CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES

----X

June 2, 2011 Start: 10:22 am Recess: 12:13 pm

HELD AT: Committee Room - 14th Floor

250 Broadway

BEFORE:

MARK S. WEPRIN Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Daniel J. Halloran III

Vincent Ignizio Robert Jackson Jessica S. Lappin

Diana Reyna Joel Rivera James Vacca

APPEARANCES

Edwin Marshall Associate City Planner Department of City Planning

John P. Kaiteris Executive Director and CEO HANAC Inc.

Jessica Loeser Attorney & Lobbyist Allerman Senterfitt LLP

Chris Papa Project Architect 10-24 Associates

Ed Fleming Representative State Senator Tony Avella

Brian Garry Resident and Homeowner 154th Street

Paul Graziano Urban Planning Consultant & 1st Vice President Historic Districts Council

Erika Sellke Planner Community District 4 Department of City Planning

Edith Hsu Chen
Director of Manhattan Office
Department of City Planning

Rachel Stein Representative Assembly Member Linda Rosenthal

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Robert Befatto District Manager Manhattan Community Board #4

Jean-Daniel Noland Former Chairman Manhattan Community Board #4

Sarah Desmond Co-Chair Housing, Health & Human Services Committee Manhattan Community Board #4

J.W. Strouss Resident 418 W. 46th Street NYC

Dahlia DuPerroir Resident 790 11th Avenue NYC

Andrea McCullough Resident West Clinton District

Nico Boccio Resident 400 W. 43rd Street

Robert Gereke Resident 723 11th Avenue

Dr. Murray Kiok Resident 555 West 52nd Street

Robert Kalin Tenant Organizer Housing Conservation Coordinators

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Diane Cowles Resident 360 West 55th Street

Joe Restuccia Co-Chair Clinton Hell's Kitchen Land Use Committee Manhattan Community Board #4

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good morning
everyone, my name is Mark Weprin, and I see we're
joined by Council Member Jimmy Vacca, who is
currently chairing a hearing on Transportation
that's caused some logistical problems here, and
we're joined by Diana Reyna from the subcommittee,
Joel Rivera, Leroy Comrie and Vincent Ignizio. We
are also joined by Council Member Dan Halloran,
who has an item on this agenda, and we are now
going to start right in. Just for the record,
Land Use #405, which was OPM Restaurant and Club,
has been laid over and is not on this agenda
today. We are now going to start with Land Use
#395, which is in the special 125 th Street District
text amendment, and I'd like to call on Edwin
Marshall from City Planning's Manhattan office to
please come up. Mr. Marshall, you should know the
drill. Please state your name for the record and
describe the $125^{\rm th}$ amendment. I know we heard from
Council Member Dickens' office whom you've been
speaking to, and they're in support of this as
well. Okay, whenever you're ready, Mr. Marshall.
Please state your name again.

MR. MARSHALL: Can you hear me now?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Excellent. Good morning, Chair Weprin, and good morning, members of the Committee and City Council staff. My name is Edwin Marshall and I work for the Department of City Planning, and on behalf of the Department I want to thank the Committee for giving us the opportunity to present the 125th Street Sidewalk Café text amendment. This text amendment is a follow-up action that responds to concerns that we've heard during the 125th Street rezoning in 2008, regarding the placement of sidewalk cafes within the special 125th Street district, especially within areas that have high pedestrian traffic. Now summarily the 125th Street district is located ... is bounded by 124th and 126th Streets, between Broadway and Second Avenue. Ιt comprises 24 blocks and includes community districts 9,10,11, which is East Harlem, Central Harlem and West Harlem, and includes the districts represented by Council Member Dickens, Council Member Jackson and Council Member Melissa Mark-Viverito. The district intended to do two things, one was to basically support 125th Street's role as an arts and entertainment corridor as well as a regional business district. It proposed, and was

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approved, to have increases in density along areas that could take it, New York transit nodes. in the map that you see behind me, it shows the 125th Street district, again bounded by 124th and 126th Streets, Second Avenue and Broadway, and the areas shown in the purple and the blue basically indicate areas where we've increased the density to incentivize mixed-use development. The plan also had provisions to provide better protection for those neighborhoods, those blocks, that had a very strong row house and brownstone character, and those are indicated in the salmon and in the yellow colors as well. We've downzoned those blocks, again, to provide a better match between the existing land use and underlying zoning. as I said in my opening remarks, what really drove the 125th Street sidewalk café text amendment was congestion on the sidewalks within the 125th Street corridor. This graphic shows the existing conditions along two parts of 125th Street, the top graphic shows the south side of 125th Street, roughly between Madison and Fifth Avenues; the graphic on the bottom shows 125th Street roughly between Lennox … actually between Frederick

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Douglass Blvd. and Adam Powell Blvd., and you can see that the sidewalk is congested, and this is true during many parts of the day, and during most of the year. What you also see are sidewalk vendors, which also occupy large sections of the sidewalk. So the Council members representing the district had concerns about the district's ability to handle large pedestrian traffic volumes, also with the sidewalk vendors, they are also providing some impeding pedestrian movement as well. under the existing regulations, the special 125th Street district allows all three types of sidewalk cafes: enclosed, unenclosed large, and unenclosed small cafes. Unenclosed cafes are basically an extension of the building. They can be made of metal or glass, and are basically a permanent fixture on the sidewalk. An unenclosed large café basically consists of two rows of moveable tables and chairs, and can have a retractable awning or umbrella covering it. An unenclosed small café basically consists of one row of tables and chairs, and also can have a retractable awning or umbrella covering that as well. The graphic that you see offered to my left shows the two different

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

types of unenclosed cafes, the graphic at the top shows the unenclosed large cafes, and again you can see the two rows of tables and chairs occupying the sidewalk, and the graphic on the bottom shows an unenclosed small café, again it cannot comprise more than four and a half feet on the sidewalk, and again, it can also have a retractable umbrella or awning. Now, this graphic shows, under the current zoning regulations, that sidewalk cafes are permitted throughout the special 125th Street district, and basically sidewalk cafes are allowed in the areas that are commercially zoned, and that's shown in the sort of salmon pinkish color, and as you can see, under the current regulations, sidewalk cafes are currently allowed. And residentially-zoned areas, and those are indicated in the yellow, sidewalk cafes are not allowed. So, the proposed text amendment endeavors to do three basic things: one, to ban sidewalk cafes along areas within the corridor that have high pedestrian traffic volumes, and that's basically within the core subdistrict, and I'll walk the Committee through the map as I finish this. The second is to ban

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sidewalk cafes along 124th and 126th Streets, which are predominantly residential in character, and basically you don't want to have sidewalk cafes on those streets. And third, the text would allow for sidewalk cafes to be placed along portions of the corridor that could accommodate sidewalk cafes and where the pedestrian traffic volumes are not that high. So, looking at the graphic, the colors mean different things. The areas indicated in the black are places where sidewalk cafes are proposed not to be allowed, and again, that's along 124th Street, 126th Street, as well as within the core sub-district, which is bounded by St. Nicholas Avenue and a point 545 feet east of Malcolm X Blvd., also known as Lennox Avenue. We're also banning sidewalk cafes along Park Avenue, which is defined by the Metro North elevated railroad viaduct, and you really don't want to have sidewalk cafes there, as well as along the portions of Broadway. We do allow sidewalk cafes along portions of 125th Street, that's indicated in the orange, east of Fifth Avenue, as well as in Council Member Jackson's district, which is west of St. Nicholas Avenue. Now the City Planning

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commission approved this text in April of this year, and since that time we have met with Council Member Viverito as well as Council Member Dickens, and in discussions with their offices, we have modified the proposal, and this map includes those modifications, and I'll walk you through those modifications right now. originally we did not include unenclosed sidewalk cafes, those are the large sidewalk cafes that have two rows of tables and chairs, and speaking with Council Member Dickens we have modified the proposal to allow for large unenclosed cafes, and those will be placed along Malcolm X Blvd., those are indicated in the red, roughly from 124th to 125th Street on the west side, from 125th to 126th Street on the east side. These are sidewalks that are very, very wide, they're 35 feet wide, and they can accommodate large unenclosed cafes. Also we're allowing large unenclosed cafes on the east side of Fifth Avenue, between 126th and 125th Street as well, that also has a wide sidewalk.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Marshall, just speak up, make sure we get you on the mic, that's all.

21

22

23

24

25

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES I
2	MR. MARSHALL: Okay.
3	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right.
4	MR. MARSHALL: We've also, in
5	consultation with Council Member Viverito,
6	modified the proposal to allow for small
7	unenclosed cafes along 125 th Street between Third
8	Avenue and Park Avenue, as well as along
9	Lexington, the east side of Lexington between 125 th
10	and 126 th Street. Those are the major changes,
11	again, those were done in concert with Council
12	Member Viverito and Council Member Dickens.
13	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, thank
14	you very much. We've heard from all these members
15	who are in support of this text change. Does
16	anyone have any questions on the panel? Seeing
17	none, Mr. Marshall, I thank you very much, we're
18	going to close this hearing.
19	MR. MARSHALL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: And you'll be excused. We're going to move on, I just want to explain what we're doing, we're taking the least controversial first, we're going to move our way. I want to apologize in advance to the West Clinton people, I know you're here in large number, we're

going to put you on the back end of this thing, we're going to move as quickly as we possibly can. I know you've all been very patient today, but we're going to move on to the next item, which is Land Use #398, in Council Member Vallone's district, the HANAC parking garage. I'd like to call on John Kaiteris, HANAC Inc., to testify. Mr. Kaiteris, please state your name for the record, and speak clearly into the microphone. The reason I'm such a stickler on that is that we're trying to establish a record, and if you don't say who you are, we don't know who's speaking when you read it on ... in the record, so that's why it all has to be there. So whenever you're ready.

