CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

November 30, 2023 Start: 11:12 a.m. Recess: 11:48 a.m.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM, 16TH

FLOOR

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu

Erik D. Bottcher David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks Farrah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya Lynn C. Schulman

A P P E A R A N C E S

Erik Palatnik, Eric Palatnik, P.C.

Alvin Schein, Seiden and Schein, P.C.

I am Arthur Huh, Counsel to this Subcommittee.

This meeting is being held in hybrid format.

Members of the public who wish to testify may do so in person or via Zoom. Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse.

For those of you here with us in the room today, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker card if you wish to testify.

Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

When called to testify, if joining remotely you will remain muted until recognized by the Chair or myself to speak.

Public testimony will be limited to two minutes per witness. If you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you have written testimony you would like to submit instead of appearing before the Subcommittee, please email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 indicate the LU number and/or project name in the 3 subject line of your email.

Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda.

will now open the public hearing on Preconsidered LU items relating to the 541-545 Bay Street Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Hanks' District in Staten Island. This is a private application to extend the Special Bay Street Corridor District as well as map a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area over the proposed new Special District area.

For anyone wishing to testify on these items remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

If you would prefer to submit written testimony, you can always do so by emailing it to us at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

2.2

2 At this time, I would like to allow 3 Council Member Hanks to give remarks regarding this

4 project.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you, Chair Riley. Good morning, everyone. I'm Kamillah Hanks, Council Member of the 49th District, and I'm here to discuss the 541-545 Bay Street Rezoning.

This application proposes to extend the Special Bay Street Corridor District zoning one block on the south in order to facilitate development of an eight-story, 81-unit apartment building with ground floor commercial space. As we are all aware, our city is in the midst of a housing crisis, and this certainly extends to Staten Island. The development of housing and affordable housing units for all incomes level is crucial to begin to address this problem. As a local to this area, I am deeply passionate about supporting the much needed inclusive development that could bring housing and jobs to benefit this community. The need for economic development and housing in District 49, especially in this neighborhood, is clearly evident and includes both market rate and affordable housing including through MIH. I would like to thank the Staten Island

testimony before this Subcommittee and in your

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 8 2 answers to all Council Member questions? Mr. 3 Palatnik. 4 ERIC PALATNIK: I do. COMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Mr. Schein. ALVIN SCHEIN: I do. 6 7 COMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the 9 viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to 10 11 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. 12 Now, the applicant team may begin. 13 Panelists, as you begin, I'd just ask that you please 14 restate your name and organization for the record. 15 You may begin. 16 ERIC PALATNIK: Hello. Good morning, 17 again. My name is Eric Palatnik. I'm an attorney, and 18 I'm representing Bay Street Development, the 19 applicant. I'd like to start off first by saying 20 21 thank you to all of you. You're some of the most reasonable people in City government, and I'd like to 2.2 2.3 thank you very much for the leadership you've shown over the city, particularly during the last three 24

years when we've been confronted with a series of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

events that I don't think anybody could've

anticipated so thank you. That's more important I

4 think than anything that's going on in this hearing.

2.2

2.3

Going to the housing crisis and going to a Land Use application, we're here today for a rezoning as was just explained by the Chair to basically extend what's called the Bay Street Corridor, and you can see there's really only two people here that are from the island that probably even know what the Bay Street Corridor is.

For those of you who don't, oh, there's three, good, okay, and that's with all due respect to everybody in the city. The island is its own place.

Bay Street is a very unique place. It's got tons of potential. It went through the last uptick in real estate economic activity and was missed out. If you look out at Williamsburg, you look out at Jersey City, you look out all along the waterfront surrounding New York City, Manhattan I should say, and all those communities have developed, Hoboken, all of them. Bay Street and Staten Island have lagged behind. Part of the reason is primarily because there's not been an incentive for developers to build on Bay Street which is the main drag. The

