CT.I.A	COL	INCTI	_
CITY	OF	NEW	YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION

----X

June 7, 2013 Start: 1:07 p.m. Recess: 4:15 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MELISSA MARK-VIVERITO

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Elizabeth Crowley Julissa Ferreras Vincent J. Gentile

James Vacca Daniel Dromm

James G. Van Bramer

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michael Mays Concerned Citizen

Richard Oppenheimer Concerned Citizen

Jacob Morris Concerned Citizen

Sharon Rose Concerned Citizen

Stuart Eber Concerned Citizen

Dorothy Lewandowski Queens Parks Commissioner New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Janice Melnick Administrator of Flushing Meadows-Corona Park New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Larry Scott Blackmon
Deputy Commissioner
New York City Department of Parks and Recreation

Will Sweeney Jackson Heights Green Alliance

Holly Light
Executive Director
New Yorkers for Parks

Ben Haber Concerned Citizen

Anthony Ng Jackson Heights Green Alliance

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Elaina Contie Pratt Center for Community Development

Jean Silver President Flushing Meadows Corona Park

Mezita Udin Make the Road New York

Sara Jaramillo Make the Road New York

Joel Martinez
Make the Road New York

Ed Wesley President Jackson Heights Beautification Group

Richard Helenbrecht Founding President Flushing Meadows Corona Park Conservancy

Jeffrey Croft President New York City Park Advocates

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

Alright. We are going to get started. actually are doing two hearings today, and the first hearing that we will be doing is on street namings, so I want to say good afternoon to everybody that is here. I am Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito, chair of the Parks and Recreation Committee joined here by Council Member Julissa Ferreras and Council Member Danny Dromm and other council members will join. Today we will first hear proposed Intro number 1045-A, a bill which will co-name 52 thoroughfares and public places through the city of New York. this point, I want to see if there are any members of the audience that are here to present public testimony and speak on any of the proposed names of the bill, and then I am going to invite any of the committee members to speak on this item. anyone here to speak on any of the proposed street namings? Okay. Good so we do have some. So we will call those up to testify first. Do you have the names? I think the people that have raised their hands we haven't been able to distinguished from the - - . Did you sign speaking sheets? Can

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 you tell us your names out loud?

RICHARD OPPENHEIMER: My name is
Richard Oppenheimer [phonetic].

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Richard Oppenheimer. Okay. I know we have Jacob Morris. Stuart Eber [phonetic]. If you guys want to come up, if I mentioned your names. Jacob Morris, Stuart Eber, Richard Oppenheimer. We will start with those three. And Michael Mays [phonetic]. Actually, we would like Michael Mays to speak first. Mr. Mays, if you could please also--we are going to need to four... Let's see. If Mr. Mays, if you could take a seat because we want you to speak first, then I am going to ask Jacob Morris to take a seat and Richard Oppenheimer since you are standing take a seat. Sir, if you want to just give us a second if you can take a--and we will call you at the next panel. Excuse us for the confusion. We have two hearings, so we are having to separate the speaking testimony. complicated. Again we are here right now to listen to 1045-A, which is the street naming bills. It is 52 thoroughfares throughout the city of New York, so again, Richard Oppenheimer, Jacob

2	Morris, Michael Mays and Mr. Mays, if you could
3	begin testifying as to why you are here and we
4	thank you for taking the time to be here today.
5	MICHAEL MAYS: Good afternoon,
6	members, fellow New Yorkers. I am Michael Mays.
7	I am here today to thank you all, particularly
8	Robert Jackson for your kind considerations to
9	honor my father. I think that him having started
LO	his career and ended it here basically I can thin
11	of few more appropriate punctuations to it than
12	this, so I am just here to thank you.
L3	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: So
L4	which one are you speaking on behalf of?
15	MICHAEL MAYS: Both all and any, we
L6	are honored.
L7	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: I know
L8	there is a bit of confusion at the moment, but
L9	thank you though for taking the time to be here.
20	If you want to go ahead, Mr. Oppenheimer.
21	RICHARD OPPENHEIMER: Sure. This
22	is one of exciting moments of my life. I retired
23	recently after 43 years of work in the
2.4	Williamsburg Bushwick Community starting out in

gang prevention. Then in early childhood and was

2	when I retired a few months ago vice president of
3	CSA for early childhood, and the street naming
4	that I am speaking to is Doc Pomus [phonetic],
5	Pomus Place. He grew up at 51 Manhattan Avenue.
6	The first single I ever purchased as an 11 year
7	old was Why Must I be a Teenager in Love,
8	songwriter Doc Pomus. As the years went by, he is
9	a person who has written so much American music of
10	the 20 th centuryThis Magic Moment, Save the Last
11	Dance for Me, Viva Las Vegas, Surrender, Lonely
12	Avenue, hits for Elvis Presley, Ray Charles, the
13	Drifters. He at the age of 11 I learned
14	contracted polio and spent his whole life from
15	that point on wearing crutches or sitting in a
16	wheelchair, and his spirit is the spirit of the
17	youth that I worked with and the parents that I
18	worked with who have spent their whole life moving
19	to achieve for themselves and their children what
20	we call the American Dream. And Doc's Gerome
21	Felder, Doc Pomus his brother Raul, who is the
22	divorce attorney famous for Rudy Giuliani's
23	divorce with Donna Hanover, Raul Felder has an
24	intention tohe is Doc Pomus' kid brother and
25	always looked up to him, and recently at the

Lincoln Film Society showed a film called AKA Doc
Pomus as the first film of the Jewish Film
Festival, and the director of the film has every
intention of being here today. His name is Peter
Miller, and this man's work and his music
transformed my life and gave me strength, and when
I learned of his disability and my best friend at
the moment that I purchased Teenager in Love, and
still is my closest friend has cerebral palsy. So
I can't begin to say how much his music represents
to a community that needs to know about him. My
goal at this point after we have the street naming
change is to have the young African American,
Latino and other youth of the Williamsburg area
learn about his music and at the street naming
ceremony, the children, the youth of a local
school, high school, alternative el Puente, are
planning to dance in the street to his music, and
I am just very proud of this moment, and I just
want to give thanks to a City Council Member who
isn't here who is responsible for this, Diana
Reyna.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you for taking the time. Mr. Morris?

JACOB MORRIS: This is working? I
am wearing a--

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

[interposing] Please be mindful. We do have other people to speak on street namings, and we do have a whole other hearing ahead of us, so we are trying--I know people come here passionately and take time, but if we can be concise in terms of the support or non-support that we may have.

JACOB MORRIS: I am wearing a jersey today. This at first glance looks like a Yankees jersey, but actually, it is a black Yankees jersey. The black Yankees played at the polo grounds, and they have been forgotten. Part of honoring Willie Mays and this is about for me and for Michael, today is about honoring Willie Mays, and it is also about that just a couple of days ago I was on the bus wearing this journey and some young guy said, hey man, that Yankees jersey is slammin'. And I said it is a black Yankees Jersey, and they were in shock. They were in shock. Black Yankees, amazing. When did they play? 1947. You know the polo grounds were there until 50 years ago, and how do you lose a baseball

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stadium, we lose history. That is what this is about -- these co-namings, these honorings, these ceremonies, this is about our common history, our rich history and we give it a chance to be remembered to raise this awareness. I brought a map of the two namings with me right off of Google of course. Willie Mays Place, St. Nick's Place and Willie Mays Drive, the access road instead of Harlem River Drive. Guess what? They line up perfectly. So today I am going to state emphatically that I support both namings. responding from Willie Mays Drive in 2008. was passed by Community Board 10. At that point in time, I did know exactly where Willie Mays lived. I knew that he had lived near the polo grounds, but I didn't have the exact address. That I found out after I read this great biography of him by Hirsch [phonetic], which I highly recommend. In 2008, when Community Board 10 approved this, I personally went to the people that live in the neighborhood at the polo grounds, and I got my signatures from them there in Inez Dickens' district, and this was originally submitted--the Willie Mays Drive was originally

2	submitted by Councilwoman Inez Dickens. This was
3	before Ydanis Rodriguez got elected as Councilman.
4	So in terms of priorities actually Councilwoman
5	Inez Dickens takes precedent on Willie Mays Drive.
6	I want that in the record. This should be a
7	combined ceremony. Major League Baseball from
8	what I understand wants to tie this into the All
9	Star Game, which I believe would be just wonderful
10	for honoring Willie Mays, his memory, the polo
11	grounds and Harlem and that he brought so much joy
12	to Harlem with that world series and so many other
13	wonderful playing memories, the catch, and so many
14	other great plays. And by the way, I thank you
15	for that because that always moved me. How many
16	superstars today would play stickball with the
17	kids in the neighborhood? I don't see it, but
18	Willie played stickball with the kids of Harlem, a
19	superstar, one of the greatest players in history,
20	whatever color they were. He played stickball
21	with all of them, and a great stickball player he
22	was. I want to thank the Committee. I want to
23	thank the senior staff. I want to thank the City
24	Council, and I want to thank Speaker Quinn for
25	allowing the Committee to honor the historic

2.

significance of Willie Mays in spite of the
guidelines that say that you need to be dead to
get honored. That his historic significance
transcends his being dead, which I am glad he is
still alive, and I want to thank them doing it for
Mayor Koch because I believe that that precedent
allowed us to have this window of opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: I think we have a couple of questions, so we want to make sure we allow for time for that so I am only allocating to 1:30 for this hearing, and there are a couple of speakers on other street namings, if I could allow the Council Members that are here to ask questions.

JACOB MORRIS: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: So we have been joined by Council Member Robert Jackson. We have been joined by Council Member Ydanis Rodriguez and also by Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer, I think Robert had indicated that you had a question. Robert?

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,
Madam Chair, so I speak in favor of the street conamings for Willie Mays, one of the greatest if

not the greatest baseball player that has ever
lived and played the game, and obviously in
listening to his son, Michael Mays, and listening
to Jacob Morris who has been instrumental as we
know in many street co-namings in mainly northern
Manhattan and Harlem, but other areas of our
borough. Clearly, the street co-naming of Willie
Mays Place where he actually lived between 153 rd
and 155 th Street and St. Nicholas Avenue and
clearly Harlem River Drive to be co-named Willie
Mays Drive, if you look at the book going back
before there was any development there there was
the stadium and then there was the hill, and
people used to stand up on the hill to look down
to observe and watch the baseball from on top of
the hill, so clearly it is appropriate in my
opinion to co-name both Willie Mays Place and
Willie Mays Drive, one indicating actually where
he lived and the other one indicating a drive
where people used to stand to look down on the
stadium. I support both of these street co-
namings, and especially understanding from a
historical perspective that Willie Mays played the
game like no one else ever played it, and the fact

25

2	that July 16 th is the Mayor League Baseball All
3	Star Game, and it is being held in New York City,
4	so ideally it would be appropriate if we did this
5	around that time to honor one of baseball's
6	greatest ever players, but also, Madam Chair, let
7	me just say with respect to Juan Rodriguez Way I
8	see is on our agenda for a vote, I support this.
9	This brings about additional street signs that
LO	will be listed from I think all the way from 159 th
11	Street to the end of Manhattan 218 th Street where
12	at every intersection underneath Broadway will be
13	the name Juan Rodriguez Way, so I support that
L4	wholeheartedly.
15	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank
L6	you, Council Member.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you.
L8	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Council
L9	Member Ydanis Rodriguez?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
21	you, Chairman Viverito. First of all, I haven't
22	met Willie Mays. All I know is that three
23	Dominican brothers play for the only case that

I know that three brothers play on the same team,

San Francisco, and I know that the three brothers

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the play on the same team, San Francisco, that Willie Mays played, and - - . He is a role model, especially at this moment when there is so much concern about the - - they need to correct and having baseball players as a role model, and that is important. I was introduced on the co-naming of 155th through Jacob. My district goes from 218 to $155^{\rm th}$, so my district ends there in the - -Avenue and the Hybridge Park. And of course, it is something to celebrate, especially a district that is mainly black and Latino communities. is important that we celebrate what we do in the baseball and the economic opportunity since we also face similar challenges. I only would do what the family would like to do. This co-naming on 155th was introduced by Jacob. I believe that there is no doubt that if also we get to co-name both, where Willie Mays used to live and 155th is a great honor for his life, his contribution as a role model in our city, so if the family is okay of doing the co-naming in both areas, for me it is an honor to maintain that effort to co-name.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Council Member. So thank you all for coming

2	to testify and I appreciate you being here. I
3	think it is clear that there is support for both
4	and so hopefully the idea here is after this
5	hearing we will be voting on the street namings on
6	Monday, June 10 th , so thank you all for coming.
7	JACOB MORRIS: if I may say one
8	brief
9	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:
10	[interposing] Brief please, thank you.
11	JACOB MORRIS: But very important.
12	After the City Council votes on these particular
13	street namings I would love to see your committee
14	take up revising the guidelines to encompass a
15	waiver for historical significance so that you
16	won't have to bend the rules next time to honor
17	someone like Willie Mays.
18	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: We have
19	been having conversations about the guidelines for
20	street namings, so it is already in the works, but
21	thank you for the recommendation. We have a
22	couple
23	RICHARD OPPENHEIMER: [interposing]
24	Can I just say one sentence about Doc Pomus?
25	CHAIRPERSON MARKO-VIVERITO: We

2 really do need to move on.

most amazing things this many did, this man who was in the rock and roll hall of fame, rhythm and blues hall of fame, the blues hall of fame, he saved so many famous musicians from their own addictions, which I spent a good portion of my young life dealing with substance abuse in the Williamsburg-Bushwick Community.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you. We have two more. I don't know if anyone else is here to speak on street namings and I don't call your name, please raise your hand. So I have got Stuart Eber and Sharon Rose. So you can step up to the table. Is there anyone else here that I did not name? Okay. Thank you. I think that will be--Stuart Eber and Sharon Rose, and that is it for street namings, right? Perfect. Okay. So feel free either who wants to start.

SHARON ROSE: Okay. I am Sharon Rose. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, council members, Madam Chair. I am Sharon Rose and I am the mother of Christopher Rose and we

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have petitioned for street co-naming in honor of in memory of my son that was killed at the corner of East 40th Street and Avenue D in Brooklyn in the 45th District, and I just wanted to be here present to be able to make sure that this is given serious consideration. Although my son was only 15 years old and he might not have been famous or accomplished any great works during his short life, his memory has been esteemed by so many people. He was--and I know I am not saying this because I am just his mother--but he was actually an excellent young man and had great promise, and our community at large can testify as to that. We had been doing, myself and the community members, work in the community for years. I have been in the community for 40 years before this happened. If any of you know anything about the 45th District, it is a quiet community, it is an immigrant community and in the past it has remained that way, but recently there has been a rash of violence and upheaval in the community. Since my son's death we have started this organization and we would like to be able to continue in my son's memory to be able to not only

б

preserve the 45 th District from violence and from
crimes against our youth, but also to transform
it, and I think I believe one of the ways we can
do that is to continue a presence in the community
and the street sign and the street co-naming is
going to be coalesce community members and other
community factions in the community to be able to
at least continue in this effort and garner
support for addressing issues that affect our
young people in the $45^{\rm th}$ District, so I would like
this to be given very, very serious consideration.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you for coming, Ms. Rose, and I am sorry for your loss. The name is in the packet, so it is something that we will be voting on, so thank you for coming here.

STUART EBER: Hi. My name is

Stuart Eber. I am here to speak on James V.

Downey Way, a naming in the Bronx on - - Avenue.

