CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

----- X

September 27, 2023 Start: 10:17 a.m. Recess: 1:51 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM, CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Diana Ayala, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Tiffany Cabán Linda Lee Chi A. Ossé Lincoln Restler Kevin C. Riley Althea V. Stevens Alexa Avilés Carmen De La Rosa

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Diana Ramos Citizen of New York

Will Woods Consumer Advocate Urban Pathways

Ethel Brown Citizen of New York

Travis Preston Citizen of New York

Marricka Scott-McFadden
Deputy Commissioner
Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs
Department of Social Services

Rebecca Chew
Chief Program Officer
Human Resources Administration

Angela Johnson
Executive Deputy Commissioner
Family Independence Administration

Jozette Dowdell
Deputy Administrator
Local 1549

Tyece Grant Eligibility Specialist II Local 1549, SNAP Helen Chandler Eligibility Specialist II Local 1549, SNAP

Lucy Perez Eligibility Specialist II Local 1549, SNAP

Abby Biberman
Associate Director
Public Benefits Unit
New York Legal Assistance Group

Kathleen Kelleher Staff Attorney Civil Law Reform Unit Legal Aid Society

Chris Mann
Assistant Vice President
Policy and Advocacy
Win

Topacio Nunez Senior Paralegal Civil Justice Practice Brooklyn Defender Services

Jenny Veloz Policy and Advocacy Associate Citizens Committee for Children of NY

Eric Lee
Director of Policy and Planning
Homeless Services United

Rebecca Zangen
Director
Policy and Planning
Supportive Housing Network of New York

Jenna Coudin Attorney Government Benefits Unit Manhattan Legal Services

Alex Malescio Urban Upbound

2.2

Good morning, everyone and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on General Welfare. At this time, we ask that you please silence all cell phones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing. If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record, you may do so via email, at testimony@council.nyc.gov once again that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. We thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you and good morning everyone and welcome to today's hearing. And I apologize I have a little bit of candy in my mouth, but allergy season is not gone, apparently. I don't want to be rude.

So good morning, everyone, and welcome to today's hearing. My name is Diana Ayala, and I am the Deputy Speaker of the New York City Council and Chair of the General Welfare Committee. Today our committee is holding an oversight hearing on public benefits delays. We will also be hearing seven bills, three-Intro-- I think that's a typo. Intro 653, which I have sponsored would require the Department of Social Services to provide drug treatment services. These

2.2

2.3

2 services are critical to saving lives in shelter and 3 in hostel facilities.

Intro 651, which I have also sponsored, would preclude the Department of Homeless Services from requiring a child's presence at the Intake Center when a family with children applies for shelter.

There is no reason why your child needs to be present during a long, drawn-out process at PATH. My bill seeks to end this practice.

Intro 902, which I have sponsored, would also--would require the Department of Homeless Services to provide a process navigator service to every family with children entering intake. These services would also make PATH intake process easier for families seeking shelter.

Intro 910, sponsored by councilmember Hudson, would establish a universal benefits application for city benefits, and would codify ACCESS NYC.

Intro 741, sponsored by Councilmember Salamanca, would require radiator inspections in homeless shelters.

Intro 647, sponsored by Councilmember Avilés, would require sheriffs and city marshals to report

2.2

2.3

housing displacement to the Department of Social

Services to evaluate eligibility for legal counsel.

Finally, Intro 567, sponsored by Councilmember

Feliz, would prohibit homeless families with children

from being housed in private buildings with multiple

Class C housing maintenance code violations.

Now on to our oversight topic: Recently released data from the mayor's management report showed terrible trends when it comes to processing rates of cash assistance and SNAP benefit applications. While the report did not call this a crisis, it absolutely is a crisis. Under federal law-- federal and state law, all SNAP and cash assistance applications and recertifications must be processed by HRA within 30 days. Anything that goes beyond the 30-day threshold is considered delayed. According to the MMR, the rate of timely response in SNAP applications fell 20.4% from 60.1% in fiscal year 2022 to 39.7% in fiscal year 2023. And the report cites an unprecedented increase in applications.

Fewer staff, due to attrition and retirements, and the end of New York State waivers has suspended recertifications for eligibility as reasons for the decrease in timely processing. According to the MMR,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the rate of timely process cash assistance

3 applications fell 54% from 82.8% in fiscal year 22 to

4 28% in fiscal year 23. Similarly as a SNAP

5 application processing, HRA cited an unprecedented

6 increase in applications, fewer staff due to

7 attrition and retirements, and the end of the New

8 York State waivers does suspend the recertifications

9 and other requirements leading to a backlog.

Together HRA's rate of processing SNAP and cash assistance applications in fiscal year 2023 represented the worst record for the agency in over one decade. SNAP is the nation's most important anti-hunger program. Families in need can be immediately impacted by even the shortest delays in the processing of this important benefit. Cash assistance is also a lifeline for so many New Yorkers. Today, we want to understand why it has taken the administration as long as it has to figure out these significant backlogs. It is hard to understand the delays have increased as much as they have, when we have a state when we as a city have adequately allocated funding to protect against this. We've taken the administration at their word that

they will reduce the backlogs. We've been partners

2.2

2.3

in government working together in hiring halls to

ensure more staff are brought on to meet the needs of

New Yorkers.

Today, we want to hear what corrective action plans would be in place if these delays continue. I look forward to hearing from the Administration and the advocates today, and gathering feedback on this oversight topic, and on all of the important bills today. At this time, I'd like to acknowledge my colleagues who are here today Councilmembers Cabán, Avilés, and Stevens.

And I'd also like to thank my committee staff who worked really hard to prepare this hearing, Aminta Kilawan, Senior Legislative Counsel, David Romero, Legislative Counsel, Julia Haramis, Unit Head, Rosa Martinez, Assistant Deputy Director, Jillian Keyes, Legal Extern, and finally my staff, Elsie Encarnacion, Chief of Staff. We're going to begin today's hearing with testimony from impacted individuals. But first, we're going to hear from Councilmember Avilés, who will share a few words on her bill.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Okay, good morning. Thank you so much, Deputy Speaker Ayala, Chair of this committee. Thank you, committee members and the

2.2

2.3

public for bringing Intro 647 up for discussion today
at this hearing.

This bill is a reintroduction from former

Councilmember Rosenthal. And the reason why we chose
to reintroduce this bill is because, in my district
like other districts across New York City, housing
displacement, especially among our non-Englishspeaking residents is a regular occurrence. Those
being evicted are often not aware of their ability to
access legal counsel, and particularly if they are
non-English-speaking residents may not know how to
even access this information regarding their rights
during the eviction process.

So this bill, in my view, just aims to streamline access to information. We should not have to legislate these kinds of things. Our city agencies should be working towards streamlining and integrating services so that residents understand fully the tools that we make available to them. But unfortunately, we are in this place of having to make sure and mandate that agencies are connecting the dots and providing a full comprehensive picture of the services that are available to residents,

2.2

2.3

including when these services should be accessible in the various languages spoken by New Yorkers.

So, we just need to ensure that, you know, as a part of regular eviction proceedings that tenants are formed. And for the record, I do believe evictions are violent, having experienced that in my lifetime, and we should be doing everything in our power to reduce evictions. They should not be happening.

But as a part of these proceedings, that tenants are informed of their eligibility to receive legal counsel and informed of their eligibility in a language they could understand so that we can better serve New Yorkers. So, access to this kind of information basically is critical, and especially for our immigrant community members.

I look forward to the discussion today. Thank you, Deputy Speaker and thank you to the Committee members and to the public for all the work and the advocates for all the work that you have done for New Yorkers.

COUNSEL: Thank you Councilmember. My name is

Aminta Kilawan, Senior Counsel to the Committee on

General Welfare at the New York City Council. I'm

going to be moderating today's hearing and calling on

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

panelists to testify. We are going to begin today's
hearing with a public panel of benefits recipients.

The first panel will be a hybrid panel, so some will be joining us in person others on Zoom. The panel will be comprised of Diana Ramos testifying in person, Will Woods, Ethel Brown, and Travis Preston. If those of you who are in person can come sit, and we will get started with the first panel.

And we will begin with Diana Ramos followed by Will Woods

MS. RAMOS: Ah. There we go. Thank you for allowing me to testify. My name is Diana Ramos. am a 46-year-old, type two diabetic who had a very stressful month this month. I normally do my recertification in July. I did everything I was supposed to do, made sure they had all the paperwork. I usually check my HRA, to-- my HRA app to see when I get my benefits, so I can plan out meal plans, shopping trips, get all the good sales, you know. Things are expensive. So the 29th of August, I had to call, because the night before I had checked to see, and all it showed is my cash assistance, not my EBT benefits. That scares me. Because I am a type two diabetic and I have to eat a certain diet to keep

2.2

2.3

myself healthy and to make sure that, you know, not only my-- my physical health, but my mental health is good as well, because I also suffer from depression and anxiety.

So I call the 29th, and I put in an email, and I thought, okay. Because last year, we had a SNAFU, and it was fixed in a couple days. It wasn't fixed. I got my cash assistance on September 5. It wasn't fixed. So I called the next day. They said I had to wait until the 12th. If after the 12th, it wasn't done, then to call them back.

Now, all this calling, you think, you're going to you're going to wait a little bit on the phone. At one point, I literally waited for four and a half hours to get a hold of somebody. There was other weights of two hours where I just said, "I'm getting too frustrated, I have to hang up. I don't want to yell at the worker. It's not their fault. They're just there to give me information, put in whatever."

So, I completely understand that. I've worked in the customer service industry for years and completely understand that it's not their fault. It's whoever's in charge.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So finally, when I did get a hold of somebody, it finally got fixed. But I literally was three weeks without proper nutrition and food. Yes, I went to the food pantries, but sometimes the food pantries give us high starchy foods such as rice, macaroni and cheese. What is the one thing they tell you as diabetic to stay away from?

So, I was like, "Oh, okay, I'm going to figure this out." I managed, but I was only eating one meal a day. And in order to keep my sugar at least at a steady level, I was literally drinking powdered lemonade. Sorry, I laugh when I get a little tense. But it was -- it was stressful. And it was causing my mental health not to go well. I was snapping at people I usually don't snap at. My father who is himself a veteran, and he lives in Mississippi, he sent me money to help me out. He shouldn't have to do that. You know, I should be okay. You know, maybe \$5 or \$10 if I might have to go to an appointment or something, but not what he sent me which was \$40. And that was \$40 out of his household budget. And he is a pensioner.

My boyfriend, who's on SSI sent me some money to help me out to get groceries, because he could tell

because of no proper nutrition.

- that I was just not doing well. I'm just now getting
 back to the health I was before. But my sugar levels
 aren still-- aren't leveled out. My-- Because I had
 eaten quite a bit of sodium filled stuff, my-- my
 blood pressure's a little is a little off kilter, and
 - I'm feeling not like I'm getting sick, but just sort of that rundown, gross feeling.
 - It's not fair. I don't-- I don't blame the HRA workers, because I know that they're understaffed.

 And they get yelled at enough as it is. And it's not their fault. I do-- I would like the people in charge to take accountability, because it's not-- it's not fair. I'm not the only person. I just happen to be one person out of thousands maybe. And I also happen to have a medical condition and there's other people out there who have medical conditions, who have families to feed that need proper nutrition, and the delays, they're ridiculous.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, I--
 - MS. RAMOS: And Chair, thank you for letting me share my story.

2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I can understand. How long
3	were you waiting from the time that you stopped
4	receiving SNAP benefits to when they finally?
5	MS. RAMOS: It was about two and a half weeks. I
6	received them on September 20th.
7	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So you didn't get the August?
8	MS. RAMOS: I got the August. I didn't get the

MS. RAMOS: I got the August. I didn't get the September.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Until two weeks later. Okay. MS. RAMOS: Mm-hmm. About two, two and a half weeks later. Yeah. So that two and a half weeks I, you know-- because I eat a lot of fresh stuff. So--CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, Yeah.

MS. RAMOS: It doesn't usually last too long. I went a little crazy, and I went ahead and bought like a case of chili, just in case, you know?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, no. What you have-- What you've described is pretty consistent with, you know, some of the feedback that we have been hearing, so I really appreciate you coming here today to testify.

MS. RAMOS: Thank you. Thank you for allowing me to share.

24

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Because it lends clarity to a situation that we think, you know, carries a certain level of urgency. Thank you.

MS. RAMOS: All right. Thank you for hearing my story.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Diana. And before we move to Will, I just want to remind everybody that all testimony is going to be limited to two minutes for public-- public witnesses. And for those who are joining us on Zoom, please remember that there will be a few seconds of delay when you're unmuted before we can actually hear you. So just take note for those who are on Zoom.

So we're going to move now to Will, followed by Ethel Brown, followed by Travis Preston. Over to you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Will, just so that you can keep track, we have a timer there. So when you hear "ding-ding", that means that your time is up, right? I want to recognize that we've also been joined by Councilmember Lee and Williams, and Ung online. Thank you. You may begin.

MR. WOOD: Good morning, Deputy Speaker Ayala and members of the Committee. Thank you for the

2.2

2.3

opportunity to testify today. My name is Willie
Woods, and I'm an Consumer Advocate with Urban
Pathways and I also work in various capacities as an
advocate for folks experiencing homelessness.

I too, had an outstanding issue with HRA, starting with my recertification in May, and as a result I have gone from being current on my rent to being over \$2,000 in rent arrears, due to the rent not being paid by the City, I've gone for months without EBT or cash benefits, and received no documentation or final documentation with which I could extend my right for a fair hearing.

In addition, you know, specifically to my office—

my local HR office and cleans, it's known that you have to get there before 10 a.m. if you want to get seen by the end of business. There's been nights where I know for a fact folks have been there to 8:00 trying to get things done. I've spent days, literally, on the phone, nine to four, waiting to speak to someone which is remarkably easy to do when the system also hangs up on you every hour or so and you have to start over at the end of the queue.

Personally, I have Crohn's disease, and that condition requires me to be on a rather specific

2.2

2.3

HRA.

diet, if I'm going to maintain my health. Right now, I'm kind of lucky, and I get to visit my mom to get extra cooking. But right now, for the last couple of months, I've been on about two meals a day. And I've had to cut back on volunteer and advocacy efforts because I can't afford to travel. It's aggravated my anxiety and my depression. And all of that is really exacerbated by the lack of urgency when dealing with

Simply put, when you are going through homelessness, one thing you learn to identify pretty quickly are those that care and those that don't. And one of the things that you fervently hope is that you get treated the same way that someone else would want to be treated in a similar situation. The reality, is if folks had a different option from HRA, they would have long since taken their business elsewhere. And, you know, these issues will only worsen as the number of people the agency has to service grows.

It's hard enough as an adult to have to reach out and ask for help. It's much more difficult to need that help and then to have to grovel to get it. And that's what a lot of us are down to right now,

2.2

2.3

groveling, and we're still not getting the help we need. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I appreciate your testimony here today. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Will. We're now going to turn to those on Zoom. Over now to Ethel Brown. Ethel Brown, you can begin your testimony once unmuted.

MS. BROWN: Good morning. My name is Ethel
Brown, and I'm here today with the same situations
that the former speakers, Diana Ramos, and Will Wood
spoke about, and the many others after.

I thank you, City Council members and Deputy for allowing me to speak today. My daughter had the same issues, and so did I, in terms of public assistance and waiting. But thank God for the good advocates that are out there. They helped direct us to the right personnel and social services. She did not have to wait the hours, days, nor months that people usually have those types of problems with delays. It's like you're there sometimes—— I remember there being two to eight hours, like I was on a work shift, and I had to make sure I have food not just for myself, but my grandkid at the time. You sit there

2.2

2.3

waiting on the phones for hours, killing your phone batteries. Sometimes you don't have a place to plug it in, because it's blocked in those offices. You have to try to maintain and sustain your health, because you come into the system with no issues, you come out with issues, which I do have some health problems now, because of the stress that you've gone through the mental stress, the physical and emotional, of what you have to go through what you shouldn't have to go through when you're trying to go in to get help.

The mayor has to stop these budget cuts because social services is very important. What needs to be done, as people have stated before, as Deputy Ayala said, there should be a way to not have people be delayed, in terms of getting assistance. Hire more staff, plain and simple. Have priority sets. No more budget cuts. Social service is a necessity not just for the people here but also the immigrants and refugees. We're in a crisis. Many people are in need of help. This is why we have the Statue of Liberty, which represents that. This is our duty. We are here to help each other.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

MS. BROWN: Yes, thank you. Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Ethel. We will now turn to Travis Preston for testimony. Over now to Travis Preston.

6 MR. PRESTON: Hello?

COUNSEL: We can hear you.

MR. PRESTON: I'm at my job right now. So, I've got to keep it short and simple. All I'm going to say is that, um, like the HRA situation— like, they never sent me a form telling me that I had to recertify. And then when I went to go check my card, it said— it said my food stamps was up there. But then when I— the day of my food stamps, I was supposed to get it, it wasn't there. And then when you do go back to HRA, or you call them, they have you on the phone for like 5, 6, or 7 hours. And then when you go in person, the people are rude and very disrespectful. And then when you say something bad to them, it's your fault.

You know-- that's all I wanted to say. I don't want to say too much because my patient is right here, so...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Travis.

MR. PRESTON: You're welcome.

Thank you, Travis, for your testimony, 2 COUNSEL: 3 and to this entire panel for your testimony. We are now going to move to testimony from 4 5 members of the Administration, Marricka Scott-McFadden, Deputy Commissioner for Intergovernmental 6 7 and Legislative Affairs, Rebecca Chew, Chief Program Officer at HRA, and Angela Johnson, Executive Deputy 8 Commissioner at the Family Independence Administration. 10 11 Before you begin, will you all please raise your 12 right hand? 13 Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth 14 and nothing but the truth before this Committee and 15 to respond honestly to Councilmember questions? 16 ALL: I do. 17 COUNSEL: Thank you, you may begin when ready. 18 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Good 19 I want to thank the City Council's morning. Committee on General Welfare. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm sorry, Marrickca, is your mic on? 2.2 2.3 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN:

25 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

better?

24

2.2

2.3

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Good morning. I want to thank the City Council's Committee on General Welfare and Deputy Speaker Ayala for today's hearing on public benefits access and processing. We look forward to discussing with council our work to connect New Yorkers to much-needed public access benefits programs.