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Councilwoman has been a leader in that discussion along with Community Planning Board 1 who nearly unanimously supported this application. The unique part about this rezoning that I'm going to get into in a moment is not the rezoning itself. Everybody is for the rezoning I think. The Borough President issued a negative recommendation, we don't know why. He didn't state any reasons on it, but it was unanimous at the Community Board and the Councilperson knows we've been in contact for years so we're not quite sure what happened at the Borough President, and we've tried to reach out. Nonetheless, it is a well-supported community application. Bay Street needs an uptick. It's had plenty of affordable housing at lower income bands being created, but the demographic that I believe everybody wants to see live in that lot and live on that street that has discretionary income that could support future businesses so that the gates that are rolled down right now and the people that are on the streets, some of which are a little strung out on drugs, could be overcome by people that are working, coming into jobs every single day, raising families and spending money in shops and boutiques and all the things and

think any of us, me or the Council Member or the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 community are talking about whether a building should

3 be built here. I think we're all in agreement that a

4 | building should definitely be built here. We're

5 trying to figure out what income bands should be

6 within the MIH component within the building. With

7 that as my overview, I'll start, just go through the

presentation rather quickly because the building is a

9 very straightforward building. We are on Bay Street.

10 \parallel If you can go to the next slide, please.

You can't really read the stats that are up here, but the right side shows you what we're asking for which is what I just explained. It's an eight-story building. What the extension of the Bay Street Corridor is going to do, the property right now is in an R6 zoning district, and, because it's in an R6, when an R6 property is on a wide street, they're get a benefit within 100 feet of the corner. The Bay Street Corridor extends that benefit over the whole property so what we're seeking to do is to get the benefit of the R6 over our entire property, and that's facilitating the eight-story building and it's a slight uptick in our floor area, and that's what this whole request is about. The building will have 42 parking spaces. It'll have ground floor commercial 2 use which will be the existing

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 grocery/vegetable/fruit store that's there now that's

4 desperately needed in the community. It's the only

5 supermarket in the immediate area, and it's a market.

6 I won't call it a supermarket. Next slide, please.

This shows you the property. The property is in the middle here. What's important to call out is Staten Island Railway runs behind the property. The building that's on the left is a fully affordable development, the Councilperson could tell you more details about its MIH level, and the building on the right is a fully affordable development, and the Councilperson can tell you more about the MIH level, but that's the point of the MIH discussion that we're having is that the new developments that have come up have been catering to a very low band, and there's been no affordability created for working class people, the workforce housing, people that are the schoolteachers, that are the firefighters, they're the young people that are looking for housing. It doesn't exist because they over-qualify for the lower income band so you're left with a workforce that doesn't have an affordable housing option to them. Next slide, please.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide shows you the zoning map.

You can see the gray area that I'm talking about. Our

site is in the dots in the middle. The gray area is

the Bay Street Corridor. You can see that on the left

side we're not in the gray area, and on the right

side we are. That's the whole request. We were left

out of the Bay Street Corridor when it was created

some seven or eight years ago, which by the way it

hasn't done what it should've done seven or eight

This just shows you the building, go to the next slide. This shows you the building again from a different perspective. I'm not going to waste your time going through this. If you go to the next slide and go two ahead if you can, please.

years ago. It was supposed to inspire Jersey City, it

was supposed to inspire Hoboken. It hasn't inspired

much. I think there's been five or six new buildings

built since it was created, many of which are at that

very, very low-income band. Next slide, please.

This slide starts to show you what's going on in the community around us. Just as far as from a Land Use perspective, because you are here to govern Land Use of course, you want to see the buildings fit within the character. We're proposed to

2 be 80 feet, and you can see the heights of the

3 buildings that are all around are somewhat analogous

4 at 63 feet. You see buildings at 60 feet, 75 feet,

5 | 123 feet is under construction right now so we're not

6 out of character size-wise. You can click ahead now.

7 I think we can go ahead and maybe skip right to slide

8 22 if you can.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I'll show you the wet proofing and then
I'll come back to the affordability. The property is
within a flood zone. It did not take on water during
Sandy crazily enough, but it has the capability to so
it is proposed to be wet floodproofed, and I just
wanted to call that out to your attn. Now, if you can
go back a couple of slides. You can go back to the
MIH, and that's at page 18.

