CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----X

April 24, 2012 Start: 10:19 a.m. Recess: 3:41 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Daniel R. Garodnick Karen Koslowitz G. Oliver Koppell Michael C. Nelson Julissa Ferreras Stephen Levin

Melissa Mark-Viverito Vincent J. Gentile

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

Gale A. Brewer Brad Lander

Daniel J. Halloran

Mark Weprin

APPEARANCES

Kathleen McGee Director Mayor's Office of Special Enforcement

Lt. Daniel Albano New York City Police Department

Robert Edman Assistant Commissioner NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

Julio Rodriguez Executive Director Environmental Control Board

Erik Joerss Director of City Legislative Affairs NYC Department of Consumer Affairs

Sean Basinski Director Street Vendor Project Urban Justice Center

Sasha Ahuja Organizer Street Vendor Project

Matthew Shapiro Staff Attorney Street Vendor Project

Mohammad Saad Ali Board Member Street Vendor Project

James B. Williams Board Member Street Vendor Project

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Brad Beckstrom Director of Government Affairs Mount Sinai Hospital

Jeannine M. Jennette Executive Director of Public Safety Columbia University Medical Center

Monica Blum
NYC BID Association

Shira Gans On behalf of Scott Stringer Manhattan Borough President

Steven B. Wasserman Criminal Practice Legal Aid Society

Lee Wellington
Planning Fellow
Pratt Center for Community Development

David Weber Co-founder of Rickshaw Dumplings Founder/President NYC Food Truck Association

Peter Davies Broadway Residents Association

Peter Davis

Patrick Condren Executive Director 86th Street Bay Ridge BID Fifth Avenue Bay Ridge BID

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Kaja Kuehl Adjunct Associate Professor Graduate School of Architecture, Planning and Preservation Columbia University

Julie Behrens Adjunct Professor of Urban Planning Columbia University

Jonathan Sunshine Street Vendor Project

Yanki Tshering Executive Director Business Center for New Americans

Michele Birnbaum

Lo Van Der Balk Carnegie Hill Neighbors

Dr. Elaine M. Walsh President East 86th Street Association

Richard Juliano Lincoln Square BID

Jessamyn Waldman Rodriguez CEO Hot Bread Kitchen

David Mkrtchyan Street Vendor

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Dr. Suzanne Wasserman Director Gotham Center for New York City History CUNY Graduate Center

Bob Zuckerman Executive Director Lower East Side BID

Renee Giordano Executive director Sunset Park BID

Ron Dwenger Chair Consents and Variances Committee Community Board 5

Michael Lambert Executive Director Jerome Gun Hill BID

Ralph Di Toro

Armando Crescenzi Veterans First, Ltd.

Dan Rossi Street Vendor

Cassandra Flechsig Green Cart Program Manager Karp Resources

Cindy VandenBosch Co-founder Urban Oyster

$\verb|A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED) | \\$

Albert Loera Street Vendor

Mohammed Altaf Hussain Super Kennedy Fried Chicken and Pizza

Clementina Garcia Organizer VAMOS Unidos

Jeff Orlick Roosevelt Avenue Midnight Street Food Crawl

Baye Hanne Street Vendor

Brian Hoffman Midtown Lunch

2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good
3	morning, everyone. Welcome to the Consumer
4	Affairs Committee of the New York City Council.
5	Today's date is Tuesday, April 24th. My name is
6	Dan Garodnick, and I have the privilege of
7	chairing this committee.
8	I am joined today by Council
9	Members Melissa Mark-Viverito, Vincent Gentile,
10	Steve Levin, and I'm sure we will be joined by

others throughout the course of this hearing.

Street vending, which is the subject of today's hearing, has long captured New Yorkers' entrepreneurial spirit, from immigrants looking to make a living in their new country, to established brick and mortar store owners endeavoring to expand their businesses. Street vending is intricately woven into the fabric of New York City, contributing to our cultural diversity and overall economy.

Of course, street vending has also created many problems on our streets. For as long as vendors have existed, they have raised objections from brick and mortar businesses and some residents and there have been many efforts to

2 regulate where and when they may sell their goods.

The rules over the years have gotten more complicated. Some say too complicated, for vendors, the police or community leaders to follow. The result, in some cases, is the issuance of thousands of violations with steep penalties that may never be collected by the city.

Residents complain about the noise, visual distractions and trash that vending brings to neighborhoods, as well as the dangers that crowded sidewalks impose on pedestrians when streets are lined with vendor trucks, carts and tables.

Brick and mortar store owners continue to site the injustices of competing with businesses that pay no rent because they use city streets and that set up shop in neighborhoods with no advanced notice to communities.

In short, vendors, residents and businesses are all frustrated by a system of rules and enforcement that is perceived as being unfair. That will be the focus of today's hearing, fairness: fairness to vendors, fairness to residents and fairness to brick and mortar

2 businesses.

The committee has selected seven bills for today's agenda, each aimed at improving one aspect of either the rules that govern where vendors may or may not vend, the mechanism used to tie violations to permit renewals, the current fines and fine structure applied when those rules are broken, or the transparency of the adjudicatory process when violations are contested.

Intros 434 and 435, sponsored by Council Member Steve Levin, would reduce the maximum vendor fine to \$250 and would slow the escalation of fines respectively.

uith permissible locations from which vendors may vend. Intro 684, which is sponsored by Council Member Gale Brewer, would prohibit food carts and trucks from vending within or abutting taxi stands. Intro 727 and 789, bills that I have sponsored, would also prohibit vending in certain locations. Intro 727 would apply a uniform 20 foot vending prohibition for all commercial buildings and for buildings that are exclusively

2	residential at street level. Intro 789 would
3	prohibit vending on sidewalks abutting no-standing
4	zones around hospitals.

Intro 817, a third bill that I have sponsored, would clarify existing law by requiring that a food truck or cart permit number is recorded on notices of violation issued to the license holders working under those permits.

Finally, Intro 16, sponsored by Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito, would require that the Environmental Control Board provide quarterly reports to the City Council regarding the violations that it adjudicates.

So, we have a big hearing ahead.

We look forward to hearing from many of you.

Before we hear from the administration, who is here to testify as our first panel, I want to turn the microphone briefly over to a couple of my colleagues who have sponsored bills on today's agenda, and to recognize that we've been joined by Council Member Julissa Ferreras and Council Member Karen Koslowitz from Queens. Now, I'll turn to Council Member Steve Levin, who is the author of Intros 434 and 435. Councilman?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman for holding this important hearing
4	today. With me here is a box of tickets that have
5	been issued over the last year, just to give some
6	kind of physical scale to what we are dealing with
7	today. Chairman, I want to thank you for holding
8	this important hearing today.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Were they
10	all paid?
11	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: No, they
12	were not all paid, because the fines were too
13	high. Chairman Garodnick, I want to thank you
14	very much for holding this important hearing today
15	and for allowing me the opportunity to speak on
16	Intros 434 and 435.
17	Intro 434 reduces the maximum fine
18	from \$1,000 to \$250 for infractions of the vending
19	code. Intro 435 defines unrelated violations of
20	vending rules as separate offenses. Together,
21	these bills will restructure the penalty system
22	for street vendors and align fines with the
23	penalties for other businesses throughout New York
24	City.

The complexity of the vending code

is mind boggling for both the vendors themselves and the officers in charge of enforcement. The quote/unquote "alphabet soup" of agencies with oversight over vending issues and the confusing and almost contradictory nature of some of their rules, coupled with the lack of an educational program for vendors or for the police, leads to enormous confusion and expensive and often unwarranted fines.

For a vendor making \$14,000 a year, fines of up to \$1,000 for non-health related infractions are extremely prohibitive and punitive. And IBO report released last year and a recent study by the University of Wisconsin showed just how few vendors are actually able to pay these expensive fines.

The City of New York spends \$7.4 million to dole out about 25,000 to vendors each year, which results in \$9 million in fines levied on our city's smallest businesses in 2009. The majority of these tickets were given out for minor violations, such as having one's license tucked into their jacket, not for violations that endanger the public's health or safety in any way.

However, the city only collected
\$419,852, a fraction of what it spends on
enforcement from the tickets in that same year.
This isn't because vendors are a group of
scofflaws. Most vendors are law-abiding citizens
simply trying to make a living. But they cannot
afford to pay these outsized fines. By reducing
the maximum fine from \$1,000 to \$250, vendors will
be able to pay their tickets and not risk losing
their license

Additionally, unlike most other penalty scheduled, the vending code allows tickets to increase for unrelated offenses. For example, if a vendor is found to be too far from the curb, he can be given a fine of \$50 for his first offense.

If that vendor is also not wearing his license conspicuously, he will also be given a fine for \$100, the penalty for a second offense, even though he had not been ticketed for that offense previously. It is the equivalent of receiving a more expensive parking ticket for parking in a bus stop simply because you once reduced a parking ticket for not properly

2 displaying a muni-meter receipt.

The escalating fine structure means that vendors often reach the \$1,000 maximum fine very quickly. Intro 435 will change this structure.

At first glance, sky high fines might seem like a deterrent to illegal behavior, but as the University of Wisconsin study released this morning points out, street vendors are much less likely to pay the fines that are over \$800.

In fact, fines that were \$399 or less were paid 47 percent of the time, whereas fines of over \$800 were only paid 7 percent of the time.

By lowering the maximum fine to \$250 and changing the escalating penalty structure, the city will actually recoup more of the \$7.4 million spent on enforcement each year. The vending code needs to be updated in order to reduce confusion and starting with the fine structure is an important first step.

I look forward to working with
Chairman Garodnick, other members of this
committee and the Department of Consumer Affairs
to ensure that all business owners, including

2	street vendor	s are	treated	fairly.	Thank	you,	Mr
3	Chairman, for	your	time.				

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Council Member Levin. Now, I'll turn to Council
Member Mark-Viverito.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. I want to begin by thanking you, Chair
Garodnick, for holding this hearing, which I think
is very important. And also to thank all the
members of the public who are here today.

I'm Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito. I'm here in support of my bill, Intro 16-A, as well as Intro 434 and 435, sponsored by Council Member Levin, which I have co-sponsored.

Street vendors have been part of the fabric of New York City life for generations. Since the earliest days of the city, new entrepreneurs started selling their wares from pushcarts in order to earn a living and support their families. Successful street vendors have then branched out into more permanent stores.

They also don't necessarily compete with established businesses. Street vendors tend to sell smaller, more limited merchandise compared

to brick and mortars stores. According to one study, here in New York, by Professor John Gaber [phonetic], street vendors actually help establish stores by contributing to our vibrant street life and drawing more people to walk our neighborhood sidewalks. These small entrepreneurs make New York a vibrant city and help all businesses.

Instead of supporting these hard working entrepreneurs, however, the city has too often resorted to aggressive enforcement. I've said this over and over, I believe that these excessive fines are criminalizing the work of those who come here, contribute to our city, make our city what it is. Criminalizing hard work is not something that I would support and it's not something I don't think that the City of New York should be supporting.

Despite the rising demand for vending licenses, the city has kept the cap on vending licenses the same for decades. They also face punitive fines for small violations, which can easily mount into the thousands of dollars, fines that the vendors can't afford to pay.

As indicated, there was a study

that was released this morning that shows that
when we do lower the fines, in fact it will
generate more revenue for the City of New York.
Because, as mentioned, the vendors are hard
working individuals who want to abide by the laws
and want to pay their fines.

The Environmental Control Board is also not required to disclose the fines it imposes. So, Council Member Levin's bills would lower the fines to more reasonable rates, and my bill would require that the Environmental Control Board report vendor fines on a quarterly basis, which will provide for greater accountability and transparency.

In essence, these bills will make it easier for entrepreneurial street vendors to support their families and succeed in New York City.

I look forward to discussing these and other issues related to vending. I want to thank Speaker Quinn and Chair Garodnick, again, and hope this legislation advances through the hearing and to the full City Council for a vote. Thank you.

25

2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
3	Council Member. I want to note, we've been joined
4	by Council Member Domenic Recchia of Brooklyn.
5	With that, we are going to turn to our first panel
6	of the day, which will include Kathleen McGee, who
7	is the Director of the Mayor's Office of Special
8	Enforcement. Ms. McGee, if you wouldn't mind,
9	when you get started, introducing the rest of the
LO	representatives who are there at the witness table
11	with you. Welcome.
12	KATHLEEN MCGEE: Of course. Thank
L3	you. Good morning, Chair Garodnick. It is on.
L4	Sure, is this better? Good. Good morning,
L5	members of the committee and Chair Garodnick. My
L6	name is Kathleen McGee, as noted I'm the Director
L7	of the Mayor's Office of Special Enforcement.
18	I'm joined by Lieutenant Dan Albano
L9	of the New York City Police Department; Assistant
20	Commissioner Robert Edman of the Department of
21	Health and Mental Hygiene; Environmental Control
22	Board Executive Director and Office of
23	Administrative Tribunals and Hearings Deputy

Commissioner Julio Rodriguez; and Director of City

Legislative Affairs, Erik Joerss of the Department

б

2 of Consumer Affairs.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on the seven bills before the committee today.

The Office of Special Enforcement is responsible for coordinating enforcement efforts across City agencies to address quality of life issues. Vending is one such issue, so I am here to present the Administration's response to the legislation.

Upon conclusion of my written remarks, my colleagues and I will be happy to take your questions regarding our positions on the bills.

Introductory Number 16-A would require the Environmental Control Board to issue quarterly reports to the Council detailing vending violations and dispositions of those violations for the previous quarter. The Administration supports this proposal.

Introductory Number 817 would require Notices of Violation issued by health sanitarians and police officers for food vending violations to include the permit number of the

food truck or pushcart from which the violation occurred. Currently, Notices of Violation for civil infractions have a location where a DOHMH employee or police officer could write a truck or cart's decal number. Similar to the permit number, the decal number is a unique identifier specific to the individual cart and can also be used to identity the permit holder.

In the weeks ahead, the Department of Health will promulgate rules to streamline and clarify some of their vending rules, and while DOHMH currently includes decal numbers in their NOVs, we expect to propose a rule change to clarify this to include permit numbers instead of decal numbers. We therefore support the Council's efforts to codify this practice into law, but note that a parallel change to the form of criminal summonses is not within the City's power to effectuate.

The next three bills, Introductory

Numbers 684, 789, and 727, would add further

restrictions to where vending is permitted in the

five boroughs. Intro 684 would prohibit vendors

from working within or against taxi stands; Intro

789 would prohibit vending within the sidewalk
abutting no-standing zones adjacent to hospitals;
and Intro 727 would clarify the current
prohibition on vending within building entryways,
adding service entrances and exits and
standardizing at 20 feet the distance from
entrances and exits from which both general
vendors and food vendors can sell their wares.

The Administration supports the intent of these proposals, as we expect that they would reduce confrontation and sidewalk obstruction in heavily trafficked areas. We look forward to working with committee staff to refine the language of these bills.

Numbers 434 and 435, would, respectively, cap the maximum penalties for multiple vending violations and limit the circumstances in which graduated penalties are assessed for multiple violations.

Across the City, compliance with the vending rules and laws is poor at best, and complaints continue to be very high. Therefore, we do not support decreasing penalties for vending violations.

The Administration would support,

б

however, clarifying the statute to reflect ECB's
current practice, which ensures that notices of
violations issued on the same day carry the same
penalty level.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify. We are available to answer any questions the Committee has at this time.

Very much. Before I turn to my colleagues, let me just ask you a couple of points about your testimony. First, and I think that we probably will spend most of our time, I suspect, in this panel talking about 434 and 435, because the Administration was very supportive of all the other bills on the agenda, and we appreciate that.

Let's just talk about Intro 817 for a moment, about tying the licenses to the permits. You noted that today there is a decal number that is associated with a particular cart and that it is similar to a permit number. Can you explain to us exactly how a decal number relates to the permit number and as it relates to a particular licensee who is working with that cart at that time? Help us understand the interrelationship

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 23
2	there.
3	KATHLEEN MCGEE: I'm going to defer
4	to Assistant Commissioner Edman for that.
5	ROBERT EDMAN: Good morning. There
6	are three numbers associated with each cart, each
7	vending unit. One is the permit number. The
8	other is what we refer to as the CAMIS number.
9	Both those numbers are
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
11	[interposing] I'm sorry. What did you say the
12	second one was?
13	ROBERT EDMAN: CAMIS number.
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: CAMIS?
15	ROBERT EDMAN: Yes, C-A-M-I-S.
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, and
17	then the third one?
18	ROBERT EDMAN: Is a decal. The
19	decal, all of those numbers, all three numbers are
20	unique to that unit. The CAMIS number is a system
21	generated number that's unique to that unit. The
22	permit number is also unique to that unit. The
23	decal number, when it's placed on the unit by
24	after an inspection, is also unique to that unit.
25	Either of those numbers by

themselves track back to the other two. So if
there's a CAMIS number, we can track back to the
permit and the decal and vice versa. They're
essentially interchangeable.

Now, whenever a summons is written, the summons is issued to the vendor on the unit. That vendor's name is on the summons. That vendor's CAMIS number is on the summons. The decal number of the unit is on the summons. So, therein lies the tie between the unit, the decal and the vendor and the vendor's CAMIS number.

As was testified, if the choice is to actually list the permit number, we would support that. It essentially gives the same information.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Today, when a permit comes up for renewal, a permit holder needs to resolve any outstanding fines and penalties associated with their carts before the permit is renewed. Is that correct?

ROBERT EDMAN: Yes, there are certain fines that have to be addressed, yes.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Do they similarly need to resolve issues if there is an

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 25
2	outstanding fine related to a CAMIS number or a
3	decal?
4	ROBERT EDMAN: Yes, that's
5	essentially the same thing as the permit.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. At
7	the moment of the permit's renewal, is there a way
8	for the renewing authorities to determine whether
9	there are outstanding penalties associated with
10	the CAMIS number or the decal?
11	ROBERT EDMAN: Yes. I might defer
12	to my colleagues from DCA. But they have access
13	to the systems that allow them to get that
14	information as to whether there are outstanding
15	fines that are owed to the ECB.
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Does
17	anybody else want to take a shot at that?
18	ERIK JOERSS: As he said, we are on
19	that same CAMIS system. So the fines there should
20	be accessible to it, that are connected to the
21	permits.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. We
23	have the good fortune of Council Member Levin

have the good fortune of Council Member Levin having brought 7,000 vendor permits to this hearing, so we have the violations and we'll send

24

25

a couple of samples over to the witness table just
for you all to identify for us exactly how this
gets tied to the permit. Obviously, what our goal
here is to ensure that the owner of the permit is
self-policing their own licensees and the folks
who are actually operating out there every day.

We talk about all of the complication of vendor rules and we talk about enforcement and confusion for vendors, confusion for BIDs, confusion for communities, but the folks who are in the best position to actually make sure that the rules are being followed are the folks who at the end of the day will have to be liable for fines that are incurred by the workers on that cart.

So we're glad you all are supportive of the change. It sounds like you're willing to make some of these changes at this point by rule, which we also appreciate. But it's still not entirely clear to us how those questions are resolved at the point of permit renewal today.

ROBERT EDMAN: Sure, we'd be happy to.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

We'll pass those along. Let me also ask the
question here about the criminal summonses. Ms.
McGee, can you or one of the other folks on the
panel explain to us the distinction here between
the criminal and the civil fines that vendors
could be subject to?

LT. DANIEL ALBANO: Good morning,
Dan Albano from the Police Department. When we
issue a criminal court summons, the summons is
returnable, obviously, to criminal court. What we
don't have on a criminal court summons, the space
to write a permit number in addition to any
license number that the vendor might have, the
permit number for the truck.

The city doesn't have control over the format for a criminal court summons. That would require a change up in Albany.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So your point here is that in order to accomplish that we'd need state legislative action. Just give us a sense of the different types of penalties or the different types of violations that we're talking about that would yield a criminal as opposed to a civil complaint.

2	LT. DANIEL ALBANO: The criminal
3	court summons could be used for any of the general
4	vending regs. The violation of the size and
5	placement restrictions all have a corresponding
6	criminal penalty which is identical to the civil
7	penalty, but we just have it returnable to
8	criminal court.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: You have an
LO	option essentially?
11	LT. DANIEL ALBANO: Yes, sir.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Ms. McGee,
L3	let's go back to you for a second on 434 and 435,
L4	and then I'm going to go to my colleagues, and
L5	we'll start with Council Member Levin.
L6	You noted that across the city,
L7	compliance with vending rules and laws is poor.
L8	Could you say a little bit more about what you
19	mean by that and what you would regard as poor
20	compliance? And also, why you believe compliance
21	is poor?
22	KATHLEEN MCGEE: Well, I am going
23	to defer to some of the additional panelists here.
24	But I would start by saying that the Mayor's

Office of Special Enforcement, as well as PD and

the other agencies represented here today,
continue to receive high levels of numbers of
complaints regarding vending, particularly illegal
vending here in New York City. It's our
responsibility then to go out and address those
complaints through inspections.

The results of those inspections can be violations issued either by criminal court summons or by ECB violation. I'll defer to Dan Albano regarding the statistics that he had at hand.

statistics for our enforcement efforts, but I do not have statistics for the number of complaints, but they are, as the Director testified, quite high. It's a constant source of community complaints at precinct, City Council meetings and other forums that the Police Department interacts with the public.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: 311 data should be available to us for complaints about vendors. Is that available to us at today's hearing?

25 KATHLEEN MCGEE: I don't believe we

2	have	it	today,	but	we	will	get	that	to	you
3	fort	hwi	th.							

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Because certainly, we're talking about compliance with vending rules being poor and also complaints being high, certainly being able to understand exactly what that means for complaints to the city through 311, that would be very useful and we'd appreciate your follow up on that.

Also, the complaints, are they complaints about legal vendors doing illegal things? I'm sorry, legal vendors doing illegal things or are they complaints about illegal vending? Can you break those down for us?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: We'll look at see what the data is coming into 311. I can tell you anecdotally it's both.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: If you could just repeat that answer. Some of the folks in the audience couldn't hear you so well.

KATHLEEN MCGEE: We will look into the breakdown on 311 as to how that information is presented. But I can tell you anecdotally it is both. It is both legal vendors doings illegal

things and illegal vendors vending illegally.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We're going to hear more about the fine structure and the fact that it is difficult for vendors to pay very high fines in certain circumstances. Even a thesis that perhaps if we were to lower fines that it might yield greater recovery to the city because there would be more of a chance for a vendor to essentially stay alive in the business and not simply have to pack it up, pack it in because the fines were beyond their ability to even conceive of paying. Can you address that and respond whether you agree/disagree and why on both?

this point I think the Administration's position vis-à-vis these two introductory bills is clear, as stated in my testimony previously. However, I would say that you referenced earlier a study that came out today. I think we'd certainly be interested in reading that study. But at this point, we take no position on whether or not lowering fines would, in fact, be more of a deterrent, if that's--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

2 [interposing] Well actua	illy
-----------------------------	------

3 KATHLEEN MCGEE: --the people would 4 be willing to pay.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: --I think

the thesis is that it would yield more payment to

the city. Obviously, the report was just released

today, but we would like a formal response from

the Administration on that because it certainly

would aid us in our consideration of these bills.

Can we ask you for that?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: Certainly. We'd welcome the opportunity to review that.

Thank you. Now, I'm going to turn to Council

Member Levin, and to note that we've been joined

by Council Member Koppell.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. So I'm going to just kind of cut
right to it. You know, I approached this issue
when I introduced these two pieces of legislation,
along with several of my colleagues, about a year
and a half ago. I met with a group of vendors and
they described to me what's actually happening.
What struck me was that there's an issue of

2 fairness.

So I just want to ask, does the Bloomberg Administration think that it is fair for a vendor who makes \$15,000 a year and lives in New York City, with how expensive it is to live in New York City, so \$15,000 a year. Does the Bloomberg administration think it's fair for that vendor to receive \$1,000 fine for not properly displaying a license, even though they have it tucked into their jacket? Is that fair? Does that strike you; I mean it's a gut check question. Does the Bloomberg administration think that that's a fair thing for somebody to pay one-fifteenth of their yearly income on a violation like that?

MATHLEEN MCGEE: I can tell you I'm not prepared to answer that sort of hypothetical today. What I can tell you is that the city's--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] Hold the answer for one second. I just want to make this point right off the bat.

You're going to hear some things you're going to like. You're going to hear some things you won't like. The hearing just has to be without reaction. This is an environment in which we are

here to hear everybody's views on the subject,
whether we like them or not. So I would just as:
the folks who are here today and certainly my
colleagues to please treat this hearing with tha
level of decorum. Please, go ahead.

Can tell you with respect to the two introductory bills before us, Intros 434 and 435, is that the Administration has indicted in my testimony today, we are more than willing to sit down with Council and support clarifying the statue to reflect what is already current practice, that is fairness in violations issued on the same day.

appreciate that effort. I, with all due respect, disagree that it addresses the real issue. I have a question, why don't we, as a city, if we're going to do this, if we're going to allow escalating—so 435 addresses the issue of escalating fines for unrelated offenses, whether they're the same day or whether they're not the same day. Why do we do this for vendors when we don't do it for something like parking tickets?

Why is that I do not get an

escalating line for my muni-meter violation even
though I may have gotten a ticket previously for
parking in front of a fire hydrant? Why is a fire
hydrantparking in front of a fire hydrant is
actually pretty serious. You can block a fire
truck from accessing a fire hydrant in the case of
a fire. That's a pretty serious thing to do.
That's \$115 fine every time you do it, every
single time you do it.

why then would a vendor get escalating fines for things like being too far from the curb or being too close to the entrance of a building or being too close to the curb or not properly displaying their license? I don't understand why they get the escalating fines but the general population doesn't. Why is that?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: Well, if we're comparing to motor vehicle parking infractions, I could tell you that repeated incidents on the same vehicle over the course of not just one day but multiple days would result, for example, in a car being towed from that location, which could be considered a higher penalty.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: No, no. For

separate offenses on separate days in separate
locations, if you don't pay your fines you
eventually might get your car towed. But you're
not getting an increased fine every time your
muni-meter is expired or you don't display your
muni-meter or you're parked in front of a fire
hydrant or you're parked in front of a bus stop.

What I'm getting at is this: if you were to try to do that, if we were to try to do that as a City Council and try to introduce escalating fines to the general population because of their parking tickets, there would be riots in the streets. We would all get un-elected. There is no possible way that this body would ever do that. I don't think that the Bloomberg administration would support such an action.

Because the general population, which has political clout, because we all got to get reelected, we would not do it, but why is it that the street vendor population faces such things? I don't understand why that is.

It's the question that I asked a year and a half ago and I still can't figure it out.

3

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

KATHLEEN MCGEE: I'll defer to Dan
Albano from the NYPD to perhaps help out.

LT. DANIEL ALBANO: If I could draw 4 5 an analogy. When a car is illegally parked, the summons is issued to the car. Of course the 6 liability goes back to the owner of the car. But we can't tell who parked that car illegally each 9 time. It could be a different driver. Look at the situation with going through a red light and 10 11 the red light camera catches you. That fine is 12 significant lower because it's to the vehicle 13 owner, the registered owner of the vehicle as opposed to when a policeman observes you go 14 15 through a red light, pulls you over, and issues a 16 summons. That summons is to the driver and it's a 17 higher fine.

Similarly in the VTL, the vehicle and traffic law, certain moving violations like speeding, going through red lights, blowing past stop signs, they have a higher fine, or they have a recidivist scheme where each time the driver is convicted of that, the fine could potentially go up. That's not the case with parking violations because we don't know who was behind the wheel of

	2	the	car	and	illegally	parked	the	car
--	---	-----	-----	-----	-----------	--------	-----	-----

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's a question of personal liability. That you're observing the vendor doing it and that's why?

