CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS

----X

February 18, 2011 Start: 1:15pm Recess: 3:49pm

HELD AT: Council Chambers

City Hall

B E F O R E:

DANIEL R. GARODNICK

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Leroy G. Comrie, Jr. G. Oliver Koppell Karen Koslowitz Michael C. Nelson

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Erik Joerss Director of City Legislative Affairs Department of Consumer Affairs

David Woloch
Deputy Commissioner for External Affairs
New York City Department of Transportation

Susan Petito Assistant Commissioner of Intergovernmental Affairs New York City Police Department

Fran Freedman
Deputy Commissioner
New York City Department of Consumer Affairs

Daniel Albano
Managing Attorney
NYPD Legal Bureau, Criminal Section

Chad Marlowe President, Public Advocacy Group Representing New York City Pedicab Owners Association

Tom Ferrugia Director of Government Relations Broadway League

Peter Mazer General Counsel Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade

Doug Korman Advocate Former pedicab driver

Ibrahim Donmez
Founding Member
United Pedicab Business Alliance

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Meredith Smith
Advocate
Current pedicab driver

David Serk Advocate Current pedicab driver

Brendan O'Toole Advocate Current pedicab driver

James Lee Advocate Current pedicab driver

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Good

afternoon, everybody. Welcome to the Committee on Consumer Affairs of the New York City Council. My name is Dan Garodnick, I have the privilege of Chairing this Committee. Today's date is Friday, February 18th, and I want to welcome all of you to today's hearing on the state of current pedicab regulations. We have three City agencies who are here today to address the issue: the Department of Consumer Affairs, the Parks Department and the New York City Police Department. We will also hold a hearing on four bills that I have sponsored that would strengthen existing laws governing pedicab operation, and address ongoing challenges with pedicab safety on the streets. I also want to welcome the Department of Transportation for being here--David, I'm sorry, I left you out, I never intend to do that. So, prior to 2009, there was not a single City regulation covering pedicabs--no licenses, no limits, no rules. Ιt was, simply put, the Wild West out there. response, in 2009, the New York City Council passed broad pedicab safety and consumer reforms that began to regulate this new and emerging

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

industry. The Department of Consumer Affairs will testify today on its February 15, 2011 report to the New York City Council, regarding the effectiveness of those 2009 regulations, in ensuring that there is pedicab safety on the The report details, and I don't mean to streets. preempt DCA here, but there's just a few facts that I will highlight, and then we'll let them do that themselves. They detail that in 2010, the Department of Consumer Affairs issued 163 pedicab business licenses, and registered 850 pedicabs. And as of February 8, 2011, DCA issued 1,528 pedicab driver licenses. The report also indicates that 39 pedicab driver licenses were suspended, with 34 suspensions due to suspended New York State motor vehicle licenses. That's a troubling statistic, of course--34 pedicab drivers sufficiently violated New York State traffic laws to cause a suspension of their motor vehicle licenses; and yet, until those licenses, the pedicab driver licenses were suspended, those 34 individuals continued to transport passengers primarily on the densely populated streets of midtown Manhattan. Last month, there were reports

2 that a pedicab driver had managed to rack up 90 3 violations, including violations for running red lights and parking in crosswalks. NYPD enforcement sweeps run last fall that resulted in 5 6 115 pedicab violations issued within a few hours 7 in a single neighborhood in my district, confirms 8 that violations are not isolated to a single 9 brazen driver. In December last year, my office 10 heard from a tourist who reportedly enjoyed his 11 visit to New York with the startling exception of 12 an eight minute pedicab ride that cost him \$90. 13 Environmentally friendly industries, such as 14 pedicabs, can offer tourists a very green mode of 15 transportation. But no visitor in New York City 16 should ever leave that they, in feeling like they 17 have been scammed, as this tourist did. 18 perception is not helpful to New York's tourist 19 industry, it is not helpful to our economy, and 20 it's not helpful to pedicab drivers or the 21 industry itself. DCA's report did not provide, 22 however, information on violations that were 23 issued to pedicab drivers and business owners, and 24 I anticipate that representatives from NYPD and 25 the Parks Department will provide these violation

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

statistics. DCA's report suggests, however, what recent news accounts and information reported to my office reveal: that safety and consumer challenges persist with New York City's pedicabs. There is more that can and should be done to provide for greater safety and consumer protections. I've introduced four bills that we will hear today. Intro 345 would require a pedicab bill of rights, similar to the bills of rights for taxicab, livery and commuter van passengers. This bill outlines a pedicab passenger's rights to a safe ride and working equipment. To reduce repeat offenses, and target those pedicab drivers and businesses who do not abide by traffic laws and equipment requirements, Intro 166 would impose a greater risk of license suspension and/or revocation. Next, when pedicabs occupy but do not pay for metered parking spaces, or when they are parked in crosswalks or standing in no standing zones, it causes a loss of revenue for the City and endangers pedestrians, motorists and bicyclists. Proposed Intro 334-A would require pedicab drivers to obey no parking and no standing zones, and to pay for the use of metered

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

parking spaces. And finally, the conditions on the streets today make it clear that we need to better address all of these safety concerns before we ever allow more pedicabs to operate out there. Intro 467 would repeal the sunset provision of the 2009 laws, which restricted licensing. And it would limit pedicab registration plates to their current or a lower number. I'm very pleased that the Pedicab Owners' Association has endorsed all four of these bills. We look forward to their testimony here today, as well as the testimony of all of those seeking to be heard at this hearing. But first, before we get into that, I want to acknowledge my colleagues who are here today. We have Council Member Karen Koslowitz, the former Chair of this Committee, thank you for being here; Council Member Oliver Koppell from The Bronx; Council Member Leroy Comrie of Queens, also a former Chair. We are very glad to have them and their interest and their participation here today. With that, I think we've done a sufficient amount of introducing of the issues, so that we will turn now to the Administration. And I think we're going to start with the Department of Consumer

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Affairs. So, whenever you are ready, please go right ahead.

