	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
1	OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 1
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK
3	X
4	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES
5	Of the
6	
7	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH
8	THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH, AND THE COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION,
9	RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS
10	July 12,2023
11	Start: 10:16 a.m.  Recess: 1:43 p.m.
12	
13	HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL
14	B E F O R E: Gale A. Brewer, Chairperson of Committee on
15	Oversight and Investigations
16	Lynn Schulman, Chairperson of Committee on Health
17	James Gennaro, Chairperson of Committee on
18	Environmental Protections,
19	Resiliency and Waterfronts
20	COUNCIL MEMBERS.
21	COUNCIL MEMBERS:  Jennifer Gutiérrez
22	Kamillah Hanks Robert F. Holden
23	Julie Menin Sandy Nurse
24	Lincoln Restler Mercedes Narcisse
25	Joann Ariola Nantasha Williams Diana Ayala

	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
1	OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE  COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2
2	COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)
3	Rafael Salamanca, Jr.
4	Shahana Hanif Oswald Feliz
5	Kalman Yeger
6	Shekar Krishnan
7	
8	
9	
LO	
11	
12	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
1	OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE  COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 3
2	APPEARANCES
3	Zachary Iscol Commissioner of New York City Emergency
4	Management
5	Corinne Schiff
6	Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Deputy Commissioner for Environmental Health
7	Beth DeFalco DEP Deputy Commissioner
8	
9	Jared Williamson Legal Intern from the Environmental Justice Initiative
10	Tool Kunfaman
11	Joel Kupferman Executive Director and Senior Attorney of the New York Environmental Law Initiative
12	
13	Michael O'Hora  Law Student at the Elizabeth Haub School of Law  at Pace University
14	Joy Amanda
15	Resident of Queens
16	Eunice Ko Deputy Director at the New York City
17	Environmental Justice Alliance
18	Janet Handal
19	President of the Waterside Tenants Association
20	Daniel Lynn Resident of Queens New York
21	Domina DeBrenda-Black
22	Margurile Chandler Member of the Medical Freedom Party
23	
24	Donna Ginell-Romo
25	Eric Rassi East 10 <sup>th</sup> street in Lower Manhattan

	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON
1	OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 4
2	APPEARANCES(CONTINUED)
3	Shanae
4	Tana Resident of Brooklyn
5	Miles Aiken Resident of Harlem, Rucker Park
7	Dr. Lucky Tran Scientist and Public Health Communicator who works at Columbia
9	Maranda Stinson Resident of Queens
10	Leslie Vasquez Clean Air Program Organizer at South Bronx Unite
12 13	Cynthia Norris Mother of two and a Resident of Brownsville, Brooklyn
14 15	Mbacke Thiam Housing and House Community Organizer at Center for Independence of the Disabled, CIDNY
16	Hayden Brackett
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning, this is a microphone check for the Committee on Environmental Protection jointly with Health, jointly with Oversight and Investigation. Today's date is July 12, 2023 located in the Chambers. Recording done by Layla Lynch.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Quite please. Shh, keep it on, keep it on. Thank you. Good morning and welcome to the New York City Hybrid Hearing on the Committee on Oversight and Investigation together with the Committee on Health and the Committee on Environmental Protection. At this time, please silence all electronic devices. There will be no eating and drinking in the Chambers. Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Good morning, I am [GAVEL] Gale Brewer, Chair of the City Councils

Committee on Oversight and Investigations. Today, we are holding a hearing to discuss a recent environmental and health crisis. It was likely just a preview of climate threats yet to come to our city and perhaps other places.

It was the early June Canadian wildfires and the impact on our air quality, which was terrible. When

2.2

2.3

discussing today.

Smoke descended on New York last month, New Yorkers
were shocked to see the sky blotted out and find the
air was dangerous to breath. They looked to state
and local leaders for guidance during the
unprecedented incident. However, to many people it
appeared that our local executives and agency chiefs
had little advice to offer on how to stay safe or aid
to provide. We seem the curb at least to some
people, I know that's what we're going to be

In fairness, this was an unprecedented challenges the city agencies had not ever confronted before. Some would say it was, however, foreseeable. For years, scientists have warned us that rising global temperatures would lead to changing weather patterns, climate disasters, direct harms to our health. And Mayoral Administrations over years have focused public health agencies on monitoring air quality, and we'll talk more about that.

Thoroughly June wildfire emergency was the first of its kind, but it didn't come out of nowhere. The Council wants to understand what the Administration knew about the threat posed by the smoke from the wildfires and when. We need to know how the Mayor

2.2

and the city agencies acted on the information and whether they took advantage of tools and expertise to meet the challenge as early as possible. And didn't know how this information was evaluated, what the response of the air quality emergency was to make sure that we have good information in the future.

We need to also understand how the

Administration plans to meet crises in the future.

This was the first of its kind but it won't be the

last. Threats to air quality are one of the one part

of our new environmental normal. We also have rising

sea levels as we know, heat, storms. We have to plan

for these threats. We have snow storms; we have all

kinds of irregular weather events. Like the June

smoke emergency, it's challenging and it's scary but

we have and we're capable of rising to the

challenges.

Before we begin I would like to thank the

Oversight and Investigations Committee Staff, Counsel

Nicole Catà, Policy Analyst Alex Yablon for all their

hard work, certainly members of the OID division

Director Aaron Mendelsohn, Deputy Director Meagan

Powers, Counsel Kevin Frick, Lead Investigator Zach

Meher and

2 Staff Sam Goldsmith and I'd like to now turn it over 3 to Health Chair Lynn Schulman.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you Chair Brewer.

Good morning everyone. I am Council Member Lynn

Schulman, Chair of the Committee on Health. I want

to thank all of you for joining us at today's joint

Oversight Hearing.

The purpose of today's hearing is to examine the city's response to the first air quality emergency in early June and to also discuss how the city can best respond to such emergencies, communicate effectively with the public and protect the most vulnerable New Yorkers going forward.

With wildfires proliferating around the globe, it's indisputable that very poor air quality from wildfire smoke can contribute to adverse health effects, even for those who are considered reasonably healthy. According to the CDC, breathing in wildfire smoke can have an immediate impact including coughing, trouble breathing normally, stinging eyes, headaches, fast heartbeat and chest pain. Older adults, pregnant people, children and those with preexisting respiratory and heart conditions may be even more likely to get sick from breathing in

wildfire smoke. According to data provided by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, asthma related emergency room visits increase during the first air quality emergency in early June. An increase not seen since April when tree pollen levels were at their highest.

As the Chair of the Council's Committee on Health, it is my responsibility to ensure that the well-being of all New Yorkers is sufficiently addressed and that New Yorkers are armed with the necessary information and tools to protect themselves during these types of emergencies. What we witnessed in early June was that dangerous air quality levels was unprecedented. Clearly, this is not something we have previously experienced and the city responded in the best way possible, given that the most reliable data that was available about air quality was limited.

With that said, we can certainly do better. Air quality emergencies appear to be a new norm for New York City and we must be prepared. Today, I'm looking forward to hearing from the Administration on the lessons we've learned from responding to this public health threat as well as from the public on

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

how we can best protect the health of all New Yorkers going forward.

I want to conclude by thanking the Committee

Staff on their work on this hearing, Committee

Counsel's Chris Pepe and Sara Sucher and Policy

Analyst Mahnoor Butt as well as my team Chief of

Staff Jonathan Boucher and Legislative Director Kevin

McAleer.

I will now turn it over to Chair Gennaro for his opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you very much Chair Schulman and Chair Brewer. It is a real delight to be able to Co-Chair this hearing with you. Thank you very much for having me.

Good morning, I am Jim Gennaro, Chair of the

Committee on Environmental Protection, Resiliency and

Waterfronts and we're here today as you know with the

Committee on Oversight and Investigations, the

Committee on Health examining the Administrations

response. The air quality emergencies that have

occurred this summer. The Canadian wildfires have

made it painfully clear that the city needs a robust

response plan for events such as these.

2.2

2 Much of my statement has already been said.

I'll try to cut it back. Fine particles that is particulate matter 2.5 microns or less will be called PM2.5. While the main pollutant in wildfire smoke impose the greatest health risk as the small size enables these particles to penetrate deep into the lungs and into the blood stream. Many studies have shown an association between exposure to particular pollution and severe health risks.

Also, volatile organic chemicals in wildfire smoke can interact with sunlight to form ozone. That's the phenomenon that's happening today when you have BOCs that mix in extreme heat and create smog or ozone, which is an irritant to the lung. Exposure to ozone can cause headaches, coughing, dry throat, shortness of breath, worsening asthma symptoms and fluid in the lungs, higher level of exposure leading to more severe symptoms, you get the idea.

Children are at greater risk from exposure to ozone because their lungs are still developing and they're more likely to be active outdoors when ozone levels are high, which increases their exposure.

They're also more likely to have results to have asthma.

New York City must warn residents prior to when

air quality is unhealthy, if that is predictable,

4 like it was today. When we know the temperature is

5 going to be x and like the surface conditions are y

6 and you add that and you have an ozone alert like we

7 | have today.

So, New York City must warn residents prior to when air quality isn't healthy. Ideally, this should take place several days in the case of the Canadian thing before there's likely to be an air quality emergency so the residents have sufficient time to plan according. We must also make sure that the city has a plan to distribute the necessary PPE efficiently and broadly particularly in the most vulnerable communities.

We know that climate change means more severe weather events will continue happening with increased frequency. Let this serve as a wakeup call in emergency preparedness planning is beyond the threats from hurricanes and sea level rise.

Before I turn it back over to the Chairs, I'd like to thank the great Committee Staff who have done great work over the years. To my right, we have Counsel Samara Swanston, she is terrific.

2

Legislative Analyst Claire, help me out there. MacLachlan, is that right? She is new right? Okay, she's new, very good. This is kind of an open thing. I just you know, you get what you get with Jim

Gennaro.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Uhm, Legislative Analyst Clair, oh, I just said her, Policy Analyst Ricky Chawla my buddy and Andrew Bourne and also my buddy Financial Analyst Andrew Lane-Lawless, third buddy and finally my Legislative Director the great Nabjot Kaur for all their hard I'd like to shout out to members of my Committee that are here with me, and we have Council Member Nurse. I would expect other members of the Committee will be joining us and it's also my understanding that I spoke to the DP Commissioner and he indicated that someone from DEP was going to be available to answer questions.

Okay, great, oh Beth, okay it is going to be Beth. That is great. Okay, with that, I'll turn it over to the two Chairs and once again, I'm very grateful to be part of this important hearing. you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. we're going to hear from Public Advocate Jumaane

2 Williams based on his report and opening statement.

3 | Thank you.

2.2

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you so much

Madam Chair. As mentioned, my name is Jumaane

Williams, Public Advocate of the City of New York.

I'd like to thank Chair Schulman, Brewer and Gennaro

and the members of the Committees on Health,

Oversight and Investigations and Environmental

Protection, Resiliency and Waterfronts for holding

and supporting the hearing, also the Commissioner and

Administration for being here.

Just four weeks ago, our city faced an unprecedented air quality crisis when smoke from over 400 wildfires in Canada were pushed into our city. Unlike our west coast sister cities, who routinely deal with wildfire smoke and its harmful effects due to drought and long wildfire seasons. New York was not prepared for the poor air quality that arose during the week of June 4<sup>th</sup>.

The COVID-19 pandemic should have prepared us in delivering speedy and accurate communication around this issue, instead, there seems to be failures to respond to this event and I think that was not just

15

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the city level but on all levels of government, state and federal.

Over the past few weeks, my office has been corresponding with officials from the West Coast, municipalities like Seattle, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Santa Barbara, and San Diego, some who may actually be viewing this remotely. Gathering best practices and resources on how New York City can best respond to this crisis when it arises in the future. As we've seen over the past, these past few weeks, with the main shift of the wind, wildfire smoke has the ability to impact New Yorkers daily life and we must be prepared to meet those instances if they arise. From these conversations, we compile a list of recommendations, which can be read in our report Orange Sky Red Alert, that we urge our city and state partners to implement in order to proactively respond to the air quality issues as they arise.

This report also finds shortcomings in the city's response to hear quality emergency and particularly the lack of speed and scope of public information system and has mitigation efforts. As we've seen climate change is rapidly reshaping natural hazards at an alarming rate of pace and it

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

will not wait for us to be prepared but is no longer enough to reflect and develop plans for what we've already encountered thus far. We must also anticipate and prepare for the unforeseen in order to keep our city safe and healthy.

I do want to say, notwithstanding the Mayor's comments that folks are criticizing just to criticizing, nothing can be further from the truth. It is part of my job to make sure that we review and look at what the city is doing and that we can do things better. I also just want to just put out there the same that everyone is saying, I don't think there's anyway any of us could have been prepared for a sky on fire and the level of how poorly the air was at that moment and time. What I have focused on is preparation, information, and communication and it does seem that we're not prepared to communicate as effectively and accurately as we could have.

I noticed in the Op Ed that information was sent out there. I think that is true. I just want to be clear as we did notify NYC is not the most and effective way to put information out there. When the Yankee came happened the day before, we had reached levels of 200, and so, they should have been more

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 17
urgent and effective way to let people know how bad
the air quality was at that time. When it is 200, it
is dangerous and starting to be dangerous for members
of the public, not just those who have underlying
conditions.

During COVID we saw press conferences daily that helped us back up our communications. I think had the Mayor permission other than a press conference sooner and really lifted and dug into how back the air quality might be. We're in a better space for people to understand what to do and when the sky caught on fire, even though we may not have been able to prepare for that.

So, thank you so much for this opportunity and thank you to the Council for having this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Other Council Members here, Council Member Narcisse,

Council Member Ariola, Council Member Williams, and on Zoom Council Member Holden, Ayala and Salamanca.

And now, we'll have swearing in.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Brewer. We will now hear testimony from the Administration. We will hear from Emergency Management Commissioner Zachary Iscol, DOHMH Deputy Commissioner for

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 18 Environmental Health Corinne Schiff and DEP Deputy Commissioner Beth DeFalco.

Before we begin I will administer the affirmation. Panelists, please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

PANEL: I do.

2.2

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL:} \quad \mbox{Thank you, you may begin} \\ \mbox{when ready.}$ 

Council. I am Zach Iscol, Commissioner of New York
City Emergency Management and I am here to discuss
the air quality impacts to New York City during the
first week of June 2023 as well as subsequent air
quality events that we expect to continue into the
future. I am joined today by New York City
Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Deputy
Commissioner for Environmental Health Corinne Schiff
and DEP, Department of Environmental Protection
Commissioner for Public Affairs Beth DeFalco.

Before we dove into the specifics, I would like to take a moment to acknowledge the broader environmental challenges that our nation is currently

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

facing and grappling. I the South West, an intense heat wave is testing the resilience of communities, the North East is witnessing extreme rainfall events. These conditions are not isolated but part of the larger climate issues we must face and address. In the midst of these extreme weather events, I want to profoundly express my deepest gratitude to the first responders, public servants and all of those who are meeting these challenges head on. Their tireless efforts in these times exemplify the best of human spirit and determination and I am so grateful that people like that exist.

In the midst of these extreme weather events, excuse me, now, let's move on to our main discussion today about the air quality impacts in New York City and how we can mitigate and adapt to these conditions for the welfare of all New Yorkers and the communities that they are part of.

As climate change unfolds in real time,
monitoring the air quality index is and will remain
part of our new normal. This became jarringly
obvious when orange smog from the Canadian wildfires
blanketed our city last month. The good news is, if
New Yorkers take some simple precautions, we can help

2 prevent or limit the health impacts of these air

3 quality events, but only if we work together.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Our city's response to the wildfire serves as a good blueprint for future events. About a week before the air pollution from Canada's wildfires began permeating the five boroughs. Public messaging about the incoming hazards and guidance for protecting oneself began. Immediately after, hundreds of thousands of masks were distributed to fire houses and police precincts across the city where they remain available to all New Yorkers today. Hospital visits and EMS response times were closely monitored. Ferry schedules were adjusted, outdoor events and activities were modified and we coordinated closely with the Department of Health, Department of Social Services, Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities, the Mayor's Office for Immigrant Affairs, the Department for the Aging and others to ensure that people most at risk from our elderly to delivery workers and people with special needs had the information and resources that they needed to stay safe.

Throughout it all, essential services like Sanitation and transportation were uninterrupted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Forecasting air quality indexes, especially for smoke is incredibly difficult and complex and it's only available less than 24 hours in advance by New York States Department of Environmental Conservation.

The AQI forecast for Tuesday June 6<sup>th</sup>, and Wednesday June 7th, never plays the AQI in the red or the unhealthy category for the general population. June 7<sup>th</sup>, the day Mayor Adams held the first of three press conferences on the subject, was the only day we saw AQI hit what is considered hazardous levels. Still, with advisories in place for sensitive groups, our team and agency partners began messaging warnings and guidance as far back as June 1st through Notify NYC and other channels.

Notify NYC has been providing air quality alerts since 2009 with an AQI threshold for issuance of 100 or higher. With more than one million subscribers and availability in 14 languages, including English, Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, French, Asian Creole, Italian, Korean, Polish, Russian, Spanish, Urdu, Yiddish, and American sign language, this is the city's primary emergency notification system. Emergency management is responsible for the

development, maintenance and oversight of dozens of

2.2

emergency plans, protocols and playbooks for the City of New York. Emergency plans help prepare the city to respond effectively during an incident in order to reduce disruptions to people and services.

NYCEM creates and updates the city's emergency plans for a range of hazards and functional areas that support events such as the recent air quality emergency. Air quality is something we have long monitored and built into our response procedures but tied to more common causes such as heat related ozone pollutants. This wildfire was an anomaly for the New York City region but we had the tools to pull from to stand up and address the response in the time of need.

These plans are scalable, flexible and are made up of a menu of operations and response options.

Since no two emergencies will ever be the same, having a menu of options that can be used for a wide variety of incidents and hazards is essential, especially for new to New York City events like wildfire induced air quality emergencies.

For example, a response for a hazard can require multiple plans be used. A coastal storm can trigger over 20 operational documents, such as the Coastal

Storm Activation Playbook, the Coastal Storm

Sheltering Plan, the Coastal Storm Evacuation Plan,
the Logistic Section Plan, the Debris Management

Plan, the Interim Flood Protection Measures Playbook,
Disaster Assist and Service Center Plan and Manual
and the Citywide Health and Safety Plan amongst
others.

A winter weather event can include turning on our Winter Weather Emergency Plan, Flashflood

Emergency Plan, our Power Disruption Plan, our Tow

Truck Taskforce Protocol, the Advanced Warning System

Protocol or Emergency Public Information Protocol.

An earthquake event could require turning on the

Advanced Warning System Protocol, the Area Evacuation

Plan, the Commodity Distribution Plan, the Debris

Management Plan, the Disaster Assist and Service

Center Protocol or the Healthcare Facility Evacuation

Plan.

No matter the event, we can leverage strategies and tools from our plans to quickly coordinate the response to an event. This includes identifying the key partners for operational coordination, holding interagency calls to provide situational awareness, monitoring and tracking impacts to critical services

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

and infrastructure, identifying key decisions and resources needed to reduce impacts from the event, and tracking key actions to support the response by agency partners.