LT. DANIEL ALBANO: Well, it's the same vendor. You're writing the summons to that particular vendor, like--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:

[interposing] The same owner of the car. I mean the car owner is the car owner. I own a car. I got liability—I mean I accept the liability of owning that car. Part of that liability in New York City is getting parking tickets. I mean that's the cost of living in New York City and owning a vehicle. I mean in real terms, I mean just—that would be an awfully convenient excuse for any car owner that it's your car, you own it, but you can't prove that you didn't park it the night before.

LT. DANIEL ALBANO: And the fine stays the same each time the car is illegally parked.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Right, that's not my point.

2	LT. DANIEL ALBANO: But that's not
3	the case with the operator of a vehicle. Under
4	the traffic law, when the operator of a vehicle
5	commits a moving violation, the fine goes up.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So every
7	timehow about talking on a cell phone while
8	you're driving? That's not the same fine every
9	time? That's the same fine every time?
LO	LT. DANIEL ALBANO: I'm not sure,
11	sir.
L2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I'm pretty
13	sure it is. But my point is this: it's still not
L4	\$1,000. It's still not \$500. These are vendors
15	making \$15,000 a year, getting \$1,000 or a \$500
L6	fine for innocuous things. I mean that's the
L7	outrage here is that the punishment does not fit
18	the crime. That's a constant. There has to be
19	some correlation between the punishment and the
20	crime. The violation like not properly
21	displaying, having your license in your pocket
22	instead of around your neck, that is not
23	commensurate with \$1,000 fine. I mean I think
24	that that's common sense.

Anyway, I'll just move on to the

issue that came out in the report today. I know
that you haven't really had a chance to really
look at it. It's pretty clear that of the tickets
that were issued that were over \$800, 7 percent
were paid. Of the tickets that were issued
between \$400 and \$799, that was 25 percent of the
time that those were paid. Of the tickets that
were up to \$400, 47 percent of the time those were
paid.

So I want to know, what evidence does the city have that the higher fines that were implemented acted as a deterrent? If they're not being paid because they're astronomical—I mean for somebody, again, making \$15,000 a year, to pay a fine of over \$800, the reason they're not being paid is that people can't afford to pay them.

So what evidence does the city have? I mean, you mentioned deterrent. What evidence do you have that it actually acts as a deterrent? Do you have any evidence to back this up?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: We don't have any evidence before us that it is an incentive to continue with bad behavior, nor that it is a

deterrent. But certainly at this point, we don't	_
see that lowering the penalties is going to	
increase compliance. Again, we welcome the	
opportunity to review the study that just was	
mentioned today for the first time and to come up	Ò
with an administrative position on it and to	
evaluate it in light of the testimony given today	√ .

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: It would follow, I mean this is a percentage of tickets being levied that are being paid. If you're averaging about half of them being paid, I mean obviously that's not ideal. You want 100 percent compliance. But it's a lot higher than 8 percent or 7 percent.

So I really do hope that you do take a look at that because it's in the city's interest. I mean this is a question where now we're looking at what's in the interest of the City of New York. If we're talking about over \$7 million being spent annually on enforcement and only \$400,000 being collected, the system is really not working. If the higher fines are only getting a collection of 8 or 7 percent, I mean that's just not working. There's something wrong

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 42
2	with that and I think we need to take a clear-eyed
3	look at that.
4	I want to ask, on average how many
5	vendors per year are failing to renew their
6	license?
7	ERIK JOERSS: Erik Joerss for DCA.
8	I can tell you in the last year we had about 100
9	that did not renew.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: A hundred
11	that did not renew.
12	ERIK JOERSS: Yeah.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Has DCA done
14	a study as to why vendors are not renewing?
15	ERIK JOERSS: And that's on general
16	vendors.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: How about
18	food vendors?
19	ERIK JOERSS: Food vendors, I don't
20	have that. I'll defer.
21	ROBERT EDMAN: Bob Edman,
22	Department of Health. We currently do not have
23	those numbers in front of us at this time as to
24	the number of food vendors that do not renew their
25	license.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I would be
3	interested to know that number. Has DCA done a
4	study though to ascertain why vendors are not
5	renewing their licenses? Do we know why, if 100
6	general merchandise vendors are not renewing their
7	license, do we know why they're not? Do we have a
8	sense of maybe are those vendors, those that
9	ERIK JOERSS: [interposing] We have
10	not commissioned; we have not done any kind of
11	study on it. I imagine it's for a myriad of
12	reasons.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Do we have a
14	sense of whether or not those vendors owe a lot of
15	fines and that's why they're not renewing their
16	licenses?
17	ERIK JOERSS: We haven't looked at
18	that.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That would
20	be something that would be important to know if
21	we're looking at the issue. What is the average
22	amount of fines owed by vendors? Do you know the
23	average amount of fines owed?
24	ERIK JOERSS: No.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: What type of

2	education or training program does the Department
3	of Consumer Affairs administer before granting new
4	permits for general vendors?

ERIK JOERSS: Well, on our website, before you even get licensed, all of the regs and laws that are applicable are available in a downloadable, portable or downloadable handout. In the licensing packet itself when you do become licensed, those applicable laws and regulations are also in the packet.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. But you guys have said that it's complex, right? It's a complex system of codes?

ERIK JOERSS: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: So does DCA have a training program? I mean when we go into--City Council candidates in the campaign finance board, and it's complex, a complex set of rules. We have to go into a training and they have to train us because if they just give us the stuff, the compliance is going to be all out of whack. So we actually have to go through a training. You can have a training session with 25 people. I mean you can actually probably do it in a cost

2	effective manner so you're not going like, I mean
3	it's not a tutorial system necessarily.
4	Why are we not doing a training
5	program?
6	ERIK JOERSS: Well, I would say
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:
8	[interposing] If it's so complex, because the
9	issue is that vending community, a lot of vendors
10	are from immigrant communities, from all over the
11	world. And they're coming here first generation,
12	this is their first opportunity to have their own
13	business in America. This is their first
14	opportunity to have their own business in New York
15	City. And really, this is a way in which they're
16	moving up the ladder economically in this country.
17	But English is very often not their
18	first language spoken. And so with such a complex
19	set of rules, why does DCA not have a pretty
20	robust training program, because that could
21	probably help with compliance.
22	ERIK JOERSS: Well I would say a
23	couple of things. I would say the campaign
24	finance board analogy, public funds are given to

candidates running for office, which is probably

2 why that kind of training is put into plac	2	why	that	kind	of	training	is	put	into	plac
--	---	-----	------	------	----	----------	----	-----	------	------

DCA licenses 55 different

categories. We also enforce the Consumer

Protection Law. To put in robust training

programs, I imagine just about every one of those

categories could make a good case where they

should have it. I'm not sure resources are

available to have 55 trainings for all license

categories and trainings on the CPL itself.

ask, back to the fine structure, I think this is my last one for now. Back to the escalating fine structure, regarding separate violations. Brick and mortar restaurants, for example, and brick and mortar stores, general merchandise stores, do they face the same type of escalating fine structure?

ERIK JOERSS: Do you want to go

with restaurants?

ROBERT EDMAN: In so far as restaurants are concerned, the Health Department prescribes a minimum and maximum fine amount for violations of the Health Code. That ranges from \$200 to \$2,000 per violation per day.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: But do they

2	necessarily	escalate	for	unrelated	non-health
3	related fine	es?			

4 ROBERT EDMAN: Not at this time.
5 No, they don't.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate it. Thank you all very much.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,

Council Member Levin for your very good questions.

I want to recognize, and I'm sorry I didn't do it

before; Council Member Brewer has joined us. She

is, as I noted at the outset, sponsor of one of

the bills on today's agenda. We're going to go to

Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito for

questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Thank you, Mr. Chair. You know, I've got to say
I'm very disturbed by what I'm hearing. Let me
ask a question. I don't know who's going to
answer. Obviously the fact that you have to kind
of lobby amongst yourselves about who is going to
answer is clearly one of the concerns we have,
some of us, about the way that licenses are issued
and the oversight and issuing of violations.

What would you say is the aim of
this find structure? Is it correcting behavior or
is it deterring hard working members of our
society in our city from working? Is it a
deterrence to correct behavior or is it a
deterrence

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] Sorry. Council Member?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Is a

deterrent from people--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] Council Member, hold for one moment. I'm sorry; I need to interrupt your flow. I'm sorry I need to do it, because you're asking important questions. We have a lot on the agenda today and I have to slow this all down and stop it every time we have reaction. So, please, I just ask, out of deference to the questioner and to the folks who are here testifying, who will be many of you in a few minutes, to please just refrain from the public reaction to the questions or to the answers. Council Member, I'm sorry to interrupt you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: I'll

repeat it. Thank you, Mr. Chair. What is the aim of the current fine structure when it comes to our street vendors? Is it to deter people from working or is it to correct behavior? I want to hear what the answer is from the administration.

Because I'll give you my answer but I want to her the official response.

KATHLEEN MCGEE: The purpose of this law enforcement scheme, as with other law enforcement schemes promulgated by the city is to ensure compliance with the laws and to deter illegal behavior.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Well, I don't agree that that's the case. I think that this is, again, another effort by this administration to criminalize the poor in this city. That's what this is about. Because I think that Council Member Levin hit the nail on the head when he talked about the inconsistencies. There is no escalating fine structure when it comes to other industries. But the hard working people who are out there on the street, trying to provide for themselves and their family are being criminalized. We are trying to force people off

the streets, trying to force people out of work.That is what this is doing.

I don't know if you saw the report last week that was issued, or two weeks ago, the poor in this city is growing, it is growing. So anyone that is attempting to work, to provide for their families, that should not be criminalized behavior. We should be encouraging people to work in this city and supporting people to work.

It is a scheme, as you talk, it is a scheme. I get very angry, because I'm very passionate about social injustice in this city. There is great injustice in this city, particularly when you look at the faces—I would love you to look at the faces of the vendors in this room. These are people that are working in this city and they're trying to provide and they contribute a lot in many different ways. So that was my first question.

The other question I have is that in 2006, if I'm not mistaken, the penalty schedule for vendors increased to \$1,000. That wasn't too long ago. What was it before that? That was when, my understanding, the fines were increased

25

2	to \$1,000, right? What was it before that?
3	KATHLEEN MCGEE: I don't have that
4	information. It was certainly lower, but I don't
5	have that information for me at this time.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Yes?
7	JULIO RODRIGUEZ: Good morning.
8	I'm Julio Rodriguez from the Environmental Control
9	Board. I believe that before 2006, the maximum
10	fine was \$250.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
12	Okay. So then, you know, here we go, we have a
13	dataset. Why has there been no comparison done as
14	to the percentage of fines that were paid when it
15	was at \$250. Now you've implemented a fine of
16	\$1,000. Has there been any analysis done as to
17	the percentage of fines that were paid when the
18	fees were lower versus since you've been
19	implementing it in 2006? Has there been any
20	analysis and study done to that effect?
21	JULIO RODRIGUEZ: Again, in
22	preparation for this hearing, what we did here at
23	the Environmental Control Board is we went through

our records to see the number of violations that

were issued per year from 2005 through 2011. But

before I go into that, I just want to state that at the Environmental Control Board and at OATH, our mission is fair and timely hearings. So in regards to the amount of the fines, that is sort of irrelevant for our purposes. Whatever is in the law is what we will adjudicate and we're held to.

I'll give you some of the statistics. In 2005, for example, my information is that there were approximately 28,000 violations issued, and out of those 28,000 violations issued, there were approximately \$9 million in imposed fines. Out of the \$9 million that were imposed, approximately \$979,000 was collected. So that's roughly 10 percent of what was imposed was collected. That's for 2005.

In 2006, after the fines changed, the number of violations issued—when I say issued, I should say the number of violations received by the Environmental Control Board, filed with the Environmental Control Board. It's approximately 29,000. The amount imposed was a little over \$10 million. The amount collected was approximately \$1.4 million. That represents about

_	- 4			_			
실	⊥4	percent	collected	irom	what	was	imposed.

In 2007, not to go through all the numbers unless you want me to, the percentage was about 13 percent. In 2008, it was about 20 percent. In 2009, it was approximately 18 percent. In 2010, 14 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Right. But I also would like to see the figures when it comes to the number of permits that were renewed.

JULIO RODRIGUEZ: That I don't--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

[interposing] I understand you don't do that. I'm more interested in Council Member Levin's bills.

I'm glad to hear that mine is not be challenged or opposed by this administration. We want to see that data more clearly in terms of also the renewal of the licenses and whether any study has been done about what the average penalties are.

What is the vendor violations, what are they for? They're for minor offenses, no?

Who can talk to that, what the average penalty is for? I think Council Member Levin alluded to some of them.

2			K	ATHLE	EN	MCGEE:	I	don't	believ	<i>r</i> e
3	anvone	here	has	that	in:	formati	on			

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: So you talked about getting us access to the 311 data. Would that include that information? I know that the report that I'm actually codifying into law would have that kind of information. But what's--

KATHLEEN MCGEE: The 311 data would only provide complaints coming in to the city that the city had to respond to, not the results of those inspections.

nobody can give me an answer as to what the average vendor violation is for, or penalty. I mean I think that's something that you should be able to have on hand. I think, based on the study that was done in the report, which I would hope that you look at, it's for very minimal offenses that you're charging people \$1,000 for. I think information that if it could be made available to this committee, we would like to see it.

The other is just quickly with regards to how is it that each of these agencies

interacts with each other in terms of the
oversight that you have when it come to issuing
licenses. I mean, you've got NYPD, you've got
DOH, you've got DCA, you've got ECB. Is there any
point where you coordinate efforts? I mean how do
you interact with each other as agencies when it
comes to these matters?

DCA, we process licenses, general vending licenses and we take licensing data and process and basically in a sense service the secretarial pool for Department of Health license, food license, vendor licenses.

ROBERT EDMAN: That is correct.

That's the interaction between Health and DCA.

They process the license applications. Health

conducts the inspections and actually approves the

issuance of a permit to a vending unit. Health

would also do the enforcement, sometimes in

conjunction with NYPD and the Department of

Sanitation and/or the Department of Parks. That's

enforcement on the ground, so that's how we

interact with those other agencies. DCA handles

the processing. Of course, any summonses that we

2	issue go through the ECB for adjudication.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: The
4	ECB, can you tell us here, now, like in terms of
5	all the violations that are issued in any given
6	year. When you were giving me these breakdowns,
7	2005, 2006, 2007, you said 28,000 and 29,000.
8	Were those just the vendor violations?
9	JULIO RODRIGUEZ: Yes, those are
10	just vendor-related violations.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Now,
12	of all the violations that you issue in any given
13	year, what percentage would you say are coming
14	from vendor violations?
15	JULIO RODRIGUEZ: That information
16	I do not have here today.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Is
18	that something that could be provided to us in
19	terms of like a percentage, if you could
20	categorize, you know, where the violations are
21	coming from. You know, is that something that
22	could be done?
23	JULIO RODRIGUEZ: I think so. So,
24	just to clarify, what is it exactly that you
25	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

done.

[interposing] Well, the total number of summonses that you handle, the percentage that comes from street vending violations versus other violations.

I mean if that's kind of something that could be

JULIO RODRIGUEZ: That's something that I believe we can do.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Okay. All right, so then going just quickly back, because I mean these figures we need to look at, the ones that you were giving me, the breakdown, 2005, 2006, 2007, because I would just dare say, considering that there was such a jump in the amount that was fined and being paid, I think it doesn't look like there was a great increase in the amount of violations that were being honored and paid, because the fine increased considerably. So that additional revenue that you accounted for, for one year to the next, I think just comes because of the fine increase, not necessarily that there was greater compliance. We would need to see your data to be able to analyze it more in depth.

So I think I will leave it there.

I just want to say I'm glad that this hearing is
happening. I do appreciate you being here. But I
really don't understand how we continue to
criminalize people that are really just trying to
survive, in essence survive. This city, it's
becoming much more difficult for people that are
working, that are working poor. You know, because
people work in this city and still are considered
poor on the indicator and the poverty measure.
You know, we've got to do more. I don't think
that we're doing enough and I don't think that
these bills are unreasonable. I think they're
actually reasonable. I want to thank Council
Member Levin for his leadership. I really want to
thank all the vendors that are here personally
taking time because your time is money. Thank you
all.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,

Council Member. Let me just follow up, before we
go to Council Member Gentile, with one point about
the renewal of licenses. Maybe this is for DCA,
but you all can point me in the right direction if
that is not correct.

When the license is--I'm sorry,

2	when the permit is renewed, as we discussed
3	before, there needs to be an evaluation of whether
4	there are outstanding penalties associated with
5	the permit or with any license connected to that
6	permit. Is that correct?
7	ERIK JOERSS: I believe so, yeah.
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
9	Well, DCA does the renewal of the permits,
10	correct?
11	ERIK JOERSS: Yes.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So I would
13	think that would be a point of certainty for DCA
14	as to whether or not that would be something that
15	you would look to do when renewing the permits.
16	ERIK JOERSS: I don't know that we
17	have all the information of what outstanding fines
18	there are.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Is that one
20	of the reasons why you think that it is important
21	for Intro 817 to be passed?
22	ERIK JOERSS: Well, not passed for
23	the parallel rule change. Was the rule change on
24	817?
25	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It was.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 60
2	ERIK JOERSS: Or the parallel rule
3	change
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:
5	[interposing] Either way, because you don't
6	believe that DCA right now has the ability to
7	determine whether there are outstanding fines. Is
8	that correct?
9	ERIK JOERSS: Certainly not all of
10	them.
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. That
12	was my question. The reason is because it is
13	well, actually, I don't want to put words in your
14	mouth. Why can't you determine it with certainty
15	today?
16	ERIK JOERSS: As I said, we don't
17	get all of that information.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I don't
19	know if you all had a chance to take a look at the
20	tickets. If I can ask the sergeant to bring a
21	sample over to the panel and we can come back to
22	you at the next gap here, but we'd like you to
23	take a look at the tickets. I want to understand
24	clearly where that CAMIS number, permit number,
25	decal is specified on the ticket and where exactly

it would be useful for DCA to be able to take a
look or what the number is specifically that you
should be looking for to figure out whether there
are outstanding fines associated with the permit.
We'll come back to that point. We are now going
to go to Council Member Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. So what I'm hearing is that in
order for a permit or a license to be renewed, the
fines have to be paid. Yet, DCA does not have the
info on whether fines are outstanding when the
renewal comes up.

ERIK JOERSS: Council Member, the tickets are generally written to the person who's working the cart as opposed to the cart itself or the permit itself.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So when you're talking about renewals, are you talking about the renewal of the individual's food vending license or the cart?

ERIK JOERSS: Food vending itself, again, we merely process it. We get the information from the Department of Health and are told whether or not to move forward with it.

to renew, what information do you give to DCA as
to whether or not there are any outstanding fines?
ROBERT EDMAN: I believe we will
have to come back to you with the answer to that,
because that information is all provided through
the systems that are accessed from DCA, whatever
information is needed for the renewal of licenses.
Either through their data system or ECB's data
system.

Seeing the problem right here as to why fines are not being collected and why licenses get renewed and fines don't get collected. We see right here. I think Commissioner McGee, as the Mayor's Office of Special Enforcement, I think this is, along with the Criminal Justice Coordinator, is a job that needs to get done here about coordinating all this information so that if there is an outstanding fine, it gets paid before the license is renewed. That seems to me is one of the issues of why we've got 13,000 tickets and only 400 paid in 2010.

ERIK JOERSS: Just to add, Council Member, DCA does do a search of ECB records.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: It does do
3	a search of ECB records.
4	ERIK JOERSS: Yes.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So why
6	can't you come up with the information then of
7	whether or not fines were paid?
8	ERIK JOERSS: Again, on Health, I'm
9	talking on general.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: On
11	general, and I'm talking about food vendors.
12	Okay. So the issue here, and I'm talking about
13	food vendors, is a big one and a growing one that
14	needs to be coordinated. That's an issue and 817
15	hopefully will address that issue.
16	You all said how difficult it is to
17	navigate the different rules and regulations for
18	vendors, general vendors and food vendors. You've
19	got the administrative code, the rules and
20	regulations of the City of New York, you've got
21	the Department of Consumer Affairs, Department of
22	Health, Department of Transportation, all
23	containing rules and regulations concerning
24	vendors, particularly in the case that I'm

concerned about, food vendors.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Who has the comprehensive knowledge
in the city of all the laws and regulations
pertaining to vendors? Who has that comprehensive
knowledge?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: I'll answer that, Council Member. There are people within the various agencies who do have--individuals who have a comprehensive overview of the vending rules and regulations, as you've just described them. However, I don't know that there is any one agency in and of itself in terms of enforcement that has a comprehensive view of how things are enforced. They're enforced based upon the agency's rules and regs. And obviously, the Department of Transportation, for example, isn't going to necessarily do enforcement where it's not appropriate, where it's more appropriate for Health. So there are different areas of enforcement. For the individual agencies there are, again, people within those agencies who may have a more comprehensive overview.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:

Commissioner, it says particularly in the health code in the Administrative Code, Chapter 17, where

it talks about food vending and enforcement of
food vending regulations, it says that in fact any
public health sanitarian or employees of the
department and police officers shall have the
power to enforce all laws, rules, regulations
relating to food vendors. So it's a cross agency
enforcement responsibility, is it not?

LT. DANIEL ALBANO: I can speak for the Police Department, sir. Street officers don't have the expertise, nor are they equipped to enforce some of the sanitary regulations. For example, how hot the water temperature is, that's not something that the average officer in the street would know or has been trained to enforce.

Our area of responsibility with the food vendors is the size and placement of their carts on the street and what street they're located upon.

when it comes to that, police officers have been, in my experience, at least in the experience of my community, have been unwilling to move forward and enforce regulations that apparently they're require to enforce under this administrative code.

I think we've met with you about this very issue

over some time. I understand it's very difficult

and I understand it's very complex and

overlapping.

understood that issue and as a result created a particular panel to address specific issues around the city relating to street vendors. They created the Street Vendor Review Panel. That was created in 1995 and was designed, intended to handle specific situations if, in fact, we couldn't do it on a citywide basis because it was too complicated and it was to individual circumstance, we do it on a specific basis through the Street Vendor Review Panel.

I have asked in my community for the Street Vendor Review Panel to be convened by the commissioner of Small Business Services. It is the law. It says that within 60 days of request that that panel be convened. As of yet, a year and a half later, the city has refused to convene the Street Vendor Review Panel.

I would ask you, now no one is here from Small Business, but I would ask you, would

25

2	you agree that that's in contravention of the law?						
3	KATHLEEN MCGEE: While I haven't						
4	review the law, if that in fact is the law, then						
5	we'll reach out to Small Business Services and						
6	have them be in contact with you.						
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I would						
8	appreciate that, and we've done the same. It						
9	seems to me that there are things in the law that						
LO	can be helpful but the city refuses to use those						
11	provisions. I will hold you to that.						
12	I want to finish up by asking you						
L3	if in fact the Department of Health, I believe,						
L4	you have the list of the 3,000 people who have the						
L5	food cart permits. I would ask that you share						
L6	that list with us here in the City Council, that						
L7	list of 3,000 permit holders. I understand						
18	there's a limit of 3,000 in the city by law. Not						
L9	only the 3,000 but how many permits each person						
20	holds.						
21	ROBERT EDMAN: Just to clarify,						
22	you're referring to, again, the permits for the						
2	unita						

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: For the

units, for the food cart units.

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 69
2	ROBERT EDMAN: And you're
3	requesting a list that contains
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:
5	[interposing] A list of the permit holders and how
6	many permits each person holds.
7	ROBERT EDMAN: Sure, we'd be able
8	to provide that.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: The reason
10	I ask is because maybe what we need to do is
11	change the types of licenses or categories that we
12	have that we're dealing with. The small business
13	owner, the small cart person versus what I think
14	this permit will show. This permit list I think
15	will show that we really have a large scale
16	enterprise business going on that is not really
17	being spoken about where many of these permits are
18	held by the same person. Those permits are then
19	rented out to food vendors who rent those carts on
20	the street.
21	In fact, when I've spoken to some
22	vendors, one of them said to me that he pays

\$2,000 a week to rent his cart. So business is big. This is a big business. So I'm not really prepared at this point to buy the argument that

lowering fines is going to create greater
compliance. This is a big business in many, many
cases. I think that list will show how big this
underground business is with permits being rented
at high, high prices to food vendors who are
spending a lot of money, therefore making a lot of
money and therefore should be paying the fines
that have been imposed upon them. Thank you, Mr.
Chair.

Very much, Council Member. We're going to go to Council Member Koslowitz, and when she's done, I'm going to ask a few while we're able to straight out where it is exactly on the ticket here what the information is that allows you to track within the vast systems that cross over your agencies to ensure that there are not outstanding fines when you renew a permit. Council Member Koslowitz?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Thank

you. I'm sitting here and I have to tell you I am

livid. I worked on this for 11 years. It's

supposed to be one cart one person. That is the

law. Because it used to be one person can own 500

licenses and rent them out. So the vendor would

2	make 25 cents on the dollar while the person who
3	owned the carts made 75 cents on the dollar, and
4	we changed that here.
5	The fines were \$250. It seems th

The fines were \$250. It seems that there's a war on street vendors. I'm not condoning, because I know in my neighborhood, in a two-block radius there are seven carts around.

But it seems that the Administration has dropped the ball on everything and they're just out to give these people that they almost know they're not going to get paid because they don't have that kind of money.

The review panel was in existence.

I sat on it. It hasn't been sat on probably since

I left. It's a disgrace that we're picking on

immigrants who are hard working, when we don't

abide by the laws ourselves.

Does anybody know why the review panel hasn't met?

21 KATHLEEN MCGEE: No, we don't know.

22 COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: It's a
23 law. There are laws that have been on the books.
24 Fines went up from 2006. Just when the economy
25 was starting to tank, you decided to raise the

2	fines on the food vendors.	Ι	just wa	nt to	say
3	that the Administration isn	't	obeying	the	laws
4	that are on the books.				

And not to know, I mean when you renew your driver's license, if you have outstanding tickets, you don't get your license. Not to know what outstanding tickets, you've dropped the ball.

The review panel let all the agencies come together and you can talk about these issues. If it doesn't meet, nobody talks, so everybody does their own thing. I think it's a shame what is going on and I'm appalled that the laws on the books aren't being followed. I just wanted to say that.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,

Council Member Koslowitz. I think you highlight a

point of frustration for all of us which is that

the rules here are either unclear or they're not

being followed or there's lack of clarity even

between the agencies as to how exactly the rules

can be followed or what is missing to be able to

allow for that to happen.

So let me go back to the panel and

2	see if I can get further clarification, either
3	through reference to the tickets themselves or
4	through a clearer explanation as to why the
5	information is not available to the renewing
6	agency as to what the outstanding fines are that
7	are associated with that permit or license.
8	ROBERT EDMAN: It's difficult to
9	explain.
LO	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I'm not
11	sure. He needs to do it in a microphone is the
12	one thing because we need to capture it.
13	ROBERT EDMAN: This particular
L4	summons was issued to the vendor. That's the
15	vendor that was at the location operating the
L6	unit. It contains the vendor's license number or
L7	permit number, if you will.
L8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Is that the
L9	number which is below city and state on this?
20	ROBERT EDMAN: Huh?
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Is that the
22	number that is listed below city and state?
23	ROBERT EDMAN: Yes. In this case
24	it's 0500
25	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

2	[interpo	sing] That's okay, don't tell us the
3	number.	We just want to understand the number
4	itself.	That is a license number.

