COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY

----- X

June 20, 2023

Start: 10:05 a.m. Recess: 11:24 a.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Gale Brewer, Oversight and

Investigations Committee

Chairperson

Kamillah Hanks, Public Safety

Committee Chairperson

OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATION COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Rita C. Joseph Marjorie Velazquez

PUBLIC SAFETY COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Robert F. Holden Erik D. Bottcher Tiffany Caban

Carmen N. De La Rosa Justin L. Brannan

Joann Ariola

Althea V. Stevens

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 2

APPEARANCES

Michael Clarke, Director of Legislative Affairs for the New York City Police Department

Kristine Ryan, the Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget for the New York City Police Department

John Breslin, Commanding Officer of the Property Clerk Section for the New York City Police Department

Neil Fenton, Executive Director of our Legal Bureau's Investigative Support Unit for the New York City Police Department

Elizabeth Felder, Head of the Wrongful Conviction Unit at Legal Aid Society

Elizabeth Daniel Vasquez, Director of the Science and Surveillance Project at Brooklyn Defender Services

Rebecca Brown, Policy Director with the Innocence Project

Marty Tankleff, adjunct professor at Georgetown University in the Law Center and Special Counsel to Barket, Epstein, Kearon, Aldea, and LoTurco

Sheila Howeff

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Committee on Oversight and Investigations. We're here today with my wonderful Colleague, Chair Hanks, and the Public Safety Committee for a joint hearing examining the impact of the NYPD Erie Basin Storage Facility fire, and I would add hurricane.

Thanks to my Colleagues who will join us very, very soon.

The Erie Basin warehouse fire was shocking, but it should not have been surprising. Hurricane Sandy flooded the evidence storage site and contaminated it so badly it could not be cleaned or repaired. For years, the facility languished with band-aid fixes like relying on temporary generators for power while the City tried to set up new evidence warehousing. During that long stretch of time, protecting the contents of Erie Basin was apparently not a priority. When the warehouse finally went up in flames, it was the result not of freak occurrence or malice but years of neglect, and many people, according to the material I have, said it was thanks to the generator that had been there since the flood. Lost and destroyed evidence can present a serious obstacle to the pursuit of justice. Detectives investigating cold cases and individuals pursuing

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

appeals of criminal conviction often rely on retests of old evidence, often with new state-of-the-art methods and equipment. Obviously, when the evidence in question has been destroyed, investigators and appellants can't conduct those tests for closing possibilities of both long-sought charges and release from incarceration, and there was an example of the importance of having this material in the material that I read. It is not infrequent. Unfortunately, for many in those positions, they can't even tell whether they were affected by two disasters. The NYPD's Property Evidence Tracking System, known as PETS, lacks the basic functions necessary to say how many cases were affected by the fire. A 2021 Comptroller report on the police's evidence and forfeiture system found PETS was obsolete more or less as soon as it was launched in 2012 right before Hurricane Sandy, and then it was never populated with information on older evidence in storage. The system developed by outside contractor, Capgemini, was not designed to aggregate evidence data. At one point, local media reported that the NYPD couldn't even say how much cash it had seized during arrests because doing so would crash the system. Of course, there was also

2.2

information I had, I'm not quite clear when the paper system went to something that was more technologically sophisticated. Long-term evidence storage can be a thankless task. Of thousands and thousands of pieces accumulated over the decades, only a few dozen or a few hundred may actually be needed for a cold case or appeal. There may be little momentum to catalogue and safeguard these items in an unlikely possibility that some day they may be relevant to an investigator or attorney, but for those cases or appeals where individuals do need to take another look at piece of physical or biological evidence, losses are devastating, and neglect to at

Before we begin, I'd like to thank

Oversight and Investigations' Committee Staff,

Legislation Counsel Nicole Cata and Policy Analyst

Alex Yablon, and most particularly Sam Goldsmith from my office.

Now, I'll turn it over to the great Council Member Hanks, Chair of Public Safety.

least survey damage adds insult to injury.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much, Chair Brewer.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I would like to commend you and your

Staff Members from both Committees who worked hard to

put together today's joint hearing on the impact of

the NYPD Erie Basin storage facility fire.

I want to thank the members of this

Administration and public who have joined us today.

We have also been joined, I would like to acknowledge

Council Member Bottcher has joined us.

After Hurricane Sandy caused extensive damage to the Erie Basin warehouse in 2012 including the destruction of the critical physical and DNA evidence, it is deeply concerning that NYPD was not in a better position to track and compile a comprehensive inventory of the evidence stored at Erie Basin before the fire occurred at the end of last year. As a result of the fire and inadequate tracking, defense attorneys, advocacy groups, and even those trying to, they have been wrongfully convicted and have raised questions that need to be addressed as they seek to determine the impact on specific cases. The loss and damage of evidence not only hampers the pursuit of justice but also raises doubts about the integrity of the criminal investigations and potential wrong convictions.

2.2

2.3

The absence of effective evidence preservation laws in New York further compounds these challenges. Reports indicate that New York State falls behind 35 others in enacting legislation to ensure the proper storage and management of biological evidence. Today's discussion is critical as we seek a better understanding of the shortcomings in evidence storage and management within NYPD, the measures necessary to safeguard our facilities and evidence, and the changes required to protect the rights of the accused to ensure a fair and just criminal justice system for those accused of crime and those who have been victims of crime.

Our goal here today is to find effective solutions, identify necessary reforms, and provide a critical support to our law enforcement agencies to maintain the integrity of evidence and protect the rights of all New Yorkers.

Thank you so much for being here. With that, I will turn it back to Chair Brewer. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.

I know you'll be sworn in. I also want to thank the

Innocence Project.

