CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

-----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

-----X

March 1, 2013 Start: 10:13 a.m. Recess: 11:05 a.m.

HELD AT:

Council Chambers City Hall

BEFORE:

FERNANDO CABRERA Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Gale A. Brewer Council Member Letitia James Council Member G. Oliver Koppell Council Member Mark S. Weprin

Ubiqus 22 Cortlandt Street – Suite 802, New York, NY 10007 Phone: 212-227-7440 * 800-221-7242 * Fax: 212-227-7524

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Nicholas Sbordone Director of Intergovernmental Affairs Department of Information, Technology and Telecommunications

Colin Reilly Director of Citywide Geographic Information Services Department of Information, Technology and Telecommunications

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 3
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Good morning.
3	And welcome to this hearing concerning Intro 984,
4	which will require the Department of Information
5	Technology, DoITT, and Telecommunications, to
6	create and maintain an interactive crime mapping
7	website. Crime mapping is a tool that allows the
8	public to receive information about recent crime
9	activity in their neighborhood. The use of crime
10	mapping as a tool for public safety has a long
11	history, and it has been adopted as a growing
12	number of cities and towns across the United
13	States, including Oakland, California, Baltimore;
14	Maryland; Albany; to name a few. The bill we are
15	considering today requires DoITT to provide an
16	interactive crime map on the City's website, to
17	the public displaying the total number of crime
18	complaints that have been filed with the New York
19	City Police Department on a monthly basis,
20	searchable by address, zip code or NYPD patrol
21	precinct. The Committee looks forward to hearing
22	from DoITT and other witnesses today, about the
23	merits of this bill, and how such a crime map will
24	be created and available to the public. And let
25	me recognize Council Member Koppell who has joined

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 4
2	us today. And I'm going to turn it over to the
3	panel
4	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:
5	[interposing] Mr. Chairman, before you do that
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:let me
8	compliment you on this idea, which apparently has
9	been adopted in other jurisdictions. And while I
10	will hear with interest the comments of the
11	witnesses, I think it's such a good idea that even
12	before hearing those comments, I'd like to be
13	added as a sponsor.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, thank
15	you so much, Council Member Koppell, really
16	appreciate that. So let's turn it over to the
17	panel, if you could identify yourselves. Thank
18	you so much.
19	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Thank you, good
20	morning. Good morning, Chairman Cabrera and
21	Members of the City Council Technology Committee.
22	My name is Nicholas Sbordone, Director of
23	Intergovernmental Affairs at the Department of
24	Information, Technology and Telecommunications, or
25	DoITT. Thank you again for the opportunity to

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 5
2	testify today on City Council Intro 984 of 2012,
3	which would require DoITT to create and maintain
4	an interactive crime mapping website. With me
5	today, to my left is Colin Reilly, DoITT's
6	Director of Citywide Geographic Information
7	Services. For eleven years now, the Bloomberg
8	Administration has been at for the forefront of
9	making New York City government more open and
10	transparent than ever. The City provides a wealth
11	of information and data, which every day is being
12	made more abundant and accessible from NYC 311, to
13	the revolutionary citywide performance reporting,
14	to the recently launched interactive Mayor's
15	Management report, the amount of city information
16	to which NYC.gov facilitates access today far
17	exceeds anything previously available in the
18	City's long history and compares favorably to that
19	of any city in the world. DoITT is proud to have
20	played an integral role in these efforts. The
21	spirit of these efforts was codified in the City's
22	administrative code through Local Law 11 of 2012.
23	This seminal piece of legislation, on which we
24	were honored to work closely with Council Member
25	Brewer, Chairman Cabrera and the Council's stellar

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 6
2	Technology Committee staff. I should add as an
3	aside that the City's stellar Technology Committee
4	staff also helped to get us set up today with a
5	laptop and a projector, so thank you for that.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [laughs]
7	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: This bill
8	represents by far the most ambitious open data
9	legislation in the country. Signed by Major
10	Bloomberg last March, Local Law 11 commits City
11	agencies to systemically categorize and make
12	accessible in open formats their data for those to
13	whom it belongs: the public. Importantly, that
14	open data is now law means the next Mayor cannot
15	roll back but must rather build upon, the
16	Bloomberg Administration's historic transparency
17	gains. It's data, of course, from which
18	applications are conceived and built. When it
19	comes to mapping applications for the City,
20	DoITT's citywide GIS team, led by Colin here,
21	employs technology to provide agencies with
22	mapping and location based information services,
23	better equipping them to make informed decisions.
24	Most city mapping interfaces are built with a
25	similar look and feel to the popular New York City

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 7
2	map, which is, as you can see on the screen behind
3	me, that's actually not it, but that is. Which
4	provides a wealth of information to the public and
5	allows other City agencies to leverage its open
6	source platform to build mapping tools of their
7	own. In addition to indicating the specific
8	[background comment] Sure.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [off mic]
10	make a point, not on the testimony itself. But
11	I've made this point in other committee hearings -
12	- most of these people who come to hear I think
13	the I love technology, although I'm of a
14	generation that [on mic] doesn't understand it as
15	well as younger members, like Chairman Cabrera.
16	However, in addition to using technology, I have
17	been successful in interrupting in this way, in
18	getting witnesses when they come with this kind of
19	presentation, PowerPoint, to also have a hard copy
20	so we can read it. 'Cause quite honestly, I can't
21	read that, it's useless to me. It's pretty, but
22	it's useless. I can't read it.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Okay.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: And if we
25	had a hardcopy here, I could. And I might note

