CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS, PUBLIC SITING & MARITIME USES

----X

March 15, 2011 Start: 11:16 am Recess: 12:04 pm

HELD AT: Committee Room - 16<sup>th</sup> Floor

250 Broadway

B E F O R E:

BRAD LANDER Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Maria del Carmen Arroyo

Mathieu Eugene

Daniel J. Halloran III

Rosie Mendez Annabel Palma

Domenic M. Recchia, Jr.

James Sanders, Jr. Jumaane D. Williams

## APPEARANCES

Jennie Fernandez

Director of Intergovernmental & Community Relations Landmarks Preservation Commission

Aileen Gorsuch Planning & Advocacy Summer Associate Municipal Arts Society

Gregory Shaw, Esq.
Principal Attorney for Real Estate
New York City School Construction Authority

Kenrick Ou Director of Real Estate New York City School Construction Authority

2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Are we ready?
3	Super, thank you. Good morning, this meeting of
4	the I turned it on, it's on. Good morning, this
5	meeting of the City Council Planned Use
6	Subcommittee on Landmarks, Public Siting and
7	Maritime Uses is called to order. I'm Council
8	Member Brad Lander, we're joined today by Council
9	Member Annabel Palma, Council Member Rosie Mendez,
10	Council Member James Sanders, all members of the
11	Committee, and we're pleased to be joined today by
12	Council Member Domenic Recchia. For anyone who is
13	here for the Alderbrook House, Land Use 335,
14	that's being deferred to our next meeting,
15	probably in the first week of April. But we have
16	another five items on the agenda. Since we have a
17	Council member with us, we're going to go slightly
18	out of sequence, and we're going to begin with
19	Land Use #336, the Coney Island Theatre, also
20	known as the Shore Theatre, 20115315. And to
21	begin, we'll ask Jennie Fernandez from the
22	Landmarks Preservation Commission to now present
23	it to us.
24	MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank
25	you, Chair Lander and members of the Landmarks

Subcommittee. And thanks to Council Member
Domenic Recchia for being here today, of course.
Good morning, my name is Jennie Fernandez,
Director of Intergovernmental and Community
Relations for the Landmarks Preservation
Commission. I'm here today to testify on the
Commission's designation of the Coney Island
Theatre, later Shore Theatre, in Brooklyn. On
March 23 <sup>rd</sup> , 2010, the Landmarks Preservation
Commission held a public hearing on the proposed
designation as a landmark of the former Coney
Island Theatre building, later the Shore Theatre,
at 1301 Surf Avenue in Brooklyn. There were nine
speakers in favor of designation, including
representatives of Council Member Recchia, the
Historic Districts Council, the Municipal Arts
Society, the Landmarks Conservancy, Coney Island,
USA, Save Coney Island, and the Coney Island
History Project. LPC met with the owner, who
chose not to attend the public hearing. December
$14^{\mathrm{th}}$ , 2010, the Commission voted to designate the
building a New York City Individual Landmark. The
seven-story theatre and office building, recently
known as the Shore Theatre, originally known as

the Coney Island Theatre, is one of the largest
and most substantial structures on Coney Island in
Brooklyn. Constructed in 1925, it represented the
optimistic attitude of that period for the
successful year-round development of Coney Island
as a premier entertainment district. When the
city constructed the boardwalk and extended subway
service to Stillwell Avenue, private developers
built enclosed amusement parks, restaurants and
hotels. The Coney Island Theatre was part of this
redevelopment effort and featured live
performances, as well as motion picture screening.
Designed by leading theater architects Reilly &
Hall, the neo-renaissance-revival-style building
was constructed and owned by the Channing
Construction Company, and leased to the prominent
Loew's Theater chain. Faced with brick and
terracotta, and highlighted by stone and
terracotta details, this structure presents a
grand and substantial counterpoint to Coney
Island's more modest one- and two-story buildings.
The Shore Theatre Building is a remarkably intact
survivor of the early 20 <sup>th</sup> century period when
Coney Island was New York City's playground, and

2	was striving to become a year-round entertainment
3	district for the entire city. The Commission
4	urges you to affirm this designation.
5	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
6	much, Ms. Fernandez. Council Member Recchia, do
7	you have questions or comments?
8	COUNCIL MEMBER RECCHIA, JR.: No, I
9	just want to thank you for supporting this, and
10	thank the Commissioner. I strongly urge all my
11	colleagues to vote aye on this, this is something
12	the community has been waiting for a long time.
13	Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Brad Lander,
14	for your support on this.
15	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very
16	much. Also, as a Coney Island enthusiast and
17	devotee, I'm thrilled that we have this before us
18	today, and do other members of the Committee have
19	questions?
20	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Just
21	one. Does anyone have a picture? I have just one
22	question, does anyone have a picture of this
23	theater that I'm going to see or not see?
24	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: No, it's a
25	fair point.