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 1 2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATA: Thank you, 3 Chairs. We will now hear testimony from the Administration. We'll hear from Michael Clarke, 4 Kristine Ryan, Neil Fenton, and Captain John Breslin. Before we begin, I will administer the 6 7 affirmation. Panelists, please raise your right hand. 8 Do you affirm to tell the truth, the 9 whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member 10 11 questions? 12 DIRECTOR CLARKE: I do. 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: I do. 14 CAPTAIN BRESLIN: I do. 15 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: I do. 16 COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATA: Thank you. You 17 may begin. 18 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We also have on Zoom Council Member Holden. Thank you. 19 20 DIRECTOR CLARKE: Good morning, Chair 21 Hanks, Chair Brewer, and Members of the Council. I am Michael Clarke. I am the Director of Legislative 2.2 2.3 Affairs for the New York City Police Department, and I'm joined here today by Kristine Ryan, the 24

Deputy Commissioner of Management and Budget, John

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 Breslin, the Commanding Officer of the Property

3 Clerk Section, and Neil Fenton, Executive Director

4 of our Legal Bureau's Investigative Support Unit.

5 On behalf of Police Commissioner Keechant L.

6 Sewell, I am here to testify before your

7 Committees regarding the impact of the unfortunate

8 | fire at the Department's Erie Basin facility in

9 Red Hook, Brooklyn.

On December 13, 2022, a three-alarm fire tore through the Department's Erie Basin evidence warehouse for many hours until it could be contained by the valiant efforts of the Fire Department. Unfortunately, the fire rendered the facility almost a complete loss. The warehouse at the Erie Basin facility at the time of the fire was primarily used to store items containing biological evidence from crimes. These items were stored in biological evidence containers, or BECs, which are cardboard or paper barrels that are the most effective way to store biological evidence as their materials and design are best equipped to limit the moisture contamination. Storing biological evidence in plastic or metal containers can cause

2.2

2.3

degradation of the biological samples. To be clear, all of the biological evidence which was stored at Erie Basin was collected and invoiced prior to Hurricane Sandy in 2012, and much of that evidence had already been significantly damaged by floods as you noted. It is also important to note that evidence from sex assault evidence collection kits were not stored at Erie Basin.

At the time the evidence was collected, the District Attorneys, the NYPD, and the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner determined which pieces may have be relevant to any given investigation, and items were tested using state-of-the-art procedures at the time.

As a result, much of the evidence had already been tested, and the results of those DNA tests were not destroyed by the fire.

Much has changed about our evidence collection and storage practices since Hurricane Sandy. All biological evidence that has been taken into custody since Sandy for long-term storage is stored in a warehouse in Brooklyn, which is above the flood plain. Moreover, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

12

evidence is stored on the sixth floor of this location and is fully equipped with a modem fire suppression system. We have since modernized our evidence tracking system as well. Each item of evidence, when taken into custody, is assigned a unique electronic invoice number in the Department's FORMS system, which each officer accesses through Department computers or Department-issued smart phones. This system allows evidence to be assiduously and easily tracked through every step of the process to ensure the chain of custody is documented at every turn, from the initial collection to its movement between different storage and testing facilities to ultimately its use in court. As I stated earlier, all of the biological evidence stored at Erie Basin predated Hurricane Sandy in 2012, and unfortunately at that time the Department was still using a paper invoicing system. We had just switched to electronic. It has been impractical to comb through the entire library of invoices to determine each item of evidence that was being stored at the Erie Basin warehouse. Additionally, given the age of the evidence, with at least ten

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and a half years having passed since even the newest evidence was invoiced and stored at Erie Basin, it would be expected that a significant number of the items would be associated with criminal cases which have been sealed pursuant to state law. Together with the fact that much of this evidence may also be connected to sexual assault cases, raises obvious privacy concerns. Much of this information simply cannot or should not be released to the public or to attorneys where there is no indication that they represent an individual with an interest in the case or a piece of evidence. The most effective, efficient and relevant path forward given the challenges posed by the fire, is for individuals or their attorneys who feel they may have an interest in a particular case or piece of evidence to make a request as to the status of that piece of evidence. That is why the NYPD has dedicated a team to liaise with the District Attorneys' offices in order to expedite the Department's response to any concerns and requests from those who feel their cases, open or closed, may have been affected by the fire. Requests by these individuals or their

2.2

2.3

attorneys are fast-tracked. While this evidence is connected to older cases, an invoice number may no longer be at hand to individuals, any small pieces of information such as a time frame or a name associated with the case can be used to identify relevant invoices.

Thank you for the opportunity to testify about these important issues, and we look forward to answering any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.

Council Member Caban is on Zoom, and Council Member

Velazquez is here. I just want to add that to the discussion.

Thank you. One of the questions I have is in the material that I have before I ask specifically, it indicated, and you said something about the sixth floor in Brooklyn, but how many warehouses does NYPD have and are there ones that you would feel are sufficient and up-to-date, just generally the number of warehouses and where material is stored.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: We have 12 property clerk facilities so we have two auto pounds,

we have five borough offices, one in each borough, and five warehouses.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So you have five warehouses?

the sixth floor or whatever?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and that includes the one in Brooklyn where you said it's on

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. The ones that you described, they are not going to be flood-prone, fire-prone, etc.?

2.2

2.3

most part, do have fire suppression in these facilities, and, where we don't, we are looking to upgrade that, and we make an effort to make sure that the evidence is as high as it can be, either on an higher floor as it is in Brooklyn which is where we are now taking all of the long-term storage for our biological evidence or on higher shelves if it's on a lower floor, but all of the long-term storage of our biological evidence is now on the sixth floor of that facility in Brooklyn.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. When you say we are working toward fire suppression, in other words other places still need fire suppression. It's a little scary to listen...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: There's one location, there's only one location that doesn't have fire suppression.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and you're working to do something about that before there's a flood or a fire?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Yes. In addition, I just think it's important, we do recognize that we do have some challenges with our facilities, not only some of the age of the facilities but also just having that disparate system of storing the property which is why we did request of OMB and the Council and received funding for a new consolidated state-of-the-art facility.