MR. KAITERIS: Good morning, I'm

John Kaiteris, the Executive Director of HANAC,

and I'm here to testify on items 398 and 399,

concerning the HANAC parking garage. With this

ULURP application, HANAC seeks approval for

special permit and site-specific rezoning to allow

for a public parking garage located at 2503 29th

Street in Queens. The application calls for the

garage to be built on the same zoning lot, a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

former municipal parking lot, as a fifteen-story, 184-unit residence that we constructed for lowincome seniors, completed in July, 2009, and occupied since January, 2010. The design of the garage accommodates the maximum number of public parking spaces at the site and applicable zoning allows. Our proposal calls for the development of a 29,044 square foot, two-level garage that accommodates 59 public parking spaces, 41 spaces at the first floor at grade level, and 18 at the cellar floor level. The first floor level consists of 33 short-term parking spaces and eight permit spaces. The cellar level consists of 18 permit spaces. The garage will also provide fifteen of the required 41 accessory parking spaces for the senior residents. We note that the rezoning and special permit applications have received approvals from Queens Community Board #1, Borough President Marshall and the City Planning Commission. The proposal is responsive to the concerns of Community Board #1 raised in the approval with recommendations specifically, and I'll just summarize here, that there will be no car-share businesses on the location, no Zip cars;

secondly, no more than the required 41 senior
housing parking spaces will be provided as
proposed, that's required under zoning. On the
apportioning between short-term and long-term
parking spaces, HANAC seeks to responsibly balance
legitimate needs of local business owners and
their employees, who require permit spaces, with
the equally valid needs of shoppers and
neighborhood residents who prefer short-term
spaces. HANAC believes that the 33 short-term
parking spaces and eight permit spaces at grade,
with 18 permit spaces on the lower level, will
adequately manage short-term parking traffic and
help to insure the economic viability of the
operation. There is no subsidy for maintenance
and operations of the garage. HANAC agrees to be
flexible and responsive to actual community needs,
and agrees to revisit the apportionment as needed
in consultation with the community planning board.
With respect to the esthetics, the City Planning
Commission has already approved the elevations
submitted by the architect, which unfortunately I
don't have available with me today. But it will
meet their requirements that there be a finished

25

2	brick veneer wall with a railing or fence that
3	will be wrought iron. Thank you for the
4	opportunity to present.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
6	much, we've been in contact with Council Member
7	Vallone's office, who says that you have been
8	cooperative in working all these agreements out,
9	and he is fine with this project as well. Any
10	questions or comments? Mr. Comrie. Oh Mr.
11	Comrie, let me interrupt one second, I just want
12	to acknowledge that we were joined at one point by
13	Council Member Lappin, who I didn't mention, and
14	I'm Mark Weprin, I don't think I said that, from
15	Queens County.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: I'm
17	curious as to why there was a decision not to
18	include car-sharing, car-sharing opportunities for
19	the parking garage.
20	MR. KAITERIS: I'm sorry
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: (Interposing)
22	He's asking why you didn't
23	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.:

(Interposing) Why ... what was the reasoning behind

not including the opportunity to house car-

1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES 17
2	sharing, the Zip cars?
3	MR. KAITERIS: I'm still not I'm
4	sorry, I just don't understand.
5	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I believe it
6	was the community board, he just wants to know why
7	they decided why are we not using car-sharing in
8	the proposal.
9	MR. KAITERIS: Oh, oh.
10	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I think it was
11	the community board who wanted not to.
12	MR. KAITERIS: We have no idea
13	you know what, I have no idea.
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: But it was the
15	community board who suggested not do it.
16	MR. KAITERIS: Yes, yes. Yes, we
17	hadn't considered it. We do think it's a good
18	idea.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Okay.
20	MR. KAITERIS: But the chair of the
21	zoning committee of Community Planning Board #1
22	apparently has a bias against car-sharing.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: I see,
24	okay.
25	MR. KAITERIS: Yes.

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: All
3	right, we'll have to work on that, thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr.
5	Comrie. Anybody else have a question or comment?
6	Seeing none, I want to thank you very much, sir.
7	We're going to close this hearing, and move on to
8	we're going to move on to the Whitestone item,
9	are we good with that? Okay, we're going to move
10	on to Land Use #400, which is the C 100457 ZMQ in
11	Whitestone. I'd like to call on, on behalf of the
12	applicants, Jessica Loeser and Chris Papa. And
13	then I know we have a number of people who want to
14	testify in opposition to this, we're going to try
15	to bring them all up in one panel, and we'll have
16	a time limit on each person, so if you could try
17	to consolidate your remarks as much as possible.
18	Whenever you're ready, Ms. Loeser, if you could
19	just state, both of you, whenever you speak, state
20	your name for the record beforehand, so we know
21	who's speaking. Whenever you're ready.
22	MS. LOESER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23	My name is Jessica Loeser and I'm here on behalf

of the applicant to speak in favor of this

rezoning application, and as was mentioned, with

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

me is Chris Papa, who is the architect on the project. We are here to speak about the rezoning for the western block front on 154th Street between 10th Avenue and 11th Avenue in the Whitestone neighborhood. The applicant is proposing to rezone this block front from an R2A and R3-1, to an R3-1 with a C2-2 overlay over a portion of the block front. This would allow for the reconfiguration, modernization and expansion of the existing Whitehouse restaurant and catering hall building. The restaurant and catering facility has been in location since 1956. The proposed changes would allow the applicant to relocate the existing catering use from the cellar to an expanded second floor. It would also permit the applicant to update and modernize all the building's systems, and provide much-needed handicap accessibility. The ground floor will be expanded by approximately 650 square feet, and the partial second floor will be expanded by 4,800 square feet. The current facility only has eight off-street parking spaces, leaving many patrons to park on the street, which has been a burden to the surrounding community. Under the proposal, the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

applicant would provide 110 attended off-street parking spaces through the acquisition of an adjoining property. Twenty spaces will be atgrade and 90 underground. The area proposed for rezoning is predominantly commercial in use. Of the five tax lots on 154th Street frontage, three of the lots are in commercial use. These three lots occupy 62% of the frontage, with the remaining 38% occupied by two single-family houses. Immediately north of this block front is a super-block occupied by a shopping center with retail stores, a large supermarket with a surface parking lot fronting on 154th Street, and two large industrial buildings. The block front immediately to the south of the rezoning area, there are a vacant lot and one- and two-story buildings in either retail or retail-residential use. Thus the existing use and proposed expansion are consistent with the land use pattern along 154th Street. We've received support from Community Board #7, of various property owners in the immediate area, the Welcome to Whitestone civic organization, the Queens Chamber of Commerce, the Queens borough president and the City Planning Commission. In

conclusion, the proposal is consistent with the
mixed nature of the area, which while having
residential uses also has a large and very
prominent retail commercial component. Approval
of the rezoning will result in a block front that
is both complying in use and in bulk, and finally
it will allow for the continued use of a property
for its historical use, it will also create new
jobs for local residents at a time when our
economy is struggling to rebound. We hope that
you come to the same conclusion as many others
have had in supporting this rezoning. And I just
wanted to add that we received very late
notification of this hearing, and the residents,
business owners, and organizations who would have
come to speak in support of this application were
unable to come this morning. We've submitted for
your review and for the record their letters of
support. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, and we

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, and we have these letters here just handed to us. Mr. Papa, are you speaking as well, or are you just here in case we need you?

MR. PAPA: Yeah, I'll answer any

questions you might have. My name is Chris Papa,
I'm the architect, and I just wanted to also just
add a little bit to that, we're providing the
parking ingress and egress from a side driveway.
Again, I apologize for the crudeness of some of
these drawings, but this is a site plan, and we
are proposing to come in and out with enough cubic
space so that some of the vehicles don't back up
onto 154 th Street, and just a little bit, another
technical item, the existing C of O (certificate
of occupancy) for this building has an occupancy
of 564 people, which, you know, our proposed
establishment is not going to come near that
those occupancy numbers, so we're looking to kind
of reduce that. There is an existing catering use
in the cellar, which we're looking to remove from
the cellar and basically re-locate it to the
proposed second floor of this building, and you
know, the building is in disrepair, and I think it
needs a good renovation.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: What is the

occupancy that will be of the new establishment,

MR. PAPA: The proposed restaurant,

if you got your change?

2	you know, we haven't come to our final designs,
3	but the proposed, there will be a restaurant,
4	continued the same use as what's there now.
5	that's approximately going to be about a 100, I
6	would say, and then the catering hall may be
7	about, you know, 250 or something like that.
8	MS. LOESER: There were questions,
9	just to address that point, there were questions
10	at the Commission about if we would exceed our
11	current C of O and the occupancy on the existing C
12	of O, which were not going to come anywhere close
13	to it with the expansion.
14	MR. PAPA: Right.
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms.
16	Loeser.
17	MS. LOESER: Oh, sorry.
18	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Halloran,
19	speak. All right, I'm going to first call on
20	Council Member Halloran, who represents this
21	neighborhood. He has a statement and some
22	comments he wants to make.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Thank
24	you, Mr. Chair. First, I will say, and I want to

say for the record, that this application has

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

divided my Whitestone community roughly 50-50. office received 29 letters in support, in addition to a set of petitions that contained 60 some odd signatures from people within a three-block radius, including the other commercial businesses there. We received about 40 or so letters in opposition. The Whitestone Taxpayers, which represents a large portion of the area, initially came out in favor of this proposal, and then their board rescinded that endorsement. The community board was rather dispositive, it was 29 to 6 in favor of this proposal, and has been mentioned, the borough president and the Chamber of Commerce has all given their approval to this going forward. This particular location is an interesting mix of properties, however, what is important to note is that the block immediately north of it is an M1-1, the entire block it's on except for this portion is an R3-1, and the entire corridor south of this is a C1 C2 overlaid R3-1 This catering hall and restaurant has been zone. there, as has been indicated, since the 1950's. When zoning came in, this property was originally designated an R2 and there was no commercial