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 8
2	that the Department, the Education Department
3	testified the other day they had hardcopies. I
4	think 'cause I'd made a point to this, other
5	people have done it. So, in the future, I would
6	appreciate if we had a hardcopy of PowerPoints,
7	that we have at our desk.
8	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Sure. I would
9	note for the record, so this isn't a PowerPoint
10	presentation, this is the open internet. I'm
11	happy, though, to email your staff afterward.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [off mic]
13	green.
14	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Sure.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [off mic]
16	to have it on paper, until maybe when the
17	Council is more well financed, and all have a
18	little laptop in front of them.
19	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Happy to email
20	your staff afterward, with all the particular
21	links and the details about the things I'm
22	demo'ing
23	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:
25	objection.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 9
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you.
3	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Okay. So,
4	going back to City Map, I'll just jump down.
5	Leveraging NYC City Map and similar technologies,
6	DoITT has worked with agencies across the City to
7	launch many interactive websites, and applications
8	serving a range of audiences and needs. These
9	include PlowNYC, which delivers a new public
10	facing view into the City's snow clearing
11	operations. When activated during snow events,
12	this tool features a map to view snow removal
13	activities, including color coded street segments
14	by time intervalled and noting when a street was
15	last plowed. PlowNYC was used to great effect
16	during the citywide snow emergency last month,
17	which was February 8th and 9th. The Board of
18	Elections sample balloting, which builds upon the
19	extant poll site locater application. We added a
20	tool that enables users to enter their addresses
21	and view online the sample ballots they will
22	receive at their polling locations. There are
23	thousands of variations of election ballots based
24	on the voter's location, and this user friendly
25	tool helps better inform the electorate before

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 10
2	they vote. NYC Street Closures was pursuant to
3	Local Law 32 of 2011, passed also by the Council,
4	of course, which displayed street closure
5	information across the five boroughs, provides
6	real time information on current and future street
7	closures obstructing normal vehicular traffic,
8	street fairs, block parties, etc., and allows
9	users to conduct searches based on date, time and
10	location. Digital tax map provides public and
11	Department of Finance staff access to official
12	City tax maps, tax map changes and historical
13	records. The zoning and land use application, or
14	ZOLA, for short, provides a new way to find a
15	whole range of land use information in
16	interactive, highly readable map layers,
17	pertaining to a particular property.
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Nick, I'm
19	sorry to interrupt you
20	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Sure.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: We're miss
22	we happen to be missing page two.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Oh, is it on
24	the back?
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: No.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 11
2	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: [off mic]
3	Not on our copies, not on our copies.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Maybe some of
5	your staff can
6	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: printer
7	snafu [laughter]
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
9	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: [off mic] It
10	prints double-sided. [on mic] Sorry about that.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Not a
12	problem, I just want to make sure that we're
13	following you. And also if we could get a copy to
14	all five Council Members. Thank you so much.
15	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Thank you, I'm
16	so sorry about that.
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It's okay,
18	not a problem, Nick.
19	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Okay, sorry
20	about that, you want to wait, or shall I continue?
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Go ahead,
22	yeah, go ahead.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Okay. So, ZOLA
24	provides an information and interactive highly
25	readable map layers pertaining to a particular

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 12
2	property or the City at large. Previously, before
3	the tool, searching for the specifics of zoning
4	regulations was a difficult, time consuming task,
5	involving searching through maps and cross-
6	referencing other official documents. The Census
7	Fact Finder, much in the same way, provides 2010
8	census data in a new revamped form, including
9	information about how many people rent versus
10	homes, own homes in the community, the number of
11	housing units in neighborhoods, etc. The stimulus
12	tracker, is the award winning tool that New
13	Yorkers can use to track the City's use of federal
14	recovery funds provided through the American
15	Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. The 311
16	service request map, which I'll bring up here,
17	it's also a very popular tool. It provides
18	location status and information for 311 complaints
19	filed over the past year, as well as those
20	recently closed across 15 categories and more than
21	100 subcategories, allowing users to evaluate
22	conditions and trends from the hyper local to
23	citywide levels. NYC Scout Map, the Street
24	Conditions Observation Unit, or SCOUT, is a team
25	of inspectors who drive each City street monthly,

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 13
2	to report conditions to 311 that negatively impact
3	quality of life. NYC Scout Map displays each
4	condition's specific occurrence, marking repeated
5	conditions by a graduated circle with drill down
6	capability. By clicking on the circle users can
7	view the list of SCOUT conditions reported. And
8	by clicking on each condition, receive each
9	respective complaint number. In each case above,
10	and in all other instances when DoITT has been
11	called upon to deliver a map based website or
12	application, our response was the same: give us
13	the data, and give the requirements, and we'll
14	give you a map. Turning now to Intro 984, the
15	goal of the legislation seems to fit squarely with
16	this mission, with DoITT's mission, to support
17	agency mapping needs. And more generally, the
18	overall intent of the bill would seem to fit with
19	the Bloomberg Administration's long held
20	commitment to making more City information
21	available to more people in more easy to use ways.
22	Our concerns with the bill as currently drafted
23	include foremost the effective date and less so
24	sufficient distinction in the bill's language
25	between the proposed crime mapping website and the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 14
2	existing NYC street closures tool. Taking quickly
3	each of these in turn, 180 days from bill
4	enactment may not necessarily be enough time to
5	implement an interactive crime mapping website
6	from start to finish. DoITT of course will
7	require a continuous feed of data from the New
8	York City Police Department, as we do from all
9	agencies for which we host mapping tools. And
10	also sufficient development time to build the
11	website's functionality. Next, the proposed
12	language in the New York City, in the language
13	required on the interactive crime map, follows
14	immediately the language mandating DoITT's
15	creation and maintenance of the NYC Street
16	Closures tool. While presumably clear to DoITT
17	that there is no intention by the Council to
18	combine the two, NYC Street Closures and NYC Crime
19	Mapping, as it were, we might suggest exploring
20	language that makes clearer the distinction. This
21	is important not only for technical and aesthetic
22	reasons, but more importantly so that the public
23	has an unambiguous sense of where and how to find
24	the information for which it is looking. From NYC
25	City Map, for example, users can easily toggle

