CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

----- X

September 29, 2023 Start: 10:24 a.m. Recess: 1:50 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS, CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Carlina Rivera, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

David M. Carr Shahana K. Hanif Mercedes Narcisse Lincoln Restler Alexa Avilés

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Juanita Holmes
Commissioner
NYC Department of Probation

Robert Maldonado
Deputy Commissioner
NYC Department of Probation

Kat Thompson
Chief of Staff
Department of Correction

Francis Torres
Deputy Commissioner
Department of Correction

Nell McCarty
Assistant Commissioner
Department of Correction

Valerie Greisokh Assistant Commissioner Department of Correction

Anna Calabrese Executive Director of Reentry Initiates Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Jeanette Merrill Director Communications and Intergovtl Affairs Health+Hospitals Corr Health Svcs Nadia Chait Senior Director of Policy and Advocacy CASES

Andre Ward Associate Vice President of Policy Fortune Society

Megan French-Marcelin Legal Action Center

Kingsley Rowe Senior Forensic Reentry Social Worker New York County Defender Services

Janelle Ferris
Executive Director
Brooklyn Community Services

Hubert Lila Program Director Brooklyn Community Services

Andy Collado
Director of Economic Empowerment Programs
Riseboro Community Partnership

Arnell Jackson Program Manager Polo Grounds Housing Complex in Harlem Next Steps

Zakya Warkeno
Policy Advocate and Social Worker
The Bronx Defenders

Veronia Vela Prisoners' Rights Project Legal Aid Society

Ahmed Rodriguez Director Community Media Services

Rebecca Kinsella Associate Director of Social Work Criminal Defense Practice Brooklyn Defender Services

LuAnne Blaauboer Vice President of Vocational Programs Good Shepherd Services

Zachary Katznelson Executive Director The Lippman Commission

Darren Mack Co-Director Freedom Agenda

Jennifer Parrish Urban Justice Center Mental Health Project

Julia Defina Senior Director of Justice Programs Community Mediation Services

Brad Cauthen
Director
Jail-Based Services and Workforce Devt

The Osborne Association

Peleya Patterson Vice President Community Based Justice and Mentoring Initiatives Good Shepherd Services

Jessica Yager Project Director Red Hook Community Justice Center

2.2

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Getting ready to begin.

Good morning and welcome to the New York City

Council hearing of the Committee on Criminal Justice.

At this time, can everybody please silence your cell

phones? If you wish to testify, please go up to the

sergeant's desk to fill out a testimony slip.

Written testimony can be emailed to

testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is

testimony@counsel.nyc.gov. At this time and going

forward no one is to approach the dais. I repeat, no

one is to approach the dais. Thank you for your

cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Good morning.

I'm Councilmember Carlina Rivera, Chair of the council's Committee on Criminal Justice. I'd like to welcome everyone here today and those joining us remotely to discuss the Department of Correction and Department of Probation's programming and reentry services, as well as Introduction 1203 sponsored by Councilmember Riley, to require DOC to provide a letter of incarceration also known as jail release papers or discharge papers to every person that gets released from the department's custody.

2.2

2.3

I also want to recognize my colleagues who are here, Councilmembers Narcisse and Carr, and we've been joined by Councilmember Hanif virtually.

Programming has the power to transform the lives of individuals in our city's jails and those under probation supervision, and is a critical part of an effective approach to public safety. For incarcerated individuals, successful programming can address untreated trauma, improve decision-making skills, and provide academic and vocational training that will improve post-release employment opportunities.

For individuals on probation supervision particularly young people involved in the juvenile justice system, programs help spur the changes that empower individuals to stabilize and avoid further involvement in the criminal legal system. Despite the importance of programming in recent months, the Department of Correction and Department of Probation have both cut contracts with outside providers that have a long track record of serving individuals both in the jails, recently released, or under supervision, often without advance notice or clear rationale. The Department of Correction is required

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

by law to offer at least five hours of programming
per day to incarcerated individuals with few
exceptions.

Today, we want to learn more about how the department ensures this requirement is met and how they evaluate their offerings to ensure these programs are having a meaningful impact. We want an update on the tablets intended to provide enrichment and a lifeline to the outside for people in custody, but which we've learned have minimal functionality. We want to hear how the department manages its volunteer program when providers come to us with concerns surrounding clearance and training, as well as a lack of staff preventing them from offering their services. We are particularly interested in learning more about the department's work to insource the programs provided through \$17 million in contracts to nonprofits and community organizations, representing over 20% of the agency's total programs offered to incarcerated individuals.

The organizations impacted by the cuts had a long track record of working on Rikers Island and employed many individuals with lived experience. The programs that were cut fell within the crucial category of

inside job facilities.

2.2

2.3

transition services: Services that target
individuals needs and assist with transition
planning, whether back into the community or state
custody. This includes programs that address work
readiness, financial literacy, anger management,
parenting, healthy relationships, reentry planning,
life skills and stress management. We must examine
the impact of this decision to insource programs that
provide motivation, rehabilitation, connection, and
hope, not to mention reduce violence and tension

I also look forward to getting an update on the array of reentry planning and services provided by the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and Correctional Health Services. How have the cuts affected operations? How does reentry planning channel into the new reentry service center near the MTA Q100 bus stop at the entrance of Rikers?

Program cuts are not isolated to the Department of Correction. I am concerned about reports from organizations that the Department of Probation is terminating their contracts with less than a week of notice. One of the programs impacted is the Next Steps Program, which provided mentoring to over 200

2.2

2.3

Young people in NYCHA developments across the city, a program that Commissioner Holmes touted as emblematic of what works in criminal justice in front of this committee in March.

How did the department select these contracts for termination? And why did they give these providers such little notice? We have a wide range of stakeholders here to help address these questions and more.

With the cuts to individualized transition services that help connects people leaving custody to the social safety net is important that DOC provide individuals with the paperwork they need to get their feet on the ground after their release. Federal law prohibits people from collecting from programs like Social Security and Medicaid while incarcerated. So they need to show proof that they're out, their discharge papers, to get their benefits back. That is why we are hearing Introduction 203 sponsored by Councilmember Riley, which would require the OSI to provide a letter of incarceration to every person that gets released from the department's custody. Instead of requiring individuals to proactively

2.2

2.3

2 request such a letter, issuing one would be the default.

To truly improve public safety and reduce levels of incarceration, the agencies before us today must build a collaborative reentry model that begins the minute someone enters the jail system and ensures there can be sustainable long-term success when they leave. I look forward to hearing all of your testimony on how we can get this done.

And with that, I will turn it over to committee counsel.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll now swearing our panel of administrative administration witnesses.

With us today from the Department of Correction,
we have Commissioner Juanita Holms and Deputy
Commissioner-- I'm sorry, Department of Probation
Commissioner Juanita Holmes, and Deputy Commissioner
Robert Maldonado.

From the Department of Correction, we have Kat
Thompson, Deputy Commissioner Francis Torres,
Assistant Commissioner Nell McCarty, and Assistant
Commissioner Valerie Greisokh. We also will be
joined at some point by Correctional Health Services

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

2 and Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice
3 representatives.

But for now, with all the administration witnesses president, please raise your right hands?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and respond honestly to Councilmember questions?

Noting for the record all witnesses answered in the affirmative. You can begin your testimony in whatever order you so choose.

MS. THOMPSON: Good morning. Good morning, Chair Rivera and members of the Committee on Criminal Justice. I am Kat Thompson, Chief of Staff and the New York City Department of Correction, DOC. I'm joined today by Deputy Commissioner of the Division of Programs and Community Partnerships, Francis Torres, and Assistant Commissioners Nell McCarty and Valerie Greisokh to provide an update on the department's programs and reentry services since insourcing large contract services as a part of the fiscal year 2024 adopted budget.

We believe that everyone benefits when individuals in custody are afforded the tools that they need to have less adverse contact with the

solving with people in custody.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

justice system in the future. To this end, 2 3 individuals in the department's care can access an 4 array of robust services and opportunities that support change and successful community 5 reintegration. Most of these services are afforded 6 7 by the department through program staff, including arts enhanced recreation, law library, chaplaincy, 8 social services, and individual and group-based counseling. Staff assigned to the Division of 10 11 programs and community partnerships also lead community engagement days, conduct family 12 13 interventions and respond to some emergencies within 14 the jails to assist in de-escalating or problem

In addition to these core services, the

Department of Education provides education in schools

and volunteers afford all kinds of enrichment

activities. The division of programs is comprised of

a highly skilled and dedicated group of individuals

who do tremendous work in the jails every day. All

program staff are trained in evidence-based

curriculum and best practices, and they continue to

participate in ongoing training and development

throughout their careers with the department. They

2.2

2.3

bring a wealth of diverse skills, experiences and perspectives to their work. On average division staff have worked for the Agency for seven years, with nearly 80%, holding higher education degrees ranging from associates to doctoral degrees. Many of the staff members started their careers with community-based organizations, and several, including senior staff members, have also lived experience within the justice system and with issues that can impact justice involvement. Their work is critical to the department's goals of building safe and humane jails, and preparing individuals in custody for a successful return to their community.

As this body is aware, in order to comply with the mayor's mandated 4% budget reduction in fiscal year 2024 adopted budget, the department terminated large contracts with external program providers and insourced the services they were providing. The primary role of external providers under these contracts was to afford group-based services to housing units in addition to the core services afforded by DOC program staff. The department has implemented several strategic measures over the last few months to ensure individuals in custody continue

2.2

2.3

2 to receive robust and meaningful programs and 3 services in the absence of these contracts.

Since 2015, our counseling unit staff have been the primary staff leading group facilitation within certain housing areas, including our adolescent and emerging adult units, and most recently, our enhanced supervision housing units. Because of this, they were well positioned to assume the responsibilities department wide once the contracts ended.

Between May and June of this year, we engaged with labor union leadership to change the tasks and standards for associate correctional counselors within the counseling unit, so that they could provide group-based counseling services for the whole population. These changes required a shift in some of their other responsibilities. Prior to implementing any changes for our staff, we held internal meetings with staff to provide transparent information about these upcoming changes.

Individuals in custody were also informed of the transition of group facilitation, and one-to-one counseling by contracted providers to department counseling staff members. Staff were provided with refresher trainings on facilitation techniques,

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

evidence-based practices and curricula to ensure that
they were prepared to assume these responsibilities.

Of course, we knew we could not simply ask our associate correctional counselors to take on more responsibilities without creating efficiencies and shifting responsibilities in other areas. Previously, associate correctional counselors were providing most services in a one on one format. Under the new model, associate correctional counselors provide people in custody with the same frequency of programming just now in a group format. The goals of counseling sessions have not changed. Rather, they're brought in to incorporate a group experience. Individuals still have access to one-onone engagement through various other program staff and activities such as chaplaincy services, enhanced recreational services, and the Fine and Performing Arts team.

We've also shifted the way in which social services are accessed and supported. Social Service Requests can now be submitted through the tablets and we recently on boarded five supervising counselors who are supporting the provision of social services across each jail. These operational changes have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

reduced the workload related to social service

requests for the associate correctional counselors,

allowing more time for the provision of group

services. Furthermore, this month, the counseling

unit welcomed a new deputy director to provide

additional support and guidance to the team. A

second deputy director will join the team in October.

Lastly, I would like to highlight that the New York City Health + Hospitals slash Correctional Health Services they remain a partner and the only provider of mental health therapy and counseling for those in custody. For issues that are beyond the scope of the division of programs, DOC continues to partner with DHS through referrals to mental health services. The department continues to partner with the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice MOCJ and contracted reentry providers to afford transition planning for individuals in our care. Transition coordinators meet with incarcerated individuals to assist with discharge planning and make referrals to community-based services including assistance with housing and employment. They also assist individuals who are transitioning to state prison by providing

2.2

2.3

2 them resources and information about the transfer
3 process and the services available upstate.

On September 15, we launched a new tablet feature, enabling incarcerated individuals to connect directly with transition coordinators if they need assistance with transition planning. Our goal is to ensure that everyone has the resources and support they need to prepare for their next step. The department's reintegration services are designed to address individuals' specific needs, and we proudly partner with the US Department of Veterans Affairs and the New York City Department of Veterans Services to afford tailored services to veterans in our care.

We also partner with organizations such as the Women's Prison Association, and the Women's Community Justice Association to afford services designed specifically for women. And we work closely with Youth Justice Network and SCO Family Services to support emerging adults.

In addition, we continue to release eligible sentenced individuals through the Article 6A work release program. Since the beginning of this administration, we've released 67 individuals, 90% of which successfully completed the remainder of their

2.2

2.3

sentence in the community. Finally, I'll emphasize that the reentry services provided by contracted providers have not changed. These contracts are held by our partners at the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice and were not impacted by the department's insourcing.

I would like to highlight that despite this shift in operations, individuals in custody continue to have access to a majority of programs and services in the same manner as they were previously afforded.

Not only have we continued to provide high-quality programs and services through this transition, we've also continued to expand certain program areas and implement new initiatives. We have strengthened and expanded substance abuse and addiction programming and housing areas, as well as faith-based services.

We also continue to strengthen visitation

programs so that parents can maintain important

family ties and meaningfully engage with their

children. The department partners with the

Children's Museum of Manhattan CMOM to facilitate

family visits between incarcerated parents and their

children in the community.

2.2

2.3

Recently, the department worked with CMOM to bring some of their exhibits to DOC visit houses to provide children and families with interactive activities and experiences for bonding in the visit areas. The department also launched a new partnership with the twinning project, which teaches soccer to incarcerated individuals to promote teamwork, physical activity and physical health.

This month, and next month, we will integrate other providers as part of our advancement and enrichment opportunities to include our robust menu of workforce development certificates and certifications. It is our goal to continue expanding and refining service offerings through conversations with people in custody and critical partnerships with community-based organizations.

Now, I will briefly turn to the proposed legislation Intro 1203. The bill would require the department to provide a letter of incarceration, also known as jail release papers or discharge papers to every person that gets released from the department's custody. The department recognizes that letters of incarceration can be helpful in obtaining identification or other services and benefits after

2.2

2.3

release into the community, and currently provides

letters of incarceration to individuals upon request.

With current resources and operations, it may be challenging to provide letters of incarceration upon release for all people in custody. Nonetheless, the department appreciates the intent of this legislation, and looks forward to discussing the bill further with Council.

In conclusion, we recognize that this fiscal year brought about a major shift in the manner in which the department affords some programs and services. There were certainly challenges, which is to be expected with any major shift in operations.

However, over the past 20 months, this department has demonstrated that we are willing and able to face challenges head on and come to thoughtful solutions did serve the people in our care. We owe much of our success to our amazing program staff and their dedication through this transition.

Thank you for the opportunity to share about the great work of our division of programs and community partnerships, and we are available to answer your questions.

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you for your testimony. Commissioner, would you like to begin?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Good morning Chair Rivera, and members of the Criminal Justice Committee. I'm Juanita Holmes, Commissioner of the New York City Department of Probation. With me is Deputy Commissioner Robert Maldonado, newly hired to oversee programs and services within the Department of Probation. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before the council about our programming.

The Department of Probation helps build stronger and safer communities by working with and supervising people on probation, with the hopes of fostering positive change in their decision making and behavior through research-based practices, and by expanding opportunities for them to move out of the criminal justice system through meaningful education, employment, health services, family engagement, and civic participation.

Probation serves as the largest and most robust community corrections alternative to incarceration in New York State, and it's one of the largest probation agencies in the nation. Department of Probation is committed to ensuring that the people under its

but to thrive.

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

supervision have access to the opportunities and services they need to not just avoid incarceration

Currently, the New York City Department of Probation supervises approximately 14,000 clients. Juvenile operations accounts approximately for 600 clients, and adult operations for over 13,000 clients. Six months ago, upon my appointment, the mayor gave me my marching orders, reduce recidivism, which resulted in my assessment of the agency's staffing needs, policies and procedures, client services and programs. Currently, the Department of Probation has 53 programs with approximately 27 service providers, some of which are supported by our NeON spaces, which means we not only provide programs for our clients, but also offer programming to community members. Programming includes mentoring, work readiness, power support coaches, NeON arts, financial literacy and more.

My job as commissioner is to make sure we have robust programming and evaluating the effectiveness of outcomes. At the Department of Probation, we take that very seriously. It was determined that some of the service provider's key performance indicators do

- 2 | not completely support successful outcomes.
- 3 Department of Probation has begun revising
- 4 performance indicators that will be required of
- 5 current and future service providers moving forward.
- 6 In addition to our supervision of clients, what is
- 7 going to help reduce recidivism is literacy and
- 8 employment. The Department of Probation has recently
- 9 contracted with a CDL training provider as well as
- 10 the Department of Transportation, who has provided
- 11 job opportunities for our clients, particularly those
- 12 over the age of 24, which accounts for a large
- 13 percentage of our population. We are also seeking
- 14 programming for our LGBTQIA+ clients and our clients
- 15 | with special needs.
- 16 The Department of Probation and the Department of
- 17 Education is scheduled to meet on October 12, 2023,
- 18 | for the first time to discuss unaccredited clients
- 19 and pathways forward. Also, we have reinstated our
- 20 | Bronx High School Equivalency program in addition to
- 21 onboarding an educational tutoring program.
- 22 Moving forward, we remain steadfast and committed
- 23 to using a combination of prevention and intervention
- 24 along with community-based programming that actually

2.2

2.3

2 helps bring positive change to our clients and the community.

