CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----X

April 25, 2012 Start: 10:25 a.m. Recess: 12:06 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 Broadway

Committee Room, 14th Floor

B E F O R E:

PETER F. VALLONE, JR.

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Erik Martin Dilan Helen D. Foster Daniel J. Halloran David Greenfield Vincent J. Gentile James F. Gennaro Daniel R. Garodnick

Eric Ulrich

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Michael Flowers Analytics Director Mayor's Office of Policy and Strategic Planning

James Capaldo Inspector New York Police Department

Bridget Brennan Special Narcotics Prosecutor Special Narcotics Prosecutor's Office

Philip Anderson Assistant District Attorney Queens District Attorney's Office

Marc Fliedner Chief of Major Narcotics Investigations Kings County District Attorney's Office

Paul Mahoney Assistant Deputy Attorney General Medicaid Fraud Control Unit NYS Office of the Attorney General

Kristine Hamann Executive Assistant District Attorney Special Narcotics Prosecutor's Office

Gregory Krakower Senior Adviser and Counselor to Attorney General NYS Office of the Attorney General

Vesselin Mitev John Ray & Associates

01-0--

CHAIDDEDCOM MATIONE.

2.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Oray. Good
morning, again. Welcome to this morning's Public
Safety Committee hearing. I was told that this
would be the first streamed online hearing and now
I'm told that it's not, and I'm not given any
reason. So city bureaucracy, I guess, I have no
idea why we're not the first live hearing, but we
are still being recorded with new high-tech, high-
definition cameras and we will be online at some
point, but we're not going live. So if you start
cursing, we can edit it, don't worry about it,
okay?

So as you know, today we're having a hearing about law enforcement's efforts to stop the abuse of prescription drugs in our city and we'll also consider a--we'll also discuss a Preconsidered Resolution that supports state legislation on this issue.

According to the U.S. Center for Disease Control and Prevention, prescription drug abuse has reached epidemic proportions. In 2009, for the first time ever, there were more deaths from drug abuse than there were from automobile accidents nationwide. Our city is not immune to

this problem. In fact, painkillers have become
the drug of choice for many New Yorkers. Between
2004 and 2009, while heroin poisoning deaths
decreased by 24%, the death rate from painkiller
overdose has increased by 20%. And emergency room
visits for painkiller misuse doubled.

It's likely we'll see these rates continue to increase and statistics show that nearly 2 million prescriptions for oxycodone and hydrocodone, only two types of painkillers, were filled in 2011. That's approximately one for every four people in this city.

While these numbers are troublesome by themselves, they represent only part of a problem. Painkillers are controlled substances because their ingredients are included on the state and federal controlled substance list.

However, there is a trend to misuse non-controlled prescription drugs because penalties tend to be less severe. Non-controlled prescription drugs and medications used to treat chronic conditions, such as HIV/AIDS, asthma, diabetes, high blood pressure, erectile dysfunction, and bacterial infections. These drugs are increasingly being

2 resold and used in a manner other than prescribed-3 -a practice that is known as diversion.

Diversion takes place in a number of ways, but usually by patients either doctor shopping or selling the drugs on the street or by criminals forging and stealing prescriptions.

Like painkiller abuse, diversion of non-controlled prescription drugs carries with it many health implications, such as diverted drugs being resold after being stored improperly or tampered with or not being taken properly in a properly medically prescribed manner.

There's also a lot of criminal consequences as well. According to the data from the DEA, arrests for diverting controlled prescription drugs rose dramatically since 2009 in New York state. In 2009, there were 59 individuals for diverting controlled drugs; in 2011, 217. Just this month, four pharmacists were arrested for being ring leaders of a massive HIV/AIDS black market prescription drug scam. This scam, which ripped off nearly 150 million from Medicaid, was run from Brooklyn and Suffolk County pharmacists that were reselling illegally

obtained drugs. In March, 31 individuals were indicted for being involved in a massive prescription drug diversion scheme that pumped 1 million a year into the--of prescription drugs into New York City.

There's also been an increasing in robberies and burglaries in pharmacies. In New York City, pharmacy burglaries have increased over 100%; statewide even more. There were only two of these in '06 and 28 in 2010.

Unfortunately, we have a very real reminder of this issue due to events that unfolded just last week at a pharmacy in Harlem when two men attempted to rob prescription painkillers—an act that resulted in one's death and the arrest of another just yesterday in Rhode Island. We also can't forget the death of an ATF officer in Long Island who attempted to stop a pharmacy robbery, again, for prescription painkillers. And the slaughter of four people in Suffolk.

I note we have the attorney who represents that family here and who will testify later. I know that Bridget Brennan will also be testifying about the fact that she's prosecuting a

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 doctor who gave the killer those drugs.

We've heard that pharmacies--or we haven't heard, it's actually happening--pharmacies are putting signs up saying No OxyContin. No OxyContin signs in pharmacy windows are today's equivalent of the No Radio signs of 20 years ago in people's cars, and like those signs 20 years, No Radio, these are literal reminders and pleas for help that something has to be done. people were putting No Radio signs in their cars, we acted back then. The Safe Street Safe City program put in by my father and David Dinkins that increased the police force from 31,000 to 41,000. So many prosecutorial changes were made and resources were given and we took control of that problem and you don't see those signs anymore. Now we're seeing No OxyContin signs and that's another plea, something has to be done, and that's why we're here today.

Our first two panels are full of experts on these topics and so I want to get right to them. Our first panel is Michael Flowers, who is with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and also Inspector James Capaldo from the NYPD. And

following that, we'll have Special Narcotics
Prosecutor Brennan, representatives from the
Attorney General's Office, representatives from
district attorney's offices. And we are going to
get to the bottom of the problem today, we're
going to figure out what needs to be done and both
by law enforcement and by legislatures at the city
level, at the state level, and at the federal
level to give you guys the resources you need to
get a handle on this problem. So thank you all
for everything you do every day when it comes to
this problem. And I guess we'll turn the floor
over now to Michael Flowers.

MICHAEL FLOWERS: Thank you, sir.

Good morning, Chairman Vallone, my name is Michael
Flowers and I am the Analytics Director for the
Mayor's Office of Policy and Strategic Planning
and the Director of the Mayor's Financial Crimes
Task Force. I am also a member of Mayor
Bloomberg's Task Force on Prescription Painkiller
Abuse. I'm glad to be joined here today by
Inspector James Capaldo from the New York City
Police Department. Thank you for the opportunity
to speak today.

б

I want to begin my testimony by
thanking the Council and specifically this
Committee for taking the time to address this
incredibly important topic. Prescription drug
abuse is a major epidemic that has begun to hit
our city.

Last fall, Mayor Bloomberg formed a task force on Prescription Painkiller Abuse in response to extremely alarming statistics about our city. Between 2005 and 2009, the rate of prescription opioid-involved deaths increased by 20% to 2.4 per 100,000 New York City residents. Between 2004 and 2009, the rate of prescription opioid-related emergency room visits doubled, increasing to 110 visits per 100,000 New York City residents.

The task force, chaired by Deputy
Mayor Linda Gibbs and Chief Policy Advisor John
Feinblatt, includes agency heads of many of the
city's health and human services agencies, the
Special Narcotics Prosecutor, and the Staten
Island District Attorney. It also has the
director of the New York/New Jersey High Intensity
Drug Trafficking Area Program. The task force has

focused on developing strategies to reduce opioid

abuse by improving the education of physicians who

prescribe controlled substances and the patients

who take them, analyzing data on controlled

substances to target our health and law

enforcement resources more effectively, and

effectively arresting and prosecuting people who

are abusing the system.

At the very first meeting of the task force, the membership agreed that the first crucial step to fighting the prescription drug epidemic lies in improving the state's system for collecting and tracking data on opioid prescribing and dispensation. After all, if we do not know who is getting these prescriptions, who's prescribing them, and who's dispensing them, we are clearly hamstrung in our efforts.

As it now stands, New York's

Prescription Drug Monitoring Program, or PDMP, is

largely inadequate. Doctors have minimal

interaction with the system, and pharmacies can

wait up to six weeks in some cases before

reporting prescriptions. The system is also

cumbersome and hard to use. These inadequacies

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seriously impede the ability of our public health officials to identify strategies to prevent addiction and overdoses, of Medicaid oversight agencies to identify fraud, and of law enforcement agencies to find those who fuel the epidemic with their criminal conduct.

Because improving the state's PDMP requires action at the state level, the task force sent a letter to the Assembly Speaker, the Senate President, and the chairs of the Health Committee in both houses early this month. The letter sets out recommendations the task force members believe should inform any improvements to the state's system. They include making sure that doctors and pharmacists consistently interact with the system, checking and reporting when drugs are prescribed or dispensed. Of particular importance to the City, the task force recommended that local health and Medicaid agencies gain access to database information for oversight, compliance, and program integrity purposes. We believe strongly that adopting these recommendations as part of any improvement to the state's PDMP will save lives.

Beyond improving the PDMP, the task

force has focused on ideas to bolster prevention and education. Because this epidemic involves legal drugs, educating doctors, pharmacists, and the public about the risk of opioid abuse is imperative. The city's Department Of Health and Mental Hygiene, led by Commissioner Dr. Thomas Farley, has been at the forefront of these efforts. Indeed, DOHMH has issued guidelines to doctors about prescription opioids that will help doctors identify when it is appropriate to actually prescribe these powerful drugs.

My particular focus on the task force relates to using data analysis as a weapon in this fight. What we know is that when we have good data, the sky is the limit in terms of what we can do with it. In the case of prescription drugs, data might be used to target prevention initiatives or identify suspicious patterns at pharmacies or an individual pharmacy. That makes improvements to the state's PDMP all the more critical, whether in terms of increased reporting into the system or giving access to public health agencies and law enforcement agencies so that they can use data to fight the epidemic. And that's

the reason we are advocating so strongly that
increased reporting and appropriate agency access
be part of any legislation to improve the state's
PDMP.

I applaud the Council for taking this important step towards addressing the issue of prescription drug abuse, and we look forward to working with you in the future. Be happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you.

The task force itself, can you give us a little

bit more information about how often you meet and

what happens at this task force?

MICHAEL FLOWERS: Absolutely.

We've met twice and there are four subcommittees that have met repeatedly. My subcommittee that I head is the data subcommittee and we've met no less than three times, and, in fact, are meeting again next week. The composition of the task force includes: Alan Aviles from the New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation; Ms.

Brennan, the Special Narcotics Prosecutor; Andrea Cohen of the Mayor's Office and Director of Health Services; Robert Doar, Commissioner of the Human

Resources Administration, Mr. Donovan, the Staten
Island District Attorney; Dr. Farley, who, as I
mentioned, is the head of DOHMH; Jon Feinblatt,
the Chief Advisor for Policy and Strategic
Planning; myself; Linda Gibbs, the Deputy Mayor
for Health and Human Services; Dr. Adam Karpati,
also of DOHMH; and Chauncey Parker, who is the
head of the New York/New Jersey HIDTA.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And first of all, we've been joined by Council Members Erik

Dilan, who was here momentarily; Helen Foster; and

Dan Halloran, welcome all.

Inspector Capaldo, can you give us a little bit of background about your enforcement efforts regarding controlled and non-controlled substances and what we can do to help you in that regard?

