CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING AND MARITIME USES

-----X

August 22, 2012 Start: 10:18 a.m. Recess: 10:35 a.m.

HELD AT:

Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO

Acting Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rosie Mendez
Annabel Palma
James Sanders, Jr.
Jumaane D. Williams
Daniel J. Halloran III
Brad S. Lander

2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: My name
3	is Maria del Carmen Arroyo, and I am chairing the
4	Council's Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public
5	Siting, and Maritime Uses in absence of the chair,
6	Brad Lander, who hopefully is enjoying his
7	vacation wherever he is at. The Committee heard
8	from the New York City Health and Hospitals
9	Corporation during the hearing portion on Land Use
10	No. 648 related to an application submitted by
11	the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation
12	requesting the approval of the leasing of a parcel
13	of land to develop housing for low income elderly
14	and/or disabled individuals. We laid over the
15	vote in deference to the Council Member whose
16	district the project is in, Council Member Melissa
17	Mark-Viverito, who will make a statement on this
18	project.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
20	Thank you, Madam Chair and good morning to my
21	colleagues. I'll try to be brief, but just you

Thank you, Madam Chair and good morning to my colleagues. I'll try to be brief, but just you know, in terms of this item, which is not an easy—it's quite a complicated issue 'cause it's part of a greater picture. You know, these situations are never easy and unfortunately sometimes dealing

with this administration is not an easy task 2 either. The situation that we're voting on today 3 is part of a larger picture when it comes to the 4 5 relocation of patients from Coler Goldwater, which is currently on Roosevelt Island. Yes, there had 6 been conversations from HHC on this transitioning and the relocation of these patients for some 9 time, but there is a direct link between the 10 Cornell Techneon [phonetic] project and the 11 relocation of these patients. If this item were 12 not to happen, that would delay and compromise 13 these units being built and it would delay the timeline that the administration has in terms of 14 15 moving forward with the Cornell Techneon project 16 and the Land Use items that that entails. 17 said that, you know, the East Harlem community is 18 concerned. This particular project is 176 housing 19 units for low income elderly and/or disabled 20 individuals that are being discharged from Coler 21 Goldwater that don't need the level of intense 22 institutional care they are receiving right now. 23 There is also another portion of the relocation, 24 which entails the building of an institution on 25 the old premises of North General Hospital, which

is technically not in my district, but it is 2 within the boundaries of Community Board 11, which 3 I represent, and also in the future it will also 4 entail development on 1st Avenue between 99th and 5 100th Street with the Draper Hall renovation. So б there's a lot that the East Harlem community is 7 going to be taking on and at minimum what has been 8 9 requested in some level of consideration to the concerns that have been raised. The Metropolitan 10 11 Hospital Community Advisory Board has had 12 extensive concerns about the sanitation garage that is between 99th Street, but also on 1st Avenue 13 and some of those concerns are being addressed. 14 Obviously we would love ideally the relocation of 15 16 that garage. That is not going to happen, but we 17 do have a commitment to a reduction of 20% in the number of collection trucks that will be based at 18 that garage. They will be mostly on 1st Avenue and 19 not within the 99th Street, 1st Avenue to 2nd Avenue 20 corridor, which is where this facility will be 21 built. There was also concerns of remediation 22 23 because the lots in which this development is going to be built does have some environmental 24 remediation necessary. We do have the assessment 25

and it's clearly delineated that there is an 2 extensive remediation program that that the 3 developer is responsible for and that will happen. 4 5 So I think that that issue was somewhat addressed. Having said all of that, we also want an ongoing 6 engagement not only with HHC, but with the administration. Cornell University has made some 9 commitments to the city of New York in light of 10 the project on Roosevelt Island and the East 11 Harlem Community considering the burden that we 12 will be assuming is asking for some of those 13 commitments to come directly to East Harlem, and 14 so I understand that the administration is setting up some meetings with Deputy Mayor Steel and my 15 office so that we can have those conversations, 16 17 but there is an expectation that as the project 18 develops and goes online in a couple of years that 19 we will get that. So there's a lot of work still 20 to be done and I really do hope there is a genuine 21 commitment on the part of the administration and 22 HHC to engage on an ongoing basis the community as 23 this project moves forward. There is a time line 24 sensitivity here because there is financing that 25 is necessary from the state Medicaid redesign and

11

14

21

2	there is—if this top project does not move
3	forward, we would compromise the ability for these
4	patients to get the care that they need. So I
5	will be voting on this project yes. I am yes, and

I would ask my colleagues to do the same. It is a 6 7 complex issue, but my hope and expectation is that

8 we can continue to have the dialogue we've been

9 having and have the administration and HHC really

hear the community's concern to the extent that we 10

can address them to address them. So having said

12 that, I thank you for your patience and I ask my

13 colleagues to vote yes on this item.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON ARROYO:

15 neglected to mention the members of the Landmarks

16 Committee. First the Subcommittee Land Use,

17 Landmarks Subcommittee, Council Member Palma,

Council Member Mendez, Council Member Williams and 18

19 from the Land Use Committee, we have Council

20 Member Garodnick, Council Member Barron. Any

questions or comments, my colleagues? Council

22 Member Barron?

23 COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.

24 Thank you very much. I have real concerns about

25 this project and wanted to raise some of them.

2	While I'm all for special needs housing, we all
3	have that in our communities and sometimes they're
4	saturated with too much special needs housing and
5	usually what we try to do with special needs
6	housing is to have some percentage of the
7	affordable formula for the residents of that
8	particular community. In this case here, there
9	are three things that are troubling for me. One
10	is that the special needs housing program for the
11	city where this project's money will be housed,
12	the city claims that no money will be taken away
13	from the homeless budget to fund this project, but
14	the project does have a portion of the homeless
15	money in that special program will be a part of
16	this project's budget and they'll be no community
17	residents that will be allowed to get any
18	affordable housing in this project. It will be
19	100% for the Coler Goldwater Nursing Home
20	residents, and that is unacceptable to me. I
21	think at least 30-50% should be from the
22	community. If it had to go through this special
23	housing project, they would be required to do
24	that, but they usurped that by not doing that.
25	The other thing that's concerning—I think and

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Melissa could correct this-I think Community Board

11 voted against it.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: And I think also the Metropolitan Hospital Committee also voted against it. Both of those bodies are against it and citing some of the environmental impacts as part of the reason, but I just think that we shouldn't allow the city to use the housing program for the homeless to bring in 100% of special needs housing. I think that's wrong. I think we should have this project go back and take care of some of the residents in the community and not allow it to be 100% special needs. And for the Mayor's Office to say it's going to through that housing program, but it won't use their funds is just not true, number one. That's dishonest. This is according to a Daily News article, and secondly, we should at least require some percentage of this being for affordable housing for community residents. this project I think that they're fast tracking it. They had problems with the environmental impact statement review. They had challenges with

1	LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING & MARITIME USES 10
2	the full Land Use Committee.
3	ACTING CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We will
4	leave the roll open until we must convene Land Use
5	and will close the meeting at that point.
6	[pause]
7	COUNSEL: Council Member Sanders?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: I vote
9	aye.
10	COUNSEL: The vote now stands at
11	five in the affirmative, none in the negative and
12	no abstentions.

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Kimberley Uhlig

Date 8/29/12