This is where we started with when we started the application, and this is the guts of the discussions that we've been having with the Council Member's office. We all started off with the idea that bigger is better, more money is better than less money, so 115 AMI, that should be good to help a developer in this situation be the seed developer in the community. There are not many other buildings here that are market rate so the thought would be

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 that this building would inspire others to come and 3 build, but what the Council Member rightfully pointed 4 out to us is that at a 115 average AMI, because of the condition of the neighborhood right now 5 economically, the MIH units would be exceeding market 6 7 rate so what we've been in talks with the Council 8 Member to do right now is to modify the MIH level to a level that meets the market and creates MIH units that lock in affordability at today's rate but, as 10 11 we've been talking about, rates are going to raise in 12 the future, and those rates are going to stay on the

market rate that could spend money in the community and inspire hopefully somebody to open up a restaurant, a bar, somebody go get a drugstore or a dry cleaners, things like that, and that's what we're hoping to achieve with the Council Member.

MIH so those will become the affordable units of the

future while attracting people to come there today at

That is our entire presentation. I'd be happy to go into more details on the building and the parking and all that jazz if you would like. Council Member, I hope I clearly described, accurately described our discussions until now and, if I didn't, please correct me. Thank you very much for your time.

2.2

2.3

the imagination.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Eric. I just have a few questions, and then I'm going to pass it to Council Member Hanks and then see if any other Members upon the Committee have questions.

If the Council were to disapprove this application or make any changes that the applicant would consider untenable, what would happen to this site?

ERIC PALATNIK: Right now, it's a twostory supermarket, food store type establishment. If
it was to be dramatically changed, but I don't feel
like it's going in that direction by any stretch of

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And that is what?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Is the wet floodproofing the ground floor enough to protect the entire building from potential future flooding?

ERIC PALATNIK: Yes. Obviously, it's meant so that way the ground floor can be let go in case a flood comes in so that's how it's designed. There are things on the first floor that will be lost, but the upper floors will be safe. All the mechanicals will

2 be above that and any building systems and anything

3 of the like so the building itself will not be in any

4 way, shape, or form damaged other than the ground

5 floor, which, obviously, if we should have an event

6 like that will take on water.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Is the building accessible to transportation?

accessible. I didn't mention, there's a slide on it, I didn't want to waste your time, but I did mention it's up against the Staten Island Railway which is right behind. There's a stop right behind it. Of course, the ferry is just down the block a mile or so, but it's a bus ride away, and there's plenty of bus transportation so by Staten Island definition of good transit, it's got the best, but that's not saying a lot when you're on the island. It's a very car dependent place.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: I was going to get into that. Being that it's very car dependent on Staten Island, I'm pretty sure the Community Board was pushing for more parking.

ERIC PALATNIK: I didn't bore you with the slides. We worked very closely with the Community

Board, Nick is the Chair of the Board, he was very
forthcoming with everything. Their biggest concern
was not the number of spaces. He felt as if we had
enough. Their biggest concern was that we were using
stackers in the development, and there are not that
many developments on the island that have stackers.
The other boroughs tend to have more than the island
so that was their biggest concern was that we were
using stackers but at the end of the day they were
comfortable. They understood that stackers is all we
could because the only alternative would've been to
build a parking structure, which is quite expensive.
Thank you for pulling that up while I was talking. As
you can see there, it's not really, and this I think
was the saving grace is my argument, is we're up
against the Staten Island Railway right between us
and the parking lot so the parking lot with the
stackers, it's not as if it's up against homes next
to us that are going to hear any clanking or anything
like that. We're up against the train.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. I think that's all the questions I have.

Council Member Hanks.

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you, Chair 3 Riley.

J INTICY

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Thank you so much, Mr. Palatnik. I appreciate your testimony. This is a project that I am very interested in. Just to give my Colleagues a little background. This is my neighborhood. I live right down the street from this proposed development. I have been in this whole economic development building changing Staten Island's North Shore along the waterfront for about two decades, and I've seen it all so where we are on this project, the questions that I am going to be asking are for you and the developer, what was the thought process in coming up with this proposed development, and what would inspire people in this particular area to want to pay a higher rent when the surrounding areas as you testified is all low income. I think that where we want to get to is that balance and that middle ground that understands that there needs to be affordability for the folks like my children who make 50,000 dollars a year who are still living at home and the balance between folks who want to pay a little bit higher. Pioneers nowadays, it's a very difficult sweet spot to reach, and so I need to understand do

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you understand this neighborhood in the way that would make you think that a project like this will work. That's the question.