I want to thank the Committee for this

opportunity. If you look in the packets, I won't

bore you with the details, but there is

recommendations from our community board, from

City Council Member Oliver Koppell, the Church of
Saint Margaret's of Cortona as well as the Knights
of Columbus and the North Riverdale Baseball
League. Jim Downey served in Vietnam, came back
to America, served in New York City Police
Department then went to work for the Federal
Bureau of Investigation. He married Gerry
[phonetic]. They had three children, two of whom
are policeman, one of whom is a fireman today.
Jim got involved in the North Riverdale Baseball
League in the early 1980s, was a coach, then a
manger, president of the league and the longtime
treasurer of the league. Under Jim's tenure on
the board, we expanded to have the only girls'
softball league in the entire District 23 and it
became a model for other leagues in District 23 to
have softball leagues for the girls. He always
was about sportsmanship. It wasn't about winning.
It was about sportsmanship. It was teaching
children how to play baseball the right way and
that after the game was over, we are all on the
same team. Jim unfortunately died of lung cancer
at a young age. After this retirement from the
FBI, he served as liaison between the FBI and the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

police department after 9/11 because of his expertise in forensics, so he was also involved in the aftermath of 9/11. He was a quiet man, but he has the respect of everybody in the neighborhood whether it was through the league, the church, the Knights of Columbus. We believe that naming the street for Jim Downey he will live in everyone's memory who goes to a little league game at Sid Augarten Field, the field that which the North Riverdale Baseball League plays and this is the street that immediate abuts that park. It is unfortunate his family couldn't be here today so that they could hear--so they could see this committee and see that everyone wants to honor Jim for his outstanding sportsmanship and leadership that he has provided to hundreds and hundreds of children in North Riverdale. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank

you as well for coming to testify. I just want to

recognize we have been joined by Council Member

Gennaro, Council Member Vallone, and thank you. I

don't think we have any questions on the panel,

and with that, I believe this hearing on Intro

1045-A will close and we will take a moment

2 recess, but we are going to start up with the 3 Flushing Meadows Park hearing in a moment.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[pause]

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: We are begin. Before we do, I have to say that with regards the bill that we just heard 1045-A, that bill will be laid over. The hearing will be laid over until Monday, and in which date we will voting on that bill. So with that, we are going to jump into the other aspect of today's hearing. So again, I want to say good afternoon to everyone that is here. I am Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito, chair of the Committee on Parks and Recreation. Again, I will name the Council Members that are here: Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer, Council Member Julissa Ferreras, Council Member Vallone, Council Member Gennaro. We also have been joined by Council Member Danny Dromm, and I think there was another. Oh, Ydanis Rodriguez, but he is not a member of the Committee. Today we will be examining the overall conditions and needs of one of our city's largest parks, Flushing Meadows Corona Park. Just to be

clear to all of my colleagues, this hearing's
scope is limited to issues relating to the
condition and maintenance needs of the park, and
not the various land use projects that have been
proposed. Those topics will be covered at the
appropriate Land Use Committee hearing at the
proper time, so I would like to ask my colleagues
again to refrain from questions or statements that
are off topic. Flushing Meadows is located in
Queens and once used as the Corona ash dump
[phonetic] is now the city's fourth largest park,
and is well-known for both being heavily relied
upon by those in the nearby communities and for
its large scale events and institutions, such as
Two Worlds Fairs, the U.S. Open, the New York
Mets and the Queens Museum. It also has many
concessions, one of which is Terrace on the Park,
the largest concession in the city park system.
Though Flushing Meadows attracts visitors from
around the city and even the world, it is mostly
relief upon as the prime source of open space for
residents of the local surrounding communities and
these communities really need quality open space.
For example, if you exclude Flushing Meadows,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there are point .77 acres of open space per 1,000 residents in these adjacent communities overall which falls well below the city's benchmark of 2.5 acres per 1,000 residents. It appears however that Flushing Meadows is in need of a lot more resources, so it can better serve those who rely on it. When compared to other landmark parks of similar size like Central Park or Prospect Park, Flushing Meadows falls ways behind on having what it needs to be properly cared for. While those parks have conservancies and large fund raising capabilities, Flushing Meadows attracted only a token amount of donations when compared to those two parks. Additionally, it has been reported that only 18 fulltime, and 35 seasonal Parks Department employees are dedicated to the overall care maintenance of the park, while Central Park has close to 300 workers and Prospect Park has 135, even though both parks are smaller in size. It seems that such a small workforce is really not capable of properly maintaining such a vast park without more resources. I am hoping this hearing can help us arrive at some solutions. Clearly, more funding for this park is sorely needed, but

how we achieve that goal will likely involve a
multi-faceted approach. Perhaps, some of those
solutions lie in diverting more concession money
directly to the park or as others have proposed
creating an alliance or conservancy similar to the
ones in other large parks, so they raise funds and
use the increased resources to hire more staff.
It is my hope that some of these ideas can start
to advance the agenda of improving this park so
that we can ensure the park has sufficient
resources to properly meet the recreational needs
of park goers in short and long term. So again, I
look forward to examining this issue in greater
depth today. I would like to welcome the
administration and the advocates that are here,
but I would like to allow my colleague, Council
Member Julissa Ferreras, to say a few opening
remarks, and I know that we have been joined by
Council Member Elizabeth Crowley. I saw her
earlier. She is right here. Council Member
Julissa Ferreras?

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon. My name is Council Member Julissa Ferreras, and I represent

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council District 21 in Queens that includes nearly all of Flushing Meadows Corona Park, which I share with Council Members Karen Koslowitz and Council Member Gennaro. I would like to thank the Chair, Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito, for agreeing to hold this important hearing today. I would also like to thank the Parks and Recreation Committee staff for their hard work on today's hearing. I am glad to find that this Committee is taking the opportunity today to examine the current conditions and needs of Flushing Meadows Corona Park and talk about the future about what is the largest and most important space for the residents of Queens. Every inch of green space is precious in the city. Unfortunately, Queens particularly, the communities of Corona, Jackson Heights and East Elmhurst is severely lacking in green space. Having spent my entire life in and around Flushing Meadows Corona Park, I can attest to the fact that it has not received the attention and resources a park of its size and high utilization deserves. Hundreds of thousands of people use this park. Our families use it for recreation, family gatherings, soccer, baseball,

cricket, picnics, boating, running and other
exercises, and also the chances to visit the zoos,
the museums, the Hall of Science and other
entities. Despite hosting upwards of 20,000
people from organized soccer alone every week not
to mention all of the teams and leagues our
beloved park only has a quarter of the staff of
Prospect Park, though it is a much larger park.
Additionally, Central Park, which is smaller than
Flushing Meadows Corona Park has nearly eight
times as many workers. I look forward to getting
a better understanding of the maintenance and
capital needs of Flushing Meadows Corona Park from
the Department of Parks and Recreation in this
hearing today. Having more information on its
budget, staffing, the use of funds from
concessions, implementations of the FMCP
[phonetic] strategic framework plan, safety needs,
and the usage of the parks by entities such as the
USTA [phonetic] will help us create a plan for the
future of Flushing Meadows Corona Park. I'd like
to reiterate that this hearing today is to focus
on the park itself, and not about the proposed
construction and development projects being

discussed in and around the park. The City
Council will hold public hearings in accordance
with the uniform land use reform procedure or
ULURP at the appropriate time for each project.
The Council will review the USTA National Tennis
Center's strategic vision project later this
month, the Willets Point redevelopment project
this fall. As we look at these projects
holistically and consider their impact, Flushing
Meadows Park will work with colleagues in
government to find ways to protect this
irreplaceable park. Flushing Meadows Corona Park
is an oasis for the residents of the densely
packed borough of Queens. It is our Central Park
as many would like to say. It is the heart and
lungs of our community. While this park has many
needs, it is a gem for our city. As the site of
the 1939 and 1964 World's Fair, Flushing Meadows
Corona Park drew visitors from all over the world
and the park retains many of the structures build
from these celebrations. Sadly, many of these
structures have fallen into disrepair. Flushing
Meadows Corona Park retains its status as a global
park hosting many global events every year, but

unfortunately, the park does not receive the attention it once did. With increased focus on the parks management and allocation of resources over coming years, we can help Flushing Meadows

Park achieve its full potential. I look forward to the testimony of the Department of Parks and Recreation, members of my community and the parks enthusiasts on the overall conditions and needs of our park. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Council Member and obviously this is a Queens day here today. Other than myself on this panel, every Council Member is from Queens, which is great the level of interest and support. I know that Council Member Gennaro wanted to make some opening remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you very much for holding this hearing. It is really an honor for me over the last 12 years to represent the southern half of the park that is below the LIE and total - - total acreage it is at least 35-40 percent of the total acres of the park, so it has been wonderful to have such a big chunk of the park to be host

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for an I would like to thank the Chair for holding this hearing. I also want to thank Dottie Lewandowski. I think it is appropriate to thank on the record people who have worked with me to make the park into what it is today. So I want to thank Dottie. We are going to hear from her. want to thank Janice Melnick [phonetic]. took a tour of the park recently where my latest million dollars is going to be put to good use, and I certainly appreciate that. I shouldn't say my million dollars. It is the taxpayers' million dollars. I would also like to thank Council Member Ferreras, who neglected in her statement to indicate how generous she has been to the park and thank you for all the allocations that you have made through your capital funds to the park. would also like to thank Council Member Koslowitz, although I don't she technically represents any of the footprint in the park. I may be wrong and she has been very generous to the park. I want to thank her. I don't know if the Flushing Meadows Park Conservancy president Jean Silva [phonetic] is here. Oh, Jean, hi. I thank you for being here and all of your good work, and we have done a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lot work with the national resources group of the Parks Department headed by Braham Gunter and because the part of the park that I represent - are of natural significance and over the past 12 years I have put more than four million dollars into the park, and the borough president has put that I know of in my section of the park about six million, and although I represent the lower section of the park, I do have the opportunity to recreate myself at the aquatic center and at the order center, which are new and beautiful and notwithstanding descriptions today of you know the park being underfunded. It gets great use and people can really enjoy it, and I just wanted to be here to not only participate in this hearing, but to pay tribute to the people in the Parks Department and all of the advocates and people that use the park and I look forward to testimony of the Parks Department and I just thought it was appropriate to give thanks to you, Madam Chair, and all those that have made the park that park that it is and thank you for this opportunity to make some comments, and I would like to be put down on the list for questions of this panel.

2 Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank

you, Council Member, and with that, we would like

to welcome Dorothy Lewandowski, who is the Queens

borough commission, who will be providing

testimony on behalf of the Parks Department and

with that, I am not sure if I have the name of the

person who is with you, but you will introduce

her.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I will.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: And I

thank you. You can begin your testimony.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Thank
you. Good afternoon, Chair Mark-Viverito and
members of the Parks and Recreation Committee. I
am Dorothy Lewandowski. I am the Queens Parks
Commissioner. Joining me on the panel today is
Janice Melnick, who is the administrator for
Flushing Meadows Corona Park. Thank you for
allowing me this opportunity to discuss the fourth
largest park in New York City, Flushing Meadows
Corona Park. Flushing Meadows Corona Park was
created as the result of the 1939 and 1964 World's
Fairs. In 1967, the land was officially turned

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

over to the Parks Department. The original landscape architect Gilmore Clark [phonetic] intended for a great variety of interest in the plan for this new public park including active recreation, physical fitness, passive recreation and spectator venues that would continuously serve the needs of an ever growing city well into the future. As the flagship Queens park, Flushing Meadows Corona Park today meets the original intent and continues to offer a large variety of opportunities for recreation and entertainment. Flushing Meadows Corona Park annually draws over seven million people from all over the world for recreational and spectator activities. As the largest park in Queens Flushing Meadows Corona Park has public baseball, soccer, volleyball, tennis, cricket fields, a skate park, a recreation center with annual membership over 30,000 persons, an indoor Olympic sized pool and national hockey regulation sized ice rink. The park has hosted religious leaders such as John Pope Paul the Second and Billy Graham as well as world famous musical acts including the Beatles, the Who, Billy Joel and Bruce Springsteen, but we are also home

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to many charity events, including the March of Dimes walkathon, juvenile diabetes walkathon, the American Cancer Society relay for life and Autism Awareness. FMCP has become a leader in the adaptive sports world offering national wheelchair softball tournament, sled hockey, adaptive basketball and adaptive water skiing, and our playground for all children was the first playground in New York City designed for use by children of different abilities. In addition the park hosts large events celebrating various and diverse cultures, including but by no means limited to cinco de mayo, the Hong Kong dragon boat festival, - - with attendance of these festivals ranging from 50,000 to 150,000 persons and not only are we very proud of this ethnic diversity of our park, but we also believe that this adversity is highly woven into the fabric of the park and makes it a jewel that it is today. Flushing Meadows is home to severe premiere cultural institutions including the Queens Museum of Art, which dates back to the 1939 world's fair, and is currently undergoing a major renovation, the New York Hall of Science, the Queens Zoo, the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Queens Botanical Garden and the Queens Theater. Combined, these cultural institutions alone bring over one million visitors each year into the park and of course, we are home to the Billie Jean [phonetic] National Tennis Center, which hosts the U.S. Open, a premiere international event and Citi Field home of the New York Mets, which this year is hosting the Major League All Star Game. In 2008 through a grant from the JM Kaplan fund and the firm Quinnel, Rothchild and Smith Miller and Hawkins architects [phonetic] helped Parks develop a framework for Flushing Meadows Corona Park to set forth quiding principles and a new vision and direction for the park's future. strategic framework plan identifies three major park improvement goals, re-envisioning the world's fair core, reconfiguring and restoring the park's lakes and reconnecting the park to the neighborhood and the city. Since the completion of the framework plan, we have used it as a guide for our capital projects. Through the New York State Department of Environmental Conversation - act grant, our natural resources group and our capital projects division are currently designing

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a plan that will address shoreline restoration and path improvements in the southwest corner of Meadow Lake. This work will include the prevention of further shoreline erosion, the eradication of - - and the reduction of pavement, which is consistent with the Park's strategic framework plan. In 2011, we completed a restoration of a portion of paths adjacent to Meadow Lake south of the boat house. Two paths were combined into one, which is designed to accommodate both bikes and pedestrians. This is in keeping with strategic framework goal to reduce the amount of pavement in the park. In addition rain gardens were planted near the path to treat and reduce storm water entering into the lake, another goal of the strategic plan, and while we are committed to providing world class recreation and open space opportunities, the Parks Department also remains focused on caring for the park as an important ecological habitat in the city. Last fall NRG completed the first phase of the Willow Lake Preserve reforestation project. It is part of Mayor Bloomberg's million trees NYC initiative to restore plant diversity in the lake ecosystem

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through the planting of more than 12,800 trees, shrubs, grasses and wildflowers to establish multi-story forest habits [phonetic]. NRG recently received an additional funding to begin phase two of this reforestation project. work will include removal of invasive weeds including - - and additional plantings similar to those in phase one. The restored forest will create new nesting habitat for a greater diversity of native and migratory bird species and numerous butterflies, moths, dragonflies and damsel flies. In addition removal and control of - - will allow for the reintroduction of native wetland plant communities and allow for more successful wetland restoration. In addition to the NRG work, we work closely with volunteers in Willow Lake and throughout the park. We recently renamed the trail at Willow Lake after Pat Dolan, a longtime park advocate and volunteer who was founder and president of the Flushing Meadows Corona Park Conservancy, and through its my park day events as well as with other volunteer days trails are cleared, benches are painted, leaves are raked and litter is removed from the park, and the Parks

