CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----X

June 21, 2011 Start: 9:44 am Recess: 10:49 am

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

MARK S. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Daniel R. Garodnick Robert Jackson

Jessica S. Lappin

Diana Reyna Joel Rivera

Larry B. Seabrook

Albert Vann

Vincent M. Ignizio

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

John Young Director of Queens Office NYC Department of City Planning

Tom Smith
Project Manager
NYC Department of City Planning

Jimmy Solomos General Counsel Percy's Tavern

Luke Friar Managing Owner Betel

Karen Benvenista Representative Da Silvano

Derek Sanders Managing Member La Esquina

Arthur Goldstein Attorney La Esquina

Georgette Fleischer Concerned Citizen

Joel Fisher President J & H Management Corp

Eric Palatnick Attorney Solow Center and Woodrow Plaza

Tony Morale Project Architect Solow Center

2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. Good
3	morning. My name is Mark Weprin. I'm the Chair
4	of the Zoning and Franchises Subcommittee of the
5	Land Use Committee. I am joined by the following
6	members of the Subcommittee: Robert Jackson, Larry
7	Seabrook, Leroy Comrie to my left, Jessica Lappin
8	and Diana Reyna and her son, Diego, and we are
9	also joined by Council Member Jimmy Van Bramer,
10	who represents one of the projects that we're
11	going to be taking up first. We have also been
12	joined by Al Vann from Brooklyn. We're going to
13	go a little out of order here, and we're going to
14	start with Land Use No. 421, 422 and 423-the
15	Sunnyside/Woodside Rezoning. I know we have
16	people from City Planning here to make a
17	presentation. While they get set up, following
18	this we're going to our cafés, just for the
19	record—little informational purposes, so those who
20	are here for those cafés will go next, and then
21	we'll go to the other Land Use items, so you get
22	to stay here a little longer. [long pause]
23	Gentlemen, whenever you're ready, remember, you
24	know the drill. Please state your name for the
25	record, Mr. Young and then you can get started.

2.

JOHN YOUNG: I think I'm used to
the drill. Thank you very much. Good morning,
Chair Weprin, Chair Comrie, ladies and gentlemen.
My name is John Young, and I'm director of the
Queens office for the Department of City Planning.
On behalf of City Planning Director Amanda Burden,
I'm very pleased to be here this morning to
present the Department's efforts to update zoning
designations on 130 blocks in western Queens in
order to protect the central character of
Sunnyside and Woodside. I'm joined by Tom Smith,
who will present the details of the rezoning
proposal to you.

The Sunnyside/Woodside Rezoning proposal that is before you today culminates a three-year effort to work with a broad spectrum of neighborhood residents, elected officials and stakeholders to generally replace 50-year old zoning designations and develop a zoning framework that more closely matches building patterns and provides a more predictable scale for new developments. As Tom will describe for you, the Department's rezoning proposal seeks to prevent tear downs of one and two family houses and curb

2.

the construction of out of character buildings
that disrupt the lower scale built fabric on
residential side streets, while directing future
housing opportunities to locations along Queens
Boulevard, a 200 foot wide thoroughfare well
served by transit resources.

The proposal includes a zoning text amendment that would provide incentives for new developments along Queens Boulevard to create and preserve permanently affordable housing in Community District 2. This aspect of the rezoning builds upon zoning provisions that were implemented in 2006 on portions of Queens Boulevard further west in Woodside. The rezoning will also update commercial overlay districts to closely reflect land use patterns and it includes a second text amendment to revise sidewalk café regulations on portions of Queens Boulevard and Skillman Avenue to foster a lively streetscape while providing adequate circulation space for pedestrians.

The Sunnyside/Woodside rezoning is a fine grain proposal that has been shaped by many participants during its development. I want to

б

thank the area's passionate residents, civic
advocates and elected officials that have taken
time to attend numerous outreach meetings and
public hearings and provide input into this
important zoning initiative, including the United
40 Civic Association, Woodside on the Move, the
Sunnyside Chamber of Commerce, the Sunnyside
Shines Business Improvement District, Community
Board 2 and its Land Use Committee and Council
Member Jimmy Van Bramer and his staff. Following
the February 28^{th} certification of the proposal, we
are very pleased with the support the rezoning
received from Community Board 2 and Borough
President Helen Marshall as voiced in the
recommendations for approval of it.

The City Planning Commission held its public hearing on May 25th and expedited its review to vote unanimously in favor of the rezoning and related text amendments on June 6th. We hope that you too will support this carefully considered, finally wrought initiative to reinforce the built character and land use patterns of Sunnyside and Woodside, and now Tom will review the details of the proposal for you.

б

2	You'	ve beer	n giv	<i>r</i> en	some	wri	itten	materia	ls	which
3	will	guide	you	thr	ough	as	well.			

Smith, the project manager for the
Sunnyside/Woodside Rezoning. In the packets,
you'll find a brief description of the rezoning
itself, a map of the existing zoning and land use,
a map of the proposed zoning districts, as well as
a page showing thumbnails with brief descriptions
of the proposed zones and a larger chart with the
full breakdown of the zoning districts.

The rezoning area covers

approximately 130 blocks and 2800 lots in the

Sunnyside and Woodside neighborhoods of Community

District 2 in Queens. The rezoning area is

roughly bounded by the Sunnyside Rail Yard, 37th

Avenue with the Brooklyn Queens Expressway, the

New York Connecting Railroad, 72nd Street,

Woodside, Roosevelt and 48th Avenues and 39th

Street. There are existing R4, R-5, R6, R7-1, C4
2, C8-1 and M1-1 zoning districts within the