JAMES CAPALDO: Good morning. For the calendar year 2011, our arrests involving the diversion--excuse me, the possession or illegal sale of controlled substance prescription meds comprised about 12% of our total enforcement. To give you an idea of the numbers, that's 5,181 arrests for those offenses out of a total of

narcotics arrests of just over 44,000. In the
first quarter of 2012, that figure has held
consistent with 1,230 prescription med controlled
substance arrests out of 10,322, for 11.9%.

One statistic that has changed lately is that more of our pill arrests are now sale related. In the past, it was less than a third, now it's nearly half. So, obviously, we're successfully targeting dealers of these items more than we have been able to in the past. The busiest areas of the city for this are Manhattan North, which is Manhattan above 59th Street, with 1,634 arrests for possession in the calendar year 2011, and 673 for sale.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Specifically, what areas of Manhattan North?

JAMES CAPALDO: Of 125th Street corridor where the methadone clinics are prevalent, there are a number of pill sale operations that focus in that area. One of the things we discovered from studying this is that there are two groups of specifically, opiate pill addicts: One of them are people who become addicted after an illness or injury, and the other

group are heroin abusers because the OxyContin

pills are obviously opiate made and they have the

same type of reaction on a user. So people who

have been using heroin and are looking for

something else tend to use OxyContin, Vicodin,

those type of opiate pills. And of course, people

who became hooked after a 30-day stint on a pill

following illness or injury are obviously seeking

the same meds they were taking as a result of the

illness.

The second busiest borough is the Bronx, but it falls off dramatically with 464 possession arrests and 331 for sale. And then Staten Island is next with 435 possession arrests, and then after that the numbers get lower as you go across the city.

We don't track pill arrests by specific type of pill, there's too many, but the popular ones that we've been seeing are specific meds, Adderall, which is a brand name for a amphetamine and dextromorphan [phonetic], which is used to treat Attention Deficit Disorder. And I'm not sure if I'm pronouncing this correctly, clonazepam, it's the brand name for a anti-anxiety

2	medication, it's marketed under the brand name
3	Klonopin in the U.S., and it's also used to treat
4	as a muscle relaxant and to treat epilepsy.
5	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Are those
6	listed as controlled substances or not?
7	JAMES CAPALDO: Yes, sir, they are.
8	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: They are?
9	Can you tell us a little bit about the difference
10	between the two and how that affects your
11	enforcement? There's a problem now with diversion
12	on non-controlled substances. From what I'm told,
13	you're not even allowed to make arrests if someone
14	has a non-controlled substance.
15	JAMES CAPALDO: Well for it to be
16	illegal, it has to be listed in Schedules 1
17	through 6 of Section 3306 of the Public Health
18	Law. So if it isn't, then it's not a violation of
19	law to possess those items.
20	I remember a case in Queens a few
21	years back where, if memory serves, it was a
22	husband and wife MDs who were illegally importing
23	counterfeited non-controlled prescription meds and
24	then reselling them to legitimate distributors in

the U.S. And I didn't bring details of that case

with me, but it got a lot of news play, the
takedown, and I believe one of the subjects fled
the U.S. And they were importing massive amounts
of erectile dysfunction drugs, blood pressure
meds, and a few other things that don't qualify as
controlled substances, but they were selling it,
you know, inserting it into the food chain as
legitimate items and, of course, there's a huge
markup because this stuff is made for pennies, it
doesn't meet anybody's standards.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So you can't arrest them for possession of that, is that true?

JAMES CAPALDO: I don't believe you can make a simple possession case for a non-controlled prescription med.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: There's a bill in Albany, in the state legislature, which I have a resolution in support of, which we're discussing today, which would do three things: It would restructure the existing crime of criminal diversion so that repeat offenders are properly punished. Second, it would create the crime of fraudulent prescribing and dispensing of non-controlled prescriptions. And third, it creates

2	the offense of unlawful possession of non-
3	controlled prescription medications so that law
4	enforcement can charge those. Have you been able
5	to takeI know it's Preconsidered Resolution,
6	have you been able to take a look at that bill in
7	Albany, and do you have any position on it as to
8	whether it will help you?
9	JAMES CAPALDO: I'm not authorized
LO	to speak for the police department relative to
11	legislative matters, I can't answer that.
12	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Mr. Flowers?
13	MICHAEL FLOWERS: Specifically, no,
L4	I'm not familiar with the bill you reference, so
L5	I'm loathe to comment on it. Substantively
L6	speaking, anything that gives our enforcement
L7	authorities more tools to go after the links in
18	the distribution chain is something we would
19	support.
20	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: When it comes
21	towhat other sorts of arrests and investigations
22	are you undertaking when it comes to doctors or
23	other types of fraud involving these controlled
24	and non-controlled substances?
25	[Long pause]

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Enforcement.

JAMES CAPALDO: I have a recap of six or seven of the recent cases that our Organized Crime Health Fraud Task Force has been involved in. And just for information, that task force consists of members of the NYPD's Organized Crime Control Bureau, the FBI, and the State Department of Health's Bureau of Narcotic

There's one ongoing case that, obviously, I can't discuss. The most recent previous one ended in March of this year. One person was charged federally as a result of it. And the way the scam worked was as follows: subject was recruiting accomplices who possessed valid New York State identification, the subject would then forge a prescription in that person's actual name for OxyContin. The person who received this prescription would get it filled and then return the pills to the subject in exchange for a USC [phonetic] and the subject then had accomplices who would go out and sell these pills on the street. He was arrested on 3/29 of this year. At arrest, we recovered a 9 mm handgun, \$8,000 in counterfeit currency--we think he was

paying his accomplices in counterfelt cash1,000
OxyContin pills, 406 doses of steroids, and 7
rolls of blank prescription paper. So this
particular person was notthere was no doctor
involved, the pharmacies were legitimately filling
the prescriptions, and he was able to create them
by having access to a computer and blank
prescription paper, which is similar to currency
paper, I'm sure you're aware, it has a special
watermarking and threads and so on so that it
can't be easily re-created.

The case before that ended in March of this year, two subjects were charged federally. They were employees of the New York City Human Resources Administration. They created numerous fraudulent Medicaid accounts for which they were paid. And these accounts were then used to defraud the Medicaid system for a total of \$380,000, including prescriptions that were filled for 19,000 Oxy 80 milligram tablets which were then sold on the street. The New York City Department of Investigations assisted us with this case. And of course, those two subjects were fired from their city jobs and their pending jail.

In the fall of last year, we
arrested two people on federal charges. This case
involved a doctor who was openly selling
prescriptions to patients for OxyContin in

6 exchange for cash.

Probably the most notorious case that we had was in late 2010, it involved a doctor on Staten Island who was selling OxyContin prescriptions to patients for \$200 each, and would openly state to them, that was the cost of the prescription and it would involve 180 OxyContin tabs. Once he was in custody, a records check revealed that between April and November of that year, he had written 3,000 OxyContin prescriptions—that was an average of 15 a day, seven days a week.

And we have a couple of cases that involve pharmacies as well.

So we've had basically the entire gamut--doctors, you know, crooked doctors, crooked pharmacists, and people who were just entrepreneurs and able to figure out how to fiddle the system without involving any legitimate people.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Tell us a

little bit about some of the trends you're seeing, are there--have you seen an increase in these type of cases? Do you see more crooked pharmacists or crooked doctors or just--

JAMES CAPALDO: [Interposing]
Seeing more doctors of late. Either that or we're getting better at catching them. It's difficult to make the cases against them. There's no medical standard or no legal standard that says if Mr. Vallone comes to Dr. Capaldo's office and says I fell down the stairs to the basement letting the cat out and my back is killing me. There's no law or standard that's established that Dr. Capaldo has to take an x-ray, physically examine you, or even physically touch you. So if you want to tell me that story and I want to wink and nod and write you a prescription for 180 Oxy tabs, I haven't broken any law.

So in order for us to make a case against a doctor we have to get an undercover in there and establish that it's fraudulent and we have to be able to record that conversation and be able to prove it in a court of law. We've had,

and Ms. Brennan I'm sure Will tell you, they've
had some excellent cases where the undercover goes
in and gets a prescription and comes out all
happy, but when we listen to the tape, there's
nothing there. You know, and when you confront
the undercover, he says well he knew I wasyou
know, he must have known, he never touched me.
Yeah, but so what. He's got to say, you want the
prescription, \$200, and then we're playing ball.

You know, this is not necessarily new. We had OxyContin pharmacy robberies in 2005. And when I was a narcotics captain in 2001, I was in charge of Queens South, it covered five precincts, and at the monthly precinct community meetings I had a speech I would give about the dangers in your medicine chest because even then people were being prescribed opiate-based pain meds and deciding they didn't need them after three or four days, and teenagers or friends of teenagers were stealing that stuff out of the medicine chest and selling it. This is not a new phenomenon, it's just the scope of it is changing dramatically of late.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: I will speak

2	to the prosecutors and lawyers who come up about
3	what we can do about those doctors and what needs
4	to be changed to allow you to actually arrest
5	them.
6	We go now to Council Member
7	Halloran, I'll be back with some questions.
8	Council Member Halloran.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank
10	you, Mr. Chair. Appreciate your testimony here.
11	Just curious in terms of how you've structured,
12	obviously, we have street narcotics units that are
13	out there, we have units that are deployed to do
14	these things. Have we looked on the prescription
15	and pharmacy side of the equation? Is there
16	operations going in that regard? And also how
17	does that relate to some of the legislative
18	requests with regard to tracking prescriptions
19	that have been on the table as of late, and are
20	you looking at those as potential models?
21	JAMES CAPALDO: This is for me?
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Either of
23	you.
24	JAMES CAPALDO: I'll take it if you
25	like.

steps that we take.

2 MICHAEL FLOWERS: [Off mic] to you.

JAMES CAPALDO: Yes. Firstly, most of our cases developed along the same model as our other narcotics cases, either we get an informant or we get an anonymous tip and if we're able to penetrate the organization, then it's the standard

The second part of your question regarding legislation, anything that's going to help us obtain the data regarding narcotics prescriptions being filled in a timely manner and let us pursue the anomalies that are discovered will be of value, whether that's through legislation or regulatory oversight, whatever way we can get it, we'll take it.

And the database that Mr. Flowers discussed would be a great value to us going forward.

MICHAEL FLOWERS: The one thing that I would add to that, sir, is what the task force is focused on, at least from a data support standpoint, is, you know, I want to highlight something that the inspector referred to, the doctor in Staten Island. Once that doctor came to

the attention of law enforcement, the check revealed that he was writing 3,000 scripts in some insanely short period of time. Where we want to get to a position is where we use that information proactively and get to the stage where we're able to identify that kind of outlier conduct. And the legislative proposals and the recommendations of the task force are really centered on generating that capacity. And not just in a law enforcement sense, I want to stress the public health nature of this problem.

The fact of the matter is, once it's reached the stage where we're making arrests and sending in officers and whatnot, we've lost, to a certain degree. What we need to do is engage our public health community, and, specifically, just highlighting what Dr. Farley has done, is a very critical first step. If we can reach out to our public health professionals, especially those public health professionals that are identified as potentially being abused, if there are—there are certainly many situations where there are pharmacies and physicians that are duped. And, you know, for short periods of time usually, but

they do get duped. Those are the kinds of people where we want to allocate our limited resources to go out and get prophylactics to sit there and sit down with the physician and say, this is what you need to look for in terms of potentially being exploited.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: And just to follow up in terms of what Councilman Vallone was alluding to, obviously there's more than one piece of legislation at addressing this, but currently, the way things are structured, I know you have expressed some of the issues of prosecution. Do we have guidelines out there in the patrol guide or in arrest processing where we discuss the specifics of this type of an arrest?

