CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING & MARITIME USES

----X

July 25, 2011 Start: 11:28 am Recess: 1:10 pm

HELD AT: Committee Room - 16th Floor

250 Broadway

B E F O R E:

BRAD LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Margaret Chin Julissa Ferreras

Daniel J. Halloran III

Jessica S. Lappin Stephen Levin Diana Reyna

James Sanders, Jr. Jumaane D. Williams

APPEARANCES

Kenrick Ou Director of Real Estate Services New York City School Construction Authority

Charles Guder Senior Project Manager TRC Engineering Services

Andrew Rich Vice President Wal-Rich Corporation

Corey Johnson First Vice-Chair Manhattan Community Board #4

Jenny Fernandez Director of Intergovernmental & Community Relations Landmarks Preservation Commission

Andrea Goldwyn Director of Public Policy New York Landmarks Conservancy

Gina Barros Member Brooklyn Community Board #1 ULURP Committee

James Walker Vice President St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church

John Krawchuk Director of Historic Preservation New York City Department of Parks & Recreation

Lou Sepersky District Historian 6th Community District of Manhattan

2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Good morning,
3	thank you, I'm Council Member Brad Lander, I'm
4	pleased to call this meeting of the City Council's
5	Land Use Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting
6	and Maritime Uses to order. We have a very
7	exciting Committee hearing today with four schools
8	and three proposed landmarks, and we're delighted
9	to be joined by a number of the Council members
10	whose districts they're in. So let me introduce
11	who's here. As members of the Committee, to my
12	left are Council Member James Sanders from Queens,
13	Council Member Dan Halloran from Queens, Council
14	Member Jumaane Williams from Brooklyn, all three
15	members of the Committee. And then well, we
16	have gender separation here, as well as Committee
17	member segregation. We also have with us, with
18	items on the calendar in their districts, Diana
19	Reyna from Brooklyn, Julissa Ferreras from Queens
20	and Margaret Chin from Manhattan, thank you for
21	being here. I hope people have avoided melting or
22	spontaneously combusting in the heat today, we're
23	quite cold in here, which is a beautiful thing.
24	All right, we're going to do the schools first, as

is on the calendar, and then the landmark items.

And we have, I think, four schools to hear about,
and we do have people signed up to testify on a
couple of them. So I'll begin by inviting Kenrick
Ou from the New York City School Construction
Authority to come up and testify on the four
school items that are on the calendar today. All
of these items are pre-considered, which just
means we're doing the hearing on them before all
the paperwork's been formally filed for their
introduction, so I don't have the land use numbers
that we typically use, and so we'll refer to them
by their, essentially their land use numbers, as
opposed to their Council numbers. So we'll start
with 20105361 SCQ, which is the proposed PSIS 311
in Queens, Community Board #4, in Council Member
Ferreras' district. Kenrick, when you're ready,
just please introduce yourself and then go ahead.
MR. OU: Good morning, Chairman
Lander and Council members and Council Member

Ferreras in particular. My name is Kenrick Ou,

I'm Director of Real Estate Services for the New

York City School Construction Authority, and we're

pleased to be before you today to present four

sites, of which this is the first. The New York

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City School Construction Authority has undertaken the site selection process for the proposed 1,100seat primary school facility that will be located on tax lot 1613, lot 17, located at the southwest corner of 97th Place and 43rd Avenue in the Corona Section of Queens. The proposed school site is also located within Community School District #24 and Queens Community District #4. The project site contains a total of approximately 55,000 square feet of lot area, and it's improved with an occupied industrial building. Under the proposed plan, the SCA would acquire the property, demolish the existing on-site structure, and construct a new approximately 1,100-seat primary school facility. Phase one environmental site assessment and phase two environmental site investigation were conducted at the site. A soil vapor barrier and active sub-slab depressurization system will be installed in the building, and a two-foot layer of environmentally-clean fill will be installed in areas where exposed soils would remain. notice of filing for the site plan was published in the New York Post and City Record on April 1st, 2011. Queens Community Board #4 was notified of

the site plan on that date, and was asked to hold
a public hearing on the proposed site plan.
Community Board #4 held its public hearing for the
site plan on May $10^{\rm th}$, 2011, and submitted written
comments recommending against the proposed site.
The City Planning Commission was also notified of
the site plan on April $1^{\rm st}$, 2011, and it
recommended in favor of the site. The SCA has
considered all comments received on the proposed
site plan and affirms the site plan, pursuant to
section 1731 of the Public Authorities law. In
accordance with section 1732 of the Public
Authorities law, the SCA has submitted the
proposed site plan to the Mayor and City Council
for consideration on July 21st, 2011. We look
forward to your Subcommittee's favorable
consideration of the proposed site plan, and are
prepared to answer any questions.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member
Ferreras, do you have questions or a statement?
You do.
COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Good
morning. Actually it's more of a statement, I
have been in receipt, I guess, of as of Friday on

2	the corporation that currently sits in one of the
3	facilities of the proposed site. I don't is
4	this the one they were talking about now? The
5	Wal-Rich Corporation?
6	MR. OU: That's actually the
7	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS:
8	(Interposing) The next school.
9	MR. OU: Correct, that's actually
10	the other site.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: All
12	right. Well, we'll come back to that one. So I
13	know that I had asked you earlier if we could just
14	state for the record the change between elementary
15	to a junior high school proposal.
16	MR. OU: Okay, so just as a point
17	of context, we're speaking right now about the
18	primary school facility. There is another site on
19	the agenda, Chair, which, you know, basically is
20	geographically on the next block over, and if the
21	Council Member would like, I could speak to both
22	of them now, or?
23	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: With the
24	permission of the other members of the the
25	Council Member and the other members of the

2	Committee, why don't we ask you to go ahead and
3	speak to that one, and then we'll do both
4	questions and comments and our public hearing
5	together, and people can comment on both of the
6	two school sites.
7	MR. OU: Okay, so I'll make a very
8	brief presentation regarding the other site, which
9	is on the next block over.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank
11	you, I just know that they're a block away, so
12	we're going to be
13	MR. OU: (Interposing) Yes,
14	correct.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So for the
16	record, we're now going to be hearing 20125006
17	SCQ, that's the proposed PS315, also in Queens
18	Community Board #4, at 96-18 43 rd Avenue, and we
19	will then have a joint opportunity for question
20	and public comment on the two schools together.
21	MR. OU: Again, thank you, the New
22	York City School Construction Authority has
23	undertaken the site selection process for the
24	proposed 785-seat intermediate school facility
25	that would be located on tax block 1628, lot 21,

located on the north side of 44 th Avenue, between
National Street and 97 th Place, in the Corona
section of Queens. This proposed school site is
also located in Community School District #24, and
Queens Community District #4. The project site
contains a total of approximately 40,000 square
feet of lot area. The site is improved with a
one-story, owner-occupied plumbing business.
Under the proposed plan, the SCA would acquire the
property and demolish the existing on-site
structure, to construct a new 785-seat elementary
excuse me, intermediate school facility. Both
the phase one environmental site assessment and
phase two environmental site investigation were
completed for this site as well. The notice of
filing for the site plan was published in the New
York Post and the City Record on January 19^{th} ,
2010. Queens Community Board #4 was notified of
the site plan on that date and was asked to hold a
public hearing on the proposed site plan.
Community Board #4 held a public hearing on the
site plan on February 2^{nd} , 2010, and submitted
written comments recommending against the proposed
site. The City Planning Commission was also

notified of the site plan on January 19 th , 2010 and
it recommended in favor of the site. In 2010, the
SCA had originally proposed to construct a new
approximately 612-seat K to 8, or
primary/intermediate school facility, at the site.
However, following consideration of the comments
that were received during the comment period, and
the most recent amendment to the Department of
Education's five-year capital plan, the SCA has
revised this proposal, and is now proposing to
construct the approximately 785-seat intermediate
school facility I mentioned before. No changes
have been proposed to the site plan itself. The
SCA has considered all comments received on the
proposed site plan, and has affirmed the site plan
pursuant to section 1731 of the Public Authorities
law. In accordance with section 1732 of the
Public Authorities law, the SCA has submitted the
proposed site plan to the Mayor and the City
Council on July 21 st , 2011.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So just a
little bit of housekeeping, I think we may have

our numbers reversed. So let me just, I think

people can speak to either address or proposed

2.

school number, but just to be just so we're
clear, 20105361 is the proposed PSI311, at 97-36
$43^{ m rd}$ Avenue, block 1628, lot 21. And 20125006 is
the proposed PS315 at 96-18 43 rd Avenue, block
1613, lot 17. But I think if people can either
use the address or the school number for the
remainder of our questions and public testimony,
so back to Council Member Ferreras.

very much, Chair, and thank you for considering this, since the schools are so close to one another, and they kind of are resolving a very big issue that we have in our area of overcrowding. So I want to thank the School Construction Authority for being able to aggressively address the issues that we have in the district. Now, if you could just speak to the reasoning behind changing the PSIS311 to just an IS, and how we will accommodate for the eliminating of the PS part of 311 and moving it towards the new school, if that affects seats. Will we be losing elementary-school seats?