2	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Is
3	there any counters, anybody against this?
4	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So, no one
5	testified. No one testified at the public hearing
6	in opposition, and the owner did not submit
7	testimony, you know, any official record of their
8	opposition. It's not a secret, and I think in the
9	media there's been some allusions to their
10	opposition, but they have not presented it to us
11	for the public record, either at the public
12	hearing or to us in response to our outreach to
13	the owners. That said, I agree with you that we
14	should not vote, designate things until we've
15	looked at the packet. So the packet is coming and
16	before we have the vote, we'll have some time to
17	look it over.
18	MS. FERNANDEZ: Chair Lander, if
19	you permit me, I have a small photograph that I
20	can add.
21	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, that's
22	great.
23	MS. FERNANDEZ: Share.
24	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Super. We do
25	have one person signed up to testify, so why don't

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we Ms. Fernandez will stay in the room with us,
and if we have questions, we can come back to her
and ask them. And in the meantime, I'll invite
Aileen Gobsuch? Gorsuch, I apologize, from the
Municipal Arts Society. You can go ahead and
begin when you're ready, just please state your
name first.

MS. GORSUCH: All right. My name is Aileen Gorsuch, I'm speaking on behalf of the Municipal Arts Society in support of the designation of the Shore Theatre in Coney Island. The Municipal Arts Society is a private non-profit membership organization that fights for intelligent urban planning, design and preservation through education, dialogue and advocacy. MAS's subcommittee upholds the LPC's designation of this striking building located at the gateway of Coney Island's amusement area. MAS has long advocated for the renewal of Coney Island, and the Shore Theatre represents an opportunity for reinvigoration of a much-needed performance venue for the area. The landmark designation of the entirety of this building, including both the palazzo-style front and the

unadorned theater box is a critical step in the	
renewal of Coney Island. Built in 1925 to the	
design of theater architects Reilly & Hall, the	
Shore Theatre is one of the few surviving	
buildings in what was once America's, and perhaps	;
the world's, greatest amusement destination.	
Originally developed as the Coney Island Theatre,	
as evidenced in the stone relief above the	
entrance on Surf Avenue, it soon came under the	
management of Loew's, and was thus renamed Loew's	;
Coney Island. At the time of its construction th	ıe
theater represented optimism for the future of	
Coney Island at the dawn of the nickel empire, so	)
named because in the 1920's and '30's it cost five	re
cents to ride the subway to Coney and five cents	
was the cost of all the items on Nathan's menu.	
In contrast to Coney Island's predominant	
landscape of small-scale plaster and wood	
structures, many of which were frequently lost to	)
fire, the Shore Theatre was tall, solid, fireprod	f
and a permanent fixture in the Coney Island	
skyline. With an auditorium seating approximatel	У
2,500 patrons, the Shore Theatre was designed for	•
both live performances and movie projection.	

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Coney Island sideshow and freak show traditions were alive at the Shore Theatre with acts like the conjoined Hilton twins. Other well-known acts to have reportedly graced the stage of Shore Theatre include Pegleg Bates, the one-legged dancer, who eventually became the first African-American owner of a Catskills resort, and Al Jolson, star of The Jazz Singer. The Channing Construction Company combined the theater with several stories of office space intended for entertainment industry, a sector that the Coney Island Chamber of Commerce hoped would flourish in the amusement area. Channing Company's solid construction techniques have paid off for the Shore Theatre. Despite decades of vacancy, the theater's exterior remains intact 85 years after its completion. The Shore Theatre merits designation for both its architectural significance and for the significant historical and cultural role it plays in Coney Island's development. We hope that the protection of this combined office-theater building is a first step in a plan to reinvigorate it as a new exciting venue for Coney Island. Thank you for your attention.

2	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very
3	much, Ms. Gorsuch, and I also want to thank the
4	Municipal Arts Society for your broader work to
5	help preserve what's wonderful about Coney Island
6	for the future. I want to note for my colleagues,
7	we did have a couple of articles that I'll pass
8	around about the current status of efforts, you
9	know, the price at which the owner has said it's
10	up for sale. You know, there's a range of people
11	I think working to make sure this property can not
12	only be landmarked and preserved, but be made a
13	real part of Coney Island's revitalization that
14	Council Member Recchia has been central to those
15	efforts. So if you're interested, I'll pass those
16	articles around. Do we have questions for Ms.
17	Gorsuch?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Not
19	for her, just two technical questions.
20	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Okay, will Ms.
21	Fernandez come back? Thank you.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
23	Chair?
24	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Yes, please.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: What

