CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----X

March 2, 2012 Start: 10:09 a.m. Recess: 12:17 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ERIC MARTIN DILAN

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Council Member Gale A. Brewer

Council Member Leroy G. Comrie, Jr.

Council Member Elizabeth S. Crowley

Council Member James F. Gennaro

Council Member Robert Jackson

Council Member Letitia James

Council Member Brad S. Lander

Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito

Council Member Rosie Mendez

Council Member James S. Oddo

Council Member Joel Rivera

Council Member Jumaane D. Williams

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Matthew Wambua Commissioner Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Elizabeth Gahmer Director of Research Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Ellen Davidson Staff Attorney, Civil Law Reform Unit Legal Aid Society

Samuel Lui Staff Attorney Manhattan Legal Services/Legal Service NYC

Pavita Krishnaswamy
Deputy Director of Litigation
South Brooklyn Legal Services/Legal Services NYC

Donna Chiu Senior Staff Attorney MFY Legal Services

Camilla Shideen Supervising Housing Attorney New York Legal Assistance Group

Frank Ricci Director of Government Affairs Rent Stabilization Association

Maggie Russell-Ciardi Executive Director Tenants and Neighbors

Sam Stein Rent Regulation Campaign Coordinator Tenants and Neighbors

Nina Marie Raposo Citizen advocate

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Marta White Citizen advocate

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

[gavel]

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Good morning, everybody. My name is Eric Martin Dilan and I'm the Chairperson of the City Council's Committee on Housing and Buildings. I'd like to thank everyone for attending today's hearing. Today, before the Committee of Housing and Buildings, there are two legislative items for consideration. The first is Intro 791, sponsored by Council Member Gonzalez, which is a local law to amend the Administrative Code, in relation to extending rent stabilization laws; as well as Resolution 1230, sponsored by Council Member Viverito, a Resolution determining that there is a public emergency requiring rent control in the City of New York. That public emergency continues to exist and will continue to exist on and after April 1 of 2012. The regulation of rents in the City of New York is of great concern to many New Yorkers; therefore, this Committee does intend to act to continue the rent regulation system and want to assure everyone publicly that this Committee in short order will be reporting these two items out to the entire Council for a vote. Although the Housing--the

2 Committee today will not be voting on these items, at the conclusion of this hearing these two items 3 will be laid aside, and today we will listen to 4 5 testimony from HPD and other experts on the passage of Intro 791, or potential passage of 6 Intro 791, that would extend the rent stabilization law from April 1, 2012 until April 9 1, 2015; as well as Reso 1230 which would extend 10 the rent control law from April 1, 2012 until 11 April 1, 2015. Obviously, we expected to hear 12 from HPD on the changes in data that the Housing 13 and Vacancy Survey may have provided over the past 14 three years, as well as representatives from the 15 real estate industry, tenant leaders, housing 16 advocates and other interested parties. Since we 17 potentially expect a lot of people to sign up to 18 testify, I respectfully request that all witnesses 19 summarize their testimony when possible. We'll 20 make sure that it is entered into the record in 21 its full form. And I also require that everyone 22 in the audience be courteous to those who are 23 presenting, regardless of whether you disagree 24 with their position or not. I'd like to keep the 25 clapping and hissing or any other outward gestures

to a minimum. Finally, anyone who wants to

testify on today's items should see the Sergeantat-Arms in the back, and fill out their cell

phone--fill out their, fill out an appearance card
and state whether you are in favor or opposition
of the items before the Committee today. With
that, I see that the Commissioner is in his chair,
Commissioner Wambua, you are up, and you can
announce who's with you. You can get that cell
phone under control. [background comment, laughs]
I did the same thing, don't worry about it.
[laughs] And you can begin your testimony shortly
thereafter.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Absolutely. Good morning, Chairman Dilan, it's a pleasure to see you, and, and the members of the Housing and Buildings Committee. They will be here arriving I'm sure shortly. I'm Matthew Wambua from HPD, as mentioned. And with me today is Dr. Mun What Lee, who is Assistant Commissioner of Housing Policy Analysis and Statistical Research, as well as Liz Gahmer [phonetic] who is HPD's Director of Research. Thank you again for the opportunity to be here today to testify in support of Reso 1230

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	and Intro 790. As you already know, we've
3	submitted selected initial findings for the 2011
4	HVS to the Council, and my testimony today
5	represents an opportunity for us to present those
6	preliminary findings, for the purposes of today's
7	testimony I've submitted both a written testimony
8	which you have a copy of, but I'm going to be
9	working off of the PowerPoint that you also have a
10	copy of, and for the viewing pleasure of the
11	audience, we're projecting it overhead. With
12	that, why don't we jump in.
13	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Hold on one
14	sec.
15	MATHEW WAMBUA: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: [pause,

background noise] Okay, all right, - -

MATHEW WAMBUA: Jump in? All right. In summary, as you're already aware of, Chairman, the Housing and Vacancy Survey's used to determine the need for continuing, for continuing the regulation of rents in New York City. The survey's been carried out over the course of--or every three years over the course of the last 46 years since 1965. It's conducted by the U.S.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Census Bureau at the request of, of the City. sample for the current survey that we're going to be going over the results of, is drawn from the 2010 decennial census, and the interviews for the current surveys were conducted between February and May of 2011. Ultimately, the HVS provides data on the rental vacancy rate, the supply of housing, median income in rents and the condition of housing accommodation. As we jump into our synopsis of the survey's findings, it's also worth noting that because the current survey is drawn from the 2010 decennial data, which is a different data source than previous surveys have been drawn from, it is a bit difficult to do year or very or survey over survey comparisons. That said, we're confident that this survey provides us with invaluable, an invaluable snapshot of the current housing conditions. Moving onto page three. noted, the, the primary purpose of the HVS is to determine whether a housing emergency continues to exist in New York City. Based on the survey findings, the, the City's vacancy rate currently stands at 3.12 percent, which is well below the five percent threshold that has been set by the

State and by local laws as the condition for
determining the, whether a housing emergency
persists in New York City. Moreover, if we, if we
drilled down further into this finding, what we
see is that the vacancy rate tightens
significantly with respect to rental stock, which
is most affordable. So, on the slide that you're
looking at, you can see that the vacancy rate
ranges roughly from 1.1 percent to about 5.26
percent, the 1.1 percent being, representing the
vacancy rate amongst the most affordable units,
5.26 percent representing vacancy rate amongst the
most expensive units. Clearly, these findings
speak not only to the persistence of a housing
emergency in New York City, but also to the
importance of the need for us to continue
producing and preserving affordable housing,
particularly at lower stratas of the income bands.
Page four, please. As mentioned, the HVS provides
a snapshot of the City's current housing
inventory. Presently there's 3.35 million units
of housing in the City, which is the largest stock
recorded since the HVS has been recording this
statistic. Of the 3.35 million units of housing,

2.1 million constitute rental units, of which
about 45 percent are rent regulated, about 39
percent are unregulated, and 14 percent make up
the remaining stock including public housing,
Mitchell-Lama, HUD regulated units, among others.
Of the 2.1 million rental units, 68,000 units are
vacant and available for rent, thereby yielding us
the 3.12 percent vacancy rate. Next slide,
please. The HVS also provides us with housing
quality data. Based, based on the 2011 findings,
we're pleased to report that the building
conditions in New York City are the best since the
survey started looking at this, at these metrics.
As an example, of all the renter occupied units,
only .3 perc

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I got to stop} % \begin{subarray}{ll} \be$ 

much. It's really appreciated. Certainly it has been done in conjunction with your collaborative efforts, particularly around AEP and proactive, which we launched with you just last year. So, we appreciate it. An example of the improved quality would be that .3 percent of all renter occupied

units are located in buildings that are
characterized as dilapidated, which is the lowest
statistic for, that we've had historically. That
said, while housing conditions throughout this
City are extremely good, the map in front of you,
and the map overhead, does show that there are
areas where housing quality lags comparatively.
What we're looking at here are proportions of
renter occupied units where renters reported three
or more deficiencies within their unit. So the
darker colors represent of deficiencies, the
lighter colors represent lower rates of
deficiencies. This map, in my estimation, clearly
underscores the need for continued collaboration
between the counsel and between the administration
to ensure one, improved living conditions and two,
higher levels of accountability amongst owners of
rental buildings. But as mentioned, those
collaborative efforts have yielded great results
so far. We're looking forward to more
collaborative efforts moving forward. Next page.
In terms of household incomes in rents, the 2011
HVS reports average median gross rents as \$1,200
per month. That's across all rental units. The

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

gross rents comprising both contract rents as well as utility expenditures. And median household income is reported as \$38,500 per year across all rental households. More importantly, these figures correlate to a 33.8 percent gross rents to income ratio citywide. In other words, in our attempts to understand what type of rent burden New Yorkers are subject to, the HVS is able to tell us several things. Couched differently, approximately half of all New Yorkers that are renters pay a third of the gross income to housing If you take that same data and you reslice it and recouch it, what it tells us, also, is that one-third of all New Yorkers are paying over 50 percent of their gross income to housing costs. Next page. On this page, we're attempting to break out the rent burden by income band, in order to give you a sense of which income bands have the highest proportion of renters who are subject to heavy rent burdens. So, as an example for renters who earn 50 percent of the area median income, 66 percent of them are paying 30 percent of the gross income or more towards housing costs. As you'd expect, the highest proportion of rent burdened

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tenants earn between 20 and 70 percent of their median income. With the 40 to 60 percent AMI bands most affected on the proportional basis. Next page, please. So the statistics that we were just going over clearly the data on rent and income tell the story about why our ongoing work at HPD to create and to preserve quality housing remains imperative. This page attempts to give you a sense of how we, at HPD, as well as more broadly as the City, are working to support residents who are most in need of affordable housing. At the lower income bands, which are the 40 percent AMI income bands and below, there's a number of existing resources that are in place to help individuals in those income bands meet housing needs. Amongst those are NYCHA housing, Section 8 vouchers, SCRIE, which I understand is going through their own hearing right now. there's a number of different resources that are currently in place. However, given the fact that these existing resources are generally less accessible to the area median income bands, between 40 percent and 80 percent AMI, that's where HPD focuses on creating and preserving

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affordable housing primarily within those bands. If you look at the housing plan, what's been produced so far and what's projected to be produced over the duration of the housing plan, approximately 80 percent of everything we're producing is within the 40 to 80 percent area median income band. Next page. In conclusion, the findings of the 2011 HVS demonstrate why it's necessary for the administration and the Council to continue pushing for ways to preserve and to create affordable housing. The increased rents and the plateauing incomes which we're seeing obviously highlight this fact. Clearly the, the State has done its part in reauthorizing the rent regulation law, and importantly they have also increased the control threshold at 2,500, which we're very happy about to the extent that we think it's going to significantly attenuate the rate or the velocity of loss with respect to rent regulated units. Moreover, we, at HPD, are going to continue doing our part which is to aggressively pursue an ambition housing production agenda. However, given the determination of an ongoing housing emergency, it's essential that the

2.

rent regulation law be extended. Accordingly, we,
we urge the Council to adopt resolution 1230, and
Introduction 790. With that, I want to thank you,
and we're happy to respond to any questions.

I, you know, I really want to reiterate, you know, I'm very pleased with the Department, and I believe all three Commissioner that have been Commissioner since I've been Chairman should take a little bit of credit for having the housing stock in the best physical condition since the commencement of the Housing and Vacancy Survey. And I think that is a public credit to the agency. So, even though I interrupted your testimony, I want to take a second to, you know, just again credit the Department for that.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I'll start with just some basic questions. You said in your testimony that it was very difficult to collect data because of, I, the turn of the decade, I guess? What challenges--

MATHEW WAMBUA: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: --what

2 | challenges did that present?

