| CTTA | COL | INCTI | | |
|------|-----|-------|------|-------|
| CITY | OF | NEW | YORK | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | > |

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

----X

June 17, 2013 Start: 10:57 a.m. Recess: 11:56 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

HELEN D. FOSTER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Elizabeth S. Crowley Council Member Erik Martin Dilan Council Member Lewis A. Fidler

Council Member Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Council Member Joel Rivera

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Carolyn Maloney Representative United States Congress

Andrew Breslau Vice President Alliance for Downtown New York

Angela Pinsky Senior Vice President Management Services and Government Affairs Real Estate Board of New York

Jessica Walker Representative Partnership for New York City

Sylvester Giustino Director of Legislation Building Owners and Managers Association of Greater New York

Mary Anne Rothman Executive Director Council of New York Cooperatives and Condominiums

John Hunt Senior Vice President, Senior Counsel of the Construction and Design Development Division Forest City Ratner Companies

Alexandra Littlejohn Executive Vice President Willis North America

Tarique Nageer Senior Vice President, Property Specialized Risk Group Marsh

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Good morning.

I am Council Member Helen Diane Foster, Chair of State and Federal Legislation. I am joined by Council Member Lew Fidler from The Bro--from The Bronx, I'm giving you a new borough--from Brooklyn; Council Member Joel Rivera from The Bronx; and Council Member Erik Dilan from Brooklyn. The Committee will be voting on a home rule in support of A 224-B, sponsored by Assembly Members Kavanagh and Stevenson, and Senate Bill S 340--Oh, 3461-B, sponsored by Senators Krueger and Squadron. In the recent months, the Council has had a strong record of cracking down on commercial cyclists who flagrantly flout basic traffic rules, endangering their own safety--wow, say that three times quickly--and the safety of pedestrians, drivers and other cyclists, as well as improving safety for the commercial cyclists by requiring retro-reflective vests, among other safety improvements. Making the business that employs these cyclists responsible for the violations they occur would be another important step in combatting this problem, and will hopefully provide a strong incentive for business owners to

[laughs]

| 2 | become active partners in ensuring the safety of |
|----|--|
| 3 | their own employees and all New Yorkers. This |
| 4 | bill would make business owners liable for certain |
| 5 | rules of the road, certain rules of the road's |
| 6 | violation committee bycommitted by their |
| 7 | employees or by others they employ. In addition, |
| 8 | the bill would complement the work done by the |
| 9 | Council on ebikes, by expanding the violation of |
| 10 | riding a bicycle on the sidewalk to ebikes. That |
| 11 | being said, do I have any discussion on the home |
| 12 | rule before we vote? Council Member, you're good? |
| 13 | All right. Will you call the roll, clerk, please? |
| 14 | CLERK: William Martin, Committee |
| 15 | Clerk, roll call vote, Committee on State and |
| 16 | Federal Legislation, Council Member Foster. |
| 17 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Aye. |
| 18 | CLERK: Rivera. |
| 19 | COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye. |
| 20 | CLERK: Dilan. |
| 21 | COUNCIL MEMBER DILAN: I'm just |
| 22 | wondering, Madam Chair, if this includes the |
| 23 | Citibikes, but I would imagine you might not know |

the answer to that. [laughs] But I vote aye.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CLERK: Fidler.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER FIDLER: Aye.

CLERK: By a vote of four in the affirmative, zero in the negative, and no abstentions, item is adopted. Members, please sign the Committee report and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. We are now going to move into--we don't need to do anything tape wise, we're good? Yes? Okay. we're going to leave this vote on--open for a little while, we have members that are still on their way. So, to the topic at hand, again, good morning, I'm Council Member Helen Diane Foster. Today we will be considering a Resolution calling on the United States Congress to introduce and pass, and the President to sign, legislation that would extend the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007. We will be hearing from Congress Member Carolyn Maloney, who is here with us, a lead sponsor on the pending legislation in Washington. Additionally, we will hear testimony from representatives of the insurance and real estate industries. First, I would like to thank Council Member Recchia, the Council's

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

Finance Chair, and member of this Committee, for sponsoring this Resolution, and bringing this important issue to our Committee. I've introduced the members, we've been joined by Council Member Crowley from Queens. After I finish the opening statement, then we'll allow you to vote, Council Member, and then we will go into the Congresswoman's testimony. Unfortunately, New Yorkers are all too familiar with the destruction and devastation acts of terror can have on a City 12 and a nation. Beyond the personal loss and 13 suffering, there is also a staggering economic 14 impact. After the September 11th attacks, the insurance industry reported insured losses of an estimated \$32.5 billion, about \$40 billion in today's dollars. As a result, the insurance 18 industry began to include terrorism insurance coverage from commercial policies and economic consequences quickly followed. In response, Congress passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act 22 of 2002, that required property and casualty insurers to offer coverages for incidents of international terrorism and reinsure a large percentage of that insured risk to insure the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

availability of terrorism insurance and permit many construction projects to move forward, help the economy grow and restore confidence that our economy was strong enough to withstand any future This act was extended in 2005 to the end attacks. of 2007. Here we are, a little over six years later, and the discussion is basically the same. Today, many businesses purchase terrorism insurance. Due to the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act and the government working together with the private sector, terrorism insurance is available and affordable. The Terrorism Risk Insurance Act and its successor have benefited businesses, workers, consumers, and the national economy, and the economy in New York City. As every New Yorker knows, our City is a primer target for terrorism. As we tragically found out in Boston only a few months ago, we don't know where or when the next attack will take place. And while we thank and acknowledge those in law enforcement who have prevented so many attempts, we need to address this important issue regarding the extension. Congress has a lot of important and pressing issues to deal with, and though we are a year-and-

| 2 | a-half away from the expiration, now is the time |
|----|---|
| 3 | for Congress to act. If the Act were to sunset a |
| 4 | the end of 2014, with Congress taking no action, |
| 5 | it would hamper the ability of businesses of |
| 6 | obtain affordable terrorism insurance, affecting |
| 7 | businesses, workers and consumers across the |
| 8 | country and in the City of New York. Congress |
| 9 | should continue and expedite the process of |
| 10 | reviewing whether the extension should be amended |
| 11 | and extended, and for how long before we start to |
| 12 | feel the impact of pricing and availability of |
| 13 | insurance that was felt in 2005 and 2007 |
| 14 | extensions. Now, before we call on Congresswoman |
| 15 | Maloney, I will askOh, the clerk, to continue |
| 16 | the roll call. |
| 17 | CLERK: Council Member Crowley. |