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you very much, Council Member. You've met the applicants here and you know the applicants to be local businesspeople. You know them to be involved in the local Business Improvement District and active in all sorts of civic and business endeavors, and you know them, of course, to be local real estate property owners as well. They've analyzed the community, and what you said about low income, to your defense, it's true about the housing in the area, that it's low income, but it's not a low-income community. As a matter of fact, the demographics and the income levels in the community are incrementally substantially higher than other parts of the city so it's not a matter that it's low income so finding people to purchase a home or rent, I should say in this case, to rent a home is not going to be impossible. I know we've spoken about this. Pete Davidson, we all know Pete Davidson from Saturday Night Live, he lived in a place called Erby. I don't know if you all know that. The Bay Street Landing, excuse me. Thank you for correcting me. He

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

moved out obviously, but he brought a level of cognizance to the area. What's been happening is Bay Street Landing and places like Erby have had trouble leasing up until now as you know, but recently they've leased up and they are now almost at full occupancy, and we have some communications from local brokers, which we can submit to you, that show that they're getting decent rents there. A two-bedroom in Erby is ranging at 3,000 dollars a month, it's 3,150 dollars, a one-bedroom in Erby is getting 2,400 dollars a month, and a studio at Erby at the market rate is getting 2,300 dollars a month, and they have tenancies within the building. What we feel we can offer in our building to your point is we are offering larger units at that price and even slightly lower so we think we're going to hit a sweet spot in the community for A) there is no more housing available because as I said those buildings, Bay Street Landing and Erby have leased up, there's not much else out there. This is going to create more of an opportunity for people such as your children who are looking for housing as well as people that are about to retire, that are looking to move out of their homes, empty nesters, and we feel that they'll

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

23

be plenty of people that will be looking for housing
at this exact dollar point.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you so much. To your point, you mentioned when you testified Hoboken and why folks are moving to Hoboken, and that brings me to something that's really important to me. What are the amenities in this building offering to attract the tenants that you think would be paying these rents? Just to give my Colleagues an understanding that Bay Street Landing are condominiums and it has always been a bifurcated neighborhood that the railroad tracks have separated the haves from the have nots. When Erby was built, it was a then-market-rate building that had 20 percent affordable units, but the tenants didn't renew their leases because they didn't feel that what they were paying, they were getting anything out of it. They didn't have amenities. We have transportation issues. We have this space in between being something and existing as we are, and so why would anyone pay the rents that you're proposing and what would you avenue in this building to attract the tenants who are leaving for Hoboken who have incredible amenities in

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 these buildings so how is that in line with what

3 you're proposing with these workforce options?

ERIC PALATNIK: Of course, obviously, we're not competing with the TF Cornerstones and the (INAUDIBLE) of the world and we don't claim to be so there's not going to be any infinity pools, there's not going to be any steam saunas in the building and things like that. People will have to go to a higher grade of lifestyle to achieve that. We are proposing to include many of the things that people have come to rely upon though in everyday life. They'll be things such as a weightroom for people to work out, they'll be a place for Amazon deliveries, they'll be parking onsite, they'll be bicycle storage onsite, they'll be a rooftop terrace, they'll be ability to have a barbecue up on the roof and sit under a veranda and look out at the sunset and watch the Harbor. That's about the extent of it, but I should say up until the time we all got very fancy in the last couple of years, when I bought my first apartment in New York City in 2006, that was the amenity list and I thought I was living large. That was big. We had a package, I had a community room, and I had a place to work out. I didn't work out

once, I never used the community room, and my wife

3

1

got all the packages, but it was there. That's what

4

we're offering to people. We're hoping that with this building that it will plant the seed like I said and

5 6

the next developer will be able to come in and, just

7

like we're building off of Erby, and see that there's

8

people renting that and then they'll build that next

building that has more of those sexy stylistic

10

attributes that we're starting to see all around us

11

in Williamsburg and Long Island City, Jersey City,

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you. We're

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Not like us. How

12

Hoboken, all those places.

all those fabulous by the way.

