CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----X

October 23, 2012 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 1:40 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MARK WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

James Vacca

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Daniel R. Garodnick

Albert Vann

Jessica S. Lappin Vincent Ignizio Robert Jackson Diana Reyna

Matt Viggiano
Director, Land Use and Planning
Council Member Margaret Chin

Stefanie Marazzi Slater & Beckerman 54 Greene Street Realty Corp

Michael Phillips Chief Operating Officer of Jamestown Chelsea Market

Melanie Meyers Attorney Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson

David Burns
Principal
Studios Architecture

Deborah Glick Assembly Member New York State

Thomas Duane Senator New York State Senate

Richard Gottfried Assembly Member New York State

Paul Fernandes Chief of Staff Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York

Robert Benfatto
District Manager
Manhattan Community Board 4

Lee Compton Co-Chair, Land Use Committee Community Board

Betty Mackintosh Community Board 4

Joe Restuccia Co-Chair Housing Committee Community Board 4

Andrew Berman Executive Director Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation

Amanda Davis Save Chelsea Market Coalition

Katy Smith Congressman Jerry Nadler

Edrie Cody

Michael McKee

Bill Borock President Council of Chelsea Block Association

Madelyn Margolis

James Mari

Jim Jasper

Paul Gronke

Miguel Acevedo

Ian McGregor
Owner and operator
Lobster Place

Jordan Isenstadt Deputy Director Association for a Better New York

Patricia Helding

Paul Brown

Donathan Solcott

Dave Holoka

Lloyd Van Pragh

Martika Sawin

Lesley Doyel Co-President Save Chelsea

Lenora Friedlander Assistant to President SEIU Local 32BJ

Oliver Greeves

Philip Wilson

Linda Crosby

Andy Humm President London Terrace Tenants Association

Franklin Low

Judy Richheimer Executive Vice President Chelsea Reform Democratic Club

Larry Lippman Member Chelsea Coalition on Housing

Hilda Regier Board Member Save Chelsea

Lisa Rodano L'Arte del Gelato

Joshua David Co-Founder Friends of the High Line

Karen Jacob

Frank Eadie

2.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Goo	od
morning, everyone, my name is Mark Weprin, I a	аm
the Chair of the Zoning and Franchises	
Subcommittee, I want to welcome everybody here	3
today.	

I am joined by the following
members of the Subcommittee: Council Member Jimmy
Vacca, Council Member Leroy Comrie, Council Member
Dan Garodnick, Council Member Al Vann, Council
Member Jessica Lappin, Council Member Vincent
Ignizio. Did I miss anybody?

We have a number of other items before we get to Chelsea Market. I know many of the people here are here for Chelsea Market, so we're going to try to go through this as quickly as possible. You'll have to please bear with me, I'll repeat this later on, but we're going to need cooperation today. Please don't have outbursts, I'm usually fairly lenient on this stuff, but you can't be screaming out and clapping and yelling, you know, you can use your hands if you want or other things that are quiet, but, you know, we try to keep it in orderly, let people speak. We're going to let everybody speak who has signed up to

2 speak.

Okay. So we're going to start, we have a couple of cafés to deal with first. The first item on our agenda is Land Use number 708, a Juicerie in Council Member Chin's office. This is a hearing we already had and closed and there's been some suggestion of a modification. I'd like to call up Matt from Council Member Chin's office to describe what that modification is. Matt, would you please head to the mic? State your name for the record and describe what it is you and Council Member Chin would like to propose.

MATT VIGGIANO: Good morning, Mr.

Chair and members of the Committee. My name is

Matt Viggiano, I'm the Director of Land Use and

Planning for Council Member Margaret Chin. I'd

like to thank you for the opportunity to speak on

behalf of the Council Member.

Council Member Chin is asking that the Committee approve her recommendation for modification of the sidewalk café permit for 19 Kenmare, Juicerie LLC. The Council Member is recommending modification of this application to 10 tables with 20 seats.

Kenmare Street is located in a
commercial district in the Little Italy
neighborhood of Community Board 2 within Council
District 1. Kenmare Street is a high-volume
vehicular traffic artery. This is due to vehicles
traversing Manhattan on their way to the Holland
Tunnel, this creates, as you may guess, lots of
cars and trucks headed down Kenmare Street, it
also brings lots of visitors to the area.

This commercial corridor, while not prohibited from having sidewalk cafés, is new to the experience of sidewalk cafés. This is an important factor in the Council Member's recommendation.

Council Member Chin believes that sidewalk cafés will help enliven streets and that this particular corridor is transitioning towards that goal. We believe that the applicant should be allowed to have a café and that, in fact, other businesses just down the street have them--one example is one approved by this Committee not too long ago, and that application was for 6 tables and 12 seats total.

So we recognize that this area is

25

_	
2	able to accommodate outdoor cafés. The Council
3	Member believes that approval should be done
4	deliberately and at a pace respectful with
5	residents. Again, we recommend modification to 10
6	tables with 20 seats.
7	And that's all I have on that.
8	[Off mic]
9	MATT VIGGIANO: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
11	Does anyone have any questions or comments for
12	him? All right, seeing none, we're going to be
13	incorporating this modification into our vote a
14	little later on. We will be voting on a number of
15	items before the Chelsea Market hearing and then
16	we will hold the Chelsea Market hearing. We will
17	not be voting on Chelsea Market today.
18	I'd like to now mention that Land
19	Use number 723, the next item on our agenda, Bar
20	30 Lounge, in Council Member Vallone Jr.'s
21	district has been withdrawn pursuant to a letter

So we move on to Land Use number
722, which is 54 Greene Street. Who do we have

of withdrawal.

here for that? And I'd like to call up the

б

applicants on this matter, Stefanie Marazzi andSpring Wang.

Ladies, whenever you're settled, please turn the microphone on, state your name when you speak 'cause for the record when we have a transcript we need to know who's speaking at the time.

STEFANIE MARAZZI: Good morning, my name is Stefanie Marazzi from the law firm Slater & Beckerman. We represent the owner of 54 Greene Street, who is with me today.

Corp is applying for a special permit under
Section 74-711 of the Zoning Resolution to allow
conversion of the second and fourth floors to Use
Group 17D, joint living-work quarters for artists,
and to legalize the Use Group 6 retail on the
first floor and cellar of the building.

Specifically, we're requesting modification of ZR
42-14D(1)(b), which prohibits JLWQA in pre-1961
buildings with over 5,000 square feet of lot
coverage, and modification of ZR 42-14D(2)(b),
which prohibits Use Group 6 uses below the second
floor in M1-5B districts.

The premises are at the southeast

corner of Greene and Broome Street in SoHo in an

M1-5B manufacturing district within the SoHo Cast

Iron Historic District. The building is a six-

6 story loft building constructed in 1873, with

33,000 square feet of floor area and a total lot

8 coverage of 5,500 square feet.

Currently, there is retail in the cellar and on the first floor, offices and a clothing store on the second floor, joint living-work quarters for artists on the third, fifth, and sixth floors, and office and wholesale on the fourth floor. There are three retail units on the ground floor of the building, of which the smallest, Unit 1C, is a pre-existing grandfathered Use Group 6 retail use. The existing joint living-work quarters for artists on floors three, five, and six were permitted pursuant to a ZR 74-782 determination of residential loft occupancy in 1985.

In exchange for the change of use, the owner has entered into a restrictive declaration to perform restoration work, including repainting and repairing the cast iron façade,

covers.

replacing missing cast iron features, repairing
and painting the sheet metal cornice, and
repairing the sidewalk cast iron and glass vault

In response to concerns raised by the Community Board, the borough president, and Council Member Chin, the owner has committed to not leasing the ground floor and cellar of the premises to a Use Group 6 eating and drinking establishment and will make a determined effort to rent the ground floor and cellar to diversified retail uses, not to big box or chain stores. I will read into the record a letter from the owner stating those commitments.

and Mr. Viggiano for meeting with us regarding the application for a special permit under ZR 74-711 for 54 Greene Street. As I mentioned in our meeting, I will not lease the ground floor and cellar of the premises to a Use Group 6 eating and drinking establishment. I will make a determined effort to lease the first floor and cellar of the premises to diversified retail uses and not to big box or chain stores.

As we discussed, I would prefer to retain multiple retail units on the ground floor of the premises, however, I would like to retain the flexibility to merge units 1A and 1B if and when it becomes necessary. Retail tenants in unit 1A have difficulty staying in business due to the lack of a direct entrance on Greene Street. Its current entrance is a lobby shared by the residents and tenants of upper floors. The store entrance and windows are three to four feet above sidewalk level and, thus, have poor pedestrian visibility.

Since the current configuration of unit 1A may not be viable for retail, I may merge unit 1A and 1B so that the combined unit has a single entrance at the corner of Greene and Broome Street. My preference, however, would be to retain the current configuration of the three retail units on the ground floor.

I greatly appreciate your time and consideration of the application. Respectfully submitted, Spring Wang, President 54 Greene Street Realty Corp.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 14
2	much.
3	STEFANIE MARAZZI: Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I do want to
5	acknowledge for the record and for the panel that
6	this application was approved by the Community
7	Board, Community Board 2, 38 to nothing, and that
8	Council Member Chin is supportive of this
9	proposal.
10	Does anyone on the panel have any
11	questions for these two women? Seeing none, we
12	thank you very much.
13	STEFANIE MARAZZI: Thank you very
14	much.
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We're going to
16	close this hearing.
17	STEFANIE MARAZZI: Thank you very
18	much.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You're
20	welcome. I then willat our last meeting, we had
21	an extensive hearing on the West Harlem Rezoning
22	and Text Amendment, which is Land Use number 715
23	and 716. After detailed discussions, Council
24	Member Jackson is with us to describe a
25	modification that he has proposed. Council Member

And we are going to move to a vote now on all the items we have heard today.

[Pause]

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That will include Land Use number 708, Juicerie, which has

the modifications that were described by Matt
Viggiano from Council Member Chin's district. I
reiterate that 723 is off the agenda pursuant to
withdrawal of that application. Land Use number
722, which is 54 Greene Street which we just heard
from, and Land Use number 715 and 716 is the West
Harlem Rezoning and Text Amendment with the
modifications that were just described by Council
Member Jackson. So we are going to couple all
those items into one vote. I'd like to call on
counsel now to read the roll and we will vote on
these items and then we will move on to Chelsea
Market.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Included in this vote is 723, which is a motion to withdraw Bar 30, which I mentioned is off the agenda because it has a motion to withdraw, so that's included within this vote. Thank you.

[Pause]

22 COUNCIL CLERK: Chair Weprin.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Aye.

24 COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member

25 Reyna.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 17
2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye.
3	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
4	Comrie.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye.
6	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
7	Jackson.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Aye.
9	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
10	Vann.
11	[Pause]
12	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye on all.
13	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
14	Garodnick.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: [No
16	response].
17	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
18	Lappin.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye, and
20	congratulations to Council Member Jackson.
21	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
22	Vacca.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Aye on all.
24	COUNCIL CLERK: Council Member
25	Ignizio.

Т	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 10
2	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.
3	COUNCIL CLERK: By a vote of eight
4	in the affirmative, none in the negative, land
5	[Pause]
6	COUNCIL CLERK:item 722number
7	722 is approved, Land Use item 708, 715, and 716
8	are approved with modifications, and the motion to
9	withdraw Land Use item 720
10	[Pause]
11	COUNCIL CLERK:pursuant to
12	withdrawal is passed and referred to the full Land
13	Use Committee.
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
15	much.
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. So
18	we're now going to move onto the main event of the
19	morning, still a morning, which is the Chelsea
20	Market, thank you, very good. Quiet sign lifting
21	is always appropriate.
22	[Pause]
23	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Garodnick,
24	we just voted on a number of items before the
25	Chelsea Market hearing, so we're going to call on

2 you to vote, so let's do that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: You ready for me? I vote aye, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: There you go, well done, Mr. Garodnick. And we're going to move on to this hearing. Again, really I ask for cooperation from the audience, I know this is a good group and will keep it quiet. I understand people have very strong emotions on this, but we ask for quiet and respect to everyone who's testifying on both sides of the issue.

I just want to let the people who are going to be testifying after the applicants that, because of the large amount of people who have signed up to testify, we're going to limit people to about two minutes on their testimony. You know, if you could try to summarize in your head or on your paper your remarks and get your main points out within two minutes, you know, I may show a little leniency at the beginning if things are going well, but please try to keep it short. It's the only way we can get through everyone and make sure everyone's voice is heard. I apologize, I have a bit of a cold, so that may

2.

make me more cranky than usual, I should have
taken a paid sick leave day, but I didn't know if
that would get me in trouble.

So anyway, we will move on.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I'd like to call on now the following people to testify on behalf of the application: Michael Phillips,
Melanie Meyers, and David Burns.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Again, whoever is speaking, please state your name each and every time you speak if there's a break in you speaking, and I see you have a couple of charts and graphs and those are very appreciated. So whenever you're ready, you may start.

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Good morning,
Council Members, Chair Weprin, and Chair Comrie,
thank you for having us this morning. My name is
Michael Phillips, I'm the Chief Operating Officer
of Jamestown, the owner of Chelsea Market. We
have been working on plans to expand Chelsea
Market for several years and are very pleased to
come before you this morning with our Land Use

proposals. I will be followed by Melanie Meyers, our Land Use counsel, and David Burns, the architect who designed the project. They will discuss in greater detail our Land Use proposals and the project's design and how we've improved the design during the process. I'd like to start by letting you know who we are and our vision for Chelsea Market and the goals in the proposal before you.

Jamestown is a real estate company that's been investing in New York City and specifically in Chelsea, West Chelsea, and the meat packing district since 1998. We've been the majority owner and managing member of Chelsea

Market for almost a decade. We've invested in and managed over 3.5 million square feet in New York

City in that time period.

As you know, Chelsea Market is a special property with dynamic public retail concourse on the ground and loft-style office on the upper floors. In recent years, we've seen the market grow and thrive and as tenancies change marketedly for the better. For example, on the ground floor concourse, we have successfully

implemented a strategy to incubate startup food
related retailers and, accordingly, have increased
the number of tenants substantially from 23 to 33
in just the past five years, with even more on the
way with our new kiosk plans.

On the upper floors, Chelsea Market has been transformed over the last decade to house more than 3,500 jobs in media, creative arts, and high-tech industries. Among our major tenants are NY1, Google, Major League Baseball, Advance Media, the Food Network. These businesses represent some very few industries that have grown during the recession. These businesses come to Chelsea Market because of the vibrancy of the ground floor and the opportunities presented in large floor plates and high floor-ceiling heights of Chelsea Market, and the synergy of located with other like-minded industries and workers.

In turn, Jamestown has invested and will continue to devote substantial resources towards bringing food and technology offerings to the market to the broader community. Through participation in nutrition programming, annual scholarships to Fulton Youth of the Future with

б

our partner, James Beard Foundation, and job

development programs, we believe the synergy

happening inside the market is having a direct and

positive effect on our neighbors.

As a result of these successes and, in particular, to the recent growth of our high-tech and media tenants, Chelsea Market is now out of room. We are asking for the opportunity to allow these businesses to continue to expand and thrive in what has become their home, and which will also allow for Chelsea Market to remain vibrant, contributing resource that it has developed into over the past 15 years.

Our proposed expansion of the market is intended to accomplish some meaningful, long-lasting goals for improving the Chelsea neighborhood. First, the expansion creates the much-needed space for our expanding tech and media company tenants widely viewed as the next great economic opportunity for New York City.

Second, it will provide funds to support the development of new affordable housing units in the West Chelsea neighborhood.

Third, it will support the High

б

Line Park through a contribution of funds and new amenities, such as educational workshop rooms, back-of-the-house space, public restrooms, and freight elevator access.

Fourth, addition to space for office workers, it will support ground floor food market, allowing it to thrive into the future.

Finally, the expansion is anticipated to create more than 1,200 new permanent jobs in the high-tech and media industries, as well as an additional 600 union construction jobs and the expansion of unionized security, engineering, electrical, and housekeeping workforce.

We greatly appreciate the constructive input from the Community Board and other stakeholders throughout this public review process. As Melanie and David will further discuss, we have been able to respond to these important concerns in a number of ways, but in particular, through making revisions to the proposed design and a mechanism for the project to generate a contribution to affordable housing in the community.

itself, the Chelsea Market is located between 15th and 16th Street, 9th and 10th Avenues in West Chelsea. It is an interesting building, it is actually a combination of somewhere between 14 and 19 buildings, depending on who's counting, that were built between the turn of the century until about the 1940s. It was the home of the Nabisco factory and as the Nabisco factory grew and flourished, new buildings were built, buildings were connected, and it ended up with a really interesting compilation of buildings.

When the Nabisco factory left the area, the building remained, but it didn't quite have the dynamism that it had before. The upper floors became vacant or were turned into more storage and warehouse uses. The ground floor had limited uses at that point.

The past 15 years, about, there's been a real change. The Chelsea Market has made a complete transformation in terms of the uses, both on the ground floor and in the upper floors. The ground floor has a really interesting food-related concourse that is both a amenity for the community, for visitors to the building. It is

2 also the lobby for the uses up above.

Above the ground floor is about 900,000 square feet of space which, again, over the past 10 to 15 years has been transformed into the homes of some of the most important media and tech companies in the city.