ROBERT EDMAN: That's the vendor's license.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: The vendor's license, so the person standing out there on the street who actually has been issued a ticket at that moment.

ROBERT EDMAN: To the far right of that there's another set of numbers on the same line in this case. That's the decal number of the unit.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. On all the other samples that we have, we don't see any other numbers on that same line. Now, if you did not have--just to be clear--if you did not have that set of numbers, which is all the way on the right hand side of that same line, you would not have the decal number. Okay, right. So interestingly, in the ticket that you have, you have numbers that don't exist on any of the tickets that are in my hands, which of course is just a random sampling here. If you don't have

they're not trained too well because all of the tickets that I have don't have that number on them. We have the whole box and actually I was trying to figure out where that number was. So

23

24

25

2	it's	not	on	the	ticket	and	the	officers	are	not
3	מולד	ina t	-hat	nıır	mber dou	νm.				

That's clearly the source of our problem because unless we have that number on the ticket we can't actually make sure that the fine is being paid when the permit is being renewed.

ROBERT EDMAN: If I might.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sure

ROBERT EDMAN: Without looking at the summonses, they may all be summonses to illegal vendors who neither possess a permit for the unit, a license for themselves or a decal.

They might be purely illegal. But again, I'm not looking at the summonses.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I understand. I will tell you that for each one of them there is a license and a license number. So when there is a license and a license number it clearly has a decal and it has a permit that it is associated with. That is not available on any of these tickets.

So I think we've identified at least the source of the problem, and which of course are what we are trying to correct with

2	Intro	817.

Now, I just want to note we've been joined by Council Members Nelson, Lander and Halloran. We're going to turn to Council Member Brewer, who again is the sponsor of one of the bills on today's agenda.

very much. I am supportive of all the legislation pending. I have a couple of questions. When you do the breakdown at ECB, do you have notion of whether that's Manhattan or the other boroughs?

Do you have an idea about the location? Any of the years, you don't have to go through them all, but is that something that you do, the breakdown?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, like, for instance, I don't know, pick 2010 or 2009, do you know how many, either percentage or number is Manhattan or Brooklyn or just some breakdown?

JULIO RODRIGUEZ: In terms of the

JULIO RODRIGUEZ: Yes, it is.

violations received per borough?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Yes, yes.

JULIO RODRIGUEZ: So for 2010 you
asked? In 2010, the Environmental Control Boar

received approximately 20,000 notices of violation
that were issued in Manhattan. In the Bronx, we
received approximately 1,700; in Brooklyn,
approximately 2,400; in Queens, approximately
1,500; Staten Island, 33. There were
approximately 280 tickets that did not contain the
number for the issuing agency

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Any borough. The reason I mention that. I'm not surprised. Because in Manhattan we obvious have a lot of pedestrian traffic and that's good for a vendor.

I mention that because it's almost like a different world. My situation is that the police departments, thanks to this wonderful gentlemen, call all the time. But we have almost half of our precincts now time is spent on vendors. I think it's complaint driven. That's the issue.

So what I'm wondering is do you after this hearing look toward pulling together

Karen Koslowitz's review panel, which I must admit didn't even know existed. But where in the future are you going to have a discussion? There was

б

even a fistfight the other night in r	my community
between two neighbors over whether or	r not the
vendor should be there. That's what	's happening.
That's a food wendor	

So my question is after this
hearing do you plan to convene the review panel or
whatever is appropriate for a task force to have a
discussion on this topic? It's creating havoc on
the streets of Manhattan below 96th Street.

That's as simply and bluntly as I could speak. I
mean fistfights, somebody ended up in the
hospital, over whether or not a vendor should be
there.

KATHLEEN MCGEE: As I indicated to Council Member Gentile, we will review the law regarding the panel and take it back to the Administration and the various agencies.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: If the review panel, I don't know what its beginning and end is, but some kind of an ongoing discussion regarding this issue. Is that something that you would consider?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: I think it is something that we're here for right now and we're

going to bring it back for further discussion.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: When you do that will trucks' issue also be included? Thanks to the Health Department, almost four years ago I convened a discussion about the trucks when they started to appear because my constituents call 311 every minute about a truck. So I'm wondering if that too will be part of the discussion when you have your panel.

KATHLEEN MCGEE: All food vendors that would be subject to the same restrictions would be included and that would include food trucks.

other question is the issue of how do you decide, how do you discuss what the rules are. We have everything overnight, yes or no? Parking spaces to be held onto, yes or no? Propane, yes or no? How much fruit can be in the truck before it goes to the cart? All of these issues are not clear to the community and I suppose they're clear to the vendor but they're not necessarily to the vendor and certainly not to the public. How do you make it clear to the police officer who has to enforce?

complicated rules.

I really feel bad for the police department,
that's my opinion. They are reacting to
complaints is my understanding. The fact of the
matter is it's hard to understand these very

So my question is what kind of training, although you may have answered that with PD, and do you, as part of this review process, think about streamlining the information for the vendor and for the public?

generally, and this would go beyond NYPD to any agency enforcement, it's a matter of resources and it's a matter of time. By that I mean, as you indicated, Council Member, when a police officer is out on the street, his main intention is to make sure that people are safe, that traffic isn't blocked and to the extent that he is going to enforce rules and regulations vis-à-vis food vendors, that's his priority. As Lieutenant Albano already referred to--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

[interposing] He can never retire. That's the problem.

2 KATHLEEN MCGEE: Well that's true.

They're just not equipped to do the health sanitarian training. So to streamline inspections of food vendors really requires a multi-agency approach and streamlining is a tricky word. It's difficult to streamline that is so--

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:

[interposing] I understand that. But I'm telling you now from the vendor perspective, I mean even the general vendors. Okay, so I got the lady with the jewelry. She hires somebody who's got the license. That might be why we have a problem with the tickets. When she goes to the bathroom god knows what help. You know, that's the problem. When can she go to the bathroom? She can't sell. I'm so intimately involved with these. We spend half of our time in our office on vendors, half of our time. I got 15 emails just this morning on vendor issues in my district.

I feel for the vendors. I want the fines reduced. But I also need to have clarification for the vendors, the police, the public, the fights. It's not going well. So you need a really serious discussion internally. And

2	again, guess what, it's below 96th Street in
3	Manhattan. There's your problem. Focus there and
4	figure with the business, the BIDs, the community,
5	the police department, the community boards and
6	everyone. I'm begging you to sit down with all of
7	us and figure this out.
8	The vendors want to be there. You
9	can't imagine what goes on in the trucks. My son
10	is working in a truck. I'm not going to tell you
11	which one. Let me tell you, he's really gained
12	negotiation skills like the president of the
13	United States couldn't do better. He's
14	negotiating with everybody. It's crazy. It's
15	like World War III out there.
16	So my question is when are you
17	going to convene this task force to deal with
18	these issues?
19	KATHLEEN MCGEE: We don't have a
20	time table but we will be bringing it back to
21	everybody
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER:
23	[interposing] Would you get back to the chair with
24	some idea so that these issues get ironed out?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: Absolutely.

25

_	
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.
3	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
5	Council Member Brewer. I will note that we spend
6	a similar amount of time in the Fourth Council
7	District dealing with some of these challenges.
8	We welcome your comments.
9	I want to go back to Council Member
LO	Levin before we release this panel, which has been
L1	generous with their time, and move on to the many
L2	other folks who are here to testify today.
L3	Council Member Levin?
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chair. I do want to thank the panel for your
L6	testimony and for your willingness to be open and
L7	candid with us. I just had one last question.
L8	Going back to 2006, I'm wondering can you explain
L9	to me exactly what happened in 2006 that resulted
20	in the fine structure being changed. If you could
21	kind of elucidate that for the committee that'd be
22	great.
23	KATHLEEN MCGEE: I unfortunately

wasn't here at that time. I don't have that

knowledge, nor does anyone else in particular. I

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was informed that in fact that the penalties were
originally raised back in 2003 but then did not go
into effect until 2006. But beyond that it
appears we don't have any knowledge about the
events of 2006.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: They were raised via legislation? They were raised via administrative code?

KATHLEEN MCGEE: I'm afraid I don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Ιt would be great if we could follow up and just to give a sense of the history as to how we arrived at--I mean it really is I think incumbent upon us to look at, in terms of collection and all of that, you know where the changes have been and really whether this has been effective. I think looking at the study that was released today is kind of showing that it does not seem as if this is an effective way to raise the revenue and so that's why I would love to be able to have that information from DCA. But I do want to thank you all for your testimony and coming down. Thank you, Mr. Chairman for your time.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Council Member Levin. There was an unusually high
number of questions today which we didn't have
answers to. I guess we would ask that you all
collectively come back to us with the answers to
those questions. There was an assortment of
questions from a variety of questioners over here,
and the list of course, all of the outstanding
requests we certainly will look forward to
receiving that from you.

It helps us in our oversight function, of course, and we do count on the Administration to come as prepared as you possibly can be for these hearings. We thank you for your presence and we look forward to talking about these bills with you further. So, thank you.

KATHLEEN MCGEE: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I'm going to call the next panel up, which includes representatives of the Street Vendor Project: Sean Basinski, Sasha Ahuja, Matthew Shapiro, Mohammad Saaad Ali and James Williams. If you can come join us, as soon as the Administration is up and come and get settled.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Ok	ay,
folks, welcome. It looks like you're goi	.ng to
kick us off, so please be my guest. Iden	ntify
yourself first please before you speak.	

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Honorable City Council Members, friends and colleagues, my name is Mohammad Saad Ali. I am a Board Member of the Street Vendor Project and I'm also a food vendor in New York City for like 14 years now.

Personally I used to be a teacher in my country but the only thing I can do here is to be a vendor and I'm proud to be a vendor. I support my wife and three children from my food vending business. I also send money every month to my family back in Egypt who also rely on me. This is true for every immigrant.

When I started this job in 1999, the maximum violation price for vendors used to be \$250, which was too much for us but it was still acceptable. Then in 2006, the violations changed it to a maximum of \$1,000. That's when one of our biggest problems started.

I have received many violations for

things like vending too close to the crosswalk or vending on a restricted street. I have had to pay thousands of dollars to renew my vendor's license. One year I had to pay \$2,000. Another year I had to pay \$3,000 dollars to renew my license. I remember that on that day I paid these fines, my wife cried a lot because of the amount of money we had to pay. It was extremely difficult for me to pay these fines but I had no other choice. If I did not renew my vendor's license I wouldn't have work and my family wouldn't have survived.

Simply, we cannot pay this amount of money for a violation. We are a part of this society. We have to make a living. We are responsible for our families and just like you we have a lot of bills to pay. The only way to meet all of our basic needs is to keep working and keep our jobs.

We have to renew our licenses as food vendors every two years. Even if my license is renewed today, I will still have bad dreams of renewing it in the coming two years, as I may get three or four violations and may not be able to pay them the next time around.

Let's be clear. We respect the police officers and appreciate their job. But no one is perfect. Sometimes we make mistakes.

Sometimes the police give us unfair violations and we are left to pay them. We are given the tickets and the police tell us to go to court and dismiss them, which is not true because it's not easy for a vendor to dismiss a ticket to the court.

We do not want to lose our jobs as vendors because it is very hard for us to find another job as immigrants. It is a disaster for us to lose our jobs and it's also a disaster for the city to have thousands of us without jobs every year. If we cannot work, the City will have to spend more money on unemployment and other public benefits.

We are here today asking your support on our two bills, Intros 434 and 435, and to allow us to continue to work. I hope that the day comes when I go to my work where I feel safe and secure because with the \$1,000 violation I can't be safe and secure. I have to go with a camera, a measure tape. I have to be ready to dismiss a ticket which can stop me working.

4

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Thanks	for	vour	time

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

JAMES B. WILLIAMS: Good morning,

5 Honorable members of the City Council, my friends,

6 my colleagues, all of my fellow vendors. Good

morning to us all. My name is James B. Williams.

I am a veteran of the United States Air Force. I

9 have been a street vendor in New York City for

10 | nine years. I have been a board member of the

Street Vendor Project for almost the whole time.

12 I also have four children that rely on me for

13 financial support.

I originally got my vendors license because I wanted to have my own business. Even though it would be a small business, I could call it my own. I'm a general merchandise vendor, by the way. I really wanted to have a food truck but they weren't issuing any more licenses.

So to be able to get a general vendor's license, the only reason I was able to get it because I'm an Air Force veteran. I currently sell women's jewelry on 16th Street and 5th Avenue in Manhattan.

We are here today joined by our

supporters and friends to show our pressingsupport for Intros 434 and 435.

Six years ago, the Environmental Control Board raised the maximum fine, from \$250 to \$1,000 for minor vending violations that have nothing to do with health or safety. These fines have put thousands of people out of work, including myself.

Ladies and gentlemen, going back to the question that was asked to the panel before, who raised the fines was the commissioner at the time at the Environmental Control Board. They were given the authority by the Mayor's Office.

That's who raised the fines, the commissioner at that time. Just so you know; they didn't have an answer.

When I first started working as a vendor I received many tickets. I received tickets for things like not having my license clearly displayed and for having a table that was too long. Two years ago I was unable to renew my license because owed almost \$1,000 and there was no way I could pay those fines. I was out of work for two years and it was devastating for me and my

2	family. I could no longer support my children as
3	I fell behind on my court ordered child support
1	payments.

There are many veterans like me who have been put out of work by these \$1,000 fines. These men and women put their lives on the line for our country. They came back to New York and many of them could not hold a regular job and wanted to become vendors so they could operate their own businesses. Now they are penalized with \$1,000 fines for minor violations. Please respect our military veterans, all our immigrant veterans by passing 434 and 435. We are calling for a vote on this.

I'm glad to be here at this hearing today, but we need a vote and pass these two bills into law.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify on these important bills that will make a dramatic difference in the lives of thousands of vendors and their families.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you and thank you for your service.

MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Good afternoon,

my name is Matthew Shapiro and I have been a staff attorney at the Street Vendor Project for almost three years. Every day vendors come into our office with the tickets they get while working on the street and my main job is to represent them in court on these tickets.

Some people have complain that there is no enforcement of the vending violations and vendors are running amok around the city, but I think we saw proof of the 20,000 some odd tickets that are written each year.

The administration testified before that complaints are up. I'd like to know how many of these complaints are for these minor administrative violations that we're talking about today. How many people have complained because the vendor was not displaying their license? Are they really complaining about that or are they just complaining that there's a vendor on the street and they don't want him there? That's not what we're here to talk about today.

Most of the time vendors come in to our office with not just one ticket, but with two, three, or even five or ten. Most of the tickets

that I see are for minor violations like having a box on the side of your cart because you just got a delivery of fruits and vegetables and you didn't have time to put them on your push cart. I've seen that one many times.

Sometimes we see tickets for food vendors' umbrellas touching street signs. I've seen tickets like that for \$1,000. These are the types of violations that Intros 434 and 435 will address. We're not talking about health code violations or food temperature violations. We're not talking about vending without licenses or permits. Those are different types of violations. We're talking about these minor administrative violations.

asked for the top violations that are issued to vendors. The top three administrative violations that are issued to vendors are vending less than ten feet from a crosswalk, failing to keep all items in or under your pushcart and failing to conspicuously display your license. These are the most commonly written violations and the fines for these needs to be reduced. It is unimaginable

that you could receive \$1,000 for that type of violation. I don't care how many times you've done it.

It is crucial to remember that vendors must pay these fines to renew their licenses or permits. Vendors come into our office all the time with bills for \$5,000 or \$10,000 for tickets that they received for these types of violations. There's nothing we can do for them at that time. It's heartbreaking when I tell them they cannot renew their license, they cannot renew their permit and they have to try to find another job. That's why we're here today to pass Intros 434 and 435.

Some people may say that vendors can just go to the court and fight the tickets and get them dismissed. However, it is not so easy.

I have appeared at the ECB several times a week for the past almost three years now and have defended thousands of tickets. Street vendors are mostly immigrants whose primary language is not English and they don't understand the City's complex vending rules and regulations and they can't even begin to understand the adjudicative

process at an administrative tribunal.

The ECB provides no attorneys or other representatives to help the vendors and usually they're left there to defend themselves alone, having no idea what to do or even what the ticket was about.

I have also appeared before many of the administrative law judges at the ECB and they support lowering the \$1,000 tickets. They can't publicly come out and say that today but they have told me that they support lowering the \$1,000 tickets because they think it's crazy to impose \$1,000 ticket for these types of violations, but they have no power to dot hat. They have to follow what's in the Administrative Code.

It is time to change the fine structure to something more reasonable that won't put hardworking immigrant entrepreneurs out of work. It is time to pass Intros 434 and 435. We are also submitting detailed testimony regarding the language in Intro 427 that we'd like to work on. I thank you for the opportunity to testify here today.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

2	We	have	your	suggestions	on	727.	Thank	you.
---	----	------	------	-------------	----	------	-------	------

SASHA AHUJA: Good afternoon

everybody. My name is Sasha Ahuja. I'm the

organizer at the Street Vendor Project and have

been working on this campaign for almost a year

now.

I'm here today to talk about—
without repeating the things that have already
been said—but to really talk about the work that
we put into this campaign and into these two bills
to meet with the wide net of stakeholders who we
know are concerned with street vending issues.

We've done tremendous work to meet with the borough chambers of commerce and talk to them about similar issues that many of the members of their chambers experience and how they're essentially identical to the issues that street vendors have when it comes to getting very high fines for very small violations that have nothing to do with safety and nothing to do with health.

We've also been doing and continue to do work with the Business Improvement Districts, specifically those in which vendors oftentimes are residents in the same area where a

BID exists. We've done tremendous with the 82nd Street BID in Jackson Heights and the Washington Heights BID uptown, two areas where we know street vendors oftentimes live a block or two away from the places where they're doing work. Those organizations also know and understand that we must continue to work together in order to support the street vendors and also the small business.

Part of the work of our organization is continuing to remind street vendors that it's important to know the small businesses that they are around and to building the strong relationships and to continue to fight this myth that oftentimes street vendors and small businesses have—or that there is a lot of tension between these two entities in a community.

I want to speak to also something that was mentioned earlier. The first thing that we heard was that supposedly, you know, the administration is saying that compliance is poor at best. I really want to harp on the question that Council Member Levin had asked: what is the city doing, if anything, to ensure that compliance is at its best.

We want to work together,

especially with these two bills, to create a culture of compliance, one in which street vendors feel that they can actually pay these fines if they are receiving them and really fully understand the particular rules, as we know how nuanced and complex they are. Our organization serves to really help explain the nuanced and many rules to the street vendors to ensure that compliance is something real and something that is achievable for every single vendor in New York City.

I just want to close by saying we've had tremendous support from organizations who understand that the issues that we're here to talk about today are not just street vending issues. These are immigrant rights issues. These are issues that working families face when they receive very high fines. We estimate maybe 20,000 families are affected by street vending fines because there are somewhere around 20,000 street vendors or families in which the only form of income is from one street vendor who's trying to make a living.

So, thank you again for the
opportunity to testify today and we look forward
to continuing to work with members of City Council
to pass Intros 434 and 435. Thank you.

SEAN BASINSKI: My name is Sean
Basinski. I'm the Director of the Street Vendor
Project at the Urban Justice Center.

I want to thank the Chair and all the Council Members for having this hearing and being here to listen to us today.

I'm going to be very brief. I didn't write down my testimony. Primarily my job was going to be to respond to what the city testified. But honestly, in my mind they didn't have much to say, so I don't have too much to respond to there, except a couple of very brief things.

One is on the compliance issue, which is that the city testified that they didn't think the fines should be lowered because it would be bad for compliance. Actually, we think that the reverse is true and I'll tell you why. When you owe \$5,000 or \$10,000 or \$15,000, as many vendors do, remember that the only time you need

to pay that is when you renew your license, and
maybe your license doesn't expire for another six
months or a year or a year and a half.

At this point, you have no incentive to follow the rules because you know that you're not going to be able to pay those fines when your license come due and you're going to lose your license. You might as well owe \$100,000 in tickets, and in fact some vendors do owe \$100,000 or more in tickets. Those are the few vendors that are causing the problems in terms of compliance because they don't have any skin in the game anymore.

If you reduce the fines to a level that vendors can actually pay, and it's a big difference. If you get five tickets at 250, that's something that vendors can find that money and pay. But five tickets at 1,000 bucks or ten, most of our vendors don't have that kind of money. They can't borrow it and they don't have that money and so they lose their licenses.

So I really dispute what the city said. In fact, I think that the opposite is true. I think that their lack of any backing or any

б

statistics or any real rationale for what they said is an indication that they don't know what they're talking about, with all due respect to them.

I was going to be presenting our report here today, but I'm happy to see that it sounds like the Council Members have already done a good job of reading that or at least getting the main points. Of course, the main point really for me is that we've heard from vendors and it's pretty clear that high fines are bad for street vendors and their families.

I think that report touches on a broader point, which is that high fines for street vendors are bad for all of New York City. They're bad because of compliance. They're bad because they reduce the amount of money that the city collects. They're bad because when vendors can't work it's bad for our neighborhoods and it's bad for the tax base and it's really bad for everyone.

We hope that there will be a swift vote on these bills. At this point, we will take any questions that you have and we thank you very much for your time here today.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much. Let me note that we've been joined by Council Member Mark Weprin of Queens. Let me thank you all for your thoughtful testimony and also your impressive advocacy over the past year.

Let me just understand a little bit from you all about the point about fines for minor things as opposed to perhaps major things. In your testimony, I note that you had pointed out that vendors were getting thousand dollar fines for what you regarded as small potato stuff like an umbrella touching a street sign or things like that.

What would you regard as a major issue? What would you guys identify as the more serious offenses that you see vendors doing periodically, the things that you would put in a different category?

JAMES B. WILLIAMS: Well, according to what my thoughts on that is that maybe when you're setting up in the morning and you have your supplies and you're setting up and you don't have your licensed displayed and your equipment is on the side of your table, that's two tickets. An

officer could give you two tickets, which is unnecessary. You're just setting up.

Mr. Chairman, I just paid almost \$1,000. I didn't work in two years. It took me two years. I had to borrow money to pay off to get my license back. I've been a vendor for years.

think that most people would say that the more serious violations are health code violations which deal with food safety, the same violations that even restaurants have to comply with. So when you have food out of temperature of if you have, you know, soiled food. Those are more serious violations which are not what we're here to talk about today. Those have nothing to do with Intros 434 and 435.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So separate from the health related, food handling violations, you don't think that there's anything in the code that exists that falls into a slightly higher degree of seriousness than other things. Like, for example, would you put a higher level of concern of vending in front of a fire hydrant or

in a crosswalk than you would, say, for having an umbrella touching a street sign but not obscuring it? Or, you know, vending in a location which is, say, ten feet in front of a residential building as opposed to, you know, sitting out in a traffic lane?

I guess what I'm trying to get is can you all create any differentiation between the violations that exist or do you view them all as similarly non-important?

SEAN BASINSKI: I think that's a good question. It's not something that we've talked about amongst our membership because it's not something that's come up. I mean if we were going to redesign the entire fine system, I think it's likely that some violations should receive a lesser fine than others. Right now there's one fine system for all violations that we're talking about. So that's never come up before and that would be a complete redesign of the system.

I do think though that none of the violations which would be affected by Intros 434 or 435 are worth of \$1,000 ticket.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We have a

number of other bills on the agenda today that
obviously were not specifically promoted by the
Street Vendor Project. We have your comments on a
potential amendment for Intro 727 and we
appreciate that. Do you all want to comment on
any of the other bills on today's agenda? I just
want to give you an opportunity to do that.

MATTHEW SHAPIRO: We don't have any comment on the other bills, just what we submitted as written testimony.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

I'm going to go to Council Member Gentile.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. Thank you all for being here today.
Mr. Shapiro, I just want to clarify because you
gave the impression that those who adjudicate
these fines basically have their hands tied and
currently have to impose these \$1,000 fines. They
don't want to impose these \$1,000 fines but the
law requires them to impose that amount.

Isn't it a fact though that if you look at the current law as it stands, there is actually discretion built into this law now, whereby the penalty is not more than \$1,000 which

2	means it could be less than \$1,000, as long as
3	it's \$250 or more? But less than \$1,000?
4	MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Sure. In the
5	Administrative Code, yes that's true. But in the
6	ECB rules that they promulgated pursuant to the
7	Administrative Code, they're required to impose
8	\$1,000 penalty after your sixth offense. So, no,
9	they don't have any discretion when they're
LO	imposing these fines.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I'm just
12	being told that there was an ECB schedule that
L3	came out. So I tend to stick to the law, but ECB
L4	apparently has put out a schedule that they use.
L5	MATTHEW SHAPIRO: That's correct.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: We'll have
L7	to take that up with ECB, not with you, Mr.
L8	Shapiro. I'm sorry about that.
L9	MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Okay.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I want to
21	actually ask Mr. Ali, you're the food vendor,
22	correct?
23	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Okay. Mr.
25	Ali, I thank you for being here today. I'm sure

б

you're a very responsible food vendor. You're

here today. You've talked about your family and

how hard you work and I appreciate that and really
respect you for it.

I'm just curious though, if there is a food vendor who repeatedly, repeatedly occupies prohibited spaces on the sidewalk, if there is a food vendor or a general vendor who violates traffic and parking laws repeatedly, if there is a food vendor who repeatedly violates the health code or DCA regulations or violates the rules against throwing offensive matter into the streets or the sewer, if you have a food vendor like that who repeatedly commits those violations, wouldn't you say that an increased fine would be appropriate for that vendor?

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: I don't think that this can happen to repeat the violation as the way you said. Because if I'm in a restricted for one time, I get the ticket and I get a warning that if the police come the second time they will remove my wagon. This is the law. They will take it with them and I will spend like two or three weeks to get it back. So I would be out of work.

So we don't have a chance to repeat being like in a prohibited area or blocking the traffic. This doesn't happen. We can't do that because in the violation it's not only increasing the fine but taking off, they take my wagon, the whole stuff and everything.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So you're saying there are no repeated violations. Someone does not repeatedly commit the same violations.

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Not these serious violations like being in a restricted area of blocking the traffic. I can't do that because the wagon is taken. This is the law.

traffic laws or parking laws, I'm talking about distance from the crosswalk and things of that nature, which may not be immediately dangerous but it is a violation. If someone repeatedly commits that violation, is three feet from a crosswalk instead of ten feet from a crosswalk, shouldn't that person suffer that type of increased violation if he repeatedly does it? He comes back every day, every day or every other day, comes back and repeatedly parks their cart five feet

from a crosswalk?

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: If this is repeated and the maximum is going to be \$250, I agree for that. But for \$1,000, they said, if somebody got this big amount of violation he has to pay when he renewed the license, he will not renew it and he will keep doing the mistakes of the violation because he knows he's out of the game, he can't work no more. By increasing them to \$250, I would be able to work again and I will be more to the law. I would not do these mistakes because I need my job, I need my license. I have to renew it, I will keep working. And I can pay the money.

But if I got like \$5,000 and I can't pay them, I will think what can I do at the rest of the year they have the license, I'll do all the mistakes because I will not renew my license anymore. And I know friends who've done that. They don't have any hope to renew to their license, so they tell me, I will do the violations because I will not work a vendor anymore. Okay, what will he do next? They don't know.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Well, as

2	we heard from testimony before, they may not
3	necessarily have tothose violations may not come
4	up on a renewal license, because no one has
5	information that cross checks. But you're
6	responsible, so I applaud you for that, that
7	you're responsible and you do the right thing. I
8	just want to ask you, do you pay rent for the cart
9	that you use?