rezoning area. These existing zoning districts do

not channel future residential development to the

neighbors' major transit rich corridors, nor do

they protect the lower density character that
exists on the residential side streets. The R6,
R7-1 and C4-2 districts in particular do not have
set height limits. This allows for the
construction of buildings, which are taller than
those that surround them. Eight lower density and
contextual districts have been proposed to replace
the existing zoning. Two R4 districts covering
five blocks are proposed for two sections of the
Sunnyside Gardens historic district in order to
provide a consistent zoning treatment for the
entire historic district. Three R4-1 districts
covering 16 blocks are proposed for sections of
Woodside where one and two family detached and
semi-detached houses are prevalent. Five R5-B
districts covering 31 blocks are proposed for
portions of the rezoning area where two and three
story row houses and small apartment buildings are
predominant. Five R5-B districts with a maximum
building height of 40 feet are proposed for five
sections of the rezoning area totaling 45 blocks,
where three and four story apartment buildings are
prevalent. An R6-A district with a maximum
building height of 70 feet is proposed for an area

south of Queens Boulevard totaling 24 blocks,
where four to six story apartment buildings are
common. Two R7-A districts with a maximum
building height of 80 feet are proposed for
sections of the area north of Queens Boulevard
totaling 27 blocks, where seven and eight story
apartment buildings are common. An R7-X district
is proposed for block fronts [phonetic] on Queens
Boulevard between 39^{th} and 44^{th} Streets through the
related inclusionary housing text amendment,
developers would have the option to build to a
maximum FAR [phonetic] 5.0 as long as they provide
20% of the building's floor area for permanently
affordable housing. The allowable base height may
range between 60 and 85 feet above which a setback
is required before achieving a maximum height of
125 feet. A C45-X district is proposed for block
fronts on Queens Boulevard between $44^{\rm th}$ and $50^{\rm th}$
Street. The C45-X district would have the same
height and setback requirements as its residential
equivalent, R7-X district; however, the C45-X
district allows for second floor commercial uses.
The inclusionary housing program would also be an
option in this district. Commercial overlays

throughout the rezoning area have also been
established or modified to reflect existing uses
to prevent commercial development on residential
side streets and create continuity and overlays
along the area's major shopping streets. The
associated sidewalk café text amendment will
permit small sidewalk cafés along a portion of
Queens Boulevard between 39 th and 48 th Streets where
all types of sidewalk cafés are currently
prohibited. The text amendment would also allow
only small sidewalk cafés along portions of
Skillman Avenue, where all types of sidewalk cafés
are currently permitted. In both cases, the text
amendment would allow businesses the opportunity
to provide outdoor seating while ensuring the
preservation of adequate pedestrian circulation
space. The proposed actions will preserve the
built character of the neighborhoods' residential
side streets, while channeling future development
to the area's major corridors. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
much. Council Member Van Bramer, who represents

much. Council Member Van Bramer, who represents this area, would like to make a comment and discuss some of the issues.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Thank
3	you very much, Mr. Chair. I have worked closely
4	with City Planning on the rezoning of Sunnyside
5	and Woodside. We both believe that this rezoning
6	is a good step towards preserving and growing two
7	of the unique and wonderful neighborhoods in all
8	of New York City, both in the Council District
9	which I serve-District 26. I am very enthusiastic
10	about the zoning changes and I look forward to
11	seeing them implemented and used in the months and
12	years ahead. I want to thank John Young and Tom
13	Smith and the entire City Planning - Queens
14	department, Community Board 2, our chair Joe
15	Conley [phonetic] and Lisa Deller [phonetic], the
16	Chair of our Land Use Committee, all of whom have
17	worked very, very hard and very collaboratively on
18	this effort. Today the Council Subcommittee will
19	vote to modify the Queens Boulevard area of the
20	rezoning. Our modification would change the
21	eastern portion of Queens Boulevard slated for an
22	R7-X zoning as proposed by DCP to an R7-A, and
23	also change the western portion from a C45-X as
24	proposed by DCP to a C44-A. The new base FAR for
25	inclusionary would be 3.4.5 and the max would be

4, 6 with height limits of 80 feet. City Planning
is aware of my desire to limit the height on
Queens Boulevard to 80 feet. Amanda Burden, City
Planning Commissioner, knows of my desire to
modify the zoning. She agrees that the changes of
the R7-X to a R7-A and the C45-X to a C44-A are
both within the scope of the proposed zoning. So
I want to thank the Commissioner for working with
me on this. I want to thank John and Tom and
Penny Lee [phonetic] and the entire group and I
want to let you know that we are very, very
excited about the sidewalk cafés, which are going
to be a great, great boom to our neighborhood
restaurants. I look forward to a positive outcome
here today and tomorrow. Thank you. Thank you
very much, Mr. Chair and I look forward to
continuing to work with City Planning on working
to make sure that the small businesses on the
north side of Skillman Avenue that are not
included in this action will be able to one day
have sidewalk cafés just their sisters and
brothers on the south side of Skillman Avenue will
be able to as a result of that and I will continue
to work with you to make sure that that can

2 happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Young, would you comment on the proposed modification that Mr. Van Bramer had? I know you guys have talked about it-just on the record.

JOHN YOUNG: - - explain that we have had discussions about the Councilman's concerns. They began during the rezoning development, but as we went forward, we understood that those concerns were still present and we did understand that this would be the type of change that he would be recommending at this point.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else have any comments or questions on this item? Seeing none, okay. Oh okay, sorry. Mr. Comrie.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: I want to congratulate John and his team for everything that they did—the work with the Woodside/Sunnyside community and Council Member Van Bramer to make sure that that community can continue to drive and be a magnet for tourism—it's got some of the strongest restaurants in Queens in that area and with the addition of the sidewalk cafés, it will

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	keep those restaurants competitive with the rest
3	of the borough and the rest of the city. Thank
ļ.	you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Chair Comrie. Anybody else? Seeing nobody else, we thank you. We're going to close this item. Gentlemen, thank you very much. Council Member Van Bramer, thank you. Okay, now we're going to go back to the front of the agenda, which is the café items. I just want to state that first one listed, Land Use No. 405 OPM Restaurant and Club in Brooklyn, has been withdrawn, so will not be before us today. We are then going to move on to Land Use No. 406, which is Percy's Tavern in Manhattan in Community Board 3. I've asked the people on behalf of Percy's Tavern to please come up and I believe that is--is it Jimmy Solomos [phonetic]? That's an S at the end? Solomos. Okay. Mr. Solomos? I want you to know I understand the architects, the drawings are one of the best we've seen and that the job that was done. Coming from Pete that's a big compliment 'cause he's like a complete café nerd.

