
6	thingsthe ad hoc deployment that you are noting.
7	I am not exactly sure what yourhow the related
8	specifically to the businesses. I can say that
9	business recovery is an absolutely essential
10	element to this and in this plan whether on page
11	410, it is certainly on other pages. There is a
12	great focus on what business initiatives are
13	needed in the intermediate and long term and then
14	the immediate recovery programs that we have set
15	up are already under way. I think we want to do
16	the same thing. Why don't we talk about it more?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Absolutely,
18	and I am open to having that discussion. I just
19	wanted to make sure that we were able to
20	understand this report is very elaborate and it is
21	welcomed and it is needed, and to know that the
22	small business community can count on it not being
23	forgotten in this book.
24	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: It's not,

definitely not.

2			COUN	CIL	MEM	BER	REYNA	7: I	wanted	to
3	iust	understand	as	far	as	the	role	that	non-	

and after the storm and how the city will utilize
those particular non-profits in the future because

profits had played in the recovery efforts during

7 I know, and I have mentioned this in the past

8 Accion USA [phonetic] had come to the hearing and

9 had mentioned had they been invited from the very

10 beginning as opposed to waiting three months later

11 to get the referral of a small business that did

12 not qualify for an SBA would have been the

difference of being able to open its doors one

week later as opposed to three to four months

15 later.

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: I would say on the discussions around the non-profits really has two components. One is very much as small businesses in need in the impacted communities and for every comment that your discussion has included around the need for coordination and assistance and support to understand what their needs are as victims in the storm, the non-profits need our attention and the fact that they are not able to respond as the community groups closest to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the need because of their own--the fact that they have had their own impact further disables the communities, and so I am very intent in working together with my colleagues to make sure that as we look at these redevelopment plans at the community level we are focused on the non-profits that are functioning in those communities. second way that non-profits played a critical role was as helpers. They as you know in the social services in New York City 90 percent of the work that is done is delivered through non-profit organizations, and in the reality of our communities, non-profit organizations are there doing work day in and day out even if they don't have a city contract, and so they are really key to the strength and vibrancy and social support to individuals in the communities, and part of the-one of the initiatives that we are discussing is volunteer and donation coordination and how we can bring in and foster communication among all of the groups both those that we are calling on by virtue of the fact that they have a contract with the city or in the case of -- one of the lessons that came out of Katrina the federal government

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

established emergency case management contracts for every state to have in place in the event a storm happens and necessitates a case work support to individuals who have been harmed. We were able to draw upon that contract and to bring community organizations in to support, so there is dual functions. I think a critical goal for us to make sure that we have under contract for emergency purposes the non-profit groups that we need to serve functions, and they will become part of our game plans and in addition we need to have a supplemental network of communication support so that all those other really strong helping groups can best coordinate with the government services and our contracted services that are present in the community.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And I am happy that you wrapped it up so well because that is exactly what we were looking for and to be very specific that it is not just on the social service forefront, but that we meet with the same level of service at the non-profit level for small businesses and I point to the industrial business solution providers who have been cut out of this

budget, Deputy Mayor Holloway, just to make sure that we understand that they played a pivotal role in what would be the waterfront areas and representing the interest of the small business navigating government, making sure that those loans were already and available for them, that the process was not to be hindered by any misunderstanding or not comprehending the application process, and so we have to assist currently, and we have an opportunity to not zero out those providers, and I hope that that begins with today after this hearing to look back at those providers as nonprofits to the small business community.

probably aware the mayor's fund one of the first actions it took and one of the most significant commitments of resources was for an emergency relief fund focused specifically at the non-profits to deal with their immediate needs, and so that was a great example of where the voluntary contributions that came in we could act quickly and you didn't have to wait for every governmental official to think whether or not it is allowed to

spend money on something. It was really training to find a place where all of those generous contributions that the city received could be quickly deployed to this very need.

very much. I wanted to understand as far as FEMA is concerned there is supposed to be these training programs that FEMA provides to houses of worship and non-profit organizations that is free of charge. During Hurricane Katrina in New Orleans there was training and that was a focus and it was a gap that was realized very early on and was provided, and I hope that we are able to do the same. I don't know if you are aware of the specific training I am referring to.

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: Again, two pieces, I think there was a lot of assistance that was create to provide instructions to houses of worship and non-profit organizations regarding the specifics of benefits that they as FEMA eligible clients were eligible for, and so those trainings were conducted through the FEMA offices at the district level, and the lesson that came out of Katrina is they didn't have the emergency case

2.

management contracts, and so there was a real ad
hoc development system that built up and the
lesson that the federal government learned from
that is that we need to have this
institutionalized and so that is where bringing
those emergency contracts in place already having
the contract entered into really clear guidance on
how the work is to be done, and then the master
contractor worked with a variety of local
community based contractors in order to effectuate
those services.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I am aware that you have to leave at 3:45, and I want to make sure we get to the other council members who have questions. I have to apologize. We are having a caucus downstairs where we are debating the inspector general and Intro 1080 and some important topics, and so they needed my expertise. Council Member Rose? And thank you, Council Member Greenfield for chairing.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Thank you,
Deputy Mayors. How are you? I will be brief,
Chair. I just have several concerns, and as my
colleague, Council Member Ignizio stated the

obvious that Staten Island is an island, not that
anyone here didn't know that, but that we have
unique needs and especially in times of an
emergency, and so as a result of the storm, we
were without ferry service, which is our major
source of transportation, especially for people to
get into work, so five days without that was
really an economic hardship. And so what have you
looked at in terms of transportation alternatives
especially that affect major transportation hubs?
Not only was the ferry impacted, but the Whitehall
side of the ferry was also impacted. The ferries
of the subways were not able to run. Have you
looked at alternatives for Staten Island in terms
of when in an emergency the transportation hub is
totally incapacitated and people need to get off
of the island?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, clearly one of the most--when the bridges are closed, clearly the car traffic--cars are not an option, and one of the things that we did I think were able to set up pretty nimbly was alternative ferry service in different areas or alternate landing sites, and if you look at the

transportation chapter in the SIRR the stronger more resilient New York report, there is a focus on those kinds of options. So yes, it is something that we are looking at as a serious issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: However

Deputy Mayor and this is really sort of a pet

peeve of mine, most of the ferry options that were

looked at were for the south shore, and the north

shore has the major ferry service, and there was

no alternative put in place on the north shore in

terms of ferry service, so are you saying that

that will now become something that will be looked

into is a north shore site in terms of ferry

service?

this. On the transportation in terms of the specific landing sites and where we would put them, I just don't have at hand where they should be, but I certainly support the idea that we need to identify where can you safely put potential alternative, particularly ferry service because that is really the best option from Staten Island in these kinds of situations, and so why don't I

2 get back to you on that?

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay, and since the ferry is a major transportation hub, would you consider looking at since bus travel was our only alternative would you look at maybe making that the site for bus service that would be leaving Staten Island?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I am sorry, that?

as opposed to you know, we have express bus routes that run throughout the island, but I am talking about since this is our major transportation hub would you also look at the possibility of putting in place enough buses, surface transit so that people could get off of Staten Island?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, we do want to make sure we have enough transit options, so rather than answer specifically on that one, let me get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay, and my other concern is that in the evacuation plan you carefully identify evacuation shelters and people go there because they rightfully so know that it

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is going to be safe, it is going to be warm, there will be food, and whatever provisions they need; however, very few of them had backup generators, and it causes a lot of concern for me that you-the assumption is that you are going somewhere that is not going to be impacted like your home would have been impacted, so we really need to look at having emergency generators at all of those sites. Now I understand that there are challenges because many of these locations are schools; however, then we need to look at maybe what the sites are for these shelters so that there should not be a shelter that doesn't have an emergency generator to provide the light, heat, people who have medical needs, so I didn't see anything that specifically addressed generators at each evacuation site that is identified.

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: I would agree with you, and I think it actually gets a little bit to one of our points around some of the specificity in the legislation. What we believe is that you need to do as much planning in advance as you can and designate options to the greatest extent possible including all of the

considerations around what makes a location
viable. This was significant as well for
individuals with disabilities who came into
facilities in need of power recharging for any of
their supportive equipment, and you then need to
select the sites with an understanding of the size
and shape and nature of the storm that you are
facing, and so the ability to actually identify
the sites which are going to be capable of meeting
these needs are really to the event that you
are facing, and so what we will want to discuss
with you as we move forward in finalizing these
legislations is how you can have a planning
document that deals with the basics and then
leaves the specificity to the event as you are
experiencing it.

with the shelters, especially on Staten Island, these are the shelters that have been identified maybe not even by the city, maybe federally.

These are—there is signage directing people to them. They were not emergency evacuation shelters. These are our typical stated evacuation shelters that everybody is aware of, so does that

2 mean then we will be revisiting whether or not 3 these locations should be shelters?

