1	COMMITTEE ON I	ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY	
1		COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 1	
2	CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK		
3		••	
4		X	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES		
6	Of the		
7	COMMITTEE ON ECON- JOINTLY WITH THE		
8	LAND USE	x	
9		June 29, 2023	
10		Start: 1:53 p.m. Recess: 5:02 p.m.	
11			
12	HELD AT:	COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL	
13	BEFORE:	Amanda Farias, Chairperson for the Committee on	
14		Economic Development	
		Rafael Salamanca Jr.,	
15		Chairperson for the Committee on Land Use	
16			
17	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	Speaker Adrienne Adams	
18		Alexa Avilés Jennifer Gutiérrez	
19		Ari Kagan	
20		Kevin C. Riley Rafael Salamanca Jr.	
21		Inna Vernikov Erik Bottcher	
22		Farah N. Louis Darlene Mealy	
23		Selvena Brooks-Powers Francisco Moya	
24		Shaun Abreu	
ـ ـ			

1	COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE	2
2	COUNCIL MEMBERS: (CONTINUED)	
3	Carlina Rivera	
4	Pierina Ana Sanchez Tiffany Cabàn	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 3			
2	APPEARANCES			
3	Carolyn Grossman Meagher			
4	Director of Economic Development and Regional Planning at the New York City Department of City Planning			
5				
6	Kitty Chan Department of Small Business Services			
7	Neil Padukone			
8	Office of Talent and Workforce Development			
9	Jennifer Sun New York City Economic Development Corporation			
10	Lindsay Greene			
11	Brooklyn Navy Yard			
12	Chris Walters Senior Land Use Policy Associate at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing			
13	Development, ANHD			
14	Tim Dumbleton			
15	Chief Operation Officer of Microgrid Networks			
16	Brady Meixell Southwest Brooklyn Industrial Development			
17	Corporation			
18	Quincy Ely-Cate Director of Industrial Business Development at			
19	the Business Outreach Center, BAC Network			
20	Krista Starrett New Town Creek Alliance			
21	Raul Rivera			
22	TLC Driver			
23				

1	COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 4	
2	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)	
3	A F F E A K A N C E 5 (CONTINUED)	
4	Ashley Saunders Resident of 315 Amber Street	
5	Peter Goldberg Organizer with Tenant Union Flatbush	
6		
7	Scott Van Campen Executive Director and Co-Founder of Makerspace NYC	
8		
9	Charles Yu Vice President of Economic Development at the Long Island City Partnership	
10		
11	Leah Archibald Executive Director of Evergreen	
12	Rami Dinnawi	
13	Environmental Justice Campaign and Policy Manage at El Puente	
14	Kevin Garcia	
15	Transportation Planner with the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance	
16	Brian Coleman CEO of the Green Point Manufacturing Design Center	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

2.2

2.3

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Check one, two. Check one, two. This is a prerecorded sound test for the Committee on Land Use joint with Economic Development. Today's date is June 29, 2023. It is being recorded by Michael Leonardo in the Council Chambers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the hearing on Land Use joint with economic development. At this time, we ask that you silence all cellphones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing.

If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record you may do so at landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. At any time throughout the hearing, please do not approach the dais. Thank you, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: [GAVEL] Good afternoon and welcome to this joint hearing of New York City

Council's Committees on Economic Development and Land

Use. Today is June 29, 2023. My name is Amanda

Farias and I have the privilege of Chairing the

Committee on Economic Development. I would like to

2.2

2.3

with the committee on Land USE 6 extend my thanks to the members of both Committees, the Administration and the many advocates from the Industrial Manufacturing Sector for coming today for this hearing. It has definitely been a marathon to get here, so thank you all for joining.

New York's industrial businesses are an essential component of the city's daily operations, playing a vital role in the functioning of our supply chains and critical infrastructure. Industrial businesses encompass various sectors, such as manufacturing, wholesale trade, transportation, warehousing utilities, construction, and media recording, employing over 520,000 people and accounted for nearly 13 percent of the city's private workforce.

The industrial sector and large industrial sites are necessary to facilitate New York's transition from fossil fuels to green energy, to transform the city's energy grid, construction supply chain, and freight network in order to reach 100 percent clean power by 2040 and eliminate 80 percent of Green House Gas emissions by 2050. Industrial sector jobs also provide career pathways to the middle class for hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers, with a workforce that is over 80 percent people of color.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Industrial jobs offer relatively higher wages compared to many service sectors. Manufacturing, wholesale trade, transportation and warehousing jobs all provide average annual wages of \$53,000, considerably higher than the \$33,000 average annual wage for jobs in the retail, restaurant hospitality sectors.

Unfortunately, there has been а consistent decline to traditional industrial jobs in recent years, partially due to the pandemic and partially due to challenges posed by the city's allotted manufacturing zoning framework. The zoning districts were established in 1961 and envisioned a much different future for the city's industrial areas. Excessive requirements for parking spaces and loading docks limited density allowances and a lack of distinction between areas essential for And those suitable for mixed commercial industry. and industrial use hamper the growth and retention of industrial businesses within the city fail to align with the needs of existing businesses and discourage new development.

In light of these challenges, I sponsored Intro.

1012, which proposes a five-year planning cycle for

city agencies to analyze economic trends, growth opportunities and challenges in the industrial sector. It requires collaboration between Department of City Planning, the Department of Small Business Services and the Economic Development Corporation to formulate a citywide industrial development action This would not only support industrial plan. development but also expand and retain industrial businesses and jobs, facilitate coordinated planning for city infrastructure and green energy needs and ensure the resiliency of supply chains for essential goods and services.

At today's hearing, the Council looks forward to gathering feedback from city agencies, the business community and the public on the framework proposed by Intro. 1012 with any additional ideas on how to best achieve the expressed goals to support industrial development. By aligning the city's land use policy with infrastructure investment, linking workforce development with new job creation strategies and collaborating with advocates in the industrial and manufacturing sectors, we can shape a future where industrial development thrives offering quality

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

employment opportunities, equitable wages and a more sustainable and resilient city.

Before we begin, I would like to thank the Economic Development Team here at the Council, Senior Counsel Alex Paulenoff, Senior Policy Analyst William Hongach, and Finance Analyst Glenn Martelloni or all their hard work preparing for this hearing.

I will now turn over the floor to my Co-Chair Rafael Salamanca for his opening remarks.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Good afternoon and thank you Chair Farias for the introduction to today's joint hearing of the Council's Committee on Economic Development and Land Use. This joint hearing between the two Committee's reflects the goals of this proposed legislation to coordinate economic development and land use policy and proactively plan to grow and improve New Yorks industrial sector.

My district in the South Bronx is home to one of the largest IBZ's, Hunts Point and Port Morris, which is one of the city's most significant industrial areas, including the Hunts Point Produce Market. Our central hub for citywide food distribution. In my district, we know how important the industrial sector

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is in supporting the city's infrastructure and supply chains and providing good jobs.

We've worked closely with EDC to invest in the market and improve its infrastructure but we also know how poor and uncoordinated planning outside of the city owned properties holds back the industrial potential creative sectors and real negative consequences for local communities. Without better planning for sustainable freight and last mile delivery, Amazon and E-Commerce warehouses are popping up throughout the district, including on the sites with direct freight real access that could be critical for future green industries. Thousands of trucks have been added to the neighborhood with no plan to address the consequences.

We cannot allow environmental justice communities adjacent to industrial zones like Hunts Point, Red Hook, Sunset Park and East Williamsburg to once again be burdened with the consequences of New York's failure to plan. We can also see the effects of the 1961 M1-1 and M3-1 zoning in my district. Blocks and blocks of decades old one-story warehouses and open lots where the current zoning makes it very difficult for businesses to build new or to expand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

proposed legislation Intro. 1012, will ensure coordinated planning for each IVZ that can both grow industrial jobs and improve the environment and infrastructure for surrounding communities. the Zoning front, the Council continues to urge DCP to add reform of the manufacturing districts to the zoning for economic development upcoming amendment. We look forward to hearing from the city agencies and the public today on how we can best advance our shared goals to better plan. Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: And before we begin, I'd like to acknowledge the Council Members who have joined us today. Council Members Riley, Kagan, Bottcher, Louis, Mealy and Moya virtually. I will now turn it over to Committee Counsel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good afternoon. Alex Paulenoff, Senior Counsel, will the members of the Administration who are testifying today please raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before these Committees today and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

Thank you. You may begin when ready.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Good afternoon Chair Salamanca, Chair Farias and members of the Land Use and Economic Development Committee's. My name is Carolvn Grossman Meagher, I'm the Director of Economic Development and Regional Planning at the New York City Department of City Planning and I really thank you for the opportunity to be here today to discuss industrial Policy and Intro. 1012. joined here by Kitty Chan of the Department of Small Business Services, Neil Padukone of the Office of Talent and Workforce Development, Jennifer Sun of the New York City Economic Development Corporation and Lindsay Greene of the Brooklyn Navy Yard. And they represent agencies and organizations that also play a critical role in shaping the Administration's economic policy.

This Administration is committed to smart, innovative, and equitable strategies to grow these city's industrial sector. And I'd like to start summarizing todav by some recent interagency collaboration around planning for the industrial Share a bit of background research and I'm sector. going to start specifically with what we believe constitutes the "industrial economy. And you know,

13

we think that a fact basis is a really important place to start and a data booklet with the key statistics I'll mention throughout my testimony today, as well as more detailed definitions are attached to your testimony. We'd be happy to follow up with any Council Members who are interested in hearing more from us about the facts and figures we present.

perspective So, from our in this common definition, the industrial sector represents three big categories of economic activity. Business and workers who make things. Business and workers who move things and business and workers that provide industrial services or support the other kinds of business activity. So, the businesses that make things include: Our construction industry 139,000 New York City jobs; our manufacturing industry which has 57,000 jobs; and the production of information and media including film and TV with 64,000 jobs. The businesses that move things include everything related to transportation and logistics, which represents 125,000 New York City jobs, as well as wholesale trade, which is 121,000 jobs.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

And lastly, the businesses that support and provide services like waste management and auto repair, which includes 43,000 workers at our utility companies and other industrial service businesses. Altogether, that's 550,000 people employed at 48,000 industrial businesses in New York City, which represents 14 percent of the city's total private sector employment.

From 2012 to 2022, the city gained about 40,000 new private sector industrial jobs and grew by about eight percent. However, that growth is slower than the citywide average growth of employment of 18 percent and slower than 20 percent growth of office space jobs and much slower than the 38 percent growth in education and health care jobs over the same period.

But within that industrial economy, there's a much, a very picture of growth in decline. Over that decade, we saw considerable gains in construction, production of film and media, telecommunications, transportation and warehousing that outpace the city averages. At the same time, other industrial subsectors primarily manufacturing in goods wholesale

2.2

declined, which was a continuation of a decades long pattern.

15

But despite that slow growth, the industrial sector remains today an incredible important source of good jobs that are accessible to a wide range of New Yorkers. 30 percent of the jobs in our city that do not require a bachelor's degree but do pay more than \$50,000 a year are in the industrial sector. And we also know that 70 percent of industrial workers are persons of color, 52 percent are foreign born, which skews higher than the average for all other industries.

So, this sector punches above its weight helping the city maintain an economy that is diverse and inclusive. And it's also very important to note it's not just about jobs and job growth. The industrial sector also houses our critical infrastructure, which in many cases isn't that job dense, right? Think about a power plant, or a bus depot. These uses may not significantly contribute to job growth for the city, but they are extremely important to the basic functioning or our whole city. And it's integral that these businesses also have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

24

somewhere to locate, and that we enable them to operate safely and successfully.

16

So, building on this baseline understanding, of what we mean by the industrial sector, our goal as an Administration is to retain and expand the industrial job base that is critical to the functioning and growth of our city, as well as to help the sector modernize and evolve along with the city, and to ensure that our workforce is well positioned to meet and benefit from the emerging needs of this sector.

So along these lines, I'd like to turn to the work that's being done to support the industrial sector in New York City. Multiple administrations have developed a range of tools that seek to support our industrial businesses, through tax programs that support operational and capital challenges, programs that catalyze and support the growth of particularly promising subsectors, and workforce programs provide training and pipelines to good jobs, and land use policies that seek to enable businesses to meet their space needs.

So, starting with tax programs. The primary tools that we have to support industrial businesses are tax programs that provide operational and capital

2.2

2.3

support, workforce development that creates pipelines to support businesses and land use reforms that allow us to create space.

The city offers a range of tax incentives that are geared towards preserving and growing industrial businesses and jobs. These include the Industrial and Commercial Abatement Program or ICAP, which supports modernization, expansion, and physical improvements of industrial and commercial buildings, the New York City Industrial Development Agency or NYCIDA, which awards funding which supports business growth, relocation, and expansion by lowering the cost of capital investment, the Industrial Business Zone Credit Program, the Energy Cost Savings Program, and the Relocation and Employment Assistance Programs or REAP.

In 2005 the city created in particular, the Industrial Business Zones Program, which creates the IBZ Relocation Tax Credit, which is available to 21 priority geography areas which cover today about half of our existing manufacturing zones. This program also created funding for dedicated business assistance via nine Industrial Business Service Providers, IBSPs, that are contracted through SBS.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

These IBSPs connect businesses to resources they need to remain competitive in New York City, such as the financing and incentives programs mentioned above, as well as state and federal resources, and also help them navigate complicated government rules and regulations, as well as recruit and train employees.

On workforce development, SBS is also leading the way on helping businesses modernize, train workers and provide employers with newly trained, skilled labor they need to thrive in a post-COVID-19 economy.

In Fiscal Year 2023, SBS served more than 84,000 people at their 18 Workforcel Career Centers. And during that same period, SBS enrolled just under 5,500 individuals in training programs.

In the coming years, the city will be working to enact the Mayor's moonshot goal of connecting 30,000 New Yorkers with apprenticeships by 2030, ensuring that more New Yorkers can access fulfilling careers while earning while they learn. The industrial sector, with its large supply of jobs that are largely accessible even to those without formal college credentials is particular opportune а industry for expanding the apprenticeship model. To

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that end, NYC Talent and SBS will be expanding upon the work of the Apprentice NYC program for Advanced Manufacturing to build additional industry-wide talent training pipelines in key, vital occupations.

19

Pathways to Industrial and Construction Careers, the PINCC program, meanwhile is a program supported by the US Economic Development Agency's Good Jobs Challenge, will help place nearly 2,300 low-income New Yorkers on the trajectory to careers in two highgrowth sectors of the city's economy over the next three years. PINCC is not only developing new industrial career trainings and matching in sectors that are key to a resilient supply chain but is nesting those trainings within social services provided by the Human Resources Administration to ensure that the nontechnical elements of workforce development support job retention and career growth in the industrial and construction sectors. will provide a model for a holistic workforce development program that we hope can inform programming citywide.

Looking to the future, New York City is leading the way in multiple emerging sectors of the economy, including sectors within the industrial economy.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NYCEDC is taking bold actions to help achieve the city's nation-leading climate goals while advancing green economic opportunities. The Green Economy represents industries and workers that are contributing to decarbonization and environmental goals. And by our counts, there were at least 140,000 New Yorkers employed in the Green Economy in 2021, and we anticipate that that will grow to over 230,000 by 2030 as we create new jobs specifically to meet the needs of the green economy and evolve traditional jobs into green jobs.

The Green Economy represents new opportunities for Minority and Women Owned Business that are dedicated to green retrofitting, green construction, and green manufacturing. EDC's Construct NYC program started in 2016 and is designed to connect small to mid-sized minority and women owned and otherwise disadvantaged business enterprises with exclusive opportunities to work on NYCEDC construction projects.

Within this burgeoning Green Economy, there is particular opportunity in the offshore wind sector. Over the next 15 years, the city will make the investments in our industrial waterfront required to

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

attract offshore wind operations. The city also supports the growth of film and TV production through sector specific tax incentives, which account for thousands of living wage jobs.

The city has a strong commitment to reducing barriers to siting and developing new Life Sciences facilities and is investing over \$1 billion in research and development related innovation through LifeSciNYC.