ERIK JOERSS: Is this--Good afternoon, Chairman Garodnick and Committee I am Erik Joerss, the Director of City Legislative Affairs for the Department of Consumer Affairs. And with me is Deputy Commissioner for External Affairs Fran Freedman. Commissioner Mintz asked me to thank you for the opportunity to appear before you at your oversight hearing on NYC pedicab regulations' first year review, and your hearing on Intro Nos. 334-A, 345, 466 and 467. The Department was pleased to have the opportunity to work with its sister agencies and the City Council to help craft Local Law 53, in August of 2009, and to implement the market driven licensing plan for the nascent but growing industry. legally required 59 day window allowed all who wished to compete in the market at that point in time to do so. Let me take you back very briefly to the fall of '09, so we can share with you the massive and all-encompassing effort it was to implement this new legislation. At the outset, DCA instituted a business friendly, broad

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

education licensing and inspection protocol, designed to protect the public's interests, while providing a smooth, efficient and orderly process for the industry to follow. To assist the more than 170 pedicab businesses facing the City's new legal requirements, the Department immediately mounted a multipronged outreach campaign to the industry, including ongoing update bulletins as the Council moved towards passage of the new legislation, distribution of a detailed FAQ explaining each step of the licensing inspection process, making all materials available on DCA's website through 311 and at our licensing center, repeated offers to the two industry associations to hold special sessions to walk prospective applicants through the process, and near daily contact with the industries two business associations regarding the low volume of early applications, which included a meeting with the Commissioner. The task of imposing a licensing and inspection scheme on a previously unregulated industry, and in an incredibly short amount of time, required an enormous amount of work, meticulous planning, and a significant

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

communications effort among all stakeholders. outreach to the industry was meant to supplement an already streamlined licensing process, with most of the key information available online through the business toolbox section of our website. All required forms and requirements were easily accessible and whenever possible downloadable. The City opened its licensing window on September 22, 2009, and continued for 59 days through November 20th. To receive a pedicab business license, applicants were required to own at least one registered pedicab which passed inspection, acquire legally compliant insurance and submit a completed application to DCA. Applications for pedicab driver licenses that were submitted beginning on September 22, 2009 and submissions have been ongoing as there was no window or time limitation for such licenses. the first 60 day registration period, before the window closed, DCA received 181 business license applications, which included 943 individual pedicabs. Of those who applied, 172 business licenses were issued, representing 889 pedicabs. We also issued pedicab driver licenses for 474

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

applicants during '09. From October '09 through December 21st, DCA conducted 1,129 inspections, which include re-inspections, of pedicabs to ensure that they met the rigorous legal requirements to be duly registered. qualifying inspection was an intensive, comprehensive and vigorous 24 point review that focused on pedicab vehicle safety, and consumer protections, and tested the integrity of the product. These soup-to-nuts inspections included testing primary and secondary braking systems, lights, turn signals--both audible and visual-reflectors, timers, and distance calculating devices, appropriate rates and other signage. Each inspection generally took between 15 to 20 minutes depending on whether or not problems were noted and depending on the method that rates for the pedicabs were to be calculated. If a pedicab calculated rates based on distance, our inspectors measured a 330 foot trip; if timing was used, we checked the applicants timer against our own stopwatches. DCA designed the process to be accessible and convenient as possible for businesses, conducting onsite inspections for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

businesses with more than ten pedicabs in their own garages. The bulk of inspections, however, took place in Central Park, and we want to thank the Park, thank the Parks Department, for graciously allowing us to use its venue, which was not only convenient for DCA inspectors and for the industry, but was also spacious enough to allow us to perform the important testing required. Almost before DCA could recover from that initial licensing period, it was time to renew existing pedicab business licenses which were set to expire on November 1, 2010. The renewal period began on August 1st. All licensees have an additional 59 days after the expiration date of their licenses to renew, so the renewal period lasted through December 31, 2010. Of the 172 businesses, comprising 889 pedicabs, eight licensed businesses with a total of 38 registered pedicabs, failed to renew within the timeframe allowed by law, including one business with 30 pedicabs, one with three and five with one each. The license of one business with one registered pedicab was revoked during this period. Currently, there are 163 pedicab businesses with 850 registered cabs.

2 During the renewal period, we conducted 867 3 inspections, mainly in September and October, to meet the November 1st renewal deadline. During the past 17 months, 22 businesses have applied for 5 6 the transfer of 107 pedicabs. Pedicab driver 7 licenses expired on April 1, 2010. Of the 8 initial, of the original 474 licensed drivers, 231 failed to renew for 2010, 13 others were denied 9 10 and 39 pedicab driver licenses were suspended; 34 11 because the New York, because the licensees New 12 York State motor vehicle license were suspended, 13 and five for other reasons. Currently as 14 mentioned, there are 1,528 licensed pedicab 15 drivers. To facilitate communication and ensure 16 appropriate enforcement, DCA conducted three 17 trainings in person and by phone with the 18 Department of Parks and Recreation, and the Police 19 Department early in the licensing process in '09. 20 The first intra-agency meeting with Corp Counsel, 21 Department of Parks and Recreation and Police 22 Department was held at DCA's offices on September 23 11, 2009, followed by a conference call with Parks 24 on September 22nd, and then another meeting with 25 Parks at DCA headquarters on September 25th.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

These trainings were particularly important because the requirements of the law regarding suspensions and revocation of licenses, can only be triggered by our receipt of violations from these agencies. Most recently, in November 23, 2010, the Department trained approximately 30 officers from the Police Department and the Department of Parks and Recreation, to recognize common equipment and licensing violations. also reiterated the importance of identifying the pedicab business that owns the car, the cab, being driven by a licensee, who violates the law on the ticket, so that the Department is able to revoke or suspend a business license if the number or type of violations issued to its drivers suggests that the, that continued licensure, licensure, may pose a public safety risk. While DCA enforcement derives largely from the violations issued by Parks and Police, the agency does a substantial amount of in-house enforcement in tandem with its outreach efforts. In June 2010, the Department subpoenaed 40 pedicab businesses to determine compliance with insurance and driver training requirements and the requirement that pedicab

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

businesses obtain and maintain copies of their drivers tickets and dispositions. As a result of the investigation, the Department charged 20 pedicab businesses with maintaining insurance in the amounts below the minimums provided for in the code. Four businesses were found guilty of violating the insurance requirements and were fined a total of \$36,500. We also entered into settlement agreements with nine businesses, requiring them to obtain compliant insurance policies and pay penalties. Four hearings were adjourned to early March in contemplation of settlements. In an effort to ensure proper insurance compliance prior to the renewal period, DCA invited insurance agents to submit their policies to the Department for preview, to ascertain compliance with the code. After the review process, DCA distributed a list of agents offering compliant insurance policies to pedicab businesses. Because some insurance policies excluded coverage for foreign drivers and drivers under 21 years old, even though such drivers are eligible to be licensed, the Department contacted all 14 insurance agents that cover the business in

