CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

September 17, 2024 Start: 11:03 a.m. Recess: 12:35 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM, 16TH

FLOOR

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Kamillah Hanks
Francisco P. Moya
Yusef Salaam

OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING:

Robert F. Holden Erik D. Bottcher

APPEARANCES

Zef Gjini, owner of Cozy Corner

Neil Weisbard, Seyfarth Shaw

Jason Diaz, VHB

Shlomo Steven Wygoda, SWA Architecture

Kieran Meehan, SWA Architecture

Rocki Brown

Barry Weinberg

Tiffany Khan

Shaneeka Wilson

Annette Robinson

Basia Nikonorow

Lisa Orrantia, Ackerman LLP

Eric Palatnik, Eric Palatnik, P.C.

2	SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone
3	check for the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises
4	Today's date is September 17, 2024, located in the
5	16th Floor Hearing Room. Recording is done by Rocco
6	Mesiti.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning and welcome to the New York City Council Hearing of the Committee on Zoning and Franchise.

At this time, can everybody please silence your cell phones.

If you wish to testify, please come up to the Sergeant-at-Arms' desk to fill out a testimony slip. Written testimony can be emailed to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Good morning, everyone, and welcome to the meeting of the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchises. I am Council Member Kevin Riley, Chair of this Subcommittee. Today I am joined by Council Member Abreu, Hanks, Carr, Bottcher, Holden.

24

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Today, we are scheduled to hold four votes and four hearings so we have a busy calendar today.

Starting with those applications that we will vote on today, the first application concerns a residential rezoning known as 31-17 12th Street in Astoria, Queens. The second vote concerns a residential rezoning known as 250-86th Street in Bay Ridge, Brooklyn. The third vote concerns a project known as 21st Street in Astoria, Queens, which is also a residential rezoning. The fourth vote concerns an Article XI tax exemption application regarding the Marcus Garvey Affordable Housing Project in Bed-Stuy, Brooklyn.

Turning to the hearings, we will first hear concerns of a sidewalk cafe application regarding Cozy Corner in Maspeth, Queens. Next, we will hear a proposal that consists of residential mixed-use development known as 135th Street in Manhattanville. We will then hear a proposal to partially demolish a building located at 343 West 47th Street in Clinton Hill section of Manhattan. Lastly, we will hear concerns of a mixed-use

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 residential development known as 2390 McDonald Avenue 3 in Gravesend, Brooklyn.

Lastly, we were scheduled to hear another sidewalk cafe application relating to Seis Vecinos located in the South Bronx. This hearing is being deferred to September 24, 2024.

I will now go over hearing procedures.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format. Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or through Zoom. Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council's website at

www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up and, for those of you here in person, please see one of the Sergeant-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

Members of the public may also view a live stream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee, if you are joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by myself to speak. When you are recognized, your microphone will be unmuted. Please take a moment to check your device

2.2

2.3

2 and confirm that your mic is on before you begin
3 speaking.

We will limit public testimony to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like to submit to the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing in person, please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Written testimony may be submitted up to three days after the hearing is closed. Please indicate the LU number or the project name in the subject line of your email.

We request that the witnesses joining us remotely remain in the meeting until you're excused by myself as Council Members may have questions.

Lastly, for everyone attending the meeting today, this is a government proceeding, and decorum must be observed at all times. Members of the public are asked not to speak during the meeting unless you are testifying.

The witness table is reserved for people who are called to testify and no video recording or photography is allowed from the witness table.

Further, members of the public may not present audio or video recordings as testimony but may submit

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transcripts of such recording to the Sergeant-at-Arms
for inclusion in the hearing procedures.

The first proposal we are voting on today is the approval with modifications LUs 138 and 139 relating to the 31-17 12th Street proposal located in Astoria, Queens in Council Member Cabán's District. The requested actions are to construct a residential building with approximately 35 apartments of which nine are anticipated to be affordable units. The proposal consists of two actions. The first is a zoning map amendment to change an existing residential RFB district to an R6B residential district. The related action is a zoning text amendment to map a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area. Our modification will be to add the Deep Affordability Option which will make 20 percent of the proposed income-restricted apartments permanently affordable to households making an average of 40 percent AMI which is approximately 56,000 for a family of three. Council Member Cabán is in support of this proposal as modified.

The second vote is to approve LUs 145 and 146 relating to the 21st Street proposal also located in Council Member Cabán's District in Astoria. The

2.2

2.3

proposal.

The third vote is to approve with modifications LU 140 relating to the 250 86th Street rezoning proposal located in Bay Ridge, Brooklyn and Council Member Brannan's District. This application seeks to legalize a doctor's office on the ground floor and first floor and a residential unit on the second floor. The proposal consists of two actions. The first is a zoning map amendment to change an existing residential R3-2 district to an R6B residential district. The related action is a zoning text amendment to map a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area. Our modification will be to limit the rezoning to a residential R5D which will ensure the

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 9
2	rezoned area remains contextual with the existing
3	surrounding buildings. We will also be reducing the
4	rezoning area by removing mid-block properties to the
5	west of applicant's property which are further away
6	from the higher density block and along 3rd Avenue.
7	This will further help maintain the well-defined
8	built character of 86th Street. Council Member
9	Brannan supports this proposal as modified. Lastly,
10	regarding the second action the mapping of MIH
11	applicant has submitted a letter withdrawing LU 141
12	with the modification to an R5D district. The
13	increase in FAR is not sufficient to impose MIH.
14	Furthermore, the rezoning is not intended to spur new
15	development. Therefore, pursuant to Council Rule
16	11.60B, LU 141 is void, and I make a motion to file
17	the items to remove them from the Council calendar.
18	The fourth and final vote is to approve
19	LU 147 relating to the Marcus Garvey Article XI tax
20	exemption request for a residential housing project
21	located in Bed-Stuy in Council Member Ossé's
22	District. The tax exemption will facilitate the
23	development of two new affordable housing buildings.
24	Council Member Ossé supports this proposal as

modified.

cafe with approximately 13 tables and 52 seats at an

existing establishment in Maspeth, Queens.

24

2.2

2.3

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done, so you must register online. You may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to give the floor to Council Member Holden to give his remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Good morning,

Chair Riley and Members of the Subcommittee on Zoning
and Franchises.

I'm here today to discuss the Land Use call-up application for Cozy Corner, LU 155, located at 6001 70th Avenue in Ridgewood, Queens which is within my District. Many constituents have raised concerns about the challenges posed by the current outdoor dining structure. Issues such as reduced parking availability, narrower roads, and the difficulties faced by first responders and sanitation services navigating the area have been repeatedly

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is Zef here?

ZEF GJINI: Yes, hello.