13

14

15

16

ERIC PALATNIK: I know they do.

would this project benefit the community and has the

developer or property owner figured out what kind of

community benefits that I feel that development, if

they're going to invest in a neighborhood then you

marketing to millennials, and they definitely want

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

literally have to invest in the neighborhood and Tappen Park is there, there is the waterfront, and

how is this proposal going to add to the community to

make it the community that you're speaking of that

2.2

2.3

would command this because that's what my goal is
with the entire masterplan of the North Shore. How
does this fit in the grander scheme?

ERIC PALATNIK: That actually was discussed at the Community Board, and a couple of different things are going to help here. The first thing, of course, is the provision of the affordable housing that is going to lock in that housing at that rate for an eternity, meaning that what we're locking in today as being a 2,000- or 3,000-dollar apartment, four or five years from now may be a 4,000-dollar apartment, and that's the first thing. You're going to create a solid stock of housing that will remain affordable and this will be one of the first ones at this income level to attract that level of demographic.

The next thing that we're going to be doing is including a supermarket downstairs. We're working very hard to maintain that use. That was discussed at length at the Community Board. I was representing Lidl that was coming in to the site not too far away from you that pulled out of the community, and when I was working on that job I learned from everybody in the area that there are no

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 supermarkets that are actively engaged in trying to

3 come into the neighborhood so to see that he's

4 maintaining the supermarket was felt to be a very

5 positive endorsement by the community.

The other thing is, as I mentioned before, the ownership of the building is incredibly involved in the community. By encouraging them to stay here, they sit on the BID, they go to Community Board meetings, they get involved with everybody with revitalization of Bay Street so to keep a personality like that engaged in your community that's actively involved in and has a stake in how it turns out in the future and the success of this building is directly dependent upon whether or not they eat tomorrow, I would think would be the best community benefit you could ever have as opposed to having, and with no disrespect to, a related or a gigantic corporation come in that really this is just one of, I came in downstairs on this building, there's a logo for AM Realty on the building, and it's actually got a logo. I could only imagine the corporation that owns the building we're sitting in right now how large it is. The building that we're asking to build is being built by a local, somebody that's going to

2 stay in your neighborhood just like you that grew up

3 in the area like you said and is planning on staying

4 here. I think you're going to see more community

5 benefits out of this than any other project because

6 of that. They're going to want to see it succeed. The

7 better it succeeds, the better they're going to do so

8 | I think it's a win/win for everybody.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you so much.

There's a Western Beef down the street so you're saying, do you have any indication that that will not be there, they're leaving?

Western Beef won't be there much longer, but I'd be hard pressed, with all due respect to Western Beef,
Western Beef is an entirely different animal when it comes to serving food and selling food product.

They're selling it to a very specific clientele at Western Beef. I would dare say Western Beef is not everybody's cup of tea for a supermarket. I think it's one option, but it's a very outlier option. I wouldn't say it's the normal establishment for people to go shopping in.

2.2

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER HANKS: Thank you so much.

Thank you, Chair Riley. Any of my Colleagues have questions?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Hanks.

Council Member Bottcher and then Council Member Carr.

COUNCIL MEMBER BOTTCHER: I just want to support my Colleague from Staten Island's efforts and also back up the need for middle income bands. There's always a push for very low income which we absolutely need, but we also need a range of incomes, and the truth is that people who are schoolteachers, nurses, administrative assistants, firefighters, they are also locked out of the housing market now. In our District, particularly in Community Board 4, they're really pushing for the inclusion of middle income bands, people making 50,000 dollars a year, 65,000 dollars a year, 70,000 dollars a year, which unfortunately is not a lot of money in New York anymore so I'm really hoping that as a Body we start orienting our thinking that way and that we build housing for formerly homeless, supportive housing, very low income, low income, middle income, and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 market rate. We have to build everything, all of the 3 above in New York.