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City and reminded them of the requirement that their policies cover all pedicab drivers, and the minimum dollar amounts required. Department also sent letters to the two pedicab business associations reminding them of the insurance requirements. In its enforcements efforts, the Department charged four pedicab businesses with violating driver training requirements and the requirement that they maintain copies of their drivers tickets and the dispositions of the tickets. Two businesses entered into settlement agreements that require compliance with the law, and payment of penalties totaling \$11,550. Anecdotally businesses have stated that drivers failed to provide them with copies of tickets. The Departments tribunal revoked a pedicab driver's license after the ECB found him guilty of twelve violations issued by the Department of Parks and Recreation, in a case that was highlighted in the local papers. Police Department and Department of Parks issue most violations to pedicab drivers and businesses that occur in the street or in a public park. Most of these violations have been tried in

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

criminal court or traffic court or heard by the ECB. We will defer to those agencies to testify to these efforts. On July 24, 2010, a pedicab owned by Ugder [phonetic] Corporation was involved in a minor accident causing \$1,556.93 in property damage. DCA learned about it when the car owner complained that the pedicab company refused to pay for the damage. The Department charged the pedicab business with violating various provisions of the licensing law, and entered into a settlement providing for restitution to the consumer and penalties totaling \$5,000 and enhanced compliance with driver training requirements. On September 12th of 2010, a pedicab owned by Cycle Cab, Inc., slid on a wet, slid on wet pavement and hit the rear bumper of a car that was stopped at a light. No one was injured in the accident, which was reported by the pedicab business, which also agreed to reimburse the car owner for the damage. Turning now to the bills before us, we have the following comments. On Intro 334-A, this bill would require pedicabs to comply with parking rules for motor vehicles. We actually defer to our sister agencies regarding

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this proposal. On Intro 345, this bill describes and required the display of a bill of rights for pedicab passengers. The Department supports the posting of a bill of rights; we would, however, suggest that the language regarding receipts either include all the information the ad code requires -- the total charge, business telephone and contact info for DCA, or simply states, "Call in for a detailed receipt." We leave it to the industry to describe for the Council where legible signage could be placed appropriately in pedicabs. Intro 466. This bill expands the range of violations for which mandatory suspension or revocation of pedicab driver's licenses and registration plates would apply. The Department has serious concerns about 466, particularly about the provisions in subdivision El, explaining, expanding the causes of mandatory suspension and revocation. Currently, the law requires the mandatory suspension and revocation for only the most egregious violations; otherwise, the Commissioner has discretion to suspend or revoke any violations after a hearing, if the driver violates any law applicable to a pedicab.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

contrast, 466 mandates the suspension of a pedicab driver license after two violations of Section 20-259, within twelve months, and the revocation of a pedicab driver license after three or more violations of the same section. In this case, the suspension or revocation is automatic and there is no hearing. DCA cannot support 466 as it diminishes the Commissioner's discretion by providing for an automatic suspension and revocation. There are two good reasons for maintaining the exist--the flexibility that exists in the current law. The first is that it allows the Department to negotiate effective settlements. Suppose we learn that a pedicab was found guilty of rolling through a stop sign and making an illegal U-turn in October? Under current law, we could offer a settlement and require instruction in traffic rules so the driver knows what he's supposed to be doing going forward. Under the proposed law, the driver just loses his license for a month and the Department loses its opportunity to correct the problem. The drive may be out on the street a month later doing it again until he gets caught and found guilty. No one is

2 a winner here. With the flexibility of the 3 current law, everybody wins. The driver keeps the license, DCA gets a settlement, the licensee 5 becomes compliant, and the public has safer 6 streets. The second reason to maintain 7 flexibility is simply justice. Under the proposed 8 change, a pedicab driver found quilty of failure 9 to signal twice and operating in a bicycle lane 10 once in one year, would automatically lose his 11 license for at least three years. 12 violations would not appear to be worthy of such a 13 punishment, yet there would be no way to exercise 14 judgment in such a case. Subdivision (i) of 466, 15 which provides for suspensions of pedicab 16 registrations for equipment violations, is 17 beneficial but we believe it would be more helpful 18 if this power is discretionary so that the 19 Department and licensees are able to enter into 20 settlement agreements. For example, as 466 is 21 written, two instances of a turn signal that 22 worked visually but not audibly, would trigger the 23 punishment of an automatic month off the road. Ιf 24 the Department had discretion, a settlement 25 agreement could require an inspection before the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pedicab was allowed to be operated again, or it could even require periodic inspections. Under this law, the pedicab with the illegal turn signals could be returned to the street after a month without a correction, and operated until yet another violation is issued. Moving to Intro 467, this will would repeal the sunset provision of the current law and cap the number of pedicabs registered to operate within the City. We support the bill and urge that the window remain closed as per the status quo. DCA is committed to working with the Council to address any outstanding issues regarding this newly regulated industry, and commends the Council for its continuing attention and concern. We would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Before we do that, I think perhaps we should hear

from your sister agencies and we'll give them a

chance. Since I neglected you before, David, why

don't you go next.

DAVID WOLOCH: Thank you for the promotion to the Parks Department. [laughter]
Good morning, I'm David Woloch, Deputy

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner for External Affairs at the New York City Department of Transportation. And pleased to be here today to testify in support of Proposed Intro 334-A, which would subject pedicabs to parking rules. I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman, for holding today's hearing on pedicab regulations. Pedicabs are an important piece of New York City's sustainable transportation network. DOT supported the Administration and the Council's previous efforts to regulate the pedicab industry, and we welcome additional efforts, such as this bill, to make our streets safer for both New Yorkers and tourists alike. At times, pedicab operators park in hotel loading zones, areas of no standing any time, and even on the sidewalk. creates a public nuisance, is an abuse of curb regulations, and presents a safety hazard for all Unfortunately, the police currently street users. cannot enforce parking regulations because pedicabs are currently not subject to the laws governing the parking of a motor vehicle. 334-A--thank you--would give clarity for pedicab drivers and police by subjecting pedicabs to the same parking rules and regulations as motor

vehicles. This would delineate both rights and
responsibilities for pedicab drivers on where to
stand, stop and park their pedicabs. Under Intro
334-A, pedicabs would be appropriately prohibited
from parking in paid commercial parking zones,
taxi stands, hotel loading zones, authorized
parking zones, and other no parking/standing/
stopping zones. Pedicabs like other vehicles
would still be able to use designated areas of
passenger loading and unloading, and pickup and
drop off passengers at the curb. We believe the
requirements put forth in Intro 334-A would
provide clear guidelines on how pedicabs can use
the curb without causing a hardship on their
ability to conduct business. Thank you for
inviting us to testify and we'll be happy to
answer any questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Great, thank you. We'll go onto the PD.