Additionally, EDC's asset management portfolio includes many properties in Industrial Business Zones, and these assets and tenants include hundreds of businesses which reflect a range of industrial businesses and tenants, such as food wholesale and Hunts Point, film and TVproduction, light manufacturing at Sunset Park, advancing manufacturing at Brooklyn Navy Yard. EDC is investing over half a billion dollars in these critical assets including nearly \$300 million in the Made in New York Campus with will be home to a 500,000 square foot film and TV production hub, over \$50 million in the South Brooklyn Marine Terminal which will be transformed into a leading offshore wind hub. We work with city, state, and federal partners to secure nearly \$400

J

2.3

million in public funding to modernize and green the Hunts Point Produce Market.

The city has invested heavily in the Brooklyn Navy Yard since the early 2000's, with a lot of investment coming since 2015 in particular. The city invested over \$140 million to leverage 1.2 times that in private financing to create or renovate over 1.5 million square feet of modern industrial space to support over 4,300 jobs at over 200 companies, with more jobs expected as additional spaces complete work at the yard.

Additionally, the city has committed over \$200 million as a partial downpayment for Brooklyn Navy Yard's first new construction Master Plan development project along Kent Avenue. This is on top of the millions that Brooklyn Navy Yard receives every few years to assist with upgrades to their base building and in-ground infrastructure to keep a state of good repair for the 550 plus tenants that call the Yard home.

So now I'll talk a little bit about land use, which is important because the zoning rules of the city set the stage for how our businesses can physically locate and grow. Representing 28,500

23

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

acres of land, which is an area the size of the city of Boston. Our Manufacturing zoned or M zoned land is one of the city's precious resources. With 14 percent of our land allowing industrial uses, we falls somewhere in the middle between peer cities. We have proportionally less industrially zoned land than Detroit, Philadelphia, or Baltimore, but considerably more than Washington D.C., Boston, or San Diego. And in the last decade, we've preserved our manufacturing areas, rezoning less than two percent of M-zoned land to non-M uses since 2012.

though, New York City's Despite the name "Manufacturing zones" have historically allowed for a wide range of uses, which include but exclusive manufacturing. Today, our M-zones are home to 20 percent of all New York City jobs, representing a very diverse range of businesses. That includes half the city's 550,000 industrial jobs, like 50,000 construction jobs, 33,000 manufacturing jobs, 87,000 transportation and warehousing jobs in our M-zones. But it also houses nearly half a million nonindustrial jobs including 230,000 office-based jobs and 137,000 jobs in retail, food, and service businesses and that diversity has meant in part that

2.2

2.3

industrial businesses are surrounded by a supportive economic ecosystem. It also is meant that our M-zones are amongst the most flexible and accommodating a wide range of commercial, recreational and other uses that have historically been locked out of other areas of the city due to perceived conflict with residences.

That flexibility is also the reason we saw significant job growth in M districts over the last over the last decade. Employment in M zones grew by seven percent for industrial businesses and by 34 percent for nonindustrial businesses. However, we also know that that flexibility contributes to a perception of competition for space among different kinds of businesses, especially those that can't locate in other areas, which we think frankly means we need to come up with more space.

One of the reasons there's not enough space in our M areas is because they are very low density. 96 percent of our M zones allow only up to two FAR and for those who are not as familiar with zoning lingo, FAR or floor area ratio is the primary means by which zoning regulates building densities in the city. So if for instance, you have a site that is 10,000

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

square feet in size and it has an FAR of 2, you can have a maximum building of 20,000 square feet. So, in addition to being limited in size, our M-zones suffer from a whole host of other zoning challenges due to their outdatedness that make facility expansion and modernization prohibitively difficult to execute for most space users. They simply can't build bigger or build up and that means we're not adding new space even as we add more businesses to these areas.

So, as many of the Council Members are aware, city planning is working on proposals for three citywide text amendments to support a more inclusive, equitable city of yes. The second text amendment, the city of yes for economic opportunity, while born and primarily focused on recovery in our commercial main streets and central business help districts, is also able to address challenges of industrial businesses and industrial areas in a few really critical ways.

First, this proposal would include a range of new higher density M district zoning options to be deployed in future rezoning areas. These tools would allow for businesses to locate and expand at higher

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

densities and relieve all the physical challenges we see in developing a building in an M area. Imagine a four-story loft building sitting alone in one of our industrial areas, surrounded by surface lots or one-story buildings.

Likely, the zoning in place does not allow a business to replicate that loft building but our new zoning tools would. These zoning tools would need to be mapped as part of future rezonings but they would give city planning and the Council tools to work with that are better than what we have and we would look forward to working with you to shape and deploy them.

City of Yes for Economic Opportunity would also seek to fix a range of other physical problems that make it challenging to build the kinds of spaces that businesses want. Imagine a film studio that wants to build a sound stage, only to discover that their rear yard requirements or physical setbacks from street make it impossible to build the high ceilings and open interior spaces that are required for a sound stage. The Citv of Yes for Economic Opportunity would create a pathway in zoning to allow business to seek limited bulk relief that would enable that kind of construction.

Imagine next, a 3D printing company that wants to reuse an old loft building, only to discover that loading requirements would mean you have to cut a whole in the side of the building to add three or four truck berths just to be able to tenant the space. City of Yes for Economic Opportunity would propose changes that ensure loading requirements still apply when you're building a new building but don't stand in the way of re-tenanting an existing space.

But also worth noting that as important as the M Zones are today, of the 550,000 industrial jobs that are in New York City, 284,000 of those jobs are registered to locations that are not zoned manufacturing. How can this be? We think there are couple of reasons. One is that an industrial business is often not doing industrial business on their headquarter site. So, think about that for construction jobs, which are significant component of our industrial base, the construction happens on the side of the building, which is maybe in a residential Or for a transportation company, the moving truck on the street is typically the site of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

production instead of inside of the confines of the
building.

In other cases, we see industrial businesses that may be stretching the zoning or maybe partially legal in a commercial area. And we think that's a good thing and we need to embrace that stretch because the idea that all makers and producers need to be far away from our residential parts of the city, we think is antiquated thinking. And City of Yes for Economic Opportunity will embrace this idea and will for the first time legalize clean industrial uses in all of our commercial zones.

Imagine a shop on main street that can now sell and manufacture tortillas in the same space or a bakery that can expand into the next storefront as its business increases instead of moving out of the neighborhood that maybe it's been in for 30 years just to go to an industrial area. So, industrial uses would still have environmental regulations to make sure they're compatible with areas that have residents but we think it will be a huge win for businesses to just get zoning out of the way and allow for a much wider range for businesses to occur, not to mention a huge opportunity given vacant

29

storefronts and office buildings that we see all across the city.

And finally, City of Yes for Economic Opportunity will also help industrial businesses because its helping businesses that are today restricted to manufacturing zones find other kinds of businesses. Find a home outside of industrial areas, amusements and night life for example. Amusements historically only been allowed in manufacturing areas in Coney Island, which is not only a disservice to communities that may benefit from having more family friendly entertainment nearby and not having to drive to Long Island or New Jersey just to go take their kids to a Chucky Cheese. But it also means that amusements have nowhere else to go besides industrial areas. And our nightlife options have also been hampered by outdated zoning rules prohibit dancing in most areas of the city, where live music is allowed. So, without changing the important rules that govern the size of nightlife establishments in the city, by making common sense changes to allow dancing, we are also providing an important relief valve for locating those kinds of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 uses outside of our industrial areas and in our 3 commercial areas.

So, together, we think these changes can help our industrial businesses evolve and meet their needs and help us grow this sector. Ultimately, our industrial economy is never going to look like other parts of the country. Consider this, when Micron landed upon exurban Syracuse for their new facility, they picked a site that was the size of LaGuardia Airport. Modern industrial buildings being built in the national market are on average between 100,000 and 300,000 square feet and set on 10 to 30 acre lots. And in that context, New York City just doesn't compete but we can compete for businesses that can thrive in an urban space constrained city take advantage of our incredible talent base and our unparalleled customer base and for those businesses, we can make our land use policies work a little better to help business locate an expansion. These are all proposed tools we look forward and and appreciate the partnership with the City Council to enact and deploy these tools effectively.

So, finally, turning to the bill. We think Introduction 1012 is timely and we share many of your

2.2

2.3

goals. We particularly appreciate and agree with the need to make data informed decisions that incorporate long term citywide planning into our neighborhood level and project level work and we think a process like this could prove to be a useful compliment to all of the ongoing planning work that we do. We want to work with you to make sure that the timeframe and scope are both achievable and impactful and we look forward to those follow-up conversations to help structure this in a fruitful way.

So, in conclusion, we very much appreciate the opportunity to testify and welcome further discussions and questions from you on this matter.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much for your testimony. I'd like to acknowledge Council Member Abreu, Gutiérrez, Brooks-Powers, Rivera, Sanchez, Borelli and Speaker Adrienne Adams, who I will now turn the mic over to.

SPEAKER ADAMS: Thank you so much Madam Chair and good afternoon to everyone. I again want to thank our Chair of the Committee on Economic Development Council Member Amanda Farias. Thank you so much and of course, our Chair of the Committee on Land Use Council Member Rafael Salamanca for holding today's

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 32

joint oversight hearing on Proposed legislation that require the City Planning Commission, Small Business Services the Department of and Economic Development Corporation to collaborate on a citywide industrial development action plan.

New Yorks industrial sector is a goldmine of untapped potential. Planning for the industrial sector can deliver the triple bottom line. Benefits, social, economic and environmental that more than justify the city**′**s investment of resources. Industrial jobs can be the equalizer in providing economic opportunity, offering family sustaining wages without requiring an advanced degree.

People of color make up 80 percent workforce and average wages are greater than \$50,000. At a time when unemployment remains over 7 percent for New Yorkers, for New Yorkers of color, and nearly 8 percent for those without a college degree. imperative to focus on industrial and green jobs as an effective pathway to economic mobility.

The industrial sector and industrial land are also a critical part of our transition to green energy and zero carbon. New York needs sites and planning for offshore wind facilities,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

storage, new facilities for rail and marine freight to get trucks off the road and the many new green businesses connected to this transformation.

33

This is a shared priority with the Biden Harris Administration that is seeking to build a thriving green energy industrial economy yet our lack of a coherent economic development strategy is preventing us from being able to fully cultivate industrial and provide Yorkers with equitable arowth New opportunities. There is currently no track record or requirement for city agencies to coordinate land use, economic and workforce development, and capital budget tools to support the industrial sector and its essential role in the city's day to day operations, as well as future sustainable development.

The city's M manufacturing zones that govern land use regulations in industrial areas have not been revised since 1961, more than 60 years ago. This outdated zoning limits industrial growth through low FAR and stringent parking and loading requirements. It also fails to protect essential industrial sites from unrelated commercial development. The Council continues to urge the Administration to revise M zones as part of the upcoming zoning for economic

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

development text amendment and we're optimistic about the progress on this longstanding issue.

In my State of the City address earlier this year, I highlighted these concerns and raised the need for city government to advance collaborative efforts at the city, state and federal levels to support industrial development and planning. We have the available land and workforce. In my own district, in Jamaica Queens, there's the old Elmhurst Dairy site, a sprawling 15-acre dairy plant that closed its doors 7 years ago.

This large industrial property with potential freight rail access has been sitting dormant in part because the city has no clear policy or plan for working with owners of sites like this to update zoning and infrastructure, apply and incentives and attract new industrial investment. By investing in industrial development, we can power small equitable, businesses, create more economic opportunities, transition to green energy and help build the infrastructure that would allow our city to rely less on others and produce our own essential goods. The equitable and sustainable future we're building demands that collaborate across our city

35

2 agencies to create a plan that jumpstarts our 3 industrial growth.

I look forward to the work ahead to fulfill our city's full potential. Thank you very much and I turn it back to over to Chair Farias.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much Speaker and we'll just get right into it. When the Administrations Industrial Group was announced last year, the press release clearly stated the importance of the industrial sector to the city's wider economy and value of a multiagency collaborative approach to planning for the sector.

Yet the working group has not released a report for a set of recommendations and beyond the shortterm convening of this working group, there is not a clear process for DCP, EDC and SBS to plan and coordinate land use, economic and workforce development and capital budget tools to support the industrial sector and its essential role to the city's day to day operations and future sustainable development. How do agencies like DCP, EDC, and SBS currently work together to plan for the industrial sector and related citywide policy goals like

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

36

reducing truck traffic and transitioning to green energy?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, thank you Council Member and thank you Madam Speaker. First, I just want to start and say, the agencies represented here work together all the time, every day on these issues and on all economic development issues. are routinely in communication and working on project basis in individual neighborhood areas and across larger policy areas like our industrial portfolio. We routinely share data and in fact, the data that you have in front of you is a product of that shared data and research platform between city planning and the economic development corporation and we form new projects together and I'll mention one for instance, the work that EDC is doing on the green economy and work that EDC, DOT and City Planning all do together on planning for sustainable freight development, is already informing DCP zoning for carbon neutrality, which is removing impediments to electrical vehicle charging and introducing micro distribution potential and allowing for solar and new kinds of energy storage and construction on different sites.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2

_

3

4

-

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

she'd like to add some things on EDC's work.

JENNIFER SUN: Thanks Carolyn. As Carolyn

I'm going to turn to Jen Sun at EDC to see if

mentioned, with the example of sustainable freight, in constant engagement with the private industry about how what conditions are necessary in order for them to move freight more by water, rather truck. through than by And those industry engagements, we've learned that there is an interest from large package delivery companies like Amazon, and FedEx and actually using zero emission vehicles like electric cargo bikes, electric trucks mile for last distribution. and vans That information has been shared with City Planning in a way to support the collaboration and design of micro located distribution hubs to be in commercial districts to encourage more sort of sustainable last mile delivery to happen in commercial areas. then in the industrial areas, our focus has been working with DOT, looking at the waterfront assets that are managed by EDC of using existing waterfront landings to activate them for a green highway system.

So, EDC received an over \$5 million federal transportation grant specifically for the purpose of

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

beginning to create this network. And so, we are planning to upgrade six waterfront landings in the Bronx, Manhattan and Brooklyn to move packages, as well as other commodities by water between those boroughs.

And the last thing that I'11 mention, the collaboration between EDC and DOT around sustainable freight is also looking at areas that are not close to water but also supporting industrial companies and using electric trucks, rather than diesel trucks for distribution. And so, our role is to partner with private industry in identifying either city owned sites or privately owned sites that could support shared charging depots and to actually implement the charging infrastructure that would support the adoption of electric trucks by industrial firms.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you for that thorough response. It sounds like there's obvious some interagency coordination that just — I want to know if there's any interagency cooperation in setting the amount and type of industrial sites needed for use of like energy source that are central to transitioning to green energy. So, I'm hearing, it sounds like a yes but I'm wondering if we're deeply looking into

2.2

2.3

what's being used and how much do we actually need to keep up with our green goals and if that's data sets that we're using or metrics that we're using to continue on investing into the industrial sector.

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, so I can affirm that we are actively collaborating in this phase but it's a very new mode of transportation of using waterways to move freight. So, it's really hard at this early stage for us to quantify what the demand will play. So, instead, we you know rely on again sort of active industry engagement with what we call the end users, the package delivery companies that ultimately will be making choices between either continuing to use trucks or shifting that either using water or rail for the movement of goods.

And so, it's hard to quantify what that demand is going to look like. They are also still sort of understanding how to shift their transportation systems into a new mode but we think that there are market conditions that make this more feasible than ever before. Most importantly congestion pricing, the approval by the federal government to implement congestion pricing we think will be a huge driver for package delivery companies, industrial companies to

really seriously consider using alternative modes of

transportation. So, we think that will help really compel companies to make different choices and our

roles to make that infrastructure available.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Great.

2.2

2.3

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Council Member if I could also pick up?

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Sure.

mentioned battery storage, which I think is another great example here where you know quite frankly we do not know exactly how many batteries will be needed in the city or the exact square footage associated with sited them but what we do know is that it's a lot and it's not just industrial areas. It's commercial areas and residences where we imagine batteries will be needed to store the energy that's being created on solar rooftops for example.

And so, when we look at a policy like that, we try to understand the overall potential, the physical parameters of how those are stored and how joining interacts with it and what we discovered is that a lot of the battery storage that we know we are going to need, particularly in residences and commercial

2.2

2.3

areas is not allowed under our zoning today. So, the city for carbon neutrality, one of the proposals that we'll be making is to enable exactly that kind of battery storage.