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 15
2	between it and other clearly delineated map themes
3	and the same clarity should be afforded to users
4	in this case. Otherwise, I thank the Committee
5	for its time this morning, and Colin and I now
6	look forward to answering any questions you have,
7	and further discussing going forward with the
8	members and some of these ideas. Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so
10	much. I have a few questions before I turn it
11	over to Council Members. You mentioned that you
12	suggest exploring language that makes clearer that
13	distinction. Can you give me an example of that
14	or do you have any ideas as to what kind of a
15	language are you looking for?
16	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, I mean, I
17	think it's something we can probably discuss also
18	thereafter. But it's fairly simple, it's not a
19	huge concern, because I think, and when I spoke
20	with Colin about this, it was something he had
21	brought up. I think that it's not, it's clear to
22	us that the Council didn't have any intention, but
23	the way it's Section 1072 of the Charter, a couple
24	of years ago, and I mentioned it in my testimony,
25	there's NYC Street Closures, which requires DoITT

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 16
2	to build the map for DOT, which marks street
3	closure due to construction. That's great. The
4	Council I think took the same tack this time,
5	which was to simply add, not another letter under
6	that, that says, "Okay, well, this letter, I guess
7	it was H." Actually, if you'll bear with me a
8	second.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: No, go ahead.
10	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I do have it
11	here. So, it's Okay. So, it's Local Law 32,
12	so it amends the City Charter, adds a new section,
13	I guess, or a new addition to Section 1072. It's
14	letter q, to provide the public at no charge on
15	the City's website, an interactive map to display
16	street closures. It goes through that. Now the
17	way this proposed bill is drafted, it simply
18	follows on that. The next letter says, now we
19	also want you to build, at no charge to the
20	public, an interactive map doing crime mapping.
21	It's clear to us that the one letter is the one
22	and the next letter is the other thing. We just
23	wanted to make sure we weren't setting an
24	expectation for the public that crime mapping
25	information would somehow need to be overlaid on

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 17
2	the street closures map. That's all. And I think
3	that's a quick fix, basically just saying, they're
4	two distinct things. It doesn't mean, now, for
5	instance, this is exactly why we wanted this, we
6	have our New York City map application, you'll see
7	here, as you mouse across the topI'm sorry,
8	Council Member Koppellyou'll see other map
9	themes. You can simply click on that and from
10	there you can click down to any number of the
11	other maps that we have, some of which I mentioned
12	in what I just said. For instance, you can go
13	from here to street closures, click on that and
14	bring that up, which is great. We just want to
15	make sure that there's a clear distinction that we
16	wouldn't want on street closures, the expectation
17	that we're then going to overlay crime mapping
18	data on that. 'Cause that wouldn't be clear for
19	the public, they're going to want to be able to
20	see crime, or they want to be able to see
21	closures, that's all.
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And that was
23	not the intention, actually.
24	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: No, no, no,
25	absolutely, and we didn't think it was, it's maybe

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 18
2	just tweaking a line, we can make sure that's
3	clear.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, so, now
5	just so I'm clear, DoITT will be ableright now,
6	as we get stats regarding crime, it's by precinct.
7	So we don't know what block, what street. Share
8	with us what would that look like, in terms of
9	once DoITT has the opportunity to build the site,
10	what would it look like? How would people be able
11	to identify which areas certain crimes? Would it
12	be by categories? Or would all the crimes be
13	listed, such as burglary versus assault.
14	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah. I think
15	it, that's a great question. I think itI think
16	Colin would say the same thing, 'cause whenever we
17	talk about this, it depends, I hate to give that
18	answer, but it depends on the data, it depends on
19	the data and the granularity and kind of the
20	requirements of the map. To give you an example,
21	this isn't that, but so this is something we use
22	all the time, this is the 311 service request map.
23	Okay? Very popular. As you can see, even by
24	going on City Map, which will click back to its -
25	- it's based on the same look and feel. Okay?

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 19
2	It's easily accessible, you go to NYC.gov/311, you
3	click on the map, and what this is going to give
4	you is a color coded map, this is all community
5	boards across the City, with the number of
6	complaints filed in them. So, mousing over any
7	one of them, okay, Community District 12
8	Manhattan, for instance, there are 3,616
9	complaints, that'll pop up. You can mouse over
10	any of these. Drilling down into the map, I think
11	is where you really get to the meat and potatoes
12	of it.
13	COLIN REILLY: Can I interject
14	here?
15	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, sure.
16	COLIN REILLY: This is Colin
17	Reilly, from, also from DoITT. So if you were
18	going to get the data aggregated by precinct
19	level, then you won't be able to go any further,
20	you wouldn't be able to go drill down and see the
21	data aggregated at a lower geographic level by say
22	zip code or the individual crime locations
23	themselves. So, if it's aggregated by precinct,
24	that's basically restricting the view to precinct
25	or higher. Then you can go to borough. So

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 20
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But with the
3	spirit and intent of this law, not only would be
4	by citco [phonetic], but by street. So what you
5	have
6	
7	COLIN REILLY: [interposing] Right,
8	so then you shouldn't ask for aggregated data at
9	the precinct level, you'd want data aggregated
10	either at that level or the raw data itself.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And you don't
12	believe that this, the language
13	COLIN REILLY: I can't speak for
14	the Police Department. I
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: They have the
16	data, they havethe sector stats are there, they
17	have the data.
18	COLIN REILLY: Right.
19	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Which is
20	ironic, because the purpose, as I receive my
21	briefing, it was to deploy resources. And that's
22	the very reason, that's the intent of this law, is
23	so nonprofit organizations, the community, so
24	religious organizations, whosever, to deploy
25	resources in a particular area, sobecause right