Obviously, these things happen fairly frequently in terms of observable issues in my district. I know the 109 had a pharmacist who apparently had a ongoing situation similar to what we've been talking about here, that a citizen sort of just walked in and said, hey, by the way, do you know. Does the patrol level officers have a resource to go with this information and deal with it in a manner that's going to lead to you guys

2	being	able	to	effectively	perform	your
3	inves	tigat:	ions	s?		

JAMES CAPALDO: Currently, pill cases are considered controlled substance cases, which is the same as crack, heroin. So there is a protocol in the patrol guide for referral of that information to us, patrol does not have the undercover capacity or the ability to pursue these leads and they should refer to us timely, and then of course, we can follow it.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: This the last question I have before I get to our next panel because I'm very interested to see Bridget
Brennan's PowerPoint presentation. Criminal diversion applies to prescription drugs, not just controlled substances, so if someone sells like a bag full of HIV drugs or asthma drugs or Viagra or something like that, you can make an arrest. But the statute is pretty clear that you need that transfer, someone has to sell or buy, so if you found someone on the street, you stopped them for another reason and they have a giant bag full of

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 30
2	pills that are not controlled substances, I'm
3	correct that you cannot make that arrest.
4	JAMES CAPALDO: Well if we arrested
5	them for something else, that would not be
6	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Right, well
7	if
8	JAMES CAPALDO:an additional
9	charge.
10	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: But if you
11	just stopped them because they matched a
12	description and you found this?
13	JAMES CAPALDO: Well if we stopped
14	him because he did have a controlled substance,
15	and, you know, very often, especially with the
16	pill cases, they begin where we stopped them for
17	some other reasonthat we saw them purchase
18	heroin or crack and
19	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing]
20	But if there were no other reason to stop them and
21	they just had this giant bag of
22	JAMES CAPALDO: [Interposing]
23	Simply possessing it? If the circumstances were
24	suspicious, any good officer would ask him, you
25	know, what are you doing with those, and hopefully

24

25

2	something will come of it. But simple possession
3	to my understanding, and I didn't do any research
4	on this before I came here today, I didn't expect
5	to be discussing it, but as I understand it, the
6	answer is no.
7	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Myself also,
8	are we correct, Bridget? Yes, Bridget Brennan is
9	nodding yes. So that's one of the things we want
LO	to change in Albany, we want to give you thatwe
11	want to change that law and make itto make it a
12	crime to possess that so you don't have to
13	actually watch the transfer. Do you have any
L4	information on criminal diversion arrests, how
15	many you've made or if they're
L6	[Crosstalk]
L7	JAMES CAPALDO: [Interposing] No,
18	sorry, I don't.
L9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. We'll
20	get that from you down the road.
21	JAMES CAPALDO: For non-controlled
2.2	substances no

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. Thank

you. Thank you. Any other questions? No. Well

thank you both and we look forward to working with

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	you	and	figuring	out	what	we	can	do	to	help	stop
3	thi	s ep:	idemic. '	Thanl	< V011	_					

JAMES CAPALDO: Thank you.

MICHAEL FLOWERS: Thank you very

much.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Next panel will consist of Bridget Brennan, our Special Narcotics Prosecutor; Philip Anderson from the Queens District Attorney's office, Marc Fliedner from the Kings County District Attorney's office; Gregory Krakower from the Attorney General's Office; and Paul Mahoney from the Attorney General's office. [Pause] Bridget, since you have the presentation, why don't you go first and then we'll give others a chance to speak, and then we'll ask some questions. And, Ms. Brennan, let me also thank you for calling this to our attention years ago and for requesting hearings on this topic and assistance in this matter, and you're really the impetus behind this hearing and we want to thank you for what you've done. Thank you.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: I appreciate

that. I'm not sure how I get this up on the

2 screen.

3 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: We will send

4 our--

BRIDGET BRENNAN: [Interposing] And let me thank the Committee for hosting this hearing and also commend the briefing report that was put together, it was excellent. A really great synthesis of the information, very informative, a very nice job. Because what we need more than anything else in this area is information, so I commend the Council and the Public Safety Committee for leading the way.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: While we try to get you some technical assistance, maybe you can just comment on what we were speaking about earlier about the possession of non-controlled substances?

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Yeah, what we see is that's one of the big holes in our law. The prescription drug crisis has two components:

There is the component that involves controlled substances, and controlled substances are basically defined as those substances that are more subject to abuse. That's why the opioid

drugs fall into that category, amphetamine drugs fall into that category, anti-anxiety drugs. The non-controlled substances are substances like AIDS medication, Viagra--substances which may not be subject to abuse, but nonetheless have a value because they're worth a lot of money. And what we've seen in both areas is that the drugs themselves, the pills, have turned into a form of currency and the system, the medical system is gamed in order to achieve a profit by some people.

In the area of controlled substances, there's people who become addicted.

Many times, as was testified earlier, there are accidental addicts--people who start out taking a prescription simply because they had a surgery; they were prescribed it, legitimately prescribed. But these drugs are now in my view being over-prescribed. That is to say, if you need it for a surgery for three days after the surgery, you shouldn't be getting a prescription for 30 days. That's one of the City health department's recommendations. As a matter of fact, that's among the recommendations that they've already passed out.

2	CHAIRPERSON	VALLONE:	What
---	-------------	----------	------

specifically?

BRIDGET BRENNAN: That the prescription for someone who is in an urgent situation like someone who has just had a surgery should be limited to, for example, a five-day period, and then that person should be evaluated after five days to see if they need to extend the prescription for a longer period of time. I think we all know from our own experience, if we have a surgery, generally speaking, we don't need a heavy-duty opiate to help us through our pain situation for 30 days.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So is that a law, is that just a recommendation, what's--

BRIDGET BRENNAN: [Interposing]

That's just a recommendation, it's a recommendation now from the City health department to the doctors who serve within the city health community. But that's a very critically important first step because, as was testified before from the police department, one of the big problems in this area is developing a standard of care. What is the accepted standard of care for people who

come in to get prescriptions? And in order to
prosecute a doctor for violating that standard of
care, for selling a prescription, we have to prove
that he acted in bad faith. And so it's very
important for us, that vision, that direction to
doctors saying evaluate your patients, don't just
give them a 30-day prescription when you know that
dependency, drug dependency may come at the end of
that 30-day period.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: So you heard the police department testimony about them sending undercovers in and--

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: --how difficult that is, can you comment on that and how that relates to your being able to prove standard of care?

BRIDGET BRENNAN: It is very
difficult because there is no defined standard
with regard to pain medication. In the medical
community now, it is sort of the barometer for
pain is based on the patient's own assessment of
their pain and so if a patient tells the doctor, I
really hurt, the doctor may well accept the

2.

patient's, you know, word for it without
conducting a lot of tests, an MRI, x-ray, et
cetera, et cetera, and that makes it difficult for
us to prosecute a doctor even if we see soaring
rates of prescriptions. And where we see that is
particularly pain clinics, which of course, people
are going to because they're in pain. And so we
have great difficulty making

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing]

And I assume if they were giving everybody an MRI,
they could be prosecuted for fraudulently
prescribing MRIs which cost a lot of money also.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Yes, although if you're going to give someone high levels of opiate drugs for a long period of time, actually in the medical community, many doctors would tell you, you would expect to see some kind of assessment of the pain. What you wouldn't expect to see is always throwing, in a sense, a blanket over the pain. You would expect to see some assessment of what's causing the pain. And all the opiate drugs do is relieve the pain, they don't get to the bottom of the pain. Yay, success, you are the hero.

prescription drugs in the city, the supply of

25

prescription opioid painkillers in New York City skyrocket over the past five years in particular, and more and more of these highly addictive drugs are finding their way to the streets. And as you see in any situation involving addicted drugs or drugs that can be abused, the problem really boils down to an abundant supply of those drugs. The more drugs that are out there, the more likely they are to be abused.

Committee for supporting a resolution or considering a resolution to support more controls on non-controlled substances. Substances like very expensive HIV drugs, asthma drugs, those kinds of drugs—and I'll talk about that in my testimony—but those drugs are the subject of much fraud, they're the subject of diversion, and they are finding their way back into pharmacies, even though they have been held under conditions which are far from sanitary and far from the pristine way that pharmaceuticals are supposed to be stored.

As Special Narcotics Prosecutor for New York City, my office prosecutes felony

narcotics crimes in the five boroughs of New York
City. Originally, we were set up to address the
heroin epidemic in the 1970s. Little did the
legislators who crafted that statute creating our
office know that some 40 years later we would be
confronting a problem of narcotic pills, of
narcotic prescription pills. Yet at their core,
they're the same thingit's opiate drugs. The
same component that's in heroin is found in
narcotic pain relievers. And at present, we have
very significant investigations citywide into the
diversion and trafficking of prescription drugs,
right now, it comprises at least 20% of our
caseload. And overdose deaths, unfortunately, and
related crimes in the city are soaring, as we have
heard.

There we go. Now these are the kinds of pills that we're talking about, I thought it might be helpful just to have you take a look at the difference between the controlled substance, which are basically, as I said, those drugs that are subject to abuse--opioid painkillers, drugs like oxycodone, Opana. The picture, the top picture there are oxy 30s,

2.

oxycodone, generic oxy 30 milligrams. On the
street they're called little blues, and right now
they're the favorite of the street trade. Oxy
30s.

We are also seeing a big market for ADHD drugs--Adderall, Ritalin. Those kinds of drugs are marketed as study aids. Again, addictive drugs with significant health consequences if you take them in non-medically recommended ways--can result in addiction, in stroke, in heart attacks. So that's another problem for us.

Both those are controlled substances and they are—we can arrest people for using them illegally or that is to say, for obtaining them and selling them outside of a pharmacy if, in fact, we can prove a case on it.

And then there are the noncontrolled substances. Some of them are very,
very valuable drugs, like the HIV medications,
psychotropic medications like Prozac, asthma meds.
Those we are finding in different kinds of
criminal networks, those we are not finding so
much sold on the street. What we are seeing, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those I'll describe later, but it is more of a fraudulent scheme to obtain those drugs and have insurance pay for those drugs, and then resell the drugs on the black—on the gray market, as we call it, back to maybe unscrupulous pharmacists, who will then again sell those drugs, and perhaps again bill Medicaid for those drugs. So the drugs themselves turn into a form of currency.

Everybody in today's hearing obviously recognizes the seriousness of this problem. Of course, if we needed another reminder, we saw it a couple of weeks ago in East Harlem with the pharmacy robbery there, and there was just an arrest of the second subject just yesterday. And among the things the robbers stole were, not just money, but the 30 mg oxycodone pills, that's what they were looking for. Opiate painkillers, that's the greatest--there is the greatest demand for that now in the black market. But that wild gun battle was a reminder of the problems that we face, and it's a reminder of just how serious the ramifications of this problem are. We are seeing the implications in increased burglaries, increased robberies, increased petty

2 thefts as we see more and more people addicted to 3 these kinds of drugs.

