CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING & MARITIME USES

-----X

May 3, 2011 Start: 11:24 am Recess: 12:31 pm

HELD AT: Committee Room - 16th Floor

250 Broadway

B E F O R E:

BRAD LANDER Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. Daniel J. Halloran III

Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma James Sanders, Jr. Jumaane D. Williams

APPEARANCES

Gregory Shaw Principal Attorney For Real Estate New York City School Construction Authority

Jenny Fernandez Director of Intergovernmental & Community Relations Landmarks Preservation Commission

John Krawchuk Director of Historical Preservation New York City Parks

Michael Patrick Smith Resident Addisleigh Park

Simeon Bankoff Executive Director Historic Districts Council

Steve Roberts Resident Addisleigh Park

Yvonne Taylor Descendant Rev. Isaac & Rebecca Gray Coleman

Linda Eskenas Representative Preservation League of Staten Island

2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Good morning,
3	this meeting of the City Council's Land Use
4	Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting &
5	Maritime Uses is called to order. I'm Council
6	Member Brad Lander, I'm pleased to be joined this
7	morning by my colleagues on the Committee, Annabel
8	Palma from the Bronx, Maria del Carmen Arroyo from
9	the Bronx, Council Member Jumaane Williams from
10	Brooklyn, Council Member Dan Halloran from Queens,
11	and we'll also be honored to be joined this
12	morning by the Chair of the Land Use Committee,
13	Council Member Leroy Comrie from Queens. We've
14	got a bunch of items on the calendar today, so
15	that will be exciting. We're going to go slightly
16	out of order, because we have many landmarks and
17	one school, so we'll do the school first, so that
18	the DOE and the SCA can get back to finding more
19	school seats for our kids. And so all right, so
20	that means we will start with Land Use #384,
21	application 20115274, the proposed PS 313 in
22	Queens, in Council Member Van Bramer's district,
23	and I'd like to invite the School Construction
24	Authority up to present the project to us.
25	MR. SHAW: Oh, I had it on, thank

you. Good morning, Chairman Lander and Council
Members, my name is Gregory Shaw, I am Principal
Attorney for Real Estate for the New York City
School Construction Authority, and I want to thank
you for having us here today to talk about this
important project. The New York City School
Construction Authority has undertaken the site
selection process for the proposed 432-seat
primary school facility that will be located on
tax block 169 in lot six on lot six, excuse me,
located at 45-46 42 nd Street, between Queens Blvd.
and 47^{th} Avenue, in the Sunnyside section of
Queens. The proposed school site is also located
in Community School Board #24, and Queens
Community Board #2. The project site, a vacant
parcel, contains a total of approximately 15,000
square feet of lot area. Under the proposed plan,
the SCA would acquire the privately-owned lot and
construct the new 432-seat primary school
facility. A phase-one environmental site
assessment and a phase-two environmental site
investigation were conducted at the site. Soil
sampling tests determined that the metals that
metals, petroleum compounds and other hazardous

compounds were found at the site, and in
accordance with New York City School Construction
protocols for construction of public schools, a
soil vapor barrier and active sub-slab
depressurization system will be installed as part
of the foundation for the proposed new school.
Moreover, during construction the general
contractor will be required to remove all
contaminated soil and replace it with 24 inches of
environmental clean fill. The notice of filing
for the site plan was published in the New York
Post and the City Record on October $10^{\rm th}$, 2010.
Queens Community Board #2 was also notified of the
site plan on that date, and was asked to hold a
public hearing. Community Board #2 did hold a
public hearing on the site plan on November $4^{\rm th}$,
2010, and submitted written comments in favor of
the proposed site plan. The City Planning
Commission was also notified of the site plan on
October 10 th , and it also recommended in favor of
the site. The SCA has considered all comments
received on the proposed site plan and affirms it
pursuant to section 1731 of the Public Authorities
law. In accordance with section 1732 of the

2.

Public Authorities law, the SCA submitted the
proposed site plan to the Mayor and City Council
on April 28 th , 2011. We look forward to your
Subcommittee's favorable consideration of the
proposed site plan, we are prepared to answer any
guestions that you might have

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much, I'll note that the Community Board #2 vote was unanimous, 37 in favor, zero opposed, and zero abstentions, so we like to get things when the Community Board is uniformly enthusiastic about the site and about the proposal, so thank you for that, and to them as well. Do any of my colleagues have any questions about this proposed siting? Council Member Halloran?

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Thank you very much. If I could just ask you, just briefly a little bit about the environmental contamination and what's being done currently, whether or not it found itself on the DEC's spill list or contamination list, and if there was any state or Federal action with regards to the site?

MR. SHAW: No, there wasn't any

spill. What was found there was some volatile

2.

organic compounds in the soil that will be
removed. In addition, some of the petroleum
issues were from off-site sources, but the level
of contamination was not unusual, it wasn't a
great deal, and it will be removed as part of the
soil when excavation in the work begins. As I
might add, this is a privately-owned site, so
there's nothing for us to do until such time as we
acquire it. There was an existing structure
there, Sunnyside Jewish Center, which was
demolished by the private owner, and so we there
will be some asbestos that we will be checking to
make sure that that's cleared out as well, once we
acquire the site.

and just to try to stay on this issue just for a little bit, I spent some of my time as an attorney as an environmental litigator, so I'm kind of familiar with the remediation process. Can you explain to me, a. what the nature of the volatile compounds were that you found, a., b., is it a plume from a water table discharge or a downflow in the ground that is the offsite petroleum discharge source, and can you explain to me what

2	that is, I believe, across the street from this.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Do
4	you have a weeping tank, or did you have a tank
5	that was removed and discharged? Do you know?
6	MR. SHAW: Well, that I don't know.
7	There was an indication that there was an existing
8	petroleum tank that may still be on the site, and
9	which of course will be removed in accordance with
LO	DEC requirements.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Is
L2	there currently an open spill action at the DEC
13	site?
L4	MR. SHAW: Not that we're aware of,
15	no.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay,
L7	and so this is actually, if it's not reaching
18	water table seepage, how and you're saying the
19	site is across the street, that had to be a pretty
20	significant for you to have simple ground
21	penetration without water carrying it to your
22	site. I mean, you're somebody has to be aware
23	of that.
24	MR. SHAW: All I can tell you is
25	that's the information that I was able to glean.