MATHEW WAMBUA: So, what you're going to realize when you ask questions with regard to the methodological approach, is underlying these surveys and all the census stuff is that I'm going to be turning it over to our, my able colleagues, who--

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: That's what they're there for, yeah.

MATHEW WAMBUA: --who are going to be able to answer the questions in ways that people can actually understand them. So, I'm actually going to ask Liz to answer the question.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: And even though the Commissioner introduced you, you have to do so in your own voice.

morning, my name is Elizabeth Gahmer, and I'm

Director of Research at HPD. It's, let me take a

step back and just explain a little bit about how

the HVS data are collected, which can help us to

understand which panels the HVS can be compared to

one another, and which ones experience limitations

in doing so. So the HVS is a statistical sample.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

This year it was about 19,000 housing units, which were drawn, to be able to create estimates that then represent the entirety of the New York City housing stock and population. Each of these samples is drawn from the previous decennial census, with some updates to the master address file. So, for example, the 2002, 2005 and 2008 HVSs were all drawn from the 2000 decennial census; whereas the updated 2011 HVS is drawn from the recently completed 2010 decennial. happens then is that each of these sampled units are weighted up so that they represent the entirety of New York City. And they are weighted to correspond to the estimates that are based on the current estimations from the Census Bureau. So for 2008, the sample was drawn from 2000, and then weighted to correspond to estimates that were projected out by the Census Bureau from the 2000 decennial. Those are known as intercensal estimates of both the housing units as well as the population. In 2011, we then have updated information from the enumerated population from the decennial, which is the most up-to-date and most complete information. For the reason, some

2.

of the data and some of the estimates, if you were
to compare them to those based on intercensal
population estimates, may actually have
differences that are an artifact of this sampling
and methodology, as opposed to representing
observable changes. So, that's the reason that we
don't compare across decades, is that they're
weighted to different underlying population
estimates that come with their own limitations

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right, I--I believe I understand. [laughter] Matter of fact, I know I understand, but I'm a layman in every sense. You know, I should've done this at the outset, so I'll stop here, but we're, I've been joined by some of my colleagues: the Majority Leader and Member of the Bronx Delegation, Joel Rivera; Jumaane Williams of Brooklyn; and Elizabeth Crowley of Queens. So, essentially, it is difficult because you had to take into account the new census data which I guess was readily available to you at the commencement of this survey, and you couldn't use the data from 2000 as the pass-through surveys used?

ELIZABETH GAHMER: Correct.

Part

of the purpose of the HVS is to provide the most reliable, most complete and most up-to-date estimates. And it's also important that those correspond to the similar updated data that the Census Bureau produces in its other products. And so that's the underlying reason.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So what, what kind of changes did you see with the new census data versus the old? And was the latest set of census data more helpful or more of--well, you said, it was more of a challenge, but what, was it more helpful in any way, shape or form?

benefit to have a full and complete, enumerated population to work from when you're doing this kind of research. And so, with the turn at each decade, it's beneficial to have an updated sampling frame from which to work. These are select findings and they're initial findings, so additional data will continue to become available over the next few weeks and months, including population estimates which we do not yet currently have from the HVS.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Yeah, and that

actually leads into my next question, but I'm pretty sure that as the 2010 census data gets obviously a little bit older, as we get into the next three year cycle, I'm pretty sure it'll be much easier for you to manage it the next revision in '15. I lost my train of thought there for second. [background comment] Yeah, I guess talk to us a little bit about the variations while I get my frame of thought back. Sorry about that.

think that what we saw in the 2000 panels of the HVS--the '02, the '05 and the '08, where we are able to compare longitudinally and look at changes over time, that we saw continued challenges in affordability, we see that now in 2011 as well. But we see really the highest and largest housing stock ever, as well as it being the best conditions, which is a remarkable achievement. And obviously important for the continued growth and sustainability of the City.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I got it, yeah.

So, I want to touch on that, because you said

there's approximately now 3.3 million units which

is the highest ever, and that happened during a

2 major economic downturn. What accounted for the,
3 the increase in the units in your opinion?

4 MATHEW WAMBUA: So, actually, I 5 would say that the bulk of that was the 6 consequence of what we're doing. One of the things that we're most proud of is over the course of 2003 through 2008, clearly that period 9 represented the ascent of possibly the hottest real estate market in New York City's history, at 10 11 least one of the hottest periods. Through that 12 period, if you looked at new construction, for 13 multi-family housing or all housing, basically the 14 housing that we were producing, the new 15 construction housing we were producing, comprised 16 approximately 20 percent of the units that were 17 permitted during that period. But from 2008 18 moving on, subsequent to the economic downturn, 19 the amount of new unit production that HPD has 20 been engaging in has basically comprised close to 21 50 percent of all permitting for new construction 22 during that time. So, in large part, we have 23 tended to be the floor that has preserved much of 24 the new construction that has occurred. On the 25 market rate side, there's been significant

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

diminishment of new construction since the market
obviously has turned south.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I see, you're taking, you're taking all the credit for all those years, is that--?

MATHEW WAMBUA: 50 percent.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: 50 percent, okay. [laughter] All right. So, with, with that, we saw a decrease overall in the number of stabilized units from a little over a million in 2008 to I believe under a million for the first time, to about 986,000, resulting in the loss of about 40,000 units. In the time period between '05 and '08, the sur--that Housing and Vacancy Survey showed a loss of only about approximately 17,000 units. In your opinion, what accounted for the loss of about twice as many units in this current period? So, your, your identification of the trend is exactly correct. Undeniably we have had significant loss of regulated stock and that loss of regulated stock has accelerated over the course of the last couple of years. And probably been heaviest over the course of the last three years. In large part, that is attributable to the

fact that the existing rent regulor the previous
rent regulation law simply had a decontrol
threshold that was outmoded or antiquated, hadn't
been changed since 1993. So, as rents were
increasing, they were hitting up against the 2000
decontrol threshold, at a higher velocity than
they had historically. What we feel better about
is the fact that the current rent regulation law
has increased the decontrol threshold to 2,500.
So our expectation and our projects are that there
is going to be a diminishment of loss with respect
to the existing regulated stock. Really, based on
the 2,500 threshold, the new 2,500 threshold, our
projections for the next couple of years, from
now, you know, through 2019, are that 85,000 units
that otherwise would've been decontrolled, had the
decontrol threshold remained at 2,000, will remain
within the regulated stock.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: You expect the actions of the State Legislature that they took last year, you expect to see those numbers stabilize by the next survey?

MATHEW WAMBUA: Yeah. We, our expectation is that what we've been witnessing

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was, which was an increased velocity of loss every single year; in terms of the regulated stock, that's going to slow down. And we're going to see smaller and smaller increments of loss relative to what we'd seen historically.

ELIZABETH GAHMER: Thank you. If I may just add, so we provided an appendix slide which is projected here. We, in HPD's research group, we used DHCR data on registered units as well as decontrol units since 1993, to try to understand the impact of both the legislative changes as well as the additions to the stabilized stock through the new housing marketplace plan. And so, as Commissioner Wambua said, we project out by 2019 that if the 2000 threshold had remained in effect, that about 245,000 currently stabilized units would likely decontrol through high rent vacancy decontrol, with the change in decontrol threshold to 2,500. We still expected to see a loss of stabilized units overall from the current stock, but it is, it is substantially attenuated, and that in fact we estimate about 85,000 will stay stabilized. In addition to that, another 40,000 units will be added to the

status of a dwelling unit, disaggregated by borough, at this time? And if, and if not, why ELIZABETH GAHMER: We do. We're happy to provide the additional details to you at CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Do you have it here today? If you could just verbally tell us, ELIZABETH GAHMER: Sure. [pause, background noise] Sorry, just one moment. Sure. [pause, background noise] CHAIRPERSON DILAN: We've been joined by Council Members Viverito and Mendez, both of Manhattan, while we, while we wait for the

б

2 data.

[pause, background noise]

ELIZABETH GAHMER: I apologize, I don't have those data with us, but we're happy to follow up and get you the borough breakdowns by stabilize status.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: And if you could do that before we, you know, before the Committee dispose of these, of these items, you know, we certainly would like to know that. Well, since you don't have that, that takes away my other question. So, at this time I don't see any members—Oh, we do have members signed up for questioning. We have Council Member Williams, and then the list is open. Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank
you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Commissioner Wambua
and everyone who's there. I first want to say
thank you, 'cause your office and your resources
are, have always been open to my office, and to
the constituency in the 45th district. So I thank
you for that.

MATHEW WAMBUA: You're welcome.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And thank

2	you for the testimony. Is there any, anything in
3	the HVS or anywhere that says how long the units
4	have been on the market?

of the HVS is that it's a serial cross-section sample, which means that we don't track specific units over time, to understand how a given unit changes. But rather, we do an overall estimate to look at net changes over time. So, no we don't know for any given vacant or occupied unit, any data that's previous to the time in which the data were collected.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Is there any study that HPD or anyone does that you know of that tracks anything like that.

ELIZABETH GAHMER: I'm sorry, for the length that a vacant available unit is available?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.

ELIZABETH GAHMER: We do not collect those data here at HPD. There have been previous waves of the Housing and Vacancy Survey which did take some units and follow them longitudinally, but we do not currently maintain

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or collect those type of data in-house.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I think it would be interesting to find out. My guess is would be just as, I saw somewhere that had, as we go up in the income range, there's a higher vacancy rate. I'm sure many of those are vacant actually for quite some time. If we go lower in the income, those rates are, they're much less available. And I, you know, I'm glad that you're supporting this bill, and there was support for raising the income threshold to \$2,500, I am concerned that we've just actually set a new target as opposed to solving the problem. glad that the advocates finally, a few years ago, got the Mayor to understand that we need to be trying to preserve as many as possible, 'cause we can't go, build our way out of the problem. I'm not quite sure that there's still a full understanding of how desperate the need is. you know if HPD or the Administration will be supporting--which I'm sure will be the call at the next point, to eliminate vacancy decontrol and high income decontrol.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Is the question

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 2
2	would the Administration be supportive of
3	elimination?
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.
5	MATHEW WAMBUA: To date that's
6	never been a position of the Administration. And
7	quite honestly, we've been very happy about the
8	fact, as you've noted, that the decontrol
9	threshold has been increased to the extent that as
10	we've talked about there should be a significant
11	attenuation of loss, of regulated stock, but no,
12	we haven't ever had as position of seeking
13	elimination.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I think
15	that, the elimination actually I think would help
16	preserve much more. I'm sure it was
17	MATHEW WAMBUA: Oh, you're talking
18	about the elimination of the threshold.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes.
20	MATHEW WAMBUA: I thought you were
21	talking about the elimination of the
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:
23	[interposing] No, the threshold. And so I'm
24	asking, would the administration support it,
25	elimination of a threshold for income and

б

2 elimination of vacancy decontrol.

MATHEW WAMBUA: To date, the Administration has not supported the elimination of, of the decontrol threshold.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Or the vacancy decontrol. Which I, I'm hoping at some point they will, because I think it's, it will slow down, but not slow down enough, and we're losing—the problem with some of these units, it's not just for the tenant who's there now, it's for the tenants who are always going to come, and we are just losing these units, and they're never going to come back, and there are communities that will not be able to house people who work in this City. So we have to find a way to really begin to grow that up. We're just losing too many. So I'm hoping the Administration will change its position on that.