JIM SOLOMOS: Good afternoon,

2	Council Members. My name is Jimmy Solomos. I'm
3	general counsel for Percy's Tavern. In front of
4	you today, you have a letter written by the
5	president of the corporation, Steelbar
6	Incorporated doing business as Percy's Tavern,
7	itemizing the hours of operation that have been
8	discussed with the Council Members that were in
9	direct negotiations with the business owner. I
10	would like to have that entered into the record,
11	so we can proceed with the licensing.
12	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. I
13	have a letter here. Do you have a copy of the
14	letter?
15	JIM SOLOMOS: No, would you like me
16	to read it into the record?
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Yeah, I could
18	read it into the record—this part, but I also
19	would like you to state once I'm done with that
20	just the tables and chairs—the exact numbers.
21	I'll read this in for you, although the writing is
22	very small.
23	Dear Council Members, I submit this
23 24	Dear Council Members, I submit this letter to you stating the hours of operation the

2	shall be as follows Monday through Thursday, 10am
3	to 11pm, Friday and Saturday 10am until midnight
4	and Sundays 11am until midnight and that is from
5	the President of Steelbar, Lawrence Watson
6	[phonetic]?
7	JIM SOLOMOS: That's correct.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: If you'd just
9	discuss the tables and chairs specifications?
10	JIM SOLOMOS: From memory now, I
11	think there's 20 tables altogether. Ten tables.
12	20 customers. I'm sorry.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Ten tables, 20
14	patrons. Okay. Okay. We've confirmed that.
15	Thank you very much. Anyone have any comments or
16	questions on this? We understand that Council
17	Member Mendez, who represents this area, is fine
18	with this café application, so seeing none, thank
19	you very much.
20	JIM SOLOMOS: Thank you very much,
21	Councilman.
22	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We're going to
23	close that and move on to the next item, which is
24	Land Use No. 414, La Esquina, Community Board 2 in
25	Council Member Chin's district. I'd like to call

up Derek Sanders [phonetic] on behalf of La
Esquina to please come up. Come to the table.
Mr. Sanders, I hate to do this to and those who
are here on this behalf. We're going to have to
hold off on you. We're waiting on a letter on
this particular item. Take a seat near the front
so you don't have to walk so far next time. We're
going to move to the next item for now. Sorry
about that. This is Land Use No. 415, Betel in
Manhattan Community Board 2. Luke Friar
[phonetic]. I believe it is. Luke. Thank you.
Whenever you are ready, Mr. Friar.

the managing owner of Betel on Grove Street, and I have a series of things I'd like to [off mic]. In relation to this application, we will reduce the size of the sidewalk café from 9 tables and 18 seats to 9 tables and 16 seats. We will remove the illegal a-frame sign from within the sidewalk café service aisle. There will be no stalls in the sidewalk café area. We will remove the two planters currently located within the sidewalk café area. We will set up the café according to the approved plans.

2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: This is in
3	Council Member Quinn's district and she is okay
4	with it. I'm getting the head nod from her staff.
5	Anyone have any comments or questions about this?
6	I guess not. Okay. Good. Well, thank you.
7	Thank you very much, Mr. Friar.
8	I now am going to move on to Land
9	Use No. 416, which is Da Silvano and Karen
10	Benvenista [phonetic], welcome. This is Council
11	Member Quinn's district too, I believe.
12	KAREN BENVENISTA: Good morning.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good morning.
14	Good to see you again, and whenever you are ready,
15	please.
16	KAREN BENVENISTA: Okay, I just
17	wanted to read into the record our agreement.
18	This letter serves as our agreement with the
19	Chair, Council Member Mark Weprin, and the
20	encompassing members of the Subcommittee on Zoning
21	and Franchises that we will commit to the
22	following: There will be no service from the
23	public sidewalk. All service will be from within
24	the café, utilizing the three foot wait service
25	aisle. In response to the community concerns, we

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will reduce the size of the café from 27 tables and 57 seats to 23 tables and 49 seats, removing one table and two chairs from the left side of the northern portion of the café and three tables and two chairs from the right side of the northern portion of the café. In the northern portion of the café, the remaining five tables and ten chairs on the left side and remaining three tables and six chairs on the right side will be rotated 90 degrees as to allow for easier service from within the café. These changes will be reflected in revised plan submitted to the Department of Consumer Affairs. The three tables and six chairs on the right side of the northern portion of the café will be set up to give the mandatory three feet clearance from the overhead fire escape ladder in accordance with the Department of Building's regulations. We will set up the café according to the approved revised plans. A copy of the approved plans will be posted inside of the restaurant and the daytime manager will ensure that the café is set up appropriately. We will also remove the four planters not indicated on our sidewalk café plan.

2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I'm
3	informed by counsel that you talked about the
4	revised plans—we don't have copies of those. So
5	you can get them to us as soon as possible. Also
6	the permits on your heaters that are in front
7	there. That as well.
8	KAREN BENVENISTA: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Can you
10	get both of those items to us afterwards? We
11	appreciate that. Any comments or questions? I
12	know this is also in Speaker Quinn's district and
13	she is fine with it. We haven't had any
14	opposition on this item, so if you can just get us
15	that information, that would be helpful. I don't
16	see any other people who want to comment on this,
17	so thank you very much.
18	KAREN BENVENISTA: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: They're ready?
20	Alright. Good. Now we're going to go back to La
21	Esquina call you back up. Do you want to?
22	Good morning. If you could please introduce
23	yourself to the record and your counsel who is

DEREK SANDERS: Hi, my name is

with you, if he could introduce himself.

2	Derek Sanders.	I'm the	managing	member	at	the
3	restaurant La E	squina.				

ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN: Arthur Goldstein with Davidoff, Malito and Hutcher, LLP.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, good.

Could you please describe your application, what
you're asking for as specifically as possible?