My name is Marricka Scott-McFadden, and I am the Deputy Commissioner for Intergovernmental and Legislative Affairs at the Department of Social Services. I'm joined today by Chief Program Officer at the Human Resources Administration, Rebecca Chew, and Family Independence Administration Executive Deputy Commissioner Angela Johnson.

The New York City Department of Social Services,
Human Resource Administration, is the nation's
largest social service agency. Each year we connect
more than 3 million New Yorkers to benefits through
the administration of 14 benefit programs. Every day
in all five boroughs, DSS HRA provides essential
programs and supports to low-income New Yorkers. In
administering these programs, HRA is at the forefront

2.2

2.3

of this administration's efforts to combat poverty and income inequality.

At DSS HRA, we are mindful of the real-life impact benefit processing timeliness has on households. We recognize that programs like cash assistance and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, SNAP, can make the difference in providing economic and food security. As stewards of these critical public benefits, we are conscious of the care, compassion, and urgency with which we must treat each application.

Only four months ago marked the official end of the COVID 19 pandemic, and the federal public health emergency declaration. But we must bear in mind that not all communities are recovering at the same rate. When we last testified in December of 2022, DSS First Deputy Commissioner, Jill Berry, highlighted that we were seeing extraordinary demand for public benefits from New Yorkers across the five boroughs.

At the height of the pandemic and April 2020, the agency received 84,000 SNAP applications in that month alone. That was the highest number of SNAP application in modern history, reflecting a more than 200% increase compared to the previous year.

2.2

2.3

The trends for cash assistance are just as unparalleled. In March of 2020 DSS HRA received 12,745 more cash assistance applications than March of 2019, a 53% increase. This increased need for cash assistance and SNAP has continued over the last several years into today.

In fiscal year 24, the average number of applications per month is more than 36,000, and over 48,000 for SNAP and cash assistance respectively, showing that New Yorkers have a sustained need for economic supports and food security. In addition to economic drivers, we also believe that increasing access to benefits has led to an increased number of applications. As HRA continues to break down barriers and modernize our service model, we have seen an increasing client utilization of our online platform and mobile app, Access HRA. New Yorkers no longer need to apply in-person at brick-and-mortar centers. They can simply apply on their computers and smartphones.

DSS HRA has worked to keep up with the increased volume of applications by employing several strategies. First, we have utilized existing staff to redeployment and reassignment, as well as overtime

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

on evenings and weekends. Our human resources team has pursued an aggressive strategy around recruitment, hiring, onboarding and retention, which has resulted in 728 positions onboarded since we last testify on this topic in December of 2022.

We continue to look to our state and federal partners to extend or expand waivers that increase efficiency and allow processing to move along more quickly. Unprecedented need has outpaced our resources, and we are using every tool and strategy at our disposal to meet the demand. For example, state and federal waivers were used to improve client access by transitioning from only in-person interviews as part of the cash assistance application process, to allowing these interviews to occur by phone at the client's chosen time, just as we were permitted to offer SNAP clients.

Following advocacy by DSS, a state law has made this remote cash assistance option permanent, meaning that clients can conduct our business online and by phone, making it more convenient for New Yorkers to access the services they are entitled to.

I would also like to update Council on a concern expressed by many councilmembers at last December's

2.2

2.3

hearing: Fraud and scamming from EBT cards. At that time theft of benefits would result in permanent loss of funds with no chance of reimbursement. However, because of recent state and federal action, that is no longer the case, and we have stood up a program to assist victims of this crime.

As of September 19, 2023, we have received over 19,000 claims for reimbursement of SNAP and/or cash assistance benefits. We have processed about 15,000 applications. 100% of these claims have been processed timely. To date, about two thirds of approved replacement benefits have been added to clients EBT cards, restoring over \$4.5 million in benefits to New Yorkers.

Before I discuss the legislation under consideration, I would like to take a moment to highlight the incredible work of DSS HRA staff. In the face of a global health emergency and pandemic, staff has worked tirelessly to process benefit applications to ensure their fellow New Yorkers have access to essential benefits and supports. Their work continues to this day to support New Yorkers impacted by economic realities, and we thank each and

2.2

2.3

2 every one of them for their dedication to the needs
3 of the vulnerable among us.

Moving to legislation: We give careful and thoughtful consideration to proposed legislation and are mindful of our role as the safety net of the safety net, and our obligation to provide essential benefits to New Yorkers. Further we ask counsel to keep in front of mind the legal and regulatory obligations DSS HRA and DHS is subject to at both the state and federal level. We also encourage you to consider the fiscal impacts of proposals that would require significant staff and facilities investment.

Intro 647 would require that the sheriff and city marshals contact the Department of Social Service HRA when they receive an order that would result in housing displacement. When HRA receives notice from the sheriff or city Marshal about an order that would lead to housing displacement HRA would be required to respond by examining the person's eligibility for legal counsel, provide the person with contact for legal counsel, and connect individuals to such counsel.

We recognize the importance of focusing resources at the early stages of the legal process. Examples

2.2

2.3

of this include connecting tenants to legal services through right to counsel attorneys at housing court, and connecting tenants to rental assistance resources.

We believe focusing the Office of Civil Justice's and legal provider's finite resources earlier in the process, delivers more value to the tenants and gives them the most opportunity to remain in their homes.

This legislation also would not curtail the marshal's or sheriff's authority to execute the eviction order.

We look forward to working with council and the bill sponsor to reach our shared goal to continue to bring legal services to tenants.

Intro 567 would prohibit homeless families with children from being temporarily housed in private buildings with more than five Class C housing maintenance code violations. Current homeless families with children in such buildings would be permitted to remain, but no additional families with children would be housed in such buildings until corrective action has been certified by the Department of Housing Preservation and Development.

Safety is a continued concern and priority for all our DSS DHS clients. Currently, shelters are

2.2

2.3

subject to rigorous inspections of habitability both before shelters are occupied by families, and on a regular basis. We acknowledge the shared goal of safety and protection of our clients and private building tenants. However this proposed legislation may have an unintended consequence of duplicating inspection requirements, and perhaps most importantly, slowing DHS's ability to rapidly provide shelter space for homeless families.

Intro 651 would preclude the Department of
Homeless Services from requiring that every member of
the family be present at its' intake center when the
family seeks placement at shelter. DHS's Prevention
Assistance and Temporary Housing PATH Intake Center
plays a critical screening role when connecting
families with children experiencing homelessness with
assistance. Screenings and connections to assistance
undertaken PATH include Child Protective and Family
Support Services, domestic violence assistance,
medical assistance, and school-related supports.

Currently, all members of the household are required to appear, and children under the age of 18 can appear either in person or remotely at intake, but are not required for any subsequent visits.

2.2

2.3

We again look forward to consultation with counsel and the bill sponsors toward a shared goal of protecting young children and their families experiencing in crisis.

Intro 653 would, in an effort to combat the city's opioid epidemic, require the Department of Social Services to offer access to drug treatment services at hostel facilities, and the Department of Homeless Services to offer access to drug treatment services at all shelters.

Tackling the opioid epidemic and providing necessary services to assist those facing substance use disorder or critical shared goals. However, mandating on-site facilities as this legislation envisions would have significant impact on our facilities and programs. We strongly urge a delivery model that focuses on connecting clients to clinicians and health facilities that can deliver the services this legislation seeks to prescribe through referrals in the community. This ensures that clients who need assistance are referred to quality and specialized clinicians but does not make a broad assumption that all those in DHS facilities are living with HIV or managing a substance use disorder.

2.2

2.3

Referrals in community also have the advantage of avoiding potential privacy concerns that arise from on-site services. We believe the goal of providing services to this population of New Yorkers are contained are currently being met through in-community referrals.

Intro 741 would require Department of Homeless
Services to inspect radiators during any inspection
related to health, safety, or the fiscal condition of
a homeless shelter. DHS already inspects radiators
as part of an annual health and safety inspection,
and manages specific concerns or issues with units
when they arise.

Intro 901 would require Department of Homeless
Services to provide process navigator services to
every family with children at an intake center. The
process navigator would provide assistance to shelter
applicants in understanding all the procedures,
meetings, interviews, and documents necessary to the
application process and respond to questions.

We acknowledge the clear goal to ease challenges of clients as they navigate the process of obtaining temporary housing. This is a stressful time, and our intake staff are trained to seamlessly work with

2.2

2.3

clients at every point during the process. The

Intake Center at PATH is the unique front door that

families must walk through. It is unique because, by

design, it is tailored to meet families where they

are and provide individualized tools, services and

connections for families. We are concerned that

adding a navigator to this process would impact this

carefully-designed process and represent a costly

budget expansion.

We look forward to the continued dialogue regarding fully realizing the intent of this proposed legislation.

Intro 910 would mandate a report on the feasibility of creating a universal benefits application for local public benefit programs, and mandate the creation of a universal benefits application as determined feasible by the Commissioner of Department of Social Services.

This bill would also codify Access NYC, which is a screening tool for public access programs, direct incorporation of new and existing programs as deemed possible and require increased public awareness efforts of such tools.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We appreciate the goal of streamlining and simplifying the public benefits application process. It is important to recognize that benefit applications are subject to both federal and state laws and regulations. These forms are subject to approval by both federal and state oversight authorities. In addition, assessment of eligibility of public benefits in a preliminary way is prohibited by state and federal regulations. And DSS always wants to encourage anyone who believes that they are eligible to apply. We perceive the goal of this proposed legislation is to join up public benefits to streamline access for clients. However, we see obstacles to fully reaching the goals of this legislation as written, and are happy to speak further with Council regarding these challenges.

I will conclude by again recognizing our frontline staff has played a critical role in working towards more timely processing benefits. Their dedication to the tasks, willingness to take up over time, and adaptability to adapting to new workflows have all been critical to the success we have had thus far. We have made important strides forward in our processing of public benefits. We acknowledge

processing of benefits.

2.2

2.3

the urgency, and the seriousness with which we must continue to take on these challenges. We also wholly acknowledge that we have further work to do. Our frontline staff's continued diligent work on this issue will see us through to successful timely

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. We are happy to take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. And I want to acknowledge that we've also been joined by Councilmember Restler.

I was looking forward to this hearing, because I don't believe that I have ever, in my time in the Council, and even in my time as a staffer for a former Councilmember, received as many complaints that I have in the last few weeks regarding folks that have not received their SNAP benefits. People who have expressed that they are receiving cash benefits, but for some reason, they didn't get their food stamps, and there was no explanation, and they couldn't really pinpoint what the actual problem was, whether they had this— whether their case had been, you know, closed or, you know, benefits were

2.2

2.3

discontinued, or the dates were change. Like, there
was no information provided to these families.

And so when I get one call, you know, okay. When I start to get upwards of 10, and sometimes from the same family, you know, living in different apartments, and they're all telling me the same thing. That's alarming to me.

I think, you know, we've discussed the— the need to truly, you know, address the issue of food insecurity in this city, and that in certain communities (and you guys, you know, know this very well by virtue of the work that you do) we have created what I call pockets of severe poverty. And there are families that, you know, rely on these public benefits.

And somebody mentioned at the December hearing, families cannot eat retroactively right? It doesn't matter if I-- if I'm getting those food stamps. That was-- was that you? I give you credit. I-- We can't-- if you're getting-- if you're getting your benefits three weeks after the fact, right?, that's three weeks too late. And although I love and appreciate, you know, my food pantries. I've also, you know, seen, right?, and I know that the type of

2.2

2.3

2 food that we get in our-- in a box distribution is 3 great.

And, listen, my mother would cook a nice stew with the meat that we would get in a can, and it was delicious. She fed us with-- you know, she was able to supplement our meals with food pantry meals, but it's not enough, right?

And so I'm concerned. I'm really concerned. And I know that we were here in December, and we were already in trouble. And I know that back then, the rationale was that we were not getting the same level of exemptions that we had been receiving throughout the pandemic to extend the recertification dates to allow more time, and that way there wouldn't be an interruption of services.

But here we are, you know, now almost a year, you know, later, in an even worse situation than we were last year. And so, I would really want to steer away from using the pandemic as a reason for why we're here, because it's three years later, and we're—we're just trending downward at this point. And I really need to understand why.

So that's the question.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So thank

you. Thank you, Councilmember, Deputy Speaker. You

know, I think that we highlight the pandemic because

we know that out of our collective experience, there

were needs that came up at that time. You know,

there were certain reliefs that were afforded at that

time, and that express helped a lot of people. And

coming out of the pandemic, those people still need

help. So we highlight that for that reason.

And then also, we continue to work with our state partners to be able to receive waivers that are effective in moving us through the collective process of recertification. As I highlighted in the testimony, one example being that we are now able to take interviews by phone and by on demand, so clients can—we can reach them at the time that they are available, instead of just calling.

Now just add also, that now our calls, say HRA as opposed to being blocked numbers, so folks can identify what that they're getting a call and the call that they've been waiting for. So that is helpful.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But that doesn't explain why folks are waiting, why the delays are so significant,

2.2

2.3

make sense of it.

and why we're in a worse-off position than we were almost a year ago. I mean, I-- I had to-- You know, I have a constituent services staffer in my office.

We saw an influx of people coming in. After the pandemic, we hired a second one, you know? If there are-- If there's a demand for even more, then we get somebody else to ensure that we're still able to meet our mandate. It's very simple thing, you know? The money is in the budget to hire folks. We had all of these hiring halls. So, you know, I'm just trying to

So let me-- I think-- Let me backtrack a little bit. What-- Can you explain to me what the-- the unit that is in charge with the recertification process looks like? How many-- How many staff are assigned to that specific division?

And I really want to just emphasize that I am very appreciative of the work-- of all of the workers that show up every single day. I understand that it is very stressful work. And I und-- And it's a really big deal when you have somebody's, like, livelihood in your hands. And you're responsible now for ensuring that somebody's eating. And I respect that work. So, I don't want anyone to feel like this

2.2

2.3

is an attack on the work, but rather an attack on a process that obviously is failing. And the fact that it hasn't been rectified, and that a corrective action plan hasn't been presented is bothersome.

This to us is a serious emergency. And so, you know, if you could explain who handles that? How many staffers? I know that we do some hiring halls, but it's not very clear how many people, you know, we lost through attrition, and whether or not that kind of kept us at the same level. What does that look like? Walk me through it.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I'll just begin by saying that we do realize that there are challenges. And as I said in the testimony, we've been able to onboard over 700 staff that—but—including—Onboarding includes training, and making sure that folks are properly acquainted with what the work that they must—they must do. So, where we acknowledged that they are—that the challenge still exists, and we still are got we're still going through the process, we're still doing what we what did—we feel are efforts to get past the delays in processing? I'll ask my colleague to chime in with the specifics on that.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

MS. CHEW: Good morning, Deputy Speaker. And thank you for your questions.

I think to frame it— To answer your question around what is driving this is there is an incredible need that has started in the epidemic and has not abated in the city.

So just to give you some numbers to understand it: For cash assistance applications, so far-- just for-- well, for fiscal year 23, the average is about 40,000. During the height of the pandemic, it was 35,000. In fiscal year 19, it was 23,000. So you can see that's an average 7000 more cash assistance applications.

Similarly, for SNAP, fiscal year 23, the average was about 40,000. For March through April, it was 71,000. In fiscal year 19, it was 25,000. So, we have addressed that, by understanding there's a, you know, we were faced with attrition rates, just like, I guess, the rest of the country. And so we've undertaken very aggressive measures. And I'm glad you mentioned the hiring halls, because HRS attended 19, just from March to August, and many councilmembers supported and attended those as well.

We work with Business Link, and that's actively
supporting our cash and SNAP benefit recipients in
finding employment and better employment, including
opportunities through HRS. And so with all those
extra efforts along with things like LinkedIn. So
when a city flyer gets posted, it gets posted on
LinkedIn at the same time. And we develop those
partnerships. We work with faith-based
organizations, CBOs. Last time you had asked about
community colleges. We do partner also with CUNY and
Columbia, to again, we want to broadcast our
positions, aggressively fill these positions, and
and thanks to those efforts, we are making progress.
We are making progress.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So in 2019, where we were seeing 23,000 applications, how many staffers were assigned to SNAP benefit recertification cases, as opposed to the number today? What is-- How does that compare?

MS. CHEW: We'll have to get back to you on that comparison. But we can look at the--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I know that we don't have that specific number. We can, obviously, get back to you with a comparison of 2019

2.2

2.3

positions as opposed to now. What we all want to stress, of course, is the efforts that we've made since our last testimony, where we really have listened to counsel and we've listened to our needs, and we've been working to get hired up and onboard that great number of folks. So, I can-- I understand the guestion, I follow it.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I understand, and I'm acknowledging that, you know, that you guys were very present in the hiring halls. But we're still worse off today than we were almost a year ago, and I still haven't really heard what the justification for that is, other than it might be staffing related? I mean, I'm not really understanding.

And I'm, you know, again, I respect the work that you do. But, you know, I'm expecting that when you guys are coming here to testify that you're going to have, you know, the information that we're going to require of you, right? And we understand that the number of applicants has grown significantly.

Obviously, the questions are going to be primarily around, "Well, you know, how are you addressing that?" And so, I'm hoping that you would be able to tell me, "Councilmember, we have a department of, you

2.2

2.3

know, 100 staffers that are assigned to work specifically on SNAP benefits. We've increased from the previous 50 because of the influx." Like, that is the type of data that helps us better understand what is happening on your end, right? Because other than that, there's a lot of ambiguity and a lot of space for us to kind of, you know, make up our own-in our own imagination, right?, scenario of what's happening. And it seems like just, you know, the agency is not prioritizing SNAP benefit applicants in the way that they should be. And that's— that's worrisome.