So, we think about that, you know we're informed by a sort of forecasting mentality of what do we need and what will be the physical parameters associated it. We'll never have a magic number right that we can actually say x batteries and x square footage but we can continually improve our zoning to enable the kinds of physical activities that we want to see. And so, I want to put that out because I think you'll hear a lot of that from us about how we think about future forecasting and future proofing our zoning to enable future needs even as the exact size and physical footprint of those needs is not known and much of it is happening on private property.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Sure, and so in that same vein, do you agree that there's a value in establishing a required interagency planning cycle for the industrial sector?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: We do and I think we really appreciate the Council's attention. We think you hit on something important about the visibility

42

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the and transparency of all interagency collaboration that happens on this critical policy area. The devil is always in the details. We want to work with you and figure out how to make this work you know the right scope and scale improvements do think but we agree and we philosophically we're aligned.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Great, will there be a policy platform or report released by the Administrations Industrial Working Group?

LINDSAY GREENE: Hi, this is Linsey Greene from the Navy Yard. I was one of the Administration Coordinators of the Industrial Working Group. to recap for those that may not know the Industrial Working Group was convened by the Administration principally as its own tool of outreach and was comprised of you know various members of the industrial sector, principally businesses that have been successful across the different subsectors. Their voices don't always bubble up as much conversation. We met several times predominantly over the course of last year and our goal has always been to take a lot of the feedback and concern shared by those members and incorporate them into

43

We have been spending the

3 last several months digesting that feedback and

4 working with some of the other existing

5 administration efforts that touch industrial policy

6 like some that the testimony mentioned but we are in

the process of finalizing that process with VIWG

members in July.

1

2

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay to TBD?

LINDSAY GREENE: July.

Administration actions.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay July. As I mentioned in my opening remarks, the industrial sector in New York City employees over 500,000 New Yorkers with an average annual salary of over \$50,000. The workforce is over 80 percent people of color and industrial jobs provide more than one-third of New York City's jobs that pay over \$50K and are available to those without a college degree. Really important stuff. Does the Administration agree that growing industrial sector jobs including green jobs are important to advancing economic equity?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Absolutely, we do.

I'm going to pivot to Neil to talk a little bit about how we support that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NEIL PADUKONE: Good afternoon. My name is Neil Padukone. I am with the Mayor's Office of Talent and Workforce Development managing industry our partnership with the manufacturing industrial sectors and yeah, I just want to echo exactly what you said about the importance of this sector in our job growth In particular, in his State of the City strategy. Address, the Mayor announced a sort of moonshot goal connecting 30,000 New Yorkers for with apprenticeships by the year 2030 and the industrial sector is really a primary vehicle through which we aim to accomplish that for all the reasons that you accessibility, the lack mentioned. The requirement for a formal certification for entry into a lot of these jobs and frankly because a lot of the jobs are earn while you learn models. They're particularly well suited for the apprenticeship model, which we think is easily scalable and will get us to our goals of attaining the 30,000 and advancing economic activity.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: And does the administration share the prior Admin's commitment to maintain industrial business zones for industrial and commercial uses?

45

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: We do share that commitment from the prior administrations and I think we really appreciate the stability and predictability that those commitments have offered to industrial businesses and that's been really important. that being said, the IBC's haven't been comprehensively looked at since their creation under the Bloomberg Administration and we think that the process that you're outlining and the opportunity to evaluate existing conditions and appropriate land use frameworks can help us take a refreshed look at whether we have all the tools we need.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Great, what baseline infrastructure such as broadband, clean energy, supply delivery, stormwater management, flood prevention, open space, shade or others should New York City's industrial areas be equipped with to best support the industrial sector?

JENNIFER SUN: So, we think that the types of infrastructure that you just described are important for supporting the competitiveness and long-term viability of industrial businesses. I would add also I think another piece of important infrastructure is roadways and having clarity for industrial businesses

46

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of where trucks can and cannot go in the course of distributing their goods.

You know many of the infrastructure needs that you've listed are under the per view of sister agencies obviously, so we do work together with DEP for example around stormwater and sewer infrastructure or really with the Office of Technology and Innovation and Broadband expansion.

EDC's focus is on really investing the infrastructure to support city owned industrial assets and the tenants there.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: And what infrastructure investments has EDC made in IBC's over the past ten years?

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, so just to provide example, I think generally, uhm, EDC's focus on investments is really around creating leasable affordable space, small and large sizes in industrial assets. It's also about really integrating these industrial campuses with surrounding community. And so, that's been a real focus of our work for example in Hunts Point and at the Brooklyn Army Terminal at the Bush Made in New York Campus.

47

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And then providing flood proofing where it's needed. And so, Hunts Point is another example where flood protection is very important.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Bless you on record. What is the total value of city and state financial incentives and workforce development expenditures distributed annually to industrial businesses in IBZ's across the city?

JENNIFER SUN: So, I can share from EDC's perspective that the IDA over the last ten years has provided almost \$500 million in incentives for more than 100 industrial and manufacturing projects that together leveraged over \$1 billion in private sector investment. As you might know, the IDA works by really incentivizing private investment industrial real estate and modernizing equipment at a large scale. So, it does require you know a private business to make that investment and then our role is to lower the cost of that investment by providing property tax abatements, mortgage recording tax waivers and sales tax waivers.

I think by looking at the utilization of these incentives across all five boroughs, we believe that they have been effective and we also have been able

48

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

to confirm that through our continuous outreach to the IBZ service providers, business improvement districts and other chambers of commerce and promoting these programs and hearing their feedback that these incentives are important to maintain and to continue to promote.

The other sort of incentive or program that I also really want to highlight is EDC's partnership with nonprofit industrial developers. And through an industrial developer loan fund, provided almost \$40 million in city capital, as well affordable subordinate loans to facilitate industrial nonprofits like you know the Green Point Manufacturing Development Corporation, Evergreen Greater Jamaica Development Corporation, and even a mutual housing association of New York in acquiring buildings and rehabbing buildings to provide multitenant and affordable spaces.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: And do you folks have a breakdown by sector?

JENNIFER SUN: We don't have a breakdown by sector. So, the data point that I shared of the IDA supporting 100 businesses is specific to industrial and manufacturing.

2.2

2.3

JENNIFER SUN: And then I have a borough distribution, which is of those 100 projects, 40 of them were in Brooklyn, 11 in the Bronx, 36 in Manhattan, and 6 in Staten Island. And then we can get back to you if you want a more detailed breakdown.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Sure, I'm surprised.

Council Member Borrelli would be impressed in 6 in

Staten Island. New York City needs to rapidly expand

production distribution and the uptake of clean

energy to meet state and city requirements. The city

will have to make space for assembly and staging of

optional winter binds, battery storage, new green

construction business, expanded marine and rail

freight transportations, electric truck charging

depots and other essential large-scale operations.

What are the land use needs for each of these sectors and what types of sites will be required for each sector and how many?

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, so I will start and then I think Carolyn can also add to this. I think generally the economy that as we're learning through EDC's work in studying this very new industry in the

2.2

2.3

city is that it's not specific to one industry but spans lots of industries including buildings, transportation, financing and consulting, consumer products like sustainable food and clothing. And so, because of such a diverse sort of multi-industry sector, their land use needs and their site needs are quite different as well.

So as an example, we have offshore wind that needs to be located at industrial waterfront sites on significantly large parcels of land, typically 20 acres or more. But then in contrast, you have an emerging field called prop tech or real estate tech that's really focused on supporting building owners and complying to Local Law 97 for example and designing and operating energy efficient buildings that are also decarbonized. Those activities could happen outside of manufacturing districts, in an office building, in a commercial district instead.

So, you know that gives you a sense of how different and varied the land use and site departments might be depending on the industry and business.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: I'll pick up. I think

Jen covered much of it but to echo I think when we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think about this from a zoning perspective, those particular, those different kinds of and parameters of space needs for the different kinds of businesses tend to fall into these different categories. Can they be collocated or can they or did they really require open sort of unbuilt kinds of or very low scaled building.

And we think our zoning can really respond to those different kinds of conditions. think We there's a lot of opportunity in trying to unlock our industrial areas to do more colocation. that you know typically that's how New York City creates more spaces by building up and so opportunity both in new construction as well as in our existing vacant commercial spaces. The business that where that can make sense, we think is a real opportunity and we would say you know that there are some businesses that have not historically operated in that context but may still be able to if we make the right kinds of investments and I think urban agriculture, small scale manufacturing, battery storage, you know hybrid production retail uses are the kinds of things that we think maybe have been in larger sites and industrial areas or maybe look

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

physically different outside of New York City but could work in that more vertical and dense environment.

And then at the same time, you can't put a wind turbine inside of a building and so, we're going to need relatively unbuilt sites. Those are the hardest to locate in the city, and so, thinking about the kinds of protections that we can offer to ensure that we reserve some amount of sort of unbuilt space as we also try to unlock is our objective.

If I could also just add some LINDSAY GREENE: perspective on just seeing some of the very young companies that work in the green economy space. of them are still, they don't necessarily even have the best visibility of their future space needs or manufacture their ability to and where they manufacture, they're still creating the products that will help solve the problems and figuring out how to test them and you know a lot of the city agencies are collaborating trying to figure out where they can do that testing safely and get that real world knowledge about what devices work and don't work, so that they can figure out if they're going to manufacture it and how much but it's still a very young industry.

2.2

2.3

And so, any of our ability to even produce something solid after a period of study, the entrepreneurs themselves don't even know those answers yet, so it's still coming.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Yeah, that's a really great point. I keep thinking as I'm sitting here, like I think what folks view as industrial or a green economy, like it is shifting or maybe even becoming larger in terms of scope and what the definition use to be versus what's it's going to be in the future. So, thank you for making that note.

I will yield right now and let Chair Salamanca ask questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Thank you Chair Farias. Good afternoon panel. Thank you for your testimony and your presentation. So, as I mentioned in my opening statement, I represent the South Bronx. I have two big IBZ's, Hunts Point being the biggest one and also Port Morris.

Local communities and environmental justice advocates have raised concerns and the development of large-scale E-Commerce, last mile distribution warehouses that are currently allowed to locate as of right in all M and CA zoning districts. Certain

54

neighborhoods like Red Hook and Hunts Point are
experiencing a concentration of these new facilities
and the associated impacts of increased truck
traffic. Moreover, these facilities are currently

6 allowed to occupy sites with potential access to

7 Murray Time or Rail freight without any required

8 provisions to facilitate such access and utilization.

9 Does DCP or EDC have any updates on the city's

10 policies response to this issue?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Great, well thank you Council Member and I first want to just acknowledge that we hear from your constituents as well. Council Member Avilés I know has been very active on this issue as well. So, we hear it. We acknowledge and we agree that there are real challenges when you have a facility that is creating significant vehicular and truck traffic near where people live and where people go to school and where they work. There are real environmental challenges that are being experienced by communities and we are looking at that and we are working on solutions.

I want to also acknowledge, there is a private application from the environmental justice community looking at one particular solution to these

challenges that is in front of City Planning in the preapplication stages and we are looking at that in the same way we look at every other private application that comes before us, which is we are putting it through its environmental and technical review to prepare it for the public review, you know sort of ULURP process and those meetings with the actual applicant teams are ongoing and been happening you know in recent days.

The policy challenge here is a tough one because we on the one hand, we are generating significant demand for logistics and transportation, which is allowed in our manufacturing districts and is a large component of the employment base and the ecosystem that is in our manufacturing districts and our demand for those kinds of services increases as the city is increasing its volume of freight movement into the city.

And we expect that that demand for freight logistics and movement will continue to grow and that you know when you on the one hand, acknowledging the challenge of being close to residences, the flip is when we have facilities that are farther away from

2.2

2.3

2 residences, we are increasing truck traffic to their

2.2

2.3

persisting.

So, how do we square that challenge? We're still looking at the zoning question. I think one thing that is most promising that Jen spoke about quite a bit is trying to find alternatives right and just trying to rethink our freight system to give us different options than large scale truck distribution facilities and really break down the model that is

I'm going to turn to Jen and see if you want to talk a little bit more about how we're rethinking freight.

JENNIFER SUN: Sure.

final destinations.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Hold on. I want to stay on the last mile of what's happening because I also want to talk about Freight NYC, which was presented to my office a couple of years ago and I just have a few questions on that.

Now, let's go to the last mile facilities that we have. You do agree, does DCP agree that these last mile facilities raise environmental justice? They raise environmental justice issues in our communities?

2.2

2.3

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Yeah, I think we absolutely acknowledge the issues that people are seeing, a proliferation of facilities that are generating truck traffic near their homes and schools. That's a real challenge.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Okay, so now, does the DCP or EDC track or have a list of last mile facilities throughout the City of New York?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: We do and it's a good question because what we mean by last mile facility, it's not a zoned, it's not a defined determined zoning and it's not a defined term in any other sort of legal definition. So, some of the work we have been doing and thinking through this policy issue is developing an actual definition of that and trying to track that through a dependent research. So, we do have information we'd be happy to share with you Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Does DCP or EDC or the Administration agree that certain communities are overburdened with these last mile facilities compared to other communities?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Yes, I would say the physical location of our manufacturing districts is

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

18

17

19

20

2122

23

24

25

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 58 not equally spread across the city and the position between manufacturing zoned districts and residential areas, there are very specific communities that I think have that interaction more than others and I think your community —

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Mine, Sunset Park, and there may be others.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: And Red Hook I would say.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: I'm sorry, yeah Red Hook, Uhm, look, I will be very clear here. yeah. Hunts Point, Amazon is taking over Hunts Point communities and we're talking about last miles. Now, do they bring a convenient service? Absolutely, I'm a customer. Many of my neighbors, you know my wife. I always have to buy something for my son. It's much easier when you're working fast pace to get a package delivered to you and when you go and you drive through Hunts Point, in Hunts Point we had the world's largest markets. Food, produce and fish plus we have over 700 businesses in that community. we're seeing Amazon moving in and taking over these empty warehouses or you have other light manufacturing businesses in which they're not doing

59

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as well as they were years ago and therefore, they're selling their property over to Amazon.

So, you compound the 15,000 or 18,000 trucks that are coming in and out of Hunts Point every day just for these other businesses and then you tag along a couple of hundred, maybe thousands of smaller vehicles coming in do deliveries who are to throughout the Borough of the Bronx, which is a Now, I know that there may be some public problem. testimony later on to speak on the concept of a special permit for these last mile facilities. Something similar to what we did with hotels in the City of New York. I am a big proponent of that. My question is, is EDC open to putting together a text amendment so that we can propose a special permit for these last mile facilities?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure and Council Member, you said EDC, I'm going to assume -

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: I'm sorry, the Administration right?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: So, I want to acknowledge again, the special permit application that you're speaking of is in front of City Planning and has been submitted as an application and it is

going through the preapplication process as we speak,
which requires an environmental and a technical

4 review and I know those teams -

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Where are we with that status with that application? In terms of the environments or review?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: I can tell you that the applicants teams met with City Planning within recent weeks and so, they are as I understand it, they are in that environmental review process. So, it would be premature for me to judge that. Ultimately it will be the City Planning Commission and the City Council to judge the merits of that application.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Alright, well just know that this Council Member and Land Use Chair is a big proponent of that and I would love to see a special permit that we can implement for these last mile so we can track them. Now, in terms of a few more questions. DCP and EDC, do you guys have a tracking mechanism for these last mile facilities, especially the NIBZ's?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: We don't have a tracking mechanism. We have done research to define

61

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

what we think is meant by a last mile facility and using private proprietary you know real estate data understanding, you have collected and know information about where we agree but they are not specifically licensed as a last mile facility, so we do not have a tracking mechanism. I think in the sense you mean it, which by the way, is consistent with we don't have a tracking mechanism for an office building either but we use multiple data sources to you know learn where office buildings are and their space needs.

So, we're able to draw on a large set of both government and third-party data sources to look at land use conditions.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Alright, uhm, and Speaker Adams 2023 State of the City Report, as well as the coalition of Council Members, represent the NIBZ's have called for DCP to create a new M-zone as part of the fourth coming zoning for economic opportunity text amendment. Does DCP agree to work with the Council to accomplish this goal and finally create new zoning tools for manufacturing districts?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Absolutely, I spoke considerably in my testimony about the new m-zone

tools. We're really excited about it and we're having continued conversations with the Council to

4 shape those tools.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Okay, Freight NYC. Where are we with the implementation?