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 21
2	now, we're blind, we're shooting blind, when we
3	or it's word of mouth, and the only ones that have
4	that data, is the Police Department, which seems
5	kind of ironic, if they need it, how much more the
6	community needs it, as well, if you're going to
7	dothey'd do the interthey'd do the criminal,
8	you know, dealing with the criminal aspect, but we
9	need to deal with the prevention aspect, which
10	they do very little of that, not for fault of
11	their own, because that's not their mission. So,
12	having said that, that's what I'm really looking
13	forward having in this website, having that raw
14	data. Can you put it in different categories,
15	one, you know, you can have one aspect of your
16	website where you have like precinct, and then
17	another icon by street, or
18	COLIN REILLY: Sure, sure, but I
19	was responding to your question where you said the
20	data would be aggregated by precinct. I'm not
21	advocating it was that, I would say
22	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: we're
23	saying now it's, at present it's by precinct.
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay, right.
25	COLIN REILLY: So, if we're given

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 22
2	the raw data, then we can aggregate it, slice it,
3	dice it, any way shape, or form, right.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Gotcha, thank
5	you.
6	COLIN REILLY: It's more of an open
7	canvas. When you're given it aggregated at a
8	precinct level, then that restricts what you can
9	do.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Definitely.
11	So, it depends what they give, based on what they
12	give.
13	COLIN REILLY: Correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And we're
15	going to, this law is telling them to give you the
16	raw material.
17	COLIN REILLY: Okay.
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
19	COLIN REILLY: And in that case,
20	then you have an open canvas and you can do query
21	by zip code, aggregate by zip code, see things
22	that are happening on city blocks, yes.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: So, you would
24	see, forI don't know what happened now, to what
25	I did. Hold on. Okay, so, going back then to the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 23
2	311 map, you can enter any address in the City.
3	So for instance, 250 Broadway, in Manhattan, would
4	net you essentially dots on a map, which would
5	then allow you to go in and drill down into any of
6	the particulars. So here is the building. These
7	would be, each of these dots represents complaints
8	that came in to 311. The smaller the circle, the
9	fewer the complaints; the larger the circle, the
10	more. Mousing over them will tell you at any
11	point how many were at that location. Clicking
12	into it will give you the particular complaint.
13	This was noise from jackhammering on January 3rd.
14	Drilling into that further will give you the date
15	it was created, the status, and the latest message
16	to the customer from the servicing agencyin this
17	case, DEP.
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Is this kind
19	of the presentation that you will have, the
20	format
21	COLIN REILLY: This is one
22	possibility, yes.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Again, it
24	depends
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: What other

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 24
2	possibilities do you have?
3	COLIN REILLY: Well, there's more
4	advanced techniques nowadays. I mean, this was
5	developed quite a number of years ago. I mean,
6	you can do like heat maps that you're creating a
7	surface and showing sort of hot spots of where,
8	you know, crime rates are higher. And you can do
9	it by time interval, you can do it by geographic
10	location. So, there's many ways of understanding
11	what's happening without looking at just those raw
12	points, right, to add, if you will, intelligence,
13	to it. so you're seeing where clustering of
14	crimes are happening.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And still be
16	able to giver that detailed information.
17	COLIN REILLY: Yeah, obviously
18	you'd want to mask certain personal information,
19	right, but yes, you can, yeah, so this is sort of-
20	_
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Example.
22	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: So, the one
23	thing I would add is, again, like Colin said, and
24	like we had said from the start, give us the data
25	and we can get a map. We can build a map, based

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 25
2	on the requirements. I think there may be, there
3	may beand you may hear about this, I don't know
4	if there's other people testifying after us, on
5	either sidethere may be a public policy
6	decision, a public policy discussion to be had at
7	the value of drilling down to the exact address of
8	a particular incident. And again, I'm agnostic,
9	I'm not taking position one way or the other. Our
10	position is we're the tech guys. Give us the
11	data, we'll build it. But as someone who has also
12	had the pleasure to work on the open data bill, I
13	know that it was a lengthy and important
14	conversation we had about exactly what types of
15	data we were going to open up. And that was not
16	because the City wanted to be cloistered and hold
17	all its data close to the vest, not any more so
18	than normally. But because there are legitimate
19	questions to be had about privacy and personal
20	information. I think when you're talking about
21	311 data, for instance, the number of complaints
22	that you may get filed about whatever this
23	complaint might be here, give that a second to
24	open up, a pothole or a streetlight or whatever it
25	may be, that is public information which doesn't

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 26
2	necessarily have a personal impact on someone. I
3	would imagine, however, if you were a victim of a
4	crime, that's intensely personal. I don't know
5	what can be more personal than that. And there
6	may, again, there may be conversation to be had
7	about putting locations on a map of forced
8	entries, or sexual abuse. I don't know that, if I
9	knew someone who was a victim of sexual abuse, or
10	I was, that I'd necessarily want my address
11	splashed on a map for people for see. Even
12	without my name, people know I live in a building,
13	and that happened there, that might be something
14	that I think there needs to be maybe a discussion
15	about that.
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Council
17	Member Koppell.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: I think
19	do we have someone from the Police Department
20	testifying today? Because I think that there are
21	two questions that arefirst of all, I appreciate
22	that DoITT is in favor, in general, of doing this.
23	And I encourage the Counsel to the Committee and
24	the Committee to pursue it, and to put the bill
25	together. And it's interesting, I haven'tI'm

