CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

----X

March 1, 2011 Start: 1:00pm Recess: 1:36pm

HELD AT: Hearing Room - 16<sup>th</sup> Floor

250 Broadway

BEFORE:

MARIA DEL CARMEN ARROYO

Chairperson

## COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Inez E. Dickens Mathieu Eugene Julissa Ferreras Helen D. Foster

Brad Lander

Jessica S. Lappin

Rosie Mendez Joel Rivera Deborah L. Rose

Peter F. Vallone, Jr.

James G. Van Bramer

Albert Vann

## APPEARANCES

Sabrina Shulman Political Director NARAL Pro-Choice New York

Jordan Goldberg State Advocacy Counsel Center for Reproductive Rights

Barbara Meara Representative New York State Right to Life Committee

Traci Perry Vice President of Public Affairs Planned Parenthood of New York City

Patricia Burkhardt President New York State Association of Licensed Midwives

2	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Good afternoon
3	everyone, thank you for joining us. My name is
4	Maria del Carmen Arroyo, I chair the Committee on
5	Health. I'm not very healthy today, so bear with
6	me. I'm a bit nasally and kind of cranky, and a
7	little bit, according to my counsel I can't say
8	under the influence, I'm above the influence of
9	Nyquil. So thank you for joining us today, the
10	Committee is conducting a hearing and we'll be
11	voting on three resolutions, I want to remind my
12	colleagues that we need to establish and maintain
13	quorum, in order for the vote to go through.
14	We're voting on three resolutions focused on
15	abortion and reproductive health care. The first
16	resolution, 666A, calls upon the members of the
17	House of Representatives to vote against HR358,
18	known as the Protect Life Act. This legislation
19	would amend the Federal Health Care Act to
20	prohibit any insurance plan from covering abortion
21	services if the plan includes any person who
22	receives Federal tax credits, essentially barring
23	any insurance coverage of abortion services.
24	Additionally the Protect Life Act would permit
25	hospitals to refuse to perform abortions, even in

emergency situations, where an abortion is
necessary to save the life of a woman. The
second, resolution 670A, sponsored by my
colleague, Council Member Ferreras, calls upon the
members of the House of Representatives to vote
against another anti-choice bill, HR3, known as
the No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act. This
bill would ban tax credits for businesses that
provide health plans with abortion coverage, and
requires self-employed individuals to pay taxes on
insurance plans that cover the service. The
legislation would prohibit Federal funds from
going to any part of any private insurance plan
that offers abortion coverage and would prohibit
multi-state plans from offering abortion coverage.
The No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act would
also codify the Hyde amendment, prohibiting the
use of Federal funds to pay for abortion services.
Currently the Hyde Act is attached to the
appropriations bill and must be re-authorized
annually. Finally, in the third resolution, 672A,
sponsored by Council Member Lappin, calls upon
members of the House and the Senate to protect
Title X funding. Title X funding covers family

planning services for low- and moderate-income
individuals, and funds a variety of preventive
healthcare services, including breast and cervical
cancer screening and HIV prevention education.
Currently, Title X funding cannot go directly to
abortion services, but entities who receive
funding can use private money to fund abortions.
HR 217, the Title X Abortion Provider Prohibition
Act, would prohibit Title X funding from going to
any entity that offers abortion services or
provides funding to any entity that offers these
services. This would jeopardize funding for a
variety of healthcare services for millions of
women. Additionally, as part of the budget
process, the House recently voted to de-fund Title
X completely, and voted to block all Federal
funding from Planned Parenthood regardless of the
source. Should these budget measures be approved
the Senate, the effect on healthcare for low- and
moderate-income people would be devastating, going
far beyond simply interfering with the right to
have an abortion. Resolution 672A would urge the
Senate to reject the House's actions and maintain
funding for Planned Parenthood and Title X. I