DEPUTY MAYOR GIBBS: In our lessons that we learned in the sheltering strategy is that the plan itself was great for quickly getting into emergency evacuation shelters that served immediate needs. The ability of those shelters to satisfy the needs beyond four or five days started to become somewhat attenuated and our revisions of the sheltering plan are really going to have to focus on what is necessary to accommodate an evacuation population for a longer period of time, and that may then reflect back on whether all sites that have been identified to date are the best sites for that purpose.

COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Okay, and then this is just a comment. You know, the evacuation plans, the level of resources and even in the resiliency plan I think you have grossly underestimated the damages and the impacts that the north shore sustained, and I really would like you to revisit that because there is a lot of emphasis—and rightfully so based on the devastation that happened this time—the south

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shore, even the east shore, but the north shore,
it's in its entirety from the ferry terminal to
the Goethal's Bridge sustained significant damage
in the tens of millions of dollars, and there was
residential damage and people needed to evacuate
their homes. I just need for that to be
acknowledged in all of the plans going forward.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, we agree, and one of the things about this -- the after action report really addresses how do we respond here and then what do we need to put in place in terms of additional plans and so forth, and they would have general applicability whether the coastal storm that comes next time or extreme weather event is going to hit the ocean facing or maybe if as we said, this report explains in pretty alarming detail when you really get it into it if it had hit nine hours early and the high tide cycle had been switched, you would have seen a lot more problems in the Bronx and other areas, so this plan and the strategies that the resilience plan lays out are explicitly not focused exclusively on where Sandy hit hardest. There are special chapters on it because those

б

communities need to be brought back in addition to
the immediate household recovery and so forth, but
if you look at the hardening, the coastal
protections, the infrastructure networks and all,
they talk about the city as a whole and that is by
design, and so maybe one of the things we should
do is get a member of the team from now the Long-
term Planning and Sustainability and our new
director of resiliency, Dan Zirilli [phonetic],
who isI will tell you he is on vacation for a
weekwell deserved, but maybe one of the first
things we can do is sit down and go through with
you where on Staten Island as a whole some of
these issued are addressed.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you for being brief. The last council member, and then we will get to the public, and if I could ask you to try to limit it to two minutes because I want to get to everybody, and you all have been waiting a long time. Council Member Gentile?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, and I thank both Deputy Mayors for being here today and I am the one last person that separates you from leaving today, so I will be brief. I am

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	told that we know that OEM has a coastal storm
3	plan and hazardous mitigation plan. Are there
4	other emergency response plans that OEM currently
5	has?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: There are other plans. There is a list of pretty extensive plans that OEM has for different circumstances. There is a flu plan that would be a vaccine distributing. I am just listing. There are a couple, but yes, there are other plans. There are also plans that are much more quotidian in their applications, so the flash flood, emergency flash flood plan is activated all the time, and so yes. There are.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: those plans include similar to what Council Member Rose was asking about -- would those plans include a plan that responds to the continuing transportation problems that were a cause of Hurricane Sandy? For example, what we are about to see in the Brooklyn Transportation System being affected by the closure that the MTA is proposing or planning for the break between Brooklyn and Manhattan through the Montague Street Tunnel?

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Would OEM have contingency plans for something

3 like that?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, we coordinate with the MTA and the Port Authority and even within city agencies. Part of OEM's responsibility is coordinating among those entities to first and foremost try to identify disruptions whether they are going to be like that with the MTA or even just what the street closures are on a given weekend in the summer for the street parades or the street fairs that are going to happen, so there are--I don't know specifically about this, but I am certain that there have already been discussions probably between OEM, the MTA and even the NYPD on what options we need to put in place and if they haven't, then we certainly will, so I will look into that, but yes, there are I think--I just want to draw a distinction between something like the coastal storm plan, which is a plan that anticipates a specific event that could have let's just say beyond the normal every day disruption that you might expect in New York City. Car accidents happen every day. Water mains break every day. Ι

wish they didn't, but they do, and what we have in
place for that is what is called the citywide
incident management system. that is itself a plan
of plans. It is a set of procedures, standard
operating procedures that exists for dozens and
dozens of different types of incidents, and it was
put in place after 9/11 based on the national
incident management system where all of our
operating agencies got together and said, okay, if
there is a fire, who is in charge? The fire
department has primary responsibility for putting
out structural fires, and if there is a let's say
an event of criminality is suspected in a crash,
well then it becomes the police. If it is just a
life recovery, it would be the fire. Sometimes
there is joint command. That could go on and on.
I won't, but just to say for your more ordinary,
more foreseeable, more frequent types of events,
not foreseeablea coastal storm is foreseeable,
but that happen more frequently, there is a set of
procedures that are more like SOPs that just
happen every time there is a response.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Although this one that is being planned is as a result of

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | the storm?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Yes. Yes, but for whatever reason if you are going to have a long term infrastructure disruption, yeah, we need to coordinate that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So it is in the process of being done?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Yes, and I will look into it and get back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. I appreciate that. Just a question or two about Intro 1075, which would require the OEM to provide the Council with a copy of any plan that would involve a multi-agency response. I am just a little bit perplexed by what I read from your opening statement. It seems to me that 1075 basically is pretty much a vanilla type of piece of legislation where it says let's just sit down and discuss what plans you have available or that you are working on and every so often let's sit down and review those plans even if they have not been activated. It doesn't seem to comport with the kind of stilted bureaucratic constrictors that you suggest in your statement that I believe you

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 made when you first began.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: So I think that when I concluded that section of the testimony I pointed out and I don't think it is actually written in what I said that this is a matter I think more of line drawing than anything What we need to make sure is that whatever else. the level of reporting is that it is a frequency that is not unduly burdensome, that what has to be reported takes due account of confidentiality and other issues and that the triggers for the reporting because there are some that say every two years you shall do this, that is the frequency, and then if something happens, you shall report afterwards on you know, what you did. Depending on what those triggers are, you could end up with just literally endless reports of everyday typical garden variety stuff that is what the Office of Emergency Management is designed to do, and I think one of the things that struck me is interagency--everything is an interagency response. There is basically nothing that happens out in the field when an agency responds to an incident where at least two agencies - - except

for the most basic kind of thing. There is lots
of police responselow level police response that
it would just be maybe a patrol car out there, but
if there is a fire, it is automatically police and
fire at a minimum, probably buildings, and then
DEP, and maybe the Department of Health every
single time. So you know, I think that it is a
what we should really do I think is just sit down
and go through the language of the bill. We will
tell you exactly where we think it is overbroad
because that is the primary issue; it is
overbroad. I also think there is one area where
you would want us to report morethe trigger that
is defined primarily in the bill for reporting
significant changes is after an event, and
significant changes can happen at any time, so I
would suggest that you rather just say any time
you have a significant change no matter why you
tell us if that makes sense.
COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: It does

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: It does indicate that every two years whether or not a plan has been activated it should be reassessed.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, yeah, but I can tell you for example on the snow plan

2.

this a hypothetical, but the snow plan has in it
for example that we are going to have contracts
for the plowing of tertiary streets. If somebody
just decided tomorrowand we are not deciding
thisthat they weren't going to do that anymore,
I think you would want to know sooner than two
years from now, so I think thatwell, I use the
world material significant, what have you,
something where it would be clear that the
expectation for anybody paying attention that A
would happen, but maybe once you decide that you
are going to do B, you would want to know.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I would think to address your issues about being too rigid and not being I think you said it would be a bureaucratic tendency towards mindless adherence if it was to--well said. But I think if you had contingency clauses in what plan you discussed, I think that overcomes any kind of stilted bureaucratic tendency that you complain about.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: And I don't want to characterize it as a complaint... More than the reporting, the stilted bureaucratic tendency, I am very concerned having been on the receiving

2 end of government agencies where you have -- we are all familiar with rules for what is reimbursable, 3 what qualifies as homeland security or qualifies 4 5 for emergency shelter and so forth, and right now even though we have stopped the last--we have 6 7 repaired the last home under rapid repairs - - I 8 can tell you, people are going to be dealing with 9 the receipts and paying the invoices for the next 10 18 months. Okay? When you put the rule in place 11 that says you shall appoint this person to do this 12 thing this way when all of us are long gone who 13 went through all of this stuff, and it was the 14 reason that the thing was put in place, if it is 15 too prescriptive you will definitely get people 16 who say well wait a minute, nope, we have to make this appointment this way because that is what 17 18 this says even if that clearly makes no sense or 19 is not in keeping with the spirit of things, so I 20 you know, the testimony is drafted in a way to 21 emphasize that -- two things. we agree with eight 22 out of ten bills--I think it's ten or if it is nine out of 11 that we are fine with them being 23 24 bills, that we are fine with doing the reports and 25 the plans. It is just a question of -- even with

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the reporting we will do reporting. There are issues with how often, what has to be reported and then what is the extent to which the law is trying to tell someone in a situation that is inherently unpredictable how they have to behave. That is all.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So your objection is not discussing the making of those plans with the Council?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Nope. No, I think we agree more than we disagree, but we wanted to be clear because just in the prior leading up to here we had meetings with the Council. We took all of the feedback. We really did incorporate a lot of it into our plan. bills as drafted came our significantly more detailed than we expected, and having expressed some of those concerns, I think Linda and I just wanted to make sure that it was absolutely clear in our opportunity to express them in this forum that we still think that is the case. We think it can be addressed. We think that at the end of the day, there is a lot of good to be had out of most of this package.