SUSAN PETITO: Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Council.

I'm Susan Petito, Assistant Commissioner of
Intergovernmental Affairs of the New York City
Police Department. I'm joined by Lieutenant

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Daniel Albano, Managing Attorney of the NYPD Legal Bureau, Criminal Section. We welcome this opportunity to discuss the Police Department's experience in enforcing the laws and rules pertaining to pedicabs. The licensing and regulation of pedicabs in New York City has provided a much needed framework for ensuring the safety of this form of public transport. However, the presence of pedicabs on crowded city streets continues to present challenges in terms of both traffic congestion and adherence to the rules of the road. Our enforcement personnel report continuing incidents of traffic violations and property damage caused by pedicabs, which are often not documented because of the ability of a pedicab to quickly leave the scene of an incident. Documentation of incidents is also difficult because pedicabs are essentially classified as bicycles, with violations enforced through paper summonses returnable either to the Traffic Violations Bureau or to criminal court. Thus, our data regarding enforcement of pedicab violations is based on hand counts of summonses issued. Ιn 2010, there were 1,942 summonses issued for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pedicab related violations by our citywide traffic taskforce, which focuses on pedicab enforcement in midtown Manhattan, the location where pedicab presence is most prevalent. Patrol personnel in patrol boroughs Manhattan North and Manhattan South issued 2,359 pedicab related summonses in 2010, as well. Documentation of accidents is similarly difficult, since pedicabs are indistinguishable from bicycles unless a review of individual accident reports is conducted. number of pedicab accidents involving a motor vehicle is therefore not available. However, we have conducted a review of our reports of incidents in which a person is injured, called Aided Reports, capturing the number of bicycle accidents not involving motor vehicles. We have determined that of the 294 aided reports indicating a bicycle involved in 2010, one involved a pedicab. In 2009, there were 261 reports indicating a bicycle involved with seven involving pedicab. We know that there may have been additional accidents which occurred which were not reported to police, or otherwise not documented by the preparation of an aided report.

downloaded the basic, individual license application from the Department of Consumer

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

22

2.3

24

25

Affairs'	website.	Is	tha	at t	the	applic	cation	th	at
somebody	would subr	nit	to	DCA	A fo	r the	purpos	е	of
getting a	a pedicab (driv	ær'	's]	lice	nse?			

ERIK JOERSS: [off mic] Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Are there any other requirements for receiving a pedicab driver's license, other than filling out that form with you guys?

ERIK JOERSS: [off mic] - -

FRAN FREEDMAN: Fran Freedman,

Deputy Commissioner of the New York City

Department of Consumer Affairs. Yes, Mr.

Chairman, for the pedicab driver's license, you

15 have to submit your driver's license. If it is

from out of the City, or out of the state, or from

a foreign country--from out of, out of state you

18 have to have an abstract produced by the state,

19 attesting to your driving record. If it's from a

foreign country, you have to have a certificate

21 with a seal from your embassy.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, so beyond the presence of a driver's license from, a valid driver's license from anywhere in the world, is there anything else that is required of them?

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 29
2	Is there a fee required?
3	FRAN FREEDMAN: Yes. \$35.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
5	FRAN FREEDMAN: So, \$35 annual fee.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
7	is there a driver's test or a written test that's
8	required for
9	FRAN FREEDMAN: None.
10	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Should
11	there be?
12	MALE VOICE: [off mic] Yes. Yes.
13	FRAN FREEDMAN: I hadn't
14	contemplated it, so I, I would prefer not to
15	comment.
16	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Any
17	fingerprinting or other documentation of that
18	nature?
19	FRAN FREEDMAN: This is not one of
20	DCA's fingerprint categories.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Any
22	other training required to secure the license or
23	is it just the, produce the valid driver's license
24	and pay your \$35?
25	FRAN FREEDMAN: Correct, however

2.0

2.3

2	there is a training requirement and responsibility
3	that is placed by the legislation upon the pedicab
4	business. And it's the pedicab business which
5	enters into some kind of relationship to hire that
6	particular driver. And it's, it then becomes the

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Now that's somewhat distinct from the way the DCA handles horse carriage drivers. Is that right? For example, the DCA is responsible for providing training for horse carriage drivers on issues like traffic laws and rules of the City of New York.

Or any rules of the City of New York, pertaining to horse drawn cabs. Is that right? Or--

responsibility of that pedicab business to train.

FRAN FREEDMAN: Actually, it is the responsibility of every licensee to know the law. We made, DCA makes that possible by including in that license packet that you saw online, and of course in person, all the laws attendant upon that license. And then all of, all of the laws for which each industry is responsible are available online.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So--

FRAN FREEDMAN: But we don't do

2.0

2 look at that record.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Now does the abstract for certification go to the record, or does it just go to the validity of the license?

FRAN FREEDMAN: Actually, both.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: It does.

So--

FRAN FREEDMAN: It becomes part of-it's determinative for the, for the license,
pedicab driver license, and it becomes part of
your record with DCA.

example, somebody from Illinois moves to New York
City--temporarily, permanently, whatever--they
have a valid Illinois license plate--I'm sorry,
they have a valid Illinois driver's license. They
present that to you. The abstract that you get
from the State of Illinois will say, "This driver
has had their license suspended three times,
revoked once, they were, you know, they became a
drive at the--you have all of that detail from the
State of Illinois when they come in?

FRAN FREEDMAN: Yes, that's what the abstract is for.

2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
3	the same thing is true of the embassy? So if
4	somebody comes from France, and they, you know,
5	they come with this certification, I forgot what
6	the word was, was it a certification from the,
7	from the embassy?
8	FRAN FREEDMAN: It's, it's a, a
9	certification, a letter with the embassy's
LO	embossed seal that certifies that the holder, that
11	the applicant, rather, holds a valid license from
12	that country.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And it also
L 4	says?
15	FRAN FREEDMAN: I, I'm not sure. I
L 6	have to be honest, I don't, I'm not sure what else
L7	is on that particular document. But we can find
18	out.
L 9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So it's
20	possiblePlease. And so, sitting here today, we
21	don't know exactly whether, for the foreign issued
22	licenses whether DCA has any, any knowledge of
23	driving record or background.
24	FRAN FREEDMAN: I, I truly don't

want to say for sure, but we'll be happy to get

documentation to us.