1'

COUNCIL MEMBER CROWLEY: I vote 18

19 aye.

20

21

22

23

24

25

CLERK: Vote now stands at five in the affirmative.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. And good morning, Congresswoman, have a seat, and thank you for coming back to your old stomping grounds.

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CAROLYN MALONEY: - -

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Pull the mic to you and turn--if the light's on the mic is on.

CAROLYN MALONEY: Thank you,

Chairwoman, I had the wonderful honor of serving with your father, Wendell, and it's so wonderful to see so many friends here: Liz Crowley, with whom I've worked on so many things; and Joel Rivera, your father and I served together and I believe I was here when you first walked in as a new person. And of course, Erik Dilan, your father is a State Senator, you know, my State Senator in Brooklyn now, so it's a great honor to be here. And Lew Fidler, always a pleasure. I must say, it's always a tremendous honor to have the opportunity to testify once again at the City Council, which I believe is the greatest local government in the country. And to be part of the government where all of the hard work in Albany and Washington is translated into the reality of helping people's lives. I truly believe that one of the most important issues before Congress now, certainly in terms of the economy of the great City of New York, is the terrorism risk insurance,

| 2 | the anti-terrorism risk insurance, and |
|----|--|
| 3 | reauthorizing it, and making sure that it's there. |
| 4 | Those of us who lived through 9/11 know the horror |
| 5 | of it. And one of the horrors was that after |
| 6 | 9/11, you could not even build a hot dog stand |
| 7 | without insurance. All building stopped. The |
| 8 | Fitch Report that I have here, and would like |
| 9 | unanimous consent to place in the record, states |
| 10 | that they believe during that period, that our |
| 11 | great City lost roughly 300,000 jobs and |
| 12 | absolutely billions of dollars in income, \$15 |
| 13 | billion in real estate and other transactions that |
| 14 | were cancelled or stalled because there was no |
| 15 | insurance. So this resolution that have, that the |
| 16 | Speaker Quinn has brought before you and others |
| 17 | from the Finance Committee, is tremendously |
| 18 | important, and we must get it reauthorized. The |
| 19 | first time we passed it, we had a tremendously |
| 20 | difficult time passing it. And even though we |
| 21 | haven't been attacked, it's very important that we |
| 22 | pass it now. And we know that City, that New York |
| 23 | City remains, to this day, a top target for |
| 24 | terrorists, and reauthorizing the Risk Insurance |
| 25 | Act is absolutely essential to the City's |

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

15

16

19

20

21

23

24

25

continued economic wellbeing. When we have our confidential briefings with the CIA and the intelligence sources of our great country, and they report that they are hearing talking or they're hearing threats, always the target is New York. And we know that Ray Kelly has publicly testified that there have been 13 different attempts to hurt New York since 9/11. So it's still very much a concern and a challenge for us. After 9/11, businesses across the country and 12 especially New York City could not get terrorism 13 insurance. This crippled the construction, real 14 estate, finance and tourism industries. provided businesses and insurers with much needed certainty by establishing a stable, long-term 17 federal support system for terrorism risk 18 insurance. This helped the economy bounce back after 9/11, and ensured that terrorists could not wreak havoc on our economy and our way of life. The long term extension of TRIA in 2007 confirmed 22 that federal support for terrorist risk insurance is a nonpartisan, common sense safeguard for our economy. Passage of the TRIA extension ensured that if, god forbid, another terrorist attack

| occurred, we will be able to keep our markets |
|--|
| open, our cities vibrant, and our economy strong. |
| Opponents will claim that TRIA is unnecessary, but |
| we need to remember that just because the federal |
| backstop in TRIA was never used, does not mean |
| that it's unnecessary. On the contrary, as the |
| recent terrorist attack at the Boston Marathon |
| demonstrated, TRIA remains as necessary as ever. |
| The current TRIA program is scheduled to expire at |
| the end of 2014, and we cannot let that happen. |
| That's why I authored, with Congressman Grimm, a |
| bipartisan bill, to expend the TRIA program. And |
| I thank this Committee, Speaker Quinn, the City |
| Council, for proposing this Resolution, calling on |
| Congress to pass our bipartisan TRIA |
| reauthorization bill. I will be doing all I can |
| to work with the Democrats and Republicans in |
| Congress to extend this program and look forward |
| to working with the Council on this incredibly |
| important effort. And I'd be very honored to |
| answer any questions. And as I said, it is an |
| honor to be before the City Council, in this body |
| that I had the privilege of serving, and heading |
| the Contracts Committee for ten years. I yield |

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 back, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you very much. I just have a few questions, and then I'll go to my colleagues if they have any. Where are you now in the process, in Congress?