To the east and to the west of
Chelsea Market are other buildings which have sort
of experienced the same sort of transformation.
The neighbor to the east is Google headquarters,
and the property to the west of Chelsea Market
also has a series of high-tech tenants.

From a physical location standpoint, the Chelsea Market block is immediately south of the Special West Chelsea District as it exists today. That was a zoning that was adopted in 2005, as you know, you were all part of it—or many of you were part of it at that point, and that was a proposal that was designed to allow for additional density, additional uses in the area, in exchange for contributions and improvements to the High Line Park and for other amenities.

Looking at the site from a sort of

2.

more physical standpointand this maybe, David,
it would be helpful if you could take a look a
little bitChelsea Market is in the mix of a sort
of series of smallerof older and newer
buildings, taller and shorter buildings. The
first, I guess it's the upper left-hand corner, is
sort of showing the Chelsea Market, which is a
small building, looking up 10th Avenue and it is
currently sort of in line with a series of
buildings ranging from a height of about 200 feet
to 250 feet. If you move to the upper right-hand
corner, that's bringing in a little bit closer and
you can see part of the proposal about to beto
show you.

From the north, in the lower left-hand corner, what you see is a building that's immediately north of the Chelsea Market block, it's called the Caledonia, it's a residential building that was built as part of the Special West Chelsea District.

And the last image is looking northward on 9th Avenue, where you can see the shape of Chelsea Market on the left-hand side and the Fulton Houses to the north.

Looking at the image--looking at
the block sort of closer in, what--again, I think
this image is helpful to see sort of how the built
form sits around the Chelsea Market block. Right
now, it's sort of a low point in what is a
mixture, again, of low and high-rise buildings.
You can see the buildings on the 10th Avenue side
ranging from an FAR standpoint from 7 1/2 to 12
FAR, and from a heights ranging from about 185
feet to 250 feet. On the 9th Avenue side, again,
you see heights of buildings and densities ranging
from about 220 feet and 275 feet.

So the proposal would be to really take the Chelsea Market block bring it into what we think is kind of zoning parity with some of its neighbors and to make two additions to the block.

On the 10th Avenue side, the proposal would be to add an approximately 210,000 square foot office component that would be expansion space for the existing tenants that exist in Chelsea Market, as well as space for new media, technology tenants that might locate in the area.

On the 9th Avenue side, there is an additional development, and that would be about a

90,000 square foot office addition that would, again, sort of work within, we believe, the framework of the existing Chelsea Market and the surrounding area.

I do want to point out, there's anin this particular image there is a dotted line,
which I think is just to important to know that is
a currently a vacate site that's fully permitted
and it is permitted for a 284-foot tall building
which is under construction. So there'll be one
additional taller building that would be added to
the complex.

In order to achieve this plan, we are seeking two actions today. One is a zoning map amendment, and the second is a zoning text amendment, which would be an amendment to the Special West Chelsea District. The map amendment would be to maintain the current zoning designation of M1-5, but it would be to extend the Special West Chelsea District to cover the entire Chelsea Market block.

The zoning text amendment has a number of elements to it. The first would be--the Special West Chelsea District currently has--

should count--I think it's eight sub-area, subarea 8 is sub-area H, and there are specific
controls that relate to each of those that relate
to the overall--the specific context of each of
those areas. We would be creating a new sub-area
J for the Chelsea Market block and there would be
a number of elements that would be part of the
sub-area J controls.

The first would be to establish a specific envelope controls for the site.

Currently, under the M1-5 zoning, tower controls are allowed on the site, height and setback controls are allowed, and the potential for a quite tall building is something that is—it exists today under current zoning. And rather than having those sorts of limitations or those sorts of rights, what we'd be proposing is a maximum height limitation with specified setbacks on the 10th Avenue side with a maximum height of 230 feet, and David will go through those particulars. And on the 9th Avenue side, a maximum height of 135 feet.

We would allow under the zoning text amendment the ability to increase the density

of the site from the current zoning, which the current building is about 5.4 FAR, the zoning would allow for an increase in overall density to 7.5 FAR and to do that it would be employing controls that currently exist in the Special West Chelsea District, and they are controls that have been used for development in the area, including the Caledonia to the north.

There'd be three things that would be a requirement to reach the maximum development potential: There would need to be a contribution made on a per-square foot basis to a affordable housing fund which exists as part of the Special West Chelsea text, and that would be a contribution that would be made at the time development proceeds.

There would also be a contribution to a High Line Improvement Fund, also that exists in the zoning text today and it would be applied to this block.

And third, there would be physical amenities that would be built as part of the development to benefit and support the activities and operations of the High Line. And, again,

David will go through that as well.

There are also some additional controls that would be part of the—that are part of the text. In response to community concerns, the text has been amended at the City Planning to prohibit the use of hotels use within any new development. It also would include a requirement for preserving the ground floor retail concourse and it will also impose certain design and preservation requirements, which was, again, something that we spent a lot of time working and talking to the Community Board. So that's the overall view, the text amendment itself.

In terms of the modifications, the Community Board made a very thoughtful and a very long and a detailed recommendation on this project, and we really thank them and the borough president staff for their deliberations, their considerations. I think we've been able to achieve a number of the goals and requests of the Community Board and I did want to just sort of run through that. You know, first there was a request that we modify the maximum height from the certified height, and we were able to do that.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was a request that we reduce any heights and any additions in the mid-block, and we were able to do that. There were requests to modify and change the envelope on 10th Avenue and, while we didn't meet what the Community Board and what you'll see isn't 100% what the Community Board asked for, we made some significant modifications to that development envelope. They asked for a mechanism to provide for contributions to the affordable housing, and we've been able to do that. They asked for the prohibition on hotel, we were able to do that. They asked for ground floor retail controls, we were able to do that. asked for measures to protect both the existing fabric of Chelsea Market, as well as the quality of the new additions, and we were able to do that. And we also made commitments to the Community Board to continue public art displays in the concourse, which is something that happens today, to work with the Community Board for job postings, for job fairs, for residents of the community to build the enlargements to a LEED Silver standard, to adopt a construction task force as part of any development that proceeds, and to not have any

above-grade open air eating and drinking
separate open air eating and drinking
separate open air eating and drinking

So we think we've responded to many things we heard in the process, we think we have a great project. It was gratifying to us that as part in recognition of these changes that we got a unanimous approval from the City Planning

Commission recommending approval as modified of the application and we're here to ask for your support.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

DAVID BURNS: My name is David
Burns, I'm a principal with Studios Architecture,
and as Melanie and Michael said, I'm going to
elaborate a little bit more on the proposals. I'm
going to start quickly with just the site and the
proposals and how they interact and sit on the
site, then I'll go into a little bit more detail
on 9th Avenue, then 10th Avenue, and then I'll
return to the High Line amenities that Melanie had
just alluded to.

So, again, the overall image of the site, the combination of buildings, and the view

of the proposals. So at 10th Avenue, a commercial addition that has some immediate setbacks and then we'll talk about this proposal shows the changes from the ULURP certification through the process to where we are today. Overall height at 226 feet on the west side of the site and 135 feet on the east side of the site, with the mid-block being reduced to 130 feet.

This just shows an image of the current usage on the ground floor to get a better understanding of the retail uses versus non-retail uses. So the portions that are grayed out are the loading kind of service areas that are also office entries, those function as the buildings, the office spaces' main lobby. So the elevators all interact with the space, which helps kind of support that dynamism and synergy between the retail functions and the office functions above.

The same plan, but with the new vertical circulation shown. So the two areas—and I'll use the mouse to highlight these—this would be the new elevator bank that would take people up to the 10th Avenue portion. The two elevators on the eastern side of the site would be the two new

elevators to the 9th Avenue portion. Really showing the minimal impact on the overall ground floor of the market. The overall impact in retail is under a total of about 1,000 feet. The two other areas are falling within existing loading docks and existing freight elevators. This is part of the structural support system for the 10th Avenue addition, really to minimize overall impact on the market, the spaces above, and the ground floor uses.

So first with 9th Avenue, 9th
Avenue is a one-story building currently at 16th
Street and 9th Avenue flanked by two three-story
buildings. Immediately to the south, is an eightstory portion that's one of the more iconic
portions of Chelsea Market, which is the entry.

The entry to the building is here, kind of between
the three-story and eight-story portions. And a
slide of the ULURP massing, which originally was
designated as a hotel use, to the current massing,
which is an office use. The office use would be
aligned floor plates with a façade design that
you'll see in a second that mimics a lot of the
façade that's happening on the south side of the

б

block. With a setback at the existing building height cornice on the 9th Avenue side, and a 15-foot setback over the townhouse on 16th Street, which was also a request from the Community Board. The overall height at 135 feet is lower than the total height at this corner, which is about 142.

A view from the north looking south along 9th Avenue showing a terra cotta façade with windows and a similar rhythm to the windows that are currently on the building, and a top floor that is set back from the street on both sides.

And then the view from the south again looking north. Again, the terra cotta coloration will be similar to that of the brick that exists on the market today.

So from here I'll go quickly
through 10th Avenue. Again, the ULURP massing,
which was eight stories of new construction that
sat in line with the 10th Avenue façade of Chelsea
Market. The High Line passes through this portion
of the building, which makes for some of the
structural challenges of the building. Through
the process, through the Community Board process,
and with City Planning and borough president,

we've shifted the mass and pushed the mass 15 feet back from 10th Avenue, pushed the setbacks back accordingly, and included an additional setback at the top of another 40 feet at the eighth floor to minimize the visibility from the street and from the High Line, and also maximizing light and air to the High Line.

The material images, the references on the top right and the lower left are references from Community Board 4 about material coloration, as well as smaller apertures, smaller elements in the fenestration design, both of which we've incorporated into the new design. We are proposing a terra cotta façade, which is actually lighter in weight than a brick façade, given some of the structural challenges we have, but the coloration will be very similar to what is on the building below in a combination of that and some gray metal surrounds on the windows, which is also similar to the fenestration of the existing building of the market.

So a view from the west side highway. We see the initial setback off of 10th Avenue, this is the Caledonian in the background,

б

and 85-10th across the street. This was really part of the process of determining that that datum of that first setback to have a reference with the immediate neighborhood, and you see the terra cotta material and the depth of the façade, which relates to the masonry depth below.

A view from the High Line with the façade pulled back from 10th Avenue.

And then a view from the north on 10th Avenue, again, looking south with the Caledonian in the foreground on the left-hand side.

So very quickly I'll describe the High Line amenities, which was one of the elements that Melanie mentioned. The portion that is being proposed for the High Line amenity is dotted in in white. This represents about 50% of the frontage along the High Line passage as the High Line passes under the existing building. And in orange, you'll see where that is an area of what it is in the floor. The space currently is at the same level as the High Line that, as Melanie mentioned, there'll be a combination of restrooms for the High Line, new freight elevator, back-of-

2.

house staging space, as well as educational space
that can be used directly off of the High Line.
This is approximately about 5,000 square feet of
space that's currently office space. This also
includes a new freight elevator that will have
accessdedicated access to the basement as well,
for an additional 1,200 square feet or so of
storage for back-of-house uses for the High Line.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Thank
you very much. I'm going to let my colleagues
start, I'm going to ask Council Member Dan
Garodnick to start, please, ask some questions or
comments.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Thank

you very much, Mr. Chairman, and I just want to

note Council Member Lappin was kind enough to let

me jump the line for a moment because I have to

rush out.

Thank you for your testimony. I want to just focus on two very quick issues, and then will defer back to Council Member Lappin.

The first is on the affordable housing fund, it sounds like the 7 1/2 FAR is achieved if there is a contribution, and among other requirements, to

the affordable housing fund that was created in the context of the West Chelsea rezoning. How much of a contribution is that; what exists in the fund today? Help us understand where things stand on that affordable housing fund.

MELANIE MEYERS: Sure. When the Special West Chelsea was adopted, they did create the concept of these two funds: The High Line Improvement Fund and the affordable housing fund. Both of them established a monetary contribution for every square foot of development. So at the time of the adoption of the Special West Chelsea District, the contribution was \$50 a square foot. That's adjusted annually for CPI, and so I believe that the current number is \$59.70, and it will fluctuate every July 1st for those portions.

For the Chelsea Market project, the first 80,000 square feet of development would generate a contribution towards the affordable housing fund, and if you do the math, that adds up to about \$4.7 million.

If the full project, as David has described, is built out, the overall contribution to both the affordable housing fund and the High

2 Line Improvement Fund is about \$17 1/2 million.

Today, this would be the first use of the affordable housing fund in Special West Chelsea when this project is adopted. At least at this point, nobody has contributed to that fund, so this is a great opportunity to use that fund. For the High Line Improvement Fund, the Caledonia to our north, has contributed to that fund for its project. I can't give you the exact number of what their contribution added up to because I just don't know the math, but that was used for capital improvements to the High Line fund.

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I see.

So the \$59.70 per square foot, which is the current threshold, or the current dollar amount for contribution to the fund, none of that has been paid into the fund to-date, is that correct?

MELANIE MEYERS: That is for this project, for this project, that is absolutely-
[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:

[Interposing] Sorry, I mean overall, in terms of the dollars in the West Chelsea affordable housing fund, there is no money in there to--

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 4
2	[Crosstalk]
3	MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] That
4	is correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Okay.
6	And is that because that was an option for
7	developers or is it because the development that
8	was within that area did not happen?
9	MELANIE MEYERS: Again, you know,
10	there are these variety of sub-districts and they
11	all have, sort of, their unique controls. At the
12	time of the adoption, I would say that the focus
13	of theor the impetus for the text was really
14	about the High Line and how to encourage the High
15	Line and I think that, sort of, in many of the
16	districts, the High Line improvements are actually
17	given, I'd call it, preference over contributions
18	to the affordable housing fund. This would
19	actually reverse what has happened in other sub-
20	districts to have the affordable house sort of
21	benefit from development first. And I'm not sure
22	I'm quite answering your question
23	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:
24	[Interposing] Yeah, I'm not sure that that does

[Interposing] Yeah, I'm not sure that that does because what I don't understand is whether that

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was an option or a requirement in the West Chelsea rezoning, and if it was a requirement, why there are no dollars in the fund for affordable housing there.

MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] I I think it's more accurate to call it an see. option, and it--for other areas and, again, for most areas, the ability to take--to put monies into the affordable housing fund is limited. for example--and this is just an example--the affordable housing fund is available to sites that--there's another mechanism--let me step back--there's another mechanism in Special West Chelsea that allows for development rights that are over the High Line to be transferred, to be sold by the owner of those proprieties to other properties in There is a provision in Special West the area. Chelsea that says that after 95% of that floor area has been transferred, if somebody else wants to build and take advantage of a higher density, they can do so by making a contribution to the affordable housing fund. So that's sort of an example of how in other areas there's sort of a preference for High Line improvements. And,

21

22

23

24

25

2	again, so we can sortwe can provide sort of
3	details on all of the mechanisms, but I think it's
4	generally fair to say that there's a preference
5	for and options for the High Line Improvement
6	Fund.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: So then
8	for here
9	MELANIE MEYERS: Yes.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:let's
11	talk specifically about this project. It's an
12	80,000 square foot development, the contributions
13	to the affordable housing fund would be calculated
14	in the same way it's calculated in the West
15	Chelsea rezoning, so that would be today \$59.70
16	MELANIE MEYERS: Correct.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK:and
18	that could change, obviously. Is this an option
19	in the same way that it is an option in the rest

of the West Chelsea rezoning as you described it, or is this something that has to happen contemporaneous with or prior to the construction of those 80,000 square feet?

MELANIE MEYERS: It is different than the other mechanisms. The requirement would 2.

it does.

be for thein order to take advantage of the
bonus that and in order to have take advantage of
the first 80,000 square feet of bonus, the monies-
-the contribution would go first to the affordable
housing fund. The overall project is about
290,000 square feet, so they start on 9th Avenue,
it's an 80,000 square feet project thatif that
was the first part that started, you'd pull a
building permit and at the time of pulling the
building permit, the contribution to the
affordable housing fund would be required to be
made. Does that answer your question?
COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I think

MELANIE MEYERS: Okay.

GOUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: I'm going to defer back to my colleague, Council Member Lappin, I just will note that we want to be certain that when there are commitments made here, particularly on affordable housing, that there are mechanisms in place to make sure that it happens and that it's locked in. So we're certainly going to talk to you more about this and make sure that we have a very clear picture. I will just note, I

2.

had a couple of other questions which, hopefully,
will come out in the course of the testimony today
about the retail uses, how much of that is food,
what the current balance is, what the other 40% is
beyond 60%. But I will leave that and I certainly
will have staff here as I go. So, Council Member
Lappin, thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Garodnick. Council Member Lappin.

Mr. Chair. I have a few questions for you. So seven years ago, there was the creation of the Special West Chelsea District in 2005, I was not in the City Council, I was elected to the City Council in 2005. So I'm hoping you can educate me, especially since I think, Mr. Phillips, you said in your testimony you've been a majority stakeholder for a decade, so you must have been involved in those talks back then.

[Off mic]

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Okay. So this property was not included in that district. Why? And were there discussions about including it in the district at that time?