For the Air Quality Event June, we pulled response operations and capabilities from a wide range of pre-existing plans based on the needs of the emergency at hand. This is similar to what we do during many other extreme weather emergencies, as well as how we operate for any other novel event.

For example, during this event, we use the Advanced Warning System Protocol to enhance communication with and outreach to vulnerable populations. We use the Emergency Public Information Protocol to notify the public by Notify NYC and other channels.

We use the citywide incident management system to conduct interagency coordination based on specific core competencies of our agency partners. We use the Citywide Health and Safety Plan to coordinate environmental health and monitoring and citywide worker health and safety coordination. We use the Hazard Mitigation Plan Extreme Heat Profile to assess the relevance of historical events and risk factors of air quality. We use the Heat Emergency Plan to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 25
coordinate outreach to vulnerable populations, the
Healthcare System and homeless populations as well as
coordinate with outdoor events and conduct syndromic
surveillance. We use a logistic section plan to
source and coordinate the distribution of hundreds of
thousands of masks. We use the Winter Weather Plan
to discuss school closures. All and all, we issued
11 Notify NYC messages, held four press conferences,
three interagency citywide incident management system
calls, distributed over 100,000 masks and responded

Ultimately, the best evidence of a successful response comes from public health data, which saw emergency room visits involving breathing complaints, just 100 visits above seasonal averages on the day with the worst AQI rating. 100 visits above seasonal averages in a city of more than 8 million people. This is similar to increases we observed in April due to pollen season.

to dozens of press inquiries.

While our goal is to keep emergency room visits as close to zero as possible, it is my firm belief that if it had not been for our team, our agency partners, City Hall leadership and the smart precautions taken by millions of New Yorkers, that

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 number would have been far higher and the impacts for our most vulnerable far worse. 3

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

After any emergency, our team conducts thorough after-action reviews to improve our response in the future. This crisis was no exception and we will continue to pivot and shift our response to ensure New Yorkers are best served and protected. With that said, I am incredibly proud of our robust response. We continue to coordinate across all agencies to distribute masks, share information with delivery workers and immigrant communities and others about staying safe, provide the best advice to New Yorkers, intensify outreach to unhoused New Yorkers and much more. This was not a one-time stand-alone event. The summer is far from over and more air quality events are likely to come both this year and in the future. As we have seen with other aspects of severe weather, climate change and its impacts continue to pose new challenges to New York City.

And our city agencies are working to adjust our plans and outreach to New Yorkers to address this hazard and others. As we did with this incident, we continue to lean forward in messaging to New Yorkers the most up to date information of the potential

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

dangers from poor air quality, so they can make any adjustments to their activities as needed. Thank you for this opportunity to testify today. We will now take your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. We've been joined by Council Member Restler also. So, my first question is there's a lot of discussion about June 1st as you indicated and I'm certainly an NYC member in terms of notifying. I must admit and this is I think true of many New Yorkers, one million you said who are members, is that we tend to discard it because we do get a lot of missing persons and other pieces of information and I have to say, I don't pay as much attention to it as I should.

So, I just want to let you know that I assume that's true of other people. So, that's one way to tell people but it's not always the most effective, just to be honest with you. So I do want to know in addition sort of why did their agency wait until June 7th to start drafting a protocol? Because this is my understanding for air quality emergencies, when you did state and you've done that in the excellent op ed in the Daily News today, that you said that air quality alerts were sent to New Yorkers beginning on

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

June 1st. And the reason I also want to mention that is I want to understand a little bit more about because you did mention a lot of reports and it's hard to I'm sure staff-wise keep with all the different places that you are keeping information in. But I also understand that DCAS, to their credit, has a quidance for what people should do in these kinds of instances. And it's my understanding that it was sent out but not all the agencies followed it or knew to follow it. My understanding that Parks did a great job. They alerted particularly survivors of 911 and I think one of the reasons this is so important today, is those of us who are here on 911 are still concerned about air because we were given at that point, I'm not saying this is true with the city but the Feds gave us really bad information.

So, Parks did a good job. My understanding is the Fire Department had middle day at the probably in Council Member Schulman's District at the Tenant Center but uhm, and people were participating even though the air was very poor quality. Broadway had closed down. The Department of Transportation had workers on the highways even though much of the sports, major sports leagues had closed down. So, I

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

guess my question is, very specifically, June 1<sup>st</sup>, exactly what you were telling people and then didn't seem to be happening in terms of the information came up very late on June 7<sup>th</sup>. So, how do you reconcile all of this different information? Because that's what we're hearing, very I would say uneven either information getting out or how it was adhered to. That seems to be the problem.

TACHARY ISCOL: Thanks for your question. So, I think part of this is an understanding of the forecast constraints and part of it is an understanding of what we do at different air quality hazard levels. So, the Department of Environmental Conservation, a New York State agency, is the agency tasked with monitoring and doing forecasting for air quality. They have a remarkable team. I don't want this to sound at all like I am throwing them under their bus. Their meteorologists are fantastic. Forecasting air quality is very, very difficult to do and scientifically is very complex.

Each year, we face up to dozens of days where the air quality index is forecasted to go above 100. That's the level that DEC then issues an advisory. When they issue the advisory, there is specific

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

messaging we do. One of them is Notify NYC. At different levels, there's other things we do and I want to make this clear, Notify NYC is the primary but not the only messaging system that we use. We have strengthening communities programs in place where we tap into local nonprofits and community-based organizations. We do a lot of outreach to electeds and to others. We can hold press conferences. There's a lot. We have social media. We do a lot more than just Notify NYC but on June 1st, just like we do dozens of times in the past when this has occurred and you hit an air quality advisory because of an index over 100, that's the protocol.

June 7<sup>th</sup> was the day that we hit hazardous levels of air. Again, the forecast for June 6<sup>th</sup>, the day before was 118. We hit I think we hit maybe 218 on June 6<sup>th</sup> late in the day. The forecast for June 7<sup>th</sup>, was I think it was uh, the forecast was 138. Both of those are in the unhealthy for sensitive groups but not the unhealthy category. And so, based on the forecast, we started to amp up some of the work that we're doing, some of the coordination, some of the messaging but a forecast of 184 on the 6<sup>th</sup> for the 7th and then hitting 484, we didn't have a

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

case.

forecast telling us that we were going to hit
hazardous levels. And as soon as we did, the day
that the Mayor held the first press conference was
the day that we had the first indicator of hazardous
levels of air quality and so, I think that's why.
You know we have to trust the science. We have to
trust what we can do within the constraints of the
forecasting abilities and that's what we did in this

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Alright but how between the 1<sup>st</sup> and the 6<sup>th</sup>, do you know if other locations did a better job perhaps of indicating that this was going to be a hazard? It doesn't feel like there was a concise understanding of what was going to happen because the day it almost happened, by the time the information was circulated the worst.

ZACHARY ISCOL: I mean again, I would point to the forecast right. If the forecast is telling you that it's going to be unhealthy for sensitive groups and you end up in the hazardous levels, we have to go with what the science is telling us, right? I don't know how to tell you what we could do better based on what the forecast; I can't predict the future other than what the forecast is doing. I think we also

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

have to operate with the understanding that these forecasts are very unreliable and there's a lot of complexity to them and difficulty. Forecasting any whether event is difficult but air quality in particular, in particular air quality caused by smoke is notoriously difficult to do.

And again, I think how off these forecasts were will point you to that and that is not at all a slight against the remarkable meteorologist at EDC.

It's just where we are with the science of forecasting air pollution.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So, on the evening of the 6<sup>th</sup> when it was unhealthy and very unhealthy, why not message then? In other words or the other question would be how would you do it differently in the future?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Well, I think we did, we started messaging even earlier on the 6<sup>th</sup> through a number of different channels and again, the Mayor's first press conference was, "you're not going to do that at 10 p.m., you're going to do that first thing in the morning." Which is when we did but we were messaging through a whole host of other channels. But I will say you know we should not be knocking Notify NYC

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 33 2 either. It is not the only message channel; it is 3 our primary one and I encourage all New Yorkers to 4 sign up for it. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. ZACHARY ISCOL: One other just Chair, if I may? 6 7 The comment about uhm, about missing persons, I think 8 that's actually a City Council mandate that we do the citywide alerts for that. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I know but what I'm saying, 10 11 whatever it is, it is very constant and has many different notifications. And so, to be honest with 12 13 you, I don't think people pay as much attention as you think they should or did. And I don't think that 14 15 should be your only location of telling people about 16 such challenging air condition, not to mention other 17 emergencies. Did the Governor indicate earlier then 18 the city as to when the air conditions were 19 hazardous? 20 ZACHARY ISCOL: We rely on her Administrations forecasting. So, it is their forecast that we use to 21 2.2 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: But I think that the Governor actually announced earlier than the city 24

when this air was going to be hazardous.

25

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 34 2 ZACHARY ISCOL: I don't know. I don't have 3 recollection of that but I'm happy to go back and 4 look. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, what is the 5 determination made to send a Notify NYC alert? What 6 have precipitated that on June 1st or any other day? 7 ZACHARY ISCOL: A air quality, an EQI forecast 8 9 of 100 or more. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: 100 or more and that's what 10 11 you would do? ZACHARY ISCOL: So, if DEC issues an air quality 12 13 advisory, that is the trigger for us issuing a 14 Notify. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and when you talk 15 16 about the hospitalization being pretty normal, I mean 17 you said something to the effect of 100 I think. ZACHARY ISCOL: It was an additional 100 which 18 19 is on par with what we see during seasonal allergies 20 season in April. 21 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay but doesn't even that 22 didn't trigger more concern then even though it 23 sounds like it's same thing as pollen or other kinds

of challenges. There was no other way in which you

24

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

could possibly think that that was something that trigger the hospitalizations?

ZACHARY ISCOL: The hospitalizations are not a leading indicator. They are a trailing indicator.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Alright and then in terms of the individuals who have either asthma or other underlying conditions and so on. Those, how are they notified specifically? Was it just through press conferences and Notify NYC?

CACHARY ISCOL: So, I think if you look at the chart that you have here, you can see that we had a pretty robust communication strategy. Yes, we had the 11 Notify NYC messages. We also had a lot of interagency partners amplifying our messages. So, one of the things that we did is interagency calls and specifically we invited and made sure that agencies that are responsible for caring for our city's most vulnerable were part of those calls, so that they could make sure messaging was passed on to the organizations that they work with and to the people that are part of their communities.

Those organizations include the Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities, Department for the Aging, Mayor's Office for Immigrant Affairs, to make

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

sure that we were getting all of that messaging out to the communities that needed it.

In addition, there was in-person outreach that was done. You know we did do amplified homeless outreach similar to what we do during the winter weather or during an extreme heat. We also did a lot of interagency calls, we did the press conferences, we did social media, we did press inquiries and Dr. Vasan and I also did a number of interviews with TV and radio.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: How do you explain city
workers as to my understanding DOT and FDNY, there
are probably others who were working during this time
period. Were they messaged in some way? You
understand I mean each agency is obviously
responsible for their own workers, I assume. But it
seems to me that there wasn't a lot of communication
either through the unions or through city agencies
and that they were out working. How would you
explain that?

ZACHARY ISCOL: I'd have to refer to OLR but I will tell you that OLR issued pretty robust guidance to all of agencies at the levels that were forecasted. It was the appropriate response based on

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

OLR.

the science and we trust the science. And so, that response was to provide the agencies with guidance, which was provided by OLR and then the agencies, you know all of us have different missions. All of us have different abilities to pivot based on the needs of the people who work for us, based on the need of the people we serve but would have to refer you to

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay but DOT workers were working and really challenging air quality conditions. Would you handle that differently?

DACHARY ISCOL: I would have to refer you to DOT but I think again, we have to continue to there are ways of mitigating the effects of air quality to make sure that we are continuing to provide essential services to the city. When you look at some of our counterparts in California, they don't shut down city services uhm until they get to extreme hazardous levels.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We're not California.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Well, I would say even in our levels that we were seeing, I would not shut down essential city services and I think the guidance that we gave was the right guidance. Again, I think the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

emergency room visits speak to that and I think it shows something that our city was able to continue to make sure that the buses were running, transportation was continuing.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, but I'm talking about outdoor workers, outdoor specifically. I mean we're all -

ZACHARY ISCOL: I think a lot of essential services in the city require people working outdoors.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, I have concerns based on 911 still always in my head. Masks given out, so obviously you mentioned Fire Department, Police Department, Neighborhood Health Centers, are those the only places that masks were available and when were they told, when were people told to be able to get masks? The reason I say that is with those of us in elected office, in the past we were given masks that were appropriate for distribution in terms of high quality. And whatever reason, I don't know how many are in storage but now we are only given the surgical masks which were not appropriate for this health concern. Could you describe a little bit more about your mask distribution and why it's just

limited to those three places?

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

Immigrant Outreach Centers with the Mayor's Office for Immigrant Affairs. We also and I'm not going to get myself into trouble here Chair Brewer, but we also did offer masks to all Borough Presidents. Only one of them, I'm not mentioning any names, took us up on the masks. I know Council Member Schulman received masks from our agency and distributed them to her constituents. And it takes a minute to get hundreds of thousands of masks around the city. They are also now available at public libraries around the city.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Right, and when exactly were they announced as being available based on this particular situation?

ZAHARY ISCOL: I'd have to get back to you on the exact timing but I'm happy to.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Alright, and then the other question I just want to ask is, what guidance did again, back to this additional guidance given to people in the field. What you're telling me is nothing should have changed; they should have continued to have the Fire Department ceremony and that the outside DOT workers should have been at work

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

as they are normally. Is that the decision that you think should be made in the future?

TACHARY ISCOL: I'm telling you that based on the forecasting abilities, we did everything that we should have done in terms of the guidance, the events that took place. When you have those levels you know and you're only expecting something in the unhealthy for sensitive groups. Yeah, you have to provide information to people and enable them to make their own decisions based on their own specific health needs.

Once we reached extreme hazardous levels, that changes it. That's not where we were on the 6<sup>th</sup>.

That's not where the forecast was until the 7<sup>th</sup> and when that happened on the 7<sup>th</sup>, we immediately kicked into gear to address that. And I wish and you know maybe this is something that we could apply more resources to, to the science of forecasting air quality, there's a lot of complexity to it. There's a lot of difficulty. You even look at the differences between the two recent air quality incidents that we had in the city in early June and then in mid-June, the source of the fires, the wind direction, where that air is coming from. The

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 41 2 elevation, the time of day, all of those things 3 change the potential for the AQI levels in the city. And so, given those complexities, yeah, I think the 4 city did the right thing on the 6th going into 7th and I think we then responded effectively on the 7th as 6 7 soon as we saw things turn to hazardous levels. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So, you wouldn't do 8 9 anything differently in the future? ZACHARY ISCOL: Of course Chairwoman. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: What would you do differently in the future? 12 ZACHARY ISCOL: So, I think there's a number of 13 things and one is, you know you never pitch a perfect 14 15 game. And one of the things we do after every single 16 incident, no matter how small or how large, is we do 17 an after-action report and our team is currently 18 working on that and I'm happy to provide that after 19 action report to you and make sure that's it's available to you once we've fully done that 20 21 assessment. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Hanif is 22 23 also here and now, Council Member Schulman. CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you and yeah, we 24

look forward to seeing that and I don't know, I'm

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

sorry, I apologize if I'm asking a question that was asked. The protocol you're putting together uhm, when that's finished can we have a copy of that as well? The new protocol because I'm looking forward to that. I do want to say before I get into some more questions and I want to thank you and your staff for all the hard work that they did on this and I know, you know as we've all sort of stated, this is now a new normal, so we're going to be moving forward and we would like to work with you on making sure

So, I have a few questions. One is on Notify

NYC because I have the app and I'm a big fan of

Notify NYC. I got an air quality alert I think it

was yesterday but it doesn't say what the air quality

is, is there a reason for that?

that New Yorkers are kept healthy and safe.

ZACHARY ISCOL: I'd have to look into it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, it just says, giving an alert but it doesn't say — because I actually downloaded an app I guess from the federal government it is or the state.

ZACHARY ISCOL: The Air Now?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Yeah. That says what the

number is. But it just doesn't say it on that, so

I'm like okay, what should I do? I just wanted to -

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, so part of it is the advisory is put in place once you're over 100. And again, I think that there is a - this changes so drastically minute to minute. The forecast is also and I don't want to get too deep into the forecast because I'm also not a meteorologist but the forecast is based on a 24 average, it's not point to point, so there are, there is an EPA run site, a federally run site, airnow.gov where you can track the air quality in real time. That's actually not a 24 hour, it's a 3-hour average but you can also then also see the differences based on the neighborhood and where you are. And so, you know I think you know one of the things that this incident has just really reinforced for me is just that in as we deal with more and more extreme whether events, there is a challenge in ensuring that we are providing and educating the public.

And I think this is one of the things that you know we really rely on our elected officials for help with this. It's one of the reasons that it's so

2.2

address. Thank you.

important that we have these types of hearings. It's one of the important reasons that it's so important that we work together because if we're able to speak with one voice, it's far less confusing to the public and there's a lot of misinformation out there currently that I appreciate the opportunity to

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: No, I agree, I mean we want to be helpful. I just made the notice that on the Notify NYC if there's just even a range or it just gives a bit more information. It's just something to look at.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yup, absolutely.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: I also — so, now I want to turn my questions to DOHMH. Give you a rest Commissioner.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Wildfires began throughout Eastern Canada in May of 2023, the risk posed to New York City by the smoke from these wildfires was first projected by the National Weather Service on May 29, 2023. Following suit, New York State issued an air quality alert for several regions including New York City. When did DOHMH begin

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 45

monitoring the air quality affected by the wildfire smoke?

CORINNE SCHIFF: Thanks Chair, so as you've heard from Commissioner Iscol, it's really the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation that does that monitoring and issues those air quality alerts. I think as you are aware at the City Health Department, we have an air quality program, the New York City Community Air Survey, which is really a surveillance tool that lets us understand air quality over time I New York City and is a tool for us at the Health Department and for the City Council to develop policies that help New York City improve air quality over time and the Council has actually been a national leader in that area but it is the role of New York State DC to do that monitoring as Commissioner Iscol has discussed.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Are you working with the New York City Emergency Management on the protocol?

CORINNE SCHIFF: The City Health Department and Emergency Management work very, very closely on a wide variety of emergencies, including this one to prepare and respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

46

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Can you explain DOHMHs role in this type of emergency just for edification?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, our job in public health is to develop public health guidance as guidance at a population level. We identified subpopulations that are more vulnerable all of the Chairs in your opening comments. You listed those and to provide guidance for how New Yorkers can mitigate those risks. Here the risks are very individualized and that's why we have been providing guidance generally about when we are in these poor air quality days about limiting time outdoors, limiting strenuous outdoor activities but also really listening to your body, looking for symptoms in yourself that you are experiencing impacts from air quality, taking those seriously and going inside.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: So, uhm, I know that Commissioner Iscol said you work with community partners too to inform and educate. Does DOHMH also work with the same partners or different ones or how does that work?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, we know that communitybased organizations, faith-based organizations can be the trusted messengers in communities and we have a

2 very strong partnership with scores of organizations.