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 27
2	going to now do it, I haven't looked at that
3	complaint driven map, which is excellent from
4	seeing it here, I haven't taken itI know we
5	required it
6	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Thank you.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL:but I
8	haven't checked it, but looking at it here, it
9	really is excellent. And I think you're
10	absolutely right about the concerns about privacy.
11	I think that what I would ask theif the Council
12	wouldI want 'em to hear what I say, so Okay,
13	I want you to hear what I had to say, so I
14	stopped. Right now, because I go to the community
15	board meetings, and you do, too, I know, the
16	police do have the data, obviously aggregated by
17	precinct, that's all there. And I believe they
18	also have it as, the Chairman I think suggested
19	before, aggregated by sector, 'cause they divide
20	the precinct into sectors. And certainly
21	providing it on that basis there's no problem.
22	There might well be a problem if you drill down to
23	do it by address, because again, there could be
24	privacy concerns. But I think that, what I would
25	ask the Council to do is discuss that with both

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 28
2	the police and maybe the Corporation Council's
3	office, and consider those privacy concerns 'cause
4	I think they're real. And I think that we should
5	then redraft the bill to reflect both what's
6	available with some sensitivity to privacy
7	concerns. I certainly wouldn't want names or
8	addresseswell, certainly not names, and probably
9	not even addresses, but maybe by street, wouldn't
10	be a bad idea. But I think the bill should
11	indicate that the information is available more
12	than simply by precinct. 'Cause I think that's,
13	precincts are pretty big, and I think that frankly
14	this mapping, which will display to people what's
15	going on in their neighborhoods, you know, it
16	allows them to be vigilant or more vigilant and
17	say, you know, talk to their precincts, precinct
18	commanders, and say, "Well, we got this big
19	problem on Perry Avenue," which I mention only
20	because we have a big problem on Perry Avenue,
21	over the years. Why aren't you posting more
22	officers there? Or what should we do to get more
23	officers on Perry Avenue? Happens to be my
24	district. So, I look forward to the redraft of
25	the bill, but I hope that the bill will move

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 29
2	forward. As I say, it's a good idea. Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Thank you so
4	much, Council Member Koppell, and your suggestions
5	are definitely worthy of following up. Let me ask
6	you this question. You know, Oakland and we
7	mentioned Baltimore, already ahead of us when it
8	comes to this. Have you had an opportunity to
9	check out the website?
10	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: So I did some
11	research on this, I didn't check out Oakland,
12	Baltimore. What I did, I did look at Chicago,
13	which I had pulled up here. So this is the City
14	of Chicago.
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
16	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Crime map.
17	This is based on data.cities.gov. Now, when you
18	first see this, you're going to say, "Wait, wait,
19	wait, that looks just like this."
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right.
21	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: But I don't
22	think it does, because if you actually look at it-
23	_
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: It doesn't.
25	NICHOLAS SBORDONE:it's actually

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 30
2	at a higher level. It's more akin, I think, to
3	the precinct level, than it is to the specific
4	location level. And the reason I know that is
5	because not only does it give you a polygon here,
6	but if you look at the disclaimer language here,
7	it opens up for you, it says where the data is
8	located, and then beginning this sentence here, it
9	says, "In order to protect the privacy of crime
10	victims, addresses are shown at the block level
11	only." And specific locations are not identified.
12	So, this suggests, and I think that New York City
13	is somehow not really where it needs to be, I
14	don't know, but I don't think we're as far as some
15	people might think we are, because it's pretty
16	close to what we have now. So, now just be
17	example, by comparison, this is the new Mayor's
18	Management Report, the new interactive site, just
19	launched last week, very exciting. It's exciting
20	if you're a wonk like I am.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yes,
22	congratulations.
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: It's exciting
24	to me 'cause I deal in this stuff all the time.
25	If you go to performance mapping report, and

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 31
2	again, Council Member Koppell, I'll send your
3	staff all this. You open up your little
4	performance mapping, and what it's going to do is
5	open up for you a map that will show you, actually
6	on this one, it's probably easier to do in, it's
7	probably easier to do in IE. Give me a
8	second. [pause, background noise] And, now you
9	know how to find it, as well. So you go to
10	nyc.gov, okay, you go to MMR, you go to the
11	website here. And what that's going to bring up
12	for you is, it's just a browser, it's actually
13	easier in IE to do this. You go to performance
14	mapping. Okay. That will bring up for you a map,
15	which will allow you to, you can, from here, what
16	performance mapping means, you choose any
17	indicator you want to see. So, these are all the
18	indicators the City makes available, through
19	what's called a Citywide Performance Reporting,
20	which is monthly reporting that then populates the
21	twice monthly MMR, which is the PMMR and the
22	fiscal year. Scrolling down, of course, you can
23	go tosorry, the screen is a little narrow here
24	but scrolling down here, you can run to the Police
25	Department, and there's any number of indicators

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 32
2	that they have. So, for instance, crimes, related
3	to domestic, murder, major felony, so for instance
4	let's take major felony crime. That little globe.
5	You click on it, and what that's going to open up
6	for you is a map, by precinct, with all the
7	particulars. So, if the technology cooperates,
8	the map will load and you will see that
9	information there.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: There's a lot
11	of clicking going on. [laughter]
12	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Well, I'm
13	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You know,
14	most people are, two clicks
15	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I'm
16	demonstrating for the benefit
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:two clicks,
18	they tend to
19	COLIN REILLY: Yeah, I'm not
20	responsible for that interface.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [laughs]
22	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: [crosstalk]
23	For the benefit of the Committee, I'm just showing
24	how to
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 33
2	But this, this does not show by street, right?
3	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: It doesn't show
4	the streets [crosstalk]
5	COLIN REILLY:aggregated, yes.
6	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, but
7	again, Chicago, and I don't think other cities
8	actuallyI don't know, I can't say
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]
10	Well, the one that I just saw from Chicago, it
11	seemed to me, I saw streets there, I mean, it
12	seems clear. It doesn't say thatdoesn't list
13	the address, right, but it has the street, the
14	blocks.
15	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I think it, I
16	don't know.
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I mean, I
18	just saw
19	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, well, the
20	little
21	COLIN REILLY:aggregating to a
22	center point of each one of those geographic
23	areas. It's sort of giving you the illusion of
24	being the actual points.
25	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: It's not

