CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

June 21, 2023

Start: 12:50 p.m. Recess: 12:58 p.m.

HELD AT: 250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM, 14TH

FLOOR

B E F O R E: Pierina Ana Sanchez, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu
Alexa Aviles
Charles Barron
Tiffany Caban
David M. Carr
Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
Crystal Hudson

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a prerecorded
test for the Committee on Housing and Buildings.
Today's date is June 21, 2023. Today's hearing is or
Housing and Buildings, and it's being recorded by
Dean Hope.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to the New York City hybrid hearing on the Committee on Housing and Buildings.

Please silence all electronic devices.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, everyone, for attending. [GAVEL]

Good afternoon. I am Council Member
Pierina Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on Housing
and Buildings. We are holding on one second.

[GAVEL] Good afternoon. I am Council Member Pierina Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings.

We are here today to vote on a resolution calling on the federal government to change the area median income calculations as it relates to affordable housing. At the heart of concerns and debates around affordability is the ever-present question, affordable to who, and AMIs, area median

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

incomes, are the core culprit. Many of us on this

Council, in this state, and in many communities

across the country, we're tired, we're tired of

arquing about whether the affordable housing that we

are creating is affordable and is serving the people

who need it the most in our localities.

Each year, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD, sets the AMIs for all cities and urban areas. AMI is an extremely important metric because it is used to determine whether a household meets income eligibility standards for certain affordable housing programs, and it is used to set the rent charged to tenants in certain apartments. AMI is the benchmark for what is considered affordable in any development, any affordable housing created through government dollars, federal government dollars, and, quite simply, it is done incorrectly for New York City. This is because New York City's AMI calculation incorporates not only the five boroughs' data but also Westchester, Putnam, and Rockland counties which have higher median incomes and lower cost of living compared to New York City. For example, according to the most recent census data, the median household

2 income in Putnam county was 111,617 dollars in 2021

3 while the median income for a household in the Bronx

4 was 43,726, and in my District a worker earns just

23,000 dollars as a single adult. Yet, we are all

6 lumped together.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

These additional counties are not the only problem with the method of calculating AMIs currently. HUD also uses a high housing cost adjustment which further increases the AMI by linking AMIs to the fair market rent, not household income. Simply put, this cost adjustment further inflates the AMI, leaving the lower affordability levels even less affordable to those who need the most assistance. Said differently and simply, the way that AMIs are calculated leave out the lowest income families most vulnerable to eviction, displacement and thus most in need of the very assistance that AMIs are supposed to determine. Relying on AMIs for calculating affordable housing creates a scale of affordability that just isn't actually affordable to New Yorkers in our communities. Creating more affordable housing starts with fixing this problem, fixing this measure by which we define affordable housing.

2.2

2.3

Resolution 80, which was sponsored by Council Member
Brannan, calling on Congress to pass and the
President to sign legislation in relation to
increasing the supply and affordability of housing
and to adjust the calculations of area median incomes
to reflect local realities for the purpose of federal
low-income housing assistance.

I am joined today by Members of the Committee.

Before I ask the Clerk to call the roll,
I want to see if my Colleagues have questions or
comments.

Council Member Barron.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I know y'all are surprised. I'm going to support this, but this is weak, this is very weak. It's not defined. It's very general. We don't even define affordability in this. We leave it up to the feds, and we already know that they're not capable of doing that. The area median income I think right now of New York is about 109,000 dollars for a family of three, and so when HUD defines affordability as 80 percent of that, we're talking about 90,000 dollars for a family of three.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When I first came in, the area median income of New York was 86,000 for a family of three and, even then, I wouldn't sign anything that had that because that was 64,000 for a family of three, and my neighborhood area median income is 36,000 dollars for a family of three so even if you did way back then, it still wasn't affordable. Then the other thing that we have to do is look out for, if you do a housing project today and you got 33 percent affordability, that's for today. As the area median income goes up, what you signed on today is no longer affordable five years from now. It usually takes four and five years for them to build things so I just think that the Council, in the meantime, we should do something better to this. We should have local power, local authority to determine our area median income for our local City of New York. Also, while this is happening and while stuff is in place, you still have the power to determine what the area median income could be in a project if it gets the support of the City Council so this is what they're telling you it is, which we're not bound by that. Even our Mandatory Inclusionary Housing is much too low, 25 percent, is much too low because you're saying 75 percent can be

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 7
2	market, and that's how gentrification happens in our
3	neighborhoods, and that's how a lot of our people are
4	in trouble so I'm going to support this, but it has
5	no teeth and it has no real substance, but I'll
6	support it in spirit and say we in the City Council,
7	we should judge it project-by-project so when these
8	projects come in, at least we can say no if it's not
9	60 percent affordable as the Chairwoman said as
10	affordable to our definition of affordability then we
11	don't have to pass it until that happens so we can
12	still not be enslaved to HUD's definition of
13	affordability. I will be voting in the affirmative
14	with those concerns.
15	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
16	Council Member Barron. I join in your statements.
17	Okay, well, thank you all to my
18	Colleagues. I'll now ask the Clerk to call the roll.
19	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Good
20	afternoon. William Martin, Committee Clerk. Roll call
21	vote Committee on Housing and Buildings, Resolution
22	80. Chair Sanchez.
23	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Aye on all.
24	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Dinowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER DINOWITZ: Aye.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 8
2	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Feliz.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Aye.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank
5	you. Abreu.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER ABREU: Aye.
7	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank
8	you. Aviles.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Aye, and agree
10	with Council Member Barron. Thank you.
11	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Barron.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Okay. That's my
13	vote, okay.
14	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Caban.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER CABAN: Aye.
16	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Thank
17	you. Hudson.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Aye.
19	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: Carr.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER CARR: Nay.
21	COMMITTEE CLERK WILLIAM MARTIN: By a vote
22	of eight in the affirmative, one in the negative, and
23	no abstention, item has been adopted by the
24	Committee.

Madam Chair, that is a full Committee.

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS	9
2	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much	to
3	all of my Colleagues for attending today.	
4	With that, this hearing is closed.	
5	[GAVEL]	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date June 28, 2023