MR. OU: So, we had advanced a proposal for what was then called PSIS311, which

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was in 2010, for the site 97-36 $43^{\rm rd}$ Avenue, for approximately 600 seats. That was the first site that was identified. Most of the larger schools that the School Construction Authority constructs are designed 600 seats and above, to be K to 8, to be flexible. And then actually following some of the concerns we actually heard from ... at the community board-level, and also learning about the availability of the other site, which is the PS315 site, we looked again at the capital plan and determined that we would not ... it probably would be preferable, rather than having two PSIS facilities within a block of each other, to designate one for the elementary school students and the other for the middle school students. there is really no net loss of seats, it really is that, in the interests of trying to address concerns about traffic congestion near the firehouse, that we are now proposing that the site that is closest to the firehouse be for middle school students, as opposed to elementary school students. But just to be clear, there's no loss ... there's no net loss of seats, it's simply how the seats have been placed, apportioned between the

two sites.

if you could just speak to your conversations with the Fire Department, I know that there was some concern with the proximity of the firehouse, and I was told that one of the steps that you have taken is that it was first believed that the entrance was going to be on the avenue, and it's not necessarily, so if you could just speak to that.

MR. OU: So I'll speak to each of the sites. The IS311 site, which is the site right next to the firehouse, the intermediate school site, is actually going to have its main entrance on 44th Avenue. The existing, I think it's Engine 138 Company, discharges its apparatus, and they use 43rd Avenue to respond. So the idea being that we will try and keep pedestrian and other traffic associated with the school on the other street, to avoid conflicts with responding apparatus. The PS315 site, which is the next block over, that, the entrance to that school also has been placed along, off of 43rd Avenue. There is an entrance on 97th Place and 44th Avenue as well, and a treatment for ... the proposed treatment

2	for the site along $43^{ m rd}$ Avenue, again, which is the
3	street, the two-way street where the emergency
4	vehicles use to respond, will basically be a blank
5	wall without entrances. So, I mean, we recognize
6	and share the concerns that we heard both from the
7	Fire Department and also from Community Board #4,
8	and believe that through these design measures
9	those concerns have been addressed as best as
10	possible, in light of the congestion, the overall
11	congestion, within the neighborhood.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: And then,
13	speaking on the current company that is at the 315
14	site, right?
15	MR. OU: Are you speaking of the
16	Wal-Rich?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Yes, Wal-
18	Rich.
19	MR. OU: That's actually 311,
20	that's the IS311 site.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Right,
22	we'll get those numbers right, I promise. 311
23	site, has the administration done anything
24	possible to advise on perhaps other manufacturing
25	sites? Because as I'm sitting next to Council

Member Reyna, who is one of the biggest proponents
for insuring that we keep manufacturing here in
our city, is there any tandem relationship that
you have with other agencies as we are moving
manufacturing potentially? And I understand our
need for schools, and especially in my district,
we have the most over-populated elementary school,
which is PS19, with 2,015 students. That was the
last attendance that was taken on June $30^{\rm th}$. So I
understand the need for these schools, and I
believe these schools are exactly three blocks
away from these new proposed schools. So has the
administration done anything besides the
negotiating process of purchasing these buildings
to be able to keep these manufacturing jobs in our
city?

MR. OU: Well, I don't want to speak for our principal attorney, because a lot of the discussions with the property owner have been handled through counsel, but I can say that in other instances where we have acquired property, we have tried to work with tenants or owner-occupants on relocation options, and I would ... again, I don't want to say too much about

2	something that I have not been personally involved
3	in, but historically we have worked with folks to
4	assist them to relocate and I believe in prior
5	meetings other I think the Queens borough
6	president's office had made some suggestions
7	regarding potential relocation options as well.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay,
9	thank you very much, and thank you, Chair, for
10	taking these consideration of framing these two
11	projects together.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I saw that
13	Council Member Sanders had a question, if other
14	Council members have questions about this item.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Thank
16	you, sir, good morning.
17	MR. OU: Good morning.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
19	Community Board #4 voted against both of these
20	projects, any reason given?
21	MR. OU: The reasons were, for the
22	first site, they actually were concerned about
23	some of the issues I discussed before, the
24	emergency response of the fire, of the engine
25	company that discharges onto 43 rd Avenue. They

4	also expressed concerns about some activities
3	right now on the proposed PS315 site there is a
4	poultry slaughterhouse, and they were concerned
5	about the odors and other issues associated with
6	that activity. If we move forward with both of
7	these sites, the poultry slaughterhouse will no
8	longer be an issue, because there will be a brand
9	new school there. I think they also had expressed
10	a general concern about congestion in the area.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
12	You said that there was a certain amount of, you
13	didn't use the word but I'll use it, toxic soil
14	that was going to be removed, I don't mind you
15	switching that word. How much is being left in
16	that site?
17	MR. OU: Well, on that issue I'd
18	actually like to ask our technical experts to
19	assist, if the Chair would permit me to ask?
20	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Sure.
21	MR. OU: I'd ask Charles Guder from
22	our environmental consultants.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: How
24	are you, sir?
25	MR. GUDER: Fine, thank you. Hi,

2	I'm Charles Guder from TRC, environmental
3	consultant to the School Construction Authority,
4	and regarding the, I wouldn't use the term toxic
5	soil, but you could certainly use that. The idea
6	on 315 Queens, that's the site that has this soil
7	underneath it, we plan to remove that soil, and we
8	don't plan to leave any of that soil.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: No
10	soil.
11	MR. GUDER: That's the plan right
12	now.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
14	What's in the soil?
15	MR. GUDER: Lead.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
17	And well, you guys certainly have the expertise to
18	remove lead, lead, of course by growing children
19	is one of the worst things that we can have. The
20	more of that soil that we can remove, the better.
21	You said you're going to, if we don't remove all
22	of the soil, that you have a two-foot barrier
23	proposed?
24	MR. GUDER: Right. Right, the only
25	way exposure can happen is, you know, there has to

2	be a contaminant, and there has to be a pathway.
3	So we're going to remove, ideally, all of it. If
4	not, it's going to be covered by the clean fill,
5	or where the soil is, it is going to be covered
6	actually by the building, so there will be a
7	concrete slab above the fill. So there will be no
8	potential for exposure to occupants.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
10	We're not going to use any membranes or any of
11	that?
12	MR. GUDER: No, the school will
13	definitely have
14	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
15	(Interposing) That will serve as
16	MR. GUDER: (Interposing) a vapor
17	barrier and sub-slab depressurization system as
18	well.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
20	It will be best to remove it, but if not, then
21	those steps probably are more than adequate to
22	deal with the issue of lead. Does lead leach?
23	MR. GUDER: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Boy,
25	we're just having all the fun here. All right, my

other point, Mr. Chair, is to say that 700 and
some odd seats at a school, this is where we're
going in the opposite direction of the good school
movement, if you wish, where we've understood that
a smaller school is easier to get to know all of
the students, and all those other good things. I
understand the overcrowding in New York City, but
even as the administration is trying to break the
larger schools into smaller schools, we're trying
to cobble together a system that is best made from
smaller schools, period. I guess there's nothing
that can be done about that either. I want to
thank you very much, and thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Council Member Reyna?

much, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to reaffirm the comments that were accommodating as far as the business that is being relocated, or attempts to relocate. You know, affirmatively, can there be a communication to this Committee to be able to understand what is in the administration's foresight to be able to mitigate what would be an adverse conclusion to this business, and perhaps

2	working with other sister agencies, such as the
3	Department of Small Business Services and EDC, who
4	have reignited the industrial policy for this
5	administration, to be able to help with the
6	relocation costs as well as moving this business
7	owner, to move into protected zones such as the
8	industrial business zones?
9	MR. OU: I'm going to be totally
10	honest and frank with you, in that
11	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA:
12	(Interposing) I expect nothing otherwise.
13	MR. OU: Well, about my own
14	ignorance, so permit me to be a little bit
15	embarrassed about the actual extent of discussions
16	between our attorney and the property owner's
17	attorney, as well as - and again, to be totally
18	frank - depending on how this property is
19	acquired, if this is a negotiated acquisition, or
20	if it actually has to be acquired through eminent
21	domain, there may be different legal requirements
22	that attach.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right, and-
24	_
25	MR. OU: (Interposing) And another

court may mandate, should it go through eminent
domain.