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 34
2	giving, it's not giving
3	COLIN REILLY: It's not.
4	NICHOLAS SBORDONE:it's not
5	giving addresses.
6	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
7	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, well
8	we'll bring it back up here.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But the
10	street, the overall area, so if I say, like Perry
11	Avenue, for me it might be Davison Avenue, around,
12	you know, between two blocks, a two block area,
13	let's see what we have here.
14	[crosstalk]
15	COLIN REILLY: And if you wanted
16	to, you could aggregate it at the block, right, so
17	if a crime happened at
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: You see, you
19	see the corners, I mean, it seems very obvious
20	that they're going for a block.
21	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, Colin
22	made the right point.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And
24	COLIN REILLY: [interposing] You
25	notice each one of those points are at the center-

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 35
2	_
3	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: It'll go right
4	the middle.
5	COLIN REILLY: those polygons,
6	that they're sort of faking it. They're making it
7	look like it's an actual location where crime is
8	happening, and it's actually just an aggregate of
9	all those crimes at the center of that geographic
10	area.
11	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: So you're able
12	to get the sense of what the activity there is,
13	without giving an address.
14	COLIN REILLY: It's the illusion of
15	accuracy.
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay. So
17	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: His words, not
18	mine.
19	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:if I hear
20	you right, can you
21	COLIN REILLY: This is Chicago, not
22	New York.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:can you go
24	back, can you go back a little bit?
25	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Oh, sure, sure,

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 36
2	sure, sure, sure.
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, for
4	example, at 113 over there, corner of West Lake
5	Street, and I can't read the other one because I'm
6	getting to be like Koppell, Councilman Koppell,
7	I'm with you, I feel your pain, and [laughter] but
8	that corner right there, so what I hear you saying
9	is that, and I'd be interested to give them a
10	call, too, so we can accurately know if this is
11	what's going on, that they're not specifically
12	addressing that corner?
13	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Correct.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And they are
15	addressing what then? See, to me, you see that
16	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Well, I mean
17	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:you see
18	that, those, that purple
19	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah.
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA:perimeter?
21	I think that's what they're addressing, that
22	somewhere in that area, in that purple
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, there's
24	113within that area, there's 113 inwhatever
25	incident it is.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 37
2	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Okay.
3	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I don't know
4	what incident this is measuring, but I think
5	that's, I think, and again, I don't want to speak
6	for Chicago, I think that's
7	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But we're not
8	there.
9	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Doesn't mean
10	that there's 113 at that corner.
11	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Yeah, not
12	here, but within a block-and-a-half.
13	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Whatever
14	precinct, yeah, that's [crosstalk]
15	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That little
16	that's just a corner, I mean, that's just a block-
17	and-a-half that I see there.
18	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah.
19	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Right? You
20	had that
21	COLIN REILLY: Could be their unit
22	of dispatching, you know, beats or something like
23	that.
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I don't know,
25	I don't know what those numbers mean, but

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 38
2	COLIN REILLY: Sectors, they're
3	different, yeah.
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: But we know
5	there's a lot of activity, and we could tell by
6	colors one versus 229, that
7	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I don't know,
8	yeah, I don't know how it aligns, I don't know how
9	this might align with the what a precinct is. I
10	don't know if this is at the same level or lower.
11	Chicago, I guess, has wards or beat, or whatever
12	area it is, I don't know what they call it.
13	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, it would
14	follow that it would make sense for us to first
15	look at what everybody else is doing, so we don't
16	have to reinvent the wheel, possibly. We don't
17	have to reinvent the wheel.
18	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Right, and I
19	think that will be helped by also, I think, again,
20	and I'm sorry if the map is not coming up here,
21	even though I tried, this is not any reflection on
22	Colin, who did a great job, of course, getting
23	this. The map isn't coming up, but I think it
24	would also be helpful to look at the map we have.
25	I don't know if you're familiar with it, but it

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 39
2	has at the precinct level. And again, I don't
3	know more than that, but right now, there are
4	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]
5	Yeah, we need one at the precinct level. Look, I
6	have the 52nd Precinct, Council Member Koppell is
7	very familiar with the 52. It's huge! It doesn't
8	tell me anything. It tells me, you know, it
9	covers such a vast area, that I can't do anything
10	with that information. It's useless. And so,
11	that's the whole point of this intro, is to
12	actually do somewe need, the whole, the reasif
13	the Police Department needs that information, why
14	wouldn't the nonprofits and everybody else that
15	wants to do something for the community, community
16	boards need to make decisions, Council Members
17	need to make decisions, as to where the resources
18	are going to go. The community needs to know the
19	resources the Council Members are putting in,
20	going to the right place. You know, that's one of
21	the complaints we hear sometimes. "Oh, you put it
22	all in this section of the Council, yet all the
23	crimes are on this side." Well, how do I know
24	that? You know, how are we going to make informed
25	decision if we don't have the data and the

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 40
2	information? And do it in a transparent way. And
3	I'm with you, regarding the issue of specific
4	addresses. I don't think anybody is asking for
5	that, at this point. Or you know, that's not the
6	intention. The intention is to know where the hot
7	spots are at. And so if I know something's
8	happeningI really like, actually, Chicago model,
9	if indeed there's a hot spot and you see the
10	perimeter there, it shows me that within that
11	block-and-a-half, there's something going on
12	there, and we need to put the resources there,
13	that will make sense to me. I wanted to ask you,
14	will DoITT do this work itself, or would it
15	contract with an outside company or agency?
16	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: So we have
17	Colin's staff that does, leading the work in terms
18	of buildingyou want to answer? Thank you.
19	COLIN REILLY: Sure, we could do
20	this in-house. Yep.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And
22	realistically, I know you mentioned in your
23	testimony that you didn't know if the 100 days was
24	a sufficient amount of time. What would be a
25	sufficient amount of time? To build it up?