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, so I can speak to that Chair Salamanca.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yes, thank you.

JENNIFER SUN: It's good to see you again. As you might remember in December 2021, DEDC and DOT actual partnered together to issue a joint vision in an update to Freight NYC called Delivering Green that really I think doubled down on our commitment to working to working together to create a more sustainable freight network.

I'm happy to say that you know since that report we've succeeded in securing an over \$5 million federal grant, which we're in the initial stage of planning for really investing in those grant funds and upgrading six waterfront landings to begin to create a Marina Highway Network. So, I would consider this a pilot project that brings together both public waterfront landings that are managed by EDC, so five of them are publicly owned in Manhattan

and in Brooklyn and then one is privately owned actually in your district at Hunts Point, the Oak Point Waterfront Landing. So, as you might know we've been partnering with the owner there as well as on community stakeholders in Manhattan Beer and piloting the use of water for transporting beer from the Bronx to lower Manhattan.

So, that's an example of how marine freight can be used either to transport other goods like beer or other commodities or even package deliveries with Amazon, UPS and FedEx.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: This five-minute nomogram that you received from the federal government, is it to do a study and pay lobbyists or is it to actually physically creating these loading docks and you know the infrastructure needed.

JENNIFER SUN: It's to invest in the infrastructure, so specifically it's about you know depending on each site and its infrastructure needs. It's putting in what we call spud barges and gain ways to allow for marine vessels to dock at these landings and support the weight of either an electric delivery truck, a cargo van or e-cargo bikes to roll

2.2

2.3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Okay, of these few loading docks that you mention or waterfront landings, which one do you anticipate opening up first?

We're actually moving all of them JENNIFER SUN: forward at the same time, even though depending on sort of their existing condition and infrastructure needs, they might not all be delivered at the same time but our goal is to try to have them in place by 2025. I would say that the more, the this is challenging aspect of less on the infrastructure side but really ensuring that there are going to be customers who use the service. so, in addition to moving forward on the capital improvements, we're hyper focused in making sure that we're in touch with the customers. The package delivery companies, industrial companies to make sure that they're aware of the improvements that we're making but also to assist and plan to understand where they will be shipping things into the city and making sure that those are matched together, so that there shipping point comes from a place where they're

using water and they're putting commodities on boats and then shipping that into the city to the waterfront landings that we control.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Yeah, my only concern that I have with Freight NYC is and I'll give you an analogy. In Hunts Point, we have the produce market. We may get produce to come in in the barge. You may get the Hunts Point Business to Go pick up the produce then take it back to their business and then you may get an outsider coming in and then coming back. I'm just concerned about the amount of truck routes or visits that we will get and opposed to that produce coming into the market and then coming right back out. That's just my only concern.

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, I know, I think you raise a really important point, which is that I think in designing and operationalizing this system, we continuously hear that minimizing the number of touches and moving produce between different modes of transportation is really important. So, our challenge is creating a really time-consuming and cost-effective service that companies will actually use.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Understanding that, we're not relying just on marine highways being the solution but I think you alluded to real infrastructure and I think that's why you know the produce market modernization, important to continue to preserve and improve that real infrastructure to offer as many different modes of transportation as possible. And then the last thing is our partnership with Volvo, community stakeholders in your district around breathing green and you know leveraging the NYSERDA funds to create a shared electric truck charging depot. Recognizing that companies might fundamentally continue to use trucks but really supporting their ability to replace these old trucks with electric trucks by offering that infrastructure while continuing to look for ways to make that transition more affordable.

So, the other last thing that I'll mention is partnerships with companies that specifically target industrial firms that don't own their vehicles but lease their vehicles. So, they are offering a service where they also lease electric trucks rather than compelling industrial companies to purchase electric trucks. So, they can try it, it's more affordable. They also offer the maintenance and

2.2

2.3

repairs services where it's sort of an all packaged service that makes it more attractive for companies to actually consider making that transition.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: I want to give a big shout out to Manhattan Beer for implementing their electric trucks. The entire fleet is not electric but to see that they've invested and have worked with EDC to implement this, I just want to recognize them for that.

I have two more questions and then I'll hand it off to my colleagues. I've been in the Council for seven years. Prior to that I was a District Manager for five and a half years. In the beginning of my term as a district manager, EDC came and presented the Alternative Field Station on Full Center Drive and Hallock. To this day, it is just an empty lot with garbage. What's the status of that project?

JENNIFER SUN: I should confirm with my project team but my understanding is that I don't think the alternatives fuels project is moving forward and we look forward to working with you to think about a better and different use for that site.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Okay, and then finally, when managing and planning for city owned properties

2.2

2.3

like the Hunts Point Terminal Market or Sunset Park Waterfront, what fact does EDC consider when deciding what types of uses or businesses to plan for and attract?

JENNIFER SUN: So, EDC really approaches this with a sensitivity to the specific industrial asset and the kinds of spaces that they offer. So, for example, in Hunts Point, as you know the campus is a mix of you know relatively low story buildings, as well as multi-tenant building like the wholesale market. Because it's an existing food industry cluster, a lot of our business attraction is around strengthening that existing food cluster. So, that explains why we believe partnered with the state for example and bring other types of food businesses that would complement the existing types of businesses that were there.

Since food wholesaling and distribution was so prominent, when there was an opportunity to respond to consumer interest in more locally grown produce, and the Grow NYC identified a need for a wholesale regional food hub, we thought that that was an important opportunity to bring that kind of food business into the Hunts Point Peninsula. Similarly

2.2

2.3

Baldor, they do food wholesaling and distribution but they also provide a different kind of service to restaurants and retailers in terms of value and food processing.

So, there are our strategy around business attractions really about strengthening the existing cluster by you know supporting a diversity of food businesses and business models. Whereas in Sunset Park, you have a campus like the Brooklyn Army Terminal where you know multi-buildings small as well as large spaces, that then provide the environment for supporting a greater diversity of industrial businesses, rather than a single industrial cluster.

So, at Brooklyn Army Terminal, you find instead a mix of light industrial wholesale distribution, food production, garment manufacturing. Because again, the variety of spaces that are there are different and there isn't an existing food cluster for us to focus on. So, it's much more diverse.

CHAIRPERSON SALAMANCA: Alright, with that, I want to thank you for your answers and I just want to give EDC also, I want to say thank you in Hunts Point because we have so many projects occurring and we feel that agencies do not speak to one another. We

70

form the Hunts Point Coalition and EDC funded - gave 3 funding to this Coalition, which we were able to put 4 together the Hunts Point Forward Plan on how to move Hunts Point forward in the next ten years. So, I want to continue working with you and your president 6

7 ensuring that implement some of these we on

recommendations that the community has and with that,

9 thank you.

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, I look forward to your partnership.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much. I'd like to acknowledge we've been joined by Council Member Avilés and I will now call on Council Member Gutiérrez to ask her questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Thank you Chair. afternoon. I just, she's not here but I want to oh, there she is. I want to uplift Diana Arena, my predecessor and huge advocate for manufacturing. Thanks for continuing your advocacy. I also want to uplift the Speaker, who at her State of the City and certainly today has continued to stay on the path on economic opportunity that lays in our manufacturing district. So, really excited to have you all here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

My first question is related to the Intro. that Chair Farias and myself and a few other colleagues are introing and would love to discuss, which is Intro. 1012. Can you — I don't know if you've had a chance to review it yet but can you speak to whether or not you are in support of it at DCP?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, thank you Council Member. It's great to see you. We are. testified that we think that the Council has hit on some really worthy goals here. I hope we have given the impression rightfully that we are in coordination across sister agencies on a whole host of the issues that are important to our industrial areas and our industrial businesses but we think that a bill that helps formalize and makes some of that process more and sort of systematically forward transparent thinking could be а really good compliment, especially as we do work on the green economy at EDC, as we're thinking about freight reinvention and as we create new zoning tools through City of Yes, that we believe will help unlock opportunities in industrial areas. We think all of that could be a compliment working on a plan. We want to work with the Council to shape it and make it feasible and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

impactful in the right ways but we are absolutely testifying in support of the idea.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Wonderful, thank you and I also wanted to just thank you and the team for just having the lines of communication open with my colleagues here. I think it's been a really good use of all of our time and really exciting. I wanted to touch on a couple of things that are important and personal to me in my district. I'll touch quickly on the industrial plan but curious because for a longtime advocates have really been pushing different administrations to use best practices in other cities to update the M-zoning district to really preserve essential industrial spaces and to limit unrelated commercial uses. Can you speak to that under this Administration? Yeah, what can you speak on to that? CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, well first I want to start with and I think that 1012 speaks to this as well. We talked a little bit of this in our testimony but I think that the idea of looking at pier cities is actually a really important one and it's a hard one to do when you don't prioritize it but we have been doing a good amount of that at City Planning. And you know I will from say

73

preliminary like research, we actually think we're sort of in the middle when it comes to other American cities and thinking about our private industrial market.

There are cities that are far more industrial, both in terms of proportionally the amount of land They're industrial base and the way that zoned. they're incorporating industrial planning into their economic development, in a way that isn't a really good comparable and I'll use Detroit as like an example, where there's significantly more industrial land and the kinds of industrial properties that they're able to attract are large GM plants, right? We're talking about you know a million square foot footprint on an interstate, very antiurban, right? So, even though it's within a city boundary, it's not a model that's really comparable for us. mentioned in my testimony, micron, another A business that went to upstate New York example. a site that is the size of LaGuardia occupying Airport. So, a lot of you know a lot of modern industrial development in the United States that is repatriating from global economies looks like that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

and that we think is fundamentally the wrong model for New York City.

74

But where we do think — so, that's one side of the equation. The other side of the equation and what we see in the United States is a city like Washington DC that essentially has rezoned to other uses all of its private industrial space. They have a little bit of a something equivalent to the Brooklyn Navy Yard and you know so fundamentally under public control and even that is not really fully industrial. It has much more of a commercial quality to that.

And we think that's the wrong model too. actually think that the 30,000 acres of private industrial space we have, not under public control, right? A private market for industrial land is also really important. So, that space of you know still having a considerable industrial market and rezoning all of it away but also not trying to mimic cities that have you know space and infrastructure that is very different than ours and effectively anti-urban. We think we need to position somewhere in between those. So, how do we learn the best from those models? I think we are learning them

75

in City of Yes. We're trying to update those — our tools. It is true as we discussed that our manufacturing districts historically have allowed for a wide range of uses. We think that is also fundamental to their success and diversity but we understand that that you know that when we have significant job growth that is non industrial in areas where we're not adding space, that that is also contributing to a perception of competition.

And so, you know to us, the first and most important thing we should do is address the space crunch and find places to put more businesses, both by building up in our industrial areas and by unlocking our commercial areas for a wider diversity of businesses but we also think as I said before, you know you can't put a wind turbine inside of a building, so as we think about ways to build up and densify industrial areas find in our to opportunities for colocation, we're also going to have to think about what areas are sort of off limits or undeveloped, so that we are still preserving that openness for our most critical and sort of most industrial assets.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Can I ask just a follow up question Chair? Yeah, both Chairs. Thank you. So, just a follow up to that. So, I hear what you're saying. Obviously, I'm certainly encouraged that the Department of City Planning wants to plan. I can certainly appreciate that.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: However my concern and I'm speaking to District 34 but I think we're all here because there's level of anticipation if we're all hands on deck for this bill and for City of Yes for example, we've seen other cities that clearly are in restricting noncommercial uses commercial districts as a way to preserve manufacturing and I hear what you're saying, I hear what you're saying that there's a balance but what I am hearing in my district is when we are allowing for noncommercial districts uses in the core specifically, speculation alone can be very harmful.

And with so many other incentives that really are not made available to manufacturing business owners, that's problematic and in many ways, I think that the North Brooklyn Industrial plan kind of highlights that and can set the tone for other industrial

2.2

2.3

I'm concerned that we're not going hard enough on this idea, on this concept seeing how useful and how beneficial it has been in other cities with similar amounts of dynamics that you yourself highlighted. It is a concern for me that we're not doing enough, that we're not moving urgently to do both things at the same time, which is utilizing this idea of a core district to preserve manufacturing and at the same time saying, we have to restrict these noncommercial uses in these spaces.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Yeah, I think Council Member, I think we definitely agree with you that our industrial, our manufacturing zones need an update. They're not really working for anyone, right? They're not working to really put our industrial areas on their best foot forward in terms of economic growth and they're not working to support our businesses that want to expand, and they're making people feel fresher.

So, we think the City of Yes tools can help us create some better ways to address that but we're going to need to work together with you to shape them and also to deploy them because we will need to do

78

that in you know in areas, right? We can create the tools but then we're going to have to go through the process of really revisiting our industrial areas and trying to actually give them the tools they need to grow and grow differently.

So, we look forward to that conversation with you as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Okay, and I'll just say one last thing because it's important for the record. My main concern, specifically for North Brooklyn is the way that nightlife is occupying a massive amount of space in our core district and I know I brought this up and I know we're working through it but would love to also hear in that continued conversation what you all believe is the key value there or the challenge in supporting nightlife in core districts, especially in North Brooklyn something that look forward is Ι continuing that advocacy and conversation on. you. Thank you both Chairs.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much Council Member Gutiérrez. I'd now like to call Council Member Avilés for her questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

79

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Good afternoon everyone. Apologies for my delay and thank you for being here and responding to Council questions. So, uhm, as you know we've been talking a lot about Sunset Park and certainly Red Hook, our waterfront and industrial sector is very important to me. I've had many conversations. I want to give a shout out to some of the EBC team that we've been troubleshooting some issues with of recent. Just to note, I think what's really important for us is we know that closing the loopholes right in both the zoning and kind of what is allowable is critically important because of the coerciveness of what is happening and the withering away of our industrial zones. I mean, what is Council Member Gutiérrez challenge of nightclubs is my challenge of last mile facilities. That is quite frankly a coercive element within our industrial one can compete with Amazon business zone. Nofacilities who have endless reams of money and buy incredibly large swaths of you know facilities and they can do what they want with them and we have no tools to mitigate. No tools to address so obviously, this is a huge issue for us in our district. Appreciate the work you're doing and we're going to

continue to push every day that goes by another facility is in the process of converting under right.

And with nine already in the small geography of Red Hook, it is, we are at an impossible stage.

And so, I'm looking for urgent, all hands-on deck, immediate action today because the houses on Van Brunt are falling into the street today. So, I guess what I would like to know is uhm, uh, I guess to go back to Sunset Park, we know we have a number of excuse me, a number of projects in our industrial business zone that EDC is involved with that does not provide adequate job data once the project is implemented.

We often hear promises about job creation with little understanding of how projections are made or how they actually pan out. Well, this problem obviously is not exclusive to EDC and we see this happening in other economic development projects. I would like to understand very specifically what the methodology EDC employs at arriving job descriptions. How does EDC monitor actual local hiring? I have yet to see a data set that tells me anyone in our neighborhood is actually being employed in any of the major footprints within our community.

81

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, how that local hiring is monitored once employment projects are made to make sure the project is staying on target. On which, if any projects EDC provides employment data to the public, and lastly, why doesn't EDC provide employment statistics publicly? Ι know this has been ongoing an conversation in our community for quite some time. And lastly, if we can be provided with any employment data related to Brooklyn Army Terminal as well as South Brooklyn Marine Terminal?

JENNIFER SUN: So, Council Member, I will say I probably don't have the answers to all those questions. But generally, in terms job projections. I know that we have an research group within EDC that does a lot of forecasting to understand the economic impact of projects. Specifically, that will be receiving city capital.

And so, under that very specific scenario our group internally will then you know use the methodology to understand and forecast what the job impact with the revenue impact might be from making that city capital investment. Then through our real estate dispositions and RFPs, I know that we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

integrate into those RFPs when we are either leasing or selling city owned property. The requirement for the developer to participate in Hire NYC and through that, we have the mechanism to then monitor whether they are complying with that in addition to trying to support and connect that developer and their tenants to services like at SBS and other local workforce service providers to ensure that there's targeted the local neighborhood where marketing in projects going to be developed. And then once that project is developed, stabilized and fully occupied, there's ongoing monitoring for a period of time of whether they've complied with Hire NYC.