MATHEW WAMBUA: I agree with you on the position that we're losing too many, and one is too many, but it will--particularly given the need that we see and given, you know, the statistic that is obviously being shown right now up there, which is the almost infinitesimally

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 31
2	small vacancy rate amongst the most affordable
3	stock.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: And then
5	for the substandard housing, I didn't see too much
6	data on that. I saw a graph that basically showed
7	which one had three or more maintenance
8	deficiencies. How much of thehow much of the
9	stock had three or more deficiencies in the HVS?
10	MATHEW WAMBUA: I don't know if we
11	have that with us. I'd be more than happy to get
12	thatah, here we go, we do have it.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: You were
14	well prepared.
15	MATHEW WAMBUA: Myself, maybe not.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: More than
17	you know.
18	MATHEW WAMBUA: My people, yes.
19	ELIZABETH GAHMER: Just give me one
20	moment
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Sure.
22	ELIZABETH GAHMER:it's a big
23	table. [pause, background noise] So, overall
24	when we look at three or more maintenance
25	deficiencies, and this just for your information,

2	as with previous panels of the HVS, we ask each
3	respondent to report on whether they've
4	experienced any one of seven maintenance
5	deficiencies, so this is three out of seven or
6	more, three or more out of seven. So citywide,
7	when we look at all of the 55 sub-borough areas in
8	New York, we see that just over 19 percent of
9	renter occupied units reported having three or
10	maintenance deficiencies.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm
12	sorry, how many?
13	ELIZABETH GAHMER: 19, just over 19
14	percent.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Does it
16	break down intosorry? Does it break down into
17	income levels and racial levels?
18	ELIZABETH GAHMER: We have not yet
19	calculated those cuts of the data, but we will be
20	in the coming weeks. I
21	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well if
22	isn'tit's on the map, sort of, so it's only cut
23	by geography?
24	ELIZABETH GAHMER: Correct. We
25	just haven't cut it yet by income, as well as rent

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

burden.

is, based on what I can see, that it would probably be much worse when you go down the income, and also depending on the racial makeup of the community--

MATHEW WAMBUA: I wouldn't just guess that, I would say that that's a fact. I'm not, you know, talking about the specific data, but yes, we'll look at the data and that's what the data's going to say. I mean, we basically put this in here to, so that we could show both sides of the coin. The positive side of the coin is that based on condition metrics, this is the best condition that the housing - - collectively has been in, historically, since any of this has been measured. The other side that can't be ignored, though, is that there still are disparities, in terms of the housing quality deficiencies. some neighborhoods versus other neighborhoods. And that's something that we wanted to clearly be very open about. If you look at the dark areas, you know, really what you're seeing there are, you know, I mean, there's many reasons, obviously, why

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certain neighborhoods would have greater levels of deficiencies, but most of 'em revolve around different levels of investment by owners and different building economics. So, if you look at, you know, the darker areas, those are the areas with the lowest rents and by extension the argument would be the, the least robust underlying economics, and those are also the areas in which a lot of the time the owners tend to be smaller, more poorly capitalized and, quite honestly, not as invested in buildings at times. And that's not to disparage owners, because there's plenty of great owners all over the City. But these are also the areas where HPD specifically targets its services, both on the development side, preservation, as well as new construction, as well as on the code enforcement side, for the very reasons that you're talking about.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: So, I just want to make sure we pointed that out. I do want to close, I want to make sure I say, I've appreciated working with your tenure, and I'm looking forward to continue doing that. I do hope that we find some, some more ways to get this

you.

deficiency at least more equitable across the
board. And I do hope the Administration supports,
at some point, more programs that will eliminate
the decontrols that are happening, 'cause we're
losing units and perhaps I know we'll be hearing
from a couple of people soon that don't share my
position. But when it comes to the rent
guidelines board, that automatic rental increase
is further reaching the targets that we're looking
for, and is doing a lot dismantle affordable
housing, like rent stabilization, which is the
largest affordable housing program that we have.
And hopefully the Administration will join some of
us in asking that the rent freezes, and maybe even
rent rollbacks one day.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Well, Council

Member, I would like to note that it's been an absolute pleasure working with you and your office. You guys are clearly great advocates of affordability as well as quality rental stock.

And we look forward to continuing to cultivate that very productive relationship.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank

2	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Before we get
3	to Council Member Viverito, I just wanted to
4	follow up on a line of questioning. The 19
5	percent number that you cited in your answer to
6	Council Member Williams, is that 19 percent of all
7	units, or 19 percent of the stabilized units?
8	ELIZABETH GAHMER: It's 19 percent
9	of all renter occupied units.
LO	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Of all units.
11	Okay. [background comments] Go ahead, jump in,
L2	go ahead.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: What's
L4	the, the rent, what's the specifics for the rent
L5	stabilized units?
L6	ELIZABETH GAHMER: Sorry, we don't
L7	yet have it broken out by stabilized status.
18	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: So that's
19	something that we'd like the Committee to be able
20	to review before we dispose of the bill. Council
21	Member, Council Member Viverito.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
23	Thank you, Mr. Chair, and apologies for coming in
24	late, so I didn't see the presentation. I know
25	you had a line of questioning at the beginning, so

if this question was asked, excuse the redundancy,
but nice to see you Commissioner, as always. A
pleasure as well to work with your office. Just
with regardand I'm also obviously the sponsor of
the Reso, so very proud to be making this
statement. So, with regards to the numbers
presented, 'cause my understanding is when it
comes to the affordable housing that the City
invests in the units that we create as a City,
you're then increasing the number, well, you're
adding to the rent stabilized units, correct?
MATHEW WAMBUA: Yeah.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

'Cause those do get registered at the State with the rent stabilization. I mean, or the DHCR.

Used to be DHCR.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Yeah, that's, well, first of all, absolute pleasure to see you. It is, your assumption is correct. So basically there's two ways obviously that we finance affordable housing development. There's the preservation component, then there's new construction component. From the preservation side, in essence what we're doing is keeping lots

б

of stock continually regulated that otherwise could've potentially come out. And then from the new construction side, in all those instances, what we're doing is adding incremental stock to the regulated stock.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Right, so I guess my question with regards to that is, have you been able to analyze the number of units that we're losing on a yearly basis, and what in fact you're bringing in to kind of make up for that. I'm sure it doesn't come anywhere near-

## MATHEW WAMBUA: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: -but at least to get a perspective as to the
importance, obviously, of the HPD subsidies and
the programs and the units that are being created
through HPD.

MATHEW WAMBUA: So, speaking in the aggregate and then Liz can offer some more specifics, but in the aggregate, so far, we have financed about 130,000 units over the course of the last nine years. Of that about 115,000 have been completed. Of the 115,000 that have been

completed, 40,000 represent new constructednewly
constructed units, and by extension incremental
additions to the regulated inventory. All that
said, you are correct, that the rate of loss that
is occurring with respect to regulated units
matriculating into the non-regulated inventory, is
greater than the incremental additions that we are
providing. Why don't we go over the

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Can I, let me just, because that's alarming still, right, 'cause you're saying the 40,000 units is over a course of nine years, right? That that's been built and that has been regulated.

MATHEW WAMBUA: That's correct.

according to our data, right, we have that in 2008, basically there were about 1,000--I mean, I'm sorry, 1,027,000 units. Now in 2011 we're down to 986,000 units, which is a loss of over 40,000 units. And so that's just in a three year period. And you're talking about in a nine year period, you've basically added 40,000, which is what we've lost in three years. I mean, it comes nowhere near, obviously the, what we, what the

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Right.

2 crisis is, and that's why the reason of the 3 importance of this Reso and the legislation.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Well, yes, I agree with all of that. One of the things that, that has been alarming to us, as we'd mentioned a little earlier, is the rate of accelerated deregulation, that we've seen. One of the things that does bolster us some is the fact that the new decontrol threshold is going to significantly attenuate the velocity at which we have been losing a lot of the units. So, I mean, ultimately, obviously, our affordable housing strategy as a City, as HPD is a two pronged one. It is rent regulation and then also, you know, try and backfill with newly constructed, newly constructed units. Together, because of the 2,500 decontrol threshold that has been created, versus the 2,000, that change in and of itself, should keep about 85,000 units that otherwise would've been deregulated from now through 2019, in the regulated inventory. And if you throw in the housing stock that we're creating--

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

2	MATHEW WAMBUA:in total from now
3	until about 2019, about a 125,000 units that
4	otherwise would've been subject to deregulation or
5	not existed within the regulated stock, will be
6	preserved as regulated housing. So, you're
7	absolutely right, I guess what I'm trying to say
8	is, things were worse before, things are better
9	now
10	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
11	Right.
12	MATHEW WAMBUA:there's still net
13	loss.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: But
15	let me, and I appreciate that. Just one question,
16	though, because in, in the time period as I
17	mentioned before, in the time period between 2005-
18	2008, the loss as 16,800 units. And now between
19	2008 and 2011, you're talking about more than
20	twice the amount of loss. So what would you,
21	considering the \$2,000 threshold, was constant
22	through all that time period, what do you
23	attribute that rapid loss to, in terms of
24	deregulated units?
25	ELIZABETH GAHMER: So

	COUNCIL	MEMBER	MARK-VIVERITO:
--	---------	--------	----------------

Right, 'cause it's \$2,000, \$2,000 threshold has been, it was in place throughout all this time period.

MATHEW WAMBUA: But it, it was-COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: That
was a constant.

MATHEW WAMBUA: That was a constant, and that was the problem was, since 1993, it was in place. In 1993, when it was set, it was seen as something that was a relatively high threshold to get to.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Right.

MATHEW WAMBUA: In fact, in 1993, I think there was less than 100 units that were subject to deregulation at that threshold, but basically what ended up happening is there was a constant ceiling while, you know, we basically had every ascending increasing rents, as those rents meaned towards that ceiling, we started seeing a greater velocity of decontrol activity. So, the problem really has been the constant, which is the ceiling, and the need has been to raise that

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ceiling, so that it's more appropriate for the
market conditions that we're currently subject to.

ELIZABETH GAHMER: I just wanted to take a step back for a moment and just remind us and the Council that there are many ways that stabilized units both leave the stock as well as are added to the stock. The HVS shows the net, the overall current count, right, which includes both losses and additions. And then in addition to the housing plan editions, coming from newly constructed rental units, that the two other large sources of public investment that add to the stock over time are the J-51 as well as 421-A programs. Here we see over time the number of units that are receiving benefits at any given point in time. But Department of Finance shows that since the last HVS, that about 150,000 units of housing began receiving J-51 benefits, and an additional 15,000 units since the last HVS were added with 421-A benefits or exemptions, that began since FY'08. So, those two programs, in conjunction with the housing plan, are making substantial contributions to the rent stabilized stock.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: And

thank you for that clarification. So, just a last
question, if I may. Do you, are you able to, and
I don't know if this was asked, again, 'cause I
came in a little late. So, are you, do you, are
you able to break down, and I know this is a
summary, you haven't really completed the full
survey. But is race or ethand ethnicity of
tenants disaggregated by their rent regulation
status? I don't know if you've answered that, so
I apologize. Yeah.

answer it. Unfortunately, no, we have not yet done that. Actually, part of the reason that we haven't provided population data, except in the most aggregate form, is that the Census Bureau is still reviewing the person file for the Housing and Vacancy Survey. And making sure that all of the estimates align with the decennial census population counts.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: Is that information that at some point is going to be available?

ELIZABETH GAHMER: Yes, absolutely.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 4.
2	Okay, so you're just saying it's going to take a
3	little bit more time.
4	ELIZABETH GAHMER: Correct.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
6	Okay. Well, thank you for that. Again, very
7	proud to sponsor the Reso, and obviously sign onto
8	the Intro, and I thank you for all your work in
9	continuing to preserve, and our affordable housing
LO	and build more. And obviously the importance of,
11	of these subsidies is critical to that end. So,
12	thank you.
L3	MATHEW WAMBUA: Thank you, Council
L4	Member.
15	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you,
L6	Council Member Viverito. I failed to recognize at
L7	the outset that we've been joined by two
18	individuals from the New York State Department of
L9	Homes and Community Renewal, who are in
20	attendance: Mr. Joseph Paziola [phonetic], as
21	well as Mr. Jeffrey Margulies [phonetic], both in

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, of course glad we're

attendance here today. We have Council Member

Lander.