2	the, the case you've laid out, one way or another,
3	would be determinative. But under certain
4	circumstances, that license would in fact not be
5	issued. That pedicab drive license would not be
6	issued, given the preponderance of fact.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Has the DCA
8	ever denied an applicant, an application
9	FRAN FREEDMAN: Oh, yes. Oh, yes.
LO	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: For a lou
11	Oh, wait, hear the rest of the question.
12	FRAN FREEDMAN: I'm sorry.
13	[laughs]
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: For, for a
15	poor driving record in another state or country?
16	FRAN FREEDMAN: For poor driving.
17	We certainly have denied licenses for suspended
18	licenses in New York State. That we have done.
19	I'm not sure what the statistics are. I will tell
20	you, though, that in the first, that very first go
21	round, where we issued the first 474 licenses,
22	none needed to be denied. In other words, every,
23	every applicant ultimately got, because there was
24	no time limit, ultimately got the requisite

keep the information on which pedicabs are using which measure for determining fares?

FRAN FREEDMAN: Because we don't, we don't either regulate or record rates.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

sure it's in the record, it would just need to be manually, literally pulled out. In other words,

24

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 41
2	failure to have the proper insurance versus
3	equipment failures or other violations? And if I
4	missed that in the testimony, my apologies.
5	FRAN FREEDMAN: We didn't, we
6	didn't break it out that way, but we could
7	certainly, we could certainly give that to you.
8	So you want to know the insurance
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Yeah, we
10	were just, I'd just like to know the breakdown
11	FRAN FREEDMAN: Yeah, the
12	insurance
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:of the
14	violations that DCA issued on pedicabs in calendar
15	year 2010. It's the only full year we got, so
16	let's just, let's do that. And, you know, it
17	sounds like there are a number of different
18	categories. I don't know if you're, you're
19	prepared to share with us the different
20	categories, the complete list of categories, in
21	which you issue
22	FRAN FREEDMAN: I think that you've
23	covered them, because that's, that was in our

covered them, because that's, that was in our testimony. It's--

25 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Equipment,

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 42
2	insurance, training and records?
3	FRAN FREEDMAN: Yeah.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
5	FRAN FREEDMAN: That's it.
6	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, we
7	ask that you provide us, then, with the, the
8	breakdown. Do you have the total, the total
9	number?
10	FRAN FREEDMAN: No.
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
12	We'll ask for that as well, then. Let's just go
13	to Intro 46We thank you for your support of,
14	well your neutrality on 334-A, your support for
15	345
16	FRAN FREEDMAN: [laughs]
17	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:and 467.
18	Let's discuss for a moment 466. It's my
19	understanding from your testimony that the
20	Commissioner would like to maintain discretion, to
21	be able to reach certain settlements with pedicab
22	drivers where there are a couple of strikes
23	against them. Explain to us the process of
24	settlement and how that works today. Today there
25	is no limit on the number of tickets that you can

24

25

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 4
2	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And is
3	drunk, is drunk driving the only violation that is
4	serious enough that DCA believes that there should
5	be a non-negotiable strike and you're out
6	framework?
7	ERIK JOERSS: I wouldn't want to
8	say it's the only; I'm sure there's, we can use
9	our imaginations and come up with terrible things
10	that would justify such a
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So, you are
12	open to the idea that there are some violations
13	which are strong enough, which if done repeatedly,
14	should merit a, "You have lost your license." Is
15	that correct?
16	ERIK JOERSS: The issue is that the
17	current, 466 is written, says, "Any and all
18	traffic laws, two violations of any and all
19	traffic laws," trigger a suspension; three or more
20	trigger a revocation.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, so
22	let's just say, in the current framework today,

let's just say, in the current framework today, somebody who repeatedly breaks traffic laws, and racks up 30 violations in a year, DCA's power is to do what in that situation, other than

revoke completely at his discretion today?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 4
2	[pause] Let's, I'll give you the example. Let's
3	say somebody has five violations out there.
4	We've, you've testified, you want, the
5	Commissioner wants
6	ERIK JOERSS: Yeah.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:the
8	flexibility to be able to say, "Okay, well these
9	weren't so bad, things could be worse, I don't
10	know, it's not the worst thing, let's train 'em,
11	let's get 'em back on the road, and let's, let's
12	just movingkeep moving on." What are the
13	circumstances in which there is the discretion to
14	do anything by the DCA Commissioner? Can he
15	revoke or suspend the license in that situation?
16	ERIK JOERSS: Yes, I believe heI
17	believe he can, yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And what
19	about with one violation? Can he revoke with one
20	violation today?
21	ERIK JOERSS: For the particular
22	egregious violations laid out in the existing law,
23	yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Put, put
25	aside the egregious ones because you were giving,

2.0

that has grown up around whether or not an administrative finding, like a suspension or a revocation, shocks the conscience or is excessive.

And so I think the Commissioner would be guided by that body of precedent and law when he's making these determinations. But legally, I believe he has the discretion to suspend or revoke based on what you might consider less egregious action.

me ask you, then, do you think that the DCA

Commissioner and the City would be aided in being able to have the clear standards as to what are the most egregious violations which would automatically result in suspension or revocation so as to eliminate that question about arbitrary or capricious in future judicial proceedings.

it in the law, even in that way, you know, intending to be helpful, undercuts the Commissioner's discretion. I don't know that there is a problem that needs to be addressed. In other words I don't know that the Commissioner's determinations up to now are not supported by the courts. And so, I think that anything that limits

the Commissioner's discretion is something that
the Commissioner and the representatives from DCA
are pointing out might be a problem.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: That's fair, although it's unclear to this Council as to what actions have actually been taken by DCA, 'cause we don't know exactly the violations and the precise number of suspensions or revocations. We may have one business that was, we have on well reported guy who racked up 90 tickets who was ultimately suspended, or revoked--

FRAN FREEDMAN: Revoked, revoked.

as to whether or not any of those decisions were supported or rejected by a court. So, I would respond to that by saying we don't really know yet, because we don't have enough action taken by DCA to be able to determine whether a court would view any action as arbitrary or capricious. I think that this one is perhaps worthy of some future conversations about how best to keep some discretion with the Commissioner on the subject of the lowest level offenses, but what we could categorize as really the bad ones, you gave the

2	perfect example of somebody who is, you know,
3	driving people around in midtown Manhattan while
4	intoxicated. I'm sure there are others like it.
5	And I think we should be exploring together the
6	roots in which the Commissioner might actually be
7	perfectly willing to have his discretion limited.
8	But we take your point that in, you know, that the
9	law needs to be crafted carefully enough so as to
10	address the examples you gave.
11	FRAN FREEDMAN: Thank you.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Let me go
13	to the Police Department just for one moment. Ms.
14	Petito on the summonses which were issued in 2010,
15	you said there were 1,942 issued by Citywide
16	Traffic Taskforce, and 2,359 issued by Manhattan
17	North and South, so we got about 4,2
18	SUSAN PETITO: About 3,500
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Oh, geez, I
20	get around 4,200, but that's just my quick math.
21	2,300 and 19somewhere in there, okay, anyway.
22	SUSAN PETITO: Okay.
23	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Let's call
24	it 4,200 or so. Those were for what? 1,942 were

for pedicab related violations by Citywide Traffic

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Taskforce. The 2,059 came from Manhattan North and Manhattan South. You describe those as pedicab related summonses. What are we talking about here?