CAROLYN MALONEY: The bill has been introduced and it is now before the Financial Services Committee on which I serve. We have a few cosponsors, and a hearing has not yet been held. One addition that I would add to your bill is calling upon the Council to have--or rather the Congress--to have hearings on this important legislation. I think it would also be important if this Council reached out to your counterparties across the country, and urged them to reach out to their Congress members to cosponsor the bill, and to call for hearings and to urge its passage. Literally, nothing could be more important for the economic security of our great City. If we don't have the terrorism risk insurance, our businesses, our real estate, our--cannot even function. one would insure New York after 9/11. The only place that would insure any activity in New York, and it was incredibly expensive, was Lloyds of

2.

| London. So, if we don't get this federal |
|--|
| backstop, the Fitch Report predicts that we will |
| face the same situation where people will not |
| insure business activity in the great City of New |
| York. And we cannot let that happen. And we have |
| to remember, if anyone tries to make it a New York |
| issue, it's not a New York issue, it's a national |
| issue. We were attacked because we are the |
| financial center, we are the biggest City in our |
| great state, our great country. We were attacked |
| as Americans, not as New Yorkers, but as |
| Americans. And it's an American responsibility to |
| make sure that all of our cities are able to get |
| insurance to protect against terrorism attacks. |

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: That was actually, you addressed the next two questions I had. One was what can we do from this level? And number two, the attitude of, it was an attack on New York. How much has that attitude changed or not changed in Congress, especially when it comes to this bill, so that it is seen as something nationally, not as something that New York City is again asking for?

CAROLYN MALONEY: Well, Chairlady,

that's a very good question. After 9/11, I have 2 never seen this country more united or determined. 3 4 And we very quickly created a commission, a 5 bipartisan commission, that came out with roughly 50 recommendations to make our country safer. We 6 7 completely reorganized our entire intelligence gathering and the formation, bringing 18 different 9 anti-terrorism units under one head, and the Department of Homeland Security. It was the 10 11 biggest reorganization since 1948. It was the 12 most important bill that I ever had the privilege 13 to help author. But the further we get away from 14 9/11, and with the pressing budget concerns that 15 we have nationally, with sequestration, and the 16 fight for funds, there is more of a parochial 17 interest. So everyone is saying, "What about my 18 state?" "What about Wyoming? We need anti-19 terrorism risk insurance." I mean, or "We need anti-terrorism aid." Well, there are more buffalo 20 21 in Wyoming than people. I mean, New York is the 22 target, we know it, regretfully, and we are 23 targeted because we are American citizens and 24 we're one of the economic centers of our great 25 country. So, we just have to keep making that

| 2 | message. But as resources become more scarce, |
|----|--|
| 3 | then the fight over them becomesand even though |
| 4 | this, we never had to exercise the federal |
| 5 | backstop, many people do not even want us to have |
| 6 | that. So, it's a big fight. It was a huge fight |
| 7 | under the Bush Administration to get this passed, |
| 8 | and it was really the academic community that came |
| 9 | forward with all kinds of studies about how |
| 10 | important it was to the overall economy, not only |
| 11 | for New York but the whole country. So it's an |
| 12 | important, very important measure. I know that |
| 13 | our Mayor and our Speaker, and most of our council |
| 14 | members have been deeply involved in trying to |
| 15 | help make this happen. |
| 16 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. |
| 17 | Council Members, any questions? No. Thank you. |
| 18 | And I appreciate you taking the time to come down. |
| 19 | CAROLYN MALONEY: Give my regards |
| 20 | to your father [laughs] |
| 21 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: [interposing] |
| 22 | I definitely will. And we will |
| 23 | CAROLYN MALONEY: Tell him I |
| 24 | inquired about him. |
| 25 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: I will, thank |

2 you.

3 CAROLYN MALONEY: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And keep us informed so that we know what we can continue to do to push this through. So thank you very much.

CAROLYN MALONEY: Well, I think reaching out to City Councils across the state—across the state and across the country, really, particularly in threat areas, such as Washington and Chicago and L.A. and San Francisco and some of the areas that are threatened. Anyway, it is always a great honor to be before this important institution, and I'm thrilled to see so many old friends again. And consider it one of the happiest times in my life to have been able to serve her and be part of forming the government in the greatest city in the world. So, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

[pause, background noise] The next is, I'm going to call, is a panel, and the first, Andrew

Breslau, did I get that right? [background comment] The Alliance for Downtown New York.

Angela ... Pinsky. [background comment] Oh, yes.

Jessica Walker. [background comments] Sylvester

Gustina [phonetic], I think that's a G. It's a G?

[background comment, "It's a G."] Okay. Can we

bring one more chair up? [pause, background

noise] Can we have one more chair? And then I

can have one last panelist: Marianne Rothman.

Thank you. [pause, background noise] Okay, why

don't we start with Mr. Breslau, and we can just

go in the order I called, if that's okay. If you

remember. Good morning, thank you.

ANDREW BRESLAU: Good morning,
Chairperson Foster and Members of the Committee on
State and Federal Legislation. I am Andrew
Breslau, the Vice President of the Alliance for
Downtown New York, which manages the downtown
Lower Manhattan Business Improvement District. We
come before you today to urge you in the strongest
terms to support this resolution. Simply put,
without Congressional reauthorization of TRIA and
the President's support, the last 12 years of hard
work revitalizing Lower Manhattan and the
effectiveness of billions upon billions of private
and public dollars invested in the district, might
be dramatically blunted. Not only would such a

failure be potentially devastating to lower 2 Manhattan, but our entire region's economy would 3 4 suffer for it. Since 12:01 p.m. on Thursday, 5 September 16, 1920, when a horse drawn carriage laden with dynamite exploded on Wall Street, 6 killing 38 and seriously injuring another 143 New Yorkers, Lower Manhattan has been the number one 9 target for those who wish to practically and 10 symbolically wage war against our country and way 11 of life. Whether it was that 1920 bombing, the 12 1993 Trade Center attack, or the awful events of 13 September 11, 2001, our history has shown that the district's residents, visitors, businesses, and 14 15 property owners, have borne a heavy burden of 16 That burden, while carried in distinct ways 17 by Lower Manhattan, is certainly not unique to us. 18 Whether it's the recent events in Boston, or the 19 threats to Times Square here that require our 20 constant vigilance, this is a citywide and 21 nationwide issue. The Terrorism Risk Insurance 22 Act of 2002, and its successor bills that were 23 passed in the wake of 9/11, insured the 24 availability of terrorism risk insurance for those 25 who build our neighborhoods and others that have