Unfortunately, the funding for that facility was removed from our budget as part of the significant cuts that were taken in 2020. We have reinvigorated the conversations with OMB requesting that that funding be restored because we understand how

long-term storage all goes to one location. The

that what you're saying?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Everything usually starts at the precinct or a transit district or police PSA, and it will be signed a specific voucher number. If it's a large item and cannot fit into one of our storage bags which has a bar code, it will be assigned a bar code, and everything will be tracked to that one voucher number. We can fit about 20 items on one voucher. Then from there, depending on specifically what the type of property is, again, if it's something that needs lab exam, that will be sent directly to the lab on a midnight tour. If it's regular general property, each precinct or patrol borough has their own schedule when they will bring it to the borough facility, which, again, we have five borough facilities. They will bring that property to the borough facility who will intake it at the borough facility and then it'll either be stored there. If it's a very large item, it will have to go to one of our warehouses.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: What would be an example of a large item?

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: A large item could be such large intakes from like warrants, things like that. It could be kitchen sinks. It could be

forward, because that definitely would assist and be

2

able to consolidate everything, and we wouldn't be

3

spread out through the city.

particular concern.

4

mentioned that the Erie Basin didn't have storage of 5

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I know you

6

rape kits, but I'm wondering, obviously that's a

7

particular interest, how are they properly stored,

8

safeguarded, and maintained? That's obviously a

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: The sexual

10

11 assault kits, the rape test kits are stored at a

12

warehouse in Queens, and they are also, like all of

our biological evidence, we make sure that we're

13

storing it in the proper containers, which is very 14

15 important to make sure that we don't have any

16

degradation of the materials so it's paper bags in

17

paper barrels, which is important to make sure that

18

there is no contamination or ability for bacteria, to

19

mitigate against bacteria growing, so we follow the guidelines for the storage of sexual assault kits.

20

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I'm going to

turn it over to Council Member Hanks.

2.2

21

2.3

Council Members De La Rosa and Justin

24

Brannan are both here.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Z 4

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much, Chair Brewer.

Just for a point of clarity, how much was requested by OMB for the additional cost of storage?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: The original funding that we had that was cut was 425 million dollars. We added escalation to that so what we would need now would be over 600 million dollars, but we would be talking about quite a large facility that not only had all of the state-of-the-art building systems in the facility but also a system that, in addition to our current electronic tracking system, you may have seen in (INAUDIBLE) shelving that you can identify when something's removed from the shelving, a real sort of state-of-the-art IT system within the facility as well so it is a hefty price tag, but that's a facility that would serve the Department and the City for decades to come so I do think it is a worthy investment.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you for that.

Currently, are all of the buildings where you have evidence stored City-owned?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: We have a few leased properties. Actually, the facility in Brooklyn

have security cameras.

pricing out some of the costs to upgrade the HVAC

2.2

2.3

systems and the climate control in some of the facilities. It would be several million dollars. It could be up to 5 to 10 million.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Do we take into consideration the locations of these facilities? Are they near flooding? Are they environmental hazards? Are they susceptible to the weather?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Along with the decision to make sure we're keeping facilities on the sixth floor, in other facilities we're trying to avoid having things on the lower floors, or, unfortunately, that's the only solution, we're making sure that anything that would potentially be most susceptible to flooding would be on higher shelves, but our practice now is to have this type of material really all in that location on the sixth floor.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you. Erie Basin was flooded during Hurricane Sandy. Sergeant John Capozzi of the NYPD property clerk division testified that OSHA shut down access to Erie Basin after the storm due to concerns of contaminated floodwaters. Ten inches of water flooded the bottom level of Erie Basin according to Sergeant Capozzi. What was the

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I just want to know

generally what was the accounting of the evidence

24

2.2

2.3

that was lost because of Sandy and then, of course, you had the fire after that. It is strange that Sandy took place and then there was a fire and it wasn't addressed before the fire so what was lost because of Sandy? How do you keep track of that or if you did?

information with regard to which barrels were in which location, but, unfortunately, everything prior to the PETS system that you mentioned which came online really at the end of 2011 or early 2012 was paper-based, and the entry of retroactive information into the system had not taken place. In 2021, we upgraded that so you've heard us refer to FORMS so we now use an IT system which is FORMS Property which is a more advanced system with more enhanced elements so that allows us more electronic and technologically based ability to track those facilities, but, unfortunately, everything that was in the warehouse was in paper logbooks.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So in your other facilities, is it still paper, is it all digitized?

Obviously, some of that material has been there for a while. What's the status of the tracking in the other facilities?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Everything that comes in now and everything...

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Now, but what's there, I know everything coming in now is digitized, but previously.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Anything before 2012 is not digitized. We are working with a vendor to see what it would cost and what it would take to digitize those prior paper records so that we have everything in digital format.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right. Council Member, go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you, Chair Brewer. I just have a couple more questions.

How has the NYPD ascertained what was destroyed in the Erie Basin warehouse fire?

everything was stored in the paper-based system so we know how many barrels were there, we know specifically what barrels were there and what was in those barrels, but we only know that because we have to check the paper-based system so, again, if someone was looking for a specific piece of evidence, we'd have to refer to these logbooks, comb through the

we are looking for that funding to be restored so

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: Since Hurricane

3 Sandy?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER BRANNAN: So why for that

long?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: There were a lot of different pieces to that unfortunately. One, it was securing the funding, which involved working with the federal government, FEMA guidelines. When we got that funding, we were then in a place where the lease for that facility was within a timeframe that required us to renew it. We then had to go through ULURP, and the ULURP process was unfortunately protracted during that time. We couldn't do any of the construction on the site if we didn't have confirmation that we were actually going to have an extended lease as that wouldn't have been a good use of that funding so that process took a significant period of time, and then once that ULURP came through, there were additional things that had to be done with the federal government. Unfortunately, it's one of those things where you go back and you look at it, and every step of the way things sort of broke to the left when you would've wanted them to break to

storing evidence in different kinds of containers or

1

that's the right kind of container that is normal to be storing?