24

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 14
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hello. How you doing,
3	Zef?
4	ZEF GJINI: Zef Gjini. How are you?
5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Counsel,
6	please administer the affirmation.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Could you please
8	raise your right hand and state your name for the
9	record?
10	ZEF GJINI: Zef, Z-E-F, Gjini, G-J-I-N-I.
11	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Please keep your
12	right hand raised. Do you swear to tell the truth and
13	nothing but the truth in your testimony today and in
14	response to Council Member questions?
15	ZEF GJINI: Yes, I do.
16	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you. You
17	may proceed.
18	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the
19	viewing public, if you need an accessible version of
20	this presentation, please send an email request to
21	landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.
22	Now the applicant team may begin. I'll
23	just ask that you please restate your name and
24	organization for the record. You may begin.

2	ZEF GJINI: Zef Gjini, owner of Cozy
3	Corner located at 6001 70th Avenue, Bridgewood, New
4	York 11385. This sidewalk cafe has been there for
5	since the virus started three and a half years now,
6	and Sanitation has been going through for three and a
7	half years and Fire Department, and I don't know if
8	there is, even buses they go there when they do
9	training. The last time that we did the application
LO	for sidewalk café, we had eight-foot outdoor seating
L1	on the street and then the question was raised about
L2	Fire Department trucks going through so we went from,
L3	I told the architect to go from eight feet to six
L 4	feet, to get rid of two feet. Hello.
L5	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are you finished?
L 6	ZEF GJINI: Yeah, so, yeah.
L7	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right.
L8	ZEF GJINI: So basically we went from
L 9	eight feet the street closer to six foot. Basically,
20	we have been following all the rules for three and a
21	half years now with DOT and City (INAUDIBLE)
22	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right, Zef are you
2.3	finished presenting?

ZEF GJINI: Yeah.

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. All right, so
3 I'm going to ask a question that I'm going to pass it
4 and see if Council Member Holden has any questions,
5 okay.

ZEF GJINI: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So why are you applying for this right now, application?

amend the plans and the outdoor seating to comply for the new rules. For example, used to be the crosswalk used to be eight feet, now they want 20 feet, and we provide them 20 feet, and then they told me that there's not enough space on a roadside seating. We went from eight feet to six foot.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. (CROSS-TALK)

ZEF GJINI: (INAUDIBLE) has been has been, the sales has been increased 70 percent. I think that is good for the community. I think it's good for the city, for the country. It's nice to see people sitting out there and then enjoying having a good time and (INAUDIBLE) it's just great.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Last question. How long has your business been in this community.

ZEF GJINI: Six years.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Six?

3 ZEF GJINI: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Thank you.

Council Member Holden.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Yes. Thank you for your testimony. Why do you need both? Why do you need the street, because, you know, the problems of parking there. Now, Chair, I want to just talk about the parking in the area. The Fire Department has told me over and over again they can't find the fire hydrants, people are parking at the hydrants. People are parking on the corners. The applicant knows this. The applicant knows that people are parking everywhere. He's got a corner that he's taking up street parking and it's hard to maneuver on that corner and make the turns. He says he reduced the space, but I don't, I don't see, I wouldn't oppose your sidewalk cafe if you just gave up the street part, you know, actually dining in the street which it's a very narrow street by the way and, if anybody goes there, you can see it you, and I'm sorry I don't have photographs but if you look at it, it's so narrow that again trucks and Fire and Sanitation, they do have a problem even though the applicant says

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they he's narrowed, it it's still a problem. It's a very, very narrow street so I don't see why you need both. You want the sidewalk cafe and you want the street so I would, again, want an agreement from you that you'll give up the street and then I think we can talk about approving the sidewalk café, but I think both is and, again, I'd rather see the street application just not go through because that is a very dangerous narrow corner. It's not all about profit. It's about safety, and it's also about being reasonable so, again, that's why I think that you know it's a narrow street. You know that parking is at a premium. You know it's a mixed-use area. It's not only commercial. It's residential, mostly residential so that's why I have a huge problem with both. Both will just create again what I mentioned before a situation that endangers everyone. Thank you.

ZEF GJINI: Yeah, you see, when you mentioned the pump, I don't think there is any (INAUDIBLE) city's narrow streets, these one-way street, but when it comes to parking on the pump or in a crosswalk, I mean I don't know how you can stop people by doing that. You can even tell from the lot

line where the <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> the handicap is, you know, the <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> you cannot tell people to not stand in there either so it's kind of hard to control, to tell people don't park on a fire hydrant, don't park on a crosswalk. It's kind of hard because they're going to say, you know what, call 9-1-1, get my car towed. When it comes to the roadside, we've followed all the rules so far. We went from eight feet to six feet, and I think why not have another 13 tables there.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you.

Council Member, do you have any more questions?

COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: No, but it sounds like the applicant's not willing to, or he wants both, and again that's why I will not approve the sidewalk cafe because, again, it's too much. It's too much for a mixed-use area, too much for residential, too much for a narrow street, and you're adding to the parking problem. Even though you won't admit it, you are adding to the parking problem by dining in the street and, again, I just don't get the unwillingness to negotiate a little bit so if you don't, then one's got to go. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

ZEF GJINI: Yeah, but it's New York City.

Parking is a problem everywhere. What do you expect?

It's New York City. Parking is a big issue. How about the bike lanes? There's bikes everywhere.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Are you finished, Zef?

ZEF GJINI: Yes, I'm finished.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: All right. Thank you.

Any Council Members have any questions for this

10 panel?

1

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

All right. There being no more questions for this panel, this panel is now excused.

Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on this application?

Neither online nor in person.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU 155 regarding the Cozy Corner sidewalk cafe application, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: No, Chair.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs

149 and 150 relating to the 135th Street rezoning

proposal in Council Member Abreu's District in

Manhattanville. The proposal seeks to develop a

residential mixed-use unit building just south of

Abreu and, today, I'm here to discuss the 135th

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Street rezoning application. The applicant proposes a rezoning text amendment and accompanying special permits to facilitate the development of a sevenstory mixed-use building in West Harlem. This site is located on a narrow property between the Amtrak elevated railway tracks and 12th Avenue Riverside Drive. The development site features a vacant commercial building that has a history of failed commercial tenants and open parking. If activated with new development, this site can serve as a gateway to the Special Manhattanville District and connect the R8 residence district to the east of Riverside Park, the West Harlem Waterfront Park, and the C6 commercial districts to the south. This previously unsuccessful site deserves to be connected to the surrounding area and also experience much needed revitalization. A mixed-use building can promote community engagement as well as provide housing units amid New York City's housing crisis. Furthermore, a commercial and community facility space would keep the local community linked to the site as well as future residential tenants. I look forward to discussing the details of this application more today. I would like to thank Manhattan Community

JASON DIAZ: Yes.