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

overlay put on this particular property, despite C1 C2's throughout the rest of the corridor. reasons that I cannot explain, and I want to reference my statement to the Planning Commission, in 2006 this area was downzoned, and made an R2A. This took the property further out of compliance than it was in the original designation, and according to the rules that have been established and promulgated with regards to zoning, it is an impermissible zoning to downzone and take further out of compliance a pre-existing structure. not know how that happened, I was not the Council member at the time, but it clearly took a longexisting business further out of compliance. certainly didn't achieve the mission of the rezoning, which was to take existing properties and make them compliant, it did just the opposite. In this particular case, there is a ... there are two commercial buildings of two stories, next door to the south, and then there are two residential properties to the north, followed by a bank, another commercial property, to the further north, to 10th Avenue, which then joins the M1 district, which is the Whitestone Shopping Center, followed

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

by the Tropicana production facility. So while there are R2A properties on the east side of 154th Street, behind the commercial corridor, there aren't on the block that this property exists on. In fact, this was in a sense fractured zoning, because the entire block was redesignated R3-1 in that zoning, except for the portion on 154th Street, which again also violates the principles of the zoning plan, which says that we are to make consistent and non-fractured zoning designations. So at that point I had asked the architect to come back with some proposals, to modify, to give some degree of assistance to the property owners who are adjacent. They've agreed to scale back in terms of the second-story reach, it will not go over the carport area. And there will be no deck or patio that would overlook the properties that are adjacent to it. The owners are also willing to do a significant amount of greenscaping around it. Up until this point, this restaurant had been in disrepair. The owner has spent the last 15 years trying to find a buyer, and was unable to find a buyer. He bought the property and actually 20 years ago did not do a single piece of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

renovation over the 20 years he owned it. He let it run down into the ground, and it's unfortunate that that's the case. In addition, on this block, the 154th Street corridor, there are a total of 18 different commercial businesses, six of which are closed, and have been closed for at least six months. This is a possible opportunity for us to renovate this commercial corridor, which I think is important. And the other ... the argument that has been made by some of my constituents, that this property is going to be far larger in population density than it had previously been, is just not true. There was a catering facility in the basement level, it is a disaster, I have been in it. That occupancy is 150, the restaurant's occupancy is, I believe, 125, and they're looking for a total occupancy of 300, which is 25 more people than the current existing C of O has. Actually the C of O might have a larger, but it's broken up slightly differently. So I'm in support of this, with the modifications that have been The borough president and I have discussed. spoken about this extensively, she made some recommendations, which the builder is willing to

consider, and it is my opinion that this that
this overall plan is good. The architect had
originally wanted a C2 overlay over the entire
block, because there is two existing businesses to
the north, to the south, and one to the north, but
I had promised the homeowners that if they did not
want their property upzoned to a commercial
overlay, that I would not permit that to happen.
That is their opinion, that's their prerogative, I
gave them that promise, and so it was my
recommendation that the C1-2 overlay only exist on
the southernmost portion of the block from the
Whitehouse to the corner, encompassing those two
other commercial establishments, and not including
the two one-family homes which are adjacent to it.
So those are going to be my recommendations, I'm
just going to ask Mr. Chair, in looking at the
recommendation of the City Planning Board, scale
the C1-C2 overlay back to an area that is
coordinate with the larger property behind, that
extends behind it, and run that in an even square
to the 154^{th} Street, and I can give him a specific
drawing on that, just to make sure that it is
coordinate. That's it, thank you, Mr. Chair.

prep, some offices. The first floor would remain a restaurant, and the catering facility would be relocated out of the cellar out to the second floor.

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Up to

Τ	COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES 30
2	the second floor.
3	MR. PAPA: Right.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: And
5	you're going to build a new second floor.
6	MR. PAPA: We're going to build a
7	new second floor, yeah. There's an existing small
8	actually residential use there that we plan on
9	removing, it's about 3,000 square feet, a total
10	floor area on the second would be about 9,000 with
11	the catering.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: And
13	how many people you expect to have there? On the
14	second floor?
15	MR. PAPA: In the catering hall?
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Yes.
17	MR. PAPA: Between like 200, 250.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Two
19	hundred fifty people, okay. And how many car
20	spaces are you creating?
21	MR. PAPA: We're creating 110. And
22	it would be all valeted, attended parking.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: And
24	where is this space that you're acquiring? Is it
25	behind the facility or?

2	proposed a four-foot planting buffer between our
3	property and the neighboring properties, but then
4	based on some of the opposition and in
5	coordination with Councilman Halloran's office, we
6	decided that we would, you know, additionally, in
7	addition to putting the planting, that they would
8	also respect the building, not to be all the way
9	to the property line, just to provide a colonnade
10	and some kind of planting and buffering between
11	the neighbors, the adjacent residential building.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: And
13	how high would that be?
14	MR. PAPA: How high would the
15	shrubbery be? It's
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.:
17	(Interposing) It's just going to be planting
18	involved in it?
19	MR. PAPA: Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Or is
21	it
22	MR. PAPA: (Interposing) Not no,
23	we didn't want to propose a wall to kind of create
24	a very, you know, cumbersome structure. We were
25	going to put, you know, six-foot-high planting all

2	the	way	around	along	that	property	line.
---	-----	-----	--------	-------	------	----------	-------

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: All right. But people are going to be queuing up their cars and standing outside waiting for cars while they go back and forth, correct?

MR. PAPA: Yes, underneath, underneath this overhang there's a drop-off area, and we propose that we probably would have about eight cars that could wait there while the valets take the cars and park them.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: But there won't be any parking allowed right there in that area, there will just be standing, or?

MR. PAPA: Right, you would pull in and someone would take your car immediately and park it, yeah.

MR. PAPA: Yeah, they would be idling, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: And people would be congregating there during and throughout the event, or throughout the hours of operation that the hall is open, correct?

2.

	MR. PAPA:	Yeah, I w	ould say th	е
most amount of	congregat	cion would b	e upon leav	ing,
you know, and w	waiting fo	or their veh	icle to arr	ive.
But you know,	upon arriv	ving they wo	uld just pu	11
up and go right	t in.			

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Okay.

And so this ... this would give you the autonomy to be open from when to when? Is there a time limit on operation of the catering hall that has been proposed?

MR. PAPA: I'm not exactly sure of the hours of operation, but I would assume from ... the restaurant would be open from 5:00, you know, until about the midnight, the catering establishment, you know, somewhere around that time.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr.

Chair, just a point of information. The current

White House, the way it was opened, I mean, it was
a bar and restaurant, and a catering hall. They
have a cabaret license, they're also willing to

surrender that in this plan if they need to. And
the cabaret, as you know, is certainly a whole

different can of worms for issues in a community,

2.

so you know, the owners are being very cognizant
of that. In fact, DOT is redoing the one-way
street on the block of the public school that is
further to the east of this location west of
this location, and their parking system was
redesigned to accommodate those changes made by
DOT. So on that front they've actually gone over
and beyond in that regard.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Okay.

And ... okay, so, and the parking lot itself would be given buffers and planting as well, along the lot?

MR. PAPA: Yes, around the entire lot. Most of the parking is proposed to be underground, and like Councilman Halloran said, there isn't going to be any egress from the parking lot onto 11th Avenue, which is smaller, and is proposed to be, I think, one-way ... I don't know which way it's proposed, but we're basically providing our in and out from the main 154th Street.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Okay.

All right, I don't have any further questions,

thank you.

2	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Council Member
3	Reyna from Brooklyn-Queens, that's Brooklyn and
4	Queens.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Thank you
6	very much, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to get some
7	understanding as to the property for the zoning
8	application, it's just one property, this one
9	property. For the rezoning.
10	MR. PAPA: The actual the current
11	building is this one lot. The owner purchased the
12	corner lot, which has two buildings on it, they're
13	going to remain as they are. It was primarily
14	acquired because it has a large open space in the
15	back to provide the parking for the existing
16	establishment.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And the
18	property once assembled with the new purchase of
19	two lots, two adjacent lots, is not requiring this
20	rezoning to accommodate what would be a future
21	build-out and upgrade of the establishment,
22	correct?
23	MS. LOESER: Correct.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: And is this

a ... was this the only option, or did, had the

2	property owner, was there an attempt to go through
3	the BSA for a variance? Or was the variance was
4	not a likely option, based on the fact that you
5	couldn't substantiate the five findings of
6	hardship?
7	MR. PAPA: That's correct.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: I don't want
9	to put words in your mouth, I just want to make
10	sure I'm understanding.
11	MS. LOESER: Correct.
12	MR. PAPA: Well, yes, and again, if
13	you want to, you know, expand on that point, I'm
14	not 100% sure of the technicalities with the
15	variance case, but I know there are a number of
16	findings that you need to find, one of them being
17	having the uniqueness of the site, and you have to
18	present some kind of economic hardship, you know,
19	but I'm sure Jessica can
20	MS. LOESER: (Interposing) Right.
21	MR. PAPA: elaborate on that a
22	little bit.
23	MS. LOESER: Thank you. Jessica
24	Loeser, attorney for the project. We do not meet

the findings for a BSA variance, and as the

2.

Councilman mentioned earlier, different changes to
the zoning in the last couple of years made a
rezoning of this application, the scope of this
application, the most logical place to go forward.
Just to address your point of changes in zoning,
we are asking for an R3-1 overlay over the entire
block, and the commercial overlay on just on our
property lots.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: So that you can conform to the uses of having the establishments exist, and not lose value of what the use is, with the options of--

MS. LOESER: (Interposing) Well, to bring our property lot into compliance and into conformity with the zoning resolution, and also to insure that ... and in truth to speak to the R3 the rest of the block, that this is not spot zoning. That the entire area will get some benefit from this application.