2	is the owner's official what's his official
3	position? He doesn't want this designation?
4	MS. FERNANDEZ: He's just in … he
5	never testified at the hearing, he didn't make a
6	public position to the Commission. But we did
7	have one meeting with him, we had sent out, excuse
8	me, five outreach letters to the owner and has
9	stated opposition to our LPC staff.
10	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Any
11	reason for the opposition?
12	MS. FERNANDEZ: I don't really
13	know, I'm not going to speculate, but I am
14	assuming, you know, there's a lot of development
15	activity in the area that may have something to do
16	with it.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Okay.
18	Here's a more technical point. Since the majority
19	of the years of this theater it was the Coney
20	Island or some variation theater, and only in the
21	last couple of years was it the Shore, why don't
22	we, is it possible to have it named the Coney
23	Island Theatre?
24	MS. FERNANDEZ: For purposes of the
25	designation, we use the historic name, which is

2	the Coney Island Theatre, but we note as part of
3	the name, the title of that name, that it's later
4	the Shore Theatre, just so that it is identified
5	appropriately, but certainly we do use the
6	historic name for the designation, so the Coney
7	Island Theatre is the official name for the
8	designation.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: I'm
10	not sure if I'm following that. So when we
11	designate it, it will be designated as the Coney
12	Island Theatre.
13	MS. FERNANDEZ: It's the Coney
14	Island, later Shore, Theatre building.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:
16	Awkward, but perhaps.
17	MS. FERNANDEZ: Duly noted.
18	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We don't
19	regulate the ability of future owners, though, to
20	change the name and call it whatever they want.
21	MS. FERNANDEZ: Oh, absolutely,
22	yes.
23	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Right, we just
24	regulate their, you know, their requirements to
25	maintain the building.

25

2	MS. FERNANDEZ: Yes, absolutely.
3	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And you know,
4	due to its historic character.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Thank
6	you, sir.
7	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: We've been
8	joined by Council Members Maria del Carmen Arroyo
9	and Dan Halloran, welcome. Any other questions on
10	the Coney Island, formerly, later, Shore Theatre?
11	All right, we'll close the public hearing on this
12	item. Thank you very much. Ms. Fernandez, you
13	can stick around, I think we're going to and we
14	do now have the paperwork with many more pictures
15	and the whole history here as well, so members can
16	take a look at that. All right, and now we'll
17	resume with the rest of the agenda. The next item
18	is Land Use 334, 500 Fifth Avenue, which is in the
19	Speaker's district.
20	MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Thank
21	you, Chair Lander, once again my name is Jennie
22	Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental &
23	Community Relations for the Landmarks Preservation

Commission. I'm here today to testify on the

Commission's designation of the 500 Fifth Avenue

Building in Manhattan. On October 26 <sup>th</sup> , 2010, the	
Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public	
hearing on the proposed designation of the 500	
Fifth Avenue Building. Two people spoke in favor	
of designation, including representatives of	
Assembly Member Richard Gottfried and the Historic	C
Districts Council. Representatives of the owner	
of the 500 Fifth Avenue Building stated at the	
time of the hearing that they had no position	
either for or against designation, and requested	
that the hearing period be extended by 60 days.	
The public hearing was continued on December $14^{\mathrm{th}}$ ,	
2010, and the representative of the owner of the	
500 Fifth Avenue Building testified that he	
thanked the staff for their time and dialogue and	
looked forward to working with LPC. On December	
$14^{\mathrm{th}}$ , 2010, the Commission voted to designate the	
building a New York City individual landmark.	
Built in 1929-31, 500 Fifth Avenue Building is a	
distinctive soaring 59-story art deco skyscraper,	
located at the northwest corner of $42^{nd}$ Street and	
Fifth Avenue. Located in two zoning districts	
with setback requirements, it is asymmetrically	
massed, with setbacks at different floors for each	h

of the building's two facades. Sheathed in
limestone terracotta and buff brick, the facades
are enriched with carefully-scaled art deco
motifs, which accentuate the building's sculptural
massing and emphasize its verticality. When it
opened in March, 1931, 500 Fifth Avenue was the
crowning achievement of real estate developer
Walter Salmon, who was responsible for rebuilding
the north side of West $42^{\rm nd}$ Street between Fifth
and Sixth Avenues in the first decades of the $20^{\rm th}$
century. Having also designed the Empire State
Building, Shreve, Lamb & Harmon was one of the
leading architectural firms in the country,
specializing in skyscraper design. 500 Fifth
Avenue is still used today as an office building
with ground-level detail. The Commission urges
you to affirm this designation. I'd also like to
add that the representatives of the owner at the
hearing did express some concern with maintenance
issues, as a result of landmark designation.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thanks very
much, we obviously are especially enthusiastic to

hear stories where owners comment or are in

dialogue with you and work together and indicate

2.