I would like to thank the City Council for their partnership and continued support and keeping our city safe. This now concludes my testimony. And I'm open for questions.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you very much. So just a few, sort of, broader questions. I guess we can start with the Department of Correction. You mentioned that anyone in the department's care can access an array of robust services and opportunities that support change and successful community reintegration. How would you say that has changed since July 1? And are you still consistently providing five hours to programming to every individual per the mandate?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Good morning, Chair Rivera and all of the board members. I thank you for that question. And last time we were here, May 19 of this year, I guess our urgency was to map out for this Council, what we had in place as we transition from jail-based contracted providers who concentrated in doing group facilitation and one-on-one to what became internally known as insourcing.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When we talk about insourcing (and it is my responsibility today to provide clarity on that) what we did was select a group of dedicated DC 37 civil servants in the title of Associate Correctional Counselors, levels one and two, that between 2015 and 2018, had done group facilitation and one-on-one. And for that time of period, since we appeared in council last through the beginning -- actually through the end of June in preparation for July, our obligation was to retrain those staff members to have conversations with labor relations and their unions, to ensure that we change their task and standards to what they used to be prior to the jail-based targeted approach, in order for them to be able to once again go back to doing group facilitation and one-on-one services.

The rest of the provision of services, whether it is done by DOC led staff, by other providers and volunteers remained. We do continue to afford the five hours of programming. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So, you maintained the five hours because I know that you even the last time that we met there, there was just, you know, candor in terms of saying that there were some challenges in

2	meeting those five hours. So you're confident now
3	that they're being met? Or there remain challenges?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: So I will be very
5	honest with you, Chair Rivera. We're still working
6	on ensuring that we enhance by adding additional
7	services in the section of advance and enrichment.
8	We've had hiccups, and I would like to be very
9	transparent. I think it is always important not to
10	only shed light on our positive achievements, but
11	also to be transparent with the Council on what
12	challenges we've experienced. When it came to
13	insourcing, meeting that transition from jail-based
14	targeted approach to our ACC1's and ACC2's, I'm happy
15	to report to you today that we did not experience any
16	delays. Where we did experience challenges was a
17	memorandum of understanding that we have with the
18	Department of Education, which allows us to actually
19	continue services through at least 18 additional
20	providers. That has since been rectified. I am
21	happy to share with you that between this week and
22	next week, we will go full course or full force
23	ahead. Because those 18 In addition to targeting

advancement and enrichment, actually target

24

2.2

2.3

certificates and certifications under our workforce
development.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So what specific programs shifted from one-to-one to group facilitation, and how many individuals were affected?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Okay, Chair Rivera, since I was here last, we've worked digitally in ensuring that transition. If you look to my left today, I purposely brought in Assistant Commissioner Nell McCarty, who leads our efforts in counseling and supportive structure houses.

Also joining me today is Valerie Greisokh, who is our Assistant of Reintegration. I purposely chose these two members because as we phased insourcing, meaning the elimination of the contracts, Nell McCarty, as Assistant Commissioner of Counseling, received a challenge, which was to technically take us back and lead our associate correctionals levels one and two, into returning to the type of services that we had done in the past by ensuring very specific goals: Number one, have clear communication with our staff that would be assuming these responsibilities, by having communications with labor relations and the unions, by ensuring that a tight

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 refresher and training schedule was put together, as 3 well as schedule in the housing areas.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Can-- Can either have them answer the question?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: That's exactly where I'm going. I'm going to ask Nell McCarty to please take you through that process.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: Thank you. So just to kind of add on where Deputy Commissioner Francis Torres left off. So our associate correctional counselors once we informed them, after speaking with their union and changing their tasks and standards to return back to doing group facilitation, and conducting these trainings, or refresher trainings, with staff on certain evidence based curriculums, we did end up making a six-monthlong schedule of how we will implement these various 15 core evidence-based curricula. We're specifically targeting 7 of those 15 over the 6-month period that we are implementing with people in custody, to make sure that there was no lapse in the group facilitation that was previously being conducted by the targeted Jail-based service providers.

2.2

2.3

In addition to that, we also have drafted ongoing training opportunities for our staff for continued refreshers throughout the six months. We onboarded, as mentioned, five supervising correctional counselors so that they were able to take on some of the changes with the one-on-one counseling. And I also shifted some of the responsibilities of our social workers and intervention specialists to pick up the pieces for were some of those one-on-ones that previously had been conducted by associate correctional counselors level one, were now being conducted or facilitated by our social workers.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Which specific programs shifted from one-to-one to group facilitation? Which programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: Our associate correctional counselors, level one, were the primary providers of the one-on-one counseling on a regular cadence within the housing units. Now, those staff provide group facilitation on those same housing units in some cases, or their schedule may have shifted to adjust to the different movements within the jails. But those one-on-one assignments have now

2.2

2.3

shifted over to social workers and to interventionspecialists.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: In those assignments, how many individuals were affected? I'm just asking the- the same question trying to get actual numbers or, you know, details.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: When you say how many people were affected, do you mean people in custody or staff?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Custody.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Chair, I would have to get you the specific number of how many were affected. If you allow us we can get back to you. In essence, when we transitioned our ACC-1's into group facilitation, we actually assumed the footprint previously held by our providers. But we can certainly get those numbers back to you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I think you're going to hear from many of them today, you know, after your own testimony, how many individuals they actually serve through their one-on-one and group facilitation, and really the benefits of one-to-one which I realize capacity wise, you're saying has had to go through a transition?

2.2

2.3

So the six-month-long schedule just to understand that when-- when does-- when does that happen? Is that currently on its way?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: It's active right now. We implemented it the first month of July.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Why six months?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: So we conducted a six-month schedule, and then we're going to evaluate in November in December what curriculums we would like to move into for the following six months.

So we could have conducted it in a year. We could have made a three-month-long calendar. We chose to do six months so that it could be consistent and unified across every single facility. So at any given time, a correctional counselor in any of our facilities would be overviewing the same curriculum. So let's say someone moves from one facility to another, we would have a unified schedule between all of our staff.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: How many of the providers who previously really provided the services (I would say the not-for-profits specifically), how many of them and how often are they involved in this

2.2

2.3

2 transitional period, and even in establishing this
3 new six-month schedule?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: First, I would like to just highlight that the core curriculum that the contractor jail-based providers were using was a curriculum selected by the Department of Correction.

I happen to have sat on the committee that selected those curriculums and even conducted some of the trainings with the providers.

So, at the time that we were conducting or making this six-month-long schedule, we did an internal evaluation of some of the top programs people may need, such as anger management, cognitive behavioral therapy techniques, along with reentry workshops, financial literacy, work readiness. And those were the curriculums that we ended up selecting for this six months.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: How many associate correctional counselors are there? The ones that went through the refresher training?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: There are 41 associate correctional counselors, but in total, there are 76 direct service workers that work in the Counseling Services Unit, and they may hold other

2.2

2.3

2 titles, again, like program counselor, social worker
3 and intervention specialist.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, I'm sure, again, you're going to hear from not-for-profits. You know, there are certain not-for-profits that had as many as 40 staff members, you know, working inside, whether they're in the-- community-based or inside the facilities, these are credible messengers. And I know you mentioned that you have people with lived experience on staff. I think that's absolutely critical in terms of doing this work.

So, you did negotiate with the labor unions in terms of any job title changes and anything subject to collective bargaining? You did that with DC 37? Great.

So let me just ask about the division of programs and community partnerships. You had -- As of July 2023, you had 243 staff members, including 208 nonuniformed staff. How many uniformed and nonuniformed staff are currently employed by the division?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Chair with thank you so much for that question. When we take a look at our officers, I need to establish-- or uniform

2.2

2.3

members, may I please establish a difference? There are 32 uniformed members as officers who are assigned to our division as headquarter officers. Early this year, as part of an internal shift on the redefinition of programs, we actually changed and inherited 72 uniform members who are actively engage in services or provision of escorting for services within each of our facilities. The rest, which are approximately 208 active staff members are

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: How many are assigned to work with people in custody as opposed to being in administrative roles?

nonuniformed members assigned to our division.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: In terms of which ones? Uniform or nonuniform?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Both.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: All right. So I would have to give you that specific breakdown because it's very detailed when it comes to our staff members providing direct services. I have very specific groups. Let me list them for you. And then I can follow up with specific data. I have DOC led nonuniformed members who are part of our counseling teams, who actually are in the housing areas, in the

that information to Council.

1

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- facilities at least five days a week providing direct
 services to the population. We also have Fine and
 Performing Arts, recreation, chaplaincy, and even
 program operations such as Paws and other program
 coordinators. I'll be more than happy to forward
 - CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, and also the-- the numbers. Please don't forget about the number of individuals that were affected by one on-- one-to-one to group.
- 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So I'm going to turn it over 14 to my colleague-- colleague in one second.
 - I just wanted to ask about the tablet services.

 You have the contract with Securus for phone and tablet services. And according to testimony provided to the Board Of Correction, there were over 5300 tablets in circulation at Rikers as of this summer.

 What is the current number for how many tablets have been distributed to people in custody? And what is the functionality of the tablets that have been distributed? In addition to having the ability to make phone calls, do these tablets give people access

2.2

2.3

to educational courses, podcasts, e-books? Do the tablets provide access to a digital Law Library.

Specifically about the library, I've heard that sometimes because of staffing shortages, it's open during a time where individuals might be outside or they might not be available. So if you could just also talk a little bit in terms of the tablet offerings, and a little bit more about the law library, but let's focus on the on the digital access and the contract for now.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you. Um, the numbers that were given during testimony at our Board of Correction do vary. The actual number of tablets as of yesterday who have— that have been distributed to persons in custody is actually 5088. Those tablets, aside from those services that you have already described, Chair, we do have an interactive educational programming via the KATE Light application. And that KATE Light is a self-paced program that consists of math, science, economics, finance, arts, humanities, all the way through college careers and more. Persons in custody are using this tab— this component. And I'm happy to

2.2

2.3

2 respond to any other questions relevant to the tablets.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: What is the procurement—
procurement processes the department follows for
contracting with Securus for the tablets? Was there
anything like in RFP were multiple bids received?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: To be quite honest,

I'm not the best person to actually answer that

question. Would you mind if we were to give you that
information?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Sure. I look forward to it.

Are there any costs for people in custody to send text messages using their tablets? And does the contract with security give the DOC the ability to review text messages, e-mails, or obtain transcriptions of phone calls that are made on Securus as tablets? And if so how are those methods of communication monitored by the department?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Thank you so much for that question. A couple of things. Personally, there is no cost to our persons in custody associated to the use of the tablets. There are ongoing conversations relevant to premium content, which will include that e-messaging. When it comes to that

- we're still evaluating what the cost would be to

 persons in custody as well as their families. But as

 of today, there is no cost. The tablets are issued

 to the persons in custody, and the funding comes out

 of our division's budget.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Can they send 8 communications, text messages, emails?
- 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Not yet, ma'am.
- 10 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Not yet?
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: But we're working on it.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So it really is educational?
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Educational, in
- 15 addition to access to like you mentioned, the Law
- 16 Library, LexisNexis, which is the application used.
- 17 | They are making their telephone phone calls from the
- 18 tablet. They also continue to have access to the
- 19 telephones that are in the housing areas.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So they can use the 21 telephone?
- 22 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Yes. Mm-hmm.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Are those phone calls
- 24 monitored? Are there transcriptions? How is it
- 25 monitored by the department?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: So when it comes to telephone calls in general, we continue to adhere to all of the protocol established. I will be more than happy to get you more information on that.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: What is the protocol?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: I'm sorry?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: What is the protocol that you adhere to?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: So I know that when it comes to telephone calls, there are specific guidelines. I'm not the best one to speak about it. That falls under the purview of security.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Understood. I look forward to hearing— just in particular, because we have— in terms of guidelines and policies, you know, one of my questions in terms of volunteers is many of these nonprofit organizations that provide some incredible programming— And I just read an article this morning about Rikers, the bait project, you know, getting volunteers to the island itself, they felt there was a policy change in terms of training.

Training is always required to set foot on the island. However, not having remote access, having to go to the Bulova Center, having to complete those

2.2

2.3

first, instead of being able to do it while you're in
the midst of volunteering, has created some
challenges as to recruitment and retention.

And so in terms of policy changes, we just always want it on the record, and very, very clear.

Can you tell us about the volunteer requirement to-- to be on Rikers and engage in programming with people that are in custody?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Sure. Thank you so much. When it comes to our Volunteer Services Unit, it is a unit that we continue to evaluate on an annual basis, there is an application issued to not just volunteers, but any service provider that is to come on to the island.

Upon completion of that application, we receive the application, we include the schedule that the service provider or the volunteer is going to be accessing our jails. For those who do not have any previous criminal justice involvement, the process can take two to three weeks. For those that have had previous criminal justice involvement. The process can take 3 to 60 days, depending on how quickly we---we receive certain documentation.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I do have to share that for the last year, there are certain improvements that we've made to our volunteer services area. I'll give you a couple of examples. Before, we would actually take a long time to onboard our service providers, because of the number of staff members that we had. We have changed that and presently have three staff members. We used to process volunteers or service providers, only twice a week. We have increased the number of days that we process them. There are very specific training sessions and we're still in conversations on how best to actually be able to have them access, you know remotely, but do know that we have made strides and there's some of those training sessions that anyone who is applying can do remotely.

In addition to that, Chair, something that we didn't do before was we changed how we issued IDs to our volunteers and service providers. For an example, now we issue anyone who is new to coming on to Rikers Island, we will issue an ID for 30 days, stating as is required, because we need to onboard them and make sure they know where they're going, how they're coming out, what are the security measures in terms of access to housing areas, or the areas where

2.2

2.3

they are providing services is. And so for that reason, we give them that type of ID, and we provide the escorts. We've even changed who can escort our volunteers. Before this change. Only uniformed members could provide escorts to any service providers or volunteers. That is not the case any longer. Any of our nonuniformed members who are on post or who are assigned to our facilities can actually provide the escort. After the 30 days, we turn around and change their IDs to non-escort required, which allow them to— which allows them to actually go through our security front gate, and then report to their areas to conduct those services.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, thank you for that. I just want to be sure that, you know, this is such a--a welcome thing to see people volunteering on Rikers Island. And I'd like to remove any barriers in order to get them there. And I understand there is protocol, and you went through some of it. But I just want to be sure in terms of just volunteer recruitment and retention that we're all working together.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER TORRES: Chair, if I may. When it comes to volunteers, and even service

accelerating their access.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

providers internally in our division, anyone 2 3 volunteering -- and I'll use a random example. 4 have any religious organization that is volunteering, we will automatically assign the deputy director of 5 chaplaincy to be that DOC liaison. So when it comes 6 7 to volunteer applications and service providers 8 relevant to faith based and religion, that person will be the one handling. Feel free to direct any concerns to our office. And we will manage 10 11 internally to make sure that we are on top of

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you, and I do have questions for you, Commissioner. But I'm going to allow Councilmember Narcisse because I can only imagine what it would be like to get back to where she lives. So I want her to be able to ask questions, which she always does, and I appreciate you for that. So Councilmember Narcisse?

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: Good morning, everyone.

It's still morning. Good morning, Chair. And thank

you for giving me the opportunity to ask some-- a few

questions. I have so many questions. I wish I can

stay here all morning or all afternoon with you.

2.2

2.3

But thank you, thank you for the work you're doing. You know, it takes all of us to contribute to the city of New York, knowing the challenging—challenges that we're facing every day. The challenging is just like, it's so much I don't even know where to start.

And one of the things that I'm always specific about, it's about the crime issue. Crime don't actually occurs in a silo. It is a culmination of different issues that happening in our city, our communities, that making so many young folks end up in the wrong place. So, one of the things I'm not very proud of is New York City Department of Probation services approximately 14,000 clients, juvenile operations accounted for approximately 600 clients, and adults operation accounted for over 13,000 clients. Can you break down that for me in race and gender?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes. So in race, it's predominantly 82% African American males ages 22 to 24 accounts for the majority of them. Juveniles, same. The juvenile gender as far as young ladies are concerned, I believe we only have about 14, if I'm not mistaken. So it's a small amount of women.

2 COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: You know, that breaks my 3 heart, as a mother of 4.

Housing: Housing for formerly incarcerated folks. I'm always interested in that. How many formerly incarcerated individuals in our city currently face housing insecurity or homelessness within the first year of release?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: And I do have those numbers.

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I was interested myself.

So--

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: First year of release.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: --homelessness, when we look at the adult population, currently we have 36 that are homeless, 212 in shelters. So that's a total of 248 in our adult population. When we look at the juvenile population, I have one homeless and

the 18 that are in shelters currently. So that

accounts for 19.

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: What percentage of them end up back in the correctional system due to a lack of stable housing?

2	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: That answer I don't have
3	for you, but I will get it for you. Because that was
4	something I didn't think of.
5	COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. How is the
6	city addressing the stigma that landlords or housing
7	providers might have towards renting to formerly
8	incarcerated folks?
9	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: How is I wouldn't be able
10	to answer that.
11	COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: Okay. Are there any
12	incentives in place that you know of for landlords
13	who provide housing to those demographics?
14	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: No, Councilmember. I
15	wouldn't have that response.
16	COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you. How does
17	probation status influence an individual's ability to
18	secure housing?
19	COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I wouldn't have that

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I wouldn't have that status— I wouldn't have that response, either. My apologies. It's something I'll look into.

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: How does the Department monitor and assist probationers who are at risk of homelessness? Are there specific strategies and

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

collaborations in place to prevent them from ending
up back in the same place?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: You're absolutely right. So I thank you for that question.

When I took office six months ago, my agenda was to reduce recidivism. And naturally in doing so you need the proper programs and services. In addition to that, I need to be able to see metrics supporting success: Literacy, employment, we know is key when it comes to reducing recidivism. So those are some of the key factors that I'm using. And I know, we spoke about a couple of cuts with programs. But one particular program, IMPACT, that program began in January 2023, but it never commenced. So you know, as a result of such, we took a deeper look and realized the same vendor currently has the same program with us as we speak. So, as a result of such-- that's why we decided to pull that particular contract. Same exact vendor, IMPACT, same exact program that that program was supposed to account for. And if you talk about next steps, 17 different providers in next steps. I am aware of the short notice. My Deputy Commissioner here was on boarded August 7th. Naturally, me drilling down into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

programs wanting to make sure they marry up to our clients, that they are, you know, ensuring that they're leaving these programs with something in place to thrive, whether they were under-credited, and now they are in a GED program, or under-grade and now they're in their current grade, or even employment. If you look at our adult population, over 40% are unemployed. So that's something I take into consideration. If you look at the juvenile population, probably 40% are under-credited. So that's a-- you know, the Department of Probation right now is focused on ensuring that we put some literacy programs in place as well as employment. And that's why recently, we've reestablished the Bronx High School Equivalency program. We're looking to do that in every borough. In addition to that we are onboarding another tutorial program that's very successful. I think that's going to help.