2	doing this and putting the resolution forward.
3	Commissioner, thank you very much for, for all
4	your work. I've been enjoying your summaries of
5	the HVSs for, I don't know, many, many years now,
6	so thank you for continuing to, to provide the

8 ELIZABETH GAHMER: [off mic] Only
9 Brad would say it's a pleasure.

data that we need to make the case.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: You know.

ELIZABETH GAHMER: [laughs]

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Got to confess. Anyway, so thank you, and I appreciate the clarity with which you've provided and talked about the challenge. So, a couple of questions. I mean, I do think, you know, I was looking back at the, at the changes reported, and it looks to me like we don't have the new RGB changes report that reflects the 2011 data, but even last year in the relative kind of post-boom quiet, three-quarters of the loss was from high rent vacancy decontrol, right? So, most of the losses that we're talking about from the stock, from the rent stabilized stock, are due to high rent vacancy decontrol. Right? I mean, by far.

24

25

2 MATHEW WAMBUA: Yes, correct.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: 3 Okay. So, I just want to think a little about, I mean, I 4 5 appreciate your point that raising the threshold is valuable. I, you know, I think thinking about 6 what else we can do in that direction is important. So, I know HCR has this new unit 9 designed to help sort of investigate tenant 10 complaints, a long running concern of tenants is 11 that, you know, for all kinds of reasons, you 12 know, illegal overcharges, illegal increases, 13 illegal decontrol, have been hard to get the State to take a serious look at. And so, I want, I'm 14 15 curious what--and I apologize again for coming in 16 late, so if you talked about this, but what has 17 your dialogue been with them on how the City and 18 the State can work together, because obviously 19 aggressive and coordinated enforcement is one 20 important part of the tool. 21 So, actually we MATHEW WAMBUA: 22 were excited to cultivate the dialogue. I, the

were excited to cultivate the dialogue. I, the director of the unit, as I understand it, is actually here today. This is a new unit, one, and I'm just meeting him today, and ultimately I did

2	talk to Commissioner Downs [phonetic] yesterday,
3	and we do have time that is being set up in order
4	to be able to collaborate around this issue. And
5	I agree, it is another prong of ensuring that
6	we're able to maintain the regulated status of so
7	much of the stock.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So that's,
9	I don't know if he's, are you scheduled to
LO	testify, Mr. Chairman?
11	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: At this
L2	juncture, no, I just wanted to make an
L3	acknowledgement that
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: No, which I
L5	really appreciate, but
L6	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I didn't want
L7	to put that type of pressure on them to testify.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: [laughs]
L9	Can you at least introduce him so people who
20	haven't had a chance?
21	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: We did. We
22	just did.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay.
24	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: We just did,
25	right before we introduced you.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is to preserve the existing affordable rental stock, including the stabilized units, and confronting issues of fraud around decontrol and overcharges is important. So, I'm glad to hear you're going to work with them to make that a City priority as well as a State priority. A second area, you know, in her State of the City, our Speaker spoke to the desire to move forward on permanent affordability. I don't know, again, if these questions were already asked, someone shot me down. But that is a long term issue and doesn't prevent losses, you know, this year or next. But I know that's a long running thing that HPD's been looking at, and I, without asking for a whole policy review, I guess what work are you doing with her internally, in the Administration, to try to figure out how we can move forward toward longer term and permanent affordability.

MATHEW WAMBUA: Well, first of all, we're excited about the opportunity to collaborate around longer term affordability, and that's something we're going to be doing as was indicated in the State of the City over the course of the next year. Some of the more immediate things that

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have committed to are extending the

affordability term for all out, for many RFPs that

we're going to be engaging in. As well as

extending the affordability term for the nine

percent tax credit applications. And then there's

a number of other things that we're looking

forward to - - cultivating with, with the Speaker

and the Council.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: And my last question, we've now had a couple of hearings at which either Citizens Housing and Planning Council has talked about their making room project or other people have referred to it, and I think one thing that they've persuaded a lot of us is that there is a need to update and rethink some of the regulatory framework on rental affordability, particularly around the questions of, you know, where two or three unrelated adults are sharing a unit, and how to have that be safe and affordable, but, but normal and regular. And not suspect legally, but--and, you know, that looks one way for seniors, it looks a different way for immigrants. There's the, you know, and this kind of then blends over into the question about

illegal conversions. But there was a whole, a
whole range of things they looked at, and in some
ways the HVS looks across some of the same
information. But I think they did a good job, I
know they've had a couple of conferences. When
I've asked, "Is there a table at which the
Department of Buildings and HPD and the relevant
City and State agencies are trying to build on
that report and figure out what the steps forward
should be, I haven't been told yes. I appreciate
the taskforce on illegal conversions enforcement
exists and is doing a better and smarter job at
targeting enforcement, but not a more proactive,
"All right, how do we use what we're learning
there to think about, you know, more safe,
affordable, effectively regulated housing. So, is
that going on in the Administration? If not, can
we get it going on in the Administration?
MATHEW WAMBUA: Absolutely. It is
going on. It is, it has been spurred in large
part by a lot of the work that Geraldine
[phonetic] has done. It's something that we had
been looking at collectively as an Administration.

It kind of waned, I think, a lot of Geraldine's

work has not only been robust, but it's energized the dialogue. We're currently, now, there is a platform in which DOB, ourselves, DCP, Geraldine, also, are engaged in a broader discussion about how to navigate this. I do think that over the course of the next several months, we're actually also going to be in a position to make some large announcements about our expectations for how we can move forward with a lot of the ideas that were in the report.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much, and thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you,

Council Member Lander. At this time, the list is open if any members have any questions they want to ask the Commissioner, now is the time. We've been joined by Council Member Comrie, which I failed to acknowledge earlier. If there are no other questions from my colleagues, I'd like to thank you, Commissioner, for coming and providing testimony today. And just ask that the outstanding requests that the Committee has, if you can get us to that, get that to us in short order. Thank you all for coming.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MATHEW WAMBUA: Absolutely. Thank
you, Chairman, and thank you, Members. It's an
absolute pleasure being here, and we will get you
the outstanding information

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right, thank you, and I'll, we'll allow the Commissioner and staff to leave the dais. And we'll get to our first panel. [pause, background noise] You can take your time, and Sergeant, if you want, we'll recess for two minutes to allow for them to exit. [pause, background noise, break in audio] Sergeant, I, we're ready here. We ready, Sergeant? All right, I'm going to give personal prerogative to a good friend of mine and former Counsel to the Committee, Camilla Shideen [phonetic], from NYLAG, to be first up to testify. We'll do a whole legal services panel. She'll be joined by Donna Chiu, Ellen Davidson, and looks like Samuel, Samuel Lin [phonetic]? Lu? [background comment] Lui [phonetic]. Okay. That'll be the first panel. It's good to see some of you guys, I saw some of you guys at caucus weekend. Certainly had some fun. Learned some stuff. [pause, background noise, break in audio]

2	Well, I only had four people signed up to testify.
3	[background comments] Okay, well[background
4	comments] Okay. All right, maybe if we just let
5	Ms. Shideen get a mic, she's going to be first up.
6	And then[background comments] Okay, all right,
7	that's fine, we'll[background comments] So then
8	I guess you can begin, since you're collaborating,
9	you can begin in the order that's most
10	accommodating for what you want to present.
11	ELLEN DAVIDSON: [off mic] Good
12	morning.
13	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: If you could
14	speak more directly into the mic, and obviously
15	introduce yourself before. Yeah?
16	ELLEN DAVIDSON: [off mic] Is this
17	working? No?
18	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Doesn't sound
19	like it.
20	ELLEN DAVIDSON: Hello? Oh, that
21	works. Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Just, just for
23	the benefit of the Members, before we begin the
24	testimony, these items will be laid aside at the
25	conclusion of the hearing, and we'll be voting on

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | them at a future date.

ELLEN DAVIDSON: Good morning. am Ellen Davidson from the Legal Aid Society. And I want to start by thanking the Committee for holding this hearing, for this legislation being introduced. I will say that it feels somewhat familiar to me to be talking about these issues in front of this committee. I think it was less than 12 months ago, in the spring of last year, that we were asked, there was a public hearing on the issue of the continuing emergency in the -- of the continuing crisis, the continuing emergency in the housing of New York City. And certainly it's a conversation that we have been having in the City and in the State, probably for, for the last twelve months. I think all of you know that we have rent regulation in this City because we have a crisis, in the, in the affordability of housing as well as the existence of available housing. fact, built right into the ETPA is the language that if the vacancy rate ever gets over five percent, there will be no more rent regulation. And I think we've heard, we've been at hearings year after year after year, I think we've heard

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that the most recent vacancy rate, even after the immense amount of production that was done in the last decade, is at 3.12. So, I think it's, it's clear to me, it's clear to me through my work, it's clear to me through being a citizen of this City, that the housing emergency continues to exist. And for, for, as a legal services provider, I represent low income individ-families. And for us, rent stabilization is the primary form of affordable housing that they have. What the selected findings of the HVS showed, that the median household income for tent stabilized housing is \$37,000 a year. You hear a lot, these days, about all the incredibly wealthy folk who are living in rent regulated housing, but I want to bring it back to the \$37,000 a year, which is the median income for families and household who live in, in that type of housing. For rent control, by the way, it's \$29,000. There is an immense amount of data about 21 percent rent stabilized tenants live in poverty, 22 percent have incomes that are between 100 and 200 percent of the poverty line. And I apologize, these are stats from the, from the 2008 Housing Vacancy

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Survey since we do not have the data from 2011. But based on what we've gone through in this City since 2008, it would not surprise me to learn that that, that those numbers and those statistics have only grown. 22 percent of rent stabilized tenants are African-American, 32 percent are Latino and eight percent are Asian. 55 percent of rent stabilized tenants are either immigrants and born, or born in Puerto Rico. Low rent apartments in New York City are predominately occupied by low income tenants. There's a lot of statistics in my data, in my testimony, I am not going to go through all of them. I, I spent a lot of time with the reports that the Rent Guidelines Board issues every year. Not only talking about the loss of affordable housing, the lack of ability for tenants to actually negotiate the marketplace, the fact that we have rent regulation because we do not, tenants cannot go and negotiate with landlords for leases like they can in other places, because they have simply nowhere else to go once they find an apartment. You will look at the data and see that in fact the, the increases, the landlords have continued to receive not only

increases every year from the Rent Guidelines
Board, but actually continuing to do quite well,
according to the Rent Guideline Board reports. I
don't have to tell you that we are now in crisis
in terms of the amount of homeless families we
have in shelter. We are, we are at record highs
of 40,000 families and individuals who are in
shelter these days. I think it is, it is evident
to me, I think it will be after hearing all the
testimony evident to you that the housing
emergency continues to exist, and therefore it is
essential for our City, for both the Intro and the
Reso, to be approved and to continue to rent
regulation laws that we have in this City. So,
thank you.

SAMUEL LUI: Good morning, Council
Members. My name's Samuel Lui, Staff Attorney at
Manhattan Legal Services, which is part of Legal
Service NYC. And today, I'm speaking on behalf of
Legal Service NYC, the National Organization of
Legal Service Workers and the Local 2320 of the
UAW. [background comments]

PAVITA KRISHNASWAMY: And I am

Pavita Krishnaswamy, I am the Deputy Director of

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Litigation at South Brooklyn Legal Services, also
 with Legal Services NYC. Good morning.