they understand their stewardship role of
wonderful properties like this one. Are there any
questions from the Committee on 500 Fifth Avenue?
All right, we have no one signed up to testify.
If you're here to testify on 500 Fifth Avenue,
please speak now, otherwise we'll close the
hearing on that item, thank you, and move to the
last of the landmark items that we're considering
today. We do have two public sitings. And the
third item is Land Use 337, Rogers, Peet & Co.
Building, 20115316, in Council Member Chin's
district. And she is in support of the
designation.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair
Lander and members of the Committee, my name is
Jennie Fernandez, Director of Intergovernmental &
Community Relations for Landmarks Preservation
Commission. I'm here today to testify on the
Commission's designation of the Rogers, Peet & Co.
Building in Manhattan. On June 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2010, the
Landmarks Preservation Commission held a public
hearing on the proposed designation as a landmark
of the Rogers, Peet & Co. Building. Two people
spoke in favor of designation, including a

representative of the Historic Districts Council.
A representative of the co-op stated that their
feeling towards designation was very positive, but
had concerns regarding the effect of maintenance
issues. On December $14^{\rm th}$ , 2010, the Commission
voted to designate the building a New York City
individual landmark. The Rogers, Peet & Co.
Building is an eight-story neo-renaissance-style
commercial and office building designed by the
firm John B. Snook and Sons. Constructed in 1899-
1900 for clergyman Eugene A. Hoffman, the building
was occupied by Rogers, Peet & Co., a well-known
retailer of men's and boys' clothing for a period
of more than 70 years. Rogers, Peet & Co.
Building is an early example of a steel-skeleton-
frame skyscraper, influenced by the Chicago School
of Architects, and stands out among a group of
important early skyscrapers located in the
vicinity of City Hall, New York's original
skyscraper district, for its clear articulation of
a structural grid and restrained use of stylized
classical ornament. Constructed using the latest
in fireproofing technologies, the building
represents a culmination of architect John B.

2 Snooks' 64-year career of designing and building 3 commercial structures. The Commissioner urges you 4 to affirm this designation.

much. We've been joined by Council Member
Williams, good morning. Any questions for Ms.
Fernandez on the Rogers, Peet & Co. Building? All
right, we also have no one signed up to testify on
this matter, so if you're here on ... we've been
joined by Council Member Eugene. Anyone who is
here to testify on Rogers, Peet & Co., please
speak up now. If not, we'll go ahead and close
the public hearing on this item, and thank Ms.
Fernandez for her testimony.

MS. FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right, all right, I don't know what's going on down at that end of the table. We'll ... all right, thank you, Ms. Fernandez. We will now move on to the two schools, the public sitings for two schools that are on the calendar. As we've just been joined by Council Member Eugene, we'll reverse the order of these two as well, and we'll begin with Land Use #339, the proposed PSIS 338, a 735-seat primary

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and intermediate school in Council Member Eugene's

district, located at 510 Coney Island Avenue, and

we'll ask the School Construction Authority to

come up and present it.

MR. SHAW: Good morning, Chairman Lander and Council Members, my name is Gregory Shaw, I'm principal attorney for real estate for the New York City School Construction Authority, and to my immediate left is Kenrick Ou, Director of Real Estate for the New York City School Construction Authority. Thank you for holding this hearing today, the New York City School Construction Authority has undertaken the site selection process for the proposed 750-seat primary and intermediate public school facility that will be located in tax block 5342, lot 6, 8, 10, 17, 19, 26, 28 and 30, located along Coney Island Avenue, between Turner and Hinckley Place in the Prospect Park section of the Borough of Brooklyn. The propose school site is also located in Community School District #22, and Brooklyn Community Board #12. The project site contains a total of approximately 44,783 square feet of gross lot area. The privately-owned site is occupied by

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

two two-story semi-attached residential buildings, a used-car lot, an auto ... excuse automotive repair facility, a vacant three-story multi-family, a vacant two-story two-family residence and two vacant lots. Under the proposed plan, the SCA would acquire the lots and construct the proposed public school facility. The notice of filing for the site plan was published in the New York Post and the City Record on October 19th, 2010. Brooklyn Community Board #12 was also notified of the site plan on that date, and was asked to hold a public hearing. Community Board #12 held this public hearing on the site on November 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2010, and submitted written comments against the site plan. The City Planning Commission was also notified of the site plan on October 19th, and it recommended in favor of the plan. The SCA has considered all comments received on the proposed site plan and affirms it pursuant to section 1731 of the Public Authorities law. In accordance with section 1732 of the Public Authorities law, the SCA submitted the proposed site plan to the Mayor and the City Council on March  $10^{\rm th}$ , 2011. We look to your Subcommittee's favorable consideration of