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: No, I don't. I don't. I don't. I don't pay rent. I own the cart and I have a temporary permit which starts in April and the end of it is October, at the end of October and after that I rent a wagon, yes, because I don't have a permit for the whole year. My permit is temporary. I wish I could have full term, but I don't.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So your cart permit is temporary.

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Is temporary. When we come to October, I rent a wagon. But I hear you saying that they rent the wagon with \$2,000, which is not true at all. I don't know who said that.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Come to

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 112
2	Brooklyn.
3	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: The maximum
4	price to rent a wagon is \$200 for the hotdog carts
5	and it's like \$300 weekly for the big carts.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: But you
7	pay that rent every month, right?
8	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Now, I don't
9	because I have the permit.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: When you
11	do, after your seasonal permit is over, you pay
12	the rent every month.
13	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Yeah, I can
14	rent a wagon.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.
16	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: \$200 a week.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right.
18	\$200 a week, so you pay that every week, right?
19	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Yeah, I have to
20	pay for it.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So that's
22	a cost of doing business, right?
23	MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: If I can get
24	the wagon. I mean if there is a wagon available
25	for renting, because sometimes we don't find,

MOHAMMAD SAAD ALI: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,

24

25

Council Member. Let me just jump in with a question here because we take your point about making sure that vendors have skin in the game and that they not see the fines as such that they're so exorbitant that there's no reason for them to continue complying with any rules because they're going to essentially burn out the license anyway.

But let's also talk about how to be fair in this process because we really do want to make sure that our rules are fair, both to the vendors and also to the public.

bills that you all proposed, one of them which of course has capping the fines at \$250 and the other one says only escalate the fines for the same offense. The current schedule, the one which goes all the way up to a thousand bucks, if you were to have it apply only for the same offense, let's just take this as an example, for a vendor who fails to give their license upon request. So failure to carry and exhibit a license on demand, that's a section of the Administrative Code which is a requirement of a vendor which I'm sure every vendor testifying and the folks who are here,

б

something almost everybody could probably do

rather easily because you're licensed vendors who

have the right to sell on the streets of New York

City.

But a scenario in which you failed to do that once, the rule would be that you would be subject to a \$50 fine under the multiple offense schedule by ECB. I would assume that that would be not a very likely situation where you would fail to do it again because most vendors carry their license and they have it on display and that's not a hard rule to comply with.

But if you were to do it a second time, the fine would go up to \$100. Not \$1,000, not \$10,000, but go up to \$100. So far, not unreasonable right? Right.

So the third violation, the third time, the third time for that particular problem, you fail to carry and show your license while you are vending, the penalty would go up to \$250. I know that that's as far as you guys want to go, but it also seems to me that we're talking about the same problem repeatedly, one that actually has implications for other vendors. It has

б

implications for the public. It has implications for everybody. It goes up to \$250 at that point, after the third violation.

It's not until the fourth violation that it goes to \$500. And the fifth time, and this is within two years, that a police officer has come up to you and said, hey can I see your license, and you don't have it, that they're charging you \$750. It's not until the sixth time, the same problem, that you would get \$1,000 penalty.

So my question for you is if we were talking about the same violation, is that schedule really so unfair.

SEAN BASINSKI: I'll tell you why.

I think that's a good example. You chose a good example, Chair Garodnick. First of all, we know that two years is a long time. In some spots you could be visited every week by the police. So in some spots you might get visited over the course of two years five or six times, in which case, if it happens five or six times that's a lot. If they come every week though, it's not a lot.

Not just that, in some locations

they do come every week. Not because there are complaints, like we heard from before but because there are things like the peddlers squad whose only job every day in New York City is to go around and enforce the vending rules, whether there are complaints or not. This is what they do every day.

The one that you cited about failing to display a license, so the first time it's very cold, it's inside my jacket. A lot of vendors, when they have a thick parka on in the wintertime, it's very hard to have it outside because you're wearing a thick jacket. Let's say though that you're guilty because it was inside your jacket that first time.

So then the second time, and we heard about this quite a bit, the license actually, you know they have it hanging around.

So let's say they take it outside the parking.

Now it's actually gotten turn around, and we hear about this quite a bit, don't we, Matt? That they were displaying their license but the license on the hanging thing got flipped toward them and so the policemen gave them a ticket for that. Well

that's the second time, now we're at \$100 now.

they're good for another ten months, and now a year later they just got to their spot. They're not working yet but they're setting up the location. But the police come and say you're working. No, I'm not working, I'm not vending anything, I'm still setting up. The police office writes on that ticket: vending, failed to display license. Why was your license in your pocket?

Because you were still setting up, you were fixing some boxes and you had yet to do it.

When you get to ECB court, the judge is not going to believe you when you go and say I wasn't vending yet. The judge is very likely going to believe the police officer. So now those are three separate situations, three different scenarios where this happens and now you're at \$250 and it's only been on year. So now you've got two more years.

So, no, I don't think it's simple.

It might sound from a distance that somebody is being a bad actor in that case if they got three or even six times that this happened. In some

2.

spots, with some of the ways that these tickets
are written and some of the fact that we've heard
that it's so difficult to defend them at ECB
court, it can easily happen. In that case, I do
think it's unfair, absolutely.

take your point about our need to have enforcement agents who are demonstrating a fair degree of responsibility and reasonableness out there.

Obviously if somebody is just in the process of setting up it's different from the fact when they're in the middle of a transaction and they're not displaying their license or where it's facing in as opposed to out. You know, we want our officers to be fair and responsible.

What we have to do here is try to develop those rules which when we read them and when we put them in the books that they are objectively right. You know, I don't know exactly whether or not the \$250 mark and you start presumably at \$50 and go up to \$250 for the sixth offense, I suppose. Is that what you guys would propose, something along those lines?

SEAN BASINSKI: It would be the

2 fourth. The fourth and fifth and sixth would all 3 be \$250.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Oh, fourth, fifth and sixth would all be \$250. Okay. Well that's the challenge that we have because we take your point about enforcement and the rules themselves are so complicated that we realize that they're hard for the vendors and they're hard for the police. You know the challenges that I see in the district that I represent are not so much of a peddlers squad which is constantly all over the vendors, it's the fact that the police really don't have any interest in actually enforcing vendor problems which are known and repeated. That may be a different challenge in other parts of the city.

SEAN BASINSKI: I do think that you raise a good point which is that the severity of the thousand dollar tickets is very much tied to the unjust system of ticketing, whereby the police officers are not well informed about what the rules are, which exacerbates the problem. And also the unfairness that happens at ECB when there are no procedures in place to defend these tickets

2 properly.

So, if you had fair enforcement and if you had well trained officers and if you had a fair process in place at ECB, a thousand dollar ticket might be okay. But until that happens, it's not okay because it's not fair because we see these things happening every day.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, I take your point. Council Member Levin?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chairman. I don't have any questions; I just
wanted to say briefly that going back to August
2010, looking back I have a memo from Street
Vendors Project on this issue. I just want to
acknowledge the great grace and discipline with
which the Street Vendors Project has embarked on
this endeavor of getting these bills passed. I'm
very appreciative of being able to work with you
and you've shown me a lot about how to be
effective and responsible advocates.

There are over 30 sponsors on each of these two bills, bipartisan support. That's not in any way attributable to my effort, that's attributable to your efforts, because you have

2	done a remarkable job of making your case to each
3	individual Council Member. I just want to
4	acknowledge Sean's efforts and Sasha's efforts,
5	but really I want to acknowledge the efforts of
6	the advisory board of the Street Vendors Project
7	and all of the vendors who have participated
8	because you're the ones that have made your case
9	so effectively.
LO	So I just want to acknowledge that.
11	It's been a pleasure to work with you. Thank you.
L2	JAMES B. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
L4	Council Member Levin. We also certainly
L5	appreciate your advocacy on these issues as well.
L6	With that, we have no further questions for this
L7	panel. We thank you for being here.
18	JAMES B. WILLIAMS: Thank you for
L9	having us.
20	MATTHEW SHAPIRO: Thank you.
21	SEAN BASINSKI: Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It's a
23	pleasure. The next panel will be Brad Beckstrom
24	of Mount Sinai Hospital, Jeannine Jennette of
25	Columbia University Medical Center and Monica Blum

2	of the New York City BID Association.	Come join
3	us; we're delighted to have you.	
4	[Pause]	
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:	We've been

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We've been joined by Council Member Robert Jackson. Thank you, Council Member.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much. Whenever you all are ready, you can get started. Go ahead.

MONICA BLUM: Thank you very much.

My name is Monica Blum, and I'm here today on
behalf of the New York City BID Association.

Thank you, Chairman Garodnick and members of the
committee for this opportunity to testify.

The New York City BID Association represents the 67 business improvement districts throughout New York City. Let me state at the outset that the Association has not taken a position on the bills that you are considering today; however, a number of my colleagues will be testifying or submitting written statements. In fact, we are as well.

My remarks will be general and more

historical in nature. We commend you for your

efforts to address the food vending situation, a

complicated situation to say the least.

Det me also say that we are not opposed to vendors. Many of us have good working relationships with the vendors in our districts.

We recognize that food vending serves a legitimate need and that many people like and use them.

The New York City BID Association
has a long history with this issue and has been
attempting to deal with vending for many, many
years. We recognize the complexity of the
situation; the regulations are confusing, unclear,
and as a result, make enforcement extremely
difficult. This is true for both general vending
and food vending.

However, we believe that in addition to the legislation you are considering today, there needs to be a comprehensive overhaul of the regulations and statutes that govern vending. The current regulations are simply unworkable, extremely confusing, and are very difficult to enforce. We believe that even vendors are often unclear as to where they can go

2 and cannot.

In 1999, our organization which was then known as the BID Managers' Association, undertook, at its expense, a comprehensive review of the many vending regulations and ultimately drafted a comprehensive bill, known as 110, subsequently revised to be 110A, that unified the regulation of food and general vendors in one broad piece of legislation that established assigned locations, or warrants, for vendors. We also proposed a lottery system that would have insured that vendors who had been at their particular locations for a long time would have a fair shot at keeping those locations.

That legislation also had locations where vending, both food and general, was not permitted, as well as other provisions. Our goal was to develop a structure that would balance the interests and needs of all, the general public and the vendors. Under our proposal, no one location, or corner, would be saturated with vendors and many more locations would have become available. Although there was considerable interest in that legislation at the time, due to the complexity of

2 the issue, it did not move forward.

We commend the Council for taking this step today to try to bring some rationale to vending. While our original bill may be too dusty for consideration today, the New York City BID Association is eager to work with all parties to try and come up with a solution that works, and that is enforceable. Having five food vendors, many selling the same product, right next to each other clearly does not work.

We are happy to work with you and the members of the Council in coming up with a comprehensive statute that is rational, and balances the needs of all concerned. Thank you for the opportunity to be here today.

BRAD BECKSTROM: Good morning. My name is Brad Beckstrom and I am the Director of-Government Affairs at Mount Sinai and I welcome the opportunity to address Chairman Garodnick and other members of the committee this morning.

We're here to express strong
support for New York City Council Introduction
789, which would amend the administrative code to
prohibit vending on the portion of hospital

sidewalks that abut to a no standing zone. The legislation would help address an important patient safety issue created when vendors locate near hospital entrances and obstruct areas where patients are dropped off and picked up.

Mount Sinai urges that you adopt this legislative proposal and we would look forward to working with you on this matter.

The Mount Sinai Hospital campus is located between 98th and 102nd Street from Madison Avenue to Fifth. On any given day 35,000 people enter one of our buildings, 4,500 people obtain outpatient care, there are more than 300 people who get emergency department care, and as many as 1000 individuals are receiving inpatient care on any given day. We obviously care for a large number of individuals, many of these who are handicapped and elderly. It is a busy facility located on some of the busiest roads in New York City.

In recent years the number of vendors located on our hospital campus has nearly doubled. In fact, on many weekdays we have as many as 35 vendors located on one of our

sidewalks. This situation creates patient safety hazard issues, diminishes patient access, results in street congestion and limits emergency vehicle and personnel access to our facility.

I would like to share just a few examples of problems that we've experienced and why this legislation is required.

Number one: curbs are frequently used for patient pick-up and drop-off from private vehicles. Vendors often line the curbs in front of our hospital, in front of our doors where people come and go. This makes it difficult and dangerous for people to access the sidewalk from their vehicle while having to maneuver around vendors.

It is not uncommon, in fact, for a drop-off patient to have to walk down Madison

Avenue in a traffic lane to get to the hospital door.

Ambulettees often drop people off as well curb side. The sidewalk congestion adds to the time the ambulettes block traffic. The ambulettes wait while the patient enters the building and then leaves. The delays their

б

2	leaving	and	really	results	in	additional	traffic
3	congesti	i on					

In the event of an emergency which requires the hospital to evacuate, the vendors would be occupying the same space that is needed by the hospital to stage evacuation methods.

And finally, many of our vendors are close to entrances and curbside. They would be in the way of emergency personnel and vehicles we need for a disaster.

It's important to note that this legislation does not prohibit vendors from locating on hospital property. Rather, it only precludes them from locating on certain areas.

Again, I thank you for the opportunity to address you this morning and we strongly urge that the City Council support and adopt 789.

JEANNINE M. JENNETTE: Good
morning, Councilman Garodnick and members of the
committee. My name is Jeannine Jennette,
Executive Director of Public Safety at Columbia
University Medical Center in northern Manhattan.
I am here to speak in strong support of Council

bill 789, which would prohibit vending on the sidewalk abutting no standing zones that are adjacent to hospitals. Attached to my written testimony is a letter that Executive Vice

President and Dean at CUMC, Dr. Lee Goldman, wrote to Councilman Garodnick in support of this bill.

I ask that it be included in the record along with my testimony.

significant growth in the number of vendors, both general and food, in the area surrounding CUMC and New York Presbyterian, our affiliated hospital.

At the center of our campus, the super block bordered by 165th Street, 168th Street, Broadway, and Fort Washington Avenue, last Thursday afternoon, there were 19 separate vendors. This does not include the two newsstands, the two ice cream trucks that generally park on 165th and 168th Streets later in the day. This also does not include the vendors nearby, across the street or out in front of other buildings on campus.

Over half of the vendors on the super block were located adjacent to a no standing zone, including two that were parked directly next

to a fire hydrant, and several located close to
the emergency room entrance where the ambulances
pull up to bring in the patients. This cluster of
vendors, especially towards the corner of 168th
Street and Broadway, creates tremendous traffic
problems, both pedestrian and vehicular, near the
carts, and makes it more difficult for staff,
students, visitors, ambulances, and especially our
patients, many of whom are disabled and limited in
some other way, to access our facilities.

Imagine coming out of the subway, as many of our patients do, and being caught in pedestrian traffic that makes it harder to reach your doctor's office. Imagine trying to pull up in a car, taxi, or Access-A-Ride van to get into the hospital, even the emergency room, and not being able to get as close as possible. Council bill 789 will address this situation and make it easier for those who really need to get to the hospital to do so.

While the vending carts themselves are obviously on the sidewalk and not on streets, most of these carts have to be dropped off and picked up every day by a van or truck powerful

б

enough to tow them. They also receive deliveries of supplies throughout the day. This means that oftentimes, not only are the carts blocking the sidewalk, they or their delivery trucks are actually blocking the street, including blocking no standing zones.

I should also note that many vendors will often leave their cars in metered spots adjacent to where they are located for the entire day, thus exacerbating the traffic situation on our block even further. This is illegal but very difficult to enforce.

Prior to coming to Columbia in 2002, I was a member of the New York City Police Department. From 2001-2002, I served as the commander of the 67th Precinct, which includes the area around SUNY Downstate Hospital. Even back then we had problems with vendors taking up valuable sidewalk and street space and making it more difficult for the people to get to the hospital.

Certainly, stricter enforcement of the consumer protection laws, as well as all health, and environmental regulations will help,

2.

and we work closely with our local precinct to try
to maintain order, but the situation around
CUMC/NYP has gotten out of hand. I fear that it
could get worse, that we will see more vendors,
taking up more space, and making it more difficult
for people to access the health care they need.
Please pass 789. Thank you. I am happy to answer
any questions.

Very much. I actually think that it's pretty straightforward and we appreciate your testimony. I certainly agree with you on 789, as it's a bill that I introduced. Since we have such a long agenda here today, we're going to leave it at that. But we thank you very much for your testimony.

BRAD BECKSTROM: Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We're going to bring up the next panel, which include Shira Gans, who's a representative of the Borough President of Manhattan, Scott Stringer; Steven Wasserman of the Legal Aid Society; Lee Wellington of the Pratt Center; and David Weber for NYC Food

б

2 Trucks.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: As soon as you're settled, Ms. Gans, you can kick it off.

SHIRA GANS: For the record, my name is Shira Gans and I am presenting testimony on behalf of Scott Stringer, the Manhattan Borough President.

Thank you to Council Chair Daniel
Garodnick and the Committee on Consumer Affairs
for holding this important hearing to address
inequities in the City's Administrative Code. I
urge the City Council to pass Councilmember
Stephen Levin's Intros 434 and 435.

Entrepreneurs are the lifeblood of this city and the backbone of our economy. As a city, we should encourage and foster industrious citizens like street vendors who want to own and operate their own businesses. Instead, my office routinely hears from business owners burdened by fees and fines. They feel as though New York City government too often views them as a revenue source to be nickeled and dimed, rather than as a critical avenue for economic development.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

The City's own numbers support these anecdotes. Comparing 2002 to 2011 data, fine revenue from the Consumer Affair Tribunal has increased by 126 percent, Environmental Control Board by 133 percent, general Administrative Code violations by 175 percent, and the Health Tribunal by an astounding 352 percent. Last year the City collected over \$816 million in fines. Things have gotten out of control, and too often it is small business owners who are paying the steepest price.

For New York City to run smoothly, we need rules and regulations. And, citizens and business owners alike have a responsibility to know and follow those rules and regulations. But the punishment should fit the crime. That is why I am here today to lend my support to Intros 434 and 435. A legal street vendor should not be subjected to a \$1,000 fine for improperly displaying a license. It is not right and it is not fair.

My office has been working with Council Member Margaret Chin, the Department of Consumer Affairs and the NYPD to enhance public awareness of and compliance with laws related to 2.

the sale of counterfest trademark merchandise. We
identified that lack of compliance often stemmed
from lack of awareness. As with counterfeit
merchandise, I recommend that Consumer Affairs and
NYPD improve outreach and education for street
vendors regarding relevant aspects of the
Administrative Code.

I commend the Street Vendor Project for their tireless advocacy and for making sure street vendors have a voice in city government. I believe the majority of street vendors want to comply with city regulations. Unfortunately, these regulations are often confusing and unavailable in vendors' native languages. Just as we ask business owners to do their due diligence in learning city codes, we must meet them halfway and provide the tools that make these codes accessible and understandable.

When we start treating business owners with the respect they deserve, I believe we will strengthen not only our economy but also our communities. Thank you.

STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: I am Steven Wasserman, with the Legal Aid Society. I'm

б

connected to the Criminal Practice and in particular I handle the property problems and issues that arise in connection with criminal prosecutions.

Legal Aid also strongly favors the passage of 434 and 435 and we do so for two principle reasons. First, because of our experience with the plight of unlicensed street vendors and secondly, because of our experience with the very harsh and rigid fining policy that exists over at the Environmental Control Board.

Now, with respect to unlicensed vendors, as bad as it is for the licensed vendors, this is a life that is overshadowed by constant fear of arrest, expropriation and deportation. A neighborhood vendor may be tolerated on Monday and incarcerated on Tuesday, her liberty, property, and immigration status subject to revocation and forfeiture.

Many of our clients facing

deportation came to the attention of Immigration

authorities as a result of an arrest for

unlicensed vending. In an effort to respond to

complaints by local retailers, or to abate what

б

they characterize as "quality of life conditions",

the NYPD often is thrust into the role of exposing

undocumented workers to removal proceedings by

Immigration authorities.

For that reason we think it is important to try to expand the opportunities for licensed vending as broadly as we possibly can and to make it as easy as possible to operate within the law. I mean the plight of those many thousands who are forced to sell things on the street, outside the law, is probably the most important reason to try to relax the fining schedule and to try to make it easy to operate legitimately.

Now, secondly, we are painfully familiar with the rigid and unforgiving fines policy at the New York City Environmental Control Board. This is why by the way, we incidentally also support the legislation by Council Member Mark-Viverito for the Council to actually monitor the actual results and verdicts before ECB. In our experience, the Board tends to elevate revenue enhancement over regulation and strict liability over deterrence.

2.

One current example is our client Albert Prince, a noted local sculptor who uses found objects in his artistic creations. Mr. Prince was fined \$2000 and had his van impounded for taking an old rooftop TV antenna from a pile of materials left by the curb.

Now, the fine and impoundment levied on Mr. Prince was, of course, part of a different regulatory scheme, but I assure you it is enforced ruthlessly and without any exception. Now the \$2,000 fine that Mr. Prince received happened to be a twenty-fold increase over the traditional fine for taking recyclables.

When the proposal to increase this fine by a factor of 20 came before the City
Council in 2009, several Council Members expressed strong reservations about the potential sweep of the enhanced penalties. They were given categorical assurances from City officials that the enhanced penalties would not actually be applied to anyone taking any amount of recyclable materials, but only to those operating for commercial purposes, for serious business reasons, and in great bulk.

б

But in fact, the fine schedules tend to be imposed in a fairly ruthless way, and we have heard time and again from ECB that their hands are tied and that they really are unable to reduce or to give any sort of relief from the existing fine schedule.

Now, the other thing to be said about \$1,000 is that this is the maximum fine for any misdemeanor. It's the maximum fine for unlicensed vending. It's the maximum fine for drunk driving. So the fine schedule is just absolutely completely out of line, I mean even with penal sanctions.

Look, I just want to say that New York loves and needs its street vendors, and owes them a fairer opportunity to conduct their businesses under reasonable regulations. Thank you.

LEE WELLINGTON: Good afternoon,
Chairman Garodnick and members of the City
Council. My name is Lee Wellington, and I am a
Planning Fellow at the Pratt Center for Community
Development. I'm also a law student at Brooklyn
Law School.

The Pratt Center has provided a mix of technical assistance and policy work for folks looking to start a vendor marketplace, looking at food access issues and the relationship to downtown redevelopments, and to participatory planning in diverse communities across all five boroughs.

We are pleased to voice our support for these bills for two key reasons. First, the legislation before you today is consistent with the core values of the Pratt Center. Intros 434 and 435 reflect a participatory process with the goal of reaching more equitable outcomes. And second, through our work providing technical assistance to local development groups, we understand the importance of street vending as a vital community development tool. This legislation will make it easier to street vendors to thrive while working within the existing regulatory framework.

So turning first to process issues, the Administration quadrupled the maximum fines for street vendors in 2006. This increase, coupled with an escalating penalty schedule, was a

dramatic change to the regulatory framework for vendors. Yet the question of the \$1,000 fines, that never went before the City Council. Instead the Environmental Control Board simply used its rulemaking authority to enact this sweeping regulatory change. Vendors, and all New Yorkers, deserved a far more extensive public process, and we're pleased that the City Council is now considering measures to reform the City's penalty schedule.

After reviewing other escalating penalty schedules within the Administrative Code, it is hard to find anything as punitive as the vending regulations that stand today. We are dealing with immigrant entrepreneurs that are earning wages hovering at the poverty line.

A \$1,000 ticket, it might be 5
percent of a vendor's annual income, or it might
be as a high as 20 percent. Because vendors see
penalty amounts increase with each minor, and I
should emphasize, unrelated offense, \$1,000
tickets are not uncommon. And because many of our
City's vendors are immigrants with limited English
language skills these tickets are incredibly

2 difficult to defend in administrative courts.

3 Consequently, vendors must face a very difficult

4 decision, deciding on the cost of basic living

5 expenses or paying fines that are grossly out of

6 proportion to the alleged violations at issue.

assistance and policy work has only reinforced the importance of street vending in community development. Presently, we are working with Cypress Hills Local Development Corporation to set up a vendor marketplace on an underutilized lot on Pitkin Avenue in Brooklyn. We have partnered with Brooklyn Law School's Community Development Clinic to help local vendors understand the many agencies that regulate vendors in New York City, and register for Certificates of Authority.

Vending regulations, as we've seen today, are not always intuitive, and while there are a number of organizations, like the Street Vendor Project that are doing such important to help vendors understand these regulations, it is certainly a complex line of work. Therefore, it is not surprising that vendors can receive several tickets in a day for minor infractions, like not

б

wearing a vending license, or being too close to a building entrance.

Many of these regulations are indeed important to the productive functioning of a densely populated city. But the escalating penalties as they currently standing are leading to a counterproductive result. Vendors, unable to afford the fines, may not renew their licenses to vend legally on the City's streets. Regulations need to be crafted carefully so that vendors are able to stay within the existing regulatory framework.

Most importantly, we need vending in New York City. Vending can create important hubs of activity in downtown commercial corridors to attract more foot-traffic. Vending can also be a gateway to economic opportunity, as we saw from the testimony of vendors at the Street Vendor Project.

The Pratt Center has been focused on supporting immigrant food businesses, and strengthening the production economy more generally. Food production is a \$5 billion industry in the City, and continues to be a

growing source of sustainable employment,

particularly for immigrant communities. Street

vending, since this City's beginnings, is an

important tool in launching these viable food

businesses.

The Pratt Center strongly supports regulations that allow food entrepreneurs, and entrepreneurs of all sort, to get their start on a fair playing field. Intros 434 and 435 are important steps in the direction of equity for some of the City's smallest of small businesses. We thank you for your time, and welcome any questions that you may have.

DAVID WEBER: My name is David
Weber. I'm co-founder of Rickshaw Dumplings which
operates several hospitality businesses in New
York City, including two restaurants, a food truck
and a kiosk in Times Square. I'm also the founder
and president of the New York City Food Truck
Association which represents 37 small businesses
that operate premium food trucks here in New York
City. And I'm a voracious eater and also a zealot
New Yorker that thinks this is really the best
place on earth and what we really need is a

2 regulatory structure that can create opportunities 3 for the best vendors on earth.

The first thing I want to really convey to everyone is that I think that there is space for street vendors and restaurants to coexist. One of the common flashpoints we often see is street vendor versus restaurant.

What I see in the industry is
everyone that works in hospitality is very focused
on making sure that the customer gets what they
want at the end of the day. Even to quote, you
know, last year in the New York Times, the senior
vice president of the National Restaurant
Association Hudson Riehle, he referred to street
vendors and in particular food trucks, as mobile
restaurant units. So I think that we're all part
of the same purview.

I've had a lot of talks where with the New York Restaurant Association. One of the things that they're more worried about for their constituents is access into this market. I think that taking the time to look at that, to incorporate restaurants more broadly into street vending is going to help in the long term.

Street vendors have a lot of things to offer the city in terms of tax revenues, job growth, tourism, activating public space, and I think most importantly as acting as an incubator for entrepreneurs to work themselves up through maybe a cart to a food truck and hopefully one day to a brick and mortar business.

Several of my members have gone through this very same route, including the Bistro Truck, the Cupcake Stop, Dessert Truck, Kimchi Taco Truck, Mexicue, Schnitzel and Things, Souvlaki GR, the Treats Truck and Van Leeuwen Ice Cream, and we have two or three more coming that I can't release here today. But, you know, these aren't just like street vendors, these are incubators. This is a way to stimulate small business, to grow jobs and to increase tax revenue.