2	I can give you additional information from our
3	environmental consultants if you would like.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Well,
5	I would just suggest to you, as somebody who has
6	litigated cases regarding petroleum discharges, if
7	it was not carried by water table, and there's 50
8	feet between you and the location of the spill,
9	which you're indicating it's across the street, it
LO	has to be at least 50 feet.
11	MR. SHAW: Well, what I'm talking
12	about is, we're talking about some compounds which
L3	might have emanated from the petroleum that we
L4	believe is existing there, and we're talking about
L5	vapors.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay.
L7	MR. SHAW: Okay, so that
L8	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III:
L9	(Interposing) And what are the materials that
20	you've discovered?
21	MR. SHAW: Well, we have
22	triglycerin and you know, TGC.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay,
24	all right. And the current petroleum discharge,
25	does it contain MTBE? Do you know?

б

MR. SHAW: No, I, that I do not,

3 no.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Okay.

Because if it doesn't contain MTBE, it's an older spill. All right. Mr. Chair, I'd just say that we need to be very careful with potentially continuous ongoing petroleum discharge, and if it's not being carried by the water table, that's significant.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, Mr. Halloran. Yeah, at some point, you know, I think we've had these conversations sort of in little bits, and it may do us well as a Committee to make a few minutes at some point at one hearing where we can understand in a little more depth kind of what you guys do on each new site. Obviously, as you know, environmental issues in our schools are of some interest to the members of the public and the Council these days, and I think, you know, in each of them it's helpful for us to hear what you're doing specifically, and perhaps we can make a time to understand the broader framework on how you approach environmental issues.

MR. SHAW: Sure.

One, for example, you did both the phase one and the phase two, and you have a plan for soil remediation, but no EIS is necessary, there's some discussion about where the playground will be and the noise, and so anyway, thank you for answering the questions.

MR. SHAW: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And perhaps at some point we can come back to a more comprehensive look at sort of how you relate to environmental issues. Any other questions from my colleagues on this item? We don't have anyone signed up to testify who are ... well, first of all, let me thank you.

MR. SHAW: Thank you.

much to the School Construction Authority for your time and presentation and the answers to the questions, and your work to keep finding more school seats for the kids who need them in our city. We don't have anyone signed up to testify on this item. Oh yeah, I'm sorry, I thought I did say that. Council Member Van Bramer, whose

2.

district it is in, is supportive of this, as i
mentioned is the Community Board. So thank you
very much, we'll close the public hearing on that
item and move on to the landmarks. Thank you very
much. We will go first, because I think we have
the most people signed up to testify on it, and I
also have the local member here in Chair Comrie,
to the Addisleigh Park Historic District, that is
Land Use #379, application 20115467, the
Addisleigh Park Historic District in Queens. I'm
pleased to welcome Jenny Fernandez from the
Landmarks Preservation Commission.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair
Lander and members of the Landmarks Subcommittee,
good morning, my name is Jenny Fernandez, Director
of Intergovernmental & Community Relations for the
Landmarks Preservation Commission. I am here
today to testify on the Commission's designation
of the Addisleigh Park Historic District in
Queens. On March 23rd, 2010, the Landmarks
Preservation Commission held a public hearing on
the proposed designation of the Addisleigh Park
Historic District. Twenty people spoke, 19 in
favor of designation, including New York City

Council Member Leroy Comrie, representatives for
State Senator William Perkins, as well as current
and former presidents of the Addisleigh Park Civic
Association, representatives from Central Queens
Historical Association, Historic Districts
Council, New York Landmarks Conservancy and
Society for the Architecture of the City, Louis
Armstrong House and numerous residents of the
district. Several were in favor of landmarking
the district, with the exclusion of the church.
One person spoke in opposition to the proposed
designation. In addition, the Commission also
received many letters and emails regarding this
designation, including Queens borough President
Helen Marshall, and the American Institute of
Architects, Queens Chapter. The majority have
been in favor of designation. On February 1^{st} ,
2011, the Commission voted to designate the
neighborhood a New York City Historic District,
and as an added note, that church was excluded
from the boundaries of this historic district.
The Addisleigh Park Historic District consists of
approximately 422 primary buildings, including
attached and free-standing houses, constructed

largely between 1910's and 1930's. It also
includes the eleven-acre St. Alban's Park,
centered along Murdock Avenue and the adjoining
streets, the houses are sited back from the
street. Many are large landscaped lots and have a
consistent scale that gives the neighborhood a
suburban feel. Built in brick, stucco, wood and
stone, the homes reflect the predominant
architectural styles of the early part of the $20^{\rm th}$
century, English Tudor revival, Colonial revival
and the arts and crafts styles. In the 1930's and
40's racially restrictive covenants were
introduced that prohibited the sale of property to
African-Americans. During the 1940's the New York
State Supreme Court upheld covenants in two
lawsuits where homeowners were sued by their
neighbors for selling their homes to African-
Americans. While he ruled in favor of the
plaintiffs, the judge in the case of Kemp vs.
Reuben, 1947, acknowledged that Addisleigh Park
already was home to 48 African-American families,
including both Lena Horne and Count Basie, who had
purchased their homes in 1946. This was also
notable for the number of organizations that filed

amicus briefs supporting the right to sell to
African-Americans, making Kemp vs. Reuben a
significant case in the struggle for African-
Americans to own their own land. Following the
United States Supreme Court decision in Shelley
vs. Kramer in 1948, which ruled that state
judicial enforcement of racially-restrictive
covenants was a violation of the equal protection
clause under the fourteenth amendment, the
demographic makeup of Addisleigh Park changed as
more African-Americans moved to the area. By
1952, an article on the neighborhood in the
magazine Our World noted that it was home to the
richest and most gifted African-American, among
them Count Basie, Lena Horne, Milt Hinton, Jackie
Robinson, Roy Campanella and Ella Fitzgerald, as
well as many middle-class residents of the area.
Among the earliest of the prominent African-
American residents was Thomas W. "Fats" Waller,
who lived on Sayres Avenue at the time of his
death in 1943. Today Addisleigh Park remains a
distinctive enclave with a remarkable sense of
place due to its period-revival style houses that
are set back from the street on spacious, well-

landscaped lawns, and its remarkable history that illuminates African-Americans' struggle for achievement of the basic civil right of home ownership. The Commission urges you to affirm this designation.