And I don't want to assume that. I really don't want to assume that. I want to give you the benefit of the doubt. But we're talking about, you know, a substantial amount of applications that have not been completed. You know, we're at— is it 29% completion rate? Like, that's horrible. I mean, that's like an F, you know, capital F with a whole bunch of red lines under it. Like, how do you justify that? That's— That's not something that I would be proud of.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Well, I'm going to allow my colleagues to add on. But I will

1	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 46
2	say that this is not taken lightly within the HRA.
3	Daily, weekly, conversations and meetings are had in
4	order to try to do better at this. We acknowledge
5	that we do need to do better. Some of the tactics,
6	just to just that we've used in terms of strategy
7	(redeployment, reassignment of internal staff),
8	we've, of course, done voluntary overtime for
9	evenings and weekends, and we've been doing that
10	regularly as we as we've seen this challenge occur
11	And then onboarding and hiring as well. We feel
12	like we we're doing a multifaceted approach to a
13	problem that increases monthly. What do I mean by
14	that? We're getting higher numbers of applications
15	monthly. So it's a bit of trying to put all of it
16	together, and we're not but we're not at the same
17	number. We have this increased need. We acknowledge
18	that. And we are looking forward to seeing all of
19	these efforts come to a completion where we are
20	timely and our numbers are back up.
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. So you have some
22	homework to do. You owe me some some numbers here
23	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Absolutely.
24	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Now, in terms of So, I'm

just going to just ask you some general questions.

25

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

And I hope that you know, we get we get some answers for these. But regarding the cash and— the SNAP and cash assistance: How many delayed SNAP cases are overdue? And how many cash assistance cases are overdue? And how long have clients had to wait to receive their food stamps?

MS. CHEW: Thank you for your question. And noting, you know, we do have 1 million SNAP cases, which is including 1.7 million individuals in receipt of SNAP, about 275,000 cash cases, which equals about half a million recipients of cash assistance benefits.

Our most recent data from August 31 of this year, indicates that there are a total of 1,000-- oh, sorry-- 1,574 overdue SNAP cases that we are prioritizing to resolve and make sure that those cases are looked at carefully and processed, and that clients get every single benefit they are entitled to when we process that case.

For cash assistance, the number is 30,722 that are overdue. Though again, we are laser focused.

And as my colleague pointed out, you know, you have employed several measures to increase our capacity and bandwidth to process each and every one of these

2.2

2.3

cases, including overtime projects, a call to action agency wide, aggressive, aggressive recruitment and onboarding and training of our new staff, and many mentoring programs in place, and staff morale boosting programs, because we also want to retain the excellent, wonderful frontline staff we have that do this work day in and day out.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: If they're working nights and weekends, good luck with that, especially at the rates that they get paid. You know, that's another conversation for another day. But, you know— Why is there— Why is there such a big disparity between cash assistance and SNAP benefits? Is it that you process them differently? Are they, like— Are they—Because if I have a— If I have a case where I'm getting both cash benefits and food stamps in one case, why are they processed?

MS. CHEW: So, in that way, the cash cases can either be cash only cases, or cash with a SNAP component. So that number I cited earlier with half a million individuals receiving cash assistance, that could be cash assistance only, or cash with a SNAP component, whereas the 1.7 million SNAP only, that's

expiration, or 30 days after?

the ones on the recertifications?

2.2

2.3

2 the SNAP only recipient cases. I hope that answered 3 your question, Deputy Speaker.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And how delinquent are you? Like, what is the average amount of time that a client is waiting to actually receive those benefits? The ones that are delayed?

MS. CHEW: Yeah our-- By federal and state regulations, you know, we are to complete processing applications within 30 days or a shorter timeframe, if it's an immediate need application. We'll have to look into average times for you and circle back CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Is that 30 days prior to

MS. CHEW: Um, it's from the date of application
CHAIRPERSON AYALA: From the date of the
application? But these are recertifications. So
theoretically, I'm at a-- so, I don't know-- so it
would be probably nice to know how many of these are
new applicants, versus how many of them are
recertifications? Are we seeing that the delay on
the new applicants' side are a little bit higher than

MS. CHEW: Oh, sure. So it's actually higher on the application side, so--

- CHAIRPERSON AYALA: On the new applicants?
- 3 MS. CHEW: Parton?
- 4 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: On new applicants?
- 5 MS. CHEW: Yes.

2

- 6 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. How-- Do you know how-
- 7 | Do you know what the difference is?
- 8 MS. CHEW: Yes. So for cash assistance, again,
- 9 going back to August 31 of this year, the overdue
- 10 application volume is about 27,000. Whereas for the
- 11 | recerts, it's about 3,000. On the SNAP side, the
- 12 overdue applications is in the 1,400 range. And then
- 13 | the recert overdue for SNAP is 96, under 100.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.
- 15 MS. CHEW: So it's-- yeah.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Whew, guys. You're
- 17 | not making this easy for me. I'm just-- I, you know,
- 18 | again, I think we're ill-prepared with information,
- 19 but also with a plan of action, you know, to really,
- 20 truly address this.
- 21 So I'm going to-- I'm going to just move on. I'm
- 22 not going to ask you about, you know, the corrective
- 23 | action plan, because I think is pretty obvious that
- 24 | the corrective action plan includes more hiring.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I would suggest that you really seriously have a conversation with OMB about maybe decreasing the headcount and increasing the pay rate for, you know, the time being, if that, you know, or increasing the pay rate without having to decrease the work force, but something has to give, you know? These-- Many of these workers, you know, I don't-- you know, I don't know if the agency really, you know, understands that the level of dedication.

A lot of your staff, you know, has been around for 20 or 30 years. Like, these are people that have a, you know, a vested interest in doing the work that they do. They should be compensated accordingly. They should be able to continue to live, you know, in the city and, you know, make-- make ends meet. shouldn't have to work, you know, around the clock, to be able to make that up, right?, with overtime. And I just -- You know, I think it has to be said, because I keep hearing from every agency, "Well, we're understaffed, understaffed." Yeah, but you're also underpaying your, you know, employees. And I don't see there being a real call to action on the, you know, administrative side, asking for an increase in pay. You know, we recently went through this

2.2

2.3

- whole human services, you know, contracts, and you
 know, how we're paying our nonprofits barely nothing
 to do this work. And the same applies to the city
 workforce. It's really is-- And this is through no
- fault of anyone sitting here, but you know, it has to be said.
 - All right, I'm going to take a little pause, while I kind of gather my thoughts, and I'm going to call on couple of members. Five minutes per member, please.
 - So we're going to start with Councilmember

 Stevens, followed by Cabán, followed by Avilés, and
 then followed by Councilmember Restler.
- 15 | Councilmember Stevens?
 - COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Good morning. I'm feeling kind of similar to the Deputy Speaker. I'm just a little I'm taken aback by, like, the lack of clarity around numbers and feeling like we're constantly in this cycle of not getting all the information, which is why we keep coming back to the same type of hearings.
 - And so, one, I just want to start just with the vacancies, because— in staffing, because I hear that you guys are going to the hiring halls and

2.2

2.3

that's been a big thing for the agencies, but I'm also hearing that a lot of people, who even when they are told at the hiring halls are going to be called back, are left in limbo for months and haven't heard anything.

So, can you tell me: How many people from these hiring halls (you said you went to 19) from the 19, how many were hired, and are they still on staff currently?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So, just to reiterate, from-- from my testimony, we've been able to onboard over 700 folks, including folks that we've received applications that have gone through the hiring halls, our increased efforts through LinkedIn and other-- other avenues. And we think we've had some great success with that.

Similar to the point—— Or to also add in the point that Deputy Speaker Ayala made in terms of pay rate, we are now able to hire folks at the incumbent rate. So, that is a bit higher than before. So, it speaks to the Deputy Speaker's point of being able to hire at a higher—— higher rate, and then have that position be more attractive for folks who we are hiring.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: Part of-- Part of my question too was out of the 700, how many that you hired are still there currently? Because we're also hearing that people are quitting. And to even go with that, how many-- Yeah, how many people from that 700 are still there?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I can't speak to the retention rate. I don't have that number. I'm happy to circle back, and I understand the Councilmember's point, in terms of just this being very difficult work. This is hard work. We're asking a lot of our folks, and they have delivered for us in many ways. So I'll get back to you.

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: So even-- even with the 700, you don't have that number, but how many employees in the past month have quit from HRA? Do you have that number?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I absolutely do not have that number, but I can get that for you. Absolutely.

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: So I just-- It's disappointing, right? Like so you guys are coming here to talk about the deficiencies and the things and we're in a crisis, but you don't have the numbers

2.2

2.3

of how many people are-- who left in the past month or in the past quarter. So that's-- that's also telling, right? So then how do we then do our oversight, and get this information? So that's really telling and really disappointing.

Another question I have is just around-DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: If I might
add--

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: From other agencies—

From other agencies— Have you considered deploying staff from other agencies to assist with the backlog?

If not why? And this should be all-hands-on-deck.

So, if there are other agencies, have you like reached out to other agency throughout the city and working with other Deputy Mayors and other

Commissioners, to get folks to come and support this, so we can address the backlog? Has that happened?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So, there

are-- I know that there are restrictions on the-- the processing of these applications, and they are specific who and how they are processed. And so unfortunately, I don't have the-- the exact parameters that would maybe even perhaps prohibit what you're-- what you're suggesting. But I will

2.2

2.3

certainly take this idea in and work it through
internally.

And you know, we're looking for what you said, a "all hands on deck", and we've certainly been-- been doing that internally.

And I'd like to also highlight that,
unfortunately, when we do come to-- to testify,
sometimes we don't have data-specific questions in
which they have to be run in advance, and we don't
have that information because they we don't have that
sort of, you know, front of mind, or right at hand.

So whenever possible, when we can have data questions in advance for specific numbers, we obviously want to be partners with Council, and bring that information so that we can have clarity and transparency.

COUNCILMEMBER STEVENS: So even with the-- Could you talked about the backlog, and being all hands on deck, and trying to get other people from other parts of administration. This was done with the prior administration, so why can't we do this now? Because it is a crisis. We have a huge backlog. So I don't necessarily understand why we would have to, you

2.2

2.3

2 know, figure this out when it's been done in previous administrations.

And if you allow me just one more question: What is the number of positions that HRA needs in order to eliminate the current processing delay for applications and recertification? Because I know you said you don't have all data points, but in your opinion, what do you guys think that you need in order to get up to speed with hiring and staffing?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I think at this-- at this point, we-- again, as I spoke to before, this is more than just a staffing issue. We do have an increased demand. I don't think that we can-- we have a specific number of how many new hires would relinquish that backlog.

We continue to work with the folks that we have.

We continue to have a lot of conversation about what

we can do to highlight the work that our staff is

doing. And it's-- it's important work. And I know

Council knows that. But in terms of specific

numbers, we-- we don't know what that number might

be.

So I just want to say I'm a little bit, just, disappointed, right? Like, this means you guys have

2.2

2.3

no idea how-- what staff you need in order to clear the backlog, you don't know how many staff has been retained in the last month. It's at this point, it's like we actually don't-- it kind of sounds like you guys don't know how to get out of this crisis.

And so that, to me, is really unacceptable. And so thinking about what is the plan, and especially when coming to testify about such a crisis, and we have people talking about how they are literally starving because they don't have the food that they need, it's really, really sad. And we really need to really think about what that plan is to really move out of this. Because saying that you don't know how many staff or what you need to get out the crisis. I just-- I'm done. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Councilmember Cabán.

COUNCILMEMBER CABÁN: Thank you, and thank you for being in the hot seat. I-- I don't doubt for a second that you all and every single person working at your-- at your agencies care deeply about the provision of services, because of how like critical and essential that they are.

2.2

2.3

You know, I just want to take something that you said on the record a moment ago that— that it's more than just a staffing issue. And even that statement alone acknowledges the fact that there is a staffing issue. There is no getting around it. And this will not be solved without an increase in capacity, an increase in manpower, and an increase in staffing.

And I think that this Administration, and particularly this Mayor has made it impossible for you to do that with 15% pegs and hiring freezes. So, I mean, if we're processing at 29% -- And I actually want to focus on the skimming numbers for a second. Because now, you all are being charged with tackling this really, really big problem, as well. And unfortunately, in your testimony, it tells a little bit of a different story about the-- the agency's capacity to address this than what is actually the reality that we're experiencing in our communities, right?

In your testimony, you wrote that as of September 19, 2023, we received over 19 claims for replacement, we've processed about 15,000, 100% have been processed timely, two thirds of the replacement benefits have been added to EBT cards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But my office met with the Community Engagement Unit plus the Deputy Director of Administration to get additional (like, what, two weeks ago?) to get additional skimming facts. And the information we were provided by HRA was that 22,000, at that time, New Yorkers they knew to be have been impacted by skimming. So that's above the 19,000. But that--But that the Agency estimates the actual number is closer to 60,000. And so if we have -- have helped 15,000, but there are 60,000 out there, potentially, who have had their -- their benefits, skimmed. There's a huge gap in rectifying -- in rectifying that. We were told that in our district, 10% to 15% % of all constituents with cash assistance in several of my zip codes have experienced skimming in the past few months. And so that means that if-- if our office speaks to somebody about their -- their SNAP benefits, there is a really high likelihood that their benefits have been skimmed.

And so now we have this claims process. And I promise I'm going to get to a question. But you're saying that you're working on the EBT and cash assistance clinics, and we're doing a clinic with you all. But we've only just learned about the rolling

know concretely to be the case.

2.2

2.3

out of the program. And we have this October 31st as the final date to submit claims. It's not a-- not a lot of time. Is that going to be extended? Will there be waivers available so that we can extend the time that we can submit claims? Especially when you all are acknowledging that the number of people affected is what two, three, maybe four times what we

MS. CHEW: Thank you, Councilmember. You know, we are incredibly grateful that there is an opportunity now, through changes in law on the federal level and state level, that clients who have been the victims of skimming or fraud now can have an opportunity to get replacement benefits for those much, much needed critical, food on the table.

COUNCILMEMBER CABÁN: I'm sorry to interrupt, but time is super precious. There's not a lot of time left. So my direct question is: Are you going to, like, allow issues of waivers or extend this timeline to submit claims? Because it sounds like we haven't yet even begun to scratch the surface on reaching the people who have been affected by this. And so, if it closes on October 31, there are going to be a lot of people who don't get made whole. That's my question:

four times what has been recorded?

applying for those benefits.

2.2

2.3

Will there be a waiver or an extension of this

timeline, acknowledging the fact that that the agency

has said that this number is likely to be three or

MS. CHEW: Right. So thank you. I can say that of the initial 22,000 that we, you know, thought had been skimmed, based on, you know, claims submitted or, you know, information we received, there was an outreach effort to those 22,000 with our partners and outreach partners to support and make sure that they were aware of the process and to provide support in

Our process of replacing skimmed benefits is only a barely a month old into-- into it, and we are very pleased with the fact that over 19,000 claims have been submitted, and over 4.5--

COUNCILMEMBER CABÁN: Do you have plans to extend it? I just-- I have like no time left. And I just-- My question is simple. Do you have plans to extend the waiver? Because it seems really, really clear that helping the number of people we need to help is not going to happen without an extension? Are there plans to extend that that October 31st deadline? And

2.2

2.3

2 then, Chair, if I could ask one more question in 3 addition to that.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Yeah, thank you for that question. I think that at this time, we are not aware of the waiver-- waiver having been put into process and we will absolutely have-- circle back to council when we are have confirmation of any waivers that are put into process. We understand the need, as-- as we've-- as you stated that there is an additional need. And we're not at this, at this time aware of how that will be accomplished, whether it's through a waiver or some other process.

COUNCILMEMBER CABÁN: I know my other my other colleagues will hit some of the questions I was hoping to be able to ask with my time. So, I'm going to ask a totally separate question: The-- The federal farm bill is currently being debated in Congress. And so the people of Puerto Rico are excluded from accessing SNAP. Has the administration taken a position on this? And would HRA, and City Hall by extension, support the inclusion of the people of Puerto Rico since we'd like to say that Puerto Rico is the fifth borough, in SNAP? Do you guys-- have you taken a position? Do you support

2.2

2.3

2 that? Would you support the extension of support for 3 that inclusion?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN:

Unfortunately, we-- I don't think that we were able to take a position on that today. I'm not 100% familiar with where that process is. And so we would absolutely have to review that as an agency before we can take a position.

COUNCILMEMBER CABÁN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Councilmember Avilés?

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Thank you, Chair. Thank you to all the representatives of the agency here. And you know, it is important to note that our inquiry, our passion, our upset, is not— is not directed at the workers, whom we know are underwater. It is directed at a system that is, right now, as we all very well know— the Mayor announced a fifth round of cuts to agencies. And we see firsthand in our offices, and also work with— with constituents reapplying over and over again for benefits,

and sitting with them when-- when they can't get the

food that they need, and trying to find food for

folks on an everyday basis.

2	So, this is this is not about the workers.
3	This is about a system that is setting us up to fail
4	over and over again and continuously proposing cuts.
5	This administration has proposed even more cuts to
6	this agency, when we can't even keep up with the pace
7	of need for New Yorkers.
8	So So, our upset and anger is certainly is
9	certainly directed there. But also wanting answers
10	in earnest.
11	So I wanted to In terms of Um, I didn't hear.
12	What What would the agency need in terms of
13	staffing to be able to manage this current caseload?
14	And And how many cases do the staffers who manage
15	benefits are currently holding?
16	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I'm sorry.
17	I didn't hear the last part of your question.
18	COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: What's What's the
19	caseload for each staff member that are processing
20	these cases currently?
21	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Um, so
22	they're In regards to caseload, there is no

caseload. The applications are processed as they

come in. So, workers are not assigned to a caseload.

23

24

2.2

You didn't hear a number because we did not have a number to share at this time. We don't have a specific number that we can say, but will be the golden bullet, or that will get us there.

cited, you know, the case numbers over the past several years and showing how they ballooned currently. What was the peak of HRA staffing under some of those other years where they had even lower case loads. Because we can extrapolate that you need more, right?, to manage properly a certain level of-of cases that you have been processing historically, so...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So, yes. So we didn't have those numbers. We will assign them according to how we've reported today, where we looked at our peak number, in April of 2020. We're happy to share what our staffing was at that time versus our current staffing. We'll follow up with that.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: It is-- It is truly alarming that an agency that has historically processed SNAP cases, has followed trends of boom and

2.2

bust, has no sense of its staffing need and capacityduring this time. It is truly alarming.