We are also going through the process because we think it's important you do and really as understanding whether our job forecast in fact materializes into real jobs and then how many you know local residents are participating in those job opportunities. What I'm seeing are two data sources. One is we're trying to establish a way of working with our tenants around self-reporting to better understand within the assets managed by EDC what is the workforce? What are the number of jobs? does workforce participation look like? And then

we're also looking at external data sources like the

Department of Labor and then together sort of

providing a more holistic picture and an

understanding of that.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: So, do you have any data related to Sunset Park because I have not seen the data source.

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah.

2.2

2.3

probably the written protocol of the methodology because I'm not quite sure I understand it. I know we get a lot of projections. 5,000, 3,000 we have yet to receive any clear source data around how many residents actually are participating, are benefiting from the hundreds of millions of dollars the city has invested in any of the property managed by EDC. I would love to see that data and I'd like to know why doesn't the agency publicly note that data?

JENNIFER SUN: I can't answer that question. I don't know what our policy is around disclosure. There might be certain legal requirements that prevent us from actually sharing that data because it might be proprietary but I don't want to speculate any further and so, you know I will certainly talk

with our research department to understand what can be shared. And if we are not able to share it, why not? And also to kind of walk you through the methodology. But the data that I am able to share today comes from the New York State Department of Labor comes from the quarterly census of employment and wages and because it's reported on a quarterly basis, the numbers that we have for a full year, the most recent data is for 2021. And according to that data source, looking at our industrial assets at Sunset Park, we have for example at Brooklyn Army Terminal over 3,000 jobs specifically 3,271 jobs that we reported in 2021.

Then at the Brooklyn Wholesale Meat Market, we have $-\$

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: It actually just proves my point. So, of the 3,000 jobs, we have no idea if one of those jobs was received by a Sunset Park resident?

JENNIFER SUN: We would not from this data source is my guess, we would probably rely on tenants to then have to survey their workforce to understand that.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Have you collected any data of any of your tenants that reside on any properties in Sunset Park?

JENNIFER SUN: I will need to confirm that with my colleagues.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Okay, right because we receive 3,000. We see companies moving in with their employees from other neighborhoods. We do not see the employment opportunity in our district. So, I guess, just Chair if I could, we also have similarly, alright, we have the Made in New York Campus, which is a very huge project in our industrial zone. It was announced elected officials. It was said that there was going to be a lot of public engagement. The project has grown substantially in size and scope from 300,000 square feet to now 1.5 million square feet from an initial investment of \$136 million to \$323 million. Huge growth.

We are curious about at what threshold does the size and scope of these projects change and how community is or is not involved. It seems like it was not. We just kind of received that the project continues to grow, continues to receive public subsidy with no clear local impact and requests

86

around how that is actually going to benefit the community. We understand obviously there was a CBA that was connected to the project. It's unclear to me whose holding the accountability around the CBA and when a CBA was done with let's say the scope of the project initially was 123, it has now tripled.

So, I'd love to know like who is holding the CBA?

How is that being held accountable and does it also change in size and scope as the projects increase?

Does the CBA also triple in size and scope with that

JENNIFER SUN: I again will need to follow up with my colleagues to be able to provide answers to that. I know just generally from my experience with CBAs that that is one of the challenges, is that the city doesn't typically play a role of enforcing that CBA because it's usually negotiated between coalition of community groups and the developer. in that scenario it often falls upon coalition of community groups to then play ongoing monitoring and enforcement role. But again, I'll ask the question of my colleagues to understand if that's the case here or different.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

kind of investment?

Question around employment data. How much of this \$300,000 million project will we see a job from our community and what kind of job? We not only want to see the 30 jobs; we want to see are they full-time jobs? Are they part time jobs? Are they living wage jobs? We'd like to see the full data set around what these economic developments are actually producing for the local community that bears the brunt of these industries.

So, yeah, I just want to quickly Chair if I may, seg for a quick question to our DCP colleagues. I came in late, so I don't know — there you go sorry. On May 22nd our office sent a letter to DCP asking for clarification on a project at 6128 8th Avenue and we have not yet received a response from your office. I'd like to flag it to you and would like to know when can we expect a response from this letter. It's particularly important and highly contentious.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, Council Member, I'm not familiar with the issue but I have written down the address and I can assure you that as soon as this meeting ends, we will go get you an update.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Awesome and I have more questions but I'm going to give it back to the Chair so I can get myself together and would love to revisit all this work but thank you so much.

CHAIPRERSON FARIAS: Thank you Council Member Avilés. I just have a couple of quick questions for SBS. Which offices within SBS work with industrial businesses and IBZs and how many staff are dedicated to serving the industrial sector?

KITTY CHAN: Thank you very much for that question. Within SBS we have multiple divisions, the division of business services, which is my division. We manage the nine industrial business service providers and through that we have 14 staff members. The workforce development center which manages the Workforce 1 Industrial Center and the Industrial Transportation Career Centers, which there are four of those. There are 56 staff members.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Great, thank you. And then what information does SBS currently collect about industrial businesses in New York City and how is it collected? How often? When is it made available if it is at all?

2.2

2.3

_ _

Z 4

KITTY CHAN: The IBSP providers are responsible for collecting data to inform their services. It's very informally done when they do outreach into the community. So, they look at things like market trends or openings in different communities, anything that they would notice that might impact their delivery of service.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay, great and then how does SBS currently ensure that services are reaching industrial businesses in each IBZ and what does SBS view as most effective in industrial business support services and incentive programs?

ARITTY CHAN: SBS is taking a very proactive approach in our outreach. So, we're doing more door-to-door outreach as well as corridor tours, which we've done with many of these Council Members. So, thank you very much for that. So, for example, during small business month, the month of May, we actually reached between door-to-door outreach and our events during the month, we reached 3,000 businesses throughout the neighborhoods.

In terms of our most I'm sorry, the best service the IBSPs provide, we actually work, the IBSP providers work with businesses to apply for different

2.2

2.3

incentives. So, there are a number of them that are it varies depending on the business but they'll actually help the applications.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay, great thank you. We have, Council Member Avilés does have additional questions, so we're going to give her like a couple minutes, I'm sorry. I'm done with my questioning but I am but I can always look for more things to ask. Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: I do have a question about, I was excited to hear in your comprehensive but lengthy testimony, so I'm sorry if I missed it but I have it in print. I will read it afterwards. Just about battery storage. I would love to hear a little bit more on how you are all moving forward. I'm obviously very supportive of it but in my district, there was a little bit contingent around the installation of battery storage in a particular you know one off building and while I do believe we all have to do our part, my understanding is, you know we have a long way to go in the City of New York as far as battery storage, so would love to hear what the Administrations long term plan is. How you are all engaging and what's really the best role that the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Council can play, especially for those of us that are in support of meeting our goals quickly.

91

JENNIFER SUN: So, Council Member, I think what you're alluding to is perhaps a lot of the safety concerns right, especially because the types of battery used for energy storage systems might be similar to the batteries in e-bikes, which have escalated I think some concern.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Oh, I'm personally not I know the distinction. concerned. I've been totally prepped on it. I think it's mainly about I quess what I wanted to say is what I learned from that experience is, it was a drop in the bucket. What we were trying to do in that particular building, I'm curious what is the role that we can play in doing more quickly. But I appreciate that you're raising that because yes, there needs to be a distinction made and you're more than welcome to make that on the record but I am fully aware.

JENNIFER SUN: Right and so what I was trying to get to is that I think, so City Planning is trying to make it more possible for a battery storage to happen in more buildings. EDCs role is through the IDA to offer the tax incentives to make that capital

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

investment for actually building. Building a battery storage system is more affordable, so lowering the cost of capital investment but I think there's a whole regulatory side where there's probably still room for thinking about working with the FDNY Department of Buildings about where to streamline the permitting of battery storage systems while still balancing that with safety.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Yeah, I think Jen covered it Council Member but I will just clarify in particular the City of Yes for Carbon Neutrality Text Amendment is looking at lifting some of the zoning barriers to siting them. Battery storage is allowed in manufacturing districts today in an unlimited square footage way, so there we think the issue really about cost and I think that's where the IDA subsidies have been effective in a number of cases already but zoning does not allow battery storage in commercial and residential areas except in limited today. And so, we're making some cases important improvements through that proposal because the battery storage, as I think you're also alluding to, you know, it's not just about, you know in some cases it's a field like a substation.

93

cases, we're talking about solar arrays on a rooftop that are linked to storage within a residential or a commercial building but today, zoning treats that the same as a power plant.

And so, you know that is one good case and by the way, I think in my comprehensive but lengthy testimony, you will see you know a lot of the cases where we're thinking about just breaking down that binary sense of industrial and nonindustrial because there's such a wide spectrum here and we think that that binary sense is what's gotten you particular in zoning in the way in the past of allowing us to bring more industrial uses into our commercial areas. And so, this is you know a lot of the improvements we're making in both the Carbon Neutrality Text Amendment and in economic opportunity are unleashing uses that are only in our manufacturing districts because they've been giving them other opportunities permissive and outside because we think they're needed, because we think they reduce vacancy, they give businesses more flexibility and they are a relief valve to the Mdistricts themselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: I see there what you did with the binary piece. I got it. Can I ask one just quick follow up question and then I'm done? Lindsay, shout out to you. We had a wonderful tour last week, I think. Would love to hear, I don't know if you got to look at the bill we're discussing today but would love today and you've been so active, a part of the working group. Would love to hear if there are, if there's anything that you reviewed in the bill that is a flag to you. For example, the timeline that we've proposed, the kind of reviewing of it every five years. Certainly the sponsors of the bill feel this is much needed. We've never ever actually done kind of a comprehensive planning. Would love to hear if there's any initial flags for you, someone who has so much experience in this.

LINDSAY GREENE: Thank you Councilwoman. all looked the bill we've at and Ι echo colleagues' comments that the goals are allottable and we all you know spent much of our career trying to do this work. We share a lot of our sort of technical feedback. I do think candidly, doing the work you know just the questions that Council Member Avilés asked about the data and our ability to

actually know some of this information, get it from the businesses who are busy trying to run their business and don't really want to respond to a tenant survey. I'm living that in real time at the Navy Yard, even if it is a requirement if someone at least tell us how many people they have hired and what their wages are. Getting them to comply without having to be really heavy handed is quite difficult.

So, and that's just trying to do things like count the number of people, right? And we can do that in other ways, whether they have you know, whether we count access. So, there's just, there's a lot of layers to it, so I think it's quite a significant you know boots on the ground kind of effort to do a lot of the work and have it be smart and thoughtful.

So, I think the timing is really intense to be able to do it and then give the Administration time to put together a policy that responds to the data and then try to enact it. That might get a little tight.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: The five-year timeline?

2.2

2.3

96

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

LINDSAY GREENE: Yeah, just you know thinking through our own work on our master plan. You know obviously the pandemic you know put some pause in new construction but thinking about the work that goes into looking at what kind of building you might want to build and then trying to figure out how much it costs and how do you design a building for industrial businesses of the future as opposed to just the ones you have today and then figuring out going and getting those tenants and having them sign up to say, yes, I know how much space I might need in a few It just can take a lot of time because a lot years. of the businesses really love what they're trying to They're working very hard. They don't have the luxury of planning very far ahead unless they're very big corporations, like a General Motors or folks that are like Fortune 1000 companies and those don't tend to be the folks that do manufacturing in New York City for a lot of reasons and changing demographics of businesses over the last decades but it's something I think we would all love to see change if we're going to have the mature, thriving, industrial and manufacturing sector, it just, I think it will just take us some time.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Yeah, I think and we'll continue having that discussion but you know certainly Chair Farias in workforce, I think does a lot of this kind of like reporting and I do think it's so vital. I would love to understand a little bit more maybe offline on like what those challenges look like and how we can be helpful, how tech can be helpful but I think it's important that we do kind of push back a little bit on that because that's why we're here.

LINDSAY GREENE: Totally.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you and Council Member Avilés.

and I appreciate what you were saying about the complexity of obviously collecting the data. We're very aware of that challenge but I think, I hope you understand how frustrating it is as a community to see millions of dollars being pumped into private businesses, always with a promise of jobs for your community and never seeing that materialize because it's just so hard to collect.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, you know we can figure this out and we have to be honest about what it is. And so, we'll to those questions continue ask but certainly recognize these are complicated but not complex. I guess I wanted to go back to Last Mile, since you know it's my favorite topic. I guess with regard to the special permit application, which I was proud to be a signatory on that. I want to given the scope and scale of the Last Mile problem which acknowledges, whether consideration would be given to waiving the enormous fees associated with application process, so that our CBOs don't bear the brunt of the city's lack of planning around this particular issue.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Okay, Council Member, I don't feel prepared personally to speak to our ability to wave fees. Happy to have our Administration — that is a question I would certainly be directing to our Council's office and our ability to change fee structure for particular applicants.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Fair, fair response and as I mentioned earlier, you know we have millions of square feet within a very small community coming online, generating emissions, traffic, pedestrian

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

What are the steps that DCP and safety hazards. other agencies that here can implement are immediately to mitigate of this impact some specifically related to Last Mile facilities?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, well I - first of all Council Member I'm not sure if you were here for the entire conversation on this earlier, so I just want to say while you're in the room that we absolutely acknowledge the challenges that you're experiencing in Red Hook. I know you work very closely with our Brooklyn Office. Our transportation team is looking at some of the issues there too and we work very closely with our colleagues at DOT. And I know that some of the most basic things about truck routes and stripping and pedestrian safety interventions on Red Hook streets. Like those interventions which I believe you've also been in discussions about, are the most immediate things that can be done to address.

The challenge of what we do about Last Mile facilities in general, a complicated but not complex one right, I think it really boils down to, we're creating a demand for freight and this is the way that our system is responding to meet that freight

100

demand right now. So, as a land use matter, I think we are trying to balance the challenges of you know, our manufacturing districts in some part doing what thev are supposed to do, which is support transportation and logistics for the city, running up against the very real challenge when they are near residences and near schools. And how we physically balance that. But I think the even larger question is, can we change the system, right? We are not going to stop demanding freight, so can we make the system behave differently by introducing alternatives to trucks and alternatives to these sort of mega distribution centers by breaking that down into the more neighborhood distribution models and I think there's efforts on both of those fronts to try and be more long term.

So, to me, there's not a single response. Some of it is what can we do today on the ground with facilities that many of which have already been built in your neighborhood. What can we do to think about how these facilities get built differently and then what do we do to rethink our freight system entirely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: That is the most meta question of the entire -

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: And you don't have to go full but I think this is the question that obviously struggle with living with the industrial sector right? Seeing a healthy, I mean I think the simple answer parallels a community right? A kind of diverse ecosystem of things that we need right that is kind of interconnected. What we are seeing though is an industrial sector obviously that has gone through many waves or evolutions in time, right depending on a whole bunch of factors but that is now seemingly getting sucked up by one sector with no avail and no safeguards for communities around how those, so I think this is what we're all contending with is how do we safeguard and change both the zoning, the land use principles that guide that. How agencies are assessing or not assessing until after the fact and mitigating kind of what currently is there but it's kind of the meta question that we are struggling as we are watching our industrial sector

2.2

2.3

2 shift in ways that feel very unhealthy, are certainly 3 unhealthy for the people that live there.

So, we don't have to answer the whole question now but I guess I'd love to know in terms of the legislation, in terms of the industrial plans, which we are very, very supportive and happy to see. Would you be open to looking at cumulative impacts within an industrial sector? And what I mean is like the environmental justice impacts of having all these kinds of — a variety of facilities together, often adjacent to communities of color or integrated in communities of color.

But looking cumulative impacts seem to be kind of a loss notion and you don't often see city agencies looking at it until it's too late, right. Until we're trying to truly repair something that it frightenly broken. Would you be open to looking at cumulative environmental justice impacts?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, so there are a few legal ways. I think the phrase cumulative impact may trigger some specific legal obligations we actually do have under both there's a law and other federal environmental justice laws. So, I think the answer is we may have some obligation to do that but

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as a practical matter, so I'm going to say not using the technical definition but do we look at and are we open to looking at the preponderance of facilities in a particular area and how that's relative to other areas. Absolutely, we do all the time and we're very well aware that many of the facilities are being built in your district as well as in Council Member Salamanca's district.