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

borne similar risks. If TRIA were to lapse at the end of 2014, billions, possibly trillions in commercial loans that are required to have terrorism insurance would be in technical default, creating a massive disruption to our City, the region and nation. In managing the threat of terrorism, we often hear that "carrying on" is the watchword we must live by. In order to carry on a significant responsibility all of us, is to help insure stability and predictability for the business community. TRIA provides that stability. It's requirements that business insurers offer terrorism coverage for the types of insurance included in the Act, and the federal government provide a backstop for medium to large financial losses due to terrorism are both sensible and prudent. The legislation takes great pains to minimize the long term financial risk to the public and allows business to be conducted in an atmosphere of potential and possibility, not undue anxiety. Without a government backstop for terrorism insurance such as TRIA, private terrorism risk coverage would simply not be commercially available, as was the case following

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 21 |
|----|--|
| 2 | 9/11. Allowing such economic vulnerability to be |
| 3 | the case once again should simply not be |
| 4 | entertained. We urge your support for this |
| 5 | resolution and thank you for your work and concern |
| 6 | on this matter. |
| 7 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. |
| 8 | ANGELA PINSKY: Good morning, |
| 9 | Chairperson Foster. My |
| 10 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: [interposing] |
| 11 | Pull the mic closer to you. Is the light on? |
| 12 | ANGELA PINSKY: The red light's on. |
| 13 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Okay, good. |
| 14 | ANGELA PINSKY: Good morning, |
| 15 | Chairperson Foster and Members of the Committee on |
| 16 | State and Federal Legislation. The Real Estate |
| 17 | Board of New York representing over 14,000 owners, |
| 18 | developers, managers and brokers of real property |
| 19 | in New York City, thanks you for the opportunity |
| 20 | to testify about the necessity of extending the |
| 21 | federal terrorism risk insurance program. New |
| 22 | York City, with its numerous icons and structures |
| 23 | that have come to represent parts of the American |
| 24 | identity, including Times Square, the Empire State |
| 25 | Building, our stadiums, Brooklyn Bridge, Central |

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Park and of course the reconstructed buildings of the World Trade Complex, has a specific need for protections against terrorism. Although the events of Boston have shown that this is not an issue that is unique to us, it is commonly acknowledged in the insurance industry that New York, the New York City Metropolitan area is in the higher tier of risk in the country, along with Washington, D.C., San Francisco and Chicago. risk is reflected in the number of businesses and organizations that purchase terrorism risk insurance and the northeast has the highest rate of take-up of terrorism risk insurance of the country, over 70 percent in each of the last three years. Which is why the expiration of TRIA at the end of 2014 is critical -- the extension of TRIA at the end of 2014 is critical for--it is critical for the City Council of New York to have this hearing and to pass a Resolution urging Congress to renew this important legislation. Prior to 9/11, insurers generally do not segregate terrorism insurance or charge separately for it; however, when the insured losses from the 9/11 attacks came in around \$40 billion, the largest

insured losses from a non-natural disaster on 2 record, insurers realized the magnitude of 3 4 possible losses in the future, and terrorism risk 5 insurance became prohibitively expensive and insurers began to leave the market. In response, 6 the federal government passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act in late 2002, which was then amended 9 and extended in 2005 and '07. Currently, TRIS is 10 triggered with a single terrorist attack causes \$5 11 million in damage; the aggregate insured loss from 12 certified acts of terrorism are \$100 million in a 13 year; and an individual company must meet a 14 deductible of 20 percent of its annual premiums. 15 Once these thresholds are passed, the government 16 covers 85 percent of insured losses due to 17 terrorism. If the aggregate insured losses due to 18 terrorism do not exceed \$27.5 billion, the 19 Secretary of the Treasury is required to recoup 20 133 percent of the government coverage by the end 21 of 2017 through surcharges on property and 22 casualty insurance policies. Because of this 23 structure, to-date TRIA has not cost taxpayers any 24 dollars. In fact, according to a 2007 study by the Rand Corporation, TRIA may have even reduced 25

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

taxpayer costs after a major attack because government spending through the program would be less than government compensation for those with uninsured losses. Additionally, the availability in the federal backstop that is allowed for New York City real estate industry, valued at \$814 billion in assets, to receive adequate coverage for New Yorkers, for the City building stock and for investors who would otherwise not lend for new construction renovation and mortgages. Without this protection, New York City real estate transactions in the business and residence - - and houses would again stall, providing yet another blow to our recovering economy. A study published by the Real Estate Roundtable points out that in the 14 months between September 11, 2001 and the enactment of TRIA, over \$15 billion in real estate related transactions were either stalled or cancelled because of the lack of terrorism insurance. In February of this year, Congress Members Michael Grimm and Carolyn Maloney introduced HR 508 to extend TRIA's program expiration date by five years until the end of 2019; additionally, in May, Representatives Mike

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Capuano and Peter King introduced the extension to TRIA for ten years. Both bills have support from New York City's delegation. However, as you know, it is becoming increasingly difficult to pass legislation in the House and Senate because of the bipartisan gridlock in Congress. In meetings with members of Congress, we have heard TRIA referred to as, "another New York City bailout," another, "a Wall Street problem." Which is why it is critical for New York City to make the case in Washington that TRIA protects the economic activity of all urban areas, including the country's largest regional economy, that are increasingly targets for terrorist activity. Thank you again for inviting me to speak on this issue and I hope you will pass this Resolution quickly.