2	MELANIE MEYERS: So I'm going to
3	talk a little bit, and then turn it over to
4	Michael because he obviously has more sort of
5	direct You know, there were discussions and if
6	you read the Community Board's recommendation for
7	the Special West Chelsea District that was adopted
8	at that point, it includes recommendations of
9	extending the district southward. The Jamestown's
10	management of the property occurred in 2004
11	that's right?and at that point, the scope of the
12	Special West Chelsea District had been established
13	and they were sort of working through it. You're
14	right, it didn't get adopted 'til 2005, but I
15	think that there's a record that the ownership of
16	Chelsea Market is actually on support and on board
17	with the Special West Chelsea District, support
18	for the High Line, and a desire, even from that
19	point, to be included within the district.
20	When the Jamestown came into the
21	picture, it was, frankly, too late to do it at
22	that point, so that
23	[Crosstalk]
24	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:
25	[Interposing] So it was

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MELANIE MEYERS: --part of it.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: --so it was

4 purposefully excluded then at the time.

MELANIE MEYERS: And if you ask why the prior owner was concerned and I would say opposed to Special West Chelsea District at that time, you know, he is not here. Some of the things that I think it's important to keep in mind--and, you know, Chelsea Market is an established building in the community, it is an established, we think, amenity, the retail concourse is something that I think people really prize and cherish, but it's not that old of a building. It was an underutilized building until the end of the 1990s, it was converted to its current concept starting at that point. So in 2002 and 2003, when they're starting to think about the High Line, we didn't have a Chelsea Market that's as vibrant, as healthy as it is now, we had a new concept.

I can see somebody who was creating a new concept being worried about change and I think it may well be that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: No, I

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Phillips?

understand it's not a very old building, it's not
a very old Special West Chelsea zoning district
either, right? So would you like to address that,

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Yeah, maybe I would just say that Chelsea Market is a whole city block and at the time of the West Chelsea special zoning district prior to our management of the building and the contemplation of it in the early 2000s, it was very unclear what it meant to have a West Chelsea special zoning district and to have a whole city block with the High Line going through it, I think probably provided a certain amount of fear to the previous owners about what that meant. And so as we became involved in the building, we immediately, you know, went through a process of-our earliest letters to the City Planning were in 2004, and so we've worked in earnest to become part of the West Chelsea special zoning district since that time.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: So you had a consistent position, but I guess City Planning has not? Because City Planning decided this was not in the scope at that time.

MELANIE MEYERS: I think it was
more a question of that the previous owner of the
building had some real concerns and that City
Planning listened to those concerns. And at the
time in 2004, bringing in another block, they had
a proposal that was well under way.

I mean, one other thing I just wanted to mention is, you know, Chelsea Market, if you think of it as an asset, as a building, again, it's 17 buildings, it sort of has different floor plates heights and every building has a different height. It's not an easy building to make successful.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I

understand.

MELANIE MEYERS: And at the time, you know, the options for the site is, if you think about it as a development site and you think about the ability to build a tower on a full city block, that's an option that I think somebody who was worried about what the future of the building would be, would probably more interest—

[Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:

[Interposing] I want to keep moving, I just want
to highlight, I mean, a lot of work, you know, I
wasn't here, but I'm told a lot of work went into
creating a district that, you know, now there are
attempts to change and it hasn't been a district
for that long, so that raises a flag for me.

I wanted to ask about the affordable housing fund within the district. Has any affordable housing ever been built by contributions to that fund?

MELANIE MEYERS: The fund, again, part of 2005 and there has not been a contribution to that fund today, and if this project proceeds, then it would be probably the first contribution to that fund.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:

[Interposing] So it hasn't been particularly successful. So how--where would be the money be transferred to and who would be responsible for building the affordable housing?

MELANIE MEYERS: The affordable housing fund is managed by the City and under the authority of HPD.

25 COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: So has HPD

shared rules or thoughts on how they will build
the housing? Where it will be built, et cetera?

How they will logistically do it?

MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] HPD, as you know, has a big portfolio and has lots of developers working with them, and so if you're asking whether or not there has been a formal designation of any monies in the affordable housing fund to any site, the answer is no.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: So it's a big question mark still. Traffic, do you think this project will add to the traffic in the neighborhood?

MELANIE MEYERS: We looked at traffic, we did an exhaustive environmental assessment statement. At the end of the day, you know, what we have is a project—at the time of certification it was a project which was about 300 and—do the math—340,000 square feet. The environmental analysis that was done was based on looking at a project of that size, it did not show the potential for significant adverse impact. Since the timing of the certification, the project has been reduced in scale substantially so it's an

building across the avenue that you had--

25

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 56
2	[Crosstalk]
3	MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] Oh,
4	to the south.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:line.
6	MELANIE MEYERS: Oh, that is a
7	hotel and it'll be, again, a 284 26-story hotel.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: So I guess
9	my question is, is it your position that there are
10	not other locations in and around Chelsea where
11	some of these businesses could grow?
12	MELANIE MEYERS: The building is a
13	unique asset, the building has a number of
14	elements which are really important to these sorts
15	of users. The building also has an incredible
16	amount of infrastructure and investment that these
17	types of users need. It's not aif you look on
18	the roof of 111-8th Avenue, which is across the
19	street, if you look at the roof of Chelsea Market,
20	it's filled with equipment, it's filled with
21	materials that are able to be accommodated in a
22	building that occupies a full city block and its
23	infrastructure that would be both expensive and
24	difficult to recreate in many other types of
25	buildings. Michael, do you want to say?

2.

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Yeah, I would
just add and the need of these users is to grow
jobs and people, not necessarily more technology.
So if you look at the expansion needs and
expansion that's occurred today, it's largely been
adjunct workforce to those businesses.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: No, I understand, I've been in the Google space and, you know, we're rushing through an East Midtown rezoning because I'm hearing the argument that we need to build more space that I guess would be competitive with Chelsea Market.

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: I don't think
Midtown is competitive with Chelsea Market in
regards to technology and media, I think, you
know, Midtown has its own drivers.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I guess that's exactly the point I'm getting to, that we're not going to grow thousands of jobs in just Chelsea Market, right? We're going to have to have businesses grow in other buildings and other locations for the long-term economic viability of the city. Right? We can't make Chelsea Market become sort of a massive--

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 58
2	MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Certainly.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Right. So,
4	okay, thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms.
6	Lappin. Council Member Comrie.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Thank you,
8	Chair Weprin. What is youryour building
9	envelope, is it higher or wider than any of the
10	contiguous buildings in the area?
11	MELANIE MEYERS: I think we have is
12	a board the development envelope or else we have
13	it towards the end of this presentation. David,
14	do you remember?
15	DAVID BURNS: [Off mic] board.
16	MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah, so let's put
17	this in two ways, is it the first one? Yes. I
18	don't know that this isn't the prettiest picture
19	in the world, but this sort of shows it and maybe
20	it's helpful, yeah, that's actually a better
21	picture 'cause it shows it in the context. So
22	just to give you a sense of the heights, along
23	10th Avenue, the building that's immediately to
24	the north of the site, it's the Caledonia, it's

250 feet tall, this is on the 10th Avenue side.

25

б

The maximum height of our project is 250 feet. As you move further down on 10th Avenue, the High Line building is 203 feet; the standard hotel, which is another block south, is 250 feet. So we think from a height standpoint on 10th Avenue, we're well within what's there.

On the 9th Avenue side, the building that's immediately across the street is 111-8th Avenue, our proposal is 135 feet for maximum height; the 111-8th Avenue building is 275 feet tall; the Fulton Houses buildings to the north, which is probably kind of--you know, it's one of the older residential buildings in the area, is 220 feet tall; and, as we mentioned, the hotel that's being proposed on the south is 284.

From a floor area standpoint, the Google building is about a 13 FAR building, the buildings to the west are about between 10 and 11 FAR, and the Caledonia building, which was built to 7 1/2 and what we're proposing is a proposal which brings it up to 7.5.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: So your building is not creating any light and shadow issues for any of the adjacent buildings or any of

2 the properties around the particular area.

WELANIE MEYERS: We think that it's well within the existing fabric. One of the analyses that was done as part of the environmental assessment statement was a shadow study and in particular shadows on the--whether or not there would be a significant increase in shadows on the High Line, because that's the public park that's in the district, and the analysis showed, you know, very limited incremental shadows, and with the modifications from City Planning of pulling the building back further, you know, even those small shadows will be reduced a little bit.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And what is your construction plan for the building, what part of the building is going to be developed first?

Is it this part of 10th Avenue or the part over--or the 9th Avenue side?

MELANIE MEYERS: [Off mic].

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: I mean, I would think our current plan would be to build both sections at the same time--

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Same time.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 61
2	MICHAEL PHILLIPS:in the same
3	sequence.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Okay.
5	MICHAEL PHILLIPS: And I think it
6	matters about what engineering resolutions are
7	easiest.
8	MELANIE MEYERS: And overall, it's
9	about a 18-month construction schedule.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And have
11	you worked out a mitigation with the traffic and
12	the issues on 9th and 10th Avenue and 14th Street
13	and how that wouldthose construction issues
14	would not impede traffic flow or pedestrian
15	traffic for High Line
16	[Crosstalk]
17	MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] What
18	we've committed to is to create a construction
19	task force at the time of construction to work
20	with the Community Board to make sure that, you
21	know, the construction measures work as best as
22	possible to make sure that you don't have the
23	kinds of effects that you're talking about.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: The fund
25	for the High Line, will you be administrating that

б

directly or is that given to the--is that given to

whoever's in charge of the High Line project

specifically?

MELANIE MEYERS: It is a contribution that is made to the City, it's administered by the Department of Parks and Recreation, and the Friends of the High Line are certainly have a role in looking at that, but the monies are actually given to the Department and Parks.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And since your building encompasses part of the High Line, will there be structural or interior improvements done to enhance the walkway or the High Line in that area or within the building itself?

MELANIE MEYERS: And, David, do you want to go through it? Like, David can go through a little bit.

DAVID BURNS: Sure. The areas where the High Line amenities will happen, there will be changes to those façades where the High Line passes through the building. The remaining façades will depend on the user that occupies that other portion along the High Line.

б

As far as structural changes, the structure is designed to avoid any changes to the High Line. So all the structure happens east of the High Line, so we're avoiding any penetrations through the existing High Line structure and being isolated completely from it.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: My understanding was that there was going to be some ease of access for the High Line when the documents that were presented to me earlier.

There's--

MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] Yes, there will be. Again, there'll be about--there are three elements in terms of what the developer would be building within the existing Chelsea

Market for the High Line. The first would be a dedicated freight elevator that would be accessed from West 16th Street and that would provide access both directly up to the High Line level, as well as to the basement. Maybe there's four elements.

There is storage within the basement that would be dedicated to the High Line at the High Line level, in addition to the freight

2	elevator, the developer would be building out
3	public restrooms that would be accessed from the
4	High Line, and in addition would be creating about
5	5,000 square feet of spaceis that the right
6	number? About 5,000 square feet of space at the
7	High Line level within the existing Chelsea Market
8	building, and that would be space that would be
9	used by the High Line and dedicated to the High
10	Line for use as educational space, as use for
11	support space for any activities or events on the
12	High Line.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And what
14	floor would that be approximately? First floor,
15	second floor?
16	DAVID BURNS: It's approximately
17	the third floor, there
18	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE:
19	[Interposing] Third floor?
20	MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: So it could
22	be used for a community space for the Friends of
23	the High Line or Community Board meetings or
24	anything else?
25	MELANIE MEYERS: You know, I think,

again, it's dedicated to and would be dedicated to use by the High Line, but that being said, they certainly are expecting that it could be used for—they have educational programs that it could be used for that and there's, I'm sure, the potential at certain times for additional uses.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: I mean, that would be under the management?

MELANIE MEYERS: That would be under the City's management and, again, they would work with Friends of the High Line to manage--to figure out the best way of using that space. I'm going to have the terminology not quite right, but the Friends of the High Line basically are in a position of sort of managing the operations of the High Line at this point, making sure it's properly maintained, et cetera, and they would continue that role.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: And then just my last series of questions, the first floor, which is sort of unique, are there any—going to be any substantial changes to the traffic flow and the amenities on the first floor area with this expansion?

2	MELANIE MEYERS:	Again, the
---	-----------------	------------

3 COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE:

[Interposing] The first level, I guess--

[Crosstalk]

MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing]

Yeah, the first level. There will be very little changes, I think David showed—in terms of the concourse, which I think is the element that people are rightly the most concerned about and, frankly, Jamestown is as well because it's really an important part of the character and value of the building. There would be about a 1,000 square foot impact on the concourse. The concourse has approximately—I'm going to do the math in my head—about 100,000 square feet of retail use from 9th Avenue to 10th Avenue, and so the effect of the addition of the one elevator bank is quite small.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Okay. and then just you're doing a lot of amendments and you're putting into the High Line fund and the affordable housing fund to be used whenever. Is there any other community benefits that you've promised to the community? Local hiring or M/WBE?

25

2	Have you put in any of those into your plan?
3	MELANIE MEYERS: You know, we
4	certainly talked with the community and made
5	commitments about jobs posting and working with
6	the Community Board's office to organize job fairs
7	and postings for properties and for projects in
8	theforexcuse me, for jobs within the market.
9	We certainly have talked about doing a LEED Silver
10	building, which we're committed to. We have
11	agreed to do public art in the concourse, which
12	we've agreed to do. In terms of hiring policies,
13	I think
14	MICHAEL PHILLIPS: [Interposing]
15	Yeah, we're very committed to M/WBE and, you know,
16	would work to get to as close to 20% as we could.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Okay. And
18	you mentioned again the LEEDs, that means you're
19	going to make the building retroactively the
20	entire complex LEED Silver?
21	MELANIE MEYERS: We're really
22	talking about the enlargements. Again, it's such
23	a bizarre building and has so many sort of

weirdnesses that the LEED's commitment would be to

the additions to the project.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 68
2	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Just to the
3	additions.
4	MELANIE MEYERS: Correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Not to the
6	existing building.
7	MELANIE MEYERS: Correct.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: 'Cause it's
9	very difficult to work backwards, okay.
10	MELANIE MEYERS: Yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: All right.
12	Well thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
14	Comrie. Council Member Jackson.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,
16	Mr. Chair, and good morning everyone. So I have a
17	couple questions, I was looking at the specifics
18	as per your presentation and in the brochure that
19	you submitted along with the Community Board's
20	action and the borough president's action. And it
21	seems as though, based on from the initial actions
22	taken by the Community Board, along with the
23	borough president's office, there has been, I
24	guess, changes made through discussions, and I
25	believe you're having those primary discussions

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with the Council Member whose district it's in,
which is Speaker Christine Quinn, is that correct?

MELANIE MEYERS: Yes, I mean, we have made a number of changes already and we're certainly continuing discussions.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So, as you know, you can see yourself, there are people here that do not want upzoning. Compared to those--and there was a press conference, my understanding by Build It, by union people that were outside saying that they want it built, these are jobs. In my opinion, this is the normal situation when major projects come about, you have those that are in favor, those that are against, and from the time that it starts to the time that it ends, there's a lot of discussions, testimonies, hearings, giveand-takes. So what do you perceive are some of the outstanding issues that could be resolved between now and then? And you know when then is, I believe I looked at the City Council deadline is November 2nd, which is just right around the corner. Are you continuing to have discussions with the parties that are involved with this in order to see if a consensus can be reached?

about 90%.

2	'Cause	that's	the	ideal	situation,	you	would
3	agree?				situation,		

MELANIE MEYERS: We certainly would agree that a consensus would be the ideal, and I think we spent a lot of time, again, with the Community Board, and we'll continue to have discussions. We think that the changes to the building form are things that make the building—we thought it worked within the neighborhood before, we think it's a better project the way it is today. And, you know, we're certainly willing to consider any additional ideas or thoughts, but we think we have the right building at this point.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: So considering, do you believe, based on the proposed changes by the Community Board and by the borough presidents, have you basically, in your opinion, have considered and acted on 25%, 50%, 75%?

MELANIE MEYERS: We would think

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Ninety percent.

MELANIE MEYERS: Yes. Yes. Again, we can go through it. They asked for a reduction

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 71
2	in height on 9th Avenue, we did that
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:
4	[Interposing] Okay. Now you say about 90%.
5	MELANIE MEYERS: Yep.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay. So
7	talk to me about the 10% that you believe have not
8	been acted on for whatever reason.
9	MELANIE MEYERS: I think that the
10	thingsI think we've addressed everything that
11	was in the Community Board's recommendation. I
12	think two of the ideas that were in the Community
13	Board that Jamestown I think is very open to and
14	there's different ways of doing it, is to think
15	to look at outreach to the local schools and to
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:
17	[Interposing] Outreach to what?
18	MELANIE MEYERS: To the local
19	schools and also to think about whether or not
20	there arebecause there's so much technology,
21	there are so many medias, there's so much advanced
22	technology in the building, whether or not there
23	is a way of leveraging that aspect of Chelsea
24	Market into a community benefit. And those are

two things that Jamestown is absolutely anxious to

25

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES /2
2	continue discussions on, you know, beyond this
3	process 'cause it's important to them.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Anything
5	else?
6	MELANIE MEYERS: I think we've
7	actually addressed everything else in the
8	recommendation.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay. I
10	guess a little later in the hearing, we will hear
11	from those that would, I guess, disagree with you.
12	When you said 90%, you heard some of the people,
13	like, gasp and/or chuckle
14	MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] Yes,
15	we did.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:so I
17	just
18	MELANIE MEYERS: And I also want to
19	be clear, we're talking about the Community
20	Board's recommendation
21	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON:
22	[Interposing] Yes.
23	MELANIE MEYERS:one of the major
24	recommendations of the borough president was to
25	shift bulk to 9th Avenue and that is something we

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | did not do.

