CT.I.A	COUNCIL		
CITY	OF	NEW	YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----X

February 4, 2013 Start: 2:17 p.m. Recess: 2:28 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron
Leroy. G. Comrie, Jr.
Karen Koslowitz
G. Oliver Koppell
Michael C. Nelson
Julissa Ferreras

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good

afternoon, and welcome to the Consumer Affairs Committee of the New York City Council. Today is Monday, February 4th. My name is Dan Garodnick. have the privilege of chairing the Consumer Affairs Committee. I am joined today by Council Members mike Nelson, Oliver Koppell, Karen Koslowitz, Charles Barron and Dan Halloran and Leroy Comrie, the sponsor of today's bill, and on the agenda for today is a vote on Intro 985-A, which is a bill that would require the mayor's office of long term planning and sustainability to conduct a study to assess the utilization of underground power lines in the city, including locations where it would be advantageous to move above ground lines below ground, locations where underground power lines could potentially result in an increase in power outages and the cost of moving above ground power lines below ground. am going to recommend an aye vote on this bill for a couple of reasons. First, and then we are going to give the sponsor of the bill an opportunity to explain it, but we all know that Con Ed customers whose homes are served by above ground power lines

are always susceptible to power outages caused by
downed trees on power lines. Two weeks after
super storm Sandy, the city had gotten over 15,000
requests to remove downed trees, and it makes
sense to identify those locations where
undergrounding of power lines is feasible and
indeed where undergrounding may actually reduce
the risk of outages and/or repair costs during and
after any future storms. It is worth nothing that
in the middle of the storm, Con Ed was projecting
four days to restore power for those with
underground power lines and a week for those who
had power lines above ground. Second, it's worth
noting that during last month's post hurricane
Sandy hearing in this committee, it became clear
that the power companies and the city have less
than optimal communications with one another
regarding tree removal before power can be
restored to a given home. That system that they
currently have in place is confusing and may
potentially lead to outages that are longer than
necessary. We are counting on them to improve
their processes, but we also want to do our best
to minimize the issue in the first instance. So

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	with that, I'd like to turn the microphone over to
3	Council Member Comrie for his remarks on his bill,
4	Intro 985-A.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I want to thank all of the members and the Speakers Office, Rachel Cordero [phonetic], Damien Butvick, - - for working on this legislation, and I want to thank the mayor's office for long term planning and sustainability to understand their opportunity to find the benefits of making sure that there is a study to be done to see how the city's underground power lines and to create an opportunity to put power lines underground. To the extent that we understand the nature and the presence of how weather will be, and the fact that now 20 year storms are coming up every 2 years, it is important that we start to look to hardening and hardwiring our power lines-that we do everything necessary to ensure that there is an opportunity as you said to ensure that our emergency communications, that our emergency contacts, that the ability of agencies to work throughout power outages is something that we should be able to

achieve as a city. I think that this legislation
will help create that to ensure that weather
related outages and general network reliability,
but even more so to make sure that we start
looking especially in the outer boroughs that are
always hit the hardest by these types of storms
because 90 percent of our power is above grounds
lines where they can start looking at
systematically reducing that risk by putting lines
underground, by putting feeders underground, by
doing more with putting transformers underground
because it can be done and while the utilities
kept giving us the concerns about the cost if it
was done incrementally if it was done after every
storm, it could be done over time, and this is not
something that is going to be done in one or two
years. It is going to take time, but if we can
start focusing on hardening our emergency
resources and making sure that our emergency
services can work, we can move from there. I want
to thank all of the members that signed on the
bill. I hope that everybody understands the
nature of it, that we are looking to try to create
a system that can sustain storms that are going to