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the proposed site plan, and we are prepared to

answer any questions that you might have. Thank

you.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. We have been joined by Council Member

Eugene, whose district the proposed school is in, so I'd like to offer Dr. Eugene the opportunity to ask some questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Thank you, and thank you very much to all of you members of the panel, thank you. You know, the addition of the new school in the district would be an asset to children, parents and families living in the community. With a capacity of 735 students, the proposed school will help alleviate issues of overcrowding within the area, and also serve as space available for community-based organizations and other groups. I am pleased to express my strong support for the proposal, and the positive impact it will have on the community. However, while I'm grateful for the SCA's efforts in identifying the site, I also want to insure you fully reach out to the parents, families and the

members of the community who may be affected by
the proposed school. It is my understanding that
SCA has released its environmental review of the
site and determined the site is safe for children,
but this information needs to be available to
families and posted online if possible. As a
concern (inaudible) the proposal, I believe it is
crucial that the SCA listen closely to concerns
from members of the community, establish an open
dialogue with parents and families, and create a
system where the residents of my district can give
input on the construction and design of the
building, know the status of the project, and
raise any questions of issues that they may have.
The school is being built for the community, and I
believe the community share in the school should
be entirely involved and play a key role in the
development of the school. As you know, the
proposed school site would be located in Community
Board #12, but it will mostly serve residents of
Community Board #14, where a large portion of the
school district 22 lies. During the course of the
site development, I ask that you please work
collaboratively with the community board, the

2.

neighborhood and civic associations where the
school is going to be built. One of the questions
that, I don't know, the first question I want to
ask you, will you please explain to me what was
your the steps you went through to identify
exactly the site in Coney Island as the site
appropriate for the school, not another site?

MR. SHAW: I'm going to let Kenrick
Ou, the Director of Real Estate, answer that
question, if you don't mind, Councilman.

MR. OU: So, Council Member, the process that we went through in the case of this site is very similar to the process that we go through for all of the sites that are needed in the capital plan. A need is identified first, on the basis of the capital plan, which does the demographics, which involves the demographic and enrollment analyses. Once that need is identified, we engage our real estate consultants to do a search of available properties, those would be properties that are on the market, as well as properties that may be on the market that adjoin properties that are underutilized. For example, there may be one vacant lot that's on the

market that is next to a business that is also for
sale. So that process through the brokers
basically results in a first cut of potential
locations that are located within the area of
need. Once that set of potential locations has
been identified, we further review those locations
with an eye towards issues like size of the
property, as compared to the size of the need, in
terms of the number of seats that are needed. And
we also consult with our colleagues in the
Department of Education's Portfolio Office,
because they are undertaking work within the
community with existing schools and existing
school buildings, to try and provide additional
options. As a result of all of these processes,
we identified that this particular location we
believed it was appropriate for the size of the
need. Some of the parcels in this assemblage were
on the market, and we were able to negotiate
contracts to purchase those parcels, and that's
why we did advance it to final consideration and
approval by the Mayor and the City Council.
COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Could you

mention other sites that you had on your list?

Τ.	SUBCOMMITTEE ON HANDMAKKS 2
2	MR. OU: Sure.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: You know,
4	while you were going through the process?
5	MR. OU: Unfortunately I neglected
6	to bring that list with me, but within the package
7	of materials that we had submitted to the
8	community board, and as part of the public review
9	process, and I think it's also available on our
10	website, was a list of alternate sites. We had
11	looked at other sites, actually on Coney Island
12	Avenue, a little bit further to the south, which,
13	you know, I personally had visited with our real
14	estate consultants to take a look at some of the
15	potential options. And this, by far, was the
16	largest of the sites that we saw, and the one that
17	we thought could best support the approximately
18	740 student enrollment, which translates into a
19	relatively-large building.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: You
21	mentioned that you visited sites on Coney Island
22	Avenue, right?
23	MR. OU: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: The sites

that you had visited, they are located only on

Coney Island Aver	nue.	:
-------------------	------	---

MR. OU: Those are some of the sites that we visited. We also visited other sites, and as I said, I neglected to bring that list with me. We can certainly, and we will, share it with you again, but it is available on our website also. I will, as soon as we get back to the office, I will send over that list.

an idea how the parking sites are going to be affected in the neighborhood, after the construction of this school?

MR. OU: The parking?

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: The

parking.