DEREK SANDERS: Sure. We're asking for a renewal on an outdoor café that we have. The café is on Kenmare Street and also on the sides of Center and Lafayette. In '09 we had negotiated a series of stipulations reducing the seating count from 36 to 26 and with some other requirements and we were just looking for some minor modifications to those stipulations.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I have a letter here that you signed that we were waiting for. It lists a number of specifications that you've talked about. I'm going to read them out loud. Bear with me. There is a number of them—Number 1) close sidewalk café at 11pm on Fridays and Saturday nights; 2) Sunday through Thursday nights, the café will have a last seating at 9:15 pm, will remove tables and chairs as patrons

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vacate their tables and will close at no later than 10:30pm and will not permit people to remain in the café sidewalk premises after the closure hour; 3) the outdoor takeout window is to be used at all open times by delivery personnel only and closed by 9:30pm; 4), there will be at least one security personnel on duty at all times when the sidewalk café is open; owner shall maintain at least one person present at front of the establishment; number 5) training will take place for security team as well as managerial staff to focus on outdoor activity; 6) windows should be kept closed; 7) reexamine queuing systems to move people off streets as quickly as possible; 8) meet with Friends of Petrosino Park or an organization designated by Community Board 2 to the extent that Community Board 2 decides to participate as needed to discuss issues of operation; 9) garbage will not be placed on sidewalk before 10pm until crowds disperse; 10) continue compliance with existing city restrictions on live music, amplified audio and video usage anywhere on the sidewalk; 11) close restaurant no later than 2am; and 12) the owner agrees that the above conditions will be

2	maintained throughout the expiration of their
3	sidewalk café permit unless the owner seeks and
4	receives amendments to the permit with the support
5	of the Council Member-that's Council Member Chin-
6	or at the initiation of the Council Member and
7	consultation with the community board. By the
8	letter with my signature below, I hereby agree and
9	comply with all the stipulations and restrictions
10	of this permit, and that is signed by Derek
11	Sanders. That is you, correct?
12	DEREK SANDERS: Correct.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. And
14	you agree to all these stipulations I assume by
15	having your name signed there on the bottom.
16	Okay. Thank you. Mr. Goldstein, did you have
17	something you wanted to add?
18	ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN: Just that you
19	will hear from at least one person who has been a
20	consistent complainer about this restaurant for
21	years. She attends every hearing I've been
22	involved with just the last few years.
23	Personally, I think there's… I'll skip it.
24	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Be nice 'cause

she's going to go after you, you know?

2	ARTHUR GOLDSTEIN: Probably. It
3	doesn't really matter. My client long before I
4	even met him spent over \$7,000 on her apartment
5	and look around and see how many apartments
6	are there, I think She brings up issues
7	from years ago, so.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Let's not go
9	off topic too much. Anyone have any comments or
10	questions on this café? Council Member Chin is
11	aware of this. Oh, you want to make a statement,
12	Margaret? Or do you want to wait? That was a No.
13	Diego, do you want to make a statement. Alright.
14	Thank you very much. You might want to stick
15	around. We do have someone who is testifying in
16	opposition to this matter. Alright. The sergeant
17	at arms will take that. I'm going to call up now
18	it's Georgette… is it Fleischer?
19	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: It's
20	Georgette Fleischer.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Fleischer,
22	yes. Okay. Please have a seat. We're going to
23	give you a three minute clock, if you can try to
24	keep it within the three minutes.

GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: I think I

4

5

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 can.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. State your name again for the record, even though we've already said it, and...

GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: Georgette Fleischer. I'm the founder of Friends of Petrosino Square, and I'm here to speak on behalf of the community. I'm here to object very strongly to what is happening at this particular meeting here. The private letter agreement that was made between Council Member Chin and the applicant was made without any involvement on the part of the community; indeed, it was made without any knowledge on the part of the community. We found out about this strictly by accident at a State Liquor Authority Licensing Committee at CB2 [phonetic] on Tuesday evening. We didn't know that this hearing was going to happen at all, so we're distressed about that. I want to mention one thing of the items that were read into the record here-the very last stays the same from the copy of the document that was forwarded to the community, which has the effect of marginalizing the community's input on any changes, and indeed,

marginalizing Community Board 2's input on any
changes in favor of isolating the applicant and
the Council Member as the only parties who would
be able to initiate or carry out any changes. I'm
one of two community members from our group who
was here in October 2009 when former Council
Member Gerson called this applicant up at this
same Committee-it was a different constitution
obviously, and at that time, we presented a great
deal of documentary evidence, which is in the file
I understand from Pete Anasic [phonetic]. Many of
them were violations that came of two March
taskforce shutdowns of the establishment in August
2007 and March 2008many, many building fire et
cetera violations. We testified that this
applicant no sooner got the sidewalk café license
than they began to abuse it and to abuse their
residential neighbors by turning the sidewalk café
into an outdoor sports bar. They mounted
amplifiers on the outside of the building. They
created sleeves into which they put widescreen
televisions. There were other larger extravagant
events that involved projecting the results of the
presidential election onto the side of a seven

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

story building, mounting amplifiers on tripods on the street across the way, mounting a projector on top of a ladder in the middle of a New York City sidewalk, where patrons had gathered in order to watch this extravaganza and this sister establishment bringing out two barbecue pits on which they grilled wurst for their patrons. As a result of that hearing, the Committee was ready to revoke the license altogether, so outraged were they by the conduct of this applicant. They were given the opportunity instead to make an agreement with Council Member Gerson, which they did, which is a 13 point agreement, not the 9 point agreement that's been floating around, which I got a hold of actually as a result of a foil request to the DCA. This is a legally binding agreement that was read into the City Council. I was there. Derek Sanders was there. I witnessed this being read into the City Council record and they have not complied with it for one day. The community's feeling is that if an applicant makes a legally binding agreement and does not comply with it, they deserve to have their license revoked, not to have a private agreement made with a Council

Member that gives them back privileges that they never had and they never complied with.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

Sit there one second. I know that Council Member Chin is with us today—I also just want to mention that were joined by Council Member Garodnick and Council Member Ignizio. Council Member Chin I know has been working on a lot of these concerns that you have and the community had, so I'm going to let her make a statement on these items.