COUNCIL MEMBER REYNA: Right. So I just wanted to get clarity on why the rezoning, as opposed to a BSA variance, and just making sure that we understand there's been a lot of accommodations on behalf of the property owner to

2	work with the adjacent homeowners who have
3	expressed concerns of their own. And so having
4	said that, I just wanted to lend my support, and
5	as Chair of the Small Business Committee, I wanted
6	to just, you know, advise that the property owner
7	seeks services from the Department of Small
8	Business Services, on making sure that there's
9	programs out there that would be beneficial for
10	the upgrade and build-out and workforce potential
11	that he can have on this site. Thank you.
12	MS. LOESER: Thank you for your
13	comments and your support.
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, we are
15	going to finish with you right now. please stick
16	around just in case we have to call you back for
17	something, but we are going to move on to a panel
18	of people who have some concerns with this matter.
19	MS. LOESER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: So if you want
21	to leave the chart there for now, that may be
22	beneficial as well.
23	MS. LOESER: Sure.
24	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. What
25	I'm going to do now is call up three people who

2	are here in opposition to this. We're going to
3	put a three-minute clock on this, if you can do
4	that within three minutes, you know, otherwise I
5	could ask you a question or two. But I'd like to
6	call on Ed Fleming from Senator Tony Avella's
7	office, Brian Garry, a homeowner, and Paul
8	Graziano, who is here, and gentlemen, if you all
9	would please come up to the mics, we have two, so
10	you will take your turns. Thank you, sir, yes, I
11	just got this, thank you. Why don't you have a
12	seat, Mr. Fleming, do you want to go first? Are
13	you going to read the letter?
14	MR. FLEMING: Sure.
15	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Is that your
16	plan?
17	MR. FLEMING: I'm not sure.
18	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I know we have
19	a letter here from former Council member, now
20	State Senator, Tony Avella. Mr. Fleming, is it
21	your intention just to read this letter?
22	MR. FLEMING: Just to read the
23	letter.
24	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. I want
25	you to make sure you give Tony a good report, so

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'll have you read it out, you can do that into the record. So please say your name, and who you represent, and read whatever you would prefer to read.

MR. FLEMING: My name is Ed Fleming, I'm representing State Senator Tony Avella, and I'm reading into the record his letter of opposition. "I'm writing to you to express my strong opposition to Land Use application C 100457 ZMQ, which would amend the zoning map to upzone an R2A district to R3-1/C2-2, $154^{\rm th}$ Street between $10^{\rm th}$ and 11th Avenues in Whitestone Queens. The primary focus of the proposal is the former Whitestone Restaurant, located at 10-24 154th Street. While I understand that this application was approved by the City Planning Commission on May 11th, the approval was in direct contradiction to the wishes of the community residents and the members of the local civic association. The application not only involves upzoning the residential component, but would also create a commercial overlay where none has existed before. Once the zoning change is enacted, the neighborhood will never be the same again. It will have an immediate impact on the

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lives of the two neighboring homeowners who do not want their homes to be upzoned. This quiet residential area will be plagued by a significant increase in traffic, noise, pollution and other nuisances that are being prevented through the R2A zoning. With a public school only a block away, this change may result in dangerous cross streets for children, and additional difficulty for parents picking up and dropping off their children. In addition, and equally as important, the applicant was defaulted on a city park concession as the former owner of Café on the I understand that he failed to properly ... Green. to keep proper records, and as a result owed the city over \$120,000. To date he has not paid the city. I find it unconscionable that the city would approve an upzoning application which will financially reward an applicant who owes the city such a large amount of money. For the reasons I mention above, I strongly urge you to disapprove this application. For your information, I have enclosed several newspaper articles about the application and/or the applicant. I cannot overemphasize the need to disapprove this

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

application." Signed Senator Tony Avella, 11th
 Senatorial district.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr. Chairman, point of information. The Senator can use newspapers all he likes, I spoke with Commissioner Lewandowski this morning, and my office had sent her a letter as soon as those allegations were made. The Department of Parks states unequivocally that the predecessor-ininterest at the Café on the Green does not owe any money to the City of New York, that there was a \$120,000 under-tally from one quarter that didn't carry into the next quarter, and so according to the city records of the Parks Department, he doesn't owe anything. So I would appreciate the Senator not relying on newspapers, when he's making spurious allegations, but actually go to the horse's mouth and ask the agency for which he claims money is owed, because when I spoke to the Commissioner this morning, she assured me that's not the case.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. For the record, Dorothy Lewandowski is the Queens Borough Commissioner, and I don't

2	believe she thinks that the Council member was
3	saying that she is indeed a horse, so that's okay.
4	But anyway.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III:

Thanks, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: You're welcome. But thank you. No need to respond to that, Mr. Fleming. Next, do you want to go, Mr. Garry? Okay.

MR. GARRY: Good morning, my name is Brian Garry. I am the homeowner directly to the north of the White House Restaurant. I oppose the upzoning of my block, including my residential property, I feel that the applicant is the only person that stands to gain from this, and it can only be detrimental to the residents of the block and the surrounding neighborhood, who are currently protected from this type of overdevelopment. We have been opposing this upzoning through every stage of the applicant's process, and felt that the odds have been stacked against us. I have had several meetings with my Councilperson, who seems to be acting as a lobbyist for this overdeveloper, rather than an

2	advocate for the people, who have nothing to gain,
3	but only to hold on to their current rights.
4	Please deny this application, so that an
5	application that is fair to the community and the
6	developer can be put forth through a variance
7	rather than a rezoning. Thank you. And if you
8	have any questions, I'm willing to answer them.
9	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay. Mr.
10	Graziano, please, I'm sorry.
11	MR. GRAZIANO: I'm here as an urban
12	planning consultant. I have something, actually
13	Brian was supposed to submit this, but
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: (Interposing)
15	Just state your name again, for the record.
16	MR. GRAZIANO: I'm sorry. Paul
17	Graziano, I'm an urban planning consultant.
18	There's actually a written testimony from Greater
19	Whitestone Taxpayers Civic Association, which
20	spells out a lot of the things that came up prior.
21	I just want to touch base on some of the stuff
22	that's come up. Greater Whitestone Taxpayers
23	initially was in favor of the proposal when it was
24	first promoted in early 2010, when the statement
25	was that it was based on a restaurant and an

outdoor eatery, not a catering hall and large
restaurant application for the site. No one -
let's make it clear, no one is against a
restaurant at this site, but they're it's a
question of the scale of the project. Also the
issue of catering, which I think Mr. Papa brought
up, the C of O for the basement room is actually
for a party room, it's not for a catering
facility, so that's the current C of O, which is
different from a catering hall. I want to respond
to some of the statements that Councilman Halloran
made. I was the consultant who actually designed
the rezoning with City Planning and the former
Councilman Avella and the community and the
Greater Whitestone Taxpayers, and Community Board
#7, and the borough president's office, and the
City Planning Commission. Legal non-conforming
uses are scattered throughout the city, there's
never been a problem with it. The idea of
creating the zoning that was there was to protect
the community as it stands, but to allow the
businesses that are there to continue operating
under their C of O's, legal non-conforming use.
The idea that this violates principles of zoning,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and that this whole area was rezoned in violation of these principles, that would mean the 100,000 properties that I was involved in rezoning in the City of New York over the last seven years, all of them violated the principles of zoning, because all of them had these situations where areas were downzoned or upzoned. It's based on a general scale, when you're talking about rezoning six or ten or fifteen thousand properties at a time, you're going to be obviously including properties that are going in one direction or another when you're talking about downzoning or upzoning. that I am, you know, this is something that I saw in his previous testimony, which puzzled me. One of the things I think everyone is concerned is that, having sat at a number of meetings with Councilman Halloran, the first thing that was stated was that the north half of the block was off the table. Removing the commercial overlay off the north part of the block is great, but removing the R2A to R3-1 is also supposed to be off the table, and we have a letter to your office, actually, that states this, which is then contradicting in the letter that was sent a month

2	later to the City Planning Commission. So there's
3	a problem with that. If I could just tie up
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: (Interposing)
5	If you could just finish up, Mr. Graziano?
6	MR. GRAZIANO: Yes, I will, thank
7	you. There was also something about something
8	that was brought up, which I think is great, if
9	it's the truth, about the deck or patio or second-
10	floor expansion to the property line, that that's
11	been removed. Now, if that's the case, this is a
12	major plus in the right direction. It is of
13	course not shown in these plans, which, every time
14	the plans have been shown, the architect has
15	apologized for the shoddy condition of the plans,
16	which, unless you're showing a true version of
17	what's actually being seen, they can be described
18	as misleading. So I just want clarification, if
19	this piece is actually being removed, which is a
20	great concern. And just finally, the one thing
21	that I want to say, is that this long-lived
22	another rezoning that's being proposed, the TD
23	Bank rezoning, which is also coming to your

Committee, as well as one in CBA, there are now

three precedent-setting rezonings that are

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

essentially overturning the previous rezonings
that we've just done in the last five years, and
people are very concerned about it, a lot of civic
associations and residents are concerned about it.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Mr. Graziano. I'm going to ask Mr. Halloran if he

wanted to make a statement.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate Paul's work, he has done ... and I'll be the first to give him credit, he's done a tremendous job protecting the character of my communities. He has worked, and my senator and former Council member, worked very hard rezoning. However, in looking at the guidelines for zoning, there are two ... there are many, many directives. Two of the directives are not to take a building further out of compliance, and to try ... to try to make buildings compliant in the rezoning. The entire block that we're talking about was rezoned R3-1, except for the portion on 154th Street. Paul, you're certainly not going to disagree with me when I say that there are commercial establishments on the block south of this location. You're not going to disagree with me

when I tell you there's a manufacturing district that sits north of this, including the Tropicana plant and the Whitestone Shopping Center. And I know you're not going to disagree with me when I say that there are six storefronts, including the large farmer's market, which have been fallow and vacant and become an eyesore, because they've become overrun with graffiti in that community over the last six or eight months, right? Do you agree with me there?