2 SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: I do.

KIERAN MEEHAN: Yes.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

For the viewing public, if you need accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now, the applicant team may begin. I'll just ask you please restate your name and organization for the record when you testify. Please begin.

NEIL WEISBARD: Thank you, Chair Riley. My name is Neil Weisbard from the law firm of Seyfarth Shaw, and I appear before you on behalf of Crosscap Holdings, the owner of 701 West 135th Street. Our team is very excited to present this proposal which we believe will result in the complete transformation of this little corner of northern Manhattan. Next slide, please.

The rezoning and project area is located on the west side of 12th Avenue in between West 135th Street and West 137th Street. The site currently is improved with a two-story building which is currently

2.2

2.3

2 not in operation. It was previously a eating and 3 drinking establishment. Next slide, please.

The proposed rezoning area includes the development site 701 West 135th Street, a portion of Lot 56 extending to the edge of the railroad right of way and also 12th Avenue which is its own tax lot owned by the City and is a public park. Next slide, please.

The requested actions, there are numerous actions. One being a rezoning from an M1-1 to an R7-2 district within a C2-4 commercial overlay. Within that C2-4 commercial overlay, most commercial uses are permitted. They will also include an amendment to the Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area, making the site as part of that, a special permit to allow the proposed development over the railroad right-of-way, an amendment to include the site in the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use District, and then an amendment to the text of the zoning resolution to allow bulk modifications within the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use District and for sites located adjacent to a railway. Next slide, please.

The proposal is to construct a 53,548 square foot mixed-use building. It will be seven

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

studios, 26 one-bedroom, and four two-bedrooms and,

The proposed building will contain 30

2.2

2.3

as you can see from this rendering, the sixth and seventh floors will cantilever over the railroad tracks and, as Amtrak noted in the application in their letter of authorization, the development cannot proceed without a full and thorough review by Amtrak and construction cannot commence without Amtrak's approval. Next slide, please.

The City owned this area between West

135th Street and West 138th Street, the sidewalks are
in disrepair and DOT is proposing improvements to
this area which they anticipate to begin at the end
of 2025, beginning of 2026 so we believe we're in
alignment with the improvements and are willing to
work with DOT on the improvements which include
reconstructed sidewalks and, even if DOT does not
proceed with the improvements on the east side of
12th Avenue sidewalk, the applicant has volunteered
to improve those sidewalks on its own if need be. It
will also contain eight-foot parking lanes and shared
vehicle and bicycle lanes. Next slide, please.

As you can see from this cross-section which does include the bulkhead which the building is located below the Riverside Drive and this is to minimize the impact on pedestrians on Riverside Drive

2.2

2.3

Next slide, please.

and homeowners on Riverside Drive. I also passed out a plan that shows where the bulkhead is located. The Conservancy who issued a letter in conjunction with the Community Board's approval believe that the bulkhead would encapsulate the entire area. However, the bulkhead is only in two small areas. It can also be made translucent so, if needed, can be seen through without obstructing views, but there's just two small areas where the bulkhead will be located. Otherwise, the site is a 363-foot long site which will be located below the height of Riverside Drive.

These renderings tell a great story of the impact of the proposed building. As you can see on the right side is the existing condition and already the view is obstructed by the water treatment plant and on the left side are proposed renderings so the impact is minimal as you can see. Next slide, please.

Also, this area is densely populated by trees. On the left side is a rendering but on the right side is a picture taken from November with no leaves on the trees and you can see the area is completely surrounded by trees, and you can't even

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

see the barely seated proposed building in the rendering on the left. Next slide, please.

This is just a picture of the site with trees and, as you can see, if you're walking on Riverside Drive, the area as you walk north is completely obstructed by trees when there's full foliage. Next slide, please.

The requested actions are an M1-1 existing manufacturing district to an R7-2 residence district. The project area is located in a manufacturing district which residences aren't permitted and so, under the proposal, residences will be permitted. Next slide, please.

Since this development will occur adjacent to a railroad right-of-way, the applicant also seeks a special permit from City Planning to construct the proposed development. Next slide, please.

Te area circled will be added to the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use District as an other area, and the reason for this is, next slide please.

Well, let me just briefly explain that we believe this proposal is consistent with the goals of the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use District to

2 encourage the development of mixed-use neighborhood

3 that complements a revitalized community-oriented

4 waterfront and allow for residential development.

5 Next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

There is also an amendment to the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use District, will permit a special permit to modify bulk regulations, and this is needed due to the narrowness of the site which a feasible building could not be built if a 30-foot rear yard is provided and also a modification of lot coverage

The next slides I have are plans, if anyone wants to see them, but we have our applicant team and we're happy to answer any questions that the Committee may have but, just in conclusion, I'd like to state that it's our belief that if approved along with DOT's plans to renovate 12th Avenue will completely transform this area between 135th Street and West 138th Street, will provide needed amenities to the local community and active users of the numerous surrounding public places, and most importantly will create 60 dwelling units of which 12 to 15 will be affordable. We strongly agree with Borough President Mark Levine who stated in his

2.2

2.3

favorable recommendation that this project can be a transformation cornerstone for the area. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have a few questions then I'm going to pass it to Council Member Abreu and other Members of the Subcommittee. It is my understanding there are some infrastructure issues, I believe you touched on that a little bit in your presentation, at or around the proposed site such as a sinkhole. How are you working with City agencies such as DOT to address these issues?

NEIL WEISBARD: We reached out to DOT, it's about two, well, the applicant has been working with DOT but two years ago, I was personally involved and reached out to DOT to see if we could get this repaired and DOT didn't want to do any work because of the proposed development, the proposed improvements to 12th Avenue so, once DOT moves ahead, we're willing to work with them and get the sinkhole fixed. Steve, you may have some information on the sinkhole as well.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Okay. Shlomo Steve
Wygoda. I've been practicing architecture for 53
years in New York and been in front of this Committee

for a long time. The sinkhole was a result of
improper drainage, and I've worked on this building
for about 20 years, and I designed the restaurants,
the clubs, etc., all of whom failed. The owner of the
building, because the water used to come down 12th
Avenue, there was no drainage, used to run right into
the stores so he dug some trenches at the base of the
curb which allowed the water to seep underneath so it
eroded the soil underneath. When we went to take some
borings in anticipation of this, they collapsed. This
project will cure all of those things so Peter, who
owned the building who I knew well, tried but we're
going to do proper drainage, we're going to do
everything that's required, we're going to beautify
the street, and we're going to make this a successful
project that for my 20 years working with a number of
users and transform this building, this area to
something that's really good for the community in
many different ways. We really hope, I've been
working on this building for years, really hope that
the Council would support it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Could you please

detail how you're addressing CB 9's concerns?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

members of CB-9 are here is that their main concern was the occupants of the proposed building and their safety of being next to an active railway, and, as I've mentioned and I can read specifically from Amtrak's report, that no construction in the rightof-way can occur until applicant acquires from Amtrak the real estate rights necessary to construct the improvements in the right-of-way. If Amtrak agrees to convey the necessary real estate rights to the applicant, any proposed construction will be subject to Amtrak's prior review and approval which approval may be granted or withheld at Amtrak's sole and absolute discretion so Amtrak will not approve this until they believe that the railway is compatible with the building, and maybe Jason can speak to some of the sound attenuation and steven to the vibration to address those concerns of the occupants of the building.