MR. OU: Yeah, well the ... as part of our environmental assessment, we have to undertake a review of potential impacts to the neighborhood in a whole bunch of areas, one of those involves the availability of street parking. The ... we will, because the site, even though it is one of the larger sites that we've seen, is not large enough to accommodate both schoolyard, building and also accessory parking, we fully

expect that a number of the staff who were going
to drive would be looking for curbside, available
curbside spaces. So in order to address that, we
are committed to working with both the Department
of Transportation and the Department of
Sanitation, to the extent that it's feasible, to
review the curbside parking restrictions to
increase the supply. So that may mean, one option
would be to shift certain days or hours of the
curbside restrictions in order to increase that
supply, but I am sure that you and your colleagues
have other opportunities to work with DOT and the
Department of Sanitation, that is a process. They
will have to review a lot of the information, most
likely closer to when the school actually opens,
as opposed to right now, where what we have
available is really forecasts of what conditions
would be like in the future. But we are committed
to working with our colleagues in the other
agencies on those issues.
COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: In terms of

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: In terms of parking for the teachers, would you have some parking designed for the teachers inside the school?

2	MR.	OU:	No.
<i>/</i> .	14112	()()•	IN() -

3 COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: None at

4 all.

MR. OU: No, the site is about

44,000 square feet. That is sufficient in size to
accommodate the school building, which already is
a five-story school building, and a play yard that
we believe would be appropriately-sized for the
students. So there was not sufficient space to
also add staff parking.

questions, but I'm going to ask only one more, all right? In terms of equipment for the school, could you tell me what ... about it, the equipment, you know, that you're going to have, we're going to have available for the children in terms of, you know, is the school fully equipped with state-of-the-art computers, smart boards? What type of ... is that in your plan to put that?

MR. OU: Yes, the standards for new schools involve really the latest technologies.

So all classrooms in our new buildings will be equipped with smart boards, which are also interactive white boards. There will be wireless

throughout the building, and there will also be, I think, computers, at least a couple of computers, in every classroom. The standards, as you can imagine, keep evolving and getting better, but as of, you know, our standard right now does include those features.

you tell us about other activities that would be available to the children? We know that school, when we say education, it is not only about giving to the children an opportunity to receive knowledge about mathematics, science, chemistry and biology, but it is about creating a rounded individual, let's say, for example, sports, art and stuff like that. Would you have a gym inside the school? What can you tell us about, you know, extracurricular activities for the children?

MR. OU: For a building of this size, there absolutely would be a gym in this building. In terms of how the individual school would function, what kinds of partnerships they might have, what kinds of enrichment activities might be available, I'm going to be totally honest with you, I think it's a little bit too early to

say, because at this point there a lot of those
decisions are made at the individual school level
by the future principal, in consultation with that
school's community, and also in line with the
Department of Education's policies. And again,
we're talking about several years until this
building could actually be occupied. So the
facility itself is going to be state-of-the-art,
it's going to be fully air-conditioned, it's going
to be fully equipped with the latest technology.
I can tell you more about you know, share that
information with you. Unfortunately, I think it's
too early really for us, or even the Department of
Education, really, to speak to a lot of the
specifics. What I can tell you, though, is that
in consultation with my colleagues in the
Department of Education's Portfolio Office, I do
know that they have continued to have efforts as
they decide which school organizations end up
occupying buildings and which principals are
selected, that they do try and consult with the
community, with elected officials, as part of that
decision-making process.

COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: One of the

2.

problems that we have laced, and we elected
officials in our communities, the schools are not
available to the people in the community. You
know, there are very good not-for-profit
organizations, community-based organizations, they
are doing a good job, they are serving the people
in the community, but they don't have access to
our public schools. Is it in your plan to make a
plan of the, you know, the Board of Education, to
make this school available to the people in the
community?

MR. OU: Well, outside of school hours you mean, yes. Well, outside of school hours, the Department of Education's policy is pretty clear, it's established in the Chancellor's regulations, that there is an opportunity for community-based organizations, community groups, to request and apply for permit use of the facilities outside of school hours. The reason that there has to be a permit process is twofold, it's to manage the types of requests, there are some facilities in areas where there are a lot of different organizations that are interested. But the second piece is quite frankly just the issue

2	of having to maintain and operate the facility.						
3	The custodial staff will have to open the						
4	facility, there are other operating charges that						
5	have to be funded through this permit process.						
6	But the answer is, absolutely, these buildings are						
7	available through the permit process.						
8	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: Thank you						
9	very much.						
LO	MR. OU: Thank you.						
11	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: This is my						
12	last question, but I've got other questions, I						
13	would appreciate that if you could follow up, you						
L4	know, with my office for the other, you know,						
15	issues that I want to raise with you. Thank you						
L6	very much, Mr. Chair. Thank you so much.						
L7	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you,						
L8	Council Member. I do have a couple of follow-up						
L9	questions. So one is just that I want to ask that						
20	you'll agree to continue to work with the Council						
21	Member as this goes through the process and work						
22	with him on his issues?						
23	MR. OU: Yes.						
24	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very						
25	much.						