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And I understand, you know, those particular details, you know, can conclude a very different story for each scenario, but in the meantime, I want to make sure that, as Chair of the Small Business Committee, and understanding that I am one of the representatives, as far as the school district is concerned, District 24 is the most overcrowded district in all of New York City. You know, they have a need for space, and we want to be able to achieve both being able to provide what would be scarce land, availability to build schools, but as well as taking into consideration that we don't want to push out small businesses, and making sure that we're doing everything diligently possible to be able to afford the opportunity for this business to stay in New York City. And so if you can just go back and make sure that you engage what would be the Economic Development Corporation's Seth Pinsky, as well as the Department of Small Business Services, to ensure that these conversations are taking place in fact.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. OU: I will absolutely have 3 that discussion with our counsel.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I'd like to actually extend on that just a little bit, because I really, you know, think as we, you know, the challenge of meeting seat needs in overcrowded districts in the city without a lot of, you know, available land, it's going to keep pushing us in the direction of sites that, you know, have businesses on them and have, you know, environmental challenges, and so I guess just two requests. One, we've talked about this in the past on the environmental issues, but I wonder if you could just provide the Committee with some ... you know, with the sort of general guidance as to how you approach contaminated sites, that's not really particularly about this school, you know, so I think some of us would wonder, you know, is there a site that's so contaminated we don't touch it. How do we think about something that can be cleaned up too, what specs do we clean, since there is the zoning override? You know, I think this is probably something that exists already,

maybe it's even available to us publicly, and you
can just point us to the link, rather than
preparing something for us. But help us
understand the framework that you use, for when we
think about sites that require some clean up, so
when we come to these, we're not just looking at
an individual site, but rather understanding the
broader policy that you bring to the question of
sites with environmental remediation issues and
the general protocols to them. And then
similarly, on the industrial or small business
issue, I think if we could know that there was a
policy way in which the SCA engaged with EDC or
the Department of Small Business Services, to
support and obviously it's different in
different situations, depending on the acquisition
method, but still, if we just knew that, you know,
when there's a business on the site that would
like to stay in the city, here's the standard
procedure for making sure they know what's
available from EDC and SBS. Similarly, we'll be
able in the future, I think, to understand sort of
how to fit this in the broader policy concerns, as
well as what's important on each individual site.

Council Member Ferreras had one more question, and then I see Council Member Halloran as well.

additional question was, I know that it was stated during Community Board #4 about the proximity of the school so close to a firehouse. Has that been done in the past? Do we have other schools?

Because what was stated was that there has never been a school next to a firehouse, and if you could just clarify that point, because I don't believe that to be true, but if you could speak to that.

MR. OU: Absolutely. I mean, the City of New York is a very well-built and well-developed city. I'm familiar on the upper East Side with Wagner Middle School, which actually has a firehouse right next to its yard. I believe even right downtown, right where the Beekman ... or, I'm sorry, the Spruce Street School, which Council Member Chin knows all about, right next to it is New York Downtown Hospital, there is a firehouse right across the street. So it's not uncommon, just given the density of the city, and as a practical matter, I think the benefit we have in

2.

this instance is that the firehouse is there
already, and we're able to design the buildings in
a way to try and minimize or to anticipate
concerns.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank
you, that was something that kept coming up in a
lot of my conversations, so I thank you for
clarifying that point.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

Council Member Halloran?

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr.

Chair, I'm just ... actually I have a procedural question. I'm kind of confused how we're able on the Siting Committee to vote a siting use when we don't actually know the mode of acquisition. It would seem to me that we're going to be able to vote on something, and the actual mode of acquisition hasn't been set. And I have to be honest with you, I ... depending on which route it goes, I might have a different vote. As you all know, I am not a fan of eminent domain, and while this is certain at least a public purpose, so it's a little more than some of the other plans the city has used eminent domain wrongly for, I don't

б

know that I would necessarily vote the same way,
given a different mode of acquisition. Now, it's
certainly the Council Member Ferreras' district,
so I would look to her for guidance on it, and I
would certainly take her position very strongly,
and she seems in favor of it. We all know we need
schools, but again, this seems to me like the
Council abrogating its authority to actually
review these sorts of issues, and personally, I am
not comfortable voting on a public siting
acquisition without knowing how it's going to be
acquired. And I don't know how my colleagues feel
about that, but I certainly, in the interests of
full disclosure, would want to know before I gave
a vote to anything, exactly how it's going to come
to be.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So, Council

Member Halloran, I would just say, I think the

framework that we're operating under here is the

framework that the law, that's both state law and

the charter, give us. So I think that you, you

know, raise a point about the Council's authority,

and I don't disagree that we would ... that it makes

a difference. The New York State Public

Authority's law and, you know, constrains the Council's input into school sitings more so than on other kinds of actions, so I take your point, and we can certainly ask them more questions about the mode of acquisition, but we're not just abrogating our authority. This is ... we can ask them, we can say we want to know, and it influences whether we'll vote in favor of the siting or not, but the power here is as prescribed by both state law and the charter. So if you want to ask them more questions about how they'll decide or when they'll know, or ask them in the future they bring us that, that's all in order.

But, just clarifying on our power.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III:

Again, Mr. Chairman, I respectfully disagree that it should be in front of us until that mode has been reached and in fact, as you pointed out at the outset of this hearing, unlike most things that come in front of us that already have its statutory designations, this does not. And so this seems to me we're writing a blank check to a city agency, and we are not fulfilling our obligations to the citizens of the City of New

York to ensure that when the city uses eminent
domain, that it does so in as circumscribed
position as possible. This may go that route, it
may not, I don't know. I'm sure Council Member
Ferreras is on top of these things, because I know
she's had this issue in her district before with
other eminent uses of eminent domain, but I am
certainly not comfortable giving a blank check to
anyone, let alone the City of New York. I'll be
happy to ask some questions about the parameters,
if the Chair wants me to do that. But again, it
seems to me a premature exercise, you know, on our
part, because we won't be able to come back if
they decide to go through the route of eminent
domain, and undo what we've done here, at least to
my knowledge of how it would work, and that
concerns me significantly.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So I ... you know, I appreciate that, and I guess I'll just say two things. One, just to be clear, it's not a city agency, or they wouldn't have that power, it's, you know, an authority under state law. And just to be clear, what will ... I mean, I hear you, and I hear strongly the request that the SCA in

the future bring us the information on siting
acquisition, just procedurally, for example, since
they have filed it with us, if we don't vote and
we do nothing, it is as though approved, and they
can still move forward with the siting and can
still at a future point move forward with their,
you know, with their eminent domain authority. So
not speaking to whether that's appropriate or
inappropriate, but that's the situation we find
ourselves in, in terms of deciding today whether
or not to take up this school and approve it now.
Okay, we have a lot else on the calendar, so if
there aren't other questions from the Committee,
we do have one member of the public signed up to
testify on this siting, so even though I asked you
to come up and do all four, let me open this up to
Andrew Rich of the Wal-Rich Corporation to testify
on this item. Please state your name, and then
you can begin your testimony. Push one more time,
sorry.

MR. RICH: Good morning, Council members, my name is Andrew Rich, I'm from Wal-Rich Corporation. Our site is the site that's being considered for PSIS311, and the location is 97-36

2	43 rd Avenue. (aside) I'm sorry? I don't have it.
3	I just wanted to speak before the Council this
4	morning to provide just a little bit of scope as
5	to our company's position on the acquisition.
6	Back in 2007, before our financial turmoil that we
7	now live in, my father, who is the president of
8	the company, I'm the vice president, it's a third-
9	generation family business, put the site up for
10	sale because, as things were, as the markets were
11	good, and when I say the markets, I mean the
12	overall economy was good, we were looking to
13	expand our site. Not necessarily that site, but
14	to look towards bigger facilities. In that time
15	we've had dramatic changes to the economy, the
16	housing and construction market, which is the
17	market that we sell into, we're a distributor of
18	plumbing specialty products, is a fraction of what
19	it was. Shortly after we were contacted by the
20	School Construction Authority, in response to an
21	ad that was put in by a broker, we took a look at
22	our economic situation and we determined that, to
23	move the business at this time would be
24	economically unviable. We took the property off
25	the market and we did advise the School

2	Construction Authority that we were not interested
3	in selling. However, these hearings have
4	continued to go on, with the threat of eminent
5	domain hanging over us, and the correspondence
б	that we've had with counsel in the form of what's
7	been called negotiations, but what has really been
8	waiting for this meeting, has really not allowed
9	us to plant, and we feel like the mouse in the
10	cage with the elephant, just waiting for when the
11	foot is going to fall, and we've always opposed
12	the plan, and we look forward to having some sort
13	of resolution here. What that is, you know,
14	remains to be seen.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
16	much for coming today to testify.
17	MR. RICH: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And members of
19	the Committee may well have questions. I see
20	Council Member Sanders, do you have a question?
21	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Yes
22	sir, just a well, I'll do it this way. Has the
23	EDC or the Department of Small Business Services
24	been in touch with your corporation, sir?
25	MR. RICH: No sir.

No sir.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Has
any city agency spoken to you about any other
lands, any other possibilities that exist?