3 I'm sure there is some overlap with Emergency

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

4 Managements list as well. We worked with them during

5 this event. We work with them in a variety of urgent

6 areas and in routine matters to make sure that New

7 Yorkers have health guidance that they need.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: I'm sure the list is extensive. Is there a way that we could get a copy of the list from both agencies because we may be able to add to it as well, so we want to just do it from a spirit of collaboration.

CORINNE SCHIFF: We can check on that and I would reiterate that we also count on all of you to help us get that word out to your constituents.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: What are the short term and long term health affects of exposure to wildfire smoke?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, I think that in your opening comments, you articulated those. What we are really concerned about is people with lung conditions, heart conditions, older adults, young children, wildfire smoke can be a respiratory irritant and so it can lead to breathing problems.

And so, people who already come to that exposure with

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 48

vulnerabilities, can experience adverse health impacts. As you heard from the Commissioner, what we saw during the event was visits to our emergency departments and urgent care centers, really like what we see in April during pollen season.

For people who don't have those conditions, you listed those as well. Irritations to your throat, your nose, your eyes, some people experienced headaches. For an extent of an exposure like we experienced that first week in June, we don't expect long term impacts.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: So, uhm, are you monitoring, is DOHMH monitoring any long term affects of the air quality on New Yorkers, especially vulnerable populations?

CORINNE SCHIFF: The work we do in our Air

Quality Program is focused on acute impacts and

trying to understand those, so that policy makers

including all of you can continue to improve New York

City's air quality. We do make the data available,

so that those who do that kind of long-term

assessments of long-term impact can have that data

and use that.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Thank you. I had heard some cities give out air purifiers. Is there any thought to that or how people can use their purifiers or even to give guidance on if people want to go out and purchase their own?

CORINNE SCHIFF: We do have guidance and we did include this in our messaging during that June event about the importance of maintaining your indoor air quality when the outdoor air quality is poor and there are steps that people can take to preserve their indoor air quality and we will continue to message that so that New Yorkers are prepared.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Put any thought to the city giving out air purifiers?

CORINNE SCHIFF: We'll have to get back to you about that.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, if let's see — does

DOHMH plan on creating any additional educational

materials or media campaigns around air quality or

climate related emergencies?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, what we did do is we had been issuing guidance throughout the event and we have now documented that on our website and we will be pushing that out through the community-based

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 50 2 organization partnerships and continuing to educate 3 New Yorkers with this guidance and we'd be happy to 4 send you a link to those as well. CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Commissioner Iscol, so 5 this is my last question for this round. I know 6 7 September is Emergency Preparedness Month. Are you 8 going to institute with the materials that usually do something about the air quality? ZACHARY ISCOL: We are now. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, thank you. 12 ZACHARY ISCOL: Thank you Chair. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Gennaro. 13 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yes, thank you Chair 15 Brewer. I'm just getting myself together here, I 16 just had to put in some eye drops. And my first 17 question will be for Commissioner Iscol. In the 18 fourth paragraph of your statement, there is 19 reference and reference has been continually since 20 the hearing began about the state DEC and they you know DECs ability to forecast air conditions. 21 2.2 Reading from your statement, forecasting air 23 quality in excess particularly for smoke, incredibly difficult and complex and only available less than 24 24

hours in advance by the New York State DEC. I was a

Deputy Commissioner for that agency for six years and so I know them. I know the Commissioner, I used to work for him. Do you find the DECs ability to forecast bad air quality sufficient given the events of the last month? Maybe they could do a little better or build a more robust capacity to do that.

I'm just asking you to offer your opinion on that.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: They're not going to get mad at you, it's okay.

TACHARY ISCOL: I'm not a meteorologist but I think you know we work very, very closely with their forecasting team and with the folks at DEC, especially during this type of emergency. I think given the constraints of the ability to forecast air quality, I think they're doing as well as they can. I would love to see improvements in the technology and our capabilities of their forecasting qualities but I think based on those constraints, they're doing a fine job.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay, let me ask you again you know in a way that kind of probs a little deeper. Uhm, this is happening, you're talking to the people in your agency. You're talking to other agencies.

Uhm, was there any discussion during that about hey, we wish the DEC had a more robust capacity? Was that — did you hear language to that affect?

More robust capacity as well. You know and I think we you know they would really lean into trying to provide us uhm, in the most recent air quality event. You know they would try and get us information.

Generally, they put the forecast out at 3 p.m. for the next day. And then they update it the following morning sometime between 8 a.m. and 10 a.m.. They would do their best to try and give us direct updates before those were put out. But I think like us, they wish they could you know do a 48-hour, 72-hour forecast.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right, okay, and so thank you for that. I'm just going to make a little note, the side notes in my Committee Council that I should, we should do some outreach to DEC along the lines of we live in a new world. You know what was working in terms of you know bad air quality forecasting. You know five years ago no longer works and we'll make an official request to them to show us what capacity they have and we could advocate for improved and a

2 more robust capacity you know within the bounds of 3 science of course, so we're going to go and do that.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yes sir.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You're okay with that right? That makes sense? I want them to do a better job okay. And so, like I said, I have good relations, I have good relationships with that agency and maybe they need a more robust capacity. And now I have some questions for Deputy Commissioner DeFalco from DEP with whom I am good friends and as I am with everyone at DEP. And you know we got the PlaNYC process which is you know separate from what's going on here but you know interrelated because PlaNYC is all about sustainability and resiliency in the face of climate challenges and if you could, if you could expand a little bit on how PlaNYC intends to identify and address various manifestations of climate uncertainty, including how that uncertainty would manifest into emergency conditions. Could you speak about that a little bit?

BETH DEFALCO: I can, thank you. Thank you

Councilman. I think that the chief areas that we are
really focused on and I know that we've given an

overview to your Committee on PlaNYC recently.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Right.

2.2

starting in New York City, whether that's electrifying our city owned car fleets, reducing emissions from commercial cookware or whether you know adding up the \$136 million commitment from this Administration to planting more trees, which includes you know include air quality. So, we've taken a multifaceted approach to ways in which we'd like to both protect and improve New York City's air quality.

CHAIRPERSON GENARRO: Yeah and we do appreciate that but perhaps we may be able to sort of you know push out the boundaries a little bit of PlanYC to think about external climate emergencies so to speak and perhaps their impact on vulnerable communities.

I remember when we know reinstituted the New York City panel of climate change, it was brought into existence by Mayor Bloomberg to look at city infrastructure, then it went out of business and then my Committee back in the day brought it back into existence, gave it a broader mandate, you know to look at the city's economy, impacts in economy, impacts on the vulnerable communities. I think perhaps last months event could be a teachable moment

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

the city.

about how we could push out the boundaries of PlaNYC to include and capture how you know climate emergencies are going to impact the most vulnerable communities. That I think is you know like a forward-thinking way because you have OEM and they deal with emergencies bang this is happening real time. You know scramble the jets, let's do something. You know but I think people look to PlaNYC as kind of like the visionary climate document and roadmap to how we navigate climate uncertainty and now we've seen it you know across the border and come and hit us and probably impact vulnerable communities you know more than other communities in

So, what I'm calling for is for you know PlanYC and the body that advises PlanYC on which I sit. To kind of add this as an agenda item for how PlanYC can me a more encompassing planning document and roadmap for you know climate emergencies. That sort of thing. Do you have something to say about that?

BETH DEFALCO: No, I think that we 100 percent agree that environmental justice communities are impacted far more greatly than other communities by smoke events like this and pollution events like

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 56 2 this. They're less able to respond quickly right? 3 We know environmental justice communities that are 4 less likely to have air filters you know in the home or in the office place and are more impacted by the air quality of local businesses and uhm, especially 6 7 those that are industrial areas. So, yeah, take what you say wholeheartedly and we'd love to work with you 8 on that. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Okay and someone was 10 taking notes right? So, like we got this right? 11 12 Good. 13 BETH DEFALCO: It will be recorded, I hope. 14 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: What's that? 15 BETH DEFALCO: I think it will all be recorded, 16 so. 17 CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, we're definitely 18 being recorded and so, uhm, Madam Chairs, I wanted to 19 be brief with my questioning up front you know I reserve the right to come for a second round but we 20 21 have other members here that are very eager to get their -2.2 23 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Very eager. CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You know question in and I 24

always like to promote their ability to do so. So,

I'm going to like step down for a while. I'm going to be here for the whole day anyway but I want to hear from some of my colleagues. I want to thank this panel and you haven't heard the last of me before you step down but for now, I'm turning it back over to you Madam Chair Brewer.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Thank you very much. Council Member Feliz is here. Now, we'll hear from Public Advocate Williams.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Madam

Chair, much appreciated and thank you Commissioner

and Deputy Commissioners for that. I just want to

make sure I understand because based on the testimony

it seems that what you're saying is that given the

information and circumstances as they played out, the

city did the best it could. Is that accurate?

ZACHARY ISCOL: 100 percent.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Which worries me and troubles me a lot because that means given the same circumstances again, you would do the same thing and that's where my frustration comes in. Because I think the Administration is the only one that believes everything went the best way that it could. And so, I want to walk through a couple things,

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

understand and make sure we're on the same page
because it's my belief and I said this before, if the
Mayor and the Commissioners are giving a press
conference a couple hours before the sky goes orange
because of how bad the air quality is, then we have

58

7 failed at communicating.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And I say that because the air quality is not something that is usually talked about in the public. It's something that the public has to learn about and understand. I'm sure we would all agree on that. In that case, we would have to prime the public so they can understand the information that's coming to them. So, if we got information on the second that air quality might be bad, I don't think we did a good job of explaining to the public what that might mean to them. And what you're saying if I understand correctly is most of that communication came from Notify NYC maybe from the  $1^{st}$  or  $2^{nd}$  to the  $7^{th}$ . How would the general population, including the one's that are sensitive get information about what the air quality was and what that actually means for them?

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, first off Mr. Public

Advocate, I appreciate your questions. I appreciate
your care and concern. Read with great interest the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 report that you and your team put out

2.2

report that you and your team put out yesterday, I appreciate our ability to talk yesterday. As I mentioned in our call yesterday, I wish that you and your team had actually sat down with us before putting this report out. A lot of the information in it is factually incorrect. A lot of it is stuff that was done and has been done. A lot of it points to existing or what would be redundant capabilities and I think it's important for us to have these conversations to make sure that all of us are on the same page because it is confusing for New Yorkers and it is really important that we are all speaking with one voice, so that they have the clear information.

As I have said during this hearing, Notify NYC is not the only public messaging system that we have. We do a lot of thing through community-based organizations. We do a lot of things on social media. Dr. Vasan and I did a lot of press, both radio and television and other interviews.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Which days?

ZACHARY ISCOL: I could get you a list of -

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: No, no, no, this is important. This is very important and I wasn't going to bring up the conversation. I'm glad that you did,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

true.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 60 so I can talk about it. Now, it's public. You also said, we always going to bat 1,000 percent, so that's important to point out as well. I would love to know which press was done from the  $2^{nd}$  to the  $7^{th}$ . I'd like to know which community organizations you reached out to from the  $2^{\text{nd}}$  to the  $7^{\text{th}}$  to get this information. I hate that these conversations have to get tense because we should be looking forward. I think there's a lot of things we agree we can do forward but this is important because we've been pushing a better way to communicate to the public since COVID and we don't have it. And we're saying that we communicated to the public the best way we could have from the  $2^{nd}$  to the  $7^{th}$  and the public would disagree with you because they had no idea that there was a problem until the sky turned orange.

ZACHARY ISCOL: With all due respect, you're missing a key phrase there.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay.

ZACHARY ISCOL: The key phrase is, we did the best communicating with the public with the information in the forecast that we had in hand.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: And that's just not

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 61 2 ZACHARY ISCOL: I -3 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: So, wait, wait. 4 There are other ways to communicate. The Mayor himself has said that Twitter is not the best way to 5 communicate, so -6 7 ZACHARY ISCOL: You're saying Mr. Public Advocate that you have a better forecasting tool than 8 9 what is available -PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay, so let's go 10 11 through the forecasting. What information did you have about the air quality from the $2^{nd}$ to the $6^{th}$ ? 12 ZACHARY ISCOL: So, I can tell you that the air 13 quality index forecast for June 6th was in the 14 15 unhealthy for sensitive groups category. 16 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Great. 17 ZACHARY ISCOL: The air quality forecast for the 7<sup>th</sup> was also in the unhealthy category for sensitive 18 19 groups. The exact numbers for the DEC forecast for June $6^{th}$ was 118, for June $7^{th}$ it was 138. 20 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Let's stop right 21 22 there. Let's stop right there. 23 ZACHARY ISCOL: I want to make sure I'm addressing your question. 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: No, I got it. I have enough of my answer. Thank you. So, wait, wait, no because that is not incorrect, so that is factually incorrect. So, one, just so we're clear, if we're saying that public who have sensitivities were in danger, what you're saying is at some point they might have been, that was not communicated and it was not communicated effectively because as the Mayor says, Twitter may not be the best way to do it and Notify NYC is only reaching one million people. You're saying you reached out and could have reached out to TV stations and to CBOs. I don't think that happened and what I'm saying is, even if we didn't know how high it was going to go, there should have been some priming so that people could know what might possibly happen.

Let me just end with this because my time is up.

On the app right now, if you go to air now app of

NYSDEC, it says on the screenshot that I have that
the air quality for June 6<sup>th</sup> was 165, 155, 156. The

Mayor's statement that came out on June 6<sup>th</sup>, said it
was 218, which means it's going into the general
population. The Yankee game was put out and started
at 7:00, that air quality was over 150 as reported by

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 63 2 the fan favorite of the Administration New York 3 It went up to over 200 by the time the game 4 ended. CNBC also reported some of this. What I'm 5 saying is -ZACHARY ISCOL: I'm sorry, I'm confused. You're 6 saying that the air quality reported is not as bad as 7 8 we're saying it was? PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: No, I'm saying on June 6<sup>th</sup>, there's reports -10 11 ZACHARY ISCOL: The actual, I just want to make sure I understand this. You're saying the actual, so 12 there's a difference between the forecast and then 13 14 observed. The forecast is what we will predict will 15 happen in the future. 16 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I understand the 17 forecast. ZACHARY ISCOL: Observed is what actually 18 19 happens. 20 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: What I'm saying the 21 two things. The forecast was saying there might be problems with air quality. That's one. We don't 22 23 know how bad that was going to be, so I'm giving you that. What I'm saying is that the forecast, we 24

should have provided more information publicly in

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

64

public spaces so people could understand what that means. So, do more with the information that we had and we didn't do that.

The second part is as it was happening, as there was actually fog in the sky on June 6th, as the app was saying that the air quality was getting worse, as we were seeing that this was happening, we should have done more with that actually at the time in the first press conference and the first time that someone was speaking to the press should not have been the following morning making decisions at the time it was happening. Those are things that we should be able to agree on. What frustrates me is those are simple things and I want to give you to the fact that we could not have predicted an orange sky. We couldn't have predicted how it had gotten - we couldn't do more with the information that we have. I'm using all the information that you had and all the information that we have and I'm simply saying we should of have done more with it and we didn't.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Sure, with 20/20 hindsight, of course we should have done more. But I think with the information that we had at the time; the Mayor's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 65 2 press conference occurred on the first day we had hazardous air quality. 3 4 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: No, the first day was 218 by the Mayor's statement. 5 ZACHARY ISCOL: 218 is not hazardous air 6 7 quality. PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I just want to read 8 9 this. When the air quality is 150 to 200, some member of the general public may experience health 10 effects. 201 to 300 health alert. The risk of 11 health effects is increased for everyone. 12 ZACHARY ISCOL: And if you look at what that 13 actually says in terms of the categories, 150 to 200 14 is unhealthy for sensitive groups. Above 200 is when 15 16 you get too unhealthy for the general population. 17 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: 218-ZACHARY ISCOL: Excuse me, above 300 is when you 18 19 start to get to hazardous levels. PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I understand that but 20 21 I'm going to end it and I'll give it back to the Chair but what I'm saying is this. We have to prime 22 23 the public for information that they have never received. And so, this information is confusing. If 24

we're starting to get this information right before

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

This is literally my job.

2.2

2.3

the sky turns orange and on fire, I'm just saying it took too long. That should not be a statement that is somehow controversial. And that's what frustrates me with the Administration. There is never an emissions of the simplest things that we could have done and it makes our conversations tenser unnecessary and this is not criticism to the Mayor or the Administration for criticism sake, as he said.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Right, I think one of the things that I look at is and I couldn't agree with you more in terms of priming New Yorkers. I agree with you 100 percent on that. This is something that had never happened before in New York City. One of the things that we are doing now is robust communication to start educating New Yorkers. We do this about heat. We do this about extreme rainfall events and now we are doing this about air quality, things like this that we are probably not ahead of it but to think that we could do that on the 6<sup>th</sup> with the forecast that we had —

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: You could not have.

ZACHARY ISCOL: We could not have. I want to be clear about that.

#### AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 67 2 PUBLIC ADVOATE WILLIAMS: So, we're clear on 3 that but I'm talking about the information that we had and so, we're using the excuse of the information 4 we didn't have. And I'm talking about the information that we actually had on the 2<sup>nd</sup> through 6 the 6<sup>th</sup>, what we could have done more of and how 7 effectively we could have gotten it to the people 8 that needed it. That's all I'm talking about. And so, we're hiding behind the fact of the information 10 11 that -ZACHARY ISCOL: Nobody's hiding behind anything 12 13 here. 14 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Okay, I appreciate 15 the time that I've had. Thank you so much. I 16 definitely look forward to more of our conversations. 17 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. ZACHARY ISCOL: I do to and I do look forward to 18 19 sitting down and talking about your report. I do really wish your team had sat down before issuing 20 21 this with my team. 22 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, thank you. 23 PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: The Administration

doesn't admit when something went wrong, so that

makes it more difficult.

24

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Thank you.

Council Member Ariola.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you Chairs. Thank you all for coming today. So, maybe I'm unique in that we were watching for tweets on Notify NYC, so we were aware when you first started to send them out. So, thank you for that. We were then contacted by the Mayor's Office, NYCEM, the City Council Emergency Services. We had ample information regarding what was and what could be coming. So, what I say is, if you're paying attention to what Notify NYC is putting out and you're paying attention to the emails that are being sent to you from all the different agencies including the Administration and our City Council office, then you would have known and you would have started posting on Facebook and all your social media or reaching out to your constituents.

So, in that area, I disagree. I think that this was something that came upon us and the city reacted and you reacted well and you did your outreach. NYCEM, DOHMH will get to you. So, Commissioner Iscol, last month air quality issues was novel for NYC, what protocols and procedures does NYCEM have in place to address new issues that arise in real time

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

69

and how did you apply these during the week of June  $6^{\rm th}$ ?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah thank you, it's a great question. So, I think one of the things is that is to really talk a little bit about all our hazard planning. You know, in emergency management, we're always going to have to deal with things that are novel and new, right? We cannot predict the future. And so, we have a construct with the way that we do planning where we have essentially plans that enable us to - let me give some examples, for example if you look at like our coastal storm plan for example, that's comprised of maybe two, two and a half dozen different plans. Our advanced warning system, our bridge and tunnel closure protocol. Our disaster cost recovery plan or disastrous system service center plan or language access protocol, our logistic center plan, our debris management plan. All of our plans are made up of a whole host of other plans.