The problems with prosecuting cases involving prescription drugs are very significant because, unlike our typical prosecutions of heroin and cocaine, these drugs are legal drugs. Anytime we find heroin or cocaine, we can prosecute a case. That is not the case with prescription drugs, and so we face some significant hurdles.

now is that there is just too much of the opiate prescription drugs out there. Oxycodone is only one kind of opiate prescription drugs, Vicodin is another very popular and widely prescribed opioid prescription drug, and this shows you the increase in just the past five years in the prescriptions for oxycodone. Now I don't think pain in New York City increased by 100% in the past five years, so this tells you that something else is going on out there. And--

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing]

Can I ask you how long oxycodone has been around?

Because if it's only been around five years, then that might explain it.

_	
2	BRIDGET BRENNAN: [Interposing] No,
3	oxycodone
4	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Playing
5	devil's advocate, I don't believe that, but
6	BRIDGET BRENNAN: [Interposing]
7	Well you know, you pressed the wrong button
8	because you're going to get a little bit of
9	history here. The opioid drugs, including a
10	variation of this, have been around for a long,
11	long time. But doctors were loathe to prescribe
12	this form of drug until the 1990s, when a
13	pharmaceutical company marketed a version of it
14	that they said was sort of addiction-proof, and
15	that was around 1995. And then actually the
16	pharmaceutical companies convinced the medical
17	community that they were being opioid phobics,
18	that they were not helping people to relieve their
19	pain as much as they could because they were too
20	frightened by the remote possibility of addiction.
21	And this went on and on and on, and over the years
22	in other states this problem hit first because New
23	York State continued to have additional
24	restrictions or additional encumbrances on the

prescribing of the opioid medications until about

2 2005.

In New York state, you're required to have a triplicate prescription, this really cumbersome, big prescription, which was unlike the normal prescription for oxycodone. Clearly, that there was a signal to a doctor that this is something different. Then in about 2005, thereabouts, New York State changed its practice, and it's around that time you start seeing the number of prescriptions increasing for these opioid-based pain relievers.

So it has been around a long time, but the medical community's view of these drugs was, I believe, heavily influenced by the pharmaceutical companies marketing these drugs.

And so now is the time when we have to put those snakes back in the cage and we have to really sensitize the medical community to what we see now going on out on the street with this excess of supply of addictive drugs out there.

So unfortunately, the problem has not yet abated in New York City. When we started to see these narcotic drugs, prescription drugs pop up in search warrants that we were executing

out in the locations where in our normal narcotics investigations, we started to turn up big caches of opioid prescription medication and we started to see this developing. We wondered where is it coming from, is somebody stealing lots of drugs, big cartons of drugs and then marketing them on the street? No, what we determined what it was that, in working with the state health department, was that these drugs were actually being supplied through pharmacies. And this shows you that from 2010, we've been trying to enhance public understanding about this issue for the last couple of years, but we are still seeing an uptick in the prescriptions for these drugs.

Now the rate of prescription is dropping, for example, in Richmond County in Staten Island, but that area is already saturated. What concerns us is what's shown on this map. The green colors are those areas where the prescriptions have either lessened or about the same. The yellows and reds, the closer you get to red indicates an area of greater increase, and you can see that there are areas of the city where the rate—and this is based on the residence of the

2	person who is obtaining the prescriptionand so
3	you can see that there are creeping areas of
4	increase where the increase is certainly
5	escalating throughout the city. Whereas, it is at
6	least leveling off in Staten Island, in other
7	areas of the cities it continues to increase.
8	In fact, we thought we would break
9	downthe information is by ZIP code, we thought
10	for the Chair of this Committee, you would be
11	interested to know that your concern is certainly
12	relevant to your constituents. We broke down the
13	ZIP codes for the Council District, 22, for your
14	district, and whereas the ZIP code lines may not
15	be 100% contiguous with your district, it reflects
16	the uptick in prescriptions in your district. And

18 orange and red out there. So we have a lot of

17

19

20

24

25

[Off mic]

21 BRIDGET BRENNAN: Beg your pardon?

you can see that you've got a lot of areas of

22 CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: It's not

23 you're your fault.

work to do.

BRIDGET BRENNAN: No, it's not your fault at all, in fact, I think you're prescient,

you're aware of a problem going on in your district and you're doing everything you can to abate it, which is, I think, what we all ought to be doing in this area. So much of it is a matter of public information and increasing the public's information about the dangers of these medications.

We formed a prescription drug unit in my office last summer. As we saw the number of cases surging and as we recognized that we needed some real specialized training of our own assistants, our investigators in this area, we formed a prescription drug unit. And since we formed that unit, we have received referrals from the public, from doctors, from pharmacists, from elected officials, and we have formed some tremendous partnerships with the State Department of Health, their Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement, with different narcotics units in the city, and with Medicaid Inspector General. We've done work with law enforcement groups that we hadn't really worked with in the past.

Now I'm just going to spend a minute telling you that it's not just that these

2.

drugs are being sold in all kinds of places,
including what I would call the new street corner,
at least for Adderall and Ritalinthe Internet,
Craigslist, and we conduct investigations into
this area. We conduct periodic sweeps the same
way we would on any other street corner which is
rife with narcotics activity. Again, the
economics of it are the same: People obtain
Adderall with a prescription and maybe financed by
insurance, and so then they sell it on the street.

This is that Dr. Li case that you referred to earlier. Dr. Li is under indictment now in our office. This was the picture outside his pain management clinic in Flushing Queens. He ran the clinic one day a week on Saturdays and saw perhaps 90 to 100 patients a day. Generally, it was all cash and our—we did arrest him and indict him and our investigation is continuing. It is a case that has consumed a tremendous amount of resources from my office. And as you mentioned earlier, David Laffer, the person who committed that homicide in the Medford pharmacy on Father's Day, said that Dr. Li was one of those who prescribed drugs to him.

2		CHAIRPERSON	VALLONE:	And	those
2	neonle were	celling?			

hanging out outside the clinic waiting for Dr. Li
to arrive on Saturday morning at eight o'clock.
We saw a number of sales, hand-to-hand
transactions right outside the clinic. In fact,
we made an arrest--a couple of arrests for
transactions where someone would come out of the
clinic with a prescription, go right to a pharmacy
down the block, have the prescription filled, and
then a car would drive up and you would see a
transaction, the pills being exchanged for cash
immediately after the visit to the doctor.

This was an operation that we did in Staten Island, and this mirrors—is the kind of operation we see a lot, where a rogue employee, in this case it was the office manager, steals prescriptions, sells them to someone else for \$100 a prescription, those prescriptions get filled.

Here, about 40,000 oxycodone pills ended up out on the street being sold out of an ice cream truck and the group netted about \$1 million.

We've been involved in many

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collaborative efforts, and I cannot praise the

Mayor's task force and the prescription pain abuse
enough. They've pulled together all the
resources--a tremendous number of resources in the
city, and they've been doing great work, along
with the New York State and our agency work group
and the federal drug initiative led by the Eastern
District.

In the non-controlled substance area, this article came out about a year ago now which is talking about the trade in non-controlled substances. This is how it works: Medicaid recipients typically go to pharmacies, obtain drugs, sell them to street dealers, who then collect them in stash houses and resell them to pharmacies. The money is made in that the Medicaid recipient obviously doesn't pay much for the drug, sells it to the street dealer, who then sells it at a greater price to a collector, who then resells it to the pharmacy. The pharmacy gets it at a lower rate than they would from the actual producer of the drug, and then they turn around and sell it again, often billing Medicaid a second time for the same drug.

2	In 2010, we recovered \$4 million
3	worth of non-controlled substances in a case where
4	we were expecting to find cocaine up in Yonkers,
5	and we discovered at that time that we did not
6	have one state statute that we could use to charge
7	the people who were collecting these drugs because
8	we only had them in possession of these drugs.
9	Not a single state felony charge, and so the bill
10	that you're considering would enhance the
11	penalties for the possession of large amounts of
12	these drugs, which would give us additional tools
13	that we could use in our efforts in this area.
14	Somebody from our office took this

Somebody from our office took this picture just last week, we're seeing more and more of these pictures going up throughout the city, and again, the message—this is not the message that we want to send, this is not an effective response to the problem. And so again, I commend the Committee for your efforts in this area, and I thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Does anyone else have anything they'd like to add to that or any testimony? Just identify yourself.

PHILIP ANDERSON: I have a little

25

2	bit of a PowerPoint and I'm from the Queens
3	District Attorney's office, and just a little bit
4	of what's going on out in Queens. Let me see if I
5	can
6	[Off mic]
7	BRIDGET BRENNAN: You're going to
8	need somebody more skilled than I.
9	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Get Pierre
LO	back.
11	PHILIP ANDERSON: At least get it
12	started. Okay. Sorry about that. Good morning,
13	Chairman Vallone and members of the committee.
L4	I'm Assistant District Attorney Philip Anderson
L5	from the Queens District Attorney's Office, and on
L6	behalf of D.A. Brown, I'd like to thank the
L7	committee for seeking our offices input in this
18	review.
19	I'll just add a few things to what
20	the other witnesses have said so far. And I'm
21	going to start with one bill just because Ms.
22	Brennan mentioned another opioid, Vicodin. There
23	is another bill currently pending, which is S5260,

which we all know as the Tramadol bill, and that's

really the concentration of that bill. However,

there is a small provision in that that is going
to repeal part of the Public Health Law,
specifically Section 3306, Schedule 3(e),
paragraphs three and four. Those paragraphs are
significant because, unfortunately, even though
Vicodinthe active opioid ingredient in Vicodin
is considered hydrocodone, hydrocodone is also
scheduled as a narcotic the same way oxycodone is,
and if you look up the definition in the Public
Health Law, you will find that they are both
scheduled as narcotics. However, because of this
second scheduling, basically, in the Public Health
Law, under 3306 3(e), three and four, four really
relates to pills, three relates more to
medications that are injectables or taken post-
surgery or anything like that. It reduces the
level of possession of those drugs from a narcotic
to a narcotic preparation.

So for instance, I'll just give you a brief, I guess, example. Ten years ago, D.A.

Brown recognized already the dangers of trafficking in these prescription pills in our community, and in early 2001, our office was the first city prosecutor's office to initiate court

б

authorized wiretap investigation into the
trafficking of some of these pills. That case
involved a doctor who was selling prescriptions,
mainly just for Vicodin. Back in those days,
Vicodin was the predominant painkiller.
Eventually, the doctor found out that it was more
lucrative to sell the pills themselves, and
controls were much more lax over ten years ago.
He ended up hooking up with a pharmacist friend of
his where he could get 500-pill jars that
pharmacies used to dispense these drugs and were
selling them directly to our undercover officers,
sometimes four jars at a time, so that's 2,000
pills.
When he was arrested, we could only
charge him with a C Felony because of the

charge him with a C Felony because of the scheduling of the Vicodin through 3(e)(4). Now had he been selling Percocet, which is an oxycodone-based drug, which is also a synthetic opioid and is described exactly the same way as Vicodin is prescribed, those would have been Al felonies, and we could have charged him with that.

