CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK
V
X
TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
of the
OI CIIC

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----X

March 11, 2013 Start: 1:07 p.m. Recess: 1:28 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ERIC MARTIN DILAN

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Vincent Ignizio
Council Member Letitia James
Council Member James Oddo
Council Member Eric Ulrich
Council Member Rosie Mendez
Council Member Brad Lander
Council Member Lewis Fidler

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Thomas Fariello
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Buildings

Gus Sirakis Executive Director Department of Buildings - Technical Affairs

Marla Tepper General Counsel and Deputy Commissioner for Legal Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

2 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Good morning.

We'll begin in a minute. I'm not sure is the sergeant-at-arms went over the details but if there's anybody that wants to testify on today's agenda item please pill out an appearance card, give it to one of the sergeants-at-arms so that we know you want to testify and we'll queue you up for testimony.

Once the hearing begins if cell phones could be put to silent mode or off and all private conversations would have to happen outside of the hearing room. So with that, Sergeant, we'll begin.

Good morning everyone and welcome.

My name is Eric Martin Dilan and I'm the chair of the city council's Housing and Building Committee.

Today the committee is meeting to consider proposed intro 1007(a) which is titled, "A local law to amend the administrative code of the City of New York and the building code of the City of New York in relation to the raising and moving of a building". This comes about in the wake of Hurricane Sandy. Some property owners will choose to elevate their homes or buildings to comply with

2.

building regulations in flood zones and guard
against flood damage or save money on flood
insurance. The elevation of a structure is not
always an easy thing to do, as one can imagine and
doing it improperly can result in a damaged
foundation or collapsed home which we all seek to
avoid.

Proposed intro 1007(a) is intended to protect owners who choose to elevate their homes or buildings. The bill is sponsored by Council Member Ignizio, Council Member Oddo as well as the Speaker of the City of New York and will require four things:

First, the title page of the construction plans submitted to the Department of Buildings would have to indicate whether a project will include elevation work.

Second, the contractors will be required to give 48 hours' notice to the Department of Buildings before commencing such work.

Third the elevation work would be subject to periodic special inspections. That means inspections by a qualified person who's

2 chosen by an owner or contractor and authorized by 3 the Department of Buildings.

And lastly the Department of

Consumer Affairs would have to provide information
about home improvement work and home improvement
contractors to homeowners and the public. This
would include more information about the types of
work that home improvement contractors can do and
the licenses and permits that they need to engage
in certain types of work.

Today we expect to hear from representatives from the Department of Buildings as well as the Department of Consumer Affairs and the construction industry as well as members of the general public.

As I said at the outset if anyone would like to testify please see the sergeant-at-arms and fill out the form. I'd like to thank everyone for attending today's hearing and I would now like to turn to the bill's sponsor for a brief statement. Council Member Ignizio?

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate you holding the hearing today on this bill.

I just wanted to point out that a couple of weeks ago Council Member Oddo and I traveled to New Orleans to learn from the issues that they had down there after Katrina, and to not replicate them here in New York in the wake of Sandy.

One of the main things that kept recurring was before of the elevation process. In New Orleans there was a lot of fraud relating to the elevation process whereby people would come in, somebody who was renovating your bathroom and then he came back and said, "You know, I could raise your home for you. No problem," for \$30,000, for \$40,000. They would take the money, do a slipshod work and it wasn't under the watchful eye of a good city agency or any professional and they ended up with a very difficult situation whereby a lot of homes were condemned.

That's what led to myself, Council
Member Oddo, Council Member Ulrich, the Speaker,
to get together and try to make a situation
whereby the elevation process, which is going to
be for thousands of homes in New York is one that
is done right and one that is free of potential

2.

fraud and I think we have a great relationship
with the Department of Buildings and the
Department of Consumer Affairs and the mayor's
office and I think we're all on the same page and
we built a better mousetrap with this bill.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to speak and I look forward to the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay we've been joined by Council Member Tish James who's a member of the committee, Council Member Jimmy Oddo for a statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER ODDO: Council

Member Ignizio referred to the trip to New Orleans
that we took. We spent 48 hours on the ground in
late January. I was a reluctant traveler; I
really didn't want to go because I wasn't totally
convinced that what we would see would translate
and New Orleans' experience would translate up
here. Vinny was right and I was wrong and it was
an amazing 48 hours.

As he said, we got to see in person the things that New Orleans got right and the things that they got wrong and the things they got

б

wrong badly. In every conversation about Sandy since we've been back, which is a conversation it's every day I have referred to that trip. So frankly it's a credit to Council Member Ignizio for pushing it and setting it up.

I've referred back to something

I've seen, or something that was said to us. As

Vinny said time and time again they preached to us

how bad actors came out of the woods in elevating

homes. There's an infamous house that we showed

during the press conference with the speaker; I

have a picture there that folks could see if they

want. It's a house that they showed us: they

literally drove us down to a road in the Gentilly

section of Louisiana and said, "What do you notice

about the house?"