MR. RICH:

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Thank you very much, sir.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member Halloran.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: I would first encourage you strongly to have a conversation with your Council member, I know she works very hard in her district, and I know she would also be looking to help you. But I am very concerned about the issue I raised, and I didn't even know you were here to testify. So let me first start by saying that, while I believe the public school is certainly a public use, eminent domain should be the mode of last recourse of a city, of the state, and regardless of whether or not applicable state or Federal law applies here, the City of New York is acquiring it. I don't care if we call this ... the school superintendent's office a state agency or authority, the reality is it's going to be run by a designated chancellor of

the Mayor of the City of New York. The Department
of Education will operate almost wholly within the
City of New York its administration, its budget,
its tax dollars sent to Albany, sent back to us.
So the fiction that everyone likes to create that
this is somehow not a city operation is just
something I find unpalatable. In the course of
your sale, putting your business up for sale, did
you in fact receive any offers, or were you
basically not, because of the market, able to
acquire any real offers to purchase the property?
MR. RICH: I don't recall, because
at that time we were talking about, about three
years ago, I can't say that I recall.
COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay.
If there are independent appraisals done of your
property, do you have numbers that they have come
in at? For relocation of your business, all of
those things, do you have numbers independently
garnered from either yourself, a real estate agent
that you've spoken with, or other agents or
authorities that give you an idea of what you're
really looking at?

MR. RICH: Aside from the initial

2.

correspondence that we had with the brokers when
the property was originally put up for sale, I
would say no. At the time that we were notified
by the SCA, we did have exploratory correspondence
with an eminent domain-kind of attorney, but it
was never really detailed what sort of expenses
that would be associated, or what sort of
properties would be available as an alternative to
ours.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay.

And just on that, on that line, have you been served with any restraining order, or any form of governmental lien that would prevent you at this time from selling on your own, independent of what this body does or does not do, since there is no motive of acquisition in place right now?

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay.

And is there any possibility a broker could sell your property and acquire the money reasonable for you to relocate to somewhere else in this market, or are you convinced that that just couldn't happen?

MR. RICH: The problem seems to be

MR. RICH: Not that I'm aware of.

2.

Ferreras.

from other properties that we looked at, you know,
the internet is full of things that are "for
sale". It's a matter of the space, you know. Our
facility is approximately 30,000 square feet, and
the same dilemma that the School Construction
Authority has in finding sites suitable for
schools is the dilemma that we have finding sites
suitable for our business.

again, I just strongly encourage you to talk to your Council member, who is really one of the most proactive members of this body, and I know she would fight for you to find something comparable, or to work something out, and to keep pressure on the city. Thank you.

MR. RICH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Good

afternoon, and thank you for coming out, and I

received your letter on Friday afternoon. So I

would love to have a conversation with you and see

the follow-up, I think we have ... our city has

several programs in place, and we need to be able

2	to speak to the administration. Of course, and I,
3	you know, I'm very public about this, I would
4	prefer that there isn't eminent domain use. I
5	think that we're able to get a fair that you're
6	able to go through this process in a fair way, and
7	that you get the fair value for your property. Is
8	there currently a business being run there, and
9	how many workers do you have?
LO	MR. RICH: Yes, we do have … we are
11	continuing to operate. We have 28 people onsite,
12	we have ten and twelve offsite employees, and we
13	do employ a number of manufacturer's reps
L4	throughout the country as well.
L5	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay.
L6	And this is your main headquarters?
L7	MR. RICH: It's our only
L8	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS:
L9	(Interposing) It's your only headquarters.
20	MR. RICH: Right.
21	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Okay,
22	your only facility. I would, you know, this is
23	very tough for me as a Council Member, because I
24	represent a district that is so overly-populated,
25	and T believe if there's ever the use of eminent

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

domain, which is a very uncomfortable conversation that many of us have to have, and in particular my district seems to be the "it" issue.

MR. RICH: Right.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: many levels. But I really want to have an opportunity to be able to work with you, and help kind of find the best option for you, while you as a business owner, but also my constituents with their children, and being able to house and being able to get the best education possible within the realms of Corona. So I think that we still have a lot more conversations coming, you know, in the pipeline, and although today we're going to be voting on these two sites, I don't want you in any way to feel discouraged or confused, and the fact that you're stating that they have not been able to reach out to you, and that you've been overwhelmed by the process, I think we need to empower you with everything necessary for you to be able to make the best decision for you and your family. And I want to work with you on that one. And it's unfortunate that we're meeting here today, at the hearing about this issue, and I

Reyna.

think that, you know, that we need to work on,
okay? So I thank you and I again want to urge my
colleagues to understand that we are in a very,
very tough situation over in Corona and District
24, and seats are very important to us. And I'm
committing to be able to bring you the most fair
and just process that you can have, with the most
information that you need. However, we really do
need these schools, and these 1,800 seats will
mean a great deal to the parents. And you would
be able to facilitate that potentially in bringing
a very bright future to many young people in
Corona. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr.

Chair, Council Member, you are more than welcome to talk to the people at EDC about the College

Point Corporate Park, which is not very far from your district, and also has numerous locations that are available for relocation. So I would certainly welcome your 38 employees to my district to generate money, if other people don't want you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Council Member

MR. RICH: Thank you.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you
3	very much, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to,
4	considering Dan skipped the line, I wanted to also
5	offer Mr. Rich the opportunity to discuss, in
6	working with Council Member Ferreras, to be able
7	to find suitable space throughout the industrial
8	business zones in the City of New York, of which
9	our protected zones under boundaries that we have
10	engaged with the administration in preserving what
11	is industrial space, and it's at a premium right
12	now, to be able to find affordable industrial
13	space, but working with the Economic Development
14	Corporation, as well as the Department of Small
15	Business Services, I'm sure that you would be able
16	to preserve your ability to stay in New York City,
17	operate in New York City, and continue to employ
18	what are the potential of a good 30 to 40 jobs
19	here in the City of New York. And so I wanted to
20	just understand, are you interested in continuing
21	to operate your business, despite this issue
22	concerning your site currently?
23	MR. RICH: Absolutely.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And your
25	accounts, as far as despite the economy and the

25

2	downturn that the economy took, your accounts that
3	are open with your firm, what is the volume of
4	work?
5	MR. RICH: Well, in terms of
6	percentages, I would say that we do 50% of our
7	business within the New York metro area. We do
8	about 12% to 15% of our business over the counter
9	from wholesalers that come to pick up at our
10	location. So the location that we have in Corona,
11	which is ideal, allows that to continue.
12	Hypothetically, if we were forced to move to Long
13	Island, in addition to the fact that it would be
14	costly, I just don't see how we would be able to
15	maintain that 12% to 15% of our sales volume.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And
17	currently, Mr. Rich, do you receive any tax
18	abatement or tax benefits in any shape concerning
19	your business, operating your business from the
20	site you currently occupy?
21	MR. RICH: No.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And so,
23	again, I stress to you the opportunities of the

industrial business zones providing you those

opportunities, and so it is in your best interests

to be able to look at the different packages that
are possible for you, working with Council Member
Ferreras, and we're lending ourselves to Council
Member Ferreras to work with you on these
particular details that would greatly benefit your
company, as well as a third generation of what is
operating in the City of New York. We don't want
to lose you to Long Island or New Jersey or any
other area. Thank you very much.

MR. RICH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, I want to ... we still have quite a lot on the agenda for the hearing, so I want to, Mr. Rich, thank you for taking the time to come.

MR. RICH: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And testify, and we will go ahead and close the hearing, the public hearing on those two items, and then call Mr. Ou back to present the other two schools to us. So, let's see, we have Council Member Chin here, so we'll move to her school, a school in her district, which is 20115806 SCM, a proposed 476-seat primary school, Peck Slip School in Manhattan CB #1, in Council Member Chin's district.

2	MR. OU: Good afternoon, Chairman
3	Landers and Council members, again, I'm Kenrick
4	Ou, Director of Real Estate Services for the New
5	York City School Construction Authority. The New
6	York City School Construction Authority has
7	undertaken the site selection process for the
8	proposed 476-seat primary school facility to be
9	located at 1 Peck Slip in lower Manhattan on tax
10	block 106, lot 9. The proposed school site is
11	also located in Manhattan Community District #1
12	and Community School District #2. The proposed
13	site currently contains a four-story building
14	owned and occupied by the United States Postal
15	Service. The building is located on the north
16	side of Peck Slip between Pearl Street and Water
17	Street. The site contains approximately 18,000
18	square feet of lot area. Under the proposed plan,
19	the SCA would acquire the site from the United
20	States Postal Service and redevelop the property
21	to accommodate the proposed new 476-seat primary
22	school, along with an approximately 2,200 retail
23	post office. The SCA has a contract of sale with
24	the United States Post Office to purchase the
25	property. I do want to note that identifying

appropriate school sites in the city, or
throughout the city, has been especially
challenging, but probably nowhere more so than in
Corona and also in lower Manhattan, and that this
particular site was identified through the
advocacy of the Lower Manhattan School
Overcrowding task force, led by Assembly Speaker
Silver. Speaker Silver, Senator Schumer,
Congressman Nadler, and members of the task force,
including Council Member Chin, representatives
from Community Board #1 and other local
representatives and parents, contributed
immeasurably towards identifying the site and our
successful pursuit of this site for public school
use. The notice of filing for the site plan was
published in the New York Post and City Record on
May 23 rd , 2011. Manhattan Community Board #1 was
notified of the site plan on May 23 rd , 2011, and
was asked to hold a public hearing on the site
plan. The Community Board held its public hearing
on the site plan on June 18^{th} , 2011, and
subsequently submitted written comments in favor
of the proposed school site. The City Planning
Commission was also notified of the site plan on

б

May 23 rd , 2011, and it also recommended in favor of
the proposed site. The SCA has considered all
comments received on the proposed site plan, and
affirms the site plan pursuant to section 1731 of
the Public Authorities law. In accordance with
section 1732 of the Public Authorities law, the
SCA submitted the proposed site plan to the Mayor
and the City Council on July $21^{\rm st}$, 2011. We look
forward to your Subcommittee's favorable
consideration of this proposed site, and are
prepared to answer any questions you or the
Committee members may have.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Council Member Chin, do you have questions or comments?