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. OU: Absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: On what you just said, although this is not the hearing for it today, I do want to flag that I have some concerns about the transparency and affordability process, this is really not an SCA issue, it's a DOE issue, for not-for-profit and community organizations to get permits, and I think we've actually, the Chancellor's req keeps being changed and it is not entirely clear what principals are supposed to pay for from their own budget and what community groups are supposed to pay for, so I do think that's an area that we could do better on at a time when real estate prices are high, and we certainly need money in the school system, but we also need affordable spaces in our community, so that's not particularly relevant to the terms of this school. I did note in the EIS, and I just think it's good for the Council to make sure we have you guys speak to it on the record, that there were some solvents found in the soil, and that you have a plan for addressing that as part of construction. But since obviously issues of environmental, you know, challenges we're facing

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much.

in the schools are much in the news these days, I feel like that's part of the public record, we should make sure we hear from you, you know, that ... what the plan is to address it, so.

MR. SHAW: Yes, the ... thanks, Chairman Lander, yes. And you will see in the packet that we provided that there were some hazardous materials that were determined to be onsite as part of the phase two examination, site investigation, some volatile compounds were found in the soil. It is the plan to excavate that during construction, excavate that soil and remove it appropriately, under, you know, EPA, the EPA and DEC regulations and requirements, and to replace it with clean soil. In addition, as part of just all of our new construction, we do put in a soil vapor and a sub-slab pressurization system to make sure that no gases go into the school, and we are not planning on any open areas, in terms of any soil being available to the kids. All of it will be clean, those areas that are not covered, but just about the whole site will be covered.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER:

Thank you very

б

2 MR. SHAW: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And my last question relates to the school zoning issues, and I've had the opportunity to speak with you about this, and as Council Member Eugene talked about, this is sort of a school that's right on a boundary, it's on the boundary between his district and my district, on the boundary between Community Board #12 and Community Board #14, and on the boundary between Community School District #20 and District #15, and so that presents a few issues, partly the school itself is actually located in District #15, though it is designed to serve an unmet need in District #20 and it's in a sensible place to- -

MR. OU: (Interposing) It's actually #22.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Twenty two,
excuse me, I apologize. So ... so, you know, I
think that finding a school site anywhere is
challenging, and this is close enough to serve
that District #22 need, but it does raise some
issues that, and I know those have to be addressed
by portfolio as we move forward, but I do just

Office, and we've been informed, as a practical

matter, both ... assuming both this proposed school and also the site that we've proposed for Caton Avenue are approved, ultimately there will be zoning plans that will have to be adopted for each of those schools, which will be ... we, which will require engagement with the affected community education councils as well as the residents.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And then I guess I'll state for the record an option that I would like at least explored in that, is the possibility of the new Caton Avenue school, which is not worth talking about today, and PS 130 being thought about in some possible combination as a two-school K-to-8 campus, or something so that there's really good things happening in this part of the district, and I want to make sure that we achieve the zoning in a way that helps build, and I'm sure there will be other options to be explored by putting 130 and Caton Avenue together in some way, I think could ease some of those zoning tensions, so I just ask that that be explored as that process continues.

MR. OU: Thank you, we will also share that, we have and will continue to engage

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2 our colleagues on that issue.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Any other questions on the school in Council Member Eugene's district before we turn to the other one? Okay, thank you very much, we don't have anyone signed up to testify on that school, so unless anyone speaks up now, we will go ahead and close the hearing on that item, and we'll move to the final item. Thank you, Council Member Eugene, for joining us, we'll move to the final item on our calendar for today, Land Use #338, application #20115275, a proposed ... the proposed PSIS 314, a 757-seat school proposed in Queens, in Council Member Gennaro's district. Council Member Gennaro has asked me to convey that he is in favor of the proposal, and also apologizes for not being able to be here today. MR. SHAW: Again, good morning, Council ... excuse me, Chairman Lander and Council members, my name is Gregory Shaw, principal

Council ... excuse me, Chairman Lander and Council
members, my name is Gregory Shaw, principal
attorney for real estate for the New York City
School Construction Authority, and to my immediate
left is Kenrick Ou, Director of Real Estate. The
New York City School Construction Authority has

undertaken the site selection process for the
proposed 757-seat primary school facility that
will be located on tax block 9813, lot 33, located
in the southwest corner of Hillside Avenue and
164 <sup>th</sup> Street in the Jamaica section of Queens. The
proposed school site is also located in Community
School District #28 and Queens Community Board
#12. The project site contains a total of
approximately 60,300 square feet of lot area, the
site is improved with a vacant three-story
commercial building and paved parking lot. Under
the proposed plan, the SCA would demolish the
existing structure and construct the proposed
school. We have a contract of sale with the
private owner to purchase the property, contingent
on Mayor and Council approval of the site plan.
The notice of filing for the site plan was
published in the New York Post and the City Record
on October 28 <sup>th</sup> , 2010. Queens Community Board #12
was also notified of the site plan on that date
and was asked to hold a public hearing on the
proposed plan. Community Board #12 did not submit
written comments on the proposed site plan, the
City Planning Commission was also notified of the