So Vinny looked at the house; I looked at the house. "The house is jacked up", I'm like, "The house is jacked up." "But what else? What's missing?" Well what was missing was a staircase. The point of the story is seven-and-a-half years later they went in, they paid a bunch of money, the jacked up the house and they bolted. They never finished the job and it's seven-and-a-

2 half years later and whoever owned the house has 3 given up on it.

What we're trying to do through
Council Member Ignizio's bill, thank you to him
and thank you to the staff, is trying to avoid
additional heartache for our constituents. With
the base flood elevation maps coming out, with the
need to raise houses we're concerned that we want
to ensure that the people engaging in this
construction are the right ones.

I will close with this: I had a conversation with a national contractor Thursday night. He basically said, "Anyone can jack up a house. Doesn't mean they're going to do it right." What Council Member Ignizio is concerned with and what we're all concerned with is that we get this right so that people who have been victimized or impacted by the storm aren't victimized a second time. So thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you

Council Member Oddo. We've also been joined by

Council Member Eric Ulrich. I would like to take
a look at that photo in a second.

б

2	We've	been	joined	by
			-	_

representatives from the Department of Buildings headed by the first Deputy Commissioner Thomas

Fariello as well as individuals from the

Department of Consumer Affairs and I believe it's

Maria -- can you pronounce the last name? Marla

Tepper.

Okay so why don't we begin with the Department of Buildings and if DCA has testimony they certainly can add that. And even though I introduced you if you could begin by introducing yourself in your own voice and then you could begin your testimony.

THOMAS FARIELLO: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman Dilan and the members of the committee. My name is Thomas Fariello, I'm the first deputy commissioner, Department of Buildings and I have with me Gus Sirakis, our executive director of technical affairs. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to testify on this issue.

In the aftermath of Sandy many homeowners may look for ways to protect their homes from future storms and comply with insurance

б

requirements. One of the most common retrofitting methods is elevating a house to a required or designed flood elevation. When a house is properly elevated delivering area will be above all but the most severe floods such as a 500-year flood.

While several elevation techniques are available this proposed legislation looks to offer homeowners some regulatory and quality assurance protections from the process known as house raising or lifting.

The Department will require a licensed design professional to follow the work on an alteration application, in most cases via an Alt-2 [phonetic] for structural work. This creates a responsible party, the engineer, or architect in this case, whom the Department can hold responsible for planning and sequencing lifting operating.

The contractor lifting the home would then need to notify the Department in writing 48 hours of notice in advance of start of operations. Similar to our excavations notifications we would accept this via a letter or

fax, however for this important matter we'll also set up a separate email address so that the applicant can use that and go directly to the engineering enforcement units inside the Department that will be responsible for oversight of the operation. So as per the operation this process involves lifting the house and extending the existing foundations below to a level above grade.

During the elevation process houses are separated from the foundation, raised on hydraulic jacks and held temporarily by supports while a new or extended foundation is constructed below. The living area is raised and only the foundation remains exposed to flooding.

When houses are lifted with this technique the new or extended foundation consists of continuous walls or separate piers, posts, columns or pilings.

First holes are made at intervals in the foundation wall so that a series of steel

I-beams can be installed at critical points under the floor framing. The I-beams are placed so that they run perpendicular to the floor joists. The

2 second set of beams is then placed below and
3 perpendicular to the first set.

The two sets of beams extend the width and length of the house and form a cradle that supports the houses as it is being raised. A tower of cribbing is created and jacks are set on top. Once the beams and jacks are in place the elevation process begins. The jacks will extend only so high, so at intervals during the process the house and jacks are supported temporarily on cribbing while the jacks are raised.

After the house is elevated it is again supported on cribbing while the foundation walls are extended to the desired height with concrete blocks or poured concrete. The house is then lowered onto the extended foundation walls, the I-beams are removed and the holes where the beams pass through are filled.

Before this work can be permitted by the Department the proposed legislation would require a special inspection. According to the 2008 New York City Construction Code special inspections are inspections of selected material installations and construction operations. These

б

inspections confirm compliance with approved construction documents and reference standards required by the building code or its reference standards.

In section 1704.4.27 a periodic inspection shall be required to ensure the lifting operation is being performed according to the plans filed with the department. We will promulgate rules for special inspections with a minimum of two inspections, one during operation and the second at completion will be mandatory.

In addition the special inspector will be required to certify the work was completed according to the plans before the work is signed off by the Department.

The Department supports this proposed legislation. We understand that while this operation may be one that can be done efficiently and often without incident it is important to have a responsible party and quality assurances for homeowners.

Thank you and I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

MARLA TEPPER: The proposed

б

legislation -- oh, let me introduce myself. My
name is Marla Tepper, I'm general counsel and
deputy commissioner for legal affairs at the
Department of Consumer Affairs.

The proposed legislation provides that the Department of Consumer Affairs will provide public education to all interested stakeholders with regard to this new type of activity to prevent the victimization that you described. We're delighted to have this opportunity and to work with Department of Buildings to accomplish the goals of the legislation.