much, Ms. Fernandez, I want to thank you not only for looking up the architectural significance here, but in the designation report placing it in the context of suburban development, as you just mentioned, in the context of the civil rights and legal struggle, and the social history that the neighborhood has as well. I think it really helps us appreciate it. With that I would like to recognize Council Member Comrie for his comments and questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Oh, I don't really have any questions, other than I want to thank the Landmarks Preservation Commission for putting the designation forth in February at the beginning of Black History Month. I want to thank them for doing the deep history of the Addisleigh Park, showing how it connected to the history of African-Americans and their struggle to have an

equal opportunity to purchase property. Just to
go back a bit, when the opportunity for
designation first came up, I was able to give some
money out of my discretionary fund to the Historic
Districts Council, because it was important that
we gave them an opportunity to go in and do a
research, to do the survey, to work with the
community. They did extensive work with the
residents of the community, with the Addisleigh
Park Civic Association, which is one of my
strongest civic associations that meet on a
regular basis to discuss issues and concerns
within the community. Addisleigh Park is most
definitely worthy of historic landmarking, I was
concerned originally that they may not want to
deal with the issues of landmarking, so I wanted
to make sure that they understood all of the
aspects and all of the issues around it. The
Historic Districts Council worked with them for
the past three years to make sure that they
understood what would happen, that they understood
all of the ramifications. I was pleased that the
community has overwhelmingly accepted the idea of
landmarking and has now enthusiastically embraced

it. So we did all of the legwork early, we took
care of all of the problems. I want to thank past
president Greg Mays, who started the project, and
the present president, oh oh, president Renee
Hill, who I went to we were in high school at
the same time. I was older than her, I tell that
story now. So we go back to Jamaica High School,
we're both Jamaica High School alums. And Renee
Hill, who is now president, for doing all of the
necessary legwork to make sure that the homeowners
were informed and have embraced this designation,
Addisleigh Park truly is one of the jewels of our
city, and I'm glad that it is getting the
opportunity to be designated a historic district,
and I would ask the Committee to vote unanimously
in favor of it. Thank you.

much, Chair Comrie, congratulations to you and to the community in working toward this and working through those issues. One thing we try to do here in the Committee also is appreciate, you know, where owners step up as stewards and, you know, help preserve their neighborhood, both for themselves, but also for posterity and for the

2	city, it's something we take note of. So, thanks
3	to you and the partners that have worked in this.
4	Do members of the Committee have any questions?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: A
6	statement?
7	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes. Council
8	Member Sanders.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
10	Outside of Harlem, I would argue that there's no
11	place in New York City that has more of a
12	prestigious African-American population, indeed
13	New York population, I'd argue. The list of
14	people who have lived there, including the
15	esteemed Leroy Comrie, I believe.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: Oh no.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay,
18	that's all right.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: I
20	couldn't afford it then, and definitely won't be
21	able to afford it now.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: I was
23	merely predicting his future, saying that I could
24	see him in the future there. Outside of that,
25	this is a magnificent area, and I can understand

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why the ... all of those celebrities, including

James Brown, if my memory serves me correct, the

Godfather himself found it necessary to live right

there. I merely wish that I could move it a few

blocks down, but since that's not in the

possibility, I would encourage everyone up here

to, we need you to do the right thing here and

vote for this designation. Congratulations to all

concerned with this great and historic day.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Tempted as I am to stand up for Stuyvesant Heights and Bedford Stuyvesant and its history of prestigious African-Americans, I will pass over that temptation and just ask if other Council members have either comments or questions they would like to make or ask at this time. We do have a few people, thank you very much, Ms. Fernandez, for the testimony, we do have a few people signed up to testify, four of them, so we'll call them up, and we'll alternate, we have three in favor and one in opposition. So we'll first call one in favor and then one opposed, and then we'll go back to the other two. At this time let me first ask John Krawchuk to come and present testimony.

2	MR. KRAWCHUK: I thought that was
3	on. Good morning, Chairman Lander and members of
4	the Council Landmarks Committee. My name is John
5	Krawchuk, I am the Director of Historic
6	Preservation for the New York City Parks and I am
7	pleased to be here today on behalf of Commissioner
8	Adrian Benepe and the New York City Parks
9	Department to testify on behalf of the Addisleigh
10	Park proposed historic district. We have one park
11	within the proposed district, St. Alban's Memorial
12	Park, it's one of our great parks, and I'd just
13	like to say a few words on behalf of Parks in
14	support of the designation today. The bucolic
15	setting of this charming 1930's neighborhood is
16	distinctive for its tree-lined streets and grand
17	homes, and of course its famous residents, many of
18	them related to the gold age of jazz in Queens.
19	We at the Parks Department are actually quite
20	proud to have a companion landmarks connection to
21	the proposed historic district, which is the
22	Chapel of the Sisters, some of you may know it,
23	it's located near York College in Jamaica Queens.
24	I know Council Member Comrie is very familiar with
25	it, he came to our dedication, and we actually

honored Illinois Jacquet by renaming this chapel
for him in September of 2008. It's in a landmark
site, it's the Prospect Cemetery location, which
is in Jamaica Queens, and the chapel is actually
owned by the Parks Department and is, as I
mentioned earlier, adjacent to York College. And
because of that great connection and the Landmarks
Commission actually designated it in 1977,
rededicated that chapel in September of 2008 in
Illinois Jacquet's honor, who actually lived in
Addisleigh Park. So it's quite an appropriate
connection that we're quite proud of, and we now
offer jazz at the chapel, so we hope you'll come
out and see that there. And we're pleased to
share that actual, hopefully that official
landmarks designation with the Addisleigh Park
proposed historic district. And moving on, it's
fitting to include St. Alban's Memorial Park in
this designation within the boundaries of the
historic district. It's the beloved green gateway
to Addisleigh Park enclave and the neighborhood
residents have enjoyed recreating in you know,
active and passive recreation in this park since
we acquired it in 1914. And we support the

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inclusion of the park in the proposed historic

district, and we encourage the Council to vote in

favor of the designation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very much, it's good to hear about the concerts. One thing that we like a lot is when something is done, not just to designate the area and make sure that the structures are preserved, but that that history also kind of continues and people can attend to it. I was going to propose that we have a sound track for today's hearing, but at least knowing we can come to the chapel and hear some of the music that has been made by the folks in the neighborhood is great. So are there any questions for ... great, thank you very much for your testimony. We will next ... we'll next call up Michael Patrick Smith, who is going to testify in opposition to the designation and then we'll go back to the other two in favor. Mr. Smith, thank you for being here, if you can, the light should be off, rather than on, which is confusing. And if you'll begin by stating your name for the record, and then presenting your testimony, thank you.