SAMUEL LUI: We thank the City Council for holding this hearing pertaining to the Introduction of Bill 791 and Resolution 1230. We strongly support the renewal of the rent regulation laws. Rent regulation has always been vital tools in the preservation of affordable housing for some of the most vulnerable communities in New York City. Again, I'm going to start off with, just a story, what I usually deal with as staff attorney. I recently have a client who's a 70 year old, non-English speaking Latino woman, come to me for a nonpayment case. She is SSI recipient, receiving only about \$783 per month. And her rent is about \$700. We're able to resolve her nonpayment case and able, I was able to actually lower her portion of the monthly rent through the City's SCRIE program. But as you can see, even with rent stabilization, which she lives in the rent stabilized apartment, even with rent stabilization, she can barely even afford her rent. And in another client of mine, who is also a non-English speaking Chinese single mother of

three, she came to me with a nonpayment case. And she also live in a rent stabilized building. And when I look at her rent breakdown I realize that the landlord has increased her rent to an amount that's not allowable under the rent regulation law. Now, because of the protection of the rent stabilization laws and all that, the tenant was eventually able to get a credit, a rent credit, by the court. So that was very helpful. But then again, there are many tenants in New York City facing similar situations, and many of them are your constituents.

pavita Krishnaswamy: And these are not—[clears throat] excuse me—these are not isolated stories. Each year, South Brooklyn Legal Services, our housing unit, sees over 400,000 people who come to us desperately seeking representation in housing cases. Approximately 60 percent of them live in rent stabilized apartments. And because of our extremely limited resources, we are only able to provide actual legal representation to about one in ten people who walk through our door, which means nine out of ten people wind up fighting for their tenancies on

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their own without the benefit of an attorney. And this patterns is repeated in all of our constituent offices in Manhattan and The Bronx, in Staten Island and in Queens. The rent stabiliz-sorry, the Rent Stabilization Association recently ran a series of ads on the radio and on television to convince you and other New Yorkers that rent stabilization only benefits wealthy Manhattan residents, who game the system. Nothing could be further from the truth. As my colleague, Ms. Davidson has already pointed out, the statistics clearly show that this is not true. 435,000 low income families live in rent regulated housing in the five boroughs, 39 percent of households with incomes below the federal poverty line live in rent regulated housing. There's some fascinating statistics that have come out of the Rent Guideline Board Income and Affordability Study of 2011, including the fact that an individual would have to work an astonishing 149 hours per week at a minimum wage job in order to afford an average two bedroom apartment in New York. We've talked about the racial diversity of tenants who live in rent stabilized apartments. 22 percent of them

are black, 32 percent are Latino, and
approximately nine percent are Asian. 16 percent
of households living in rent stabilized housing
make less than \$10,000 a year. And these are
statistics from our five boroughs. These
statistics tell a compelling story for why this
Council needs to pass Bill 791. Rent
stabilization stands as one of the final bulwarks
against the rapidly encroaching economic
instability that comes with homelessness. Without
it, almost half a million low income people in our
City face the very real possibility that they will
lose the roofs over their heads. These are not
wealthy tenants who can easily relocate to a
second home in the country. These are the
hardworking and most vulnerable residents of New
York. And they need your help to maintain their
affordable housing. Thank you.

[pause, background noise]

DONNA CHIU: Good morning, Members of the Committee. My name is Donna Chiu, and I'm a Senior Staff Attorney at MFY Legal Services.

Thank you for inviting MFY Legal Services to this hearing, and giving us this opportunity to speak

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to you about the critical need to extend rent regulation in New York City. Okay. I work in the Lower Manhattan Justice Project at MFY, and since 2007 when the project began, we have been inundated with Court cases where rent regulated tenants are singled out for eviction because property owners are on a mission to drive them out and deregulate every single possible unit. because I understand the time constraints, I will give a summary of my written, my written testimony. So first I want to echo my colleagues' testimony and bring an end to the misinformed notion that rent regulation primarily benefits privileged middle class Manhattanites. Roughly 90 percent of my clients are low income immigrants. And in almost every case they reside in rent regulated housing. You know, some of their characteristics are that they're employed at jobs that constitute the backbone of our economy, they work in restaurants, as cooks and wait staff, they're home attendants, they're employees at nonprofits, they're construction workers, hotel employees, truck drivers, school janitors. know, some of them are the long term residents of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Lower Manhattan, settled, they settled there in pursuit of the American Dream. Some of 'em are recent immigrants, but they chose to go to the communities because they can access the services in their native languages, and also enjoy, you know, the foods and goods from their homeland. And a lot of our clients are senior citizens and/or they're disabled, so they rely on fixed income from the social security administration. Next, I want to emphasize the dangerous consequences the entire City will face if rent regulation is not extended. If rent regulation is not extended, certainly the low income and working poor New Yorkers would be forced to spend more of their income on paying rent, and have less on food and other necessities, such as medication. overcrowding situation that we saw reflected in the 2011 New York City Housing Surv--Housing and Vacancy Survey, will be even more serious. New Yorkers will be forced to enter New York City's already overburdened shelter system for sure, and there will be a mass exodus of hardworking New Yorkers from, from the City, simply because we just can't afford to live here anymore. And

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lastly, I want to give quick brief example of the real life consequences of deregulation: clients. Recently, I was part of the legal team at MFY where we represented tenants in a building where a fire broke out on Elizabeth Street, in the neighborhood now known as Nolita [phonetic]. The apartments are unprotected, many of them spoke only Spanish, they're seniors, and there are other low income individuals, as well. Of course, initially, the landlord wanted to use this opportunity to renovate the apartments and renovate them to new tenants at market rates of \$2,500 to \$3,000. After a year of litigation to force the landlord to comply with the law, the tenants apartments were finally repaired and the tenants were allowed to return home. Without a court battle, the building would've displaced these families and seniors who had lived in this community long before it was known as Nolita. However, because our clients home were rent regulated, they had a right to return, and pay the regulated rent, and not the market rent, upon the completion of repairs. And lastly, I represented an elderly Chinese couple on Delancey Street. Who

Council Member Lander.

2	received eviction papers shortly after a new
3	landlord purchased the building. The tenants have
4	lived in their rent controlled apartment since
5	1967. The new landlord brought a baseless
6	eviction proceeding against this elderly couple,
7	claiming that they were not, that the landlord
8	didn't know who they were. The elderly couple
9	could not afford to move because they were on
10	fixed incomes from social security. As a
11	practical matter, they needed to live close to
12	Chinatown since they are of limited English
13	proficiency. However, their fixed incomes meant
14	they could not afford to pay the market rate rents
15	in the area, or even the rents in the outer
16	Chinese, outer boroughs Chinese community. We
17	were able to help them keep their affordable home,
18	and now instead of having to worry about being
19	evicted, they're able to volunteer their time to
20	the community. So, thank you, members of the
21	Committee, we urge you to extend rent regulation.
22	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you all.
23	Are there any questions from my colleagues?
24	Seeing one, I'd like to thank you all for your

2	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I do just
3	want to ask this same question about your work
4	with the new HCR unit. I mean, you know,
5	obviously we're going to, as a Council, advocate
6	to extend rent regulation, and you know, that, and
7	I think we can be optimistic that they'll be
8	extended, and we obviously fought the battle, too,
9	for some increase in the threshold of decontrol,
10	so I think the biggest thing on the horizon to
11	make a difference in some ways in what we're
12	talking about here, is that that HCR unit really
13	step up and do the job that you guys have long
14	been advocating that somethat there be, and so I
15	wonder if you can just tell us a little bit about
16	have you had a chance to talk with them as they've
17	been setting up the unit? How are we going to
18	know whether it's doing the job? And what can we
19	be doing together to make sure that it happens?
20	ELLEN DAVIDSON: If you don't
21	yeah. So, the answer is that weLegal Aid and
22	Legal Services have been part of a coalition of
23	community groups who have been working around,
24	since the, since we ended the fight of the,
25	getting the laws extended, we decided to continue

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the fight in terms of working with HCR, on the campaign starting in the summer. So there's been a coalition of community groups from all over the City, as well as Legal and Legal Services, trying to work with HCR. Since the announcement of TP-of the creation of TPU, and more importantly, the announcement that they had actually hired a director, we have reached out to the Commissioner to request a meeting. We are eager to sit down with them and talk to them. I'm sure they have a lot of ideas of how they would like to see this unit work. We also, from our work in the communities, have a lot of ideas of how this unit could be actually helpful to tenants in New York City. And we are, we are eager to do so. I did speak to the two representatives of HCR this morning, who acknowledged that they had received our request for a meeting, and that since their director was starting on Monday, they would try to arrange a meeting soon thereafter. So, you know, any, any movement to make the system at HCR from a completely tenant initiated system that relies on enough education and knowledge and comfort for tenants to actually make the complaints, to one

б

where HCR takes some responsibility of some
proactive enforcement and some proactive
investigation, is a good idea. We, we would like
to see where it goes.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thanks very much, and thanks again, Mr. Chairman, for helping make the, this relationship continue to grow and develop.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you all.

PANEL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay, so now

I'll call up Camilla Shideen, as well as Mr. Frank
Ricci, from RSA. [pause, background noise] Do,
do you mind? Sure, yeah, yes, mm-hmm. Oh, yeah,
also, also been joined by good friend of mine and
State Assembly Member Ralf Espinal, I think many
people know he was my former Chief of Staff, it
almost looks funny seeing you sit there.
[laughter] Haven't seen it in a while. Okay,
Camilla, why don't you begin, and I have to say, I
haven't seen you in a while. And I'll put a
little bit of your personal business out there,
but congratulations on the baby.

CAMILLA SHIDEEN: Thank you. I

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Group. And for full disclosure, I am former Counsel to the Committee on Housing and Buildings. And for more disclosure, I think I've been away for about four years now. It seems a lot less, So, I'm, you know, we are testifying today yeah. in support--I'll try to be brief, too, in support of both the bill and the Reso. Basically, I hope that my testimony serves to support the testimony of the other organizations. You know, the other organizations sort of researched the, the numbers, and so the horrific numbers that relates to the continual loss of affordable housing, but what I would like to talk about is in addition to not, to making rents affordable, another thing that rent stabilized housing, rent stabilized housing does, is actually provide people with the, with the right to renew their leases every year or every two years. And so, in my, right now, we are funded to do a lot of work in Queens. We actually have an office in Queens Housing Court, a satellite office, where we collect, conduct a lot of intakes, a ton of intakes. And in Queens, because I believe there are fewer rent stabilized apartments there than in some of the other

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

boroughs, we see clients constantly being displaced. They have a one year lease or two year lease, or just a month-to-month lease, and whenever the landlords don't want them to say in their homes anymore, they really don't have any opportunities to do that. And so we see kids like having to change schools every year or two year, people, you know, moving apartments, people being afraid to even ask for repairs because they know that if they upset the landlord, they're going to get evicted. And now that there's no more advantage, we see, we've already seen an increase in clients coming in. Advantage clients are those that were formally homeless, now found apartments. The City was supposed to help them pay for the apartments for a time. The City can't do that anymore. And so these folks who have already been in shelters, are now facing going back. And so, because even in Queens, as well, the rents are very, very high, people are being displaced, it's just, it's almost impossible to find affordable housing and I'm, you know, I think the City statistics show that now there's 40,000 people in shelter, and almost 17,000 kids in shelter. And

James and Brewer.

you know, these sort of folks actually get in,
more people try to get into the shelter system and
can't. So, all of this, coupled with a Section 8
not really being available to help people either,
it's, it's absolutely imperative that we all work
together to try to save any affordable apartments
out there. Frankly, housing is not a legally
recognized human right, but it's a human right. I
mean, we're all born to this earth, and to tell
somebody that you don't have a place to live, is
just not, you know, I can't even, it's an
impossibility. You know, sort of moral kind of
possibility, and I think we very carefully have to
consider economic interest of, of owners, but that
those economic interests don't outweigh human
rights, both to affordable housing, you know,
stable housing, consistent housing, andand just
livable housing, where repairs are effectuated.
So, I'll leave it at that, thank you very much for
letting me testify.
CHAIRPERSON DILAN: All right,
thank you. We've been joined by Council Members