In terms of—— In terms of the bill that's introduced, you noted that—— You know, I agree that it's very important for Intro (what is it)—— Intro 647. We noted certainly that it is important, obviously, to get residents information much earlier on in the process, especially if we as a city are—are committed to stopping evictions. Would you say that the current process is sufficient?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I think that it— the process that we're, you know, utilizing right to counsel at— at Housing Court is one that is— that we're working through the process and we're meeting tenants there. We've had the opportunity to launch a pilot in the Brooklyn Housing Court, where we've placed HRA resources within the court system. We've co-located there, and we'll be expanding that throughout the Housing Courts throughout the five boroughs.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Are you are you aware of how many evictions are taking place on a monthly basis over the past year?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I don't have the number of how many evictions are on a monthly basis.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: Are you aware of the ballooning number of evictions that we are currently facing in the city?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Absolutely. This-- This agency as it stands is-- and the mission statement is anti-poverty and anti-homelessness, and we seek at every turn to make sure that folks remain in their homes.

COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: And so just for the record: I mean, the bill essentially says "inform", to inform people of the resources that they should have at their disposal that can potentially help them, which from, you know, cost-saving, cost-cutting measure, if we don't inform our residents of their rights and resources, we pay triple. Because what will happen is residents will then become part of the housing— the homeless shelter system, and we continue to create trap doors as opposed to circling the wagons around people. So, I just— I find it surprising that informing residents feels too cost prohibitive, or that it's too downstream. There is

2.2

2.3

no moment in time that is inopportune to inform residents of their right, and resources that we as a city are working so hard to marshal.

So I just, you know, want to note that we should be making this attempt at every part of this process very early on. I agree: Right to counsel. Clearly, we need to fund that even more. In fact, there are many improvements we can make to this system. But to say at the point of eviction, as a family is going through that, that our city cannot inform residents, or it feels redundant, or not the best value for the buck, I would—— I would argue that every point to save a family from having to experience violent evictions is an important and worthwhile effort.

And lastly, for the record, we need to fully fund social services. So we are here in support, obviously, of that effort. But what we are seeing is a continuous defunding, and depending on other unsupported agencies, namely the nonprofits to fill that void, our government has a responsibility to do right by New Yorkers fully and unequivocally. So, thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I would just like to say that have shared goals and keeping folks

- 2 in their homes. The Council, the bill sponsor, as
- 3 well as the agency, we enjoy those shared goals.
- 4 We're happy to continue having conversations with
- 5 you. We just simply highlight the front end where we
- 6 feel the finite resources are-- are getting value.
- 7 | But again, happy to continue conversation.
- 8 COUNCILMEMBER AVILÉS: No, that's fair. But with
- 9 thousands of evictions, actually proceeding and
- 10 | ballooning numbers, I think we need to think about
- 11 all parts of the process. Thank you so much for your
- 12 partnership.

- 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I want to recognize that
- 15 | we've also been joined by Councilmembers Riley, Osee,
- 16 and De La Rosa. Councilmember Restler?
- 17 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much, Deputy
- 18 | Speaker Ayala, and for your leadership and attention
- 19 | to this matter and the staff that support the General
- 20 | Welfare Committee. I want to just firstly strongly
- 21 echo the sentiments of Councilmember Stevens and
- 22 | Avilés. I strongly, strongly agree with the points
- 23 | that they raised.
- You know, we were here 10 months ago when this
- 25 situation was very bad. And we had more senior staff

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

from HRA before us than we do today. And yet, the 2 3 situation has gotten catastrophic.

10 years ago, I was working in the Mayor's office, and was helping to launch the IDNYC program. We selected HRA as the home agency for that initiative, because it was the get-stuff-done agency. But it's not anymore, not under this Mayor, not when six out of 10 people who are applying for cash assistance aren't getting it on time, when seven out of 10 people who are applying for food stamps aren't getting it on time. I used to be nearly 100% on both fronts when he entered office.

I walk out the door every day in my house. are three different HRA centers in the downtown Brooklyn area. All of them have lines around the corner, down the block. People are struggling people are suffering. And it is most of all because we don't have the staff.

I believe in the work that HRA can do, but you can't do it without people. And this Administration has done an absolutely inadequate job in staffing the agency to meet the needs of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. It is beyond disheartening and disturbing.

It is causing anguish for our neighbors each and 25

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: We want the retention

data. The staff for the General Welfare Committee

sent you the questions a week in advance, and you

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2.2

2.3

have a court order-- or you're working with a judge where you have said staffing is the biggest challenge for you all to catch up and do your work. I assume that HRA leadership is tracking the headcount of the agency on a daily basis. Is that right? Is that right? The leadership of the agency is tracking headcount on a daily basis?

Okay. Thank you.

Nice to see you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Hi, Councilmember.

And thank you for your questions. I just want to

provide a little clarity as relates to staffing. So

over the last year, HRA has aggressively hired again

700 staff members, 700-plus.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: How many have left during that time? That was my question, if you don't mind.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. The-- You want the attrition numbers?

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: I'm looking for the net increase in staffing over the course of the year.

You said you hired 720 people. How many people left?

Because my understanding is about the same number of left, and we're in the exact same position that we were at the start of the year. But if you want to

2.2

2.3

2 tell me that I'm wrong, I'm happy to have it. We're
3 just looking for the information.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right. That's not correct. 700 have not left based on the data that we have currently.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: How many have left?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: But we will

absolutely get you--

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Okay. But you all said—told the court that staffing was critical to the agency's plans to come into compliance and address the backlog? Is the information being reviewed on a daily basis by agency leadership? Your headcount?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Correct.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: So why are you not able to provide it, when we gave it to you— asked for this a week in advance? Why would you not come prepared to answer the questions? Why would you bring more junior staff than you did 10 months ago, when this situation has only gone from bad to worse? First Deputy Commissioner Jil Berry, Administrator Lisa Fitzpatrick were here answering questions when we were concerned about these issues. Now, as the MMR shows, things are dire, truly dire. And you

responsibility seriously.

2.2

2.3

can't answer basic questions. I'm incredibly
disappointed by this Administration, hiding the ball,
and really being disingenuous in not working and
taking the work of this council and our oversight

My next question is the hiring freeze: As a result of the hiring freeze that takes effect in three days, you will not be able to fill one vacant position that is unfilled as of today, correct?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: The information that we have is the jobs in the SNAP staff--

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Those are a one-for-one replacement when somebody leaves. But any position that is vacant as of October 1 will not be able to be filled, correct?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: That is-DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Well--

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: That's a yes or no answer.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: We've-We've hired up at a rapid pace, as we've just said,
over the course of the year.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: But you're not answering my question, ma'am.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: And our-right now our vacancy rates are negligible.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Excuse me? Your vacancy rates are...?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: They're negligible vacancy rates.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Your vacancy rates are negligible?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Is that what you're trying to tell me?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Yes.

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: That's the data that I have is that there are— 3,266 for— sorry. Agency wide, there is over a 10% vacancy rate. That's a negligible vacancy? Is that what you just said on the record? Under oath? That's your— That's the statement you want to say. I just want to make sure you've got your facts right on that one.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: We've-We've hired. I was just handed information. We've
hired--

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: The vacancy rate is negligible? You're sticking by that statement?

Just--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Excuse me?

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: You're sticking by the statement that the vacancy rate of HRA is negligible?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: What I'm saying-- you began your question speaking about the hiring freeze.

Question. It was a yes or no question that the hiring freeze only that means that every vacant position in the agency that is vacant as of today cannot be replaced on October 1st. It's only additional people that leave after October 1st for certain positions that can really be replaced on a one-to-one basis. It's just a yes or no question.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I was making a reference to vacancies that are connected to SNAP and cash assistance. And, and of course, we will continue to be in conversations with OMB around hiring. These are ongoing questions.

1 2 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: The data I have on 3 vacancies for cash assistance is 626 vacancies, 19% 4 vacancy rate for cash assistance. Is that accurate? 5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I didn't 6 hear you. 7 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: 626 vacancies, 19% 8 vacancy from cash assistance, is that correct? 9 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I can't confirm those numbers. Sorry. 10 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Okay. This is -- This is 11 12 what I know. Oh, well, how about-- What's the 13 current backlog on the cash assistance applications? 14 [SEVERAL VOICES ON PANEL] 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: 27,000. 16 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: 27,000? That's what I 17 saw. So that means over the last four months, we've 18 reduced the backlog by about 10,000 cases. Is that 19 right? 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah. Our frontline staff--21 2.2 COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: Yes, thank you. And so 2.3 how is HRA going to address the next 25,000 backlog in cases--24

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Councilmember --

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER RESTLER: If I could just finish this question chair, please. I'm so sorry. -- over the next four months, as is required by the court injunction, when you're reducing the headcount further as a result of the hiring freeze? Could you lay out the plan for how that will happen?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: So we're not reducing the headcount further based upon the information that we have. And please understand that while we're hiring, staff are not proficient the day at the hiring. It takes a little while to be trained to become proficient in the process. So that's what's happening.

And then also the volume that is coming in every month is contributing to the continued backlog. So the staff are again working extremely hard, tirelessly to bring this backlog down. But we are faced with historical volumes coming in each month. So we are making progress. Staff are being trained, they're getting to the point where they will become proficient. So OMB has allowed us to hire. HRA does not have huge vacancies in the SNAP and cash program. So we--

2.2

2.3

Johnson, I appreciate your being here. And I appreciate your work leading FIA. It is a critically important and challenging job. And we thank you for the work that you do. But if we've cut the backlog by 10,000 over the last four months, and we have 27,000, to go over the next four month, as is required by the court injunction, but with the hiring freeze, we're going to have fewer staff able to do the work, which is absolutely the case, there is no way that you'll be able to comply.

And that means that things are going to get worse and worse and worse. And the dire situation that New Yorkers are facing today, unable to access the essential benefits that they need just to get by, is going to be absolutely catastrophic in the months to come. And I, for one, am profoundly concerned about the mis-prioritization of this Administration. And to cut, cut, and cut on the backs of the most vulnerable New Yorkers. And we as this Council need to do everything we can to push back. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Councilmember. We want to hear from Councilmember Ossé, followed by Councilmember De La Rosa.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Thank you so much Chair, and good afternoon-- or good morning. Wow. It's been a long day.

I'm probably going to ask some questions that have already been asked before. But I just want to say that I-- and I don't want to speak for everyone, but I truly appreciate the work that you three, and many of view within the agency do for the people of New York. You do not have an easy job.

I hear the concerns that come through my office, and I'm sure many of the offices of my colleagues, and it's-- it's not easy, right? That's why we're seeing the high vacancy rates. You're not being paid nearly as much as I think you should be for the amount of work that you are doing. You are frontline services that so many of our constituents and New Yorkers need. So, I thank you. And Marricka, you know, we've corresponded many times about certain issues within our office. I'm greatly appreciative of the work that you do. I just want to just get a couple of answers on-- and I'm sure that they've been asked before. But approximately how many applications does each staff member work on per month, let's say?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Well,
applications, they are not caseload. So they come in
as they come in.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Sure.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Workers take them on and work them through. So they don't reside at one-- with one caseworker.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Okay.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So there's no caseload. It's round-robin.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Okay. Is there an approximate amount at all? Do we know? Do we quantify that?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I would imagine that, that as you know, more-- more senior would get through more applications more quickly than others who are still training up and getting versed in that. That's how it is with us.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: I hear you. And I think this number is so important, because, again, like I think your-- your work needs to be quantified. It provides, you know, supporters and advocates within the Council and any body of government to continue pushing for you, so that your work is not subsidized

2.2

2.3

- with nonprofits and privatized in the direction that
 I perceive that this Administration is trying to go
 in. I'm not sure if you are legislated to quantify
 the amount of cases and the amount of workload that
 that you-- you undergo, but we need to have a picture
 of the amount of work that, you know, our public
 service employees are working on, right? Again,
 like, I really want to emphasize... Yeah, go ahead.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: We have monthly numbers of applications that come in.
- 12 COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Sure. Okay.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: But per-per worker, we don't have those numbers. So you can
 repeat those-- those numbers per month, which are the
 monthly average.
 - MS. CHEW: Yes. So for cash assistance applications, this is as of August 31, 2023, the average-- sorry. For city fiscal year 23, it was approximately 40,000 cash applications per month. And then for SNAP, it was 30...
 - COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Yeah, no worries. Was the Commissioner here earlier? No? Oh. Honest question.

2.2

2.3

MS. CHEW: Thank you for your patience. And then for SNAP for city fiscal year 23, the average is also about 40,000. And comparing and contrasting, pre pandemic in fiscal year 19 for cash, it was 25,629, and for SNAP, it was 25,717.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: That's a lot.

MS. CHEW: And—— And also Councilmember, you know, every family is unique. So a family that may have a household size of one or two, that interview—that review of all, you know, responses and information associated with case may be accomplished and thoroughly looked at faster than, say, a larger family size with complexities involved in the case. You know, so we definitely want to give each and every family and individual seeking services that attention and level of attention they deserve.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: And approximately-- and I'm sure that I can look this this number up, but what is the average salary for a caseworker within your agency?

MS. CHEW: So, for the cash program, we have the job opportunity specialist and the starting salary has actually reached an all-time high of \$53,000.

It's still entry level.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: That's not enough money.

MS. CHEW: You know, we understand that. It is considerably higher than—than what it had been a few years ago. And we understand that, you know, it's entry level. And we're fortunate that, you know, we have been able to recruit and identify some very talented people. Myself and Angela will speak with, you know, brand new classes of hires to rah—rah—rah, and kind of get them, you know, to understand what HRA has to offer, and that they'll have mentorship opportunities. I kind of talk through how you can start entry level and kind of gradually work your way up within the ranks of HRA because there's a lot opportunity, and we believe in their abilities and grooming and growing them.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Yeah.

MS. CHEW: You know, as part of the organization and part of the team.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Well, listen, I, I feel for you guys. And I'm sure that it's, you know, sometimes rough to come up here. The Commissioner should be in the seats that that you are in today. I know you can't respond to that. But you know, the more information that that you give us in terms of

2.2

2.3

how-- how much pressure you're going through with the crisis, the many crises that exists in the city, the more we can advocate for-- for us to create a more robust public service field within the city, right?

I'm not sure what the intentions of this

Administration are, but cuts year in and year out is
whittling down our public services. And we're
putting that money into contractors sometimes that
exist out of state.

So, I appreciate the work that you do, I'm sorry, that you have to sometimes take the beating for a lot of the decisions that are not made by you. But I hope that you know that that you do have allies within this Council that are pushing for you to have more resources, more staff, to continue serving the people of our city.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: And thank you for that. And we're always happy to come and be in front of Council. But we also are happy to have continued conversations outside of the hearing on various topics.

COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: Yeah, I'm just saying like if I if I was dealing with thousands of cases a month or whatever, hundreds of cases a month and then had

2.2

2.3

- to come up here and get, you know, bonked over the head a couple of times. I'd quit. Don't quit, please.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: This is a serious issue. It's a serious matter. The Council is it's obviously concerned. The agency continues to be concerned. We're putting forward efforts and we don't mind discussing those efforts.
 - COUNCILMEMBER OSSÉ: I appreciate that. Thank you.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. And I just wanted to just to share that we will have testimony from some workers at HRA that could probably better respond to the caseload questions. Councilmember De La Rosa?
 - COUNCILMEMBER DE LA ROSA: Thank you, Deputy

 Speaker. And I want to thank you for this important
 hearing. I want to thank you all for coming. I
 share-- I share Councilmember Ossé's sentiments in
 feeling horrible about the predicament that you three
 have been placed in. The Commissioner should be
 here. The Council is a partner, but it's also a
 legislative body that deserves the respect of having
 the Commissioner here in order to answer questions.

2.2

2.3

And I agree with the frustration that has been expressed here, although I won't go into so much detail. But, you know, we know that there's a crisis at hand. The vacancy rates— I'm the Chair of the Civil Service and Labor Committee. We've been talking about this for well over a year. The fact that the numbers are not being provided to this body does feel as though transparency isn't present. And the reason why it matters is, as you all know, is because there are critical services that communities across the city need delivered, that aren't being delivered.

So with that, I'm going to ask a few questions that actually come directly from my Constituent Services Staff.

So my district office has been working on many
HRA benefit cases. And there's a few barriers
towards being able to assist our constituents. One,
HRA continuously closes cases without explanation,
without a full understanding of why the cases are
being closed. Two, when it's time for
recertification, my staff, for example, would upload
necessary documentation. By the time HRA caseworkers
begin working on a case the documents are considered

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

old and would have to be resubmitted, delaying the
delivery of benefits, even though the information
remains the same. The HRA system is consistently
down.

So these are just three examples (there's way more on this list) of challenges that our staff has had to take on. In my community, we have an HRA The lines are around the corner. addition to the lines being around the corner, 90% of the cases that are walking into our office are HRA related. And so I want to get an understanding of, one, what is happening on the other end of a system when cases are continuously closed? Two, why isn't there flexibility, given the staffing shortages with the documentation that has been asked to be provided, so people can stay on life-saving services? And three, what is going on with the system as a whole, that it is consistently down? If you could answer those three questions, then I have a few more.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Well, first,

I know that my colleagues will have input on the

questions. I want to thank you for that feedback.

It's important to have connections to get that

feedback, not just in this forum, but otherwise. It

2.2

2.3

means—— If there's—— If there are things that are redundant and happening with it coming into your office, we want to hear about them, we want to make sure that we have the appropriate information to make the right corrections.

That being said, in terms of lines, we do know that there are issues in terms of, like, in the mornings and, and pacing of lines, that happens where people start queuing up a little bit earlier than the office opens. And then as a result of that they have waits that dissipate throughout the day. We-- We also know, you know, there are times that they would-that folks are getting more services at that time.

I think when my colleagues can talk about the process of-- the queuing up process. So, would you like to--

COUNCILMEMBER DE LA ROSA: And I don't necessarily need to know like what the process is for applying, because I understand that. More, what is the process when a case is closed without explanation? What-- what recourse is there?