look forward to the hearing today, to hearing
today from reproductive healthcare advocates and
others who may have additional information on
these pieces of Federal legislation and their
potential impact on the lives of New Yorkers. I
want to thank my colleagues for joining me in
introducing these three pieces of legislation, and
at this point I want to recognize the colleagues
who are with us here, and as I said earlier, there
are several hearings going on simultaneously,
others will come in and out. To my extreme left,
and there's no pun intended there, is Council
Member Julissa Ferreras, Council Member Joel
Rivera, behind Julissa, Brad Lander, and to my
right, Council Member Al Vann, Council Member
Debbie Rose, and Council Member Rosie Mendez. I
want to thank the staff of the Committee, Lacey
Clarke, who's gotten baptism by fire, becoming the
new counsel to the Committee, and Joe Mancino for
their hard work in preparing us for this hearing.
Before I hear from the sponsors of these
resolutions, I just want to remind everyone that
if you want to testify, you have to fill out a
slip that looks like what Lacey's holding, and you

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	must give it to the sergeant-at-arms in order for
3	us to know that you're here and you want to say
4	something. We certainly do hear what you have to
5	say, pro or against these pieces of legislation.
6	I will remind my colleagues that we will be voting
7	on these three pieces of legislation, so it is
8	important that you're not you're too far from
9	this room, since we will have to hunt you down if
10	you do. Okay, so Council Member Ferreras, if
11	you'd like to say a few words on your legislation?
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank
13	you, Chair, I just wanted to say that, you know,
14	it is an honor for me to be able to introduce this
15	resolution. This speaks to the times when there
16	were women, and some of them even in my district,

were women, and some of them even in my district, that would opt, because they couldn't have the affordable options through their healthcare for a termination, would opt to throw themselves downstairs, or stab themselves, or punch themselves in the stomach, to induce termination because of the affordability of this, and I think that when we ... this legislation would really adversely affect not only the child's health, which is what oftentimes is talked about, but more 2.

importantly the woman's health. And women have
had to make very tough decisions in their lives,
and I think this just adds on to the tougher
decisions. So I would hope that this does not
pass, and that my colleagues vote for this
resolution so that we can make sure that our
government understands that this is not the right
direction in which to go on. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

Council Member Ferreras. I'd like to call up the

first panel, and we will call up panels in

alternating order, in favor and against, so that

there is a sense of fairness about what we're

doing here. So, Sabrina Shulman, NARAL Pro-Choice

New York, Jordan Goldberg, Center for Reproductive

Rights.

MS. SHULMAN: (microphone not turned on) Hi, my name is Sabrina Shulman, the Political Director at NARAL Pro-Choice New York and we work every day to protect (inaudible) abortion and to protect a full range of reproductive rights for women, regardless of age, (inaudible) or race. As an organization devoted to reproductive freedom, (inaudible) testimony in

2	support of resolution 666A, 670A and 672A and
3	(crosstalk).
4	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Nick, it's not
5	capturing her testimony on the mic.
6	MALE VOICE: If you could start
7	from the beginning.
8	MS. SHULMAN: (microphone turned
9	on) From the beginning? Happy to. Hi again.
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: It sounded
11	like it was on to me, I guess my hearing is that
12	bad.
13	MS. SHULMAN: Again, my name is
14	Sabrina Shulman, I'm the Political Director at
15	NARAL Pro-Choice New York, and every day we work
16	to protect safe legal abortion and to protect
17	access to the full range of reproductive rights
18	for women, regardless of age, race or income. As
19	an organization devoted to reproductive freedom,
20	we submit this testimony in support of resolutions
21	666A, 670A and 672A, and applaud the City
22	Council's effort to stop the current war on