FRANK RICCI: Thank you, Mr.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman, my name's Frank Ricci, I'm the Director of Government Affairs for the Rent Stabilization Association. On my left is our General Counsel, Mitch Posilkin. For the record, the RSA is trade association, we represent building owners in New York City. Collectively, our members own or manage about a million units of housing in New York City. Not all of it is rent stabilized, a good portion of it is either unregulated or coops, condos, etc. I'll try and summarize, but given the technical nature of the HVS, I think I do have to read some of this. I think it's a foregone conclusion that the Resolution and this bill will both pass the Council, be signed by the Mayor. I, we've been around long enough to know that that's what's going to happen. The, but I just want to skip down to my testimony, which I handed out. And try and summarize where I can. We have an issue with the goal of rent stabilization, in how it really addresses housing affordability and the housing shortage in New York City. We don't think rent stabilization, per se, does a good job of it. There's been a lot of discussion today by other people who've talked

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about the renewal of the law, just about the affordability issue. And operating housing is an equation. You have expenses on one side, and you have income on the other. And I got to tell you that, and for those of you who have been on this Council for ten years, and some of you have been on shorter, the single biggest obstacle, impediment to affordability for owners, and consequently their tenants, right now, are property taxes. Ten years ago, the property tax burden for a typical building in New York City was about 20 percent of the total rent role. to 30-35 percent now, which in effect means that for a lot of buildings in New York City, the tax burden has gone up 100 percent. There is no way that many owners can pass that on to their tenants, 'cause their tenants can't afford that kind of increase. I can tell you from my personal experience, in my four unit building, my taxes have gone from, and Councilwoman Brewer's heard this 1,000 times, this is 1,001, from \$14,000 a year to \$28,000 a year. So for four tenants, that's roughly \$7,000 a year increase. It's, it just, you can't ask them to pay that, they can't--

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

when you couple that with the water and sewer rates, the cost of fuel, those are the things that are driving affordability in New York City. And for owners to be pushed on one side, to not be able to increase some of that cost onto their tenants, to be limited by the politics of the Rent Guidelines Board, that's what's causing problems in the housing market. And that's where the pressure is really coming from. So I think if we--and I don't think rent regulation does anything to address the affordability issue. We heard a lot about low income tenants. They have an affordability problem. It's not their, it's, they, even with all the subsidies that we have available in New York City, and there's, you know, according to the Furman Center at NYU, there's roughly 500,000 units of subsidized housing in the City, or subsidies available to 500,000 low income tenants, 25 percent of those tenants there are still rent burdened. Which means, nothing's going to help them other than getting their income up. I think it's ironic that two floors above us right now, there's an oversight hearing on two programs that the City has to help low income people. And

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

those programs have major problems for the owners and for the tenants, just because of the administration of it. There was a hearing several months ago on Section 8, that noted the -- for owners to have to be forced to accept going to a voluntary program of Section 8, when they're being term--when tenants are being terminated for no reason, when they can't get leases renewed, when they can't get rent increases, this compounds the problem. We just heard about the Advantage Program. We're with you on that one. I mean, that is the most outrageous program to entice owners and tenants to join a program, then abruptly terminate it two years later, is--what does that do to the building? What does that do to the other tenants in the building who are not on Advantage, and the owner has lost that major chunk of income now, with taxes going up, assessments going up, water and sewer rates going So, these are all the things that sort of come together, that I think it's, after 70 years of, of talking about emergencies in New York City, maybe it doesn't work, maybe it's time to look at something else with regard to this. I know this,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

these rent control, rent stabilization, will be renewed today. But if there's one statistic that the Commissioner talked about that, that jumped out at us also, that's in my testimony, right now, there's about 800 and some thousand unregulated units in New York City; the actual rent, the median rent on those apartments is not that much different than rent stabilized apartment, yet the vacancy rate's much higher for those, which sort of suggests that maybe that segment of the housing market's working better than the regulated segment. It's something to look at in the future. The only other statistic in the HVS I would look at, that I think is really relevant, is the fact that there's 164,000 units that the HVS says that are vacant but unavailable for rent. Of those, 49,000 are undergoing renovation. Which means they're going to be on the market with, hopefully within a month or two, 'cause people don't like to spend, you know, more than a month or so keeping an apartment off the market. If you threw that 49,000 back into the equation, that would bump your vacancy rate up somewhat. The last statistic that came out of the Housing and Vacancy Survey

they like to cite, is where the rent, based on rent level, where it pierces five percent. In the 2008 Housing and Vacancy Survey, around \$1,400 a month you did not have a housing emergency, was over five percent. It's probably a little higher now, around \$1,600 or \$1,700 a month, but there was a lot of discussion today about, you know, regulating rents at \$2,000 to \$2,500. There is no housing emergency there. Period. So, with that, I will stop and if there's any questions, I just want to throw out things for people to, for future discussions and thought.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you.

We've been joined by Council Member Robert Jackson of Manhattan. Do any of my colleagues have any questions. Council Member Lander.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Sorry, I appreciate that, and I appreciate your coming, and I think there are many areas where we agree.

Obviously you talked about the Section 8, we talked about Advantage. I hope that you guys are in the mix in this dialogue that was referred to in my conversation with the Commissioner about regulatory changes, you know, so that the kinds of

б

units that have a couple of unrelated adults, you
know, that that's good for landlords, it's good
for, for tenants, where appropriate, safe. But I
do just want to ask, I mean, at least maybe I'm
misreading, so I want to make sure I'm not,
because as I looked at the 2011 HVS numbers, the
median monthly contract rent for stabilized units
is \$1,050, and for private non-regulated is
\$1,369, so 30 percent higher. And the median
household income in stabilized units is \$37,000,
versus private nonregulated being \$52,000. So not
that that's a wealthy person, but that's a lot.
mean, that says that the stabilized units are
providing meaningfully more affordable housing to
substantially lower income people.

FRANK RICCI: I don't have those statistics in front of me, but I know that when you start talking about contract rents and housing costs, you know, then you're also getting into utilities, which may or may not be part of it. And when you do add your utility, your housing costs into your contract rent, the two numbers really come together quite quickly.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Okay, the

gross rent, the gross spread is even bigger, so
mean, we should, we could continue the
conversation, a lot of what you said has issues, I
think are issues, and we want to work on them, and
I think the tax burden questions are valuable,
too. But I just, I do think that the data says
that the stabilized stock is an essential
affordable resource, and with a big difference
from the non-stabilized rental stock. So, thank
you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you,
Council Member Lander. Council Member Brewer.

very much. Thank you for being such a good constituent. And I mean that completely. My question, just a comment, now when you were in, the difference between rent stabilization or rent regulated, and market, to me is something even deeper, which is that once you are a market, the next lease comes up, you're not guaranteed of that apartment. And I have so many people coming in where the rent jumps so much that they can't stay in that apartment. That's the difference between rent regulated and market. So you may, you may

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

start out, maybe, in somewhat of the same

ballpark, although I would argue that, but in the

very near future, at least in a neighborhood like

ours, it goes up really fast. So that's where I

think the trouble is, people coming into my office

all the time, who can't quite believe that they're

going to have to pay that huge new increase.

That's where the problem--

FRANK RICCI: I wouldn't argue with you on that, but I know that many of our members, especially the larger owners who have large developments in your district, once they get a decontrolled rent, many of them have an internal rule where they just give rent stabilized increases after that. They don't want to lose their tenants, and quite frankly, in most cases, just as you don't want to keep 'em, an apartment off the market for a month or two doing a renovation, or looking for a new tenant, you just, it, for an owner, it's to his or her advantage, to really keep those units full at all times, because losing one month's rent, unless there' a tremendous disparity between the market and what's going on, there's really no advantage for an owner

т	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 04
2	to do
3	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. I
4	mean, I think maybe it's, you know, obviously you
5	often represent the better owners, if I may say
6	that, too. I just
7	FRANK RICCI: Well, I mean, I think
8	there's a lot of small owners who feel the same
9	way.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.
11	FRANK RICCI: I know I certainly
12	do.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Now, I
14	FRANK RICCI: I hate to have a
15	tenant come to me and say, "I'm leaving." It's
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: No, I hear
17	you. The other question is, I know this, I don't
18	know if this is off topic, Mr. Chairman. I've
19	been in the SCRIE hearing. What is the concern of
20	owners, do you think, in terms of SCRIE.
21	FRANK RICCI: I'll let you answer
22	that. I mean
23	CHAIRPERSON DILAN: That is totally
24	off topic. [laughs]
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I know.

2	FRANK RICCI: Well, Iexcept to
3	the extent that I guess you'd need to be rent
4	regulated in order to be a SCRIE recipient. No, I
5	think, I think the Finance Department definitely
6	went through a, a very difficult transition when
7	the program moved from DFTA to Finance. There's
8	no question that they have been very receptive,
9	Sarah Meyers and the Commissioner have been very
10	receptive to our comments, to our criticisms, to
11	our suggestions. There's definitely an open door,
12	with regard to improving the program.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Good.
14	FRANK RICCI: And I think certainly
15	the complaint level that we've been getting, you
16	know, the level of complaints that we were
17	receiving, has declined dramatically as they've, I
17 18	receiving, has declined dramatically as they've, I guess, improved their staffing and improved their
18	guess, improved their staffing and improved their
18 19	guess, improved their staffing and improved their computer operation.
18 19 20	guess, improved their staffing and improved their computer operation.  COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank

Member Williams.

25

you. Unfortunately I missed your testimony, I was trying to read through. I just, one thing that struck me as, as very odd, one sentence, "If the goal of the rent laws is to address the shortage of housing, then the rent laws must be deemed a failure because they have done nothing to ease the housing shortage." But I feel like the RSA are always fighting many of the housing advocates, to actually do just that, when we're trying to repeal vacancy decontrol, income decontrol, because we're losing so many housing units in rent stabilization. So, I just found that line very ironic.

FRANK RICCI: You're right, you did miss my testimony, and I think I addressed that, but we have a philosophical difference which I don't think we're ever going to come to common ground on. but decontrol, if you, if you look at why the housing stock is in such great shape now, one of the reasons is decontrol. And if you have a small building or a large building, if you have a six unit building and you decontrol one unit, and you go over \$2,000, or now over \$2,500, all of the sudden you're in a position to start doing

2	things in your building that you were never able
3	to do before, like instead of patching the roof,
4	you can put in a new roof. To operate a building,
5	you cannot micromanage income on one side and
6	expenditures on the other, on a monthly basis, and
7	expect it's going to work over a long period of
8	time. To operate housing, you need to generate
9	big cash reserves, so when the boiler breaks, the
10	waterline from the main line from the street
11	breaks, the roof goes, you need to have a big bank
12	account with cash in it to pay that guy to come
13	and fix it right away. So
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I
15	understand.
16	FRANK RICCI:I think the
17	decontrol aspect of this
18	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I
19	actually
20	FRANK RICCI:has actually saved
21	a lot of buildings over the last few years.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I'm
23	actually a small owner, so I have, I have two
24	tenants, as well. So, I understand what it means,
25	but there's also a difference between the smaller

frustrating to me.

2 owners and the much larger owners. So that's
3 sometimes--

4 FRANK RICCI: I agree with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: --is a little frustrating, it's also frustrating that for the 40 some odd years or 50 years that the Rent Guidelines Board has existed, there's also been a rent increase and of course RSA always supports that. And there is no financial outcast in any of those years, that anyone in the RSA has thought would equal a rent freeze, which would've helped a lot. There's never, it's just impossible, to have a rent--whether you're making money forecasted, you're forecasted to lose money, where you say you're going to lose money for oil, but the oil doesn't go as high, and that just is very

the political process, and as you know, because you were probably there, some of the years when the price index has been 17 and 18 percent, and the owner gets a four percent increase, yeah, so those outlying years, the Guidelines Board tries to make up for it, because they want to spread out

2	the increase, but you know, it's a political
3	process. You and I both know that.