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Mr.

3 Chairman, I will take that under advisement.

4 Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you, and we are just right at the time limit, so just let me end with this one thing. You may not even be aware of this, but if you go to the Queens portion of PlaNYC it literally says the first initiative is a beach replenishment, which is expected to start in July. As a lifeguard for seven years, they rarely start when they are expected to start, and you are not doing that. know the federal government is. The second initiative is bulkheads, which says subject to available funding, the city will launch a program, and all the rest of the initiatives are calling on the Army Corps of Engineers to study and do things, so when it comes to Queens, there is a lot of planning. There is a lot of stuff that will happen, but they are waiting for things to happen now, protection wise. I know you are doing a lot of other things out there, but to protect them from the next storm.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I just want

2.

to point out because I did have this drawn up
while I have been sitting here. So there are two
components in the Rockaways. I am just going to
talk specifically about the Rockaways. The Corps
has awarded the Rockaway contract to Weeks Marine
[phonetic], who I have worked with to do 600,000
cubic yards of sand that is going to start in
July, and I can tell you if they have awarded the
contract the Corps as a general matter once the
contract is awarded the work actually does happen
the next contract, which is

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]

Is there a date in July? Did you say it?

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: I don't know the date. I don't have it in front of me.

I don't have a date here, but I will get you the date. I also have talked personally to Colonel Paul Owen, who is the head of region 2 here and then his boss, Tom Bostic [phonetic], who runs the Army Corps and I think might even be coming to town next week, so I will be sure to see him again to talk about the Rockaways, but the second contract for three million cubic yards, which will cover the entire area from 200 feet, up to 200

2	feet in some places. They plan to release the bid
3	documents tomorrow, and the bids will be opened in
4	July. Construction will start in August. So
5	600,000 cubic yards now. The big problem with the
6	Corpsnot problem, the Corps isit is how it is
7	set up in the law. They do what they are
8	authorized to do, but you can't get from planning
9	to do until you have the funding in place to do
10	it. If we are at the stage where they are putting
11	the bid documents out, and I will shoot you a note
12	tomorrow and let you know that they went out, they
13	have the funding 'cause they are not allowed to do
14	that.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I will let
16	the community know. Who is the Queens borough
17	director now for the Sandy recovery?
18	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: Well, so
19	the borough director structure is I think better
20	let me talk to you after about who the right
21	person to get it is.
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So I would
23	like to set up a meeting
24	DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:
25	[interposing] But the Parks is really overseeing

2 that piece of this.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I would like to set up a meeting with myself and some of the residents with whoever is in charge. We need to discuss this.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY: We should also get the Corps. They will come. We could get the Corps. They will come.

GHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So I know you guys had to go. We have two Deputy Mayors here.

They didn't send Commissioners. They have got two Deputy Mayors who have not taken a break in a long long time and we are—other than lifeguard shacks, we are on the same page here. I think we all agree that we need these plans. We need them in place whether they are legislated or not, but they can't be too specific, so that they handcuff you as you are trying to—or the next mayor as they are trying to respond to a disaster so one of the best attorneys over here— and our staff is going to continue to work with yours to get that done, so I know you have to go. Thank you for coming by and keep up the great work.

DEPUTY MAYOR HOLLOWAY:

See you

opportunity to testify. You have my written

testimony. Let me just briefly summarize it. The
HSC is an umbrella organization of all the not for
profit social service agencies in New York, and as
you know, Council Member, the human services
sector response to Hurricane Sandy was tremendous.
People were out on the street right from the
second it was happening, and are still there, and
we work very well with the city. The cooperation
between us and the deputy mayor's office is
terrific. What is needed is what is reflected in
the legislation before you which is a plan. So I
just want to read one or two paragraphs from my
testimony. I know we have not a lot of time. So
while the response of the human services sector to
Hurricane Sandy has indeed been admirable, the
lack of established systems for coordination has
caused [phonetic] a scrambling effect and there is
much reason to believe that service would be
better coordinated had a rehearsed and
comprehensive plan been in place. There was such
a plan during 9/11 and after 9/11. The United
Services Group used state funding, which
got cut, so right now, we have generous funding
for the Ortman [phonetic] Foundation and from the

б

mayor's fund and from federation of New York
to do some convening, but the real issue is
preparing for later. We are first responders as
are NYPD, the fire department and others. We
don't take away from them what they do, which is
incredible work, but the fact is, we need the
funding also to prepare for the next disaster we
know is just around the corner, whether it is
climate change or a terrorist attack or just some
crazy people shooting other people, so we are
asking the Council as we are working also with the
folks in the state to create and fund a
sustainable ongoing disaster preparedness systems
so that we are as well prepared as others who are
responding to disaster. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

SARA FELSENTHAL: Sara Felsenthal,

Met Council. I don't really have formal

testimony, but we are here sort of to support the

Human Services Council, but also from our

experience from working on the ground right from

the beginning of after the aftermath of Sandy we

really see that the most critical—what sort of is

most critical for those who are still recovering

2.

from Sandy is that there is still a need for
disaster case managers who are critical for
guiding clients through government and private
resources and really connecting them to whatever
is going down through the pipeline. A lot is
going down through federal, state, city, but
people are having difficulty accessing those
resources, and it is critical to have disaster
case managers that help people through that
difficult process, especially when they are still
recovering from the storm. Any questions?

GISELLE ROUTHIER: Hi. My name is Giselle Routhier. I am a policy analyst with the Coalition for the Homeless. I firstly want to thank the Council for calling this hearing and proposing the set of bills that are looking to improve the city's response to future emergencies. The Legal Aid Society and Coalition for the Homeless have submitted joint testimony, and I am just going to briefly go over the section on the sheltering bill. In February the Coalition for the Homeless and Legal Aid Society testified before the General Welfare Committee about the

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thanks.

city's response to Hurricane Sandy and among the
problems we witnessed and reported were poor
shelter conditions for evacuees, unmet medical
needs, a severe lack of accountability and
inadequate rehousing assistance, so we want to
thank the Council for including these issues
raised in the proposed bill. The details laid out
in the proposed bill on sheltering were required
descriptions of shelter staffing and
accountability, the assessment of emergency supply
stockpiles, plans for shower and laundry
facilities and provision of adequate food,
continued provision of public benefits and
mechanisms for tracking the census. We want to be
clear that we support all of these requirements in
their detail. The Deputy Mayor testified that he
felt that some of the language was too detailed,
but we want to just emphasize that when looking to
improve the future, the response to future
emergency events we want to make sure that we
address areas where improvements are needed, and
these have all been areas identified by advocates
and folks that experience them as needing a vast
area of improvement over what happened during

2	Sandy, and I just want to briefly mention one
3	other issue that we think the Council should
4	consider in the sheltering bill. One of the more
5	common problems that we witnessed and part of our
6	outreach is a lack of assistance for individuals
7	with medical needs. Many individuals came into
8	the shelter system having lost all or most of
9	their prescription medications and were unable to
10	reach their doctors or healthcare providers who
11	were often in the same impacted communities, so
12	there are potentially serious health risks posed
13	to these individuals and most of them were not in
14	the special medical needs shelters, so we just
15	want to raise that as an issue, and have the
16	Council consider adding that to the sheltering
17	bill. Lastly, the city of New York already has
18	existing legal obligations to provide adequate
19	shelter and services to homeless individuals and
20	families as laid out in and city of New York
21	and these allegations should establish the minimum
22	standards for safe, secure and adequate shelter
23	and continue to guide the provision of emergency
24	shelter following all future emergency events.
25	Just to wrap up, I mean eight months after

2.

Hurricane Sandy there is still hundreds of
displaced families living in temporary hotels and
shelters throughout New York City and we want to
emphasize that any goal for future emergencies is
to minimize the amount of time that people have to
spend in emergency shelters and to really make
sure that the city is committed to providing
affordable housing assistance much more quickly
than it has thus far.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Steven?