The other thing I would like to say that it would be so important for us to have a direct contact to our local centers. And I know I'm not the only

2.2

2.3

Councilmember that has this problem. But literally, the center in my community is referring people to our office to fill out applications that should be filled out in the center. And I have four staffers that do this work. And we're all overburdened. The entire system is overburdened. But if we could get literally on a phone call with a manager at the Dyckman (because I'm going to mention the name, the Dyckman Job Center, the Dyckman Center), we could literally unblock some backlogs that are stuck for no other reason than there's not a human being that we can actually talk to, to get it unblocked.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay, so in each borough, we have regional managers and regional offices. And what we can do is supply the Council with those numbers and names of those individuals, and that will be your contact if there are challenges or concerns, right?

You asked a question about documentation. And the reason why we would-- you wanted to know if there's a way to eliminate requiring documentation?

COUNCILMEMBER DE LA ROSA: Not eliminate, but if there's a document that has been asked for three times, and the person has supplied it three times,

2.2

2.3

and by the time a caseworker gets to it, the document is considered old by your standards, but nothing has changed. Why is there not flexibility?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: There should be, and that's something that we will take back to training. And if those situations are happening, we will address that. The only documentation that would require to be updated is employment information. And unfortunately, because we do have backlogs, sometimes documentation related to employment will have to be updated. But anything outside of that, if it remains the same, nothing changes, we should not be asking for repeated documentation. But we'll definitely take that back.

Question, Madam Chair, if I may. Um, there is an issue with IT. I don't know who runs your IT department, or how the IT situation works. But for example, if a constituent (and this happens often, especially think about the population that we're serving— language access is not you know, always ready)— a person has an e-mail address. They no longer have access to that email address. They need to change or reset a password. We're not able to do

in the system.

2.2

2.3

- that in the system. If a constituent uses a

 different e-mail in order to, you know, keep their

 account secure, they're not able to switch the e-mail
- 6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: You're talking
 7 about to access HRA?
 - COUNCILMEMBER DE LA ROSA: Correct. Their HRA account.
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay, we'll definitely care of that.
 - that also happens that is language access related in constituent services is that if a person has clicked that they need another language, they're waiting hours on the phone for someone to pick up the phone, when they pick up the phone, it's still an English speaker—speaker, they have to wait again. And so what this is causing is massive wait times for a person to get access to someone who will speak to them in their language.
 - So I'd like for us to understand as a Council, sort of, like, are these contracted out services?

 What is happening in terms of, of being able to provide constituents with direct access to the type

2.2

2.3

of language that they need? There's some-- some very severe issues with the way that constituents are interfacing with the HRA system.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: So we do have staff that speak multiple languages, but you don't have a whole-- a whole body of staff. So, what we have contracted out is to our language line. So is the individual calls and they speak a language, and we don't have staff that actually speaks that language, we connect them to our language line.

So the language line contracted person is on the phone along with the worker to help through that interview process. So, we do connect individuals for access to language-- other languages.

COUNCILMEMBER DE LA ROSA: I'd welcome a conversation-- maybe we can also bring some of our constituents services staff. There are a lot-- I have pages of issues that our constituents staff is coming across, and obstacles to getting people to services. When we have a family who comes into the office and they need emergency food stamps, or they're not going to eat, we've had no other option, but to either refer them to a local food pantry, because the process for them getting an emergency

- 2 benefit has just taken too long. And as you know,
- 3 this is the difference between a family going with or
- 4 | without a meal. So, I welcome the conversation. And
- 5 I look forward to us spending more time. I have a
- 6 whole lot of questions about staffing, but I'll go
- 7 for the next round. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you, Councilmember.
- 9 Now, I have a little bit of time to kind of gather
- 10 some-- I want to just to go back on some of the
- 11 | things-- just because I need clarity on some of the--
- 12 | the testimony that we heard earlier.
- 13 So, in regards to the redeployment of staff:
- 14 When you're reassigning, are those staffers that
- 15 you're reassigning already trained in recertification
- 16 application processing?
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: So when we reassign
- 18 | or redeploy staff to FIA operations, the job centers
- 19 | are SNAP. They're trained. They receive training
- 20 on-- if they're interviewing on the phones, or if
- 21 | they're processing. So they do receive training.
- 22 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Because we're hearing from,
- 23 you know, a lot of the advocates that not all staff
- 24 | is trained, and that that's also leading to a lot of

2.2

2.3

- 2 errors in the completion of the recertifications.
- 3 And-- and that's further impacting the backlog.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: You know, we absolutely provide training, because staff are coming from other areas of HRA, that they're not familiar at all with the processes as it relates to cash and SNAP. So we have to train them.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So they have to be trained?
Okay.

MS. CHEW: There's also monthly trainings that target— You know, say, you know, common errors. So that way we know what they are, and then we can focus in on that and give training to all the staff, including the redeployed staff who are assisting in handling those cases.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And now, in regards to-- hold on a second, I had another question here before I move on, because I'm all over the place. Thank you. Sorry.

But in regards to-- And we also-- I just want you to-- Again, I want to just reiterate that we do have staff that will be testifying a little later on. So it would be nice if somebody from the

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 administration could stay to hear that testimony as well.

In regard to the skimming, you know, situation and a loss of-- the theft of benefits, we've received a number of these applications -- of these complaints. And I specifically have a friend who happens to be a senior citizen at one of-- she's a constituent in East Harlem, who had her food stamps stolen as part of this scam. She informed me of it. I explained at that -- at that time where you know, there was no way of reimbursing her that we were waiting to hear back from the state. When that became a thing, and we were able to then apply for reimbursement of the benefits, I immediately called her. I sat there personally at my computer in my office and I went through the whole application process for her. sent it. She got a confirmation. I made sure that she got the confirmation. She has the app on her phone, so she could tell me when exactly her benefits were stolen. The application was processed very expeditiously. You know, I appreciate that. But she was denied. Why was she denied? There was a discrepancy between the date that the-- the food stamps were actually used, and the date that it was

2.2

2.3

posted on the actual card-- on the statement. And so

I said that the-- the food stamps-- I put on the

application that they were stolen on the seventh,

because that's what the app was saying. But I guess

that they were used on the sixth, and then it went

to-- you know, it was posted on the seventh.

How many of the 15,000 applicants that have applied so far have been denied? Do we know how many— how many of those applications have already been approved, versus how many have been denied? I know it's fairly new. But I also want to recognize that we did submit all of these questions to the administration a week ago. So there's really—that's my understanding. Right? If you didn't get them, you need to have a conversation with somebody back home.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you for

Deputy Speaker. And for that individual case, we're

happy to follow up directly with the constituent to

find out and provide any additional--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: On no. I got it fixed. I had to call the Commissioner myself, and she-- she fixed it. But you have the Deputy Speaker and the Commissioner fixing something that shouldn't have

2.2

2.3

been fixable, because, you know, the information that

she got was from the, you know, the-- the app.

And so we, you know, we put in everything that needed to be, you know, submitted, and that could have easily been verified. But the fact that her food stamps had been stolen. I mean, somebody should have called. But she was, you know— So she was denied. And my concern is that, long story short, you know, that this has, you know, the propensity of continuing to happen. So I'm curious to know, out of the 15,000— well, you received 19,000 claims, processed 15,000, which we're very proud of. I'm proud of— You know, I'm happy for you. But out of those 15,000, I need to know specifically how many have been approved, how many have been denied, and why?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Well, this is— This is a new— As we discussed this a new process, and thank you so much for bringing to our attention and highlighting the issue that you had with your friend, so we have the opportunity to make— to take that back to examine the use of the dates and how they align. And that makes quite a bit of sense. I have in front of me that 72% of SNAP cases

2.2

2.3

2 | have been approved. That number is 7254.

Extrapolating out that the-- the other 28% would have been declined, denied. We don't have-- currently have a list of what the reasons would have been for those denials, and they could include things like errors, and-- and certainly not being able to verify the actual claim. So that was SNAP. And 48% have been approved within cash assistance that, and that number is 2519. So the other 52% at that number of cash assistance have been denied. And again, I don't have in front of me what those-- what errors or what issues could have caused denial of that benefit. But we could look into sharing that.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That would be— That would be great if you could give us an idea because I think it also gives you an idea of you know, any hiccups in the system that are preventing folks from getting, you know, benefits. So these are— these are folks that have theoretically lost their benefits several months ago, you know, and are still kind of trying to catch up. And now we're imposing a further, you know, delay by not processing the applic— well processing the application in a way that is going to

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 lead to an automatic denial. It's not-- You know,
3 it's not it's not helpful.

In regards to the-- and I'm sorry if I jump around here a little bit, but I just have so many things that I want to get to. But in regards to some of the-- the Intros. On Intro 567, you testified that the proposal decision may lead to unintended consequences of duplicating inspection requirements, and perhaps most importantly, slowing DHS's ability to rapidly provide shelter space for families. I don't-- I don't necessarily-- You know, I can't accept that as a response, because the alternative means that we're putting families in situations that are unsafe, and oftentimes families with children, and we've seen, you know, several high profile cases where, you know, children have died in such situations. And so I would hope that, you know, the administration would work with, you know, the sponsoring member to try to figure out the best route forward without, you know-- Because I think that we both, I'm assuming we both have the same shared goal, right, we want to make sure the folks that are housed, you know, in safe units, and, you know, the fact that, you know, some of these landlords have

- 2 | notoriously been cited for, you know, rat
- 3 | infestations, you know, leaking and faulty heaters,
- 4 gas situations. I mean, these are pretty egregious,
- 5 serious complaints that should be addressed for any
- 6 resident, you know. But if we are contracting, and
- 7 somebody is actually making money off of the city to
- 8 have somebody in those conditions, that's
- 9 unacceptable.

- 10 Intro 651: I just want to clarify that children
- 11 are not required to be at PATH the entire stay of the
- 12 process? Throughout the duration of the processing?
- DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: They can be
- 14 remote at the first--
- 15 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: At the initial?
- 16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: The initial.
- 17 Yes.
- 18 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, yeah, so if I'm there,
- 19 I don't know, if I'm there the whole day, I'm
- 20 assuming sometimes people have -- may have to come
- 21 | back, right?, if they're put in temporary, and they
- 22 | might have to come back the next day for whatever
- 23 reason. The-- I have, you know, I can show-- I can
- 24 | show the child remotely the first hour, the rest of

2.2

2.3

2 the day, like, I'm not required to bring this kid
3 back, right? Like--

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Right. The remote-- The process of assessment at the PATH center where-- at the point where there's an acknowledgement of all the family members, that's when they can appear remotely, and they are not required to come to subsequent visits.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. So then this law should just kind of codify what's-- your you're expressing kind of somewhat exists already.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I do feel like, you know, we, again, share goals and making sure that the young people who are going through this process, have the opportunity to do so in a seamless manner. I'm sure that there's more discussion that we can have about the details of the bill.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, yeah, we're not trying to hinder. We're trying to make it so that children don't have to wait around, you know, for hours, which makes it also a very unpleasant experience for the parents are now, you know, dealing with children that are restless and hungry and tired and, you know,

2.2

2.3

2 tired of being-- sitting there. It's not a good
3 situation. I've been there.

Regarding Intro 902, you referenced that there was a concern that adding a navigator to this process would impact a carefully designed process and represent a costly budget expansion. Could you please explain what you mean by costly? Because if we're hiring a navigator or two, I don't understand how that will be such a huge hindrance to— to the budget.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I think, again, we have shared goals, and there's further conversation that can be had for clarity. I think, at this point, in the reading of, and review of the legislation, it-- we're not exactly sure where this would end up in terms of the numeric requirement or whatever. And we want to make sure that we continue to have conversations around that. As well as we feel that the PATH process in itself is unique, and it allows for our clients to get the exact process that is being envisioned by the legislation.

So again, lots more conversation that we can have in terms of the details of the bill.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And I have some questions that I will reiterate at the end of my questioning so that you can then report back on.

Regarding the on-demand line, what is the capacity for the info line, and how many coals get dropped by the system on average? I know my staff is texting me, "I have a constituent services staff that is testing me, you know like a crazy person"-- (She's watching now. Hi. Hello. [Waves]) --and is you know complaining about this and, you know, the fact that it takes so long right to make these calls on behalf of the constituents when they use the regular info line. It is taking them sometimes hours and then they get dropped, and then they have to start all over again. What is the capacity there?

Regarding capacity, we haven't-- we've not experienced any busy-- busy signals since the inception of one-- the info line of one number. Then we've offered nearly 6 million calls. We've covered nearly 6 million calls from February of 2023 to August of 2023. So it's quite a number. We acknowledge that there are wait times on that-- on that line.

the headcount for ...

2.2

2.3

Also, there is an opportunity to have a call back, where you keep your place in line and you're able to, um...

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And how many workers are assigned to-- to manage the info line? Do we know?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I don't have

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Can we-- I'm going to add that to the list.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Add that to the list. Yes. We are happy to follow up.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Sorry, I've got to write it down immediately, or it goes away.

And also we could include what the average call wait time is, that would be fantastic.

Can you share with us, in regard to the CityFHEPS application— and congratulations on yesterday's announcement. You know, I know that the expansion is, you know, one of the ways that we can utilize the existing resources to help give families choice. So, you know, it's a good thing that people get to decide, right? If they want to leave this day or, you know, they have family elsewhere, they're—they're able to get there.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

22

24

Obviously, we have a bunch of questions on that.

But I'm going to start with the-- the number of applications for CityFHEPS that are taking longer than 60 days to process. Do you know how many-- how many applications are delayed, to date?

And, quite frankly, I've been hearing from a lot of providers as well that the number of cases-eviction cases that are being processed to date is pretty-- is continuing to grow because CityFHEPS disbursement of checks are not happening on a timely So you know, landlords are not getting paid. As a matter of fact, I have a family now that the Commissioner is helping me with who has the same, you know, the same situation. And this is the second time that I've had to call on her behalf in the last This is the second time that her landlord has served her with, you know, notification. She was a former shelter resident, she has a beautiful apartment and one of those brand new, you know, buildings that has a set-aside for homeless families. And she continuously gets threatened with eviction, because DHS or HRA, whoever's in charge of processing, you know, payments to the landlord is not

2.2

2.3

doing so. So would love to-- to kind of understand what the deficiencies are there and why.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So, in terms of—in terms of CityFHEPS, the application process itself, is (as you're very familiar with this) each case is unique. It's unique processing. We don't [inaudible]. I believe that at this point where we're not tracking the 30-day number. I would be happy to circle back on that with exact numbers. We do understand that in specific cases, there are some payment delays as well. And so we're—we're always looking to correct that. So, we have opportunities within the agency to respond to any delays in terms of, like, just working through our specific units.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And I you know, I would love to see some sort of a corrective action plan there as well, because, you know, the agency that is tasked with ensuring that people are not homeless is now contributing to a large number of people being threatened, you know, with eviction because of lack of payment.

And this is what I mean, when I say that, you know, a lot of our issues are internal. We have funding. You know, we have the resources that we're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

supposed -- you know, that we need to ensure that these things don't happen. And I don't know how the agency operates their internal, you know, staffing processes. But, you know, in my office, I tell my staff if -- if there is an emergency, if somebody has no food, if somebody has no shelter, if somebody has no gas, if somebody's refrigerators broken, those are all things that, you know, present a real, you know, challenge for families. Like, we're not going to go home until we know exactly what's going to happen, right? So, by five o'clock, if you don't have a response, then you need to communicate that to me, and I will gladly take that case over. But we do not abandon it until we get it, you know, rectified as quickly as possible, because we don't want families to be sleeping on sidewalks or in public spaces. we don't want people going without food. So we also make sure that if a person had a skimming issue, right?, somebody whose benefits were stolen. Okay, well, what is -- what resources do we have available to ensure that you have food until we're able to rectify this? Like, those are conversations that we're having, and I expect that this agency that is taxed with this, is doing the same.

2.2

And I get that there are a lot of you know, emergencies and things that are happening, and competing priorities. But we cannot, especially in light of a housing crisis, be speaking out of both sides of our mouths, right? We cannot say we have a housing crisis, and we're not doing enough to, you know, to rectify this, or units are not available, but then can, you know, we're contributing to the, you know, growing eviction cases, because we're not processing applications on time, right?

If that means— If there's a delay, then there has to be maybe an MOU between the, you know, the Housing Courts that, you know, can help flag and identify clients who are recipients of these programs, who should be receiving payments, so that they're not having to worry about going there.

Because I get it, I understand the system well. But when you get a notice that you're being evicted, I mean, it's— it's pretty alarming, you know? It's a scary process to go through, and we shouldn't be— we should— at least the people in this room should not be a part of that equation. Like we should not be participating in that.

2.2

So in terms of the home base locations, we're contracted to process the CityFHEPS to move—
CityFHEPS to stay, FEPS to move applications. We're hearing from constituents that the wait time to get an appointment at home-based locations is still very long. Some home bases are not able to provide an appointment until 2024. These delays are causing evictions because households cannot get shopping letters, and cannot get their applications processed once they find an apartment.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: We're working with Home Base Programs in whatever ways we can as— as they are experiencing large demands, you know, due to cases with arrears for the last few years. So, there's a huge demand, and we're— we're partnering with them, which we're enhancing technology, we're working on cases with the programs at HRA, and expand our CityFHEPS processing application process.

So we're partnering with them as— as we feel like we do. They're seeing a larger demand which is causing their backlogs. So we're able to help them navigate.

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 112
2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: What What does that help
3	look like?
4	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: To my notes,
5	it looks like we're working with keeping abreast of
6	the of the challenges, as well as working through
7	technology with them. So, we're trying to help them
8	get through their process.
9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Have we Has has HRA grown
LO	the attempted to grow the network to meet, you
L1	know, the demand?
L2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: In terms of
L3	the number of home base facilities.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Mm-hmm.
L5	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I'm not
L 6	familiar with any growth at this time.
L7	[INAUDIBLE CONVERSATION BETWEEN PANEL MEMBERS]
L8	Oh, thank you. We'll get back to you.
L 9	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay.
20	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Yes. Thank
21	you, colleagues. We will have to get back to you.
22	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Yeah, that's a
23	that's a pretty big deal, guys. So. Whew. All

right. I'm going to try to attempt to get through

2.2

2.3

2 the other questions. Oh, I am sorry. I just want to 3 make sure that I did ask all of these.