By the wav, when you look at а regional perspective, those are not the only two sites, right? We're actually also equally aware that our neighbors in New Jersey and Pennsylvania are building Last Mile facilities and distribution facilities that service New York City's consumer demand at exponentially larger numbers, right? So, I think we actually do look at that and try to understand the entire system you know more globally and I think you know to us, it's just a fundamental question of the demand is not going away for serving freight and particularly consumer and freight into New York City, so how do we site that in a way that is more beneficial communities? And historically we have relied on our manufacturing areas to be the place where we can site that. But in your case, I think there's a very

2.2

2.3

particular challenge about the relationship between our manufacturing areas, which should be servicing our transportation logistic needs. Also, being really close to residences and schools in particular.

So, I think we're absolutely open to that but I think that's fun from a planning perspective. I think our challenge is really thinking about what is the outcome we would like to see that still allows the city to be serviced but reduces the conflict and it is a deep challenge and the best answer we have is thinking about neighborhood scaled and alternative systems that reduce some of that demand in the form that is most problematic for communities.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Sure and when you understand the cumulative impact, right in relation to the community that is housing those facilities and you have a guardrail in place, where you understand six facilities means this much billions in toxins into the air, we won't continue to site facilities or allow them to be kind of unregulated. I'm having such issues speaking today. So, this obviously is an ongoing conversation.

I'd also like to know if you'd be open to ensuring with relation to the legislation, even more,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 105 legislation currently calls for one public hearing. I'm a big advocate of having more public engagement, seeing a community that has such little community engagement in some regards. Would you be open to having even more public engagement?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Yeah, I think Council Member, we're looking forward in general to following up with you on the specifics of the bills but we, we agree, we would never I think have one meeting about an important topic.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Awesome and Ι lastly, in terms of uhm, you noted obviously looking at the regional layouts of Last Mile facilities and many other states have in fact you know, been much more forward thinking in terms of getting a handle, not forward thinking but trying to regulate what they see the impacts have been on the ground within their I guess, I'd love to hear more about communities. what has DCP learned in terms of expiration of how other states are regulating Last Mile facilities in their states that you might, you are considering importing into our state?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Sure, uhm, well, I think maybe I'll start with mentioning, I think

2.2

2.3

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 106

you're comments earlier about just the complexity of data and understanding what you're seeing. This subject is one I think that really, I would start lending itself to that, because there is under New York City and New York State law no definition for what a Last Mile distribution facility is.

So, I think even starting at that place, we've looked to other cities and other states to understand when people use that phrase, what exactly are we looking at because you know I think in a common sense, we understand you're talking about and particularly Amazon and you know certain facilities that are being built in your district, but they're not the only users of you know large sites with trucks. Whether people are using size or volume of movement or particular kinds of users.

So, I think we've learned a lot from comparable cities just even just trying to understand what is the class of business or industry that we are looking at and how do we distinguish one from another in terms of the kinds of impacts that we are trying to evaluate.

So, I think that's where — certainly, I think we've seen from New Jersey different, there are

2.2

2.3

varying opinions about the success. I mean at the state level, I think there's been a real embrace of being the transportation and logistics capital of the United States, in part because of the jobs that that is bringing to New Jersey. But then we've also seen more local responses in certain neighborhoods that also are seeing a preponderance and some of the physical challenges that are associated with that.

I think a real range of responses that are you know from bans to more designed guidance related to how facilities are built.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Yeah, all of those will be certainly important and 100 percent agree, there is no definition and we've been trying really hard to get a definition, so that we can create a baseline somewhere and certainly, this is part, this is why you know the text amendment is both very important to begin to create that because what we have right now is too porous and too problematic. And we see the clustering and the impacts with very little ability to stop it and mitigate it, which we are obviously all working on but I appreciate that and certainly there is a ton more to learn. I know you've seen all the articles of many states and communities who are

So, thank you

2.2

2.3

struggling with the environmental justice impacts of

4 so much.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Appreciate it.

these facilities are quite profound.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you Council Member Avilés. We were also joined by Council Member Tiffany Cabàn on line that is virtual and because there is now quorum in the room, she does have three questions that she sent me over that I would like to ask.

Jobs in the transportation sector and transportation and warehousing sector, which have doubled over 16,000 in recent years, have high injury rates, frequent turnover and inadequate benefits in pay. What labor issues are you aware of workers in Last Mile facility spacing?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: So, apologies Council Member. I was actually looking for a particular piece of information I know is in the packet here because one of the points that stuck out to me is that uhm, when we actually look at transportation and warehousing as a subsector in general, it actually is one of the larger locusts of good jobs. You know when we talk about workers in the industrial sector

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 109

without a bachelor's degree that are earing over \$50,000, a considerable amount of those are transportation and warehousing. So, I'm not making any particular claims about you know certain employers who send us all of our goods on next day and maybe don't have employment practices but as a sector overall, actually we think that is a really important employer. I can't speak to the specific issues around labor practices and any individual employers that's not within City Plannings wheelhouse. I'm not really sure.

JENNIFER SUN: Yeah, I don't have insight either.

I think what comes to mind is that you know the drivers for different companies obviously and they probably have very different employment practices. They're probably really good employers that are very thoughtful of you know providing their workers benefits and there's certainly other types of companies that are contributing to the kinds of issues that the Council Member described. That's all I can say here.

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: Okay, and I think it's probably worth pointing out that when we're talking about public sector employers or workers where the

110

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

city is putting in money through the economic development corporation, they are typically wage standards and labor practices associated with those contracts, but I think the question seemed more directed at private industry that is not receiving public money on public land and so our ability to even speak to those labor practices really only goes to understanding like basic labor law within the State of New York.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Sure, thank you. Do you think the city should play an active role in ensuring Last Mile facilities are developed with the basic environmental and labor protections?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: So, I'm going repeat what I just said, which is I think in the cases where we're talking about private industry on private sites, we do not regulate labor practices and are taking very seriously the while we siting considerations for Last Mile facilities and whether there are particular environmental issues about large sites generating truck traffic, we are not able to use zoning and it's not an illegal use of zoning to manage the labor practices associated with a class of uses.

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Are you aware of the extent of the street safety concerns for pedestrians near Last Mile facilities?

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: We are certainly aware of them.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay, and what is the city doing to ensure there is an analysis and a plan for reducing the risk of collisions, traffic violence and parking violations caused by delivery traffic and routing?

JENNIFER SUN: So, I think if City DOT were here,
I think part of what they're focused on is around
this idea of piloting micro distribution hubs,
meaning looking at how to perhaps remove some of that
traffic from the streets to reduce conflicts with
pedestrians to off street locations.

So, for example, areas under bridges. Uhm, where there isn't as much pedestrian traffic and where it might be safer for these Last Mile delivery companies to be doing that staging, unloading and deliveries of goods as a way of trying to address those safety concerns. And so, I know DOT is in the process of designing a pilot to be launched later this year.

2.2

2.3

CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER:

112

If City DOT were here,

3 I think they'd also comment on how much of their work overall in the city is about improving street safety 4 5 for pedestrians, which are challenged by passenger vehicles and trucks in many circumstances 6 7 and that much of the interventions they do in terms 8 walking and pedestrian intersection of cross improvements and street redesigns are directed at exactly this challenge. Where City Planning 10

have the legal wear with all to be doing site review.

interacts with that typically is in site design.

Both are for sort of based zoning as well as when we

the design of loading facilities and where they

We constantly work with DOT to manage curb cuts and

16 interact with the street to produce the pedestrian

17 conflicts on a site, making sure that where we have

parking coming in and out of buildings is not also at

intersections and where we see those largest

20 pedestrian loads.

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, we do have that interface where a buildings meets the street?

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: And outside of DOT not being here, would you support legislation mandating such of an analysis?

2 CAROLYN GROSSMAN MEAGHER: I'm not totally clear 3 on exactly what the analysis would be but we'd be

happy to talk with the Council Member and follow up.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Great, thank you so much.

I'll make sure she has your contact information to follow up with you. And seeing no additional questions from members. You are all free to go. Thank you all for joining us today and testifying.

PANEL: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Chair. Again, Alex Paulenoff, Senior Counsel. We'll now turn to the public portion of the hearing today. For in person panelists who are testifying publicly, please come up to the dais once your name has been called.

For virtual panelists, we will calling individuals one by one to testify. We will be limiting public testimony today to three minutes each. So, please begin once the Sergeant has started the timer. Council Members who have questions for a particular panelist should raise their hands and Council Members who are here virtually, please use the Zoom raise hand function and the Chair will call on you after the panelist has completed their testimony. For virtual panelists, once your name is

114

2 called, a member of our staff will unmute you and the 3 Sergeant at Arms will set the timer and give you the

go ahead to begin.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, please wait for the Sergeant to announce that you may begin before delivering testimony. I would like to now call the following people to the stand to Tim Dumbleton; Emily Goldstein, Brady testifv: Meixell, Quincy Ely-Cate. Apologies if I messed up anyone's name there but please come on up and you may begin once the Sergeants announce the timer.

Tim, you can begin whenever CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: you're ready.

TIMDUMBLETON: Good afternoon Madam Chair, members and staff of the Committee. My name is Tim am the Chief Operation Officer of Dumbleton, I Microgrid Networks. Our company is building and operating energy storage facilities in New York City. We're committed and aligned with the state and city's policies for decarbonization.

Currently, the clean energy industry in New York City suffers from a lack of government coordination planning and policy, which jeopardizes New York City's chance of meeting ambitious state goals for decarbonization. This includes the deployment of

which should be installed in the five boroughs.

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 115 6,000 megawatts of energy storage, roughly half of

In the past four years, the city has installed less than one percent of the 2030 goal and we have only seven years left.

This lack of coordination of planning risks further damage to the climate, as well as delaying the benefits of the clean energy economy for all New Yorkers. We believe that creating an action plan that incorporates economic development and industrial policy around clean energy will maximize benefits both inside and outside of the industrial business zones.

Today, I would like to share with you key points on the importance of energy storage and the role that IBZs play in deploying this critical technology now and in the future. Energy storage is a cutting-edge technology engineered to the highest standards, which utilizes you all tested, FDNY approved and 24/7 monitored batteries on a large scale to absorb electricity during the night from our electricity grid, store it locally and then inject it back into the grid when local demand is high. This process has

2.2

2.3

four distinct direct benefits to the average New Yorker.

First, it enables our current grid to carry more electricity, which means fewer expensive upgrades and less disruption caused by those upgrades. Second, energy storage increases network resiliency by reducing the likelihood of local outages caused by overloads.

Third, it improve air quality by reducing the need to run expensive polluting peeker plants in designated environmental justice areas. Fourth, it allows more cheap energy from upstate solar and offshore wind to enter our local congested grid and to be consumed by New Yorkers. NYSERDA has calculated that energy storage installation will save rate payers \$2 billion. Put simply, energy storage reduces the cost of energy, makes it cleaner and makes it more reliable. What's not to like?

New York City's electrical grid is made up of 70 smaller grids, each served by a substation, some more stressed than others. The electrification of every building in NYC under Local Law 97 increase use of EV's and the increased reliance on renewable energy

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

like wind and solar will only exacerbate the network capacity issues we're facing today.

There's no alternative plan to increasing the capacity on those networks without building a massive amount of energy storage in the city, in each of those 70 electric grids. It's critical to our city and state goals for electrification that this gets done quickly and well.

While it's clear that NYC should be pushing and prioritizing, and also planning for the deployment of energy, there are many obstacles in our way. Permitting review times are extremely long. Siting large scale energy storage is challenging. Even in IBZs, where 100 percent of our sites are currently located as of right due to competition with other more lucrative uses and city level incentives do not exist.

Three, there's no centralized planning to help locate energy storage where it's needed most. The impact of energy stored deployment in IBZs can benefit the city as a whole. Energy storage will directly improve the functionality and capacity of our grid. In IBZs for example, utility

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

infrastructure is substantially lacking compared to core and business residential districts.

In fact, the primary complaint we hear from IBZ administrators is that companies cannot get enough reliable power. Asking our city employers to show their production in the industrial sector is not a solution. Energy storage can make this better. Siting energy storage in these facilities improves network capacity and opens up the power for more power-hungry users.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Tim, I'm going to have to ask you to wrap soon.

TIM DUMBLETON: Okay, I'm almost -

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: You're going two minutes over.

TIM DUMBLETON: I'm sorry, I apologize. The City for Yes Carbon Neutrality Proposal introduced by the Administration is an excellent example of forward progress and comprehensive planning and we strongly urge to vote in support of that proposal. However, it's not enough. Energy storage should be a major component of industrial policy and an economic development policy, which could act its cohesive voice and guidance for our permitting departments.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

119

We're in support of this bill and we look forward to working with the Committee and city agencies to help this work.

In closing, I would like to invite all of you to come visit our energy storage facility in the North Brooklyn IBZ. It's one of the largest and newest facilities in New York City and we'd be very glad to tour you there. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much.

CHRIS WALTERS: Great thank you Chair. Thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Chris Walters and I'm the Senior Land Use Policy Associate at the Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development, ANHD.

ANHD is a member organization of community groups across the city, with a mission to build community power to win affordable housing in thriving equitable neighborhoods for all New Yorkers. As a key part of and participate our work, we convene in Industrial Jobs Coalition, IJC. We strongly endorse the goals of the proposed industrial development action plans legislation and believe it would serve crucial in ensuring coordinated step as comprehensive planning for the industrial sector in

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

NYC to address the numerous challenges it currently faces.

I want to first just emphasize here how this legislation is timely and laying the ground work to advance proposals that we're all advocating to come out of DCP City of Yes Economic Opportunity Text Amendment to address and update zoning issues that have long hampered industrial growth.

The Economic Opportunity Text Amendment will be a chance to address these issues by creating new types of zoning districts that redefine what uses and building type should be permitted in different areas.

It is essential that these new zoning districts include a core industrial district that increases density industrial and limits competing industrial uses. Once these new districts, including vitally core industrial are created through City of Yes, the IDAP planning process as outlined in this legislation, will help determine where these districts are most appropriate to be mapped in IBZs as part of the larger planning goals of expanding and supporting the industrial sector.

I will now just quickly turn to ways we would like to strengthen this bill to ensure its focus on

121

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1314

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expanding and retaining industrial businesses in ways that do not put their interest and viability at risk. I think first as touching upon with the core industrial, we want to make sure that the main focus of the land use framework in this legislation is around mapping core industrial and IBZs and as much of IBZs as possible.

We'd also like to more strongly identify IBSPs, Industrial Business Service Providers as lead partners in the planning process coming out of this bill. They bring invaluable expertise connections with local businesses that I think that also help with some of the concerns the city was addressing in terms of their capacity to do this work.

We want to make sure that there's a goal of optimizing and growing industrial incentives. I think there's a lot of ways that they can be more equitably accessed by industrial businesses. We want to make sure this legislation points us toward that. And then lastly, we want to strengthen potential levers for requiring or encouraging implementation and integration with other planning. In short, we want to ensure that this legislation is implemented.

2.2

2.3

The plans coming out of this legislation are implemented by the city.

Thank you very much. We're excited to work with the Council to ensure this planning bill truly protects and reimagines the city's industrial business zones, allowing industrial businesses to remain and grow while enhancing the capacity of industrial business service providers to advance economic equity and opportunity for every New Yorker. Thanks very much.

QUINCY ELY-CATE: Good afternoon Council. Thank you for this opportunity. My name is Quincy Ely-Cate. I'm Director of Industrial Business Development at the Business Outreach Center, BAC Network. Our organization provides services to industrial manufacturing businesses in the Maspeth, Ridgewood, Steinway and Woodside IBZs in Queens, and the East New York and Flatlands Fairfield IBZs in East Brooklyn.

The IBZs are critical for providing quality jobs and promising career path to New Yorkers who historically have little access to higher education and options for living wage employment. The industrial manufacturing workforce is made up of

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

predominantly minority and immigrant populations with
a high percentage of non-native English speakers.

In the Maspeth IBZ alone, there are over 15,000 blue collared jobs across 800 businesses. It is for these reasons that we commend the Council in bringing forth this long overdue legislation that begins to prioritize the value and importance of the IBZs, the businesses that operate within them and most importantly, the jobs they provide our local communities.

It is with complete certainty that I can tell you that without thoughtful zoning regulations and incentive programs, New Yorks industrial and manufacturing sectors would be a small fraction of what it is today.