So, what we're able to do is we can look at the impacts and then from there, we can then activate different plans and that's what we did during this event, whether it came to health concerns, educating the public, distributing masks around the city, the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

that is currently in development.

2.2

commodity distribution plan or logistics plan. Those are the protocols that were in place. Now, one of the things that we're doing is codifying some of the lessons from the actions we took and codifying it into what's called an actual air quality protocol

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: That's great and honestly, our office is one of the offices that was contacted for masks and I want to thank you for that. And it is only natural to use first responders for locations for people to pick up masks and that's why I think that that was what chosen first and then it went to other locations like public libraries.

So, my second question is while none have been as bad as this week, you know week of June 6<sup>th</sup>, what we're discussing today, we have had some days with poor air quality in the past few weeks, what strategies did NYCEM employ to notify the public and work with all city agencies on appropriate actions?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, thank you. So, number one is again, Notify NYC. I know a lot of folks are bashing Notify NYC during this panel, but it is our primary messaging system. Over one million subscribers, 14 languages. It's what we used during

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

COVID. It's what we use during emergencies to get information out there. We use social media, we use radio, television and TV adds. You know I think we also have community-based organizations that we work. And again, one of the things that we did during this event is and I can't emphasize this enough. We brought in partner agencies that are generally not at the table for emergencies because they're not the operational agencies. Like the Mayor's Office for Immigrant Affairs, like Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities, like Department for the Aging, and others to make sure that they have the right information from the scientists, from the doctors, from Dr. Katz, from Dr. Vasan, from the DEP Commissioner.

And making sure that we are providing them the right guidance so that they could get that out to the organizations that they work alongside to the people that they are sort of responsible for caring for to also make sure that we're getting through those channels as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you. Now, for DOHMH, what was the type of outreach that you did? Because we didn't receive any from DOHMH.

1

2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, the Health Department 3 complimented the outreach from Emergency Management. 4 We were Tweeting in English and Spanish. We were 5 using our networks that described earlier of community-based organizations, faith-based 6 7 organizations to get messages to them, so that they could communicate to their constituents. Our 8

commissioner did a lot of press, which is a really important way to communicate with New Yorkers, so I 10 11 think those would be the primary ways that we

12 communicate it.

> COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: And do you believe that DOHMH's response was adequate in this air quality emergency and what do you think could have been done better?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, I think you've heard from Commissioner Iscol about all of the ways that the city responded. The Health Department was there to provide the public health guidance, as the city responded. We were looking, I think there was a question earlier, we were looking to the guidance that's issued out on the West Coast to the more experienced jurisdictions to make sure that the guidance that we were issuing was aligned with what

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

they tell their residents and it w

quality.

they tell their residents and it was. So, I am really proud of the work that we did in a very, very rapid way to put out guidance to New Yorkers for limiting their time outdoors, limiting strenuous activity outdoors, ways to protect indoor air

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: And will you be putting, thank you. And will you be putting anything out today that you know, I have respiratory issues, so you know of course when I went to see my pulmonologist afterwards because my breathing quality and I only have asthma, so it's not anything you know anything that COPD or emphysema or anything like that which are much worse but do you have any idea what the impact will be on people with respiratory diseases now that we have inhaled you know that type of smoke?

about acute experiences when we have that kind of exposure. For the length of time that we had the very poor air quality, we're not really concerned about long term impacts. I can say another area of outreach since you mentioned your health care provider, is we have a mass communication network

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

with health care providers and we communicated with them as well, so that they would know what they might be seeing, hearing from their patients and how they could help their patients.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Good, thank you.

Council Member Yeger is here and now we're going to hear from Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. I just,

I had a question about the masks and going through
this experience just kind of made me a little bit
anxious about the fact that the last three years we
were relying on a piece of equipment to really keep
people safe and so, I'm just wondering if you can
discuss, what are the current stockpile levels that
you work to keep and can you discuss the process for
the protocol for rapid deployment and any lessons
you've learned from that. Where are they stored?
What are the triggers to move them? Where do you
stage them for an anticipated or very likely need to
distribute them?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, thank you. It's a great question. So, we have a lot of masks located in a lot of different locations. So, we have some at our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

75

warehouse in Brooklyn. We have another warehouse where we have most of our coastal storm sheltering equipment and supplies in New Jersey. We have DCAS, at their warehouse they have a lot of masks, FDNY and NYPD, they also have masks.

In this specific incident, essential what it was was finding out where do we have of all the different stockpiles of masks, and I can get you sort of more of the specific numbers of different mask stockpiles around the city and outside the city, but what was going to be the fastest way of getting masks to the public. And what we determined was it was going to be at NYPD precincts and FDNY Fire Houses and we relied on a stockpile of I think 25,000 or 50,000 masks that we had at our warehouse and the numbers, and I think it was maybe 100, 125,000 masks in a DCAS warehouse. That those were going to be the easiest place. DEP I actually think, I think DEP might have also participated in providing masks in that distribution.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: What's the city - what is your current stockpile number, goal that you try to keep at all times?

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 76 2 ZACHARY ISCOL: I don't have that off the top of 3 my head but I can get it for you. 4 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. 5 ZACHARY ISCOL: And it would vary also, I'm sorry by agency, not just by the city. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: So, each agency is responsible for their own determination of a 8 stockpile? ZACHARY ISCOL: There's sort of stockpiles for 10 11 city agencies. There are stockpiles also for New 12 Yorkers and for these types of events. And so, it's 13 both for city workers and for New Yorkers but I could 14 try and -15 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Who would give the 16 ultimate authority over what the city should have in 17 total? 18 ZACHARY ISCOL: So, there are a -19 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Or where would that 20 quidance come? 21 ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, I'd have to get back to 2.2 you on that. I mean there are - do you have guidance 2.3 on that from Department of Health in terms of masks? No, I mean, there's city policies. I'd have to go 24

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

back to my team and find out if there are certain
federal or state requirements for masks as well.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, okay. Can you — I don't want to — I'm not trying to reopen what the exchange with the Public Advocate, however, I am curious in terms of walking back from the priming people internally. Not even to the public priming agency. So, if you know you're going to add a hazardous level, you're going to close schools. At what point on this scale do you start to communicate to DOE and different agencies, start making preparations for a potential closure? Start making preparations for staff to work remotely? Where in this do you start that trigger process?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, it's such a good question.

I'm going to defer this to Department of Health who can talk about this but I just want to just ground this in like, I can't emphasize this enough. Because we're doing a lot of work now looking at different triggers and I think just the imprecision and the poor reliability of the forecast, the length of time that you might have — you might have you know hazardous levels of air but it might be for 30 minutes or an hour.

1

3

4

6

7

8

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: No, I feel like you've

adequately laid out unpredictability and unreliability and we empathize and sympathize with the decisions that need to be made rapidly with unpredictable technology. However, the point that was being made was, we are seeing the wildfires continue. They weren't contracting, they were

10 the Northern part of New York State was experiencing

expanding. They were sustained. So, you knew that

11 this, so at what point in the threshold, even if you

12 don't have a protocol, are you starting to

communicate with agencies? Like, this might be a

14 situation where we need to close schools and have

15 people working remotely. Like, there comes some

16 point where those kinds of questions start to get

17 communicated.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, I'll say two things. One, there was during the event the Health Department was offering that guidance. You heard the Commissioner describe multiagency calls and that was exactly the sort of guidance that we were providing on those calls and the guidance continue to be to limit outdoor time and limit strenuous activity outdoors.

25 We've now documented that guidance and it is on our

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 website, including guidance for schools. For New

3 York City public schools and for all of the

4 independent schools that also look to the Health

5 Department for that guidance.

2.2

I do want to comment that I think we all have a much deeper appreciation now about the harms and the challenges of remote learning. And so, we don't have an absolute trigger when there would be remote school but rather, when the air quality is very, very hazardous at that highest level, that's the soonest point that we start to say to school leaders, start to think about the balance of those risks but it's not an absolute; there's no absolute trigger.

Because there is a lot of different factors that go into that, including what's forecasted. You heard the Commissioner say, it could be that we're going to see very, very poor air quality but for a short time.

So, that might mean recess is going to be different. Recess will come inside. Just like we see during extreme weather. So, these are very difficult decisions and we have now documented the comments, the guidance that we made during the event. We've now documented that, so that we can, now that we know that this may happen again, we can be more

forward thinking. And again, we'd be happy to share the length to that, it's on our website.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: When did — I'm just going off of our Committee Council reports. So, they might not have as much information as you have but when was the interagency, the first interagency call conducted where you were starting to have those kinds of conversations about possibilities?

ZACHARY ISCOL: I would have to get back to you. I think it might have been - I'd have to get back to you. I think it might have been on, it was probably on the  $6^{\rm th}$  but I'd have to get back to you on the - well, let me get back to you with the exact date.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

ZACHARY ISCOL: It could have been earlier than that. It could have been later than that but -

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, I'm just going off the flyer that was given to us.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yup.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: The last question I have was maybe DEP related or maybe DOH. We've had a number of hearings where Council Member Alexa Avilés has talked about the air monitoring system. The

# COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 81 NYCCAS, sorry, I don't know how to pronounce the

2.2

acronym.

Uhm, in our report, it says that you're able to monitor in real time particulate matter but it doesn't list Brooklyn as having a site and then we saw also in the report that Brooklyn had the highest level of folks coming to the hospital related to asthma incidents on that day. So, I'm just wondering from a longer term, longer you know ability to respond, do you think it's time — well and I want to clarify if you have a site in Brooklyn and if not, do you think it's time to put a monitoring station in Brooklyn?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, let me clarify. The New York City Community Air Survey, NYCAS, there are two components to it.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Right.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Neither is able to be an emergency alert system. For an emergency alert system, we rely on DEC and we also all look now to air now.

23 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Understood.

CORINNE SCHIFF: The real time monitors, I'm going to have to get back to you about that location.

2 It's not meant to be an emergency alert system.

purposes. But I'll get back to you about -

2.2

There are nine monitors, they measure PM2.5 only, which is not adequate to tell us about air quality, the air quality index overall. So, it's not intended and it's not designed and it cannot be for these

are monitoring over time trends and equity around air quality. So, where there's disparities in the city around air quality but what I'm saying is that as of a piece of infrastructure, even though it's not for rapid response, it can tell us things. It seems that given the health impact that happened that day, it would be important to have a monitoring facility in Brooklyn and according to our, the Council's reports, which maybe is not fully developed, I think we have great researchers here. There just isn't one in Brooklyn and so, I'm just suggesting and recommending based on both the advocacy that's happened in these hearings that one be put in Brooklyn considering there is some clear health disparities here.

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, I'm going to get back to you with the details but I will say that as I noted, there are two pieces to NYCAS. One is this real time

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 83 2 monitor, which I think is what you're referencing and the other is our more extensive network, which is how 3 we look to air quality over time. I believe the 4 5 details are in the report that we submit to Council but let me get back to you to make sure that I'm 6 7 answering your precise question. COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Krishnan is 12 here and now we're going to hear from Council Member 13 Narcisse. COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Hi. Thank you Chairs. 14 15 On the conversation here, I was listening. For when 16 Council Member Brewer, Chair Brewer asked the 17 question, would you have done anything different? 18 You said no. You would not do anything different. But when Jumaane spoke, you said if you had 20/20 19 hindsight, you would have done differently. So, 20

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, I think within the constraints of the forecast and the information we had, I'm very proud of the city's response. I think

which one that you feel like you had done everything

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

or you're not so sure?

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 one of the things I did make clear and

one of the things I did make clear and I will reiterate this in my exchange with the Public Advocate is, and I said this earlier in my testimony as well.

We can never do enough to make sure we're educating New Yorkers so that they have the information they need to deal with extreme weather events. Whether it's heat, whether it's air pollution, whether it's rainfall, whether it's coastal storms. And you know we need to get ahead of that. There is always more we can be doing there but given this was an unprecedented event, this was the first time this had ever happened, I don't fault us for not having done that before we knew what we were going to be dealing with.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: What I realize, we did not get — do we get an alert on our phone? Like it is very practical if something happening at the time because everyone have a phone. So, can you consider that? Because I did not recall I got one.

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, we have number of notification systems in the city that we use for emergency alerts. One of them is, I think we're referring to as wireless emergency alerts. That's

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

like the amber alert. You get them from National Weather Service for flash floods for tornadoes. A wireless emergency alert, the Governor said that she would issue a wireless emergency alert in New York State if we went above 200 at the most recent event.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: But we went over 200.

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, she said that for the second weather event, air pollution event we had in June but not the first one. Uhm, I think that there's a conversation here about you know in many jurisdiction municipalities and places in like upstate New York, the wireless emergency alerts are the only mechanism they have for getting information out to people.

I think for us in New York City, we have a lot of tools at our disposal to make sure we're getting information to New Yorkers. And that a wireless emergency alert really should only be used for incidence that are imminent threats to life and safety, right. And that's where we've really used them in the past. Where you want somebody to take a specific action like sheltering in place or something like.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I get that. The reason I'm asking all these questions, because the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 most vulnerable that we talk about that we

most vulnerable that we talk about that we're supposed to protect in New York City are the one that always end up suffering. So, if we can find a way to understand our responsibility for those population that's most vulnerable, we're talking asthma. As a nurse over three decades working in the ER, I understand we cannot control things. Nature, especially with this climate change but as our responsibility is to make sure those that are vulnerable are alert and have the message in the time that they're supposed to receive it.

In the ER, like Sandy Nurse, Council Member just mentioned and one of the things that I realize in the Black/Brown communities that we serve, so far I will say, if I may quote. According to DOHMH zip codes with the highest asthma related ER visits during the June 6<sup>th</sup> and in general house immigrants and Black and Brown populations, so far, what outreach have you done to this population? That's your question.

ZACHARY ISCOL: For me?

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: No, no, no, for DOH.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Thank you. Yeah, we agree, we know we know that there are neighborhoods in New York City where the asthma burden is higher and the Health

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

87

Department does a lot of work to address asthma in a variety of ways focused in those neighborhoods. And as we all come to learn more and more about air quality and the importance of monitoring air quality and taking steps based on our own individual circumstances when the air quality changes, we will add that to the outreach that we do in those neighborhoods.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Thank you and I've very much interested in the mask supplies because what happened during COVID, I think we should have learned and we should have that data and the guideline on hand when we ask questions on that because this is very important and when you're talking about the masks, I got my first group of masks given to me by the Governor's Office. So, in the meanwhile, I did not even think about it twice because they called me first. So, I think that you if you can work on that for the collaboration. You have 51 Council Members and they each serve their district and that's the fastest way that we know the population, the inlet where we have to get supplies right away in a timely fashion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

2 So, thank you for your time and thank you Chairs 3 for the time. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you to Chairs Brewer and Schulman and Gennaro for convening us today. Very much appreciate your leadership and thank you to the Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner Schiff and Falco. I appreciate you guys being here as well. When there is a snow storm or a hurricane or a heat wave coming, as emergency preparedness professionals, we prepare for the worst.

That did not happen here. The Administration failed to prepare New Yorkers for this potentially extreme incident and considering one in ten New Yorkers have chronic respiratory conditions, we failed them. The City of New York failed people who are at risk. The revision is history that was written in the Daily News this morning and has been repeated again this morning is jarring, it's jarring. It is disconnected from the reality that 8.4 million New Yorkers experienced during this extreme weather incident. I'm disappointed that the Administration is not taking responsibility for its failures but I'm even more disappointed that the Administration failed

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

to respond after the crisis took on the city — came over the City of New York.

The failure to respond nimbly, aggressively to actually protect people is totally unacceptable. So, I want to focus my questions on that failure of response today. You know while this was, as you've noted, an unprecedented incident for New York City, may cities around the country have had similar extreme weather incidents with terrible air quality in the very recent past and we know their playbook for how to respond. We didn't do any of those things but we know what we could have done, what we should have done to keep New Yorkers safe.

So firstly, I'd like to ask about clean air centers. Why was the decision made not to open clean air centers when in many of our homes, there was terrible air quality and there was no safe place for people to go to get away from the horrible conditions that people were facing?

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, in terms of clean air centers, you know it's a good question and I think there's a difference between the reality of what they deal with in some places where they have clean air

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

centers, like California and some municipalities who choose to do it, others don't and where we are here.

For one, many of the clean air centers in

California are also fire shelters right because
people are in danger not just from air pollution but
also from fires because their proximity from fires.

There's also issues around transportation. People in
New York City rely on public transportation, on
walking, the safest place for people to be in New
York City during an event like this is inside and in
their home. When we think about and there's often a
comparison between clean air centers and things like
cooling centers that we do during heat events.

They're two very different things and it's based on
the science of what you need to be concerned about
based on the health impacts of that type of event.

So, we know during winter weather for example, when we send out Code Blue teams, right we have warming buses that are out and about to make sure that we are getting people warm because we know even just a few hours of warmer temperatures is healthy. We know that also for people that with cooling centers —

91

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I appreciate your response. I just want to be mindful of the time, so I'm sorry but I have to say, people can put on a mask and especially for folks in NYCHA developments where we have terrible air quality and often times problematic outdoor air gets into peoples apartments even when the windows are closed, it's really important for their to be a safe place to go. Opening up the network of centers that are traditionally cooling centers and operating them as clean air centers, getting air purifiers out to them would have been a rapid, effective response to better keep New Yorkers safe.

The other area -

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ZACHARY ISCOL: We think it would actually be putting New Yorkers in danger by having them go outside of their homes into outside.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Wearing an N95 mask, walking a couple blocks or getting on a subway stop or taking a bus a couple blocks to get to a safe place to go when they are currently in unsafe conditions, that seems odd.

ZACHARY ISCOL: I would not want to put people into unsafe conditions outside, is the point.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: A brief period of time outside when they're in unsafe conditions in their current setting.

ZACHARY ISCOL: And we will trust the science and the doctors and the medical experts on this but I respect your opinion.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: It's not what other cities do around the country in similar circumstances. I'm surprised that when we've seen wildfires across California, they set up clean air centers for people to go. This was a safe mechanism that was done repeatedly. We don't have that — we didn't do that here.

Secondly, is the failure to call a Code Red. We have, according to the HOPE survey, the HOPE count that was completed last week, significant increases in the number of street homeless individuals. Those people have no place to go. They were breathing in the toxic air minute after minute, hour after hour, getting sick. These are people who have chronic health conditions, as Deputy Commissioner Schiff knows well.

And yet, we did nothing for them. There was some additional outreach but there was no Code Red

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

issued, so they could not enter any homeless shelter in the City of New York to get the assistance they needed because we failed to act. Why was a Code Red not called?

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, a Code Red - so first off, I agree. The most important thing during an event like this is taking care of our city's most vulnerable and we did that.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I disagree.