Now just to give you an idea of what's been going on in Queens, that first wiretap

2.

proved to be actually a really invaluable tool.
Listening to a doctor, as the inspector said, it's
very hard to send an undercover into a doctor's
office and get on tape, you know, that something
that the doctor somehow knew that the undercover
had no need for the pills. Wiretapping was a
little bit easier, especially in this doctor's
case, of course, because he was calling a
pharmacist friend to obtain the pills. And so we
continue to use wiretapping in these kinds of
cases

In 2003, we arrested and prosecuted 45 people who were trafficking prescription pills in Howard Beach and Ozone Park. There, most of the ringleaders were white middle-class males in their twenties, they got most of their prescription drugs from forged prescriptions, the Internet, and also we found that they were going to Canada and mailing the drugs back to themselves, and I'll get to that in a minute.

Two thousand six, another wiretap investigation, we found that one individual was passing about 40 scripts a week. And generally, what forgers do is they target, of course, the

pharmacies that—they don't go to a Duane Reade,
they will go to a mom—and—pop pharmacy in the
hopes that pharmacists will turn a blind eye or
not ask too many questions or doesn't have a
database, such as Duane Reade, that shows that the
same person has been filling prescriptions at
another Duane Reade, et cetera.

Of course, there were plenty of pharmacists who just willfully turned a blind eye, and through wiretapping, we also were able to prosecute some of the pharmacists, basically, because this guy would call and say, oh, can I pick up my script now--you have to wait 30 days to refill these prescriptions. The pharmacist would say, well, sure, I'll give it to you now, but bring the prescription in in ten days, because then we'll be within the legal timeframe when I input it into the system, so that it wouldn't be caught.

Two thousand ten, another 30 arrests through wiretapping. The same sort of demographic of individuals. There, we found they were getting same MO, forged prescriptions. We also found, what the inspector had spoken about a

little bit, that since these were all young 20 adults, they had sort of a peer network and the dealers would basically have their peers go into the peer's parents medicine cabinets and find pills and sell them back to the dealers who collected them and would resell them on the streets.

Now, basically, originally, these cases, as I said, were a young, white, middle-class, upper-middle-class demographic, but at this point, as Ms. Brennan said, it's pretty much citywide and it just cuts across all classes.

Now so far Queens, as the inspector was saying, hasn't been so hard hit. The recovery of these narcotics pills has been about 3,100 in the first four months of the year. But we have also seen three burglaries of pharmacies in this month alone. One as recently as Sunday, it was discovered Monday morning that the perpetrators had broken through an adjoining business—the wall of an adjoining business to get into the pharmacy. No arrests have been made in that case, but they did steal a lot of these pills, as well as cash.

Another problem that we observed--

б

and this gets to the other proposed registration
for the non-controlled substancesis mixing of
different drugs. A Percocet addict is basically
the same as a heroin addict. When they run out of
Percocet and can't find any or, for whatever
reason, decide to try and come off of it, they'll
often cocktail it with a Xanax because a Xanax
will counter the effect of coming down off of the
Percocet. But we are also noticing that they're
not merely trying to chase a Percocet with a
Xanax, we're also finding that they're using a lot
ofillegally using a lot of nonprescription
controlled medications.

Now through some of these wiretap investigations, we found that people who are buying and selling Xanax and Percocet were also buying and selling a lot of Xanax--I'm sorry, Viagra and Cialis. And what was happening is that, apparently, when you take a lot of Percocet and Xanax, they are depressants, they're also a sexual depressant, so the idea being that the Cialis and the Viagra would counter this effect. And if I'm a dealer and I'm already selling controlled substance pills, then hey, it's almost

2.

a freebie for me to sell you also a non-controlled
because, even if I'm arrested for selling a non-
controlled to an undercover, one or two pills,
that's an A Misdemeanor of diversion. If you find
that I'm holding 30 pills of Viagra, you can't
charge me as we've learned

Now of course, the legislation proposed under S5260 would create Article 219, and 219 is really what is addressing--would address that problem. Another thing that we found is because New York City is--

[Crosstalk]

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing]

Can I ask you and Ms. Brennan, why do you think

that bill has not passed yet? Is there an

argument they're making that people with

legitimate Viagra are going to be prosecuted, and

what safeguards can you put in against that?

BRIDGET BRENNAN: I don't know why it hasn't passed yet. It got pretty far last year, it's been passed the Senate and stumbled in the Assembly. It just wasn't high on somebody's agenda in the Assembly, I guess. We did try to build in safeguards to protect—to address those

2.

kinds of concerns, that, you know, just a very				
low-level person who had one pill that didn't				
belong to him might be prosecuted, there are some				
protections built into the bill. And so we've				
been working with the State Senate and the				
Assembly to try to address those concerns.				

Kristine Hamann [phonetic] from my office is sitting in the front row here. She's been up in Albany quite a bit to talk to the legislators on that bill. So we're hopeful, but I can't tell you a specific concern that was raised that would have prevented passage, it just wasn't high on the Assembly agenda, I would say.

PHILIP ANDERSON: So just to get back to some of the non-controlled. One of the problems we see in Queens is, of course, the airports. Now our office frequently gets referrals through ICE and we prosecute cases involving individuals who attempt to smuggle non-controlled substances in their luggage through the airport. We had one such referral last year, individual with 50,000 Viagra pills in her luggage. To charge her under Article 178, which is the diversion statute, we need to prove that

you an idea, proposed legislation specifically

25

that creates 219, that individual, if that law was in place, if 219 was in place, 50,000 Viagra pills would be a Class B Felony. So you're going from basically zero to the second highest possible penalty under the penal law in passing this.

Now the other challenge in relation to the airport is the U.S. Postal Service. They maintain a huge facility at JFK as well, as do UPS and FedEx, and they also work with areas of the PD and the Port Authority Police Department. And we work with a joint ICE, Port Authority, and NYPD unit that basically, if any kind of contraband comes through the airport, we would attempt to—and it was destined for Queens, this unit has undercover officers, they'll attempt to deliver a package of heroin or a package of cocaine to an address, and if somebody accepts it, they will immediately execute a search warrant to recover the drugs.

Now the problem is that also millions of non-controlled pills come through the U.S. Postal Service too, similar to my original case where these kids were going to Canada and finding pharmacies there and then mailing the

24

25

substance drugs.

2	pills back. In 2001, Customs wasn't so vigilant
3	at the post office as they are now. In the first
4	four months of 2012, ICE has interdicted over 500
5	packages containing over 704,000 controlled
6	substance pills. Now they estimate that
7	approximately 10% of that is destined for the New
8	York market aloneor New York addresses alone.
9	Now for the same four month period, the beginning
10	of the year, ICE has interdicted over four times
11	that amount2,000 packages containing non-
12	controlled substance prescription pills. There
13	was mention of fake pills, and some of them are
14	included, there is a market for forged pills.
15	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: What are the
16	largest pills that you're seeing, the types of
17	pills that
18	PHILIP ANDERSON: [Interposing]
19	Through the airport of the non-controlled, we
20	mostly see coming into the country, it's mostly
21	Viagra, Cialis, those types of medications. I
22	mean, there's a lot of what are considered lower

I actually have here, just as a

level, but they're still considered controlled

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 visual...

3 [Off mic]

4 PHILIP ANDERSON: Yeah, I'm looking

5 to start it.

6 FEMALE VOICE: Slideshow.

PHILIP ANDERSON: Here we go. we can go from current slides, since it's [off mic]. So this is just basically where they process all the interdicted packages at JFK, and you'll see there is one ICE officer there who is, basically, they're just doing paperwork. There is no intention of delivering or doing anything other than just interdicting the packages, but they still have to process it, and those bins are really just waiting to be processed. A lot of them are sent in these, you know, soft pack mail envelopes, which look relatively small and benign, as opposed to, you know these big boxes, but they can hold an incredible amount of pills. I mean, you can see that's 2,000 Valium pills, which is a controlled substance, but you can see that from the size of the person's hand holding the envelope, it's not really a big envelope.

I mean, we have no estimate of how

many of these get through Customs. A lot of them are manufactured out of the country so they are—you know, this one is Vicodin made in Malaysia.

These are 1,000 Xanax that were just mailed from,

I guess, a regular post office judging from all the stamps, in Pakistan. This is Ambien. If you see the little arrow on the right pointing, I don't know if you can read, but it says for sale only in India. Those are the pills, again, a small envelope, but it's 200 pills. Yeah, that I'll get to in a minute.

The other thing that just sort of anecdotally, just to get back to the non-controlled, is, you know, last week, the police department got a civilian complaint of a deli selling yellow and blue pills. They sent in an undercover to ask for yellows and blues, they were given a Cialis three--two Cialis and one Viagra for \$20, so about \$6 a pill. Based on that, they obtained a search warrant, we executed the search warrant, and they recovered a pill bottle. So this is in a deli, as you can see, one of these objects is not like the other. That's the pill bottle which looks like it's been used a million

times before, and those are, you can't see from this distance, but they are marked Pfizer, the blue pills are marked Pfizer. This is 109 pills that are just being passed over the counter. The individuals at the deli were charged, of course, with selling the pills themselves to the undercover, that's an A Misdemeanor sale. The 109 pills that were recovered, again, no charge. If 219 were in place, we could add at least an E and possibly a D Felony, depending on a calculation of the value of the pills, which, of course, would be much more of a deterrent.

Now, basically, our office believes that some form of--there is talk of electronic prescription programs for reducing the amount of forged prescriptions that are currently circulated, which compose a huge market. And of course, educating parents about the dangers of these pills, especially parents, when a lot of it comes from medicine cabinets. And as Ms. Brennan was showing you, the numbers for just oxycodone alone in terms of the growth, I don't know if you could read from her diagram, but in Queens alone,

2	-these are valid prescriptions validly written.
3	If you assume an average of a 30-day supply of one
4	pill a day, which generally these prescriptions
5	are 120 pills, for 120 pills, so 30 pills is a
6	conservative estimate for an average, we're
7	talking about 7,980,000 pills of oxycodone alone
8	in Queens County alone. It's a huge number. I
9	mean, if you extrapolate that to Vicodin and other
10	controlled pills and add them all together, for
11	Queens, you're talking an astronomical number.
12	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's 7
13	million in what time period?
14	PHILIP ANDERSON: In all of 2008.
15	I'm sorry, 2011.
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay.
17	PHILIP ANDERSON: That would be
18	averaging the prescriptions for oxycodone, which
19	was over 266,000 written in 2011, if you average
20	that one each prescription is for 30 pillswhich
21	we believe is very conservative, because most
22	prescriptions are written for 90 to 120that is
23	almost 8 million pills in 2011 for one of these
24	opiate drugs in one county in the city.
25	So the Queens District Attorney

2	Richard Brown, as everybody probably knows, loves
3	writing a wiretap, has never met a wiretap that he
4	doesn't like. We're going to continue these kinds
5	of investigations if the proposed legislation
6	banning the non-controlled, the possession of non-
7	controlled substances pass too, you know, we fully
8	intend to prosecute those cases exactly the same
9	way. Like I used in my example, the 50,000 Viagra
10	pills, we were talking that's a Class B Felony.
11	So I'd just like to thank the Committee and on
12	behalf of Richard Brown, thanks.
13	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Very
14	informative. Does anyone else have a statement
15	they'd like to give? And please identify
16	yourself.
17	MARC FLIEDNER: Yes, hi, I'm Marc
18	Fliedner, I'm the Chief of Major Narcotics
19	Investigations for the Kings County District
20	Attorney's Office, one of the executive
21	prosecutors there.
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Just move a
23	little closer to the mic or
24	MARC FLIEDNER: Yep, sure
25	[Crosstalk]

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CITA EDDED COM	T 7 7 T T O 3 T T .	1- 1
CHATRPERSON	VALIDONE:	Thanks.