4

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: Good morning, Council Member.

5 6

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Good morning.

7

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: With respect

33

8

biological evidence container system, and based on

to the cardboard packaging, they're known as BECS,

our investigations, based upon dealing with the

10 11

Office of the Chief Medical Examiner and storing of

12

biological evidence, we, in order to prevent

13

contamination, were advised to put that in paper

14

packaging so it's not exposed. It doesn't create

15

contamination later on, degradation.

16

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Same thing with the barrels? That's the same idea?

17

19

18

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. The question

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: Same idea.

20

also, just back to the fire, I guess there were

2.2

21

to know were they supposed to be there, were they

2.3

licensed. We don't know exactly I think why the fire

contractors at Erie Basin on December 13th. We want

24

started. Some say the generator, some say electrical

supposed to be secured. Now, maybe that was or not,

obviously we still use this for vehicles, and we'd

this weekend.

big facility to have to site.

5

6

7

8

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: We don't have a location at this time, although as we are always looking for different sites for different things, we often identify through our searches and through DCAS' searches private developers and things like that

where they're looking to build raw space so there are
a couple of different sites that have sort of the

13 size and would potentially work for this, but,

14 because we don't have the funding yet in the budget,

we can only go so far in any of those discussions.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Obviously, tow pounds at the same time in Manhattan, just throwing that out.

Does this system upgrade allow for looking at records in aggregate which PETS could not do?

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Again, with regard to getting a facility, it wouldn't change the system that we have. It would just enhance our ability to store and retrieve property to give to the public or

J

for the property to go to court. Again, we are, as the Commissioner mentioned, looking into possibly digitizing the paper-based system so that's something, but, again, a new facility would just make

that we already have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Yeah, it just needs

it easier for us to store and retrieve our property

Council Member Ariola.

600 million and a site.

COUNCIL MEMBER ARIOLA: Thank you, Chairs. What efforts, if any, has the NYPD made to communicate to the people whose cases involved affected evidence, their attorneys, victims in cold cases, and/or the public about what was destroyed?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: Good morning,

Council Member. We have been working with the

District Attorneys offices if there are open

questions regarding a wrongful conviction allegation

or, for that matter, a cold case that deals with a

specific case that the District Attorney wants to

move forward on. They contact us, and we have been

asking for the list and the voucher numbers, and

we've been able to make a determination, number one,

if the property was part of that destroyed at Erie

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: Yes.

24

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: All right, and have you gotten any complaints about it, the new system? People always complain about everything.

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Complaints from who?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Officers or people using the system. They are allowed to complain.

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: The complaints from the officers are more probably user error. Someone who didn't know how to do one thing so we help them navigate through the system.

I know under the Comptroller's audit there were some things that were identified, and we have corrected those (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, what were some examples of what the challenges were?

the scanning of the claimant's ID at the precincts.

We have created a policy and done training, created a training bureau memo which was sent out so that's one of the things that was identified during the Comptroller's audit. Also, there was the found property letter, and we have created one. A form letter is in the system, and we are now sending those out to the found property. Again, those are some

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 things we have identified or were identified through 3 the Comptroller's audit and we have corrected.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I assume that

places like the Chief Medical Examiner, are they also

coordinated on the same system? In other words, the

other agencies that you might interact with, are they

working on the same system?

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So it's a total coordination or seamless...

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Yes.

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Supposedly.

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Let's see if I have any other questions. Did you find that as a result of Hurricane Sandy and the fire that there were cases that had been brought to your attention where storage was an issue? I know there's a lot of feeling that it was older evidence, wasn't necessarily needed, but were there either attorneys or cases that came to your attention where there was lack of evidence so to speak because it was no longer there?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

DIRECTOR CLARKE: I think it's fair to say, especially in the early years after Sandy, there was much more evidence at the time of Sandy that was active cases, right, significant active cases so we were having people testify at trial a lot about the unavailability of the evidence. That has slowed down over the years. I think there was zero in 2021 whereas it might have been 90-something, actually, give me a second and I can probably pull it up, in 2013, we testified 94 times. By 2020, it was zero based on the property stored at Erie Basin.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Just out of curiosity, how much of NYPD's budget is allocated for this evidence tracking and how much for preservation?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER RYAN: I don't have that number on me, but I will get that to you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. It would be helpful to know.

Do you have a tracking system when something is missing because obviously that could be true, I guess with this new system you'll have a better sense of it than you did in the past, it sure beats the paper anyway.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Yes, ma'am. Evidence at every point and every turn when it goes from one facility to another, a PTR is created, which is a property transfer receipt, so it's documented wherever it's going, so if it's going from the precinct to one of my facilities or if it goes from one of my facilities out to court or to the lab, it is tracked and documented in that system.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. To the best of your knowledge, this is current, not necessarily in the past but current?

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: This is absolutely current, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Is there a difference in protocol for storage for felony versus misdemeanors or is it the same process if needed?

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: It's still the same process. It's just our retention guidelines are slightly different.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, and what are the difference in the retention guidelines, how long they are there I assume?