U.S. House of Representatives has wasted no time

in showcasing their true agenda, attacking women's

access to reproductive healthcare. This is not
what members of Congress campaigned on, and not
what the American people want. So far this winter
we have seen a Congressional hearing on HR3, a
bill to use our tax code to make it nearly
impossible for women to buy insurance plans that
include abortion coverage. Currently 87% of
private insurance plans include such coverage.
This bill could result in tax increases for
millions of individuals in small businesses if
they want to keep the health coverage they
currently. Using the tax code to attack women's
healthcare is an abomination. We know that
restricting insurance coverage of abortion does
not reduce the need for abortions, it only creates
a barrier for women to access the safe medical
care they need, and may force some women to resort
to drastic measures. HR3 would also make
permanent restrictions on abortion coverage for
the tens of millions of women who depend on
government for their healthcare, even when an
abortion is necessary to protect a woman's health.
These restrictions particularly burden women
facing financial hardship, making it extremely

difficult to have coverage for abortion. HR3 goes
beyond the already harmful restrictions of the
Hyde Amendment and makes a bad policy worse.
We've also seen a House committee pass HR358, a
bill that would endanger women's lives by
permitting healthcare providers to deny lifesaving
care in emergency situations. It would also make
it virtually impossible for private insurance
companies to participate in the new health system
to offer abortion coverage to women. The
government should not be in the business of taking
away insurance coverage that women already have.
Additionally, the House of Representatives
recently voted to eliminate Title X funding, the
longstanding Federal program that has helped
millions of women access vital preventive
reproductive health services, not just family
planning, but services like breast and pelvic
exams, STD and HIV screenings and other preventive
services. Even more outrageous, the House also
voted to specifically bar Planned Parenthood
health centers from any and all Federal funding
for birth control, cancer screenings, HIV testing
and more. These attacks are extreme and defy

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	common sense, both economically and morally.
3	Through Title X family planning funding, millions
4	of low-income women and men across the country are
5	able to access the services they need to stay
6	healthy and to prevent unintended pregnancies.
7	Where will thousands of women and men in New York
8	go if Congress succeeds in slashing Title X
9	funding, in closing Planned Parenthood health
10	centers? How does this help our economy or create
11	new jobs? When viewed together, these bills must
12	be seen as nothing less than an all-out war on
13	women. The resolutions being considered by the
14	New York City Council recognize this war on women
15	and, if passed, will help send a strong message to
16	Washington: New York City won't stand for these
17	attacks on women's healthcare. Thank you very
18	much.
19	MS. GOLDBERG: Thanks, I don't want

to go through the same space again. Hi, okay. It's working? Great. Thank you to the Committee for the opportunity to testify today. How about that? Better? Okay. Okay. My name is Jordan Goldberg and I'm the State Advocacy Counsel for the Center for Reproductive Rights, the U.S. legal

program at the Center. I'm here on behalf of the
Center to testify in support of these resolutions,
666, 670 and 672, and we strongly applaud the City
Council decision to introduce these resolutions,
and we think this is a great message to send. The
Center is a global human rights organization that
promotes women's equality worldwide by securing
reproductive rights as constitutional and
international human rights that all governments
around the world are obligated to respect, protect
and fulfill. We work in the U.S. and in countries
all over the world on a wide range of reproductive
health issues, including access to contraception,
pregnancy care, abortion services and medically
accurate and unbiased reproductive health
information. As these resolutions recognize,
since the new Congress took control in January,
the attack on women's health and rights in
Washington has been unrelentless (sic). The
Protect Life Act, the No Taxpayer Funding for
Abortion Act, and the Title X Abortion Provider
Prohibition Act are all sweeping measures that
would threaten women's lives, impose draconian tax
penalties on American families and businesses, and

decimate family planning services for low-income
women and men. Abortion is an essential part of
comprehensive reproductive healthcare for women
and one of the most common surgical procedures in
this country. In fact, one in three women will
have an abortion in their lifetime. Today the
majority of private insurers offer abortion
coverage. HR3 and 358 promise to take that away
from millions of women in this country. HR358,
the so-called Protect Life Act, is part of a
larger effort to overturn healthcare reform and
take essential coverage away from millions of
American families. It creates a fiction about
Federal funding in order to bar coverage of
abortion services in the private insurance market.
The bill would bar insurance plans in the new
state-based exchanges from providing coverage if
even a single person receiving premium assistance
credits enrolls. Because the great majority of
the individuals on the exchange will be
subsidized, HR 358 would therefore essentially ban
abortion coverage in the exchanges. In addition,
the bill incorporates a broad carve-out provision,
protecting any state refusal law, which could