3 Thanks.

MARC FLIEDNER: Grateful to be a part of this discussion. I want to take it from a slightly different angle that I think supports fully exactly what we're talking about here. On a date in February, one of my detective investigators and I were asked to go to a town hall meeting that Senator Marty Golden had planned at the Dyker Heights Junior High School on 12th Avenue in Brooklyn. And we do that often because District Attorney Hynes uses a community-based model for prosecution, so the kinds of information that we get through these meetings and sometimes the intelligence that's generated can be tremendous, but this is not a place we usually go, this is not one of our hotspots for drug activity. And while the substance and the structure of the panel discussion was traditional, the tenor of the conversation was actually chilling.

These were people that were standing up one at a time with this real sense of urgency because three young men between the ages of 18 and 23 had died within an eight-day period

in that community because of prescription drug overdoses. So these people are standing up and they're taking the hand-held microphone and they're saying, we need help.

We heard from a woman who described how while her nephew was convulsing and in the process of dying, his friends fled the scene and didn't get him help because they were concerned that they were going to be caught with the oxy on their person. We also heard her tell about how there was sale and distribution of pills at his funeral a couple of days later.

We heard from another woman who stood up and said, I see kids, junior high school kids outside of the school, kids I've watched grow up and I try to talk to them about the fact that I see what they're doing and they basically tune me out and blow me off. What can I do to get assistance.

And so this was obviously a real call to arms for us. We knew we had a problem, but we did not understand that we were going to be seeing it in these communities that we are--are not the communities that we traditionally serve in

the context of organized narcotics investigations.

And so what we've got is we've got this situation where, as a law enforcement community, we've battled the narcotics trade using very familiar tools, we know how to identify certain organizations and how they're structured and how to infiltrate them for the purpose of making buys and then ultimately developing investigations. But this is an entirely new drug epidemic and the kinds of tools that we would have used aren't always working, as Bridget and some of the colleagues pointed out.

A couple of things that are worth note is that the kid who would not have chosen to use crack or heroin, is now stumbling into this world of prescription drug use because these are legal pills and because they're, unfortunately, readily accessible. The distributors of these drugs are not necessarily the scary drug dealers in neighborhoods that they wouldn't necessarily always think to enter, they are literally the doctors who are, as was pointed out, pain management specialists as advertised and they're operating out of these very nice offices down the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

block. They're also getting access to pills from their buddies at the school who will pass them around. They're also getting them from, as previously referenced, faceless pitchmen who are on the other end of a computer. So this is a whole new world.

Now because the dynamics of prescription drug distribution are new to us, we have to, as the special narcotics prosecutor well pointed out, pool our technological and intellectual resources, we've got to create our own new models to address the problem. enforcement agencies that didn't traditionally work together have to be sharing our resources and our databases, that's a critical component of it. We've got to teach each other what we're learning. In an environment where the tricks of the trade are changing, daily. I've in recent weeks met with DEA agents, colleagues at the State Attorney General's office, NYPD detectives that I had never worked with before and we've exchanged ideas about strategies, which sometimes, by the way, can include very valuable civil remedies as a component of the solution to the problem with a

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particular case, and we've discussed the need for changes in the penal law that recognize the unique nature of this prescription drug epidemic.

The District Attorney of Kings County Joe Hynes absolutely supports the legislation that was proposed by Senator Hannon and Assembly O'Donnell, and then there are a couple of specific reasons why we really like it. It recognizes so many of the problems that have led to this specific new epidemic. It recognizes that the over-prescribing by the health practitioners is a problem that's got to be addressed the legislation. It recognizes -- and criminal legislation in the penal law--it recognizes that over dispensing by pharmacies is part of it, there's got to be accountability. it also recognizes that the unchecked use of electronic means for disbursement is part of the problem. It also recognizes that because the prescription form, the true paper prescription in the old days, now the computer electronic prescription, is the initial instrument of distribution, the penalties for its improper use must be adequate. And it recognizes that the

availability of--in our community of noncontrolled prescription drugs enhances and
supports the system that's driving the controlled
substance trade. Particularly, what we're seeing
in Brooklyn now is OxyContin. Today's heroin, we
all know that, it's becoming something of epidemic
proportions.

In Brooklyn today, the businessman from Staten Island and the college kid from Manhattan and the junior high school student from down the lot can get prescription drugs from a street dealer. Basically, it's what do you need and do you need it delivered and we'll accommodate it. The marijuana and crack is sold along with any variety of prescription and both controlled and non-controlled prescription medications.

And so the bottom line is that if these people's lives can be destroyed by addiction no matter which one of these things he chooses from the hand of his drug dealer, then we have to have law enforcement tools, including legislation, that recognize the true dangers of each and every one of these substances. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: That's very

2.

interesting about how easy it is for kids
nowadays, they no longer have to go into a scary
alley and meet a drug dealer, they just have to go
to a doctor or know someone who went to a doctor,
amazing. Does anyone else have anything they'd
like to say? Please identify yourself.

PAUL MAHONEY: Yes, thank you, Mr. Vallone, and thanks to the Committee. I am Paul Mahoney, I'm Assistant Deputy Attorney General for the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit. And with the Deputy Attorney General and the 300 staffers in Medicaid Fraud Control, we combat waste, fraud, and abuse in Medicaid program. In fact, the case Mr. Vallone mentioned in his opening remarks, the \$250 million HIV takedown last month in Long Island was our case. And we'd like to briefly speak about the Attorney General's response to this problem.

I'm going to trim my remarks

considerably, in part because there is absolutely

no disagreement among healthcare advocates and law

enforcement as the cause of the problem and the

magnitude of the problem. All I can do here at

this meeting is give the City Council and my

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

colleagues some cold comfort that you're not alone. It's a statewide problem, our report in January spelled out that Buffalo has this problem, Rochester has this problem, Syracuse has the problem. It's not a question of city demographics, it's a question of availability of these substances.

And in fact, we've come at this question actually in sort of a 180 degree reversal of roles from our counterparts who have done traditional narcotics cases. We addressed it as a question of fraud for many years because, from our perspective, the problem is almost a perfect storm of crime. Not only--and I think this is a real driver of this problem--not only do people get their hands on drugs that they want to get their hands on, but someone else pays for it. With heroin, with cocaine, other traditional per se contraband, you have to come up with your own revenue stream to fund your own need for it. With prescription drugs and prescription controlled substances, in most cases, you can find someone else to pay for it; and if you can't find someone else to pay for it, it's still legal for you to

buy it.

And so with those problems, we went to a large number of stakeholders and we tried to get at what drives this and we can't immediately address the question of addiction, but we thought that the three key elements involved information, accessibility, and, particularly, accountability for each of the actors in the chain of the problem.

And so let me note, the state is paying \$1 billion in Medicaid funds alone for controlled substances for 2007 through end of 2010, so \$250 million a year for the state. It's roughly matched by private insurance, actually private insurance is probably a little bit higher. Each case that Medicaid fraud has taken down has cost the state approximately \$1 million in Medicaid loss, but that's a gross oversimplification, because as the prosecutors know, in a grand larceny case once you hit a million-dollar threshold, there's not much need to go beyond that.

So our view is that we have to address the problem from each of the built-in

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defenses that the paper prescription and the medical system gets because at each point where a law enforcement officer -- and we heard from the inspector earlier about the problems of doing these undercover operations -- each time the law enforcement officer breaks into that circle, each of the actors can point to the other as justifying their decision tree. The doctor who issued the prescription can say that the patient misreported his medical history and his condition. And then the question is who is the jury going to believe, the admitted drug addict or the patient--or the person with a diploma. So we call that the Blame the Patient defense. The pharmacy that dispense the drug, even though they strongly suspected that the person was presenting it in bad faith, can say, who am I to second-guess the doctor, I'm going to blame the doctor. And the drug abuser can say, well, I said I had this problem, I do have a physiological problem, addiction is a serious physiological problem, so who am I to second-quess. And then we call that Blame the White Coats. So it's almost a perfect crime from a criminal perspective.

And without reciting the stats that 2 we've all discussed already, let me just say that 3 the I-STOP legislation that the Attorney General 4 5 has proposed attempts to address each of the factors in this chain without substituting 6 legislation for medical judgment. It puts the good-faith doctor in connection with the patient's 9 total controlled substance history and it doesn't 10 need to rely on misreporting from the patient. 11 gives the pharmacist access to an electronic 12 record of the prescription being issued and 13 greatly reducing forgery, greatly reducing the 14 delayed timing that we heard discussion of earlier, and greatly reducing the value of the 15 16 paper prescription as a commodity. And the good-17 faith patient, who may nonetheless be a good-faith 18 patient but has a drug abuse problem, now has a 19 doctor who has accurate information who can point 20 them towards the needs for treatment rather than simply fueling the addiction by generating more 21 22 pills. And this legislation, which Mr. Krakower will flesh out in a moment, we think will enhance 23 24 the prescription monitoring program in New York State, which is useful, but it's outdated, and it 25

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will make a large dent in the problem.

I wanted to point out one thing about one of the earlier slides, just as an aside. There is a discussion that frequently describes these entities as pain management clinics. thing we frequently overlook is that pain management as a medical specialty, a board certification, is actually a very rare certification. Most of these places who open up as pain management clinics have the most general certification in general medicine. They are actually often--not every single one of them, certainly some are board-certified and many are acting in good faith, but it often reflects a physician who has gone on a downward slope in their career and is essentially opening a retail storefront for transacting drugs, and that has been the case with most of the pill mills that have been taken down. So the simple veneer of calling something pain management does not indicate a medical judgment, it is merely a marketing aspect for many of these doctors. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:

Thank you.

2.

questions.

Let me just say that we've been joined by Council
Members Greenfield, Gentile, Gennaro came by,
Garodnick. Did I miss anyone? No, and when we're
done, we're going to go to Greenfield for some

Thank you.

and thank you, Mr. Chairman, and thank you,

Members of the Council. I guess it's good to-
that I'm wrapping up this panel with what was

touched upon very tangentially by several of the

speakers and it is a, we think a key component of

a solution. And perhaps it's fitting that I'm not

a professional prosecutor here, I'm the Senior

Adviser and Counselor to the Attorney General.

And what the Attorney General has introduced in the legislature is a first of its kind, most comprehensive tracking system which we call the Internet System for Tracking Over-Prescribing, and it really gets to a key component of this problem of updating and modernizing the state's current prescription monitoring program so that doctors and pharmacists and the medical community really know who and why is seeking a legal substance. And because it's new, we know

lots of questions have been raised, so I'm going
to brief my remarks for description in case there
are questions and I'm going to outline it.

What the I-STOP legislation would do, it would require at first glance when a doctor wishes to write a prescription for a controlled substance in a non-emergency setting would check a real-time Internet-based database which would contain the drug and prescription history of the patient. The doctor would look on the system and get an up-to-date information of to what controlled substances the person seeking a script-and in our society, it is a script that is the gateway to a prescription drug-has had.