NEIL WEISBARD: Our understanding of some

JASON DIAZ: Sure. In terms of noise, sorry, my name is Jason Diaz. I'm with VHB, the environmental consultants working on the project. We prepared the EAS in accordance with SEQR, and noise analysis found that there would be no significant

enforced by an e-designation.

NEIL WEISBARD: And I also, just as I showed in those slides, that if the Community Board does have concerns about impacts of the building on the sight lines, I showed that there really is minimal to no impact.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: If approved, what is your timeline to actually start construction on this project.

NEIL WEISBARD: I would say beginning of 2026. We want to coordinate with DOT's improvements to the street so either end of 2025 or early 2026.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: What type of businesses are you seeking to locate on the ground floor.

24

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

NEIL WEISBARD: So the commercial spaces would be a bike shop, a juice bar, a healthy cafe to serve all the active users in the area, as I mentioned, from the bike path, the kayakers, and the park to the north. The community space has not been decided but, as I mentioned, I spoke to the West Harlem Arts Alliance and they may not be interested in this space and we've also reached out to Harlem Pride, waiting to hear back, but we're willing to work with the community and Community Board 9 to find suitable spaces for the community space.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: My last question, 30 studios, 26 one-bedrooms and 4 two-bedrooms, what was the deciding factor on the units and why couldn't you produce more one-bedrooms or two-bedrooms.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: (INAUDIBLE) but Steve will...

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah, and this is
Kieran Meehan from my office, whose first meeting in
front of City Council so we've modified that count
and so, Kieran, you want to speak to what the new
count is?

KIERAN MEEHAN: Yeah, the new count was 24 studios, 20 one-bedrooms, and 10 two-bedrooms.

2.2

2.3

NEIL WEISBARD: Oh, I apologize. At the
City Planning Commission, one of the Commissioners
wanted to see more two-bedrooms so we've revised
that. I apologize.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: And they will be interspersed throughout the building so top, bottom, whatever, and the studios, Columbia University is nearby so this would afford some more places for the students. That's the need for the studios and the studios can always be combined into one bedroom so I've designed it that way but, right now, that's...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Can you explain that a little bit more, how can a studio be combined to one bedroom?

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Take the wall out then you got two studios, one bedroom.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So two studios will convert to one-bedroom pretty much?

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah, if it comes to it but, right now, we're moving ahead with the studio concept and two-bedrooms. I've learned this doing a lot of work in New York City that when you get families in there with children, they tend to stay for a long time so that's why, yeah.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I mean that's why I'm always concerned about studios. I feel like a lot of people in New York City, specifically families, are transitioning and moving out. I feel like New York City, we're trying to produce as much units as possible and we usually try to do a lot of studios, but I did like the fact that you guys did make an adjustment to get at least 10 two-bedrooms so I appreciate that.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: You're welcome.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I'm not too

knowledgeable what the needs of that specific

District is, but I think building more one-bedrooms,

two-bedrooms, and three-bedrooms will be more

suitable for people living in New York City now

opposed to studios, just my personal opinion.

I'll pass it over to Council Member Abreu to give his comments.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you, Chair, for your line of questioning. Question for the panel, how do you decide on proposed zoning of R7-2 with a C2-4 commercial overlay?

NEIL WEISBARD: So this project goes back to 2016 when we first met with City Planning and to

2 the south within the Special Manhattanville Mixed-Use

3 District are a C6-1 and a C6-2. Originally, we went

4 within R8 which is the C6-2 equivalent, and we had

5 presented a 14-story building.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: To who did you

7 present that?

2.2

2.3

NEIL WEISBARD: To the Community Board 9 informally, and the feedback at that time was that it's going to completely block the sight lines to the west to the river so we lower the height below the Riverside Drive to 71.5 feet as we are right now to seven stories and the maximum floor area we could fit in that was a 4.6 and that is consistent with the C6-1 to the south which is an R7-2 equivalent and that's what we're seeking.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: The R7-2...

Minor thing. Also we were up there doing, I've been working for this developer for many years so Borough President Mark Levine was Council Member up there. We had met with him numerous times. We tried to do a 14-story. We didn't acquiesce but we agreed to lower it to the level of the pedestrian level of that so we've

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 39
2	been sort of giving back and adjusting over all these
3	years.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you for that.
5	Under the proposed zoning, is it possible for the
6	building to be taller than proposed in your
7	presentation?
8	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: No.
9	NEIL WEISBARD: No, because there's a
10	special permit aspect of this.
11	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Have you made
13	changes to the bulkhead since making your initial
14	proposal?
15	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yes. We're going,
16	sorry
17	NEIL WEISBARD: Right. We lowered it to 10
18	feet.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: What was it before?
20	NEIL WEISBARD: I believe our original
21	plan was 12 to <u>(INAUDIBLE)</u> 12 feet.
22	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah, and we're also
23	going to make it translucent. We're going to make it
24	into glass to the extent we can.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Sure.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Has outreach been done to try to fill the community facility space. I know you reached out to West Harlem Arts Alliance, Harlem Pride. Are you amenable to meeting other non-profits in the neighborhood as well?

NEIL WEISBARD: Yes, for sure. Anyone your office recommends, we'd be happy to reach out to and discuss community facility space.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Sounds great, and you can also do you know outreach on your own as well regardless of who we have to offer.

Have there been any businesses that have shown interest in the proposed commercial space. If so, which ones?

NEIL WEISBARD: We haven't marketed that yet so.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: So just, very briefly, since I've been involved with this, the bike shop on the south end with the, I've done 3,000 sidewalk cafes in New York and tons of restaurants so there's interest and I won't say from who in doing that. The bikes come down 12th Avenue, wrap around, and go up to the GW Bridge. It's a great spot to take a break.

the cantilever, and at a loss of residential units.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I have also heard
from constituents about the risk of potential
flooding here at this site. Can you speak to what
potential risk of flooding there could be or what
your mitigation plan would be if it's a likely site
given this place near the water and it's placed in
the lower levels.

KIERAN MEEHAN: Yeah, yeah. Kieran, SWA
Architecture. Yeah, we're in two flood zones on X and
E, and we basically raised the first floor to two
foot seven and we're going to do wet flood proofing
in the residential area and dry flood proofing at the
commercial area and also take that into our site
design.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: So you've accounted for flooding as an issue.