For the older population, we're looking at welding. We're now collaborating with someone that provides that welding training, we partnered with a CDL contractor that actually teaches them how to drive trucks and buses. But even with that, you need to know how to read the CDL application to take the

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 exam. Some of our clients don't even read or write

3 7th grade level, which is required to even enter a

4 GED program. So that's something that I'm really

5 | honing in on, is making sure that our clients have

6 what they need to thrive.

I see the recidivism here. I see some programs that are housed in the Department of Probation. I really can't honestly sit here and say if it reduces recidivism. We recently redesigned what's called our DOP Connect. That's a repository for the service providers to enter their particular metrics in. And so I'm building that out to see that there's a goal at the end, right? That there's some sort of outcome, "You took this client in, now this client is at grade level. Now this client may have housing. Now this client is employed." There has to be positive outcomes supporting the expenditures that we're paying. I'm not looking to save money in probation. I can tell you that. And I tell anybody that. I'm definitely not looking to cut funding We need the money, but the money has to be spent correctly. It is a huge undertaking. Naturally, you can imagine me coming in from the outside, unraveling some of this. So as a result of

2.2

2.3

such, my Deputy Commissioner was on board, and took a deeper dive into all of the programs.

Unfortunately, Next Steps was cut because they couldn't support the metrics I was looking for. I actually had a call with all the service providers, Councilwoman Diana Ayala was also on the particular call. And we have to hold service providers accountable for what it is that they say they are going to do. And unfortunately, the last nine years that Next Steps has been with us, it's almost been a check-the-box renewal process. So how do we measure for IMPACT, right? The evaluation. Some of the programs we have AIM, which is a court mandate. The last evaluation and compass 2016 and 2017 as far as the impact that it's having on our client.

So that's what I'm in the midst of doing. Moving forward, I don't intend on cutting any more programs. I intend on enhancing them. And that's why we're building our DOP Connect, and it's going to encounter the key performance indicators that we think we need to support success. It is uncanny to see almost 40% of my— over 40% of my dealt population unemployed, under-credited.

2.2

2.3

In addition to that we're working with all city agencies, because one thing I realize: These clients still have to go home. We can give them all the services, all the behavioral health services that they need, but when they go into some of these underserved communities it is despairing to say the least.

So I can assure you the mayor's office is working with all the city agencies. It's something that's dear to me, that we're going to be kind of getting together and kind of spearheading and coming up with a one-neighborhood-at-a-time process. And beginning with Brownsville. You know what they say about Brownsville. I've been here 36 years in city government, and you see a little change, but it's never fully changed. And I don't care what anyone says. The quality of life and a community affects the quality of a person.

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: That's right. That's right.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: And so that that's dear to me. But on this side, reeling in it a little bit, the Department of Probation is committed to programs and services. The 17 service providers that were

2.2

2.3

cut, they are our service providers. Our other programs that we have those who are service providers. So, you know, we're using the same service providers. We have 27 with 53 programs, and a lot of them have multiple programs. The 210 young people that were affected by the cut of this program, have been placed in other mentorship programs, 24 as a result of enrolling in school and employment wasn't. And I believe I have 16 that were referred to DYCD, and the office of neighborhood safety for a mentorship program of their choice.

I take a deep look at mentorship programs
citywide, not just Probation, NYPD, all of these
other programs we have with the DA's office, and you
can see the redundancy. And that's why we have such
small groups of children attending— or clients
attending different programs. So, as a result of
such we're doing this cross collaboration. I'm
looking at certain zip codes, what's there, that
mirrors some of the programs that we already have, so
we can have better use for our budget as far as
programs are concerned. We need computers in our
NeON centers. Our NeON centers house a lot of our
programs. I love NeON. It is a safe space. It's—

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

It allows for our clients to stay home for lack of a better term. You know, when they're reporting to their probation officers, it's convenient. providing services. But I want to see real services. I don't want to just see SNAP. I don't want to see, you know, all of these other services -- they're important so you can eat, right? But that is not the real contribution to reducing recidivism. And that's what we're taking a deep dive and looking at. unfortunately, we were met with Next Steps being cut. When I took a look at it, and after I had the call with the entire group of service providers, they also have some work to do. Some admitted to-- they knew they were supposed to have case managers and program managers which weren't in place. Probation doesn't have true program evaluators. So, it's a difference between a program manager and evaluator. So we're putting a team of evaluators together and probation, to make sure that we're prioritizing, taking a look at our clients, whose more high-risk to reoffend, you know, especially when it comes to the guns. know, when you have a client arrested for a firearm, and a week later, re-arrested for firearm, you know,

2.2

2.3

- 2 we have to take a step back and kind of look at that.
 3 What are we doing?
 - So those are some of the challenges that were met with, those are some of the things that we're putting in place. But I can assure you my best interest is with every client that's on probation,

COUNCILMEMBER NARCISSE: I-- I appreciate you.

And I want you to continue. And I'm going to follow up all the statistics that we know-- The reason I'm asking for the-- all the statistics, the numbers, because numbers don't lie to you. And right now, I'm hoping that reparation that they are talking about, that go invest back in those kinds of life that we're talking about, those folks that we tend to forget, that's part of our community.

So that's kind of things that-- that's the kind of work that I'm looking for.

By the way, as a registered nurse, I wanted to understand it so much that I went to work for a reentry program, because I wanted to see what's going on. And don't forget our kids, like you said, cannot read. Dyslexia is a problem. Mental illness is a big problem. And like you said, the environment where those children are coming from, that's years

2.2

2.3

decades old. The investment. So now we need some
investment done. So all the problems that we talking
about, we can come to a new day.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes. I'd also like to mention that we started with a medical program. I was doing it for Father's Day. We know a lot of men don't go to the doctor and get a checkup. So we partnered with a medical provider that has properties in—you know, has an office in all five boroughs. And we got vouchers from him to give to them for a full checkup. I mean, EKG, blood work everything. So that's, that's moving along successfully, we identified clients that were in need of that. And, uh—

into a new line, because my Chair have to get the mic, and I have to get to-- back to Brooklyn. And one of the things that we said is a trust. When you come to medical, a lot of my clients that used to come to see me when I was doing the Reentry Program, even though some medical was available to them, but they are still, afraid they're still scared, they still mentally been traumatized, unfortunately. So it's a lot of education we have to keep on doing.

2.2

2.3

So thank you, Chair. And thank you, everyone.

And I had so many questions to ask you, but I don't

have time. Thank you so much. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you, Councilmember.

Commissioner, the program mentored 240 people to prevent violence. And if this program prevented even one person from getting shot and killed, do you not think that the program pays for itself?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes, I do. But we have other programs. We have Arches, in the same vicinity. These are all map locations. And, you know, I was over Queensbridge Houses. So, I know very well it was the largest that we had, and we had Kay Bane, I think was a credible messenger and may be still there.

But with that being said, they also have mentorship programs. Every police precinct where I started these programs, Girl Talk and Blue Chips, that these kids come together from all precincts. I know sometimes we tend to have these silos. "This this group doesn't get along with that group." You can't function in life like that. As a result of such, every precinct has what's called Blue Chip.

Over 3000 young men have come through that. The

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

that.

- Yankees recognize them during hope week. 2 It's a 3 program that we have probationers are now involved 4 in, two groups of probation teams that we put together to cross-collaborate with them. With Girl 5 Talk, the same young ladies that we have in probation 6 cross-collaboration with PD, mentorship groups, 7 8 tremendous, tremendous outcomes with these young And just building relationships with law enforcement in itself tends to help reduce some of 10
 - So it is critical. And I agree with you. But I know that the other programs that our same service providers are part of, and they have rolled some of these young people into those programs. I'm confident— more than confident that they're going to receive the support that they need.
 - CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I do hope you're right. I know you were in charge of the 7th precinct for a little while?
- 21 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Is that correct?
- COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes. I was Chief of Patrol over all 77 precincts, so...

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yeah. I remember. I remember I saw you in the-- in the LES in the Lower East Side. And I will tell you in that-- in that particular part, you know, we have youth violence, we have many issues, particularly in public housing.

And if I can get 240 people mentored there, 40 people mentored, 2 people mentored there, I would take it in a heartbeat, you know?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And let me just ask you about a particular neighborhood. And unfortunately, she's not allowed to ask questions because of a quorum issue, and that's an in-person thing, and those are the rules.

But in Red Hook, Councilmember Avilés says she sees no evidence of redundant service in Red Hook. So how do you justify this defunding? And how and where should these young people go to get the services they were offered?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: So there are mentorship programs in Red Hook that Paul can speak to. But DYCD has over 900 contracts, and I can't begin to mention how many mentorship programs. But also, as I spoke about, you still have Blue Chips, Girl Talk,

borough for mentorship.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

very strong mentorship programs, with NYPD in that
particular area. And then we have Arches in every

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: How exactly are our Arches and Girls Talk? How are these-- those programs are going to serve the former Next Steps participants who are not under probation supervision,

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Right. So they-- These young people are not under probation either. are some individuals in Girl Talk and Blue Chips, that-- and Arches. Arches is for anybody in the community. Everyone's not under probation supervision, which is great, but they serve them because they are exactly like a mentorship program. You have someone that you're intimate with, speaking with a particular officer, you have their cell phone number. They participate in community cleanups. They have to give back. They compete, whether it's dance, whether it's basketball, chess, flag football. And then amongst all of that, they still have -- Oh, and golf. I mean, don't forget golf. They just recently started a golf program, which I thought was good. But anything going on that we could plug them into. Laura Stiles just did a big program called

8

20

25

2 | Envisioned maybe about a month ago. And all the 3 | girls were so excited. It was a huge turnout. So

4 probation, as well as Girl Talk, just members from

5 the community were there as well. But it's-- it's a

6 strong program, and it's currently falls under

7 Commissioner Mark Stewart, who's now the Deputy--

I'm sorry, Deputy Commissioner of Community Affairs.

But it's available. And we will definitely plug them

10 | in and ensure that each and every one of these

11 | individuals have what they -- have what they need, and

12 the decision is theirs. There's several decisions.

13 We just kind of point it out to them, and they can

14 make the decision on their own which one they think

15 that will really support their needs.

16 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, you mentioned all
17 those DYCD contracts. So particularly for

18 Councilmember Avilés, if you could let her know where

19 those contracts are.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Absolutely.

21 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Because there has to be, you

22 know, a real push in terms of encouraging people to

23 take advantage of that. This has been, I feel, you

24 know, it's been devastating for our community. And

you know, when you see a program like Impact, which I

replaces that? Or what happened?

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think you mentioned very, very briefly, but Impact
was actually a program that was cancelled before it
got even the ground-- off the ground, right? It was
supposed to serve 175 people across five boroughs who
would have otherwise been in a residential placement
or incarcerated. Is there going to be something that

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: We have -- We have the same program in place with the same provider. That's what happened. We saw that that was a redundancy. We actually have what's called APT, Analyst and Portable Therapy. That's-- Impact has that contract. been in place with us since-- [TO OTHERS:] for how long now? How many years. [TO COUNCIL:] for several years. And very positive feedback on that particular They were up for renewal as well, very well supported, accomplishes all that they need to accomplish, helping families. Anytime there's a child that may be a little incorrigible, as far as the parents are concerned, they respond there and, you know, stay with the family as long as they need to.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So when you evaluated the programs, and I'd love to hear a little bit more

2.2

2.3

about the evaluation process, and you determined that there were quote, unquote, "redundancies", what were the-- the metrics? You-- You said you had a meeting with providers, with Councilmember Ayala, but did you discuss with each provider unique circumstances, sort of understand their own local ecosystems? How did you decide what the metrics were that you are seeking? Or that actually weren't present, according to your testimony?

The only metrics that were being used were attendance, how many people they touched. That was the—That was the only metric I was able to get. So there weren't any true outcomes. If I touch this person, and they were part of a game, did I keep them out of a game? If they were under-credited, did I point them in the right direction to a remedial course, to tutoring, or high school equivalency? These are real outcomes. And they're meaningful outcomes, because they definitely contribute to the reduction of recidivism. The only metric that I was provided with that we had were "10 people attended."

Just simply attendance.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So in terms of have that
Impact program, having something else in place
alternative to placement program, I just don't know
what other alternative replacement program that is,
or any other city-wide program that was served that
sort of purpose.

So can you just talk a little bit about what exactly you mean? Because from what I'm hearing, you know, none of the youth and Next Steps have been connected to other programs. And so we do have some that, according to providers have gained employment through Next Steps, but not since then. And no providers really— There just doesn't seem to be a transition or a conversation happening.

So we had— out of the total enrollment during the close up period of 210, 117 enrolled in DOP Arches mentoring program, 24, completed early due to enrollment and employment opportunity 16, referred to DOP NeON's works program. Next Step Program Manager continues weekly meetings with DYC, which is the person that sits behind me here, Paul Richardson.

And these children mean just as much to us as they do to their mentors. So over half, more than half, of them were already enrolled in other

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

mentorship programs. And the small amount that
wasn't, they actually were just informed of what
currently exists.

We're going to do better jobs at marketing. Before I even took over probation, I never heard of a I was with the police department 36 years, and I didn't know a NeON existed, which is a reason why I started the mentorship groups that I did. But with that being said, there is a marketing campaign that we're going to start depending on the funding that we have, but I know I can get it on some of the more popular radio stations, we're getting some variable signs to just, you know, advertise what's here as far as mentorship programs. You know, we have the food pantries there, I don't particularly like seeing lines. It bothers me to see that in underserved communities. So we're going to do something structured where seniors will have these two days at these hours and come, and you know, make it more structured in nature, so we don't have these long lines, or with seniors, you know, standing out there for a period of time.

So we have a lot of things that we're looking at, a lot of moving parts. But you know, just for the

- 2 better-- as far as the operation of Department of
- 3 Probation is concerned, but more importantly for our
- 4 clients.

- 5 Yeah, and I think the issue is that APT is a good
- 6 program. It's just not the same program. And this
- 7 kind of goes to Arches. Arches serves young people
- 8 | who are under a probation mandate. And while Next
- 9 Steps also was a volunteer program-- voluntary
- 10 program, I should say.
- 11 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: But Arches, I believe, and
- 12 | correct me if I'm wrong, it serves the community as
- 13 | well, correct? Yes. So it's not just only under
- 14 Probation. It's community members.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And in terms of Girls Talk,
- 16 | I just want to be sure that in the-- in the Next
- 17 Steps Program, there weren't girls enrolled in that
- 18 program, right?
- 19 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes.
- 20 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: All right. I will tell you
- 21 | that, for example-- and you're going to hear from
- 22 | these providers. I'm very much looking forward to
- 23 | hearing from them because I know, they will probably
- 24 | have some differences in opinions as to what you're

2.2

2.3

2 saying in terms of metrics. They've been doing this
3 a long time.

So I really do encourage you and your team to listen to them because from what I've heard, and even from other Councilmembers, these programs were absolutely critical in their communities. I know you're saying there's a transition, and that— that you will, you will treat them with the dignity, the respect, the care, and the services that they need. It's just these— these not—for—profit providers have been doing this a long time, and in the metrics, I'm not quite sure what you're looking for. You're looking for recidivism numbers in the future? Like, so how are you going to determine how successful your replacement programs are?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Right. So what we're looking at actually is if a client comes to you, there should be an assessment, you know?, whether they need education, whether GED, whatever it is, that's going to keep them out of the criminal justice system. I would like to see that. I-- Even if it's anger management, and they say, you know, we referred them to something and, you know, to a particular program for behavioral health, and there's positive

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

outcomes. He's now gainfully employed, or she's now gainfully employed.

But one point I want to make, we're not out of business with these contractors. They are my service providers. They are my service providers. If thev are my service providers as it stands, and it doesn't mean that they won't be service providers moving forward, and some of these other, you know, mentorship groups that are really educational components, literacy components that we're looking to put in place. So that I want to make perfectly clear. They are currently-- all 17 of those providers are still part of DOP's programs, and services. And it doesn't mean that maybe we may look to implement this with going back and creating a different contract or adding key performance indicators that I think would be -- not just I-- I think would be suitable, right?, to my executive staff. But more importantly, to the clients.

I've got to say, I am, like, just, you know, adamant about education, and, and working, and ensuring that for the time being, they have these public assistance services that they need. But I don't want them dependent on it. And I don't want

2.2

2.3

clients.

them growing this mindset of "something for nothing",

you know. That's perpetuated a lot of these

underserved communities. And I'm going to try my

best to get away from it. But-- So that's why I

really want stronger outcomes. Even with my

probation officers case management technology system,

it's not giving us what we need. So we're changing

that. I just hired a Chief Information Security

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And I just want to say the social services piece is important.

Officer. So there-- there's a lot of turnover here,

but it's going to be for the better of-- of our

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: It is important.

Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: That's why we're hearing
Intro 1203 today, because being proactive about, you
know, getting your paperwork is all well and good,
but I can't even imagine the mindset of really trying
to reenter your community as a returning citizen, and
then, you know, having as much as you can, right
when-- when you walk out of there. I mean, again,
and there's also, you know, seeing the uniform

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 sometimes. It's not always-- It's not always the
3 most welcome sight.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I agree. And I and I know that. You know, but what I think is key, even with the NeONs, we're adding an HRA component, and not just to check the box and refer the client or refer anybody from the community, but to actually process them right there. So, they help them in a system with the paperwork. And this is an HR person that's not in uniform, very soft environment, and very welcoming. I mean, the NeON is very successful, as far as people coming there, attending it. Even our clients feel-- you know, feel a lot better going here the check in instead of having to go to a courthouse or somewhere outside of their neighborhood. Not to mention the stakeholders we have. So, there are a lot of grassroot people that are part of running-helping us run the NeONs.