STEVEN BANKS: Thank you very much, and certainly commend the Speaker and you as the chair.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You just have to say your name for the record as everyone does, so a thousand years from now they will know who is speaking when they find the tapes.

STEVEN BANKS: Steven Banks, I am the attorney in chief of the Legal Aid Society.

Thank you very much. we commend the leadership of the Speaker and your leadership as the chair of this committee. In this area the Council has been very focused and conducted a series of very thoughtful public hearings, and now you have got

comprehensive legislation, which we certainly	
support. Our testimony is extensive, and we are	
not going to go through it as we have indicated,	
but I wanted to just highlight a few issues in th	.e
testimony from the city and as it relates to our	
recommendations for some refinements in the	
overall approach. First of all, this issue about	
whether legislation is too detailed or not, the	
Council has a very strong track record of	
legislating in the area of homelessness and if yo	u
look through the years actually beginning during	
the Vallone council period, a number of the	
groundbreaking pieces of legislation were enacted	
in that period of time, and they began as more	
general prescriptions as to what could or couldn'	t
be done regarding the provision of shelter and	
what the record indicates is that it was a	
requirement for additional legislation in order t	0
deal with continued problems that came up, so the	:
Council's current legislation really addresses	
that problem by being detailed and avoiding what	
the experience was in the 1990s and early	
thousands in terms of going from general	
legislation and the need for continual specific	

legislation. So we certainly support your
detailed approach. In a few areas, it is worth
emphasizing some of the recommendations that we
have made. One is in the area of language access,
and how critically important it is to ensure that
communications are in the language that is
prevalent in particular communities. We certainly
counted clients who didn't evacuate because the
evacuation instructions were not provided in a
language that they could understand. Secondly,
accessibility is critically important. It has
been emphasized by a number of different community
groups, and as you know, the Legal Aid Society has
litigation challenging the failure to have
accessible sites for obtaining food stamps, the
idea that there would be only one site open for a
part of the week in Staten Island and at the site
in Brooklyn, when there are many, many zip codes
that were effected, highlights that the
specificity of legislation is important so we
don't repeat this. Of course, there is the other
related issue in terms of litigation that we have
got in terms of only focusing on a narrow sliver
of the effected communities as opposed to all the

effected zip codes. So that is another impor	rtant
issue. As to the transportation as we found	
transportation was a vital link. It frequent	tly
was out, but for low income communities the	
inability to be able to access public	
transportation because of lack of fares given	n the
fact that people weren't working we would urg	ge you
to take a look at whether or not there should	d be
the ability to suspend fares and have free	
transportation during certain periods of time	e.
Last but not least is the question of the reg	gistry
and the list. There has been a lot of debate	е
about lists. It was just emphasized that	
obviously perfect is the enemy of the good.	
Having a list is better than what we encounted	ered
in this situation. We certainly as we have	
described in prior hearings testified about	
relatives if they were only contacted they co	ould
have cared for people, and they didn't. We l	have
given the example and others of people in the	е
Housing Authority where homecare attendants	
remained in the Housing Authority for a number	er of
days trying to help people who literally coul	ldn't
be contacted. HRA in particular has a code	in the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WMS computer system that we would urge you to take a look at for all homebound New Yorkers, and this is an element that you could strengthen the laws by requiring HRA to identify homebound individuals before a storm hits, and take appropriate action before. In closing, we appreciate your focus on this and the points that we have made with regard

to HRA as an area we hope you will take a look at.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You guys are definitely attorneys. There is a lot of in closings, lastly, I will finish up with this, and it keeps going, but you all did a pretty good job staying within two minutes. We finally have a clock. I am going to say this to this panel, and then I am not going to repeat it for everybody, but it applies to everybody. There was no one down there after Sandy hit. There was no FEMA. There was no Red Cross. There was no OEM, nobody, but you guys were down there. All of the not for profits, all the churches, the synagogues, you all were down there, and I just want to thank you on behalf of all of us for all the work everybody in this room did after Sandy to help the people while the agencies were not, so thank you all you guys

б

have done. Thank you. We are going to call now—that's the last group. David Berman [phonetic],
Food Bank, Lisa Levy [phonetic] from the Coalition
Against Hunger, Amaya Galeman [phonetic], Alliance
for a Just Rebuilding [phonetic] and Juan Camilo
Osorio, [phonetic] New York City Environmental
Justice Alliance. We have a clock we think is
working which is over there, so you can time
yourselves with that. Thanks. Anyone who wants,
just identify themselves and go. Hit that little
red button.

DAVID BERMAN: Great. Good afternoon. My name is David Berman, and I am the disaster services lead at Food Bank for New York City. On behalf of Food Bank, we thank the Committee on Public Safety for calling this important hearing and advancing these critical bills. Food Bank has submitted my testimony in full to the Committee. I would like to highlight specific points with respect to Introductions number 1069 and 1077 dealing with the food and water access plan and fuel management plans respectively. After Sandy HRA generously and wisely released efap [phonetic] supplies already

in food banks warehoused to be used for disaster
response. Swift release of this food was
instrumental in enabling Food Bank to provide
nutritious products to meet the needs of the
impacted areas. Food Bank requested the City
Council to authorize the automatic release of efap
supplies for use in emergency response during
emergency conditions is defined by Section 497 of
the New York City Charter. Second, Food Bank
distributed more than 40 tractor trailers of food
after Sandy; however, had additional trucks been
available, more could have been done and had our
trucking partner been incapacitated by Sandy Food
Bank would have been unable to distribute
emergency food; therefore, Food Bank recommends
City Council amend Introduction 1069 to require
that food and water distribution plan include a
strategy to ensure that emergency good
distributers have adequate trucking to provide
food and water to impacted areas. Thirdly, with
respect to Introduction 1077 Food Bank requests an
amendment to include identified emergency food
providers and their key staff members with fuel
access prioritization. And lastly, Food Bank

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

requests the City Council to amend Introduction

1069 to formally recognize identified emergency
food providers as emergency responders during

conditions that affect the ability of New York

City residents to access food and water. We

welcome any thoughts, questions or requests from
the Committee, and thank you for the opportunity
to testify.

LISA LEVY: Good afternoon. I am Lisa Levy, the policy, advocacy and organizing director of the New York City Coalition against Hunger. My testimony today is on behalf of the 1,100 soup kitchens and food pantries in New York City and the more than 1.4 million New York City residents who even before Sandy hit lived in homes who couldn't afford sufficient food. The New York City Coalition Against Hunger endorses the legislation proposed by Council Members Comrie, Gonzalez, Koppell, Oddo, Rose and Vacca, namely the food access plan, the community recovery plan, the outreach and recovery plan for vulnerable populations and the transportation plan. testimony today focuses on eight points. that local poverty and hunger food and security

were soaring even before Sandy hit and agencies
serving low income people were unable to keep up
with the growing demand, the storm and its
aftermath made a bad situation worse. Evacuations
did not adequately address the unique challenges
in communicating with low income populations.
Evacuation plans did not adequately protect food
supplies and water supplies at soup kitchens and
food pantries. Not profit groups who were second
responders tasked with providing helps soon after
a storm were themselves hampered by lack of
adequate post-storm infrastructure and
communications. There was unacceptable delay in
food relief reaching some of the hardest hit low
income neighborhoods, and some of the food
distributed immediately after the storm was
unsuited to many of the people in need.
Recipients of SNAP benefits formerly known as food
stamps had serious difficulty using them at
neighborhood retailers in the hardest hit
neighborhoods and disaster SNAP was unduly delayed
and limited. Lastly, the greatest needs for low
income people are long term. We are still
struggling. We are still hungry. Don't forget

about it. We are still here. Even before
Hurricane Sandy hit New York that is the message
we heard time and time again from low income New
Yorkers in all five boroughs as well as from the
staff and volunteers of more than 11 food pantries
that we represent. This message reflects the
reality of the ongoing struggle in New York City.
Federal data calculated by the coalition found
that even before the storm more than 1.4 million
New Yorkers lived in households that couldn't
afford enough food.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I don't know how much more you have there, but are you finishing up? When that bell goes off, please...

LISA LEVY: I can summarize.