б

site plan on October 28 <sup>th</sup> , and it recommended in
favor of the plan. The site excuse me, the SCA
considered all comments received under the
proposed site plan and affirms it pursuant to
section 1731 of the Public Authorities Law, in
accordance with section 1732 of the Public
Authorities Law, the SCA submitted the proposed
site plan to the Mayor and Council on March $10^{\rm th}$ ,
2011, we look forward to your Subcommittee's
favorable consideration of this proposed site
plan, and we are prepared to answer any questions
that you might have. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Any questions from Committee members, Council Member Williams?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Yes,
thank you for your testimony, thank you, Mr.
Chair. I just wanted to make sure I understood, I
heard you said the Community Board didn't, they
didn't respond, so I know there's a dash there.
The BP, why is there a dash there? And the CPC,
you said they approved, so why is there a dash
there? Okay.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: So the borough

2	president doesn't you know, it's not the ULURP.						
3	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.						
4	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: These public						
5	siting actions are prescribed by the charter but						
6	not through ULURP, so the borough president						
7	doesn't have the same sort of ULURP expectation of						
8	providing an opinion one way or the other. And I						
9	think the CPC action is it's a courtesy, there						
10	is a letter from Chair Burden in the package.						
11	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.						
12	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And so perhaps						
13	it should say						
14	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS:						
15	(Interposing) Okay.						
16	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: letter						
17	received from Chair Burden, that we have such a						
18	letter.						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.						
20	Thanks.						
21	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: And since I						
22	did it on the last one, I guess I should note on						
23	this one also that there are, you know, the						
24	environmental review identified some issues with						
25	the soil, and you're proposing the same vapor						

barrier that you discussed previously?

MR. SHAW: Yes, we're proposing the same as in the other school site to address the fill issues, also there's an underground storage tank, which we will address, in accordance with all environmental regulations in terms of the appropriate removal and to make sure that no remaining petroleum products remain there. There, of course there's asbestos in the building, and that will be addressed as well appropriately under the regulations, so, yes.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Thank you very much. Are there any other questions from the Committee? Seeing none, we have no one signed up to testify on this matter as well, and seeing no one jumping up and down, we will close the public hearing on this item as well. And I thank you gentlemen for your testimony. The new exercise regime of the Subcommittee. All right, that concludes-

 $\label{eq:council_member_sanders} \mbox{COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:} \quad \mbox{I}$  want a seat.

CHAIRPERSON LANDER: That concludes the ... and we're not being filmed today. Gale I'm

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON LANDMARKS 44						
2	sure would want us to be filmed if we're going to						
3	have any exercise.						
4	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.:						
5	Always be yourself.						
6	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: All right,						
7	that concludes the public hearing on all items on						
8	today's Subcommittee calendar, and so we will now						
9	move forward to vote on the again, we laid over						
10	one item, but on the remaining five, and the Chair						
11	asks our counsel to I recommend an aye vote on						
12	all those items, and ask our wonderful counsel to						
13	call the roll.						
14	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Carol Shine,						
15	counsel to the Committee. Chair Lander?						
16	CHAIRPERSON LANDER: Aye on all.						
17	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member						
18	Sanders.						
19	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS, JR.: Aye						
20	on all.						
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member						
22	Palma.						
23	COUNCIL MEMBER PALMA: Aye.						
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Council Member						
25	Arroyo.						

get their work done on time, especially amid					
budget cuts. Those are appropriate questions to					
ask at that budget hearing. And I'm pleased also					
to report that on April 12 <sup>th</sup> this Committee will					
be, I think, we don't have it locked in setting					
stone, but I think we'll be doing an oversight					
hearing on the public sitings piece of our work,					
and in particular on the city's fair-share rules					
which were adopted 20 years ago, and it's time to					
take a look and see are we actually achieving a					
fairer share of facilities as a result of the					
fair-share rules that we adopted, so that's part					
of what the Committee has oversight of, April $12^{\rm th}$ .					
I think we're going to flip with the UDAP					
Committee so we'll have time at 1:00 in the					
afternoon to take some testimony from members of					
the public as well, so that will be April $12^{\rm th}$ at					
1:00. Thank you very much to the members of the					
Committee and the hearing is adjourned.					

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

D.O. 0 Don's

Signature	(Curried )			
Date	 _March	28,	2011	