We believe that the IDAP legislation is important ensuring that New Yorks manufacturers and industrial businesses continue to have a home here that future businesses are motivated and and encouraged to locate to our IBZs. A mandate to study the IBZs is critical to understanding the trends influencing the IBZs. Importantly though, these studies need to be geared with an eye to how to grow the number of businesses and jobs including how to

124

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

modernize our archaic industrial zoning limitations and analyzing the incentives being offered in competitive places like New Jersey, Long Island and upstate in order to be more competitive when businesses are making decisions where to locate.

Additionally, as IBSPs, it is important that we are part of the planning process and the study process, able to provide input, relay information from the businesses that we work with so that the plans that are being implemented are actually impactful and grow our industrial sector. Thank you for the opportunity.

BRADY MEIXELL: Hello Chair Farias and Committee My name is Brady Meixell and I represent the Southwest Brooklyn Industrial Development Corporation. SBIDC is a local nonprofit organization whose mission is to create equal opportunity that businesses, and community of helps the people, 1,500 Southwest Brooklyn grow. We serve over businesses across Sunset Park, Gowanus and Red Hook.

SBIDC urges passage of Intro 1012, which establishes Industrial Development Action Plans. We want to thank the Committees for considering this legislation, which we believe is an important step

1

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

125

towards reinvigorating industrial policy and planning
in New York City, and helping to ensure our
industrial sector is protected, expanded, and
continues to thrive. By encouraging the retention
and growth of industrial jobs, the city can uphold

7 the livelihoods of the hundreds of thousands of New

8 Yorkers who rely on these well-paying quality jobs

which are accessible across educational backgrounds

10 \parallel and provide pathways into the middle class.

Historically, with the notable exceptions of Gowanus and North Brooklyn, the city has neglected thoughtful long-term planning around Industrial Business Zones. With this in mind, we are excited to see the city considering proactive efforts to comprehensively plan for its industrial areas. We believe it is crucial to study the IBZs because from a policy perspective, we need to understand these areas and the growth trajectory of these sectors if we are to effectively train our workforce for them.

We believe that IDAPs can help to further unlock the economic potential of industrial uses in Southwest Brooklyn and throughout the city by: One, supporting the city's industrial business service providers to ensure that they can continue to provide 1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 the free high-quality services that businesses in our 3 areas depend upon.

examining dated zoning regulations that currently hinder the ability of industrial businesses recommending to arow. And three, needed infrastructure improvements and updates to industrial incentives. Over time, we've experienced the slow erosion of areas where industrial work can be done in this city. Any future study should leave no room for further reduction or de-emphasis. The entirety of the IBZs should prioritize industrial manufacturing uses.

Currently the legislation allows for portions of the IBZs to be classified as transition areas or growth districts, which could promote more office or other commercial use. We believe that, instead, the full area of each Industrial Business Zone should be mapped as Core Industrial Areas, allowing for these districts to utilize all newly made available tools and continue to be fully protected. Growth districts and transition areas should be reserved for M Zones that fall outside of IBZs.

This is important legislation and we look forward, along with the other members of the

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

2.2

2.3

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

Industrial Jobs Coalition, which you've heard speak today, to being in continued partner in your efforts to sustain and grow New York City's industrial sector. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you all for testifying. Do you have any questions for this panel?

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: Yes. Just one question. Thank you all for testifying. Tim, good to see you. I have a question about a big like driver in this bill is to like talk about jobs in a real way. Curious if you can share how reporting is done for Microgrid and what are the job opportunities for fire storage or battery storage installation, excuse me, if I'm you know kind of ignorant on this whole process. I think it's valuable but can you speak to a little bit about the job opportunities for battery storage?

TIM DUMBLETON: Sure, we're a power producer. We're one of the many companies doing what we're doing. Our company itself started about four years ago and is growing pretty rapidly now. We have about 17 people working for us. I think that the opportunity here for in our industry is we're

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

128

prepared to go and build. We built to this year. want to build for next year, four to six next year. Eight the year after that and basically build eight to ten every year between now and 2050. These are, to build these things, its all, they are very sophisticated. We use union labor and it's hundreds of permanent jobs because we're essentially going to be continually building between now and 2050. think that there is obviously jobs within the control systems and the coordination systems. But also what we're really talking about is building hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of infrastructure every year for the next 30 years.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: So, the bulk of the jobs is - I get what you're saying. I guess I'm just trying to - is there a way to quantify roughly like in one site for example.

Sure, so for in one site as an TIM DUMBLETON: example, let's rough numbers, every site takes about a year to build and we're trying - our company is trying to rebuild 70 of them. Each site is investment of \$12 million to \$14 million. These are for a typical smaller size. They would employ for 20 people for a full year and then rebuild 8 of them a

2.2

2.3

year. And that's just our company. So, we're talking about having two to 200 jobs, 200 permanent high quality union jobs just for our company.

COUNCIL MEMBER GUTIÈRREZ: That's right, wonderful thank you so much. That was it. Thanks Chair.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Sure, Council Member Avilés.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Thank you. Can each of you describe concrete local examples of how the lack of planning and outdated zoning in our industrial areas has negatively effected industrial businesses or city policy goals? In any order as you wish.

Thank you for the question.

QUINCY ELY-CATE: Thank you for the question. In the IBZs, I mean there's, the IBZs are very distinct and each one of geographically in their build structures but I would say is a significant limiting factor is some point that was touched on earlier about FAR. We've had businesses that have outgrown their space and are looking to grow in place but they're limited by a 1.0 FAR. They could easily add above their workshops, add you know office space above that would be complimentary to their business. Right now, they're bursting at the seams and they

2.2

2.3

_ 1

have to go look for an additional location or move somewhere out of the city because they don't have the available FAR to build in their current location. So, that would be one example that we've seen where they're very limited by the current zoning.

BRADY MEIXELL: And to build off what Quincy was saying there, in the Southwest Brooklyn, IBZ for instance, the industrial vacancy rate is at an all-time low right now, it's under four percent. So, you know, we believe that adding density to some of these spaces could you know really serve a lot of these businesses that you know aren't able to locate in our district just because there is not the available space. And I think a particular need we've seen time and again from businesses looking to locate in our area has been for high ceiling space. And you know without the proper FAR at a facility, you know the financial don't really work out to build you know brand new industrial high ceiling space.

So, you're adding that available to have you know additional square footage above the high ceiling facility could hopefully unlock that.

CHRIS WALTERS: I could just add one example. I think just if you look in general, like if you look

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

131 to something like the Green Point Williamsburg IBZ, you can see how it's not enough to just have an IBZ. It's not enough to have financial incentives without zoning that is trying to preserve and manufacturing grow what you've seen there is basically the wholesale gutting industrial of businesses and in those places, you know gyms, basketball gyms, bars, restaurants, large scale entertainment and again, so emphasizing that the two hands are not working together, right? You know DCP and EDC, when you don't have the land use policy working with the financial incentives that can tend to be what happens.

TIM DUMBLETON: I think that my comments around this would be more about having planning and coordination between the agencies. So, we've struggled from you know the city and state have established these goals. But then we've basically been left on our own to go educate all of the planners at DOB about this new technology.

So, we've wasted enormous or spent an enormous amount of time trying to create all of the standards in each different agency and get them to talk to each other. And there isn't somebody that's saying okay,

we have to go build a bunch of this stuff, let's make sure that we're able to get it through DOB without having endless delays and in training everybody what things are. We spent as an example, there is a building use group that surrounds battery storage but is around the storage of little batteries and we have just hours of conversation about how that's not what we're doing, right? So, it's things like that where if there was a centralized — it was our feeling that there were people looking out for this industry and trying to make sure that all of the agencies that have jurisdiction over this industry were all moving in a coordinated effort to allow this to be built. It would be a great advantage to us in permitting.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILÈS: Wow thank you. Certainly it resembles a lot of our interactions with agencies and frustrations around the lack of coordination across and the amount of time it takes to of course correct. This is a similar follow up question. It may be the same, it may not be the same but in terms of in your view, what are some of the most urgent reforms that we need to make to the city's current business assistance, workforce development or

2.2

2.3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 economic incentive programs? What feels the most
3 urgent at this moment and time for you all?

TIM DUMBLETON: For me it's just coordination, right? It's just having a feeling that uhm, that if the city has this goal, let's make sure the agencies are ready to deal with it.

WALTERS: I mean, I think I'm a CHRIS speaking for IBSPs but I know one is just ensuring their funding and their funding for multi-years. know there's a lot of issues with getting the funding they need to do their work. I would not quite include in your question but I would definitely just put again the land use policy within that. I think just the need for increased FAR for industrial uses and then limiting competing uses in core industrial It has been for awhile sort of one of the areas. existential issues. But to you guys if there's more.

QUINCY ELY-CATE: Thank you Christopher. I would agree, the IBSPZs, as an IBSP, we are I know that it is very tenuous. Our funding is not insured going forward and it makes it really hard for us to plan. I know that if we're paid, we're paid very late. It's really hard to run an organization that way. Our funding has been cut since the beginning of

2.2

2.3

with the committee on LAND USE 134 the program. So, that is really critical to the work that we do and the advocacy that we provide to businesses in the community.

But really, I think industrial manufacturing businesses need zoning protections that really limit alternative uses, uses that are nonindustrial within our IBZs and they just cannot compete on a market level with somebody who can come in, a developer looking to you know put self-storage or some other competing use that's not nonindustrial. And so, that would be my priority.

BRADY MEIXELL: And I of course as an IBSP as well, we echo the same concerns about IBSP funding. On the land use issue, you know there's competing uses. You know and you know competing for the same space with rents. I think within IBZs, it would be great to see some sort of you know requirement that buildings going up have you know some kind of industrial usage component. So, where there is office space, that's being used to cross subsidize lower industrial rents.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Okay, thank you. I think we're going to move to our next panel. Thank you all so much for answering our questions.

2.2

2.3

Can we have Krista Starrett, Raul Rivera, Ashley Saunders and Peter Goldberg to join us in the hot seat. And if there is anyone else here in Chambers that hasn't been called to testify and would like to testify, please find a Sergeant at Arms in the front to fill out a sheet. And Krista, you can begin when you are ready.

KRISTA STARRETT: Good afternoon. My name is Krista Starrett and I work for the New Town Creek Alliance. A nonprofit dedicated to restoring, revealing and revitalizing New Town Creek. An industrial waterway that borders the North Brooklyn, Long Island City and Maspeth IBZs. We work to clean the creek of historic toxins and ongoing pollution, reconnect communities to the waterway and seek to preserve the surrounding areas as industrial and manufacturing zones.

We support the Council's efforts to examine ways to improve IBZ function and productivity but are here to to speak to opportunities for upgrading IBZs to better address environmental justice issues, mitigate climate change related impacts, and better incorporate use, restoration and access to adjacent waterways.

136

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We urge the Council to fully consider how IBZs can be more than just economic engines, but hubs for greener and more sustainable uses and opportunities. The following are specific issues and IBZs typically suffer from extreme recommendations: rainfall heat and UHI effects; increased and lack of wildlife habitat; and poor air flooding, Proposed changes to the current zoning quality. should specifically address the need for more green infrastructure. There's a significant opportunity to better manage and absorb stormwater on site, helping protect surrounding neighborhoods from costly and deadly flooding and our waterways from untreated sewage drain rain events.

Green infrastructure can also be an effective tool to address poor air quality, although the most effective way to improve air quality and reduce pollutants coming from IBZs is for the city to implement tighter restrictions and measures to prevent plant source emissions.

Currently, developments within industrial zones do not trigger waterfront public access requirements. We believe the city is past due in updating these and should implement baseline requirements to create

137

public waterfront access and а resilient and ecologically productive shorelines for any industrial site that is being redeveloped and not utilizing their waterfront for maritime purposes. This is a critical opportunity. We ask the changes to our IBZs, not solely focus on increasing development rights and FARs, but consider the social, public health and environmental benefits that can also be gained through smart and comprehensive planning. Thank you for your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: We'd love some ahead of time testimony. Thank you. Next up is Raul. Oh, you want to go in order? Okay.

ASHLEY SAUNDERS: Good afternoon, my name is Ashley Saunders. I've from 315 Amber Street, known as the hole in Brooklyn. An entire community developed on a creek, known as Spring Creek. I came here on April 25th to testify before the Housing Committee about the rapid deterioration of building due to the current environment. This includes excessive flooding, extreme moisture, open cesspools spewing into the streets and backing up into homes, aggressive mold and mushrooms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Since my last testimony on April 25th, everyone in my building got a notice to vacate from our landlord as an act of retaliation. Tree people in my community develop asthma due to the cesspool and human waste that is being pumped into the streets because the city hasn't given us a connection to the city soil lines.

My building is collapsing. The floors in my apartment has caved in. I came back again on May to the City Council's main office at 250 Broadway to protest and I was removed by police. I am here again today. I have lost a lot trying to get this issue to your attention. I'm aware that the Mayor has a \$75 million plan for the area but the Committee has to be aware of the immediate space by the tenants and the community because there has been no plans implemented for tenants.

Currently, I have been told by the Department of Buildings to call 911 but doing so will trigger an immediate vacate order creating an uncertainty of housing for all the tenants in my building. To date, too soon four homes have been given vacate orders, leaving tenants homeless. These vacate orders are being issued due to the structural development on

2.2

2.3

compromised land. I am asking the Committee instead of trying to implement proposals to use the land, to try and effect a plan to restore the land to its original state. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much for your testimony.

PETER GOLDBERG: Hi, my name is Peter Goldberg. I'm an Organizer with Tenant Union Flatbush and I'm here today with Ashley representing her fellow tenants at 315 Amber Street. I just want to speak about what I've witnessed in their building having come there in the last couple months and why I felt it was necessary to come before these committees today.

Working with the tenants at 315 Amber for the last few months, one thing that's really stood out is the massive discrepancy between the severity of the issues they face and the city's anemic response.

Deteriorating floors, vermin, landlord harassment, rampant mold, flooding and septic leaking into the walls, this is only the beginning of the list. These conditions are not just physically dangerous but we believe they've been the direct cause of various health issues that tenants are

experiencing. Due to the sheer number of different problems, it's been a dizzying time simply trying to figure out which agency can respond to which issues.

5 HPD refers us to DOB, who refers us to DEP, who

6 refers us to DOH.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Even with a growing mound of violations issued, essential repairs continue - are necessary to ensure the wellbeing of tenants have not been started because of bureaucratic distinctions between various issues these tenants are facing. To give one example, it seems that no agency is capable of cleaning and repairing an open cesspool in the tenants yard, which has led to human waste pulling outside just feet from the home preventing them from These agencies have been opening their windows. alerted several times about these issues. We've held rallies and drawn attention to them. We've spoken to agency leaders personally but none of this alleviates the basic problem that these agencies are hamstring when it comes to coordinating a response to the problems at 315 Amber.

Because of that we've come here to address ourselves directly to City Council, as several of the Council Members in the Land Use and Economic

141

Development Committees also share seats in the Housing Committee.

There was at last no meeting for tenants to voice these issues. We want to ask members of the City Council to use their power to help ensure these fixes are done, so that the tenants at 315 Amber can live safely and healthy. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you for your testimony. Just again, this is 315 Amber Street in Brooklyn?

PETER GOLDBERG: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RAUL RIVERA: Good afternoon, my name is Raul Rivera. I'm a TLC Driver and a TLC Driver Advocate. I'm a Native from the Bronx. I don't know what you can say in three minutes. I don't have anything written down but uhm, we are concerned that our Council - well, I'm concerned that our Council Members are not being vocal enough when it comes to congestion pricing. This is the Committee on Land Use and our Council Members are being silent. We hear them say anything about congestion pricing. If you want to defend your district and the people in that district, you must be against congestion pricing. You should be more vocal.

142

don't hear anybody say anything about it. Are you for congestion pricing? We wanted to testify in front of Salamanca but he took off. I spoke to his staffer, couldn't give me a straight answer. not hard. Either you're for it or you're against it. know that Joe Borrelli, Council Member We Joe Borrelli has a Resolution that should be supported by all Council Members. Why? Because that Resolution gives a voice to the people. The people could vote on it but our elected officials, like the Governor and the Mayor, and many Council Members, are looking the other way. They don't want to give the people a voice. Push that Resolution. Give a voice to the people. Let them decide. Let them decide on congestion pricing. It's going to effect the little guy. The people of color that we always talk about.