According to a federal food insecurity data, in 2009 to 2011 an estimated average of 1.42 million or approximately 17 percent of New Yorkers were food insecure. That represents one in six New Yorkers. Many of those same people are effected right now by cuts in sequestration and are probably going to be effected cuts that are going to happen with SNAP cuts, which thankfully may not happen as a result of the House not passing the

2	farm bill, which happened earlier today thanks
3	from support from this City Council, which I would
4	like to thank those of you who are still here, but
5	the fact is hungry children can't eat. Hungry
6	adults can't work. Hungry senior citizens can't
7	stay independent, and all of these problems cost
8	the economy money.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You have got
10	to finish up. It is not fair to everyone else.
11	LISA LEVY: Evacuation plans had
12	great impact on low income people, and they focus
13	on communicating with impacted residents. Many
14	low income people in the hardest hit areas,
15	especially in public housing did not receive full
16	and complete or any information about city
17	evacuation plans.
18	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Can I move on
19	to the next person? One more sentence because you
20	are well over two minutes, and I asked everyone to
21	stay within two minutes. Okay.
22	LISA LEVY: I understand.
23	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We do have
24	your statement here, and it gets entered into the
25	record. Okay. Thank you. Let me go with you now

б

2	over	right	here	on	the	right,	my	left,	and	my
3	right	z. The	e left							

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you. My name is Juan Camilo Osorio--

LISA LEVY: [interposing] Can I just--

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: No, you have been going way over your limit, and I asked you to sum up nicely a few times. You just keep reading, so I can't let that happen. Yes, you go, sir.

JUAN CAMILO OSORIO: Thank you. My name is Juan Camilo Osorio. I am the director of research with New York City Environmental Justice Alliance. I prepared a three minute testimony that I am going to try to summarize as much as possible. Founded in 1991, the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance is a non-profit, citywide membership network linking grassroots organizations from low income neighborhoods and communities of color in their struggle for environmental justice. When the city of New York initiated its overhaul of the comprehensive waterfront plan in 2010 EJA began an advocacy campaign to convince the Bloomberg Administration

to reform waterfront zones designated as
significant maritime and industrial areas and EJA
discovered that the six SMIAs are all in hurricane
storm surge zones and that the city of New York
had not analyzed the contamination exposure
risks associated with clusters of heavy industrial
users in such vulnerable locations. So following
the aftermath of super storm Sandy, EJA co
convened the Sandy assembly, which is an
association of environmental justice
organizations, community based groups, labor
unions and our allies to discuss from vulnerable
areas in new York and New Jersey to discuss
recommendations, goals and articulate our recovery
agenda that was released on April 1 <sup>st</sup> , e-mailed to
the City Council and handed to the SIRR for its
inclusion in the city's recovery plan. This is a
summary of the main features within those
recommendations. Number one, as part of the City
Council's efforts to address the needs of
vulnerable groups requiring special attention we
urge you to consider the following recommendations
as the relate to Intros 1053, 1070, 1073 and 1065-
-address the specific needs of vulnerable

populations by maintaining privacy rights while
creating a public housing registry of elderly or
disabled residents, at the same time train
volunteers and local SIRR teams in collaboration
with community based organizations that should
receive funding for training community members.
Number two, as part of the City Council's efforts
to create a community recovery plan to respond to
emergency conditions, we urge you to consider the
following recommendations as they relate to Intros
1054, 1076 and 1072I am going to summarizeby
highlighting the need to address potential public
health impacts of climate change on vulnerable
communities, which means identifying industrial
waterfront threats, funding of participatory
investigation of public health risks associated
with potential exposures to industrial clusters of
hazardous substances and toxic chemicals and the
opportunities to mitigate them as a collaborative
effort between community, industry and government.
You have our testimony. I urge you to review
these specific recommendations that we have
indicated as they relate to the bills under
discussion as well as the Sandy Original Assembly

б

		[phonetic]	recovery	agenda.	Thank	you	very	much.
--	--	------------	----------	---------	-------	-----	------	-------

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

Do you need ten seconds to say something you forgot?

food aid is long term, and we just wanted to say that we worry greatly that as the cameras leave and elected officials—not city officials, but other officials migrate to the next disaster, low income and hungry New Yorkers will again face neglect and we hope that the feelings of good will immediately following the storm can be expanded to include understanding that no one should go without food.

There were decided lack of press cameras here today. Thank you all. Now we are going to go to Christina Rangel [phonetic] from the National Electrical Contracting Association, Jeffrey Frediani [phonetic], AAA, Harrison Peck [phonetic], Metropolitan Waterfront Alliance. If anyone is still in the room... Okay, Christina, you start.

CHRISTINE RANGEL: Good afternoon,

and thank you, Council Member Vallone. My name is
Christine Rangel of the National Electrical
Contractors Association, the New York City
chapter. Today I speak on behalf of those
contractors. We are the largest chapter of the
National Electrical Contractors Association in the
United States and the voice of the unionized
electrical construction industry in New York City,
Westchester and Fairfield Counties. We are
comprised of over 300 unionized member firms
employing over 15,000 men and women contributing
to over 20 million man hours of work per year.
Over 2.5 of those million man hours were dedicated
to the recovery and reconstruction efforts in the
aftermath of Hurricane Sandy. Since 1892 our
association has been building and powering New
York from the smallest bodega to the Freedom Tower
and everything in between. We represent an
important contingent and resource for the city
during emergency situations. We have assisted in
reconstruction efforts to get New York back up and
running in times of disaster and crisis, whether
it be fire, blackouts, terrorist attacks, storms
or Hurricane Sandy, we have always been at the

2	forefront in times of need with manpower and
3	expertise to get the city back on its feet.
4	Immediately following Hurricane Sandy our
5	contractors were in every corner of the Rockaways,
6	Staten Island and downtown Manhattan installing
7	hundreds of power providing generators and working
8	swiftly to get New Yorkers back online. We
9	participated in the early meetings with OEM. The
10	city called on us to mobilize our contractors and
11	our massive workforce to participate in the rapid
12	repairs program. if there is one thing that we
13	have all learned from Hurricane Sandy it is that
14	rapid response is what is needed in the immediate
15	aftermath of the disaster. One recommendation in
16	the New York City Hurricane Sandy after action
17	report is to "develop a comprehensive plan to
18	expedite power restoration to multi-family, public
19	and private housing." We believe that we can play
20	an integral role in your development of a
21	comprehensive disaster recovery plan, so when you
22	are doing your emergency response planning, please
23	allow us to join you at the table to work out the
24	many specific details relating to electrical
25	construction. We have the ability to mobilize and

attend to immediate needs of our city during
crisis, restoring infrastructure, street lighting,
power and communications systems and get the
subways, homes and businesses running. We are
well organized, reliable, agile and we are able to
deploy teams immediately. We have responded to a
call in the past, and we will do it again. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And I am making sure our staff has your information so that you are kept involved.

CHRISTINE RANGEL: Thank you.

JEFFREY FREDIANI: Good afternoon.

My name is Jeffrey Frediani. I am a legislative analyst with AAA New York, and we serve more than 1.6 million members residing in the city of New York and adjacent counties in New York State. We support both Intro 1076 and 1077. We support the implementation of Intro 1076 before another natural disaster strikes because it is vital for safety that traffic lights continue to be operational, particularly at high traffic intersections in the event of a power outage.

Installing alternate power, solar or both would go

2	a long way towards reducing the number of traffic
3	lights and signals that would otherwise not be
4	illuminated which jeopardizes public safety, and
5	in addition we support Intro 1077 as a fuel
6	management plan would in our opinion help mitigate
7	disruptions to the fuel supply. It is especially
8	important to assess the potential transportation
9	routes to allow fuel providers to reach their
10	destinations within the city of New York as
11	required by this Intro. Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That is the
13	quickest yet. Thanks. Are you Harrison?
14	JOSE SOGARD: No my name is Jose
15	Sogard [phonetic]. I am
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
17	But you are from the same group?
18	JOSE SOGARD: That is correct.
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you for
20	coming to the community board about the Astoria
21	Ferry Service because that is going to be
22	important.
23	JOSE SOGARD: I will relay that
24	message to him. Thanks. So good afternoon, and
25	thank you for the opportunity to submit this

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testimony. I am testifying on behalf of the Metropolitan Waterfront Alliance, a coalition of over 730 businesses, community and recreational groups, educational institutions and other stakeholders committed to transforming the New York and New Jersey harbor and its waterways to make them cleaner and more accessible with great parks, great jobs and great transportation for Super storm Sandy sounded a wakeup call across the five boroughs. We applaud Speaker Quinn and the City Council for their aggressive approach to preparing New York for the hazards of climate change and extreme weather. In particular we are pleased to see that the Council recognizes how critical ferries are in emergency situations. As the most resilient mode of public transit, it is essential that ferries are central to all contingency transportation plans; however, the maximize the utility of ferries under emergency circumstances there are several policy measures the city can undertake in the short term to ensure we are adequately prepared for the future. of all at present, New York City suffers from a conspicuous dearth of coastal infrastructure for

ferry boat tie up. Legal regulations require that
all airplanes, public buildings and subway cars be
equipped with unobstructed emergency exits to
allow for quick and safe evacuations; however the
fringe with the 520 mile coastline New York City
lacks analogous requirements for water borne
evacuation points. The vast majority of New York
City's marine edge precludes public access
entirely or is designed for passive use while
opportunities for active maritime use and water
access are extremely limited. Second, in the wake
of an emergency event that results in power
outages and transit shutdowns, New Yorkers
instinctively flock to piers and landings, waiting
in long lines to board ferries and rescue boats,
which lead to overcrowding and delays and
available vessels are overwhelmed by passenger
demand. Transit experts have consistently
recommended adding more interborough ferry routes
in order to mitigate congestion on both the water
and the land. Especially given rapid population
growth projected over the coming decades,
increasing maritime mass transit will strengthen
the city's capacity for water borne evacuation and