2	MR. SMITH: My name is Michael
3	Patrick Smith, and I'm here to testify against
4	this historic designation. First of all, my
5	parents moved to the area in 1961, and they moved
6	there for no other reason than to mind their own
7	business and to be left alone. We didn't care one
8	way or another about whatever, who lived there
9	before or the historic district or whatever, and
10	in fact my parents, if they knew that something
11	like this would happen, they would not have moved
12	there in the first place. I would like to point
13	out too that, I mean, we have property rights, and
14	we don't want people we don't want any
15	regulation of the property. We moved there with
16	the understanding that we were going to live the
17	American dream, which means that we have our
18	property and it's ours, period. Who lived there
19	before, that didn't mean anything one way or the
20	other to us, we just moved there just to mind our
21	own business and be left alone. And I would like
22	to point out too that we are on if you check the
23	map, and you know I mentioned this before at the
24	last meeting, we are on the edge of the district.
25	You can still have your district and leave us out

2.

of it. And that's, you know, so frankly, you
know, there's really not much more I could add to
that, except that we moved there only for only
to mind our own business and live the American
dream, and be left alone, and that's it. I don't
really have anything else to say other than that.

thank you for making the time to ... there may be questions, so hang on one second. There may not be, I don't mean to make you sit back down. But first I want to thank you for making the time to come out and testify today, and I appreciate that some of the very history that we're talking about and celebrating has given African-Americans reason to be leery of government regulation, so I appreciate you making the time to come out and testify. If there ... Council Member Sanders has a question.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: How close are you to the edge, sir?

MR. SMITH: Well, there's only ... sorry. There's only one house between mine and the border of the district. The gentleman who lives next door to me, he and his wife, I don't

2	know what their position is on the historic
3	district designation, because I have not talked to
4	them, you know, but they're on 176 th Street, 112-
5	31, I believe, and I'm 112-39. So as far as I
6	know, you know, like I said, I haven't heard them,
7	I haven't heard anything from them, one way or the
8	other about the district, you know, so their house
9	is not really one of the well, their house was
10	probably built in the 1930's
11	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
12	(Interposing) If I may, just a technical question.
13	MR. SMITH: Yes.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: How
15	is it possible to cut one house out?
16	MR. SMITH: Well, all I know is
17	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
18	(Interposing) No, no, no, that's directed to the
19	Chair, and he can direct it to whoever he feels is
20	appropriate.
21	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And as a
22	technical matter, the Committee has the ability to
23	adjust the boundaries of a proposed district. I
24	think obviously we do so with great caution when
25	it's gone through the whole process and many

2	different neighbors have been part of the process
3	and communicated. But that's why we have these
1	hearings is to listen what the public has to say.

MR. SMITH: Well, I would like to re-emphasize, you know, like I said, I'm that close to the edge of the district. You could still have your district, you know, I mean. You know, frankly, you know, we just want to mind our own business and be left alone, that's all we want, that's all we ask of anybody in this world.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Seeing no other questions, I thank you very much for your testimony. We have two remaining people signed up to speak on this item, and they're both in favor, so I'll just call you both up together, Simeon Bankoff from the Historic Districts Council and Mr. Steve Roberts from Addisleigh Park.

MR. BANKOFF: Here, actually if you want, take a look at this. Good morning, I'm Simeon Bankoff, Executive Director of the Historic Districts Council, it's a pleasure to be here, we are in strong support of the Addisleigh Park Historic District. I'd like to take a moment and

kind of talk about the powerful public/private
partnership and community partnership that caused
this us to get to this point in this project.
About five years ago, Council Member Comrie's
chief of staff called me up and said, "Would you
mind going out to the Addisleigh Park Civic
Organization and talk about what a historic
district means, they're interested in learning?"
So I went out there and we spent a good evening
together, and they had a lot of really good
questions. We followed up over the course of a
couple of months and then we I said, you know,
there is they wanted to know more about their
history, the history of the neighborhood, because
everyone sort of knew vaguely that Lena Horne
lived here and Roy Campanella lived there, and
everyone knew where Council Member Springer was,
and in fact Council Member, former Council Member
Springer was at the meeting, she was very
supportive, very helpful. But they said, you
know, it would be great if we could get some real
history here, just so we can tell everybody, you
know, who lived where and when. So HDC started
looking into a couple of grants, and we actually,

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we got a grant, in addition to the support from Council Member Comrie, we got a grant from the National Trust for Historic Preservation, and also a grant through the Preservation League of New York State, which is a re-grant program from the New York State Council on the Arts, to do a reconnaissance-level survey where we hired a professional to start looking into the history of the area, looking through property deeds, looking through newspaper clippings, in order to sort of create a baseline of information. All the while, you know, the Addisleigh Park Civic Organization were terrific partners, they voted to support this grant. We said, "We're not going to do this if you guys don't want to, you know, get involved." And they said, "Yes indeed, you know, why don't you go forward." We, my staff, went out, took numerous photos and as we were moving forward with this, talking to the community, the community got more interested in it. And actually I want to say that we had never intended ... we didn't go into this wanting to make this into a New York City historic district. The original idea was to get it on the National Register of Historic Places

2	determined eligible, which we finally did.
3	Meanwhile, Landmarks and the Landmarks Chair, Bob
4	Tierney, is a great fan of jazz and very
5	interested in the history of the area, and he had
6	also been informing his staff to do a survey. We
7	started working with Landmarks staff, giving them
8	our information, working on the information also
9	that Jeff Gottlieb from Central Queens Historical
10	Association had done, Christabel Gough from the
11	Society for the Architecture of the City about 16
12	years ago had talked to people about Addisleigh
13	Park, about the notion of the history and trying
14	to celebrate it. So it was really a fully-
15	transparent community-driven process, and an
16	incredibly successful one. So I'm really glad it
17	got to this point, and I'd like to thank our
18	partners, especially Council Member Comrie who has
19	been terrific about this, and the Addisleigh Park
20	Civic Organization and the Landmarks Commission.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you. Do
23	you want to go?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: I just
25	want a copy of the book.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: I also want to
say something, something there well, first of
all, thank you for your testimony, thank you for
your work together with Council Member Comrie to
help residents and owners so they understand the
issue and understand the process. I think it
makes us very happy to believe in landmarking as a
tool of preservation, but who also hear from
homeowners who have a lot of questions, when real
good education is done and decide we really want
to do this, that makes a big difference. One
other thing about the book, I just want to ask, I
know you've been in touch with Steve Romalewski,
who's put out a very nice new app for iphones that
helps you find and learn about landmarks near
where you are. At some point I'll send an email
around to people to let folks know about it. But
they link official documents, but they can also
link other things, so it might be great to give
them this document.
MR. BANKOFF: Yeah, I actually had

MR. BANKOFF: Yeah, I actually had a meeting with Steve on Friday, talking about how to work better with him and link that up. And just also a final piece is that one of the things