Department takes pride in the partnership and
support we get from local residents and
volunteers. In 2012 we had over 20 groups that
volunteered over 13,000 hours including groups
from the Queens Vocational High School, the PAL of
Jamaica, Laborers Local 79 and New York Cares.
With the 2013 season just beginning we already
have had 14 groups give almost 3,000 hours of
their time to this great park. We also take pride
in the strong relationship we share with elected
officials and thank them for their support of this
park. In total, our elected officials have
contributed 87.8 million toward the park since
2002. For example, Council Members Ferreras,
Gennaro, Koslowitz and Borough President Marshall
recently allocated a combined 2.9 million in
funding to reconstruct soccer fields two, three
and five, which should be opening very shortly.
In addition, Council Member Ferreras provided an
additional one million to reconstruct soccer field
number one and Council Member Gennaro funded over
3.5 million for pathway and shoreline work within
the park. During her tenure as borough president,
Helen Marshall has been a strong advocate of the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

park providing 26 million in funding for projects such as the unisphere and the boathouse and nearly 52 in mayoral funding has been allocated to Flushing Meadows Corona Park during the Bloomberg administration. The 50 million dollar out order [phonetic] recreation center, the largest in Queens open in 2008, it is by far one of our most frequented centers across the city. We are also proud to have opened the Flushing Meadows Corona Park Aquatic Center and Ice Rink funded by 18 million dollars from Mayor Bloomberg and 11 million from Queens Borough President Marshall and 3 million from the City Council. Most recently Mayor Bloomberg provided 4.78 million in funding for structural studies of our - - , the tide gate bridge and tide gates and the new York State Pavilion Towers and foundation of the tenth of tomorrow [phonetic]. Additionally, the city has been a strong supporter for cultural institutions within the park and has provided financial support both in expense and capital dollars contributing 48 million to the Queens Museum and 27 million to the Queens Theater. This past week we were happy to be joined by our elected officials, community

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

residents and leaders as we opened the Flushing Meadows Corona Park carousel for the 2013 season and celebrated the new fantasy forest amusement The majestic Flushing Meadows carousel was area. created by a merger of two historic carousels, the 1903 Feltman [phonetic] carousel and the 1908 Stubbman [phonetic] carousel. Both were originally located in Coney Island and arrived in Flushing Meadows Corona Park for the 1964 World's Fair. Last year New York Carousel Entertainment began operating the carousel and during this past offseason they added four new rides, including Queens only rollercoaster and other amusements for this fantasy forest for children to enjoy. As a destination the park, we continue to make this area a family friendly zone. We have added volleyball courts that were created as mitigation from Con Edison electric feeder upgrade within the park and will be adding additional landscaping and picnic tables shortly. We are also proud to offer extensive free programming throughout the park. In July and August we are offering music and me together, a music program for preschoolers, the movie Madagascar 3 with craft projects for

children and Little Red's Hood performed by our
City Parks Foundation's puppets in the park, and
we also have two Shakespeare performances by Hip
to Hip Theater, the Tempest and Love Labors Lost.
Our recreation at the rec center and the
playground for our children hosts free shape up
New York classes including Zumba, Yoga, boot camp
and step classes as well as our playground
associates providing arts and crafts, games and
other activities in the park. We are also proud
of our partnership with the Queens College in
offering a free summer sounds Latin jazz concert
at our playground for all children. The urban
park rangers also offer a variety of public
programs on the parkland and in the waters of
Flushing Meadow including birding, hiking, fishing
and canoeing and in 2012, they conducted
environmental programs for over 300
schoolchildren. The Parks Department's overall
mission is to provide all New Yorkers with access
to world class parks whether it is our fourth
largest park or our fourth smallest. We are proud
of the work we have done in and around Flushing
Meadows Corona Park and we look forward to

continue working with the Council and other

elected officials and the community to work to

make this park a vital and important open space

resource for the surrounding neighborhoods of

Queens and for the city. I thank you for allowing

me the opportunity to testify and would be happy

to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank
you, our borough commissioner, for that.

Considering that every council member here is from
Queens and is on the list to ask questions, I will
refrain from asking questions. I think they are
better suited to ask those questions. Council
Member Gentile just joined us. Well, now we have
got Brooklyn. I am sorry. Everyone here was
Queens, now we have Brooklyn as well. Thank you,
I was feeling a little bit alone and left out.
Our first set of questions will be Council Member
Julissa Ferreras.

and with respects to my colleagues, I am going to ask a few questions and then let them ask questions and then I will circle back on a second round. I just wanted to clarify I know that in

2	your testimony you said Mayor Bloomberg had
3	allotted several millions of dollars to the park.
4	I just want to make sure that it wasn't the
5	mayor's private pocket. It is the administration,
6	is that correct?
7	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: These
8	are administration capital dollars.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. I
10	know he has the capability, so I just wanted to
11	make sure. And if we can kind of hone in now on
12	the dollars, and if we can speak of how much is
13	allocated for the overall park maintenance of
14	Flushing Meadows Corona Park.
15	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Council
16	Member, are you referring to staff or capital?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: We can
18	start with staff and also capital.
19	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The
20	staffing there is currently 65 persons that we
21	allocate to Flushing Meadow Corona Park, and that
22	is a combination of administrative staff,
23	maintenance and operations personnel, seasonal,
24	our JTP and our WEP forces.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Can you

2 give me the breakdown of those 65?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI:

Currently what we have now five for administration, 18 for maintenance and operation, 4 seasonal employees, currently as of today 30 JTP. Now that does in the winter months go as low as ten and in the summer months can go as high as 50, so as of today it would be 30. There is currently eight WEP workers that are assigned to the park as well, giving us a total of 65.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: We have a lot of residents and community residents. Can you just briefly explain what each one--what do seasonals do, what is the responsibility of the 30 JTP, the 5 administrative, kind of what the breakdown of that is.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The administrative personnel are including Janice

Melnick and the supervisors within the park, but primarily the maintenance and operation forces are responsible for the daily routine cleaning and maintenance of the park, and that is everything from cleaning bathrooms, picking up litter, cutting grass, doing some light maintenance within

2.

the park. Those are the workers that are assigned
there regularly. They are supported by resources
from throughout the borough, so that occasionally
we will bring in specialized crews that would do
tree pruning, fence work or other maintenance
repairs within the park, grass cutting or
sometimes ball field maintenance if things need to
be supplemented and we do that in that park and
other parks throughout the borough.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.

12 And the four seasonals, what is the season?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Seasons vary, but primarily from the spring through early fall across the borough we bring on persons to assist the work that we need to get done in parks and that is through our seasonal budget.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay, and the eight WEP workers. What is their schedules?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The welfare workers work approximately 20 hours per week and they are assigned to maintenance duties throughout the park as well, and that number fluctuates slightly. I don't know the range specifically, but there are some fluctuations in

It is

And you

Cortland [phonetic], Pelham Bay and Prospect Park--nope, Van Cortland, Pelham Bay--well, actually Pelham Bay is first. Pelham Bay, Van Cortland and

24

25

How

much of the total revenue brought in by the concession goes back to the Park's budget? COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: So the number of active concessions in the Park

concessions from the most recent fiscal year?

21

22

23

24

25

fluctuates by season, but that over the course of
the year there is generally 22 concessions that
operate within the park. In fiscal years '11 and
'12 the concessions generated over 3.4 million
dollars annually. This year to date we are at two
million, and the fundings from the concessions are
put towards the general fund and the general fund
then supports the department.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So what percentage do you currently if we are sending to the general fund 3.4 and you have the responsibility of the budget what percentage of the budget of the 3.4 million that we send to the general fund does the park see back, and reinvest it in the park?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: It is interesting 'cause the city annually spends 11.6 million dollars per year in Flushing Meadows

Corona Park, and that is a number of areas from the - arena, administrative support, and the

Queens Zoo which was one of the areas that we do support, so that if you look at what was then the 3.4 million compared to the 11 million that is spent there. There is more actually spent in the

Τ	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 4
2	park by the city than is given through the revenue
3	division.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So the
5	11.6 millionlet me circle back before we go to
6	the 11.6, the 22 concessions, I am sure it goes
7	from carts all the way to Terrace in the Park. Is
8	that correct?
9	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Yes.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So what
11	is the largest concession bring in currently at
12	the park?
13	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The
L4	Terrace on the Park concession annually supports
15	the city with 2.5 million dollars in revenue, and
L6	then there are as low as some of the mobile food
L7	carts that are about 19,000 dollars per year.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And the
L9	restaurant at the marina?
20	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The
21	marina restaurant is 214,000 dollars.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is the
23	marina itself a concession?
24	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The
25	marina actually is run by the Parks Department so

2	that that is one of our revenue division
3	concessions. Actually, we operate it. It is not
4	a concession. We do operate that. Persons do
5	come to us forit is operated by Park staff 24
6	hours a day, 7 days a week. We do rent boat space
7	at 73 dollars per foot.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: At 73
9	dollars per foot of the boat?
10	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: for the
11	summer season, which compares to rates around the
12	city and Westchester, which could range anywhere
13	from 120 to 200, so the rates for the New York
14	City Marina are actually very reasonable.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
16	JANICE MELNICK: This is the same
17	way that all marinas are run in the
18	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Janice,
19	can you just say your name for the record?
20	JANICE MELNICK: Janice Melnick. I
21	am the park administrator, and this is the same
22	process for all of the marinas in the city.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay, and
24	is that the only revenue generator at the marina
25	dock is the slip fee or is there like a concession

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 5
2	at the dock?
3	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: They
4	have a small concession, which I hear is very
5	popular by persons going to Citi Field before the
6	game. It is a good place to go for a hamburger.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
8	Now can you tell me the difference between the
9	concession and the possible lease agreements that
10	the park has that might be revenue generating?
11	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I am
12	sorry. I am not certain of the question.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is that
14	the only revenueare concessions the only revenue
15	generating entity within our park?
16	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: No,
17	there are lease agreements with the New York Mets
18	and with the United States Tennis Center, which
19	also contribute revenue to the city annually.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Do we
21	have dollar amounts for those two?
22	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I have
23	here for the New York Mets and for the United
24	States Tennis Association 2.63 million dollars in
25	lease fees in 201 and to date FY '13, 2.5 million

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 52
2	dollars.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: 2.5
4	million each?
5	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: To date.
6	No, total.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Total.
8	So if we have 3.4 million that is generated by the
9	concessions 2.5 million on the Mets and the
10	USTA lease, it brings us to 5.9 million. Is that
11	correct?
12	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I have
13	here if we totaled the lease, the concessions and
14	our park marina, this year to date, FY '13, 5.2
15	million have been contributed, but in FY '12 the
16	total was 6,751,000.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So if it
18	is 11.6 million to run our Flushing Meadows Corona
19	Park or what the city gives, right, what the
20	administration allots for the maintenance of
21	Flushing Corona Park, what is the in comparison
22	because I think a lot of this is comparing us to
23	other parkswhat is the maintenance in Prospect
24	Park and the maintenance for Central Park?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: We would

25

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	have	to	follow	up	with	you	on	those	exact	figures.
--	------	----	--------	----	------	-----	----	-------	-------	----------

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: If you can get us those numbers to the Committee I would greatly appreciate it. I have other questions, but I want to let my colleagues ask some questions, and I will come on the second round.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank

you, Council Member. Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer?

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank you very much, Madam Chair, and I want to thank my colleague and friend Councilwoman Julissa Ferreras for her amazing work in making sure this hearing took place and making sure that Flushing Meadows Corona Park gets what it rightly deserves. I don't represent Flushing Meadows Corona Park like any kid who grew up in Queens, it is a very special place to me, and like so many families who currently use it in Councilwoman Ferreras' district we often used it for birthday parties and lots of things because I come from a big family. we did not have any money, so we took the Northern Boulevard bus to get to Flushing Meadows Corona Park and you could spend the day there and it is a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shame what has happened in so many parts of the park though Commissioner Lewandowski, you know how highly I regard you and your work and respect you so much. one of the things that we used to play around as kids of course was the New York State Pavilion and you know, we probably shouldn't have done that, but when we were kids you could actually get in and play on the map that is still I guess, there are pieces of it left there, but the whole New York State Pavilion is a tragedy right because it is something that could be I believe if it had been maintained properly and as I am sure you would attest every day that goes by that we don't fix it, it gets more and more expensive of a proposition to actually restore it. But I wanted to ask you about the status of that because I don't believe in nostalgia projects for the sake of nostalgia, but it seems to me like if that pavilion were a project that the administration cared about that it could be restored and actually turned into a revenue generating entity perhaps like it was in the '64, '65 World's Fair where there might be a restaurant. Certainly there is viewing towers.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those could be tourist attractions. At this point probably the number is going to be astronomical, but even the fact that we are getting to that is a sign of neglect in my mind, so what is the status of the pavilion? Is it going to fall down? Are we going to keep it just standing there? Is it as a relic? Are we ever going to repair it?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: to say first I do share your concerns particularly for that location. When I came into the borough nine years ago it was one of the areas that we identified needed to be better understood so that we knew what to do with it. I do want to say though that also three years ago we worked with the University of Pennsylvania who took out sections of the map on the floor, the map of Texaco, the Texaco map of the United States and portions of that had been reserved and actually were on an exhibit at the Queens Museum at one point in time. We had them in safe storage and the map itself has now been covered in sand and protected so that it would no longer be damaged. We have embarked on two studies, one that looked at the pavilion and a second one that the mayor

24

25

Corona Park?

2	has recently funded that has allowed us now to
3	explore the conditions of the towers. Both of
4	those studies, one is completed. The pavilion
5	tower study is completed, and the tower study
6	JANICE MELNICK: [interposing] We
7	have a draft of the study and are waiting for the
8	final product, but it does give us stabilization
9	options, restoration options and removal options,
10	so we will be looking at all of that once we have
11	the final study.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Are
13	there costs associated with those options?
14	JANICE MELNICK: Yes, there are.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Could
16	you tell us?
17	[crosstalk]
18	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Is the
19	city Parks Department looking at also as these
20	studies a possibility of turning them into
21	attractions, concessions, revenue generating
22	options so that they could actually be used by the

people of Queens and the city of New York and

actually generate revenue for Flushing Meadows

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

elected officials.