I think taken as a whole the introduced legislation is extremely reasonable.

Lowering the fines and creating more mechanisms to ensure that those fines get paid makes a lot of sense. One of the things that we're a huge advocate for within our constituency is community-

oriented vending. So staying clear of taxi stands seems to be extremely reasonable and making way for people that need hospital care also seems extremely prudent. So taken as a whole, I think all of these are great and an excellent first step in improving the regulatory structure. But I think that there's still a long, long way to go.

I was really delighted to hear about the Street Vendor Review Panel. I think that some sort of interagency task force to take a look at vending as a whole and rethink it would be great.

Two key issues that we've been working on for a long time are that there's no legal place right now for food trucks to vend in the city because they can't vend from meters, from hydrants, loading/unloading zones, basically everyplace is off limits. So finding curated locations throughout the city I think would go a long way towards some sort of stability.

I think that the instability and the chaos that the current system creates is what's leading to a lot of the complaints which leads to the enforcement which leads to the

2 tickets. So I think that a larger rethinking is 3 going to help a lot.

just no on knows. It was extremely clear that even the regulatory agencies overseeing this don't fully have a clear grasp of what the rules are.

One of the big things I do when I go around and visit BIDs and community boards is just educate them on my knowledge and we're all constantly all sharing knowledge about what is this larger sense of the entire group of the regulatory structure.

So any steps in that direction I think would be great for street vendors, for retail establishments and the city as a whole.

I only have one question and it's similar to a question that I asked the folks from the Street Vendor Project before. Is there something inherently unfair with the fine structure, even going up to \$1,000, if you're talking about having it for the sixth offense for the same violation, as opposed to a hodgepodge of violations? I can understand you get there pretty fast if you're talking about multiple different violations. Each

2	one	of	them	could	be	independently	small.

But if you're talking about for the same violation, is that inherently unfair?

5 STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: Well, it

could be harsh if there's really no--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] Make sure your microphone is on.

STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: Sorry. It could be harsh if there really is no lawful way for a person to avoid that infraction. I mean if it really is in the nature of the business, I mean such as the fact that there is no legal place to park a vending truck.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Put the trucks aside for a second because the trucks are a separate issue that we're going to take up next. But let's talk about the vendors for whom we actually have legal places for them to be and rules that are at least articulable, if not complicated they are articulable.

STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: Well, I think the point to be made really is that you would not see people offending six times if there actually was an efficient way of assuring that the fines

were being paid. I mean the fact of the matter is

I think a lot of the multiple offending that

you're seeing is really a result of the fact that

these fines are just unpaid and there's probably a

very small number of offenders and they probably

just tend to walk away from the legitimate

business rather than pay them.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It's still unclear to me as to whether you are seeing \$1,000 fines which are accruing quickly because of a multitude of small unrelated offenses, or whether you're dealing with what I would regard as an obviously much more serious issue where somebody is doing the same thing over and over again, which they shouldn't be doing. I think we could probably agree that that is a much more serious situation, correct?

I'm wondering if it really exists. I mean it seems to me that \$250, I mean if you're selling a \$5 item with a 40 percent margin of profit, I mean \$250 is certainly going to put you on the mat. I mean it may take you out of business altogether.

I mean there is no question that a thousand bucks

2 is going to be absolutely lethal. I mean you 3 heard the testimony five and six times.

So it really seems to me you would not even see recurrent fines of \$250 in a world where those fines were really being collected in an effective manner.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Understood.

But of course, again, the thousand dollars does

not come into play until a sixth offense. But I

understand \$250 is big. It starts, of course, at

\$50. I understand your point. I think we can all

agree that the dollar amounts are large,

particularly relative to the income of the folks

who are actually vending and who are earning a

living doing this.

It's one of the reasons why, by the way, I happen to think that the bill which ties the licenses to the permit holder actually perhaps may even solve a lot of the problems that we see, because the folks who actually are in the best position to police bad acts from those who are actually doing bad acts are the folks who will get socked with the penalty at the end of the day.

The question that I'm trying to get

about whether or not if you're hitting the same, if you've got the same problem repeatedly. Not whether you should get up to a thousand bucks on day one for having a few different ministerial problems. It seems to me that if you change the law so as having that apply to the increments going up only for the same offense, well then may you'd collect a couple of \$50 fines, but you would not actually see that go up to \$1,000 quite so fast.

not sure there's an individual who would be deterred by a thousand but not by 250. But assuming what is not obvious, that that person exists, it seems to me there's a good case for just taking that person out of business rather than fining him. I mean if you have a multiple recidivist on some kind of really serious infraction, I don't understand why they're vending on the street at all.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Well it sounds like it may actually have that effect, right? I mean if a fine starts at 50 bucks but

2	goes up to \$1,000, perhaps you are actually taking
3	them off the street. Is that what you're saying?
ł	STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: Well, it

would be, but I mean why not just do it in an honest way.

7 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Well, I

8 mean then--is that the recommendation?

STEVEN B. WASSERMAN: No, I mean I really think that 250 is really an ample deterrent. I mean that's what you get for driving--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] By the way, I agree too that, you know, a lot of these fines are ample deterrent when you're talking about any dollar amount. But the question that I have is really focused on the same, if somebody is doing the same thing over and over again. I think I hear your point and I think we'll leave it there. We also have a lot of folks who are looking to testify and I don't want to hold up the hearing on this.

So let me just call up the next panel. We appreciate all of you being here today. The next panel is going to be Peter Davies of

2	Broadway Residents, Lizabeth Fuchsoh, I'm sorry.
3	I didn't realize Council Member Weprin had a
4	question. But we'll make sure that he has lots of
5	chance in the next one. Lizabeth Fuchs of Time
6	Warner Center, Tom Belfiore of the Time Warner
7	Center and Patrick Condren of Bay Ridge, 86th
8	Street and 5th Avenue.
9	[Pause]
LO	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It looks
11	like we may not have our complete panel here.
12	Sir, what is your name?
L3	PETER DAVIES: My name is Peter
L4	Davies, Pete Davies.
L5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, Pete,
L6	welcome.
L7	PETER DAVIES: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sir, either
L9	of you, tell me your name.
20	PATRICK CONDREN: Patrick Condren,
21	Bay Ridge BID.
22	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great. And
23	do we have either Mr. Belfiore or we don't have
24	Lizbeth Fuchs. Are you Mr. Belfiore?
25	PETER DAVIES: I'm Peter Davies.

a	mla	· -			D = + =	D
4	THIS	18	ШУ	neighbor	Peter	Davis.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: All right,
well we'll bring you both up on the same panel.
Why don't we start with Davies and we'll end with
Davis. How's that?

PETER DAVIES: That's good.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Go ahead.

PETER DAVIES: Good morning,

Chairman Garodnick and fellow Council Members.

Thank you for this hearing, which is covering very important points.

I am here as a member of the Broadway Residents Coalition, an ad hoc group of more than 80 SoHo residents, to express support for Intro 727, which would coordinate and standardize minimum clearance regulations for vendors.

I've lived along Broadway in SoHo for over 30 years. I'm not looking to turn back the clock, and I don't begrudge anyone from trying to make a living. I understand how difficult that can be. But the current situation with vendors in our neighborhood is out of control.

For all the previous speakers who

spoke about minor infractions, terming placement of vending tables and stuff as minor, I invite them to come to SoHo. I invite you to come to Broadway, where you can't walk down the sidewalks because of the number of vendors.

A recent survey that we made of the blocks between Houston Street and Canal Street showed over 90 vendors on these six blocks. There are 30 vendors on my block front alone. As the weather improves we're already seeing an increase in vendors. Just last week 3 new food carts joined the 5 food carts on my block. Many of these food carts are there 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. They do not comply with the law. They do not go back into their commissary for servicing or cleaning. They're there 24/7.

Based on the existing laws, no more than 3 of those 30 plus vendors are in a place that can be called legal because the sidewalks here are only 17 feet wide and they can't be within 20 feet of a doorway. But the existing laws are barely enforced.

The crowding caused by all types of vendors on this stretch of Broadway, where the

sidewalks are only about 17 feet wide, has created a situation where pickpockets now thrive amid the crush of shoppers. This is evidenced by the recent arrival of off-duty uniformed NYPD officers, hired by local stores to run interference between opportunistic crooks that prey on unsuspecting shoppers along the jam packed sidewalks.

This is an unexpected consequence of too many vendors in one block. It's not the vendors that are doing those crimes. But the situation is created where you can't get through, all the sudden you're in a jam of people and people are getting pickpocketed.

I would like to thank my neighbors in SoHo who have come together as the Broadway Residents Coalition to improve conditions in our neighborhood, work that is being done without pay but with big benefit to our community and is a model for how neighborhood issues can be dealt with when responsive and responsible citizens work together.

What we need is guidance from the top. Mayor Bloomberg is a man of information. He

б

2 knows how to put information together. If he 3 wanted this coordinated, he could make it happen.

Finally, above all, what's needed is enforcement of existing laws. There's something backwards going on here because I keep hearing talk of well if you get six violations—well, no, if you're in a place that violates the law, you're already violating the law. You do not need to be cited by an office to be in violation of the law. That only codifies that you've violated.

So vendors need to be responsible. If you know that that spot is illegal, please don't be there. We talk to the vendors on our blocks. They say yeah, we know we're illegal but until the NYPD comes, we're not moving. So there is a disconnect here between the civic responsibility of vendors and how this all works together. Thank you very much for your time and good luck.

PATRICK CONDREN: Good morning,

Councilman and members of the Council. My name is

Patrick Condren. I'm the Executive Director of

the 86th Street Bay Ridge BID and the Fifth Avenue

Bay Ridge BID, which are two of the smallest in size and assessments of the 67 BIDs in New York City. Since 2001, however, we do provide services seven days a week.

In May of 1994, the City of New York placed a ban on vendors in the area that includes 86th Street BID and Fifth Avenue BID. However the ban apparently did not include food vendors.

Since 2005, 86th Street, in particular, building owners, merchants and residents have discussed the growing presence of food vendors within the district. Meetings and discussions with Community Board 10 and many New York City agencies have produced expressions of frustration as many of these mobile units negatively impact the BID small business owners. These units are part of a large and extensive enterprise in New York City. They're dispatched to various locations, frequently competing directly with long established businesses which are not mobile.

These BIDs and other local BIDs and merchants associations in a variety of testimony

2.

beın	g presented, and many local business owners
have	e joined together with Community Board 10 to
stat	e that this is five borough issue that needs
clar	ity now. We're also appreciative that the New
York	City BID Association is stating the same
thin	g, obviously.

There are numerous and often conflicting rules and regulations which this gentleman just described, which need solidification now. We applaud the leadership of our local community board in requesting the City of New York to enact clear and meaningful guidelines for licensing, regulation and placement.

An apparent lack of attention, by the City of New York, to this issue, has prompted many small businesses and small business groups to express frustration, bewilderment and a demand to clear the situation up now. As many people know, we have individual store owners who want to secede from the BID because they don't think we do enough. So, having said that, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Go ahead.

PETER DAVIS: Good afternoon, Peter

2.

Davis. I'm a resident, 543 Broadway. I live
between Prince and Spring. Presently I'm
addressing the 20 foot issue. Our block, our
street is only 17 feet wide, the sidewalk. So the
20 foot marking goes out into the street itself.
So I think we have to reexamine that. This is no
a good issue.

Presently on our blocks, we have 30 vendors and actually according to the regulations with the 20 foot marking, there should be one vendor per side of the block.

I have an illustrated map there.

Here is an illustrated map. This actually shows
all of these curves, these are the legal markings
from the city. It means nothing. We have 30 to
40 vendors on the block and legally one.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Got it.

Thank you very much. One thing that I might suggest if you have not had success in working with the local police precinct, which I suspect that you have not is to reach out directly to the Street Vendor Project. They expressed a willingness to us to try to help resolve challenges that exist in various neighborhoods.

2	So I would encourage you to engage with them, not
3	taking away the substance of your point because
4	that's something that we're trying to grapple with
5	here legislatively. But I also encourage you to
6	take a look at that. Council Member Levin?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
8	Mr. Chair. Actually, I just wanted to kind of say
9	what the Chairman just said which is that first I
10	do appreciate, I've gotten a number of emails, Mr.
11	Davies and Mr. Davis, from your neighborhood. Mr.
12	Condren, as well, I've heard from your
13	neighborhood.
14	I'm always sensitive to those
15	concerns and I think that laws should be followed.
16	I would recommend, in my experience working with
17	the Street Vendors Project that they're more than
18	willing to work with their membership to resolve
19	any issues that are arising in specific hotspots.
20	Obviously SoHo is certainly a hotspot. So I would
21	recommend reaching out to them and I'd be happy to
22	facilitate that as well.
23	PETER DAVIES: My original
24	testimony, I was going to speak against 434, but I

learned a lot here today and I understand that

2	that's a really crazy issue that needs to be dealt
3	with, so I did cross that out there. I do welcome
4	any interaction with the Street Vendor Project. I
5	do hope that the Street Vendor Project helps their
6	members become responsible and good civic
7	neighbors. So I would love to facilitate that
8	interaction.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: That's wonderful and I do appreciate that. I think I see Sean Basinski in the back, so I'll make sure that you guys touch base before you leave.

PETER DAVIES: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you

very much. Do you have a question too? Council

17 Member Gentile?

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Condren, I'm curious, has there been an effort on the part of the BIDs, your BID or the Association of BIDs to try to address some of the inequities in the regulations that are put forth with general vendors versus food vendors and how they interact with the community or the BIDs?

25 PATRICK CONDREN: I'm not speaking

2	on behalf of the BID Association, but as I
3	understand it, a number of years ago when I was
4	more actively involved, there was several, as I
5	think Monica Blum referred to before,
6	Introductions that should be revisited. Take a
7	whole clean white piece of paper and start all
8	over again here. Sometimes things just don't work
9	and it's a little tooI've heard the word
10	complicated, frustrated, angry, you know
11	throughout this whole morning.
12	Yes, we've addressed the individual
13	regulations with our community board because we
14	believe it's a larger issue.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Now, in
16	your BID particularly, and I know that from your
17	BID that you have a zero sidewalk display district
18	that's part of your BID, is that correct?
19	PATRICK CONDREN: That's correct.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So what it
21	in effect means is that any brick and mortar
22	business in your BID cannot put as much as a
23	sandwich board outside their business without
24	receiving a summons. Am I correct?
25	PATRICK CONDREN: Very well said,

But there

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	correct.	It's	not	a	level	playing	field	at	all.
---	----------	------	-----	---	-------	---------	-------	----	------

4 could be a restaurant on wheels on the corner.

5 PATRICK CONDREN: And they are a

6 restaurant on wheels. They're not just pretzel

7 carts or hotdog stands anymore. They serve

everything from Philly cheese steak to et cetera.

9 And we've had several individual longstanding

10 businesses go out of business or change hands in

11 recent years over this issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Another thing, am I correct in that there are some regulations about vending in certain zoning districts that are in the DCA regs but show up nowhere in the zoning regulations.

PATRICK CONDREN: That's my understanding as well. It creates a conflict for the local enforcement groups and a challenge for the police department, who has regularly tried to ascertain what is enforceable and what is not. It's a conundrum, it's a paradox, it needs to be completely ripped up and start all over--

COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:

[interposing] Yes, I think cleaning the slate is

Kaja Kuehl, who is also here to testify today, we taught a graduate level urban planning studio that worked with the Street Vendor Project to conduct a comprehensive analysis of street vending in New York.

Conducting both qualitative and quantitative research through direct observation, mapping, interviews with vendors, customers and the general public, analysis of existing rules and regulations, violations data, and public space and storefront retail surveys, our research found that vending benefits the City in a number of important ways and can be leveraged to promote citywide planning goals such as improving public space, promoting entrepreneurship, and increasing access to affordable food and merchandise.

We will be submitting the full planning study with our written testimony, but I wanted to highlight a few of our main findings here today, particularly as they relate to the improvement of public space, one of the city's most important public amenities.

Our students conducted a public space survey of Lower Manhattan and found that

even in this dense neighborhood there are a number of places--examples include along Water Street, nearby the FDR, and some public plazas and POPS or privately owned public spaces--that were actually underutilized or had very little street life either due to poor urban design, or to the lack of destination to draw people into that space.

At the same time, customer surveys revealed that convenience and affordability were the top reasons why people in Lower Manhattan buy from vendors, and that the vending experience would be much improved by having more places to sit and eat in the public spaces where vendors sell. Our study found that opening currently underutilized public spaces to vending, especially where there is space for seating, has great potential to activate these underutilized spaces, encourage street life, increase access to affordable and convenient food and merchandise, and improve the overall streetscape of Lower Manhattan.

Improving public space is just one way in which vending serves as a public amenity and a tool for advancing related citywide planning

goals. Vending is an important part of the economic landscape, providing opportunities for employment, entrepreneurship, innovation and small business development particularly among new immigrants and others that may face language, educational or other barriers to the traditional workplace.

Vending has been used to promote healthy and locally grown produce through Greencarts and Greenmarkets programs, promotes local artisans and craftspeople in curated market places, provides access to convenient merchandise for the general public, and even increases safety in our public spaces by providing eyes and ears on the street. We shouldn't forget that it was a vendor who actually tipped the police off about a bomb in the back of an SUV a few years ago in Times Square.

Under current conditions, vendors face high barriers to doing business. There are limited spaces where vending is permitted, regulations tend to be confusing and contradictory and fines pose a real threat business with such low profit margins.

we're here today to support Intros
434 and 435 which will do a long way towards
rationalizing and limiting the amount of fines
that can be levied against vendors. We feel that
this will improve conditions for vending for also
for the general public and will recognize vending
as a public amenity that it is. Thank you.

KAJA KUEHL: My name is Kaja Kuehl.

I'm an adjunct associate professor at the Graduate

School of Architecture, Planning and Preservation

at Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sorry I did some damage to your name.

KAJA KUEHL: So I co-taught this planning studio last year with Julie Behrens. I want to add some detailed findings that we made during this study.

We took a closer look at the current fine structure, and compared the multiple offense schedule that escalates fines for vendors up to \$1,000 that we're discussing today, with other laws governing public space and found huge discrepancies between the fines applicable to vendors compared and those for owners of motor

б

2 vehicles for example.

If a vendor fails display his license around his neck, he or she receives a fine according to the multiple offense schedule, which can escalate up to \$1,000. In contrast, if you park your car without displaying a current registration sticker, the fine is \$65 and it does not escalate, no matter how often you do it.

Similarly, if you park your car on a sidewalk, it's \$115, if you park it on a pedestrian crosswalk, it's \$165 and you can do this as often as you want. It will always be \$165.

So, in contrast, if a vendor parks his cart 20 inches away from the curb, as opposed to the required 18 inch, he or she could be fined \$1,000. We think that's' unreasonable.

What was said before, with an estimated average income of a vendor of \$14,000 a year, \$1,000 fine just puts him out of business and that's the reason why many of these fines don't get paid.

So we think the current fine structure is not very smart business practice for

the city either. According to an Independent

Budget Office report from 2010, the city spent an
estimated \$7.4 million to regulate and enforce

vending, while only \$1.4 million was collected in
fees and fines. Moreover, of the 15.8 million
levied in fines in 2008 and 2009, only 6 percent
got paid.

So why do fines not get paid? To find answers, we looked at the 20,000 violations that were requested by Street Vendor Project as a Freedom of Information Act request. We found that 53 percent of vendors do not show up in court.

Of those that do show up in court, nearly half of them get dismissed, meaning that the court finds that there was actually probably a misunderstanding about issuing the violation in the first place. That's no surprise. We heard this before. The rules are complex.

We also looked, and we have a visual of this. I'm happy to pass that around after I'm finished maybe, that looked at where these fines are issued. As you can imagine, the majority of fines are issued in Midtown and Lower Manhattan, which is were those rules are most

2 complex.

It's clear that in these areas it comes to a lot of different interpretations of these rules. As you can imagine, like many speakers before, we think there needs to be a comprehensive overhaul of these rules, but we also think that that shouldn't hold up Intro 434 and 435 to pass now to allow vendors to work on the streets. Thank you.

Good afternoon. My name is Yanki Tshering and I'm the Executive Director of the Business Center for New Americans, a nonprofit organization that is dedicated to assisting immigrants, refugees, women, and others in need to achieve self-sufficiency and wealth creation through business development and expansion, savings, and home ownership. We achieve this by providing micro loans, and of course we work with many, many street vendors who come to us for loans. We also provide specialized training, and personal coaching.

The Business Center is certified as a CDFI by the CDFI fund at the U.S. Department of

Treasury and is also certified by the SBA as a SBA
Intermediary Lender. In the July 15, 2011 online
version of CNN Money listed the Business Center
for New Americans was featured as one of the 10
top microfinance organizations in the U.S.

The reason I bring this up is to establish our credibility and hope that it will reinforce what many before me have said in support of Intro 434 and 435.

In partnership with our clients, we help them successfully establish and run small businesses, save money, or invest in a first home. Since 1997, we have provided hundreds of workshops, assisted over 3,702 businesses, and disbursed loans worth \$8.9 million.

Last week, the Honorable Mayor

Bloomberg of New York City, recognized the

Business Center for our dedication to helping

immigrant entrepreneurs, by presenting us with the

American Dreamer Award for 2012 in the business

category.

Again, I mention all these credentials to point out that we are a objective and dedicated organization that takes our mission

and role in helping new Americans in a sensible and pragmatic manner by collaborating with city agencies like the Department of Business Services and the Office of Financial Empowerment, an extremely progressive and effective initiative at the Department of Consumer Affairs. OFE was the first local government initiative in the nation with a mission to educate, empower, and protect New Yorkers with low incomes so they can build assets and make the most of their financial resources.

I am here to request that we look at the mission of OFE which is a part of the Department of Consumer Affairs, and apply that mission to the challenges with street vendors, who are also New Yorkers' face. Of all the groups of disadvantaged entrepreneurs that we work with at the Business Center, we have found that street vendors are the most vulnerable and once they get even one fine for \$1,000 their financial situation quickly spirals out of control. Paying the fine, may mean not paying their rent, often means cutting back on food and in a few cases using their meager savings for their children's further

education.

The fact that many street vendors are limited English speaking immigrants makes communication with law enforcement difficult.

Last winter, one of our clients, a vendor in Brooklyn, had his permit under his jacket and he was fined \$500. We hear these stories over and over again. One client in Chinatown placed a wooden crate next to her vegetable cart and she was fined \$1,000. Our clients often get multiple tickets at the same time and have little knowledge as to why they are receiving tickets, and in interactions with law enforcement, or when they attend hearings at the Environmental Control Board, have almost no opportunity to defend themselves.

What we are also gravely concerned about is that there is a growing lack of trust in city government and a growing sense of injustice among our clients. If the fines were lowered, street vendors would be able to pay their fines, thereby resulting in increased revenue for New York City and ensuring that vendors are in compliance and can focus on managing and growing

2 their business.

Street vendors are hardworking taxpayers and individuals with limited work options as a result of their skill level, language skills or mobility. If they are out of work due to high fines, they oftentimes have very limited or no options to seek other employment.

political leaders, the importance of creating jobs and their hard work to pass legislation which supports this important goal. Our vendors are not asking for a hand out, they are creating their own jobs and working hard so that they can support their families and continue to become productive members of their community. We understand the need to have laws to protect consumers but sensible fines and collaboration with the organizations which work with street vendors is a better way to achieve this goal.

The Business Center for New

Americans therefore would like to request the City

Council to reduce the maximum fines for vending

violations and defining unrelated violations of

vending rules and regulations as separate

2 offenses. Thank you very much.

JONATHAN SUNSHINE: Good afternoon, members of the Council and the people of the audience. My name is Jonathan Sunshine. I am an entrepreneur. I'm one of the entrepreneurs that come here. I'm also a part of the Street Vendor Project as well as I came here to talk about, you know, the Intro 434 and 435. I am in favor of passing that bill, because once that bill gets passed, then it'll be good for a lot of people who are just hard working people.

See, what I want to say is this:
that is seems to be a defying between the small
business community and the street vendors. The
fact of the matter is that since the 1800s, since
the early 1700s, the street vendors have all been
the street vendors and there's vending in all
areas. There's vending in sports. There's
vending in theater. And, you know, they don't get
fined like that because they're all part of
different kinds of businesses.

But the point is this: the smallest of the small businesses are street vendors. A lot of it because we help improve the economy, we make

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things better. We work in parks. We work on the streets. And we work all over to help bring, you know, food or crafts or other kinds of things to people.

And I say this: it's part of their--they come from around the world and we deal with people who come from around the world. there's a big language barrier here and that's one of the reasons why a lot of street vendors get fined because they don't understand exactly what's being said to them and they don't know the American law system. At least it's not defined in their language. So they get caught up in the system, a system that says--you know, poor and immigrant families who can't make out--you know, a lot of them just don't speak enough English to get by. If you went to another country, you want to learn the language of the country. Well, this is America. A lot of people comes to America, the land of the free and the home of the brave, you know.

I happen to be a Native American, born citizen of this country. But the thing is this, I see a lot of the things that go on out

2.

there in the streets and everything. A lot of the
street vendors, especially, you know and I count
myself as one of them because I'm part of the
street vendors and I'm also a part time street
vendor. The thing is that we have different skill
levels. Some of us are singer/songwriters.
Others are artists and other, you know, jewelry
makers. I also fall in that category.

is we're all part of the small business community.

I mean from the first covered wagons that came out and believe me when I say those covered wagons and they were starting it. They started banks and other things. They were vendors that came from other countries and came to America to make their dreams come true. Now there was also an Indian population there was also, you know it's got to do with--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

[interposing] I'm going to ask you to wrap it up in a sec. If you can, just bring it to a close. We'll have some questions I think.

JONATHAN SUNSHINE: Okay, I'll bring it to a close now. All I can say is that

it's a part of commerce. I mean commerce, it's
all about commerce. Big business versus small
business and you've just got to recognize the
street vendors as part of the small business
working community.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Yes, we certainly do. We appreciate your

comments. I know that we have questions from

colleagues. We're going to start with Council

Member Mark Weprin, with my apologies again for

missing him before.

okay. Thank you, Chair Garodnick. I apologize.

It's been a busy day today. I had a couple of hearings, so I've been in and out. So I haven't really had a chance to ask some questions I had before of maybe other people. Since you're the lucky panel to be in front of me, I just had some questions.

You've been here the whole time. I understand Council Member Koslowitz raised the issue that the law she claims currently states that one person, you can have a license for an individual cart but not for four or five carts or

2	trucks or something like that. Do you know if
3	that's true or not?
4	KAJA KUEHL: There's a difference
5	between a license for a vendor and a permit for a
6	truck, for a food truck in particular. So a
7	vendor can only have one license. Every vendor
8	who vends on a food truck has to have a separate
9	license for that truck. However, the permit for
10	the truck is something separate.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Right.
12	KAJA KUEHL: That can be owned by
13	someone else.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: That could
15	be what?
16	KAJA KUEHL: That permit for the
17	truck can be owned by someone else.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Right. Let
19	me back up and you'll sit through my little
20	soliloquy here about my neighborhood.
21	So I represent a district in
22	Eastern Queens. Over the last year or two, we've
23	had a number of food carts pop up all over the
24	area, not in huge numbers, but one here and one

there, in mostly residential neighborhoods, but

2 | with small strips of commercial businesses.