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Yes, how long they're there so if you have general property for a felony,

2.2

2.3

we'll keep that for at least 151 days. It also depends on if it's pled guilty or is convicted. If someone is convicted, it will be 3/4 of their sentence, we will hold onto that property. Pled guilty, then it's 151 days or then if there's a request for it then it's an additional 270 days. Then you go to misdemeanors where it'll be kept for 18 months. Again, biological evidence, completely different. We keep that at least for five years.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. That's very helpful. Go ahead.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: I just would like to add with respect to the property retention guidelines we also do keep murders, rape cases, cases with no statute of limitations, we keep that indefinitely as well as some other categories that are classified by the NYPD.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, so that would mean a different storage facility depending on the time or it has nothing to do with the timeframes?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FENTON: It mostly has to deal with the statute of limitations and whether or not the case would be pending. There's no statute

know whose cases were affected or their attorneys.

24

2.2

2.3

Obviously, these are often cases that were quite dated.

Member, we didn't communicate directly to the people.

We communicated via the District Attorney's office so if the District Attorney's office had indicated there was a cold case investigation or, for that matter, there was an allegation of wrongful conviction, they would put the inquiry as to the specific request, and they would also indicate whether or not that person or attorney who was requesting was authorized to make that request.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. The other question I have is in the future, god forbid, something like this should happen, do you have a way of communicating? Hopefully, you'll have less paper, they'll be a better system for tracking and so on, but would there be some system for communicating, god forbid, this should happen in the future?

DIRECTOR CLARKE: I think having it in the computer-based system certainly would make this a much easier lift. There are still some legal issues where we can't communicate sealed records to people, but I think that's something we'll have to, hopefully

2.2

2.3

this is a never-happen-again, but we'll have to think about the best way going forward.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Do you think there's any legislation, I know the answer will be no to this, that would implement evidence retention requirements and, if so, what factors do you think should be part of such legislation and requirements? I know Mr. Clarke is going to say no, please don't give me any more legislation, but you do need some quidelines I think.

DIRECTOR CLARKE: Right. I think we do have some evidence retention guidelines, and, if there's legislation, we're always willing to work with the Council on pieces of legislation, but I don't have anything right now.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you, Chair. I just want to acknowledge Corona Arts and Science Academy School is here. Thank you so much for being part of the political process and welcome to the People's House. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: The final question is just in terms of procedures, you obviously have a very-informed police department in terms of these

extremely well, sir.

CAPTAIN BRESLIN: Again, for retrieval property, when someone gets a receipt for their property, all the information is on that receipt so they can, and again we are on the website so if they're looking for our facilities, what time we're open, everything else, or our phone number, everything is on there as well.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. We're always looking for real-time information, but I understand

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

table and complete a witness slip now.

25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We will now hear from our first in-person panel. We'll hear from Elizabeth Felber, Elizabeth Daniel Vasquez, Rebecca Brown, Martin Tankleff, and Sheila Howeff (phonetic).

Thank you so much. If Elizabeth Felber is here, you may begin when ready.

ELIZABETH FELBER: Good morning. My name is Elizabeth Felber. I head the Wrongful Conviction Unit at the Legal Aid Society. While the Erie Basin fire was not an intentional act of sabotage, it follows a pattern of negligence by the NYPD when it comes to preserving property. The mass destruction of vouchered evidence from criminal cases has occurred now three times, in 1995 at Pearson Place, in 2012 at Sandy, and now 2022, and while listening to the prior speakers talk about their ongoing communications with the District Attorney offices, they have ignored us. We have written to them twice asking for an accounting and which ones of our clients' cases have been effected, and they've never responded. Their lack of transparency with us, the defense community, speaks volumes. The unanswered questions about what steps, if any, they took to prevent this disaster and what property were destroyed, for us at least, are

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

unfortunately not surprising given NYPD's repeated failure to produce vital evidence for cases where the conviction integrity units at our requests to retest or test DNA.

I just want to point to something that was said by Council which is most of the cases were sealed. This is not true. At least the cases where we seek to have DNA tested, they are largely murder convictions where our clients are serving life in prison and, unfortunately, most are from the 1990s when mass incarceration began, and so it was well before 2012 and we are reliant on paper logbooks. Perhaps that is why once we've convinced CIUs to test or retest DNA where we have provided them evidence that our clients are actually innocent or wrongfully convicted, in each case NYPD has told them that the evidence is either lost or destroyed. This has extremely grave consequences for our clients who remain incarcerated as a result or in part as a result.

For the sake of time, I'll just give you two example. One client is in his 36th year of incarceration. The CIU agreed to retest after we provided them with numerous affidavits of people

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2 2.3

24

25

attesting to another person being the actual shooter, including the sisters of the actual shooter. However, NYPD told the CIU that the evidence was destroyed. This is interesting because they said it was Sandy and, up here, they told us that Sandy was for active cases. This was a conviction from 1987, and we learned this year that it was destroyed in Sandy.

Another client served 40 years while maintaining his innocence, and he would still be incarcerated today had he not been granted clemency. The CIU in that case agreed to test the murder weapon found at the scene. If his DNA had been excluded, which we believe it would be, he would likely be exonerated. Again, NYPD told that CIU that the evidence cannot be located. We now know that all of the evidence collected before 2012 was tracked in paper logbooks. There needs to be transparency for why it's so hard to locate this evidence and why sufficient protocols were not in place before the Erie Basin fire destroyed so much evidence and, with it, our clients' opportunities to exonerate themselves. Thank you.

ELIZABETH DANIEL VASQUEZ: Good morning. My name is Elizabeth Daniel Vasquez. I'm the Director

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of the Science and Surveillance Project at Brooklyn

Defender Services.