4 COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank

5 you.

a lot of owners who are, who are own, you know, vast number of rent stabilized units, and they're in fact wealthy. They have mansions outside of the City, they're millionaires, billionaires. So, I think just, I just want to recognize there are a lot of different owners. Some are small owners, some are—but there are definitely wealthy owners out there who just, they have the funds, and still don't do the work.

need to come together on those owners, is what I think it is like, we--I think we should find some common ground to get to these owners that we're trying to get with, because the protections of all owners, whether they're bad or not, whether they're wealthy or not, is harming too many tenants.

FRANK RICCI: Well, except what seems to be getting overlooked here in this

23

appreciate it.

2	dialogue, is that with this focus on wealthy
3	owners, is the fact that, you know, not, it,
4	rents, rent regulation is not an income based
5	program, and I think what it needs, I think there
6	also needs to be a recognition, as part of this
7	regular renewal of the rent laws, is that the
8	program isn't focused, it's not focused on those
9	tenants who are in need, it's not focused on only
10	assisting low, moderate, middle income tenants,
11	it, you know, if
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Well, I
13	know the Chair's not going to want me to go on all
14	day, so I'm going to try to end it
15	FRANK RICCI: Okay, well I was
16	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But, but
17	what you're missing from that, also, is one, we
18	need the affordable housings for the future, and
19	the community. Also there are rent protections
20	that we always forget about in these discussions,
21	that are also needed, and it's not just about the

24 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Council Member 25 James.

rents themselves. But thank you very much,

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you.

So, I recognize that philosophically there's a, there's disagreement, and so I don't think there's a need to rehash the underlying issue. But there is some questions and perhaps, perhaps, some validity as to whether or not the program is benefiting some higher income individuals as opposed to lower and middle income individuals. don't know whether or not that it's isolated, I don't know how widespread that is. But there is some validity to the point that you just made. Second point is I want to focus on where we agree, and you mentioned it, and that is the Advantage Program, and--[odd noise] I guess, I don't know what that was, but--so, the, so the question is, on the Advantage Program, because you mentioned all of these subsidies, and I could recall that there was a hearing about the Advantage Program, where RSA stood with a lot of the advocates. so, what is RSA doing to assist advocates and members of the City Council who support the Advantage Program? That would go a long way in helping - -

FRANK RICCI: [interposing] So, I

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS
2	mean, just, just like with Section 8, I mean, we
3	have been arm-in-arm with Legal Aid on, on these
4	issues.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yes.
6	FRANK RICCI: I mean, this is a
7	remarkable time
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Yes.
9	FRANK RICCI:that we're going
LO	through.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: I try to
12	focus on that which we agree on.
L3	FRANK RICCI: Yeah, no, no, I got
L4	it. We, we actively supported Legal Aid's
15	litigation against the City, we submitted papers
L6	in support
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: You did it
L8	amicus?
L9	FRANK RICCI: We, we submitted
20	affidavits in support of, that were part of Legal
21	Aid's papers, representing the position of the
22	industry and property owners.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
24	FRANK RICCI: So, we, we have
25	worked with Steve Banks and the other people

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 93
2	there, in support of that litigation. At this
3	juncture, as you know, the appellate division has-
4	-
5	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
6	FRANK RICCI:has stopped the
7	stay, and now they're just awaiting the decision,
8	which we, you know
9	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Have, do you
10	know, have the members of RSA been in contact with
11	the powers that be, with regards to the benefits
12	of the Advantage Program?
13	FRANK RICCI: Yeah, I don't think
14	it's a, I don't think it's a secret. I mean, we
15	have actively, we've been actively involved, and
16	it clearly affects not only at this juncture 8,000
17	tenants who were in the program as of
18	January/February, but obviously hundreds of
19	property owners
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right, of
21	course.
22	FRANK RICCI:who just like the
23	tenants, were relying on that rental income.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right.
25	FRANK RICCI: You know, our

but complaints and we have owners who are the most

25

б

responsible people, who've dealt with the Section

8 program for 20 years, they have experts in their

offices, and you know, the same problems that

persisted over the last year-and-a-half continue.

Question is, have there been discussions with the Mayor of the City of New York and/or any Deputy Mayor? With the Members? Because I know that this, you're looking at this obviously from a business perspective, it's a business decision, and I can respect that and understand that. And it's going to have an impact on the local economy. So have there been discussions with the Mayor and/or any Deputy Mayor?

know of, I mean we've been trying to talk to the Commissioner at NYCHA and his deputies who run it, with the, the idea in mind that let's just fix it, we don't want to, we don't need to grandstand about it, we just want the problem fixed so that, you know, tenants aren't, you know, scared that they're going to be evicted and owners can collect their money.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Correct.

2 | Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you,

Council Member, Council Member James. At this

juncture, there are no more questions from my

colleagues. Like to thank you all for your time

and for your testimony.

CAMILLA SHIDEEN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay, next, we have [background comments] and this is the final panel: Maggie Ciardi Russell, from Tenants and Neighbors; Martha White; Sam Stein; and Nina Ropaso [phonetic]. [pause, background noise]
Okay, why don't, why don't, why don't we begin in the order that, order that you were called up.

thank you so much for the opportunity to testify today, my name is Maggie Russell-Ciardi, I'm the Executive Director of Tenants and Neighbors. And Tenants and Neighbors is two affiliate organizations, I think a lot of you are familiar with the 501(c)(4) organization, the New York State Tenants and Neighbors Coalition. That organization is a membership group, with about 3,000 dues paying members that does predominately

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State level legislative advocacy and organizing around strengthening the rent laws. We also have a 501(c)(3) affiliate which is called the Information Service, that does on-the-ground organizing in at-risk buildings, and that organization initially focused primarily on the subsidized stock, the Mitchell-Lama and the project based Section 8. In recent years, with the massive rise of speculative investing and the rent regulated stock, we've also started to do a whole lot of building wide organizing and rent regulated developments, too. One of the things that came up earlier in today's discussion was why there's been such an acceleration in the loss of rent regulated housing in recent years. And I'd like to sort of supplement what the Commissioner said about that, by adding that we've also seen this massive increase in people intentionally overleveraging buildings with the intention of taking those buildings out of the rent regulation program. And the information service has been seeing a lot of that work in tenants who are really devastated by it and who are facing massive RGB increases, MCI increases, basically any

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mechanism possible to get the rents to that decontrol threshold. So I think that's part of the major problem that needs to be emphasized as what's, you know, in terms of what's happened in recent years. Tenants and Neighbors also plays a leadership role in the R3 Campaign which worked last year, in a leadership role, to get the State to renew and strengthen the rent laws. That was a pretty massive campaign that we fought. You know, we didn't achieve everything we set out to achieve last year, but we did achieve several important reforms that benefited tenants, and I think that's, you know, pretty historic, that hasn't happened in, in decades. And I did want to highlight the fact that there were many Council Members, including a lot of folks on this panel, that were really key allies in that effort. And to really publicly acknowledge and thank you for the tremendous role that many of you played. regarding the Reso 1230 and Intro 791, obviously we're coming out in very strong support of both bills. In our view there's pretty much nothing that the Council could do this session that would be more important than passing these bills and

taking a really strong statement about the	
importance of rent regulation in New York City.	
And you know, I read the Housing and Vacancy	
Survey, and it really does reflect what we see in	
our day-to-day work, at Tenants and Neighbors.	
The data in that is pretty compelling, and it's a	
pretty bleak picture of the housing market in New	
York right now, and of the prospects that folks	
who are struggling to get by have of finding	
housing that they can truly afford. You know,	
there's lots of questions that have, you know,	
been discussed today about whether or no rent	
regulation is actually affordable housing. You	
know, in some ways it's not, right, like just	
because you have a rent stabilized apartment	
doesn't mean it's housing that you can afford.	
You know, a lot of people are paying 40-50-60	
percent of their income towards the rent. For	
those folks, it's not an affordable housing	
program, what it is is a guaranteed lease renewal	
and regular and steady rent increases. That's	
very important, you know, it's not the solution t	0
the housing problem in New York City, but it's a	
critical piece of it. And I think making that	

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

distinction is important. Basically, the situation in New York is that landlords have an incredible amount of power because of this housing shortage, and that the more unscrupulous landlords could decide what they're going to do is they're going to retaliate against tenants who decide to organize. By not renewing their lease, or they're going retaliate against tenants who complain about housing conditions in the building and who call HPD if there's a problem. Rent regulation makes that, makes that much more difficult, because you have a right to renew a lease even if you organize or you complain about building conditions. also it just means that your income, your increase is going to be the RGB increase. It's not going to be something that's, you know, some sort of retaliatory increase that you're getting for trying to do good work in your building and in your neighborhood. The prospect of loss of rent regulated housing is really daunting for the folks that we work with. You know, we talked a little bit earlier about the \$37,000 household income for rent stabilized tenants. We also have a big base of rent control tenants among our membership, and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they're median income is \$29,000. They're really active group, and they're getting increasing active, you know, we have a group of folks that we work with that are in their 70s and 80s, that are doing tremendous organizing work every day, because they're so afraid of losing their homes and there's, but they're currently rent controlled. Like I can't even imagine what the situation would be like for those folks if they weren't rent controlled. So, it's a pretty dire situation, I mean, it's a critical issue for the Council to address and to pass these bills. of course, in our perspective, just simply renewing the rent laws as is would not be sufficient, and with your permission, I'd like to take a few minutes to talk about some of the changes we'd like to see to the rent laws that we're going to be working towards this year. So, I mean, last year, we raised the threshold, that's you know, significant, but as Council Member Williams mentioned earlier, that raising the threshold is not adequate because it's going to be a target, right. As long as there's a threshold at which units can be removed from rent

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regulation, landlords will have an incentive to do whatever they can to get to that target. course our long term objective of the tenant movement has to be to repeal the - - control. But, you know, that probably is not politically feasible this year, so we have a number of other smaller asks that we're working for in a pretty diligent way that we think re realistic, and we would like to get the Council's support for. There's a couple priorities that we want to emphasize, and sort of hear your reaction to at some point. One thing that we, I mean, I talked a little bit about the rent control tenants. were pretty much left out of the bill that passed last year, there was no specific language in that bill that addressed their unique situation. of them pay increases of up to 7.5 percent every single year, which is often much higher than the percent increase passed by the RGB. So what we're trying to do this year is actually put the rent control tenants underneath the RGB. A lot of you will be surprised to hear Tenants and Neighbors saying that the RGB, you know, is, we want people to have the protections of the RGB because we're

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

often out there criticizing and protesting and rallying in front of it. And you know, we're going to continue to do that, it's better than the MBR system. So that's something that we really want to push this year, is make sure that tenants, rent control tenants at least have a fighting chance of staying in their homes. We want to give the Council oversight over the Mayor's RGB appointments. I would think that would be something that would be really significant, if we could have a process, a more transparent and public process. Not just in New York City, but our bill also includes the suburban counties, which have their own distinct processes for setting up their boards. And the other issue that's really big this year is preferential rents. A lot of tenants have rents that, you know, during the economic crisis, they got in at rents that are lower than the lower than the legal regulated I would say in many cases, that's because actually the legal regulated rent was too high. The market can't bear those rents. So a lot of them are paying lower rents, but what that means is that at any moment their rent could be raised