KIERAN MEEHAN: Yeah.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: (INAUDIBLE)

KIERAN MEEHAN: Yeah, we also raised the mechanical electrical boxes another two feet above that two foot seven.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: We've also gotten complaints from constituents that there may be like a

2.2

NEIL WEISBARD: Yes. That's the preferred

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

44

2.2

23

24

feasible?

option.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I have heard from constituents as well regarding the lack of public facilities like restrooms in the neighborhood. Do you have any thoughts on potential solutions to address this community concern?

NEIL WEISBARD: Yes. I actually spoke to the applicant yesterday, and they are open to a public bathroom on maybe one corner of the building in the north side.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: It seems like it would be an area that that needs it, right, you have folks potentially riding bikes along the water, they may need a stop along the way.

NEIL WEISBARD: We think it'll be good too for the retail uses there so they're open to it.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: And you have a garden on the north end so I know when you garden half the day, it would be nice to have a bathroom.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Well, we certainly love Jenny's Garden. You mentioned in your testimony beautifying our streets. Can you talk about what that would look like, the beautification?

NEIL WEISBARD: So it's all part of the,
DOT has proposed improvements to 12th Avenue, and I

2 | don't know if I could bring back that slide from my

3 PowerPoint but basically this is DOT's plan. This was

4 | forwarded to us by City Planning when they were

5 asking about the cracked sidewalk and they reached

6 out to DOT, and DOT forwarded this so there'll be

7 landscaping it, the sidewalks will be fixed, there

8 | will be eight-foot parking lanes, and also a shared

vehicle and bike lane, and also street trees under

10 | the proposed development.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I just want to run back to a question I asked earlier. If for some reason you were to surrender the bulk special permit, could the proposed R7-2 then permit a building that's taller than what's proposed?

NEIL WEISBARD: It could, but the building wouldn't comply with the rear yard requirements so the 30-foot rear yards required. We could not build a feasible building without the waivers, without the special permit, and so we just couldn't drop the special permit and since it's so narrow a building, a feasible building could not be constructed.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you. My last question is why is adaptive reuse of the site not feasible?

2 NEIL WEISBARD: Continuing the site as is?
3 COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Yeah, turning a
4 building into something that it was not originally

5 designed for.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NEIL WEISBARD: So the ownership did meet over the last, when they first purchased the property, with Columbia to see if it could be used for any purpose that Columbia had, and Columbia said the building was too small for what they were trying to do. They also met with the Brooklyn Brewery I believe and they were ready to enter into a lease actually but, when their architects and engineers looked at the site, they also said it was too small so when the applicant purchased the (INAUDIBLE) initially they didn't want to do any of this rezoning. They met with two parties where nothing could be done then they met with another party, said, well we could possibly do residential development here and that's why we proceeded with this application.

SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: And also, just so you know, as I said, I worked on the commercial spaces and restaurants. We used to have the New York Yankees go there after games. We used to have, you

Τ	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 48
2	probably know Fernando Mateo run the club. I've
3	worked with him for years and it lasted and it just
4	died previous to… (CROSS-TALK)
5	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: It was the P-H-U-K
6	Lounge there?
7	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah, yeah, and
8	before that it was an Asian Fusion restaurant.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: I'm very familiar
10	of that area.
11	SHLOMO STEVE WYGODA: Yeah. We had the ex-
12	governor Patterson go there and have meetings, but it
13	was a very difficult thing to continue which those
14	things were tried before our client purchased the
15	property to develop it and, as Neil said, he tried
16	numerous other things so commercial uses for two
17	floors just failed and it's going to continue to
18	fail.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Chair, I have no
20	more questions at this time.
21	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
22	Member Abreu.
23	Council Member Bottcher, do you have any
24	questions.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I would just
3	like to commend my Colleague, Council Member Shaun
4	Abreu, for his leadership on this application.
5	There's nothing easier than coming out in opposition
6	to something. I mean that's so easy. It's hard to sit
7	down and negotiate something that's a win-win for the
8	community which is what Council Member Abreu has
9	done. There's people, both in his District and in the
10	shelter system, who are desperately hoping for this
11	application to get negotiated so congratulations,
12	Council Member Abreu.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Thank you, Council
14	Member, and we're still negotiating.
15	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
16	Member Bottcher.
17	There being no more questions for this
18	panel, this panel is now excused.
19	We do have people sign up to testify so
20	I'm going to call on Rocki Brown and Barry Weinberg

For the members of the public here to testify, please note that witnesses will generally be called in panels of three.

to come up to the panel.

2.2

2.3

up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name being called and prepare to speak when I say you may begin. Please note that once all panelists in your group have completed their testimony, if remotely, you will be removed from the meeting as a group, and the next group of speakers will be introduced. Once removed, participants may continue to view the livestream broadcast of this hearing on the Council's website.

Members of the public will be given two minutes to speak. Please do not begin until the Sergeant-at-Arms has started the clock.

We will start with the two individuals who have signed up here, which is Ms. Rocki Brown and Barry Weinberg, and then we have eight people signed up virtually to testify so we will start first with Ms. Rocki Brown. Ms. Brown, you may begin.

ROCKI BROWN: Good morning. So I heard everything you guys were saying. However, no one spoke about the hazardous conditions, like my understanding is that there is petroleum in the soil so if we all start doing the construction, how that affect us in the community. I mean because it could

be very crippling on the body and no one mentioned that so I want to know like what's going on with that and my daughter had gotten sick some years back and she was very, she's healthy now, thank God, but she was healthy back then, she lost a lot of weight and was unable to walk because of the hazardous conditions in the neighborhood being built so what are you doing to ensure that that problem won't roll over into us so I'm on 135th Street. I'm between Broadway and Riverside Drive so I just want to know. No one spoke about it, no one spoke about the conditions so.

COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Chair, may I ask a question?

ROCKI BROWN: May I keep going or?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, go ahead.

ROCKI BROWN: Yeah, so that's my main issue and just the hazardous condition, like what's going to happen, how you're taking care of it since it wasn't mentioned, and it's the concern, I'm also the President of the Association for Riverview, both 1 and 2, and that is their main concern and that's what I have to say.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Mr.