allow insurers to refuse to offer important
services that are part of the minimum standards
for healthcare coverage set by the Affordable Care
Act. These standards include services and
supplies relating to contraception and fertility,
and SDI treatment. The bill would also
dramatically expand the ability of individuals and
entities to refuse to provide treatment, even in
emergency situations, under the guise of an
expanded conscience protection. However, the bill
now includes no such protection for providers of
healthcare who choose to provide abortion
services, even though those providers are
routinely harassed and intimidated and
discriminated against. The second bill, the so-
called No Taxpayer Funding for Abortion Act,
similarly seeks to manipulate the private
insurance market. HR3 is essentially an abortion
tax, and by raising taxes on health insurance
plans so that it would no longer be feasible for
employers or individuals to obtain comprehensive
reproductive healthcare coverage in one plan, the
bill would strip away coverage for millions of
women that currently have it. HR3 would also

further entrench harmful and discriminatory bans
on abortion coverage for women on Medicaid,
Federal employees, women in the military, Peace
Corps volunteers, and many others. Finally, HR217
would prevent access to contraception and other
critical preventative care for low-income women
and men in New York and around the country. The
Title X family planning program funds low-cost
confidential family planning services and has for
many years, that would otherwise be out of reach
for many women. HR217 would prevent healthcare
providers who provide abortion services from
receiving Title X funds, even though under
existing law Title X cannot be used for abortion
services. The Center for Reproductive Rights is
strongly opposed to these Federal bills, and we
support the resolutions that the Council is
considering. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you.

Thank you for your testimony, we've been joined by

Council Member Van Bramer, who's sitting in the

gallery, and Council Member Foster. Welcome.

Okay, the next panel, Barbara Meara, I understand

near and dear to someone very near and dear to us.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Welcome, Ms. Meara. I said welcome. This should
3	be an interesting conversation around the dinner
4	table. You may begin when you're ready.

MS. MEARA: Good afternoon, is that better?

COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Yes.

MS. MEARA: Thank you very much for the opportunity to testify today. On July 1st, 1970, I began to realize the intent of some of those who supported legal abortion. My name is Barbara Meara, I represent the New York State Right to Life Committee. On July 1st, 1970, I began to realize the intent of some of those who supported legal abortion. Friends of mine had been lobbying and demonstrating for improved maternity care and emergency services in a South Bronx hospital, and were repeatedly told there was no money for those services. But on July 1st, 1970, the day the New York State abortion law went into effect, a half million dollars of abortion equipment was moved into the hospital. No money for lifesaving maternity and emergency services, but plenty for abortion, for the death of unborn children in the South Bronx. And in fact, one

legislator actually said to me, "What would we do
with the overcrowded schools and housing in the
South Bronx?" My answer was then, as it is now,
we don't kill to solve our problems. Fast forward
to 2011, and we see an outcry against cutting the
use of taxpayer funds for abortion, and for
organizations like Planned Parenthood that provide
abortion. In spite of the incredible statistics
from the New York State Department of Health, that
show that New York City aborts 41% of the children
conceived, and while the rate for the country as a
whole is only 19%, the City Council is opposed to
restriction of taxpayer funding for abortion.
Please reconsider your support for taxpayer-funded
abortions. The Guttmacher Institute estimates
that abortions decrease by 25% when not funded by
taxpayer dollars, and many of you I notice are
from the Bronx, and while I said here in my report
that 41% of the children conceived in the city are
aborted, it's closer to 50% in the Bronx, which is
really a tragic situation. In spite of the
revelations of abuses in Planned Parenthood
clinics around the nation, including one in the
South Bronx which showed that a young couple