In terms of indexing. Are you still indexing cases by hand?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Indexing when a person uploads a document? So we have staff that indexes the documents once it's scanned by the client or the applicant.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So if somebody's submitting the-- submitting the application electronically is the back end process still by paper?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: It's not by paper. When it's uploaded electronically, the worker gets it in a queue (and primarily it's clerical staff, and we also have redeploy staff helping there as well) and they just index the documents electronically. Nothing is done on paper.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: All right. And constituents obviously keep reporting that they're being asked to upload the same documents time and time again. Are you aware of this issue? And are you tracking these complaints?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I think that we are hearing anecdotally that that's happening,

2.2

2.3

either through councilmembers who have shared those anecdotal index-- We don't feel like it's a systemic issue, and we're looking to address them individually as they come-- come to our attention.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Regarding one-shot deals, how many cases can RAU staff process on an average per-week or month basis with current headcount, versus fully staffed without overtime or reassigning staff from other units?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: So that—
Thank you for the question. We don't track data in that way, in terms of how many per week per headcount. So unfortunately, we don't have a number that we can assign to that value.

We currently have approximately—— we currently on boarded approximately 185 staff members in that role. So I can offer that in terms of our current headcount. And—— and that has done quite a bit to help us get through our processing.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Does HRA have to have a person or a unit that is this that is specifically designed to work in collaboration with legal services and nonprofit groups that are helping folks navigate eviction, to get, you know, to kind of lend some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

clarity, right?, when these things are happening, right?, so that you're not hearing about them after the fact and are creating, you know, correction action plans, or, you know, really allow yourself the ability to make the changes, right?, in real time? Because there always seems to be a disconnect between what we hear at the hearings and what we're hearing, you know, from our nonprofit partners, and, you know-- So I-- It makes me wonder, you know. And I've had, you know, meetings where we bring in the collective, right?, of stakeholders to hear from them and to get input, right?, because they're doing this work, you know, day in and day out. They better understand, like, where the nuances are, where the hiccups are, where we need to be doing more, where we need to be doing less. Is that something that HRA does. Is there-- Like, is there a space for community partners to provide input and feedback? DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I think they're-- Do you want to it, Angela?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay, so elected-elected officials can contact us via the one number
and follow the prompts. It will help them-- to be
queued up to someone from constituent services that

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

find is helpful.

- will assist them. And we require authorization and—
 whether verbal or written to speak on behalf of a

 client from the elected official or the person who's
 advocating for the client. But you also can e-mail
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And somebody's responsible for manning that--
 - DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes.

constituentaffairs@dss.nyc.gov.

- 10 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: --e-mail and responding?
- 11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. I mean, listen, I appreciate any level of, you know, of access, but I think that there's a-- that that system lacks that personal, you know, connection for real-time communication with your partners that are seeing this. Because sometimes when we're, you know, in-in it, we don't necessarily see, right?, like, the, the issues that they're identifying, right? And they're real. So, I just, you know, I find it-- I
 - Now, regarding the, the announcement yesterday, when -- I don't know if all of the details have been ironed out yet in regard to the CityFHEPS authorization to go outside of the state. If an

- 2 individual -- to your knowledge, if an individual
- 3 decides that they wanted to come back, and transfer
- 4 back to New York City, is that something that's
- 5 possible?

- 6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: I'm not
- 7 | sure. I mean, as you-- as you stated, it's a new-- a
- 8 | new thing, a new announcement. It makes sense that
- 9 the voucher being available throughout the state
- 10 | would continue to be accessible in New York City.
- 11 | That makes sense to me now. I'm not sure what-- how
- 12 we would move forward. Yes, they can ask for a
- 13 transfer.
- 14 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: They can ask for a transfer.
- 15 Okay. And the amount of time that the voucher will
- 16 | be paid out: Is that like in perpetuity, so long as
- 17 | the person is continuing to qualify? Or is there
- 18 | like a time frame for which there-- they have access
- 19 | to this voucher?
- 20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Again: It's
- 21 \parallel new. It makes sense at this point to-- that it would
- 22 continue with the traditional requirements with
- 23 | eligibility.
- 24 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. Okay. Hold on, give
- 25 me one second.

2.2

Okay, can you tell I don't know that you're
going to have this response, this answer yet, but I
would really like to know as HRA is the one that
does the is responsible for doing the apartment
inspections. Like, who's going to be doing the
inspections for out-of-state units? And the
administrative capacity for staff to handle to
handle that when there are already delays in the
processing of the rental assistance and benefits?
And also, what is the city's oversight, right?,
ability, you know, for these out of state vouchers?
Out of city. Sorry.

Okay. We're going to send these to you as well.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Yes. Yes.

We would appreciate the-- those-- the program being as new as it is, we would appreciate a little time to get that information to you.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm sorry. We want to make sure that— that we are seamlessly transitioning, you know, resources to our constituency, and ensuring that folks are getting, you know, benefits that they need with these safety net programs that are there for emergency use. And so, we should be treating

2.2

2.3

them that way. And I understand again the staffing
shortages issue, but as part of the questions, I
think, you know, I am-- that we're going to be
submitting, we would like-- I would like contingency- a breakdown-- a plan of, you know, what is what is

the contingency plan to address the staffing

8 | shortages in real time?

I don't, you know, I think we've had more than enough time to kind of figure out and reassess what—You know, how we move forward. And I think—And I want to clarify that when I said earlier about reducing the headcount, what I mean is that we have 100 vacancies, and nobody's taking them because the pay rate is so, you know, is so low. Why not reduce that maybe to 70, and increase right, with the funds that we're saving on that that extra 30? Like, why not just increase the pay rate, to attract more workers to the field.

It's very difficult work to do. Customer service skills, you know, are hard at work every single day, and we don't want to also overwhelm and burn out the staff that we do have at our disposal, because we rely on them, right?, to provide a very valuable service.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

So with that, I you know, thank you for, you know, coming to today's hearing, and we will submit those questions to you, and hopefully we will be able to hear back soon.

And again, I encourage somebody to stay in and listen to the public testimony from some of the staff.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: We just-- We always sent have someone either viewing online or present in the gallery. So thank you. We will have someone.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you so much.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SCOTT-MCFADDEN: Thank you.

COUNSEL: Now I'm going to call on the next panel, which we comprised of members of DC 37,

Jozette Dowdell, Helen Chandler, Tyece Grant (and I apologize if I'm mispronouncing your name) and Lucy Perez.

MS. DOWDELL. Good afternoon, Chair and members of the Committee. I am grateful for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Jozette Dowdell. Thank you. My name is Jozette Dowdell and I am the Deputy Administrator of Local 1549, AFSCME District Council 37 here in New York.

2.2

2.3

We represent hundreds of employees of New York
City's Supplemental Nutrition Program Assistance
Program. Our members work on the frontline of SNAP
to connect the most vulnerable New Yorkers to lifesaving food assistance. In their roles across the
program, our members determine eligibility for the
program, they answer calls from applicants and
participants, and they connect individuals and
families to SNAP, and in some cases other benefits.

Our members and I are here to testify today with the goal of working with the city to improve the SNAP operations, and in-time delivery of the SNAP benefits for more than 1.7 million New Yorkers who have participated in SNAP in the fiscal year of 2022, and to the other New Yorkers who have qualified but do not yet participate in the program.

You will hear from our members today as they describe the challenges that they have encountered in their work areas. These challenges include the Angi Case Management System that has proven to be a barrier in time the benefits and in timely delivery of benefits, our retention problem, and keeping experienced, merit-based staff, and the significant training gap that causes retention problems.

2.2

2.3

I would like to thank the members of Local 1549 for their tireless work in delivering SNAP benefits and services to millions of New Yorkers during the pandemic, and for decades before that.

Unfortunately, yesterday, we were told our members would not be able to be released from their assignments at the last minute to attend this hearing. But true to form, they understood the importance of this hearing today and they took their own time to be here.

We attend these hearings in an attempt to partner with the City on solutions. As you will hear, these are truly frontline heroes who keep the word "human" in human services.

And now I'll turn it over.

MS. GRANT: Good afternoon, Chair Ayala and members of the committee. I am grateful for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Tyece Grant. I'm an Eligibility Specialist II and a member of AFSCME Local 1549, District Council 37 in New York. I have worked in SNAP for 11 years, and a significant barrier in my work is the Angi Case Processing System, which is ineffective for SNAP

2.2

2.3

2 applicants and prevents the timely delivery of
3 benefits.

This flawed case management system was intended to decrease the workload. However, I have found that instead it increases my workload and contributes to the backlog of SNAP cases. For example, Angi was designed so that any supervisor from any SNAP center from across the city can approve a case. I have found that this is ineffective, because I don't know who was signing off my cases. And if I should experience an error on the case, the supervisor or the system assigns the case to a completely different worker, who must then perform much of the work I have already completed. This delays timely benefit delivery for our clients who need like-saving food assistance.

A coworker stated that before Angi was implemented, she was producing more cases on a date. Now due to the system's inefficiencies and errors, she can only complete seven cases per day. As a SNAP worker, I want a better system that will allow us to complete and track our work to ensure timely delivery. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

Good afternoon Chair Ayala and members of the committee. I am thankful for the opportunity to testify today. I am Lucy Perez, an Eligibility Specialist II, and a member of AFSCME Local 1549, District Council 37 in New York, and I have worked for SNAP for 11 years.

I also would like to focus on the Angi system.

as a barrier to timely benefits delivery. This

system has a lot of faults, a lot of flaws, and if we

flagged an error while I am entering a client's

information or processing eligibility for a person,

the case has to be suspended until IT can fix the

problem. This could take anywhere from three weeks

to two months. In the meantime, I have to move on to

the next case, and everything with the original case

falls through the cracks.

Last year because of this system inconsistency, management continued to use the old case management system in parallel in order for us to catch up with the backlog. The prior system we used to complete gave us more flexibility, and we were able to do more work with less errors. The current system, Angi, creates a huge backlog. And the stress of reducing this backlog contributes to retention problems. As a

- 2 result, we need many more eligibility specialists.
- 3 But the pay is not high enough to attract and retain
- 4 the cost-- because the cost of living in New York
- 5 City is so expensive.

- In the end, the Angi system hurts the clients,
- 7 and prevents us from being able to do our work in a
- 8 timely manner. Management said that the Angi system
- 9 | will help the frontline workers be more efficient.
- 10 And as a result, it will benefit the client. But it
- 11 has done exactly the opposite. We do have a staffing
- 12 | issue, but 50% of the backlog is the Angi issue, is
- 13 | the system that we're using that is not working.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 MS. CHANDLER: Good afternoon Chair Ayala and
- 16 members of the committee. My name is Helen Chandler.
- 17 | I'm an Eligibility Specialist II. And I just want to
- 18 | thank you for, you know, giving us the opportunity to
- 19 | just hear our voice on the work that we do on a day-
- 20 to-day basis. We do hear the cries of the clients.
- 21 | And I, me personally, I put myself in the client's
- 22 shoes. We hear the frustration, the long hold time,
- 23 | the closing of cases, the asking of documents that's
- 24 already in the system. But like my colleague said,
- 25 | we do have a system that's failing. Angi came into

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

play, and it dropped our productivity down
tremendously.

Like, me and my colleague right here, we worked together, you know, for many years, and I we worked around the same time 11 years.

So, we went from doing like anywhere from 30-plus cases, or maybe less, to now maybe five to eight cases, maybe 10, on a day-to-day basis, because with Angi, there's, like, glitches and then you can't get past certain screens. You know, it's just very frustrating on our behalf, and on behalf of the client.

And then like with Angi, when you get to a certain screen it won't allow you to go past that screen until you address an issue. Sometimes we don't even know what the issue is. And then, you know, we'll ask our supervisors, but like I said with the, um, Angi system, it is just not working.

And we've been with Angi, I think, about like 2019? Yeah. And when we was on Classic Pies, we can produce the work.

And then like during the interview process, like, we were informed that we have to ask, like, questions that I feel that shouldn't even be part of the

2.2

2.3

interview, like asking the client's, "Have you been convicted? Or sold or transferred SNAP benefits?" I mean, be realistic, the client-- I mean, they've been on hold for like about 4-5 hours. Like, why do we have to ask these unnecessary questions that can

delay the time, you know, with the interview process.

And then the clients, you don't want to make them feel like they're criminals? You know, they're here just to get some help. And then some of them, like, don't have a place to lay their head. Some don't know where their next meal is coming from. So why do we have to spend time asking like a whole slew of questions? You know, and it's very frustrating, not only with us—Because like I said, we hear the cries of the clients—But also why do we need to ask these certain questions that don't even, um, you know, pertaining to the eligibility?

So we do have a system that's just not working.

And I mean, I just feel like, if they could just cut
the questions down in the interview process, and then
also, if they could just maybe go back to Classic
POS, then you will definitely see an increase in
cases getting, you know, processed.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But go back to what?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE 128
2	MS. CHANDLER: Like Classic POS, that was the
3	that was the system before Angi. So maybe if they
4	could go back to that, and you know, give it a try,
5	then they will see, like, the increase of cases being
6	processed.
7	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So Angi has been used since
8	2019?
9	MS. CHANDLER: Yeah, like around 2019. And it
10	really slowed down, you know, the processing.
11	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: That Was that before or
12	after the beginning of the pandemic?
13	MS. CHANDLER: That was about 2019. That was,
14	like, roughly before the pandemic.
15	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Right. Right before the
16	pandemic they launched the new system.
17	MS. CHANDLER: Mm-hmm. Yes. And then actually,
18	during the pandemic, they switched us back to Classic
19	POS. So you know, you've seen an increase of the
20	cases flowing, but then we had to go back to Angi.
21	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Back to Angi. And so, when
22	Who do you report to if you're uploading, you know,
23	cases and you're working on it, and then now all of a

sudden (there's some sort of hinderance, the system

fails, you're not able to go to the next page) who--

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

MS. CHANDLER: They want us to go chain of command with our immediate supervisor first, and then our immediate supervisor, I guess, has to contact management, and then that's how they move. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. And how long does this process take before-- from the time that you're making the -- the initial complaint to the time that whatever the problem that occurred is resolved? MS. CHANDLER: Sometimes it could take days. Sometimes it could take weeks. Because they don't have the answer to come back to us with, so we're like, literally stuck in limbo. You know? And like I said, we hear the cries of the clients. CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So does that mean that a complete halt happens? Like when that happens, and you're waiting, you're not able to process any applications? MS. CHANDLER: We have to move on to the next, basically, until--CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay, so the--

MS. CHANDLER: And then-- and another thing is, you know, we have to create tickets, which IT say that is bombarding their queue with the amount of tickets that we have, but that's what we're informed

- 2 to do. We have to create tickets if there's a system
- 3 issue. So yeah.
- 4 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And what and what is your
- 5 | current caseload?
- 6 MS. CHANDLER: Well, we don't have a caseload.
- 7 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You don't have caseload?
- 8 MS. CHANDLER: Yeah. Mm-hmm.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So it's as many as you can
- 10 get done that day?
- 11 MS. CHANDLER: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Typically, you said before
- 13 | Angi...?
- MS. CHANDLER: Yeah. Before Angi, I can do like
- 15 anywhere-- maybe 27 or 30 cases, sometimes over 30.
- 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Wow.
- MS. CHANDLER: Now with Angi, it, yeah, dropped
- 18 dramatically.
- 19 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Wow.
- 20 MS. CHANDLER: Yeah. Sometimes on a day-to-day
- 21 | basis, you-- you'll be lucky if you get, like, 10
- 22 cases in with Angi.
- 23 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. That's interesting,
- 24 because it didn't come up as part of the Admin's

2.2

2.3

- testimony. And, you know, you would assume that
 that's-- it's not all just staffing, right?
- 4 MS. CHANDLER: It's not.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: I'm assuming staffing has something to do with it, but it--
 - MS. CHANDLER: The main problem as Angi. Yeah. That's like the biggest problem. If you ask any worker that's on-- on hand, they will tell you the main problem is the Angi system.
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: So now you're working-- if you're working on Ms. Smith's case, and you have a hiccup there, right? And now you go, and you report it to your supervisor, and you-- you leave it in her hands-- his or her hands to fix. So, you come back and now you move on to the next client. That client that you working on during the time of the of the incident, do you record the name of that individual, or does that case get recorded and flagged so that you are able to come back, or does it then-- when the system, whatever issue is corrected, does that person then go to somebody else?
 - MS. CHANDLER: Okay. So what I do personally, because I'm-- I like to take my notes and I'll be very detailed. I have, like, a list of, you know,

- 2 clients that I personally give a collateral call to.
- 3 Because my thing is, instead of the client sitting
- 4 | there waiting, you know, to see if their case is
- 5 being processed. Me personally, I take the time out,
- 6 and I will call those clients back, you know, just to
- 7 give them the status of their case, let them know,
- 8 | like a ticket was put in. I'll even sometimes email
- 9 my supervisor and see if any updates on that
- 10 particular case. And, you know, I try to keep the
- 11 | clients abreast of what's going on with their case.
- 12 Yeah, because like I said, it's frustrating, when
- 13 | they are sitting their waiting, and they're not
- 14 | knowing what's going on with their case, if their
- 15 case is going to be closed, because I put myself in
- 16 | the client's shoes.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.
- 18 MS. CHANDLER: And, you know, rather than keep
- 19 going on to the next case, you just leave that, you
- 20 know, case, just sitting there, I don't wait to the
- 21 | supervisor gets back to me. I usually, you know, try
- 22 to follow up with them. So I can keep my clients
- 23 posted.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And there's no minimum requirements of the number of applications that you get to complete, is there?

MS. CHANDLER: No, no.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No?

MS. CHANDLER: You can ask my manager--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You need to pull the mic.

MS. PEREZ: I would also like to mention that I have to commend my colleague here, because she says she keeps track and gets calls back. We are not responsible to do that. And we don't get the time to do that either. Because it's always "get to the next case." So, I commend you for finding the time to do that. Because I don't find the time to do that.

Also, there is no case load, because every case is different. You know, one case may have one or two people. There are cases we do with 10 members, 15 members. If they're a citizen, you know, it's less information we have to put in. If they're immigrants, there's a whole lot of information that we have to put in and go through a lot more, you know, questions and windows and stuff like that.

So it's-- everything is different, you could spend, you know, 30 minutes on a case, or almost two

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 hours on a case, depending on what's involved in that 3 case. So, it's complicated.