MR. GRAZIANO: Sure.

council Member Halloran III: Okay, so I have fought with ... and I know you guys don't believe this, but I've fought with Mr. Franco to scale back what he is doing. He has agreed with me, that he will remove the portion adjacent to the homeowner. There will be no second floor there, there will be no patio deck there. There will be the carport and that's it. He is willing to do this in a phased operation, to make it easier on the community. And while a party room is certainly different under zoning ... under building rules, we know what the effect of that occupancy was. They had catering downstairs, and

2.

we know that's what happened, and the numbers are
not going to go up. Now, I gave you my word I
would not allow upzoning to the two properties
adjacent. I understand you feel that not the C2,
the R3-1 is an upzoning, I understand that. And
for that I apologize. I would hope Mr. Garry
would agree.

MR. GARRY: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr.

Garry, that I had six meetings with you guys,
including Friday night late meetings to do, to
have discussions about this. And I resent the
fact that you said that I'm acting as a lobbyist.

MR. GARRY: Well, I'm asking you so passionate ... well, you've just been describing ... defending Mr. Franco on whether or not he owes the city so much money, I mean, we can go back to the videotape, because there was some real passion there that was not presented to the homeowners or any of the members of the community that were against it.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr. Garry, I appreciate that, but I don't like people coming to a hearing making statements on the

б

record that are actually not only not true, but
not even researched. And I would hope you would
appreciate the fact that quoting a newspaper is
one thing, talking to the city agency you're
alleging something that is nefarious took place
with is another thing. And I would hope that a
state senator would not rely on a newspaper
article saying somebody owed money, but rather
talk to the city agency to do that. And I know
there was some confusion, and I appreciate that.
That's all I have to say.
CHAIRDERSON WEDRIN: I'd like to

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: I'd like to wrap this up as soon as possible. I will say, Mr. Garry, that passion from Mr. Halloran is not unusual.

MR. GARRY: If I can just - CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: (Interposing)

Yes, go ahead, I just want to wrap it, but go ahead, Mr. Garry.

MR. GARRY: Absolutely. Again, I do want to say something on the record, which was said at these meetings, which you said, which was, the north half of the block is off the table. It's not even in discussion, it's not part of the

2	negotiations. That to me, and I think everybody
3	else in the room that was there that night,
4	actually the multiple nights that we had, that the
5	north part of the block was not to be rezoned,
6	from R2A to R3-1. So we looked to you, as the
7	Councilperson who was to negotiate this, at the
8	end of the day, to remove the north part of the
9	block, not just the commercial overlay, but the
10	two houses and the bank, from the R3-1 zoning.
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.
12	MR. GARRY: We would appreciate it,
13	Mr. Halloran.
14	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Mr. Comrie,
15	did you have a question or a comment? No. Okay,
16	gentlemen, thank you very much.
17	MR. GARRY: Thank you.
18	MR. GRAZIANO: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, what
20	we're going to do on this item is we're going to
21	close this hearing, we are going to hold off on
22	this vote.
23	MR. GRAZIANO: I'm sorry, I have
24	one thing to submit to you.
25	CHAIRDFRSON WEDRIN: Okay please

names, yes.

just you can hand it to me, I'm going to have the
sergeant-at-arms come and thank you. We're
going to hold off on this vote until Tuesday
before the Land Use meeting, there's a couple of
discussions that have to take place before we
decide on the vote. So this one item is going to
be held off until Tuesday as of now. All right,
now I'm going to move on to my very patient
friends over in the West Clinton rezoning. This
is the Land Use #396 and the related item, 397,
this is in Speaker Quinn's office district,
excuse me. Do we have is someone speaking on
behalf of the applicant? City Planning? Okay.
On behalf of City Planning, we have boy, my eyes
are going, Erika and Edith.
MS. CHEN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Pardon for the
informality, but my eyes can't read your last
names, so I'll Edith Hsu Chen and then Erika,
your last name?
MS. SELLKE: Sellke.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Sellke, okay.
MS. CHEN: That should be last

2.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, I'm so
tricky, it just made my eyes so when you get a
chance, you know, please state your name for the
record.

MS. CHEN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Describe the application, and then we will go to the group of people I know who are testifying. Afterwards we're going to try to do you in panel order, like three or four at a time, and three minutes, so if in your mind you can try to get your remarks down to the three minutes, I would appreciate it.

Ladies, I'm sorry, go ahead.

MS. CHEN: Thank you. Good morning, Council members, my name is Edith Hsu

Chen, I am the Director of the Manhattan Office at the Department of City Planning, and I am truly delighted to be here with you today, as we are nearing the end of a very long road, a road that began several years ago, at the conclusion of the Hudson Yards rezoning. At that time we at City Planning were betrothed to Community Board #4 as co-applicants on a rezoning study of West Clinton, basically the area west of 10th Avenue between 43rd

Street and 55 th Street. I want to thank Community
Board #4 today, I haven't thanked them for a long
time now, but especially today, for their
incredible partnership that has led to this
consensus plan that we are all happy and proud of.
The goals of the rezoning are very simply three of
them, it expands areas for residential
development, it includes an incentive for the
delivery of affordable housing through the
expansion of inclusionary housing program, to
allow for the continued thriving growth of
manufacturing-compatible uses west of 11th Avenue,
and third, the rezoning introduces important bulk
and use controls, to make sure that new
development is respectful of the existing
character. In particular, I want to take a moment
to thank certain people from Community Board #4
who spent many, many nights and days with us,
shaping this proposal, in particular Elisa
Gerontianos, Sarah Desmond, Joe Restuccia, J.D.
Noland, Bob Infato, and former CB4 member Anita
Anna Levin. My colleague, Erika Sellke, will walk
you through the rezoning, and we are here for any
questions as well. Thank you.

MS. SELLKE: Thank you. My name is
Erika Sellke, I work at the Department of City
Planning as a planner for Community District 4.
Looking at the handout we can walk through, first
of all, the existing zoning, it's on the back of
the first page. This map shows the existing
zoning and the land uses within the area. The
rezoning area is outlined in the green dashed
line, as Edith said, it's between West 43 rd Street,
West 55 th Street, 12 th Avenue and then a variable
line between 10 th and 11 th Avenue to the east. The
zoning is predominantly M1-5 and M2-3 today, these
are manufacturing districts, they do not permit
residential uses. You can see the different land
uses within the area, there's a wide variety.
There are commercial office buildings, FedEx has a
facility, UPS has a facility in the area, Verizon,
Con Ed also have facilities in this area. So
there's a real mix of uses. The next page shows
the Special Clinton District, the rezoning is
located within the Special Clinton District, which
gives special zoning regulations on top of the
underlying zoning. So we'll talk a little bit
about this too as we walk through the rezoning

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

area. Looking at the following page, it is a photograph of the area, and you can see there's a real mix of different building types. There's large loft buildings, there's single-story auto dealerships and auto repair, there's very large block-size utility uses and vehicle distribution uses, all within the rezoning area. Turning to the next page is the proposed zoning for the area, going from east to west, the purple and the pink color show the extension of the existing R8 district to 100 feet of 11th Avenue, and the R8 here is a bit different because of a Special Clinton District regulation, it has a maximum floor area ratio of 4.2 and a maximum building height of 66 feet. Along the east side of 11th Avenue, in the light blue, is a proposed R8A zoning district, with a C2-5 commercial overlay. We're also proposing a text amendment that would make inclusionary housing bonus available in this district. Moving south to the block between West 44th and West 43rd Street, we're proposing an R9 district with a C2-5 commercial overlay on the avenue. Again, we're also proposing a text amendment to make the inclusionary housing bonus

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regulations applicable in this zoning district. Looking between 11th and 12th Avenue, and then this one cutout between 46th and 47th Streets, we're proposing an M2-4 district. This district would replace M1-5, M2-3 and M3-2 districts, it has an FAR of 5, and we're also proposing height limits to cap height at 135 feet. And we think this area currently is home to a lot of commercial uses that are very important to the neighborhood and also to the city as a whole. Turning the page, we can start talking about some of the text amendments that are also part of this application. As I said, we would propose to make the inclusionary housing program applicable in the R8A and the R9 zoning districts. Turning the page, this is the special ... the map of the Special Clinton District before and after, so we're moving the preservation area and other area of zoning lines. following page shows us some of the bulk regulations that we're proposing for the R9 district, which would place a height limit on the buildings and then also, as I said, an M2-4 district, which would have a maximum height limit of 135 feet. The next page shows that we would

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

require preservation ... or we would require street walls in the preservation area and other area of the Special Clinton District, so that any new development closely matches the strong street wall character within West Clinton. And we're also proposing a text amendment where sidewalk cafes ... enclosed sidewalk cafes would not be permitted in the Special Clinton District. Unenclosed tables on the sidewalk would still be permitted. City Planning Commission made three modifications during their review. First of all, they said that affordable units generated by the inclusionary housing bonus in this area must be located in the Special Clinton District. The following page also shows a map of two other modifications, the first is a new Con Ed facility was built between 49th and 50th Streets on the east side of 11th Avenue, and we're proposing an R8A zoning district here, and that would make the use here non-conforming. So we're proposing a text amendment to say that this Con Ed facility would be a conforming use in the future as long as the site does not overreach the R8A bulk regulations. And then the other is just a technical matter for property that was rezoned

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in 2008, to keep a portion of that property in the other area. Any questions? Thank you.