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 41
2	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I think
3	[crosstalk]
4	COLIN REILLY: Yeah, I mean, the
5	really, the long intent is to get the data
6	from NYPD. So, from that point, 180 days, but if
7	it takes them 160 days to get us the data, then
8	it's a problem, right?
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So, what
10	takes you time is[crosstalk] Let me ask the
11	question first, so you canlet me ask the
12	question, so you can properly answer it. So the
13	question is, Is it a problem of building it or is
14	it a problem inputting the information?
15	COLIN REILLY: Well, you build it
16	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Because
17	you're going to have to build it
18	COLIN REILLY:based on the data
19	that you have, right? So, you need to have the
20	data to start building the application. And if it
21	takes a long period of time to get that data, you
22	can't start building stuff. So you need
23	requirements.
24	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Yeah, you need
25	to know what you're building to. So, generally

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 42
2	speaking, yes, I mean, we're a technology agency,
3	so I don't want to give the impression it's going
4	to take us an undue amount of time. Six months
5	development time should be enough, but that's from
6	the point at which we have everything we need to
7	start building. And that would be obviously the
8	data that we need to start building to.
9	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: So the key
10	here is to get the NYPD to get you the data, so
11	that it's possible, so that you know howthe one
12	month getting the information updated, with the
13	latest once a month, how do you feel about that?
14	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Again, I mean,
15	you know, we have information here, so this, the
16	311 map here is updated every day. The frequency
17	of the updates, within reason, is probablyno,
18	never mind us, I think we can update it, we have
19	the raw data feeds on NYCon open data, and
20	again, I show this only because I'm so proud of
21	it, I think we do great work, as well I should.
22	But if you go to nyc.gov/data, this is our NYC Op-
23	-if I could type, that would also be helpfulNYC
24	Open Data portal. This is, these are raw data
25	feeds from agencies across the City, you'll look

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 43
2	here, for instance, 311 service request from 2010
3	to the present, whatthis is refreshed every
4	night, and you will have the exact records of the
5	311 complaints that come in every day with the
б	agency, the descriptor, the location, the cross
7	street, etc. Now, this is very, very specific,
8	because again it's not crime information. But in
9	terms of updating it, it's not an issue to us to
10	update it when we get it. That said, it's really
11	not also to point a finger at the Police
12	Department, we would need this for any agency.
13	So, if we were building a map for the City
14	Council, or any other agency across the City, it's
15	just a matter of getting the data and working
16	through what that agency, the business need and
17	the requirements to get the map up.
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: I'm asking -
19	- 'cause I have some, no knowledge about this.
20	When NYPD gives you the information, is there
21	somebody that has to input this information? Or
22	do you already have the ability to be able to
23	bring that, you know, through some kind of a
24	command, that you transfer, it knows where to go
25	automatically.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 44
2	COLIN REILLY: Yeah, so, in the
3	case of the 311 service request map, that's
4	pulling the data from a data warehouse, which is
5	an automated procedure of loading that data on a
6	nightly basis, into a data warehouse, and then
7	it's retrieving that in an automatic fashion.
8	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: And so, we
9	would
10	COLIN REILLY: Dynamically. So, we
11	would want something similar set up with
12	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Very good.
13	COLIN REILLY:the Police
14	Department, where there's no manual intervention.
15	Right? You'd want it a live feed, you'd want to
16	dynamically retrieve that data and render it.
17	[crosstalk]
18	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: That's very
19	good, I would love to see that happen, and we're
20	going to encourage the NYPD to make your job
21	easier and efficient, 'cause it's really about
22	efficiency, and it's going to save us money. I
23	mean, at the end of the day, they are, that's the
24	bottom line. Now, will visitor have to, to the
25	website, will they have to log on? Or input any

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 45
2	personal information? Or they can just go through
3	like the one we saw in Chicago
4	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: It shouldn't
5	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Will there be
6	any disclaimers, also, as well?
7	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: No, Iwell,
8	those are two separate questions there. They
9	shouldn't have toshouldn't have to sign in or
10	create an account or log in to use it, this is on
11	the open internet. All the sites I've demo'd
12	today, from City Map, to Street Closures, to 311
13	complaints to CPR, to Chicago's, obviously, to
14	ours, this is all open to the public, there's no
15	registration requirement. In certain instances,
16	when you're using open data, so, for instance,
17	this is a good site, when you're downloading data,
18	and going to a particular data set, there
19	sometimes will be disclaimers basically letting
20	you know that one the data leaves the site, that
21	the City is not responsible for what you do with
22	it. Which I think frankly is a concern for many
23	agencies, which is once we open up the data,
24	there's always that inherent, I guess not risk,
25	there's always that consideration that needs to be

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 46
2	had, that once we open it up, anybody can do
3	anything with it, it's obviously incumbent upon
4	the party, if they want to be a responsible party,
5	to put it in context. Once the data is raw, it's
6	just that. What we've found, and this is just my
7	experience anecdotally, most people are respectful
8	of that, they want the data, and they want to do
9	the right things with it. But there is always the
10	chance that someone can get data, and then just do
11	whatever it is with it. Imagine, for instance, if
12	someone were able to access your files and change
13	letters you've written to people just because they
14	could. I think there is that element. So, there
15	will be disclaimers in that case that we basically
16	say, "Once the data leaves the site, the City's no
17	longer responsible for it. Nor is the City
18	responsible for anything you might do with that
19	data." Once it leaves. Once you assume the
20	responsibility to take it, and to download it.
21	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Where exactly
22	would the website be? Workingwhere would people
23	go to find this information?
24	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I
25	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Would it be