What we heard this morning was emblematic of what we've seen from the NYPD as it relates to evidence collection, processing, maintenance, and retention over the last 20+ years. The program that the NYPD has in place is pure chaos. We had an instance back more than 15 years where a gentleman named Alan Newton brought suit against the New York City for the very fact that the City did not keep track of evidence in cases. He spent years trying to find the evidence in his case in order to have it tested and couldn't. He brought suit against the City for its recklessness and negligence in maintaining evidence systems, and he won. The City was held liable. There was a moment of discussion here about what are we going to do in order to do better in terms of evidence tracking, making sure that we know where evidence is and that it's being safely kept, and then nothing happened. Sandy comes. Entire swaths of evidence get destroyed. There's no clear communication from the NYPD about what's been lost. There's no clear communication to clients who are actually going to trial about what has happened to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the evidence in their cases. There is a discussion, there is a moment of we need to do something about this, things need to change, and then nothing happened. We're here again. The NYPD has said for the last 10 years that they are going to build an Amazonstyle warehouse that is a one-size-fits-all solution to this problem, they've said they had a site, they've said they were going to put technology in place to solve this, and then nothing happens. I have clients going to trial right now in homicide cases where we do not know whether the evidence was lost in the Erie Basin fire or not. That should not be the case. So what we are asking for is an evidence tracking system in this City that matches what happens in other cities. We are asking for the NYPD who admits that they are the entity that is in charge of keeping track of evidence, we are asking for them to actually know what they have and where it is. They have had the ability to build massive surveillance technology systems that keep track of data about my clients that I wish they wouldn't, and yet they can't keep track of the evidence that they are responsible for. They've told this Council that their FORMS system that they came online with in 2021 is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

technological solution to the problem. When you were asking them whether or not there were complaints about the system, what they didn't tell you is that they had a coding error when they came online with the system that caused them to lose track of evidence for a significant period of time. When they sent evidence to the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, it was sent out as a batch within the FORMS system, but the OCME tests evidence consecutively. When they sent a case back, it caused the FORMS system to track every item of evidence that was sent to the OCME at that time as having been sent back to the NYPD when it had not so there was evidence sitting at the OCME that the FORMS systems said that the NYPD has. They have not been clear about the problems that they have had with their technological solutions. What they were clear on was that they had a budget in order to do something about this for years and yet nothing happened, and so what we are asking for is for the NYPD to actually take seriously their responsibility. This is one action that they are obligated to control, they are obligated to know where evidence is, they are obligated to know how it has been stored, and they are obligated to be able to tell us

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

if a catastrophic event like a fire occurs that the evidence in your case is gone. They need to do better, and we would ask the Council to help us call on them to actually maintain their duty in this area.

REBECCA BROWN: Good morning. My name is Rebecca Brown. I'm the Policy Director with the Innocence Project. I've been with the Project for 18 years, and, throughout that time, we have been mystified by the evidence preservation system here in New York. We're known to be sort of the organization that really transformed sort of the power of DNA, yet in our home state we don't even have evidence rules that are consistent across jurisdictions, across boroughs, and despite great progress in the nation, and there really has been, New York lags behind the rest of the country. In fact, in the last two years the states of Utah and Indiana, not particularly easy states to pass these types of laws in, have passed statewide laws requiring the uniform retention of biological evidence. I can't even overstate the human cost of this. There was reference to Alan Newton. In our written testimony, we've provided a couple of other examples. Two men whose evidence was found by pure serendipity. One was because there was a lab

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

merger at some point, and we just suddenly were able to find the evidence literally in another state, and, in Alan Newton's case, this man was filing pro se for 12 years and told that his evidence was lost for different reasons, asbestos, just different reasons over time, and, at the end of the day, the evidence was found. It excluded him. This was insane. This was 12 years lost, taken from his life, and there are so many other examples that I could provide you with, but I did want to really focus on Chair Hanks' solutions. We want to be solution-oriented. I was a member of a 22-member technical working group that was funded by the Department of Justice, administered by the National Institute of Standards and Technology that looked for over two and a half years at evidence retention practices across the country, issued a set of very clear recommendations. I can tell you what they are very quickly. That could be implemented at the City level. It should certainly be also implemented at the State level, but it requires the retention of biological evidence for at minimum the length of time that a person is incarcerated, regardless of plea, in the following five categories. It's rape, murder, felony assault, kidnapping, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

robbery. I thought it was very interesting hearing the testimony before that if somebody pleads guilty it's as though we shouldn't be concerned about the evidence anymore. We should be very concerned about the evidence. There are 3,300 people that have been demonstrated innocent in this country since 1989, a full 25 percent of them pled quilty to crimes they didn't commit, so we must retain that evidence in plea cases, and that was actually one of the core recommendations from the Department of Justice working group on this very issue. Also, inventorying evidence. That evidence must be inventoried. Pre-2012, those are the majority of our cases, and we have a paper-based system for that or we don't where that evidence is still. This is unconscionable. I really want to say governments can be forgiven, right, for not anticipating the probative value of DNA, but today we know, and there is no excuse for not having a uniform consistent system for locating evidence, tracking it, and frankly for sanctions and remedies, well, really remedies for people whose evidence has been destroyed. I'll close there but happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you so much. I definitely would like to speak offline.