4 CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Sorry, I

looked to my panel and I realized I should have worn deodorant. No, no, it's a crazy day today in the Council. there's budget hearings going on at the same time as we're having this, so a lot of people have been filing in and out. I know we're going to be hearing from a lot of people, because Speaker Quinn, I know, has been working with you on this and has been very supportive, and any issues that have come up regarding any changes in the future, might be done in the future, followup, corrective action if it's necessary, but as of now we're good on this, so thank you very much, ladies. I'm going to call up panels of people in favor, and they have some issues. I'd like to start off, I'm going to call up ... how many chairs have we got there? We've got four chairs there, sergeant-at-arms? Okay, I'm going to call up four people at a time, we're going to try to limit people to three minutes. I'd like to have Rachel Stein who's here for Assembly member Linda Rosenthal, who is in Albany, for her, Robert

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Bentatt	.0,	Jean	Danie	el Nolar	nd and	Sarah	Desmon	.d
3	If all	four	of ·	those	people	could	come 1	up. Ho	w

4 are you, Mr. Benfatto?

5 MR. BENFATTO: Good.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Long time no see. Ms. Stein, do you want to start us off? And then, please each of you, as you speak, please state your name for the record.

MS. STEIN: Hi, good morning, my name is Rachel Stein, and I'm here on behalf of Assembly Member Linda B. Rosenthal, I'll be reading this testimony for her. "I am here today to support the West Clinton rezoning plan. rezoning area includes centuries-old tenements, a burgeoning arts industry housed in old industrial buildings and residents from all walks of life. If we do not act now, this vibrant community could soon fall prey to developers intent on constructing buildings incongruous with the area, and would displace lower- and middle-income families. Unfortunately, this phenomenon has already begun and it must be stopped. rezoning was spurred by members of the Clinton Hell's Kitchen Land Use Committee on Community

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Board #4 who were concerned that the region was developing without a comprehensive plan. members took it upon themselves to hold dozens of meetings on the subject, and collaborated with the Department of City Planning to create a proposal that includes affordable housing provisions. However, in accordance with the community's wishes, I want to insure that the final includes extension of the preservation area, including the anti-harassment zone and demolition restrictions, and the promotion of mixed uses and diversified units. West Clinton is one of the few neighborhoods in mid-town Manhattan that has not fallen victim to over-development. Its mix of residential, light industrial and commercial uses is unfortunately unique in the city. The rezoning plan seeks to preserve and protect the mixed uses and heterogeneous population, but also insure a cohesive esthetic. An integral part of West Clinton rezoning is the preservation of its endangered socio-economic diversity. Affordable housing provisions are front and center in this proposal. To maintain a vibrant West Clinton community, the area should remain a neighborhood

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that is both diverse economically and diverse in household composition. Therefore, I'm excited that all new developments in the preservation area have at least 20% of their units containing two bedrooms or more. This neighborhood in particular has seen a decreased household size, indicating the need for new developments that include familysized units, I am pleased that the current exclusion of hotel uses will also maintain the sense of community in West Clinton and prevent the displacement of long-term residents and essential business uses. I wholeheartedly agree with CB4's assessment that the anti-harassment zones and demolition restrictions of the preservation area must be extended to the entire western subterranean (phonetic) C2 zone and the other area. Omitting these would be detrimental to the neighborhood, and would go against the wishes of the community that spearheaded these rezoning efforts. Landlord harassment is a pervasive problem, and current rent-regulated residents fear that their landlords will harass them so that they can demolish buildings to take advantage of the new, more-favorable FAR. Furthermore, the EAS

2.

indicates that the increase in rental sale prices
in the area over the years has created a
disincentive to establish rent-stabilized housing
I have met with countless rent-regulated tenants
who have been harassed by landlords so that their
units could be converted to market-rate and owner
occupied apartments. It is likely that much of
the increase in housing prices comes at the
expense of tenants in rent-regulated apartments
who are harassed by their landlords. These
provisions have been in existence since 1973 and
have been included in the Hudson Yards Special
District and the West Chelsea Special District,
and also in parts of the proposed …" should I
finish? Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Just finish it up.

MS. STEIN: All right, I'm just going to go ahead a little, I'll submit the full comments to the Committee. "The preservation area is integral to maintaining the overall esthetic and architectural stylings of the district. The proposed zoning amendments include important height and street wall requirements. West Clinton

tells the tale of our immigrant ancestors in the
late 19^{th} century tenements and the warehouses and
factories that come out of the Industrial
Revolution in the early 20 th century. Lastly, the
requisite agencies should take into account the
need for different community facilities in this
new district, corresponding with the intended
growth in residential use. Mass transit must be
improved in the area, we must examine $11^{\rm th}$ Avenue
to make it safer for the current and expected
influx of pedestrians, new and existing families
need to be able to send their children to schools
within the community. Because of new luxury
developments, the Department of Education
estimates that there will be 900 additional
elementary school children in the area, which is
more than double the population within point miles
(sic) of PS51. I look forward to the approval of
the West Clinton rezoning plan, with the inclusion
of extended anti-harassment zones and demolition
restrictions, along with integrated affordable
housing and provisions for family-sized units.
Thank you for your consideration."

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, Ms.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Stein, and I'd appreciate it if you could please
3	send my personal regards to Assembly Member
4	Rosenthal.

5 MS. STEIN: Of course, thank you 6 very much.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Tell her I miss her, but I'm not coming back to Albany. Mr. Benfatto.

MR. BENFATTO: Thank you. Robert Benfatto, I'm the District Manager for Community Board #4 Manhattan. When I started as the district manager in 2006, one of the first things I did was actually we had an intern, an urban fellow that we got of the borough president's office, I had him work actually with housing conservation coordinator, Sarah Desmond here, on looking at the 11th Avenue and doing a study. And when he started it, he actually followed an old study that was done on 11th Avenue to rezone it that I think was at least two or three years old from there. Ultimately, out of the Hudson Yards thing, we had a commitment to go ahead with the 11th Avenue rezoning where we were, as Edith said, betrothed to City Planning. I want

3

4

5

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to thank Erika and Edith, it's been a wonderful betrothal, I hate to see it end. But out of all those meetings, though, with City Planning, which all went very well, and a number of public forums where we had many, many people, there were over a hundred numerous times, the issue even became probably the number one zoning question that the Board would get at the office was when we would get calls, anything from residents there, businesses, property owners, even real estate brokers, wanting to know, developers. So we put all this together and we came up with a host of goals that we tried to see occur throughout the rezoning. And predominantly most of those goals have been met, I think, to a large extent, which is why the Board is a supporter of the proposal and a part of it. There were height limits that were put on it that we saw, hotels were moved, actually even enclosed sidewalk cafes, which was an issue, independent of this the 11th Avenue was put in. the 11th Avenue is going to be more of a residential corridor, there is inclusionary housing, although there are certain things that, you know, quality of life and transit

2	infrastructure that were concerns, those are
3	things that have to be worked on as we go forward.
4	And one of the things I know that the community
5	very strongly wanted was the anti-harassment and
6	demolition restrictions. Those unfortunately did
7	not make the proposal as of now, although we're
8	hopeful that they'll make a follow-up corrective
9	action, perhaps, agree to. And that's really what
10	I have to say. That we support it, and thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
12	much. Okay, all right, we're going to move on, I
13	guess, to Mr. Noland.
14	MR. NOLAND: Yes sir, thank you,
15	Mr. Chair and members of the Committee.
16	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Just please
17	state your name, although I said it.
18	MR. NOLAND: My name is Jean-Daniel
19	Noland, I'm the immediate past Chair of Community
20	Board #4 in Manhattan, and I want to thank you for

Noland, I'm the immediate past Chair of Community
Board #4 in Manhattan, and I want to thank you for
the opportunity to speak on this 11th Avenue
rezoning. I'll try to be brief, but I need to say
for about eight years this has been an important
concern in the Clinton community on the West Side
from the block associations to the tenant

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

organizations, right up to the community board committee, which was chaired by Anna Levin at the time, I'm sorry she's not here today with us, because she deserves an immense credit for all of It went to our Council Member, Christine this. Quinn, and it went to City Planning. On January 28th, 2009, when I was Chair of the Community Board, the rezoning proposal was presented back to our community by Edith Hsu Chen and Erika Sellke, and I want to thank them, they have been just tremendously engaged with this process, and very helpful. And since then, the Clinton community and the board has held several large public forums and many smaller meetings, and once again we've come downtown to ask for your help. This is a happy occasion, I think, for everybody here. community in Clinton and City Planning have come up with a plan that the city can support, and we hope you will support, but, and I have to say this, while we are satisfied that the core features of the proposal go a very long way towards addressing the community's central concerns, and will lay the groundwork for good future development consistent with our goals, we

б

still have some work to do specifically protecting
tenants in those areas, through the extension of
the preservation area's provisions against tenant
harassment and demolition of residential
buildings. Now, after all the talk, and after all
the planning, and after all the studies, we come
before you and ask your help for these tenants.
There are about 300 units we're talking about,
fifteen buildings. All you've got to do is extend
those protections that we have in the Special
Clinton District. It's very important, and only
you, Council members, can do that for us, you're
our elected officials, we look to you for that
help. I don't want to pull your heart strings,
because I've been told Council members really
don't have any hearts for strings to pull.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That's true.