ERIC PALATNIK: If I could just add a comment to what he just said if it's okay, Council Member?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Sure.

ERIC PALATNIK: First of all, I'm in every single Community Board every month, every day. What you're saying, I'm hearing reverberate in quite a few Community Boards and actually, if you could believe it or not, I'm hearing it in the Community Boards you wouldn't think I would be hearing it in. I will say this, the reason you're seeing that and why that tide is shifting that way is because it's infinitely easier to build the lower income housing because the subsidies and the financial pipeline is there to support it from the government. The demographic and the financial bands that we're talking about right now, there's zero government input to so for a developer to meet that gray area, the developer that's building the fully affordable, believe it or not, they're doing okay because those developments are being built with federal subsidies, low-income tax credits, and a host of other laundry list of

2 President. Have there been any conversations at all,
3 even at the staff level, about this application in

4 | the leadup to this hearing?

2.2

2.3

ERIC PALATNIK: We just realized it the other day because everybody was talking the other day and somebody went onto ZAP and all of a sudden they saw it on ZAP. Nobody knew about the letter. I don't know if the Council Member as aware of it. I don't think the Community Board was aware of it. We don't know what happened. I don't know if it was just a mistake, there was a staff change in the Borough President's Land Use Division over the past few months. There's nothing filled out on the paperwork at all. There's the box, approve, disapprove. It's just disapprove so we're trying to find out right now what caused that.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Before this letter became know, you've had what kind...

ERIC PALATNIK: Positive meetings with the Borough President. We met with the Borough President's office when Mr. Englert was there two years ago. It was extremely positive, and I think the Council Member is aware to that meeting too so we don't know what happened, and we'll find out. Like I

2.2

2.3

said, there was nothing in writing so I think if they
really meant to oppose they would've written a very
strongly worded letter with a reason.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: CB1 is rarely near-unanimous about anything.

ERIC PALATNIK: That's a 25-year career high for me.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: For sure. I just wanted to get some clarity on that.

I think that the Bay Street Corridor

mapping as previously constituted, I think was a

matter of concern for many of us, even though I don't

represent the area in question, I am on Bay Street a

lot and that's because the economic driver of Bay

Street are people like myself who come from other

parts of the borough to patronize places there.

Council Member Hanks has a lot of great restaurants

in her District, and so obviously I'm there

frequently, but I think it is an interesting notion

to kind of take a new spin on the corridor in the way

that you're looking to do with this different band of

affordability.

I just wanted to also clarify, those 25 units that you're proposing to be affordable, is that

2.2

2.3

ERIC PALATNIK: That's 30 percent of the floor area is the 25 units, and we're hoping that the Council Member is not going to ask us for more because we are stressed financially to the max, and the idea would be to play with the affordability level to lower the bands down.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: So what of the different unit types are the ones that you're looking to be the affordable ones?

which I could pass up after the hearing. They'll be two studios in the affordable range, they'll be 18 one-bedrooms, and they'll be five two-bedrooms, and that we could play around with on the mix, but that mix was changed after City Planning asked us to change the mix a little bit.

COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Thank you. I appreciate that. That's all my questions. I think this is a really interesting project, and I think it's certainly very timely so thank you.

ERIC PALATNIK: Thank you for your comments, Council Member.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
3 Member Carr.

There being no further questions, this applicant panel is excused.

Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 541-545 Bay Street Rezoning Proposal remotely or in person?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL HUH: Any members of the public participating remotely who wish to testify regarding this proposal should use the raise hand button now.

Anyone in the room with us today wishing to testify should come forward, see one of the Sergeants up here and submit a speaker card.

Chair, it appears that we have no members of the public to testify.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Counsel.

There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on these Preconsidered LUs related to the 541-545 Bay Street Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

That concludes today's business. I would like to thank the members of the public, my
Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES	36
2	Council Staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for	
3	participating in today's meeting.	
4	This meeting is hereby adjourned. Than	ık
5	you. [GAVEL]	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 7, 2023