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to the legal regulated rent. So the owner actually still has that sort of retaliatory power where they could say, "Oh, you called HPD, you called 311, I'm going to jump your rent from the preferential rent to the legal regulated rent." We want to address that legislatively this session, and provide those tenants with greater protections. So, Council Member Lander talked sort of at length about the Tenant Protection Unit, which is this sort of new development coming out of the HCR. That's not a legislative campaign, but our information service, our 501(c)(3) affiliate is working on a pretty intensive administrative campaign around the TPU. The bill that came out last year, which was about halfway through our campaign, did say that the agency is required to promulgate rules and regulations about the enforcement of the rent We haven't seen those rules and regulations laws. yet, and it's almost a year later, so we're going to continue to be pushing for the agency to promulgate those rules and regulations. But that's not enough. You know, that we actually need the laws to be enforced in a proactive and

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

targeted way. We are really pleased to see that the Governor allocated \$4.8 million in the budget for increased enforcement of the rent laws, and has appointed Deputy Commissioner White, who's going to begin shortly. So I think what we're going to try to do is mobilize a sort of basically a citywide coalition of groups that we're working with to try to influence the TPU and make sure it's really accountable to tenants and that it's doing the work that needs to do, to make sure landlords aren't violating the rent laws in an effort to get the rent to that decontrol threshold. And one of the things that we've been thinking is it might be time to reconvene the old DHCR working group, which a lot of Council Members were involved in, and sort of speak in a unified voice to the DHCR. But what we're seeing our communities and how this TPU could benefit the tenants in, in your districts, and the tenants that are our members, and we're hoping that the Council will get involved in working with us to shape the TPU. And lastly, you know, this is, March is the start of the RGB season, so this is the year that things get really busy for us.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a lot of things that we're doing this year and we, one thing that we particularly like to highlight that it would be useful for the Council to do, is to educate your, your constituents about the RGB process. I think a lot of rent stabilized tenants have no idea how their rent increases are set, and we can't possibly get out to everybody, so we could use your support, both in educating people about how to participate in the process, and then also advocating for the lowest possible increase. So, thank you so much. This is just some highlights of some of the things that we're hoping to work with the Council on in terms of improving and extending and strengthening the laws. And also improving their enforcement. we're looking forward to working with you, and thank you for your continued engagement with these issues.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you.

SAM STEIN: Good morning, my name is Sam Stein, I'm the Rent Regulation Campaign Coordinator at Tenants and Neighbors. And I'm speaking today on behalf of the Real Rent Reform Campaign, or R3, which is a coalition of over 60

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

tenant community labor legal and political groups working at the grassroots level to pass pro-tenant legislation in New York. I'd first like to thank Chairman Dilan and the members of the Council who are here for the opportunity to testify today. Of course, the real rent reform strongly supports Intro 791 and Resolution 1230. This is one of the most important things to happen so that we can have continued rent regulation and stronger rent regulation. As HPD's 2011 Housing and Vacancy Survey shows, New York City is still in the midst of a serious housing emergency, with citywide vacancy rent of 3.2, which is still well under the five percent threshold. Market conditions are strongly in, stacked in favor of landlords. as when the housing emergency was declared in 1974, the City's low vacancy rate means that the housing market does not work fairly for tenants. Renters can't negotiate with their landlords for improved services and more affordable rents because they lack bargaining power. With so few vacant apartments to move to, tenants can't exert their greatest source of leverage. So this is especially in the case when just one percent of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vacant apartments are considered low rent. housing survey shows that not only is there a housing emergency in New York City, but that renewing and expanding rent regulation is a vital part of the solution. In light of the ongoing emergency, the R3 campaign is continuing our fight for stronger rent laws and we need the help and support of the City Council. Our top priorities include repealing vacancy decontrols, has been discussed before, but also opposing the renewal of J51 in its current form, unless strong protections can be given to tenants. And such strong protections include reforming preferential rents. We have, to close the loophole that's in almost a quarter of stabilized leases that causes fear of displacement and fear of organizing for New York City and suburban counties. Fixing the MCI system: rent regulated tenants pay for the same major capital improvements over and over and over again, every month, even though the landlord has been fully repaid for the work. A similar system happens with individual apartment improvements especially in between tenancies, landlords will raise the rent by putting in unnecessary

improvements just to get closer to that decontrol threshold. We need to reform the RGB and also the, abolish the MBR and MCR systems that control rent control's rents. So, those are just a few of the reforms that R3 is pursuing this year. The real rent reform movement appreciates the City Council's support not only to renew rent stabilization and rent control, but to pass resolutions and stand in support of statewide bills that would significantly strengthen protections for rent regulated tenants. Again, thank you, Chairman Dilan and members of the Committee for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you.

NINA MARIE RAPOSO: Hi, I'm Nina
Marie Raposo [phonetic]. I'm representing myself.
Thank you for letting me speak. I am actually a
tenant in a rent stabilized apartment. I' man
immigrant and my husband is in the military. And
I actually had a problem with this preferential
rent. Just this year, my lease got renewed and my
rent got raised from \$1,303 to \$1,703, \$1,707,
which is 31 percent. I think that that would be
very difficult for anybody, because that's a lot.

And I'm a graduate student, so I'm doing some
research on this right now, and I actually found
this data that the median rent, and this is a
direct quote, "The median rent of initially
registered rent stabilized apartments in 2011 was
\$2,295." So, that's above the threshold that was
previously, and that you had just raised. But
it's actually only 8.9 percent above it. The
other thing is, a lot of people have been quoting
the vacancy rate of 3.12 percent, and that's
actually for all New York. Just the rent
stabilized units are 2.63 percent vacancy rate.
So, they're much more in demand. And I actually
found this is data based on February to May 2011.
And there's actually more current data from
reports, which says that the vacancy rate is 2.6
percent in Manhattan, in the third quarter of
2011, which is really low. So, I think that the
rent stabilization laws should be extended, but
also there should be some reforms because like the
preferential rate and also like the high, high
income, high rent decontrol and high vacancy high
rent decontrol. So, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thank you.

2	MARTA WHITE: Hi, my name is Marta
3	White. I live in Gale's neighborhood. [laughs]
4	I'm 72 years old, I live in a rent controlled
5	apartment. The building I live in has 41 units
6	and costs \$157,000 in the mid-'50s. So, I
7	personally have paid off the building. Okay, the
8	landlord owns 22 or 23 building in the
9	neighborhood, all purchased during those times.
10	I'm rent controlled, and our MCI and MBR rates are
11	horrendous, my rent has gone up \$1,000 in two
12	years. My rent is now \$3,600. My income is
13	\$3,200. I am, I amI had several surgeries, I am
14	on some disability and social security, I worked
15	Northwest Airlines forever. I have to, my
16	daughter now lives with me to help me, and I rent
17	two rooms, which I report. And when you rent a
18	room, they charge you ten percent more for each
19	room, so the rent goes up ten percent of the MBR.
20	Right? So, \$3,600 is not a miniscule amount of
21	money. The problem is that if you, if you could
22	pull in enough money to pay the rent, then you
23	probably will eventually, very closely, be
24	excluded from being able to rent it, because you
25	have too much money. You know, you have too much

2 income. And I'm not eliqible for SCRIE, I was never eligible for SCRIE. I don't want to be out 3 of exchange with the landlord, but our landlord 4 5 doesn't seem to tend to notice any of those concepts. Mildly put. So, the MBR MCI thing is a 6 real problem and we just got a \$90 a room increase, which is, we spent \$20,000 in lawyers 9 fighting, and we can't even get them to count the 10 rooms in the apartments about 20 percent of which 11 have pantries, which hare getting charged the \$90 12 a room, and it's like, it's not neat, and it's not 13 easy. The best agency in New York is HPD. 14 least corrupt, the most cooperative. 15 hopefully DHCR will increase its ethics level 16 soon. And DOB will have a housecleaning. 17 maybe some of us will have a chance to continue 18 to--we actually contribute to our community, all 19 the people in our building. It's 80 percent 20 stabilized, it's ten percent rent controlled, and 21 ten percent market. The market apartments are 22 from \$6,000 to \$8,000. And there's ten of them. 23 So, I think the changes in the rules might be a 24 good idea, because we're above stabilized. Our 25 increases are now about 20 percent above

23 Yeah, yeah, yeah. 24 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: [off mic] I

25 was there.

MARTA WHITE: Yeah. And as, as the
artists and creative teachers and stuff moved into
the Upper West Side, and sort of sat there and
made it get betterI read an article in the Times
that said, "Ten years after a degraded
neighborhood is occupied by like art types and
educat" you know, sort of the middle class. It
starts then to be gentrified. So, we moved in
when it was awful, but we could all talk to each
other and lots of people could live there, it was
really a mixed neighborhood. And then in the late
'70s and from onward, it became an upper class
white neighborhood. Our landlord has not rented
to a new black face since 1970 something. Just
because they advertise to European corporations to
rent these apartments. And they double or triple
or quadruple the rent. No, I, you know, I don't
want to be a victim, but it's, \$3,600 a month is
hitting at the
CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Yeah, well, I
was astonished by, you know, someone who's been

MARTA WHITE: Yeah, yeah. And \$200

that high, quite frankly.

in a unit for that length of time, to have a rent

б

2	of	it	is	oil.	\$700	of	it	is	the	MBR.
---	----	----	----	------	-------	----	----	----	-----	------

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I mean, it sounds like a nice building, but still, still a high rent for, for--

MARTA WHITE: It's an antique, we had to defend it, we had to get it, we got it pre-into preservation, which really made them upset
'cause they were going to tear it down. Now
they're mad at us and they're showing us, boy.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Yeah.

MARTA WHITE: [laughs]

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Thanks. And then, Ms. Russell-Ciardi and, forgive me, I think I always invert your last name, I'm so sorry for that.

MAGGIE RUSSELL-CIARDI: [off mic] -

18 -

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: I'm interested and I, I'm certainly not opposed to one of the legislative proposals that you brought up, that may be more applicable on the State level, that would give the Council and advice and consent role on the RGB members. Just in theory, it sounds like something I'd like to explore and maybe help

2	shop	to	members	of	the	State	Legislature,	so	I'd
3	like	to	talk to	you	ı moı	re abou	ıt it.		

MAGGIE RUSSELL-CIARDI: That would be excellent, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON DILAN: Okay. So, and that's the only comment that I had. I don't know if any of my colleagues [background comment] Oh, we've been joined by Council Member Jim Gennaro. Council Member Brewer.

that we would love to be in charge of advice and consent for the public members in particular. But the problem is both Speaker Quinn and I had legislation to that effect, and we were told that we cannot legally do it. It has to go through the State. So in other words, the State could do is, and give us the authorization. Just wanted to make that clear.

MAGGIE RUSSELL-CIARDI: Yeah, so-COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: But we
can't do it, because we want to do it. So working
with Council Member Dilan, we might be able to
convince the State, but I just want the public to
understand that if we could, we would, but we

MARTA WHITE: We are excused?

25

2 CHAIRPERSON DILAN: For now, yeah [laughter] [background comments] I do, but 3 before we, before we close, I do have a statement 4 5 from Council Member Gonzalez, for the record, who is the sponsor of Intro 791. [background comment] 6 Oh, "While I could not attend today's hearing due to a scheduling conflict, my staff has been 9 present and will report back to me about the 10 proceedings. I am proud to join Speaker Quinn in 11 introducing this bill that is so important to so 12 many New Yorkers. Rent stabilization has helped 13 maintain a strong working middle class in New York 14 City. It is a policy that truly helps make this 15 the greatest City in the world. I look forward to 16 extending rent stabilization laws into 2015 and 17 beyond with the support of my colleagues." that's a statement from Council Member Gonzalez. 18 19 :And we also have testimony for Community Housing 20 Improvement Program, otherwise known as CHIP, a statement that will be submitted for the record. 21 22 [coughs] Excuse me. And I don't believe there are any other statements for the record. So, at this 23 24 point, Intro 791, as well as Resolution No. 1230, 25 will be laid aside, and that will conclude this

1		COMMITTEE	ON	HOUSING	AND	BUILDINGS	119	
2	hearing.							
3		[gavel	]					

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date March 21, 2012