I would COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The city had explored some options say yes. previously that did not pan out as adaptive reuse of the pavilion particularly, but I think we will be challenged with what to do with this facility based on other needs within the park and with the dollars that you have. Where do you best allocate your money towards? So I think these studies will allow us to as Janice alluded to have conversation about whether you preserve it as a ruin, restore it for some sort of adaptive reuse, or do you face the decision should it come down and be invested in something else. Those are conversations that we look forward to having with all of our various

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: And obviously Councilwoman Ferreras obviously will have a big role to play in all of that, and I look forward to working with her on that, but I just want to say the premise of what we just said is that given the funding that this may not be possible because we may need to do that, and I think that I would argue is that this part deserves a lot more resources so that we are not

2 faced with these either/and/or choices for Flushing Meadows Corona Park because of that tower 3 if that New York State Pavilion, which Philip 4 5 Johnson [phonetic] designed I believe were in Central Park, it would have been restored a long 6 time ago, and it would be a lovely restaurant and a lovely reviewing station with binoculars and 9 things up on the top, so I just think that the 10 answer to these questions has got to be more for 11 Flushing Meadows Corona Park. So speaking of which I wanted to just talk because Councilwoman 12 13 Ferreras asked those really good questions about 14 where revenue is being generated. I don't know if 15 anyone else felt this way, but I was stunned that 16 Terrace on the Park, which is a lovely facility 17 where lots of folks have their weddings and bar 18 mitzvahs and things like that generates as much 19 revenue as Citi Field and USTA combined, which is 20 staggering. So you didn't have the breakdown 21 between Citi Field and USTA, but if USTA and Citi 22 Field--let's just split it, right, they are both 23 generating 1.25 million or so, how is it that Citi 24 Field, the New York Mets and the USTA which 25 everyone talks about being the highest revenue

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

generating event in the city of New York in a year are giving back to the park of what a catering facility having weddings and bar mitzvahs is doing?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: We would have to get back to you with the particulars on the arrangements with the Mets and the USTA.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER:

Commissioner Lewandowski, I respect you and you didn't cut that deal, right, so this is not on you, but the city did cut that deal, and it sounds like to me Citi Field and USTA are getting off really easy and they are not doing their fair share in making sure the park is cared for, so I will leave it at that, but there is something fishy in those numbers. That just can't be--Terrace in the Park generating as much revenue as the New York Mets and the USTA combined. I just find that problematic. I don't know if anybody else does as well. So I think Councilwoman Ferreras agrees with me, so I just want to say like all of us I love the park, and it is so incredibly important to all of Queens, to all of New York City, we have got to do more, we have got

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to do better, and I thank my colleagues in particular Councilwoman Ferreras for shining a bright light on this and really hope that out of this comes a renewed commitment to this amazing park on behalf of the City of New York, so thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Council Member. Next on the list is Council Member Vallone.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Thank you, Madam Chair, and thanks to you for having this hearing. It is not easy to get people from other boroughs to have hearings on different topics, but thank you for doing this. The Great Gatsby came out and Flushing Meadows was referred to as a valley of ashes, and I think if Manhattan had its way, the park might be going back to being an ash dump. None of what I say is reflected at you 'cause especially you Dot, I have been working with you and you are a huge help to my community. I am talking more about Parks in general. But in our briefing paper, it says that when it comes to private donations 100 million was donated to Central Park, 20 million to the high line 40 to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

build a field house in Brooklyn Bridge Park, while Flushing Meadow Park got 5,000 dollars. private donations, and while that is not the city's fault, the city does have a responsibility to provide essential services and parks that are not dangerous for our kids, and they have advocated that responsibility, so we are relying on public funds more often, and part of the justification for the soccer stadium is the fact that the mayor said the park is in bad condition. That is an outrage, and Jimmy is right. condition of the pavilion and those towers is a joke. Men in Black did more for those towers than New York City has done for those towers. an outrage. So what I am doing today is I am putting in a bill modeled after Dan Squadron's bill on the state level, which would require that any conservancy that has over five million dollars and there are four of them here in the city be required to contribute 20 percent of those funds to parks in need, and by parks in need we mean parks that are overseen by an alliance which is run by the Parks Department or overseen by the Parks Department, which had been rated

б

unsatisfactory for two years in a row. While I
have no problem with Manhattan having this money
and if they want to build a castle for a rest
station they should have every right to do that
with the money that they are given, but since the
city has its responsibility, I think we are
forced to say that differences that exist such as
100 million to Central Park and 5,000 dollars to
Flushing Meadow mandate that we require some of
those funds to be donated to parks in need and
Flushing Meadow is surely one of those. Do you
have any position on whether or not Flushing
Meadow and Queens in general should share in some
of the funding that is provided to other parks?
Dottie, you look like you want to kill me, but you
are

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{LEWANDOWSKI:} \quad \mbox{We would}$ have to look at that.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: I actually had lunch with Dan Squadron and we discussed this today so otherwise I would have given you some notice like I always do. This is just on Flushing Meadow, I am trying to stay on topic, which is difficult. Okay, when it comes to—how does

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Flushing Meadow compare to other parks in Queens 3 when it comes to its budget and its staff?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Flushing Meadow Park in comparison with the other parks within the borough? We do allocate staff based on need, based on facilities and resources, so comparatively, it does fit right in line. I think what is important to note is that through our park inspection program which rates our parks every two weeks we have inspectors come out and look at the conditions of our parks facilities. Flushing Meadows is actually doing very well and strong in its ratings and that is in terms of cleanliness and overall condition and that in comparison with other parks, we are actually doing very well. this past year, the overall condition increased in the park from what we would call 83 percent acceptable to 87 percent and our cleanliness went up from 93 to 96 percent, which is online with relatively where we are across the borough relatively in the mid-80s overall condition and in the mid-90s for cleanliness, so it falls in line with other parks.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE:

Can I go

through those numbers again because our report
shows that from 2009 to 2013 with respect to
cleanliness Flushing Meadows was found acceptable
86.8 percent of the time, but the city standard
was 90 percent of the time. Does that jive with
your numbers or are you telling me something
different?
COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: For FY
'12 the cleanliness rating for Flushing Meadow
Park was 93 percent, FY '13 we were at 96 percent.
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: And what
is the city standard for those years?
COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI:
Unfortunately I do not have that number in front
of me, but we can get that for you.
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Okay.
Well, we were below the city standard according to
our committee's research from 2009 to 2013.
Perhaps, that is an average, but we will have to
work those numbers out.
COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: We will
have to verify those numbers.
COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You talked
about the different workers at the Parks. Do you

б

2 happen to know the amount of PEP officers to 3 assigned to Flushing Meadows?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Yes, I do.

JANICE MELNICK: There are 16 PEP officers in the borough assigned to Queens with two PEP officers to patrol Flushing Meadow Corona Park daily. With the addition of 81 PEP officers funded by Mayor Bloomberg in the fiscal year 2014 executive budget, we will be able to double the numbers of officers by 17 borough wide for a total of 34 with four officers assigned to patrolling the park daily, and in addition last summer, we had four seasonal JTP PEP officers that were assigned specifically to the unisphere [phonetic] area of the park.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: Well, we are very happy about the new PEP officers. I have been fighting for that for a long, long time, but when you say--I mean there were 16 for the borough of Queens, and that has been for a long, long time and two in the park, you are talking about people back the desk, you are talking about people on vacation, sick or people just who aren't on a

shift then because they are working night or
someone else is working a day. So as I have said
in the past, we have had times where there are two
officers on duty for the entire borough of Queens,
not just the park. That is not your fault. I
know that. You would want a lot more officers,
but that is what we have in Queens, while places
like Central Park have 75 to Central Park alone,
not two for the borough of Queens, so we are very
happy, and by the way, this is the first I am
hearing that we are gettingwell, 34 is the
total, so we are only getting

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: An additional 17 to the borough.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: We are adding 17 out of what was it? 86?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: 81.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: 81. Can somebody do math? Is that 20 percent of...? It's about 20 percent. We should do better since other boroughs already have us--I say outgunned, but they don't carry guns--have us out patrolled, so I would hope to do better, but it is nice that we have 17 new ones coming in. - - my colleagues are

2.

waiting to ask questions, so again, Dottie,
especially thank you for the help you have been in
my district and throughout Queens, and I know you
would want the additional resources that we want
also Thank you

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: thank you, Council Member, and I was just reviewing the budget document that we got that we had over the Parks Committee budget hearing a couple of days ago, and it says here that the Shea Stadium rent for fiscal 2013 was 155,000, and it is projected to be 400,000 in fiscal 2014. Would that be accurate?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I don't have those numbers, but I will have our team get back to you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: I mean and that just gives you an idea of how outrageous that is, and then I think it says here the World's Fair Marina, 1.1 million in revenue for the Parks Department, but we will get .ore clarity on those issues. Next on the list is Council Member Crowley.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Thank you,

Chair Viverito. Thank you for having the hearing today and for my colleagues who represent the park for looking after it and investing so much into it. Commissioner, good afternoon. I have a question. In line to what much of Council Member Vallone was asking about earlier, in looking at these conservancies that you know other parks have been well funded, how does that relate to the resources that the New York City Parks Department puts into the Park? Like say Central Park for example, since they have such an endowment, they really don't need city resources like a park such as Flushing Meadows. Does the city give less when a park has so much more?

Speak for Central Park. I would have to have the team get back to you, but in terms of Queens where we do have partners, particularly Forest Park, we have no reduced or resources our commitment to the park, but the resources that these conservancies have allows us to do often allow us to do activities beyond what we would normally occur within park, particularly in Forest Park's case in recreational programs. In Flushing Meadows case

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the Flushing Meadows Conservancy, we have had some restoration work around the southern edge of the park that has allowed us to occur - - they have partnered with us on grant opportunities to help us maintain structures and facilities in the parks and the monuments, so it did not reduce what we would have given, but gave us some greater opportunity in some areas.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: Ι understand that. For the Queens parks, I don't think any of the parks need a reduction in the resources that the city gives to those parks. think you do a pretty good job with what you have; however, when looking at other parks such as Central Park or Prospect Park they look like they get more resources. Maybe it is because of these conservancies, but if they have such large conservancies it would make sense for the city to give less to those particular parks because the need is greater in other parks. I know we have other representatives from the Parks Department here who could speak to the amount of investment that is put into a park like Central Park or Prospect Park, and I just think that it is only

2.

right for our city to give where it is needed, and
it is not really needed in Central Park because
they have such a large conservancy that we should
give more to the parks in outer boroughs that
could use the funds. So nobody from the Parks
Department can say if less is given to a park like
Central Park because it has such a large
endowment?
COMMICCIONED I BUANDOUGUI.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: - - .

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Can I just add I did hear the Commissioner did have an interview on Bryan Lair [phonetic], and a question exactly like that was posted and her response was that there is no lessening of resources to a park regardless of whether or not they have a conservancy and what it brings in. That is what I gathered from her response, but we can verify that with her and with the Department.

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: That is the only question that I have. Thank you.

you, Council Member. Council Member Gennaro?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO: Thank you,

Madam Chair. Yeah, I just want to get back to the

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pavilion because even I don't represent that part of the park I had a concern about what was going to happen to the pavilion and I funded 150,00 dollar study to find out what we--and of course that was the Parks Department's idea. They came They wanted me to fund that study, and I to me. just kind of want to state to my colleagues and to the audience that in anything that is going to happen with the pavilion is going to cost a ton of money just--to remake this 50 year old temporary structure into some kind of world class attraction that may preclude the park from doing the 33 million dollar swim center that went up recently, the 15 million dollar - - center, the 48 million dollar renovation, the Queens museum, the 27 million to the Queens Theater. I mean all of these things take a lot of money, so I just thought it was good to get the numbers on what was going to happen or like what could happen. we can preserve it as it is. We can pay to knock it down. We can pay to fix it, and so I just don't want people to think that we are not putting the brainwaves into figuring out like what we would do with that pavilion, and put that next to how we

would spend other funds and there is no - - spent here. Do you have a comment on where we think the study is ultimately going to go? There will be options. There will be numbers put out there, and then we as a Council and as the Parks Department as part of the Administration all have to make real hard decisions about what we do going forward with that structure, but when I hear words like shame and tragedy and fishy and outrage and abdication, it all just sounds like a lot of hot air. I kind of don't appreciate it, and so because that is not what I think is going on at the park. And so I have another question, but I give you an opportunity to respond. I don't like t hose characterizations.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Thank

you, Council Member. Particularly in relation to

the pavilion and the towers, we did do the one

study. We have the second underway. These are

the type of tough decisions that will need to be

made not only with elected officials and the

administration, but with the community who uses

the park so that we can best determine how best to

allocate those funds to renovate and restore those

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things that are most interested to the public that use it, so I appreciate your--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENNARO:

[interposing] I am frankly quite appreciate that the Parks Department along with the Council and the elected officials and the borough president and the mayor figured out how to do a 32 million dollar swim center and a 15 million dollar - center, and 48 million dollar Queens Museum and a 27 million dollar Queens Theater, and all the ecological work that we are doing in my end of the park, and you know trying to use all the volunteer resources that we have at our disposal and we are not going to get a big endowment from a big money conservancy that - - like other areas of the city. It is just not going to happen, but the administration has in concert with the borough president and with members of the Council that represent the area have come up with a lot of money, and I am frankly grateful for it, and so that is my two cents. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: I am sorry. I was getting clarity on something, but I think--okay, Council Member Julissa Ferreras?

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank

you, so I wanted to--I with all due respect to my colleague, I feel that we can position ourselves to get the endowments that this community deserves. I think it is evident when this gentleman gave 100 million dollars to Central Park, he grew up in Queens, so perhaps he could have given us ten percent of that. I don't think we have a way of someone contributing anything to Flushing Meadows Park at this present time. So I think that is something that we are working on with this administration. I just wanted to circle back and although we are--I don't know if happy is the right word, but it is great that we have doubled on the PEP officers. Going from two to four is not sufficient, and I just want to be really clear. Four people don't even patrol a move theater right now or a parking lot, and you know just recently when I heard of this woman that was found by the Hall of Science that she didn't remember if she was raped or not or what the case is, less than ten years ago there was a woman who was gang raped in Flushing Meadows Park. This is the real Flushing Meadows Park for many people

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that go there, and I understand when we kind of went a little bit back and forth on the percentages of the cleanliness. We still have issues in that park, and you know, I was speaking to Janice when we were doing the carousel opening, and I was very impressed on how the park looked that day. It was really impressive, but having the park as my backyard when I grew up I have never lived in a house, I have always lived in an apartment and like many young people and residents of my district that is your backyard. The reality is that Flushing Meadows Park isn't always the most pristine park. It isn't always clean to what your numbers may say 96 percent. I don't think if we did a survey of the people that visit Flushing Meadows Park people would say oh, it looks 96 percent clean. I don't think that that is the reality. I don't know and I respect the tools that your PIPs [phonetic] use. I don't think they would be the same if we used them with the constituents, and I am saying this because this is what I hear from my community. So I just kind of want to hone in. I know we talked about the 11.6 million and that is for essentials in the park.

2.

What would it take to have a park that is
pristine? I don't know if there is like an a and
a b and c class park, but how much would it cost
us to have our park run at the best optimal level
in your opinion? Please state your name.

afternoon, Council Member, members of the

Committee. I am Larry Scott Blackmon [phonetic],
deputy commissioner of the Parks Department. To
your question, we often hold and we are actually
engaged in this exercise right now--park user
surveys where we have teams of interns and park
staff who go out and visit our parks, our beaches,
our pools and we ask the customers what their
experiences are when they engage in our parks and
more often than not, our users rate our parks
higher than we rate them ourselves through our PIP
program.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: How many surveyors do you have in Flushing Meadows?

LARRY SCOTT BLACKMON: We have them going through all across the city right now with parks large and small, beaches, et cetera. We have - - .

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: - -

volunteers for the surveyors, do you reach out to the organizations that surround the park so they can be volunteer surveyors?

LARRY SCOTT BLACKMON: We have not. That is something that we can certainly do.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I think that would be a great way to engage our community because that was my next point of how do we engage more people from the community having opportunities to voice their opinions and what are the levels where--cause I know that there is many decisions and you know, although we would all like the pavilion to be improved, and we would all like the putrid smell of the marina to go away, perhaps if I am asking a mom or a dad or a young person in the park that might not be their highest priority, so we also need to engage or have an opportunity where community can say, you know what? Maybe I'd like you to put in some more basketball courts first and maybe we want to track, Commissioner, or whatever the case may be. I think that we need more of those opportunities so if you have these park user surveys, I think we have a wealth of

opportunity that they are all going to testify
here today, so we can--if whoever is staying
behind I am sure can hear, and I am sure that they
are willing to volunteer to put this tool to use
so that we can have a tangible very real
conversation about the maintenance of Flushing
Meadows Corona Park.