3 COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.

MELANIE MEYERS: So just want to be clear about that.

5 clear about that

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Well, I mean, clearly, in my opinion, as someone that has gone through--we just voted on this morning the West Harlem rezoning with modifications and I've gone through with my colleagues, Mark Weprin, Inez, Leroy, and everyone here concerning Columbia's expansion, which is in my district. And though, obviously, in negotiations and discussions are not easy, but I think that when people go in there and do the best that they can, understanding that besides development you have communities, people that have lived in the community for decades and decades and want to see a community that is livable in many people's respect, and I'm sure that you see it and the developers see it as a livable situation also, and that's why I'm hoping that a compromise consensus can be reached on those matters that are outstanding. So and just keep the faith and keep plugging away, November 2nd is just around the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74
2	corner.
3	MELANIE MEYERS: It's just around
4	the corner, you're right.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you.
6	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
8	Jackson. Like to call on Al Vann, Council Member
9	Al Vann.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Yeah, good
11	morning. I just was unclear on your response to
12	Council Member Comrie when he asked about your
13	commitment to M/WBE. Would you just clarify for
14	me what that commitment is? 'Cause I didn't
15	understand.
16	MELANIE MEYERS: I think we were
17	talking about construction?
18	MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Right.
19	MELANIE MEYERS: Yeah, so in the
20	construction of the project.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: What about
22	it? What is yourwhat are the goals and what
23	guarantee will you meet those goals, M/WBE?
24	MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Our goal is to
25	achieve a 20% W/MBE use of minorities and women in

it's a quite diverse group and Michael can talk a

25

2 bit about that.

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: Certainly. We as a company pride ourselves in having a diverse workforce. And certainly in building operations would continue to pursue those goals.

COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: You mentioned the 1,000 or so jobs non-construction, is there a preference for local Community Board residency?

In other words, would they have priority of 20%, 50% or--

MELANIE MEYERS: [Interposing] I think there's two different types of workers. Again, this is an office building, there are a variety of different types of users in the buildings. I mean, one of the things that is interesting about the upstairs of Chelsea Market is that there are people that are in the high-tech world and you think about, you know, the people that graduate from these fancy schools, there also are the camera guys that are operating the cameras at, you know, NY1 studios. There's a real—and then there's the people who are working on the ground floor who have started their own businesses and are there.

So there's a real diversity of types of

backgrounds for the people that are in there. So

we think that there are great opportunities in the

building for a variety of different people that

live in Chelsea and live in the city.

They are the tenants in the building and so it's quite difficult to say you can be our tenant, but you have to hire X and Y people. So there's that, that's a challenge. But the types of users in the buildings actually lend themselves to the people who live in Chelsea Market.

MICHAEL PHILLIPS: And we have active intern programs and scholarship programs that we've been in the neighborhood operating for a long time, so we certainly would continue to pursue that. And, as Melanie stated, job postings and preference for local jobs is certainly a priority for us.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Vann. Okay. I don't see anyone else on the panel with a question. We're going to let--obviously, you'll probably be sticking around. If you want to leave the charts there, that could be helpful,

ASSEMBLY MEMBER DEBORAH GLICK:

25

Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify before you today concerning the proposed expansion of Chelsea Market. The Chelsea Market is a unique source of food, retail, and specialty stores that are a staple for the surrounding offices and residences, and a destination for New Yorkers and visitors from all over.

The upper floors, as you know, are home to media and software companies, and as the former home of the Nabisco factory, it is listed on the state and national Registers of Historic Places--I didn't hear that mentioned today--and is bordered by the Gansevoort Historic District, spans a whole block, and is contained within my district.

There is a very lovely photo of the proposal from the open space to the expansion. It would be more instructive for the committee if you had a before and after photo so that you could see exactly how much of the space in the community is going to be taken over by this incredible enlargement.

The applicant is proposing to amend the zoning granted under Special West Chelsea

District to increase the floor area in exchange for contributions to the High Line and for a fund for low and moderate income housing. As you've heard, there actually is no--has been no prior contribution, even though there have been to the housing fund, even though that has been one of the things that is consistently recommended and suggested, and every developer says they're going to put money into it and it never actually happens. This is going to be one more of those cases where it will be a promise for zoning change and a result that doesn't add any more affordable housing, but puts more pressure on the local neighborhood for increased cost of rentals, either for housing or, quite frankly, for office space.

And there is a modest modification made by City Planning about the space over the High Line and the shadows that would be created. Despite that, I am opposed to this current proposal. The buildings are tall, they're bulky, they're out of context with the historic district to which they are adjacent, and I request that you deny this.

The ink is barely dry, as was

Suggested by Council Member Lappin, on the West
Chelsea district, and yet there's an application
to change the rules that govern the district.
When it was created, the zoning for the Chelsea
area was reviewed and amended to its current state
and the goals were not to just help protect the
High Line, but also to move bulk away from the
High Line and to allow for air, light, and view
corridors to be maximized.

I'm racing through my testimony, you have it in front of you, but it was interesting to hear that the 9th Avenue side, that the plans for a hotel were withdrawn, but there continues to be a plan to develop office space.

That there is no impact on traffic is mind boggling. Everybody who lives in the city understands that when you build substantially larger buildings, there will be an increase of traffic.

Where they are going to actually do the construction is fascinating. If you come down 9th Avenue, at that point, DOT has forked the road so that if you're heading straight down Hudson Street, there are two lanes to the left, there are

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

allegedly two lanes to the right. There is almost never available lane in the parking lane because of cabs and people standing waiting for people who are either at the Food Network or at NY1 or maybe in some of the other offices, so you have the black cars or others standing in that parking lane. That spot is a constant traffic nightmare. We've, in fact, asked DOT to do a full review of that very corner because it is so dangerous. so their notion that they can build right there on that corner, I don't know where the construction crane is going to be, and 18 months is going to be a disaster for the neighborhood and the community if you allow for that building on 9th Avenue, which is actually less egregious than the building on 10th.

The density proposed moves the FAR from 5 to 7 1/2, this violates the intention of the zoning district and would permit the density on this block that is unprecedented anywhere else in the zoning district.

The alleged community benefits, very happy that there'll be public art, perhaps it will be views of the sky. And while it is well-

intentioned for them to provide some educational and training, I think that's terrific, but the M/WBE contribution, A, is not written in stone, hopefully will be in there. And just for construction jobs, they didn't want to talk about that the only other commitment might be to some security jobs and some janitorial jobs, which is why some of our good friends from local unions are at least happy that they've made that much of a commitment, but it is not—the only commitment to jobs for people in the neighborhood or a diverse workforce is essentially, we'll do job postings. That's, you know, you can take that to the bank.

And it's, you know, those amenities, I think it's great that there'll be some more bathrooms for the tourists who are on the High Line, but we already know that the High Line is not a park for the neighborhood. People in the neighborhood only go to the park on very thin slivers of time when the tourists have left. That is an amenity that has to do with visitors, it has nothing to do with the people who live in the community. And there are lots of newspaper articles now about how it's a great amenity for

б

2 the city, but it's not an amenity for the
3 neighborhood.

The 10th Avenue building is out of scale, it is out of context. Any attempts to do some setbacks, while that's welcome, it does nothing for the large box that is being put on a historic building that, as I said, was eligible for—well actually is on the state and national Register of Historic Places. If this addition was on it, it wouldn't be.

So as far as where could these companies grow, we have a great site that we're proposing at Pier 40 for--which is a unique space. As unique in many ways as the Chelsea Market, but it actually will have space right outside for a real park, not this thin sliver.

The proposal, it's clear why there wasn't--why the building wasn't included initially in the district itself, and that was because the owner was trying to sell it to Jamestown, did that successfully, and, obviously, part of that was if this is more restricted, then we don't want it; we want to be able to build, build, build. And we understand that New York is about change, New York

2	is about progress, but not all change is progress,
3	and losing neighborhoods where people live is not
4	progress; it's change, but it's not progress.

I urge you to deny this request.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Just keep it to a minimum. Thank you, Assembly Member Glick. Senator Duane.

SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: Thank you very much. So you know what the application is, you are well aware of what happened at City Planning, I'm going to go over just a couple of things.

The modification from City Planning Commission—and I share much of—I think all of the Assembly Member's concern, shall we say—anyway, CPC modified the proposal to include reductions in the scale of both buildings and a codified restriction on hotel use in the 9th Avenue building and the transfer. And I really want to spend some time emphasizing this, nearly 5 million of those, \$4.73 million, to the affordable housing fund from the contribution to the High Line and then also a minimum of 60% retail

frontage on the ground floor, pedestrian

passageway connecting the 10th and 9th Avenue

buildings.

Look, I appreciate the changes that have occurred to-date, but there's more work to be done here and it's falling into your purview.

For an acceptable addition, West
Chelsea, you know, acceptable addition of
something at the Chelsea Market to the West
Chelsea district, you've heard from many community
organizations, many of which I've been a member
of, one of which, Save Chelsea, I'm a founding
member of, and I share their concerns about this
non-contextual overdevelopment and other threats
to the historic character of the area.

I'm also very grateful to Board 4 for crafting the resolution which they crafted, which was very comprehensive and thoughtful and I think very helpful, not only to CPC, but I encourage you to--which I know you will anyway--really take a look at the roadmap that they put forward that would really dramatically improve this proposal. And I know CPC took some much of their proposals or their roadmap, but not all of

2 it.

So, you know, as an elected 3 official who's fought alongside Community Board 4 4 5 to include a significant affordable housing requirement for applications, including but not 6 limited to residential development in the Special West Chelsea District, I am pleased that the CPC 9 authorized Jamestown to shift 30% of the total 10 contribution originally designated to the High 11 Line and to the affordable housing fund created by 12 the City Council during the 2005 West Chelsea 13 rezoning process. And I love the idea that we 14 might get new affordable housing in our 15 neighborhood where, let's face it, soaring retail 16 prices are excluding New Yorkers, including those 17 who have made Chelsea so great and a place where 18 people want to live and work and visit. But 19 ensuring the existence of viable housing options 20 for all New Yorkers, including those with low and 21 moderate incomes, is the proven and best way to 22 keep our neighborhoods diverse, dynamic, and 23 vibrant. And furthermore, I just believe, and I 24 think many of us believe, it's the right and fair 25 thing to do.

And the Special West Chelsea

District fostered significant luxury residential development, as well as the affordable housing that was incentivized by the rezoning, and I think that that incentivizing was brilliant and is working. I know there was a--you know, people said, no, mandate, mandate, but I think that the, you know, the providing the opportunity by incentivizing has really worked there and here's another opportunity to provide more affordable housing. And, you know, the slow down in the economy and various things has made it so that the affordable housing that was already supposed to be

And I truly believe, I was going to say, you know, that, and again, you know, people are concerned that it's not going to happen, and I say in my testimony, cautiously optimistic, but I'm optimistic, I really am, that it is going to happen 'cause I trust you and I think we'll be fighting alongside to make sure it happens. But it's sort of a promise made and promise given--

built on the Fulton housing site hasn't happened,

but this is a good receiving site for this

additional money and so we can add in.

б

talk about that in a moment. All right, I got to
hurry because I want to make some extra [off mic].

So anyway, all right, you'll hear from historic preservation people, you heard from the Assembly Member, I'm in agreement with them on we have to be very careful with how—and make sure that we do preserve what has made this neighborhood so great, which is including the people and the bricks and mortar, quite frankly. And, you know, Jamestown agreed to modify its design in that area, but there's more work to be done, which you will hear about and have heard about.

So, you know, at the very least, let's look at what CB 4 has said about, you know, the additional panels of appropriate materials for whatever happens there, which is too much what Jamestown wants to do by far, but let's preserve the character of what's there 'cause it's a beautiful building, it's a beautiful, wonderful building and the reuse of it has been fabulous. And it's a big building and there's a lot of use in it already.

You know, I don't want to take up

all of your time and you could read it--2 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. 3 4 SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: --you know, 5 let me say just one thing, no, and I have, you 6 [Interposing] 8 9 SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: Yeah, I 10 know, I didn't say I'm going to wrap up, I said 11 12 You know, there was a lot of 13 discussion about what happened on the Upper West 14 Side and the zoning proposal for helping to 15 provide local retail and, you know, there was some 16 criticism, I supported it, I think it'll work, but 17 what we've suggested for Chelsea Market, I think will work and I think it is -- I think it's great 18 19 and very creative, like the incentivizing of 20 affordable housing to have smaller local 21 businesses. We hear a lot about that during the 22 presidential debate, don't we? We debate about 23 small businesses being the engine of--anyway. So 24 and, you know, I like that young people will be 25 able to get internships in technology, I mean, who

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wouldn't be, you know, for that?

So let me just say the last additional things. Look, when we did the Chelsea plan, we gave up a lot to preserve not as much as we wanted and we provided lots of development sites. You need only look up and down Sixth Avenue and 23rd Street to see where we gave up a lot to preserve a small part and--of Chelsea. Expanding historic district but where the historic district isn't, people are putting on top of buildings, they're building to the permissible zoning envelope wherever they can. So there is development happening even on top of low-rise buildings that are not within the historic district, Chelsea district. And a lot of the nice buildings have gone--they're gone 'cause they were not in the historic district and new buildings have been built there to the entire permissible envelope. So we gave up a lot. We only permitted housing in East

We only permitted housing in East
Chelsea. Our idea was those parking lots, if you
built housing there, you were supposed to put
affordable housing there. We didn't get that.
City Planning at the time, we didn't get it, it

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wasn't in there. What we were told by the commission and, you know, I was there, we were promised, well, you know, you can take advantage of whatever programs exist, and this is where I have a slight disagreement with some people, there is a lot there where there's money available to sanitation we're getting low-income housing in East Chelsea, but not much, not by far what we Because the Chelsea plan was develop, it wanted. was preservationist, it was local businesses, it was housing activists, it was a great coalition and, you know, let me say Jane Wood, we were promised affordable housing and that's why I keep stressing that. We were promised that in exchange for what we gave up with development sites.

So now Gansevoort, advocates didn't get everything that we wanted in Gansevoort, and I know you've all been to Gansevoort, and you know why? 'Cause I know that I would have to wear flats because of the cobblestones, but we still didn't get everything we wanted there and we-- having it a pedestrian place is good, people come there, by the way, from the High Line for hired cars and cabs, and they drive around there. So

[Crosstalk]

23

24

25

SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:

[Interposing] All right. [Off mic] High Line and

then I'm done. So, you know, I know this sounds weird now, but, you know, we took a risk with the High Line. We didn't know it was going to work. It has worked, right? You see New Yorkers walking down the street dressed in black, you look up there, you see non-New Yorkers dressed in bright colors, that's how you can tell, but, you know, it has—and you can't deny this taken resources—the pie should be big enough to take care of the Waterside Park too and that hasn't happened, right? So if, you know, the—it is more of the people's park. Sure, the High Line worked. It was a risk when it went in, it's a risk that paid off, but not enough is being done.

And, by the way, another place where we gave up commercial sites along the Waterside Park, many piers--Pier 40, three piers in Chelsea, in Hell's Kitchen piers were given over for commercial development. I mean, we do give a lot, right? And we're willing to work with people, but Jamestown has to do more and we'll work with them, but this is not enough. It's just not enough for a neighborhood that gives and gives and gives and gives and doesn't really get in return what

Т	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 90
2	we've given. I'm not saying we should all be
3	canonized, right? Maybe we should, but we have
4	been willing to work with developers and Jamestown
5	should work with us more.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,
8	Senator, that's why we're here today.
9	We have been joinedand I
10	apologize and please
11	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:
12	[Interposing] I just
13	[Crosstalk]
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:allow me
15	wait, let me just call on Deborah beforewe are
16	joined by your neighbor to the north, the longest
17	tenured member of the New York State legislature
18	Richard Gottfried is here so
19	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: He's
20	[Applause]
21	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:tier one.
22	[Crosstalk]
23	ASSEMBLY MEMBER DEBORAH GLICK: As
24	the Assembly Member is arriving
25	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: He's 88,

2.

City Planning has put in about setbacks, this is
right on the High Line and so those mitigations
aren't sufficient and using those other buildings
as the marker is inappropriate, in my humble
opinion. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,

Deborah.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Please keep it quiet. Assemblyman Gottfried, if you could try to keep it a little brief, we have a lot of people been waiting a long time and I do pay you three the proper respect. You would make a great talk show someday, the three of you, and we'll all watch at that time. But thank you, Dick.

ASSEMBLY MEMBER RICHARD GOTTFRIED:

Well what can I say? Good morning, my name is
Richard Gottfried and I represent the 75th
Assembly District, which includes Chelsea. I
appreciate the opportunity to testify on the
proposed Chelsea Market expansion, which I oppose.