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 47
2	at the NYPD website? Would it be?
3	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I think it, it
4	really kind of really depends on, I don't know how
5	we want to have it. What I imagine it now, and
6	again we're very preliminary here, but I can
7	imagine something similar toI shouldn't have X'd
8	out of that, but I'll bring that back up. So,
9	City Map here, this has all layers on it, I would
10	imagine that you might have though is, like I said
11	before there's other map themes. You might be
12	able to link to it from there. And again, I don't
13	know, I'm just saying hypothetically you might be
14	able
15	COLIN REILLY: [interposing] That
16	you can link to it from nyc.gov, NYPDyou could
17	link to it from multiple.
18	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: You can link to
19	it multiple places, and then if you're on a map,
20	for instance, City Map, which gets a lot of usage,
21	you can also have it linked out here, that from
22	this map you can go to other map themes and click
23	out to scout, for instance, or any other of these
24	maps, that would there. So, that might just be a
25	list there. So when you open this list, you may

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 48
2	see something like, you know, NYC Parks, and it
3	might say, "NYPD Crime Mapping." Depends on where
4	that would reside.
5	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Have you
6	spoken to the NYPD about this intro? And if you
7	did, did they share any concerns or suggestions or
8	were they happy? If you could just share whatever
9	you can share.
10	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Well, I mean,
11	we work with the Police Department regularly. I
12	mean, like, it's a great organization, I get a lot
13	of interaction with them. I don't know their
14	position on the bill. Probably is not best for me
15	to say it. But I'm sure the Police Department can
16	answer. But, again, just like with any other
17	agency, we're partners, happy to work with them to
18	support their needs, business needs, whether it's
19	towards legislation or anything else, as we always
20	do.
21	[pause, background noise]
22	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Let me ask
23	you this question. How, in the future, dobased
24	on the way you see technology developing, what is,
25	what do you seethis is going to be built two

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 49
2	years from now, and I'm sure you're looking as to
3	the new technology, new ways to present
4	information. How do you see potentially how we
5	could responsibly show the data and the
6	information and where these incidents are taking
7	place?
8	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I mean, it's a
9	good question. This stuff is evolving every day,
10	as we know. It's, you know, it's a very iterative
11	process. I got back to kind of the things I'm
12	experiencing and I know, again, going through the
13	process of drafting the open data bill. And that
14	was arduous, it was long, it was, for a while I
15	thought it was the worst thing I'd ever seen.
16	Now, I love it, it was, it's a great
17	accomplishment, I think, from Mayor Bloomberg.
18	And for the Council to get this in place, to
19	compel not just mayoral agencies, but City
20	agencies across the board, elected officials,
21	community boards, etc., to kind of open up their
22	vast treasure troves of data. It's easy to say
23	that, though, sure, open data, open data. The
24	devil, as always, is in the details, when you kind
25	of really have to roll up your sleeves and go

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 50
2	through multitudinous data sets that we might not
3	even have a handle on how many there are. And go
4	through and start saying, "Okay, well, this data
5	set can be included, this data set can't. Why
6	can't it? If we think it can one day, when do we
7	think it can be?" How do we roll up our sleeves
8	and go through it? And so it's very iterative. I
9	don't think the stuff is going to happen
10	overnight. But it's, that kind of that steady
11	process of putting markers in place to know that
12	by a certain date we want to have, we want to have
13	this stuff open, and you proceed that way. Crime
14	data, of course, is a separate animal altogether,
15	because a lot of that is not necessarily public
16	data because there is a personal component
17	associated with it. So that just gives you
18	another level of I think care and concern you have
19	to take. SoI don't
20	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [interposing]
21	In your experience, is there a category that
22	should not be listed?
23	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I would
24	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: A category of
25	crime.

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 51
2	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: I'm probably
3	not qualified to say that. I mean, I can speak
4	personally, I don't know that I would want, my
5	house was robbed, to be a dot on a map. Right?
6	It's almost kind of funny, we're so immersed in
7	data and data is by and large gridded, it helps us
8	make decisions, the Mayor himself always says, "In
9	God we trust, every else bring data," which I
10	think is magnificent. That's how you make
11	decisions, you can be as anecdotal as you want,
12	but at the end of the day you need hard data.
13	That said, the other side of it is, you always see
14	people say, "I don't want to just be a statistic."
15	So I don't know that if I were the victim of a
16	crime, I would necessarily want to be a dot on a
17	map that people can then say, "Oh, look, this guy
18	was robbed," or "This neighborhood is, it's a lost
19	cause, there's so much crime. We're not going to
20	invest here. We're not going to try and bring new
21	businesses, it's just it's crime ridden, forget
22	about it." Now, generally speaking, the crime
23	rates in the City have gone down to record lows
24	and continue to go down. That's due to the great
25	work of the Police Department. But I don't know,

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY 52
2	that's just my personal opinion. As far as policy
3	decisions, it's probably not for the technology
4	agency to say.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I just want
6	to say, I thought that was a fascinating
7	presentation. [laughter] I just want to be clear
8	about that. I apologize, I was stuck in traffic
9	on the BQE, it was an accident.
10	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: No problem.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: And I'm
12	sure it was the best testimony we've ever had and
13	thank you Mr. Chairman for asking questions.
14	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: [laughs]
15	Well, I want to thank both of you for coming, it
16	was very informative. We welcome any suggestions
17	and also I would love to speak to you regarding
18	the language that's going to go forth, so there is
19	clarity. And your point is definitely well taken
20	that you mentioned at the beginning. So, thank
21	you so much.
22	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Sure.
23	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Are there no
24	other panels?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I lost my

1	COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY	53
2	breath.	
3	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: Well, thank	
4	you so much.	
5	NICHOLAS SBORDONE: Okay, thank	
6	you, guys.	
7	CHAIRPERSON CABRERA: All right.	
8	[gavel] Have a good	

CERTIFICATE

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

John David uz

Signature

Date March 18, 2013