2	that Landmarks had originally proposed the
3	district, and over the course of a public meeting,
4	a bunch of people who lived on the other side of
5	Linden Blvd. said, "We're in Addisleigh Park, how
6	come we're not in the district?" And we went back
7	to the property records and discovered that indeed
8	those houses had been developed as part of the
9	Addisleigh Park home development, and the LPC
LO	enlarged the district at the request of the
11	community.
12	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
13	much for
L4	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
L5	(Interposing) Yes, not only do I want a copy of
L6	the book, I want to pay the original price for one
L7	of these homes. I would like to buy ten of these
18	homes.
19	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
20	much. Mr. Roberts.
21	MR. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you.
22	Good afternoon, and thanks for the privilege to
23	speak. I'm a resident of Addisleigh Park, in fact
24	I live on the other side of Linden and I was

included, on 176th Street. I'm privileged to be

the age of 64 and I'll be 65 in October, but we
moved out in Addisleigh Park and St. Alban's in
1956, one block past the border, and then we moved
into Addisleigh 17 years ago, but I had the
privilege of swimming in Count Basie's pool, speak
to Brook Benton, also Shari Headley of "Coming to
America" lived there. Many of the celebrities I
went to church with, so I had the pleasure to see
them, get to know them. There are a lot of
seniors in those houses still, and I love the way
the community comes together and looks out for the
community, for those individuals, those seniors.
We enjoy, we consider ourselves tremendously
blessed and privileged to live in that community,
and what I love about it also, it is peaceful and
quiet, so those who want to be left alone are left
alone. So we really enjoy the privilege of living
there, and I'm just praying that we do preserve
it, and I'm glad and flattered that we decided to
go this route, and I'm definitely in favor of it.
And thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much for making the time to come out today, and again for the support that you and your neighbors

2.

have offered in this process. Any questions or
comments for Mr. Roberts? Thank you very much for
your time and your testimony. That concludes,
unless anyone jumps up and down, the public
testimony on Addisleigh Park. So thank you very
much, we'll close the public hearing on that item.
We are going to continue in our, as Council Member
Comrie said, the even though it is not currently
African-American history month, with some items
that I think were started at that period of time.
COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Every
month.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And so the next three items we're going to hear relate to Sandy Ground in Staten Island, and I think what we'll do is hear all ... we'll hear from LPC on all three of those items and then we can take public testimony on all three of them together. So let me call them up altogether: Land Use numbers 376, 377 and 378. 376 is application 20115464, the Reverend Isaac and Rebecca Gray Coleman House; Land Use 377 is application 20115465, the Rossville AME Zion Church; and Land Use 378 is application 20115466, 565 and 569 Bloomingdale

2	Road cottages, all in Council Member Ignizio's
3	district, in Community Board #3 in Staten Island.
4	Thank you, Ms. Fernandez.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair

Lander and members of the Committee. Before I

continue with them, I also wanted to reiterate

Landmarks Commission's gratitude to Council Member

Comrie, who has been amazing during the process

for Addisleigh Park and the neighborhood could not

have had better representation and champion for

preservation there. So thank you, Council Member

Comrie.

MS. FERNANDEZ: That's on behalf of, you know, Chair Tierney. Okay, so my name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations for Landmarks Preservation Commission. I'm here today to testify on the Commission's designation of the Reverend Isaac Coleman and Rebecca Gray Coleman House on Staten Island. On August 10th, 2010, the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing on the proposed designation as a landmark of the

Reverend Isaac Coleman and Rebecca Gray Coleman
House. There were six speakers in favor of
designation, including Yvonne Taylor representing
the family of Rebecca Gray Coleman, which still
owns the property. Other speakers in favor
included representatives for the Society of the
Architecture of the City, the Preservation League
of Staten Island, the Historic Districts Council,
the Sandy Grounds Historical Society, and the
Butler Manor Civic Association. There were also
letters in favor of designation from Yvette Taylor
Jordan, the great-granddaughter of Rebecca Gray
Coleman, and from the Metropolitan Chapter of the
Victorian Society in America. There were no
speakers in opposition. On February $1^{\rm st}$, 2011, the
Commission voted to designate the building a New
York City Individual Landmark. The Reverend Isaac
Coleman and Rebecca Gray Coleman House is a
vernacular framed structure that is possibly the
earliest-extant building surviving from the period
when Sandy Ground was a prosperous African-
American community on Staten Island. The area's
first African-American residents purchased
property in 1828. Their numbers were bolstered in

the 1840's and 50's by the arrival of numerous
families from Snow Hill, Maryland who were
involved in the oyster trade and came to New York
to escape harsh laws passed in this slave state
prior to the Civil War. Beginning in the 1840's
through the early 20^{th} century, this area, called
Wood Row or Little Africa, more commonly Sandy
Ground, was home to a group of free African-
Americans who resided here in more than 50 houses.
It is unclear when the Coleman-Gray House was
originally constructed, although it is identified
on one of the earliest surviving maps of the area
from 1859. The house was purchased by Isaac
Coleman and his wife, Rebecca Gray Coleman, when
he came to Sandy Ground to serve as pastor of the
Rossville AME Zion Church in 1864. The building
has been in the possession of Rebecca Gray
Coleman's descendants since that time and its
survival is a remarkable and rare reminder of this
very early African-American community. The
Commission urges you to affirm this designation.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you, why
don't you go ahead and do the other two, and then
we can do questions and testimony on all three

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

together?

MS. FERNANDEZ: Okay. For the record, my name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation Commission. I'm here today to testify on the Commission's designation of the Rossville AME Zion Church on Staten Island. On August 10th, 2010, the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing on the proposed designation as a landmark of the Rossville AME Zion Church. There were six speakers in favor of designation, including a member of the board of trustees of the Rossville AME Zion Church, who read a letter of support from Reverend Janet Jones. Other speakers on behalf of the designation included representatives of the Sandy Grounds Historical Society, the Preservation League of Staten Island, the Society for the Architecture of the City, and the Historic Districts Council. The Commission has received two letters in support of the designation, including one from the Metropolitan Chapter of the Victorian Society in America. There were no speakers or letters in opposition. On February

2	1 st , 2011, the Commission voted to designate the
3	building a New York City Individual Landmark. In
4	1897 Rossville AME Zion Church is a rare and
5	important surviving building from the period when
6	Sandy Ground was a prosperous African-American
7	community on Staten Island. Sandy Ground is
8	located in the southern part of Staten Island, not
9	far from the shipping port of Rossville on the
10	Arthur Kill to the north and the prime oyster
11	grounds of Prince's Bay on the south. The Sandy
12	Ground community thrived for many years, built
13	substantial houses and established successful
14	businesses and institutions, chief among them the
15	Rossville AME Zion Church. The founder and first
16	minister of the church was William H. Pitts, a
17	Virginia-born African Methodist Episcopal Zion
18	minister who purchased land in Sandy Ground in
19	May, 1849 and began holding prayer services in his
20	home. The African Zion Methodist Church in the
21	village of Rossville, now Rossville AME Zion
22	Church, was formally established in December,
23	1850. By 1890 the congregation had outgrown its
24	first church and purchased this site and
25	constructed the present building in 1897.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Originally a simple, clapboarded vernacular framed structure, with a gabled entrance porch, the building survives as a tangible and visible link to the rich history of the Sandy Grounds community. The church remains in use and the descendants of the original founders are still members of the congregation. The Commission urges you to affirm this designation.