MARTIN TANKLEFF: Good morning. My name is Marty Tankleff. I'm an adjunct professor at Georgetown University in the Law Center. I'm also Special Counsel to Barket, Epstein, Kearon, Aldea, and LoTurco. Prior to that, I was 90T3844. I was in imprisoned wrongfully in New York State for almost 18 years. Thankfully, in my case, there was evidence that was actually properly stored, and we discovered it and it helped lead to my exoneration, but, since my freedom, I've had the opportunity to walk innocent men out of prison, but during that time I've frankly been ashamed of working with the NYPD, and I think one of the statements that I was struggling with today was when I heard Captain Breslin say I believe our system is working. As somebody as high-ranking as Captain, there shouldn't be belief. We should know whether or not the tracking system of evidence is working. We shouldn't think about it. We should know. Currently, I am working on a case of a man named Raymond Santana where I have an evidence voucher and there's a voucher number for a piece of evidence. For almost six years, I still have not been able to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

obtain that piece of evidence. Why? Why? I get the runaround from NYPD. I get different pieces of evidence that's provided to me, and that is because their tracking system isn't adequate. I think the people who running the system are not properly trained in doing this. Also frustrating to hear is that there is also a dollar amount put on lives. When we hear how many innocent men are wrongfully convicted and how much money the City pays out for those wrongful convictions, imagine if NYPD and the prosecutors in this State did it right from the beginning. All that money that was spent on the payouts, if they would just do their jobs from the very beginning, we could have warehouses, we could have people who are properly trained, and we wouldn't have innocent men and women in prison. We see this time and time again. Last year, we had the highest number of exonerations of this nation, almost 230 innocent people. That number should scare people. Imagine if there was evidence at the Basin that was destroyed that could lead to somebody's exoneration, will that person languish in prison. Imagine it. Like everybody said, Alan Newton spent decades before he was exonerated. For countless years, Alan kept saying

2.2

2.3

where is the evidence, where is the evidence. Alan is not alone in this cause. There are many more Alan Newtons in the prison system who are just trying to find evidence to be tested. If we can't find the evidence, we are allowing innocent men to languish in prison for countless years. Those people deserve better, and I hope this Council will help us achieve that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you all very much.

I think the one question I have is we heard some suggestions, but do you have ideas from other states as to what they're doing correctly, etc.?

REBECCA BROWN: Sure. I can share actually an example from a municipality, Charlotte, North

Carolina, which went back and inventoried all of the evidence in their possession currently with bar codes basically. It was like a UPS system, and so they're able to scan it, easily locate it in their facility. Yes, this took manhours, it does have a cost to it, but they were able to literally bar code every piece of evidence and, during that process, were able to solve many unsolved cases, including rapes, and so,

2.2

2.3

by the way, one of the things I should also note is that the technical working group also recommended retention of biological evidence because, Chair Brewer, you mentioned the importance of sexual assault evidence for the length of the statute of limitations minimally, so they were able to suddenly be able to test things that they couldn't find before. They were able to settle over 15 innocence claims and solve multiple, multiple violent crimes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Does anybody want to add to that?

ELIZABETH FELBER: I would just say as an example of an effective preservation law, the State of Connecticut requires that evidence be preserved for the length of a person's sentence and, since most of our clients are serving life in prison, we wouldn't have to worry about whether the evidence was still there.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Do you know if other parts of the State of New York have anything different or are they just as backward as our City?

REBECCA BROWN: It really depends. That's part of the problem here is it's a patchwork of policies agency-by-agency as opposed to uniformity,

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

which is really what we're seeking when we look for these statewide laws but, certainly at the City level, we should have uniformity as well, but just to say the smaller jurisdictions, it's often easier just because they have less evidence in their possession, but it's shocking because a lot of the evidence, 80 percent of evidence rooms is largely misdemeanor evidence that really can be cleared out over a short period of time, but there's nobody in there sort of re-inventorying the evidence so this is a problem across the country, but many states have taken it own and said, listen, at this stage, right, we have to inventory what's in our possession, we can get rid of the evidence we don't need anymore, but we need to save in these serious violent crime categories, and we need to save it for minimally the length of incarceration. Some states go farther and say for the length of time that someone's subjected to collateral consequences like sex offender registration or parole or probation, and I would recommend that. Frankly, that's our recommendation, and the reason that the Department of Justice, that working group, narrowed the crime categories was because they understood you can't save every piece of evidence in every case, we

_

get that, but let's focus on the serious violent crime and let's save regardless of plea minimally for the length of incarceration for adjudicated cases and for unsolved cases minimally for the statute of limitations.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: It is shocking to hear about the paperwork in general in the City of New York. Council Member Hanks.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Yes, thank you so much for your powerful testimony. I don't think many understand the severity of the situation so I really appreciate you coming out and enlightening us. One of the questions I have is you mentioned that there are other states that are doing this kind of work. When you look at the price tag of 425 million or to 630 million, does that seem rational as opposed to what other states are doing with the vast amount of, we have a police force of 33,000, we have one of the largest in the country, in the world, so what do you think about the financial ask?

REBECCA BROWN: Sure. I mean I think what they're offering is a more permanent solution, which I support. I mean I think, again, all of these systems, a lot of them are paper-based, they're in

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

various entities, it's hard to locate this. Also, this evidence can be with court clerks, it can be with hospitals, I mean this is a way to kind of collect all the evidence, have it in one place. We are supportive of that conceptually. However, it is not like that is the only option. There is also an option to just say preserve what is currently in your possession in these five crime categories, that is the recommendation, and inventory those five crime categories. I mean in other words, there are various ways that you could graduate this solution. I would say, however, that we would certainly not oppose a state-of-the-art solution because I think it would solve to this overtime. That said, there are things you could do tomorrow that include just inventorying key evidence and being able to locate it in an electronic system. The fact that we have a paperbased pre-2012 system is petrifying because that's why we can't evidence.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Are you not getting even to this day responses about Erie Basin or other storage questions? Obviously, this came up in your testimony. Go ahead.

2.2

2.3

ELIZABETH FELBER: Yes, that's correct. We have not heard anything, and we sent two letters. In the second letter we said you didn't answer our first letter.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think Mr. Clarke will be responding to you I hope. I see him sitting in the back, and I appreciate him still being here, and I know him to be a person of good faith so I'm sure he will be responding. Thank you.

Thank you very much. We are intent on following up. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL CATA: Thank you very much for your testimonies. We will know hear from Sheila, last name begins with H.