COUNCIL MEMBER CHIN: Thank you,
Chair. One of things that we've learned as
Council Members, we have to deal with sidewalk
cafés and businesses in our district and we try
very hard to work with the community. This
establishment—we met with them and a lot of the
violations that you talked about happened earlier
and that's why there were stipulations made. I
think that we worked very closely with Community
Board 2, and they have the input and that's why
were able to draft up another agreement on the
renewal. Nothing is perfect, but this is New York
City and we have to put everything in balance, so
I think by working together we are trying to meet

2	the needs of the community and of the business
3	establishment. This is what we worked out along
4	with Community Board 2 and that's why we asked the
5	letter to be read into the record. Thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Anybody
7	have any other questions or comments? Mr. Comrie?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Um, your
9	letter is saying that they put up outdoor speakers
LO	and televisions at the February 17 th resolution,
11	and that it was shuttered [phonetic] four times?
L2	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: Correct.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: By the
L4	City?
L5	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: Correct.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Because of
L7	that incident and other incidents like that or-
L8	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: [Interposing]
L9	The more recent shutterings [phonetic], which are
20	May 2010 had to with the Department of Buildings
21	vacate order for serious Buildings violations.
22	They were shuttered the fourth time in August 2010
23	by the Department of Health for 64 violation
24	points, and I thank you for mentioning the
25	February 17 th , 2011 resolution by Community Board

2,	which	was	vote	ed i	n unan	imou	sly—41	member	s-that
re	commen	ds tl	nat 1	the :	renewa	ıl be	denie	d.	

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: But I see here that they approved it on June 3rd or it was approved with conditions by the community board, so I'm confused—

I think that was done unilaterally by one person on the community board. I was there for the vote. I can tell you that I attended the Sidewalks Committee of CB2 on February 14th. I testified there with four other community members. We all appeared against the establishment. There were no community members who appeared in support of the establishment. The resolution was written unanimously at the committee level to deny this renewal and I was there on February 17th. I spoke again with a couple other community members—again, no community members speaking in favor, and the vote was unanimous; 41 members present to deny this renewal.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Okay.

Well, there's some confusion here on the record.

We'd have to get it looked it.

the closing times and the requirement of having at least one security person out at all times to control a particularly rowdy band of nightlife

23

24

25

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 participants.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We know that Council Member Chin has been with the community board in trying to address these concerns. that's what the hold up on this letter was. heard from the owner of the establishment today that he heard the items. I read the items out loud—that they'll have a security person in place to address the concerns that you raise and that Council Member Chin has been trying to get on behalf of the community. I was here in the record in front of me-he knows that this license is dependent on the fact that he is following these rules-these things that the community board wanted and that people in the community wanted and that Council Member Chin has worked very hard in trying to attain, and I'm staring at him as I say this in that these would be items that we would expect you to comply with exactly and strictly, or we'll have a problem in the future.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Question, of those thirteen items that are pretty extensive and thorough, is there something additional that you wanted—

2	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: [Interposing]
3	I do object to the final item, which if you
4	compare it to the Gerson 13 point stipulation
5	really removes—
6	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: I
7	understand what you're saying, but from reading
8	deeper, the community board did Council Member
9	Chin the autonomy to develop the stipulations and
LO	I don't see how Council Member Chin would not be
11	in contact with Community Board 2 regarding this,
L2	and if this is an area of contention, I'm sure
L3	that there will be a major monitoring of the
L4	restaurant by all parties, especially members of
L5	Community Board 2 and yourself to work with
L6	Council Member Chin to make sure those
L7	stipulations are followed. I do not infer that
18	Council Member Chin is not working hard on this.
L9	The record was not clear on the community board's
20	position-
21	GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: [Interposing]
22	I'm sure that she is; however, the community was
23	not contacted at all for this negotiation. We
24	didn't even know about it.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: I sincerely

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doubt that Council Member Chin did not reach out
to the community board chair to inform her that-
GEORGETTE FLEISCHER: [Interposing]
Not to the community board, but to the community.
The community had no idea that this was happening-
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Alright. Does
anyone else have any other comments or questions
on this matter? Ms. Lappin?

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: I do because I don't like these sort of accusations of these things being done secretly and in private. There was obviously extensive discussion at the community board about this item reflected in the resolution passed by the board. There has been extensive discussion between the Councilwoman and her staff with the community board chair and with the applicant in drafting the letter that she presented today. I think the Council Member has been proven to be particularly engaged. The only reason we're here today is 'cause she called it up in the first place, but she didn't have to do, but she did and she's been elected by your community to represent you in negotiations, which she did in consultation with the chair of the appropriate

24

2	community board subcommittee, so I don't like
3	these sort of implications that she is somehow
4	doing something privately 'cause clearly that is
5	not the case.
6	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Comrie?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: That's what
8	I was saying, so I just want to
9	[laughter]
10	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE:Jessica
11	said it faster.
12	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
13	much, Mr. Comrie. Anyone else have any comments
14	or questions? Thank you, Ms. Fleischer. We
15	appreciate you coming down. We are going to close
16	this hearing and move on to our next item.
17	We're going to move on to Land Use
18	No. 413, which is the $164^{ ext{th}}$ street rezoning. Joel
19	Fisher [phonetic] is here. Mr. Fisher, you have
20	some hands outs for the Committee I know, and the
21	sergeant at arms will take those and distribute
22	them. If you please seat. Make sure you

JOEL FISHER: Good morning,

describe the application.