And this is just a sort of accordance with what common medical practice would be--a doctor, physician to say, what's your drug history. And one of the key things to realize as we get to the law enforcement benefits is the Attorney General believes, and we think this is, I-STOP is not only a law enforcement tool, it is a diagnostic tool as well. It gives an accurate computer-based history.

So the doctor logs on to the system

and/or prior to the patient arriving, they could use their clerks as well, and gets this computer database system of the patient's prescription and drug history. Then, using medical judgment, the doctor can determine what is medically necessary and he or she can issue a script as they do now. At the point of issuing, the doctor's office enters into the system that a script has been entered, and you could do this through I-STOP, you could also, you know, do it through electronic scripting as well, but that is the key point.

So, one, then the patient can go across the street to a pharmacist, who needs the drug and who deserves the drug, and get the script. At that point, the pharmacist can check to see that there is a real script. It is not a stolen script, it has not been forged, the patient has not upticked it by entering a zero or changing a three to an eight, or just simply that this hasn't taken a stolen prescription of a kind of the rogue employee that I think was on the previous slide projections.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: And right now there's no way for pharmacists to know that unless

2 they actually call the doctor, and there's no 3 requirement that they do that, correct?

GREGORY KRAKOWER: Correct. Right now, the current system has only pharmacists entering data, mandatory once every 45 days.

Many, many pharmacists do it once a week, but the data then sits in the state, it's not really a--doctors have options to have access to it, but very few are, and there certainly is no mandate, as the Attorney General has proposed.

So you've actually addressed several of the problems here, not all of them, but several of the problems. One is the doctor shopper, right? You might have a reason why you've lost one script and then you've gone to a second doctor in the same day to get a drug. Right now, the second doctor, the third doctor, the fourth doctor, the fifth doctor a patient has seen in a day—and this is obviously a drug seeking person, not for medical reasons—has no idea that there are two or three or four scripts out there written in the same month, same hour, same day. Now the third doctor will be able to see, you know what, two scripts, you've gotten

2	your two scripts already from two different								
3	doctors, and a good-faith doctor will not, on his								
4	or her own judgment, probably issue a third of a								
5	script there. And that is a key control of the								
6	problem of doctor shopping.								
7	It also ties into a solution of the								
8	bad-faith doctor and the lying patient. And let's								
9	be clear, some doctors actually are lied to by								
10	their patients and, you know, err on the side of								
11	pain management and alleviating pain. Part of I-								
12	STOP is, in fact, making sure that patients who								
13	need it and are legitimately given a script can								
14	get access to their medications. So								
15	[Crosstalk]								
16	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing]								
17	That is excellent legislation. Just tell us where								
18	it is now and in either House and what's the								
19	future?								
20	GREGORY KRAKOWER: It is pending,								
21	we are in active negotiations and we feel								
22	optimistic, we think we've gotten the word out								

23 and--CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: [Interposing] 24 Well I supported their--it's in our Health

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Committee, which some people may be confused.
3	We're looking at another resolution here which
4	does otherwhich stiffens some penalties. Your
5	legislation does what you just described, that's
6	in the Health Committee, and they've had a hearing
7	on that already, but I fully support it, I think
8	it's a great legislation.

GREGORY KRAKOWER: Well we appreciate that and we appreciate other Councilman have support it, but, you know, this is new and folks want to make sure that there are protections and there are going to be privacy protections in the bill and, you know, it's not a--we've made sure that there are exemptions for emergency medicines, no one is making a doctor check a database when there is an emergency or someone is in urgent need of care. By the way, those are generally not 30-day scripts, they're emergency 5day oral scripts.

So, you know, we had it new, we wanted to present it at this hearing and take questions on it. But we do think a real-time Internet-based system is work, it's feasible, it's affordable, and with a little hope and a lot of

2	optimism And we t	, we	think	it's	the	way	of	the	future.
3	And we t	hank	you.						

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. And my
Council Members have been waiting patiently so
I'll go first to them before I ask questions.
Council Member Greenfield.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to thank you all for your testimony today and for the good work that you do.

I'm curious about a couple of things. You know, when we speak about things like painkillers, right, OxyContin and Vicodin and Demerol, I think unanimously we all agree big medicine society, big problem, very nontraditional drug market, right, you know, not necessarily being sold, you know, in the street alleys, and I think it concerns us all. And I applaud you for all the work that you've been doing on that front.

I am a little bit concerned, I just am curious in terms of the legislation that's out there, sort of, how it would overlap between the two, right. There is, I guess for lack of a better term, I will call it a gray market perhaps

[Off mic]

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Yeah. Kris
Hamann has been working on this legislation from

saying?

2	like to watch this on the City Council website.
3	But apologies, it was supposed to go live today,
ł	I'm sorry it didn't happen. But, yes, you were

BRIDGET BRENNAN: Kristine Hamann is the Executive Assistant District attorney in our office.

protection in the bill goes to the quantity of the pills that would be possessed so that if someone is getting these pills in the gray market, they would get a quantity that would be amenable to their particular illness and they wouldn't fall within the statute. The statute goes to large quantities that are not something that someone who needs it and gets it from the gray market would normally possess.

Nevertheless, it is still important to discourage the gray market because what we have seen is that medications that go through this gray market have a number of major problems. Number one, you might not get the pill that you think you're getting, which is very serious. So if you go in the gray--you don't want to encourage people

2	to do this because they might think they're
3	getting expensive AIDS medication and it is not
4	because we've seen, and the AG's office

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Sure.

KRISTINE HAMANN: --I think has seen this, it is falsely packaged. Number two, we have no guarantee that it's being maintained in a way that keeps the pills properly refrigerated, if the expiration date has expired and so forth. So we do have the protections, just that larger quantities is what the bill is going after, because that's what we're seeing, that the business end of it is in large quantities.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So when you say--so I just want to respond to the two points that you made. First of all, we're not encouraging people to do this. The reality is people have no choice, right. I mean, so if you're dying from whatever disease that happens to be out there, whether it's heart disease or cancer or anything else, right, and you can't afford to pay the medication. This is happening--nothing to do with you--because of the failure of, obviously, the American medical system. So I want to be

clearAmerican healthcare system, ratherit's
nothing to do with what you folks are doing, but
we're not encouraging, but it is happening.
Although I will note that, I'm sure you may have
read the article, the Op Ed, I think, in the
Times, it was either yesterday or two days ago,
that pointed out that there are reputable sites
that people who do the correct research could
actually get proper medication, but there's no
question that, like everything else on the
Internet, that there's a lot of fraud. I mean,
the Nikes that you order you think are legitimate
as, in fact, we discovered iPhones, the people
thought were legitimate are not either. But
there's no question that there are legitimate
sites, you know, certified licensed Canadian
pharmacies versus, you know, ones that are scams.
And just in all honesty, I think it's fair to
point out both points.

But when you say large quantities, what does that mean? I mean, so obviously 90 days, right, I'm guessing that's not going to fall into that rubric. What do we define as a large quantity?

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 94
2	KRISTINE HAMANN: If I can just get
3	the bill?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Please.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: A point
6	of information, the bill 21910 section 1 through 2
7	lists them. The aggregate value of a non-
8	controlled substance prescription medication
9	exceeds \$200 or possesses 20 or more pills.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Oh, so
11	that's not a very large quantity.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: No, it's
13	not and when you're talking about HIV, AZT
14	[Crosstalk]
15	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:related
16	drugs, they are far in excess of \$200 for a single
17	dose, let alone multiple doses. Just to make the
18	record clear about the point you're making,
19	Councilman.
20	KRISTINE HAMANN: And that is the
21	misdemeanor.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Yes.
23	KRISTINE HAMANN: Right.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Yeah,
25	that's criminalizing it, I'm just pointing that

2 out, that's--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[Interposing] Okay. So then I'm a little confused, so that's not a large quantity.

KRISTINE HAMANN: Well this would be a--I think it all depends on how much you can get over the Internet in one fell swoop for what your medical needs are. One of the issues that we have been discussing is whether there would be some kind of affirmative defense that we could put into the bill in order to provide a defense for someone who can actually demonstrate a medical need.

I just want to state for the record that I will not support any bill that does not have a very clear carve out, and once again, I'm just a City Council Member, but I will make it my business to lobby against any particular legislation that does not have a very clear carve out as opposed to an affirmative defense, which means we just busted a cancer patient's door down and now we drag them away in handcuffs, and now after six months in trial he can make an affirmative defense, that's

not good enough for me. From where I sit. I want
to be clear, again, I think the work that you're
doing, and I praised it before, the work that
you're doing when it comes to the painkillersthe
OxyContin, the Vicodin, Demerolfantastic work, I
applaud you, it's life-saving work. However,
unintentionally, I'm honestly afraid that you may
end up killing people by creating such a low
threshold\$200 or 20 pills. It's routinemy
understanding is it's routine for people to order
30, 60, 90 days worth of pills and we're talking
about literally, in some cases, in life-saving
cases, we're talking about AIDS or cancers or
heart patients, thousands of dollars. So I
respect what you're doing, I have great
appreciation, and I believe that your heart's in
the right place, but I think that there needs to
be a very clear and consistent carve out for
people who are taking advantage of the gray market
because they have no choice.
I want to repeat that these are not

I want to repeat that these are not people, right--you know, I have a health insurance plan, I work for the City, I get paid well, I can afford these things, I have a reasonable

deductible, that's me. We're all lucky, most of us in this room are lucky, but there are plenty of people out there, unfortunately, today, they're unemployed, they have no health insurance, they don't have the ability to pay these astronomical bills. Someone now gets cancer or AIDS or has a heart condition. We're talking about literally thousands of dollars in what it costs over the counter to go and, quite frankly, we can't blame them for trying to seek out another market to save their lives. These are parents, these are children.

And so I just want to state that for the record and very strongly encourage you to change the bill, and I think you'd have a lot more support if you did that.

EXPLICITINE HAMANN: I just want to explain one, the phenomenon that we're seeing is that there's a very swift market in these pills, particularly focused on 157th and Broadway, and the very thing that we're seeing there is that people are selling these small amounts of pills. We are, the police--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

2	[Interposing]	I'm	not	blaming	you,	I	understand,
3	mv						

4 KRISTINE HAMANN: Right.

you can figure out a way--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: -
point, however, is that you are brilliant

attorneys, right, all of you are sitting there,

you're the best and the brightest that we have,

KRISTINE HAMANN: Yes.