3 Weinberg.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BARRY WEINBERG: Thank you. I'm Barry Weinberg, the First Vice Chair of Manhattan Community Board 9. We submitted a resolution in opposition to this application, and we have a lot of reasons listed there. The environmental conditions have been mentioned, but I want to talk today to correct some of the misrepresentations that have been presented about the existing structure. The existing structure is on a lot that was previously subdivided from its rear lot and is thus essentially over 100 percent overbuilt for its existing zoning and square footage. The failed tenancies have occurred because there is no power or water to the building when the street collapsed. Previously, the building was 100 percent leased by an operator who was opening three establishments there and was doing the work to begin when the street collapsed so the fact that there has been no tenancy for the past seven years is a result of the building not having any water or electricity because the building owner caused a street collapse that damaged the sewerage interceptor. The building is also not making any improvements to the

25 Council Member Abreu.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: No questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. There being no questions for this panel, this panel is excused. Thank you so much for testifying here today.

The first panel we're going to call online consists of Tiffany Khan, Shaneeka Wilson, Annette Robertson, and Basia Nikonorow, excuse me if I mispronounce your name.

We'll begin first with Tiffany Khan.

Tiffany, if you can hear me please unmute and you may begin.

TIFFANY KHAN: Good afternoon, everyone.

To the Council Member Eric Bottcher, have you
actually read the resolution that Community Board 9
sent in regards to this? Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yeah, we can hear you Tiffany, but you're testifying right now.

TIFFANY KHAN: The reason why I'm asking is because when you come out publicly and say it's very easy to oppose a development like this, it is deeply frustrating and a gross misrepresentation of how the community feels about this development. I'm not going to reiterate the points that Barry Weinberg just stated, but you should perhaps just take a walk

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

through the neighborhood to get a sense of the size of this lot which is about as wide as a brownstone, a small single-family home, on one end, and you're going to put up, you, actually, you, the developer, have the audacity to propose a 14-story building on this site above an Amtrak track. The attorneys from Amtrak have twice told you you cannot build on this lot. And to degrade this as a matter of pretty views is absurd, it's false, and it shows how incredibly ignorant you are, and it's also in a FEMA flood zone so when the next Hurricane Sandy hits, it's going to be we, the taxpayers, that have to relocate those residents and let's be honest. More studios going to Columbia. This is not benefiting West Harlem, and I am tired of people like you that are ramming this down our throats.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Ms. Khan.

Next to testify, we're going to have Shaneeka Wilson.

Shaneeka, if you can hear me you may begin.

SHANEEKA WILSON: All right. Thank you.

Good afternoon, everyone. Really quickly, I just kind of want to reiterate what Council Member Holden said earlier in regards to Posy Corner, everything is not about profit. We have to encounter safety issues,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

flooding issues. Again, as Ms. Khan just said, I don't need to reiterate everything that was already said and has been presented ad nauseum in testimony and in hearings. What I will just say is that you've mentioned you accounted for flooding but how will the residents leave their homes realistically, so the homes may not get flooded, the apartments may not get flooded because you're building that two-foot kind of ramp. They still have to leave their homes and go on about their day so you're aware that it's in a flood zone, you're taking measures to counteract it, but you can't counteract mother nature and, so to Ms. Khan's point, it's still going to be an issue. Those residents will still likely have to be displaced. There's so many points I want to make. I don't want to keep you all. I just will say air contamination, noise pollution. By the way, the MTA even asked that when developers are looking to build near their elevated trains that they consider 500 feet, and this obviously is not that, and then fumes, Amtrak fumes, which we've discussed, we talked about Jenny's Garden ad nauseam, and you're going to have an issue with those fumes for the residents so you've got the air pollution, you've got the noise pollution, you've got

please unmute and you may begin.

2 ANNETTE ROBINSON: Good afternoon, all, 3 good day. As a 50-year resident of 135th Street and 4 Broadway and a member of Manhattan Community Board 9, I come today along with the majority of the residents of this community who unfortunately aren't at this 6 7 hearing opposed to this rezoning of 135th Street for 8 the sole purpose of a developer who is determined to build housing on a lot that is over an Amtrak railroad, atop a sinkhole. It just makes absolutely 10 11 no sense. Not to mention that it's a flood zone. We 12 go through these conversations consistently with this developer. They come before Commissions 13 14 disingenuously presenting facts that are not facts, 15 they're not true, and so I simply ask our elected 16 officials, City Councilman Shaun Abreu, I respect your desire to create affordable housing for this 17 18 community. There is a commitment for that. Unfortunately, Councilman Bottcher, you are 19 20 incorrect. This developer's project is not a win, 21 okay. Borough President Mark Levine has the same 2.2 desire to create housing for this community. He 2.3 continues to support this project. This is not the answer so my ask in this hearing is that our elected 24 25 officials that have the power to say no, please

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

listen to your constituents. This is not a win. We do
not want this project, and we ask that you decline
support because we do not want it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. We added one more person on this so we have two more people for this panel.

Next, I'm going to call Basia Nikonorow.

BASIA NIKONOROW: Hi. My name is Basia Nikonorow. I'm a long-term resident of this neighborhood. A lot of the views that I hold are shared, but I share a lot of the views of the people that have spoken before me. I also, as Barry mentioned, take issue with a lot of the inaccuracies that were presented at this presentation currently, and I take great issue with the fact that this was a site of failed businesses. I will echo what Barry said, there was a beloved restaurant, community restaurant in this neighborhood called Covo which had the very important role of bringing community members together but also working with the City to monitor the safety of this area, this tricky separate area and the stairs connecting to it in the evening. They always made sure that the lights were functioning, they advocated with Parks which is the Park's

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

property to have them repaired and also worked with our former local Council Members. I would like to also mention that the idea of having bike patronage for potential future commercial spaces is great, but this is pass-through. We need an evening activity here to be active. I take issue with the claim of minimal to no impact to the sight lines. As several people ahead of me have mentioned, the bulkhead, and also I'll remind you our city is now undergoing severe electrification and Local Law 97 has mandated electrification requirements for infrastructure that will also be populated up on that roof and among all the bulkhead. The idea of having glass that's partly translucent, I noticed that the word was to the best of our ability, is sounds incredibly misleading, and the fact that the owner has reached out to local businesses...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

BASIA NIKONOROW: Such as Columbia.

Honestly, they should come out to the community. We can reimagine this space and make it so that it benefits the community and not just re-enacting Robert Moses environmental justice inequities of

25 putting poor people...

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You can start wrapping 3 up. Thank you.

BASIA NIKONOROW: Poor people in areas which are deeply flawed as a site for residential use adjacent to a six-lane highway. The air quality, as was mentioned earlier, the vibrations, the safety concerns of being...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you.

BASIA NIKONOROW: Cantilevered over...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. You could present the rest of your testimony to us through writing. Thank you so much for testifying.

The last person on this panel I'm going to call up is Oscar Wang. Oscar, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin.

Oscar, if you can hear me, please unmute and you may begin. We're going to stand at ease to see if Oscar is still online. So Oscar dropped off of line so if you still want to testify on this application, you can still submit written testimony to landusetestimony@nyc.council.gov.