We had Department of Social Service outreach teams as they would during a Code Red, going out encouraging homeless New Yorkers to get off the streets, into shelters, providing masks. The difference is that a Code Red like a Code Blue are used for different types of emergencies. A Code Red is specifically for heat related emergencies. They are doing things like getting them into a cooling bus to doing things like handing out sun screen. They're doing things like looking for signs of hydration.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Of course they are particular element to the Code Red.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Excuse me, the protocol is very different from a Code Red or we also didn't execute a

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 94 2 Code Blue, which is what we would do during a winter 3 emergency because the risks to homeless individuals 4 were not cold weather, just as it was not heat. It was air pollution. And so, our DSS teams 5 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: The risk would be 6 outside. 7 8 ZACHARY ISCOL: Yes, and that's why we had DSS 9 teams doing additional outreach, going to places with poor air, distributing masks and encouraging New 10 11 Yorkers to come in. 12 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: The most important 13 element of a Code Red or a Code Blue policy is that any street homeless New Yorker can walk into any 14 15 shelter in the City of New York and immediately get 16 shelter. They can get a bed in any place, anywhere 17 they go in the many hundreds of facilities around the 18 city. Why did we not implement that policy during this toxic air emergency? 19 ZACHARY ISCOL: That was implemented, just not 20 as a Code Red or a Code Blue -21 2.2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: It's not. 23 ZACHARY ISCOL: Because a Code Red or Code Blue, there are very specific protocols for heat and cold 24

25

winter weather.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

95

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: At the June 7th Press Conference that you held with the Mayor after this onset of the emergency had occurred, the issue of homelessness and homeless New Yorkers was not mentioned once. Never during this time period was it ever said that in the additional outreach that was being done to homeless, street homeless New Yorkers, that they could be told they could enter any homeless shelter in the City of New York.

ZACHARY ISCOL: As I said, the teams, the additional outreach was being done. We were handing out masks. We were encouraging them to go into shelter but we were not doing the same thing we would do during heat.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Encouraging people to go into shelter at 30<sup>th</sup> Street Intake. For somebody who's homeless on the streets in the Bronx -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Alright, come on, come on.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Has to go to 30<sup>th</sup> Street to enter into a shelter system. We failed street homeless New Yorkers in this incident and I hope moving forward, we develop the right and necessary protocols so that we can ensure they can

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: That's fine. I'm fine.

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 97 2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, Council Member Hanif. COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you. Good to see 3 you again Commissioner Iscol. I'll dive right in. 4 What quidance was specifically offered to families 5 and residents at the HERCs, the respite centers, the 6 7 emergency shelters and the shelter system more 8 broadly? ZACHARY ISCOL: So, I can't speak to the shelter I would have to refer you to DSS and DHS. 10 system. 11 In terms of the respite centers that were running, it 12 was the same guidance that were providing to all New Yorkers. We made sure that we did that through 13 14 language access and we also made masks available. 15 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Could you share what through language access was available? Flyers, 16 17 pamphlets? 18 ZACHARY ISCOL: Sure, I'm happy to get that 19 information for you. COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: You can't share anything 20 on the record about it because I know that the 21 Council had reached out to you shortly after our 2.2 2.3 clouds were, the sky was orange and fire to understand how asylum seekers were receiving this 24

information and it seemed that your response was

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 98 2 unclear. It was not a response you sort of ignored 3 the question. So, I'd like to know what after this we would be receiving if -4 ZACHARY ISCOL: 100 percent. I will talk to my 5 team and make sure we get the information for you. 6 7 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And I'm also just curious, I know you mentioned and emphasized the 8 9 interagency conversations. Why are you unable to talk about what the plan entailed for DHS DSS? 10 11 ZACHARY ISCOL: Because uhm, they have their 12 protocols and you know I would defer to them to talk 13 about what was actually done in the shelters at the 14 taxable level. COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, just to be clear, 15 16 right now, you are unable to share exactly what the 17 outreach -18 ZACHARY ISCOL: You asked me for specifically 19 the information that DSS provided at each of the shelters. I know that additional information could 20 21 be provided, exactly what it is, I don't have in front of me and I want to make sure you're getting 2.2 2.3 the most factual and accurate information. COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I appreciate receiving 24

the factual information but it is disheartening to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

know that you can't share what kind of information was shared or what the outreach entailed.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Again, I'm happy to make sure that DSS and DHS to provide that information of how they did it.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And then on housed New Yorkers. Could you walk us through what the outreach was?

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, it was 24/7. There was additional outreach. I could get the cadence and the time; I don't have those exact metrics in front of me about the amount. Generally what they do during a Code Red or a Code Blue is in addition to the weather specific information that's provided, things like a warming bus or things like sunscreen, they also go to different locations, right? There are locations that more of a concern during heat versus more of a concern during cold weather. But I could get you know, circle back with information on the cadence and the time and the locations of where they were doing that outreach. I don't have that in front of me.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: That would be helpful and also just what the cadence will look like in the

2.2

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

future incidences to ensure that unhoused New Yorkers are being escorted and not being told to jump in.

ZACHARY ISCOL: 100 percent and this is a priority of ours and it's why we did 24/7 outreach.

It's why we did mask distribution. It's why we did the enhanced outreach teams during this event because we care about this.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Great and now I want to focus on workers safety and Chair Brewer has raised this question earlier, in these interagency calls protecting municipal workers come up and I know you mentioned that OLR provided guidance. Agencies have different missions. What was the overall protocols that the city sent out uhm for municipal workers and their ability to work from home?

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah and I'm glad you asked this question because I want to circle back to Chair Brewer's question and just sort of you know we did specific calls with the experts, with the medical experts and with OLR and with Commissioners and the agencies, specifically around making sure that we caring for the health of the city workforce. That guidance was provided to OLR. OLR provided their guidance to different agencies but I just wanted to

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

reiterate that the health of our workforce is a paramount importance and that's one of the reasons that we had those conversations. And in terms of some of the guidance that Department of Health provided during those conversations. You want to talk about some it.

CORINNE SCHIFF: Sure, the guidance for workers, including for city workers, parallels the guidance for individuals. So, when the air quality is very, very poor, the recommendation is to limit time outside and to limit strenuous activity outside. So, for office workers, they're already indoors, so that's not a major concern.

For a city workforce that works outside, especially for our central workers, there are ways to mitigate some of those risks. So, to encourage breaks, to provide workers with high quality masks and those are the kinds of recommendations that we provide to our sister agencies, which then have the responsibility to take that guidance and figure out how it applies to their workers considering the kinds of work that they do.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Chair Brewer, I have a few more questions that I want to wrap up on this

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 102 2 topic. So, then did the city implement work from 3 home protocols for appropriate municipal workers? 4 Was there a memo sent out to the -ZACHARY ISCOL: I would again defer, it was at 5 the Commissioners discretion based on agency 6 7 requirements, personal needs, but we'd be happy to provide you the guidance of the state. 8 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: So, then at your agencies, was there a memo sent out around what 10 11 protections workers needing to come to the office had versus field workers? 12 13 ZACHARY ISCOL: Was there guidance provided? 14 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Yeah, like was there a 15 memo sent? I know Google for example. 16 ZACHARY ISCOL: Yes, we provided guidance to our 17 workforce. 18 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And would you be able to 19 share if there were workers who requested to work 20 from home. Like was there any -21 ZACHARY ISCOL: I'd be happy to get you that information. 2.2 2.3 COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: And did the city encourage private employers to implement work from 24

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 home protocols for workers who were able to? Is that also an OLR?

ZACHARY ISCOL: I would you know; I am not sure about the communication to private employers. I know that there was some conversations but I would have to refer you to City Hall on that one.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay. I'm just phasing this because I live with an autoimmune disease and the issue of reducing unnecessary exposure to adverse environmental conditions is naturally very important to me and particularly through what we've seen during COVID and the sort of revival of the movement to work from home and create different mechanisms to ensure the safety, first and foremost for our city's workers.

And workers from delivery workers to workers who are running this city. All of our agencies, our staff included and when the extent of the negative air quality became clear last month, without having any guidance myself, I immediately said, I'm going to be working from home and I need my staff to work from home too just to ensure that we are keeping ourselves safe. So, I recognize all the ways in which we are sharing out information but this is a larger

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

conversation about the health of workers for the long haul of the city's wellbeing and for us to be able to do our work, whether it's outdoors, indoors. And I know some companies are utilizing sensors around air quality monitoring and those are going to need to be some technologies that the city needs to research and bring on as we continue to build a more resilient city and adapt to these climate change, these impacts of climate change.

So, want us to really focus on ensuring that we are prioritizing workers first and foremost.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Thank you.

BETH DEFALCO: I would just like to add that DEP and I don't know if the case is with other agencies. We actually did robocalls for our entire workforce, so much so that I started to think it might have been a telemarketer who was calling repeatedly during the days but we did that in addition to emails and having supervisors talk to people directly because we have an emergency response, you know critical employees that have to stay on the job as well as those who can work remotely.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Well, that's good to know because it seems like it differs from agency to agency but based on some -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's what I was going to say. Do we know if other agencies did that because I have to say I'm getting different impressions about different agencies as to how they communicated. And your workers at DEP often do work outside. Maybe different than DSS. Do we know Commissioner?

ZACHARY ISCOL: This is one of the reasons that the OLR guidance was specifically for Commissioners to have some discretion. Because, like different agencies have different — do different things right? You know we have different workforces.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, I understand.

ZACHARY ISCOL: And then I think at the same time, we also wanted to make sure Commissioners had the ability to take care of the most vulnerable amongst our workforce and make exceptions or be supportive of their needs during this time.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, I mean I just think again, looking hindsight in the future, maybe even more specific guidance if we're having an outdoor fire ceremony. If people are working in the streets

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 at DOT, I don't know if they got the information.

3 So, yes you might think that I just think they need

4 more guidance. I would say that for your debriefing.

Council Member Gutiérrez, welcome and go ahead with

6 your questions.

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Thank you so much

Chairs and good to see you all. My questions will be
short because I think my colleagues have done a

really good job at I think elevating what our

concerns were on the ground and knowing full and well

that this is something that was new and that we

needed to remain flexible. I think I'll be honest

and say, I got my information from the news like

everyone else, I think before there was any City Hall

Press Conference.

My question is particularly to the impact on already existing environmental justice communities like mine in North Brooklyn for example. I know in the days after June  $6^{th}$ , which I believe that was the peak day, June  $6^{th}$ , June  $7^{th}$ ?

ZACHARY ISCOL: June 7<sup>th</sup>.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: June 7<sup>th</sup>, excuse me, so in the days after I know that the quality index in some areas of the manufacturing area was also very

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

scary. I don't know if it would be classified Code
Red or whatever but I'm just concerned about what is
the plan moving forward for communities that are
already impacted by existing poor air quality?

In my district, in the South Side, the playgrounds, of course they wanted to limit outdoor activity, sit on top of the BQE. We have significant manufacturing where people now live and also work and so, the quality index was really, really poor and I would just like to know knowing what we've learned since the beginning of June, what is the plan for outreach in existing EJ communities and specifically in these areas like myself in Council Member Avilés's District for tiny manufacturing where the quality just tends to stay poor for a lot longer. What is the plan? Specially in the context of these wildfires that we're expecting to go on for awhile unfortunately?

CORINNE SCHIFF: Well, I'll say first at the
Health Department and our sister agencies that we
share, your concerns and your interests and we know
that these burdens are not distributed equally
throughout the city and we, as all of our agencies
and including the Health Department messaging

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

especially to communities that are disproportionately burdened by air quality and conditions like asthma, we were doing outreach to those communities and we're going to continue to do that. We've now documented the recommendations that we were making during the event. On our website, we'll be sharing that. We were working with community-based organizations, faith-based organizations at the time and we will continue with our community outreach to get that information out to those communities so that people can be aware and prepared because we may continue to experience —

COUNCIL MEMBER GUITIÈRREZ: And when you say our and I don't want to interrupt you, I only have a few minutes. When you say outreach though, is it emails, flyers? What does that like?

CORINNE SCHIFF: So, we share information with community-based organizations as the trusted messengers in those communities. We have information at our neighborhood action centers and we have our own community outreach workers who are out speaking with New Yorkers and we use all of those methods, including also sharing information with your office and with the office of your colleagues, so that you

can help us to continue to educate New Yorkers about
the steps they can take when the air quality is poor.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

COUNCIL MEMBER GUITIÈRREZ: What's the — so I would and maybe this is more specific for those agencies but you know Wood Hall has some of the highest emission rates for asthma related incidents independent from this, so just really curious to see kind of how they were able to integrate like advisory to their community. Because I mean they're constantly getting people coming in for respiratory related issues, so would love to hear or just curious to see how they changed that for this incident. But for similar to what Council Member Hanif brought up about the private industry, again, my manufacturing area is pretty significant and again, the air quality just a week and a half ago was terrible in this particular area.

So curious is there is a plan, sustained plan for outreach about air quality in this area or areas where we see this is like habitually a problem independent from this. I'm really concerned because I don't believe that the private industry is incentivized in the way often times that is responsible for workers and these workers that are

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

loading and unloading and really susceptible to bad air quality, so curious if there is a plan in action. I know it's a little tricky because it's private but would love to know if that is something, a request

that I can make or how we can further that?

Welcome that conversation. The only other thing I would add to this is you know and Corinne spoke about sort of the importance of some of these community-based organizations but at New York City Emergency Management, we run a program called strengthening communities where we have now close to 50 community-based organizations that we fund and train in emergency response. I would love to invite you in to sort of learn a little bit about the program but also specifically see if there's any community-based organizations or networks in your district that we should be partnering with.

And I think those conversations, those relationships, you know they know their districts. They know their issues better than we do and they become such a critical partner to us in addressing some of these things. And so, I think that might also be an access point for us addressing this.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUITIÈRREZ: Yeah, I would love to be a part of that. Thank you. Thank you Chair.

ZACHARHY ISCOL: Yeah, thank you for your questions.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Council Member Gennaro.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Thank you Chair Brewer.

Yeah, I just wanted to follow up a little bit. This is directed to Commissioner Iscol. With regard to

10 the DEC air monitoring, I keep talking about this.

11 You recently, well just before you were talking to

12 | Council Member Narcisse and you framed your answer.

13 You indicated that within the constraints of the

14 forecast, you know within the constraints of the

15 | forecast and once upon a time when I came to the

16 office many years ago, you know New York State had a

17 | Brownfield's program and uhm, you know a pretty

18 robust program but it really didn't do right by New

19 York City because you know the main contaminate in

20 New York City Brownfields is not one that was — it

21 didn't qualify for the state program.

So, we went way out on a ledge and created like the first municipal Brownfields program in the country, which was a lot of yelling and screaming and

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

it still, you know the only one of its kind in the country.

My point is that you know the city took the initiative to create its own infrastructure you know so to speak to kind of deal with a pressing issue.

And you know before I — and yes, I am going to reach out to DEC and ask them about their you know capability and could it be more robust? They don't really have to listen to me.

I'm wondering if you think it would be a worthwhile endeavor for the city to consider building its own apparatus in terms of forecasting bad air.

You know for lack of a better way to put it. Do you think that is worthy of internal discussion within the Administration to you know create that apparatus? If you believe it's necessary, I'll take your guidance on this because you know it better than anybody.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, I definitely don't know it better than anybody. I'm not a meteorologist. I've made that clear but uhm, look, I think that there is — it's a really good question. Uhm, and I would want to go and speak to our on-staff meteorologist and some member you know from our DEP and others to

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

really talk about whether that would make sense before giving you an answer. But I'm happy to have a conversation with you about it and see if that makes sense.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: No, that would be great, so how should we do this? I'm sort of like an action person.

ZACHARY ISCOL: I can give you my card after the meeting or I can just have my team reach out and we can schedule something to have a conversation, invite you over.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: Yeah, that would be great and you know, thank you Beth and so if you could, because I'm in touch with Beth all the time, so if you could you know loop us all in on this and make that sort of like a formal request that that should be. I don't necessarily want to put my finger on that or put my thumb on the scale but I think it's a worth while question to just ask internally or whatever and maybe there are even I mean there are other entity. The city could do its own. There are other entities, I'll just pull a name out of the air like you know Columbia University, like you know, who knows.

\_

\_ \_

You know they got the [02:01:38] and all that.

You know whether there is capability that even if we don't build a new one here in the city, that we could sort of triangulate with to see. Because I'm hearing a lot about the constraints of the forecast. Now, the constraints of the forecast just could be the constraints of the technology and for us to build an apparatus, it might not be any better than the one that they have in Albany, maybe and maybe not.

So, I just think that's something that we — you know I think it's worth a conversation. You seem to believe that. Uhm, and that if you —

BETH DEFALCO: Yeah, we're happy to coordinate that. We're certainly to looking at - we can also monitor.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'll be waiting for your call okay. Alright, so thank you very much and so, that was what I had to add Madam Chair. And with that, my questioning of this good panel is concluded.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you Council Member.

I just want to say one thing about masks because I

did check with staff. Yes, Fire Stations on Notify

NYC, terrific listed where the masks are but when we

call, Lynn Schulman is just smarter than I am. She

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

115 called directly herself but the staff called and we were told there were no 95's. So, just add to your list in the future of debriefing that the mask outreach when it's called for, if there are or there are not but we were told there were no 95's, just FYI.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: So, uhm, Commissioner Iscol, just to add to your list of responsibilities. So, I attended an event in January with Organized Labor about the building of carbon free schools and uhm, so as we do developments of city buildings and schools and things like that, is there a way to make sure that air quality is built into that or some kind of method, so that the air quality is pure based on what's been happening? So, that's my question.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, you're speaking specifically at schools?

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Schools, any kind of public -

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah, I again, it's probably not the answer you want but I would defer you to DOE but I also know there was a lot of work done to HVAC systems, air purifiers during COVID to the tune of

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

thousands of installations. But I would defer to them to get you that information. That was also true of municipal buildings.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Because I think even if you know just a suggestion in terms of the protocol that we need to take a look at the buildings that we have and the ones in development like for example, City Planning Commission to say when they look at developments, when they get projects, before they certify them, maybe this is something they should look at as well.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Yup, great.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: So, the other question I have, I mean, I know H&H is not here, so I'm going to ask DOHMH but I would think that on a day that we had the extreme hazard of air quality, when people are being discharged from the hospital, would they get special instructions when they leave and get N95 masks? That's my question.

CORINNE SCHIFF: I will defer to H&H but I'll say again that we did issue guidance for heath care providers so that they would be able to counsel their patients.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Okay, thank you very

3

Commissioner Iscol, so one of the things that was

4

confusing during this whole process was that the

6

Governor's Office very often or have a press  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$ 

7

conference and we'd get notified as elected officials

much. Those were my - oh, I had I'm sorry, one other

8

the same time the Mayor or somebody on the city level

9

 $\Theta \parallel$  was having it.

10

So, is there coordination with them around this

11

and should there be better coordination?

12

ZACHARY ISCOL: With the Governor's Office in

13

particular? Yes, and you know throughout these

14

events, I'm in constant communication with Jackie

15

Bray my counterpart.

16

notified about a press conference at the same time

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Because we, I was

17 18

the city was having a press conference and it was

19

hard. Like, whose going to be where and where are we

20

going and all that, so I just wanted -

21

ZACHARY ISCOL: And I just, I don't want to

22

sound like a broken record here but part of this too is like, I think sometimes these press conferences

2324

are called. You've heard it here before, because of

25

the constraints of the forecast. Right when the air

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

monitors are hitting something and so, there is a time to target of you know having to be very quick but I do hear you.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: We just want to have one coordinated messages I guess is what I'm saying.