speak into the microphone. State your name and

2	Chairman, Council Members and Committee Members.
3	My name is Joel Fisher. I'm the president of J $\&$
4	H Management Corp., the applicant for Land Use
5	Application 090347 ZMQ. Our application is to
6	amend the City Zoning Map 14 C to extend an
7	already existing C1-2 overlay which falls
8	partially on our site 6915 164 th Street in Queens
9	and it's located mid-block between 69 th Avenue and
10	Jewel Avenue on the east side of 164 th Street. Our
11	application also includes the adjacent lot, Lot
12	No. 36, which is already being used as a
13	commercial under a grandfathered C of O [phonetic]
14	use. Our proposal is to establish a new C1-2
15	overlay on the section of 6931, Lot 38 and 36,
16	which is presently R3-2 district. It is our
17	intent to develop and construct a new two story
18	building with a total floor area of approximately
19	12,000 square feet. The first floor would be
20	retail. The second floor would be offices. We
21	think that this proposal would be very good for
22	the community. We would get rid of the auto
23	repair business that is located on the site right
24	now under a variance hat was granted in the 1950s.
25	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Council Member

Gennaro, who represents this project, for the
record does support it. I do want to ask a
question. The community board had expressed some
concerns about illuminated lights and the impacts
that those lights would have on the residents of
the streets in the area, and what plans you have
to limit lights or any problems the community
might have.

JOEL FISHER: We do not plan to put any illuminated lights. If you can see on drawing A-5, which is the front elevation, we have sign boxes. Our leases would be strictly enforced to permit only the installation of a name of who is occupying that space and it would not be illuminated.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You plan on having uniform signage?

JOEL FISHER: That's correct. It will be formed into probably the structure as we're building it—the box.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: The community board has talked about the idea of frequency of deliveries. Is there any reason you would believe that there would be unusual amount of deliveries?

JOEL FISHER: Our location is
really going to be more very small retail
businesses. I don't believe that there will be
deliveries that would affect the neighbors any
more than on 69 th Avenue. I think the concern is
for the back neighbors, and the access is really
not for deliveries. It's really for the parking
of cars. It's really not even going to be access
for a truck. It will be kind of narrow and
difficult for trucks to get in there.
CHAIDDED CON HEDDIN. H. C. '

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: How far is there? How much of a setback is there behind the building for the parking and behind the parking for the residents?

JOEL FISHER: The lot is about 95 foot deep, and we're dividing the space about equal. They'll be about 47 feet of building and 47 for the parking. In addition, there is a ramp that will access in the back left corner shown on A3, which is your fourth page of the hand out, that would allow access to the underground parking. We intend to put in enough parking that would suffice for all of the locations.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Anyone have

street anywhere?

25 JOEL FISHER: There are not.

JOEL FISHER: It's called T and T's right now. It's an auto repair. The gas has been removed and the site has been cleaned up. It's kind of right in between Union Turnpike and the

23

24

2	Expressway—smack in the middle. It's a great
3	location because it does face and you have all
4	the apartment buildings on that west side.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Are you
6	going to be competing with a lot of stores over
7	there now? Is the bank still there?
8	JOEL FISHER: Yes. The bank is
9	there. I think there's actually a shortage of
10	stores in that area because of all of the
11	apartment buildings. That's why we propose this.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Okay.
13	Alright. I don't have any further questions. I'd
14	be careful with the parking lot. I know you have
15	to build a certain amount of parking to deal with—
16	but you're going to have to put some major—to do
17	something in case you have to wind up pumping
18	later on with the water table constantly rising
19	and the fact that the City doesn't have a
20	groundwater plan and they're not pumping the
21	water. I think that's something you need to be
22	cognizant of.

JOEL FISHER: I grew up in the area about seven blocks away. I actually built a house two blocks away, so I'm very familiar with the

area. T	There are locations in Queens that that is
definite	ely a problem. I don't think in that
particul	lar vicinity there is a problem, and I'm
hopeful	we don't have that as an issue because the
parking	is actually the most expensive part of
that str	ructure.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Thank you very much. We're going to close this hearing. Thank you. We're going to move on to our next item. We're going to move on to Land Use No. 417, the Solow Center, Queens Community Board 7. And Eric is here for that, right? Eric, are you here? There he is. Okay. This is in Council Member Koo's district and Council Member Koo is supportive of this particular application. Eric, please state your name for the record and you know the drill.

ERIC PALATNICK: Hi, good morning.

Eric Palatnick [phonetic], and I'm the attorney

for the Solow Center, and with me is Tony Morale

[phonetic], who is the project architect. It's

good to see everybody here today. We're here for

a rezoning in the Flushing area of Queens just two

doors down from the RKO Keith's Theatre in

Flushing, where Main Street Ts into Northern
Boulevard. The property-you could see it right
there; Tony is pointing to it-it's in an M1 zoning
district and we seek to rezone it to an R6, C2-2
zoning district. The property end just by way of
reference is right when you're coming from Shea
Stadium or Citi Field now and you come down into
Flushing, it's on the left side as you come down
the bridge into Flushing. It's right on the
corner there. Right now it's Crown Auto Parts,
for those who may be very familiar with it, and
across the street is a funeral home. To the
right, where it says R6, C2-2, is right where the
RKO Keith's Theatre is that's been the subject of
much discussion in the past few years. In any
event, this property is improved upon with a one
and two story former stable, which then turned
into an auto repair center as well as a retail
center for auto repair. Mark Solow owns the
property, that's why it's called the Solow Center,
and he operates the business at the premises. He
intends upon redeveloping the property into a
seven story residential building that would have
ground floor commercial use. It would have a

second floor community facility use and it would
have residential on the upper floors above. It
would include 54 dwelling units and would include
parking for 62 cars at the cellar level. It would
stand 70 feet in height. In order to do that,
we're asking for a rezoning to go from M1 to R6,
C2-2, which as you could see from the maps behind
me, is exactly what the rest of the block that
we're on-I should say 70% of the block we're on is
zoned, with the exception of the properties that
front Prince Street, and those properties that
front Prince Street, they really should be rezoned
at some point in the future, and I'm sure they
will be. They include mostly local retail type of
uses. There is very few if any very true
manufacturing uses within that district. I know
there's a karaoke place. There's a pool hall and
things like that. And there's also a gym. I have
never sung karaoke with any Council Member that is
on the board right now. Nonetheless, Tony will
hold up now the rendering, which will show you
what we're seeking to build. As you can see, it's
a six story building. Tony is what I consider to
be one of the better architects and has taken a