MS. GRANT: And I would just like to add: Angi was implemented to track the workers, because when they do their monthly reports, they can determine how long you were on the case. sometimes they will come and ask you, "Why were you on the case so long?" And just as my colleague just stated, each case is different. It has a household of five, a household of 10. And then the demographics of that case differs. There is more information when they're non-citizens. And-- And then the Angi system, it, like, deletes things when you have to put it in. So, you have to double-- it's like double work because you have to keep putting-the information doesn't stick. So, you have to keep putting information in before you could even, you know, process the case.

MS. PEREZ: Yeah. You think you've completed a case you get to the end, and then you can't run a budget, because it's missing information, and you go back and put it, and you think is stuck. Now you go back and it is still missing. And it's simple things. Sometimes it's just the veterans' code, or

2.2

2.3

the address, issues with the address. The income has a lot of issues. Sometimes it doesn't stay or it duplicates it.

So, you have to, you know, be very mindful when you get to the end up to make sure that everything is correct. Because you can, you know, deny a person their benefits because the system is duplicating their income. Or you can make someone eligible that's not eligible because the system is not picking up the income. And that's why Angi has a lot of issues. Because besides the fact that every case is different, we are— the system is creating— we are spending more time in these cases—

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Troubleshooting.

MS. PEREZ: --because we have to keep on going back. And there are situations in which we can fix it, because fixing the income could take us, you know, 15-20 minutes more depending on how many times we have to go back. But when you encounter an issue that it doesn't allow you to move forward (and that's every day), you have to put in a ticket. And then that's it for that case, you know. That case? I don't know what happens to it.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

MS. GRANT: And we as the workers, we created the word workarounds. We found ways in which we can get the errors fixed, and we try to--

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Some.

MS. GRANT: Some not all. But we try. But that takes hours. It takes a long time to come up with these workarounds just to try and get one case, you know, processed efficiently.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Well, I appreciate that at least you took the initiative to try to figure it out. You know?

MS. PEREZ: There's some glitches that we have figured it out. But we didn't figure it out right away, you know? It took time and communicating with each other, you know? Like, "Oh, I found out how to do this." "Oh, and yeah, and you could do this this way."

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. No, no. Do-- Two questions that I have for you. On the call log complaints, right? The-- The people that are calling and saying I've been waiting for four hours. Is there a callback option? Because sometimes now, when I call places, they're like, "If you want to wait,

2.2

2.3

- you can stay here and wait. But if you want to call back, we'll call you back." Is that an option?
 - MS. PEREZ: Yeah. There is a call back-- back option. There is. But what-- what I have found out though, is that we get a-- because the calls come in automatically to us. And so it's a courtesy call back. But if the person doesn't answer that call back, I don't know if the system will do another call back, because that happens a lot, too. They're not-- Then when they get a courtesy call back, and we get-- I leave them a message, a voice message. You know, I tell them, you know, please give us a call back when
 - CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. But now they're calling the same number again. And it's like-[makes a circular motion with hand].
 - MS. GRANT: The wait.

you get a chance.

- MS. PEREZ: Yeah, yeah. So we don't know if-- I don't-- I don't know if the system would do another call back.
- CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah. And in terms of the centers, because each center operates differently, right? Do some centers get more applications than others. Like, or are they equitably distributed?

2.2

Because I, you know, I'm assuming people are sent to
the closest site to wherever their place of residence
is, hopefully.

MS. GRANT: So-- Oh, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But I just want to-- No. I just want to make-- I don't-- I'm just trying to get some clarity, like, you know, is there a higher demand at some sites than others?

MS. GRANT: So during the pandemic, the centers were closed, right? And they routed a lot of people to the Atlantic Avenue Clermont location, because that was the one that was open. Anybody from any centers can come there to submit their documents, and see what's going on with their case. I currently work in the customer service department in my location. Everyone comes there. And I was directed to tell the clients to go to your local centers, because the centers are—they're—they're allocated by the person's zip code. So based on their zip code, we'll say, "Okay, you're at center so and so."

So that's what's been happening at my center, but everybody comes in, and we just try to service them, you know, the best way we can.

2	CHAIRPERSON AYALA: But if it is done that way
3	And the reason that I ask is because I get a lot of
4	complaints from my colleagues in the Bronx
5	specifically, right?, about delays, applications that
6	are not being processed. And so, you know, we have
7	job sites throughout the entire city, but if there is
8	a geographical area where there are a higher number
9	of, you know, people that are dependent on the on
10	those benefits, I want to I'm trying to decipher
11	whether or not those centers are all adequately
12	staffed? Like, do we send more folks that-a-way
13	because we have a higher demand at Clermont? Or is
14	that You know, are the staffing ratios consistent
15	between sites? Like how What does that What does
16	that look like to you as a person that works there?
17	MS. PEREZ: Okay. They mentioned re-stay,
18	redeployment, right? So, what they have done is that
19	the centers for example, in the Bronx (they started
20	with the Bronx), they sent everybody to what they
21	have a call center, a [inaudible] that houses it
22	can house 450 people. So, they only left about three
23	people at those centers in the Bronx to do the SIC
24	work that she does. And then every call comes in
25	through that call center. So really at those

- centers, there's-- there's no one that can, you know,
- 3 | interview or do anything else. It is just to give
- 4 out information.
- 5 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Hmm. During the pandemic,
- 6 were you guys working remotely?
- 7 MS. GRANT: Yes.
- 8 MS. PEREZ: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And you were using the old
- 10 system?
- 11 MS. GRANT: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Because the rate of
- 13 completion was significantly higher during the
- 14 pandemic than it is now. Which is...
- 15 MS. CHANDLER: [inaudible]
- 16 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You know, I know that there
- 17 were-- there was some benefits that made it easier,
- 18 | but the system also allows you to process
- 19 applications--
- 20 MS. PEREZ: More efficiently.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Okay. [coughs] Hold on one
- 22 second. I'm sorry. Allergies guys. I'm sorry. I
- 23 don't know if it is this room. I should probably
- 24 | never get this room again. I'll make a pitch to be

in the bigger, nicer room but-- but this is really

3 really, really helpful.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I want to thank you guys for not only doing what you do, and I think people mis-- misunderstand what it is that, you know, what role we play here and we're oversight, right? And we're ensuring that our public dollars are being used as they are intended to be utilized. And that the services that, you know, are rendered are, you know, being provided in the way that they were intended to.

And so we're-- Obviously there are some hiccups here. But I think your testimony here provided a lot of clarity for me. And I'm sure for some of the folks that are-- that are listening.

And so I thank you for your testimony and your time. I know it's-- it wasn't easy to be here for such a long time. But it's really important, because you speak for a cohort of folks that are going through the same thing. And a lot of them happen to be women of color, you know? And so thank you so much for speaking, you know, on their behalf.

Thank you.

COUNSEL: Now, we're going to call on the next panel, which is going to be an in-person panel, and

2.2

2.3

2 this panel will be followed by a panel virtual on 3 Zoom.

So, the next panel will be comprised of Abby
Biberman, Kathleen Kelleher, Chris Mann, and Topacio
Nunez.

And then the panel after that will be comprised of Jenny Veloz, Eric Lee, and Rebecca Zangen.

You can begin whenever you're ready.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin, just make sure that your mic is on. See the red light?

MS. BIBERMAN: Deputy Speaker Ayala, councilmembers who are left, and staff. Thank you for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Abby Biberman, and I'm the Associate Director of the Public Benefits Unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group. I did testify before this Council in December of 2022, about HRA's persistent delays in processing applications and recertifications, and about how our clients were left without benefits to feed and care for their families. In January of 2023, NYLAG, along with the Legal Aid Society sued the New York City Department of Social Services for failing to comply with the law by not processing these applications and

2.2

2.3

issuing benefits, and failure to maintain functional systems for applicants and recipients.

As of August 31, you heard that over 32,000 households were still experiencing delays. I have serious concerns about HRA's ability to come into full compliance with the terms of the preliminary injunction.

HRA has repeatedly testified about having an unprecedented number of applications recovering from the global pandemic and persistent staffing issues. But the increase is not an aberration. It has been over three years. And yet we still have not heard from the agency about a meaningful and effective plan to address these delays and comply with its legal mandates to process applications on time.

New Yorkers in need are still waiting without benefits to which they are entitled without money to buy groceries, and these delays are causing eviction.

Because of HRA's delays, we have more households facing emergency increased economic instability and actually a higher number of applications for HRA to process when these households do inevitably reapply for benefits.

2.2

2.3

I want to highlight some of the delays Our office

has seen. They're experiencing delays at each stage

Indexing of documents: HRA is failing to index documents submitted online and other methods, and our clients are either repeatedly asked to resubmit, or improperly denied benefits for failure to submit, or accepted for benefits at a lower level than they should be based on their income and expenses.

[BELL RINGS]

of the process.

I just want to finish up with:

On-demand interviews: They did implement a new system which we are pleased with. But we are hearing reports that clients are waiting hours to get through and often not getting through at all, requesting a call back, never receiving a call back, and not able to complete their required interview.

And also in my written testimony, I'll talk about CityFHEPS delays.

But we also have one concern which is the return of mandatory employment appointments. This is going to increase the workload, and it is already overwhelmed. So, we're concerned about how HRA is

2.2

2.3

going to manage this increase in workload with the return of employment appointments.

NYLAG also supports the passage of all the bills introduced at this hearing. And thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: You may begin.

MS. KELLEHER: Oh, thanks. Good afternoon, I think it is now? Good afternoon, Deputy Speaker, and thanks for the opportunity to testify today. My name is Kathleen Kelleher. I'm a Staff Attorney at the Legal Aid Society in the Civil Law Reform Unit. And along with my colleague next to me here, Abby Biberman from NYLAG, we have sued the New York City Human Resources Administration for failing to follow the legal deadlines required by law to process cash assistance and SNAP benefits.

And the numbers that they announced to you after you asked them today in the hearing, for what the delay numbers were, were numbers that they had to produce to us yesterday in the lawsuit. And I have a feeling that they wouldn't have even produced those if they hadn't been required to by our court order.

I looked at my testimony, at the prelminary hearing in December, and I was so upset, because I

2 just felt like I could just send you a video. And

3 you could just listen to what I said. Because it's--

The only thing that's different is that things are

5 worse.

2.2

2.3

But I did watch my testimony and HRA must not have because they came here today with no statistics on how the rate of processing, no numbers on staffing, they kept saying that there's no such thing as a caseload. But why couldn't they give you information on the number of hours it takes— the average hours it takes to process and application or recertification? They have data that they keep as an algorithm to understand what they need to process cases, and what it will take to eliminate the backlog. I don't know why they wouldn't talk about it today.

And, so what we wanted to say is that— we want to focus on— we did talk about this last time, but we want to focus on the things that we think that the City Council should do to— to get to get things turned around. Because it's clear, since HRA has not done these things voluntarily, and they also haven't even come with information, we have some recommendations.

The first is transparency: That they should be required to post and publish the delay numbers every month for cash assistance, SNAP, as well as all the rent arrears grants that you mentioned in your questions.

HRA should be required to process the timeliness rates and how far behind they are in those every month. And they should be required— When they fall below 95% timeliness, they should be required to also post a corrective action plan with how they're going to get back to timeliness within 90 days. And they should also have to publish corrective action plans.

They should have to publish staffing numbers, which, as when Councilmember Restler was asking a lot of questions about that, they weren't able to answer a question about net staffing.

And so there are lots of questions that they need-- There's lots of information they should publish about staffing.

In addition, they should publish salary data.

Just all the information you need to be able to

figure out whether staffing is a big way to solve the

problem, which we think it is.

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

Then the next thing they should do is— There was some discussion in today's testimony about waivers. I'm sorry, I'm going over, but I just need a few minutes. Okay, thanks. They talked about waivers. We think that HRA should be required to publish a waiver database so that everybody has an idea— has a complete understanding of what waivers HRA is operating under, and what they've applied for. That kind of information was actually required by the federal government during COVID for SNAP benefits, so there's a model for it.

Then the other two things are related to client access. One is about people being able to get through on the phones. And I wanted to clarify one thing.

I'm sorry-- Should I just stop, because I'm so
over?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: No, no, no. Keep on going. This is great.

MS. KELLEHER: I wanted to clarify one thing about the phones. Today you were hearing some discussion about whether there was a call back feature on the phones. I want to clarify that there are actually two different phone problems and two

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

2 different phone systems that we are talking about 3 with client delay here today.

There's a main number that people call which is referred to as "Info Line" on HRA's website.

Sometimes it's also called "One Number." That's-- I think it's 718-557-1399. Did I get that right?

Let's see if I remember that by heart. That's the main number.

But there's also a different number that people are given to call for mandatory interviews for applications or recertifications. The interview number that they're given has a callback option.

That's the number-- that's the only number that to my understanding that has a callback option. So the only time you have an opportunity to be called back by HRA is the one time that you have to call them to have an interview. And even then, there's-- there are problems with that.

But I want you to understand that when people were telling-- saying that they were on hold for five hours or six hours or a day: That's true, and they're not getting a call-back option.

So we need that fixed. HRA needs to have more options. You also should know that 311 also does not

assist people. So when people call 311, to make a complaint about HRA. They are given the number for Info Line, the number they're calling to complain

5 about.

2.2

2.3

So it's like HRA is lacking the complaint box. You can file a complaint, it's just we're not going to let you actually put it in the box.

So, we need a solution to that. They need another number. They need—— 311 needs to be able—— needs to take these complaints, give clients confirmation numbers. There needs to be another alternative to the One Number system.

And then the last thing is: You heard, I think a lot of-- some discussion today about people having trouble using the Access HRA system, and, you know, to apply online and upload documents and stuff. We think that HRA (and this is something that the Council could also act)-- that there should be a standard developed, that the system needs to be measured as one that's accessible to clients.

Because the average client-- I haven't looked at the data recently, but they have data on the-- on the reading level of clients. And my understanding is, I think, the average reading level is eighth grade.

2.2

2.3

And if that's true, all the materials should be aimed at somebody with an eighth grade reading level. It's just common sense. So the same thing needs to happen with access HRA, because that's what they expect people to use.

So I think they're not going to do it. And all the things we just mentioned, unfortunately, we've mentioned before, and HRA has-- has not offered the information up to-- to the Council.

So it seems to me that it's time to legislate.

And they're not giving you a choice, really. And

it's just terrible. And I'm glad that they announced

the-- the data because we are scandalized at the at

the numbers. So I'm sorry for going so far over.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And we will follow up with you for-- oh, you can hand Aminta the lists, and we will definitely follow up on that.

MS. KELLEHER: I agree.

MR. MANN: All right. Good afternoon, Chair

Ayala, and Committee Counsel. Thanks for the

opportunity to testify today. My name is Chris Mann.

I'm the Assistant Vice President of Policy and

Advocacy at Win, the nation's largest provider of

shelter and services to families with children

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

experiencing homelessness. We operate 14 shelters and nearly 500 supportive housing units across the five boroughs. Currently, more than 6,500 people call Win home every night, including 3,600 children.

For many families that live in Win shelters and supportive housing, the services provided by DSS are essential for regaining stability. But too often our families report significant delays in benefits processing, which perpetuate instability and lead to delays in shelter exit.

While the city contends with the worst homelessness crisis in history, we should be investing more resources than ever in our social services infrastructure. Unfortunately, the Adams Administration has proposed to do exactly the opposite.

If enacted, the proposed 15% cuts would cause profound harm to all New Yorkers and disproportionately so for communities of color.

We can't cut our way out of this crisis. Cuts already enacted by the mayor have resulted in massive disruptions in critical services. For instance, as noted earlier today, cash assistance timeliness rates are down 66%, SNAP timeliness rates are down 52%.

2.2

2.3

Inadequate staffing continues to be a core driver, and further cuts to DSS budgets will exacerbate this already desperate situation.

Additionally, the many antiquated and fragmented systems used by DSS also represent significant opportunities for improvement. To truly address the homelessness crisis, the city must focus on filling vacant staff lines rather than cutting them, and make every effort to modernize and streamline the systems and infrastructure used for benefit processing.

We applaud Councilmember Hudson for Intro 910, which would establish a universal benefits application for NYC.

Similarly to what New Yorkers experienced when applying for SNAP and cash assistance, accessing shelter is an onerous process at best. We applaud and support Intros 651 and 902, introduced by you, Deputy Speaker, which would ensure families no longer have to bring their kids to PATH, and create PATH navigators to help families navigate the complex bureaucracy.

In order for the city to thrive, we have to invest in the people that live here. We must invest in and modernize our social services infrastructure,

2.2

2.3

2 and investment that we know will pay dividends in the 3 long run. Thank you.

MS. NUNEZ: Good afternoon. I want to thank the Committee on General Welfare and chair Ayala for holding this important hearing on delays in public benefit processing at HRA. My name is Topacio Nunez and I am a Senior Paralegal in the Civil Justice Practice at Brooklyn Defender Services.

BDS is a public defender's office in Brooklyn.

We provide criminal defense family defense,

immigration and civil legal services for

approximately 22,000 people a year. Our Civil

Justice Practice here at BDS aims to reduce the civil

collateral consequences for the people we serve,

working with clients and their families to prevent

loss of housing, benefits or property due to legal

cases or investigations.

As a case-handling paralegal, I support clients when their public benefits are terminated or applications are denied. I also accompany clients to HRA offices and provide representation for clients challenging HRA decisions in administrative hearings and state appeals.

2.2

2.3

The majority of people BDS serves are eligible for some sort of public assistance program. As a result, we routinely hear about problems obtaining and maintaining assistance.

While the Mayor's management report includes some shocking data about application delays, the numbers don't capture the complete scale of the impact for the people that we serve. Often families in our family defense practice are directed or even mandated by the administration for children's services to apply for and start receiving benefits as a condition of the return of their children. The delays at HRA not only prevent unification, but further destabilize vulnerable families.

And I would like to highlight a story of one of my clients. Miss M is a parent who is represented by our Family Defense Practice. When I started working with her, she was having issues with her HRA case, and she was at the point of needing to reapply. We assisted her in the re-application process that started in February of 2023. Before then, she had attempted on multiple moments to apply, but never received anything in Spanish, even though it was

2.2

2.3

2 noted on her application that she needed documents in 3 Spanish.