ZACHARY ISCOL: I would love that.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Alright, thank you very much.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Thank you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think that the Committee on Oversight and Investigations asks for a lot of this guidance. I think we sent a letter on June 21<sup>st</sup> and I know you answered some portion of it but there were documents related to some of the adjustments of city operations and you know things that we've mentioned, June 5<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup>. Whatever directives were issued from City Hall and from the Mayor's Office to City agencies, trying to clarify what we've been asking about, about which agency was doing what and also, I think included in their was guidance for shelters.

I know you mentioned it and then you did it.

Are you going to be able to put that in writing as to

2.2

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

what did take place in terms of those edicts so to speak? Will that be something -

ZACHARY ISCOL: Are you speaking specifically for DSS?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I'm talking, no I'm talking specifically from the Mayor's Office. In other words all of the edicts that would have gone out between June 5<sup>th</sup> to the 11<sup>th</sup> regarding this issue, and then in addition, there was a request for guidance. What went out to the shelters or whatever DSS.

ZACHARY ISCOL: So, I can't speak for the other agencies. I definitely can't speak for the Mayor's Office.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.

and would be happy to do that and I would just want to caveat that with the you know again; I sound like a broken record. Talk about sound like a broken record. We didn't have a forecast on the 5<sup>th</sup> or the 6<sup>th</sup> telling us that there was going to be a problem. So, right, we were amplifying the advisory, which is what we do up to dozens of times a year when we have a level of 100, which is you know really fine for almost every New Yorker. Right, it's unhealthy for

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

some groups. It's just above the moderate category, so you're not going to see a lot on the 5<sup>th</sup> or the 6<sup>th</sup> because we didn't have a forecast to that. The 7<sup>th</sup> was the first day where we said, okay, now we have hazardous air. Now we are starting to do a lot of the stuff that you're talking about because that was the day that we actually knew we had hazardous air

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So, according to our material, the night of the  $6^{\rm th}$ , reached 218?

and knew we were going to have hazardous air.

ZACHARY ISCOL: 218, there's one sensor in the Bronx that showed 218 in the Bronx and that is when we immediately started a lot of this coordination that we've been talking about.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and then it went to 254 and then finally as we know -

ZACHARY ISCOL: 484.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: 484, yeah. So, those were the — Alright but I'm just saying in order to get this information, you're telling us we have to write to the Mayor's Office and we have to write to DSS.

Its always my impression that in this extreme emergencies, you're agency, this is from my many years, really becomes the Mayor's Office in a sense

### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 121 2 of being in control and would in fact have all of 3 this information, maybe not today but would be able to get back to us, is that not correct? 4 ZACHARY ISCOL: That is not correct. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, so it's all the 6 different agencies are on their own in giving out the 7 information? 8 ZACHARY ISCOL: We are closely coordinating and managing but you know they are actually doing a lot -10 11 they are doing the work and the Mayor's Office is still running the show. 12 13 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, so in order to get the information as to what they sent out to parks or 14 15 to fire or whatever, we would have to call them or 16 contact them. 17 ZACHARY ISCOL: Or go directly to the Mayor's Office and -18 19 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And ask them to tell us 20 what the agency sent out. 21 ZACHARY ISCOL: Yeah. 22 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's not what I 23 understand how city government works but I appreciate

that. Are there any other questions?

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

ZACHARY ISCOL: And I will try and help you get that information as well.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, it just seems to me that you should be in charge when there's an emergency and the Mayor's Office should defer to you in getting the information to the public. Maybe we need a new law. I'm just saying. Alright, any other questions. Thank you very much if not. Thank you panel very much.

ZACHARY ISCOL: Nice to see you Chair Brewer.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The next panel is -

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We will now turn to public testimony. We will be limiting public testimony today to three minutes each. For in person panelists, please come up to the table once your name has been called.

For virtual panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give you the go ahead to begin. Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony and I will announce the first panel momentarily.

1 2

Alright, for our first in-person panel, we will hear from Jared Williamson, Joel Kupferman, Michael O'Hora and Joy Amanda. Please note that if you are here to testify in person, even if you registered to testify online, please see the Sergeants table to complete a witness slip, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Alright, whomever would like to begin, go ahead.

Good afternoon everybody. My name is Jared
Williamson, I am a Legal Intern from the
Environmental Justice Initiative. I want to thank
you for taking the time to hear my testimony today.
I am here to talk about the need for an increase and
improvement in cooling centers in the City of New
York. We at the Environmental Justice Initiative are
particularly concerned about access for these
resources for people with disabilities, especially
when seeking refuge from the Canadian fires, smoke,
incident as well as other similar environmental
dangers.

In accordance with the Americans with

Disabilities Act, individuals with physical or mental
impairments that substantially limits one or more

major life activities, uhm, discrimination for access

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

to resources is strictly prohibited by that law. And one of the things that we are concerned about is that in 2022, 22 percent of cooling center facilities were not wheelchair accessible. We strongly urge the City of New York to take the necessary steps to ensure that these cooling centers are handicap accessible.

Additionally, we strongly recommend that resource or supplies such as air conditioners and air purifiers are regularly updated in these cooling centers for individuals with asthma. We want to ensure cooling centers and clean safe air centers in the City of New York are a very valuable resource and we want to ensure that people with disabilities have equitable access to these facilities. And I will yield the rest of my time. Thank you so much for taking the time to listen today.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Next speaker.

MICHAEL O'HORA: Good afternoon. My name is Michael O'Hora, I'm a Law Student at the Elizabeth Haub School of Law at Pace University and I'm interning at the Environmental Justice Initiative with Mr. Joel Kupferman.

This summer, New York City was inundated with smoke from wildfires in Cabot Canada. The air

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

quality was incredibly unhealthy during the period in early June with an all-time record being set at 413 on the AQI scale on Wednesday June the 7<sup>th</sup>. While considered hazardous and the health warning of emergency conditions according to airnow.gov., the city's response was much to be desired. I would like to focus on the existing air quality problems in New York City if ever exacerbated by the city's nonresponse to this crisis. When proper precautions are not taken at construction sites, air quality can be worsened by the use of fossil fuel burning equipment and more importantly, the disturbance of contaminated soil that introduces contaminants, such as arsenic and led into the air.

During the air quality emergency in June, the city should have suspended construction activities to limit air quality from degrading further, but this has not happened. In the limited time I have, I would like to focus on one remediation site in particular at the NYCHA Jacob Reese Houses located between Avenues D and Franklin D Roosevelt Drive, spanning two super blocks from 6<sup>th</sup> Street to 13<sup>th</sup> Street. Construction activity at the site have disturbed the soil and caused it to become airborne

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

126

that's resuspending harmful compounds from the soil into the air but then enters resident apartments. We know that the soil contains alarmingly high levels of arsenic, led and other heavy metals and so, to does NYCHA and the City of New York. As the city has assessed 2007 for Con Edison, while the report may be dated, arsenic, led and other similar harmful contaminates are just simply elements on the periodic table, meaning that they do not degrade over time or break down into something else. Arsenic will always be arsenic and lead will always be lead. New York City has the jurisdiction to stop construction at NYCHA developments during a crisis such as this. NYCHA cannot be the sole agency responsible for Reese residents and all other residents as it has failed the residents in the past. As we know, all NYCHA developments are currently under federal monitorship due to the situation, lead abatements in resident apartments.

And the solution to this problem are not exceedingly complex. During this smoke emergency, the city should have suspended all construction activities and offered masks and other air filtering equipment, especially to its most vulnerable

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 127

residents, some of which live in public housing.

Even without the wildfire smoke, precautions could have been taken such as covering the soil and work is being done in covering windows and AC units for residents on the lower floors facing construction activity and providing air filtration equipment for

apartments causes to the construction activity.

In short, New York City failed to protect its residents. In a recent times article, Mayor Adams dismissed concerns from reporters that the city should have had plan in place for the smoke.

Professor Rebecca Bratspies from CUNY Law was quoted in the same article saying that the masks were distributed too late and that she herself have been monitoring the smoke since at least the previous week, so the city should have had some indication that such an emergency was going to happen.

The City of New York must do better going forward. Vulnerable populations are in these public housing developments, many of them with previous health conditions due to 911. They need to be protected along with all New Yorkers. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you, next.

2.2

2 JOEL KUPFERMAN: Thank you very much. Joel

2.2

Kupferman, Executive Director and Senior Attorney of the New York Environmental Law Initiative. I represent many community groups and workers after the 911 attack when nearby residents were told that the air quality was safe. And I think it's important to point out that it was DECs reports, initial reports that told us the air was safe and they depended on their monitors. So, I think it's really incumbent upon us not to just blame DEC but not to just totally rely on DECs warning system.

It's been brought up over and over again that this situation now is unprecedented, it's not. We have 911 to look at. We also have what happened with the COVID. I, as Michael pointed out, represent NYCHA residents where there's a lot of construction that's going on, where the soil is not covered. A lot of that's important is that it's the added accumulative effect of the dust from Canada and the local dust that's generated. New York City can control that dust by just enforcing the law and the regulations.

I represent Esther Regelson who lives at 109 Washington Street, a 911 survivor with cancer.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 129

There's a Brownfield site there, construction continued on the worst day, on the 7<sup>th</sup>. DEP, New York City sent an inspector in to check the site based on our complaint and we were told that they were just issued a violation because of visual dust but that DEP inspector wasn't even aware that this was a Brownfield site.

So, we have a major communication problem. The city's also liable that it's sending its old employees in to toxic sites during the worst times. Esther on the 7<sup>th</sup> had a reading of 569 based on this monitor that's only \$300, okay. The City Health Department and the state refuse to monitor indoor air. We heard today that that's the center where most of the problems are. They're also denying that dust is going into peoples air.

The city, Department of Health has a mandate and the equipment and the ability to monitor that air. I urge the City Council looking to DOHs responsibility and also I take real afront that we kept on talking about sensitive populations. New York City in terms of the EJ community and the elderly have the highest rate of sensitive things.

1 2

So, we have a lot of problem in terms of equal protection and also, there is, there is, we believe that we're asking that — okay, could I just finish?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, go ahead and finish quickly. Thank you.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay. We're asking —

California was mentioned. We're going to present a copy of the California Air Law. There's a lot that could be borrowed for. They have much better control over construction sites. And so, there's reporting and enforcement, we want to increase injunctive relief to DEP and DOH. DEP told us when they spotted that air, they have no control over stopping that construction. When we met shortly after with the Department of Buildings, DOB was never informed by DEP that there was air problems there. So, we have a major problem with interaction.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You need to wrap up.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Because we have your testimony and we will certainly read it.

JOEL KUPFERMAN: Okay, but I think it's important for the city not to just blame the state that there's a lot of enforcement abilities and that

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

131

especially we're concerned about ongoing construction at Brownfield sites and also the city workers have a right for them.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Absolutely, thank you very much. Next.

JOY AMANDA: There we go. My name is Joy from Queens New York. I've been in New York for almost 40 years. My birthday was actually this weekend and I'm pretty disappointed with City Council right now because I came in and the room was pretty full with my employees and now my employees are gone. And as someone who has employed City Council, who is supposed to hear exactly what the people feel, exactly the opinions of whoever comes in and they want to give a statement to their employees because we are the ones that are in charge, it's disappointing to see how many has left. Especially the ones that are on the Committee of Health. Two of your members are not here are actually on the Committee of Health and they're gone. A lot of them are gone. It honestly should be and from what I heard there's 51 City Council members. They should all be here. Every last one of them only for the simple fact that they all take an oath to office.

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

here to listen to the people.

2.2

yeah.

They all take an oath to the constitution, the State Constitution and the City Charter and it directly tells them what their job is and their job is to do the will of the people and they are not doing the will of the people. You take an oath. Everyone takes an oath. You take this job; you take an oath. Five USC 3331 says that you take an oath. You are supposed to uphold the will of the people, the rights

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Well, we're here. There's some of us here. We're here listening.

of the people, defend and protect them and no one is

JOY AMANDA: But you know that's not enough.

For the 8.4 million people that live in New York

City, just the six of you in here and it's actually

three of you because you Committee Counsel. It's not

enough and it's disappointing but the question is and

if I could get a response, do you take an oath?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, we all take an oath,

JOY AMANDA: Have you submitted your oath?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Submitted oath, yes, we have.

JOY AMANDA: Is it on file?

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 133 2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yes. 3 JOY AMANDA: Where can I find it? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Board of Elections City 4 5 Clerk. JOY AMANDA: Okay, do you take that oath 6 7 serious? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We do. 8 9 JOY AMANDA: Do you know that if you violate that oath that violations can be brought up on you. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, can I just say, feel 12 free to keep during your time period, we'd love to 13 hear your opinions also on the issue at hand today on the air quality. We would love to hear-14 15 JOY AMANDA: Well, I had to make that the 16 foundation of whatever my discussion will be with you 17 all because again, as the employer, I am disappointed 18 and when an employer is disappointed with any job that you have, they are going to reprimand you and 19 they're going to tell you where you're wrong. And 20 21 this is where City Council is wrong. City Council 22 says that they are going to do the will of the people 23 and they are going to speak on their behalf.

are going to pass these ordinances, make policies and

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 134 2 regulations but then they're not here to listen to 3 what the people have to say about that. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, I just want to be 4 5 clear, some people have other meetings. The people who brought the meeting, Chairing the meeting are 6 7 here. We're taking everybody's information very 8 seriously. Just so you know. JOY AMANDA: It's still disappointing. So, that needs to be on the record. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's fine. 12 JOY AMANDA: That the employer, the boss is 13 disappointed with the employee. 14 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, but now your time is 15 up. 16 JOY AMANDA: Well, I'm going to continue 17 speaking because it needs to be understood that City 18 Council only has one job to do. 19 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. 20 JOY AMANDA: And it's to uphold the will of the 21 people. To uphold the rights of the people. You 22 want to talk about the air, you want to talk about 23 air quality. You want to talk about public health. You want to talk about regulations, what could be 24

passed, what could be put in place but no one is

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 135 2 doing the will of the people or the rights of the 3 people and upholding it. 4 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We are here. 5 JOY AMANDA: You have no authority. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, we are here making 6 sure that laws get passed and we're listening 7 carefully to make changes. That's why we're here. 8 JOY AMANDA: And the laws would be for who? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The Oversight hearing is to 10 11 talk about air quality. 12 JOY AMANDA: And who are these laws for? 13 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: If you want to make some statements on that fine, otherwise your time is up. 14 15 JOY AMANDA: Who are those laws for? 16 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The people of the City of 17 New York. 18 JOY AMANDA: Who are the people of the City of 19 New York? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Ma'am, I can't go through 20 21 this. There are other people who want to testify about air. 22 23 JOY AMANDA: And you're going to be asked the same questions. 24

breath as it turned gray, orange, and then red. "What

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

the hell is this?" asked the Mayor a little too late as he stepped outside. By the time the Mayor had issued a statement late on Tuesday night, people were already getting headaches and chest pains, coughing, and/or having trouble breathing. Neighbors, kids, family, and friends were scared and confused as we asked ourselves, fan or AC? How bad is it to leave a

window open? What will this do to my health?

One of our members who has a son with asthma and is a head of a nonprofit CBO in the South Bronx didn't know what was going on and what to tell her son when asked but EM reaches out to CBOs and is doing everything they can on that front right? New York City residents were left again, on their own and, of course, those most vulnerable like the unhoused, delivery workers, and those with asthma and other health conditions, suffered the most consequences. Asthma related emergency visits spiked during this time and many areas in the city like the Bronx reached AQI levels higher than 400.

According to the Public Advocate's report, between June  $6^{\rm th}$  and June  $7^{\rm th}$ , the hospitalization rate in New York City jumped 81 percent to 317 ER visits on June  $7^{\rm th}$ . Unsurprisingly, the New York

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

City Zip codes with the highest numbers of asthmarelated emergency room visits during last week's smoke haze were disproportionately in low-income, predominantly Black and Latino communities, according to Gothamist's analysis.

138

According to EM Commissioner Zach Iscol today, these hospitalization rates are fine as he repeatedly said today. I guess when we're talking about Black and Brown communities, it's completely acceptable. This is direct contradiction to when he stated that EM "did everything to help vulnerable New Yorkers."

Despite how unhealthy and hazardous conditions were, the Administration didn't act swiftly and aggressively enough to alert the public and protect the most vulnerable. The administration failed to minimize the effects of the wildfire smoke by not providing timely notice and sufficient information and failed to lead a strong interagency coordinated response. While the event on this scale was unprecedented, the Administration could and should have done a lot more for a public health emergency at this scale, especially since as recently as two years ago, New York City skies also turned hazy on July 13, 2021 and California wildfires. With our local air

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

pollution levels spiking to unhealthy levels of over 100 AQI. So, this is not the first time this has happened in the city.

Commissioner Iscol kept talking about the forecasting constraints but there is air quality data information that's available in real time. While these may not be projections, there is a ton of information and resources available. Before

Commissioner Iscol said he had any information from the state. EPA updates federal monitors daily and I was getting the information alerts from Google Maps,

Google Maps alert. Sorry, I'm almost done.

The city could have cancelled outdoor events, activities sooner and instituted a clear community policy where all shelters would have to take in anyone in house seeking shelter, incurred other energy saving emission saving activities like minimizing car use, household appliance etc.

Some communities in New York City experience bad air quality and pollution today. And these communities again are being burdened by wildfire events as such as today. We must anticipate, sorry, I'm almost done. We must anticipate and prepare for the unforeseen in order to keep our city safe and

2.2

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 140

healthy. The city can't put New Yorkers in a position to respond and adapt to climate change completely on their own whether it be from wildfires, flooding or extreme heat because it will mean our communities will suffer the most. If the Administrations posture is to be defense and give polished non answers and say we are doing everything we can, then we are in trouble because things are getting worse. We may not be perfect as the EM Commissioner dismissably stated today but we can be better.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER:

EUNICE KO: And I didn't hear that
acknowledgement or responsibility from the
Administration today. Difficult to do is not an
accessible answer when climate change is increasing
and people are dying.

JANET HANDAL: Good afternoon. My name is Janet Handal and I'm here wearing I guess actually three hats. I'm President of the Waterside Tenants
Association and represent the 4,000 people living at Waterside. I'm also the President and Co-Founder of

TRACPAG, that's Transplant Recipients and

Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

141

immunocompromised patient advocacy group. You see that I came today wearing a mask almost the entire time. I'm speaking without a mask now because I have a sterilization device that gives me like a cone of safe air. I'm also a member of the National Advisory Committee of Johns Hopkins Global Health Security Initiative on Air Quality.

Vulnerable populations depend on you, the Mayor and the city agencies to keep us safe. In New York City there are about 275,000 immunocompromised. We ask you to step up your engagement on air quality both outdoor and indoor. First, I think you should raise public awareness on air quality both indoor and outdoor on what PM2.5 is, why people should care about it, what Co2 is and why you should pay attention to that when you're indoors. I would suggest that there be ongoing posting in the subway, on LinkNYC, on city websites so people are seeing this. Everywhere they go, 2.5 and what is that? Why do I need to know about that? What does that mean? Why it's better to have a good quality mask rather than a surgical mask?