JESSICA WALKER: Hi. Good
afternoon. I'm Jessica Walker, with the
Partnership for New York City. The Partnership
represents the City's business leadership and its
largest private sector employers. We support
Council Resolution 1806, which calls for the
extension of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRIA, before it expires next year. This is critical for New York City, which remains the number one U.S. target of international terrorism. In November 2001, the Partnership released an economic impact study, which estimated that the 9/11 attack caused a loss of at least \$83 billion in gross economic output. Even after payment of insurance claims of about \$47 billion, and the federal government's pledge of about \$20 billion for rescue, clean-up and infrastructure repair costs, the net damage to the City's economy was at least \$16 billion. City businesses faced increased operating costs due to added security and insurance burdens. The City itself also lost nearly \$3 billion in tax revenue directly attributable to the attack and independent of the recession that followed. One of the immediate concerns facing the City's economy after 9/11 was availability of terrorism risk insurance for The private sector was simply unable to business. shoulder the burden of such an unpredictable and potentially extremely costly risk. To provide certainty to business and industry, TRIA was signed into law in 2002 and reauthorized in 2005

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and 2007. TRIA has worked, allowing businesses to purchase terrorism insurance over the last decade. Without it, businesses large and small would not be able to protect their assets against the risk of terrorism. There is now an urgent and continuing need to extend TRIA, which is set to expire at the end of next year. Since 2002, the insurance industry has assumed increased liability under the program, but there remains a need for the federal government to continue to provide a backstop against catastrophic attacks, which the private sector simply cannot sustain on its own. We thank the City Council for raising this issue early in the process and look forward to collaborating with all stakeholders to represent New York's interests in Washington this year and next, to ensure that TRIA is reauthorized. you.

SYLVESTER GIUSTINO: Good morning,
Chair Foster, Members of the New York City Council
Committee on State and Federal Legislation. My
name is Sylvester Giustino, Director Legislative
Affairs at the Building Owners and Managers
Association of Greater New York, better known as

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOMA New York. We represent more than 750 owners, property managers and building professionals, who either own or manage 400 million square feet of New York City commercial real estate space. We're responsible for the safety of over three million tenants, generate more than \$1.5 billion in tax revenue and oversee annual budgets of over \$4 billion. BOMA New York is the largest association in the BOMA International Federation, the world's largest trade organization. Commercial real estate industry is a significant contributor to the nation's and in particular the City's economic engine. Our industry employs over 228,000 New Yorkers and contributes over \$14 billion to the gross state product. Terrorism continues to pose a threat to our nation, to American business and to real estate. It is a unique risk with potentially catastrophic implications for our economy and our way of life. Nowhere is the risk higher than here in New York City, where we are the number one target of foreign and domestic terrorists. Following the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, many owners of commercial properties were advised that their policies would

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not be renewed, or their new policies would exclude terror or war risk. Without adequate insurance, it is difficult, if not impossible, to operate or acquire properties, refinance loans and to sell commercial backed securities. Since 9/11, BOMA and our partners in the Coalition to Insure Against Terrorism, CIAT, have worked tirelessly to promote and implement a federal backstop program. We scored huge victories when Congress passed the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, and again in late 2005 when Congress voted to extend TRIA for an additional two years. On December 26, 2007, just days before the Act was once again set to expire, President Bush signed HR 2761 into law to extend the program for an additional seven years, through the end of calendar year 2014. addition to extending the federal program, the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, TRIPRA, expanded the definition of active terrorism to allow the certification of acts of domestic terrorism, clarified the operation of the \$100 billion annual program gap, and changed the manner in which the mandatory portion of post-event policy holder surcharges

| would be collected. Also requires the U.S. |
|--|
| government accountability office, GAO, to conduct |
| a study of the availability and affordability of |
| insurance coverage for nuclear, biological, |
| chemical and radiological acts of terrorism. BOMA |
| New York supports the passage of Resolution 1806- |
| 2013, a Resolution calling on the United States |
| Congress to pass and the President to sign |
| legislation that would extend the Terrorism Risk |
| Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007. |
| The reauthorization of TRIPRA by the end of 2014 |
| is a top legislative priority for BOMA New York, |
| BOMA International, and our partners in the CIAT. |
| TRIPRA must remain in effect until the reinsurance |
| industry is prepared to accurately underwrite and |
| assume the whole risk. It would permit many |
| construction projects to move forward, help the |
| economy grow and investors and markets would have |
| greater confidence that our economy was strong |
| enough to withstand a future attack. We look |
| forward to working with the City Council and the |
| New York congressional delegation, along with our |
| coalition partners, to make sure that Congress |
| passes and the President signs this important |

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 2 | piece of legislation by December 31, 2014. Thank |
|---|--|
| 3 | you for giving BOMA New York the opportunity to |
| 4 | testify in support of this important resolution. |

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

MARY ANN ROTHMAN: Good morning.

My name's Mary Anne Rothman, and I thank you for this opportunity to add my support to this Resolution. I'm the Executive Director of the Council of New York Cooperatives and Condominiums, a membership organization for housing cooperatives and condominiums, located throughout the-throughout New York City and beyond. Co-ops and condos anchor communities, they're committed to their neighborhoods, their communities, their cities, and I'm happy to report that we're connected nationwide through the National Association of Housing Cooperatives, which I will contact this afternoon to suggest to all of our members across the country that they contact their elected officials to look for similar resolutions of support. And every, all the important details have been said, so I will thank you right now.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. If we can just--I have one more person that should be

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 2 | in this panel that I didn't call. So if we can |
|---|--|
| 3 | squeeze in a chair, that would help. John Hunt |
| 4 | from Forest City Ratner. Thank you. [pause, |
| 5 | background noise] The Sergeant will hand them |

6 out. [pause, background noise]

JOHN HUNT: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Good morning.