And we also have-- I think we forget about AIM and Echoes. Those are two alternative-to-placement programs that have been with us for quite some time.

AIM is definitely a court mandate. Echoes is a court mandate. But also I'm still looking at that.

Because even though it's a court mandate, I want to

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.3

2 see the impact that it's having, you know, on our
3 clients.

The goal here is to make sure they never ever revisit the criminal justice system. It is traumatizing itself, and let's face it, we are still going to have that small population that, they're just going to commit crime, I'm resigned to that.

But those that we can save by identifying them, having structure, and definitely creating this action plan where we marry them up with exactly what they need. I want to see them stay out of incarceration, but I want to see them buy a home, I want to see them take the children on vacation. I want to see people have a normal—that's a normal life. And for a lot of our clients, that's not normal. So, you know, I can tell you that we're definitely working diligently here to make sure that we have the right program in place.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yeah. And sometimes that's a long road.

22 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Yes it is.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So it's not going to happen in you know, two weeks, two years even.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: That's right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: and that's why I want to be just be sure that the groups here really did play a great, great role in this. And why-- why the sudden cancellation of the contracts?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Well, what happened was when they-- when we were doing this overhaul and taking a look at it. This contract, it was upon us in a short period of time. So Deputy Commissioner Maldonado was onboarded December 7th. I was already looking at contracts. This was due to expire August 31st. The people in place on the contracts in Probation didn't bring this to my attention until three weeks out. So, which is now a process in That's never going to happen again. It's four to five months in advance before contracts duo to cancel, so we can evaluate it. And that way we can tell the particular service providers, "Listen, here's what we're looking for," give them some correction -- you know, correctionable actions, to-to comply with, and then, you know, hopefully, it irons itself out.

But to be quite honest, that's what happened. It was just thrust, you know, in my face, this is expiring when. And then I'm saying, "Okay. Show me

2.2

2.3

2 the outcomes. How does it offset the expenditures?
3 Or how does it marry up to it?"

And as a result of such I extended it three weeks longer, just to have a proper meeting with the vendors, because I've never met with them. And only to hear that that's the first time they've ever had such a meeting in nine years.

So, you know, there's a lot of things that is being done differently and DOP, you know, and I think it's going to have some real serious positive outcomes. And truthfully, yes, I'm not saying overnight, but at least moving us in the right direction.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I think that the-- You know, you had mentioned, at some point, I believe in front of this very Committee that the, for example, Next Steps was a successful program that is emblematic of what works. I guess, between, you know, that hearing, and now you've sort of changed your opinion. But-- But let me just ask about the credible messenger piece of the nonprofit that I think is so important. Because I will tell you, I worked very few years before I became a Councilwoman in social services. A lot of eviction prevention. I wasn't an

2.2

2.3

attorney, but I cannot tell you how many people just wanted me to be there with them in court, because it's hard to trust a system that has continuously disenfranchised you over and over again.

So when you have people that are in uniform, from what I've heard, is it-- is it-- please correct me if I'm wrong, we understand that you issued a directive that probation officers must now wear uniforms and carry loaded firearms at all times.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: So it's-- What happened was-- That's not for all probation officers. That's for supervising probation officers. They go out in the field. They do conditional searches. They're recovering firearms. Year to date, I believe we recovered 15 or 16 firearms. They've recovered numerous amounts of drugs. So as a result of such, it is a safety issue. We've implemented active shooter training. So naturally, if we enter a location where the probation officer needs to be discerning, who's the probation officer who's not.

So a lot of a lot of thought went into that. But it's really for their safety. That's the only reason for the mandate. And it's only someone that's supervising that goes out into the field.

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So do all probation officers
3 now wear uniforms?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: They wear soft-- not all probation officers, no. All probation officers does not, only supervising. If-- To my knowledge supervising officers wore-- let me let me stand corrected, because I'm transparent. [TO OTHERS:] Is it all probation officers? [TO COUNCIL:] So it is all probation officers that wear uniforms, but all probation officers are not armed. It's only the supervising officers that go out into the field and do conditional searches that's armed. And they wear a soft tennis shirt-- a polo shirt, I guess you would call it with a patch on it, just so it's easily discerning who the probation officer is.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So we understand that, from what you're saying, that there are individuals, probation officers in uniform carrying loaded weapons inside, probation officers and out in the field, even when they're conducting standard home visits, and also when entering the premises of service providers. And you're saying they're now required to carry loaded guns for safety?

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: If they-- If they are a supervising officer that has to go out in the field, it is for their safety.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So-- All right, if you're saying this is to protect-- protect the probation officers, can you tell us a little bit more about why? Can you point us any specific incidents since you became Commissioner or uptick in violent incidents against probation officers that would require them to have guns at the ready?

commissioner Holmes: So the-- the reason for it is because they're going into a precarious situation, especially when we've recovered firearms and drugs at locations during conditional searches. God forbid if the probationer got ahold of the gun, and they're not armed, and it would be where you have one person armed and someone wasn't armed. And as a result of such, if any sort of an adversarial incident occurred, you would have probationers jumping behind the other probation officer that's armed.

In addition to that, the Bronx has really been a precarious situation for us. So we recently met with the DA's office there. You've got a lot of gang violence. When they go into court, we recently had a

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

place that they're carrying--

2.2

2.3

probation officer's vehicle shot up when they were coming outside of the facility. And it was some sort of adversarial situation taking place. So as a result of such, if they are in the field, and they have to make home visit, where they are recovering firearms, they have to be armed.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So why-- Why are they wearing them when they're going into the service providers offices?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I don't-- I don't
understand what you mean by service providers
offices. By the NeONs and things of that respect?
CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Are you saying that the only

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: They can secure their firearms. As a matter of fact, we are building out spaces for them to secure their firearms inside the NeONs, inside the probations office, where there will be an individual locker with an individual key for their firearms as well as their radios. That's currently being built out. So, they don't have to wear their firearms when they are inside, you know where they're providing service. But when you're going out in the field, you should have a firearm.

2.2

2 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Is it a mandate, or is it an option?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: It's mandated for the field.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Even if they're not necessarily going into a home that you previously recovered drugs or weapons?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: If they're going into a home for a conditional search, that's the reason for the mandate for conditional search. Usually, it's associated with some sort of violence or drugs.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So do you have any incidents or since you became Commissioner or sudden uptick as to why? Why was this directive issued? Why is it that all officers now wear uniforms? Why are they now required to carry the firearm?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: All officers are wearing uniforms because I recently said I gave active shooter training. If we go into a facility, we need to be-- it needs to be discerning who the officer is. And then who are members of the community, or the population of our clients. It's the reasons for the uniforms.

2.2

As far as the mandate for the firearms and the uniforms outside when you're doing conditional searches, it's for safety reasons.

I try to be proactive. I don't want to wait for something to happen. You know, with my experience in law enforcement, I know the possibility of things happening. We're very fortunate here in Probation, thank God. But with that being said, you know, I like to be I like to be more proactive. So, as a result of such I just think about what could happen and try and offset that.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I understand. I just think that violence begets violence, you know? And I just feel like there is an opportunity here to really value people that are approaching this not from you know, the officer's standpoint, and I stand by that.

But I hear your reasoning and the analysis of the evaluation, you have metrics that you've set that you're hoping to adhere to. I look forward to that information as soon as six months, and it seems like six months seems an appropriate correctional—criminal legal system evaluation.

I do want to ask Correctional Health Services in MOCJ, if we could swear them in. I believe they've joined us virtually?

COUNSEL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Oh, I'm so sorry. Thank you for coming. Oh, my. I was like, I figured, you all lived in Brooklyn, and it was like impossible.

9 Right? Okay. Not everybody lives in Brooklyn, but.
10 Okay, so...

COUNSEL: Uh, from Correctional Health Services, we have Nora Daniel, Chief of Staff. Oh, I'm sorry. That's for MOCJ, Nora Daniel and Correctional Health Services, Jeanette Morrell. If you can raise your right hands.

MS. CALABRESE: Actually, I'm sorry. It's not Nora Daniel. It's Anna Calabrese from MOCJ.

COUNSEL: Sorry, I apologize Anna.

Anna Calabrese and Jeanette Merrill, can you raise your right hands?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and respond honestly to Councilmember questions?

24 BOTH: I do.

COUNSEL: Thank you.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you to everyone so far -- for being here and for your time and for making it in person. And to everyone who has been waiting patiently, I want to thank you as well.

So let me start with Correctional Health
Services. How is Correctional Health Services
involved with discharge planning specifically for
those in custody with a substance abuse disorder?

MS. CALABRESE: Sure. So I guess to start, I can talk about the reentry services that are available to everybody in custody. So those core services include helping patients apply for Medicaid, sharing information about our jail-based and community-based reentry services, asking information about pharmacy, local pharmacies. And then for patients who have mental health needs or specific clinical vulnerabilities, we do offer individualized discharge planning services. So that helps patients apply for housing, including transitional housing or supportive housing. And then patients who are in the mental health service also, upon release, get a seven-day supply of medication, as well as a 21-day script. And then we also provide a medication assistance card for people to afford medication, because it takes

2.2

2.3

generally two to three business days for Medicaid to become active again.

So in terms of substance use services, so of course many of our patients who are receiving substance use services may also be in the mental health service and receiving those discharge planning services. But in terms of their connections to the community— so patients who are on methadone, we would refer to the OASAS opioid treatment programs throughout the city. And then for buprenorphine, we refer to federally—qualified health centers, as well as H+H sites, we also supply or provide information about H+H's virtual behavioral health service.

And then I should also mention that we recently opened a reentry center. So everyone is welcome to come there. Through the reentry center, we do provide Naloxone training and kits as well as fentanyl testing strips. And that's really a continuation also of our work in the jails to provide Naloxone and training in every housing area in every jail on Rikers.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So about the reentry service center: How is it going three months in? What

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

differentiates the services provided at the center from the other reentry services that already exist? 3

MS. CALABRESE: Yeah, thank you. So we're very excited about the center. It's going well. So all of our reentry services are really designed around the continuity of care, and we recognize that our patients would benefit from a space to go immediately after their incarceration. So, we have this center by the Perry Building, it's actually in front of the Q-100 bus stop. So it's really the first thing that people see immediately after release. So we've had to date 569 patients stop in for services that can be connecting to community-based health and social services, or it could just be getting a cup of coffee, getting directions, making a phone call. also offer, as I mentioned, the Naloxone, but it's toiletry kits, condoms, snacks, just a little swag baq.

And then I would also mention we have a partnership with T Mobile and Premier wireless. recognize that a major barrier to a successful return to the community is a lack of cell phone. It's very difficult to navigate housing and healthcare and other social services if you don't have a reliable

2.2

2.3

means of communication, especially since 30% of our patients identify as homeless or likely homeless after release.

So with T Mobile, they provided smartphones at a discounted rate. We provide a year-long service plan. And patients can get those-- patients who have serious mental illness are eligible when they're released from Rikers to come to the reentry center along with some other special populations.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So what kind of what kind of phones do they get?

MS. CALABRESE: It's a smartphone, and it has a hard case which is also donated by Premier Wireless. It has special sort of software. We pre-program it with contact information. It can help them navigate benefits, and they get to keep the phone even— and they may be able to be in a position to pay for the service plan following the year or but if not, they still can use the hotspots.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: How many individuals have received phones?

MS. CALABRESE: See if I have that number. I can get that for you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. How was—— How was there continuity between the various transitional when reentry services

MS. CALABRESE: Yeah. In terms of with community based providers or with--?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I'll ask about MOCJ too, about how you all are working together.

MS. CALABRESE: Yeah, sure. So we most of our contacts are actually directly with the providers themselves, with the community-based organizations. We reach out proactively about patients, or they will come to us. We have good relationships with the CBOs. Often the information we're providing is health Information, of course with patient consent. And then MOCJ and the Department of Correction are helpful in facilitating those connections.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So just back to the substance abuse. How are individuals identified so that they can be given nax-- I have such a hard time.

MS. CALABRESE: Naloxone. I know it's hard.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. There's just like a list of words I can't say. Fentanyl test strips, or referrals to outside treatment programs upon release?

2.2

2.3

MS. CALABRESE: Sure. So we do operate the nation's oldest and largest jail-based opioid treatment program. It's called KEEP, Key Extended Entry Program, and through that, that's where counselors will start patients or continue patients on methadone and buprenorphine treatment. I mentioned the connections to services, the OASAS and federally qualified health centers, they also are active in the naloxone distribution in the housing areas. The test— The fentanyl test strips are only available at the reentry center. Those aren't currently in jail facilities.

And then I would also mention here we've opened in partnership with the Department, a new housing unit we refer to as GATE, Groups for Addiction

Treatment and Engagement, and that's for individuals who have substance use and mental health needs who would otherwise be housed in general population. And that really leverages the group model. There are a lot of group-based therapies there, of course, as well as medication available for those who need it.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Do you-- When a person first comes in and they are-- they sit with CHS, and they sort of receive an evaluation, do you track who

2 enters your facilities with diagnosed substance abuse 3 disorder, and whether or not that individual leaves

with the same addiction?

1

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. CALABRESE: So I can tell you based on recent census, about 14% of patients had an opioid use disorder, and of these, 87% chose to enroll in the program. So that's about 780 patients actively in KEEP. So we really tried to make things low barrier. We again will make connections to community-based services, but we do provide methadone and buprenorphine while in jail.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So do you track that? MS. CALABRESE: Once they're in the community? CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I tell you what my concern is. My concern is that there are individuals entering correctional facilities with substance abuse, maybe without, but definitely leaving with it, with addiction. And I wonder whether or not, you know, how that is tracked? With the proper referrals that are made, clearly. And whether or not some of these addictions are actually formed inside the jails themselves?

That's a good question. MS. CALABRESE: Yeah. So I should say, during our comprehensive medical

2.2

2.3

come in? Okay.

intake process, which happens when a person first
enters custody, we do screen for substance use
issues, and that's when we can make the connections
to KEEP for services. But I think your point is a
good one that the risk of-- [PHONE MAKES NOISE]

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: A little emergency-national, you know, whatever, declaration.

MS. CALABRESE: I guess. Yes. So right, the risk of drug overdose in the jails isn't specific, or even predominantly among people with a known substance use disorder, especially if people aren't tolerant to certain drugs. And so that's why we've really expanded the harm reduction efforts. And that's why we're making Naloxone available, and making training available really comes into play.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Counseling? One-on-one can

MS. CALABRESE: Yeah. That's in addition to the mental health services. And I should also note, I'm sure you saw the Health Department issued the commissioner's advisory related to the overdose crisis in the city earlier this week. And you know, the populations that are at greatest risk in the community, namely black and Latino men, at greatest

2.2

2.3

risk for overdose are also over-represented in our jails. So it is, you know, service and treatment that we take very seriously.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I appreciate that. I'm going to give Councilmember Restler one more-- all right. I'm going to take one more minute he braved his way here.

So finding suitable housing is a critical factor in successful reentry. Does MOCJ coordinate with DOC to help people (and Councilmember Narcisse brought this up, of course), does MOCJ coordinate with DOC to help people leaving custody obtain true transitional housing.

MS. MERRILL: Thank you so much for that question. That's something that we're really proud of, and that we've worked with DOC in lockstep on since the height of the pandemic, and we continue to receive referrals from the Department of Correction, triage those referrals to our network of transitional housing providers, and very often provide transportation directly from correctional facilities to our transitional housing beds. So that's something that's been working very well, thanks to a partnership with DOC.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: What percentage of people leaving DOC custody are referred to the New York City shelter system?

MS. CALABRESE: That's a very good question. And I can get back to you on those exact numbers.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. You know, I'm going to I'm going to go to my colleague, Councilmember Restler to ask a few questions. And thank you. Thank you for your testimony thus far.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Of course, my notes disappear as soon as I say that I'm ready. I spent the morning in mostly South Williamsburg clearing catch basins where we have feet of water. So, I am very much thinking about my constituents this morning and folks across the city who are struggling. We certainly suffered millions of dollars in damages just in our neighborhood in South Williamsburg and have serious issues across the district. I hope everyone's okay and safe. We'll save our displeasure on the lack of communication and warning for another day.

I just want to start by saying I'm almost not even inclined to ask DOC any questions because I'm so disappointed with you all. Last year, you came to us

2.2

2.3

and said it was not for performance reasons that you were cutting the \$17 million in reentry programming, because you needed to make these critical budget cuts. But there are 1029 vacancies in the agency, cutting 60 of those vacancies, positions that are not filled today, would have been the equivalent of the reentry programming. This City Council pushed aggressively for the reentry programming to be included in the adopted budget, and the mayor and DOC said absolutely not. We cannot, will not allow for this program to be funded, even if the Council insists on funding it itself.

And the reason is clear, because you just don't want eyes and ears in the jails. You don't want the Fortune Society. You don't want the Osborn Association. You don't want trusted people seeing the horrors that you are responsible for every single day. And that is why you cut this programming, with no care whatsoever for the futures of the people who are in your custody, no desire to help them land on their feet in a productive ways. You just cut in eliminated this programming because you didn't want oversight for the transgressions that you are

2.3

2 responsible for. That is why it occurred and it is disgraceful.

So I'm going to not ask any questions of voc.

I'm not going to ask any questions of Correctional

Health. I'm just going to focus on DOP today.

I'm deeply concerned about the changes that we've been hearing about at the Department of Probation.

From what I understand, three of the deputy commissioners and general counsel's who've been there for years and are some of the trusted folks at the agency have all left in the last couple of months.

Michael Forte. I believe Janine Gray is leaving,

Wayne McKenzie. These are distinguished professionals. The Department of Probation from the eras of Michael Jacobson, to Marty Horn, to Vanice Sheraldi, to Anna Bermudez has had a remarkable history as a reform-oriented agency focused on preventing our young adults from getting on the wrong path. And I'm very concerned that we are moving in the absolute wrong direction.