They need to understand that. If you give people the information, they will take appropriate

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

air tags on passive airflow systems.

action. Implement a public education program weight the risk of 2.5 and other pollutants so people understand why they should wear high quality masks. Disseminate information on how to make do it yourself indoor air purification devices. They can be made very cheaply for about \$30 and provide a level of safety in the home. Direct building owners to close

I didn't hear anything about this today. In our building there are fans on the roof that blow air into every floor. Fortunately, our building shut them down but there was no citywide mandate. If you have a you know, building, so, all of these places that are supposedly safe indoors, when they're blowing all that air indoors, is it really safe? Should you mandate that they upgrade their filtration system on these air intakes because this is going to be happening again and again and again. Our buildings are 50 years old.

So, I'm sure they don't have robust air filtration. I also think it's important to modify multifamily buildings to have one switch shutdown.

So, that if an event of a bioterrorism event, in our building, they had to go to like four buildings you

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

know eight floors to shut down all the fans. You know they did it but that's not fast enough in certain circumstances. I encourage you to set guidelines for indoor air quality for Co2, PM2.5 and ozone and require public spaces to post visible monitors like this one I have right here. In Belgium they are requiring this in a public space that there be monitors so people know. Co2 is a proxy for the amount of aerosols that are in the air. So, in a time when COVID is very high and the Co2 level is very high, you know that's a dangerous place to be.

I would also suggest that you suspend the outdoor work and activity when levels go up a certain level. I saw construction workers working when the cloud was at its worst. You know, so how did that happen? You know that should be suspended. And then identify distribution stations, which will be used again and again, so that just gets grained you know engrained in peoples minds. When we have an air quality event or we have a COVID event and I need a mask, I know these are the five places I can look for a mask, the library, the fire station, the school......
You know, so that's it. Thank you very much. I appreciate it.

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 144 2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Next. 3 DANIEL LYNN: Hi, I'm just concerned, a free man 4 living in Queens New York and we have heard all the talk about what we brought to respond to air quality. 5 My question is, for my employees, what legislation is 6 7 being proposed for air quality? Who writes this legislation for the record? 8 9 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The legislation is drafted by the City Council drafting legislative staff. 10 11 DANIEL LYNN: Is that by the corporate council? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: No, no, City Council Staff 12 and then Corp Council and others look at it. It's a 13 14 long process. 15 DANIEL LYNN: Okay, well, you said Corporate Council. That's all I need for the record. Thank 16 17 you. 18 CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: I also want to let you 19 know that there are a number of bills that are being 20 introduced on air quality that I'm Co-Prime Sponsor 21 on that's being done by Council Member Keith Powers and Borough President Mark Levine. 2.2 23 DANIEL LYNN: That's good for the record too, because I was going to ask the question who are the 24

Co-sponsors. Just as a reminder, stating Marbury V

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

void. It can't be enforced.

145

Madison, all laws, rules which are to the

Constitution are null and void. So, any legislation
that's proposed by Corporate Council or Co-sponsored
by, whichever my employees want to co-sponsor it, if
it violates my rights as a free man, it's null and

And also citing [02:37:31], there can be no limitation on the power of the people of the United States of America. By their authority, the state constitutions were made by their authority, the Constitution of the United States. Because as was mentioned, my employees take a public oath to uphold the United States Constitution, the New York State Constitution and the New York State Charter. So, if my rights are violated, any legislation is null and void. It can't be enforced. You can submit any recommendations, suggestions, anything like that but at the end of the day, it's my decision as a free man living in New York to make that decision for myself, how I protect myself and all the other of your employers who employ you to uphold your oath. It's their right as free men and women to make that choice for themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And just to make it clear again, it's very
disappointing how nearly every one of my employe

2.2

well. Thank you.

disappointing how nearly every one of my employees has left this meeting. Everyone should be here to listen to the will of the people and that clearly is not being done. Not just when it pertains to air quality but when it pertains to other matters as

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. Next.

DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: Hello, hi good afternoon or morning. Uhm, I'm known professionally as DJ Black but you may call me Domina. I'm here to speak on behalf of myself, as an individual woman living on the land of New York. I would like to say though that I do volunteer with several groups, including but not limited to Log Cabin Republicans New York, the Conservative Republican Alliance. I also am the Co-founder of the Lex & 3<sup>rd</sup> Block Association and the Founder of TFK TWA. Training foster kids to work with animals, a nonprofit academic program. Our dual mission is to prepare foster kids for adulthood and help animals at the same time.

All my opinions, though I associate with all those groups, and views are my own and do not necessarily reflect the views of those groups. I

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

would like to make a brief statement and then I have a question.

My statement is that I was listening today and I was hearing a lot of appeal to authority and arguments, and if we look at history, these same agencies are the agencies that said it was okay to operate on infants without anesthesia because they said babies wouldn't remember and wouldn't feel pain. That was happening till the 1980's. Some of these organizations that they appeal to authority that is being used to make these decisions, are the same authorities that sterilized Puerto Rican women from the 1930's up until the 1980's, saying that it was for the public health.

I would also mention the geygie (SP?)

experiments. I may be saying that wrong, where Black

men were given cephalous so that the doctors could

see the effects of it. This was done in the name of

public health. So I get very concerned when things

are brought forth about being for the public health,

especially as a woman from the gay community and a

woman from who is Latino. We often are the ones who

get experimented on and it concerns me greatly that

the decisions that are being made are so shaky. Even

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

today there was arguments back and forth between who is telling the truth. Who has the facts? There's no substantial way to tell the air quality.

And also, I would like to say, could the person

who is from the Public Advocates Office please raise their hand. Okay, when the Public Advocate left, I asked him, I said, "is somebody going to be here for the public when we speak? You are our advocate." He said, "someone will be here." There's no one here. I would like to echo the sentiment of earlier citizens that it is not right that you leave, not you but that your peers and the people that we elect, our public servants who serve us, leave. Like, how do you know the will of the people if you don't listen?

And I'd also like to say as far as climate change, I'm 54 years old. Since I was 5 years old, I was told that the sky was going to fall regarding the weather. In the 70's, I was told the world was going to end in 2000.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You need to wrap up.

DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: I think that my point is made in that and I would also just like to say in wrapping up, please remember the Puerto Rican women who were sterilized in the name of public health.

	i de la companya de
1	COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE
2	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 149 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
3	DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: Please don't make the
4	same mistake. I bless you all. God bless you. I
5	think you have the intension but also, I would just
6	like to say, where can I find your oaths of office?
7	Because I have never heard of that before and I would
8	like to read them. Can you tell me where I can find
9	them?
10	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: They are all the same and
11	they are at the City Clerks Office.
12	DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: So, every single Council
13	Member -
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All the same, yup.
15	DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: And does the Public
16	Advocate take the same one?
17	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yes, he does but can I say,
18	there's a lot more people who want to testify.
19	DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: Okay, I just wanted to
20	ask that. That was it. That was my last question.
21	I just wanted to ask where I could find those.
22	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Thank
23	you all very much.
24	DOMINA DEBRENDA-BLACK: Thank you. God bless
25	VOII

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 150 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your 3 testimony. We will now turn to our final in-person panel, and we will hear from Morgurile Chandler (SP?), 4 Donna Ginell-Romo, Eric Rassi, Shanae, Tana, and Miles Aiken. 6 7 If you're here to testify in person and you have yet to hear your name called, remember please go to 8 9 the Sergeants table to fill out a witness slip. Thank you. 10 11 Once more, the final in-person panel will 12 consist of Morgurile Chandler (SP?), Donna Ginell-13 Romo, Eric Rassi, Shanae, Tana, and Miles Aiken. 14 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Whomever would like to 15 start, go ahead. Thank you. You could go ahead, go 16 ahead. Thank you. You could go ahead. Go ahead. 17 TANA: Good afternoon, my name Tana from 18 Brooklyn and I want to start off by also sharing the 19 same concerns as to half of this panel being nonexistent for the record. First question is, what 20 21 is your definition of public? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, this is usually you 22 23 testifying, so go ahead and talk about air quality

24

and then we ask -

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

2.3

TANA: So, based upon the answer is how I will proceed.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Public is the public speaking and the elected officials listening and the public being listened to. I'm here to listen to you. I'm an elected official. We're here listening very carefully and there are also people listening online.

TANA: Fantastic, so -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I would like to hear what you have to say about the air quality.

TANA: So, on the record that's your definition of public. So, now what's your definition of public health?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Can you testify? That's what I want to hear. If you have something to say, that would be great. I really want to hear what you have to say. That's what I need.

TANA: As men and women of the land of New York, when we come to these hearings and we share what we have to share and tell us what we're going to say, is not going to work, okay. You guys take an oath to the Constitution to adhere to your — the men and women of who you serve. So, again, what is your definition to public health?

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 152

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Public heath to me means we want to keep New Yorkers healthy and your testimony -

TANA: You want to keep all of workers healthy.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I do but can you. I mean, I really want to say there are a lot of people who want to testify about public air health. If you'd like to make your comments, they would be much appreciated.

TANA: So, the reason I ask is because when you implement any of these policies or laws, or bills, we want to know who exactly that is addressed to and when you look at the term say for example person, you say a lot in your paperwork, in your verbiage, a lot of that has the term person and public, public health and so forth. So, with that being said, the definition of a person will let me know whether or not what you're proposing holds any authority over the men and women of NYC.

So, a person, according to reference -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, ma'am you need to -

TANA: Section 7, -

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Ma'am, you need to, you need to wrap up. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

153

TANA: Define person as an officer or employee of the corporation, therefore these policies that you're looking to implement apply to you and with that, I yield back.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Go ahead, next person.

MARGURILE CHANDLER: Hi, can you hear me now? Okay, my name is Margurile Chandler and I live in Brooklyn and I'm a member of the Medical Freedom Party. We have 20 people running this November for City Council. One of the major issues we have is with the lockdown and with the mandated injections. It's come out that the so-called science that everybody claims was real science, didn't actually protect anybody. Didn't actually protect us and actually people died as a result and businesses were lost that aren't coming back. So, we're very concerned that this issue about air quality will turn into another mandated lockdown. Everybody wear masks and what other kind of dictate - you know it's dictatorial. It's basically taking - a mandate is a dictator, a dictation or an edict by from the Roman Times, by the Caeser, by the King.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 154

We gave that up. That's why we're Americans, that's our identity. Our identity is the Bill of Rights, okay. That's what gives us our identity as Americans. That's the only identity we have because all of us come from different places. That's what we are proud of and we can't have officers of the court or judges or governors telling us that we no longer have a right over our own body to decide whether we want to have an injection, a mask, whether we have to close down our business. We need to have our Bill of Rights, that's number one. And it is true when people bring up the oath of office, when you take an oath of office, you take an oath to defend that Constitution and that hasn't been done.

Now, all I know that I don't have time. I'm going to write up everything I want to say and I'm going to publish it and I'm going to send it to you guys, so you'll know the full story and the context of what I'm talking about.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. I appreciate it. Next.

DONNA GINELL-ROMO: Hi my name is Donna.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Push the button.

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DONNA GINELL-ROMO: Hi, my name is Donna and I am in agreement that I am not happy as far as the fact that what is being done already as far as these laws or policies and the fact that people aren't here. I would like to present something that furthers the negative impact of the air quality pollution and those effected, those with asthma. Those with problems with their respiratory as well as tinnitus and everything like that, and that is wireless devices, antenna networks and data centers are consuming an ever-increasing portion are the global energy supply based largely on goal. energy calculation, this is why reinventing the wires. Now, here we have something from the Center for Energy efficient telecommunications, wireless access networks are clearly the biggest and most inefficient consumer of energy in the cloud environment. 5G is considered an energy hog and you guys are talking about wanting to get to zero point and yet you have this kind of industrial pollution

You have already, you have an increased by 2015, this owner, wireless cloud will consume up to 43 TWH

that only impacts and furthers the negative impact of

everything that's already going on.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

much they suffer.

156

compared to only 9.2 TWH in 2012, an increase of 460 percent, hello? This is an increase in carbon footprint and this goes for 30 megatons of Co2 in 2015. The equivalent of adding 5.9 million cars to the roads. And we're trying to keep out the cars, right? And everything that's going on now, are we trying to get more towards that 15-minute city, as they call it? So, this has been just totally ignored. I, as a therapist, I know personally of people who have to live in their bathroom because it's shielded, sleep in a parked car in a garage because there's a shielding and also, you may know Maria, who is from New York City who committed suicide because of the suffering and isolation, which has been completely ignored by any of the organizations here in New York City as far as how

So, I am bringing that to as far as nothing is being done and we are just, there is always solutions for fast, safe and secure internet connections. So, that is what I am bringing to all of this as far as air quality, as far as what seniors, people with asthma, tinnitus, tinnitus if you want to call it and all sorts of other things. Thank you.

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. Next.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

ERIC RASSI: Hello, I'm Eric Rassi, from East 10<sup>th</sup> street in Lower Manhattan. Now, when the Commissioner was speaking, as he said, this is absolutely an unprecedented event occurring at the beginning of June. With that gigantic cloud of smoke, which we've never seen before in New York City and how did that happen? How could such a massive cloud emerge out of Canada? That's never come before. It's never happened before as you said, so there's only one way that can happen. 14 separate fires all erupting simultaneously. All erupting simultaneously at Southern Quebec, hundreds of miles apart. If one fire erupts and three hours later another fire erupts 100 miles away or four hours later, another the wind change will take the smoke in various directions. In order to produce a gigantic cloud of smoke like that, you have to have all fires occurring at the same time. So, that the smoke cloud concentrates and develops and carries forth in the same direction, the same concentration.

That's what actually occurred at 9:30 a.m. on the morning of June  $2^{nd}$ . Now how do we know that? There's a college in Illinois called the College of

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

DuPage, which monitors and aggregates information from the weather satellites that are above the economy of the United States. Now that college put out a video of the monitoring of what was occurring at Southern Quebec at that time and 14 separate fires, all erupted simultaneously and there are many miles apart.

It's not like one fire led to another. It all happened at once. Now, there are some arson investigations going on in Canada over various fires but as far as I know, nothing is happening with that investigation. You're the committee that can do something about that. This was an attack on this city. That massive cloud of smoke didn't happen in a natural way. So, I'm urging this Committee to look at the information that we'll provide to the Department of Emergency Management and also to yourselves. To take a look at how these fires could possibly erupt simultaneously.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.

That's very helpful. Thank you. Next.

MILES AIKEN: Thank you, let me make sure, can you hear me? Yeah, I can hear myself so I'm assuming you can hear me. My name is Miles M-i-l-e-s Aiken A-

#### AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 159 2 i-k-e-n and I'm from Harlem, and I'm from the Number 3 One sports youth saving program in the world, which is call the Rucker Park. That's not because I call 4 with that, that's because it's recognized as such. 5 The first thing I want to say -6 7 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's Bob's Park, right? That's Bob's. 8 MILES AIKEN: I'm sorry, did someone say something? 10 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I did. It's Gale Brewer. 11 That's Bob's Park. We call it Bob's Park. Go ahead, 12 13 never mind sir, go ahead. 14 UNIDENTIFIED: Bob's Park. Bob's Park. 15 MILES AIKEN: Oh, Bob's Park. That's Bob 16 McCulla who we call the - he calls himself the 17 Commissioner. 18 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Go ahead sir, sorry. 19 MILES AIKEN: But anyway, the first thing I want 20 to express because I know you've been here awhile and 21 we just here is I want to thank Congresswoman Brewer for - she's well documented and I know personally 2.2 2.3 that she's one of the most significant, open, caring politicians of this city. And through that, we have 24

the chance to hear all of the contributions and I'm

25

COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

160

not a paid political activist, okay. So, I want to make sure that I'm clear for me as a representative of Harlem at a low-income community that is documented now globally, low-income communities suffering in these types of disasters, okay.

The second thing I've asked to come and testify is because on my cell phone, I have documented that I spotted the initial ignition of the fires in Alberta Canada. Alberta Canada is the seat of the Canadian fossil fuel industries, which is still like the fossil fuel industries across the globe, refusing to close down because you, these wonderful people and your people there refuse to leave your houses and live in nature and give up your ten pairs of sneakers per person. And that is what is causing - that's one of the main causes of the well-documented now acknowledgement across the globe that human kind is in a climate crisis, okay. Now, in due respect to the gentleman that just left here, 14 fires is without a doubt excluded because I've spotted and I have it on my cell phone having text to my colleague because his family lives in California. I texted him and said, a fire just broke out in Alberta and I'm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 161 2 concerned that these smokes are going to come down on 3 the West Side of the United States. 4 Now, we also have to -5 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Sir, you need to wrap up if 6 you can. 7 MILES AIKEN: I need to wrap up? CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yes. 8 9 MILES AIKEN: Okay, I will wrap up. Uhm, the fact that this hearing is taking place, possibly on 10 11 the shoulders of Mayor Adams is erroneously placed. I understand it's timely. The politicians before him 12 13 have made similar errors and in actual fact, the Governor should have been included in this reputation 14 15 because the fire smoke came from the North and it 16 came from the second group of fires. 17 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Sir, you need to wrap up. 18 MILES AIKEN: Which is Nova Scotia and I will 19 end with, there are now 550 individual fires in 20 California. The Fire Fighters that came in from New 21 York, the French, said exactly this to New York City. "You're concerned about a little bit of smoke; these 2.2 2.3 fires are unstoppable." CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, sir, you need to wrap 24 25 up if you don't mind.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

MILES AIKEN: Okay, that is the wrap up.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.

2.2

MILES AIKEN: You have 500 fires, 250 being treated. The rest being allowed to burn and we think that they may go out this fall because fall is supposed to go cool and we're now heading into the hottest summer, into the hottest summer ever recorded on the planet earth.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much sir. Thank you so much. Next.

SHANAE: Hello, hi, I'm Shanae formerly known as Jane Doe to the court system. This is my first time speaking as a living woman under God, so I will ask that my words to not be misconstrued. I am a 33-year-old mother, two-time brain surgery recipient, and I don't want any pity but I do want to acknowledge that as I'm sitting here today with my son and my daughter and trying to keep my emotions under control. I do want to reiterate that you have on the top of your place, we the people, the government of the people, by the people for the people. I do not see any representatives for the public or we the people and again, just to reiterate what your laws are, there can be no limitation on the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

163

power of the people of the United States of America. 3 By their authority, the State Constitutions were made

4 and by the authority to the Constitution of the

United States of America was established as

[03:01:14]. 6

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The United States Supreme Court declares that the serenity remains with the people and resides with the people. That's [03:01:22]. No action can be taken against [03:01:25] in the non-constitutional courts of either the United States or the State Courts and any such action is considered the crime of barratry. Barratry is an offense at common law, so I'm not sure what we're under. It sounds like we're on a deprivation of rights under law. I don't see any action of ecclesiastics law. I'm not sure if we are noticing that we are under God at the end of the day with the air. You cannot control what happens when God does anything to the air or if you guys continue with your agenda for the air, for the people but I do request that you hear the people, report to us in a fashionably manner that we are being heard and not just ignored. Because no one is here to really see or hear anything that we're saying. I'll set for you all. I heard someone ask if there was a

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

representative. There is no one here for the people, so if you guys are for — I mean if you all are for the people and you are working for us or employees, where are the actions. You want to know our thoughts. When we say our thoughts it never gets heard.