And finally, for the record again, Jenny Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental and Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation Commission, here today to testify on the Commission's designation of 565 and 569 Bloomingdale Road cottages on Staten Island. On August 10th, 2010, the Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public hearing of the proposed designation as a landmark of the 565 and 569 Bloomingdale Road cottages. There were five speakers in favor of the designation, including a member of the board of trustees of the Rossville AME Zion Church, the owner of the properties. Other speakers on behalf of the designation included representatives of the Sandy Ground Historical Society, the Preservation League of

Staten Island, the Society for the Architecture of
the City, and Historic Districts Council. The
Commission has received two letters in support of
designation, including one from the Metropolitan
Chapter of the Victorian Society in America.
There were no speakers or letters in opposition.
On February 1 st , 2011, the Commission voted to
designate the buildings New York City Individual
Landmarks. Constructed between 1887 and 1898 as
rental properties by Robert E. Mersereau. The
small frame houses at 565 and 569 Bloomingdale
Road, traditionally known as the baymen's
cottages, are rare surviving buildings from the
period when Sandy Ground was a prosperous African-
American community on Staten Island. Typical
examples of the small cottages erected in the late
19 th century and early 20 th century to house workers
in the rural areas of New York. These two houses
were nearly identical, two-story, one-room deep,
peaked-roof framed structures with central
chimneys and side hall entrances with shallow
stoops and porches. Number 569 Bloomingdale Road,
the northernmost of the two houses, was occupied
from about 1900 to 1930 by William Landon, son of

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Robert Landon, one of the most prominent and successful of the Maryland oystermen who settled in Sandy Ground around 1850. The trustees of the AME Zion Church of Rossville purchased the property in 1981. Today the houses survive as a tangible and visible link to the rich history of the Sandy Ground community, the Commission urges you to affirm this designation.

Thank you very CHAIRPERSON LANDER: much, Mr. Fernandez. Council Member Ignizio is not here, whose district this is in, but I know that he is in support of the designation, and since I think he's the Council member who probably votes against more designations than any other Council member, where owners do not support it, as they do in this case, it's significant to note his support, and we thank him for it. Obviously, with support of owners, he is enthusiastic about preservation, so I don't mean to make it seem otherwise. And also since Council Member Barron is not here, who would surely thank you for attending to African-American history in what you designate and preserve, I'll also, I can't really channel Council Member Barron, but I'll still

							there	questions	for
3	the	LPC	from	the	Committee	≘?			

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: Mr. Chairman, just a comment, I had an opportunity to speak with Council Members Oddo and Ignizio about specifically the Reverend Isaac Coleman House, and they were very much looking to try to preserve it. They've been trying to find funding to keep it up from falling down, as a matter of fact, because it requires a lot of work. So I know that they have made a commitment to do everything they can to preserve this particular piece, so be aware that

they've been working on this.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Great, thank you. Okay, Ms. Fernandez, thank you very much. We have two people signed up to testify, both in favor of the designation, Ms. Yvonne Taylor and Ms. Linda Estreyes ... Eskenas, I apologize, okay. Just begin by stating your name for the record, and then give your testimony. Thank you. Push the button first, thank you. There you go.

MS. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr.

Chairman and members of the Committee. My name is

Yvonne Taylor and I am here to testify in favor of

the designation of the Isaac Coleman - Rebecca
Gray House to be a city landmark. I'm a
descendant of Rebecca Gray Coleman, who with her
husband, Reverend Isaac Coleman, purchased the
property at 1482 Woodrow Road in Staten Island in
1864. Reverend Coleman had been assigned to
pastor the Rossville AME Zion Church in the Sandy
Ground community of Staten Island, and because the
church did not own a parsonage, it became his
responsibility to find a home. He and his wife
Rebecca purchased the property referred to and,
according to stories told to me by my grandmother,
Rebecca Landon, there was a small house on the
property. My grandmother and siblings moved with
their parents, Steven and Martha Gray, to this
house, to care for their aging grandmother Rebecca
Coleman, after the death of her husband, Reverend
Isaac Coleman. My grandmother was seven years old
when she moved there. She lived in the house
until her death at the age of 91. After she
married Robert Landon, she told of the need to
enlarge the house, because they had twelve
children. Thus what originally was a small
cottage, over the years evolved into a thirteen-

room house. I lived in that house until the	age
of 30, and still have a strong attachment to	it.
This house is the oldest of only a few remain	ning
structures which were once occupied by Afric	an-
Americans who settled in the Sandy Ground	
community in the early and middle 1800's. T	he
preservation of this structure is significan	t
because as communities change, it's importan	t to
see some of the remaining vestiges of the pa	st. I
sincerely hope that you will support this	
designation of the Landmarks Preservation	
Commission that this house, as well as the t	hree
other structures which lie within the Sandy	Ground
community, receive the distinction of landma	rk
status. Thank you very much for this opport	unity
to speak.	
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you	1 SO

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you so much for coming out today, and for bringing that history into the room, it's wonderful obviously for us to hear it. Thank you.