lot of pride in designing not just a square box,
but something that takes advantage of the location
of the building. Really, the entrance to Flushing
is the first thing you see as you do come off of
the bridge from Citi Field, and it will be made
quite a prominent impact as well as—you can see in
the background on the right side, you see where it
looks like the movie theater 'cause it's pointing
to the RKO Keith, and that's where the tower is
going to someday rise for the RKO Keith's Theatre
that is going to be built there. The two
buildings standing next to each other will be in
harmony with one another. The RKO Keith's-I don't
know the total height, but it will be taller than
what we're proposing. We were supported by
Community Board 7. We were also supported by
Helen Marshall, and we've also met with Councilman
Peter Koo. I have not met with him personally,
but it has been represented to me that my clients
have met with him and that he has supported it to
them. That is our application. It's rather
straightforward.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We have heard the Council Member Koo does support the project.

3 ERIC PALATNICK: At the cellar

4 level.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I know the community board they overwhelmingly passed it, but I always hate the idea of where the heck anyone parks in that neighborhood. It's impossible to find parking on the street we know. That is adequate parking and the entrance and egress of that parking lot—I just am always afraid that the residents will choose to park on the street instead of the lot.

ERIC PALATNICK: The parking is actually more than is required parking—what we're proposing. I think of the 54 dwelling units, we're only required to have half, right?

ANTHONY MORALE: Hello. My name is Anthony Morale. I'm the architect. Really there are two things that were considered in the zoning. One is to put in a quality housing program, so that would eliminate the height and also, it would create quality housing type of dwelling units. The number of dwelling units even though we're allowed 54, we're going with 34. 34 would require

2	17 cars parking. Out of the 60 or so cars
3	provided, we also provided an additional loading
4	dock facility for off street deliveries and also
5	parking for the community facility of
6	approximately 17 cars and also parking for the
7	retail component. All that parking would be
8	located of the building. Also on a previous
9	rezoning that we were approved about a year ago,
10	on Prince Street was an M1 zone, and we put in a
11	double garage there for an additional 200 cars. I
12	know that in Queens just like you said in Flushing
13	because of the Rockefeller Center, the new
14	proposal-
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: [Interposing]
16	Flushing Commons.
17	ANTHONY MORALE:Flushing Commons
18	that's going to be going on, we always want to
19	accommodate even more parking than would be
20	require because of what's going to happen with
21	that municipal lot.
22	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Do you plan on
23	charging residents for the spots?
24	ANTHONY MORALES: No.
25	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good answer.

Any comments or questions about that? Okay. Than	ıĸ
you very much. I'm going to close this hearing.	
The next and last item on our agenda are Land Use	ē
Nos. 418 and 419, the related application for the	ž
Woodrow Plaza rezoning on Staten Island in	
everyone's favorite Council Member Vincent	
Ignizio's district. I didn't get unanimous	
consent on that, but anyway-look who's here. Bac	zk
again. Eric, please again state your name for th	ıe

record and also the person who is with you.

ERIC PALATNICK: Sure. My name is Eric Palatnick. I'm the attorney for the applicant, and with me is Gino Savo, who is part owner of the property and also architect to the project and did a lot of work in the design of it, which we'll be holding up in a second, and also, we'd like to our favorite councilman for this project, Vincent Ignizio, who really has put a lot of legwork into this application. It's been pending for almost a decade, if you could believe that, and it's really taken quite a bit of work to get it to where it is today, so we want to thank him for sticking by it.

I'll start with a little bit of

history. It's an application for a rezoning and
the rezoning is seeking to allow a C2-2 overlay in
what's an R3-X district on what's now a vacant
piece of wooded land, which is depicted right
there-if you could just point to it-on Woodrow
Road. If you could just point to the bottom part
where the vacant and isit's right there. It
rests in front of an existing shopping center and
the shopping center was built pursuant to a
rezoning about 20 years ago. About 15 years ago,
12 years ago, the previous owner of the shopping
center applied for a rezoning to do what we want
to do right now at the vacant piece, and it was
denied. There was some local politics that were
going on and there was some conflict within the
community level at that point with the developer
and with the owner of the shopping center, and the
application was denied. We subsequently
Savo, who is with us here today who is Gino's
father, purchased the shopping center. He's been
operating it for the last twelve years or so, and
about eight years, brought this application for
the rezoning to once again, ask for a rezoning
that the previous applicant had brought but was

denied on. We were met with much more open arms
than the previous owner was, and what we propose
to do, and I'll go through it right now, if you
could hold up the site plan that would be great.
What we propose to do-in the back of the property
on the top of the page, what you see is an
existing shopping center. It's got two buildings
in it. Each of those buildings when they're
combined with one another, total 53,452 square
feet of local retail space. They have currently
approximately a number of parking spaces is 185
parking spaces. Gino is going to point out how
cars currently get in, which is on Rossville
Avenue. They can come in through a curb cut over
there. They can exit on a curb cut on Alverson.
If you pretend that there's nothing down at the
bottom and just the top part, that's what's
existing right now. The problem is is that the
parking lot lay out is horrible for the existing
shopping center. There are numerous dead ends as
you drive through it. As you may have heard and
I'm sure as Council Member Ignizio will tell you,
Staten Islanders are quite vigilant about
protecting their vehicles and this parking lot is

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

no stranger to that motto. What you have is literally fisticuffs in the parking lot because people can't get parking spots. They can't get out of the parking spots. They're twisting around in 18 different directions to get in and out, and it's a mess.