And I just, I don't want to have a pity party, I just want you to realize that it affects us, my generation. I am a project of the system. Your court system, your child support, single family home. Now, I'm a single parent raising two children. So, it effects my children to be coerced by this city or any officials stating that we have to inject anything or take anything in our bodies that don't belong and I have disability issues and now my children have asthma due to the fact that we've been programmed to take these vaccines and been charged under authority. Like this is not how God's laws work if you understand the ecclesiastics law.

So, that's my time.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much and thank you to the entire panel. The next panel is a Zoom panel. Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

DONNA GINELL-ROMO: And I may mention that Maria committed suicide because of the pain that she was experiencing.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We will now turn to Zoom panelists. For virtual panelists, once your name is called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give you the go ahead to begin.

Please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering your testimony. We will first hear from Dr. Lucky Tran followed by Myra Batchelder followed by Maranda Stinson.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

DR. LUCKY TRAN: Hi everyone. Thank you for organizing this really important hearing. My name is Dr. Lucky Tran and I am a Scientist and Public Health Communicator who works at Columbia, a member of the COVID Advocacy Initiative and I'm a Climate Justice Organizer who has attended several climate meetings.

It's really important that we're absolutely clear here. We are in a climate emergency. We're experiencing the hottest days on record, one in thousand-year floods and levels of air pollution.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

This isn't a one solve. The science tells us that things will continue to get worse. The wildfire smoke we've been experiencing is harmful and let's get this right, it's not just the vulnerable at risk, we are all at risk. The small particles found in polluted air can cause serious acute and long term, yes, long term health issues like heart damage, lung damage, and possibly even cancer. The government needs to provide resources to protect people from the dangers of smoke and one of the most effective tools we have are N95 masks.

The city should be providing N95 masks for free because not everyone can afford high quality masks and many essential workers, they can't be inside, they need to be outside for their jobs. They should be provided free masks so that they can do their work safely. Climate change has unequal impacts. Providing free masks is one thing the city can do to address this inequity.

It was fantastic that the city and the state provided free N95 and KN95 masks at MTA stations at other locations during the smokiest days but honestly, it's not enough to do this just for a couple of days a year and is done far too late.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

Behavioral change takes time and you can't get an effective public health message across only at the last minute. To effectively protect themselves, people need to access masks in advance and they need to know where to get them all year round. And you know what else masks help with? Airborne viruses like COVID and the flu. In fact, many New Yorkers and the advocacy groups have been calling for free masks for these very reasons for the last couple years. Why are these calls being ignored? Why don't we have an easily accessible permanent free mask program in place by now? COVID's still around at high levels and harming many.

I urge the city to make it a priority to put in place a permanent free N95 mask program so that people can access free masks in reliable locations at any time of year. In addition to free masks, the city needs to get its act together about warning people in advance about the health threats like bad air quality and high levels of COVID.

Earlier warnings, more alerts, regular announcements on the news. More public signage, more coordination with trusted messengers is essential, as is investing in infrastructure to clean the air

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

indoors. Places like Korea, Taiwan, Belgium, Spain are doing this. New York should be a leader too.

Climate change is one of the biggest public health threats of our time. It would be absolutely reckless to ignore this and think that we don't need to make any big changes. We can't afford to go through more cycles of panic, neglect, panic, neglect. We need a long term and consistent game plan for climate. We need our public health policies to reflect that we

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll now hear from Myra Batchelder followed by Miranda Stinson followed by Leslie Vasquez.

are living in a climate emergency. Thank you.

MYRA BATCHELDER: Hi, thank you for holding this hearing. My name is Myra Batchelder and I lead COVID Advocacy Initiative. I want to thank the city for providing free N95 and KN95 masks during the wildfire smoke last month and to urge the city to put in place an ongoing free N95 and KN95 mask distribution program for the public moving forward.

Everyone has a right to protect themselves from unhealthy air quality as well as COVID, which is still a serious health concern. Protecting yourself

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

access free masks before.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

169

should not depend on financial ability to purchase masks but unfortunately it does. The federal government ended their free N95 mask distribution program in pharmacies around a year ago. New York City provided free masks directly to some businesses and organizations, however, there has been no public list available of where the general public could

Our organization and others have advocated for this months for the city to provide free N95 and KN95 masks to the public. We sent a letter signed by 18 organizations in December urging the city to improve and expand free N95 mask distribution and we met with some city officials. We urged free N95 and KN95 masks to be given out at multiple community locations that provide free rapid tests at other locations and urge the city to advertise where the public can access free N95 and K95 masks. We have had many community members reach out asking how to get free masks. Previously, 311 directed people to the federal problem. However, after program ended, when I personally called 311, I was told they were telling people just to go to dollar stores to get cheap surgical masks. Our organization and many community

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

groups have been providing free masks for the public but we need an expanded ongoing program lead by city where the general public knows where to access free N95 and K95 masks.

It was great to see free masks being provided to the public during the unhealth air quality last month. However, it was frustrating that people had to go out in unsafe air quality in order to access them. It would have been much better if people had access to free masks ahead of time.

We also urge people handing out free masks to the public to wear masks to help protect people from COVID as well. It was striking to see all the photos of people that were handing out massive vibes but we know that COVID is still a risk and they were largely handing them out while unmasked themselves.

It was striking also when news reports in

February showed New York City had excess N95 and KN95

masks, however the city sold them off for pennies on

the dollar instead of providing them to the public.

New York City air quality has been at unsafe levels

and is expected to continue. New York City waste

water is still showing high levels of COVID. COVID

and long COVID are still a risk. We need to help

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

you for the time today.

2.2

people protect themselves and their families. New York City needs to provide free N95 and KN95 masks to everyone who needs them and make them accessible to the public in known locations and we need this to be an ongoing program. In addition, New York City needs to invest in clean air infrastructure, including air purification. And the city also needs to improve alerts and public education for the public. Thank

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you for your testimony. We'll next hear from Miranda Stinson followed by Leslie Vasquez followed by Cynthia Norris.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time starts now.

MIRANDA STINSON: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Miranda Stinson, I live in Queens, New York, and I'm here today to talk about grassroots community responses to the recent air quality emergency, and to compare those grassroots responses with the response from city officials, and I do want to apologize if there are any sound issues. Like many New Yorkers I have substandard air conditioning, so I've got kind of a loud fan going beside me but I hope that you can hear me okay.

2.2

So, I'm speaking to you today as a founding member of a grassroots public health collective called COVID Solidarity NYC. We were formed in response to the ongoing COVID pandemic and the erasure of disabled and medically vulnerable people from society, from health care institutions etc.

However, we've also been active in the emergency response to the wildfire smoke, and that's because we were prepared to and in many ways, better prepared to than the actual city officials were.

In the month of June, working with our partner organization, The People's PPE, which is a student led group based both in New York and in Connecticut, we distributed more than 8,000 masks. That was just in the month of June and in specifically in the week of the air quality emergencies, we were able to get masks out to organizations and individuals doing pop up emergency community distributions in Brooklyn, Queens, Manhattan, and the Bronx, so all boroughs except for Staten Island. Many of these were organized at extremely short notice, via text messages and social media, but we were able to pull it off. And I want to mention that because sorry — I lost track of my thought a little bit but we were

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

able to pull it off at short notice, I guess is the important thing to mention.

And a lot of that involved us also just like you know dropping off donations of masks to people, which meant our membership who are largely disabled and medically vulnerable, being out in this unsafe air, even though we really shouldn't have been.

So, personally, I can attest the systems that I had were migraines, to the extent that they effected my mobility. Burning throat, burning eyes, and chest pain. You know I would somebody who should not be outside in the smoke but I needed to be to be able to get these masks out to people.

So, to contrast that, what we were able to do with the government's response? There was as many, many people have mentioned today, there was little to no communication until the emergency was in full swing. And just as an example of that, I work at a school public health, I was in the office on Wednesday the 7<sup>th</sup>. I would not have come into the office if I had realized how bad it was going to be. There were many, many people in the office, none of whom had any idea and this is highly educated people who work in public health, there was no

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2

4

8

10

11

16

17

24

174

communication. And then you know, as some people

have mentioned, very few locations to pick up masks. 3

I hear the things that were said earlier about the

distributions that were supposedly done but I

certainly didn't see them. The only place that I was 6

7 able to access masks being handed out from the city

was at Grand Central Subway Station.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time ran out.

MIRANDA STINSON: And the masks themselves were inadequate and not being handled in a manner that you

12 know was compliant with any kind of safety

13 regulations. So, these are the masks that I was

given at Grand Central. As you can see, they were 14

15 not individually packaged.

> CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. need to wrap up, thank you.

18 MIRANDA STINSON: Okay, right, well okay, I do

want to emphasize, these are not appropriate masks to 19

be given out. I was going to show examples of the 20

21 masks that are more appropriate. These are the types

2.2 that we give, it's the 3M which is the top of the

2.3 line that health care workers use. This is also

manufactured 3M and Deflex N95. These are both

25 appropriate.

#### COMMITTEE ON ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION, RESILIENCY AND WATERFRONTS JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS AND THE 1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 175 2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you. 3 MIRANDA STINSON: These are the masks that are 4 used by construction users, not appropriate. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. MIRANDA STINSON: Also, I would like to just say 6 7 that our organizations supports the demands of the COVID Advocacy Initiative for a city funded mask 8 distribution program and ideally one that mails masks to people so that they don't have to go into subway 10 11 stations and police precincts to access safety materials because that's not safe for them to do. 12 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. 15 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Leslie Vasquez 16 17 followed by Cynthia Norris followed by Mbacke Thiam. 18 SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin. 19 LESLIE VASQUEZ: My name is Leslie Vasquez and I 20 am a Bronx resident and the Clean Air Program 21 Organizer at South Bronx Unite. I am here today to 2.2 express my deep concerns regarding the lack of 2.3 response from New York City government to the recent air quality emergency caused by the devastating 24

wildfires in Canada. It is evident that the city's

2.2

2.3

equities.

response was inadequate, especially considering the vulnerability of communities such as Mott Haven and Port Morris in the South Bronx, which are already grappling with numerous challenges. Mott Haven and Port Morris have been overburdened by an alarmingly high concentration of polluting facilities and infrastructure for decades. Our community is ground zero for power plants, waste transfer stations, and other industrial facilities that result in severe air pollution, toxic emissions and overall environmental degradation. The impact of poor air quality on public health can not be overstated. Our residents are among the most vulnerable in our city facing a

The worsening air quality resulted from Canada air wildfires exacerbating these existing challenges and disproportionately affected the health of the residents in our neighborhood. We already face higher rates of asthma, cancer and other respiratory illnesses compared to other parts of the city.

Despite the known vulnerability of our communities, New York City government failed to provide the necessary resources from the outset. It is deeply

myriad of socio-economic disparities and health and

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2 disheartening that an emergency re

2.2

disheartening that an emergency response plan tailored to address the unique needs of these neighborhoods was not in place.

The residents of Mott Haven and Port Morris deserved immediate access to health care services, including respiratory support and information on how to protect themselves from hazardous air conditions. Our city should have had a robust system of health resources readily available to respond to emergencies. Ensuring that individuals in vulnerable communities have access to the care they desperately need. Increased rates of respiratory illnesses, exacerbation of pre-existing conditions and diminished quality of life, a just a few examples of the dire consequences resulting from a lack of a timely response.

It is imperative that we hold our city's leadership accountable for their negligence and demand immediate action to rectify the situation.

New York City government must prioritize the health and wellbeing of its residents, particularly those in marginalized communities who continue to suffer from decades of discriminatory public policies and poor planning decisions.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

New York City government must implement robust policies, robust emergency response plans, ensuring adequate health care resources and proactively addressing environments concerns. And beyond this emergency response, it is well past time that the Mayor and policy makers direct adequate resources to ensure that South Bronx residents can simply breath clean air. Thank you for your attention and consideration.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Cynthia Norris followed by Mbacke Thiam followed by Hayden Brackett.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

CYNTHIA NORRIS: Hey guys sorry, I just have trouble unmuting. Hey, my name is Cynthia Norris and I'm a mother of two and a resident of Brownsville, Brooklyn.

Last month, even before the skies turned orange,
I had to take my asthma treatment. The prednisone
pill I take, it makes my body shake and it prevents
me from being able to breastfeed my newborn. On the
morning when the city air quality was worse in the
world, I had to choose between pumping breast milk
for my child or taking another pill for my asthma.

1 COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

When you're breastfeeding, you can't be stressed or worried. Like, you know because then the milk won't come out because even if you're worried, right?

As I walked downstairs, I started to feel my chest get tighter, even before I even went outside. I'm glad I wasn't rushed to the emergency room, but what I was worried that I may have to go to the emergency room. Like many other New Yorkers with asthma, I would never want anybody to go through this, right? But I fear that I have to right even if this was to have occur again.

In 2019, the New York City Council passed a landmark climate law, Local Law 97. With 70 percent of our city's climate-heating pollutions coming from energy use in the building, this law will cut pollution from the buildings and it will help me breathe easily and save money for me and my family by lowering the utility bills and create tens of thousands of jobs across the city. Four years later, we will still be waiting for the final rule to be released by the Eric Adams Administration and that Mayor Adams will amend the city — sorry, sorry, sorry, stop, stop, stop. City Council amendment by weakening the law, delaying it even longer.

2.2

Meanwhile, we have worsening air quality and flooding and it's hurting our health even worse, right? The New York City Council must ensure that Mayor Adams does not gut the important climate law and cave into the real estate and weaken it by delaying the Local Law 97. Thank you for having me and thank you for having us, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your testimony. We'll next hear from Mbacke Thiam followed by Hayden Brackett.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

MBACKE THIAM: Hello everyone, my name is Mbacke Thiam. Hello, can you hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

MBACKE THIAM: Yes, thank you. My name Mbacke
Thiam, I am the Housing and House Community Organizer
at Center for Independence of the Disabled, CIDNY.
CIDNY is the voice of the people with disability in
New York City. We are a nonprofit organization
founded in 1978. We are proud of the imminent living
center movement. A national network of grassroots
and community-based organizations that enhance
opportunities for all people with disabilities to
[03:21:23] their own lives.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

SERGEANT AT ARMS: You may begin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much for your

testimony. We'll next hear from Hayden Brackett.

181

I'm here to testify about the danger of reallife smoke and the air quality emergencies. Many of the particles in the wildfire smoke have a detrimental impact on our health system. These particles are so small that they enter and lodge deep in the lungs. The particles trigger asthma attacks and heart attacks and strokes. That means that they can kill someone with a lingering illness. So, people who are people who may not have health care coverage but also people with disabilities and people who are middle class or may not have the abilities to get themselves healed.

So, I'm here to say that we are expecting from the Mayor and all elected officials, including Council Members and the Governors to find drastic measures in helping our community, in helping New Yorkers, and we also want to find ways that will be safe for children with developmental disabilities. Thank you so much. I'll leave you better [03:22:51] in my testimony. Thank you.

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

182

HAYDEN BRACKETT: Thank you. You able to hear

me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We can hear you.

HAYDEN BRACKETT: Wonderful. My name is Hayden Brackett and I'm testifying today because about air quality because last months air quality emergency shows us how important it is to protect our lungs every day. I'm grateful to Chairwoman Brewer, Chairwoman Schulman and Chairman Gennaro and all the members for today's hearing, and I want to speak directly to you about legislation. I know a piece of - a question about legislation came up earlier and I'm asking that Chairman Gennaro schedule a vote immediately on Introduction 684, just presently pending before the Committee on Environmental Protection. I'm asking Chairwoman Brewer and Schulman who already support this legislation to urge that a vote in fact happened. There's already been a hearing, there's a few technical fixes that are already in the works and we just need to vote because this important common-sense bill will improve New York's air quality overnight.

My son's and I live on the Upper West Side of Manhattan and we're just next door to Chairwoman

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

Brewer's district. We're exposed like all New
Yorkers, every day to far too much air pollution.

And pollution from idling cars, trucks and buses is
especially troubling because it serves no purpose at
all.

And so, while we cannot solve Canadian wildfires here in New York, we can control the baseline air pollution in New York City. We have in New York the most successful citizen environmental program in the world, which lets ordinary New Yorkers work with the Department of Environmental Protection to enforce air quality laws that have already been on the books for 50 years. And I was glad to hear today that Ms. Falco from the DEP mentioned in her testimony the importance of cutting the pollution that we emit here in New York. I agree completely. And that is why I am urging Chairman Gennaro to schedule a vote on Intro. 684 immediately.

It already has a majority support on the Council and it's a simple common sense bill that will increase the fines on motor vehicles that illegally and wastefully idle their engines in our city. The current fines are unfortunately too little. They're just the cost of doing business for big companies

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

like Amazon and Con Ed and Verizon. They let their trucks idle and they pay the existing fines because that's just the cost of doing business because even the maximum penalties are too low to have an impact and that needs to change.

Intro. 684 will make idling penalties have a meaningful effect and Intro. 684 will very likely cause companies to stop idling overnight. That will ensure that when the next time, smoke from California or Canada wash over our city, our air here will already be cleaner and when we improve that baseline, we will then be better adapted to climate emergencies in the future. And I'm hopeful that Chairman Gennaro will schedule a vote on Intro. 684 immediately and that Chairwomen Brewer and Schulman will also support that as well. And I really appreciate today's hearing and thank you so much and please, please, bring Intro. 684 up for a vote. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: I'll take this opportunity to speak on 684 briefly. This is a top priority for the Committee and for the Council. The bill is currently being negotiated and other than saying it's a top priority for the Council and for the sponsor and for me. I'll just leave it at that. So, this

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

2.2

bill is in its final stages of negotiation, so thankyou for your interest in Intro. 684.

HAYDEN BRACKETT: Thank you. I'm grateful Chairman, we're looking for a clean, single vote on that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GENNARO: You bet.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair Gennaro and thank you very much for your testimony. We have now heard from everyone who is signed up to testify. If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in person, please visit the Sergeants table and complete a witness slip now.

If we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify virtually, please use the raise hand function in Zoom and I will call on you in the order of hands raised. Seeing no one else, I would like to note that written testimony, which will be reviewed in full by Committee Staff maybe submitted to the record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing by emailing it to <a href="mailto:testimony@council.nyc.gov">testimony@council.nyc.gov</a>. And I would like to now turn it back over to Chair Brewer.

CHAIRPERSON SCHULMAN: Hi, before Chair Brewer speaks, I just want to thank everyone for participating today. This was a very robust hearing

and we look forward to follow up with the

Administration and with advocates. Thank you very

much.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. I want to echo those words and state that we will take every testimony seriously. We will follow up with the Administration in writing but more importantly, we want to say to the public, we hope that this is a process that improves in the future despite whatever took place in the past. The whole purpose is to keep people healthy now and in the future. Thank you very much. This hearing concludes and thank you to the staff. [GAVEL]

#### 

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date \_\_\_\_\_ June 23, 2023