MS. ESKENAS: Thank you. Linda
Eskenas, the Preservation League of Staten Island
and Four Borough Neighborhood Preservation
Alliance, West Brighton Restoration and Community

Board #1 Greenway Committee. It's really a
privilege to support this wonderful designation of
Sandy Ground, which is really the triumph of the
human spirit. When you think of this as well
before the Civil War, when people had the courage
to come here, come to Staten Island from Maryland,
where they were oyster fishermen, but could not
own their own boat, they couldn't even be
navigators of these boats, much less a captain.
And they created lives, wonderful lives with their
courage, for their families and for those that
came after them. And I think you've heard the
history, which is very moving, and we're so lucky
to have this wonderful place. I think that the
cemeteries already are landmarked, but it would
seem that now the achievement of these people
being recognized rising over great difficulties,
it's really an honor to be able to do this now.
Because I think people need to be inspired now,
and in doing this we're handing something special
and we must rise to the occasion for people that
come after us, because something is asked of us
today, at this time. And I think it's this kind
of thing, to inspire, to have vision, and that's

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of Landmarks. So these three remarkable
sites must be, of course, historic New York
historic landmarks. And thank you so much for the
great work you're doing and your Committee, and
thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much for taking the time to come and testify, it's wonderful to hear. Any questions for this panel? Seeing none, I want to say thank you very much for your testimony, and again for your stewardship of the property, your family's stewardship of the property as well. We have no one else signed up on these items, so we'll close the public hearing on the three Sandy Ground properties with thanks. We do have one more item on the calendar, and that is in Speaker Quinn's district. Oh, thank you. And it is Land Use #380, 20115516, the Manufacturers Trust Company Building interior in Speaker Quinn's district, in Community Board #5 in Manhattan. Thank you, Ms. Fernandez.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair

Lander and members of the Committee, Subcommittee.

My name is Jenny Fernandez, Director of

Intergovernmental and Community Relations for

Landmarks Preservation Commission, I'm here today
to testify on the Commission's designation of
Manufacturers Trust Company Building interior in
Manhattan. On February $1^{\rm st}$, 2011, the Landmarks
Preservation Commission held a public hearing on
the proposed designation as a landmark of the
Manufacturers Trust Company Building interior.
Fourteen people spoke in favor of designation,
including two representatives of the owner, as
well as representatives of the Coalition to Save
MHT, Docomomo New York Tri-State, the Historic
Districts Council, the Landmarks Conservancy, the
Modern Architectural Working Group, the Municipal
Arts Society, and the Recent Past Preservation
Network. On February 15 th , 2011, the Commission
voted to designate the building's interior as a
New York City Individual Landmark. When the Fifth
Avenue offices of the Manufacturers Trust Company
opened in October, 1954, bank officials claimed it
was unlike any other financial institution in this
country or abroad. A major example of mid-20 th
century modernism 510 Fifth Avenue was designated
a New York City landmark in 1997, and is one of
Manhattan's most transparent structures, revealing

two elegantly-spacious banking floors that were
planned to be as prominent to passing pedestrians
as the glass and aluminum exterior. Though
interior components and artwork by sculptor Harry
Bertoia were recently removed, many distinctive
elements remain. Because the 7,000 square foot
second floor, sometimes called a mezzanine, is
recessed from the street, it appears to float,
creating the impression that both levels occupy a
single monumental space. Other notable historic
features include the twin escalators which were
originally free-standing, as well as the $43^{\rm rd}$
Street lobby at the west end of the building,
which, like the rear wall of the second floor,
displays handsome sets of elevator doors set into
polished gray marble walls. By conceiving this
building and its minimalist interiors as a unified
architectural statement, SOM, the architect, not
only produced one of Fifth Avenue's most memorable
structures, but it created a work that influenced
the course of American bank design. The
Commission urges you to affirm this designation.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
much. Any questions or comments from members of

2	the Committee? Speaker Quinn does support this
3	designation, and let's see whether the board so
4	all right, seeing none, all right, thank you, Ms.
5	Fernandez. We'll take Simeon Bankoff's
6	MR. BANKOFF: (Interposing) Sorry
7	to just jump in, Simeon Bankoff, Executive
8	Director of Historic Districts Council. We were
9	very strong in support of the designation of this
10	interior. We are very pleased that the Landmarks
11	Commission did this, and we are very pleased that
12	they could find a workaround with the current
13	owner. I would like to sort of point out the
14	peculiar irony of the escalators being noted as a
15	featured part of the importance of this building,
16	because the Landmarks Commission has just allowed
17	them to be rotated a full, again with my math, but
18	180, thank you, 180 degrees from their original
19	configuration, which is perhaps the way that
20	things should work, so we should have an
21	understanding that even when things are protected,
22	they are still altered in the future and
23	landmarking does not freeze things in time.
24	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Great, thank
25	you for noting that, it's helpful, I think, you

2	know, when we're here and people ask questions
3	about it, that that's something that the owner was
4	able to bring forward, so thank you very much.
5	All right, so that closes the public hearing on
6	that item, and on all items before the Committee
7	today. We will now proceed toward a vote on the
8	items. Council Member
9	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:
10	(Interposing) Can we do them separately?
11	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes, we can.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: That way
13	we can speed it.
14	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay. All
15	right. So what I think we're going to do is,
16	we'll vote on all the items other than Addisleigh
17	Park first, and then we'll have a second vote on
18	Addisleigh Park, and perhaps a bit more
19	conversation about it. Okay, all right, so let's
20	we will vote as a block on 380, 384, 376, 377
21	and 378. The Chair recommends a vote of aye, as
22	he will on the subsequent one as well, and ask the
23	counsel to call the vote.
24	MR. HYLTON: Christian Hylton,
25	counsel to the Committee. Chair Lander?

	53
1.	
I	
ic	
lma.	
royo	
ndez	
all	•
As	

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS 53
2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Aye on all.
3	MR. HYLTON: Council Member
4	Sanders.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
6	Permission to explain my vote?
7	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Granted.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: I
9	think it's a great day. I think it's fantastic
10	that we do these things, and I vote aye.
11	MR. HYLTON: Council Member Palma.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.
13	MR. HYLTON: Council Member Arroyo.
14	COUNCIL MEMBER ARROYO: Yes.
15	MR. HYLTON: Council Member Mendez.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye on all.
17	MR. HYLTON: Council Member
18	Williams.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Aye.
20	MR. HYLTON: Council Member
21	Halloran.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: As
23	the resident Scutch, I mean Republican, I vote
24	aye.
25	MR. HYLTON: By a vote of seven in

2	the affirmative, none in the negative, no
3	abstentions, LU 384, 376, 377, and 378 are
4	approved and referred to the full Land Use
5	Committee.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much to counsel, we will now return to Land Use 379, the Addisleigh Park Historic District, and I recognize Council Member Sanders.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Thank you. Thank you very much. I'm trying to find out why we just can't do a little cut of this ... for this particular person. Is any historical significance, extra significance, to the particular house in question? If there are not, then why don't we just cut one person out?