22 So, I'd just like to ask a few questions.

One, when our youth detention facilities, or secure detention facilities, or city jails for kids, jails for kids, are at capacity we've seen the

2.2

2.3

in this moment?

population of kids in jail double under Mayor Adams tenure. Why would we eliminate a highly-effective, preventative program that engages young adults in our NYCHA developments? And eliminate it on a day's notice far before the mayor had announced any PEGs that were pending? Why would we eliminate this program on a dime? When it was an effective, preventative program engaging our high at-risk youth

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Thank you for the question.

Maybe it was engaging. I mean, I don't know. Like
you said, I just took office six months ago. But
what it wasn't from what I could see, I didn't see
where any success. I didn't see it. And it's not
just about keeping— it's about keeping them out of
the criminal justice system. I agree. And that's my
job as well, and that's my intentions. But with that
being said, I'd like to go back to what you said
about the individuals that are leaving the agencies,
right? People move on. I agree. Key people. A lot
of times they're dedicated. Absolutely truly going
to be missed.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Commissioner, just because the Chair is going to cut me off, if we could

2.2

2.3

just aim for brevity, I would appreciate it, because
Jet a number of questions for you.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: So, the reason why the program was cut, I explained earlier, there were no successful metrics. There was nothing supporting it.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: So it was program was based on the Arches program, which is a highly successful evidence-based approach, where there has been extensive outside research and independent analysis and evaluation to demonstrate efficacy.

Next Steps is based in the NYCHA developments where we have some of the greatest challenges in the city. It's with credible messengers that engage young adults, one-on-one in effective mentoring.

I've seen the program up close. I've seen it in in communities across Brooklyn. It works. And frankly, I had thought your record in Queens was one of partnering with CMS providers and leveraging the expertise and credibility that they can offer. And I've been really surprised that that hasn't been the approach you've taken at the Department of Probation.

So the next question I'd like to ask is, when you arrived at DOP, how many officers carried guns?

1 2 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I don't know. I didn't 3 even know how many guns they had, because there were 4 no systems in place to tell me that. COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: How many officers carry 6 quns now? 7 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Anybody that's in a supervising position? 8 9 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: How many people carry 10 guns in your agency? 11 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: [[TO OTHERS:] How many 12 guns do we have? [TO COUNCIL:] We'll get back to 13 you on that. 14 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: From what I understand, 15 we now have Department of Probation Officers across the city of New York, openly carrying guns, and--16 17 COMMISSIONER HOLMES: They all had-- They all 18 firearms when I got there. Everyone has to be 19 firearm trained in order to be a probation officer. 20 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: This is a highly 21 unorthodox policy relative to other city agen-- other 2.2 agencies around this country, other state agencies. 2.3 And it's deeply disturbing to me that we are increasing by the hundreds, the number of guns out in 24

New York City. It is not the right message for us to

25

be engag-- our probation officers, in uniforms,

carrying guns are not the mentors that our young

people need. And instead, we're cutting and

eliminating the mentorship programs that were

6 effective.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I think that it is both going to deeply and profoundly undermine the efficacy of the agency to have uniform-wearing, gun-toting individuals. And I'm deeply concerned for our collective safety. You know, when the mayor made comments on the campaign trail that he was going to carry a gun into City Hall, and into church, and anywhere he liked, I didn't think that it would carry down to all of our agencies carrying guns. And the prevalence of guns at the Department of Probation is highly concerning. And I am certainly going to be digging in much more closely on this policy, the risks that it represents, and going to do everything that we can, here from the Council to place severe restrictions and limitations on them.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Probation officers have always carried firearms, it was just optional.

[CROSSTALK] Some of the-- Some of the probation officers were carrying prior to me being appointed to

2.2

2.3

this position. My thing is, I'm proactive, they go
into households where guns have been recovered, drugs
have been recovered. It's a safety reason. So as a
result of such, anyone supervising probationers, our

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: This is--

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: In the office--

clients, they're required to carry firearms.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Patient had been a place where we were oriented toward reducing incarceration, helping people get on the right path. It is turning rapidly into a punitive agency under your lead--

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Absolutely not.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: --and I'm deeply concerned about it. The message that is sent by officer after officer carrying a gun into people's homes, carrying a gun when people visit their probation office in downtown Brooklyn, is deeply disturbing. This is the wrong direction for the Department of Probation. This is the cop-ification of New York City government, and I'm deeply concerned about it.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I'm very concerned about the officers safety when they're conducting these conditional searches, and going into households where

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

we have recovered firearms, where we have recovered drugs. I'm concerned about that. I'm concerned about the clients as well. So when I when I cut a program, this particular program, these 17 service providers are still service providers for DOP. I don't want it to be where we think we're not in business with them. We are. And I don't want it to be mistaken that this may not be, you know, this program is totally eliminated.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: My understanding is that the program manager took authority on themselves to inform the providers that there was going to be no more funding in days. Days. Less than a week. they were without any direction from the agency. agency leadership said, "We don't even need to tell them that we're eliminating these critical mentorship programs engaging our most at risk youth." And this is the thing: We've doubled the number of kids in jails under this mayoral administration. Doubled. Our youth detention facilities are full. We went from a census of-- that's now at 223 from the last I If you want to see the MMR and my Google Docs aren't loading, so I don't have any of the stats in front of me. So I apologize. But it was a 35%

2.2

2.3

increase in admissions for our youth detention facilities this year. What does it mean when we eliminate the preventative programs that are engaging exactly the at-risk youth that we want to support. It means that more of them are going to end up going to our youth jails. The decisions that were making at what had been a preventative— an agency that was focused on prevention, that was focused on helping our young people get on the right track is turning into a punitive agency. It is turning into an agency, where probation officers are walking in the door with guns and scaring people rather than helping people.

And I'm-- I think there is a lot more oversight and analysis that is needed here. I am very concerned about the loss of the expertise of Michael Forte, and Janine Gray, and Wayne McKenzie, distinguished professionals who had spent many years, I imagine many decades between them at the Department of Probation. And we're replacing them all with people who don't have probation experience, who don't have the expertise. This is the wrong direction.

And I'm-- I want to be as clear as possible. I am profoundly concerned.

2.2

2.3

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I'm not concerned. I'm not concerned, Councilmember. I think we have the expertise that we need. And I don't negate anyone that dedicated their time to local city government. I did so 36 years. I walked in these doors, and I don't see the metrics that I think is going to help us reduce recidivism. I can't find them. And I don't see them. And when I ask for them, I can't be provided with them. I'm changing that. Because that's the only way--

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Next Steps is based on the Arches program.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: --you're going to affect recidivism.

independently evaluated and analyzed for years. Next Steps has been in place for the better part of a decade and has been highly effective. These programs are meeting the needs of our most at-risk young adults. If you don't want to see those results, and you don't want to see the data that shows how this work is making a difference, then that's your decision. But we're moving in the wrong direction. The priorities are not what they should be. And I

2.2

2.3

- really believe that this City Council needs to push
 back much more aggressively and provide critical
 oversight, and place strict limitations on what is
 happening. Because I've been really proud dating
 back over decades to the reform-oriented work of the
 Department of Probation. And I've never felt more
 strongly that the agency is on the absolute wrong
 - CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So, Commissioner, in terms of— you said, it's all about the metrics, which I hear you. Have you looked at sort of the outcomes over the past couple decades?

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I have.

track. So thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Especially over the last 10 years, where it's been proven that programming has actually had positive outcomes, has actually reduced recidivism? Have you ever seen any like reporting by Vera Institute, or by collaborations between CUNY and former Commissioner Sheraldi.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: So I-- I have. But what I haven't seen, since I walked in this door, a metric supporting the reduction of recidivism. What I haven't seen is--

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Over how many years ago? I know what time you got in, but I want to know how long are you looking back--

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: I'm looking back--

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: at these disappointing

metrics?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's critical.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Right. So I'm looking back five years, and I can't get true numbers. And that's because the system is in place. I testified here several months ago, for a-- for a budget hearing. Ιf you knew what it took for me to get some of those numbers, it's very challenging, and it's no one's fault, other than the system that's in place, that the state put in place. We are moving away from We're currently, like I said, building out a system where we don't have to go to 50 different repositories to get numbers that we need, that's indicative of success, that's indicative of us reducing recidivism. It is simply not there. And I'm very transparent. It's not there. So it's difficult enough. So case management is critical. It is critical. Marrying up our clients is critical to the to their needs, in order to reduce recidivism.

2.2

2.3

There's some things that are not in place, and we're building it out. And, you know, it's challenging, but it's doable. It's doable.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: I think it's just very-such a difference in terms of, you know, saying that
a program is emblematic of what works, and then now
saying there were no metrics in place. But you
looked back five years. We're going to look back
five years.

COMMISSIONER HOLMES: Mm-hmm.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Again, I've-- Just through my humble time as Chair and looking back at some of these reports from 2013 and 2017, about how these programs have actually had beneficial outcomes? SoTo say that we can't find any data for the last five years to prove that these programs were a benefit instead of a hindrance, or that there wasn't anything in place to measure it? I find it a little bit concerning. And not quite-- I'm not quite there in terms of believing it. So, my duty, through my charter-mandated responsibility of oversight and investigation is to bring the data, bring the anecdotal, and ensure that we also understand that we have to center humanity as we do this.

2.2

2.3

2 So thank you for your testimony.

I just want to ask DOC, because we've heard clearly from the Commissioner, that this is about metrics. DOC says they made their cuts because of the Mayor's mandated 4% budget reduction in fiscal year 2024's adopted budget. That's why you made the cuts? Because the mayor made you?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: It was-- Excuse me.

It was in response to the budget cuts that were

placed on the agency, correct.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Was there a push to try to keep these contracts in place, knowing-- knowing how beneficial the the-- the exchange was in the outcomes between the providers and the incarcerated people themselves?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: I'll say broadly, certainly this was a great concern and a very challenging cut for us to make. We did-- did maintain some service providers. So, when we made \$17.1 million in cuts, we also still, you know, had around \$16.2 million budget projected for this fiscal for the program division. So six were cut, but we still retain around 18 (and DC Torres can elaborate little more on that) with about 17 subcontractors

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 ranging in the \$50 to \$130k range, as well as again, 3 the emphasis on the program staff and taking on the 4 responsibility. It is a big-- It's a big cut and

something we don't take lightly-- lightly at all.

In terms of metrics, also important to us, under Commissioner Molina and this administration, we've really put an emphasis on understanding how valuable quality-- of what quality is our data. So we stood up a data quality team, which is under a division called Management, Analysis and Planning, and we've staffed it with the best in the city. We've got analytics people that have joined us from other departments, who know the business very well and who have track records for really building robust metrics. They've been working on our MMR metrics. They've been working on getting a hold of all the agency data, to get us in a position to really evaluate anything we need evaluated, programs being important as well as one of those things, right? well as other issues that we're taking on whether it's staffing or indicators across the board, we're really honing in on those as a focus for us right now. But DC Torres, I'll let her elaborate a little bit more on the contract side. If you know--

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, let me just-- Let me just--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MCCARTY: Go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: --intervene for a second because I do want to-- there's about 20 people waiting to testify. You mentioned the data quality team. One of the pieces of data that was given by CHS was that 30% of people are identified as homeless at the reentry center?

MS. CALABRESE: So that's actually done in-based on our work in jails. We find that patients,
yeah, 30% identify as being homeless or likely
homeless after release.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So homelessness, I would say, you're going to get back to me on the numbers for people entering with substance abuse versus being discharged with substance abuse? Do you track that? Is the data quality team tracking any of that?

MS. CALABRESE: So it's separate from the department. So we do our own data management. I can certainly follow up on more specifics on substance use. I guess I should note, you know, if someone is using drugs in the jails, but they're not part of the opioid treatment program, that isn't necessarily

COMMITTEE	ON	CRIMINAL	JUSTICE

2 going to be known to the provider, you know,

3 potentially something they're discussing with a

4 clinician, but it may not show up in those

5 statistics.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And I understand that
there's certain things that you can and cannot share,
but I imagine there was some sort of cross-sharing
here and collaboration between the two agencies,
right? So, I just want to make sure that if there
are people in custody or have been identified by
either DOC or you, that you're looking at housing,
substance abuse, I think Commissioner Holmes
mentioned her focus on literacy and employment. So
I'm just ensuring that you're all working together—
together to identify these gaps in these trends in
the— in the highest priority services from
individuals. I know that nonprofits are doing that.
I wanted to ensure that the agencies are.

MS. CALABRESE: Yes, we do work closely. Again, we wouldn't share, you know, Protected Health
Information necessarily--

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Of course not.

2.2

2.3

MS. CALABRESE: --but the department does make referrals to us, you know, of course, for mental health, but also for substance use.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay. Well, I want to—— I want to thank you all for being here. I want to thank you for your testimony. Again, I want to thank everyone for coming in. Clearly there was the declaration, and like, please be safe getting home. I mean, these are some of the worst conditions we've seen since probably Ida. And, again, thank you for your testimony.

I'm looking forward to some of the data that we discussed in terms of follow up, some of these outcomes. And I do hope that you and members of your administration stay to listen to the many partners and providers that are going to be here testifying that have waited two hours to do so.

MS. CALABRESE: And I did get the number. We've distributed 100 phones so far, but have many hundreds more.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Okay, thank you. Be safe.

Thanks again.

So for those here to testify in those on Zoom,

I'm going to have committee counsel call you up only

because it's kind of like a flowing situation in

terms of who here is in person and on Zoom. So bear

with us. And we want to make sure that everyone who

wants to gets a chance to provide testimony. And

6 thank you again to everyone who is here, and joining

7 | us via Zoom.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNSEL: Okay. Just a guick announcement for in-person panelists: Please come up to the desk once your name has been called. For virtual panelists, we will be calling individuals one by one to testify. We'll be limiting public testimony today to three minutes each. Please begin once the sergeant has started the timer. For virtual panelists, once your name is called a member of our staff will unmute-unmute you, and the sergeant at arms will set the timer to give you the go ahead to begin. Please wait for the sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. We're going to start with a hybrid panel. I believe in person, we have Nadia Chait, and then we're going to hear from Andre Ward and Megan French-Marcelin.

You can start whenever you're ready.

Good afternoon, Chair Rivera. And thank you for holding this hearing today. I'm Nadia Chait. I'm

- 2 the Senior Director of Policy and Advocacy at CASES.
- 3 And unfortunately over the last month, we've had a
- 4 really challenging time with our probation contracts.
- 5 We have a range of programs that we partner on
- 6 probation with, both programs that serve young people
- 7 preventatively in the community, and programs that
- 8 are intended to serve folks who are under probation
- 9 supervision.

- 10 As you acknowledged in your questions, the Next
- 11 Steps program was cut incredibly abruptly. We
- 12 received notice at 8:21 p.m. that the program would
- 13 cease to be funded less than five business days
- 14 later. This is an intensive mentorship program that
- 15 | is grounded in building trusted relationships with
- 16 young people. So it is -- I can't really see a
- 17 scenario where we would ever want to cut a program
- 18 \parallel that abruptly, but to do that to young people who
- 19 | have really built those relationships with their
- 20 mentors was just incredibly hard for us.
- 21 And I want to read just a little bit that our
- 22 Next Steps program participants shared with us about
- 23 the value of this program in their lives.
- 24 They said: Next Steps has helped us to find jobs
- 25 and internships while helping to motivate us on a

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

- 2 daily basis. Next Steps creates a good safe,
- 3 positive space for us to express ourselves and be
- 4 | free. With mentors that genuinely care, it gives us
- 5 extra support from someone that we can go to without
- 6 the fear of being turned away. This program has
- 7 encouraged us to always do the right thing, and to
- 8 follow in the right path."

And the same participants who share that statement with us, they see their mentors in the community. Our mentors were hired from the community and they're part of the community. And a month after the end of the program, we are still having participants go up to our mentor and say, "When can we meet again? What are we doing next?" Because they're not in other programs. They have not been connected to other services. This was a preventive program. They're not eligible for Arches. Our participants were young men. So Girls Talk is not a program that's going to serve them.

And so we really appreciate your support and really urge the city to restore the funding for Next Steps, and to bring this program back.

And the other program I'll talk about very quickly is IMPACT. We do have several other

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

contracts with the Department of Probation, including Adolescent Portable Therapy, which the Commissioner mentioned. APT is a great program. We were very happy to have that contract renewed. But it's not at all the same as IMPACT. It's not an alternative to placement. While it does serve young people who are under probation supervision, it is a voluntary referral from probation officers. So, it's not the same as what the, you know, what the process would have been for young people on IMPACT. IMPACT would have been a much more intensive program. And also it would have served far more young people. It would have served 175 people were APT serves about 30 each year. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thanks. Next we'll go to Andre Ward, participating via Zoom.

MR. WARD: Yes. Good morning. Do you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes, we do.

MR. WARD: Good morning Chair Rivera and members of the Committee on Criminal Justice for the opportunity to provide this testimony. My name is Andre Ward. I'm the Associate Vice President of Policy at the Fortune Society, which has been around

2.2

2.3

for about 55 years as an organization, supporting successful reentry from incarceration and promoting alternatives to incarceration so as to really strengthen the fabric of our communities.

And one of the things that we know at Fortune, in FY 23, we served about close to 11,000 people or so across our programs, and in our continuum of housing models, including nearly 2700 people held in our city jails. You know, as a group of providers, you know, until June 30th of this year, every day we've collectively engaged with nearly 1700 people across 200 housing units and jails with hard skills, training, et cetera.