25

2	the effectiveness of its emergency response.					
3	Finally, though ferry crews are vital to water					
4	borne evacuation efforts, they lack official					
5	authorization as emergency management personnel.					
6	This inconsistency manifests itself following					
7	emergencies when police officers and emergency					
8	response officials often deny ferry crews access					
9	to blockaded roads and river crossings, delaying					
10	their ability to get to vessels or prohibiting					
11	them from entering disaster areas. Moreover, when					
12	fuel shortages result in excessive wait times at					
13	gas stations, ferry operators and their crews					
14	should be prioritized for fuel allocation so that					
15	they may quickly travel the water and run					
16	necessary water borne transit service. To rectify					
17	these problems, the city must grant ferry					
18	operators and their crews emergency personnel					
19	status. Thank you for the opportunity to deliver					
20	this testimony.					
21	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you					
22	all. The next panel will be Susan , Julia. I					
23	will just go with the first names. You can yell					

out your last name. Edith Prentice [phonetic],

that is an easy one, Sophia Carlton [phonetic] and

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Jeff Lieberman [phonetic]. - - Normally we lose
half the people because they can't stay, but you
guys are all staying, which is nice. You are
here. You can start while we are waiting for the

rest. just hit the button.

SUSAN DOOHA: My name is Susan Dooha [phonetic]. I am the executive director of Center for Independence of the Disabled in New York, and we are one of the agencies that has been responding to disasters in New York City since 2001 when we became the leading agency responding on behalf of people with disabilities. We have attempted over the many years to work with the New York City Office of Emergency Management on creating an inclusive disaster preparedness plan and response; however, we owe it to the City Council for providing us with a real opportunity to address the gaps in emergency preparedness and disaster response on the part of the city. We applaud you for keeping these issues before the city officials and believe that absent a full plan that accounts for the needs of all communities we unnecessarily place the lives of people with disabilities and seniors at risk. We are

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particularly pleased the ten bills. We think they present a positive step. We would certainly like to see some of those bills strengthened. example, in the area of transportation ensuring that para transit is able to access emergency zones just as other transportation is able to in the wake of a disaster or going further than looking at simply the deployment of existing accessible transportation resources, looking at the adequacy of those resources in the event of a disaster. 80 percent of the city's subways are inaccessible for people with disabilities. absolutely imperative that we look at whether our transportation system is capable of meeting the needs in a disaster. Similarly although Mr. Calloway [phonetic] said that we are ready for hurricane season, we know that the shelters are not ready, the evacuation centers are not ready to meet the needs of people with disabilities. believe that the city council is taking important steps to address this, and we would like to see those efforts strengthened. With respect to a special needs registry we actually share the concerns of the city about a registry approach to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

addressing people with disabilities and seniors
living in the community, and we would like to
recommend a different kind of community planning
process that would allow us to address evacuation
nooda Thank you

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you. Why don't we finish up this side of the table first? You guys are in charge.

JULIA PINOVER: Good afternoon. name is Julia Pinover [phonetic]. I am a senior staff attorney in charge of the New York Office of Disability Rights Advocates. First I would like to thank the City Council for recognizing that there are serious failures with the city's emergency plans and I applaud the City Council for taking steps to improve the city's plans. bills deal with emergency preparedness and the Council should be aware that emergency preparedness for New Yorkers with disabilities is the subject of a federal class action lawsuit. I am one of the attorneys working on that lawsuit. There was a trial on these issues in March and we are currently awaiting a ruling from a federal district judge. What became clear at trial is

that OEM's emergency plans do not adequately serve
the needs of New Yorkers with disabilities, and
without guidance from you or the court OEM's plans
will continue to fail seniors and people with
disabilities. The bills in front of the City
Council today may improve the city's emergency
response for persons with disabilities, but as is
the package of legislation remains problematic. I
am going to give some overall recommendations
rather than dissect each one. I have four points
to make today. First, with regards to persons
with disabilities much of the proposed legislation
is vague. Second, formalized agreements with NGOs
are necessary for an effective response to persons
with disabilities needs. Third, the efficacy of
plans must be assessed with respect to persons
with disabilities. Fourth, communities of persons
with disabilities must be consulted in developing
a plan. I don't have much time, so I will just
sayI will skip to the endcommunity
consultation. Persons with disabilities are
really in the best position to identify their
foreseeable emergency needs and to problem solve
realistic ways of meeting those needs. OEM has

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not effectively or meaningfully incorporated the community feedback into their plans in the past, and they should be directed to do so in the

future. Thank you.

JEFF LIEBERMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Jeff Lieberman, and I am director of the Coalition of Institutionalized, Aging and Disabled. We are a non-profit grassroots organization run by and for - - residents and resident councils. In the aftermath of Hurricane Sandy, CIAD staff worked around the clock to locate displaced residents, report their needs to state agencies and provide assistance. We are currently working with students and recent graduates from the Columbia University School of Social Work, of which Sophia Carlton is joining me today, on a participatory research project on Hurricane Sandy that provides a powerful platform from which adult home [phonetic] residents can communicate their stories and concerns to policymakers like you. The preliminary findings of the report support aspects of the proposed legislative package before us today, but also point to how these important proposals can be

strengthened to improve the states and city's
disaster preparedness. For example, several of
the bills require the commissioner of OEM to
develop and implement several plans including a
health facilities evacuation plan, a sheltering
plan and a special medical needs tracking plan.
Of course planning is essential to disaster
preparedness, but essential to developing a good
plan is its inclusiveness and engagement with the
disabled communities it is meant to serve. The
development of these plans must include the
participation and input of adult home residents,
nursing home residents and people with
disabilities living in the community. Furthermore
because of the unique situation of adult homes and
nursing homes as state regulated facilities that
will inevitably be dependent on municipal services
in a disaster New York City and New York State
must work together to develop a comprehensive
disaster plan not only for the orderly and timely
evacuations of people and institutions as proposed
in 1073, but also for the development of a safe
and adequate sheltering plan. We applaud the City
Council for its leadership in this area. We

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

believe that 1053, 1070, 1073 and 1075 are
critically important first steps. I will now turn
it over to Sophia, who will discuss our
preliminary findings and responses to the bills
and a little more detail. I want to point out to
you that you have the brief of our report attached

to our testimony. Thank you.

SOPHIA CARLTON: Thanks, Jeff. Good afternoon, and thank you for this opportunity to testify. My name is Sophia Carlton, and I am a recent graduate of Columbia University's School of Social Work. I have submitted a longer testimony, but will give a brief summary here. As Jeff mentioned, during my last semester as a student I partnered with CIAD to investigate issues surrounding the evacuation and sheltering of adult home residents with a framework of participatory action research. For this project, myself and three other Columbia students visited eight adult homes and conducted focus groups and one on one interviews with 28 residents who were affected by the storm. Although the results I speak about today are preliminary we believe that these findings provide evidence in support of several of

the bills on the agenda today and also highlight
areas where these proposals could be strengthened.
For instance bill 1070 would provide mechanisms to
ensure the availability of transportation and beds
at healthcare facilities in the event of an
evacuation. Given that all of the participants in
our study who were evacuated reported issues with
the process, adult home facilities must be
included in this legislation. In addition our
study revealed many concerns of residents who were
evacuated to other adult homes. Part pants cited
instances of gross overcrowding with room
capacities more than doubling in some cases. At
one point Queens Adult Care Center provided
shelter to two additional facilities. A system to
monitor available beds at other adult home
facilities could have prevented the conditions
that residents faced. Bill 1070 would also
address several of the concerns brought to light
in our research. For example, all of the
residents who were evacuated to DHS operated
shelters reported concerns with restrooms with
issues ranging from cleanliness to accessibility
to a lack of adequate facilities. Bill 1070 would

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

make sure than mechanisms would be put into place to solve some of these issues. Finally, we would like express not only our support, but also the urgency for bill 1053. Our study uncovered shocking consequences likely spurred by destroyed medical records or records that were in disarray after the evacuation. Nearly 20 percent of all residents interviewed reported having troubles with medication during the evacuation or sheltering period. One individual we spoke with was admitted to a hospital for two weeks after his medication was mixed up with another resident's. similar issues could be prevented in the future with a mechanism to track the medical needs of individuals when files are destroyed. We look forward to sharing the final report once it is completed and working with Council Members to ensure that these critical steps are taken to improve emergency planning for future disasters. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank though,
I think your name is a little long. Coalition of
Institutionalized, Aging and Disabled. Is there
even an acronym for that that is shorter?