We have another hearing on the special permits next week on September 24th.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Council Members, do we have any questions for this panel?

There being no questions for this panel, this panel is excused.

There being no other members of the public who is to testify on LUs 139 through 152 regarding the 135th Street rezoning proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

I will now open the next hearing which is LU 153 relating to the demolition request regarding 343 West 47th Street in Council Member Bottcher's District in Clinton Hill, Manhattan. The application seeks to proceed with the demolition of a building where work was stopped for failure to have the required demolition approvals.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so you must register online and you must do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

I would now like to give the floor to Council Member Bottcher for his remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Thank you,

Chair. This applicant is pursuing a special permit for the partial demolition of an existing vacant four-unit residential building within the Special Clinton District. Demolition of more than 20 percent of a building in this District requires a special permit in order to protect the neighborhood from speculative development pressures. The owners of this building began demolition prior to obtaining the special permit permissions. Since requesting this permit, the owner has worked with the Community Board to identify practices and protocol to protect neighboring properties and community members. We want to make sure that the applicant follows through on these actions. Once completed, this project will convert a vacant four-unit building to a seven-unit building and will bring this building back into much needed residential use. I look forward to hearing the applicant presentation and updates.

2.2

2.3

Riley and Council Member Bottcher and Members of the Subcommittee. My name is Lisa Orrantia. I'm from Ackerman LLP, land use counsel for the applicant. This application is for a special permit to allow a decrease of more than 20 percent of residential floor area in a building that is located in a Special Preservation Area of the Special Clinton District of Manhattan in Community District 4. The applicant proposes to remove the existing floor area and then restore it by enlarging the building to a greater amount of residential floor area. Next slide, please.

The site is on the north side of West

47th Street between Eighth and Ninth Avenues. It's

located immediately east of the Ramon Aponte

Playground which is a small New York City park and

west of the Professional Performing Arts High School.

The building was originally a four-story one-family

building constructed in the 1920s and was changed to

a four-family building in 1989. The applicant

purchased the property in 2018. All tenants moved out

before January 2019. Portions of the first and fourth

floors were in a deteriorated condition so the

applicant applied for DOB permits to stabilize the

2 structure, which entailed the removal of

3 approximately 1,200 square feet of floor area. DOB

4 permits were issued in July of 2019 and October of

5 | 2020. After work started, DOB conducted an audit and

6 determined that more than 20 percent of residential

7 | floor area was being removed and told the applicant

that a special permit was necessary. Next slide,

please.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The site has a lot area of 2,510 square feet. It's an interior lot with 25 feet of frontage on west 47th Street and a depth of about 100 feet.

Next slide.

The project area is located in an R8 zoning district mapped within the Special Clinton District's Preservation Area. In the Preservation Area, a special permit is required to remove more than 20 percent of residential floor area. This is in order to preserve and strengthen the residential character and protect the community from speculative development pressure. The application demonstrates the required findings in that the building will be substantially preserved by alteration permits to renovate or restore all four existing dwelling units and enlarge the building to a total of seven stories

2 and seven dwelling units. All former occupants

3 vacated the building before January 2019. HPD issued

4 certificates of no harassment. The most recent one is

5 | in effect until May of 2026. The number of new

6 dwelling units and residential floor area is equal to

7 the floor area demolished. Here, units are increasing

8 from four to seven, and floor area is increasing from

9 about 5,000 to 10,000 square feet. Next slide,

10 please.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

The site photos show you protective equipment that is currently installed at the site.

Next slide.

The proposed development is a seven-story building with seven apartments including the renovation, restoration of the four existing apartments, three additional floors with three new apartments, and a total of 10,296 square feet of floor area. The proposed action will further the Special District goal of facilitating rehabilitation and new construction character with the existing scale of the community. Next slide.

The development will comply with all applicable use and bulk regulations, maximizing floor

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 area, and creating three new apartments as of right, and preserving a large rear yard. Next slide, please.

This slide summarizes the written commitments our client has made. Our client will continue to work with the Community Board and the adjoining residential neighbor to resolve all items. Earlier this week, the neighbor's attorney shared a revised draft access and protection agreement that incorporates terms that were discussed and accepted in concept on both sides. Our client is currently reviewing that revised draft and, while I was here today, my colleague at Ackerman was on a conference call with the Community Board to discuss these recent updates. Next slide, please.

In conclusion, this slide is showing an architect's rendering that shows an illustrative mix of brick and metal panels with glass. The brick matches older brick buildings in the neighborhood while the metal panel façade matches the newer buildings in the area. That concludes the presentation.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I just have a few questions then I'm going to pass to Council Member Bottcher.

2 How much of the current building is torn down.

LISA ORRANTIA: So currently they've removed approximately 1,200 square feet.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: When did you start this unlawful demolition.

LISA ORRANTIA: Unlawful in the sense that it required a special permit, it did start with DOB permits that were erroneously issued. That was in 2019.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: It's still unlawful because it did require a special permit.

LISA ORRANTIA: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. What measures are you taking to protect neighbors and pedestrians.

LISA ORRANTIA: Well, currently, there's a sidewalk shed in place, and there's also protective equipment that protects the adjoining public park and, as I mentioned earlier, our client is currently in negotiations to develop a access and protection agreement with the adjoining building owner.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Lastly before I pass over to Council Member Bottcher, when do you expect

2.2

2.3

LISA ORRANTIA: Also completed.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Ensure
3	construction protection for neighboring properties
4	through fences, lights, providing a cleaning and
5	maintenance plan.

LISA ORRANTIA: Yes, also done.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Wasn't there like a missing section of a fence?

LISA ORRANTIA: Yeah, we've had conversations with the adjoining owner who sent us a photo of the missing section. We're aware of it, and the repairs have either been completed or are in progress.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Okay. Providing adequate construction protection for 341 West 47th Street for both roof and overall building.

LISA ORRANTIA: That will be covered in the pending access and protection agreement.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Work with the NYC DPR to develop a park protection plan for Ramon Aponte Park.

LISA ORRANTIA: Yes. When construction is ready to resume, a new permit will be needed from the Parks Department and will be obtained.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Increase the height of the sidewalk shed and provide adequate lighting.

LISA ORRANTIA: That's completed.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Provide updated floor plans with the location of the kitchen and bathroom, use of the cellar, and ADA accessibility for ground floor and elevator.

LISA ORRANTIA: Yes, that's done.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Relocate roof mechanicals to the east side of the building to mitigate shadows on the park. I understand that it was determined that that's not possible.

LISA ORRANTIA: Right, because the bulkhead is over the existing stairway, and to move it would require shifting the staircase so that's not possible.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: Okay, so let's stay in touch with each other and ensure that everything is 100 percent completed, all the commitments that were made.