As crazy as this may sound to people in this room and other people, I'd say it's the number one complaint I've gotten over the last year is about food carts. The complaints come from residents who don't like then food carts because they don't like the look it gives the neighborhood. From the merchants, who all came to me upset about an unfair competition idea that here's a food cart come in, in some cases selling the same food that they're selling and not having to pay rent and electric and everything else, you know to do their thing, you know letter grades and all those other things.

These are new immigrants who own businesses, you know, in the neighborhood, from all over the world. I got one on my block, the one across the street from my office we have a new food cart that's been coming there every day. I don't know who owns the cart and the business in charge. I do know the person in the cart works for somebody else. He works 11 hours a day, gets paid \$40 for the day. This is what he does.

I can't imagine they'd bring in

2.

more than 100 customers a day. That would be like
the highest I could imagine they'd bring in there.
So they're not making much money on this cart.
But someone is still making a couple hundred
dollars and they're not sitting there laboring in
this cart, worrying about where they're going to
go to the bathroom, because the merchants, most of
them, don't let them go to the bathroom in their
stores. One guy has been and that's the only
place this person has to go.

My question is this: I'd like to figure out a way, because where I'm from it's hard to find people who are like encouraging me to support food carts. They haven't come forward. You know, if it wasn't up to them, there wouldn't be food carts in the neighborhood.

I understand the fines seem
excessive in many cases. But for me to support
that, I can't just go home and say guess what, I
lowered the fines on these food carts you hate.
So what can I do as a legislator who is supposed
to be representing my neighborhood when everyone
complains about them? Some of the arguments I
agree with, some I don't, but I still have to

2 represent them.

So you're a bright panel. I thought maybe you could help me with my problem.

YANKI TSHERING: I just wanted to say it sounds almost a little bit like a trick question, but at the same time I sympathize with you. I understand where you're coming from, because as I mentioned before, we work with street vendors, we work with restaurants. So, different businesses have a different way of looking at this issue.

I think a good starting point is having that review board that several people mentioned earlier. I think the whole business of street vending has to be looked at carefully. The fines have to be rethought. This issue of where and when we can have vendors, who has the vendor permit. Again, the fine issue is very important. All of that has to be reviewed.

You know, maybe in your neighborhood there shouldn't be 100 vendors, street vendors, food vendors. Maybe that has to be--

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN:

2 [interposing] My heart just stopped. I'm sorry.

YANKI TSHERING: Maybe that has to be, you know, sort of decided on a neighborhood by neighborhood basis, but in a fair and just way.

would agree with actually. What you said is not different than what I've said to a lot of people in the neighborhood is that we need to really review the whole process, how these permits are being done, who's holding the licenses, where are they allowed to be put, you know, where can they be put, because certainly some areas are much more conducive to these street vendors than others. Where there are no other sources of food and places to go, you know, universities and hospitals and business districts where there's plenty of competition and everyone can make a living.

Mr. Chairman, just let me ask you this question if I'm allowed, or is this completely out of line? Is that something we're going to be--can we consider some type of discussion like was just suggested, that we review the whole thing. I mean I know that's part of what we're doing here today. But to come up with

a way that will give me something to bring home to say we're looking at where people can vend, what kind of problems may be caused by the way they're vending, in order for me to go home and say I've done something to try to ease the burden somewhat but at the same time trying to help the vendors who are being hit with these extraordinary fines.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Council

Member, first of all thank you for your comments

and for your advocacy on this issue. Obviously

this is an issue that concerns many of my

constituents too, and I think we're even going to

be hearing from them in a moment.

So the short answer is absolutely. This committee remains open to trying to find ways to overhaul rules, to be thoughtful about the rules. The bills that are on the agenda today are an effort to propose bills that create elements of fairness on all fronts. Certainly the comments that we've heard from folks today, even if they have only commented on one bill or another, it does not seem like there is extraordinary distaste for any of the bills that are on today's agenda, at least as a general matter.

2	So I am certainly open is the short
3	answer. We know about the issues that are present
4	in Eastern Queens and we want to be as helpful to
5	you as we possibly can.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,
7	sir.
8	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.
9	With that, we have no other questions for this
10	panel. As promised, I'd like to call up Michele
11	Birnbaum, Lo Van Der Valk, Elaine Walsh and
12	Richard Juliano: Mr. Van Der Valk representing
13	Carnegie Hill Neighbors; Elaine Walsh representing
14	East 86th Street Association; and Mr. Juliano
15	representing the Lincoln Square BID.
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Ms.
18	Birnbaum, would you like to start us off?
19	MICHELE BIRNBAUM: Sure. Chairman
20	Garodnick, Council Members thank you very much for
21	hearing my testimony today.
22	My name is Michele Birnbaum, and
23	while I am a member many community groups that
24	work to affect the quality of life of our city's
25	residents and have worked on this issue for many,

2 many years, I'm testifying on behalf of myself
3 today.

I want to thank the Council Members for recognizing that the business of street vending significantly impacts both residential and commercial neighborhoods in all of the boroughs and therefore, requires the serious attention of our elected officials.

While there are many laws and regulations that govern all kinds of vending, the lack of the manpower to effect adequate enforcement is a serious restraint when trying to address compliance issues. However, it remains important that street vending continue to be monitored, that existing laws continue to be improved, and that new laws are instituted that address new concerns.

I want to say in advance of the rest of my testimony that I respect the vendor community as being extremely hard working. I can verify by my own personal observations the length of hours that some put in, in the course of a day. Many of the vending vehicles are out all hours of the day and night and that requires a lot of

2.

manpower and a lot of diligence.	However, there
is a tremendous impact of this co	ommunity on the
rest of the community.	

I strongly support Intro 16-A, because vending is big business, and the city should be able to identify repeat offenders and be cognizant of their financial impact on the city.

I support Intro No 684, 727 and 789, because it would be wrong for a vendor to encroach on the facility of another business or residence, thus negatively impacting that facility's ability to function to the maximum benefit of the owners or workers. Blocking a main entrance or service entrance of a residential building or store or crowding a taxi relief stand seriously impacts the ability of that entity to function.

We had a fruit vendor in my neighborhood that blocked the service entrance of a major apartment building for years, thus impeding all deliveries and garbage pickup. I'm happy to say that our Council Member and the 19th Precinct has given us relief on that matter.

Being on the sidewalk abutting a no

standing zone adjacent to a hospital has
additional hazards. I think it's obvious because
a no standing provides pick-up and drop off, an
obviously needed service for all patients and
especially those who have limited mobility and
need to be dropped as near to the entrance as
possible that this is an important intro.

With regard to Intro 817, certainly it makes good sense to have a permit number on an issued notice of violation, as is customary on other types of violations. This makes tracking and enforcement more likely and efficient.

However, I don't support Intro 435 because the fee structure for vending infractions has been, and remains fairly non-punitive. The change of including for the same offense in my opinion waters it down even further. Making this change, but not changing the two year cycle of fine escalation makes this intro a little too benign. If the intent of this change is to deter wrong behavior, I believe it will fail. The change will make sure that the financial hardship is so minimal that no street vendor ever needs really to be concerned of his consequence for his

2 noncompliance.

As you heard earlier, we understand that this is not a very large earning community for individuals, however, with some vendors paying as much as \$1,200 a month rent for their carts, we really can't claim that the majority of vendors are really only earning \$14,000 a year. I submit that there are much larger groups that are in charge of the vendors that are actually working the carts and out on the street and in fact this is a very big business.

Most of the infractions in the city with parking cars near hydrants or whatever, the fees don't go up and they don't go down. This is the case, a fine for the same infraction usually gets reduced in year three, goes up again until year five and then gets reduced again in year six and so on.

A vendor, because this is now for the same infraction, could conceivably have many of these cycles going on for different infractions at the same time. And then the fact that he is a multi-law violator would really have no repercussions.

I would say that this protects bad behavior, as the cost to the vendor is really minimal as to be considered the cost of doing business.

With regard to Intro 434, which lowers the top fine rate of \$1000 to \$250, we have to make sure that we don't demean the seriousness of controlling street vending. It is a very serious issue. It affects the public very dramatically, both with pedestrian safety, visual blight and consumer protection.

The system of levying fines was put in place to be punitive. The threat of punishment controls behavior. It's basic. A person may be a violator, but that doesn't mean he'll get caught every time. Intermittent punishment gives license for the offender to take chances because sometimes he'll be caught and sometimes he won't. We don't have an effective or large enough enforcement force in working actively to ensure that violations are always caught and fined.

Just because we get a number of how many infractions were actually fined in the course of a year or how many people were fined or how

many tickets were issued, it really doesn't speak to the number of infractions. That's sort of the denominator that we never get. So it may sound terrific to say that there were 10,000 tickets given out, but if the number of infractions were 30,000, then that's not an impressive number.

This whole basic of intermittent punishment gives license for the offender to take chances, because sometimes he'll get caught and sometimes he won't. The whole system of gambling is based on this principle. Sometimes you win and sometimes you lose and you never know when, so you just keep playing.

With fines this low, noncompliant behavior is often a worthwhile gamble for the street vendor because it's just the cost of doing business. In my opinion, it will encourage or at least not be punitive enough to address noncompliant behavior.

In summary, I support all of the intros with the exception of 434 and 435. I thank this committee again for dealing with this issue.

DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Good afternoon, Chair. I thank you and the Council for

б

having the hearing today. My name is Elaine Walsh and I'm the President of the East 86th Street

Association, a nonprofit civic association focused on the improvement of the quality of life and of living in Yorkville. Our membership includes businesses, property owners, and residents.

The East 86th Street Association supports all of the intros except 434 and 435. We believe that the intros that are before us today are a good first step but just the tip of the iceberg, towards more pedestrian-friendly and ADA compliant sidewalks.

the number one complaint we receive at our organization. Merchants and residents object to the idea that one day without any warning a vendor can show in front of their store or home and set up shop. No other business can take this action. A sidewalk cafe requires a licensing and permitting and Community Board process so why not street vendors? News stands require a vetting process and so do all unregulated uses.

Our residents, property owners and merchants are also stuck with cleaning up after

the vendors when they leave and all during the day. It is the property owners who sweep and power wash the sidewalks to maintain a clean appearance. It is the property owner who will receive tickets if the street is not clean.

Street vendors in our area stuff
the corner bins with their trash and leave boxes
next to the cans. This happens even though
vendors are required to remove their own trash.
They also operate under sidewalk sheds which is a
violation and more importantly a public safety
problem.

Our residents and merchants object to the over-sized and multiple tables used by vendors, the oversized food carts, the selling of food off the sidewalk, the carts with metal spikes holding coolers taking up even more sidewalk space.

In addition, our sidewalks are narrow and they do put their tables and carts within the 20 foot limit for entries to buildings. There are even vendors who put up A-frame signs which are also not allowed under the sign age laws but for retailers they may put them in the

2 building line.

We also have food carts that take food orders and have fliers that go out from the food vendors advertising their location and how you can order your food for pickup.

Vendors here in our area park near hydrants, next to hydrants, they block sidewalks and crossways as well as busy intersections. They run propane tanks without regard to pedestrian safety or any safety.

Enforcement in all areas is necessary and funds need to be allocated if these bills are to have any impact.

In addition, the smelling of the foods from the carts can be smelled in the stores and people's homes. This is both unfair to the residents and the merchants. Restaurants are required to control their emissions and so should the food carts.

Regarding the fine structure, the vendors are violating a range of laws and are putting our residents at risk with the modes of their operation, from selling food that is displayed on the street, smoking, not using hair

guards or gloves and taking space away from pedestrians. It is extremely difficult for our residents, particularly those who are disabled or elderly who may be using a cane, to walk down our streets.

I think you know the violations ensure that the businesses can continue to do what they want to do, these vendors. Vendors are knowledgeable of the laws that govern their businesses and function with the idea that enforcement seldom occurs and when it does they will not pay the fines given and if they do it is just part of the cost of doing business.

We heard earlier about the issues in Manhattan there were 20,000 tickets that were given I think last year. If you look at that and seeing that the previous panel gave us a board, in two areas in downtown Manhattan. But if you have 20,000 tickets a year, it comes out to 50 tickets a day. That is not an exorbitant number of tickets, given all the violations.

We all should remember that the vendors are not all independent operators but work for holders of the license or work for a cartel.

It is o	ur concern that the Department of Finance
needs to	be involved to be looking at the tracking
of the I	licenses and some coordination with sales
tax. I	don't think there are any records kept on
the sale	es tax issue at all.

Brick and mortar businesses as well as other businesses know that there are laws that govern their operations. If they do not abide by the law they are fined. Vendors should not be given a pass.

We thank the Council for the first good start and look forward to working with them as they proceed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Some of my testimony is not handwritten. As I sat and listened, I learned a few things.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:} \mbox{ We got it.}$ That happens.

RICHARD JULIANO: Good afternoon.

My name is Richard Juliano with the Lincoln Square

Business Improvement District. Thank you, Chair

Garodnick and members of the Consumer Affairs

Committee for the opportunity to testify before

2 you today.

The Lincoln Square Business

Improvement District supports Intro 684,

introduced by Council Member Gale Brewer, and

supported by other members of the Council to amend

Section 1 subdivision b and Section 2 subdivision

e of 17-35 of subchapter of Chapter 3 of Title 17

of the Administrative Code of the City of New York

to prohibit food vending within taxi stands.

This amendment corrects an omission in Title 17, bringing it in line with Title 20.

Title 20 Chapter 2 Subchapter 27 of the

Administrative Code of the City of New York

Subsection 20-465e prohibits general vending in

DOT designated taxi stands.

The Lincoln Square Business

Improvement District recognizes that food vending provides a legitimate service, offering food at an affordable price to the general public. However, there are locations where food vending carts should be prohibited as they impede the safe flow of pedestrian traffic and cause obstructed sight lines, placing members of the general public in danger.

environment.

Currently, food vending is prohibited on sidewalks in many locations, including sidewalks along bus stops. We believe that the same restrictions that apply to bus stops should apply to taxi stands. Taxi stands are generally located in areas of high pedestrian volume, including commercial and business districts, cultural institutions and other highly trafficked areas, to assist the public in hailing a taxi after a performance, shopping or dining. Prohibiting food vending in heavily utilized taxi stands will make for a safer pedestrian

Food carts in taxi stands obscure sight lines, making it difficult for pedestrians to hail a taxi and to see whether a taxi is waiting, pulling into, or away from a curb lane. This typically leads to potentially dangerous pedestrian and vehicle encounters. Because the sight line is obstructed, a pedestrian could easily step off the curb into the path of a moving cab.

In addition, where several carts line a taxi stand, people waiting for a cab have

2 to maneuver around carts, oftentimes with multiple
3 packages, just to get close to the curb.

Within the boundaries of the
Lincoln Square Business Improvement District, we
have several taxi stands. One is located in front
of the shops at Columbus Circle to accommodate
thousands of shoppers, diners and patrons of jazz
at Lincoln Center who attend nightly performances.
Over a year ago, food vendors began to line the
sidewalk along the existing taxi stand, making it
difficult to hail a taxi. We believe this problem
is not unique to Columbus Circle but is replicated
daily in other areas other city.

As I stated earlier, this amendment will bring Title 17 in line with Title 20, and that's why we're pleased to support Intro 684. It will provide a safer environment for thousands of people who hail taxis from DOT designated taxi stands throughout the city. Thank you.

LO VAN DER VALK: My name is Lo Van Der Valk. I'm representing Carnegie Hill
Neighbors. It's a small community in the Upper
East Side. We have various vendor issues. We're very glad that this committee has convened this

meeting and you've taken the time to let everybody

speak without time limits. I think it's been a

qreat educational experience for all of us.

People talk about the review board panel that was in existence more than ten years ago. This is maybe a small start in reviving at least and discussing the issues.

On the matters at hand of substance, we support 16-A, the quarterly review of the ECB. We think that's a good start, again with the statistics, it's a way to rationally improve the future.

We agree with 684, that taxi stands should be allowed to operate and your provisions there are very useful, as well as 727, respecting the entrances of buildings and stores. As well as 789, we heard the testimony of Mt. Sinai Hospital and also Columbia Presbyterian Hospitals. Mt. Sinai is in our neighborhood to the north. We appreciate their problems and we think that this begins to address the issues they face.

Obviously, there will be remaining issue. So we support 789. And 817, a need for permit numbers on violations is, of course, very important.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 In 434 and 435, we see you

of doing business.

struggling with it. We've taken no position on it, but we think it's a very serious matter.

We've heard testimony that you have to create some kind of situation where enforcement is important and where the fines just don't become another cost

We very much sympathize with and we're glad that the view was expressed by Council Member Weprin on the impact on neighborhood. experience that as well. We think that that's an important aspect to take into account. It doesn't mean that we're against vendors. We think there are places where vendors have their proper role but there are certain places where there is crowding and too many vendors and it creates a change in a residential neighborhood. That goes especially for the vendor trucks. We think there's a place in the city for trucks, especially in commercial districts, but not necessarily in residential districts, even after 7 in the evening because it does change the context of the neighborhood.

We think that the vendors do

provide, and Weprin mentioned that, do provide competition to existing stores and that's a concern. We've seen some stores just disappear without even voicing why they were having such difficultly because they were themselves immigrants and didn't feel that they had a big voice.

I want to also say that there is this view, the better use of public spaces that vendors could contribute to that. But they can also detract from public spaces. We need our sidewalks, which are narrow, for example on Lexington as you approach the subway stations. We need them for walking and getting by. When vendors obstruct, that makes urban living more difficult. I know that vendors are an important source of income for people starting their careers in this country, but we should not look to vendors as the solution to these larger social problems.

I know that it may sound like a very ultra conservative position and it's not meant to be. But we should take care of the city as it is and enhance it as a living experience.

That's the job of crafting better vendor

2	legislation, which means discussing, lots of
3	discussions with the interest groups to find a
4	more optimal solution that satisfies all parties.
5	Thank you so much.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you
7	to all of you for your very thoughtful testimony.
8	We have questions from Council Member Gentile.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chair. Ms. Walsh, I believe that's you're
11	name, right?
12	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Yes.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: I just
14	want to emphasize or ask you, you had testified
15	that if a violation or summons is given for a
16	dirty sidewalk or grease in the street, that
17	summons goes to the business owner of the brick
18	and mortar business, is that correct?
19	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Yes, it does.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So it's
21	the owner of the brick and mortar business that's
22	responsible for the sidewalk and the place in
23	front of his or her business.
24	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Correct.
25	Should a fruit vendor drop a banana peel and

2	somebody slips, it is the owner of the brick and
3	mortar store that's legally responsible. Because
4	vendors do not carry nor can they get insurance.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: So it's
6	not a defense to say it really was the result of
7	the food vendor being out on the curb?
8	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Yes, it is
9	the result of the
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE:
11	[interposing] It is the result
12	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: The
13	Sanitation Department does not listen to that.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER GENTILE: Right. So
15	in effect, I think Mr. Chair, underscoring here
16	some inequities that affect the business, the men
17	and women who run the brick and mortar businesses
18	here, particularly the burden is placed on them, a
19	high burden placed on them with the summonses that
20	they receive day in and day out.
21	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Yes. Let me
22	just say, also when grease or different waters are
23	spilled onto the street, that is also the
24	responsibility of the brick and mortar owner to
25	clean up. Those types of spills are more

2	diffi	cult	to	clean	also	and	can	then	go	into	our
3	Sewer	avat	- em	that	Callge	z otł	ner (contar	nina	anta	

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Councilman. Now we have a question from Council
Member Levin.

Mr. Chair. I just had one question for Ms.

Birnbaum, just in looking through your testimony.

I apologize for having to run out before. You said in the first paragraph of the second page with regards to 435, it says it appears as though the intent is to make sure that the financial hardship be so minimal that no street vendor ever need be concerned that we have any consequences for his noncompliance. If I park too close to a fire hydrant I pay a very hefty fine each and every time. The fee doesn't go up and it doesn't go down.

The fine for parking next to a hydrant is \$115 every single time. I mean that's considerably less than the maximum fine of \$1,000 fine for a vendor. So I mean part of what we're trying to do is to make the fine commensurate with the violation. If the violation is parking in

2.

front of a fire hydrant and the fine is seen
commensurate of \$115 then that would probably be
more in line with a violation that a vendor might
receive. I mean that's kind of what we're trying
to do here is make sure that we're not having
fines escalating to \$1,000. We're trying to get
it to be more in line. I understand where you
went on with the way, because of the years and the
cycles it reverts. But I just

MICHELE BIRNBAUM: [interposing] Do you want me to clarify?

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: --you could speak to that.

MICHELE BIRNBAUM: Well, also, I
think you have to think of this. You know, the
vendor community can't really have it both ways.
They are a professional community. They are a
business community. The point had been made here
today how often they are—it's a starting business
towards a brick and mortar business. I submit
that now it has become where brick and mortar
businesses are now expanding those businesses by
buying food trucks and becoming vendors.

So, in fact, a lot of this is very

big business. You know, we don't have the time to go into all of that. But with relation to the fine, what came out of a lot of the testimony was that it seemed as though the vendor community was happy to live with certain fines if they were appropriate to the infraction. They defined certain minor infractions versus major infractions, et cetera, et cetera, and I'm sure that that's probably a good idea to look at that and maybe change the fine structure as it's based on infractions rather than numbers of times.

But to go back to the fire hydrant analogy, I think the distance you're supposed to be is 12 or 14 feet, whatever. So do I pay more in my fine if I'm only 6 feet from the hydrant or if I'm supposed to be 14 but I'm really 13.5 and I get the same fine. Is that fair? You know, I think you can really just go down the line here and really nitpick. But the bottom line with fines is that they are supposed to be deterrents.

I submit to you that the majority of the public, certainly me, I'm very fearful of having my meter expire, of parking too close to a hydrant, of doing all of those things where just

2	going through the course of a day you can end up
3	owing lots of fines if you're not paying attention
4	to infractions.

Then of course, what is minor? I think you have to define that. If somebody breaks a window, that's not impacting the whole world, but it's an infraction. If there's a law against doing something to somebody's property, I think it should be enforced.

I agree that there's probably room to define what is a minor and what is a major infraction. But I think this is a different way of looking at the analogy which others had approached earlier.

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Because it mentioned you asked why would we reduce the fine to \$250?

 $\mbox{ DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Well that was } \\ \mbox{answered for me today.}$

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Okay. Well thank you very much for your testimony. Thank you for coming down.

DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Thank you very much.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: We
3	appreciate it.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I will add
5	my thanks to all of you for your advocacy today
6	and certainly throughout the course of the year
7	when we talk about these and other issues. I
8	certainly appreciate it. We thank you for taking
9	the time to be here today.
10	DR. ELAINE M. WALSH: Thank you.
11	MICHELE BIRNBAUM: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: The next
13	panel is Jessamyn Waldman Rodriguez. Are you
14	here? Okay. David Mkrtchyan, did I get you?
15	Close enough. Tressie Smiley, and Suzanne
16	Wasserman.
17	The panel after that will bemake
18	sure everybody's here. Ron Dwenger, are you here?
19	Okay, good, you're going to be up next. Bob
20	Zuckerman, I see Bob. Renee Giordano, are you
21	here? Great. Layla, oh is Layla gone? Are you
22	testifying in her place? You don't need to?
23	Okay. Ron, so you're going to come up in the

next? Okay. So Layla is gone. How about Mr.

Ignacio Sanchez, are you here? Arturo, are you

2	here? No? Peter Davis we heard from already.
3	Michael Lambert, are you here? You are? Okay
4	great.

Hi.

6 JESSAMYN WALDMAN RODRIGUEZ: Hi.

7 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Go right

ahead.

JESSAMYN WALDMAN RODRIGUEZ: Red is on. Hi. I'm Jessamyn Rodriguez. I'm the CEO of Hot Bread Kitchen. I'm going to sort of speak to one particular issue, which is really relating to micro food vendors.

Hot Bread Kitchen is an innovative social enterprise that opens pathways to career advancement and business ownership for foreign-born women and minority entrepreneurs really just in the culinary industry. We do this through two programs: Project Launch, which is a workforce development problem and HBK Incubates, which is a kitchen supported through the City Council that's meant to allow for entrepreneurs a place to formalize their businesses.

So HBK Incubates, as I mentioned, was developed only a year and a half ago with

significant support from the Speaker, Melissa

Mark-Viverito and several Council Members who are

present today came to the opening of it. We

developed to help micro food entrepreneurs, such

as street vendors, formalize and grow their

business to increase earning potential and create

jobs in East Harlem and in Upper Manhattan.

I've always said in pitching it that what we're trying to do is provide a place for the woman who sells tamales that she's making in her home a place to bring that business in, formalize the business, sell them legally so that she can start paying taxes, workers compensation and generate more revenue for her family.

So that's the case that I've been showing and pitching for the past year and a half. The truth of the matter is, is that we're having a really hard time adequately serving the people for whom we have developed this program.

One significant barrier for many low-income micro entrepreneurs, like street vendors, is the cost of incorporation, licensing and insurance which are all necessary to formalize a business and expand in the marketplace. Just to

get to the point where you can sell legally might cost between \$2000 and \$5000, which is prohibitive for many entrepreneurs.

So we went out and we fundraised a significant amount of money from some private businesses in the city to help subsidize that cost, and we launched the LIFE program, which stands for Low Income Food Entrepreneurs, which offsets those startup costs. So that people, you know if you're low income and you have a food business and you have proven sales, you can come into the kitchen and produce legally to sell your product.

But something very interesting has come up in our recruitment for the LIFE program.

A more significant barrier to getting people into the kitchen to the point where they formalize their business is the fees and the restrictions that exist for street vendors. Recently, we had a meeting of female street vendors from East 116th Street. The meeting was convoked with the support of the Street Vendors Project. We provided a tour of the kitchen and asked many questions about the needs of those women who are selling successful on

2 | 116th Street.

When we designed HBK Incubates and the LIFE Program, these were exactly the women that we had hoped to serve through the program. But we were humbled to hear that they were not immediately concerned about formalizing their business or bringing it into a commercial kitchen, but they were really more concerned about more pressing issues. Many of them faced multiple \$1000 fines and felt harassed by the local authorities.

This issue was so present in their minds that it was difficult for them to see benefit of coming into an incubator kitchen while these punitive fines were outstanding. Food vendors are the most vulnerable to receiving these fines and many have been put out of business because of it.

As we've heard over and over again in today's testimony, street food vendors and vendors in general are hardworking, tax-paying entrepreneurs working to support their families and communities. They are mainly foreign born individuals with limited English capacity, which

2	are all factors that restrict them from accessing
3	other forms of employment. For some, \$1,000 fine
4	represents more than a months vending income,
5	forcing parents to choose between paying fines and
6	buying food or school supplies for their children.
7	There is a lot that my
8	organization, Hot Bread Kitchen, can do to support
9	economic growth and help these street vendors.
10	However, efforts in bringing these businesses into
11	a legal production space are undermined by these
12	exorbitant fines, an economic too large for low-
13	income food entrepreneurs to bear. These unfair
14	and arbitrary fines must be reduced in order for
15	these successful culinary entrepreneurs to take
16	the first steps towards economic sustainability.
17	For this reason, Hot Bread Kitchen supports Intros
18	434 and 435 to reduce the amount of fines and
19	provide a logical escalation of penalty.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.}$ And now, for the historical perspective.

DR. SUZANNE WASSERMAN: Good
afternoon and thank you to Chairperson Dan
Garodnick and the other City Council members. My
name is Suzanne Wasserman. I'm a historian and

I'm the Director of the Gotham Center for New York
City History at the CUNY Graduate Center.