The 2005 Special West Chelsea

District which will create a corridor of large

commercial and residential buildings running north

from Chelsea Market was intended largely to
compensate property owners for the preservation of
the High Line. It has changed the face and much
of the neighborhood feel of Chelsea. ULURP should
be more than a process where the community's
concerns are watered down to become tweaks to a
developer's proposal which was made excessive in
anticipation of those tweaks.

while the modifications proposed—while the modified proposal—I'm sorry—approved by the City Planning Commission does some harm reduction, I remain opposed to the project. It is too large, too damaging, and contains too little for the community. This is still a massive expansion being added to a development corridor in a neighborhood that is already overburdened.

City Planning followed the recommendation of Community Board 4 by requiring Jamestown, the developer, to redirect a portion of its promised financial contribution from the High Line Improvement Fund to the affordable housing fund created by the City Council in 2005. This redirecting of the developer's contribution does not mitigate the upzoning. City Planning says

that the supplementary money should create affordable housing in addition to the affordable housing that was already promised to the community in 2005 in the trade offs for the massive Special West Chelsea District rezoning. However, that promise, the affordable housing promise in 2005, has still not been fulfilled seven years later. Putting more money into a pipeline that isn't moving does not do much good.

The proposal would have a devastating effect on the architectural quality of the Chelsea Market building. The Landmarks Preservation Commission should have landmarked the Chelsea Market long ago. It is critical to maintain the structure, façade, and character of Chelsea Market. The developer promises to protect the building and seek landmark status, but only after the building is defaced by the proposed development.

The project would also have a visually jarring and disruptive effect on people enjoying the High Line Park, even with additional setbacks on the 10th Avenue side.

If the project does go through,

another improvement that will be critical to the	
supportis the support of Community Board 4's	
request for a community technology space.	
Jamestown must work with the neighborhood and	
neighborhood groups in crafting a community	
technology job training center for neighborhood	
youth.	

In conclusion, while the changes to the plan imposed—or proposed by City Planning and that may yet come in further negotiations can diminish the harmful impact of the project, the negatives of this project strongly, still strongly outweigh any potential gains. This plan would dramatically alter and scar an important historical building and neighborhood. I call on the City Council to reject this application, and thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. We have quiet, please. I'd like to call on Council Member Lappin, although I'm hesitant to do so if you get them talking too much, okay?

Glick and Senator Duane, did make some suggestions for modifications, so I guess my question is, if there were modifications along the lines that you suggested regarding small businesses, regarding affordable housing guarantees, et cetera, et cetera, not to reiterate them all, is it then something you could see yourself supporting?

ASSEMBLY MEMBER DEBORAH GLICK: The building is dramatically out of scale with the existing structure that is on the State and National Historic Register, so that in itself I think is something that the Council needs to take into consideration. That there is a need for some of the businesses there to expand and they would like to expand in place might suggest that there might be some compromise, but they have made minimal, as my colleague in the Assembly has pointed out. As is now the case in almost all instances, developers add 20% of disgusting height bulk density, which they then applaud themselves for removing when there is a negotiation.

So I am a little bit concerned about saying that there is some--this much is a good compromise. I do think that it is

significantly larger than it should be and that that is the focus—should be the focus. The tweaks, adding some extra bathrooms, lovely, we all—you know, women in particular. Apparently, there are many places where, despite how many men's rooms you have, they're not used anyway. So that is, you know, the bathrooms, I've been on the High Line, it's a good thing that there's extra bathrooms, but it doesn't make the proposal and its impact on the neighborhood less.

The traffic issue, to say that there is no traffic impact is shocking, but somehow not surprising. So those are things balancing how much additional traffic that area can absorb, especially if they're going to be constructing for 18 months on 9th Avenue, that corner is already problematic. It would be interesting to see the construction plan.

So the big piece is less bulk, less height, and actual commitment to real M/WBE, not window dressing. Right now, I heard it's a real important goal with the, you know, with the construction company, not so much thereafter.

So those are real issues that are

really of concern. And I refterate that if these
companies are looking for a place to grow, we are
looking at making it possible for them to grow at
Pier 40, which will have beautiful unrestricted
views of the water, lots of natural air and light,
and a true recreational facility immediately
outside of the facility, as opposed to where they
are now.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.

SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: And I just

want to reiterate--

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Go ahead,

Senator, last--

SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:

[Interposing] No, it's a neighborhood and a Community Board which has always been willing to have fair negotiations, they ended too quickly here and that's not right. And something you could help us with and the board and the neighborhood [off mic] is, we're saying there are even more development sites if you expanded the West Chelsea Special District and from 27 to 30th Streets, there would be even more opportunities. We want to create jobs, permanent jobs, union

2	jobs, construction jobs, and, as Deborah said, to
3	empower and get jobs for those whofor companies
4	that previously have not had a chance, you know,
5	had a seat at the table. And we have given a lot
6	of that already. Look around, we've given a lot.
7	And we don't always get what we're supposed to get
8	so what we should get.
9	And though I am confident we're
10	going to get that housing and that's on you guys
11	well
12	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
13	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:and you
14	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Gal.
15	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:gals, yes,
16	in bright colors. But seriously, we're fair.
17	We're tough, but we're fair.
18	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
19	Okay. We will
20	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: So listen to
21	us.
22	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:we're going
23	to stipulate to that. Hey, I want to thank all
24	three of you, it was great to see you all, and I

appreciate you coming down. Senator Duane, in

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 107
2	particular I want to mention
3	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE:
4	[Interposing] My swan song.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN:this may be
6	the last time I see you as an elected official,
7	although you never know what the future brings. I
8	wish you much success and I thank you for your
9	service to the West Side of Manhattan and the
10	entire state of New York.
11	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: And the East
12	Side, I
13	[Crosstalk]
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
15	And we'll work on that. Oh, and East Side, I
16	apologize.
17	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: And I used
18	to have a lot of the Lower East Side.
19	[Crosstalk]
20	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And we'll work
21	on that canonization, okay?
22	SENATOR THOMAS DUANE: I've been
23	all over.
24	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you all
25	very much.

2.

you guys decide who goes first. Again, I'd remind
you, we're going to try to put a two-minute clock
on you and please try to keep your remarks
limited, and state your name before you speak.
And thank you were much Whenever you're ready

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Ladies and gentlemen, please [off mic] quiet, take any conversations outside. Thank you so much for your cooperation.

PAUL FERNANDES: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman and members of the Subcommittee, my name is Paul Fernandes, I'm the chief of staff of the Building and Construction Trades Council of Greater New York, an organization that consists of local affiliates of 15 national and international unions representing 100,000 working men and women in the five boroughs of New York City. We're pleased to testify today in support of the Land Use application submitted by Jamestown Premier Chelsea Market, LP, to allow for the construction of 290,000 square feet of office space for the technology community to meet the demand for improved and modern facilities capable of supporting job retention and growth in this

2 important sector of our economy.

This project enjoys strong support from organized labor in the building and construction industry. It's \$195 million design and construction investment will create 600 construction jobs at a time when they are desperately needed. Jamestown Properties committed in December 2011 that these will be union construction jobs, thereby assuring that they will in fact be jobs with good wages, health insurance, and pension benefits.

This commitment is worth noting as many developers coming before this Subcommittee and the Council do not make such a commitment to working men and women and, furthermore, often do not do so early in the process and voluntarily.

Employment in construction in New York City based on data from September 2012--last month--remains more than 21,000 jobs below the peak of employment in the industry in 2008. Recovery in our industry remains slow. Every worthy project that can contribute to putting members of our affiliated unions back to work and others back to work is, therefore, critical to strengthening our

	employment		and	the	overall	health	of	the
3	city econor	ny.						

We, therefore, urge the

Subcommittee and the Council to support the Land

Use applications needed to allow this important

project to advance and we look forward to working

with you to do so. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Fernandes. Well done, too, by the way. Mr. Benfatto. State your name though.

ROBERT BENFATTO: Yes. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, my name is Robert J. Benfatto and I am the district manager for Manhattan Community Board 4.

MCB 4 has been dealing with this project for almost the entire time I have been district manager. We were presented with informal versions of this project at our Chelsea Land Use Committee in March 19, 2007; April 21st, 2008; and September 17th, 2010. I would state that all these presentations were for the most part mirror images of what was finally presented as a preliminary proposal on March 21st, 2011.

In my notes for the 2007 meeting, I

have as a presentation a proposed 225-foot high building on the 10th Avenue side, 7.5 FAR, High Line amenities, views to the south, the possibility of a community space or a space for a nonprofit. In fact, the only real change over the years has been the addition to the proposal for a building on 9th Avenue.

The Land Use committee held a community discussion on October 17th, 2011, and heard a presentation from my office on our draft building analysis on December 12th, 2011. We held a public forum on February 23rd; on April 16th, we had the official presentation 'cause the ULURP began; we had a public hearing on May 2nd; the committee voted on May 31st, and the full board on June 6th. I bore you with all these dates just to show how involved, how thought through, how well considered our dealings with this project have been for five plus years.

The offshoot was a resolution passed 22--26 to 14, there was a denial unless affordable housing mitigation was produced as part of the project and then, and only then, with the certain--secondary, but still very important

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

2 conditions, have been met.

I'm pleased to state in our negotiations of the applicant prior to our full board vote, we were able to come to an agreement on a number of issues and as the process has continued, further agreements have been reached, most notably in the affordable housing trust fund. However, as you will hear from some of my colleagues this morning, there are still issues to be resolved on how the affordable housing trust fund will work and what it will produce. request for a funded technology jobs training center for neighborhood residents located within the market--almost done--whether to landmark the building or how to get well-defined, enforceable restrictions on changes to the exterior, and the need for an extension of the Special West Chelsea District and the area south of West 15th Street between 9th and 10th Avenues.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

Next, please.

LEE COMPTON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, my name is Lee Compton, I am co-chair of

б

CB 4's Land Use Committee. It's a pleasure to appear before you again. I'm here to discuss the Special West Chelsea District and specifically its expansion to include the Chelsea Market block and other neighboring blocks.

I was chair of CB 4 when the special district was enacted, but more importantly, I was co-chair, along with Ed Kirkland of the Chelsea Land Use Committee when the special district was conceived, negotiated, and created. I am privileged to have been part of that process and I'm very proud of what we accomplished.

Primarily, the Special West Chelsea
District is a set of tools to achieve outcomes
that benefit the community and the city, including
High Line preservation and enhancement, building
bulk and form controls, and affordable housing to
mitigate market rate development. These are all
elements of the current proposal and also the
board's recommendation.

Our original concept for the district included the Chelsea Market block, the subject of the present ULURP, as well as other

adjacent areas. Unfortunately, the then-owner of Chelsea Market opposed inclusion in the special district and he had the ears of the commission and of the Council. Instead, the City committed to studying the adjacent areas for future inclusion in an expanded district.

We are pleased that the current owner of the Chelsea Market has requested inclusion in the special district and is continuing to support both the High Line and affordable housing in West Chelsea, but we are disappointed that this single block is going through ULURP without consideration of the other adjacent areas proposed. It is time for the City to follow through with promises made during the creation of the special district to study the adjacent areas. We have asked City Planning repeatedly to authorize such a study, but they have not done so.

In 1996, the City Council adopted the first 197-A plan, the Chelsea plan, developed by the Community Board and the community. In this tradition, we have begun our own study on the expansion of the Special West Chelsea District and

we hope that City Planning will join with us and that it'll lead to the inclusion of these adjacent areas in an expanded district. We believe it will benefit the existing Special West Chelsea District and the greater community.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Next, please.

BETTY MACKINTOSH: Good morning,
Chair Weprin, my name is Betty Mackintosh and I'm
going to speak briefly about Community 4's
initiation of a Land Use study for the expansion
of the Special West Chelsea District and then the
need to designate the existing Chelsea Market
building as a landmark.

about the need to expand the Special West Chelsea
District that we have begun a study of the
potential expansion area and hope to develop
recommendations this winter. We have formed a
planning team made up of myself, Alissa Mitrisin,
an experienced planner and attorney at the Clinton
Housing Development Company; and Alan Lightfeldt,
Community Board 4's intern, who is an NYU Urban
Planning graduate student. I worked at the

2	Departmen	t of	City	Planning	for over	30	years
3	much of t	hat a	ısa	community	planner		

We hope to coordinate with City

Planning and City Council staff to develop height,

setback, street wall, and land use controls.

Starting with three blocks south and west of the

Chelsea Market site, we have prepared a base map,

surveyed the uses in the field, taken photographs,

and begun data collection on building

characteristics.

Board 4 believes that the exterior of the existing Chelsea Market building and two related bridges should be designated a landmark because they are excellent illustrations of the area's industrial past. The building was constructed as space for the Nabisco company and utilized the rail system there. A restrictive declaration can cover many preservation aspects, but a landmark designation would provide stronger protections.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

JOE RESTUCCIA: My name is Joe

Restuccia, I'm the co-chair of Community Board 4's

Housing Committee. I want to speak to the affordable housing. First, I'm sorry Member Lappin is not here.

West Chelsea was adopted in 2005 and since that point in time, six years ago, there have been 858 affordable units either constructed or preserved. That is 60% of the administration's total commitment. In my experience in the past 32 years, that's a remarkable achievement because usually commitments are made and not delivered, in this case, 60% from West Chelsea rezoning.

On the West Chelsea affordable housing fund, there's been a lot of discussion this morning that's just not accurate. The Community Board asked for affordable housing fund, City Planning said no. The final solution was that after 90% of all the High Line transfer development rights were sold, then there could be contributions to the affordable housing fund. The affordable housing fund would not be invoked, therefore, unless 90% of their rights were sold. Only 50% of the rights have been sold to-date, therefore, it does not exist because it has not met its trigger.

We took the text in that fund and asked specifically for City Planning to reverse the paradigm and to say on the Chelsea Market site, instead of that being last, affordable housing be first. And, in fact, that's what happened. So the first 80,000 square feet of the bonus from Chelsea Market will create an affordable housing fund through the same text, that text has been modified. So this is not a last-in, this is a first-in, it's very different.

In order for this developer to pull a permit for any part of the building, 80,000 square feet, they must make the payment of 4.73 million based upon today's calculation of the \$59 a square foot, that will change over time.

The most important issue is when a contribution is made, where does it go? We were not born yesterday in Community Board 4. We have a site on the Fulton Houses as part of West Chelsea, it's on West 18th Street, that site under the West Chelsea 2005 points of agreement would create 100 units. The intention and the specific targeting is to create an additional 50 units of moderate and middle-income housing on that site.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 So we have a location for it to land as opposed to a vague promise.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. I do want to point out that, although it's a busy day and some people had other meetings to run to, and I'm sitting here, at the moment, this is being streamed live on the computers and many people are watching them in their offices right now, as well as it's going to be shown on public access TV. So everything you say here today will be talked about and put as part of the discussion as we go forward, so don't worry about that. We want to thank this panel. Mr. Fernandes, thank you very much, and I apologize for the wait. And I will try to keep things moving as much as possible while still getting everyone's voices heard. So thank you all very much.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right,
we're going to call up, try to get a panel of five
again up. I'd like to call up Andrew Berman, who
I saw here; Amanda Davis; Edrie Kotz; Michael
McKee; and Katy Smith. Is that right, Smith? I'm
not sure I read that right.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 121
[Off mic]
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: What's your
name?
FEMALE VOICE: What's your name?
[Pause]
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.
Whenever you're ready. Andrew, you want to start?
ANDREW BERMAN: Thanks very much.
Good afternoon, Council Members, my name is Andrew
Berman, I'm the executive director of the
Greenwich Village Society for Historic
Preservation. We're the largest membership
organization in Greenwich Village, SoHo, and the
East Village, with many members in Chelsea as
well.
GVSHP has a long history with
J 1
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed Gansevoort Market Historic District in 2001, which
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed Gansevoort Market Historic District in 2001, which was designated but with Chelsea Market removed due
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed Gansevoort Market Historic District in 2001, which was designated but with Chelsea Market removed due to owner objections. And in 2007, we got the
Chelsea Market. It was included in our proposed Gansevoort Market Historic District in 2001, which was designated but with Chelsea Market removed due to owner objections. And in 2007, we got the Chelsea Market complex listed on the State and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or to upzone Chelsea Market in any way. There is no good reason to upzone Chelsea Market and many good reasons not to. It is an iconic, beloved, and successful example of adaptive reuse. The former Nabisco factory where Oreos were invented, Chelsea Market is a landmark in the truest sense of the word. The proposed additions atop the complex would destroy its character and aesthetic integrity.

Further, this application does not exist in a vacuum. In recent years, the City has approved enormous rezonings in Community Board number 4. The West Chelsea rezoning, Hudson Yards, the Western and Eastern Rail Yards. These have created literally tens of millions of square feet of new development potential in Chelsea and around and only a small portion of it has yet been built. A massive development is planned nearby at Pier 57. As a result, in the coming years, we'll see any ever-growing swell of traffic and congestion in an area already bursting at the seams from new activity. The neighborhood is hard-pressed to absorb all of this traffic and crowding as it is and it's hard to imagine how

we'll handle the millions of square feet of additional development planned for the coming years. Upzoning Chelsea Market to allow the addition of hundreds of thousands of square feet of office space that is not allowed under the current zoning would only add insult to injury.