Mr. Savo has come up with a great plan to build the two new buildings that you see down at the base of the what is now vacant land, which is zoned residential, which is the whole reason we're here—to ask for a C2-2 overlay. those two buildings are allowed to be constructed, it will have a total square footage of 37,200 square feet of floor area for the two new buildings. 18,000 will be the ground floor and that will be local retail and 18,000 will be the second floor, and that will be offices and things like that-sort of like at the foot of the Whitestone Bridge, you see Bay Terrace Shopping Center. It's got the bagel stores downstairs and some offices upstairs. It's the same exact kind of complex actually as that, and as well tastefully designed as that is. Gino will actually hold that up right now-speaking of

tastefully designed. That is looking at the
property from all different angles. The back on
the lower left is what's existing-the blue. The
build buildings are the existing buildings. What
you see up at the top two are what they're
building at the front and they're going to remodel
the back two buildings to match that. They're
trying to create a town center kind of feel to it,
which is really in character with the
neighborhood, which is primarily residential
surrounding this shopping center. There is no
extension of commercial around it, so this is a
shopping center that people in the neighborhood
come to and Otto is a neighborhood person and Gino
is a neighborhood architect. They've tried to
design it, so it looks better than just a shopping
center, but actually some architectural integrity
to it. To achieve everything that we've been
talking about and that I've been talking about I
should say—that's five minutes—we need a number of
actions from City Planning. We need a text
amendment to remove designated open space for
those of you who are from Manhattan or from other
boroughs and don't know what designated open space

is, it means that there's certain areas in Staten
Island that should be left open and undeveloped
upon. They've been mapped out by the City
Planning Commission years ago. In some instances
like now, we believe they no longer apply, so they
should take that designation off. That's what
we're seeking to do. We're also seeking to allow
a certification on a zoning lot that has
designated open space and we're asking for a
modification of topography and the action for the
modification to topography is simply to level the
property, so that we could building upon it. But
again, in Staten Island unlike other boroughs,
there are very specific regulations with the
topography and here, we are seeking to modify
those regulations. We are also asking for an
authorization for a group parking facility.
That's again, specific to Staten Island, and we're
asking for approval to remove trees—again,
specific to Staten Island. Staten Island has a
lot of its own regulations. In addition to that
we're seeking a city-wide action, which is common
in all boroughs, which is a rezoning to rezone
from the R3-X to a C2-2 overlay. And the final

thing I'll say is the C2-2 overlay is slightly
different than the C1-2 overlay that exists on the
shopping center behind it, and the reason why
we're asking for two different C overlays is
because the C2-2 has a slightly larger spectrum of
uses it could include, which would allow for it
for trade schools and things like that, which is
what the applicant is hoping to put in the second
floor. That's our application in a nutshell. I
know I spoke quicklynot as quick as Councilwoman
Lappin, but Quick.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I'll do to shtick, okay? Mr. Ignizio, you want to comment on this project, which we'll note by the way had unanimous support of the community board and also with the City Planning Commission and the borough president.

COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. You're stealing my thunder a little bit. I just wanted to convey to the board that on behalf of the community, this is something which is both welcomed and requested for for many years. The original owner that was denied was quite frankly not a good neighbor and he had a plan that

was not consistent with what the community wanted				
and literally, I've worked on this project since I				
was chief of staff to now Senator then Council				
Member Lanza and my time in the Assembly, and this				
marked a great compromise that the community				
wanted to see, and I lend my support to it as has				
the community board unanimously, borough president				
and both City Planning members from Staten Island				
and the entire board, so we just hope it looks				
really nice. We know it's going to have a much				
better traffic flow for the current strip mall.				
The way it works now, it doesn't work very well,				
and it really is many times when the police are				
called in to separate people from parking issues.				
I just look forward to the support that this				
Zoning Committee will give to it and let you know				
that I'm in favor of it. Thank you.				

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Ignizio. I don't see any other comments from the panel, so I want to thank you very much. We'll end on a happy ending there. I'd like to close this hearing, and the only other item that is listed on your agenda, which has been withdrawn, is Land Use No. 420, which was the Linden

2	[phonetic] Boulevard rezoning. So that will sum
3	up what we're doing today.
4	We are going to couple the
5	following café items that were before us today:
6	Land Use No. 406 Percy's Tavern, Land Use No. 414
7	La Esquina, Land Use No. 415 Betel, Land Use No.
8	416 Da Silvano, and then the other Land Use
9	applications: Land Use No. 413 the 164 th Street
10	rezoning, Land Use 417 the Solow Center, Land Use
11	No. 418 and 419 The Woodrow Plaza rezoning and the
12	last item, which will be recommended to approve
13	with modification is Land Use No. 421, 422 and 423
14	included as Sunnyside/Woodside rezoning. Okay.
15	The two items that I said are going to be
16	withdrawn are Land Use No. 405 and 420. Motion to
17	file with pursuant to a motion for withdrawal. I
18	would recommend and aye vote on all these matters
19	that are now coupled, including the modification.
20	I call on Christian Hilton, our counsel, to call
21	the roll.
22	COUNSEL: Chair Weprin?
23	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Aye.
24	COUNSEL: Council Member Reyna?
25	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Aye.

5	7
?	
aye.	
?	
1?	
ok?	
ı	
ick?	
,	
?	

1	ZONING AND FRANCHISES 57
2	COUNSEL: Council Member Rivera?
3	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.
4	COUNSEL: Council Member Comrie?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE: Aye.
6	COUNSEL: Council Member Jackson?
7	COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Aye on
8	all.
9	COUNSEL: Council Member Seabrook?
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SEABROOK: Aye on
11	all.
12	COUNSEL: Council Member Vann?
13	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.
14	COUNSEL: Council Member Garodnick?
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GARODNICK: Aye.
16	COUNSEL: Council Member Lappin?
17	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye.
18	COUNSEL: Council Member Ignizio?
19	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Aye.
20	COUNSEL: By a vote of ten in the
21	affirmative, none in the negative, no abstentions,
22	L.U. 406, 415, 414, 413, 416, 417. 418, 419 are
23	approved. L.U. 421, 422 and 423 are approved with
24	modifications. L.U. 405 and 420 are approved

motions to file pursuant to withdrawal.

2		CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
3	Hilton.	Okay. We're now going to adjourn the
1	meeting,	and we'll see you all next time. Thank
5	you.	

I, Kimberley Uhlig certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature Kimbulay Uhlig

Date July 2, 2011