JOHN HUNT: My name is John Hunt, and I'm a Senior Vice President and Senior Counsel of the Construction and Design Development Division of Forest City Ratner Companies. behalf of Forest City, I would like to thank the Committee on State and Federal Legislation for holding this hearing on its proposed legislation and support of the extension of the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002 and its successor bills, including the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007, TRIA, which is scheduled to sunset at the end of next year. Act is critically important, not only to Forest City but to the entire real estate industry in New York. And we greatly appreciate the Council's efforts in supporting its extension. Forest City Ratner Companies is a wholly owned subsidiary of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Forest City Enterprises Incorporated, an \$11 billion real estate development company traded on the New York Stock Exchange. We own and manage over 15 million square feet of commercial, retail and residential property in New York City and the greater metropolitan area, including the Barclay Center; 8 Spruce Street, the Frank Gehry designed residential tower whose rippling façade can be seen from just outside the doors to this building; the New York Times building and Metrotech Center in Brooklyn, among others. Insuring high profile, high value properties and new construction projects in New York has always been an expensive proposition. But in the wake of the September 11th attacks, insuring such properties against terrorism risks became impossible. Rather than an issue of cost, we had a crisis of availability, as insurers realized that the impact a catastrophic event like 9/11 could have on their balance Insurers were simply unwilling to provide sheets. terrorism coverage. And it became routine for them to specifically exclude terrorism risks at policy renewals. In response, Congress wisely passed TRIA in 2002, ensuring the availability of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terrorism insurance and providing a backstop to insurers for losses due to acts of terrorism. program provides for federal reimbursement of insurers for 85 percent of their losses resulting from a terrorist attack, but only after insurers pay a deductible of 20 percent of the value of each company's direct earned premiums from the previous year. The federal government's obligations would be triggered by aggregate industry losses of over \$100 million, but be capped at an annual sum of \$100 billion. Federal government losses would then be recovered over time through policy holder surcharges. program was initially set to expire December 31 of 2005, but was reauthorized in 2005; and in 2007, Congress extended the program through 2014. cost to taxpayers has been very low, but the program has been highly successful at averting the paralysis in the real estate industry that would have been caused by the absence of terrorism coverage. We strongly support the further extension of TRIA because if the program is allowed to expire at the end of 2014, we believe insurance carriers will not continue to offer

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

terrorism coverage, and those that do so would offer it at unreasonable prices or on unacceptable The results on our portfolio and on the New York real estate industry generally, would be swift and catastrophic. We anticipate that property insurers would have no appetite to provide terrorism coverage on well-known marquis properties, because there is a greater likelihood that they would be terrorist targets due to their prominence. So many New York City landmarks would become uninsurable against terrorism risks precisely because of their status as landmarks. In this City that remains, according to the NYPD, the single biggest terrorist target in the United States. An equally significant factor would be the high value of these properties. Terrorism is a low probability but high severity risk. marquis New York City properties are valued near or above \$1 billion, yet they are unlikely to be destroyed by a terrorist act. It is this combination of low probability but high severity that makes it extremely difficult for insurance carriers to perform reliable actuarial analyses and fix premiums that any property owner would be

willing to pay. It is not an accident that no 2 viable private market for terrorism insurance has 3 4 developed. There is just not enough 5 predictability to allow insurers to voluntarily offer terrorism insurance without the federal 6 backstop. If TRIA were to expire, we fully expect carriers to flee the terrorism market in droves, 9 leaving property owners like Forest City bare or 10 uninsured with respect to its terrorism risks. We 11 simply don't have the tolerance for that level of 12 risk. But assuming we did, our lenders would 13 almost certainly not. Every insurance 14 specification I've seen in any loan agreement I've 15 reviewed since TRIA was enacted requires terrorism 16 coverage in its property insurance policies. this makes sense when you consider what lenders 17 18 have at stake. Given typical industry loan to 19 value ratios, lenders usually have more than twice 20 as much money at risk in a building than the 21 owner/developer does. And without a way to insure 22 their investments against terrorism, it's very 23 easy to see how lenders would just stop investing 24 in major cities like New York, Boston, Washington 25 and others. The recovery of New York's real

estate market has been slow, but for the first 2 time in a long time, I think we're optimistic for 3 4 real growth. Nothing would help the City's 5 recovery faster than the expiration of TRIA and the inevitable lender pullback that would result. 6 That would be a real tragedy. Not only because big office and condo projects would likely be 9 unable to find financing, but the affordable housing this City so desperately needs would be 10 11 very difficult to deliver. And because so many 12 lenders have required terrorism insurance as a condition of financing, many developers would be 13 14 in default of their loan agreements if coverage 15 were to disappear from the market. In a city 16 where so much of our economy depends on a robust, 17 healthy real estate industry, we can ill afford to 18 see this vital program expire, the results would 19 be devastating. But real estate development would 20 not be the only industry hurt by TRIA's 21 expiration. Just as property insurance coverage 22 would not be the only line of commercial insurance 23 affected. All businesses would find it harder to 24 invest in New York because of its value as a 25 terrorist target, and it would be just as

2.

| difficult to secure terrorism coverage for workers |
|--|
| compensation, general liability, excess, and |
| pollution liability policies, which are all |
| insurance lines typically carried by businesses. |
| I thank you for your time and your interest in |
| this important issue. We at Forest City are truly |
| grateful for the support you have shown for us in |
| our industry. I would be happy to answer any |
| questions you may have. Thank you. |

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

Question? I just have a quick question and anyone or all of you can answer it. What would happen in terms of building real estate, just daily operations, in major cities—I don't want to say New York, because it goes back to what you're saying, as being another New York issue—if this was not renewed?