We are looking at sort of new strategies to get information from people even if they are let's say physically challenges, they are not able to be in the park that day, but we actually visit them and say tell us your experience in Flushing Meadow

Corona Park. That is something that we want to extract from them, so we are talking about going the extra mile and not just visiting the park, but neighborhood community groups, and we are happy to have that conversation.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And as you are developing the tool I think we also need to put a point in accessing the park because unlike other parks in our city, this park in particular is kind of hugged or embraced by highways. We really have College Point [phonetic]

2.

and 111 th Street and a piece of Roosevelt Avenue,
but in reality it is possibly surrounded, and I
have my own opinion of Robert Moses' ideas, but it
is the reality that we are dealing with right now.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Let me just get a point of clarity. These surveys that you are talking about, I am assuming there is a uniform set of questions that are asked standard throughout the city.

LARRY SCOTT BLACKMON: Yes, yes.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: First it would be great if we could see what that looks like and here are the questions that are being asked, but I think in addition to that there may be some additional questions that could be tailored. There is a way of getting feedback. There might be additional questions that may be specific to a park that may be important to gather as opposed to another park. That may be relevant to this park and not another park. I don't know if there are ways of crafting it a little bit more. So we can see the questions, and maybe there is ways we can work with you on figuring out how do we tailor it depending on the park and the

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 80
2	surveys you are doing.
3	LARRY SCOTT BLACKMON: We would be
4	happy to brief you on it.
5	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank
6	you.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I wanted
8	to focus in. I know that we have a really
9	successful recreational and aquatic center, and
10	there are fees that are collected from those
11	centers. Where do those fees go? What do they
12	look like? Do we have numbers on those?
13	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: There
14	are two sets of fees that are collected. One is a
15	membership fee for the recreation center and a
16	second for the pool operator, USA Pools, for fees
17	for various classes and such that persons may tale
18	or purchase a day pass to use that facility. Do
19	you have those numbers?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is the
21	pool a concession then?
22	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: No. The
23	pool is run under a contract with USA Pools to
24	manage the facility for the Parks Department, and
25	they are paid as a contractor.

1	COMMITTEE ON PARKS AND RECREATION 81
2	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So
3	whatever the collect for the classes is theirs?
4	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: It
5	reduces the payment the city makes to them
6	offsets that payment.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Do we
8	have those numbers or?
9	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Yes. So
10	I have a combined revenue for the and the
11	Flushing Meadow pool for FY '12 1,585,000 dollars
12	and year to date FY '13 1,376,000 dollars.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
14	Sorry, and this goes directly to the general fund
15	also?
16	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Yes.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. In
18	comparison to parks like Prospect and Central Park
19	probably more like Central Park what percentage of
20	the concessions revenues do they keep from the
21	park?
22	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I don't
23	have those figures, but we would be able to tell
24	you what concession revenues are generated in the
25	park, and then share that with you.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is there
3	any park in Queens that has the contractual
4	agreement where there is a percentage of what the
5	park generated nothing comparing to Flushing
6	Meadows because we probably generate the most, but
7	is there any park in Queens that currently their
8	concessions there is a percent that goes back to
9	their park?
10	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: There is
11	no percent of a concession that goes directly back
12	into that park of the concession revenues. The
13	concession revenues go to the general fund
14	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: But you
15	can't speak to that agreement for Central Park or
16	Prospect Park?
17	COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I can't
18	right now, but what we can get for you were the
19	revenues generated in concessions in Central Park
20	and let you know how that goes.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I think
22	we are probably going to hear some testimony on
23	that, but my understanding is that there is a

percentage of the concessions that do--that are

appropriated directly to the park, but perhaps--

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

[interposing] If I can clarify - - I do have some conservancies in my district I do understand that the set up between Randall's island alliance and the Parks Department is that all the concessions generated on the island directly go back to the island. They do not go back to the general fund. So there are conservancy set ups where that does happen.

LARRY SCOTT BLACKMON: I think what we will do is we will verify what those arrangements are if that is indeed the case and follow up with you and the committee. We just don't have it right now in front of us.

Yes, please. Thank you. I wanted to I just I really want to hear from the community and I am so grateful that they are here under this horrible weather outside, but I just want to ask two more questions, and I will follow up with my additional questions to you directly so that you can answer them so that I don't take up any more time for the public, but I wanted to go specifically to the strategic framework plan that it seems was

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

designed, and it exists and it is a pretty lengthy document. What has been done in the short term and in the long term? Is it still viable in 2013?

And where are we currently with what they have identified in the framework?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Sure, so the strategic framework was done in 2008, and yes, it is still viable, but basically the plan identifies three major park improvement goals and those are re-envisioning the World's Fair core, reconfiguring and restoring the lakes and reconnecting the park to the neighborhood and city. And while we haven't had the funds to necessarily do any of the large projects that were recommended, every capital project that we do is designed with the framework in mind, so for example in 2011, we completed a restoration of portions of the path near Meadow Lake and two paths became one, and all of that was in accordance with the framework and part of the plans of the framework, so we wanted to reduce pavement in the park. That was one of the goals, and we wanted to help treat and reduce storm water entering the lake, which is another goal of the

plan so every capital project we do is with the
framework in mind.

Speak on the specifics of the - - ? What are the conditions? Where are we with the - - ? It seems like it comes up as a capital project probably not as priority for everyone because people want to be engaged in soccer fields and baseball fields, but this major entrance to the park is in very bad condition. Can you speak to that?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Thanks to Mayor Bloomberg we did get funding to do a structural survey of the - - ramp, and in fact the project just started in May of this year. It will take approximately 18 months to complete, and then we will really understand exactly what needs to be done at the - - . And there are two other studies also being undertaken and that is for the Tide Gate Bridge and the Tide Gates themselves, and they are still in the procurement process.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Is there any imminent danger with the - - or ...?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: I am going to say no. The - - ramp is a remnant of the

'39 World's Fair that connected persons from the community to the fair. It goes over the number seven rail, the Long Island Railroad Rail, and it has a building associated with it as well. It certainly has its challenges. We do inspect it regularly. over the course of the past few years have made some emergency repairs and have done just a number of in house repairs throughout the years because it is used heavily throughout the season, but the mayor has allocated funds so that a full bridge assessment survey could be done and then assess what actually needs to be done to do the restoration.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Now I want to just focus in on crime and fire in particular do you have any most recent statistics on the crimes that have occurred in the park?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Based on the crime data that we receive from the police department for FY '13 in the first quarter from July through September of 2012 there were 16 crimes in Flushing Meadow Corona Park, and before I go into the other quarters, I just would like to say of those 16, 10 were grand larceny and the

uniqueness of Flushing Meadow Park with its various facilities and parking lots associated with it so that the Citi Field parking lot does count as part of this so if a car or someone who attends a Met game is broken into in some way or something occurs that car that reflects in our park count, so a number of these in each of the seceding quarters the majority of the crimes are grand larceny which then occurred within a parking lot somewhere.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: What was the breakdown of the other six?

other six were one robbery, one felony assault and one burglary and one three grand larceny auto where that means the car was actually stolen. The others were just broken into. The number then for the next quarter again was 16 with the grand larceny being the largest of six and then in the third quarter from January 1 through March 30th of this year there were ten total, seven of them being grand larcenies in vehicles, and again, very minimal, one robbery, one felony assault, one grand larceny auto.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I also

think that this is an opportunity. I don't necessarily believe that people are reporting at the rate that they probably should from the park so in any way that we can improve the reporting of incidents, I think that any opportunity that we can do that is one that is significant, and I am sure if we had more PEP officers we might see a difference in the reporting of—not that the crimes would be more egregious, but just better reporting.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: If I could Council Member, I would like to just add is that we do have a very strong relationship with the 110 Precinct who patrols the park as well as the Citi Field NYPD detail that when games are not in town do also assign persons to help us through the park, so that there are opportunities for people, persons who use the park to see a patrol car whether it by NYPD or park enforcement, and although we do have the one unit assigned to the park, their main headquarters is in Flushing Meadows that people are—officers are driving through the park regularly so that if there were

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an incident I believe there is opportunity to flag someone down. I balance these numbers also with our 311 calls and you know, look at what sort of activities people are reporting as well, so I am feeling relatively confident that these numbers are reported.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: I respect that you feel relatively confident in that. reality is my community isn't known for their 311 calls. We are trying to motivate people to call that system and educate people on the system. we can all attest to the safest time to be in the park is during the U.S. Open and during a Met That is like the safest time. There is game. police officers everywhere. The lighting is amazing and it just makes for the community to feel that if we can do it them why can't it be done for the rest of the 365 days a year, and I know this isn't particular to you, but it is a reality, and it is a question that comes to me. It is a question that I think about when I walk through the park, and people want to feel safe. Ι just want to circle back to the fire hydrant issue. I know that there are fire hydrants

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thr	oughout the park. It seems that we don't know
if	they are DEP or where they came from, whether
the	y are red or black, whether they are working or
not	. Is there a status and update on this issue
wit	h the fire hydrants of Flushing Meadows?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: The answer to that is yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Oh great.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: There are 143 fire hydrants in Flushing Meadows Park. Many of them are dating back to the era of World Fairs when there were buildings and structures and many more hydrants were required than what is actually needed to be within the 210 feet of a building zone. The bulk of those within the park are on the Parks Department water main lines and then Parks Department is responsible to maintain them. The only hydrant that a DEP personnel would be responsible for is anything on one of what they would call their DEP water main lines, which are relatively few that run through the park. coordinate regularly with DEP. We have surveyed the hydrants and those that are not working have the white ring that is around it, so it is

identified as a non-working hydrant. The hydrants
around the facilities that are required to be
operating are working.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: How many hydrants are those?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: We are counting 26 that are adjacent to structures and facilities within the park that by fire code are required to be operated. As Council Member Gennaro alluded to before particularly in the southern end of the park where you have Willow Lake and Meadow Lake there are hydrants in those areas that are not associated with nearby buildings so that we triage based on what we think is most important and then repair where we need to.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: So you are saying that the 143 hydrants are not necessarily needed?

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Many of them are not associated with a structure or facility and then required by code to service that building. They are in a more remote area from remnants of days gone by when one of the buildings

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from the World's Fair might have been there, but no longer exists.

> COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay. definitely want to give the opportunity to the community, but I just must tell you that we are going to be facing this again on a ULURP question with both of the concessions or the lessees of Flushing Meadows Park, and I want you to be prepared for those questions to come up because it is alarming that the lease agreements that are currently expected the contribution numbers of 2.5 and 400,000 or 155,000. We are trying to figure out what that is from entities that generate great revenue for our city, and then to know that on top of that, the Mets and the USTA contribution does not come back to the park necessarily directly is something that I'd like to have an answer to during our ULURP process as we move forward.

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI: Thank

you. If I could I just want to thank the

committee for having us testify today. I want to

thank you for the support of Council Member

Ferreras, Council Member Gennaro, Council Member

Koslowitz, who is not here today and the interest

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 in helping us bring this park to a new level and 3 we appreciate that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Commissioner. We are going to be turning it over to the community in one second. I think Jimmy Van Bramer had a quick question.

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: I just had one last question, Commissioner. First of all I just want to commend Council Member Ferreras again and agree with her that there is a lot of people with a lot of money in this city, and rather than throwing in the towel, we should actually see how much we can get for this park, and I think I was pretty clear how much I respect you and appreciate all that you do. And let's be clear, the neglect that began with the towers, you were in Grover Cleveland High School probably when that started, so you I am not holding responsible, and a lot of good things have happened, but there is still so much more that could be done, and any time a city neglects a park structure like that it is in my opinion a tragedy, and I think that that is appropriate. One last question. There was an entity that tried to raise money for the park a

2.

while back and without going into the specifics,
you know what I am talking about. The question is
there any money left from that entity, who
controls it, and I realize it is not probably 600
billion dollars, but is that money still there,
and could it be used for any of these projects in
the park realizing it is probably relatively small
amounts, but even if it is programmatic or
cosmetic, but what is the status of that money
that was raised, all those fundraised that we all
went to?

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And I will say it. We are talking about unisphere specifically and the situation with Estelle Cooper [phonetic].

thank you. Unisphere Inc. does still exist.

They are in conversations with the city about folding and reallocating those funds to another entity so that they would be able to be used for the park. They currently have grants within their coffers that we are working with them, so that we are still moving forward on some grants that we have for natural areas restoration and monument

restoration, so they are still paying bills
related to those grants and monitoring those
funds, so I think we have a unique opportunity to

allow them to fold and to create something new.

Sorry, Chair, but I just wanted to kind of reiterate and follow up on what my colleague, Council Member Van Bramer stated, is that there is a lot of people that love parks and want to contribute to parks. We are not looking to have contributors take ownership of the park, but is that we are all stewards of this park through contribution, so I just wanted to kind of put that out there and put it on the record. Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

Commissioner, you are aware that if a park does not have a conservancy or an alliance or any sort of structure if a major donor was to come and say they wanted to give 100 million dollars specifically for Flushing Meadows Park, is that not possible? Is that money going to go to a general fund? Is there a way of still without that structure—

COMMISSIONER LEWANDOWSKI:

[interposing] There actually is, and primarily two means, but one through the city's adopt a park program fundings can be allocated towards a specific park, specific project and targeted towards that. We also with our partners, the City Parks Foundation are able to secure funds and hold them for designated locations, and Janice has been availing herself to that in the interim while we are dealing with the unisphere issue.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Well, thank you very much for your testimony and for being here, and it is about almost three o'clock, and we do have a lot of people to testify, so we are going to—I think for the most part we are going to use a clock. We are going to time the testimony. I know that there is a PowerPoint, so there may be a little bit of flexibility in some of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And I am hoping that some park staff will stay behind to listen to the community testimony. Thank you, Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: First

2	to testify we are going to have Will Sweeney
3	[phonetic] from the Jackson Heights Green Alliance
4	and also Holly Light [phonetic], New Yorkers for
5	Parks. Those will be the first two. Yes,
6	probably that is best and you have a mic right
7	there. Gina, I think the Council Member has a
8	question.

[background conversation]
CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

Alright. Well, go ahead. You can start off.