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you,
Chair. Good afternoon. It is really exciting
news and also so fast, I mean, I think this is
unprecedented that this has come about, I guess,
in less than a year, in terms of identifying the
site, and it was mentioned earlier before, it was
really the work of Speaker Silver and the
overcrowding task force that helped identify the
schools and the parents have been going around

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking at every building they can find and every site, and when they heard about the post office being up for sale, they just like alerted all of us, and we really wanted to be thankful for all the elected officials helping, especially on the Federal level, with Senator Schumer and Congressman Nadler getting the post office to really sit down and negotiate with the city, and we're really happy that it's progressing so rapidly, and I know that the community has grown tremendously in population, and already there is kindergartens on waiting lists in September and we wanted to make sure that this school will meet the needs of the growing population. And I know that the Community Board #1 and the overcrowding task force has also urged SCA to look at increasing the size from 476 to 600, and we will do our best to find the money for the seats, but I think in terms of looking at the site, it will be great to make sure that we can maximize the number of seats, so that we can really meet the growing needs of the population down here in lower Manhattan. thank the SCA for working with us, and also the Department of Education for really coming together

б

to make this happen. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much, Council Member Chin. Any other questions from the Committee? All right, thank you very much, and we do not have anyone signed up to testify on this item, so we'll close the public hearing on that school, thank you very much, I appreciate it, and Council Member Chin, we appreciate your being here. And we'll move to the fourth school that's on the calendar for today, 20125005 SCM, the proposed West 43rd Street high school in Manhattan Community Board #4.

MR. OU: Again, good afternoon,
Chair Lander and Council and Committee members.
My name is Kenrick Ou, I am Director of Real
Estate Services for the New York City School
Construction Authority. The New York City School
Construction Authority has undertaken the site
selection process for a proposed new approximately
1,440-seat high school facility proposed to be
located at 521 West 43rd Street in the Clinton
section of Manhattan, on tax block 1072, lot 15.
This proposed school site is also located in
Manhattan Community District #4 and Community

School District #2. The proposed site is
currently used as the New York Public Library's
annex and storage facility. This six-story
building's main entrance is currently located on
West 43^{rd} Street, between 10^{th} and 11^{th} Avenues, and
has approximately 30,100 square feet of lot area.
The existing six-story building contains
approximately 200,000 gross square feet. The
proposed plan calls for the renovation of the
existing six-story structure in order to provide
approximately 1,440 seats that will serve as a
replacement facility for Beacon High School, to
relieve that school's overcrowding. Beacon High
School is an existing high school organization
that is currently located in a leased facility
located at $227-243$ West $61^{\rm st}$ Street, and that
facility operated at 141% of its target capacity
during the 2009-2010 school year. The SCA has a
contract with the New York Public Library to
purchase this property. The notice of filing of
the site plan was published in the New York Post
and the City Record on February $7^{\rm th}$, 2011.
Manhattan Community Board #4 was notified of the
site plan on February $7^{ m th}$, 2011 and was asked to

nota a public hearing on the proposed site plan.
The Community Board held its public hearing on the
site plan and subsequently submitted written
comments in support of the proposed school site.
The City Planning Commission was also notified of
the site plan on February $7^{\rm th}$, 2011, and it also
recommended in favor of the proposed site. The
SCA has considered all comments received on the
proposed site plan, and affirms the site plan
pursuant to section 1731 of the Public Authorities
law. In accordance with section 1732 of the
Public Authorities law, the SCA submitted this
proposed site plan to the Mayor and the City
Council for consideration on July 21st, 2011. We
look forward to your Subcommittee's favorable
consideration of this proposed site plan, and are
prepared to answer any questions that you or the
Committee members may have, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

much. Just to clarify for members, this proposed siting is in the Speaker's district, and she has let us know that she is in favor of the school siting. Any questions from members of the Committee? I do have one person signed up to

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

testify, seeing no questions we'll ask Corey
Johnson from Manhattan Community Board #4 to come
up and testify. Oh great, you're testifying. Mr.
Ou, thank you for your testimony, unless we have
questions.

MR. JOHNSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Committee, my name is Corey Johnson, I am the Chair of Manhattan Community Board #4, and I'm here to comment on the relocation of Beacon High School, which is currently situated in an existing leased building at 227-243 West 61st Street, in Community District #4. Our community is pleased to welcome a wellrespected public high school to our neighborhood, but we have a few concerns that should be taken into consideration. Establish a community task force: West 43rd Street is a dense residential street, with a number of high-rise residences located west of 9th Avenue, including Manhattan Plaza, with more than 1,600 units, and Riverbank West, with 418 units. One block north of the proposed site is West 44^{th} Street, between 10^{th} and 11th Avenues, construction is to commence in the next year at PS51. This project includes

constructing a new elementary school, and building
1,210 residential units. The community adjacent
to the proposed Beacon High School site will be
heavily impacted with ongoing construction in the
next few years, as well as a continued population
increase. The successful development and
relocation of Beacon High School will require
close coordination with the SCA, the Department of
Education, local elected officials, Manhattan
Community Board $\#4$ members, the West 43^{rd} and 44^{th}
Street Block Associations, the Manhattan Plaza
Tenants' Association, Beacon High School and PS51
administrators, that's a lot of different folks
that need to be consulted and considered. CB4
proposes that an advisory board comprised of all
the stakeholders be established to resolve the
neighborhood issues that either may arise from
construction of the new facility or increase
students in the area. In particular, the
principals of both PS51 and Beacon High School
will need to maintain an open dialogue with each
other and the community to insure that the safety
and personal welfare of the broad range of
students is met without negatively impacting the

quality of life of the neighborhood. The proposed
site is almost half a mile from the nearest subway
line, the ACE lines at West 42^{nd} Street in Times
Square and $8^{\rm th}$ Avenue, given an estimated 1,400
additional students to the area every day,
Community Board #4 urges the MTA to reconsider
implementing the proposed 7 Line extension stop at
10 th Avenue and West 41 st Street. While Community
Board #4 supports Beacon High School's outstanding
academic programs and nurturing college
preparatory environment, and understands its need
for larger space, we are disheartened that the
Department of Education did not consider placing
an intermediate school at the proposed location.
Currently, Clinton-Hell's Kitchen does not have a
standalone intermediate school. PS111 is the only
school in the community to offer grades six
through eight. By 2019, Clinton and Hell's
Kitchen neighborhood could add an additional 26
high-rise residential buildings, with more than
11,250 units. Using a conservative estimate, this
could mean more than 1,200 additional elementary
school children in the neighborhood in the next
ten years. These elementary school children will

not attend a middle school in their community,
because there is no middle school to accommodate
them, as there has not been to accommodate the
thousands of children before them. CB4 would like
the Department of Education to strongly consider
constructing a standalone middle school
intermediate school in the Clinton-Hell's Kitchen
neighborhood, so that children who live in our
community can also attend school in our community.
Lastly, the School Construction Authority has
indicated the exterior of the building will remain
largely unchanged from the current design. Even
so, Community Board #4 would like to be kept
informed of all decisions as they are made, and
encourages the SCA and DOE to attend our quality
of life meetings when updates are available. In
addition, Community Board #4 would like the SCA to
explore the possibility of providing green space
on the roof of the existing site, open space in
Community Board #4 is extremely limited. Indoor
school facilities such as the proposed gymnasium
must be available to local community and arts
organizations for use during out-of-session hours.
Community Board #4 is home to a large number of

non-profit theaters and arts and cultural
organizations that have been impacted by
escalating rents and severe space needs. The
community must best use its available public
resources to support cultural activities. With
that I thank you, and I would just like to
reiterate, Manhattan Community Board #4 and this
neighborhood does not have a middle school, and I
know other Council districts and community boards
face a similar issue, but with 26 residential
towers that are set to be constructed in the next
five years, it's likely that the vast majority of
elementary school children in our neighborhood are
going to have to leave the community to go
somewhere for middle school, and it's our hope
that the Council will weigh in with the DOE and
the SCA so that we're able to actually have an
intermediate school in our neighborhood. With
that, I thank you for allowing me to testify
today.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

much for your testimony. Are there any questions from Council members? No? Thank you very much.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Mr. Ou, I'm
3	not going to ask you to come back and go point-by-
4	point through the testimony at this time, there's
5	obviously a lot of detailed issues, but we do take
6	our role seriously, obviously, as, you know, a
7	conveyor of community concerns to the city and to
8	public bodies like the School Construction
9	Authority, so I just want to, you know, you're
10	nodding, you're here, you listened to what was
11	said, you see that Council members are, you know,
12	asking that the concerns of the community and the
13	need for a middle school be taken into
14	consideration, that the SCA be in touch with the
15	community and with local elected officials to try
16	to move through many of these things, and it
17	sounds like a middle school is the biggest one, so
18	thank you for your testimony and we look forward
19	to following up on these items in the near future.
20	Okay, seeing no one else is signed up to testify
21	on the schools, that will close the testimony on
22	the four public schools. And so thanks to the
23	School Construction Authority for being here,
24	we'll move forward now to the landmark items.
25	 We'll ask Jenny Fernandez from the Landmarks