MR. NOLAND: That's what I hear, that's what they told me. But I have to say, you'd have to imagine if it was your mother, your sister, your friend, living in one of those buildings, where rezoning is coming down the pike, good rezoning, good development, what would you do for them, do it for these tenants who can't speak

Community Board #4's Housing, Health and Human Services Committee and the Executive Director of Housing Conservation Coordinators to the Tenant Advocacy and Legal Services Organization in Hell's Kitchen. We're delighted to be here today to act as a co-applicant with the Department of City

24

25

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Planning to rezone the 11th Avenue corridor. rare for a community board to take this step and co-apply with City Planning and we're extremely pleased to testify in favor of the proposed rezoning. It's the result of a multi-year engagement, as you've heard. Edith and Erika in particular have been very responsive to the community, they've attended countless meetings with us, and a number of public forums, which they got a share of being yelled at and applauded, and they adjusted the proposal accordingly. We're really thrilled to be here today, namely because it now permits residential development on the east side of 11th Avenue. We now have an inclusionary housing-designated area to incentivize new affordable housing, we have maximum building heights that are within context of the low residential community to the east, we have a prohibition against hotel use in the remaining manufacturing districts to the west of 11th Avenue, and we, in the proposed rezoning, prohibit enclosed sidewalk cafes in the Special Clinton District. However, as with any collaborative process, there are items that we would have liked

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to see put in the rezoning, as you have heard, and you will hear in other speakers after me, which were not, and which we hope the Council will include as a follow-up corrective action. particular, the Special Clinton District's tenant protections of anti-harassment, alteration and no demolition, and as it currently is proposed, 100 feet east of 11th Avenue, we would like to see them extended to include the 315 units in the 15 buildings that go throughout the rezoning area. Without the requested modification, this area, 11th Avenue and west, will be the only area in a recently-rezoned ... in a recent residential rezoning in our community district that does not have these protections. We've found them, in the Special Clinton District, this is why we have a low-rise community, this is why we have less turnover, because we have these kinds of protections that have been critical to us, and speaking as a housing advocate, they've been incredibly valuable as a resource in protecting tenants and keeping people in place. In addition ... and you'll hear it from a number of residents and West Side members who live in the rezoning

2	area, or in and around it. So we therefore ask
3	that those three provisions be extended throughout
4	the rezoning area. We also ask that other
5	provisions in the Special Clinton District, namely
6	that the requirement that the inclusionary units
7	be located within the boundaries of the Special
8	Clinton District, be modified. We also ask that
9	the two-bed the 20% two-bedroom requirement
LO	currently existing be included, and finally that
11	community facilities in the M2-4 zone be
12	permitted in the M2-4 zone. Thank you.
L3	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: All right,
L4	well thank you all very much, we're going to move
L5	on to the next panel of people, J. Watkins Strouss
L6	I guess that's Wadi Strauss, Dahlia DePerroir.
L7	MS. DuPERROIR: You got it.
L8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Close? Andrea
L9	McCullough and Nico Boccio.
20	MR. BOCCIO: That's me.
21	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We have a
22	number of people left, so I hesitate to comment on
23	how cooperative everyone is, and how happy
24	everyone seems to be. I feel like we should all

go out for lunch after this, but I'll wait till

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they all testify before I make any statements. I think Mr. Comrie is buying, isn't he? Okay, and again if you could each please state your name for the record before you speak.

MR. STROUSS: I'm Wadi Strouss, and I reside at 418 West 46th Street. I'm a member of the West Side Neighborhood Alliance since its inception, a board member of HCC and I'm on the board of the Laymen's Club of St. John the Divine, and I'm a former member of CB4. I've lived in Clinton for 44 years, 17 in a five-floor walkup, rent-controlled in the 500 block of West 46th Street and in a sweat-equity HDOC co-op in the 400 block. My bathroom window overlooked liners coming and going from Pier 86, the Salvation Army and the building currently occupied by Ogilvy & Mather completed my view westward. When I came to this neighborhood from Pittsburgh, I participated in the very heated discussions about the Clinton Special District, which generated many wellattended meetings. At that time the Special District went from 8th Avenue to 10th Avenue only. I made the argument that the Special District should include the residential properties west of

11th Avenue. The powers-that-be in the community
didn't want to raise this issue, as negotiations
at the time were very sensitive. At some later
point the Special District did include all the
property to 11 th Avenue, we're suggesting a
prohibition against demolition as well as a
provision to prohibit harassment be included in
the bill. It is to your credit in keeping a
lower-rise profile and preserving some of the
monumental architecture which is to be found in
this part of Clinton, and I thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, sir.

MS. DuPERROIR: Good morning, my name is Dahlia DePerroir, and I live at 790 11th Avenue, that's on the corner of 54th and 55th. My life started in Chelsea and this makes me a native West Sider. I attended high school, college and worked in the community back in 1968, I worked for the Community Corporation of the Lower West Side as a block worker, as we were called back then. Tenant harassment and torching the buildings to collect insurance money was prevalent back then. Still to this day, tenant harassment is still a

very prevalent problem. These laws of anti-
harassment and no demolition protection that the
Clinton Special District has been afforded should
and must be expanded to the 11th Avenue corridor
west to the river, to protect our environment,
also the ecology of our waterways and clean air.
We as a community do not need a wall of high rise
buildings along our riverfront due to the West
Side Highway environment, with the heavy flow of
traffic, which would block the circulation of air.
Traffic lights must also be re-timed to allow
seniors, children and parents to afford them a
safe right of passage crossing the West Side
Highway to reach the green recreational areas
along the waterfront. We want more family-
oriented affordability in housing and less
transient dwellings. This community always was,
and still is, of mixed income. This area, people
lived and worked in the community, and we had the
shipping industry, printing, along with other
industries that provided a lot of employment in
our community. These businesses have since moved
out, due to the high volume of traffic,
congestion, and lack of parking, that interfered

with the ability to conduct their day-to-day business. From 55th Street and 10th Avenue midblock to 51st Street to the river, which is 12th Avenue, there are approximately about 7,300 residents, not including the anti-harassment and no-demolition provisions in the rezoning could be devastating to thousands of residents in the area, and the proposed rezoning. Hundreds of families could be at risk of losing their housing. More green areas, many dog runs, a very large affordable supermarket that caters to the ethnic diversity of our community. Last but not least, a collective follow-up action should be taken on anti-harassment and no demolition in the rest of the rezoning district. Thank you.

MS. McCULLOUGH: Good morning, Mr. Weprin, my name is Andrea McCullough, and I want to thank you and the other two gentlemen for reassuring us that it's not something we did or said for their vacating their seats today. In addition everyone else, I've lived in Hell's Kitchen for 31 years. I'm one of the many faces in this community that's being harassed by my landlord, because a building empty of tenants

2	becomes a demolished and rezoned property.
3	Ironically, this is a very human community that
4	has nurtured the very factors that make West
5	Clinton so attractive to developers, such as lower
6	height restrictions, which this very Committee
7	helped us to maintain. Regarding land use in
8	Manhattan, there are only two places left with
9	lower heights, that's Hell's Kitchen and Central
10	Park, and I think the developers will be after
11	Central Park if we fall. Imagine no security in
12	your home and your neighborhood, I see hospitals,
13	schools, churches, fire houses and libraries
14	closed and torn down, only to be replaced by many
15	bars and nail salons. What do we all desire in
16	our neighborhoods? To be able to buy a quart of
17	milk, to send our children to school, to use the
18	computer at the library. How many bars and nail
19	salons do you need, and how many times do you have
20	to have your nails done or have to have an
21	alcoholic beverage in order to live? Unchecked
22	development and demolition is not conducive to
23	profitable community zoning, because they tear
24	down and restructure and then abandon the
25	neighborhoods that they make money off of. As

б

public servants, gentlemen, I ask you to plan
wisely and in the public interest. Please
encourage your City Council to add the no-
demolition, anti-harassment requirements as a
follow-up corrective action. Thank you, and I
have almost a minute to spare. Take it.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you, we will all use that wisely.

MR. BOCCIO: Hello. Good day.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Good day.

MR. BOCCIO: My name is Nico Boccio and I live at 400 West 43rd Street, Manhattan Plaza. As a member of Westville, I'm pleased to add my voice to this Committee. No doubt what I shall say would have been repeated again, it bears repetition. New York is not the city of the wealthy, as some would have us believe. Rather, it is the city of everyday people. We don't just visit here, we live here. I'm glad that our needs are being listened to. Our neighborhood is covered by anti-harassment and no-demolition protections 96%, it should include the other 4%, and even extend itself to 12th Avenue. We need more permanent, affordable housing, more than 20%

on both sides of 11 th Avenue. We need more family-
friendly large apartments, 40% new residential
units, with two bedrooms and 10% studio units. We
propose that the height restrictions extend to the
west side of 11 th Avenue. We should like to see
family-friendly uses, such as grocery stores,
banks, drugstores, bakeries, hardware stores, just
to name a few, in the proposed zoning area. The
Department of Planning must take into account one,
the needs of the schools and the community, two,
more open greenspace for public use, three, safe
and convenient transportation, four, a plan to
reduce pollution, and finally, a survey should be
done of all historical buildings that are
important parts of the city, they should be
preserved. If it were not for Mitchell-Lama
section 8, I would not be standing, or rather
sitting, here before you. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you,
Nico. Could you just tell me, what is the sticker
you guys are all wearing say?
MR. BOCCIO: In my hat?
CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: No, the
sticker. It says West

1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES 83
2	MS. DuPERROIR: (Interposing) West
3	Side Neighborhood Alliance.
4	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: One at a time,
5	oh, it just says West Side Neighborhood Alliance,
6	all right.
7	MR. BOCCIO: We stick together.
8	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very
9	much.
10	MR. BOCCIO: Anti-demolition
11	housing conservation coordination.
12	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay, good,
13	don't all speak at once, I'll need a drink and get
14	my nails done.
15	MR. BOCCIO: Anti-demolition, no
16	harassment.
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Okay.
18	MR. BOCCIO: I'll say it again.
19	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
20	MR. BOCCIO: Anti-demolition, no
21	harassment, thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you all
23	very much.
24	MR. BOCCIO: You're welcome.
25	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: We're going to

б

move on to the next panel, Robert Gereke, Murray
Kiok, Robert Kalin, Diane Cowles, I only have one
more here, I'm going to have them come in like the
stand-by seat up front here, how's that, and
they'll go also at the same time, Joe Restuccia.

Is there anyone else who wanted to testify who
didn't ... who hasn't filled out the ... Joe, if you
could just sit in the front and then we'll have
you go after the panel goes, so you don't have to
be completely by yourself. Okay, whoever wants to
go first, again, state your name, start when you
want.