But as you know, Chair, those contracts were canceled. And the overwhelming number of people in our city jails are black and brown, as I'm sure you know. And we as a city failed to invest in the kind of programs that help them secure employment and supportive services after their release, we are really collected be contributing to the whole widely reported growing gap in unemployment rates between black and white New Yorkers. So, it's a really important issue for us.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And we're fortunate that we provide -- We're really proud to say that, you know, we hire our mission, approximately half of our staff had been directly impacted by the criminal legal system. also know, even including our newly named incoming president and CEO, Stan Richards, who was also held in Rikers at one period of time, in his life, and we know it works, right? And we strive every day to make sure that we are supporting people. And we know that what works first and foremost is providing people with safe, stable, affordable housing and ensuring they're on pathways to financial stability and wellness. And having a home is critical, right?, to all of our abilities to find and maintain employment, pursue education and be connected to family. You know, people-- or rather, once people who have the necessary base of operations and stable housing, they thrive, they are also able to be financially stable. They also have physical and mental wellness in many instances, and Fortune offers both those pathways to our robust array of community programs.

One of the things we know is, you know, we also know that connecting with people before they're

2.2

2.3

released from jail makes a difference in their
likelihood of engaging us once they are released.

Our data shows that people who engage with us while
released-- or rather, after they're released, who did
not work with us while in jail, approximately 400 of
those people enrolled in our community-based transfer
of services within the past 12 months were previously
engaged with us while they were in jail. And so

So that just really speaks to the importance of these programs, but as of June 30, that warm handoff to other--

within the 60 days immediately upon their enrollment.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.

MR. WARD: --jail programs was obviously stopped abruptly with the cutting of the programs. So you know, we can understand that the city faces tough financial choices. But we also know that as a city, we must take the long view about how to best enhance our collective safety and well-being, and we must always guard against selling, you know, exacerbating existing racial and socioeconomic inequities.

So we thank you Chair for holding this hearing.

And we certainly look forward to making sure that we

2 partner with all of our organizational partners to

3 make sure that people are receiving what they need.

4 So thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we'll hear from Megan French-Marcelin.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin, Megan,

MS. FRENCH-MARCELIN: Thank you so much. And I'm going to be very short because I am currently holed up in a coffee shop after the flooding took-- took the electricity and power out of my house.

I want to just thank the Chairwoman and Councilmember Restler for their very pointed comments and questions to the Commissioner of DOP. I have seen I-- I have sort of witnessed these series of cuts the cuts from DOC to providers to the DOP cuts as a sign of what's to come. We see these cuts to evidence-based community-led programs as a real return to the draconian law and order of New York, under Giuliani in the 1990s, that didn't serve us. And it's not going to serve us now. In fact, it's going to further entrench existing inequalities in a way that will-- that-- I'm going to keep it really short, because I can barely hear myself.

2.2

2.3

But these programs have been instrumental for our young people. And I think I found it particularly upsetting to hear about a marketing campaign that is going to cost, I imagine, millions of dollars when you're cutting a \$3 million program that was actually serving our youth. I'm going to cut it there, because I can't hear. But thank you so much for your comments, and I urge the City Council to take a more proactive stance on supporting these programs financially and restoring our systems to a place where we're doing holistic care.

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we'll call the folks who are in person here today. Kingsley Rowe, Zakya

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next we'll call the folks who are in person here today. Kingsley Rowe, Zakya Warkeno, Andy Collado, Arnell Jackson, Janelle Farris, and Hubert Lila.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: And while you all are getting settled, I just want to call on Councilmember Alexa Avilés. I know she wanted to make a statement and say something, we can unmute the Councilmember.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you so much Chair. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes, we can.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Yes, thank you. I, unfortunately, in Brooklyn in my district and I wish

2.2

2.3

2 everyone's districts and everyone stay safe during
3 these rains.

You know, I have to say for the record, I find the testimony today from the Commissioner of Probation, quite frankly, appalling. We've had conversations—it is clear that there was no evaluation, there was no corrective action, there was poor communication on behalf of the Department of Probation to the providers.

When the commissioner notes, "no data," in fact, what she also failed to make clear is that the providers provided monthly deliverables, quarterly goals reporting over this entire year period. There are stories upon stories of true success of young people finding their ways, being supported. And quite frankly, for \$150,000 per site, this program has delivered clearly on what we are trying to do.

And so I want to understand that what is—— What I need to say here is what is clear is that the failure is on the behalf of the Department of Probation. And I am deeply, deeply concerned, as noted by Councilmember Restler that the Department is regressing to its darker days, have a punitive

2.2

2.3

agency, of an agency that looks like the police
department.

So unfortunately, what we are seeing here, there has not been a clear justification or rationale for the abrupt cancelling of these contracts. And in fact, even the notion that these young people were referred to other programs, are we also noting that those programs were given adequate funding to absorb a whole new cadre of young people? Or are we expecting programs to continue to service young people with less and less resources? So I am deeply, deeply disturbed by the way things are going and how this contract was handled.

And I just need to say lastly, Commissioner, if this is an example of the type of partnership that you will purport with providers, we're going to-- we are very concerned by that. The message that you're sending to providers is that there are no protocols, there is no communication, and defunding can happen at any time, at any whim, with no clear indicating why. And this is not the way we need to work with our providers for our young people. They are worth every single cent. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you, Councilmember.

3 As-- As we heard, I know many of you are going to

4 talk to us about how the numbers are there.

5 Deliverables are always required. I know, I've been

6 on that end. And so with that, I would love to start

7 with whoever's ready to go first. And thank you,

Councilmember.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MR. ROWE: Hello. So, thank you Councilwoman
Rivera. Thank you for inviting me to talk at this—
this committee hearing. My name is Kingsley Rowe.

I'm a Senior Forensic Reentry Social Worker with the
New York County Defender Services, which is based in
Manhattan. My job is to support clients returning
from Rikers, and by providing social services and
reintegration back into the community.

I submitted a lengthy testimony which I gave to the Sergeant At Arms. But I'd like to take my two minutes to go through a few-- a few things.

First, I was at Rikers yesterday. And it's always a mess. I spent four hours waiting to see one client yesterday. And it-- Normally it's always this way. So it's very hard to see clients and-- and see people because the length of time it takes to see people.

2.2

2.3

Regarding-- Regarding the next important thing is housing, housing, housing. No one can expect to be successful in community without housing. I speak for myself. I was incarcerated for 10 years. When I came home, it was only because my father had a home base for me to-- to launch from, that I was able to do the things that I needed to do in society, which would mean going to NYU, getting my undergraduate degree, and getting my master's degree from NYU. But I wouldn't have been able to do any of that had not had housing.

During the pandemic MOCJ operated successful reentry hotels. There were still a few beds open and operated by Housing Works, but that isn't nearly enough.

I've attached the attached details that

demonstrate the successes of these programs, with-with my written testimony, but I cannot say enough
good things about them, how they literally save
people's lives.

I don't know one can imagine you know, coming out of jail and having no place to go. A shelter isn't a isn't stable place to live. A shelter isn't, you know, isn't going to give them the foundation that

2.2

2.3

they need, to reintegrate with their families, to be successful, or to get jobs. I heard that— I heard the Commissioner of Probation say that she— she wants them to buy houses, and she wants them to you know, to be set. But if you're taking away all the tools that one needs to be successful, how are they going to be? It's like some someone wanting you to put on boots— you know, pull up your bootstraps, but you don't have any boots to put on, you know, you don't have any to pull up.

Also, with regard to the phones. I saw the phone-- I was at the trailer yesterday. I saw the phones. But it was empty. It was empty. And the clients that I speak to at Riker's, my clients. I asked them about the trailer, and no one knows anything about the trailer. No one. They have these resources there. They have no-- I believe two caseworkers and one [inaudible] worker but the place is empty.

And so I was trying to figure out, like, how are they sort of letting the clients know, letting these individuals who are incarcerated know about the services that they have? And, I mean it's there, but no one is partaking of them.

2.2

2.3

Regarding phones. So, see I never heard MOCJ talk about phones, and they have given them out to, okay, they said 100 people. In order to get a phone you have to be SMI, which means you have to you know have a mental and also sometimes diagnosed by DOC. You have to be older than 60, and you must belong—you are you must belong to a protected class or transgendered. But that leaves a swath of people who don't have phones, or with means to communicate.

I understand the reasoning behind getting—
getting phones to people who are— have mental
illness or getting phones to people who like or are
indigent. But the majority of people are not— not
able to take advantage of the phones— the phones
that they have. So that sort of needs to be an
expansion of the— what qualifies one to have a
phone.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Well, we do-- I do have your testimony as well, here.

MR. ROWE: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: So not only do I see your recommendations in terms of legislation and things that we should do, including reinstating the contracts that were lost, which I think many of us

2.2

2.3

are in full agreement with, I do appreciate the numbers that you also included here, and your testimony. So thank you for that.

MR. ROWE: Also, there's-- there's a lot of programs that I know, you spoke to them about the programs in the jails. And I have I have-- I have, like a program like college way, have significant issues, trying to reach clients in Rikers. It is like-- You know, it is this very difficult to engage with anyone there, just from the standpoint of the officers there, the staff there. Just, there seems to be a real disconnect between the people who come to help there and the people who are manning the jail.

So anyway, I guess that— that you can say—anyway, you can sort of redefine what that relationship is. Because I think in terms of— I just think is more—more punishment.

You know, you talk that you talk to people in Rikers, and— and they say, "Oh, these people are, you know, criminals, and these people don't deserve anything." And this is the prevailing culture within Rikers. You know, how can someone get well, how could someone, you know, progress, if everything

around everyone around them is saying that "You are
nothing. You are You know, you deserve to be where
you are." You know, making it difficult for people
to get services. Like, I have clients who say, I'm
trying to get to I'm trying to get to the program,
but no one is calling me on my block. No one won't
allow me to go there. You know, the tablets. You
know, I just hear about this, the tablets. I have
clients who say they're unable to get tablets, you
know, they're unable to use them in appropriate ways.

So, you know, I would hope that you would take

Department of Probation, take Rikers, take these

people to task, for, you know, for the services that
they're providing or the platform they're providing,
the services to people who are incarcerated.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you.

MR. ROWE: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very much. And thank you for you know, sharing your own experience and how you brought that in. That don't-- thank you.

Is this working. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes, it is. Just can we move a little closer?

2.2

2.3

MS. FARRIS: Thank you. Good afternoon, and thank you for having this hearing. I know you're not responsible for the rain. My name is Janelle Ferris. I'm the Executive Director of Brooklyn Community Services. It's an organization that fosters the edu-educational success of children, the leadership, development of youth, and the employment and housing stability of adults in Brooklyn.

I'm here to testify in support of work plus programs. They are the target of the Department of Probation for the next programs to be cut.

At BCS we operate a work plus program in East New York, a community that's been disproportionately impacted by systemic poverty, violence, and disinvestment. Through this program, we connect youth who have been involved in street violence, incarceration, or have dropped out of school and have limited educational and work skills. We connect them to counseling, workforce training, and individualized support. The BCS Work Plus helps you to achieve stability for themselves and their families, to make better decisions, and to become contributing members of their community.

2.2

2.3

In January 2022, the Adams Administration released a blueprint for community safety, which outlined a roadmap to strategize, to reduce gun violence throughout the city of New York. The blueprint targets communities such as East New York where opportunities, skills, training, they're all needed for young New Yorkers who are justice involved. The Works Plus Program is a worthy component of the Mayor's plan.

At BCS, we have a cohort of 34 participants who are engaged for a year in our program. We provide them with case management, education, workforce training, as well as referrals to mental health programs and other services. Since 2020, nearly 100 people have received our support and earn certification and credentials for upwardly mobile employment. We're proud of people like Tahir Dukes. Tahir was referred to our program by another graduate, and he entered Work Plus and earn several OSHA certificates and is now earning a living wage working in construction and building maintenance. Eliminating Work Plus will take opportunities like this from young people like Tahir, programs that can

2.2

2.3

put them onto a pathway for success and selfactualization.

The Department— The Department of Probation's mission is to hold people on probation accountable, and give them opportunities to forge new pathways so they can move out and stay out of the justice system. Ending these programs is counterintuitive. And the Department of Probation owes it to the City of New York, owes it to the Administration that says it is committed to reducing gun violence, and its own studies support the programs that they are now looking to cut.

So, I thank you for listening and having this hearing and for supporting programs such as this.

MR. LILA: Good afternoon, Chair Rivera. My name is Hubert Lila. I'm a Program Director at Brooklyn Community Services and I supervise the East New York Department of Probation Work Plus program. We service 34 at-risk individuals a year from the East New York section of Brooklyn, as you know, one of the highest poverty and housing insecure sections of New York City.

Work Plus assists young people between the ages of 16 and 30 with professional development,

2.2

2.3

vocational training, employment assistance, as well as conflict resolution. But more importantly, we instill hope and motivation into our young adults.

Yes, we can quantify how many of our clients are under credential training, or earned a GED, or secured employment. And I know metrics are important to this body. But how do we quantify self-esteem, self-dignity, and self-pride? We can't physically measure these variables. But still, we have many clients' testimonies that demonstrate transformation, emotional growth, and maturity since participating in Work Plus.

They can't be here today, so I would like to amplify some of the voices. As my colleague stated, Tahir Dukes: "Work Plus didn't only help me acquire my OSHA certification and internship, it helped me realize that there's more to life than just my block. That the way things are is not the way things have to be. And if I could change my way of thinking and my perception, then I could change my reality." Eddie B.: "Work Plus taught me that not every problem has to be fixed with a hammer, and that the more tools I have in my toolbox, meaning life skills, then the better I will be at resolving issues and managing

2.2

2.3

crisis in my life. Today, I'm confident that I could deescalate negative situations in my life, and I don't have to resort to violence to solve any problems." William S. "I wanted to do better, and knew I had to choose a better way to feed my family. But I didn't know where to start, and traditional school wasn't for me. But Work Plus allowed me to earn a certified vocational training, help me with my resume, and to secure job in construction. I no longer have to hustle to support my family. And most importantly, I'm becoming the man my kids can be proud to call dad."

These are just a few testimonies from the clients we serve. Our unique combination of college-educated and credible messenger staff allows us to meet clients where they are, work with them, and guide them where they need to be. There isn't a one-size-fits-all, and each client is different and unique. So our approach is different as well. We don't tell clients what they have to do. We ask them what they want to do and where they want to be a year from now, and we help them get there. If we lose Work Plus, then we lose hope for a better East New York. The only difference between young people in East New

to East New York.

2.2

2.3

- York, as opposed to young individuals in Brooklyn

 Heights is not the ability, but their access to

 opportunity, and Work Plus brings that accessibility
 - I commend Commissioner Holmes earlier for standing on the record, that she will not close any other DOP program, but enhance them. I hope she remains true to that statement.
 - Thank you so much for your time Commissioner and Chair Rivera.
 - Good morning. Thank you, Chair Rivera and committee members for holding this hearing and for allowing us the opportunity to testify.
 - My name is Andy Collado. I'm the Director of

 Economic Empowerment Programs at Riseboro Community

 Partnership, a Brooklyn-based nonprofit I am proud to

 work for and represent. I manage and guide the

 financial coaching and workforce development programs

 for our Empowerment Division. Part of those

 responsibilities consists of our two youth-focused

 Department or Probations funded programs: Works Plus

 and Next Steps.
 - Today, I'm here to testify against the cutting of funds for Next Steps programs, and advocate for our

2.2

2.3

lives.

alumni and current participants, as well as the
dedicated staff that have made a difference in their

Since 2017, we've had 100 alumni and current participants, all from NYCHA housing in Brownsville, come to us as open minds, looking for mentorship and guidance as they navigate the muddy waters surrounding their dreams, dreams that could one day lead them out of— lead them out of poverty. But even those who escaped poverty are highly likely to return to that same level within only a few years. And the longer they have been in poverty, the higher rate of them returning to it. And that's according to the Center of Poverty Research at UC Davis, but it's something we see all the time.

The biggest contribution to crime is poverty itself. So how do we help our youth? The very future of this city, to avoid crime, to find a way out of poverty and to stay there, and then also to spread those lessons to their communities, friends and family?

Well, the DOP had the right idea. The Department of Probations had a successful plan to helping the youth in high-crime public housing hotspots

successfully avoid criminal justice involvement and
help those who have-- who have had the involvement
avoid it going forward. That plan was Next Steps,

5 until it wasn't.

2.2

2.3

With less than five days' notice, we were told that the program will end. That isn't just pulling the rug out from under these aspiring and inspiring future contributing positive members of our great city. That was pulling it so hard that we all ended up with blacks and blues as our heads and jaws hit the floor.

When we met with Commissioner Holmes, we were told our program was redundant, without telling us who was making us redundant in our neighborhoods, an answer we're still waiting for.

We were told the data didn't show enough impact.

But never-- were never given an opportunity to

provide the data that DOP was looking for. When

there are decisions made that impact so many at-risk

youth, you can't judge us on data we would never

asked for or even told you we're looking for.

She mentioned earlier about the systems. So, it's very similar to me as if you were to get a whole

2.2

2.3

bunch of gold ore, and instead of finding the ways to

test if it's actual gold, you decide to throw it out.

We provided everything that we were asked for in our monthly reports. The answer to what impact they were looking for in their decision has still not been answered, and multiple times today, she could not give a clear answer to what specifically she was looking for.

We were dismissed as a casualty of war, and I'm seriously concerned that all we're doing is creating more combatants and enemies for the war on crime.

Because poverty and lack of resources, like cutting the wildly successful credible messenger program known as Next Steps, will only lead to more crime in our neighborhoods.

When we took participants to Harvard for tour with a graduate from their own neighborhood that was increasing opportunity and possibility. When we help an alum who got into Oberlin College and needed help adjusting to a completely different environment, needed help with the skills needed to stay there, it was what she learned in that program that not only helped her to thrive, but to be able to reach out to

2.2

2.3

2 us when she felt something was above her capacity.
3 We were the lifeline.

When our-- When your friends die through gun violence, it was the mentor sitting with the participants and helping them process their emotions and build the resilience and foresight to push past traumatic experiences to figure out their next steps.

Committee, the program is done as of last Friday.

I implore you to help us advocate and support these youth, to find ways to bring it back, and frankly to expand it. Some of us had enough funding to continue helping the participants for a bit longer, but that help won't see the new year.