SUSAN PETITO: We're talking about all summonses, again based on a hand count by those commands, Citywide Traffic Taskforce, patrol borough Manhattan North and patrol borough Manhattan South, of all the violations that we identified as related to the pedicabs. So, it was a mixture of, for example, criminal court summonses for equipment violations under the Administrative Code, as well as operating violations that were moving violations returnable to the Traffic Violations Bureau. So, it was a mixture, that's the total number of pedicab related violations issued in either of those ways, either by criminal court summonses, returnable to criminal court, or moving violations summons returnable to the TVB. So, it's the whole--

SUSAN PETITO: --it's what we could identify by our hand count as everything issued by those commands related to the operation of a

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK:

Okay.

pedicab.

_	F - 3-12 - 3-13 - 3
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Were there
4	other categories other than returnable to criminal
5	court for equipment? Or to TVB for the moving
6	violations? Are there any other categories?
7	SUSAN PETITO: Well, there's other,
8	but that's generally the population. It's TVB,
9	moving violations of, you know, a varidifferent
10	varietiesgoing through a stop sign, going
11	through a red light, improper turn, things like
12	thatlike regular violations of the moving
13	violations, that would be similar to what you
14	would issue a driver of a motor vehicle. And then
15	there were, the criminal court violations would be
16	the violations that are contained in the
17	Administrative Code specific to pedicabs. Like
18	mostly the equipment violations, if they failed to
19	have the proper equipmentDan, you wanted
20	seatbelt lights, things like that.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
22	I'm sure I know the answer to this question, but
23	you do not have those numbers broken down further
24	within those categories, do you?

SUSAN PETITO: I can get that for

know I'm the--

2 SUSAN PETITO: So.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: --not the only person in the room to think to himself, "What year are we in here?" We got to, we got to find a way to help you all be able to do that in a, in a 2011 friendly sort of way. But--

SUSAN PETITO: Well, part of it is our summonses are governed by what the courts require. In other words the summons is a paper form required by either the criminal court or the DMV, so that's part of the problem here. It's not a paperless system.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Let me go back to DCA for a moment, on the subject of the February 15 report to the council. In that report, there was a notation that there were 39 pedicab driver licenses that were suspended. And it said 34 of 'em was because, were because the New York State Motor Vehicle Licenses were suspended, and five were for other reasons. Were all of those pedicab license suspensions, were they all, were they done all at once, or was that over a period of time?

FRAN FREEDMAN: They were done as

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 57
2	they came in.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: For
4	renewal.
5	FRAN FREEDMAN: Yeah. That was the
6	aggregate from the renewal period.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And how did
8	you determine that their, their driver motor
9	vehicle license had been suspended?
10	FRAN FREEDMAN: Our licensing staff
11	checks.
12	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So they
13	come in and they say to you, "Here I am for my
14	renewal. You guys review them in your, in the New
15	York State Motor Vehicle driver's license
16	database, and if it's been revoked, you don't
17	renew.
18	FRAN FREEDMAN: Precisely.
19	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Now what
20	about if they come to you with a driver's license
21	from France?
22	FRAN FREEDMAN: They have to
23	present the same certificate for the renewal as
24	they presented the first time round.
25	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Which may

1	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS 58
2	or may not include their driving history.
3	FRAN FREEDMAN: Precisely.
4	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: You're
5	going to get back to us on that.
6	FRAN FREEDMAN: Precisely.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: And same
8	thing true for the driver from Illinois.
9	FRAN FREEDMAN: Yes, the same
10	abstract.
11	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
12	FRAN FREEDMAN: Or rather a new, a
13	new abstract.
14	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. The
15	other five?
16	FRAN FREEDMAN: A variety of things
17	that, it may mean that they failed to complete the
18	requisite documentation, application, never came
19	back. You know, those kinds of things.
20	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, did
21	any of the 39 suspended pedicab driver licenses
22	have out-of-state or out-of-country motor vehicle
23	driver's license?
24	FRAN FREEDMAN: I'd have to check.
25	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Please.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I, we're keeping track of our, we, we're getting a little bit of a list here, so I know you all are keeping it, but we--

FRAN FREEDMAN: We are.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: --it's our job to keep it, too, so we'd like to know the answer to that one, as well. And one of the issues that has been raised is that perhaps New York, the pedicab drivers with New York State licenses are actually served to a disadvantage here, because you all have the ability to very quickly check their license registration using New York State data systems, whereas you cannot check it for the drivers in France or Illinois. And we want to make sure, certainly, that New York State drivers are not serving to any, at a disadvantage here. So we ask that you let us know if any of the 39 suspended were from out-of-state or out-ofcountry. California recently passed a law requiring pedicab drivers to hold a valid California motor vehicle license. Does the Administration have an opinion on a requirement that a local driver's license be held and operable for pedicabs?

\sim		1 '	
')	l the	businesses,	correct?
_	CIIC	DUDITIODDCD	

3 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Yes.

FRAN FREEDMAN: Those who did not

5 renew, that was it.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.

[pause] Ms. Petito, on the subject of the violations that were issued for two pedicabs, in the two different categories, perhaps you're not able to sit here today and tell us the complete breakdown, but can you give us the top five so that we know what we're talking about here?

SUSAN PETITO: I have some--again, it's different, because the two, the patrol and the transportation folks separated them differently. But, I would say the top five are, or the top three, let's say, are, of the traffic violations, are passing a red light or a signal, making an improper turn, and then--I don't have a further breakout, just generally operation. So it could be that or other types of moving violations. And then a large number of the equipment violations, out of the--there were a little over 700 equipment violations, but not further broken out, among the patrol summonses. So, we, we--I

can certainly	get	you	а	better	idea	of	the	type.
---------------	-----	-----	---	--------	------	----	-----	-------

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Yeah,

please, that's our last request, so that would be great.