New Yorkers have spent well over a century struggling with the complex yet ever present problem of peddling and vending. In fact, New York City's history of contention with vendors and their wares dates all the way back to its colonial origins. Laws prohibited peddlers from selling their wares on city streets as early as the 1680's.

But peddling and vending provides a way to work that requires little capital and has traditionally been an alternative to charity or welfare. Debates concerning peddling and open air markets have consistently been flashpoints of contention amongst vendors, merchants, shoppers and city administrations. Some view the issue angrily, others nostalgically and still others, like me, argue that peddling contributes to the vibrancy of city life. Every administration in the last 150 years has tried to deal with what has historically been called "the pushcart problem."

As an historian, 1'd like to address one issue that has persisted since at

least the 1920s and that is perhaps erroneous belief by merchants that pushcart vendors undercut their business. The historical evidence at least shows that vendors actually attract business.

East Side lobbied vigorously to remove pushcarts from in front of their stores. Yet, a 1925 report by the US Department of Agriculture found that "in general the presence of a pushcart market increases the trade of the stores adjacent to them. Business thrives on the side where they congregate, while business on the opposite side often remains dull." Mayor LaGuardia abolished open air markets in 1938, but by 1941, gross sales on Orchard Street had dropped off by 60 percent.

In an interview conducted in the late 1980s, Max, a former merchant on Orchard Street stated: I moved to Essex Street Market when it opened because they took the pushcarts away. People didn't come around like they used to. I figured once they take away the pushcarts they wouldn't bring the customers as much as they did before. Once the pushcarts went away the business died out.

2.

What the city and the business
community have failed to realize time and time
again is that street life, in its variety of
forms, enlivens the city and makes New York, New
York. As American cities become more and more
homogenized, it is of the utmost importance for
New Yorkers to fight to retain what in fact makes
New York unique

Three-star chef David Ruggerio put it this way, "Let's just recall that umbrella'd hot-dog carts are part of what makes living in this city worthwhile. Banish hotdogs from the streets and: what kind of city are we left with? So give the street vendors a break, Mr. Mayor, we all know you enjoy them, too." Thank you.

Honorable Members of City Council, friend and colleagues, my name is Davit Mkrtchyan.

I am a street vendor artist photographer. I am working in the Times Square area after midnight.

There are thousands of vendors and artists in that time in Manhattan.

I am a father of three children between 8 and 19 years old. My income supports my entire family.

I am here today to voice my and my colleagues' opinion about Intro 727, a bill that will put many vendors out of work if it passes.

Intro 727 will prohibit vending in front of any doors. Until now, the regulation says that street vendors must vend more than 20 feet from any entrance.

We all know in Times Square, there are many, many doors and many are used very infrequently. Almost none of the doors are in use by the time most street vendors come out to vend after midnight on many streets, I mean in Midtown Manhattan.

If Intro 727 passes, I estimate that 30 percent of street vendors in my area, myself included, will lose their jobs. This means many families will have their sole income-earner out of work.

Council Member Garodnick, is it possible that Intro 727 came about because of one or two vendors in your district? Members at the Street Vendor Project are happy to work with these few vendors to help them find a spot that the community can agree upon. Please do not pass

2.

Intro 727 as it is written. Too many street
vendors will be negatively impacted. Too many
hardworking people, immigrants, veterans, single
parents will be out of work.

Why New York City too many street vendors? Because people, they are losing the jobs and many of them they're becoming street vendors.

Don't you think instead of apply to welfare, a better way to become a street vendor and try to earn some money for the families.

If government sees that there are too many cars in the street, in a highway, the traffic, the government has to build new streets and new avenues, new highways.

The lady before told the street vendors don't pay taxes. For example, I pay taxes. I pay my taxes. And this year I get \$12,000 back because I have children.

So I hope you will think about how difficult it is for street vendors to make a living in these economic times before you move forward with Intro 727. We hope you stand with street vendors and do the right thing. Thank you for your support.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you to the panel for your testimony. Let me just 3 raise two points in response. The first relates 4 5 to Intro 727. We appreciate your testimony and we do have specific comments from the Street Vendor 6 Project on the subject of potential changes to 727. We are trying in that bill to try to address 9 the fact that there is a lack of uniformity in the rules between some entrances which allow for 10 11 vending within or no more than 10 feet from the 12 entrances, or no fewer than 10 feet from the 13 entrances and others which require 20 feet gap 14 between a vendor and a building entrance. Also 15 there is a lack of clarity between entrances and 16 exits.

So this goes to the point of trying to create more uniformity in the rules so that they are easier to understand and also, of course, to protect the entrances and exits to buildings where you do have people coming and going. We understand your point about Times Square. We're going to take a look at that, and we appreciate you raising it.

As to the point on New York City

2.

nistory from Dr. Wasserman, I certainly agree wit
you that street vendors do contribute to our
street life in New York City. I certainly hope
that any proposals being made in this hearing are
done with an effort to both recognize that and
also to make sure that that rules make sense and
that they are fair and that they are enforceable.
So we appreciate what you said.

I thank the whole panel for your testimony. We're now going to call the next panel, which is Bob Zuckerman, Renee Giordano, Ron Dwenger, and Michael Lambert.

The panel after that will be potentially, if you're here, Cassandra Flechsig.

Are you here, Cassandra? She'll be back? Okay.

Dan Rossi is here. I see him. Armando Crescenzi, here? Ralph Di Toro? Great. You'll be next.

Ron, go ahead.

RON DWENGER: Mr. Chairman and Council, the members of Community Board 5 extend their thanks extend their thanks and appreciation for the opportunity to address you this morning on the issues—this afternoon, sorry—on the issues of street vendors in New York City. I'm Ron

Dwenger. I'm the chair of the Consents and
Variances committee of Community Board Five.

While lawful street vending is an important part of the economic history of the City and the members of CB5 recognize street vendors as valuable contributors to the rich history and the very charm and character of the city. On an ever increasing level, the complaints of residents and businesses beg to be addressed. We believe that a reformation of the City's Street Vending Laws and a clear set of rules and regulations accompanying stronger enforcement would be of benefit of all parties: the residents, businesses, the vendors themselves as well as the millions of tourists that visit the city every year.

Our concerns take the form of the following. Some of the rules and regulations of the various departments are confusing. They are often contrary. The rules and regulations are not standardized. Thus reformation into a single standardized code is necessary so that all departments, police, DOT, DCA, Health, ECB are all functioning with the same set of rules and regulations.

With respect to the food vendors,

frequently there is a trail of offensive odors and

smoke that remain in the area all day, coupled

with noise and light pollution, the spillage of

cooking oils and charcoals, and an obnoxious build

up of trash. These elements result in a negative

impact on the neighboring residents and businesses

in the area long after the vendors have left.

We worry about food safety and would seek that all vendor employees be required to take food protection course of the mobile food vendors.

We are interested in proper signage in designated areas stating "no vending" as well as new rules and regulations that prevent vending in specific areas such as residential areas, in front of late night bars and nightclubs, at the entrance of subways, near construction and repair sites, and under scaffolding.

We are concerned about the overall number of vendors, especially in business areas like Times Square, Herald Square, Sixth Avenue between 42nd Street and the park, and many other areas in Community Board 5.

We recognize that many of the rules and regulations have been confusing in the past, moving forward the fines for multiple repeated violations simple need to be enforced, in order to impress upon vendors that compliance is a necessary privilege of possessing a vending license.

I thank you again for the opportunity to address you this afternoon. Thank you.

RENEE GIORDANO: Hello. My name is
Renee Giordano. I would like to thank Chairman
Garodnick and the other members of the Consumer
Affairs Committee. I also want to commend you for
staying this late, thank you, and allowing us to
testify on these bills.

As Executive Director of the Sunset Park Business Improvement District for the past 15 years, I have been working to create a viable and successful economic base for our community. This has been a tremendous challenge with over 500 small mom and pop shops. Over the past few years there has been a large turnover and more vacancies than ever.

Т	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 229
2	On Feb 4, 2004, the City Council
3	made the following legislative
4	[Pause]
5	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We'll just
6	take a quick moment to solve our technical
7	difficulty.
8	[Pause]
9	RENEE GIORDANO: On February 4th,
10	2004, the City Council made the following
11	legislative declaration for Intro 109 as it
12	increased the penalties to the present level for
13	street vendors vending illegally. "The Council
14	finds that certain areas of New York City are
15	excessively crowded with food and general vendors.
16	Though some of these merchants are properly
17	licensed with the Departments of Health and
18	Consumer Affairs, many are not. Moreover, both
19	licensed and unlicensed vendors are operating in
20	violation of certain Health and Consumer Affairs
21	laws and regulations, primarily by vending in
22	illegal locations."
23	"The Council finds that as a result
24	of these violations, neighborhood citizens have a

difficult time navigating their own streets. They

are overwhelmed by the noise and congestion the vendors generate, and they wish for a more orderly community. In response to their concerns, the Council finds it necessary to increase the fines imposed on vendors operating illegally. Through such legislation, the Council intends to curtail the improper growth of vendors in certain neighborhoods while protecting those merchants who operate legally."

Since that time, nothing has changed. The adverse conditions that were being corrected still exist throughout the city.

Therefore, we ask that you not pass
Intros 434 and 435, which will bring us back to
before 2005 when the newly increased fines began
to take effect. A small fine didn't keep vendors
from breaking the law in 2004 and it will still be
a nominal fee for their doing business on the
street today. Small business owners are really
struggling too. They must put in long hours in
their shops every day, even holidays, and even
when there are no customers. They can't even
leave their businesses to come here to advocate
for themselves. That is why you may have noticed

there are few if any business owners here today.

We further want to point out that while these bills seek to lower fines for vending illegally, there are many fines and regulations which are heaped upon small businesses that nobody seems to speak about.

Last year, the Department of

Consumer Affairs made its rounds on 5th Avenue in

Sunset Park. No business got a warning or was

given the chance to correct the error first.

Minor infractions, such as printing the wrong date

on a receipt, carry an immediate fine of \$250.

One business had five Xacto knives hanging in a

spot that the agent felt was not in compliance

with the regulations. The business received a

\$250 fine per knife, for a total of \$1,250. Why

is this penalty so high and multiplied so quickly?

That store at this time actually went out of

business.

We would, however, like to thank
you for the other five bills before you today. We
feel that Intro 16 which will require ECB to
provide quarterly reports is a very good bill. It
will give important data concerning vending in New

York City and the adjudication process, which can be used to formulate new regulations and tweak old ones.

We also want to thank you for
Intros 727, 684, and 789 which would redefine
placement regulations. For our avenue it will be
extremely helpful to have the restrictions
increased to 20 feet and the doorways better
defined to include service doors and exits. We
have sidewalks that often are only 12 to 13 feet
wide. And once several food carts and vendors set
up, there is little room for baby carriages and
shopping carts.

By placing the permit number of the cart on the summons, Intro 817 will help to show how often the summons is going to a vendor with an un-permitted cart.

We recognize that creating adequate and reasonable regulations and policies that deal with street vending is very difficult. It is a matter that seems to affect everyone in the city, whether a business, a resident or a vendor. We thank you for taking the time to learn about this concern and to try to create a fair and equitable

2 system for the citizens of New York City.

I'd like to add a little something though that I hadn't originally put in my testimony. As I was riding here on the train, I met two people who happen to be street vendors but who I consider my friends. Actually, I apologize to them for the testimony that I just gave. For the past five years, the Sunset Park BID has been working closely with many of the street vendors in Sunset Park to create a district through Intro 36 in which both store based merchants and street vendors with coexist equally.

However, it has still not been implemented. It hasn't been passed. It's important that the City Council leadership recognize and embrace these plans and ideas, which will create a mixed economic base and bring fairness to our systems. Intro 36 will allow both vendors and store based businesses the chance to make a living. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much. Bob Zuckerman?

BOB ZUCKERMAN: Thank you, Chairman Garodnick and Council Member Levin for convening

this hearing and for your perseverance in hearing all this testimony and being very attentive after sitting here for several hours. I'm wondering why I wanted to join you, a few years ago, up there, but I really do appreciate it and I appreciate the fact that this has been a very fair and balanced hearing and that you've tried to hear from sort of both sides of the issue.

I'm the Executive Director of the Lower East Side Business Improvement District. We actually are not taking a position on any of these intros. We thought, our executive board and I, thought it was important for us to come here today for a couple of reasons.

Street vending is not, ironically, not a very big issue in the Lower East Side, compared to 80 and 100 years ago, when all you had was pushcarts. I mean we were the original district for the pushcarts. The reason for that is that especially during the daytime weekdays there's just not sufficient foot traffic to make it worthwhile for a lot of street carts and vendors and food trucks and what have you.

But they are starting to creep up,

so it is starting to become somewhat of an issue
for some of our merchants who have mentioned this
to me.

We just really wanted to be here to reiterate the call from Monica and the BID
Association. I also serve as an officer of the
New York City BID Association. To say that we
believe that there really needs to be a
comprehensive and holistic look at the entire
street vending issue, whether it be pushcarts,
whether it be food trucks that are now becoming
very, very popular that really were not an issue,
let's say even ten years ago. There were a
handful of food trucks and now they're all over
the place. It's great for New Yorkers because
people enjoy the food that they have there.

You know, it's been several administrations that really haven't taken a whole comprehensive look at this. We have a year and a half left of this administration, so I'm not sure that that will actually happen.

One of the things that I'd like to put on the table just for the future is maybe what the city needs, because it is such an important

part of the economy, is something like an Office of Vending, an Office of Street Vending that either could come out of the Mayor's Office or possibly be part of DCA, Consumer Affairs, that would help coordinate.

Because just sitting and listening to all this testimony this morning and early afternoon, it's very interesting, you could see clearly that the whole system is kind of broken. It's kind of like the Wild, Wild West out there. To have one office that really helps coordinate all of the different agencies. You have Health, you have the Environmental Control Board that's for violations, you have Sanitation, you have, I mean DCA. It goes on and on and on. Something to look forward to for the future, it's just a suggestion that we just wanted to put on the table.

You know, vendors are obviously an important part of the city but so are all of our property owners who pay property taxes—that have gone up significantly—and merchants as well. So that's really all I came here to say. Thanks for listening.

2	MICHAEL	LAMBERT:	Good	afternoon.
---	---------	----------	------	------------

Thank you, Chairman Garodnick for your leadership on this hearing. It has been very informative for me as well. I also want to thank all the other members of the Committee on Consumer Affairs as well.

My name is Michael Lambert. I'm

the Executive Director of the Jerome-Gun Hill

Business Improvement District, which is under the

oversight and leadership of the New York City

Department of Small Business Services and

Commissioner Rob Walsh. The BID is managed by the

Mosholu Preservation Corporation, a community

support program affiliated with Montefiore Medical

Center in the Norwood section of the Northwest

Bronx.

The Mosholu Preservation

Corporation also known as MPC, has provided an array of community-based programming, including economic development service to residents of

Norwood for more than 30 years. The Jerome-Gun

Hill BID runs geographically on Jerome Avenue from Marshal Parkway to East Gun Hill Road and along

East Gun Hill Road from Jerome Avenue south to

Webster Avenue. Anchored by Montefiore Medical

Center, the Jerome-Gun Hill BID is home to more

than 200 small businesses, many of which currently

face very difficult economic times.

For more than 16 years, the Jerome

Gun Hill Business Improvement District has existed

to support this small business community by

providing supplemental sanitation, marketing and

advertising, security, technical assistance,

advocacy, and other essential services that have

helped these businesses operate in a stable

environment.

One of the paramount issues that I have faced in my short career as the executive director of the Jerome-Gun Hill BID has been dealing with the issues created by street vendors. In particular, those issues created by those vendors who choose to operate illegally, creating a number of challenges to those small businesses within the BID's boundaries.

These challenges include, but are not limited to the creation of unsafe conditions such as unsafe congestion along area sidewalks, the creation of unsanitary conditions created by

food vendors that do not adhere to rules and regulations set forth by New York City's

Department of Health, and vendors who operate in proximity to local businesses in violation of the law and in some cases directly compete with local business owners who pay significant taxes and rents in the area.

While the BID realizes everyone's right to earn a living, we also feel that this should be done in an environment that is respectful of the community and the laws that govern the community. I have had numerous conversations with small business owners from the BID, and the presence of illegal vending is by far their biggest complaint. This issue has also been raised by property owners, who realize the deleterious effects that these vendors can have on the small business community within the BID's boundaries.

Removal of illegal vendors often consumes time and effort from the officers of our local precinct, the 52nd, under the leadership of Inspector Joseph V. Dowling, continues to deal with the problem on an ongoing basis. This

2 however, does take resources away from other 3 crimes begin committed throughout the district.

While the BID applauds the legislation being put forward by the City Council that will help to manage the way in which vendors operate, we would also like to see a fine and penalty structure that will hold illegal vendors accountable, in addition to regulations related to the numbers of vendors that may legally and safely operate within the district.

I thank you for your time this morning and look forward to supporting legislation that is fair to the City's Business Improvement District Community where vending issues are concerned. Thank you for your time and attention.

much to all of you on the panel. I should note that I actually did, Mr. Zuckerman, introduce a bill a number of years ago which would have consolidated all of the licensing and enforcement authority under one single agency. So if that's something that you all would like to see us pick back up, I certainly am open to it. We should talk about that further. So thank you.

Oh, there's a question from Council

Member Levin.

thing to add is that I think that's a great idea about creating a Mayor's Office to coordinate it. It seems as if it would be cost effective. If you just have, you know, not too many staff members to be able to coordinate this, it would provide a way in which I think that the public, the street vending community, the BID associations, could all have kind of a clearinghouse by which to air their grievances. I think that that would probably be a good thing. I do support the idea.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Council Member. Thanks to all of you for being
here today. The next panel is Ralph Di Toro,
Armando Crescenzi, Dan Rossi and Cassandra
Flechsig. I'm sorry if I'm doing damage to your
name.

The next panel up after them is potentially, if you are here, Baye Hanne? Good.

Kathleen Dunn, are you here? No. Jeff Orlick, are you here? Jeff Orlick? Great. Clementina

Garcia? You are here, great. Siobhan Wallace? I

2.

believe. Jack Tsai from Fresh Meadows? Thomas
DeGrast? Thomas also, I believe. Cindy
VandenBosch? Brian Hoffman? Mohammed Altaf
Hussain? Great. How about Alberto Loera? Okay,
Mr. Loera you're here.

Gentlemen, I'm sorry to keep you waiting. Please go right ahead.

ARMANDO CRESCENZI: Good afternoon, Council Members and Chairman. My name is Armando Crescenzi. I'm delighted that this hearing is taking place because it seems a long time in coming. I have to say one thing, though, I'm disappointed that the veterans community was not represented here, except in the person of Mr. Williams from the Urban Justice project.

Street vending is a veteran issue.

Veterans and disabled veterans have priority to many of the opportunities. It's always been that way historically since World War II, since the Civil War, if my colleague will correct me, I'll stand corrected. But I understand that veterans have always had a priority street vending in New York City and I'm disappointed that veterans have not been represented here. We have more standing

2	than anybody else or just as much as anybody else
3	and we have not been properly represented here at
4	this meeting today.
5	Having said that, I would like to

Having said that, I would like to stick to the agenda which I am aware of, and that has to do with Intro 16A, regarding the quarterly reports from Environmental Control Board, regarding vendor adjudication. I would like to say under the law the vendor respondent is entitled to due process, specifically, the right to a fair hearing and an opportunity to make a thorough defense.

CASSANDRA FLECHSIG: I apologize.

I ran out apparently right before the panel started. My name is Cassandra Flechsig. I'm speaking on behalf of Karp Resources and Karen Karp.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Welcome.

CASSANDRA FLECHSIG: Thanks.

ARMANDO CRESCENZI: Getting back to the issue regarding the Environmental Control Board and a quarterly report regarding vendor adjudication.

There is no fairness. We're

entitled to a fair hearing and an opportunity to be heard under our due process rights. There is no fairness when an ordinary street vendor is up against an experienced Administrative Law Judge with legal and judicial education.

The current legal circumstances relating to street vending in New York City afford individual vendors very little rights or protections before the law. Actually it's quite like a trap.

We are lead to believe we're going to get a fair hearing at the Environmental Control Board and when we get into the hearing room, we get nothing. It's almost as though the hearing office is a prosecuting attorney. That is outrageous. I mean I can subpoen all of my tapes and I can show you how I've been pushed around again and again by Environmental Control Board hearing officers to basically trap me into a situation where my defense is invalid.

I have brought several appeals and
I have won several appeals. So I'd just like to
say that what is going on at the Environmental
Control Board level does require some oversight

and a quarterly report is necessary. That
quarterly report should include information
regarding the rate of dismissals, the rate of
fines. That would only be a fair baseline and it
would be an indicator of the equity on the part of
the administrative law judge and the appeal board.

In addition, the quarterly reports should reveal which defenses are viable against certain violations. This will serve to hold the Administrative Law Judges accountable to a fair standard in determining dispositions of violations. I've had numerous violations. You know, it's the luck of the draw. One hearing officer will see it my way. Another hearing officer will produce law out of midair and I'm baffled by that. So naturally I appeal those and I win those.

Regarding Intro 435A, the fine schedule is out of control. The first fine is 50 bucks, then 100 bucks, then 250 and then 500, then 750 and then 1,000. I mean you're driving the guy out of business. It doesn't make any sense. It doesn't make any sense.

I have some other information, some

data regarding general vending. This year alone,
more than 600 general vendors, disabled American

vets failed to renew their license. I would

submit that it is because of the harassment

they're getting in midtown and the harassment that

they're getting in the more viable spots,

particularly around museums and particularly

around other tourist attractions.

So out of the 1,200 now, I believe there are 1,200 disabled vets licensed to general vend in New York City, according to my last count, more than 600 failed to renew. I speculate that it is because of the harassment and the fines and the fine schedule.

I'd also like to go on to say as it pertains to the intro, I would eliminate the multiple fine schedule. I would eliminate it completely. If a vendor is regularly violating the same type of violation, if he continually is putting his oil down a street trap or subway trap or if he has a Health Department complaint or there's a safety issue, then you know what, suspend his license. Maybe he needs some remedial training. Maybe he needs some support. Maybe he

2.

needs to go back to the Health Department and take
the course again. What is the point? Is this
about revenue for the city or is this about the
Health Department, is this about food safety? Is
this about food safety? Is it about general
vendors making a living?

All right, so I would say eliminate the vendor multiple food offense schedule and suspension and retraining would probably be a more appropriate way to welcome the immigrant community and new people into street vending.

Regarding, Intro 684, the taxi stand prohibition, I understand, you know you don't have to be a logical genius to understand you don't want to block, you don't want to interrupt taxi service. However, some taxi stands are a block long or two blocks long. How is that fair to a street vendor? You're eliminating, you're putting a man out of work for a guy who has the ability to drive to LaGuardia Airport, Kennedy Airport, Westchester County. Why? So you can have a full block in front of the Met that's a taxi stand?

You're putting a person out of

work. You're putting a disabled veteran out of work in favor of who? A taxi driver? I respect taxi drivers but they're in violation of more infractions than probably any other industry in the city. So why should we cater to them? Why should a disabled veteran vendor sacrifice a viable location, which are in short demand, for a two block long taxi stand? It's outrageous. There should be a designation in a taxi stand. Any taxi stand more than three or four taxis long, you know, should include a spot for a disabled veteran vendor.

I would like to say, while I'm on the point, likewise, the bus stop prohibition is also being utilized as a pretext to eliminate viable vending locations. The same thing, if a bus stop is more than two buses long, a vendor should be allowed to work there. He's not in anybody's way. If you take a look at Fifth Avenue, what has the Grand Central Partnership done? What has the Fifth Avenue BID done? They've put down massive planters. If those massive planters are allowed in a bus stop, how come a street vendor can't work there? How are

you putting a man out of work in favor of a massive street planter? It's outrageous. It makes me sick when I see it. Grand Central Partnership is the biggest offender. And 86th Street is the next biggest offender.

atilized as a pretext to eliminate viable vending locations. I want to point out specifically that from 34th Street to--from 83rd Street to 84th Street, which was designated a bus layover area, was dubiously converted to a bus stop. All right, now when did this happen, in the middle of the night? You know, they just removed the signs that said bus layover area and changed them into bus stop. They put a disabled veteran out of business.

I just want to go on to say that also happened on 34th Street where they extended or added a new bus stop. Now there's another disabled veteran looking for a spot on 34th Street because he had been working there for years. I don't know if he's here today. But he's out of business now. He's looking for another viable spot because the city has decided to put in

2 another bus stop.

So what's going to happen? Because of this rule, because of the taxi stand prohibition and the bus stop prohibition, can we expect the city to extend bus stops and put planters and extend taxi stands all over the city to continue to drive us out? The city is not playing fair with us. The city is not playing fair. They are using every trick in the book.

If you go downtown, you'll see these benches and bicycle racks.

Let me just wrap up. On the equal protection clause, specialized vendors, disabled vets, we are only allowed 105 in midtown. That's outrageous. There is no regulation on other types. There is no number limitation on artists. There is no limitation on food cart vendors. But if you are a disabled vet, 105 is the max. I can assure you no more than 30 or 40 vendors work in any one day. So a lot of spots that truly belong to disabled vets are being sucked up by rogue street vendors, illegal purse vendors and some of the more un-aesthetic street cart vendors.

I would like to propose that -- I

would just like to wrap up by saying I have many
more things to say. I would like to propose that
in order for anyone to work in the midtown core,
they must be a veteran or be associated with a
veteran. That could include a partnership or
joint venture or corporation or a lease. Why
should the juicy spots go to the ordinary public
or new immigrant when a disabled vet is out of
work? It's just lopsided.

It's the veteran who fought for the rights, you know, for capitalism and we are being disenfranchised. We're being excluded from midtown.

With that, I'd just like to say this requirement would force the relationship to a transitional foreign permittees who may not have the same level of allegiance or pride in this country.

Furthermore, having more veterans in midtown would make the City a safer place.

Thank you for putting up with my rant.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: That's all right. We appreciate your being here. Thank you.

DAN ROSSI: Good afternoon,

Councilman. I'm sorry the rest of the crew isn't here. I heard over and over when you were speaking to the city and you were speaking to Urban Justice and everyone, you asked questions and no one had really straight answers for you.

Oh, my name is Dan Rossi. I'm sorry.

One of the things that you kept coming back on was multiple offenders, a person going after it. I understand what you were saying, if that person keeps getting a ticket and getting a ticket and getting a ticket and getting a ticket. But the money isn't the deterrent to it. Fifty-one percent of the tickets aren't fought. They're not going to pay the ticket anyway. They're just going to go get themselves a new license because you've made it so easy for a vendor to carry multiple licenses. That's what they've been doing.

But to go back on that, the reason why the limit should be \$250 is because that's the right thing to do. There are honest vendors who get entrapped into getting more tickets. It's usually because of enforcement agents who have an agenda. Then they'll go up in front of an ECB

judge who really doesn't know what he's doing and
he'll get hit and there you go.

This \$250 cap is a double edge sword that no one is talking about here. Do you know what happens if I get 12 tickets and I pay them? What do you think happens? Don't say nothing. I go in front of the next level of court. There's OATH. There's only one thing they want, is my license. So when you get 12 tickets, you'll pay your \$2,500 in fines. But when you go in front of OATH, they're going to ask you what did you do, you're losing your license. It's as simple as that.

So this isn't a sweet deal for the vendor who thinks he's going to get away with just paying \$250 each time. He's getting himself into a trap. He's going to lose his license.