Also, I want to talk about cobalt. Cobalt is a mineral. This is the third time I talk about it. Cobalt is a mineral that's needed to make batteries for electric vehicles. And in the DRC, in the Congo in Africa, we have little boys and little Black girls, people of color digging for that cobalt so New York could be green. New York is not going to save

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

the planet. Council Member Avilés, can you at least pay attention? It's important.

The cobalt is being mined by little Black boys and girls so New York City could be green. New York City is not going to save the planet. Congestion pricing is basically being robbed without a gun. That's what that it. New Yorkers do not want congestion pricing. We encourage our Council Members to speak up if you're against it. If you're for it, we want to know.

Also, I want to say thank you to you for meeting with us last month. We hope we can get that audit. We want to audit the MTA. We know that they hire a lot of money. They've been caught in the past with surpluses. 500 million, 300 million, why did we have to give them our money. Please protect the New Yorker and your constituents in your district. Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you for your testimony folks and I will follow up with you and I know you will follow up. Thank you folks.

RAUL RIVERA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll now move to the virtual portion of the testimony. As a reminder,

2 we will be limiting the virtual testimony to three

3 minutes each and please begin once the Sergeants have

4 started their timers. And again, for Council Members

5 who are here virtually, please use the Zoom raise

hand function and the Chair will call on you after

the panelist has completed their testimony.

2.2

2.3

First, we will hear from Scott Van Campen followed by Charles Yu and then Leah Archibald. Scott Van Campen, you may begin when the Sergeants announce the timer.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

SCOTT VAN CAMPEN: Thank you and thank you Chair and Committee Members. I really appreciate allowing to be able to take a couple minutes out of your time today. I just want to really sort of introduce myself to you and my organization. So, my name is Scott Van Campen, I'm the Executive Director and Co-Founder of Makerspace NYC and we are a community based nonprofit workshop where we actually got our start as an EDC sponsored business incubator about ten years ago in Staten Island on the Northshore. We answered an RFP basically saying, if we can teach people how to make things, we can encourage them to start businesses within our space and we started

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

145 doing that. We started teaching people how to make things. We've had thousands of people come through as students, adults, learning things like welding and woodworking, ceramics, 3D printing, advanced manufacturing techniques. Some of our members have moved on and moved out of the space. One of the stars out of that is a company called Hillsboro They are on the Northshore of Staten Brewing. They are moving into a \$4 million beer Island. brewing facility that they actually got their start in our space as home brewers.

So, when you talk about manufacturing as you guys know, it's a very broad spectrum there but about four years ago, we took over a space at the Brooklyn Army Terminal and we started operating out of here. It's a 20,000 square foot space and we started doing programming working with SBS, running their Apprentice NYC program.

So the Apprentice NYC program is a 400-hour program teaching advanced manufacturing techniques to people who are really looking for a career. Most of those participants are people of color from all over New York City and we get them started with the math and the safety around the tools and they are employed

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE 146 the entire time that they are taking classes that they are here. It's really an amazing program. We've actually run 600 cohorts of that and have graduated out I think over about 120, 125 students We also work with SBIDC with from that program. disadvantaged youths teaching them some techniques around manufacturing. You know the world still needs people to get dirty and you know when you talk about manufacturing it's a very broad spectrum. The companies that we work with in the apprentice program, there's advanced stuff like aerospace. There's Lee Spring, we work with companies in the building here at the Brooklyn Army Terminal.

We also just recently expanded about a year and a half ago to building A. So, our square foot occupancy at the Brooklyn Army Terminal is actually 42,000 square feet.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

SCOTT VAN CAMPEN: There's a factoring space.

Uhm, and I just you know I encourage you to think out of the box and think about innovation around manufacturing because it can be done in New York City and it could be done effectively, efficiently and creatively. Thank you for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Charles Yu followed by Leah Archibald and Rami Dinnawi. Charles Yu, you can begin when the Sergeants announce the time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

CHARLES YU: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon Council Members. My name is Charles Yu, the Vice President of Economic Development at the Long Island City Partnership and I am here to speak in support of the Industrial Development Action Plans. The plans represent crucial steps in protecting and reimagining the city's industrial business zones, ensuring that industrial businesses can thrive while advancing economic equity.

And as the Chair stated in the opening, the industrial sector plays a very important role in New York City. As the second largest private sector employer in New York City, industrial businesses provide middle class jobs that offer opportunity pathways for our diverse workforce. These jobs pay nearly \$20,000 more annually than retail positions and nearly twice as much as those in the food and beverage industry.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Moreover, they contribute to economic mobility for our workforce, with 80 percent of workers being people of color and 50 percent being immigrants. And that's why we are very happy to see that the Council is taking a major step now to promote the interests and viability of industrial businesses.

To ensure the success of the action plans, we Council consider the the to following recommendations: There are six of them. One, identify Industrial Business Service Providers such as the law and the city partnership as lead partners in the planning process to leverage their on-theground expertise. Number two, remove districts and transition areas from the planning framework and treat all IBZs as core industrial districts. Number three, protect ground-floor industrial spaces in the IBZs to preserve affordability. Number four, include а goal of optimizing and growing industrial incentives to retain and attract industrial businesses and ensure equitable access to industrial space. Number five, as the lead entity for designate EDC the IDAP planning process to help coordinate inputs from all Six, strengthen integration with other partners.

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

149

planning efforts and agencies to meet the challenges in the IBZs today.

By placing a priority on the retention and growth of industrial businesses, we have the opportunity to create valuable job opportunities and pathways for our diverse workforce, supporting development of a thriving middle class. And we deeply appreciate the Council's proactive role in championing this cause. With a shared commitment, let us work together to bring a bright and sunny future for the industrial sector in our city. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from Leah Archibald and then Rami Dinnawi followed Kevin Garcia. Leah Archibald, you can begin when the Sergeants announce the time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

LEAH ARCHIBALD: Hi, my name is Leah Archibald and I am the Executive Director of Evergreen. the local development corporation that works with businesses here in industrial North Brooklyn to help them grow, so we can keep high-quality working-class jobs in our community. We are the industrial business solutions provider for North Brooklyn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you know we are in agreement with our colleagues. We are really excited to work with the Speaker and City Council on this bill. You know, we think that this legislation will really help industrial businesses thrive and grow and enhance the capacity of our industrial business service providers to advance economic equity and opportunity for every New Yorker. Like my colleagues, we think that there are few things that we could do to improve the legislation, which I don't need to go into because they've spoken about it at length.

I'd like to speak for a couple of minutes about why I think this is important and what I think the opportunities are for this legislation. You know I think that it's really interesting by creating these industrial action plans for each IBZ every five years can really help inform and New York City and each of us IBSPs about local transit of each of our unique areas and it can ensure that services and program offerings are tailored directly to current business needs.

I also believe that local industrial businesses will benefit from the wide-ranging interagency coordination required by the industrial action plans.

In fact, I think this could be the most important innovation for our businesses. At present, the businesses deal with contradicting regulations from different agencies resulting in a ton of confusion and fines. A more coordinated approach will improve regulatory function and create a more fertile environment for businesses to grow.

Finally, we think that the ongoing attention to the state of industrial businesses will help elevate the importance of these businesses and the high-quality working-class jobs that they provide. New York City simply cannot function without the goods and services that our industrial businesses provide, whether it's concrete, cabinetry or metal work for new buildings, fresh bread delivered daily to hotels and restaurants, or the processing of recycling waste, created by New York City's residents. These functions are essential to the existence of our city. Ongoing study into the unique intricacies of each IBZ will be informative and remind New Yorkers how important these functions are to our collective existence.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Ultimately, it will galvanize support to preserve them and develop policies to nurture their growth over the long term. Thank you very much.

COMMTTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, we will hear from Rami Dinnawi followed by Kevin Garcia. And as a reminder, if there's anybody else who is interested in testifying who has not heard their name called, please raise your hand using the Zoom raise hand function. Rami Dinnawi, you may begin when the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

RAMI DINNAWI: Hi, my name is Rami Dinnawi and I'm the Environmental Justice Campaign and Policy Manager at El Puente. El Puente is a community-based organization located in North Brooklyn. It was founded over 40 years in South Side ago of Williamsburg. El Puente is also a part of the Last Mile Coalition, a citywide coalition of environmental justice and public health advocates working to regulate Last Mile trucking facilities in New York City.

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to provide my testimony on Intro. 1012. While we support the importance of the industrial sector and

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY

153

WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE

exposure and health risk.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

achieving clean energy goals and providing green economic opportunities, we believe there are several areas in which it needs improvement. Firstly, the legislation lacks emphasis on environmental justice. It fails to prioritize the concerns of marginalized communities who bear the disproportionate impacts from industrial activities, such as pollution

Secondly, the legislation falls short in terms of community engagement. While it mentions a public hearing on the draft plan, it does not provide a robust framework for meaningful community involvement. It is also important to specify the inclusion of existing community plans any industrial plan formulated and to conduct multiple public hearings, including those conducted within and in collaboration with neighborhood adjacent to IBZs. legislation Furthermore, the needs specific quidelines or requirements for sustainable practices within the industrial sector.

Additionally, the legislation primarily focuses on IBZ without considering the cumulative impacts of industrial activities across the entire city. It is essential to assess the overall environmental and

154

health impacts of the industrial sector at a citywide 3 level and develop strategies to mitigate cumulative

4 burdens on communities. Moreover, the legislation

mechanisms to hold city agencies 5 lacks clear

for implementing the 6 accountable industrial

7 development plan and achieving its goal.

With robust monitoring, reporting our enforcement mechanisms, there is the risk that the objectives, will plans, not be effectively implemented leading to missed opportunities for environmental justice and sustainable industrial development. The legislation should align with the target set forth by the CLCPA and refer to the goals of up to 40 percent investment in disadvantaged communities.

Lastly, the definitions within the legislation need to be revised. The industrial sector should explicitly acknowledge green and clean energy manufacturing as an industrial use. As previously mentioned by the Council Members, it is concerning that the lack of Last Mile facilities definition at DCP may leave room for Last Mile warehouses to be defined as industrial use.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

155

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

conclusion, to ensuring equitable and sustainable approach to industrial development, it is crucial to address these concerns. We must prioritize environmental justice, enhance community specific guidelines engagement, provide for sustainable practice, considering community impacts, establish accountability measures and revise definitions within the legislation. Thank you. look forward to working with you to ensure each of these concerns are a cornerstone in this legislation and we will be submitting written testimony as well. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, next up, we have Kevin Garcia and I believe he is the last person to testify today, so Kevin Garcia, you may begin when the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

KEVIN GARCIA: Uhm, thank you all. Thank you to the Chairs and the Committee Members here today for the opportunity to share comments. My name is Kevin Garcia, I am the Transportation Planner with the New York City Environmental Justice Alliance. Founded in 1981, NYCEJA is a nonprofit citywide membership network linking grassroots organizations from low-

2.2

2.3

income communities of color and their struggle for environmental justice. We are also a part of the Last Mile Coalition along with El Puente, Uprose Red Hook Initiative, The Point CDC, Justice and New York Lawyers for Public Interest and we're all working to regulate Last Mile trucking facilities in New York City.

NYCEJA has long supported building a climate resilient industrial waterfront while promoting local industrial jobs. Many of our members are based in or near industrial business zones and we understand the importance of these areas for the economic vitality of working-class communities across the city.

While recognizing the significance of the industrial sector and achieving clean, energy goals and fostering economic opportunities, Intro. 1012 does need to address some critical concerns to ensure a comprehensive and equitable approach to industrial development. One concern is the need for more emphasis on environmental justice within the bill. The legislation does not provide — sorry, does not prioritize environmental justice concern explicitly, nor does it address the disproportionate impacts of industrial activities on marginalized communities,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 such as exposure to air, water, and land pollution
3 and other health risks.

It is vital to incorporate explicit measures that effectively address these disparities and ensure equitable distribution of the benefits arising from industrial development among all communities. Furthermore, our industrial sector has provided stable and well-paying jobs for many environmental justice community members and this legislation should prioritize these same communities in educational and training workforce opportunities as the transition to green industrial jobs continues in order to prevent job loss and displacement, integrating specific provisions that center on environmental justice, we construct to mitigate the adverse impacts on marginalized communities foster a more inclusive approach to industrial development.

I also want to raise the concern about the finding the industrial sector within the legislation. The bill should explicitly acknowledge green and clean energy manufacturing as industrial uses. But the other concern is regarding the possibility of Last Mile warehouses being defined as industrial

2.2

2.3

with the committee on LAND USE 158 uses. Last Mile warehouses are not defined in the city's zoning resolution. Therefore, they are treated as traditional warehouses but they demand hundreds of daily van and truck trips congesting our roads and leading to more air pollution in our communities.

Since these are not traditional warehouses, these facilities must be defined based on use and size and a special permit process must be created as our zoning text amendment application would do, as mentioned earlier. And this would help protect our communities and help the city plan accordingly for these facilities.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

KEVIN GARCIA: Thank you all for your time.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you Mr. Garcia. We have one person who has signed up to testify. The person who is currently registered is Charles Yu. We are going to unmute you but please state your name for the record and we'll update it here on the Zoom and then you can begin your testimony.

BRIAN COLEMAN: Thank you very much. My name is Brian T. Coleman and I'm the CEO of the Green Point Manufacturing Design Center. So, thank you Chair,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

159 Committee Members, Council Members. We represent the city's premier nonprofit industrial real estate developer of affordable manufacturing space. We purchase industrial buildings and develop them for occupancy by small manufacturing businesses. organization has developed over 700,000 square feet of industrial space in Brooklyn and Queens. currently own and manage six buildings that are home to 126 companies and over 730 manufacturing jobs. Our tenants include wood workers, cabinet makers, display makers, metal workers and a variety of artisanal trades and artists.

As a member of the Industrial Jobs Coalition, JBC is part of a network of industrial sector advocates, services providers and developers collaborating to protect and preserve the manufacturing sector in New York City. The Industrial Development Action Plan put forth by the Council seeks to advance economic equity and opportunity for every New Yorker and we strongly support it. Industrial businesses comprise the second largest private sector employer in New York City.

In GMDCs six buildings alone, our small business tenants general annual revenues in excess of \$100

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

160

million. Additionally, the average annual salary in \$54,500. GMDCs building is Much more than traditional retail and food service jobs. Industrial jobs or for higher wages, low barriers to entry, and for workers of nontraditional opportunity pass backgrounds including a workforce that is 80 percent people of color and 50 percent foreign born. every borough and in every IBZ, we find examples of innovative businesses that contribute to tens of thousands of industrial and manufacturing jobs. Industrial Development Action Plan seeks to solidify these core industrial district with use group restrictions and increase FAR that would ensure the existing industrial buildings otherwise at risk of displacement, we continue to have a long-term future in New York City.

We think the growth districts in transition areas should be removed from the planning framework to signal that the industrial areas in the city are for industrial businesses only. We also recommend that current economic development incentives must include a goal of optimizing and growing industrial incentives to transform outdated criteria, application processes and metrics, so that they

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

remain accessible and applicable today's industrial businesses.

161

As a matter of compliance and to ensure that goals are met, we encourage the city to stipulate that any applicants proposing a ULURP and existing IBZs must identify how their zoning plan aligns with the land use goals of the IDAP. This is a critical moment to jump start comprehensive reform to the city's existing industrial -

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

BRIAN COLEMAN: Okay, and to ensure the long [03:08:32] of manufacturers. We appreciate Council has given attention to this area of the IBZs and the manufacturers in general and we hope that you continue to pursue other initiatives in the same area. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON FARIAS: Thank you so much everyone who testified with us. Uhm, seeing that there are no more hands up virtually or here in person, I would like to say, we're trying as Council to pay more attention to this really critical area in the city and Council Member Gutiérrez and I continuously make the joke that we're trying to make manufacturing and the industrial sector sexy again.

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT JOINTLY WITH THE COMMITTEE ON LAND USE And we're hoping to do so with this bill, especially hearing with all of the overwhelming support but we're looking forward to working together with the Admin to finding a way to make this a realty for our sectors here throughout the city. And with that, we are closing at 5:02. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date July 15, 2023