CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----X

November 29, 2011 Start: 1:15 p.m. Recess: 4:33 p.m.

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

ANNABEL PALMA Chairpersons

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo Gale A. Brewer Helen D. Foster Brad S. Lander Stephen T. Levin Ydanis A. Rodriguez James G. Van Bramer

Ruben Wills

2	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Good morning
3	and welcome. I'm Annabel Palma, chair of the New
4	York City Council's General Welfare Committee.
5	We're here today to vote on a pre-considered
6	resolution that would authorize the City Council
7	to bring a legal action against the Department of
8	Homeless Services. As we explored in an emergency
9	General Welfare hearing on November 9th, DHS is
10	attempting to create a new eligibility assessment
11	for single adults seeking shelter. In essence,
12	the proposed change will make it more difficult
13	for single adults applying for shelter to be
14	deemed eligible. Litigation in the late 70s and
15	early 80s that established a right to shelter
16	for single adults. In the family system however,
17	there is no equivalent right to shelter. Families
18	must visit a prevention assistant and temporary
19	housing office or PATH [phonetic] in the Bronx to
20	be assessed for shelter eligibility. As a result,
21	many families who apply for shelter as deemed
22	ineligible and are turned away. If this proposed
23	change were to be put into effect for single
24	adults seeking shelter, individuals like families
25	will now have to prove they have no other place to

stay in order to be deemed eligible. The
Committee is very concerned about DHS' ability to
properly judge if a single adult does in fact have
a viable housing alternative. It is important to
know that DHS has been heavily criticized for
failing to accurately assess family applicants'
eligibility for shelter. It is also important to
know that the reapplication rates for families
suggest that many who are deemed ineligible by DHS
do actually require shelter. The Committee is
also very concerned about the implications this
new procedure will have on homeless individuals.
We fear that this change will result in an
increase in street homelessness, just as our
coldest winter days are approaching. And let us
not forget when a homeless family or individual is
denied shelter, they are forced to sleep in our
parks, on our church steps, in our subways or in
other public spaces. As you know in recent years,
the overall number of people in DHS shelter system
has steadily increased. DHS daily report for
November 3rd, 2011 shows that there are now 39,293
individuals in the traditional shelter system.
This represents a population increase of 23% since

2	2006. DHS believes that by implementing an
3	eligibility procedure, it can deny shelter to
4	applicants who are presumed to be able to return
5	to living with friends or families; however, this
6	new policy could also serve as a method for
7	decreasing the number of people in our shelter
8	system. Additionally, it is estimated that this
9	change in policy can save DHS \$4 million. In
10	addition to the impact this policy will have on
11	our city's homeless, the Committee calls into
12	question DHS' legal basis for adopting this new
13	eligibility procedure; specifically the resolution
14	we are hearing today reasons that DHS proposed
15	eligibility procedure falls within the definition
16	of a rule under the city's administrative
17	procedure act or that DHS did not follow the
18	procedure for rulemaking set forth in the New York
19	City Charter and that DHS has not asserted the
20	eligibility procedure that was adopted as an
21	emergency exception also pursuant to the Charter.
22	In short, this eligibility procedure seems to not
23	only go against the right to shelter as indicated
24	by local law, but will surely have a devastating
25	impact on the lives of our city's most vulnerable;

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

therefore, the Committee is seeking authorization
for the City Council to sue DHS in order to stop
the implementation and enforcement of the new
eligibility procedure.

Before I call the vote, I would like to recognize that we've been joined by members of the Committee, Council Member Helen Foster from the Bronx, Council Member Ruben Wills from Queens, Council Member Gale Brewer from Manhattan, Council Member Steve Levin from Brooklyn, Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer from Queens, Council Member Brad Lander from Brooklyn and Council Member Maria del Carmen Arroyo from the Bronx. Some of my colleagues would like to share some comments before we call the vote. would also like to thank the General Welfare Committee for their due diligence on this issue, and especially, the work that they have done this month. We've been thrown a lot of work at us this month, and they've just been able to pick up fairly quickly and get things done, so I wanted to make sure that I thank them publicly. Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer?

COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank

you very much, Madam Chair. I want to commend you
for your unbelievable work on this issue and
obviously Speaker Quinn for brining this action.
in the two years that I've been in the City
Council, this is one of the things that I feel
absolutely most strongly aboutthe fact that our
system and our city would seek to grill those
people at their most desperate moment, forcing
them to prove that they are in the dire straits
that clearly someone is when they come to the
system and say that they have no more and that
they need so much. This is a needless barrier to
access for people at the absolute worst time in
their lives, the absolute most critical time for
them to seek assistance, and knowing that so many
individuals who are homeless are dealing with many
other issuesmental health and substance use
issues, it is absolutely fool to seek to bar
them and to make it more difficult for them to
access the services they need. The presumption
that if there are friends and family that they
have stayed with that that is actually good
housing, that that is a healthy option is also
dead wrong because often, the situations that

exist in the homes of the friends or families that
they might have been staying with are situations
that they should not be in and only exacerbate the
issues that they are dealing with. So in fact,
they should not be in that housing. That is not
healthy for them or for the city. I just want to
say that I support this 110%. This is a dead
wrong policy and we should stop it, and you, Madam
Chair, and the Speaker are doing an amazing job
leading this effort. I just want to say thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you,

Council Member. Anyone else? Ready to call the

vote? Council Member Lander?

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: I just want to add my voice. Here we are between Thanksgiving and the Holiday Season, and the idea that what the Bloomberg Administration would do at this moment is seek to bar the door—to tighten the door.

We've already seen a significant increase in the number of people getting rejected from shelter in recent years as though a smart response for a city in a time of recession is to make it harder for homeless people to have the shelter they're

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

entitled to. It's cruel and it's illegal. I give
great credit to you and to the Speaker and to the
staff for the Committee for bringing forward this
resolution of this potential lawsuit. Thank you
very much I look forward to voting ves

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you.

Council Member Rodriguez?

COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: First of all, I would like to thank our Chairman Palma for being a leader in this effort and Speaker Christine Quinn. I believe that only a major - where the average New Yorker can be behind trying to increase the level of homeless in our city. As I say, even I shared when we held a hearing that in my district, I've been addressed with a number of people that when they've been going through the intake process through the shelter, they were asked about, "Do you have a place - - family - to your country?" - - as a - - commissioner - even recognized that yes, when people go and apply for shelter, they look at all the options including motivating people to go back to their country. I believe that is unfair and I believe what we are doing today, we send a message to the

2	mayor that we are standing for one of the most			
3	vulnerable groups in the city, which is the			
4	homeless, but they are not here by themselves.			
5	Anyone can be homeless at any particular time in			
6	their life. Thank you.			
7	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Council Member			
8	Levin?			
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,			
10	Madam Chair. I just want to add my voice and just			
11	say that last winter, there were two individuals			
12	in my district who died of hypothermia while			
13	sleeping in McCarren Park. Both of those			
14	individuals were not deemed homeless because they			
15	had potential places to stay with family. Under			
16	this policy, they would be rejected for shelter.			
17	I have no doubt that the action that we are taking			
18	today is going to save lives this winter. I			
19	applaud you and I applaud the Speaker of the City			
20	Council, Christine Quinn, for your efforts to			
21	stand up for the most vulnerable population in our			
22	city. Thank you.			
23	CHAIRPERSON PALMA: Thank you. We			
24	can call the roll.			

COMMITTEE CLERK: Kevin Pin,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Committee Clerk.	Roll call	in the	Committee	on
General Welfare	Council M	emher D	ealma:	

CHAIRPERSON PALMA: I vote aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Brewer?

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Aye.

COMMITTEE CLERK: Foster?

COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Just a

minute to explain my vote. I vote aye, and I want to thank you, Council Member Palma, the Speaker and the Council for standing up. What we're seeing is the mayor and the administration again promoting two very different cities. The reality for the people that I represent and the district that I represent is that this policy will do nothing but aggravate and promote homelessness. We should be as the premiere city setting an example on what to do with our most vulnerable and what to do is not put them out. I again want to thank you and of course than the General Welfare Committee because you guys have been working hard, but last but certainly not least, the advocates who are on the front line dealing with the situation and making sure that we as a Council respond to their needs. We appreciate it.

issuewe really wanted to sit with the
administration and be able to come up with an
alternative to this policy, an alternative that
would really address the issue of homelessness and
not having to go waste city resources and taxpayer
dollars on lawsuits and legal actions in court,
when we can actually be dedicating those funds to
make sure that homeless people are being houses in
an appropriate way. I'm happy to have been able
to vote this resolution out today, but I have
faith that moving forward, we're actually going to
be trying to engage this administration and future
administrations to deal with the issue of
homelessness in a more proactive way and make sure
that we're preventing homelessness instead of
trying to put Band-Aids on the issue. Thank you.
This meeting is adjourned.

19 [gavel]

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Kimberley	Uhlig
Signature	<u>U</u>	U
Date	_12/11/11	