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Preservation Commission to come forward to testify
and present those to us. Is Jenny here? She is,
great. Let's see, Council Member Reyna has been
here and very patient, and so we'll start with the
landmarks item in her district, which is Land Use
#431, St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church.
When you're ready.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Chair Lander and members of the Landmarks Subcommittee, and Council Member Reyna, of course. My name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation Commission. I'm here today to testify on the Commission's designation of the St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Sunday School and Parsonage in Brooklyn. On June 22nd, 2010, the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing on the proposed designation as a landmark of the St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Sunday School and Parsonage. There were five speakers in favor of designation, including representatives of Council Member Reyna and Assemblyman Vito Lopez, the vice president of St. Paul's Church, representatives of the Historic

Districts Council and the Williamsburg Waterfront
Preservation Alliance, as well as the pastor for
the Presbyterian Resurrection Church and several
individuals. There were no speakers opposed to
designation. On April 12 th , 2011, the Commission
voted to designate the complex a New York City
Individual Landmark. St. Paul's Evangelical
Lutheran Church, Sunday School and Parsonage,
designed by architect J.C. Cady in 1884 through
'85, is an exceptional example of the Romanesque
Revival style of architecture. With its German
origins, the style was especially appropriate for
this German Lutheran congregation, one of the
earliest Brooklyn churches founded by this large
immigrant group. In 1852 St. Paul's Evangelical
Lutheran Church was the second German Lutheran
congregation in Williamsburg, Brooklyn. The
church prospered along with its congregants,
maintaining religious services in the German
language, and offering an extensive educational
program. For St. Paul's, Cady designed a
substantial church building of brick and terra
cotta, highlighted by a prominent corner bell
tower. Its many stained-glass windows, round

arched openings and a variety of towers and brick molding enliven its simple red brick façade. The church complex, including the parsonage to the west and the school attached to the east, forms a cohesive group that anchors this residential section of Williamsburg. Originally a dynamic part of the important and influential German community, the church continues to serve a Spanish Lutheran congregation. The Commission urges you to affirm this designation.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: First let me note that we've been joined by Council Member Levin, welcome. Council Member Reyna, the floor is yours.

much, Mr. Chair, I would like to thank you and Chairman Robert Tierney and Jenny Fernandez and the team at the Landmarks Preservation Commission who has been every step of the way nothing but supportive in landmarking the St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church. Members of my community are here, and will be testifying in favor of, but we have a vibrant congregation at St. Paul's who is eager to just preserve what is

the St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, which
has survived many issues concerning siting of what
is the BQE, what is the Williamsburg Bridge, and
through it all, in the midst of having lost so
many churches, this is one that has remained and
has proven the test of time. Williamsburg, like
many neighborhoods, is born out of, and are
ultimately defined by, a rich historical legacy
involving many different people, cultures and time
periods. The St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran
Church, on the corner of South Fifth Street and
Rodney, embodies this notion, serving both as a
physical artifact of what was and is a piece of
cultural heritage that influences the Williamsburg
of today. Founded in 1853, St. Paul's is one of
the oldest German Lutheran churches in North
Brooklyn. St. Paul's reflects the considerable
influence and demographic prominence of German
immigrants in North Brooklyn during the 19 th
century. St. Paul's consists of a complex of
three separate buildings, a church, a Sunday
school and a parsonage. This complex culminates
into a 135-foot bell tower on the corner of South
Fifth and Rodney. In the past few years,

Williamsburg has seen wholesale changes to its
architectural context, making it essential that we
recognize significant structures such as St.
Paul's, and that we protect them for future
generations. I'm happy to, and proud to support
this application in landmarking St. Paul's
Evangelical Lutheran Church and it has been what
is considered to most a town hall space, a
community space, that's open to everyone, and
having worked with its board of trustees in making
sure that today was in fact possible, I want to
thank them and their hard-earned effort in making
sure that this took place. So thank you to Jenny
and Bob Tierney who has been nothing less than
supportive of this application. This was probably
the fastest application I have ever seen in my
district, thank you so much.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you,
Council Member, it's nice to get an enthusiastic
endorsement like that, and obviously it's

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I'm sorry, I just want to make sure that I thank Andrea

Goldwyn, because I wanted to make sure that I

beautiful from the pictures.

appreciated all of her effort, constantcommunication with my office. Thank you, Andrea.

that as a good introduction, because we now have a couple of people signed up to testify in support of this designation, Andrea Goldwyn from Landmarks Conservancy as well, we'll do it as a panel, as well as Gina Barros and James Walker. So you can all go on up to the ... yes, take a seat and I'll be ... we have still a couple of more to do, so I think we're going to go to the clock here, we'll give everybody three minutes. Great, okay. Go ahead when you're ready.

MS. GOLDWYN: Good day, Chair

Lander and members of the Council, I'm Andrea

Goldwyn, speaking on behalf of the New York

Landmarks Conservancy. The Conservancy is pleased

to support designation of St. Paul's Evangelical

Lutheran Church as an individual landmark. The

St. Paul's complex, composed of the church, Sunday

school and parsonage, is located on a prominent

corner lot in Williamsburg and anchors its

community with a distinguished architectural

heritage and active social programming. All three

buildings in the complex were constructed in 1884
in the Romanesque Revival style, with Holland and
Philadelphia brick, to the design of J.C. Caty and
Company, architect of many landmarked buildings.
Significant features include the 135-foot corner
bell tower, a massive Romanesque arch at the
ground floor, original geometric leaded-glass
windows with Tiffany-style jewels, and the figural
window by German-trained George Durham from the
1920's. Today, the church houses three
congregations totaling about 150 members, who
worship weekly at several services. There are
English and Spanish Lutheran and Presbyterian
congregations. The Sunday school wing is used
extensively by the surrounding community for
showers, wedding receptions and christenings, the
parsonage is used as a group home for children and
families, and the Rodney Street LGBTQ. The
congregation at St. Paul's recognizes the valuable
role of its historical buildings, and the
Conservancy has been actively involved with the
church for several years. We funded a conditions
assessment in 2009, which determined that repairs
to the tower and roof were the highest priority.

Now, landmark designation will qualify the church
for a challenge grant of up to \$50,000 for
additional work at the tower and roof, as well as
a third grant towards the cost of an experienced
construction manager to guide these repairs. We
urge you to designate St. Paul's Evangelical
Lutheran Church as a landmark. Like so many other
historic religious buildings in New York, it's a
living symbol of our culture, our community, and
our history, and designation insures that this
legacy will continue. Thank you for the
opportunity to express the Conservancy's views.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Ms. Barros, go ahead.

MS. BARROS: Is this on? Okay. My name is Gina Barros, good morning, Mr. Chairperson and members of the City Council. I am a member of Community Board #1 of the ULURP Committee, and also a member of the board of the Homeowners Association of Williamsburg. But most important, I live on the same block of the church, and this church is very dear to my heart. And it's dear to the heart of those people in the 1800's who came here and built this church, and I believe it's

dear to the hearts of the people today who are
celebrating this landmark designation. St. Paul's
Lutheran Church is an architectural gem, and
Commission Chairperson Robert Tierney said,
constructed over 125 years ago by an institution
that played a central role in the lives of people
who lived nearby, the church complex continues to
serve as a visual and spiritual anchor for the
community today. St. Paul's Church is a
functioning integral part of southside
Williamsburg community. People in the community
refer to the church as either St. Paul's or San
Pablo's. This is where people go to church on
Sunday morning, on Sunday evenings, and also very
important, there is a community room, and this is
where people go to community forums, and we're
very fortunate that we have had many community
forums both by the City Council and Assembly
office, one the south side task force, where we
have had forums on fire safety, police safety,
immigration rights. So this church is very dear
to the hearts of many people. I want to commend
the Landmarks Commission for the designation of
St. Paul's Church as a landmark building. It will

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not only protect the esthetics of this building,
but its evolving relationship with this
diversified community in Williamsburg, Brooklyn.