LISA ORRANTIA: Okay, will do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council

25 Members Bottcher.

2.2

There being no more questions for this panel, this panel is now excused.

Counsel, are there any members of the public who was to testify on 343 West 47th Street.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: No, Chair.

There's no one online or in person to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who was to testify on LU 153 regarding the demolition request at 343 West 47th Street, the public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

I will now open the last hearing for today, Pre-Considered LUs relating to the 2390 McDonald Avenue proposal in Council Member Yeger's District in Gravesend, Brooklyn. The proposal seeks to develop a residential mixed-unit building with approximately 80 units. The rezoning would involve the mapping of Mandatory Inclusionary Housing and, as a result, part of the new housing would be affordable apartments.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by

2.2

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 74
2	visiting the Council's website at
3	council.nyc.gov/landuse.
4	Once again for anyone with us in person,
5	please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit
6	a speaker's card.
7	If you prefer to submit written
8	testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to
9	landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.
10	I will now call the advocate panel for
11	this proposal which consists of Eric Palatnik.
12	Counsel, please administer the
13	affirmation.
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Can you please
15	state your name for the record?
16	ERIC PALATNIK: Eric Palatnik.
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Do you swear to
18	tell the truth and nothing but the truth in your
19	testimony today and in response to Council Member
20	questions?
21	ERIC PALATNIK: I do.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: Thank you, Eric.
23	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the
24	viewing public, if you need an accessible version of

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 this presentation, please send an email request to
3 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now, the applicant team may begin. I'll just ask that you please restate your name and organization.

ERIC PALATNIK: Sure. Thank you very much for your time. My name is Eric Palatnik, and I am representing the owner of 2390 McDonald Avenue, and I appreciate you giving me the time, Council Member, for Chairing the Committee and being the sole remaining Member here. It's actually a good one for you to be the sole remaining member here. We have the unanimous support of Community Planning Board 15, we have the support of the City Planning Commission, we have the support of the Borough President, and I do not believe we have anybody objecting to this application. It's one of the first developments that has affordable housing in this community in a very long time so we're happy to be here, and this is the application. It's at 2390 McDonald Avenue. Next slide, please.

I'll go through it quickly here for you.

It's a proposed eight-story building that will have approximately 90,000 square feet, 4.6 FAR. It'll have

The site is located on McDonald Avenue right next to Gravesend Neck Cemetery which is the oldest cemetery in New York City having been created in the 1600s. There is a restrictive declaration that has been created to protect the cemetery. We are located right on, of course, the subway which you can see right in front of you, which is why C4-4L has been proposed.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

If you can click forward two slides, please. This shows you again the site in relation to the subway and it's next to the F train so it's a suitable location for development like this, transit-

show you the rezoning itself.

2.2

2.3

This is the zoning map, and we are taking a C8 zoning district and it will be rezoned to a, if you should approve this, to a C4-4L.

If you can click forward just so we don't waste the Council Member's time, maybe you could just click forward two or three slides to page 9, and that really gives you the whole development and you can see it all in its entirety and I could (INAUDIBLE)

This shows you the development from two perspectives.

The one at the top of the screen shows you from Gravesend Neck Road or Village Road South, there's another name for it, and you could also see it from the bottom which is along McDonald Avenue, and you can see the way it's been designed so it takes advantage of the C4-4L district, four stories up to the subway and then it steps back.

Nothing else for me to tell you. That's the whole application. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much,
Eric. Just a few questions. Why are you proposing a
C4-4L commercial district rather than mapping the
residential district with a commercial overlay.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ERIC PALATNIK: The reason why and you may 3 not be familiar with this because there's not that 4 many applications along McDonald Avenue recently. The C4-4L district was created by the Brooklyn Office of City Planning specifically for being up against 6 7 elevated trains, and what it allows for is the 8 setback up above the fourth story or third story like I showed you a second ago which other districts don't allow for that setback at that location, and the 10 11 reason for that setback is it pushes the tower back 12 away from the elevated train so that the commercial uses are the lower floors and then the residents are 13 the upper floors and that's a C4-4L. You'll really 14 15 only see it along elevated trains. 16 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You indicated that you

plan on providing 120 parking spaces.

ERIC PALATNIK: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: How many are actually required?

ERIC PALATNIK: Not that many. 30 and, if City of Yes is approved, none will be required. This is hats off to you and the rest of the Council in the conversations you'll be having when this comes up. Some of the community boards when you get into the

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 more quasi suburban type settings such as this 3 portion of Brooklyn feel very strongly that people all have cars and some households have two cars so 4 when somebody such as myself comes in and tries to suggest that we have no cars or the minimum required, 6 7 for example, Community Board 15, for example, will 8 always retort with that doesn't fly with us and we'd like to see more parking so the owner here has the room, we've agreed to provide it. We do agree with 10 11 him somewhat that there will be a need for it, but

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And the bus stops, I saw (INAUDIBLE) the N was seven minutes away and the F is like right around... (CROSS-TALK)

the other token, they asked us to do it.

ERIC PALATNIK: The F is right out front. Everything is right out front. It's well-serviced by mass transit.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: So what is the breakdown of the unit types?

types is between, I have a document here which I could find my notes, here you go. The breakdown of unit types is approximately 17 one-bedrooms, 41 two-bedrooms, and 22 three-bedrooms and, in that

charging station?

2.2

2.3

ERIC PALATNIK: We have that proposed in the development now, but there's really no more units. Parking is not the limiting factor here just because of the size of the property.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. Last question.

The proposed development site is located underneath the elevated MTA tracks. Can you please explain what measures will be taken to mitigate noise for prospective tenants, what measures will be taken to ensure privacy for prospective residential tenants, and are there setbacks, which you did mention there are setbacks for the elevated subway.

ERIC PALATNIK: Well, they're on their own for privacy. I don't know if you've ever ridden the 7 train through Long Island City, but you do come right up against some windows when you do that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay.

ERIC PALATNIK: It's up to them with their curtains. But as far as noise goes, the windows will be sound attenuated. A lot of the technology in windows is what you hear and see in airports. You walk through an airport, you don't hear the airplanes. That same technology is available in most residential applications, and there'll be windows

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 82 specified at those dBA levels that will allow for no 2 3 sound to penetrate. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. There 4 being no more questions for this panel, this panel is 5 excused. 6 7 Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 2390 McDonald Avenue? 8 COMMITTEE COUNSEL VIDAL: No, Chair. CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members 10 11 of the public who wish to testify on Pre-Considered LUs regarding 2390 McDonald's rezoning proposal, the 12 13 public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid 14 over. 15 That concludes today's business. I would 16 like to thank the members of the public, my 17 Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other 18 Council Staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for 19 participating in today's meeting. This meeting is 20 hereby adjourned. Thank you. [GAVEL] 21 22 23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date September 18, 2024