posing as sex traffickers of girls as young as
thirteen and fourteen, had no trouble in getting
information on how to arrange for services for
their employees. Please oppose Federal funding
for Planned Parenthood. Isn't it time to value
all lives and take action to protect them and to
stop supporting efforts to use tax dollars to pay
for the killing of our next generation? Most
Americans and most New Yorkers, even those who
call themselves pro-choice, are against the use of
taxpayer dollars for abortion. Isn't it time to
stop harassing pregnancy care centers that offer
pregnant women offer help to pregnant women.
Bills like Intro 371 require pregnancy care
centers to put up signs saying they don't provide
abortions, but why don't we ask the abortion
clinics to tell the truth to the young women who
come to them? For instance, they should tell them
that the baby who will be killed by abortion is
not just a clump of cells or a glob of protoplasm,
but has a beating heart at 18 to 21 days,
recordable brain waves at six weeks, and by eight
weeks has all her organs and fingerprints that are
the same as they will be at eight months, eight

б

years or 18 years or 80 years. And at a time when
we are all conscious of Federal, state and city
debt, and I'm sure some of you are thinking, well,
if we cut down on abortions, we'll have more
expenses for the babies, the fact is that we have
tremendous expenses from the aftermath of
abortion: the premature births and subsequent
pregnancies, the alcohol and drug problems, and
the increase in breast cancers. The New York
State Right to Life Committee implores the City
Council to reject resolution 666, 670 and 672.
Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for your testimony. Any questions? Okay, thank you.

MS. MEARA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: We've been joined by Committee members Inez Dickens and Peter Vallone, and Jessica Lappin, who is the fine sponsor of one of the resolutions we're hearing today. The next panel, and for the last members that walked in, a reminder that we're voting these resolutions today, so please don't go anywhere. Traci Perry, Planned Parenthood New York City.

Okay. Patricia Burkhardt ... did you find your ID?

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. If we can allow Council Member Lappin to
make a few remarks now. Okay, great. Thank you.

You may begin when you're ready.

MS. PERRY: Hello? Good afternoon, I'm Traci Perry, Vice President of Public Affairs at Planned Parenthood of New York City. I'm pleased to be here to provide testimony and support of resolutions 670A, 666, 672, which condemn three dangerous bills in Congress. I want to take the opportunity to thank Chair Arroyo for all your support of Planned Parenthood and the members who will be supporting these resolutions. For more than 90 years Planned Parenthood has been the most trusted name in reproductive health. 2010 we provided high-quality personalized care to more than 50,000 women, men and adolescents at our three healthcare centers in the Bronx, Brooklyn and Manhattan. No one is turned away from PPNYC if he or she cannot pay, we help clients to obtain public insurance, or meet their needs with a sliding-scale fee. The majority of Planned Parenthood clients are at or below the poverty level, more than 1/3 use public insurance to pay for their care, and over 2/3 of our clients are

women of color. Our clients are from all five
boroughs. Two weeks ago the United States House
of Representatives voted for HR217, which bars
Planned Parenthood from receiving Federal funds
for any purpose, including providing basic primary
and life-saving preventative care to women and
families. This proposal was attached to the
fiscal year continuing resolution, which
completely eliminates the National Family Planning
program, Title X. The elimination of Title X
funding would directly impact the ability of our
healthcare centers and the city's other family
planning providers to provide life-saving cancer
screenings, gynecological care, contraception,
pregnancy testing, testing and treatment for
sexually-transmitted infections, and HIV testing
and counseling. It would dramatically impede our
capacity to help the more than 50,000 New Yorkers
we see annually, not to mention the tens of
thousands we reach through our street through
our outreach and sex education programs. Last
year we provided 21,000 HIV tests, 12,000 cancer
screenings, and 56,000 family planning visits.
Two thirds of the patients we see rely on Medicaid