Chair and council members for having us today. My name is Will Sweeney. I live in Jackson Heights. I am the co-founder of the Jackson Heights Green Alliance, and we are a neighborhood group that is looking to improve and expand park space in Queens and we are a member of the Fairness Coalition of Queens. Today I am going to do a little presentation about Flushing Meadows Corona Park, which if people don't know if you live in Jackson Heights or if you live in Elmhurst or east Elmhurst or if you live in parts of Flushing or parts of whole parts of Queens, it is the only viable green space for you that is within walking

distance or a short commute, so there are some 2 unique challenges and I want to tell you about our 3 coalition as well. So the Fairness Coalition of 4 5 Queens is 20 Queens based community groups that 6 have banded together to save Flushing Meadows Corona Park. We feel that the park has significant challenges and that there are corporate developments that are looking to take 10 parts of the Park and we would like to eliminate 11 today why the park is even seen as a target for 12 some of these developments. I want to tell you a 13 little bit about our values. We want to protect 14 and expand park space. We want the park to be 15 accessible to local residents, fair share and 16 balanced used, community input, supportive local 17 workers, sustaining neighborhood small businesses 18 and mitigating community burden. So a core 19 principal for the Coalition is that Flushing 20 Meadow Corona Park is a park, and it is not a 21 development site. This is an early map of 22 Flushing Meadow Corona Park, and you can see there 23 was a river that ran through it and that the two 24 lakes are actually artificially made by damming 25 the river and channeling the water underneath the

park. That is an important part that we will come
back to several times in this presentation because
this park has a lot of very unique specific needs
that are environmentally challenging in and of
itself. So I want to give you a brief history of
the park. In the 1800s and 1900s it was an ash
dump. It was river. It was a swamp.
Unfortunately some of the graphics are a little
bit light here. On the bottom that is an old map
that you just saw larger. '34 through '40 it was
the World's Fairgrounds. In '46 to '51, it was
the site of the first United Nations general
assembly. In 1963 Shea Stadium was built in the
northern part of the part. There was a second
World's Fair in the core of the park from '64 to
'65. The Hall of Science was put in in '66,
Queens Zoo in '68, the Queens Museum of Art in
'72. The USTA moved their facilities in 1978 and
expanded again in '94 and from '66 on after the
World's Fair, it has been the largest most
important green space for Queens families. A
brief history that people also should know of the
failed proposals for Flushing Meadows Corona Park.
In 1983, the Koch Administration proposed putting

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a NASCAR grand prix motor raceway around the park. In 2003, the Jets looked to put their stadium in the heart of the park, and in 2005, the Bloomberg administration proposed siting a number of events for a potential summer Olympics inside of the park. One core thing that people should know is that a majority of the park has already been lost to development, and here is a little animation to show you why this is. If this animation will actually play... it doesn't look like this animation wants to play for us. I may be able to show it to you at the end. The core things about the park is, and I will just explain it--unfortunately we don't have it visually -- the Parks Department now says that it is 898 acres, but that is very deceiving because only 250 acres of that are actual useable public green space. Inside the park there are cultural institutions, corporate sports institutions, there is oceans of concrete inside of the park for roads and for parking lots and that a lot of the park already is not really able to be accessed by the park. There are huge areas that are restricted to the public. There is a gas station inside the park. There is a power

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plant inside of the park. There are rail yards inside of the park. This is a park that has challenges and has things inside of it that no other park in New York City has, and so it needs tremendous resources just to offset what the city has already sited within the park, and one of the things that we want to talk about today is environmental justice. Flushing Meadows Corona Park does not get equal resources compared to its peers--Central Park and Prospect Park. The Central Park Conservancy which manages Central Park has 843 acres, has a 46 million dollar budget; Prospect Park is 585 acres and has 14 million dollar budget. Today for the first time we learned that Flushing Meadows Corona Park has what the Parks Department says is an 11 million dollar budget. That is a new figure. Previously, we have been asking for over a year for this number, they could not produce a number for us, and that new number is now 989, and to give you a sense of staffing 'cause if you don't have budget numbers this gives you a better sense of what is really going on here,. Central Park is 291 full time workers for 843 acres. Prospect Park has 135

full time workers for 585 workers. The Flushing
Meadow Corona Park only has 18 full time staff
members dedicated to the park, not seasonal
members, not people who work one day and they are
counted. We are talking about people who show up
every week and work exclusively on this park.
There are only 18 people even though it is the
largest of these three flagship parks.
Environmental Justice. There are a lot of people
who believe that this park is underserved and
underfunded by the city because a majority of its
users are people of color and working people. As
you can see from this map, Corona, East Elmhurst,
City, Corona is a large population of
Hispanics, East Elmhurst and City have a large
African American population. Flushing has a large
Asian population and these are the communities
that need access to this park on a daily basis.
We also have major concerns about health
challenges and childhood obesity especially.
Corona has the highest rate of childhood obesity
in New York City. 51 percent of the children in
Corona, and these are the children that the only
park that they have access to is Flushing Meadow

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Corona Park that is a large green space, 51 percent of them are overweight. This is -- the most critical link between childhood obesity is exercise and nutrition and if we take away access to open space or if we minimize or devalue our open space, that is not going to help the problem. One of the core things that I want to talk to you today about Flushing Meadows Corona Park is 31 percent of the Park is concrete, and this exacerbates a lot of problems that the park has already with flooding, and it wastes open space. As you can see here, a lot of trees and a lot grass die within the park because there is a lot of flooding, and there is a lot of flooding in the park not only because it is in a coastal flood zone, but also because there is so much concrete that water is displaced and then floods the actual green space that is left over, so there is a real resiliency problem with Flushing Meadows Corona Park. Also, Council Member Ferreras referred to it -- the park is surrounded by congested highways, which harm human health and reduce access to the park for local residents. You have some of the largest highways and the most congested highways

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the United States of America that ribbon this park on all sides. You have the Grand Central, you have the Long Island Expressway, you have the Van Wyck, you have the Whitestone Expressway, and they are all on each different side of the park and create a tremendous amount of pollution and also it should be noted that there is major deterioration to the park's lakes because of the solid waste pollution that comes from that car traffic. I also want to show you that the access to the park is severely hampered by the fact that it is ringed by major highways. If you live in Corona, Forest Hills or north Corona, or Queensboro Hill or Kew Gardens or Flushing, it is very difficult to access the park because between you and the park is a major highway, so there is very few access points to the park. Flushing Meadows Corona Park is the largest, most important open space for Queens families and there is a real public social value to parks that we have to recognize. The Park is a tremendous resource for the well-being of local residents not only in terms of their physical health, but also their mental health and that there is a value beyond

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

money of parks. Access to open space is a critical element of a healthy lifestyle. Being able to play soccer, being able to run around in the park, play volleyball is critical and there is a lot of acreage in that park and not a lot of it is dedicated to that type of activity. Several local communities have extreme overcrowding in both schools and residences making the need for open space more urgent for these community members. The most overcrowded schools in all of New York City are on the western end of the park, and some of the most overcrowded housing conditions are in Corona and Flushing, and if there is ever a place in New York City where people needed access to open space, it would be this park. The park has been mismanaged and underfunded for decades. Everyone has talked about the relics within the park from the World's Fair which have been falling down for over 60 years, but also there has been a problem with management and operations of the park. Last summer the Parks Administrator was arrested and charged with fraud. Unfortunately she passed away before she went to trial, so we want to know who

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

has been held accountable for the fraud and the failure to maintain the park. This is a visual of the park, and in usage and in popular sort of--the way people interface with the park there is an existing sports ring [phonetic] of the park, and we want to talk a lot about what has become an existing community, family ring of the park. One thing we want to talk about is there is a major imbalance between the sports institutions within the park and the community usage that already exists in the park. Stadium events are allowed to have cars parking on grass inside of the park. This destroys the grass year round and is a clear signal to community residents that the park is being turned over to interests other than local residents. The park is dominated by stadium events. There are police checkpoints. presence of police and other kinds of city workers is overwhelming and access into the park, which is already very difficult because of the highways is even more difficult with checkpoints and all kinds of security apparatus and stadium events by having cars park on grass and drive on the grass introduced pollution into the very core of the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

park that is already challenged by having highways on all sides. So we have real questions about fair share. New York City taxpayers provide hundreds of millions of dollars to the Mets and USTA and public subsidies. The Mets make 265 million dollars a year. Over a 30 year period they will get over 500 million dollars in public subsidies. The USTA makes 275 million dollars a year and similar type ratio is at work. We don't have the final numbers from the Independent Budget Office. We have asked for them, but it is a very similar arrangement, and I can show you in detail how the independent budget office shows what the Mets get for being on parkland. One of the core things about parkland is it is one of the few types of property that by default has no property taxes, so if you are a corporate sports institution and you want to be somewhere, you probably want to be on parkland because you won't have to fight to get a property tax exemption. will be included by default. The Mets save 250 million dollars by not having to pay property taxes in addition to rent credits and tax exempt financing for their construction. There is a

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

massive amount of public investment into the success of the Mets and they are inside of a park, and the problem is they create a massive burden on the park, but they pay nothing towards the park's maintenance. Neither the Mets not the USTA pay anything towards Flushing Meadows Corona Park's maintenance. Chronic flooding, it is a little bit cut off. The entire park sits in a coastal flood These wetlands are natural buffers that protect communities from ferocious storms. This is a picture of the park 24 hours after Sandy. The entire park was under water. This is a picture of the Grand Central Parkway, which is in the middle of the park, runs through the middle of the park, on August 1st in the New York Times. People were stranded in their cars because the flood waters were so high. The depth to groundwater, so the water table at Flushing Meadows Corona Park is the highest in the entire city. At no point in the park is the groundwater higher, less than five feet, so if you stuck a shovel in the ground, you are going to hit water very quickly at Flushing Meadows Corona Park. And this is an important point. The U.S. Army Corps

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Engineers and the State Department of Environmental Conservation has jurisdiction over large sections of the park because there still is a river that runs underneath the park. Robert Moses built over it, but that river continuously floods, and the entire park--sections of the entire park are classified as protected wetlands in the National Wetland Inventory, and with new FEMA maps coming out there is a lot of questions about resilience of the park and making sure that we have green space that is no concreted over, and that is resilient with trees and grass that can absorb storm water runoffs so that it doesn't go into local communities and harm people. New York City taxpayers have spent millions of dollars on strategic plans and masters plans for the park. The problem is they have never been implemented. I have in my briefcase an over 500 page 1986 master plan for the park that was done by the Project for Public Spaces. Inside of there is every single thing almost that is in the 2008 strategic plan, and nothing was implemented. of the core recommendations was that the concessions and the revenues that were generated

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the park stay in the park and pay for the park's maintenance. Three of the core recommendations of the 2008 strategic plan are to green the core of the park, more trees, more grass, ripping up concrete, restore the park's natural waterways, maybe daylight the river, which means ripping up anything that is over the river and allowing the river to be natural again so that it doesn't floor the rest of the park and also reconnect the park to local neighborhoods. I think our coalition would wholly endorse all three of these main recommendations, but the problem is again none of them have been implemented in the five years since the study was done. So in closing, Flushing Meadows Corona park is a heavily utilized park in spite of its weaknesses, in spite of its understaffing, its mismanagement and underfunding, and it is a beautiful place that needs care and what we urge the City Council and what we urge the Bloomberg Administration to do is really give it its fair share of funding and staffing and attention because hundreds of thousands of Queens residents and families depend on this park, and we ask that people really give

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 it its due. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank

you, Will, for that background. At least it helps

provide context. I think Holly from New Yorkers

for Parks testify?

HOLLY LIGHT: Thank you,

Chairwoman, and thank you for holding this hearing on an important topic that is getting a lot of attention in the process [phonetic], but I think it is great to have the opportunity to listen to the Parks Department and what they have to say about it as well. I am Holly Light, executive director of New Yorkers for Parks, the citywide independent organization championing quality parks and open spaces for all New Yorkers in all neighborhoods. It is hard to overstate the critical role the Flushing Meadows Corona Park plays in the social, historic, cultural and natural landscape of Queens. It is home to an amalgam of institutions and icons, the World's Fair structures, museums, the zoo, a botanic garden, and sports complexes that draw hundreds of thousands of fans annually, but as Will just said above all else, Flushing Meadows Corona Park is

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indeed a park, and not just any park, at 897 acres, it is the borough's largest park and one of the most heavily used parks in the entire city. Approximately 400,000 people live in the densely packed neighborhoods adjacent to Flushing Meadows Corona Park. That is more than the population of Miami, Atlanta, Pittsburgh or Cleveland. For most of these 400,000 residents Flushing Meadows is their only significant green space, and they desperately need it. Not only do their neighborhoods fall well below the city's own standard of 2.5 acres of open space per 1,000 residents, but a fifth of the population in these areas are children and childhood obesity in Corona on the park's western edge is 51 percent, the highest in the city. Park users consistently tell us that the park is an essential part of their lives. It is a recreational mecca for soccer, baseball and cricket players, a gathering place for family barbecues and picnics, a favorite place for bike rides and walks and a quiet escape for nature lovers, but despite the park's beloved status, it has been ill-maintained and under resourced for decades. Park users routinely

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

complain about the flooded fields and drainage problems and litter is a constant battle. Over the past four years, I was glad to hear that this year's PIP scores are higher, but looking across the past four years the Parks Department inspectors have repeatedly found that Flushing Meadows Corona Park falls short of their own cleanliness standard and they rated its overall condition as acceptable only 79 percent of the time, falling short of the Department's standard of 85 percent. These poor maintenance ratings are not surprising given the park's limited staffing, which has been discussed enough, so I will pass on talking about that more. What's more thousands of cards are allowed to park on the park's lawns during the U.S. Open each year, leaving the grass scarred for months. The practice can and should end through the development of a thoughtful traffic and parking plan for the tournament rather than the current ad hoc practice of routing cars wherever it is most expedient. While the park's concessions and leases generate more than apparently 6.75 million dollars in annual revenue for the city's general fund, the city spends less

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

than two million dollars a year on maintenance for the park, and this is a number that we did an extensive study in order to figure out that number. The private entities that are housed in the park, the U.S. Tennis Association, the Mets don't contribute a dime towards the park's upkeep. Clearly for the park to be maintained in an acceptable level the massive discrepancy between what this park is generating for the city and for private beneficiaries and the level of resources being put back into the park must change. This is one reason why New Yorkers for Parks supports the creation of a new non-profit partner, an alliance for Flushing Meadows Corona Park. Other such organizations including the Prospect Park Alliance in Brooklyn and the Bronx River Alliance have successfully transformed once neglected open spaces into top notch parks. These organizations are public, private hybrids with direct oversight by both the Parks Department and a non-profit board of directors that helps fundraise for the park and monitors its finances. We believe a crucial component of such an alliance would be a community operations council made up of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

representatives form surrounding neighborhoods that would advise the alliance on day to day park needs though the Parks Department would retain final approval over all projects, programs, events and budgets. Such non-profit organizations are particularly effective for large resource intensive parks, which Flushing Meadows with its elaborate network of fountains and other World's Fair icons and its many recreational facilities certainly is. There are many benefits. A nonprofit alliance can fundraise and solicit new revenue sources to augment the Parks Department's endemically constrained budget. By raising extra funds for the Park an alliance can hire additional sorely needed staff and sponsor programming and community events. An alliance would work closely with the community and park users to develop and implement a long term plan for the park's stewardship and improvement. A non-profit organization has stringent financial reporting requirements and an independent board with financial oversight responsibility promoting greater transparency in how park funding is spent. Starting such an organization is critical for the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

park's future regardless of whether any of the development projects proposed for the park actually happen. Lessons have been learned about how to do this model right, and how to do it Now is the time to do it and to do it wrong. right with proper vigilance and oversight and community buy in. one of the first activities of a new alliance would be to develop a long term plan for Flushing Meadows Corona Park in collaboration with park users and the surrounding community. Perhaps elements of the Parks Department's ambitious 2008 plan for the park would be revisited including a proposal to fill in the poorly maintained fountain of the planets to create a great lawn and to daylight the Flushing River to help ameliorate flooding. Whatever the outcome of this planning process what is most important is that the Parks Department and the many stakeholders who care deeply about the future of this park create a shared vision and together begin taking the necessary steps to ensure the Flushing Meadows Corona Park becomes a wellmaintained, well-resourced jewel of the park system as it deserves to be while remaining the

2 vibrant public space it is today.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you for your testimony. Holly, I don't think we have any questions at this time. We are going to keep asking the people that are here to provide their testimony. Thank you very much for taking the time. I believe it is Mr. Haber--alright, come on up, Anthony Ng [phonetic] and Elaina Contie [phonetic], that will be the first panel.

reflect that I came here at 12:30 and it is now 3:30 after spending an hour and a half to get here and all we have left are two Councilpersons. It speaks volumes that all those Councilpersons who only cared about we seeing their faces have left. It shows that they don't care what the public has to say and it is an embarrassment. My name is Ben Haber. I am a lifelong resident of Queens County. I reside in Kew Garden Hills adjacent to Flushing Meadows Corona Park. My connection with the park began about 40 years ago when I was part of a group that successfully fought the - - organization's attempt to construct a high rise development adjacent to Willow Lake in Flushing

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Meadows Corona Park, which we successfully fought. I was also there after the chairperson of the committee to stop grand prix auto racing in that park, and we beat - - on that abomination. don't understand a format in which you talk about maintenance, but we are not permitted to talk about the going onslaught that goes on in that park. You allowed Lewandowski to speak eons about all these structures in the park, Councilpersons mentioned it, but we cannot talk about what is going on in our park. I don't get that. have a specific question for you, Ms. Ferreras. Media suggests that you are seeking to establish a coalition of business interests that are in the park and those outside the park as well as a conservancy groups that raise money for Flushing Meadows Corona Park, which if accomplished would be laudable, but only if you spell out clearly no ifs and buts that donations will only be accepted on a philanthropic basic. They get nothing from the park except a nameplate recognition. You have thus far refused to do that, and without it, your proposal is nothing more than the same old, same old parks for sale. You owe an answer to the

2	public. How about it? Will you agree no
3	donations to the park except on a philanthropic
4	basis? It doesn't take much to figure that out.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Sir, sir. This is a hearing. You can ask your questions. We will not be responding. That is not the format. You can feel free to submit it. We have all the testimony for record. - - . Thank you.