Once again, if we inadvertently missed anyone who would like to testify in person, please visit the Sergeant's table and complete a witness slip now.

SHEILA HOWEFF: I'm here on behalf of my son who is incarcerated in Green Haven Correctional Facility 25 to life. It started with the detectives who came out. NYPD went to North Carolina, brought him back here on a wrongful conviction. Until this day, he's been incarcerated 29 years. They have no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

evidence. They only had a witness which was 14 years old at the time. They brought her to court when she was 16. In the case of my son, he had an appeal, but there were so many different people that had components in him serving his time that I guess they didn't want to involve these people to bring them out so they kind of like covered it and he lost his appeal, which was in I think 2015 if I'm not mistaken. He's incarcerated right now, Green Haven Correctional Facility as I said. He's now in court with the 440 motion that someone had put together for him, and they keep postponing it, and one of the ways we found out that his records were destroyed was because we went to get his records so that we could prepare the 400, and they said they were destroyed and that there's nothing that can be done about that so he's had about five to six adjournments on his case. Again, there's no evidence. There's just the 14-year-old witness that was out at 3:30 in the morning and said that she heard that it was him that had killed the correctional officer? The lawyer's wife worked with the correctional officer. There are so many different facets to this, it's unbelievable.

The story is just like something which you would

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

never believe, and I've been hurting because my son has been brought here and he could've said he didn't want to come, fought extradition, but he came because he said he was innocent, and the end result, he's in Green Haven in the honor block, not giving any problems, whatever, and I'm just trying to figure out what's going to go on on the 18th of August, which is his next court date, because he's had a 90-day adjournment, another 90-day adjournment, a 60-day adjournment, and another 60-day adjournment, and it's coincidental that the fire took place like a day before he was going to court in March so I don't know, whether his records or whatever records they needed were among that fire, I don't know why they keep adjourning it, but I just say there should be some better system going on because it's not just my son. There are so many, as they would attest to, so many wrongful convictions, and it's hurting parents out here. I did a Zoom meeting with the person with the post-conviction office, and we had a Zoom meeting about my son's case, and we waited another 60 days for her to inform me that anything that we brought up in the 440 should've been brought up during his

appeal. Well, if we didn't have that evidence then,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

how would we know? You lost his records. He has no record. The records are destroyed. I was told by the court clerk that it's normal for them to destroy the records after a certain period of time. Who does that? I don't understand how someone could just take someone's life and just say you're done, you're quilty and you're done, like there's no recall, there's no chance of him coming back trying to fight for his coming home again. I'm really, really upset. I'm talking kind of fast, but I'm just really praying that something can be done that will correct this system that is so corrupt, there are so many people that took place in him being able to be sentenced. The 14-year-old is now a lawyer in Washington so I know, I get it, everybody that lied to get my son's conviction, they don't want these names to be heard, they don't want the judge, they don't want the DA, they don't want the lawyers, they don't want none of these names to come forth, and I don't have anyone bold enough to stand up and say this is wrong. Something has to be done about this system. My husband was laying in a hospital bed when he was talking on the phone with Zoom for the DA's office, he was laying up in a hospital bed on Zoom, and all

we got was a letter stating that there's nothing that 2 3 can be done because whatever you presented to us now 4 should've been presented during his appeal. We didn't know this information then. All this is now coming because we had someone investigate and get all this 6 7 together. This young woman now will not come, I asked her to come, please just tell them that they forced 8 you to say what you said, you're the only witness. There was thousands of people on 125th Street that 10 11 evening. This is a case that stemmed from a rap 12 video. My son was in a rap video. They used nine rap 13 videos to convict my son, which had nothing to do 14 with him. He was on a (INAUDIBLE) video that took 15 place two years before this situation happened. They made the jurors believe that the video was ran behind 16 17 what he had done, that this is how they presented the 18 video, which means (INAUDIBLE) should be on the stand, not my stand. He didn't write those lyrics. 19 I'm just asking and I'm praying that something can be 20 21 done, not just for my son but for the many people 2.2 that have been wrongfully convicted, that they just 2.3 take so lightly to just toss their records and toss them aside like discarded garbage. That's my son. I 24 love him, and, regardless, he has been a model 25

prisoner. For no other reason, he shouldn't be in jail to this day. They ran his sentence consecutive instead of concurrent. Another tactic. They don't want him out because if they let him out they have to expose each and every person that caused him to be there, and that would bring lots of money and lots of lawsuits because every person that's connected with these detectives, and these lawyers and DAs will all have a chance because of my son, and I know that, and I don't care how it hurts if he has to do time after August 18th when he goes to court, he'll finish his time. I just thank God that He's keeping him the whole time he's there.

CHAIRPERSON HANKS: I just want to thank
you for coming out here today to tell us your story,
and I want to say to the NYPD that testified your
story is the reason why we're here and you should
listen to what's being said because it's not just
losing evidence, it's losing lives, and so I'm really
very glad that you're still here, but these are the
testimonies we don't want to hear. For every piece of
evidence that you lose or you mishandle, these are
the stories, people's lives, and you have to think of

2.2

2.3

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC SAFETY 73
2	hand function in Zoom, and I will call on you in the
3	order of hands raised.
4	Seeing no one else, I would like to note
5	that written testimony, which will be reviewed in
6	full by Committee Staff, may be submitted to the
7	record up to 72 hours after the close of this hearing
8	by emailing it to testimony@council.nyc.gov.
9	Now, I would like to turn it back over to
10	Chair Brewer.
11	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.
12	Working with the amazing Council Member, Chair Hanks,
13	we are concluding this hearing but not concluding the
14	work that needs to follow up.
15	Thank you all who testified, and there is
16	much more to follow.
17	Thank you all very much. [GAVEL]
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 29, 2023