ANGELA PINSKY: So I think one of the most obvious things that would happen is that new construction and development and any construction work would stop. But then I think it also starts, over time, as loans start to renew, I think you start running into the problem of lending issue, which is going to affect every

building in New York, it's not just the large marquis buildings, it starts to affect any multifamily building, not so much the single family homes but I think any large building that has any sort of loan on it. And then, I think what would happen is that these buildings would then either have to search the market for a very expensive policy, which would probably be fairly bare, and then the housing costs in New York would rise substantially. For commercial buildings, I think it would be a similar effect except it would impact the rents.

SYLVESTER GIUSTINO: Yeah, it would also impact already existing buildings, because of the risk involved of a terrorist attack, particularly in a high profile city. And any kind of alterations they want to do. I think it would also affect tenant, getting tenants, that's--and retention. So that's really an important issue for BOMA New York and BOMA International.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: That, I figured that, I just wanted to get on the record that what we would see in terms of what would happen in the economy and the cost, and where it

ALEXANDRA LITTLEJOHN:

Chairperson

25

| Foster and Members of the Committee, thank you for |
|--|
| the time today to testify on such an important |
| Resolution. I am Alexandra Littlejohn, an |
| Executive Vice President with Willis North |
| America, one of the largest insurance brokers in |
| the country. I am testifying today on behalf of |
| Willis as well as the Council of Insurance Agents |
| and Brokers, whose members annually place 85 |
| percent of U.S. commercial property and casualty |
| insurance premiums. Willis and the CIAB |
| wholeheartedly support an extension of the federal |
| Terrorism Risk Insurance Act, also known as TRIA, |
| and your efforts to pass a resolution calling on |
| the United States Congress to pass and the |
| President to sign legislation that would extend |
| the Terrorism Risk Insurance Program |
| Reauthorization Act of 2007. TRIA was enacted to |
| encourage insurers to return to the terrorism |
| insurance marketplace by reducing the catastrophic |
| potential for loss as a result of terrorist |
| activities, by capping potential losses and by |
| providing a backstop for catastrophic exposures. |
| TRIA has provided a measure of stability to an |
| unstable marketplace and has provided structure to |

the otherwise incalculable scope of terrorism 2 exposure. The goal of TRIA was to provide a 3 mechanism to allow for a public/private risk 4 5 sharing of losses from an act of terrorism. relatively small loss, there is no federal 6 sharing, but for larger losses, TRIA provides a risk sharing mechanism with a recoupment provision 9 which ultimately permits the government to 10 efficiently recover lost payments on a pre-agreed 11 basis, while allowing insurers and insureds the 12 certainty of indemnity in the short term. 13 underwriters of this class rely upon risk 14 aggregation models which track inventories of 15 insured properties within a specific zone, there 16 can be a wide variety variation in the pricing models applies and the availability of coverage 17 18 due solely to the concentration of risk in any one 19 underwriter's portfolio. Metropolitan New York is 20 typically referred to as the most highly 21 aggregated risk area in the country, if not the 22 world, in terms of property portfolios insured for 23 terrorism, which in turn, on a supply and demand 24 model, pushes costs significantly higher than 25 other regions in the U.S. for what would otherwise

| appear to be similar occupancies. TRIA must be |
|--|
| reauthorized and it must be reauthorized sooner |
| rather than later to avoid disruption in coverage. |
| Without the certainty of an extension of TRIA, we |
| are beginning to see provisos written into new |
| insurance contract that limit or eliminate |
| terrorism coverage after December 31, 2014. This |
| will undoubtedly delay if not scrap major |
| development plans, thereby jeopardizing an already |
| fragile economic recovery. While the availability |
| of terrorism insurance capacity has increased |
| incrementally since 2007, there is little |
| expectation for further growth. As available, |
| capacity not be adequate to meet requisite |
| coverage levels, it is evident this lack of |
| coverage will severely limit access to the |
| financing that is so vital to many commercial |
| projects. Many of the reasons that cause Congress |
| to create TRIA still exists today. Obviously, a |
| major terrorist attack could threaten the |
| insurance market. While modeling methods have |
| improved over the years since September 11, 2001, |
| terrorism risk still cannot be assessed |
| accurately. Terrorist methods are evolving and |

| have also entered the cyber realm. Much is done, |
|---|
| much is being done to identify these threats and |
| to protect the nation from devastating attacks; |
| however, only those in intelligence community and |
| the protective services know the true extent of |
| the dangers. TRIA has worked fairly well, |
| coverage has generally been available at |
| reasonable prices. As insurance brokers, we will |
| continue to work with our clients and their risk |
| managers to help secure their properties and to |
| find them the best available coverage against |
| terrorist attacks. It has not been easy, even |
| with TRIA; however, it would have been almost |
| impossible without TRIA. As a result, we would |
| reiterate our endorsement of your efforts to |
| submit a resolution urging the Congress to extend |
| TRIA and to do is soon and not wait until the |
| expiration date is upon us. Thank you for the |
| opportunity to testify today on this matter of |
| critical importance to the City and the nation's |
| overall economy. |

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you.