or receive our services through reduced fee or for
free. Additionally, Planned Parenthood of New
York City recently received Federal grants to
teach evidence-based sex education programs in
targeted at-risk neighborhoods throughout
Manhattan, the Bronx, and Brooklyn. All this
funding would be at risk if the leaders of the
House of Representatives have their way, and it
would equal about 2/3 of our entire funding. The
policy of attempting to undermine Planned
Parenthood, which does more than any other
organization in the United States to encourage the
use of contraception for those wanting to avoid
pregnancy, certainly guarantees an increase in the
number of unintended pregnancies. It is difficult
to understand why people who say they are opposed
to abortion would do so much to undermine the
family planning and contraception that prevent the
need for it. Also introduced in the House of
Representatives, the misleadingly No Taxpayer
Funding for Abortion, is an aggressive attempt to
take away comprehensive private health insurance
coverage for abortions that millions of women have
today. Also, the majority of health insurance

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

plans in the United States include coverage for abortions. HR358 revives the rejected Stupak abortion coverage in the healthcare exchanges, and establishes new restrictions on Americans' ability to get information about abortion coverage options from private health insurance plans. These are extreme bills that will result in millions of women losing private health insurance benefits that they currently have today. It would force health plans to drop comprehensive coverage in the health insurance exchanges, cutting millions of women off from the benefits that they have. Furthermore, this legislation allows healthcare entities to participate ... excuse me, to refuse to participate in abortions. This could mean that a hospital employee could refuse to process bills, handle medical records, or set up exam rooms. Planned Parenthood of New York City strongly supports the passage of resolutions 666A, 670A, and 672A. we are fortunate to have leaders who stand up for women's health and send a message to Washington that the New York City Council will not support extreme, out-of-touch measures that make it difficult for New Yorkers to access essential

2	reproductive healthcare and educational services.
3	Thank you.
4	MS. BURKHARDT: Good afternoon. I
5	thank you for your efforts to assure that at the
6	national level women are provided their full range
7	of reproductive rights. What is mandated in
8	Washington clearly impacts the women of New York
9	City. Thank you also for inviting me to lend the
10	support of midwives of New York State. I speak as
11	President of the New York State Association of
12	Licensed Midwives, and on behalf of the more than
13	1,400 licensed midwives of the state. These bills
14	are a fusillade against a woman's right to choose
15	to have a wanted pregnancy, rather than one
16	foisted on her by luck or nature. They constitute
17	an attack on not only low
18	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: (Interposing)
19	I'm sorry.
20	MS. BURKHARDT: I'm sorry.
21	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Nick is
22	yelling at me that you didn't state your name for
23	the record.
24	MS. BURKHARDT: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm
25	Patricia Burkhardt. I wrote it in, but I didn't

say it, I'm sorry. I'm the president, Patricia
Burkhardt, yes. These bills are a fusillade
against a woman's right to choose to have a wanted
pregnancy, rather than one foisted on her by
nature or luck. They constitute an attack not
only on low-income women who have long been a
target of the rightwing anti-abortionists, but
also now include women able to afford private
healthcare. When women cannot have access to safe
abortion care, nor appropriate and adequate family
planning services, they are left with the
abstinence-only construct. How destructive of
relationships and degrading to women and their
partners. The major element in all of these bills
is the use of taxpayer money, my tax dollars and
yours, to fund abortion services, HR3, No Taxpayer
Funding for Abortion Act, addresses this directly
and elaborates on every possible program of
women's healthcare services that receives Federal
funding that would be prohibited from providing
abortion care. I think of this as the rifle
approach, a clean, straight shot. One new
additional element in HR358 is mandating that
private health insurer premiums and costs related