As you know, we routinely engage in such public outreach, we regularly meet with consumers, business representatives as well as elected officials to further the goals of the Department of Consumer Affairs. In this instance we will be working directly with the Department of Buildings to make sure that the information we post accurately and comprehensively describes that consumers need to know about this particular type of home improvement. We think that the legislation appropriately includes the Department

2 for that purpose.

[Off mic]

architect or engineer on record?

of Buildings send some of their own inspectors

periodically to these sites to ensure, or will

they just rely on the certification by the

THOMAS FARIELLO: So we'd model this as we do today. We have an excavation unit that does underpinning, which is another similar operation that's a little onerous. So when we get notified by the contractor we will have a unit that goes out there like, you know, we won't go out there at a set time or anything like that. We know that you're working and so we'll go as much our unit can go out there.

MALE SPEAKER: Okay so I think it's just important to note to the chairman, to the committee and those that are watching is that the Department of Buildings will also inspect at their ability to do so these sites that are in various stages of the elevation which I think is an added benefit. And I wanted to thank the commissioner for that. Thank you.

2.

	CHAIRPER	SON DII	AN: Ar	nd w	ve've a	also
been joined by	Council	Member	Mendez	of	Manha	ttan.
Council Member	Oddo?					

a question, Mr. Chairman; I just have comment that today both Council Member Ignizio and I were having pieces of legislation that will be voted on this week that are actually supported by the administration. This may impact all of our reputations if we keep this up. But I just wanted to thank the administration and thank the council staff for working together to get both of these bills, one out of the public safety committee and one here obviously, Housing and Building, to help protect our constituents. Your cooperation is very much appreciated.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you, Council Member Oddo.

I just want to start out with questions surrounding the special inspectors.

What are their qualifications that they will need to conduct this type of work? Who chooses them, and are they indeed employees of the Department?

THOMAS FARIELLO: Okay, so the

special inspectors would be hired by the owner;
they cannot be hired by the contractor, that's in
our special inspection rules. So they've be a
licensed design professional, either a registered
architect or a professional engineer with
qualifications set by the state

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay so therefore it won't be DOB employees? I just need that on the record. I've got [crosstalk]. Very good.

THOMAS FARIELLO: No it won't.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay. How many companies currently in New York conduct this type of home elevation work that's prescribed in the bill? How many are based in New York City? Do you anticipate some coming from outside of the city? And how much work do you think will be done in this regard in the affected areas as a result of Sandy?

THOMAS FARIELLO: I mean most recently we've had three cases, three applications that came to us for house raising and they were all from outfits that were out of state. That's the ones we had most recently. So how many are in

2	New	York	State?	I	wouldn't	have	that	number.
---	-----	------	--------	---	----------	------	------	---------

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay and then for both agencies what kind of licenses, registrations and permits are needed to conduct home elevation work?

MARLA TEPPER: The proposed legislation contemplates that home improvement contractors would be performing this work under the supervision described by the Department of Buildings. In most instances a home improvement contractor will enter a contract with a consumer through a home improvement salesperson that is also a person who is licensed to perform that activity by the Department of Consumer Affairs.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: And I would take, Commissioner by your statement, there would be an Alt-2 permit that would be required to conduct this work?

THOMAS FARIELLO: Yeah, at the very least there'll be an Alt-2. You know, I mean it would go up. If they were doing some change of use or egress they could do it under an Alteration Type 1 application.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: For the most

2	part it'd be Alt-2 and potentially Alt-1? Okay.
3	Back to the special inspectors again, are the
4	qualifications only registered architect or
5	engineer or could there be other professionals
6	that can do this type of work?
7	THOMAS FARIELLO: So the answer is
8	no, it would have to be a registered design
9	professional, so a licensed architect, registered
10	architect or a licensed professional engineer.
11	But you could have somebody that works within the
12	firm. So I'm the professional engineer; I could
13	have Gus work with me but I'm still responsible
14	for what he does.
15	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: For the job.
16	THOMAS FARIELLO: And that's
17	typical for even designing buildings and stuff
18	like that.
19	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay. And then
20	as it relates to the special inspector again, will
21	requiring this inspector for home elevation work
22	increase project costs or is this special
23	inspector already part of a construction team?
24	THOMAS FARIELLO: The special
25	inspection, it would be part of the structural

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stability, special inspection that would be required under the code today. So this would be that similar person. So I don't think it would raise the cost.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay. I'm done with my questions. If there's no more we've done by -- we've been joined by Council Member Brad Lander and we are in the process of being joined by Council Member Lew Fidler. I'm ready to close questions unless I see a member that wants to add anything. If not, you know, certainly want to thank you for your time and testimony, and I certainly believe that the job that Council Member Ignizio has done and the trip to New Orleans will bring some sense of order to this type of work and some sense of uniformity to this type of work in the City of New York. So I want to thank him off of that. And I know he's doing it on behalf of his constituents that he represents.

So with that we'd like to thank you and thank you for your testimony. Is there anyone else signed up? Is there anyone else that wants to speak on today's agenda item?

If not, Intro 1007(a) will be laid

2 aside and that will conclude this hearing.

I, Daniel Louk, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date March 25, 2013