with the vulnerable populations about what they
need to prepare for, recover from, and regain
their normal lives from a storm. I don't see that
consultation explicitly mentioned in your
legislation just as I don't see that consultation
having occurred in the city's planning process.
Because we have conducted quite a bit of that
consultation, I want to summarize a couple of key
findings from it. The first is that just
exhorting people to evacuate or how to prepare is
not enough. Many people need direct assistance in
order to follow those directions effectively, to
understand the directions and to evaluate what
they should do personally about it. Issues that
require assistance include need for assistance
with accessibility as has been described with
language as has been described with other medical
and pharmacy issues as has been described and non-
profits, the NGOs, the community based
organizations that people are used to interacting
with are your key sort of translators and levers
and trusted sources particularly when we are
talking about people with particular needs. The
second point I want to make is that instead of

focusing on what we believe to be a time and
resource squandering of this issue of a new
registry rather than aggregation of information
from existing sources is instead to focus on
creating the kind of community cohesiveness and
neighborliness and communicativeness that we think
is more effective to helping people to prepare to
shelter and to recover, and we see a remarkable
dearth of this community mindedness in the
federal, the state and the city responses, which
very much focus on the individual. I would like
to recommend to you the excellent testimony,
detailed testimony of the Gray Panthers
[phonetic], Jack Cufferman [phonetic], who really
takes apart all the current research on this
registry issue, and I think it will help your
thinking enormously to read it. Lastly, I'd like
to say that the New York Academy of Medicine has a
team whose job it is to conduct evidence based
policy analysis. We know a lot about this topic,
and we are able to research effectively and well.
Use us please. We know that we share a common
objective to be sure that the needs of every New
Yorker particularly those with special needs are

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

2	anticipated and adequately met in the event of a
3	range of disasters. Let us help you do that.

FEMALE VOICE: Thanks for this opportunity. I am from the Mental Health Association of New York, specifically Life Net. Life Net is the only multi-cultural crisis center operating 24/7 with mental health professionals providing free behavioral health assessments, interventions and support. Nationally the disaster distress help line is a network of crisis centers providing 24/7 hotline and tech support for people struggling with difficult emotions before, during or after any disaster in the U.S. The disaster stress line is a program of - administered by MHA NYC. Our organization has also provided trainings, technical assistance, disaster case management through our crisis counseling service, all which have been utilized in response efforts including September 11<sup>th</sup> terrorist attacks, Sandy Hook Elementary shootings, Boston Marathon bombing, Hurricane Katrina, tropical storm Irene and now Hurricane Sandy. In light of MHA NYC's service to New

Yorkers over the years and now with Hurricane
Sandy, I am here today to call attention to the
importance of addressing mental health in all
aspects of emergency planning and management.
Regarding disasters basic material needs are
understandably being planned for, distributed and
measured in all stages of preparedness, but it
essential that we remember mental health is also
basic need; therefore, it is vital to make the
public aware of all available services and to
educate on recognizing the signs of such mental
health issues as depression, anxiety and substance
abuse, all which may be exacerbated in reaction to
disasters and their enduring impact. Shortly
after Hurricane Sandy a Gallup Survey was
conducted among residents of New York and New
Jersey with findings that those living in zip
codes most directly affected by Hurricane Sandy
experienced a 25 percent increase in depression
diagnosis in the six weeks after impact. I have
shared a copy with the panel. So our suggestions
would be to mandate that Life Net as New York
City's designated mental health and substance
abuse crisis intervention be included in all

2	disaster response, educational materials,						
3	training, disaster planning, outreach events so						
4	that New Yorkers experience emotional distress						
5	before during and after the disasters know where						
6	to turn for 24/7 support, highlight the need for						
7	continued and expanded funding for mental health						
8	services that play a critical role in disasters						
9	such as Life Net, which coincidentally was						
10	identified as perhaps the single most important						
11	asset in the 9/11 mental health response, ensure						
12	that mental health experts, providers and						
13	consumers are invited to the emergency planning						
14	and management table of the committee's taskforce						
15	and other opportunities, address the mental health						
16	needs of all effected individuals, including						
17	response and recovery workers and other high risk						
18	populations, children, seniors and people with						
19	disabilities and offer resources for evidence and						
20	disaster mental health training such as list						
21	and protect and connect psychological first aid						
22	for New York City employees. A city that supports						
23	year round comprehensive culturally inclusive						
24	mental health initiatives and disaster planning is						
25	a stronger more resilient city, one that is better						

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	equipped	to	bounce	back	after	а	devastating
2	-1		.h				. Thenly

disaster such as Hurricane Sandy. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Our clock guy left, but I think we are going to be back on schedule.

PATRICIA CARIDAD: Good afternoon. My name is Patricia Caridad. I am a registered nurse, and I work with the New York State Nurses' Association. I was responsible for coordinating medical care for the residents of Staten Island in the aftermath of Sandy. I have also done Sandy recovery work in Coney Island, Far Rockaway, and I have visited Oklahoma. On the coordination of services loss of durable medical equipment after Sandy was a major problem for survivors in Staten Island. An arrangement needs to be made with medical supply companies before a disaster so that disaster survivors who have lost their equipment can have it replaced in timely fashion. During the aftermath of Sandy NYSNA leaders pleaded with the Mayor's Office to help us obtain portable nebulizers. We were told that these were not life or death medical devices. These are lifesaving machines for many patients, so through

neighborhood outreach we were able to reconnect
survivors with the equipment they needed and from
people out of state, not our own city. Develop a
emergency response tetanus vacation plan to be
conducted by registered health professionals
within the disaster area going door to door.
During the weeks after Sandy me and my team
vaccinated at least 500 individuals who had been
injured during the recovery. These tetanus
vaccinations were donated by a Staten Island
doctor because we were getting the runaround from
the city and we were not able to attain them
through the city. How medical services can be
made available to effected residents, in Oklahoma
when I provided emergency relief to the victims,
they have clinics in a can, which are freight
containers converted to clinics. They station
these clinics right in the neighborhood and can
administer care to victims immediately. I ran a
clinic like this in Coney Island for six months
and was able to care for 40 patients that had no
way of getting medical care because their clinic
was closed. Mental health issues must be
addressed on a consistent, ongoing basis, PTSD is

б

rampant and survivors should have support from the
city to attain therapy. Anybody who has survived
or experienced the losses associated with a
disaster must be treated immediately to enable
their lives to get on. The closing of the Bailey
Seton [phonetic] inpatient detox center has been a
true hardship and detrimental to Sandy survivors.
This has compounded the problem by cutting the
patients off. NYSNA has been involved in the long
term recovery organization on Staten Island since
November, and we feel that this group should be
part of any ongoing situation with the city.
Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.
Rabbi?
DADDI TENICE DATUTA: Thonk won

RABBI JENICE BATITIA: Thank you for this hearing today. My name is Rabbi Jenice Batitia [phonetic]. I am on the leadership team for Disaster Chaplaincy Services of New York.

Disaster Chaplaincy Services is the pre 9/11 non-profit multi-faith, multi-cultural, multi-language spiritual care disaster relief chaplaincy organization. our 160 volunteer chaplains represent 28 faith traditions, speaking 30

different languages. Each of our chaplains is
trained in emergency spiritual care. Even before
9/11 the importance of spiritual care during a
disaster was apparent. Subsequent to Hurricane
Katrina Disaster Chaplaincy Services of New York
was one of the partnering agencies helping to
write the New York City coastal storm plan. We
encouraged the city and agencies to make sure that
disaster chaplaincy services be utilized during
any event. During and in the aftermath of Sandy
Disaster Chaplaincy Services worked with and
offered interfaith spiritual care to victims of
the storm to people working with the city and
private agencies to people involved with other
organizational volunteer groups and their support
systems. The spiritual and emotional care
provided is difficult to calculate in numbers
except for statistics that I will offer you in a
moment. Disaster Chaplaincy Services knows from
all of our contacts that the service we provide is
deep and abiding. While Sandy was still wreaking
havoc Disaster Chaplaincy Service leaders were
communicating with our volunteer chaplains to
determine their safety and availability to be

deployed. One-third of our chaplain responders
were either directly affected by Sandy, their
houses of worship or their congregants were badly
effected as were mine on the south shore of Long
Island. They rejoined our team as soon as they
were capable of. I was one of two disaster
chaplaincy service chaplains, part of an initial
advanced fact finding team in the Rockaways as
early as November 1 <sup>st</sup> . During and after Sandy, 76
chaplains from Disaster Chaplaincy Services were
deployed. Of those 76 we staffed 16 shelters and
nine other locations, which included feeding
vehicles, feeding centers, the employee assistance
plan because the Mayor's Office of Labor Relations
requested that we work directly with the EAP
employees and the employees of the Sanitation
Department. We also volunteered at bulk
distribution centers for food, clothing and
information. Our chaplains were available for 197
shifts in addition 43 shifts at the American Red
Cross headquartered totaling 240 shifts. Our
chaplains spent 645 hours in the field related to
Sandy. We had over 900 contacts of individuals in
the field, and an additional 344 contacts at the

American Red Cross Headquarters totaling 1244
contacts. Disaster Chaplaincy Services of New
York's chaplains while volunteering at shelters in
the American Red Cross Headquarters and other
locations not only served the victims of Sandy,
but also offered spiritual care to mental health
volunteers and support staff through the end of
February 2013.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: You need to finish up.