MR. GEREKE: Hi, I'm Bob Gereke, I happen to be one of the few people it seems who lives on the west side of 11th Avenue in Hell's Kitchen, I own a business on 10th Avenue, and that doesn't seem to be threatened, so I'm happy with that. But, by living on the west side of 11th Avenue, it seems like I'm going to have no protections. I'm here to represent a few people. I live at 723 11th Avenue, between 51st and 52nd Street, on the west side, as I said. I moved to Hell's Kitchen in 1972, and into my building in 1976, so I've been there a long time. It was then

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

owned by a businessman who also owned a vinyl record factory, actually pressing records in what is now the Prada Building, so things have changed quite a bit. I have two elderly neighbors in my building who can't get out any more, and so I'm here representing them as well, Julia McDonald, she raised a large family in the building with a stevedore husband, who worked on the docks right down the street on West $51^{\rm st}$ Street, also Ann Suburlja (phonetic) who has lived there since young adulthood and whom I recently brought home from Roosevelt Hospital where she was treated for heart and diabetes issues. We have real people that live in this area. At 725 11th Avenue, just one building up, lives Joann, who always decorates her fire escape for Christmas, it's something, and whose husband was a New York City fire fighter. And there's other families at 725 11th Avenue, also west of 11th Avenue, whose kids have grown into working adults and continue to live here as neighbors. We've all lived through the 70's, we didn't have protections, we had break-ins, beatings in our apartments, heatless winters, unclean hallways and more, and we're still here.

б

So we are now faced with an investor landlord who
would really like to dispossess all of us. Many
other apartments in the buildings have groups of
rotating young tenants that we don't know and who
don't speak to us in the hallways, so we don't
know what's going on now. We intend to live out
our lives in our current homes with respect and
dignity, we ask that you include a no-dispossess,
no-demolition protection, with any decisions to
rezone the land under and around our homes. There
needs also to be a follow-up corrective action to
add to the anti-harassment, no-demolition
provision in the zoning resolution. We hope the
City Council will make this recommendation.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Sir?

DR. KIOK: Hi, my name is Dr.

Murray Kiok, I'll be very brief, because so much of what is said I would just be repeating. My doctorate is in urban planning. I live at 555

West 52nd Street in Hell's Kitchen, which is a real neighborhood, filled with multi-ethnic families.

Developers often try to cover up their activities with expressions, gentrification. The definition

б

is all minorities out, replaced by middle-class
whites who can pay higher rent. The purpose is
purely personal profit. I have personally been
involved in fighting their attempts at housing and
harassing tenants. I have seen red tape placed on
windows of empty apartments to scare tenants into
feeling that they must move. This is why we need
to add the correcting of anti-harassment
provisions in the 11^{th} Avenue development plan. I
just think that the profit motive is very strong,
and without these two corrective without these
two corrections, the population in the area will
dramatically change to resemble a white suburb.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

17 | Sir?

MR. KALIN: Good morning, members of the City Council, my name is Bob Kalin, I'm a member of the West Side Neighborhood Alliance, I've lived in Hell's Kitchen since 1978, and I've been a tenant organizer at Housing Conservation Coordinators for 32 years. We love this zoning resolution, except we have to say there's one huge hole right in the middle of it, and you might know

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what that is. I'm going to talk about, just very briefly, about one building in the proposed rezoning area, 735 11th Avenue, AKA 600 West 52nd Street. It's currently owned by the second generation of slumlords, two brothers named Marvin and Milton Davis. They inherited the building from their father, Jack Davis, and that I'm aware of, they're the only two generations of slumlords who both have been named to the Village Voice's "Ten Worst Landlords". Marvin and Milton Davis spent most of the 1980's and 1990's getting out eight of the permanent tenants in this ten-unit Things got so bad in this building that building. the courts appointed a 7A administrator, and they're very reluctant to do this, and they only do this when there's threats to the life, health and safety of the tenants. Right now, as much as we love this resolution, the way it's written, if Marvin and Milton applied to get a permit from the Department of Buildings to substantially alter this building, they could do it. If they got this building update, they could conceivably demolish it. And the other 96% of our neighborhood, they would have to apply for a certificate of no-

	narassment, and if there was a finding of
	harassment against them, 28% of the residential
	floor area of their building would have to be set
	aside for permanent low-income use. Real
	enforcement teeth are what are missing from this
	resolution. As the Chair of this Committee has
	said, we need a follow-up correction action here,
	and we really need your help to push forward that
	we need a follow-up correction action, because we
	would have 100% of what this community needs if we
	could get these anti-harassment and no-demolition
	provisions put into this resolution. Thanks.
п	\mathbf{I}

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.

Ms. Cowles?

MS. COWLES: My name is Diane

Cowles, I'm a member of the West Side Neighborhood

Alliance, and I've lived in the area, especially

at 360 West 55th Street, for approximately 40

years. I like to think of it as my neighborhood,

but when we speak of Clinton, we're talking about

45,000 people, which is pretty big. It's a mixed
income neighborhood, and we need at least 40% of

the new units to be two bedrooms or larger, only

10% of the new units to be studios. We need other

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

things, like retail stores, a large supermarket, a drugstore, non-fast food establishments, a bakery, and better bus service. There's an opportunity here to wisely shape and influence the future for Clinton. It's a family place, and for the families, the children become adults and they're also their place. In the economic environment we often forget to speak about our senior citizens and the disabled. There's a fear that kind of grows about not having a place to live and not having enough food to eat. One other thing, which I feel redundant about at this point, but it's very important, regulating traffic lights. is so much heavy commercial service on 9th to 12th Avenues, day and night, and those of us who must use walkers or crutches or wheelchairs, we're senior citizens, and we need more time to cross the street. I need more time to cross the street. Even shoppers need more time and parents with children, bicyclists, horse-drawn carriages, etc. Most important that I would ask of you today is the anti-harassment and no-demolition protections be included for this additional property. Without them, I think it would be very easy to just fall

into chaos. These protections to the expanding
Clinton rezoning areas, and include all of $11^{ m th}$ and
$12^{ m th}$ Avenues' land, they really are critical to the
future. We already do, and have been giving our
support to long-term residents in all of Clinton.
The West Side Alliance needs your help more than
ever, please allow us to get the rezoning done
with the addition of the harassment and
demolitions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you very much. Joe, do you want to, if someone would just give up a seat, or, I think you got it, that's fine.

MR. RESTUCCIA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: That works.

MR. RESTUCCIA: My name is Joe
Restuccia, I'm the co-Chair of the Clinton Hell's
Kitchen Land Use Committee, Community Board #4,
and we are part of the co-applicants in this
application. For the bigger committees that are
here, I just want you to know that this is our
tenth year of major rezonings on the West Side.
We have handled over 55 rezonings and special
permits, and we are very proud to be part of this

one, but again, we are specifically requesting, with all the help of City Planning that we have had, we made a decision early on that certain things couldn't be agreed to, so we would try to pursue them later, that's where we are today. We seek a follow-up corrective action to insert the demolition restrictions, the anti-harassment provisions, and the 20% two-bedroom requirement. We believe it is key to preserving the feel of our community, what our community is about, and I think the members of the West Side Neighborhood Alliance have spoke very eloquently. I just want to thank you on behalf of the Board and our entire community for your time today.

thank you very much. I want to thank all of you for your patience today, I know you've been sitting around a long time, and you have been nothing but very pleasant, so when my term is up and I move out of Queens, I'll come live with you guys. Just kidding, wherever my wife, just in case she's listening. I'm not leaving yet. Hi honey. Anyway, thank you very much, we're going to now ... anyone else want to testify on this that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we missed? I'm getting a little punchy, I apologize. All right then, we're going to move to close this hearing, and we're going to now seek to try to get everyone back here for a vote. We will be combining, coupling the following items, and voting on them today. I do want to just acknowledge to the group of people here from the West Side that I know Council Member Quinn is very aware of all these issues, and you know, statements to the press and she's very ... she's got a big heart and she knows the issue and there's some discussions going on about hopefully doing a follow-up action. So now we are going to combine the Land Use #395, which was the 125th Street District Café text, the West Clinton rezoning that we just heard, #396 and #397, Land Use #398, the HANAC parking garage, and #399, the related application to the HANAC parking garage. We are not going to be voting today on Land Use #400, that was Council Member Halloran's Whitestone 154th Street, that will be put off till Tuesday, there will be a meeting on Tuesday, before the Land Use meeting, and there was another item on a café that has been taken off the agenda. We are now going

1	COMMITTEE ON ZONING & FRANCHISES 95
2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: Council
3	Member Lappin.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Aye.
5	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: Council
6	Member Vacca.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER VACCA: Yes, aye.
8	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: Council
9	Member Ignizio.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER IGNIZIO: Yes.
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: By a
12	vote of eight in the affirmative, none in the
13	negative, no abstentions, LU 396, 397, 398 and 399
14	are approved and referred to the full Land Use
15	Committee, LU 395 is approved with modifications,
16	and referred to the City Planning Commission.
17	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: Thank you.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL HYLTON: And we
19	and LU 405 is laid over.
20	CHAIRPERSON WEPRIN: 400, Tuesday.
21	Just a reminder, on Tuesday before the Land Use
22	meeting, there will be another meeting of the
23	Subcommittee, so will the members of the
24	Subcommittee try to be here early, with that in
25	mind? Okay, again, Land Use #400 is laid over

2	until Tuesday, everything else has been closed and
3	voted on, I will now adjourn the meeting, recess
4	the meeting, because Tuesday we will be meeting on
5	#400, I apologize, recess the meeting. Mr.
6	Hylton's blood pressure goes back down and we are
7	now adjourned until Tuesday. Recessed until
8	Tuesday, goodbye.

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Cilial Don't	
Signature		
Date	June 20, 2011	