TARIQUE NAGEER: My name is Tarique

Nageer, I am a terrorism and political violence

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

broker at Marsh. Thank you for allowing the opportunity to present to you today regarding Resolution 1806. The September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks created a severe market shortage for terrorism insurance. Prior to September 11, 2001, terrorism was included at no cost on insurance policies. Coverage was typically silent, that is not excluded. After September 11, 2001, terrorism was explicitly excluded. This was primarily driven by the lack of reinsurance. Terrorism insurance pricing increased significantly, there were higher deductibles, higher rates and low limits were being offered. There were a very limited number of insurance companies providing terrorism insurance limits, very small limits. In the absence of the federal risk transfer mechanism, many states allowed insurers to exclude terrorism on property and casualty policies. Terrorism insurance--Risk Insurance Act of 2002 was signed into place on November 26th, and provided a federal backstop to insurance companies for terrorism insurance claims. The Act was extended in 2005 and again in 2007, with the legislation scheduled to expire on December 31,

2014. The sheer number of iconic buildings, 2 recognizable structures and office buildings in 3 New York City make it a target for terrorist 4 5 activity. The recently released 2013 terrorism risk insurance report by Marsh was a survey of 6 2,600 companies, identified the northeast as having the highest terrorism insurance take-up 9 rates. On average, approximately 77 percent of 10 clients in the northeast purchased some form of 11 terrorism insurance. This was likely due to the 12 concentration of the population centers, the 13 perceived potential of terrorist activity, 14 property perceived as potential targets for 15 terrorism attacks, and where there have been 16 instances of actual or foiled plots. The demand 17 for terrorism risk insurance remains strong and 18 the existence of the federal program plays a major 19 role in the availability and affordability of the 20 coverage. If Congress fails to extend the 21 insurance program, it will be difficult and 22 expensive for commercial real estate building owners, tenants and developers to obtain terrorism 23 24 insurance in major cities, especially New York 25 City. Without terrorism insurance, banks will be

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 2 | less likely to extend capital with the ripple |
|----|--|
| 3 | effect of slow economic growth due to delayed |
| 4 | construction and stalled real estate financing. |
| 5 | Any delay in the renewal of TRIA creates market |
| 6 | uncertainty and leaves businesses with difficult |
| 7 | forecasting decisions. In the absence of TRIA, or |
| 8 | a substantial change in the Act, this will likely |
| 9 | lead to increased terrorism insurance premiums and |
| 10 | the likelihood that the availability of terrorism |
| 11 | insurance coverage would be limited. Thank you. |
| 12 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. I |
| 13 | just have a few questions. To-date, if you know, |

TARIQUE NAGEER: It hasn't cost taxpayers really any dollars, other than setting up--it's, the Act is part of the Treasury Department, so other than the functionaries and paying the bureaucracy involved, it hasn't cost taxpayers any money.

how much money has the Act cost taxpayers?

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Thank you. These are things that need to get out for the record, especially as we keep hearing that it's a New York issue, and the feeling that others are paying to bail us out, I think that is important

| 2 | to say. And lastly, if this act were not |
|---|---|
| 3 | reauthorized, is there anything equivalent out |
| 4 | there, in terms of insurance or other ways that |
| 5 | this can be done other than through this Act? |

ALEXANDRA LITTLEJOHN: There's insurers capacity, which is at about \$3 billion, available in the New York area, is about a billion-and-a-half dollars, or a little bit less. So we would have to rely upon the insurers without a backstop, which would definitely limit the amount of capacity available. So without it, we would definitely see a shrinking in capacity throughout the country. Not a New York issue, it's an issue everywhere, especially major target areas like San Francisco.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And, right, and that would definitely have an effect on the economy.

ALEXANDRA LITTLEJOHN: Oh, without a doubt, because the cost to insure your risk against terrorism is going to escalate significantly. Back probably in areas of post-2001, I would say.

TARIQUE NAGEER: And cities with

| 2 | centralized business districts, like New York | |
|----|--|--|
| 3 | City, San Francisco, Washington, D.C., Chicago, | |
| 4 | Boston, where you have a high number of commercial | |
| 5 | business districts, high number of businesses in a | |
| 6 | constricted area, those are terrorism targets, | |
| 7 | because one event in a small area could have | |
| 8 | ripple effect against many industries and many | |
| 9 | businesses. So, it's not just New York City, it's | |
| 10 | any city with a centralized business district. | |

ALEXANDRA LITTLEJOHN: And it's not just property insurance, it's workers compensation. Any high density area where there's a concentration of people, it's, it becomes an issue around workers, it becomes an issue around property itself.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Great, thank you very much. I wanted to make sure that those points were got out for the record. Thank you.

TARIQUE NAGEER: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: And I'm just going to read into the record groups that sent testimony in: the Mortgage Bankers Association testimony for the record in support of the Resolution; the President and Economist Insurance

| Τ | COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 50 | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| 2 | Information Institute, Dr. Robert P. Hartwig; and | | |
| 3 | we have the Risk Management Society who also sent | | |
| 4 | in record for the, sent in testimony for the | | |
| 5 | record in support of this resolution. We have | | |
| 6 | and also, AIG, in support of the Resolution. | | |
| 7 | Thank you very much. There being no more | | |
| 8 | testimony, this hearing is adjourned. Thank you. | | |
| 9 | [gavel] | | |
| LO | [background comment] | | |
| 11 | CHAIRPERSON FOSTER: Oh, so I | | |
| 12 | shouldn't have adjourned it? [background | | |
| L3 | comments] In, I'm sorry, instead of the meeting | | |
| L4 | being adjourned, we are in recess. Thank you. | | |
| L5 | [pause] | | |
| L6 | CLERK: Council Member Recchia. | | |
| L7 | COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: Aye on | | |
| 18 | all. | | |
| L9 | CLERK: Final vote in the Committee | | |
| 20 | on State and Federal Legislation is now six in the | | |
| 21 | affirmative, zero in the negative and no | | |
| 22 | abstentions. Thank you. | | |
| 23 | COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA: That | | |
| 24 | concludes today's hearing, this hearing is | | |
| 25 | adjourned. | | |

| 1 | COMMITTEE ON STATE AND | FEDERAL LEGISLATION 51 | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 2 | [gavel] | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date July 7, 2013