RABBI JENICE BATITIA: I've got 30 seconds. Our chaplains reside and work in a large area from Columbia County down through the five boroughs. Due to the widespread damage of Sandy and the inability to travel, Disaster Chaplaincy Services could not employee all of our chaplains. Not knowing the duration or the long term need disaster, Disaster Chaplaincy Services implemented what we call the just in time program for faith leaders not yet volunteering with us. Our leadership reached out to the extended interfaith community. In that time, we trained 71 clergy, 24 of whom are now part of our clergy team. We strongly urge that Disaster Chaplaincy Services of

New York be utilized to the fullest extend to
provide enduring spiritual care during any and all
disasters that occur in New York and all of her
boroughs. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

The synagogues and the churches I saw down there most when I went down there, which is why I sponsored a bill to have FEMA restitution go to private groups like synagogues and churches. Last panel is Mark Ladoff [phonetic], Laura Abel [phonetic], Melissa McCrum [phonetic] and Betina Delmiani [phonetic]. Has anyone else signed up that did not testify? You put in a card? And your name is? Just come on up, and you will testify. Give her one slip to fill out in case we lost it. Thank you. Why don't you start on the end, ma'am, while we are waiting? Thanks.

Abel. I am the senior policy counsel at Lawyers'
Alliance for New York. We are the leading
provider of business and transactional legal
services to the non-profits that work in New York
City neighborhoods. As Council Member Reyna and
Deputy Mayor Gibbs discussed community based

organizations play a critical role in disaster
recovery. These organizations need to be an
integral part of the city's disaster planning
process, not just the response. We support the
ten bills that have been introduced, but those
bills would be stronger and more consistently
directed OEM to work closely with non-profits in
every aspect of disaster planning. I have given
specifics suggestions in my written testimony, but
I will just give one example. Intro 1054 directs
OEM to include in its community recovery plan a
description of ways to leverage community based
organizations, service providers and volunteers.
The Commissioner should develop that plan in
collaboration with existing community based
organizations and service providers, not just
reach out to them when it needs them. The bills
should also say how the city will help non-profits
recover from physical or other damage they
received. Non-profits should be included in the
small business recovery plan described in Intro
1072 or there should be a separate bill requiring
OEM to develop a non-profit recovery plan. I have
attached to my written testimony a list of these

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 and other suggested changes to the already very
3 strong bills. Thank you.

MARK LADOVE: Thank you, and good afternoon. My name is Mark Ladove [phonetic]. I am a staff attorney with New York Lawyers' for the Public Interest, and I work in NYLPI's environmental justice and community development project representing communities facing disproportionate environmental burdens. NYLPI is a member of the Sandy Regional Assembly, a coalition of over 40 community based and nonprofit organizations who represent and serve the communities hit hardest by super storm Sandy. also want to commend the City Council for taking action to help our communities rebuild after Sandy and for holding hearings like this to make sure that the public has a voice in this process. New York City Environmental Justice Alliance has already submitted testimony today that describes some of the recommendations of the Sandy regional assembly as they relate to the goals of these bills. We full endorse that testimony, and we urge the Council to take action to implement those proposals. Those recommendations are the product

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of hard earned wisdom from the community residents who worked on the front lines after Sandy and who know what worked and what did not work in the recovery process. I'd like very quickly to make two points, I think very much echoing what Laura Abel just said. First, community organizations and residents must be a formal part of a participatory and transparent planning process. think that the small business recovery bill that Council Member Reyna has introduced, I think hopefully best reflects these priorities so far. That bill requires the consultation with small business owners. It sets up an infrastructure to better communicate with small business owners, and critically that bill requires the city to communicate to small businesses in the languages that reflect the communities impacted. All of those principles need to be core parts of the rebuilding and resiliency planning process and could be and should be implemented into all of the bills that the Council is considering today, and secondly I would just like to urge the Council to further invest in community infrastructure and preparedness. After Sandy as you have said,

Council Member Vallone, community based
organizations and residents were critical first
responders. They helped vulnerable neighbors.
They distributed resources. They organized
volunteers. They led the local recovery effort.
The testimony that the New York City Environmental
Justice Alliance has submitted includes specific
proposals for training and funding community based
response teams. I would also mention that the
SIRR plan calls for a pilot program to identify
and address gaps in community capacity. We hope
that the City Council will similarly urge
investment in these kinds of community based
programs. Thank you.

don't know if it is fortuitous or not, but the tshirts that we are wearing today is we were at
Bellevue for a staff day and we were all asked to
wear these shirts and these were our Bellevue
storm shirts - - . My name is Grace Oddo
[phonetic]. I am a registered nurse at Bellevue
Hospital and on the board of directors for the New
York State Nurses' Association. I am here to
speak about Intro number 1073 in relation to the

evacuation of persons with special medical needs
during and after the emergency conditions. First,
I want to thank you for holding this hearing, and
I just want to let you know, I did work the storm
and the days that followed with many of my
colleagues who were extraordinary. Let me say
that October $1^{\rm st}$ seems very far away given that we
are already in Hurricane season and considering
that the National Weather Service says we are
expecting 11 hurricanes this year. I suggest that
the date for completion of this evacuation plan
could possibly be moved up so that we are not
caught unprepared during a dangerous time.
Secondly, it is imperative that the plan made in
consultation with all health care providers on the
ground who will be responsible for implementing it
including but not limited to RNs, doctors,
assisted personnel, engineers, machinists and
maintenance people. These critical members of the
emergency response teams in our facilities have
much experience to share from both Irene and Sandy
and no real plans can be developed without us. On
the issue of developing a coordinated
communications mechanism during Sandy at Bellevue

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coney Island and Coler Goldwater we were able to do a remarkably well coordinated evacuation. didn't lose one patient, but the communication was sorely lacking in two areas. There was no uniform system of communicating with the staff and at the outset there was a serious lack of communication to the community. There were staff members left in the dark figuratively and literally with no phones or computer access. In many cases we wrote out in long hand the treatment plans, pinned them on the patient's bed sheets of the patients transferred to other facilities. There should be one data system for all of HHC where all of our hospitals can talk to each other and follow every patient. Many staffs themselves were impacted by Sandy and had flooding - - flooded out staying with them and communications plans need to address the issue of a uniform method of reaching out to the staff. There was also staff who tried to get to work by driving, but were turned away when they tried to cross the bridges. There should be designated emergency lanes for emergency caregivers. Residents from the community kept coming to the hospital after we were shut down,

2	and there was no proper communication with them.
3	There needs to be some focus on redirecting the
4	community to alternative healthcare facilities
5	once a hospital has been evacuated. Also,
6	transportation needs to be made available for the
7	community for the closed facility to the
8	alternative site even after the evacuation has
9	been completed. Clearly the mechanisms for
10	coordinating with appropriate New York State
11	Officials will help ensure the next disaster
12	response is better coordinated and managed than it
13	was during Sandy. NYSNA suggestions that
14	incorporating in the plan, a mechanism for
15	communication with federal officials as well
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [interposing]
17	Can you sum up please?
18	GRACE ODDO:for FEMA and
19	homeland security.
20	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.
21	Thank you everyone in the room for waiting around
22	until this hour to testify. It is all on the
23	record, and we have your testimony. There are a
24	lot of bills today. I only had to read them, but
25	the people who had to write them are all here also

in addition , we have Molly Murphy and Andre	a
Vasquez and Kelly Taylor [phonetic], Carmine	,
Lyle Frank and Jeffrey Campanas [phonetic], some	
of whom are still hanging out in the room also,	
and they had to writeI did say Kelly Taylor, I	
hope. If I didn't, I'll say it again. They had	
to write all of those bills, and they will be	
making amendments based on your testimony. So	
thank you all for being here, and this public	
safety hearing is now adjourned.	

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Campbell certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Limbury Campbell	
Date	7/15/13	