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So I'll give an answer as Chair, and if Council Member Comrie also wants to answer, I mean, I can't speak to this individual house. We have before the Committee a few times in my tenure, had individual owners in districts come forward and raise objections, and I think it ... and we have as a Committee generally thus far have the precedent that if the overwhelming ... if we're supporting a

district, if a significant majority of residents
have been supportive, if the Council members have
been supportive, that the risk of allowing
individuals to sort of pull properties would
create a very challenging situation for us, and
could sort of pit neighbors against each other,
and we had that happen a few times. So I think if
it's gone through the whole process, and actually
I'll even invoke Council Member Ignizio, because
I've spoken with him about this, you know, he does
generally object if we if an individual property
is being designated over the objections of the
owner, then he'll vote no on the floor. If a
district is being designated, even if there are,
you know, as an owner or some owners who are
opposed, he will still vote in favor, rather than
leading to sort of a sawtooth thing. So we have
the technical power to adjust the boundaries, but
I think it's advisable by the time if it gets to
us, if the district has been presented with some
integrity, and if there is community support, that
I would urge that we not open up the door to
people coming and seeking individual home
exemptions, which I think would make the whole

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

process much more complicated. But I also will

recognize Council Member Comrie and Council Member

Halloran on the issue.

COUNCIL MEMBER COMRIE, JR.: home in question is not at the end of the block, which could be cut out. Even if it were at the end of the block, it would significantly alter the homes within that particular block, which actually fought to be added into the ... they were not part of the original designation scope, they found out about the designation scope, they wanted to be added in, so that they could be part of the designation. It's very important to those homeowners that there's a uniform look within the entire. With all due respect to the individual that came in, he is not the owner, he is the child of the owners, and you know, to come in and assert a desire of people that were not here to express for themselves is actually suspect. I believe that his parents are still with him, and I do believe if you do look at that home, it is a home that is as significant as all of the other homes within the particular block. So I am not in favor of cutting it out. If there were some specific

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hardship or some handicap reason, or if there were some access reason, or if he had a school or something, but this is nothing but him deciding that he wanted to have an individualistic concept, and he has no other significant reason. homeowners have really worked hard to develop this designation, they understand what the needs are, and what they've already created almost historic relationship with the Historic Districts Council, and Landmarks Preservation, and I hope that this is a template for communities to work with getting designation, as Simeon said, you know, the Civic Association reached out to me and my going to meetings, and they were inquiring about the opportunity. We brought in the Historic Districts Council to work with them to find out what their questions were, to do the research, to walk them through it, to work with Landmarks. This has been a total cooperative process with full information and disclosure done up and down the line. actually I'm kind of insulted that he shows up here today at the last minute to ask for a carveout, when he's never testified at any other meeting other than one public meeting before. Wе

2.

have worked hard to try to include, and in fact we
had other neighbors that wanted to be included in
the designation, and so that they could keep a
uniform community, keep their homes in that
historic manner because there is a lot of history,
a lot of sacrifice, a lot of effort that was made
into creating that area. So I would urge that it
be made whole. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you.

Council Member Halloran.

to briefly address my colleague's dilemma, I agree that there is a dilemma when a homeowner presents himself. In fact, I'm going to have that dilemma shortly in the Broadway-Flushing area. I had it in the Douglaston Historic area, and as somebody who tends to be a libertarian, it always bothers me to interfere in property rights. But I have to agree that if we're going to do these designations, and we designate districts, we have to designate a district. And it just seems that the lines were drawn carefully with cooperation from multiple parties, including the civics, and you wind up, if we're going to have people cutting

things in and out, if it's not done in the plan,	
that we're going to have problems down the line	
getting it through, and people will be able to g	0
to court and say, "you were able to make an	
exemption for this person, why not for me?" I	
think we open a Pandora's box of litigation	
issues, because if we don't uniformly apply the	
landmarks process, and we are able to individual	ly
cut out houses, I believe the integrity of the	
process from a judicial point of view collapses.	
And then you can bring article 78's and these ca	n
be overturned, because the designation process	
will then become what's called arbitrary and	
capricious. And when that happens, it's rife fo	r
attack by lawyers, not that we don't need lawyer	s,
but we do, but in this case I think it would	
destroy and undermine the law of landmarking, an	d
we would no longer be able to do districts,	
because if we start carving out individual house	s
for these reasons, the whole process becomes	
arbitrary, and therefore the courts can say, no,	
you can't landmark any more, because you're	
willing to cut out for X, Y, and Z reasons, well	
then why can't you cut everybody out who wants t	0

2.

come out? And that's the problem. I'm not say	ing
it's a good I'm not saying this is a good	
answer. What I'm saying is I think that's the	
legal answer to the question as to why we	
shouldn't do it.	

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Any other

Council members, Committee members on this issue?

If not ... Council Member Sanders.

COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Since the person in question, according to my colleague, is not the owner, he ... the question doesn't have standing under those conditions. The issue is one that we'll wrestle with in the future somewhere I'm sure, but he doesn't have standing, it's just ... it would be the equivalent of anybody walking by or something of that nature. A worthy argument, not for today, and certainly not one that will take away from having this fantastic historical district created. And with that I'm going to vote yes, and I'm going to urge my colleagues to vote yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you,

Council Member Sanders. We'll turn to the vote in

just a minute, but first I'll recognize Council

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Member Mendez.

COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just feel like I have to add my comments now. Irrespective if he was the owner or not, I think we end up going down this slippery slope and whether it's on the edge of the district or not, again it becomes a slippery slope. would not have made it through this part of the process had it not been worthy of being part of this historic district. So then when we start to say, let's chop out this building from the historic district, then we really would have to go back and look at the entire district, and that creates just a dilemma for everybody. And it's not a simple process, it's a long process, and a very thoughtful process. So I just want to add my comments, and certainly you can guess I'll be voting aye on this. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right,
thank you, I appreciate the discussion, obviously
it will continue to be relevant to us in the
future, as we see more districts, and thanks, I
ask on Land Use #379, the Addisleigh Park Historic
District, that the counsel call the roll and the

_	\sim
\neg	,
_	_

2	and perhaps if it was at the end, I do think that
3	may be a possibility. But I remember we had one
4	such case in Mathieu Eugene's district, where
5	there was one closer to the end, and we still
6	didn't use that option, and I thought that
7	actually would have been a best-test case to do
8	that. But I vote aye, thanks.
9	MR. HYLTON: Council Member
10	Halloran.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN III: I
12	vote aye.
13	MR. HYLTON: By a vote of seven in
14	the affirmative, none in the negative, LU 379 is
15	approved and referred to the full Land Use
16	Committee.
17	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very
18	much, and with that this meeting of the
19	Subcommittee is adjourned.

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

	Pilad Dats	
Signature		
Date		