The Chelsea Market complex is not suffering as a business endeavor. Far from it, it is thriving. There is no need for an upzoning or these large additions on top. The sole motivation is this international real estate developer's desire for even greater profits. While it is their job to maximize their profits, it is the job of our city officials to look at and consider the entire public's interest and well-being before considering changes to our zoning.

If you do, in this case, I am sure you will see that the public, and especially the local community and those aspects of the Chelsea Market which the public holds dear, will be gravely harmed if this upzoning is approved. We urge you not to do so.

I am also submitting to the Council a copy of our petition with 1,500 signatures

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 124
2	calling for the plan to be rejected.
3	Thank you.
4	[Applause]
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
6	Okay.
7	AMANDA DAVIS: Okay. I'm
8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
9	Short and polite, that's fine.
10	AMANDA DAVIS:I am Amanda Davis
11	and I am speaking on behalf of Save Chelsea Market
12	Coalition, which includes the Greenwich Village
13	Society for Historic Preservation, Save Chelsea,
14	the Historic District's Council, Tenants Political
15	Action Committee, Council of Chelsea Block
16	Associations, the Chelsea Reform Democratic Club,
17	Chelsea Village Partnership, Greenwich Village
18	Community Task Force, London Terrace Tenants
19	Association, 100 West 16th Street Block
20	Association, Chelsea Coalition on Housing, and
21	Lower Chelsea Alliance. I'll be submitting full
22	testimony, but speaking only a portion of it here,
23	and it echoes what Andrew just said.
24	We take strong issue with the
25	proposal to allow the upzoning if financial

support for a stalled affordable housing development at the Fulton House is included. The affordable housing development in question is one which was promised to the community as a condition of the West Chelsea rezoning approved by the City Council in 2005. Though that affordable housing was promised, it has not materialized because the City has failed to provide the funding necessary for it to move ahead.

To make the Chelsea community pay for this promised amenity a second time by giving away more of our light and air and adding to our traffic and congestion would be outrageous. This community wants and is owed truly affordable housing, but we should not have to pay for it twice.

I would also like to mention that the provision in the approvals to limit heights on the site and preserve the existing buildings are cold comfort at best. The complex is overbuilt as is and under existing zoning, would surely never be torn down and additional bulk could not be added. So saying that the new zoning would establish height limits where none currently exist

2 | ignores the reality of the current conditions.

Similarly, placing provisions in the agreement to quote unquote preserve the existing buildings is locking the proverbial barn door after the horses are almost entirely out.

Preserving the buildings while putting these huge, out-of-character additions on top is not preservation at all.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Ms. Smith?

KATY SMITH: Very good timing, yes. Hi, everyone, Katy Smith, I represent Congressman Jerry Nadler and I'm here submitting testimony on his behalf. Thank you, Chair Weprin, Land Use Chair Comrie, and Speaker Quinn.

As we all know, Chelsea Market is a unique food, retail, and wholesale destination and office building in New York City. Its location next to the High Line and role as an integral part of Silicon Alley and as an incubator for creative businesses has helped to revitalize West Chelsea. While the Chelsea Market proposal would allow the expansion of a growing part of New York City's

economy, it raises serious concerns about the impact on the surrounding neighborhood and residents.

The Congressman would like to thank
Community Board 4 and the borough president for
their work and recommendations, as well as the
City Planning Commission for their recommendations
and improvement to the original proposal, which
mitigate many of the concerns for the
neighborhood. In particular, the addition of the
affordable housing fund, the preservation of the
current mid-block heights, the preservation of the
ground floor retail experience, and the
prohibition on hotel use reduce some of the
negative impacts of the expansion. However, the
Congressman still has some concerns about the
proposal and would like the City Council to
consider some additional improvements.

In particular, as a long-time advocate for affordable housing, the Congressman is obviously very alarmed by the decline in affordable housing in the West Chelsea and has joined with Community Board 4 and his fellow elected officials to ask for provisions to be

2.

incorporated in this proposal to reverse this
trend. He's very pleased at the inclusion of the
affordable housing fund and it's imperative that
the City Council take all available measures to
ensure that this fund is effective and actually
provides benefits to the community in the near
future.

The Chelsea Market buildings are historically significant, both in their appearance and use, and the Congressman urges the City Council to work toward preserving existing Chelsea Market buildings as Community Board 4 and the borough president have recommended.

And finally, the City Planning

Commission has recommended a series of setbacks on
the 10th Avenue building. While this will reduce
bulk and mitigate the impact of shadows on the
High Line, the City Council should examine--one
more sentence--

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You can finish it.

KATY SMITH: --whether additional reductions can be made to make the building more contextual to the existing Chelsea Market

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 129
2	buildings.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We let you go
5	over 'cause we knew if Congressman Nadler was
6	here, he would've gone a lot longer so
7	[Crosstalk]
8	KATY SMITH: Yeah. Thank you.
9	EDRIE CODY: Hello, my name is
10	Edrie Cody [phonetic], I have lived in Chelsea for
11	40 years, first on the 300 block of West 20th
12	Street, then for 32 years at London Terrace
13	Gardens where I was president of the London
14	Terrace Tenants Association, which represents
15	tenants of 1,000 rental apartments on the 400
16	block of West 23rd and 24th Streets, and currently
17	reside at Penn South on the 300 block of West 28th
18	Street.
19	Although I've gradually moved
20	further away from the site of the Chelsea Market
21	through the years, I still consider it to be in my
22	neighborhood. Residents of the Chelsea community
23	worked long and hard to get the existing zoning
24	laws enacted and I am adamantly opposed to
25	changing those laws to facilitate commercial,

self-serving, high-rise development plans of the deep-pocketed and greedy German developer,

Jamestown property, who will do nothing to enhance the quality of life in Chelsea.

You are on a slippery slope here.

If you authorize this change in the zoning laws, other developers will surely come knocking at the door to ask for similar concessions, which will result in increasing density of buildings, vehicular gridlock, and skyrocketing cost of living, and all but eradicating the once-peaceful life in residential Chelsea.

In 2005, the community was promised 100 affordable housing units by the City in return for an upzoning that allowed a huge influx of high-rise luxury housing. That promise was never delivered. Jamestown bought off public housing tenants in Chelsea by promising a jobs training program. Community residents are concerned that the promises of affordable housing and jobs will never be delivered; that Jamestown, which only bought the complex a few years ago, might build a monstrous additions atop the Chelsea Market buildings, then flip and disappear with the

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

profits. Even if the promise of jobs for

community residents and affordable housing for the

community were real, it would not make Jamestown's

Over the years, we Chelsea residents have been fortunate to be represented by elected officials at local, state, and federal levels who have looked out for our interests. City Council Member, Speaker Quinn, cut her teeth in public service working on housing issues. She worked side-by-side with her Clinton Chelsea constituents in defeating the equally ill-advised proposal to build a stadium for the New York Jets. As her more than three terms as a City Council Member is coming to an end, her constituents have every right to expect her and her City Council colleagues to do what will best serve the city by voting no on Jamestown's ill-advised self-serving proposal.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

[Applause]

proposal acceptable.

24 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. McKee.

25 MICHAEL MCKEE: Good morning, my

2.

name is Michael McKee, I have lived in Chelsea for
47 years, I'm obviously not going to read my
entire statement, and now the clock is working.

If there ever were a definition-CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
No coincidence.

MICHAEL MCKEE: Yeah. If there ever were a definition of an inappropriate development project, this one is it. Everything about Jamestown's proposal is a negative from the community's point of view.

While ruining these important buildings, this project would also exacerbate the already unbearable traffic congestion in the 9th Avenue corridor leading to 15th Street and add to secondary displacement pressures on residential and commercial tenants in the surrounding community. The supposed community benefits that are supposed to come with this project—a small number of affordable housing units and job training, not jobs—for public housing residents are the usual fraud. Even if these promises were real and not a mirage, these benefits in no way would be enough to justify forcing Chelsea to

swallow yet another unnecessary and damagingupzoning.

I want to comment on the process that has led us to this point. The members of this committee are as aware as anyone in New York City that the ULURP process is corrupt and in serious need of repair. Before this application was even certified, there was no doubt a handshake deal behind closed doors between the Mayor's office, the Speaker's office, and the City Planning Commission to green-light this destructive proposal with the understanding that there would be some tweaks down the road in the form of supposed community benefits. Don't insult our intelligence by asking us to pretend that the wheels of this train were not greased several months ago.

Having obtained this tacit
approval, the well-connected developer then
proceeded to buy all the interested parties off.
Millions of dollars to the High Line for park
maintenance and never mind the damage to the park
itself. Construction jobs for the trade unions,
even though this project could be built elsewhere.

б

Okay. I'm going to do one more in opposition, I'm
sorry, just 'cause I didthere's one gentleman as
particular who was led to believe he would go on
the first panel I didn't realize, so I'm going to
let them go. 'Cause we have more oppositions at
this point. So Bill Borock, come on up; Madelyn
Margolis; James Murray; is it Tom Jaspar or Toss
is it Jim maybe? Jim Jaspar; Paul Grunke, Gronke.
Gentlemen, would you all letand ladyBill go
first? Sir, if you want to leave after your
testimony, you can do so, we won't be insulted. I
know you were in a rush. And then we'll come back
to panels in favor, I apologize to them. Whenever
you're ready.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Make sure the mic is on.

BILL BOROCK: Sorry, my name is
Bill Borock and I am president of the Council of
Chelsea Block Association. The Council Chelsea
Block Association was formed in 1961 and is now a
coalition of 11 block associations covering 21
blocks active in Chelsea. The CCBA wants you to
know that we are against the Jamestown plan for

2 the Chelsea Market site and that we ask you to 3 vote no.

Today you will hear testimony that thousands of Chelsea residents have signed petitions and letters opposing the proposed plan for the Chelsea Market and, in addition, a broad coalition of many community groups, including affordable housing activists representing many more thousands of people have also made their opposition to the plans known.

The Jamestown plan does not have to be a done deal with business as usual. There is an alternative, which, accepted, will provide a win-win situation. The Council of Chelsea Block Association asks that you support the community position that the existing zoning, which we worked hard to get for the benefit of our community, not be changed for the benefit of Jamestown. To change the zoning will set a very bad precedent. The existing zone should be allowed to remain in effect.

Jamestown can build its office buildings elsewhere. For instance, in the World Trade Center area where financial perks are

available to developers and where the community

will welcome it. The Hudson Yards is another area

of possibility. Why build in a community where

you are not wanted? Why not build in a community

6 where you are wanted and will be welcomed?

The CCBA is not against unions and union jobs. Whatever jobs are created could still be created at a different location. The technical training that Jamestown proposed for the community residents can still come to fruition, again, just at another location. The iconic historic Chelsea Market is already thriving and it can remain as it is and not be defaced by building on top of it.

We are not against the existing Chelsea Market.

A bad plan is a bad plan, even if some changes are made to it. At various public hearings, overwhelming majority of Chelsea residents spoke against the Jamestown plan and asked that it be rejected. Even our local Community Board members thought that the plan was a bad plan. With a very, very close vote, they voted to okay it because they felt the only way they could have input with regard to any changes because they thought approval was a done deal. We

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 138
2	are not
3	[Crosstalk]
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
5	Thank you.
6	BILL BOROCK:groupin
7	conclusion, a motionmention was made about
8	potential givebacks. Unfortunately, as has
9	occurred in many places including Chelsea and
10	other areas, promised givebacks to the communities
11	don't always happen, and this includes the promise
12	of affordable housing. And if somehow, some of
13	what is called affordable gets built, it is not
14	affordable for those that really need it and the
15	housing is usually not permitted. We ask that you
16	vote no
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
18	Thank you.
19	BILL BOROCK:there is an
20	alternative. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
22	much. Next in line. Please.
23	MADELYN MARGOLIS: Hello, my name
24	is Madelyn Margolis, I'm not a lawyer or a
25	developer or a politician, I don't represent any

б

group, I'm a resident of Chelsea since 1975--most of my adult life.

New York City has many office towers; many buildings, but only one Chelsea Market, one High Line. We just have one Chelsea Market. The proposed towers are an abomination; they're an architectural travesty that will destroy the market and create shadows on the High Line Park. A park is supposed to provide light and air, it shouldn't be in the shadow of some ungainly tower.

The goodies promised by the developer, if indeed they, you know, they are really provided, it's really putting, if you'll pardon the expression, lipstick on a pig, which is what this proposal is. And many years ago, we in New York City lost Penn Station, it can't be brought back. If you destroy Chelsea Market, it's not going to be able to be rehabbed. So I urge City Council people members vote on the right side of history and protect and preserve Chelsea Market.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

JAMES MARI: Hello, Council

Members, my name is James Mari, I am unaffiliated with any of the groups here, I do have some neighbors, like this woman here.

I was born in New York, I mostly have spent my life here, much of that in Chelsea, and I also am a retired FDNY firefighter.

know a lot of my neighbors, and I have yet to meet one who thinks this project is a good idea. And my letter is, like the destruction of the original Penn Station for the profit of a few corporate interests, this project will be a destructive scar on the faster disappearing architectural history. To disfigure this building by ignoring zoning laws, which were enacted to stop this kind of perverse corporate corporatism, is wrong. The zoning law was enacted to favor residents and to keep the historical character of the neighborhood.

If we as a people let the greed of the corporations overrun our laws, then there is no reason to enact the laws or elect representatives. Allowing this project to move forward in any capacity will, for all intents and

б

purposes, render the community-minded law invalid
to any corporation with a team of lawyers and
lobbyists.

This is a line in the sand. Our country has been kowtowing to corporate interests over the wishes and well-being of the people for too long. It's time our elected officials put the well-being of the neighborhood that it was elected to serve above the well wishes of connected out-of-town corporations. Jamestown's American corporate headquarters is in Atlanta, they have no vested interest in the community of Chelsea and West Village. Their only purpose is to maximize profits. Profits are not a bad thing, but when they come at such a high cost to a community only to enrich shareholders who do not live or care about the community, then those profits are immoral.

I'm all for capitalism.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Just finish up quickly.

JAMES MARI: What citizen of New
York can it there be has changed the law or a
statute for their own monetary gain? If a citizen

2	cannot do	such a	thing,	why	should	it	be	allowed
3	of a corr	oration	? Thanl	κ νοι	1.			

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Murray, appreciate it. Gentlemen,
you guys, choose up.

JIM JASPER: Good afternoon, I'm Jim Jasper, and for more than 20 years now I've lived on West 15th Street, about 100 yards from the building that's now Chelsea Market.

Our neighborhood is under severe stress. We're choking on crowds and traffic and noise, and we need your help to uphold the city zoning laws, we need you to use these laws to help protect us. We all know that there are lots of cases of very tough trade-offs between preserving real neighborhoods, and jobs and development on the other hand. This is not one of those cases. There's no evidence that this project will create a single permanent job. It will just move them from one neighborhood of New York where they might be needed and wanted, to a neighborhood where they are not needed or wanted.

The idea that this is a jobs proposal, that somehow we need this for

б

	development is nonsense. And I especially want to
	say something because there are a lot of very well
	intentioned union people here today. Jamestown is
	no friend of trade unions. Jamestown bought the
	Madison Hotel in Washington a year and a half ago,
	the first thing they did was to fire all of the
	unionized employees of that hotel. They hired
	some of them back after a three-month strike, a
	very bitter, nasty strike. The idea that there
	are going to be any permanent, good union jobs
	that come out of this project is nonsense. Thank
	you.
ĺ	

[Applause]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

Quiet, please.

17 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Keep it down.

18 Thank you.

19 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Gronke.

PAUL GRONKE: My name is Paul
Gronke, I've been a resident of Chelsea for 27
years. Chelsea Market is a historic and iconic
complex, listed on the New York State and National
Register of Historic Places. The proposed
rezoning would allow the complex to be entirely

disfigured and ruin its integrity. As it stands now, Chelsea Market is not only an incredibly vital economic engine for the West Side, but a well-preserved link to the commercial industrial past of our city. Please don't allow this to be ruined.

The revised plans for the proposed towers would not only destroy the historic character and architecture of Chelsea Market, but they would loom over and shadow the High Line Park. Additionally, the large increase in commercial square footage would generate significant extra traffic in an area that is already overburdened. And the plan building already being scheduled for 9th Avenue along with the Pier 57 development is only going to bring more traffic to the area that's already baked in the cake. Please vote no for the Chelsea Market proposal. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. I'd like to now call out the following panel in favor of this project: Miguel Acevedo [phonetic], Jan McGregor, Pat Helding [phonetic], Paul Brown, and Jordan--is it Eisenstadt,

2.

Isenstadt? Isenstadt. I see Grace Ralph from NY1
is here, are you here to testify as a tenant or
are you here covering the story, Grace? I didn't
know.

[Off mic]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I guess she's working, I'm sorry.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Ladies and gentlemen, if you have any copies of your statements--

[Background noise]

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. When the music stops, everyone needs a chair, okay.

Great. And decide who wants to go first. Make sure to state your name, please.

MIGUEL ACEVEDO: Good afternoon,

Council Members, and thank you for having me here
today. My name is Miguel Acevedo, I'm a resident
of Robert Fulton Houses. I'm here in support
because I have a family of eight that I am
raising, three college graduates who are looking
for affordable housing who are employed within the
community but have limited employment because of
the way the economy is today. They are looking

б

for computer jobs, computer training, and ways to develop better skills in the future. And that's why I'm totally in support of this project and I appreciate that Jamestown is looking forward to help us in the community as they've done for years.

