CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----X

December 6, 2011 Start: 11:50 a.m. Recess: 11:57 a.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Charles Barron
Leroy. G. Comrie, Jr.
Karen Koslowitz
G. Oliver Koppell
Michael C. Nelson
Julissa Ferreras

2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:	Good
---	------------------------	------

morning everyone and welcome to the Committee on Consumer Affairs in the New York City Council.

Today's date is Tuesday, December 6<sup>th</sup>. My name is Dan Garodnick. I have the privilege of chairing this Committee. I am joined today by Council Members Mike Nelson, Karen Koslowitz, Charles Barron, Oliver Koppell and we are going to hear in a moment from Council Member Koslowitz, who is the sponsor of the bill that is on today's agenda relating to bicycle parking in garages.

On November 23<sup>rd</sup> of this year, the Committee held a hearing on Intro 720, a bill that seeks to amend the law relating to bicycle parking in garages. While there is little disagreement that bicyclists should continue to have the option of parking their bikes in garages and parking lots, we cannot ignore the fact that the number of bicycle spaces that is actually utilized on a given day is less than 1%. Intro 720 provides garages and parking lots greater flexibility in parking and securing bicycles by eliminating what was the bicycle space dimensional requirement namely a requirement that spaces of 2x6x3 feet in

volume be reserved for bikes, requiring instead	
that garage and parking lot operators maintain	
equipments such as bicycle racks, hooks, poles,	
locks and chains to park and secure bikes. Durin	g
the November 23 <sup>rd</sup> hearing while witness testimony	
was almost entirely supportive of Intro. 720,	
concerns were voiced by a garage operator whose	
customers rather than his garage attendants secur	е
their bicycles using their own locks and chains,	
thus the equipment maintenance requirement of	
Intro. 720 would have had for some garages and	
parking lots provided less bicycle parking	
flexibility than the current law. With that in	
mind, Intro. 720 was amended. 720-A maintains al	1
the substance of 720, but allows garages and	
parking lots to permit people to lock up their ow	n
bicycles using their own equipment provided that	
those garages need to have sufficient locks,	
chains or other devices with which to secure	
bicycles should the bicyclist not have his or her	
own. So, how did I do Council Member Koslowitz?	
COUNCIL MEMBER KOSLOWITZ: Actually	Y
you did very well. I'm now going to read my	
statement laid it out, so I appreciate it,	

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 and I look forward to a positive vote.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Terrific.

Well, that was easy enough. I think Council

Member Koppell has something to say. Council

6 Member Koppell?

COUNCIL MEMBER KOPPELL: Thank you. As the sponsor of the law that requires garages in New York to park bicycles I was concerned that we change the requirements; however, I believe that the current law allowing more flexibility to garages does not eliminate the availability of parking spots for bicycles and I think therefore it's a good compromise. I believe that the requirement for bicycles is being expanded at the current time under the original law to include smaller parking lots, if I'm not mistaken, so that requirement is going into effect, which is a good thing. I think that the Council should continue to monitor how this law is working and whether increased use is going to be occurring because the studies that have been done are already somewhat old, although the parking garage operators say that the year-old study is still essentially accurate. One thing that was interesting at the

hearing we had was that the Edison Parking outfit,
which charges only \$1 a day found that in some
garages actually all the spaces were used. I
think we should consider perhaps some sort of
regulation on maximum pricing because if operators
price it too high, they're going to-and they may
already be discouraging use and that may be a way
for them to avoid responsibility under the law
rather than a commercially reasonable decision. I
think we've got to look at that. I know many of
shy away from price controls. At the same time,
I'm concerned that pricing may be a camouflage for
not complying. With that, I will vote yes with
the concerns that I've expressed on the record.
CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,
Council Member Koppell. Let me just note that

Council Member Koppell. Let me just note that we've been joined by Council Member Comrie and Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well,

Council Member Koppell expressed my concerns.

It's the pricing. They could easily price you out

of it, so any study that we do... and we have no

regulation on the pricing. I guess if we do study

and see what happens when the price is one way and

COMMITTEE CLERK: Koppell?

25

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Kimberley Uhlig

Date 1/2/11