SUSAN PETITO: I, but again--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: DCA

reported two accidents involving pedicabs in the past 15 months. I noted that you cited, if I understood you correctly, one accident in 2010 and seven accidents in 2009.

SUSAN PETITO: Well the difference would probably be that the DCA's accidents did not have any physical injury. We would only be reporting on if there was an injury, because as I said, the mechanism for this reporting was through an aided report, which is only prepared if a person is injured. And it's an imperfect report, as it is, as I explained, but, but the accidents that I believe DCA was reporting on, there was no physical injury, it was property damage. And that did not require the preparation of an aided report by the Police Department, because those are only prepared if a police officer responds to an illness or an injury.

24 license was current or not, yes, we'd be able to 25 get that.

question--how many of the pedicab licenses are local, as in New York, or domestic, as opposed to international?

23

24

25

FRAN FREEDMAN: We don't have that

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

information.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: You don't have it at all, or you don't have it presently?

FRAN FREEDMAN: [laughs] I

certainly don't have it presently. I'm not sure that we would be able to cull that. You know,

anything is possible, by hand, as soon as, it would take a long--1,500 drivers would take a long

10 | time to cull that information.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, well, I think we need to know this, I think we need to know this, because it seems to me that if the Police Department can, you know, routinely would ask somebody for a driver's license, which seems completely appropriate to me, and then run a check of that driver's license to figure out whether or not the person driving is a bad actor, that if there is a bad actor who hails from another nation, that we cannot figure out whether they are, at that moment, there's a warrant for their arrest in Paris, or whether there is something else going on there, but whether, but we can do that for the folks who are more locally licensed. That seems to me to be an issue, isn't it?

Τ	COMMITTEE ON CONSUMER AFFAIRS /U
2	DANIEL ALBANO: [laughs] Yes, sir.
3	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. And
4	then how about for a warrant issued for their
5	arrest in Paris?
6	DANIEL ALBANO: It might be.
7	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Under what
8	circumstances?
9	DANIEL ALBANO: I think a local
10	traffic warrant for, out of the City of Paris, or
11	local criminal court in Paris, probably would not
12	be entered into the system.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. I'm
14	not necessarily talking about local traffic
15	warrants.
16	DANIEL ALBANO: Something,
17	something very serious potentially could, the
18	American authorities would accept the request from
19	the French authorities for something very serious;
20	murder, you know, something along those lines.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.
22	There's a, there's of course a huge middle ground
23	between running a red light in Paris and murder.
24	[laughter]
25	DANIEL ALBANO: No, no, but and

relationship, for example, or others?

DANIEL ALBANO: I don't think it's necessarily dependent upon the United States' relationship with that country, but that country's

24

DAVID WOLOCH: I think overall there has been. I mean, I think what was, what was put in place generally two years ago has gone, has gone a long way. But there, but there are

2.0

2 still, still some complaints and still, still some
3 issues.

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: No graph, chart or anything like that to show it, you know, peaking down, or flattening, or--

DAVID WOLOCH: No, I don't know if, if the Police Department wants to--

SUSAN PETITO: No, we don't, we don't have anything specific because there was no enforcement mechanism before the law went into place. Any violations that we had would've been submerged into regular bicycle data or other types of criminal court summons data, so there was no way to separate it out. Our empirical sense, just from being on the street, is that the law helped tremendously in lessening the number of violations in congested areas because of the sheer drop in the number of pedicabs out on the street. And I think also the enhanced enforcement, the licensing structure, it has certainly helped for more just anecdotal and empirical experience.

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Mm-hmm.

This could be a lot more frequent, I would imagine
a lot of tourists wouldn't have the wherewithal to

2	realize which agency or who to go to, perhaps a
3	police officer. But if it's after the fact, it
4	would be, nothing could be done, of course. Do
5	you believe there's, the industry is in need of
6	more regulation? And if so, any ideas?
7	DANIEL ALBANO: The, the issue of,
8	of the parking regulations, that they're not
9	subject to the parking regulation, that was
10	something that I don't think was foreseeable when
11	the, when the initial legislation was passed. And
12	that is a, a problem that continues in midtown
13	Manhattan, especially around the, the areas of
14	large public venues like the museums and the
15	theaters.
16	FRAN FREEDMAN: Council Member, may
17	I respond to your
18	COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Sure.
19	FRAN FREEDMAN:question
20	COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Original
21	question?
22	FRAN FREEDMAN: Your, you noted
23	that, how would a tourist complain?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: Yeah, yeah.
25	FRAN FREEDMAN: And I'd like to

2	share with you that the legislation provided for
3	signage in each and every registered pedicab,
4	which gives all the required information the
5	pedicab business name and phone number, the
6	pedicab business's license number, the pedicab
7	driver has his, you know, his own license. And it
8	says very clearly "Call 311 to report any
9	complaints." And so those complaints come
10	directly to DCA, of which we've had actually two
11	regarding rates.

thought of something else. I would imagine every hotel, motel, what have you, in New York, probably should have just a list of things for tourists, that they can, not just the pedicab industry, but anything, and they could list something or complain about. This is of course totally off the target. Okay. But then, we can make it--

FRAN FREEDMAN: We do.

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: We do?

More friendly--

FRAN FREEDMAN: We do, we do.

COUNCIL MEMBER NELSON: --for

25 tourists to come here.

neighborhoods heavily populated by pedicabs, such

25

as the theater district, do officers receive special training regarding the pedicab law?

DANIEL ALBANO: Since the inception of the training, we've had, we've held a number of sessions. I'd say about five, five or six sessions, both precinct based and with the traffic taskforce. And DCA has been good enough to accommodate us on, on two or three occasions, with providing training for officers.

thank you, Council Member Nelson, just so I understand, the extra training as to what you actually do in those areas, since it sounds like, if not all then close to all of the summonses and violations are done in the midtown Manhattan area, what does that consist of when you, when you train officers specifically to be able to see, understand and execute on pedicab violations?