The last bill and the most important bill that you have is connecting the permit to the ticket. Now, you ask why people don't pay their tickets. It's all black market. You asked before--you had the numbers wrong. You said there were 3,000 permits. There are 1,500 permits. There are 3,100 citywide with 200 of

2 them being out of borough. You got 1,000 3 temporary and you got 1,000 green card.

Multiple permit operators are only distributors and manufacturers. The only ones who hold multiples are in the temporary permit thing. Citywide permits are one per person. But what they've done, thanks to Councilwoman Koslowitz, and she brought up a few points too. I'm sorry she's gone. They converted legitimate companies into illegitimate companies. The vending industry and what no one seems to understand is that vendors are just going through a cycle. They come, they go. You'll be lucky if you see the same vendor in two years. You'll be very lucky. They just come through the system, they make a few dollars, they move on to taxi drivers or something else.

But the permit that you took away from the legitimate company, which I was one of them, and you gave to the individual who had nothing to do the industry anymore is leasing it. So today you've got—the city will admit to 75 percent of the permits being in the black market. It's probably higher. So there's your companies.

2.

You have a company that leases permits from
individuals. He goes ahead and hires a licensed
vendor to vend. They put the permit on his cart.
Now, the vendor gets the ticket. If they put the
permit number on the thing, the permit owner gets
the ticket. The guy who's running the black
market doesn't get anything.

Now who's fault is that? That's your fault. I met with Commissioner Mintz and I brought a member of the black market with me. He explained what he was doing. He said so what, I don't care. This is the commissioner of Consumer Affairs saying I don't care.

So you have Council Members, you have BID members, and you've got administrative members who are all involved in not wanting to do the right thing. So what do you want us to do? You're putting our feet to the fire.

There was a mention of a Mayor's office. We had that already. We had a Mayor's Steering Committee under Mayor Dinkins. That was stopped when I exposed the president of the Fifth Avenue Association trying to lease the disabled veteran permits.

We had the street vendor review

panel. That was stopped when I organize the

industry to shut it down, because it was the

phoniest thing you ever saw in your life.

Councilwoman Koslowitz brought it up. I testified

at every hearing. The second day of hearing, she

went screaming out of the room, saying it was the

9 dumbest thing she ever saw. The president of the

10 Fifth Avenue Association brought her back in and

11 sat her down, be a good girl and shut your mouth.

She never came after that day.

Councilman Noach Dear took over for her. After two hearings, he never came. The way they closed the streets was the Mayor handed them a list. What was on the list, they closed the streets. We had to organize every aspect of our industry to shut it down. We did it from the public. We were unable to do it. The general public did it. They did a survey. Eighty-five percent of the people said shut it down. It was a joke.

I met with Commissioner Walsh when he first took office. He told me straight out, Dan, he says we don't know how they shut one

street down. We're not going to get involved in a

Mickey Mouse thing like that. So the street

vendor review panel, I would welcome it if it was

an honest panel. It's not an honest panel, it's

nonsense.

So let's go over this. The bill with the surveys, if someone was going to sit down and really look it might work, but I don't know.

The two bills about the fines, it's the right thing to do. It's the right thing to do.

The bill about attaching the permit number to the ticket, you have to do it. You'll get rid of a good percentage of black market.

The other bills are a little cloudy. Where does the taxi stand end? You want to stop no standing in front of a hospital, come on. The hospital is three blocks long. The entrance is in the middle. That means for the next block and half in either way no vendors? Put a limit on the distance.

The one with the doorways, many of these service entrances are nailed shut. So all you're doing is taking locations away from vendors. Those three are really off the table.

2 The other ones, you know.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, thank you. Thanks for your testimony on the bills and for your broader insights too.

DAN ROSSI: Sure.

CASSANDRA FLECHSIG: Thank you. My name is Cassandra Flechsig and I'm the Green Cart Program Manager at Karp Resources. We're a food consulting firm contracted by the Department of Health to provide technical and business support to the New York City green cart vendors, so thank you for this opportunity.

Our company president, Karen Karp had to leave a little bit early, but I'm going to read a statement that we prepared. I do have copies if you'd like them. I have plenty of copies for everybody else when they come back.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much.

CASSANDRA FLECHSIG: Okay. For centuries, New York City has been a destination for newcomers seeking economic opportunity. New York City has had a vibrant street vending culture going back more than 400 years that has offered

low barrier entry strategies to entrepreneurs froman array of backgrounds.

Many presenters of famous brands and my own ancestors were immigrants who started their American journeys and careers through vending. We are a city quite advanced compared to others around the country. With strong policies that enable a path to economic success for those with few resources.

Quite often, those entering the world of street vending have limited work options due to general skill level, limited education and language barriers. Street vendors face many challenges along their opportunity journey, yet they are committed to this effort, creative in their management of these micro enterprises.

Vendors are hard working taxpayers supporting families and paving the way for a better future for their children. Our particular experience with street vendors comes from four years of providing technical assistance, education and industry liaison support to vendors in the New York City Green Cart Initiative.

As City Council is well aware, New

York City Green Carts is the result of legislation that created a special class of street vending permits allowing the sale of whole fresh fruits and vegetables in designated precincts with low availability of fresh produce, few traditional brick and mortar food stores and high rates of diet related illnesses.

Individuals who become green cart vendors are either former employees of other street vendors with citywide permits or newcomers to the city and new to vending. We provide vendors with tools to enter and we hope thrive as street vendors. Workshops and one-on-one consultation in small business skills, permit getting and permit retaining, produce purchasing, produce handling, merchandising, EBT applications and operations, community relations and vending for success.

The latter efforts focus significantly on how to navigate the agencies that touch green carts: the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, for a confusing mix of program support permits and violations; the NYPD, BIDs and on occasion the Mayor's Office of

Immigrant Affairs and the Fire Department and specifically how to vend safely, legally and profitably.

Violation schedules are difficult to find and even more challenging to understand. The fines vendors receive are irrational for their level of trade. Accessing clear information about what actions constitute a violation and understanding why fines are doled out is a huge challenge for vendors of any skill and language level and green carts are no exception.

We strongly believe that all street vendors should follow the rules, but as the current fines are prohibitively high, we have seen numerous green cart vendors go out of business simply because they could not afford to pay them. While we do not want to see vendors engaging in behavior that poses a risk to public health, we do not believe that anyone benefits if the local fruit and vegetable stand goes out of business because they set up a few inches too close to a building or had a box that wasn't broken down.

Because the nature of street vending is more often a one-on-one or one cart/one

б

staff situation, that staff person being the
permit holder vendor him or her self, when a fine
is to be paid or challenged, it means a lost day
of work and revenue, something that is
economically prohibitive for most vendors

If they do not understand the violation or can't pay the fine and subsequently they are forced to stop vending, they oftentimes have few or no options for other employment.

Karp Resources supports the passing of Intro 434 and Intro 435 because we believe it will enable street vendors to be more responsible, more successful and more profitable small business people in New York City, better able to contribute to their families, our city and the economy generally.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much.

RALPH DI TORO: My name is Ralph Di Toro and my organization is Vet and I'm the sole person in the organization. I'm a little bit taken back by the fact do these laws apply, are going to apply to disabled veterans if you put them in effect. We have a little difference of

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 26
2	agreement and understanding with Dan and I. He
3	says no, I say yes.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Let me just
5	be very clear as to how this works in a hearing.
6	You guys come and you offer your opinions and your
7	thoughts and we listen to them. I understand.
8	This is not a point where we're going to be going
9	back and forth. What we'd be happy to do is you
10	can reach out to us after the hearing.
11	RALPH DI TORO: No problem.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We'll be
13	happy to have the conversation. But why don't you
14	state your view, one way or the other and then
15	we'll
16	RALPH DI TORO: [interposing] Sure,
17	no problem. Thank you. I'm in full support of
18	434 and 435. Nothing else could be said that
19	wasn't already said. My colleagues have said it.
20	Other people have said it quite eloquently.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We doubly
22	and triply appreciate your testimony and that

RALPH DI TORO: As far as going towards 684, if a taxi stand is here, why can't

you're being concise.

23

24

25

the vendor be to the left of it where there's not no taxi stand? Why do you say adjacent to it? I mean what you're doing is shrinking space for a group of people, disabled vets, that have a limited amount of space that's already there.

Concerning the doorway situation, there is a law that's on the books already concerning that you can't vend in front of a doorway, it's already there. So this law 727 is only redundant. If you check, and I might be mistaken, but I think I'm right.

789, we go to the hospital situation. I have the unfortunate pleasure of being a permanent resident on many occasions to Columbia Presbyterian Hospital due to my service connected disability. I have yet to see congestion. I have yet to see a massive amount of vendors as that lady said. Her testimony to me was a complete, it was a slap in the face to the vending community in general because I support vending. But I'm partial to the veteran vending.

As far as the tickets are concerned, the fines are way too high. Way too high for people. We don't make a lot. Everybody

2.

б

thinks we don't pay taxes and we don't file taxes.
How do they think we renew our license? I mean we
pay taxes and we do pay rent. We paid with our
blood and we paid with our service to our country.

So if this country is based on capitalism, we're part of capitalism. Let the free market work. Meaning if I'm selling sunglasses in front of a store and he's selling sunglasses, then we compete. That's where the competition comes in. Don't get me out and put a bus stop there because you have the power. Let's be fair about it.

That's why I feel that this is all laws, you're creating laws--I think the smokescreen is everything is complicated. Well it's really not complicated. I'm not an MBA and I know, I read the laws for general merchandising, disabled veterans, food licensing and it's very, very simple. If everybody follows the law properly then it's fine. It's the enforcement that's not done. We're lacking enforcement.

I thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: We thank

2.

you. For the vets, we certainly appreciate your
service and your insights on this issue today. I
don't think that there are any further questions
for this panel but we appreciate you being here.

6 Thank you.

RALPH DI TORO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: The next and last panel, I'm going to call you up all together. It's a slightly bigger panel than we've done, but since you're the last we're going to put you all together. Cindy VandenBosch, we had called earlier, and I understand you're here now. Albert Loera, Mohammed Altaf Hussain, Clementina Garcia, Jeff Orlick and Baye Hanne.

Is there anybody else who has signed up to testify who I have not called? Just to be very clear. Brian Hoffman. We'll take a look Brian. Did you fill out a form? We called you. I think you might have been out at the time. That's fine. Just stay put. We're going to have everybody on this panel go. Just stay right there and make sure that I don't close the hearing without calling you. Okay? You'll be the last one.

I would just encourage you if it's something that's been said already; obviously the hearing has been now going on for five and a quarter hours. Restrain yourselves if you can but we're here to listen, so you know obviously please say what you have to say. Make sure you're speaking into the microphone. You're first.

CINDY VANDENBOSCH: Hi. My name is Cindy VandenBosch. Thank you so much for having us here today. I am co-founder of Urban Oyster which is a tour company that gives—we give food cart tours in midtown and the financial district. We've been doing this since the spring of 2010 and have hosted thousands of people from all over the United States and throughout the world.

I'm here today to share what we've learned from this experience, both giving tours, getting to know the vendors and also researching the industry. I'm also here to express our support for the passage of two bills, Intro 434 and 435.

On our food cart tours, we highlight, of course, the delicious and affordable cuisine and the character that each mobile

business brings to its neighborhood and explore
the multi-faceted role that vendors play as
activators of public space, eyes on the street for
the safety of all New Yorkers, and engines for
jobs in the city. We are out in Midtown and the
Financial District every week year-round and see
how hard vendors--and I think this is something
that's come up again and again--but how hard
vendors work and just how much they invest in
running their businesses and feeding the people of
our neighborhoods.

We're proud as a business to promote and support their businesses through our tours and share their individual stories with a broader public, from the vendor who has been cooking halal food for two decades near Bryant Park to the immigrant chef who is soon to open his own brick and mortar restaurant in Queens.

I mentioned earlier that we have people that come for a variety of reasons. We have international tours and reporters, longtime New Yorkers come on our tours, law firms send groups on our tours, college students who are learning about urban planning or public policy.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While these motivations are varied,

one thing we hear time and time again from

4 everyone is that street vendors are a symbol of

5 New York City. They represent the city's spirit

of entrepreneurship, diversity of cultures and

7 cuisines, and it's bustling landscape.

While tour attendees are amazed by the quality of the food and the attention to its preparation, many are surprised by just how much each individual mobile food vending business does to fuel the local economy. You know, you think about the metal fabricators that have to be hired to design and make the carts and trucks; the mechanics that need to be hired to maintain them; the nearly 100 commissaries in the city where the units are stored; the wholesale markets where the food is purchased; the commercial kitchens where the prep work is done; the graphic designers and printers that create the signage for these businesses; food delivery services; and, yes, companies like ours that provide an on-the-ground perspective of what the people in this industry contribute to the city we live in. These are all jobs created by the existence of the mobile food

2 industry.

On a regular basis, we hear stories from vendors about just how challenging it is to stay afloat in an industry. Most of the vendors clearly understand that regulations are in place for a reason, but the high fines that are given out, so frequently for minor violations set up vendors for a cycle of poverty and that was never what the industry was supposed to be about.

We appeal to you to please consider lowering the fines so that these small businesses can turn around and invest the profits they do make into growing their businesses, thereby supporting the creation of even more local jobs in New York City.

I'd just also like to support, I'm really glad that there's been a discussion about taking a step back and revamping and clarifying the regulatory framework, because as researchers we've had difficultly trying to understand all the regulatory frameworks. Our first language is English. I can't even imagine what it is if you arrive to this country and you want to open a food cart business, how to navigate those laws. I

2	think	that	there	are	various	organizations	that
3	have '	tried	to do	that	well.	We support that	at.

So thank you for taking the time to listen to our testimony and for your consideration in passing Intro 434 and 435.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Next?

JEFF ORLICK: This is going to be a little similar. My name is Jeff Orlick. I write about street vendors along Roosevelt Avenue on my website I want more food dot com. I lead tours in the areas of Jackson Heights, Elmhurst and Corona called the Roosevelt Avenue Midnight Street Food Crawl and also the Taste of the World Tour.

These tours have been featured on national television as well in the Wall Street

Journal, Daily News and poplar websites such as

Goth Mist [phonetic] and Serious Eats.

In just less than six months, it has attracted hundreds of people from New Jersey, Brooklyn and Manhattan as well as from around the country plus Australia and Europe. They come here because they want the legendary real New York. They want to be immersed in our culture.

copyright lawyers.

2 Most of my guests are educated.

They have read the census report and they know that there are no Italians in Little Italy and they know there's no culture of New York in Times Square. They want the real stuff, not chains.

They don't want nothing to do with PR firms or

When I bring tourists to Roosevelt Avenue, their eyes light up with the buzz of the street. They get dizzy from the IRT overhead and they are comforted by the rice and egg tacos from Tia Julia truck. When they leave, they can't wait to come back to 82nd Street on their next visit to New York. This is the stuff the NYC Tourism Board should go monkeys over.

A hundred years ago, it was the

Jews, the Italians and the Germans selling on the

streets of New York. Today, these are the

surnames on many of the businesses and buildings

that are iconic to us. When I see the street

vendors on Roosevelt Avenue, I see my family from

Eastern Europe who created themselves on the Lower

East Side. Every time I see the current ones

fined, I see my own trying and being squashed.

This is our future and our past.

Now I heard the city is trying to encourage small business. Well these are the micro entrepreneurs and you are strangling them.

I heard the city is thwarting Walmart and supporting our own residents. With these high fines, we are hypocrites. Believe what's printed on your subway ads from the Small Business Services and support these vendors.

There are other ways you can legislatively help, like providing forms in multiple languages or lifting the cap on mobile permits, but this is a start. Lower the maximum fines. The business of vending on this scale allows them to send their children to school. It helps them contribute to the character of the neighborhood. It encourages them to be the eyes on the street. It helps them to become a person with an identity. With \$1,000 fines, we are choking their ability to continue.

This is not about mobile versus brick and mortar. This is about educating without suffocating. You must choose the objective of your fines: to educate or suffocate. If you want

2 your city represented by Starbucks, Pink Berry and
3 Popeye's, continue the high fines.

absorb these costs that individuals cannot. With these high fines you are promoting the sterilization of our culture. Our brick and mortars should be on the same side as the street vendors because when they destroy every other local business on their block, who will be left to support them on the last Starbucks' free corner?

Do you want Maria the tamale lady cleaning the floors of Poppa Johns? Or do you want to help her business come from Tamale Lady to Tamale Empire?

Now the waiting list is 15 years for some mobile vending permits. No matter what levels of fines exist, there will always be more vendors coming. These street vendors are not going away. You choose, will Marie the Tamale Lady starve or will she thrive? You pick who succeeds in our city, Arkansas Walton with Walmart or your friends from the PTA and your neighbors. This is our family and this is who we are.

2 ALBERT LOERA: Hi, good afternoon.

My name is Albert Loera. I don't have anything prepared. I am a street vendor. I'm also a member of the Street Vendor Project. I've been a vendor since I remember, since I was little. I sell here in the District 5 I think, by 86th Street and Lexington Avenue. Our business is selling tacos off a truck. It's called Paddy's Taco Truck [phonetic].

I am a father of three children. I don't make \$1,000 in a month. So with that said,

I want my children to go to school. I want my children to go to college and university. But with these high fines it's very hard for them to have a chance so they can go to college or university.

I earn a living like that. I love being on the street. I have helped so many people on the street and I have made so many people happy selling my product, including tourists. So that's why I think it's very important for these two bills to pass, 434 and 435. I'm in full support of it and I just can't wait until that passes so my children can go to college and university.

2	Thank	you	very	much.

3 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

4 MOHAMMED ALTAF HUSSAIN: Good

5 afternoon and hello, Honorable Council Members.

My name is Mohammed Altaf Hussain.

I came to the U.S. 12 years ago from Bangladeshi. First, I drove a yellow cab.

Then I got my food vendor license. I have worked as a vendor for the last six years.

I have a food truck in Brooklyn,
near Kings County Hospital, I sell breakfast and
lunch. My wife is in school for nursing. We live
in Crown Heights.

Last June, I opened a fried chicken and pizza restaurant in Flatbush, not far from my vending spot. It's called Super Kennedy Fried Chicken and Pizza.

I don't have any problem with street vendors. In fact, I think vendors are good for my business.

There are some Senegalese vendors near my restaurant who are some of my best customers. They come in and use the bathroom and buy food from me. When they see the sidewalk is

dirty, they tell me, so I can clean it and don't

get a ticket from Sanitation.

They also store their things in my basement and pay rent, which helps me pay the rent at the restaurant. So, I don't see anything wrong with the vendors.

As for food vendors, if there was one outside my restaurant, I would be happy.

Vendors do not compete with stores. Some people like food from the vendors, and some people like to go inside. We have chairs and tables inside our restaurant that people like to use. We have air conditioning in the summer, which brings many people. And we have a bathroom which some people like to use.

A lot of people cannot afford to have a meal inside a \cdot restaurant, but they buy from vendors.

In fact, there is a taco vendor about a block away from my restaurant. And further down there are three more vendors who sell the same things I do. They do not bother me and I do not bother them. Even though we sell the same food, I do not have a problem with them.

2	Right now, I am saving my money.
3	Next year I want to open another restaurant. I am
4	hoping I will not receive any high tickets this

year so I can do this more easily. And maybe some

6 day I will own 10 or 12 restaurants.

Thank you for giving to me the chance to listen to my story.

CLEMENTINA GARCIA: Hello and good afternoon. My name is Clementina Garcia. I'll be reading my testimony.

My name is Clementina Garcia. I am a street vendor and a Organizer at VAMOS Unidos, Street Vendor Mobilizing and Organizing in Solidarity. We are a grassroots community-based organization of over 600 street vendors in the Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan and Queens area. We build the leadership of adults and youth street vendors across New York City. I am here on behalf of the street vendors of the VAMOS Unidos. We support Intro 434 and 435.

In our street vendor community the majority of the families depend on their everyday earning to be able to pay their bills and their children's education. We have seen what these

high fines can do in our street vendor community.

Thing like our vendors becoming homeless because of the hard decision of the necessity of paying their tickets before their rent to be able to have an opportunity to apply for the waiting list for a permit for a cart.

over 30 years limiting the ability to vend with a complete license. The fines that our vendors receive are \$1,000 for not having a permit that the city has failed to increase since 1979. With this in mind we are asking to please support Intro 434 that would allow the fines from \$1,000 to reduce to \$250. We would also like to ask for your support for Intro 435 that would stop the fines going up to \$1,000 after the sixth violation unless they are for the same violation.

We want to be able to vend in our communities. The importance of the Intros 434 and 435 passing is because it would benefit many families who struggle day to day, working long hours and sometimes making just \$30 a day. They are not able to pay unjust fines of \$1,000. Money can be used for other thing like sending their

2 kids to college.

With this in mind I ask again to the New York City Council to please support Intro 434 and 435 that in these harsh economic times would reduce fines from \$1,000 to \$250 and stop the staking for different violation to increase to \$1,000 after the sixth violation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you very much. If one of you could make room--oh, we have two more people. So if two of you could make seats for our last two people. Great, thank you very much. Sir, would you like to go ahead.

BAYE HANNE: My name is Baye Hanne.

I'm from synagogue. I been in this country, I

started working like street vendor since 1984 in

Times Square. You know, in that time is good, you
know, and then, you know, I bring my family
because I have seven kids to take care.

Later on, in 2005, I have a treatment [phonetic] and then I have cancer.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Take your time.

BAYE HANNE: I have my remark because--and then I support, you know, like seven

kids in my house. In 1997, I have my license,
vendor license. I start working with, you know,
and later on I think, you know, they start giving
me ticket, you know, like 2010. I have, you know,
like six tickets, you know for \$1,000, \$1,000.

7 Okay.

My doctor write letter for them, you know, about my sickness because, you know, every Monday, Tuesday I go about my chemo. You know, I can't go to court to take care of that, that's why they give me everything. Six tickets, you know for everything \$6,000, you know. I go over there. I bring them the letter from the doctor. They don't accept it. They say you have to pay or you're not going to get your license. I do everything. You know, I go to 42, they say here you don't have a problem. Your problem is in 66 Jones Street [phonetic]. You know, you have to take it over there, you know. When you go here they're going to give you your license.

I do everything I can. Even now, my Con Edison is 3,000 and something because I don't have--I receive SSI like \$600 and something for seven kids. How I'm going to get that. Even

Con Edison tell me, you know, on Friday if you
don't pay \$600 and something they're going to cut
my light.

That's why I come here to see what you can do, you know, about my things. Because they're supposed to give me my license. I go over there tell me even if you give me like, you know, plan payment, I'm going to pay. You have to give me my license to work. If I don't have license, how I'm going to work? That's the problem.

Before it's not some like now.

Before you can vend without license, and now if

you are without license they're not going to let

you. That's the problem. That's just what I come

here for to see what kind of help I can get, you

know, to go back to work.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So here is what I would suggest. My legislative director is sitting over in the corner. I'm going to have you talk to her and we'll see if there's anything that we can do to help you in your specific situation. We appreciate you sharing your story. I know this is difficult. But Theresa Bomeo [phonetic] is sitting right over there.

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 283
2	BAYE HANNE: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I just want
4	you to speak with her after you come off the panel
5	and we'll see if there's anything that we can do
6	to help you in your particular circumstance.
7	Okay?
8	BAYE HANNE: Thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: All right.
10	Thank you. Brian, would you like to finish the
11	panel?
12	BRIAN HOFFMAN: Yeah. Well, I
13	wrote good morning on my paper, but I think good
14	afternoon is probably more appropriate at this
15	point.
16	So my name is Brian Hoffman and I
17	write for a very popular food blog called Midtown
18	Lunch. We're devoted to findingthis is a very
19	important photomention my name again? Brian
20	Hoffman.
21	I write for Midtown Lunch, which is
22	a popular blog. We look for affordable, delicious

lunches in the business districts. I specifically

work in Midtown and the Financial District. In

those neighborhoods, the lunch options seem to be

23

24

25

limited from the brick and mortar stores to either high end steakhouses or generic bodegas, or fast food chains. I imagine the reason for that is because the rents and the property taxes in the storefronts in Manhattan are really astronomically high, so that only the established and wealthy corporations can really afford to pay rent in those spaces.

Like many people, the readers and the others writers on Midtown Lunch and maybe many of you as well, I'm not really a fan of those places, those highly processed and bland fast food chains. I wish that I could afford to eat at high end steakhouses every day, but unfortunately I can't. So I look for other options.

For us, food carts and trucks provide that option and they have for a long, long time, hundreds of years in this city. They offer an authentic, carefully prepared meal that is affordable and filling and it really makes getting through the rest of the work day much easier for me and for the thousands of devoted lunchers who read Midtown Lunch every day.

We all understand that, you know,

like all other businesses, food carts and trucks
need to be regulated. But I have seen in the few
years that I've written for Midtown Lunch, many of
our favorite carts and trucks disappear because
they can no longer afford to operate because the
thousand dollar violations are so astronomically
high.

And of course, as we've been through, these can be for minor violations. I don't look at these things as crimes. You know, I did a little research last night and the ticket for jumping a turnstile in the subway system, which I think we can all agree with criminal intent when someone jumps a turnstile, \$500 at the most. So, \$500 for that but \$1,000 for something like forgetting to wear your license around your neck but instead having it in your pocket.

As I've mentioned earlier, the owners of these food carts and trucks are not in a financial position to afford the expensive rents in Manhattan and so they're probably also not in a financial position to afford a \$1,000 ticket for violation that might be beyond their control or due to misunderstanding or something like that.

2	I'll wrap it up because I know it's
3	getting a little late. I just want you to
4	reconsider these fines. They were once \$250 and
5	that's I think a reasonable amount. \$1,000 will
6	put these small business owners out of business.
7	I think the point of these tickets it to reprimand
8	rather than destroy businesses and livelihoods.
9	So please allow them to operate legally and
LO	fairly. And please allow me and people like me to
L1	have our lunch, which I'm sure you're all
L2	sympathetic to at this point in the day. So thank
L3	you.
L4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I certainly
L5	can endorse having lunch at some point.
L6	BRIAN HOFFMAN: Yes.
L7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: I want to
L8	thank you all in the panel for your testimony. I
L9	want to thank everybody who has participated in
20	this hearing, and certainly my colleague Steve
21	Levin for sticking it out. Damien Butvick, Rachel
22	Cordero, Theresa Bomeo for their work on this
23	hearing.
2.4	T do want to note we obviously will

continue to process what we have heard from

everybody today to incorporate them into the bills and to consider how that impacts our moving forward.

We've heard a few comments about the trucks specifically. That obviously was not a subject of this hearing but I think we all recognize that as a result of the case which made it impossible for a truck to sell at a parking meter that there are trucks that are operating illegally today and to the extent that we want to embrace trucks as something which is important to New York, we're going to have to develop rules that allow that to happen in a way that respects them as a business and also respects the communities where they frequently are serving.

With that, Mr. Hussain, to the extent that you are still here, we hope that you will own 10 to 15 businesses someday and we are pulling for you. Thank you all for your participation in this hearing. With that--

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:

[interposing] Mr. Chairman--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: --I'm going to give Mr. Levin a chance to say the final word

2	and	then	we']	ll be	e ad-	journed

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: I just want to thank you, Mr. Chairman for holding this hearing. I want to thank your staff, the Council staff, my Chief of Staff Ashley Thompson for working on this and everybody that came out to testify. So I just want to thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great.

10 | Thank you very much. With that, we are adjourned.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature	Cours dente
DateMay 18,	2012