Also, my youngest daughter has volunteered at Chelsea Market over the years, and to me it's discriminating to hear people say that residents of Robert Fulton Houses have been bought out from this develop—it's the last thing that we will ever do is be bought out by any developer.

So I am appalled at what you people are saying about my residents because that's not we're about.

We're not about taking money to support development, okay?

One other things that this same group has said about us, that we read at a third-grade reading level, which to me is discriminating against us. It's bad enough we deal with stop-and-frisk because of where we live in public housing. So I will never, ever support a group that has so much discrimination against residents because of where we live.

the management of the market has defied the notion
that real estate development is a zero-sum game.
They provide generous support to the ground-floor
merchants and genuinely recognize that our success
contributes to theirs.

My projections indicate that, should the expansion go forward, the Lobster Place will hire 40 to 45 new staff and management employees over the next 45 years, and we're only one of dozens of small businesses that would benefit from this project.

On behalf of all the small businesses that call Chelsea Market home and in the name of helping Chelsea Market continue to be one of the greatest small business incubators in the city, I urge the Council to approve the project.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Next, please.

JORDAN ISENSTADT: Good afternoon,
Chairman Weprin, my name is Jordan Isenstadt, and
I am the deputy director of the Association for a
Better New York. ABNY is among the city's longest
standing civic organizations advocating for the

policies, programs, and projects that make New
York a better place to live, work, and visit. We
represent the broad fabric of New York's economy,
and our membership includes New York's most
influential businesses, nonprofits, arts and
cultural organizations, educational institutions,
labor unions, and entrepreneurs. I'm testifying
today on behalf of ABNY in support of the
amendment to the Special West Chelsea District
proposed by Jamestown Properties to expand Chelsea
Market.

In the name of brevity, I'm going to skip through the next couple of paragraphs and get to the main point. As you may know, ABNY has spent a significant amount of time looking at the importance of the tech sector to the growth of New York City's economy. Recently working in partnership with the Center for an Urban Future, we issued a report entitled New Tech City, which identifies the need to create new desirable office space to attract and retain tech companies in New York.

We believe the Chelsea Market is critical to the growth of the tech sector and to

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	the broader economic development of our city.
3	When completed, the project will create 1,200 new
4	full-time jobs, generate millions in tax revenue
5	to the city and state, and with Google right next
6	door, develop highly demanded office space,
7	creating a true hub for established and emerging
8	technology companies.

This project is critical for New York City's economic growth and we hope that the City Council Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises approves the amendment. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

> CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

PATRICIA HELDING: Good afternoon, my name is Patricia Helding, I am here to support Jamestown. I am the owner of a very small bakery inside Chelsea Market, and one of the things that I really love about New York City is that it is not wall-to-wall chain stores.

Most of the businesses inside Chelsea Market are entrepreneurial, they're artisan, and most of us owners work on the site.

Chelsea Market is an important business model because it has an incubation

2	environment,	and	I	think	it	should	be	encouraged.
,	T.I							

I'm supporting it.

I am lucky to be part of the market and have been able to grow my business there. I support the expansion, I look forward to more businesses and a broader tax base for the City of New York.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

PAUL BROWN: All right. My name is Paul Brown, and we are the original tenants of Chelsea Market. Back in 1995, we moved in, small business. We do retail advertising and our clients are international. They come to New York from China, Japan, Korea, all of Western Europe, and the majority of our business is here from the United States, it includes Target, Nordstrom's, Neiman Marcus. The destination is the Chelsea Market, which is our brand.

There have been suggestions that maybe we move, but our business and the Chelsea

Market are who we are. Jamestown has been very supportive of that. We're looking to expand, but

2	we	found	that	there's	no	place	to	expand	into.
---	----	-------	------	---------	----	-------	----	--------	-------

The proposal that's being brought before us is not only tax-based revenue and services, but it's also it's going to help us grow. We're in support of it. And thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Thank you all very much.

Now we're going to call up the following panel in opposition: Donathan Solcott [phonetic], David Holoka, Lesley Ann Doyel, Martika Sawin, I apologize for any names I messed up. Got four. And then Lloyd Van Pragh [phonetic]. Sorry.

MARTIKA SAWIN: Nobody wants to go second?

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And whenever you're ready, whoever's ready first can start.

MARTIKA SAWIN: You go ahead.

DONATHAN SOLCOTT: Hi, my name is

Donathan Solcott--oh. Hi, my name is Donathan

Solcott, I'm a 30-year resident of Chelsea. I met

my wife in Chelsea, she is a lifelong member. And

thank you for this opportunity.

Please vote no in changing the
Chelsea zoning laws that will allow Jamestown to
build a massive development on Chelsea Market.
Formerly known as Nabisco factory, the building is
on the National Register of Historic Places, it's
rich in history of Chelsea's working class and
should be celebrated as a landmark, a city
landmark.

And although inside, the market has lost some of its local novelty, my wife used to shop there—she no longer does, she says it costs too many clams to buy a scallop, but it's integrity outside and its sunlight should be protected.

Our community has worked hard to establish existing zoning laws. The local zoning laws already too friendly to the swarm of developers circling every crevice of land and air and need not to be changed.

According to the 2010 census, developers shoehorned in over 19,000 more people.

Many lifelong Chelsea-ites can't afford Chelsea and are being replaced by those that can. Daily, thousands commute to work in Chelsea and thousands

Jamestown's proposal flunks Urban Planning 101 on the zoning basics: Use, bulk, and environmental impact. Look at use. Every block

25

of the adjacent Special West Chelsea District has zoning that allows office construction, yet it remains largely undeveloped, including the entire parking lot block just 320 feet north of Chelsea Market.

Look at bulk. Even if there really was a need for more office space, the last place to build it would be where it will rob the High Line of light and open space deliberately orchestrated by existing zoning, which shaped the neighboring Caledonia apartment building to step down toward the High Line in Chelsea Market's existing roof, complementing the very space Jamestown now wants to seize.

Look at impact. The historic

Chelsea Market complex won't be enlarged, just

made into a base for Jamestown's anonymous office

space, which will cast shadows over the High

Line's 10th Avenue grandstand feature, especially

during colder months when sunlight is most

welcome.

Jamestown wants Chelsea Market brought into the special district just so it can exploit the district's High Line Improvement

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Here's how City Planning's website bonus. describes this bonus: In recognition of the unique condition of the High Line between West 16th and 19th Streets where it broadens and crosses over 10th Avenue, adjacent development on these blocks could receive additional floor area through restoration, remediation, and implementation of the High Line open space at perhaps its most prominent location. Jamestown would turn this bonus from a reward for cultivating open space into a reward for seizing Its proposal would even make irrelevant the spatial contribution already made under the bonus on a huge scale by the neighboring Caledonia building.

The Department of City Planning will apparently do anything for Friends of the High Line, even let them hold a fire sale at integral High Line open space that over 100 million public dollars made valuable. The absence of basic zoning motives points to a deal between financially interested parties, a somehow budget vulnerable High Line, and a predatory Jamestown, which gets to buy its way around zoning to hog

prime	space	on	the	money	trough	it	sees	in	the
Hiah I	ine								

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.

DAVE HOLOKA: I ask the Council to honor the public interest and the very purpose of zoning and to stop every square inch of this outrage.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. Next, please.

Van Pragh, next year will make 20 years that I've been a Chelsea resident. Myself and many others of Chelsea are opposed to inconsistent, spotty, out of control, bizarre development which is destructive for the community while serving private enrichment. In fact, we are for mindful, sensible development that respects our purposeful zoning rules, our community, its resources, and its residents. Upzoning Chelsea Market would create a bad precedent that other developers could pursue. Our current zoning rules would be perceived as meaningless.

Even so, there is a big difference between zoning that is beneficially malleable and

zoning bent to serve private enrichment at the expense of the common good. Jamestown is asking to be included in the Special West Chelsea

District in order to expand especially at the highly lucrative 10th Avenue side with its lucrative views and larger floor plates.

Contrary to that special purpose district's current zoning to preserve open space around the High Line, the proposed 240,000 square foot building on 10th Avenue side would tower directly over and beside the High Line Park, obstructing views, light, and air. Shadows from the proposed expansion would cover the 10th Avenue square grandstand seating area of the High Line in its entirety during sun hours. These items violate the general purposes section of the Special West Chelsea District zoning resolution, as well as specific sections, such as Section 98-421, which speaks about open space for the High Line.

Additional development at this particular site of Chelsea Market is not needed.

Indeed, it is greeded, driven by money and only money. Any need, true need, for additional office

space can be accommodated by development in nearby Special West Chelsea District, the Hudson Yards, and at Ground Zero. The one time, the one-time \$19 million contribution to the High Line will be spent down to nothing one day while incongruous problematic buildings atop Chelsea Market will remain a permanent blight on our skyline and the High Line Park, along with its persistent myriad problems for the community.

Contributions for the High Line can and are coming from elsewhere. The High Line will soon have new office and storage space annexed to the new [off mic] museum currently under construction at the southern terminus of the High Line on Gansevoort Street.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: If you could wrap up really quick.

LLOYD VAN PRAGH: All right.

Plopping new buildings atop Chelsea Market's architecturally and historically important complex would create an incongruous structure that is neither contextual nor compatible with its surroundings. Indeed, the expansion will cause permanent traffic congestion and noise along with

nearby developments, such as Pier 57 with 375,000
square feet to the western end of 16th Street and
a large lot on the south side of 15th Street and
9th Ave on which now exists a lumber yard and
adjoining empty lots extending about halfway
towards 10th Avenue. Another developer currently
proposes a 28-story structure at this site for
which it is already zoned. This represents a
great demand in the increase ona great increase
on the area's infrastructure.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much.

LLOYD VAN PRAGH: Under the 19-CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
I got to wrap you up, we're going to get you a job
though doing disclaimers on the end of the drug
ads, you know, really quick talking, but I just
got to cut you off there, I'm sorry. Next,
please.

MARTIKA SAWIN: My name is Martika Sawin, I am the widow of James Marston Fitch, a founding father of historic preservation. We lived--and I still do--in the West Village five blocks from the Chelsea Market, which Jim Fitch

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 regarded as the most creative example of adaptive 3 reuse in the entire city.

I am speaking simply as a neighborhood consumer. It has been my great pleasure over the past 14 years to shop in the Chelsea Market, enjoying the best fish market in New York, a great variety of the freshest fruits and vegetables at reasonable prices, Italian specialties, organic dairy products from a New York State dairy, and bread that you can see being pulled out of the oven. The throngs of people strolling along the indoor street include groups of schoolchildren, foreign tourists who may be reminded of the great public markets in their own cities, restaurant chefs, families with strollers and toddlers, construction workers eating lunch, musicians performing, and on Saturday afternoons, tango dancers of all shapes and ages.

In June, the proposed upzoning was the subject of a Community Board 4 hearing at which a procession of vendors, bakers, fishmongers, grocers, shopkeepers testified as to how their businesses had prospered thanks to the Chelsea Market. Did they really believe their

2.

businesses would survive the coming years of
construction? Do they really think corporate
executives in the office towers will tolerate a
genuine crowded market place on the ground floor
living over the fish market? Instead, there will
be a slicked up mall lined with shops franchised
by national chain corporations.

In recent weeks, we've been hearing a great deal about the crucial role of small business. Why should the City Council of New York choose to fatten the wallets of foreign investors rather than encouraging individual enterprise among its own citizens? What possible excuse is there for voting the destruction of a neighborhood treasure, a neighborhood, I might add, that is still a mixed income community, despite the overwhelming influx of luxury high-rise building. Besides, it's downright ugly, a sore thumb.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, thank you very much.

[Applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

LESLEY DOYEL: Okay. My name is

Lesley Doyel, I am co-president of Save Chelsea,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and we have strongly opposed this proposal consistently since we learned of it. And I thought it worth just ending this panel by emphasizing the breadth of the opposition to this proposal.

Throughout the ULURP process and before, Save Chelsea has been joined by a broad coalition of other groups in opposition to this plan. These include the Council of Chelsea Block Associations, the Chelsea Reform Democratic Club, the Greenwich Village Society for Historic Preservation, the Chelsea Coalition on Housing, the Chelsea Village Partnership, the Lower Chelsea Alliance, Friends of the Gibbons Underground Railroad Historic site, the London Terrace Tenants Association, the West Side Neighborhood Alliance, the Greenwich Village Community Task Force, the Victorian Society's Metropolitan Chapter, and the Historic Districts Council. We have shown up in force repeatedly, we have written hundreds of letters and postcards and gathered literally thousands of petitions in opposition to this plan.

We respectfully ask that the

Subcommittee and the City Council hear our

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 164
2	collective voices and vote an absolute no to this
3	proposal.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
6	much and thank you all the members of this panel.
7	I'm going to have Ann read the next names of the
8	panels, I'm going to be taking a two-minute break,
9	duty calls, and I'll be right back, okay? So you
10	can call the names and just wait for me for two
11	minutes.
12	ANN: Lenora Friedlander, Oliver
13	Greeves [phonetic], Philip Wilson, Keith Rippy,
14	Tom Gray.
15	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Two of us did.
16	[Crosstalk]
17	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Just put them
18	right up here, anybodyyou guys want water? You
19	want some water?
20	OLIVER GREEVES: No, thanks.
21	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Did you want
22	some water?
23	LINDA CROSBY: No, thank you.
24	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
25	[Off mic]

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 165
2	MALE VOICE: Why are you here?
3	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: We're in
4	support of the project.
5	MALE VOICE: Are we in the wrong
6	room?
7	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: This is
8	Chelsea Market expansion.
9	MALE VOICE: They told us this was
10	the New York City land dispositions for three
11	buildings.
12	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: For which
13	three buildings?
14	MALE VOICE: There's three
15	buildings downtown the City is selling.
16	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Maybe it's
17	afterwards, you can check with the Sergeant-of-
18	Arms.
19	OLIVER GREEVES: Send me that, man.
20	[background noise]
21	OLIVER GREEVES: Think I'm playing.
22	PHILIP WILSON: You ready for them?
23	OLIVER GREEVES: Yeah, man, ain't
24	nothing to it. I'm a shooter, man, got to shoot

when it's time.

SUBC	COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 166
	PHILIP WILSON: Right.
	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: It's been a
long morning.	
	LINDA CROSBY: Oh, my goodness.
	[Long pause]
	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That's much
better, yeah.	Gale, you sorting out a problem
over there? O	kay.
	[Pause]
	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Been drinking
tea, a lot of	tea, you know, it makes for a need
for a break oc	casionally. Ready? Sorry about
that.	
	FEMALE VOICE: He has a question
	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Whenever
you're ready,	whoever wants to start first.
	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Great, thank
you very much,	Chairman
	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
	LENORA FRIEDLANDER:Weprin. My
name Lenora Fr	iedlander, I'm the assistant to the
president of S	EIU Local 32BJ.

As many of you know, we represent

70,000 property service workers in New York, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have some members here today. We are here testifying in support of the Chelsea Market expansion project. And I'd like the 32BJ group to actually stand up for a minute, we're doing this These are the faces of the security quietly. officers, these are the faces of the office cleaners, these are faces of door people and handy people and building superintendents. These are the faces of working New York, and we're here in support of it--thank you guys, thank you very much--we are here in support of this project because the property--the developers made a commitment as part of this project to create good jobs--jobs that provide middle-class wages, that provide health insurance, that provide retirement for workers and property service workers. often we are in fights with developers who have walked away from their social contract or social responsibility to the community. This is an opportunity when we are here in support of a project and of a developer who has made a commitment to creating good jobs as part of their--as part of this project.

And, as we all know, the cost of

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	living is increasing, that the transportation,
3	that rent is increasing and so it's critical that
4	we support the creation of good jobs that helps
5	create a path to a strong economy, good jobs
6	create strong communities and are part of the
7	economic recovery that we all deserve.
8	So, again, thank you for the time
9	and the opportunity to testify in support of the
10	project.
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
12	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Go down
13	the line and then we'll come back.
14	OLIVER GREEVES: All right.
15	LENORA FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
16	OLIVER GREEVES: Good morning, my
17	name is Oliver Greeves, I work as a security guard
18	at the Chelsea Market. I'm not a union member,

but I'm in the process of becoming one thanks to the Chelsea Market expansion plan.

I haven't had quality health insurance since I started working, you know, about eight, nine years. And I know from personal experience that, you know, health care is very expensive. The expansion to Chelsea Market will

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	allow for more permanent jobs that not only pay
3	good wages, but, most importantly, in my opinion,
4	provide access to quality and affordable health
5	care.

It is these kinds of jobs that working people like future security guards at Chelsea Market need to survive and raise families in the city. The expansion will create the kind of good jobs that this city needs for working and middle-class people to prosper. Please approve this expansion.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

PHILIP WILSON: Good afternoon, my name is Philip Wilson, I'm a security officer at Chelsea Market, and at this time we are now enrolled in 32BJ union, which will provide us with good benefits, health care, good wages, and job security. So I, at this time, here to say we support the expansion of Chelsea Market. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. LINDA CROSBY: Thank you. Hi, Council, and thank you so much of giving us this

time to speak out. My name is Linda Crosby, I'm a more than a 10-year resident of the Fulton Houses, and I'm--while it's interesting to hear how so many other people have a lot to say about Fulton Houses and how this Jamestown expansion will affect Fulton Houses, I would just like to say that, as a mother of seven, I have children who, although they are in school and looking forward to college and some of them are adults and some of them are still small and young, we would like jobs, we would like, you know, free airspace and we don't like, you know, millions and millions of people going in one direction and we're going in another.