2	be coming every 2 years, not every 20 years. We
3	would hope that—you know, I was just out in the
4	Rockaways last week again, and the devastation
5	that is still out there because there is a mixture
6	of utilities that are involved in repairing.
7	Right now we have a situation where neighborhood
8	projects in that area that need to have lighting
9	can't get lighting because they don't even know
10	who to reach out to do the lighting on the
11	property. Those kinds of situations can be
12	resolved by hardening the power lines and making
13	sure that we don't have to worry about-just
14	because there is a 60 mph wind, we are going to
15	lose communications throughout an entire area. I
16	would encourage all of the members to pass this
17	bill and convince others that the reality of the
18	new world is here, and we need to be better
19	prepared for it. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
21	Council Member Comrie. Are there other members of
22	the Committee or otherwise who wish to make any
23	comments? Council Member Halloran?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank
25	you, Mr. Chair. I am here today to support your

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

efforts. As you know, my council district has had 15,000 homeowners plus in each of the four major storms over the last five years lose power. fact, for 15 days 10,000 people did not have power following super storm Sandy in my district, and when you realize that this has happened now in the microburst tornadoes, Hurricane Irene, Hurricane Sandy and the winter storm we had in 2010, it does not seem reasonable to me for Con Edison to tell me that the repair costs to bring in thousands of workers from out of state at overtime rates to restructure overhead lines is in some way not as cost effective as having simply started the process of moving them underground, and while I recognize that this is incremental and Chair Comrie is completely right when he says this is a long term project. It is a long overdue project. I'll also make one other point. Con Edison in the city of New York has a veritable monopoly on the use of power and as a result, they are able to make—and to their credit—millions of dollars per year in money for their corporation, which comes back to their stockholders. Well, they are given that unique situation of a monopoly in a trust, in

a trust form	with the public service commission,
and that obl	igation is that they are delivering to
the citizens	of the city of New York the best
possible ser	vice. It is clear that to this point
they have no	t delivered the best possible service
to residents	in Staten Island and Queens in
particular,	and I will mention my colleague,
Council Memb	er Ulrich, who saw both in Breezy
Point, the n	ear Rockaways, Bell Harbor and Howard
Beach an inc	redible amount of devastation and a
lack of abil	ity to combat when our power lines
went down co	oupled with our gas stations being able
to pump gas.	Literally for three, four weeks
there were p	eople without heat, hot water or the
ability to e	even turn on a portable device to get
information,	and that is just not acceptable in
the 21 st cent	tury in the greatest city in the world,
so I salute	you for having gone forward with this,
and I hope t	hat one day the bills that Council
Member Crowl	ey and I introduced requiring
underground	lines will be the next step of this so
that we can	force Con Edison to take its
responsibili	ty as a good corporate partner with
the city to	do the right things for its citizens

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we had very substantial outages. I personally did not have power for 11 days after the Sandy storm. I think the bill would be improved if there was some discussion of how undergrounding will be paid for, and I want to put on the record that that issue has to be discussed in connection with any program of undergrounding. There is no free lunch, and somebody is going to have to pay for it. We have to discuss-not we, but we if we are around and whoever succeeds us because this is an ongoing process, is going to have to discuss how it's going to be paid for. There are various ways Con Ed has discussed with me because we have discussed this issue, having the individual residents pay, that will cost many thousands of dollars and probably for homeowners in my area where it's relatively not a sense situation rather an open situation with large residential plots, it would probably cost \$100,000 a house, which people are just not going to afford. Other alternatives are that it will be spread among all the rate payers. Another alternative might be to have the main trunk lines paid for by the rate payers and the connections to the houses paid by the

2	homeowners, which would be more affordable. In
3	addition, there may be some subsidies available—
4	federal, state, local. It's a major, major issue.
5	You can't talk about undergrounding and not talk
6	about how it should be paid for, and
7	unfortunately, it's not part of the bill, but as
8	this study proceeds, I hope that that will be
9	added to the study. With that, I withdraw my
10	request and vote in the affirmative.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK: Koslowitz?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Aye.
13	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of six
14	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and no
15	abstentions, the item has been adopted. Members,
16	please sign the Committee Report.
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
18	very much. Again, thanks to Council Member Comrie
19	and with that, this Committee is adjourned.

[gavel]

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Kimberley	Uhlig
Signature	0	U

Date ____2/20/13