You can carve out people who have legitimate health needs and, from my understanding of what you're telling me and from what Council Member Halloran is telling me, it seems that right now, you haven't done that yet. So I'm simply encouraging you to do that, and just from my part, until that happens, I will be voting no. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Thank you,

Council Member. And I actually think that's what

I was getting at before when I said what may be

the reasons that people oppose this, and that's

what I was looking for. I assume that's what some

of Albany has a problem with and I would encourage

guess that has to be just what's emphasized. also I'm glad to see, and I will point out so that

25

2	the Council Member is aware, you redefined the
3	sale component. Most people don't realize this,
4	and it's a bit of an education that I'm about to
5	give them, I think, you can be charged with
6	criminal sale of a controlled substance without
7	actually selling it. You can hand it to somebody
8	and our courts will construe that as a sale, there
9	does not have to be actual money or goods or items
10	transferred, which I think is not right, but
11	that's a whole 'nother issue. But in your
12	particular legislation that's proposed, you've
13	included a pecuniary requirement, so that is a
14	difference, that changes things, right?
15	KRISTINE HAMANN: Yes, that has
16	always been there and we just added sections for
17	if you do it repeatedly, and that enhances the
18	penalties. We did not change the way the law
19	exists in 178.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Okay. So
21	I mean, that would be something where I think the
22	Council Member's concerns could be addressed, both
23	in the carve out exception for
2.4	KRISTINE HAMANN: [Interposing]

Yeah, that's already there, right.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Okay.
3	KRISTINE HAMANN: But the medical
4	need issue is one that we are aware of, but we
5	have not sat down and actually hammered out the
6	actual language, but it is something that we are
7	aware of.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: So and I
9	appreciate the fact that there has been a lot of
LO	consideration going on in the drafting of this.
11	KRISTINE HAMANN: [Interposing]
L2	Tremendous amount. Yeah, because we're trying to
L3	balance to have something that actually is useful
L4	to law enforcement, what the officers are seeing
15	on the street. We don't want to draft a bill that
L6	would not help prevent this, yet we do understand
L7	the concerns about people who would want to go
L8	into the gray market, though as I said before, it
L9	is a dangerous market
20	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Sure.
21	KRISTINE HAMANN:to go into.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Because
23	you don't know what you're getting, you don't know
24	if it's
25	KRISTINE HAMANN: You don't know

1	COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 103
2	what
3	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:
4	legitimate and there are very real concerns about-
5	_
6	KRISTINE HAMANN: Yes.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:are you
8	even getting something that's going to work.
9	KRISTINE HAMANN: And it's
10	[Crosstalk]
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Is it not
12	a placebo, is it, you know
13	KRISTINE HAMANN:we're trying to
14	prevent the dangers of that gray market and so we
15	don't want to tie our hands to be able to protect-
16	_
17	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:
18	[Interposing] Most of this is probably a federal
19	problem, some congressman down the road will have
20	to look at the FDA and figure out what to do with
21	it, I'll let you know in November how that works
22	out.
23	PHILIP ANDERSON: Can I just
24	correct one thing though?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Sure.

2	PHILIP ANDERSON: For 178 for
3	giving a prescription or a prescription drug that
4	is not a controlled substance, you do have tothe
5	diversionary act for that is something of
6	pecuniary value.
7	KRISTINE HAMANN: Yes, that what
8	heright, right.
9	PHILIP ANDERSON: Right. Unlike a
10	regular street drug
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Which is
12	hand-to-hand is good enough and it doesn't require
13	money.
14	[Crosstalk]
14 15	[Crosstalk] PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or
15	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or
15 16	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done.
15 16 17	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:
15 16 17 18	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Absolutely.
15 16 17 18	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Absolutely. KRISTINE HAMANN: And could I make
15 16 17 18 19 20	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Absolutely. KRISTINE HAMANN: And could I make a suggestion, you were going to endorse this bill,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Absolutely. KRISTINE HAMANN: And could I make a suggestion, you were going to endorse this bill, which I think is very important, we're in the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PHILIP ANDERSON:any money or anything, then you're done. COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Absolutely. KRISTINE HAMANN: And could I make a suggestion, you were going to endorse this bill, which I think is very important, we're in thewe're thick into the legislativeyou might want

2 [Crosstalk]

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN:

[Interposing] And, Mr. Chairman, we can make friendly request for if a friendly amendment to the resolution asking that there be a carve out exception with regards to the health need as indicated.

KRISTINE HAMANN: Someone who can demonstrate a legitimate medical need, yes.

council Member Halloran: I have one other question and this just has to go with the database itself. Anytime I hear the government compiling a list, I get worried. It's the libertarian in me. So in the privacy components that we're talking about, do we have or have you envisioned safeguards that will both penalize anyone who improperly utilizes the database vis-à-vis disclosing, disseminating, other than the HIPAA or the existing regulations, and if not, why not? Because you should. If we're going to put people in jail for carrying these prescriptions illegally, we should certainly put in jail people who release information from these lists which are now being compiled

2	illegally. So have you thought about things like
3	that?
4	GREGORY KRAKOWER: I can answer
5	this really quickly. Yes, and it's in the
6	legislation.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: And you
8	have answered my question, thank you very much.
9	Mr. Chair, I give it back to you.
10	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Okay. I
11	don't oppose that amendmentI'm speaking to
12	counsel about whether we can legally do it, and I
13	think that's part of the problem that they're
14	facing in Albany too, to make something legal
15	which is federally illegal, buying things on the
16	Internet. So I don't oppose it and if it's going
17	to get committee members to vote on it, I would
18	not have a problem, but I'm going to have counsel
19	take a look at it and then discuss it with these
20	great minds sitting over here also
21	[Crosstalk]
22	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:from the
23	police department who is still here listening, I'm
24	going to put you to work.
25	Does anyone else have any

questions? We were joined by Ulrich, who left us.

And I've been asking my questions throughout, so I know you've been sitting up there a while, so I want to thank you all for your help, but we'll move forward with this and we'll talk to you about possibly amending it. And if there's anything else we can do, you don't have to let us know today, but if there's anything else, just contact myself or my counsel. When it comes to City laws or resolutions that we can help you with in Albany, you let us know because we want to work with you to solve this epidemic. Thank you all.

The next and last witness is the attorney for the family of--I can't read the writing, but I think it's Jaimie Taccetta, the estate of Jaimie Taccetta, and that was one of the victims of the massacre out in Suffolk for prescription drugs. Attorney's name is Vesselin Mitev. Who has writing like mine, which is [off mic] like a prescription.

VESSELIN MITEV: It's Vesselin, V-E-S-S-E-L-I-N, last name Mitev, M-I-T-E-V.

Chairman Vallone, I want to thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak. Honorable Council

And it's everybody here has talked today largely about the demand side and how hard it is to control the demand side of drugs that are seemingly available at every street corner. You heard references to that they're available on the Internet, Craigslist, and everybody who seems to be able to have access to these drugs, but what

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

nobody's really talked about is that there's no efforts, that I can see anyway, to block the supply side. And the supply side that we're talking about, and the prior speakers alluded to tracking down suppliers and pharmacists and pill mills and pain management doctors, but there's an even upper echelon that nobody's mentioned today, and that is the pharmaceutical manufacturers and distributors, who, since 1920, have been working on ways to fashion a more addictive opioid drug, which has--the evolution of that drug as you now know it, is manufactured as Vicodin, oxycodone, hydrocodone. But it's these manufacturers, and I think it was made a reference to earlier, market and advertise their drugs to the public as cureall, miracle drugs.

And the reason that it took so long for it to come on the market was that there was an intense, euphoric effect on the early trials and those intense, euphoric effects were only matched by the fast symptoms of withdrawal. So those symptoms of withdrawal are still present and prevalent, and those symptoms were prevalent in David Laffer, who was the guy who broke into the

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pharmacy and started shooting everyone in sight.
He was suffering from withdrawal because he had an

addiction to the painkillers.

And so what we've done, you hear about the war on drugs and the war on drugs is something that you've had service members, members of the police department, you know, lose their lives over. Well if what I just heard today is that this is the new crack and this is the new heroin, well then there has to be a war on drugs domestically and that war must also, by definition, then include the manufactures of these drugs who are nothing more, it seems, than pushers in lab coats. And if anybody, you know, anybody know the Wire show and the reference, these are people like Stringer Bell and Avon Barksdale in They don't have that regulation. How lab coats. do these people get the drugs so they can write a prescription? Well they get them from the pharmaceutical companies.

And what's even more startling is that, in the wake of all these statistics that we saw today and all the epidemics that are skyrocketing, as you all sat here and saw the

PowerPoint presentations, right now there is at least three pharmaceutical companies that are planning or in the patent process stages of hitting the market with a drug that's pure hydrocodone, that's ten times more addictive than what's on the market today. And that's going to come on the market as early as 2013, and two more after that under various brand names are going to come on the market. So your supply side is going to become increasingly harder to control.

You already have the demand side, these people are hooked on the pills, they want them, and now, despite all the statistics and all the research that shows that these drugs are incredibly addictive, the legislation is already in the works that you're going to have an even more potent drug, ten times more addictive than Vicodin, on the market in 2013. That is a direct result of the pharmaceutical companies' lobby efforts.

And the reason that is goes back to 1970, the federal Controlled Substances Act said for certain pills that you can mix with another pill, like a painkiller, you could refill that up

to five times. So there's a huge loophole in the law that the manufacturers vehemently fought to get so that they could have their product be refilled up to five times without anyone checking to see if whether or not that prescription was truly needed.

Knowing that, and knowing how hard they fought against other preventative measures, such as the time release of the drugs, people would just simply crush them so they could get all the high immediately. Well they got around that by saying, well we won't make the drugs easy to crumble, we'll make them easy to squish, and that was their response to that. But if you squish a pill, you can still take it and the time release factor still kicks in.

So knowing what we know,
everybody's talked about today, I feel that the
legislation that's proposed now is good, but more
needs to be done, and more needs to be done at a
higher level and that's what we want to do. We
want to lead the war, the battle against these
manufacturers who are pushing, unloading their
product, which is highly potent and highly

б

addictive, to the general public of the United

States. And what we saw in Medford in Suffolk

County last year was just the most critical

example of what goes wrong when somebody that's

addicted to a legal drug goes in like a demon and

just shoots everyone inside in order to get their

fix.

So all the other culprits that we talked about here today—the doctors, the pain management specialists, the pill mills—they all had to get that supply, that drug somewhere, and where did they get that? Well we say they got them with the pharmaceutical manufacturers who distribute the drugs. And they have a perfect business model for that because they have a customer for life and they have a distributor in a lab coat, a pusher in a lab coat that will, you know, make sure that he pushes that drugs on the street because he has a client for life, that customer will always keep coming back.

So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: Well thank you, that was interesting. We hadn't heard about the actual manufacturers until you spoke. I think

2	actually Special Prosecutor Narcotics Prosecutor
3	Brennan mentioned that it was the pharmaceutical
4	companies, '95, I think you said that
5	[Off mic]
6	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:early
7	nineties that convinced the doctors to start
8	prescribing these in greater measures.
9	And I'm going to look into a little
10	bit about that 'cause I do remember reading about
11	that drug that's up for approval in 2013, and
12	perhaps since the City Council isn't bought and
13	sold by pharmaceutical companies, we can do a Reso
14	here opposing that. I want to speak to some of
15	the experts first about that drug and that might
16	be something we do, and we will let you know if we
17	do that.
18	So I don't think there are any
19	questions, but we thank you for taking the time to
20	be here and wait all morning to give us that
21	testimony and good
22	VESSELIN MITEV: [Interposing]
23	Thank you for allowing me to do that.
24	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE:good luck
25	to you. I think that's it, so I want to thank you

2	all for being here today on the firstthat was
3	supposed to be the first live televised hearing,
4	but wasn't. And we will continue to work on this
5	topic with everyone in this room. Thank you all.
6	[Gavel]
7	MALE VOICE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	CHAIRPERSON VALLONE: It was a good
9	hearing. We're still on.

I, Tammy Wittman, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Tammy Littman

Date _May 10, 2012_