Thank you. CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Mr. Walker. Thanks very much for coming out and testifying. MR. WALKER: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, and Council members, I'm James Walker, Vice President of St. Paul's, and we're so pleased and we've worked to make this happen and I want to thank Diana Reyna for her support, and the Conservancy, as well as just about everybody that's made this happen. So again, we're so pleased and have worked so hard to make this happen. That being said, there is a predator out there that would threaten other New York City historic buildings ... churches, that is the senate which we are a part of is now in litigation with Bethlehem Lutheran on the corner of Third and Pacific. That suit involves the congregation trying to stop the sale of their building to a developer who will demolish it, I'm sure. This is

a conflict that's gone on for some time.

senate locked the congregation out, and again,

they're in a court action that, just to alert this
Council, that maybe the City of New York needs to
do something. I'm not sure what or how it can be
done, but I wanted to alert as many people as
possible. And that we're so pleased that we've
gotten this protection for St. Paul's, but there
are other historic buildings to be saved too.
This is a terrific city, with a wonderful
historical architectural history. Thank you.

much for coming and taking the time to testify.

It's wonderful when a religious institution and the Conservancy and the Council member and the Commission really can work together on that. We really honor ... it's not, we know it's not a simple thing for stewards of a building to embrace its preservation, when that comes with the challenges financially of doing so. So it's great to see you working with the Conservancy, and we really appreciate the work and the spirit that goes into it, so thank you very much.

MR. WALKER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So those ...
that's everyone that signed up to testify on that

б

item, so we'll close the public hearing on it.
We'll go just slightly out of order on our last
two items. Council Member Lappin is here, so we
will jump to Land Use #433, the Free Public Baths
on East $54^{ ext{th}}$ Street, item 20115757 HKM, and ask Ms.
Fernandez to come back and present it to us.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Lander, once again, Council Member Lappin and members of the Subcommittee, my name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and Community ... I guess I'm timed out.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Right. We're good to go on this one.

MS. FERNANDEZ: My name is Jenny
Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and
Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation
Commission, I'm here today to testify on the
Commission's designation of the Free Public Baths
of the City of New York, East 54th Street Bath and
Gymnasium in Manhattan. On January 11th, 2011, the
Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public
hearing on the proposed designation as a landmark
of the Free Public Baths of the City of New York,
East 54th Street Bath and Gymnasium. There were

three speakers in favor of designation, including
a representative from the Department of Parks and
Recreation, the Metropolitan Chapter of the
Victorian Society in America, and the Historic
Districts Council. The Commission received a
letter in support of designation from City Council
Member Jessica Lappin, as well as a resolution of
support from Manhattan Community Board #6. No
speakers testified against designation. On May
$10^{\rm th}$, 2011, the Commission voted to designate the
building a New York City individual landmark.
East 54^{th} Street Bath is culturally significant for
its part in the history of the Progressive Reform
movement in America. In 1895 state law mandated
public baths in large cities, and the first
municipally-funded bath in New York City opened in
1901 at 326 Rivington Street. The East 54^{th} Street
Bath opened for public use in 1911, with 79
showers for men and 59 for women, providing
sanitary facilities for area residents, as well as
a gymnasium, running track and swimming pool, and
roof playground for recreational use. The public
bath movement began to wane around 1915, as more
landlords included bathing facilities in buildings

and apartments, and this building probably ceased

operation as a bathhouse in 1938. The East $54^{\rm th}$
Street Bathhouse was designed by Werner &
Windolph, and received endorsements from leading
experts of the day and the Board of Health. This
imposing Classical Revival style structure is
faced with brick and stone and trimmed with Arts
and Craft-style details. The exterior, which
remains remarkably intact, creates a powerful
architectural presence in the neighborhood, and is
still owned by the city and used as a community
facility. Thirteen bathhouses were built in New
York City, making this structure a rare example of
an important aspect of the Progressive Reform
movement. The Commission urges you to affirm this
designation.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
much, Ms. Fernandez. Council Member Lappin?
COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you,
Mr. Chair. And I just wanted to agree, the
exterior is remarkably intact. I used to live

directly across the street from the East $54^{\rm th}$

Street Rec Center, and really always enjoyed

walking by on my way in and out. It is a treasure

in the community, both because of its significance
historically, and what a beautiful building it is,
but also it's very well used and loved by people
who live in the neighborhood. It's one of the few
large indoor swimming pools that Parks Department
maintains, particularly in Manhattan. And you did
mention the roof playground, it's been a dream of
mine and a goal for a long time to have the roof
of that building be put to better use. I've had
capital funding in the budget that has been
allocated to the Parks Department for that purpose
and I'm excited about that project. But it is, I
think, lovely for you to recognize, we have Mr.
Sepersky from Community Board #6 and a resident,
who is going to testify in a moment, who was very
instrumental in making this happen. But it is
nice that, as you have been over the last few
years, been looking at pools and parks facilities
for designation, that you included this as well,
and I am fully supportive.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
much. Any other questions from other members of

MS. FERNANDEZ: I just want to

the Committee? Ms. Fernandez?

б

thank Council Member Lappin for her unwavering
support on this, and certainly to the Department
of Parks and Recreation for their careful
stewardship of this building. It is quite an old
structure, it's still in active use and in great
condition, so thank you.

thank you very much. So we have a couple of people signed up to testify, and then public comment we'll submit for the record as well. So let me first invite Mr. Krawchuk from the New York City Parks Department, the Department of Parks and Recreation, to come up and testify, and then after that we'll have Mr. Sepersky. Just go ahead and state your name for the record and begin when you're ready.

MR. KRAWCHUK: Good afternoon,
Chair Lander, members of the Council Subcommittee
and members of the Council. My name is John
Krawchuk, and I'm the Director of Historic
Preservation with the New York City Parks
Department, and I'm happy to be here today on
behalf of the Parks Department to support the
proposed designation of the East 54th Street Bath,

also known at the Parks Department as the East $54^{\rm th}$
Street Recreation Center. The Parks Department
manages a fascinating collection of historic bath
and pool facilities, and we're pleased that you
are considering the designation of this building
as an individual landmark. If designated, it
would join a significant collection of landmark
bathhouses at parks that honors the contributions
to great building design and the transformation
the transformative effects of health and
recreation on New York City's population. The
completion of the East $54^{\rm th}$ Street Rec Center in
1911 was a direct result of the Social Reform
movement, created by state legislation in 1895.
The law required construction of free public baths
for residents of the city, and in the case of the
East $54^{\rm th}$ Street Rec Center, also a gymnasium.
This facility was later altered to include the
addition of a pool in 1915, and renovated again by
the architect, Aymar Embury II, who is a legendary
Parks Department architect, in 1938, when it
became an official recreation center. The
precursor of the modern swimming pool was the
public bath, and East 54 th Street is an

architectural textbook of 20 social reform
initiatives that ultimately transformed this
building into its modern-day recreation use.
Today the center's spectacular Colonial Revival
façade is a portal to its central recreation and
education programs that serve a diverse population
of New Yorkers. We encourage you to visit and
explore the numerous programs and classes offered
to the public, many free of charge. East $54^{ m th}$
Street is one of twelve indoor pools in the Parks
system, and joins two other esteemed early 20^{th}
century individual landmark bathhouses in
Manhattan, 1906 Roman Revival bathhouse at Asser
Levy Recreation Center and the Beaux Arts building
that is located at the Hamilton Fish Recreation
Center, which was constructed circa 1900 and
designated in 1982. We support the proposed
designation of the East $54^{ m th}$ Street Recreation
Center and we encourage the Council to vote in
favor of the designation. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
much. Council Member Lappin?
COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you.
I am told that this pool was a particular favorite

2	of previous Commissioner Henry Stern, and that he
3	was often seen coming there early in the morning.
4	The only thing I wanted to say, worthy of what I
5	anticipate will be a great landmark, I wanted to
6	thank you for your help, but also just ask you to
7	bring back to folks at Parks, we've been working
8	on the planters outside the building, where
9	they're a little bit large, and sometimes we've
10	had some issues with rodents around those
11	planters, and I believe that you have agreed to
12	put in new ones that are smaller. They may have
13	even been installed just in the last few days, but
14	I wanted to both thank you for your cooperation on
15	that, and look forward to continuing to work to
16	make the outside even more lovely.
17	MR. KRAWCHUK: Absolutely, Council
18	Member Lappin, I'd be happy to convey your
19	comments to the Parks Department. In addition,
20	I've made note of the playground that you've
21	mentioned.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: On the
23	roof.
2.4	MD KDAWCHIK: On the reef I'm

curious to go back and check up on the status of

2 that.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Yeah, it's qoing to be a cool project.

5 MR. KRAWCHUK: Thank you.

Very forward to seeing the landmark rooftop playground, it's going to be very exciting for all of us to come and take a look at it. I'm sure I'll be very jealous. Mr. Krawchuk, thank you very much. We have one more person signed up to testify on this item, and that is Lou Sepersky from East 52nd Street and the historian of the 6th Community District of Manhattan. You can go ahead and begin when you're ready, just state your name for the record.