DEN HABER: As far as I am concerned 85 years in the city tells me very clearly no answer means no. It's a joke. You are not interested in what the public has to say. Now you are talking about this park, money for this park. Are you aware that years ago we asked the Mets to put 25 cents on the ticket for money to go to the park? They refused. The USTA was obliged to put up a trust fund. They kept the principal. The interest was supposed to go for maintenance to the park. Helen Marshall dissipated that fund with maintenance for that swimming pool complex that cost hundreds of millions of dollars. You didn't ask the important question of Ms.

Lewandowski. How much money has been spent on

passive use [phonetic] for that park? Nothing.
In the decades that I have been involved with that
park there are no benches around the lake, it
floods, the concrete is broken, cars are parked on
the grass, and all you care about is Terrace in
the Park, the Mets Stadium. That is a joke. That
is a joke. Now what I don't understand is this.
100 years ago Frederick Law Olmsted [phonetic] the
genius who created Central Park, Prospect Park
said as follows: the survival of our park system
requires the exclusion for management of real
estate dealers and politicians and that the first
duty of our park trustees is to hand down from own
generation to the next the treasure of scenery
which the city placed in their care. He didn't
mean it is okay to dump on our park with stadiums.
You are the trustee. You are asking us what we
should do to maintain the park. You have got it
wrong. We ask you what have you been doing for
that park, and don't tell us about money being
spent on structures. I want to know what have you
been doing about passive use of the park.

2	have	the	limit

BEN HABER: [interposing] You let everybody else talk all they want to. Okay. I will stop talking because I know you are afraid for the public to hear it. Marvelous.

7 CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: We have 8 your testimony submitted for the record.

BEN HABER: [off mic] This is a joke. They don't care what the public has to say. Shame on you. You are wasting your time.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you for coming. Have a good afternoon.

BEN HABER: No. Too late.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: So we will continue with the testimony.

ANTHONY NG: Hello. Good

afternoon. My name is Anthony Ng, and I am with

the Jackson Heights Green Alliance and also a

resident of Jackson Heights. Thank you for

holding this hearing, Chair Mark-Viverito, and

good to see you, Council Member Ferreras as well.

As you know, Jackson Heights is a very diverse

mixed income community with a substantial

immigrant population. It is very dense and once

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the city's most park starved areas. Being part of Council District 25, Jackson Heights rank 49 out of 51 council districts in parkland acres per resident and 50 out of 51 in parkland acres per The lack of public open space in our child. neighborhood isn't just an issue of health and wellness, but also an issue of fairness and equity for our community's families, children, youth, low income residents and elderly residents. why it is so important the Flushing Meadows Corona Park be better maintained and beautified. As we all know, the nearby communities to the park make great use of the park, especially the immigrant families; however, the park's current condition don't honor the importance that its users bestow on it. For example, pathways need repaving, grassy fields can be unkempt and need to be better manicured, cleanliness is an ongoing issue, - highways traverse the park, and it is difficult to get into and get around Flushing Meadows, signage and - - systems are confusing. It is clear the underinvestment and poor staffing and cuts in the park's budget has led to these conditions and there is no reason why Flushing Meadows can't be a

crown jewel in the city's park system. Flushing
Meadows is a public asset to the city, but it
hasn't been treated that way, and while we welcome
the idea of a strengthened or new conservancy to
leverage private investment, it can't be a
substitute for the city's own direct investment.
It cannot. We urge that more funding is included
in the city budget for Flushing Meadows
maintenance, upkeep, investment and staffing. We
also urge that a long term vision for the park is
developed with extensive community input. As
folks have mentioned too this vision should
include a review of the Mayor's own strategic plan
that he has developedthe 2008 plan, and there is
some good goals there that we would support.
Because resources have been expended we shouldn't
waste that effort. Let's revisit these findings
and recommendations in a new planning process to
create a long term vision for the park that is
developed in partnership with all the communities
of Queens, especially those that live nearby. You
know, at the Jackson Heights Green Alliance we are
very proud of the work we have done locally to
expand open space and park space. We have been

sponsors of the 78 th Street Play Street. We have
worked with Council Member Dromm with the Jackson
Heights Beautification Group to secure and buy a
private playground and turn it into park space, so
we are very happy about those efforts and I think
the community appreciates that, but we know that
our success locally would be severely diminished
if Flushing Meadows Corona Park is not better
maintained or improved and towards this end we
oppose any loss of parkland by private developers
seeking to build on Flushing Meadows Corona Park.
It has been said often, we will say it again,
Queens needs every inch of its parkland , and we
should all be working to augment and improve the
park space in Flushing Meadows, not take it away.
So I'll conclude by thanking you for the
opportunity to testify today and we look forward
to continuing to work with the Council and the
mayor to improve Flushing Meadows and make park
space top quality all throughout the borough and
the city. Thank you.

ELAINA CONTIE: Hello. Can you hear me? Hi. I am Elaina Contie, and I am with the Pratt Center for Community Development

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affiliated with Pratt Institute. For 50 years we have been serving low and moderate income communities helping them plan for and realize their visions for health and equitable neighborhoods. Thank you so much for convening this hearing on a super important topic. I also want to note that in the spring semester of this year Pratt Center, my organization, partnering with a team of graduate students at Pratt Institutes programs and sustainable planning and development in a studio that had the Fairness Coalition of Queens as its client, and our testimony represents a combination of that research along with Pratt Center's own recommendations. There is a lot in the packet, the pretty colorful slides are from our students, so I hope that that will be informative to you. I also think that the need for the park has been very clearly articulated thus far today, so I just want to raise a couple systemic issues, and then I will just go to the recommendations. So system wide, right, we have a situation where parks that are in low income and communities of color are not receiving their fair share and the core of that

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue begins with just access to information, so right now we learned and heard today how difficult it is to obtain accurate information about the level of maintenance that park receives and you know, how Parks Department arrives at its decision to allocate maintenance funding to this park and all others. Capital projects here as our colleagues in New Yorkers for Parks have noted as the case throughout the entire system are not part of a departmental discretionary capital budget and therefore can't be meaningfully planned for or tracked and that is an obstacle, so we would like to see that happen. In terms of recommendations, given the critical function of the park, the inequity of the public resources that the park and its local users have received and the threats pending against the integrity of the park, we call upon the City Council to do the following: first, protect against further privatization of the park by using its role in land use review to reject alienation unless no other land is available to serve an essential public need. Parkland that is taken for an essential public need should be required to be replaced at a minimum of a one to

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

one ration. Greater ratios are justified and should be called for in areas with existing insufficient open space access and with populations that experience a disproportionate environmental health issue, including obesity. Regardless of any potential public private partnership that might be created or expanded to serve the park, City Council should ensure that parks document its current level of public contribution to upkeep and demonstrate that that level of public effort is continued going forward. Information about the allocation of all public and private resources that are generated in or devoted to the parks should be clear, transparent, accessible and available on an annual basis. should be coupled with an explanation of how those resources actually meet the needs of local residents in the borough and the city at large and if they fall short in articulation of the unmet needs should be included the same level that the disclosure should apply to any existing or future public private partnerships. Particularly if the City Council plays a role in the creation of a public private partnership it should do so in

2	consultation with community based organizations
3	and work with these organizations to make sure
4	that the decision-making structure of any entity
5	has a controlling majority that solely represents
6	the public interest and has no conflict with the
7	private interest and has meaningful representation
8	of community voices, and then finally very
9	quickly, innovative ways to think about how
10	private contributions could be structured
11	including something that might like a bid
12	assessment for these holders where it is
13	automatically done as opposed to in some sort of a
14	tradeoff kind of arrangement should be explored.
15	Thank you so much for the opportunity.
16	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank
17	you for your testimony. Thank you for coming here
18	today. The next panel is Jean Silver [phonetic],
19	Mezita Udin [phonetic], and then SaraI am sorry,
20	I cannot see the last name. I guess they might
21	have left.
22	[foreign language]
23	CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:
24	Martinez. Three members of Make the Road.
25	JEAN SILVER: Hi. My name is Jean

JEAN SILVER: Hi. My name is Jean

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Silver, and I am president of the Flushing Meadow Corona Park, and thank you for this invitation today to come and speak. The flushing Meadows Corona Park has as of this part several major projects that are going on, and we the community are very concerned about the directions that they are taking and the directions that they are not taking. It is important that the proposals that have been placed out in front of us all are taken seriously because it is going to affect so many thousands if not millions of people over the next several decades, and we want to make sure that the park is used properly and is funded correctly. have met with--I am sorry. I am not following my statement. I want to talk from my heart. You can read the statement. It really is very short, but I know everybody here basically we are here for the same reason for the Flushing Meadows Corona I just want to explain that the conservancy has been around since 2002. Not too many people know about us, and that is okay 'cause when we were started we were new like any - - group and we have been trudging along and we started to work with the Parks Department. At that part - -

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

killed in a car accident two years ago, and through her foresight and insight and connections with all the community groups that she was involved with and the City Council Members and - we did receive some grant money that we did make two bird lines [phonetic] one over at Meadow Lake, one over at Willow Lake. We did do restorations which Dottie alluded to earlier today. We have also managed to get some money for a boat for - -, call it a - - boat. Senator - - , former Senator - - and some other state senators have been very generous in helping us out. I wanted to thank personally Julissa and Senator Squadron who recently just had a press release this past Sunday about organizing a group. The conservancy - - and Julissa knows wants to be involved completely and as much as to share the information that we know and that we - - over the years. we want to welcome all the groups out there, but we want it to be known that the conservancy backs New Yorkers for Parks 100 percent on alienation of parkland. It should not be done, period, simple, out of question. We love our park. - - was the essence of purity of a neighborhood person who worked and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

developed her time, effort and energy. She lived only a few blocks away and truly Flushing Meadow was her backyard. Willow Lake has been our pride and joy for many years. we have worked over there. Like I said we just recently two years ago put in a bird line, but we run canoe trips over there, and there is nothing like having 88 people, kids, families, single people, people who are handicapped come out literally crawling to a canoe 'cause they had never been in it before and take just a ride around Willow Lake. You cannot believe how quiet it is on that lake. We also have one bird line bird watching and nature tours. There is a wonderful - - variety of animals and bird life that is around that park, and we really need to develop it. I was really pleased to hear from Dorothy about our commissioner that they are planning to do some of these things. in closing, I just want to thank you again for having this meeting, having all the groups together, and I just wanted to let you know that the conservancy understands where you are coming from and I hope you understand where we are coming from. I thank you very much.

MEZITA UDIN: Thank you. I am here
for just to save our park because it is important
for our community and Queens has largest Asian
community in New York City, third largest park in
New York City must be saved. To the honorable
City Council Members, my name is Mezita Udin
American, who has been of Asian immigrant
population particularly south Asian immigrants
and to involve myself in assist
people in need. I have been active in justice
equality for the last 27 years. I am very
concerned about the situation at Flushing Meadow
Corona Park in Queens. As you know it is the
third largest park in New York City, spanning more
than 1,250 acres. It is my understand that
park This would be a very tragic situation
benefit that improve our quality of life.
This park is a safe children to flee and our
senior citizens to relax city would be lost
forever if business or an apartment
complex. Here is a list of nine reasons why park
of our neighborhood. Residents park means
investment in our community. Is it a good idea
for our community? I am asking all the City

Council Is it a good idea to invest our
residents? Park means our residents, community
park. It is free. Queens is most middle class
people living in this city and free park. It
is free expensive local neighborhood parks
are free public open space is not an
expense, but an investment economic benefits.
Studies have shown that hospital emergency
room when kids are given a safe alternative to
playing in the street parking lots opportunity
for our community is an important to our quality
of life.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you very much.

introduce myself. My name is Sara Jaramillo

[phonetic]. I also want to begin giving the

thanks for you gave us the chance to listen to us

and take your time that is also very valuable. I

understand. Also, I have to apologize because my

English is not so perfectly. I - - understand

what I am going to read. Thank you. Good

afternoon. My name is Sara Jaramillo. I live in

Jackson Heights, Queens. I am a member of Make

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Road New York and the Partnership for a Healthier Queens. The Partnership for a Healthier Queens is a coalition of community members working to reduce obesity and chronic disease by making the healthy choice the easy choice in our neighborhoods. We are part of a citywide initiative, the Partnership for a Healthier New York City, founded by a community transformation grant from the Center for Disease Control. Healthier Queens engages community members in four focus areas: healthy eating, active living, reducing tobacco and alcohol exposure. We have been meeting with community members over the last couple of months to identify ways to design and increase access to the existing public spaces and identify new ones to encourage an active lifestyle where we live, work and play. Flushing Meadows Corona Park has been part of the center of many of our discussions. It is where our community takes a moment to spend time together and to participate in recreational activities. It is where parents take their children and where I take my autistic son, who is 11 years old to run free. I enjoy the outdoors to enjoy the quiet, safe and open space,

б

but it is lacking in many neighborhoods across
Queens. For autistic children like my son, being
in Flushing near the park is the best therapy
natural environment protects him from injuries,
especially when he falls down. It is better than
the alternative, which is playing video games at
home because my son is obesity too. Currently
only one out of fiveI will be finishedNew York
City public high school students meet the national
standard for physical activity, 60 minutes per day
and Adults only and overweight. I-
- like some recommendations from the community are
to improve maintenance of the park, increase green
space, planting more trees and improve bike access
to the park. Thank you again. I am sorry.