Two of Miss M's children at the time had been removed by ACS, and thus improperly removed from her housing voucher. Because of this mistake, her voucher was not covering her rent, which placed Miss M and her newborn baby at risk of eviction.

Losing her housing or going into shelter would only create additional barriers to having her children returned. After the application was submitted, we completed all necessary steps, including the interview which I helped myself, and it took approximately two months for her application to be approved. While Miss M waited for her HRA case to be processed she was unable to take her children for home visits or bring them anything during the time the visits took place elsewhere, because she had no cash assistance available or SNAP, or food stamps.

She was also experiencing additional stress and fear to her ACS case. She was terrified that ACS would remove her baby that was with her at the time, because of not having available food, sufficient food in the fridge. Swift access to benefits is critical for low-income New Yorkers and helps provide critical

stability. Benefit access may help the people we serve favorably resolve their criminal cases and

4 reunite with their children after ACS involvement.

It would also help maintain jobs and allow children to remain in school. Unnecessary delays only

7 contribute to community and family instability.

Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Just a question regarding— Well, I think I have two questions for Chris, regarding DHS's side. So they— The Admins—The Administration testified that children are no longer required to be there, that they can do that virtually. Is that your experience? Because, I mean, I've been there. I don't know if it's just a matter of poor information sharing. Um, but there are a large number of— of children, small children present at PATH every day.

MR. MANN: Yeah, I mean, we met with the Administration recently, and they told us the same thing. And what we said was, "You know, that's news to us. Like, we're really happy to hear that." But that should be announced. And people should know that that's the case. So, you know, on our, you know, at our agency, we're starting to let our you

2.2

2.3

2 know, people know. But at that point, it's already
3 too late, right?, because they're already in shelter.
4 So, you know, I think it's something that really

needs to be communicated more broadly.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: And on the-- Have you heard any of the issues regarding the-- the Angi system?

MS. BIBERMAN: No, I have not personally, and I found that testimony to be very illuminating. I've been in negotiations with HRA for almost a year on our case, and I've spoken to them for, you know, years before that about efficiency, and what— you know, how to improve their systems. And I was disappointed that they weren't in the room to hear it from their own staff, because that seems to be a pretty— It lines up pretty well with when the numbers started going down. And I think there's a staffing issue, but I don't think that that's the only problem. So I would like to know more.

MS. KELLEHER: And it could— There could be—
Actually it made me want to ask about the other side
of the caseload. So, the numbers that they cited,
you know, for the delays? The DC 37 panelists who
testified, I think all of them work in the SNAP
system. I think that's the— if I got it— if I got

that right. And Angi I think is only in the SNAP
part of the agency. You know that there's sort of
two systems: One for SNAP only, and one for people
who also have applied for cash assistance, or just
cash assistance. And while they were testifying, I
was looking it up. And I could only find policies
related to Angi for SNAP. So the reason I'm raising
that is because I thought to myself, "Well, the
delays on the SNAP-only side they're only only
1,574 that, you know, delays there. Applications and
recerts, but they are 30,772 on the CA SNAP side. So
I want to know, similarly, what what kind of
problems are there on the CA SNAP side? Like, it
immediately made me want to find out what's up with
that?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Yeah, Yeah, same. [Laughs]
The same. Yeah. I think you know, the fact that the numbers started to trend downward, you know, as soon as they reimplemented it is very telling. But thank you guys so much for your testimony here today.

ALL: Thank you.

COUNSEL: We're now going to call on the next panel, which is going to be a virtual panel. The

2.2

2.3

2 next panel will be Jenny Veloz, Eric Lee, and Rebecca 3 Zangan. Over now to Jenny Veloz.

MS. VELOZ: Thank you. Oh, excuse me. I apologize. I am battling a cold. So I may sound a little weird. But thank you for holding this hearing. My name is Jenny Veloz. I'm a Policy and Advocacy Associate at the Citizens Committee for Children of New York. And since 1944, CCC has served as an independent multi-issue child advocacy organization. Our priority is improving outcomes for children and families through civic engagement, research, and advocacy.

So once again, we would like to thank you, Deputy Speaker Ayala, and the members of the Committee for holding this very important oversight hearing on such a critical matter.

As has been mentioned throughout this hearing,

SNAP continues to be an important antipoverty

resource for families. With inflation making food

more expensive, low income households are again

struggling with the high costs of not only food, but

housing, childcare, transportation, and utilities.

And I also want to highlight as has been mentioned previously, that the timeliness receipt of

2.3

SNAP benefits has exponentially decreased during the during the last fiscal year from 60.1% to 39.7%, respectively. And that's not even addressing how it has dropped from 90% in 2019.

New York City must invest in the resources that are vital to the health and well-being of families and children, starting with ensuring that HRA has the staffing and the resources necessary to process SNAP benefits in a timely manner. We also urge the city administration to reject the proposed austerity measures of the 10% budget cut that would detrimentally impact the health of New Yorkers.

And now I'm going to pivot over to how this is affecting housing and children and families.

The current housing and shelter crisis started long before the influx of migrant families, as 9800 families with children were already languishing in our shelter system, spending 520 days in shelter on average. CCC and peer advocates across the city are deeply concerned with—

22 | SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MS. VELOZ: --the mayor's call for budget cuts in the coming months. Instead, we urge the city to prioritize action steps that can keep families

2.2

2.3

housed, expedite access to permanent housing for
those already in shelter, and to protect staffing and
fill vacancies at DHS, HRA, and HPD.

The following recommendations are not only cost effective, but will improve outcomes for unhoused families, creating space within our existing shelter system for newly arrived migrants and positively impacting our local economy. And these recommendations are: Implementing CityFHEPS expansion; improving public benefits, access, and retention; prioritizing access to home-based services; and promoting well-being in shelters by baselining funding for community coordinators, and supporting Deputy Speaker Ayala's, Intro 092, requiring DHS to provide process navigating services to every family with children at an intake Center.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Jenny, for your testimony.

Over now to Eric Lee for testimony.

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you.

LEE: Good afternoon. My name is Eric Lee. I'm

Director of Policy and Planning for Homeless Services

United. Thank you Deputy Speaker Ayala and members

of the General Welfare Committee for allowing me to

testify today. HSU's members have not seen

2.2

2.3

significant improvements to delays at HRA since the December hearing. And we're concerned by HRA's testimony today which stated that they don't have an idea of how many staff are needed to eliminate the backlog and delays.

These delays mean people are going hungry waiting for SNAP benefits, people are at risk of eviction or losing their housing because they can't receive a one-shot deal or rental assistance quickly enough, and people in shelter are stuck there for months longer than necessary.

HSU urges OMB to exempt DSS, DHS HRA from the proposed 15% Plan to Eliminate the Gap, or PEG, and staff that are responsible for processing benefits and rental assistance applications, interviews, and documentation should be exempt from city hiring freezes.

As shelter staff continue to reach record highs every day, this is the exact worst time to be reducing safety net services.

HRA should backfill agencies and expand headcount until their agency has sufficient capacity to eliminate the backlog and attain a 30-day processing timeline not only for cash assistance and SNAP

2.2

2.3

benefits, but also for one-shot deals, CityFHEPS and

StateFHEPS vouchers.

Given the historic increase in cash assistance and SNAP applications, HRA should be planning to expand capacity beyond their pre-pandemic headcounts to be able to reflect the growing need in the city. The agency should stop continuing to rely on stopgap measures like voluntary overtime and redeploying staff within the agency to address backlogs and meet the current need, as it's burning out staff at HRA, and creates new delays within the units that are being pulled from in order to redeploy, as was mentioned by the HRA staffers today.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

MR. LEE: Do you mind if I finish up?

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Sure.

MR. LEE: Thank you. In addition to staffing up to meet the current demand for benefits and rental assistance, HRA must also ramp up capacity in advance of implementing the CityFHEPS Go package, which the Council passed and will take effect this winter.

This includes staffing up both at FI8 to process cash assistance applications and interviews, and

2.2

2.3

documentation indexing, as well as RAP for processing

CityFHEPS applications, and LOSU for issuing checks.

Finally, HSU supports Intro 651 to codify that children are not required to be present at PATH, and Intro 902 to establish PATH navigators to help families navigate this highly complicated and confusing process.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Eric, for your testimony.

Over now to Rebecca Zangen.

MS. ZANGEN: Good afternoon. Can you hear me? COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

MS. ZANGEN: Okay, great. Good afternoon Deputy
Speaker Ayala, Committee Council. My name is Rebecca
Zangen, and I'm the Director of Policy and Planning
at the Supportive Housing Network of New York. We're
a statewide membership organization representing over
200 nonprofit developers and operators of supportive
housing. I appreciate the opportunity to testify and
I'm going to speak specifically to One Shot Deal cash
assistance applications for tenants with rent
arrears.

Although supportive housing is rent subsidized, tenants must still pay 30% of their monthly income on

2.2

2.3

rent, which is significant if that income is just a monthly Social Security check. And supportive housing tenants are not exempt from the rising costs of food, medication, transportation, and childcare, leaving tenants to make difficult choices which often lead to arrears.

A survey of our members from July found that 54% of tenants were behind on rent. Supportive housing developments are underwritten in accordance with city, state, and federal regulations with the assumption that tenants will pay a portion of the rent. This is how the budgets are created and buildings are operated and maintained. When rent is not collected, building maintenance suffers.

The same survey found that out of 29 respondents, there was a total of more than \$24.6 million of arrears. Currently, the only way to recoup arrears is through the One Shot Deal process, which often comes after case management and all other options with the tenants have been exhausted.

As has been described in this hearing by many other speakers, the process to apply for cash assistance (and a One Shot Deal is part of that) is very onerous and requires tremendous effort from

2.2

2.3

2 supportive housing tenants, who are also coping with
3 other challenging life circumstances.

The current delays in HRA processing translates to millions of dollars lost by nonprofit supportive housing providers and undue stress and anxiety for tenants whose arrears put them in violation of their lease agreement.

Today, we're urging the city to create a system to expedite the processing and approval of One Shot Deal applications for supportive and affordable housing tenants, and allow providers to bundle request HRA to create efficiencies.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

Additionally, we urge the city to exempt DSS and HRA and all other city agencies that interface with households in need of care from pegs. Thank you so much for your time.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Rebecca, for your testimony.

And thank you to this entire panel for your

testimony. We are now going to move to an in-person

panel comprised of Jenna Coudin and Towaki Komatsu.

And I apologize Jenna if I mispronounced your name.

I apologize if I mispronounced your name.

2.2

2.3

MS. COUDIN: Okay, good afternoon. My name is

Jenna Coudin. I'm an attorney with the Government

Benefits Unit at Manhattan Legal Services. We serve

clients throughout Manhattan, who seek us out in

housing court as well as at our clinics, one of which

you let us hold at your office, Councilmember Ayala.

I'm here today to shed light on the actual dayto-day disastrous consequences of HRA's extreme
delays in processing, approving, and issuing public
benefit. We've been talking about it all morning.
We are seeing systemic delays of more than the
required timeframe of 30 days processing for SNAP,
public assistance, One Shot Deals, PHEPS, and
CityFHEPS applications.

While some applicants receive decision well past the required timeline, while others never receive a response on their application. These harmful delays are widespread across New York City.

As I've seen in my client's work, issuance delays of SNAP cash assistance or rental subsidies have real-life consequences. It is the single mother who won't be able to feed her 10-year-old daughter tonight. It is the 18-year-old homeless high school student who will skip breakfast and lunch tomorrow to

2.2

2.3

have enough cash to afford dinner. It is this 55year-old woman, a former accountant, who landed in
housing court after becoming paralyzed following a
stroke, unable to cook or afford groceries while her
SNAP application is pending. These are the stories
that we hear every day. They are disheartening and
infuriating.

New York City's been mandated by law to protect low-income New Yorkers. New York City has to ensure that we support the people whose life already are struggles and battles every day. We can all agree that \$281 a month in SNAP is far from sufficient to afford a month worth of groceries. But these \$281 can make the difference between life and death. And HRA's continuous delays are not only unacceptable, but it's also deadly, and it's critical to act now.

Every week in New York City, new tenants are being sued by the landlord because of missing delayed shelter payments, or improper discontinuance of public assistance, FHEPS, or CityFHEPS case. Why is it that the programs that were created to help vulnerable people access fordable housings are the ones that ended up pushing the same marginalized tenants into eviction proceedings?

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

2.2

2.3

HRA indicates that they are taking aggressive action to fill critical vacancies, invest in technology, and implement process improvement to improve timeliness. Well, this is great to hear.

But what is the concrete structural plan, and what is the timeline. But what date will New Yorkers be able to get their benefit processed within 30 days. This rule was supposed to be effective as of October 1, 2022. What substantial steps have been put in place to comply with the federal court order to eliminate the backlog?

These are the adjustments that I wish to present:

Number one, the Adams administration should

approve the necessary budget to, at minimum, fill all

the positions that are currently vacant at HRA. The

US economy will keep getting worse until it gets

better and HRA must hire more staff to get rid of

this year's long backup.

Number two, HRA should commit to creating and publishing a corrective action plan to resolve the systemic delays in processing public benefit application within the requisite timeline.

Number three, HRA should share monthly monitoring reports with community partners until they can

state and federal law.

2.2

2.3

demonstrate that the agency is complying with its protocols, corrective action plan, and applicable

Finally, HRA should create a direct access line phone number four advocates like us and community partners to help address and facilitate correction of case errors.

Thank you.

COUNSEL: Thank you, Jenna, for your testimony.

Over to Towaki.

MR. KOMATSU: Hi, I'm Towaki Komatsu. I've talked to you many times. I've been lied to many times. In this room today, you said your oversight, I guess for HRA. Another lie. Somebody who was at this table for HRA said that— let me look at my notes. She made a remark saying something to the effect of "We have a shared goal of keeping people in their homes." No they don't. They committed fraud against me. I told— I've testified to you previously about the fact that HRA personnel, as well as personnel for urban pathways illegally changed my lease. So how is that keeping me in my home?

In terms of New York State Real Property Law 853. She's an attorney. That's about illegal evictions

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

- 2 from someone's residence after they sign a lease.
- 3 I've been in housing court. Guess what: In the
- 4 Bronx, the judge wouldn't let me present my
- 5 counterclaims or defenses. So, guess what: I have
- 6 to go to appeals.

1

7

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

litigation.

Also, with regards to fair hearings, this hearing today is about public assistance benefits. So meaning if HRA denies an application, you go to OTDA

10 to appeal that. Guess what: OTDA isn't working

11 properly, meaning HRA has a legal duty to provide

12 discovery prior to those fair hearings for those

13 | hearings. It doesn't do that. It hasn't done it

14 with me. It hasn't done it with other people.

People testified to you earlier today who are attorneys. They walked out of this room. They basically talked to you about the fact that HRA won't provide discovery material, even for federal court

So bottom line is they're not the only ones with litigation against HRA. They're not the only ones with litigation against the city. I have litigation, and I'm moving for sanctions this week.

Also, with regards to today's testimony by me for this hearing, you have a reporter over there. She

COMMITTEE ON GENERAL WELFARE

was in the room earlier. I tried to prepare my
testimony I was in the room. The security person
over there told me I couldn't charge my laptop to,
you know, prepare my testimony better.

So why is it that someone in this room can charge their laptop over there? But if I'm sitting at this table testifying to you, I can't simply plug in my laptop?

Thanks.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON AYALA: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony.

COUNSEL: Thank you both for your testimony. At this point, we have heard from everyone who has signed up to testify. If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify whether in person or via Zoom, if in person, please visit the sergeant's table and complete a witness slip now. If we inadvertently missed anyone on Zoom who would like to testify virtually, please use the raise hand function in Zoom and I'll call on you in the order of hands raised.

Seeing no one else I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by committee staff.

Oh, we do have one more person who is signing up
to testify.

Alex Malescio from Urban Upbound.

You may begin.

2.2

2.3

Good afternoon, Deputy Speaker Allah. My name is Alex Malescio, and I'm here today to express Urban Upbound's support of Intro 910 as well as to share the experiences of Urban Upbound's staff, who on a daily basis, submit public benefits applications for New Yorkers in need.

At Urban Bpbound our mission is to provide lower income New Yorkers and public housing residents with the tools to overcome the barriers they face to professional, financial, and personal development, with the ultimate goal of helping them break cycles of poverty. These barriers can take on many forms, such as the lack of access to affordable childcare, or debt and low credit scores brought on by predatory lending institutions.

Today, I'm here to highlight barriers created by the lengthy and onerous process of applying for public benefits. At Urban Upbound, we regularly work with New Yorkers who are facing food insecurity by screening them for SNAP eligibility, and assisting

2.2

2.3

them with applications and recertifications. For our clients who are in crisis mode, the thought of navigating the application can be overwhelming, and past negative experiences with government institutions can leave a lasting and discouraging effects. For these New Yorkers public benefits serve as a lifeline. Moreover, for many of our clients, public benefits have a stabilizing effect that allows them to work with our counselors on other pressing matters, such as employment assistance, affordable

It is for these reasons that public benefits screening application and recertification processes should be as quick, painless, and efficient as possible.

housing access, and financial planning.

Access NYC is a useful tool because of its ability to consolidate the screening process for several public benefits. Application processes however, are still fragmented by agency. Urban Upbound is encouraged that the sponsors of Intro 910 and the Committee on General Welfare are discussing the feasibility of the consolidated or universal benefits application process.

I will close with an example that illustrates the

2.2

2.3

3 positive effects that a universal benefits

4 application could have.

At Urban Upbound, our clients, many of whom are hesitant to apply for SNAP benefits to begin with, often become more encouraged when they learn that by using the same documentation, they can also apply for a Fair Fares MetroCard, and they can do so using the same online portal through which they applied for SNAP. Unsurprisingly, SNAP and Fair Fares, both of which live on Access HRA are two of the most popular benefits amongst our clients.

Thank you for hearing my testimony today.

COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. And now, seeing no one else registered or signed up to testify. I'd like to note that written testimony, which will reviewed in full by committee staff may be submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by e-mailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.

Deputy Speaker Ayala, we have concluded public testimony for this hearing.

Thank you and with that This hearing is adjourned [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 09/30/2023