to abortion care are not tax deductible by the
woman, nor when she does her taxes as well as all
other healthcare that she can deduct. This is the
shotgun approach, spreading the shot wider to do
greater damage. The final new element in HR217,
and the most grotesque, is denying Title X family
planning grants to any entity that performs
abortions. This is the automatic weapon approach,
spraying widely and indiscriminately. With this
bill, women's healthcare services are held
hostage, while agencies are forced to decide to
suspend one essential service, abortions, in order
to not lose their ability to provide other
essential services, services that help protect
women from cancer, from sexually-transmitted
infections, including HIV and hepatitis B, and
from unintended pregnancy. This diabolical
approach to women's healthcare must be stopped.
On the premise of halting abortions, they will
also stop essential preventive healthcare
services, including contraception services, which
of course will result in more unwanted
pregnancies. What a twisted and illogical
approach to control women's lives and choices.

e
k
,
e

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you for

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your testimony. I'd like to give Council MemberLappin an opportunity to speak on her resolution.

COUNCIL MEMBER LAPPIN: Thank you very much. Thank you very much, Madam Chair, and thank you for being such a leader on these issues, and for bringing these resolutions for a vote today. I just wanted to say that I'm sort of in shock by the level of attack that women are feeling from Washington, from state capitols and from cities across the country. And 97% of what Planned Parenthood does is essential basic healthcare for women. Only 3% of what they do is related to their abortion services. So the idea that we would tell 50,000 women in New York City alone that they are not going to have access to basic healthcare is just mind-boggling to me. know, I thought we were trying to move in the other direction in this country. So I am very grateful that we are standing up in New York and saying that this is not acceptable, and that we cannot turn our backs on women who do not have the means or do not have the ability to go somewhere else.

CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Thank you,

`	$\sim$
	11
)	

## COMMITTEE ON HEALTH

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 3						
2	Council Member Lappin. Any other colleagues'						
3	comments? With that I will ask the clerk to call						
4	the roll, and I urge my colleagues to vote aye on						
5	all.						
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: Kevin Finn,						
7	Committee clerk, roll call on the Committee on						
8	Health. Council Member Arroyo.						
9	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Aye.						
LO	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rivera.						
11	COUNCIL MEMBER RIVERA: I vote aye.						
12	COMMITTEE CLERK: Foster.						
13	COUNCIL MEMBER FOSTER: Aye.						
L4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Vallone.						
15	COUNCIL MEMBER VALLONE, JR.:						
L6	Abstain.						
L7	COMMITTEE CLERK: Vann.						
18	COUNCIL MEMBER VANN: Aye.						
19	COMMITTEE CLERK: Dickens.						
20	COUNCIL MEMBER DICKENS: Aye.						
21	COMMITTEE CLERK: Mendez.						
22	COUNCIL MEMBER MENDEZ: Aye on all.						
23	COMMITTEE CLERK: Ferreras.						
24	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Aye.						
25	COMMITTEE CLERK: Rose.						

1	COMMITTEE ON HEALTH 31
2	COUNCIL MEMBER ROSE: Aye on all,
3	and I wish to be included on Reso 672.
4	COMMITTEE CLERK: Van Bramer.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER VAN BRAMER: Aye.
6	COMMITTEE CLERK: By a vote of nine
7	in the affirmative, zero in the negative and one
8	abstention, all items have been adopted, and
9	members, please sign the Committee reports.
10	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: At this point,
11	we're going to leave the vote open for Council
12	Member Eugene, who's next door chairing a hearing,
13	and here he comes. Okay. Can you get Council
14	Member Eugene? (crosstalk)
15	COMMITTEE CLERK: Eugene. Eugene.
16	Eugene.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER EUGENE: I vote aye.
18	COMMITTEE CLERK: Final vote in the
19	Committee on Health, ten in the affirmative, zero
20	in the negative, and no abstentions and one
21	abstention, I'm sorry.
22	CHAIRPERSON ARROYO: Okay, with
23	that this hearing is concluded.

I, Richard A. Ziats, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

D.O. 0 Don's

Signature	(Coursed )				
Date	 _March	3,	2011		