[applause]

[foreign language]

JOEL MARTINEZ (THROUGH

INTERPRETER): Good afternoon. My name is Joel
Martinez. I am a member of Make the Road New York
and a resident of East Elmhurst Queens. I have
been living here for four years. Flushing Meadows
Park is like my backyard, for me and many other
residents of my community, the park is where I go

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for recreation because we live in such small apartments. We go to the park because there isn't anywhere else to go. I am a marathon runner, and I run in the park every day, twice a day. As I run I see people, all kinds of people of different socioeconomic classes using the park for many different activities. Some people are walking, others are hanging out with their children. Others are playing with their dogs. We all go to Flushing Park because it is the only big space in Oueens. We also call the park the lungs of Queens. If my lungs are damaged, I can't breathe, and it is the same with the park because the trees keep the air clean. If we keep cutting down trees, it will have a terrible impact on our environment and our health. Flushing Park is our park and it should be accessible to the public, but during the U.S. Open, it is not at all accessible for residents of the community. One day during the U.S. Open I was running through the park and I was stopped by a patrol of police and USTA security. They yelled at me and told me I couldn't pass. They changed the logistics from one day to the next. One day they tell me I can't

run there. The next day they tell me I have to change my route again. I felt like I was under surveillance. When I train, I wear athletic clothing, and I couldn't have been carrying a bomb, but they treated me as if I was some kind of threat. I felt like it was a question of classism, but they just don't want to have poor people there during the event. Flushing Park is a beautiful place and we want it to stay that way, but we also want to make sure that the park is getting more resources. We want to make sure that the park is properly maintained so that future generations could enjoy the park too. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO:

[foreign language] Thank you for participating and for the testimony. Move on to the next panel. We have Ed Wesley [phonetic]. Are you here? Yes. We have Jeffrey Croft [phonetic] and Richard Helenbrecht [phonetic]. Are you here? Richard? I can just ask if these last two are here as well. Is Diane Drozak here [phonetic], Andrea Jacon [phonetic]? Okay it looks like they are not. That takes care of that. It looks like Diane is not here. Okay. Go ahead.

2	ED WESLEY: Good afternoon and
3	thank you for having this hearing that did shed a
4	lot of light on questions that we needed answered.
5	It is unfortunate that we have to wait until Parks
6	Committee holds a hearing to put lights on what we
7	all in Corona and Jackson Heights and East
8	Elmhurst have been asking for the last several
9	months. I should that I am Ed Wesley. I am
10	president of the Jackson Heights Beautification
11	Group, and also part of the Coalition for Fairness
12	on the Parks. I started my day with Councilman
13	Vallone. We had a press conference that talked to
14	the same issue, and now I am pleased to end my day
15	with Councilwoman Ferreras. We are in a struggle
16	to preserve our park. This is a park best stated
17	by the Councilwoman at a dedication that she
18	attended when we did work with the Green Alliance
19	when we established a play street in our
20	neighborhood in Jackson Heights, and she said, and
21	it stuck with me ever since, this park is used as
22	vacations for our children. That is pretty
23	powerful. Where most of us are fortunate enough
24	to get away and get of our neighborhoods to
25	refresh ourselves, many of our children can't do

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that, so if we look at our park, there are good things in our park happening. First of all, we have all said it is a flagship, and we need to pay attention to that. It is a flagship, and we need to budget it such that it is a flagship. We have good things going on in that park--the museum, the theater, the zoo, the carousel, the new aquatic center, the ice skating, the boat facility, the sailing club, the - - center. These are all wonderful things that need to continue, but what do we need to do? We need to establish a fair budget for the Department of Parks and Recreation coming from the city, not from an alliance or a conservancy or any other group. It needs to come from the city. An alliance, a conservancy whatever you call it is supplemental. It should not be responsible for this park because once you make it responsible for this park, the city walks away from it and private interest will take over because the conservancy will then be forced to add more commercialization of the park. We need to also complete the master plan with the commission with public members. We conducted a vision session on Flushing Meadows Corona Park, and we

had about 150 people there. We are still digesting the information, and we need to do more of that and get it to our council people so they understand what is coming from the hearts of our neighborhood. Now what don't we want? We don't want further commercialization of our park. We do want the city to step up to the plate and give us a fair budget that we can manage and restore our park after decades of abuse. So thank you very much. I hope our elected do take this seriously. We certainly do in our neighborhood and we will press on. Thank you.

afternoon. My name is Richard Helenbrecht. I am president of the Queens Civic Congress. I am also the founding president of the Flushing Meadows
Corona Park Conservancy with Pat Dolan, which was mentioned before, and I am also an executive board member of the TASCA, The American Small Craft
Association, which runs the sailing school on
Meadow Lake. I will skip three-quarters of my testimony, the first page here, and I will start—the number one problem is that city budgets shortchange this potentially wonderful park. The

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small but dedicated maintenance staff struggles to keep up, but prove inadequate to the task. nice day, tens of thousands of people stream into the park by early morning and stay until late night, barbecues, soccer balls and boom boxes, some as large as the size of trucks are everywhere. Trash receptacles are hard to find and instantly overwhelmed. The narrow roads become impassable because of cars on one or both sides and joggers dangerously running sometimes in the same lanes. Roads and paths are horribly underserviced. Biking and jogging are dangerous on most paths particularly after rain when numerous and cavernous potholes can't be seen. Often roads are totally flooded with water from curbside to curbside as well and entering many of the parking lots. Restrooms are hard to find and frequently locked or not working. Visitors on the east side of Meadow Lake were happy to see new restrooms at the rebuilt boathouse, but others are not so lucky. The lack of maintenance gives the city every excuse to propose private commercial developments so that at least something nice, maybe even noteworthy is there. Sports venues

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

physically consume a major portion of the park and in major events cars park on the grass, choke roadways in and around the park harming green space and crowd people out. The forever wild Willow Lake has occasional walking and canoe tours, but while it has great potential as a unique and valuable nature area, sadly, Willow Lake is generally uncared for and unknown. Security is another problem and crime has grown over the past few years, but the park lacks its own police precinct. Only recently have additional park enforcement officers been promised bringing hope to people needing to walk around the park particularly late at evening. Great potential is lost without proper maintenance. The historic fountain of the planets has been allowed to degenerate into a murky cesspool inviting insane reuse proposals, such as the proposal to build a 35,000 seat soccer stadium rather than invest a few bucks and bring the fountain back to its potential. The fountain and major soccer stadium site sit on an underground river resulting in serious flooding and heavy rains. I daresay today you could more easily swim than walk in that

area. The flushing kiver should be exposed and
maintained and someday I can imagine a river walk
attractive and environmentally friendly. The New
York State Pavilion is being painted only thanks
to the volunteer effort of a few civilians who
can't bear to see it scarred and graffiti covered.
Meanwhile the grandeur of the building in its
heyday it is lost probably forever without
maintenance and investment. I must conclude by
saying that I am embarrassed to bring friends from
other cities to the flagship of Queens parks. Its
shabby appearance and poorly maintained layout are
not something to be proud of. I thank you.
JEFFREY CROFT: Good afternoon. My

JEFFREY CROFT: Good afternoon. My name is Jeffrey Croft. I am president of New York City Park Advocates. Before I read my testimony I just want to go over a couple of notes I made just during the testimony of Parks officials.

Regarding Parks enforcement officers I was encouraged to call the park enforcement officers as the Parks official had stated that there are two dedicated park enforcement officers for Flushing Meadows. There are zero. There haven't been two for decades. It drives me crazy when

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they put those kinds of figures out there. are six officers for Queens parks. There are four right now dedicated to Rockaway, including two supervisors, so again, we have six as we speak because now this is the summer, we have step ups. So again we have six dedicated for the borough of Queens available for patrol. Getting back to the 11.6 million dollars in maintenance-- I am sorry, you asked Council Member about the maintenance. Again the 11.6 and this wasn't--you asked the question, but it was not properly addressed. breakdown also includes things like the Queens Zoo and the marina, so you had asked about dedicated maintenance for the park. Those things are not dedicated maintenance for the park. Again, that 11.2 goes down dramatically. Central Park, that came up just during the -- Council Member Crowley was asking about that so again out of the Parks Department's--out of Central Park's 47 million dollar budget, the city allocates 15 percent of that 47 million dollars, so do the math. So 15 percent of 47 million dollars. Getting back again it is a fine idea to have the public give their opinions about the inspection of the parks.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- - the public giving their opinions about the conditions of the park. We need professionals to do that, so that is that. Concession revenues as Council Member Viverito has been again tremendous on the public private issue. Yes, Randall's Island is exclusively retaining that. Central Park has a different kind of deal, which they just signed a 12 year extension. They are being able to divert funds, which is illegal to covert concession of revenue from the city's general fund. We just filed our first lawsuit involving one of these deals in - - Park. We filed a lawsuit two weeks ago over the Lincoln Center. This is a very, very important issue. Melissa, you had asked about the ways that you can go about these public private partnerships without setting up a conservancy--and they have dozens of them; they are called trust in agency accounts. There is absolutely no reason under the sun to create another conservancy when you are already allowed besides the adopt a park program you can put something into a trust in agency account, and they have tens of millions of dollars that they are holding basically in escrow that only go to those

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parks. You, Mr. Vallone--I just want to again, I obviously we are on the same page with the abdication of the - - responsibility for - parks. I definitely wish we would have talked beforehand just about the Daniel Squadron thing. However well-intentioned Dan is on this issue, it is misguided and irresponsible on a number of different levels. I have testimony to that. Again, it creates an enormous disparity, but you can't legislate where and how private donations are spent. You can't do that. Getting into the specific issue with the conservancy, Council Member, first as you know we definitely disagree with that plan, and I respect that and again, I wish you would have come to us to have a conversation about that stuff, but it is very different from the Central Park Conservancy and the Prospect Park Alliance. This is predicated in part on businesses already there hopefully at no future businesses, but again diverting money from our concessions - - and we don't want them to have that ability because it does create enormous disparity and that is one of the reasons why it is illegal to divert those funds. All of us, and you

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guys have made public statements about this stuff, it all is predicated on the lack of funding, and everyone here at this panel, so obviously come budget time, which you guys are responsible for and you have heard it from us a thousand times on this, we want you guys--right now, the mayor's budget is 283 million dollars in tax levy funds, 283 for a 71 billion dollar budget. ridiculous. Your park and the other council members who are in Queens and of course Flushing Meadows is a flagship park, and it deserves the money. You will never ever be able to replicate what the Central Park Conservancy does. wealthiest people on the face of the planet live around that park. No one is saying that private funds shouldn't be used to supplement parks. is fine, but it is a very slippery slope when we start getting into allowing groups to take over parkland, which has happened, and be reliant upon concessions and commercial interests because then of course we are going to want to encourage more of those because no we are relying on those funds, and that is bad. So I encourage you guys--you guys hear it from us all the time on this stuff.

I will say the only good thing to come out of this over the last year is finally Flushing Meadows

Corona Park is getting some attention. So I again when the mayor and your Speaker sit down I encourage you, do not accept that budget that is currently allocated. We need to do a much better job of getting funds out there.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: Thank you, Jeffrey. I know that Council Member Vallone had a question.

quickly, thank you all for waiting this long. You have been here all day, and I know you have a lot of things to do, but that is how important this is to all three of you, and Jeff, you have been a huge help to me on every park issue, and I agree with just about everything that you said. I am not sure you are correct on the legalities of that. Dan Squadron is a pretty smart guy, and we are looking into it, but if you are, you are. I agree with everything you said about private money. I don't want it, but at this point, the city as abdicated its responsibility. As much as we have all yelled about it, we don't control it,

and if they are going to do that, I can't sit by
and watch 100 million go to one part and 5,000 to
another, and it absolutely cannot replace the
city's responsibility, and I am on the same page
as all of you guys on that, that this shouldn't be
used for upkeep of the park. It should be used
for additional amenities to the park, and if I can
find out, I know Julissa is looking into
possibility co-sponsoring with me, if we can
legally do it and it is done the right way, I
think it is something that we should seriously
consider, but I have all the same reservations
that you mentioned and we don't want to turn our
parks into moneymaking facilities as their main
purpose. That would be outrageous, but I just
can't for any longer sit back and watch 100
million going to one park and 5,000 go to another
park. But I understand everything you said.
Don't get me wrong. I always appreciate your help
99 percent of the time, which is rare
Only a few seconds, I can't give you a lot more
time. They will get really mad at me.
JEFFREY CROFT: Again, I just want

to respond to that. We have the system error

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[phonetic] and it is not just the mayor that is signing off on these budgets, and although yes, these 100 million dollar donations again create these enormous disparities, the solution is not to try to replicate that. Queens, you are never going to get those types of money and again, how do you legislate if you wanted to donate your private funds? How do you say 20 percent of that has to go to another park? The only other thing about the Squadron thing which we are definitely going to be addressing is that there are so few. You mentioned there are five conservancies that have this. The vast majority, 99 percent of these conservancies, are not "well financed." That is one of the problems with Squadron's thing, and we talked to him. They called us a day before that op-ed came out. I was astonished and actually appalled at the lack of information that they were relying on because again, on the surface, yes, 100 million dollars is gigantic, but again, the solution is you know, is properly funding our public parks with tax levy funds.

COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE: You are right. I just don't see it happening right now,

but Dan Squadron's bill is a template and I am
going to work with you to make it as good as we
can because I may not even stick with the
unsatisfactory for two years because there seems
to be some debate as to whether Flushing Meadows
was actually rated unsatisfactory for the last two
years. I am not even sure.

JEFFREY CROFT: It needs hundreds of millions of dollars - - hundreds of millions of dollars.

get close. I am not saying it is going to solve all of our problems, but we are going to look into it, and I am going to make sure that you guys are right with us as we look into it. Even though those conservancies have really rich people, they don't have Julissa, they don't have Melissa, they don't have you guys, so we will be able to get it done.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: This is very true. I just wanted to again thank Ed Wesley in particular for coming in and testifying. I am glad you pay attention when I speak at openings, but you are right, and it is the vacation for many

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of our young people in our neighborhoods. That is the getaway, and if we don't have our pools open, it is the fire hydrant where they go. I think that is very real for a person who grew up in Corona. And you know, I just wanted to briefly talk about the conservancy concept. Conversations with the administration, and we are looking at every--and the point you brought up of the trust and the other ways, those are conversations that we are also trying to figure out as we speak right But I just want to be clear, and I think that it has been kind of mentioned in several conversations and in different times. Any type of fundraising or any type of additional resources that are brought in the park are exactly that, and that is my intention. They are additional to whatever Parks Department is allotting. Is it enough? Absolutely not, and I think that was one of the reasons why I wanted to have this hearing. You have been part of the Parks Department's conversations for a long time, and I think it is the first time we actually got some numbers. we have to go reconfirm whether they are accurate or not, which is kind of what you did with the

zero, so it is about engaging more of those conversations because even when they come and testify, they might not always be the accurate numbers. So we are in this process, we are doing this together, and I just I am very excited to see that so many of the community residents came out and testified and that this is a conversation that will continue. We are all kind of focused on the betterment of this park, of our park.

MALE VOICE: I wonder if I just might respond a little bit? The New York Times did an expose on these conservancies. They took the top three, and what happens over time is they all start out with good intentions, but what happens is the New York City reduces their commitment to that particular park because the conservancy then has to work harder to pick it up. I mean the perfect example was in Park Slope where they started out at 80 percent the city was funding it, and then the conservancy came along and they went down to 40 percent of funding that park, Prospect Park, so it has got its pit falls. That is all I am suggesting.

CHAIRPERSON MARK-VIVERITO: We are

looking to do an extensive hearing on the whole conservancies, models and I think there is a lot of room for reform in that area, obviously recommendations. It is extremely complicated because every arrangement is different, every set up is different and it is complicated, so we are looking to do an exhaustive hearing the fall, and so I want to thank everyone who came today. It is 4:15. We are ending this hearing, but we had I think it was a good hearing, and I thank everyone for their time, and with that, this hearing is adjourned.

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Campbell certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Kimberley Campbell

Signature

Date $\frac{7/10/13}{}$