However, if you do approve this last end of this, you know, ULURP process for this development to take place, I would just hope—and we all as a Fulton community would hope and would ask that you hold Jamestown's feet to the fire and make sure that they keep every promise that they have made not only for the Fulton community, but for the Chelsea and village community at large.

Thanks so much.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 171
2	Crosby. Thank you all very much. Want to thank
3	this panel.
4	OLIVER GREEVES: Thank you.
5	[Long pause]
6	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: One second.
7	I'd like to call up the following panel in
8	opposition: Hilda Regier, Andrew Humm, Larry
9	Lippman, Judy RichmeyerRichheimer, sorry
10	[Off mic]
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Uh-oh. And
12	Franklin Low.
13	[Long pause]
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You were the
15	one, huh?
16	[Long pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I
18	apologize for messing up those names. Whoever
19	wants to start, please do.
20	[Long pause]
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right,
22	calm down. You could sit, push the button, make
23	sure the mic's on, and state your name. Take your
24	time, go ahead.
25	ANDREW HUMM: Sure. My name is

I know you're answer their question--

ANDREW HUMM: [Interposing] Well you ought to want to know that answer and you

23

24

25

б

2 didn't get that answer.

3 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.

4 ANDREW HUMM: This is my time to

testify.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yes, sir.

We need something like a hospital in Chelsea or in the village, that's the kind of thing you change the zoning law to, to benefit the people who live there, not this kind of a project.

I don't know how you live with yourselves, other than these are the developers

2.

that give the big campaign contributions and you
need the union support, but the union people are
gone now, but the idea that they were being played
like stooges by this union busting company just
infuriates me.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Duly noted, thank you.

[Applause]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Who wants to

follow that gentleman? Won't call it an act.

FRANKLIN LOW: Yes, my name is

Franklin Low, and I have a list of reasons—a

long-time resident of Chelsea, I have a list of

reasons why we should not upzone Chelsea Market.

Poor stewardship of taxpayer
assets. The West Side Yards, Lower Manhattan
redevelopment, and the Roosevelt Island, Cornell
University, high-tech project, which are supported
by billions of dollars of taxpayer assets will be
diminished by the fact that a Jamestown Chelsea
Market upzoning may well siphon jobs away from the
multibillion-dollar project. For the City
Planning Commission to approve and the City

3

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council to contemplate approving Jamestown's application is to demonstrate poor stewardship of taxpayer public assets for the principal benefit of a private party. Jamestown does not create or control the jobs they claim may be brought to the area, nor does high-tech have to be in the same building. Jamestown obviously has not heard of telecommuting.

Damage to the High Line. Jamestown upzoning proposal will bring irreparable harm to the High Line in respect to light and openness for which no amount of money is a substitute. As of right, 28-story hotel at 450 West 15th Street will serve to amplify this damage. Mr. Phillips, the manager of Jamestown, in public hearings held at Fulton Houses this past spring, stated that tourists from the High Line do not spend much money at the market as they pass through it and that he had no space available at the time to honor the Jamestown commitment to the High Line. Jamestown speaks ill of the High Line and its visitors, but will throw millions of dollars at the High Line in the hope that the city politicians will grant them upzoning.

2	Zoning should not be for sale.
3	Jamestown, through the promise of money, has very
4	deftly attempted to subvert the planning and
5	zoning process in New York City. The fact that
6	Jamestown is a foreign German-based investment
7	company interfering in New York politics is
8	particularly offensive.
9	Permanent and irreversible damage
10	to the character of Chelsea. Spurious claims
11	about lack of space, Google donated to the Cornell
12	high-tech center
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
14	Could you please skip to the end? I know you have
15	a lot more but
16	FRANKLIN LOW: Okay.
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We have it
18	here but
19	FRANKLIN LOW: Very well, I will
20	skip to the end. I will read my last paragraph.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Fine.
22	FRANKLIN LOW: Hiding under the
23	skirts of affordable housing and jobs, finally
24	even the affordable housing advocates are against
25	the project as it contributes so little to

affordable housing. I attended the CB 4 vote calling for the contribution by Jamestown of 20-equal to 27% of the projected 300 million project cost towards affordable housing. As of now, Jamestown's contribution will be less than 2% of the projected cost--about 5 million. Jobs and affordable housing in the instance serve only to give political cover to bad decision-making--

[Crosstalk]

mentioned above, is poor stewardship of public assets. An example of affordable housing--I will skip that. A particular family that I know lives in a proposed supposed affordable housing building, they have a \$3,400 amount two-bedroom apartment, they had to substantiate an income of in excess of \$110,000. One must ask, affordable for whom?

If Jamestown were serious and interested in the community, they would not ask for the zoning change. They are only interested in the money and are a duplicatious player. To grant an upzoning would be a breach of public trust.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 178
2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
3	FRANKLIN LOW: You're welcome.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
5	FRANKLIN LOW: I thank you for the
6	time to speak.
7	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Ladies, which-
8	-want to go next?
9	HILDA REGIER: Want to go
10	JUDY RICHHEIMER: Okay. Sure. Do
11	I have
12	[Pause]
13	JUDY RICHHEIMER: Hello, I'm Judy
14	Richheimer, executive vice president of the
15	Chelsea Reform Democratic Club, which has stood in
16	long opposition to this rezoning proposal.
17	Now you've heard how many of us
18	believe that the congestion that this rezoning
19	would bring would increasebe an exponential
20	burden on streets that are already highly
21	congested and it would make a tremendous rent in
22	the fabric of the very history of Chelsea, but I
23	want to put these feelings in a larger context,

25 Now there's no residential

namely, that of civic virtue.

neighborhood in New York City that yearns for an influx of new towers, however, most of us are realistic and know that growth is inevitable, and even the most ardent preservationist accepts that our streets will not necessarily always look like they did in the day of horses and bayonets.

However, we insist that any zoning change be accompanied by planning, planning that's intelligent, farseeing, comprehensive, and, above all, fair.

Spearheaded by the redoubtable Ed Kirkland, the idea of allowing communities in New York to have at least a say in planning the future of their own streetscapes was virtually invented in Chelsea. Mr. Kirkland and others worked out a kind of covenant with city government that said, okay, we know that development will take place, but at the same time it must take place within a context of respect for the flavor and character of our neighborhoods. The rezoning of western Chelsea was accomplished with just that spirit of cooperation and compromise, serious compromise on our part.

To overturn such a recent agreement

that was long in the making and, frankly, allow
developers to grab a great deal of our air and
sky, and also robbed us of several important
buildings, including one designed by Ernest Flag,
mocks the principle of community-based planning,
and that brings us back to civic virtue.

The community virtuously took on the burden of new towers, and in return we expected our government to hold up its end of the bargain and to behave with a corresponding sense of virtue. To smash this covenant now between a neighborhood and city government is to replace virtue with cynicism.

Please do not break faith with the people of Chelsea.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms. Richheimer. Next, please?

[Pause]

LARRY LIPPMAN: My name is Larry
Lippman, I am a member of the Chelsea Coalition on
Housing. There are so many reasons not to rezone
the Chelsea market and expand this complex. You
have heard, and probably read, most of them
already. But speaking from the heart, as a

2.

б

resident of Chelsea for 30 years, I urge you not
to contribute to destroying the character of thi
historic buildinga building which has proven t
be a unique example of adaptive reuse.

We urge you to listen to the voices of the community--your community, Speaker Quinn-- and vote no to this unnecessary proposal.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Lippman. Last, but not least.

Member, I'm Hilda Regier, a resident of Chelsea since 1968, former chair of Community Board 4, former president of the Council of Chelsea Block Associations, currently a board member of Save Chelsea, and of the Metropolitan Chapter of the Victorian Society in America.

You have before you a proposal to rezone one city block owned by one financial entity. Doesn't that give you pause? It's spot zoning--never a good policy. It is a request to add to the coffers of one company that is already making a profit on the property. The proposal reeks of greed, not need.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'd like to correct something that
was said by the Jamestown representative here this
morning. The 9th Avenue Fulton Houses are not
built as high as she said, they are six stories
high, so they're about half as high as the
proposed 9th Avenue addition by Jamestown. That's
not in my written testimony, but that needs to be
noted by the Council.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. could speak to them afterwards, she's nodding as well or commenting, so you guys may want to talk about it after.

HILDA REGIER: Okay. The Chelsea Market, as built, is iconic, a landmark recognized on the State and National Registers of Historic Places, but not designated as a New York City landmark. Jamestown properties at CB 4 graciously offered to support designation after it builds the two egregious towers it proposes to plop on top of the complex. How likely is designation then? CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Just I'll give you a couple of seconds if you got a last second

thing.

25 HILDA REGIER: I've got--

[Crosstalk]

3	CHAIRPERSON	WEPRIN:	Okav.
, ,	CINILITY DICOON	***	Oitay.

HILDA REGIER: This is a bad proposal, surely you can see that; therefore, I ask you to show your intelligence, savvy, and independence and vote no without equivocation to defeat this proposal in its entirety.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you. I want to thank this panel, you all were very heartfelt and that came across very strongly.

I'd like to now call on this panel in favor. I don't know if he's here, but Keith Rippy [phonetic] if he's here, Josh David from Friends of the High Line, Tom Grey, Alan Phillips, Lisa Rodano [phonetic]. Is there anyone else here testifying in favor of the project who hasn't been heard from? Excuse me. Okay.

Well whoever's--I just mentioned who's here, please come on up. I know we lose-you know, people have other things to do in their
lives and I know we lose some people along the
way. Really, we try to keep these hearings
quickly moving so people could be here. So that
five people is down to two I'm afraid, but I'm

2	sure it's a good two.	So whenever you're ready,
3	Lisa. I quess it is	

4 LISA RODANO: Yes.

5 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: --and please, 6 whenever you're ready.

Rodano, I'm here representing L'Arte del Gelato, and it has been an honor and a pleasure for us to have our flagship gelatiere, our laboratorio, and our offices in the Chelsea Market for the last eight years. I know I'm also speaking for other Chelsea Market artisanal food purveyors who are probably serving lunch right now.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
Try not to mention lunch, okay?

renovation of the Nabisco building created opportunity for many artisanal food crafters. The market was in the forefront of New York's food movement and it remains a touchstone location to this day. Over the years, we have seen the neighborhood grow from the heart of the market out. Businesses came, visitors increased, and the whole area was lifted. The market became a must-

2 see destination for visitors and a joy to
3 residents.

For us, it's really a beautiful thing on a daily basis to participate in something that we know brings happiness and joy to people to share food, to share the seasons, and to share holidays. If you go in the market now, you'll see that every inch of it is decorated for Halloween, you'll see kids running around, everyone having fun. It's pretty rare in the world today to feel like you could be a part of something that's wholesome and good, and we feel very much that way being in the market and selling our gelato.

And we feel that Jamestown's expansion will only continue that vitality and that positive direction. We hear that new businesses, many of them in the cutting edge technology sector, will be coming. We think anyone who brings in new thinking and new jobs should be applauded in these difficult times.

We also trust Jamestown's sense of aesthetics. Again, I said about the decorations that happen seasonally. The walls are always covered in art, all of the signs for the stores

are beautifully doneI'm almost done. We know
that Jamestown cares about their neighbors and
that they've been supporters of the High Line, as
well as other local projects and companies.

The main thing that we want to say, though, is we feel very beholden and thankful to Jamestown, we respect them tremendously, and we're very grateful that they're a part of making our dream of having an artisanal food company come true in New York with such a difficult place to do that. And we know that with the expansion, they'll be offering that to more small businesses—

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
Thank you.

LISA RODANO: --and we feel that's a positive--

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
Thank you very much. Okay. Next, please.

JOSHUA DAVID: Thank you, Chair
Weprin. I'm Joshua David, I am co-founder of
Friends of the High Line and also a 27-year
resident of Chelsea. On behalf of all of the
Friends of the High Line, I thank the City Council

for its history of commitment to the High Line's

transformation into a treasured public amenity for

New York City and our community.

Since the project's earliest days, the Council has worked to create a great public space while balancing the needs of many constituencies. Through its leadership in the creation of the Special West Chelsea District, the Council helped create a host of public benefits for our community, including affordable housing, contextual building controls, and support for the arts district, as well as much-needed provisions to support the High Line's adaptive reuse.

We support the proposal before you today, as do 1,800 individuals whose signatures are on a petition that had been submitted to you by Chelsea Market. The proposal expands the district by one block to include Chelsea Market and provides a host of benefits, including a dedicated freight elevator, a central back-of-house storage space, and much-needed public restrooms for the High Line's 4 million annual visitors, a half of which come from New York City and the surrounding area.

б

it creates a dedicated space for
the 450 free and low-cost education and community-
based programs the High Line presents each year.
Most important, it provides critical capital
funding for the City of New York to help meet its
obligation to complete long-term repair and
maintenance projects on the High Line. These
funds, to be paid into a city-controlled High Line
Improvement Fund, are critical. Today, the High
Line is new and it looks great, but it will need
major capital repairs in coming years and if the
city does not have the funds to meet its
obligations, the High Line could fall into
disrepair.

Under our license agreement with
the City, it is Friends of the High Line's
obligation to pay for virtually all park
operations through private philanthropic
fundraising. Every custodian, gardener,
electrician, maintenance technician, greeter,
docent, and educator you see on the High Line is
paid for this way.

[Off mic]

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Quiet, please.

б

2 | Sir, keep it down, please.

obligation meanwhile to--I'm almost done--to maintain the High Line bridge structure and to undertake major capital maintenance and replacement projects as needed, but there is no designated budget line to meet the City's obligation, which is why the funding provided in this proposal is so critical to the High Line and its surrounding community.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Please wrap up, yeah.

JOSHUA DAVID: Thank you again for all your work on this proposal. We urge you to vote in favor of it, its success is vitally important to the future sustainability of the High Line.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you both very much, and we thank this panel. I'd like to now call up, I think it's our last panel, it's in opposition: Karen Jacob, Frank Eadie, pronounce that right? Anyone else here to testify in opposition who has not been called? Okay. Come on up. They're here, the two of them are here?

24

2	Yes. Frank, what's your last name, Fadie? Eadie,
3	apologize again. Whenever you're ready, state
4	your name, make your statement.
5	KAREN JACOB: Thank you, my name is
6	Karen Jacob. I am torn between two lovers
7	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Keep it down,
8	please.
9	KAREN JACOB: I'm going to repeat
LO	that. I am
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Go ahead.
12	KAREN JACOB:I am torn between
13	two lovers. I work with the High Line, I've been
L4	working with them since before they opened, and
15	the things that they need are very, very genuine.
L6	They need a freight elevator, they need more
L7	space, Lord knows they need more bathrooms up
L8	there. But not this way and not this project.
L9	I've been living in Chelsea since
20	the middle seventies. I've seen it change. When
21	I moved here, people thought I was crazy. It's so
2.2	 wonderful. This project is misquided, wrong for

25 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

recommend, stress, beg you to turn it down.

the neighborhood, wrong for Chelsea, and I highly

2 Sir, you get to wrap up.

in Chelsea at 310 West 18th Street for the last 40 years, served on Community Board 4 for 20 of those years, and on the Chelsea Planning and Preservation Committee for most of those 20 years. And this project is an exercise in bad faith if there ever was one, and we've seen plenty over those 20 years.

The City's refusal to finance the housing that it had promised, more than decades of repeated approvals of upzonings, of projects after project, and repeated failure of project sponsors to fulfill promises to employee and to now to train local residents have created a tremendous cynicism, even desperation, for both middle-class and those that would become middle-class living in Chelsea. Meantime, the City is repeating unfulfilled promises, and this time we're seeing the iconic and most historic and most symbolic building in the entire West Side of Manhattan, and perhaps the most filmed structures in the country.

Those buildings have been in hundreds of movies, of music videos, of all kinds

of creations by students, by professionals, by all kinds of people. It is an icon of the city which we are about to lose. It will not be the same building once these project goes forward. It will not represent what it has, it will not be a place where people can come to see what they've seen in the films or in the ads and everything else that, you know, that Chelsea has come to symbolize.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, thank you very much.

FRANK EADIE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you both very much. Okay. I want to thank everyone for their cooperation. I know it's been a long day and everyone was on their very best behavior, we kept the outbursts to a minimum, try not to quiet anyone beyond the reasonable nature.

So what we're going to do now is we're going to close this hearing and we are going to move to recess this Committee. Over the next few days, we're going to be discussing what we heard here today and what changes can be made and what we might be doing as a Subcommittee. So I thank you all for your participation, for your

cooperation, and I wish you all a good day. 2

So this hearing is closed and the 3 meeting is now recessed until Thursday at 9:30 in 4 the morning. Thank you. And that'll be at 250 5 Broadway, 16th floor. Thank you. 6

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammylithman

Date __November 9, 2012_