MR. SEPERSKY: My name is Lou
Sepersky, I'm the community historian for the 6th
Community District of Manhattan, by appointment of
the borough president. I also am a member of the
Turtle Bay and Sutton Area Community Associations
and I also serve as a member of Manhattan
Community Board #6, but I am not speaking for
those organizations, I am speaking as the district
historian. Mr. Chairman, distinguished members of

the City Council Committee, I appear in support of
the landmarking of the Parks and Recreation
Center, the $54^{\rm th}$ Street Rec Center and indoor pool.
Its provenance has been discussed already, and I
don't think I need to repeat that. The American
Institute of Architects' Guide to the City of New
York describes the north façade of the building as
a major façade heralded by colossal classic
columns. It's been described as an entryway by
the Sutton area community which did the research,
as having tiles by the world-renowned tilemaker
Rafael Guastavino. As was alluded to, the
property was opened in 1911, with showers for men
and women and the introduction of showers for
women at that time, I'm uninformed, but it was a
very novel step and a forward movement. The pool
was introduced in 1915. The Sutton area community
and the Turtle Bay Association by resolution have
both endorsed this project, as has Community Board
#6, and I urge the Council to support the
landmarking of this building. And just as a side
note, I was active in the effort to get the roof
converted into an active space when that idea was
first broached, a terribly long time ago, and I

2.

б

support Council Member Lappin, and thank her
effort to get the roof project finished, and I
thank her for supporting this landmarking this
project.

much for your testimony, it's good to know we're celebrating the 100th anniversary of the public women's showers, and I appreciate the community's work, both to celebrate its history and also to think to the future, as the rooftop shows.

MR. SEPERSKY: If there are any questions--

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN:

(Interposing) And I think, I remember it was a very long time ago, it was back when I still lived across the street and I was at a Community Board #6 meeting and we were discussing it, but we're going to get it done. But I really just wanted to thank you, Lou, for being so engaged and involved in all the organizations you mentioned, by taking such a personal interest in this particular project, and making sure that it was landmarked.

MR. SEPERSKY: Thank you, Council Member Lappin, I appreciate it. Are there any

2	questions?
_	queserons:

3 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Any questions 4 from the Committee?

MR. SEPERSKY: I thank the Council for its time and attention.

much. That closes the public hearing on this item, and we have one last remaining item, and no one's signed up to testify on that one, so that ... except that I've lost my notes to remember which number it is, it's, here we go, Land Use #432, 20115756 HKK, Brooklyn Union Gas Company Building at 176 Remsen Street in Brooklyn Community Board #2.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair
Lander, members of the Landmarks Subcommittee. My
name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of
Intergovernmental and Community Relations for the
Landmarks Preservation Commission, I'm here today
to testify on the Commission's designation of the
Brooklyn Union Gas Company Building in Brooklyn.
On August 10th, 2010, the Landmarks Preservation
Commission held a public hearing on the proposed
designation of the Brooklyn Union Gas Company

Building. Three people spoke in favor of
designation, including a representative of the
property owner, as well as representatives of the
Brooklyn Heights Association and the Historic
Districts Council. The support of Brooklyn
Community Board #2 was also read into the record
during the public hearing. On May $10^{\rm th}$, 2011, the
Commission voted to designate the building a New
York City individual landmark. Designed by noted
architect Frank Freeman, the substantial neo-
Classical-style Brooklyn Union Gas Company
Building was constructed in 1914 in downtown
Brooklyn as the new headquarters for the borough's
oldest and most important utility company.
Brooklyn Union Gas Company traces its origins to
1824, when a group of entrepreneurs, including
Joseph Sprague and Alden Spooner, proposed
establishing the Brooklyn Gas Light Company. The
firm won its first major contract in 1849 when the
municipality of Brooklyn engaged the company to
provide street illumination for the growing city.
As Brooklyn continued to expand, rival gas
companies were formed to serve newly-urbanized
neighborhoods, such as Cobble Hill, Fort Greene

б

2	and	Park	Slope,	all	in	the	Chair'	S	district.
---	-----	------	--------	-----	----	-----	--------	---	-----------

3 Competition--

4 CHAIRPERSON LANDER: (Interposing)

5 Fort Greene is not in my district.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Oh no? Competition between the gas companies grew particularly heated in 1880, but all seven of the major Brooklyn firms eventually merged in 1895 to form the Brooklyn Union Gas Company. Much of the building's architectural decoration is symbolic of the structure's role as the headquarters of an important gas company, depicting flaming torches and blazing oil lamps. The Brooklyn Union Gas Company moved to larger quarters on Montague Street in 1962, and the building was subsequently acquired by St. Francis College, which converted the structure into an academic facility. The Commission urges you to affirm this designation.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much, yes, it's a wonderful part of St. Francis

College now, it's a beautiful building in Council

Member Levin's district, but I appreciate that it kept the lights on in much of mine for some years in the past. Now it's National Grid, and they're

I have ... I'm speaking of the schools, the other projects, I'm sure, are very good, especially Brooklyn Union Gas, I remember seeing the architecture, yes, we should do those. But in terms of the schools themselves, I have certain

23

24

б

health concerns when we speak of lead and the	
question of eminent domain is a relevant question	ı
also. It would be certainly good to know the ful	L1
ramifications of a vote before one votes. The	
idea of putting green space on the roofs is very	
good, it's we need to do these things. The	
ability of the schools serving as beacons or some	<u> </u>
version of that is also a good thing. If you have	<i>i</i> e
a school, find ways that it can serve a community	Ţ
after three o'clock or whenever school lets out.	
Having said those things, I follow the lead of to	۷O
people here, one is a Council person in the area	,
and the second is my Chair, and both of them are	
saying yes, so I vote aye on all.	

COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: Council Member Williams.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank

you. First I'm going to vote aye on all, but I

particularly want to talk about PSIS311. It was a

very difficult decision, and also I don't know how

we could have, but I would love to have

information like this before ten minutes before I

have to vote, so I could really think through some

of the issues. But it came down, I'm not a big

2	fan of eminent domain, so there were a lot of
3	things to consider, it really came down to the
4	fact that I'm not sure if it's going to be eminent
5	domain. It may be eminent domain, versus the real
6	need for a school, and a colleague who I believe
7	is going to do everything in her power to make
8	sure that it's not eminent domain. So that part
9	won out, but I still have a major concern and I
10	hope in the future we can get some information
11	possibly beforehand, so we can make the decision.
12	And I'm not sure what the trigger for eminent
13	domain is, but it definitely probably shouldn't be
14	a person changing their mind on a sale, so I'm
15	also concerned about that as well, but I vote aye
16	on all.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: Council
18	Member Halloran.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III:
20	Permission to explain my vote?
21	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Granted.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Very
23	rarely as a Libertarian Republican do I support
24	the use of eminent domain. The acquisition for a
25	school would normally be one of those rare

б

instances, and in this particular case, as Council
Member Williams has just pointed out, to use
eminent domain as a bargaining device to gain
leverage in a sale that is supposed to be
otherwise negotiated in the free market is deeply
disturbing to me. What isn't is the Council
Member who represents the district. If there's
anyone who's going to do the right thing in this
body, she is one of the few people who will do
that regardless of the consequences politically,
and I am voting aye with faith in her, not faith
in the city to do the right thing with eminent
domain. I also do it knowing that the College
Point Industrial Park is literally five minutes
from their location, is looking for businesses to
relocate there, and would provide you with both
tax incentives to be there, as well as the
opportunity to negotiate what EDC always calls its
swaps, sometimes mired in other political
controversies, but for the moment I'm going to let
that slide. I do not vote lightly, to say yes to
this for two reasons. One is the threatened use
of eminent domain, and two is that I don't think
this body should ever be voting on things that are

amorphous, that we don't have concrete answers to.
I think this body should always be exercising the
one power it has almost absolute control over,
which is land use in the City of New York, to send
a message to any Mayor, and not just this one,
that land use power resides in this Council, and I
think it is something that we do not flex our
muscles on enough. I will vote with the Chair on
this, with the understanding that the Council
Member from the district is going to be our
advocate, both here and to City Hall, and with the
understanding that other Council members,
including the Chair of Small Business, Diana
Reyna, who is here - I'm trying to do that Spanish
thing you guys do all the time - and she has
indicated that she will give her full attention to
any proposals you have to make this as little
discomforting and as mostly palatable as it can
be. So with that said, I vote aye, and I would
ask you to continue to dialogue with your Council
member and to have her free to send you to my
district, where I'd love you to generate 30 to 40
jobs.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: By a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vote of four in the affirmative, none in the negative and no abstentions, pre-considered items LU 20105361, 20115806, 20125005, and 20125006, as well as LU 431, 432 and 433, are approved and referred to the full Land Use Committee.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Mr. Hylton, I just want to thank everybody for a thoughtful discussion of some important issues. want to thank Council Member Halloran and Council Member Williams for raising important issues and working with Council Member Ferreras, and I just actually want to slightly expand what I asked Mr. Ou and the SCA for before. In addition to helping us understand how you guys work with EDC and SBS on small business, I guess if you could give us a similar sort of guidance on how you ... how the SCA approaches eminent domain, and you know, what it is as a tool in the arsenal of the SCA, and how we as the Council should understand how you generally as a matter of policy and protocol use it, I think it would be helpful to us in our future deliberations as well. So, thank you very much, we appreciate it, and with that, and with thanks to the staff, this Committee meeting is adjourned.

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

D.O. 0 Don's

Signature	(Cutured)					
Date	July	29,	2011_			