This was a program that worked, that had engaged staff and engaged participants, that was making a difference— that was making a difference. What message are we sending to the youth that needed us the most, that have worked so hard to get where they are, changing their behaviors, their perspectives, their possibilities, by treating them like an ambiguous line item in the future of our city?

Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today, Committee, and I hope you understand in my testimony the urgency of this issue.

2.2

2.3

I wish you all a blessed day and hope that you can help us create more blessed days for our communities as well.

Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Yes, we can.

MR. JACKSON: Okay. Thank you, first of all, for hearing us and giving us an opportunity to speak to the decision by the Department of Probation to abruptly terminate the Next Steps program. My name is Arnell Jackson, and I was the Program Manager for the Next Steps at the Polo Grounds Housing Complex in Harlem.

I've been a part of the program since its inception, since 2014. And to be honest with you, I was shocked at the way that the program ended without any proper notice, in effect, basically, giving us less than a week to provide closure for these young people, search for viable resources, and to look for employment for other credible messengers, who have a hard time getting employment.

One of the key principles of our program, and what we stress to our participants, was to make sure that there was effective communication. And we go out of our way to make sure that our young people had

2.2

2.3

2 a voice and were able to have a say in what goes on 3 in their lives.

So, I'm sure you can understand how difficult that was, when we had to explain to them that in this situation, you actually don't have a say in what goes on in your life and your immediate future.

Officer-- Commissioner Holmes often said that it was redundant. But what made Next Steps different was the fact that they didn't have to be on probation to attend. It allowed them to become part of something without being involved in the system, which was a blessing and of course. It was harder to get them to stay, because there was no agency saying, you know, "you have to be here." They were here because they wanted to be there. You know, an ounce of prevention.

We're all aware of what it takes and what it costs to feed and, you know, house an inmate for a year. And there's still no conclusive evidence that you know, that someone actually gets better by using these methods. So, I mean, there's no opportunity to gain anything when you are locked up. When you are out, there are more opportunities and it's better to try to find something before it happens.

2.2

2.3

In our Zoom with Commissioner Holmes, she mentioned that she saw that we were taking the young people to the movies. And I would argue that if you have a young person who's in the movies for two hours, it takes them away from the neighborhood. So that's better than being influenced negatively for two hours.

And as far as evidence, where she continually said there is no evidence, we did a two-part workshop after we took them to the movies to see Black Panther (this was all sent to them) -- Black Panther and this was put out by Harvard Graduate School of Education. So this is what we used to talk to our young people about the movie, and the direct correlation between reading comic books and literacy, which she talked about, literacy.

So we were able to talk about race, we were able to talk about gender, we talked about colonialism.

We were able to address all of those things.

Another thing that we really focused on was identification, because our kids were getting locked up because they had no ID. So we made sure we got ID for everybody. So much so that we ended up getting passports. And here are the pictures that were sent

2.2

2.3

with them-- to them, of our young people. We got six and 2022. This was sent to them. Once we got the passports, we got together (because we're part of a larger agency part of Children's Village), and we were able to get funding, and we took our young people on trips. This past August, we went to Baltimore, and here is the packet. Last year, we went to Philadelphia. We stayed in Baltimore, and we went to Morgan State. We got a tour of Morgan State University. We went to Philadelphia in 2022.

Prior to the pandemic, in 2019, we were able to get some funding from Children's Village. So we took the passports and we took our people to Toronto,

Canada twice, both years. What we did there, we were able to help them with the rate of exchange. They got to see people of color that lived outside the

United States. They got an immense amount of new and different things. And my last point—— I know I'm over time, I'm sorry. Our mentors, who were from the streets, they saw what the kids needed. So, we put together an effective resume writing course, so that they could learn interview skills and resume writing.

That's this packet. We also did around prom time, because our kids wanted to go to prom, and they all

everything together.

2.2

2.3

wanted to go and get the \$99 suits, and they were all black, and all red. We did a grooming workshop where we got people from the neighborhood to come in and teach our people how to tie ties, and how to put

And the last point was: In our community, we started talking about collective economics. So instead of providing-- getting food from Domino's Pizza over and over, we started going to the black-and-brown-owned businesses and the Spanish-owned businesses. And we got an opportunity to have them speak to the entrepreneurs. And here's a list of that.

So all of these things were given to them. So as far as proof and evidence, I'm not sure what other evidence they're looking for. But I understand that I'm over time, and I just wanted to thank you for the opportunity to fight for our young people.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Absolutely. Thank you. No, I think movies are art. Just plain and simple. And everything else is certainly an experience that could change any—anyone's path in any given moment. So—So thank you for going into that. I think that's important. And it's sad to hear that that wasn't

2.2

2.3

2 acknowledged as meaningful enough to preserve. Thank
3 you.

Hello, good afternoon. My name is Zakya Warkeno. I'm a Policy Advocate and Social Worker at the Bronx Defenders. Advocates in our office were outraged when we heard that the Department of Corrections was getting rid of the \$17 million budget for community-based programs, and making themselves the program providers. Prior to Bronx Defenders, I was a greenhouse instructor in the horticulture program at Rikers, and I got to see participants get excited about experiential learning.

There is a lot of intentionality and thoughtfulness that goes into creating lesson plans that will be meaningful— that will meaningfully engage participants, and this will be lost on DOC.

A client being held in RESH, the Enhanced

Supervision Housing unit located behind the Rose M.

Singer Center, described programming as being chained to a desk and given a packet to work through by themselves with no further engagement with the material. This is a preview of what's to come if DOC is allowed to be program providers. DOC as the program provider will be a conflict of interest,

because as an institution, they have no investment in

helping incarcerated folks get out of jail. A

detained-- A detainee's program involvement is an

advocacy tool for negotiating with judges and

Assistant District Attorneys for better case

outcomes.

2.2

2.3

When I first came to Bronx Defenders a social worker shared with me a mitigation report, or also called a pre-plea investigation report, or called PPI. And-- And she shared that with me as an example of how to tell someone's story to a district attorney. And to my surprise, that report was about one of my former students from the therapeutic horticulture program. And that report highlighted their participation in the program as a vehicle for changing the course of their life.

And before I wrap, we urge City Council to pass two very important bills, one of them being Intro 549, sponsored by the Public Advocate, which will end solitary confinement in New York city jails and have a more humane form of separation. And the other being Intro 1203-2023, Councilmember Riley's bill which would require DOC to provide discharge papers,

2.2

2.3

or jail release papers to everyone leaving their custody. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you. Thank you to all of you for your testimony and for your work. Thank you very much.

COUNSEL: And thanks again to this panel. If there's anybody present who does wish to testify in person, please see the sergeant at arms in the back to fill out a slip. But for now we're going to move to panelists who are joining us via Zoom. And I'll just name off the first three so everybody could be prepared. But we'll-- we'll start with Veronica Vela, then Rebecca Kinsella, and then LuAnne Blaauboer. We will start with Veronica.

MS. VELA: Hello. I'm with the Prisoners' Rights
Project of the Legal Aid Society. The Legal Aid
Society continues to be concerned that DOC's decision
to cut the funding for programming by outside
providers will further destabilize the city jails.
Not only is it a bedrock of correctional practice
that programming decreases violence, but we knew that
this department has neither the culture nor the
competence to provide these programs itself.

2.2

2.3

DOC was already failing to meet basic obligations to people in its custody. The ongoing staff mismanagement resulted in thousands of people being denied access to medical services every month. The housing areas are without staff on post, including a fire safety watch, which is critically important in areas without adequate fire alarm systems, and persons in custody are going days without receiving recreation, medication, and even meals.

Now despite what we heard today, we know many of our clients are not receiving any programming. If DOC cannot provide staff to deliver life-sustaining services like medication and meals, it most certainly cannot be trusted to develop, implement, and manage crucial jail programs. These cuts have eliminated longstanding successful programs that provided direct support to people on their housing areas. The Inexplicable decision to do this at a time when the department desperately needs tools to improve conditions is sadly consistent with a department preoccupied with eliminating all transparency.

Consider that in 2022 when deaths in custody, we're greater than any other year in two decades, the department's response was to stop notifying the media

2.2

2.3

when a person dies in custody. The Board of

Correction recently had to sue the department access
to video from within Rikers after DOC abruptly

withdrew it, and Commissioner Molina has urged the
federal monitor to not release damning reports,
because he was afraid they would cause great harm to
the department.

The department is trying to avoid scrutiny by hiding its dysfunction from outside eyes. DOC is less concerned with the harm suffered by people in custody than it is with the objects they create. DOC should not continue to evade accountability. The Council should demand that DOC publish an ongoing updated list of programs, providers, and enrollment. And because DOC would rather withhold complete and accurate information than accept accountability, the Council must independently verify any data to be accurate, and that the programming needs of people in custody are being met.

In a jail that has exorbitant use of force and violence rates and cannot manage basic jail functions like access to medical care, there are many reasons why programs provided by outside professional providers should be expanded, not eliminated.

2.2

2.3

different programs.

And finally, every person with the means to reduce the population of the city jails must exercise that power and continue to push until this population is low enough that even DOC can meet the basic human needs of those in the system.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MS. VELA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you so much. And I want to apologize to Mr. Rodriguez. I would like to call on-- on you to testify now if that's okay.

Thank you for your testimony, Veronica.

MR. RODRIQUEZ: How you doing? My name is Ahmed Rodriguez. I am the Director for Community Media Services in Queensbridge, which is one of the lousing, which is the largest housing complex in the country. Speaking on behalf of the Next Steps program, which has just been terminated by the—by the Probation Department, there are a lot of concerns here. I recently went on New York 1, and I interviewed, and they were asking about the program, and we were talking about it, and the response from the Department of Probation, the Commissioner was that the—the program could be put off into

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now you've heard a lot of testimony from people here about -- and it's clear that this Council is a true champion for justice for our young people. So I commend all the work that you guys do. But there was a lot of inaccurate information shared, you know, mentioning -- In her thing, she said, "Well, it's \$2.5 million that we're spending. We're not spending it on that anymore, we can redirect those funds." And the reality is that, you know, the programs that are existing, like Girls Talk, which is a great program. But if you look at it as gender specific, it only speaks to a particular age group 13 to 17. And it's only for girls, our program starts with 16 to 24 year So that is truly a loss right there. So our young men who are involved in a lot of at-risk behavior are not able to do that. She mentioned Blue Chips, another great program. However, the assumption is that all of our young people want to be athletes. And that's not the case. Some of them want to be judges, some of them want to be lawyers, some of them want to be councilmen, some of them want to be teachers, some of them want to be painters. They want to be a host of different things. they're not eligible to be in that program.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

She mentioned Arches. Arches is another great program for young people who are on probation. The unique thing about Next Steps is that we are about young people who are on probation and who are not. So we are preventative.

The idea is not to bring people to probation. don't want young people on probation, we want to try to stop them from getting there. So having a program like Next Steps is imperative because we are also embedded in all of the NYCHA complexes, so they don't have to leave. We're trying to take the guns out of these young people's hands. And we host-- We're cooking with them. We're taking them on trips. I heard about her being a little disturbed, I guess, with the movie trip. I took my young people to Great Adventure. And while she doesn't think that that may be a good trip, we did it in collaboration with the NYPD out of Queensbridge Space Nine. They actually rented -- they actually got one of their vehicles, crossed state lines with us (which they had to get clearance for), and brought our young people to Great Adventure because they had never experienced that. They'd never been there. Some of our young people just do not leave those communities. I've taken them

2.2

2.3

on trips. We watch— We watch films like The Diary of Anne Frank, and then we go visit the Holocaust Jewish Museum, because in their minds, they only see the discrimination against them and no one else.

I know I'm over time. We've done a host of different trips with them, culturally-based trips. If think it's imperative that people understand that we need this program for these young people. And I'm a little-- again, a little concerned about the thing about the number of \$2.1. First of all, it costs \$560,000 to keep a person incarcerated. So imagine if we save four of them, which we-- we have already done, we've already hit on mark. So we're saving money by investing in this program. If anything, there should have been more money put into these programs.

So I just ask that, that you look very closely at how the direction they're moving in, and what it is they're going to plan to do it. Because I heard no real plan of action. And one of the things she—they didn't get to tell you was that when he ended the program, what they did was they asked us, after they terminated the program, they didn't offer any funding, they just said, "On August 31st, we're not

2.2

2.3

giving you guys any money, but we'll give you three extra weeks to look for stuff." We could have done that on our own. So, we weren't able to feed kids, we weren't able to give kids Metro cards, we weren't able to do the things that we normally do to help them move about the way they need to move about in a productive manner. So, you know— and the fact that she left. If you were truly concerned about what was going on here, you would have stayed to hear with all the providers, but she walked right out because she was no longer obligated to be here. So that tells you the direction that probation is going.

And so please, please, I urge you to really monitor. We cannot have people in charge of stuff that can wake up one morning and just feel like they don't have to do anything. They can just cancel this, cancel this, and it's okay. It's not okay.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RIVERA: Thank you very much. Thank you for waiting. Thank you for all that you do.

COUNSEL: Next-- excuse me. Next we'll go to Rebecca Kinsella.

Rebecca, you may begin.

MS. KINSELLA: Good afternoon. My name is
Rebecca Kinsella, and I'm an Associate Director of
Social Work in the Criminal Defense Practice at
Brooklyn Defender Services.

Thank you to the committee and Chair Rivera for holding this important hearing today.

In May our office appeared before this committee to express our strong opposition to the Mayor's proposed budget cuts to community-based agencies providing services in the city jails. We are now seeing the impact we feared. I visit people in custody in the city jails every week, and I can tell you that programs are critical to keep people healthy, safe, and motivated to keep fighting their cases.

Many of my clients are facing serious charges, which means they've been at Rikers for months, if not years waiting for their cases to move through the system. You know that Rikers is dangerous. You know that it is unsafe, that people are dying inside, that the buildings are deteriorating, that staff are at best exhausted and at worst horrifically abusive. People are isolated and after the cuts to funding there's increasingly nothing for people to do.

2.2

2.3

One person I work with is detained in the new enhanced supervision housing and Rose M. Singer. He receives what DOC calls programming while chained to a restraint desk. In his unit, DOC has been bringing half of the population out of their cells for programming at 5 a.m., where they remain locked to a desk until 9 a.m. During the majority of these hours, no programs are taking place. People are sitting chained to a desk while waiting hours for DOC program provider to arrive. So many choose not to participate at all.

Two young men that Brooklyn defenders represents reported that programs they had been actively participating in disappeared over the summer, programs such as OSHA, CPR, driving, welding, and digital literacy. Collectively, the two had completed more than seven certifications. They were both eager to participate in any other programming and achieve certifications, but none are currently available.

Another young person has been completing GED coursework and is very close to completing the program, but school in his unit has been discontinued. He told us that there are at least 10

2.2

2.3

other school-aged people on his unit who would like
to participate, but they have not been allowed to go.

The decision by the Mayor in DOC to eliminate community-run programs was dangerously misguided and short sighted. And contrary to their claims here today, DOC has been unable to adequately replace the quantity and quality of the programs, leaving people with nothing to do in custody, day after day, week after week, month after month. This has a profoundly negative impact on people's mental and physical health and aggravates the chaotic environment of the jails.

Many of the programs community-based agencies offered allowed people to learn skills, receive education, and build support that may be useful when they are released for Rikers. These were reentry tools even if DOC resists labeling them as such. The Council must work to fully restore the funding for community-based reentry and jail based programming to ensure that incarcerated New Yorkers have access to the support they need to survive their time in jail and to successfully returned to the community.

Thank you all for your time.

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next LuAnne.

2 You may begin LuAnne.

2.2

2.3

MS. BLAAUBOER: Thank you and thank you Chair
Rivera and Committee on Criminal Justice for the
opportunity to testify today. My name is LuAnne
Blaauboer, and I'm the Vice President of Vocational
Programs at Good Shepherd Services. Good Shepherd
has several contracts with DOP, including Next Steps,
which was abruptly terminated on August 24th, and
Works Plus, and others.

My testimony today is going to focus on the Works
Plus Program which I oversee at Good shepherd, and
which resembles Next Steps in terms of size and
funding dollars. So, I'm very concerned about the
future of these programs.

I'm here today to underscore the value of small community-specific programs that support vulnerable populations like justice involved or out-of-school and out-of-work youth. Our Works Plus Programs provide job and career readiness, and job access services to over 50 young adults living in the Bronx. Many who are referred to us by our Cure Violence Partner, Bronx Rises Against Gun Violence or BRAG. These programs are highly local, meaning that each program serves young people within a single precinct,

2.2

2.3

literally finding and meeting participants where they're at. These programs are also what I would call high touch, meaning that we serve young people in small settings, enabling us to provide intensive and individualized supports and services to each participant. And these features are important, because we're serving youth who are most at risk of falling through the cracks, including those who are disconnected from school or work, those whose lives have been disrupted by contact with the criminal justice system, and those who live in communities that are suffering from the long-term impacts of institutionalized racism.

If we want to move the needle on poverty, on recidivism, or on community violence then programs like works plus are a crucial part of the solution. We are a vital link in a system of opportunities because without us, those who need the resources the most, are in the most danger of being left behind. And when young people have no faith in the system, it's not realistic to think that they're going to go out of their way to connect with opportunities attached to that same system.

2.2

2.3

But we know their community. We know them we know their friends, and we know their families. We know their dreams. And honestly, those dreams don't always include being connected to a big cookie cutter offering like, like the CDL license which the commissioner touted.

It's their connection to our programs, to our staff that clear the way for their connection to jobs, careers, and futures. For our participants, we are bridge from what is to what comes next.

So I want to ask again, ask the Council to help us reinstate the Next Steps mentoring program--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Your time has expired.

MS. BLAAUBOER: and to help us [inaudible] programs funded by DOP that not only support youth across New York City who are on probation, but also prevent youth from ever touching the system in the first place.

Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thank you. The next three participants we will hear from on Zoom are Zachary Katznelson,

Darren Mack, and Jennifer Parrish. We'll start with

Zachary Katznelson.

2 Zachary, you may begin.

2.2

2.3

MR. KATZNELSON: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Zachary Katznelson. I'm the Executive Director of the Lippman Commission. Thanks Chair Rivera for holding this hearing and the chance to testify. I'm sorry, I couldn't make it in person today.

I also want to thank the prior speakers for their powerful testimony from the frontline perspective of these creative, impactful programs.

I want to bring a slightly different frontline perspective, which is actually that of correctional officers. I was at Rikers recently, and I spoke to a whole range of correction officers from line officers, to captains, to hire supervisors. And every single one of them lamented the programming cuts. Every single one. And it wasn't because I was actually asking them about the cuts specifically. I was asking them how they thought we could reduce violence in the jails. And they all wanted more programming, because not only do they see the benefits of building skills for when people are released coming home to all of our committees, including theirs. But they also said that huge numbers of people now, because of the program cuts,

2.2

2.3

are idle. They have nothing to do. And that idleness leads to frustration, that leads to short tempers, and that leads to violence. So maybe some money is being saved. But really the question is at what cost? And honestly, the open question as to how much money is actually being saved. Because with increased violence, it's not just about harm.

Unfortunately, it's about increased medical care costs. It's about increased overtime, because officers have to cover for colleagues who've been hurt. It's increased legal payouts for people that have been injured.

And then as mentioned, they're the once-- once programming and services that have been lost, just thinking about how many people at Rikers have learning difficulties and how hard it is to absorb information for so many people in large group settings, let alone in large group settings and loud, often chaotic day rooms. It's just not functional. And those social workers that are filling some of that gap, what were their responsibilities before, that they've now had to give up in order to provide the services, which really don't seem to be properly

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 in their in their skill set or rubric? Or should be 3 their responsibility?

Just thinking about what we can do moving forward? How do we-- How do we help this situation? You know, what if the Council were to consider legislation that if the department is not providing the five hours mandated services implemented programming every day with their departmental staff that they have to contract, right? And that contracting, the whole array of services should be private. So, we should -- Maybe the Council would mandate specific programming specific types of programming, both on a one-to-one and a group basis, and that programming could be under the auspices of DOC, or it could be under the auspices of MOCJ, as so many of the remaining reentry programs are. And, of course, the program -- the legislation could include reporting requirements. So, we actually know exactly what's being provided inside. And no matter what the commission would very much like to work with the council, and hopefully partner with the agency to try and find a way forward that actually works for everyone at a reasonable cost, but that really provides programming for people inside.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And finally, I just want to state our support for Councilmember Riley's legislation. It's a commonsense bill, and most welcome. Thanks so much for-for hearing me out. Thanks.

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, Darren Mack.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Darren, you may begin.

MR. MACK: Thank you so much. Thank you, Chair Rivera, and the Criminal Justice Committee members for holding this hearing today. My name is Darren Mack. I'm a Co-Director at Freedom Agenda, which is a grassroots member led organization dedicated to organizing people and communities directly impacted by incarceration to achieve decarceration and assist transformation. And, you know, Freedom Agenda commends the Council for convening this hearing on programming, which is central to meeting the needs of people in the Department of Corrections, and the Department of Probation's is custody. And I want to echo, you know, the call of all the organizations that provide those critical programs and services, you know, their call to restore the cuts that are serving people in DOC custody, you know, and our youth, in some of the most vulnerable and marginalized communities in our city.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

system.

You know, we all know that the Department of Corrections is in urgent need of correction, because it's clear that the DOC cannot correct itself. So I also wanted to state, you know, our support for Councilmember Riley's bill that will require, you know, DOC to provide discharge papers, so that people when they release. Because I'm thinking about -- This is important in so many ways, because I'm thinking about, you know, people returning to our communities after incarceration, such as myself, you know, who, when I was released from incarceration, I was on public assistance, and which had mandated programming. And if you miss some of that programming, you will be at risk of being cut off from public assistance. And, you know, those discharge papers because, you know, could confirm and validate, you know, I was discharged, I was incarcerated and discharged, and that process, you know, could be done more speedily to get back on. Because get it on public assistance is a very long and arduous process. It is a very, you know, strenuous process.

And the same for unhoused people in the shelter

You know, when people in caught up

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNSEL:

2 | incarcerated, they lose their bed, and that time of

3 incarceration is not counted for being unhoused. And

4 unfortunately, these agencies are not-- not in

5 coordination with one another, which is another

6 problem in and of itself.

And lastly, I want to, you know, state, you know, Freedom Agenda supports and urges the Council, you know, to do the right thing, to do what they can, you know, right now to fundamentally to improve the conditions that people are, you know, experiencing in our jail system. Namely, passing Intro 549 which is the bill to [inaudible] climate in New York City jails. You know, this legislation. You know, it does show that people will have access to programs and—and, you know, this abusive practice of solitary confinement, or restrictive housing, or whatever you want to call it in our city. And thank you so much for—for listening and have a good day.

And, sorry, just after Jennifer Parrish, we'll hear from the last four people that I believe are on-- on Zoom. That would be Julie Delfina, Brad Cauthen, Peleya Patterson, and Jessica Yager. But right now we'll go to Jennifer Parrish.

Thank you. Next, Jennifer Parrish.

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MS. PARRISH: Good afternoon. Thank you for holding this hearing and for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Jennifer Parrish. I work at the Urban Justice Center Mental Health Project, and I'm a member of the Jail's Action Coalition and the Halt Solitary Campaign. The Mental Health Project represents the Brad H Class, which is everyone who receives mental health treatment in the city jails, which is now more than half of the population.

Currently, this Administration is moving in the opposite direction from where we need to go to create a fair criminal legal system and reduce the jail population. For many years, New York City had been moving away from mass incarceration. We made this progress through a number of initiatives, but a crucial one was preventative services in the community, and funding community providers who can intervene and create the—address the underlying needs that prevent arrest and prevent incarceration. But instead of building on those successes and continuing to reduce the jail population, this Administration is defunding the very services that

2.2

2.3

have contributed to a decade's-long decrease in the use of incarceration. And it demonstrates that the demonstrate that the Administration would rather-would rather fun punishment and incarceration which are harmful to individuals and communities over things that make community safe and healthy.

The Administration's turned away from the emergency reentry hotel program which was established during the pandemic. This emergency housing resulted in many people being released and allowed them to participate in alternatives to incarceration. This housing was a safe way to decarcerate. Currently, there's no immediate low-barrier housing with supportive services that can be accessed immediately upon release from incarceration. We encourage the Council to fund this kind of housing in the next budget cycle.

The Administration is also going in the wrong direction and its operation of the jails. Rather than taking the necessary actions to reduce excessive use of force and increase programming. The department has ended effective program provided by community-based organization, and it's well established that reducing idle time in jail

2.2

2.3

contributes to reductions in violence. Yet the department has chosen to stop funding these programs.

The department's inability to operate the jail safely has resulted in people not being able to get to health care and discharge planning appointments with Correctional Health Services, and that's caused a dramatic decline in the quality of discharge planning provided people with mental health concerns. Far too many people with mental health challenges are released from incarceration without benefits, treatment, and housing even though the services are mandated by the Brad H Settlement Agreement.

The Department has also turned away from efforts to reduce the use of solitary confinement and is currently violating the Halt Solitary Confinement Act in many ways. The Council can remedy the situation by passing Intro 549, which defines what a cell is and what [inaudible] cell is, so the department can no longer hide behind the fiction that being alone in a cage is outside— is out of cell time. This legislation allows— allows for separation, but in an environment where underlying issues can be addressed and programming provided, instead of the ineffective isolation, which only makes the jails less safe.

2.2

2.3

Finally, we support Intro 1203, Councilmember
Riley's bill. This legislation--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

MS. PARRISH: --will benefit our clients in-whose benefits-- whose SSI benefits have been
suspended. The Social Security Administration
requires people to provide proof of their release
from custody, and having these kinds of letters of
incarceration will enable that process to happen more
quickly.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

COUNSEL: Thank you Next, Julie Defina.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Julie, you may begin.

MS. DEFINA: Thank you. Good afternoon, I would like to thank Chair Carlina Rivera and the Committee on Criminal Justice for this opportunity to speak in support of the Next Steps Mentoring Program. My name is Julia Defina, and I'm the Senior Director of Justice Programs at Community Mediation Services in Queens. My agency has been the service provider for the Next Steps contract with Queensbridge Houses Since the program's inception in 2014, and we've maintained a consistent relationship and partnership with the Department of Probation since 2011, as we

2.2

2 have held past and current programs, six DOP funded contracts.

For the past 12 years the Department of Probation has been an excellent partner and highly supportive of the vulnerable populations they serve. For this reason, the decision to abruptly and the Next Steps program was highly shocking and incredibly disappointing. Next Steps as we've heard today and previously has served young adults between the ages of 16 and 24 who reside in various NYCHA developments across the five boroughs.

The department— The Commissioner of the

Department has stated that every Next— has made the

claim that every Next Steps participant will be able

to get mentorship support through other city funded

programs highlighting three programs, Arches, Girl

Talk and Blue Chips. I didn't want to belabor the

points that have already been made, but given the

parameters, the enrollment criteria for these three

programs, the vast majority, the overwhelming

majority of Next Steps participants actually would

not qualify to participate in these in these

programs.

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The failure to offer more appropriate examples of alternative options is maybe some indication of acknowledgment that sufficient amounts of suitable alternatives actually do not exist for this-- this population.

As the commissioner has stated, successful mentoring programs are tailored to specific needs and do not adopt a one-size-fits-all approach and structure. To that end, Next Steps is not redundant. And there are many unique aspects -- aspects of the program that set aside themselves from -- set aside themselves from other programs. Our program operates right in the neighborhoods that these young people reside. We meet them literally and figuratively, where they are at. The population that we've served, are not necessarily looking to participate in programs, right? We're going out there. We're looking to identify young people that are truly disconnected, not enrolled in school, not employed, and quite frankly, require a lot of encouragement to even take the step to join our programs. incredible mentors encourage these often-resistant young groups of people to give the program a chance and immediately work to establish a trust and

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- rapport. They're available 24/7 to these young

 people. Their a phone call away. If any crisis may

 occur or stressful situation and oftentimes they're

 able to meet with their young— the young mentees in

 person at any hour of the day.
 - Our teams have been highly successful in connecting out-of-school youth to educational opportunity and connecting unemployed youth to job opportunities. The program has undoubtedly-undoubtedly prevented young people from being arrested.
 - SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired. Thank you.
 - MS. DEFINA: Okay. I'd just like to urge the Council to continue to support full reinstatement of Next Steps. Thank you.
- 18 COUNSEL: Thank you. Next Brad Cauthen.
- 19 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Brad, you may begin.
- 20 MR. CAUTHEN: Hi, guys. Can you hear me?
- 21 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Yup. We can hear you.
- MR. CAUTHEN: Okay, thanks. Thank you,
- 23 Chairwoman Rivera and fellow members of the Criminal
- Justice Committee. We are big fans of your work and
- 25 your advocacy. My name is Brad Cauthen. I'm the

2.2

2.3

Director of Jail-Based Services and WorkforceDevelopment with the Osborne Association.

As many of you know, Osborne is one of the largest and oldest criminal justice service organizations in the state. We serve participants from arrest to reentry and have offices in Harlem, Brooklyn, Buffalo, Newburgh, and our headquarters in the Bronx. I oversee Osborne's programming in New York city jails, including Rikers Island.

As you are aware, our programming on Rikers was drastically reduced when DOC eliminated \$17 million that supported six provider agencies serving thousands of individuals, most of whom are pretrial and awaiting their day in court.

When DOC eliminated this funding for external providers, they assured us that there would be a smooth transition to their bringing all of this in house and they would do the work themselves, they would do the programming. We have a keen interest in how the department is trying to fill the void in our absence. And we know some have been working very hard on this, those that are partners, we know they care as well. But we want to make sure that the work still gets done without gaps. We're concerned that

the-- that the adequate and quality programming is 2 3 not happening at the level that is required, needed, and deserved by those in custody. Our staff invested 4 passionately in supporting these individuals' success. Many of our staff were formerly 6 7 incarcerated themselves. Sadly, many are no longer 8 employed with us due to the funding cut. Across all six providers, over 75 direct service staff positions were eliminated, almost half of whom were formerly 10 11 incarcerated. On July 1 of this year, when DOC 12 assumed the responsibility of providing programming, 13 they also assumed the responsibility of providing five hours of programming each day across housing 14 15 areas in every facility. The requirement under the 16 law specifies that programming is to include 17 vocational training, counseling, cognitive behavioral 18 therapy, and drug dependency treatment. Recreational 19 programming like chess, ping pong, and basketball are 20 important and beneficial, but they do not fulfill the requirement. It is hard to believe that the 21 department will be able to develop and require--2.2 2.3 develop the required programming, train existing staff, and hire new staff in such a short period. 24 In 25 fact, many of the detainees that we encounter on the

2.3

2 island have expressed their frustration that we are
3 no longer there providing services.

A comprehensive jail program is critical— is a critical component to jail operations. It reduces tension and violence inside the facilities. It provides motivation, rehabilitation, connection, and hope. Without consistent, reliable quality programming offered by steady, trained, and culturally competent staff, idleness and conflict increase. This is the last thing that Rikers needs.

We ask that the Council closely monitor the program provisions across all housing areas and all facilities by seeking access to DOCs internal program database, and to consider additional legislation to address the current and potentially worsening situation.

In closing, I want to remind us all that the best programming-- programming for most people on Rikers is outside of Rikers in the community. There are simply too many people out there and--

22 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Thank you. Time expired.

MR. CAUTHEN: --should not be there for as long as they are, with the consensus nearly double of what

2.2

2.3

2 it was during COVID, we must accelerate and commit to 3 a full-court press to reduce the numbers on Rikers.

Thank you for your time and everyone get home safe.

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next Peleya Patterson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Peleya, you may begin.

MS. PATTERSON: All right, thank you. Thank you, Chair Rivera and the members of the Committee on Criminal Justice for the opportunity to testify on the Department of Probation's decision to abruptly terminate the Next Steps mentoring program in August.

My name is Peleya Patterson, and I'm the Vice
President of Community Based Justice and Mentoring
Initiatives at Good Shepherd Services. Good Shepherd
Services is a provider of community-based youth
justice programs, Care of Father's Family Enrichment
Center and Community Partnership Program, as well as
community centers and after-school programming. My
verbal testimony will focus on the need for the
Council to continue to help us demand that the
Department of Probation reinstate the next steps
monitoring program and help us protect programs
funded by DOP that support youth across New York
City.

2.2

2.3

We are truly dedicated to the young people and communities of New York City and accept as a part of those communities. Each and every day we meet our young people where they are, in their communities, and—and that is why it is so important why we reinvest in the communities. Our communities have the solutions to the issues facing our young people.

A huge part of what Next Steps does is exposure and expansion. We expose our young people to new experiences in order to expand their horizons. We do that with college visits, cultural events, entrepreneurial programming, and day-to-day individual case management.

At a time like this when we are in crisis and our young people are in crisis, we want to remove the services for youth programming across our New York City communities. Commissioner Holmes' city doesn't sound like one that's invested in young people, doesn't sound like a city that's invested in communities, it doesn't sound like a city that wants to see young people thrive and grow in their communities. Next Steps has existed because there are people in our communities who care and who are invested. Next Steps is successful, because we are

2.2

2.3

immediately.

built on credible messengers, people in our

communities who actually care, who are actually

concerned, and actually invested in the concerns

about NYCHA developments, the communities and the

people within them. Our young people are facing so

many issues right now, that we need to reinvest in

them, not take resources away. There's an urgency

for young people's tomorrow. There is an urgency for

Thank you again for this opportunity to testify.

COUNSEL: Thank you. Next Jessica Yager.

Jessica, you may begin.

young people's needs, cares, and concerns. And those

Adams and Commissioner Holmes to reinstate Next Steps

start at a program like Next Steps. We need Mayor

MS. YAGER: Thank you so much. Good morning,
Chair Rivera and members of the City Council. My
name is Jessica Yager, and I'm the Project Director
at the Red Hook Community Justice Center, which is a
project of the Center for Justice Innovation. For
almost 30 years the center has supported the vision
embraced by the Council of a fair, effective and
humane justice system.

demonstrates its effectiveness.

2.2

2.3

Today, I'm here to express our support for
evidence-based programs that contribute to a safer

New York and for the continued need to invest in

community-based programming. The center has operated

next step programs in Staten Island and Red Hook

since 2020. This program is a powerful example of

investing in upstream solutions to address public

safety, and the research and data behind the program

Off ramps like Next Steps are critical tools to divert people away from incarceration, prioritize rehabilitation and address the root causes of involvement in the criminal justice system. Next Steps empowers and supports vulnerable underserved youth in select NYCHA developments from the justice system through a unique approach. In Red Hook, our monthly life skills topics serve as a foundation of our programming, equipping participants with essential skills in the areas of communication, advocacy, problem solving, and teamwork. Through the power of peer support, our participants achieve remarkable success while staying clear of the justice system.

2.2

2.3

In our last two cohorts we serve 32 outstanding youth in Red Hook. Out of the 32 participants who embraced this transformative journey, 11 graduated high school successfully and embarked on the path to higher education, 4 of the 32 participants have transitioned into full-time employment, and we have many other success stories. Programs like Next Steps are not only the right approach, they're also the smart approach.

The research tells us that the key to helping people thrive and avoid legal system involvement is investing in the whole person and building stability across multiple areas of their life. The research also shows that human interaction is central to the effectiveness of interventions. The quality of human interaction outweighs the importance of any particular protocol or approach. Next Steps is grounded in proven fundamental principles. Using the power of positive mentor role models, the program actively supports young people in identifying planning and achieving productive goals for their futures. The center stands ready to continue the implementation of proven programming that connects

23

24

25

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 10/06/2023