DANIEL ALBANO: We do a PowerPoint presentation as materials that are handed out to them, and the focus is on the required equipment. The rules of the road, I mean, the officers are familiar with that from the general traffic enforcement duties, but then becomes the reporting

25

2	requirement. How do we, wherewhat type of
3	summons is issued? How is the, the issuance of
4	the summons reported to the, to the Department of
5	Consumer Affairs? And there's a track record
6	created. So there's some, basically, the
7	equipment, rules of the road, and the, the
8	bureaucratic paperwork end of it.
9	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Sounds like
10	there's a fair amount of that.
11	DANIEL ALBANO: [off mic] Yes,
12	there is.
13	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: All right,
14	well, listen, we thank you all very much for your
15	time and your testimony. And it, if I can
16	summarize, it sounds like we have a general, if
17	not complete support on three of the four bills,
18	and one of them we need to have some continued
19	conversations to see
20	FRAN FREEDMAN: We'll be happy to.
21	CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Terrific.
22	Well, we thank you very much for that. And we're
23	going to move on to our next panel, wish you all a

very good weekend. We hope you'll stick around to

the extent you can to hear the testimony from

2.0

others. Chad Marlow from the New York City
Pedicab Owners Association, Tom Ferrugia from the
Broadway League, and Peter Mazer is our first
panel. And so we'd like to ask them to come on up
and join us. And we'll get started as soon as
they're ready.

MALE VOICE: Gentlemen, if you're coming up, if you have any statements, I'll take 'em now. Thank you.

[pause, background noise, panel seating]

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Gentlemen, welcome. Thank you for being here. And Mr.

Marlow when you are set, comfortable, please go right ahead.

CHAD MARLOW: Am I on? Okay.

Chairman Garodnick and Members of the Consumer

Affairs Committee, my name is Chad Marlow and I am

President of the Public Advocacy Group; it is my

pleasure to once again to represent the New York

City Pedicab Owners Association before this

Committee. Over the past several years, the

process of regulating the pedicab industry, which

was initiated by the pedicab industry itself, has

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brought us into both conflict and accord with the Mayor, the City Council and the Department of Consumer Affairs. We have fought for our burgeoning industry in the press, in the halls of government, and in the New York State trial and appellate courts. At the conclusion of the last round of pedicab regulations, we proudly joined Mayor Michael Bloomberg, Speaker Christine Quinn and then Consumer Affairs Committee Chair Leroy Comrie, in passing a strong and well considered set of regulations to govern our industry. were, I am pleased to say, nearly identical to the regulations the NYCPOA sought at the outset of the Understandably, after years of beating process. back powerful special interests who sought to use their political and economic clout to destroy our industry rather than regulate it, the NYCPOA has every reason to be gun shy about further attempts to regulate our industry. And yet today, although we are testifying on several new pedicab bills, we have no such intrepidations. Although the bills may not be perfect in our minds, we are nonetheless throwing our full and unconditional support behind them. There is a simple rationale

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

behind our decision to adopt this approach. have complete confidence that the sponsor of these bills and the legislator who now bears the greatest responsibility for overseeing our industry, is an honest broker, who has our best interests at heart. That person is the Chair of this Committee, Councilman Dan Garodnick. I have personally known Councilman Garodnick for over a decade, and therefore in that respect, so have the pedicab industry. Mr. Chairman, you are an elected official of truly exceptional character, intellect and honesty. You have shown a steadfast commitment to approaching your role as a legislator in an evenhanded and deliberate manner, with a singular goal of improving our City for all New Yorkers. In the case of the regulation of pedicabs, it is clear that you are working to make our industry better for your constituents, for the thousands of New Yorkers and tourists who patronize our industry every year, and for the hundreds of men and women who comprise the small business owners and working class drivers who earn their livings in the pedicab industry. So this leads the NYCPOA to this moment, and to take what

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think is a fairly unique step by a leading representative of a regulated industry. Although we could take a short term, micro view of the bills before this Committee, and object to whatever small imperfections they may contain, we have chosen not to do so, for at their core, these bills represent a commitment to the very same principles that drive the NYCPOA. Namelv. creating and maintaining the highest possible standards for our industry. Reasonable minds might disagree from time to time on how to best pursue that goal, but such minds should work together and respect differences of opinion. To the extent these bills differ from the ideal approach we might take, we are willing to accept those differences of opinion and are willing to submit to the best judgment of their sponsor and this Committee. And so instead, we have chosen to take a long term, macro view of these proceedings, and the ongoing regulation of the pedicab industry. From our perspective, we are truly fortunate to have one of the City's great, up-andcoming elected officials leading the oversight and regulation of our industry, and we look forward to

2 being your partner in those efforts, Mr. Chairman. 3 Our goals are the same, and therefore we can and should work together to achieve them starting today. Before concluding my testimony, I think 5 6 it's important to recognize an elephant in the 7 room that need not be addressed as part of the 8 current legislation, but must be addressed in the near future. To the extent this Committee 9 10 believes new regulations are needed to improve the 11 functioning of our industry, we are willing to set 12 aside our reservations and accept that judgment as 13 it is reflected in the current bills. 14 Unfortunately, neither existing laws nor new ones 15 will produce the desired impact on our industry, 16 when a small but not insignificant number of 17 pedicab drivers have no intention of abiding by 18 Specifically, I am speaking about those them. 19 pedicab drivers who lack a significant connection 20 to the New York Metropolitan area. These pedicab 21 drivers are taking advantage of a loophole in the 22 existing pedicab laws that allow them to use any 23 valid automobile driver's license to obtain a New 24 York City pedicab driver's license. These drivers 25 simply do not care if they break the law and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

receive a ticket, because they have no intention of paying the fines. Whether they receive one ticket, a dozen tickets, or in the case of one driver, 90 tickets and four arrest warrants, it is of no consequence. They know full well that the City of New York is not going to track them down in New Mexico or Florida, to get them to pay their fines. And certainly New York City is not going to enlist the help of Interpol to hunt down many of these drivers in the overseas nations of which they principally reside. Unfortunately, Mr. Chairman, I would venture a guess that even if these drivers have their pedicab driver's licenses suspended, pursuant to the new rules in Intro 466, they will continue to wear their driver's IDs and operate pedicabs. They are above the law because they are beyond the reach of it. These drivers come into New York City during the busy pedicab driving seasons, recklessly drive their pedicabs, overcharge their passengers, damage the reputation of our industry, and then stuff their pockets with their ill-gotten gains and return home. needs to stop, and until it does, regardless of the number of laws in effect, the bad apples will

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

continue to spoil the bunch. The Taxi and Limousine Commission recognizes the risk of allowing persons with limited or no local connections to drive taxicabs. They know allowing taxi drivers to carry any valid driver's license would invite abuse and therefore the TLC issues hack licenses only to those with driver's licenses from New York, New Jersey, Connecticut or Pennsylvania. This quad-state license requirement must also be applied to the pedicab industry, or no volume of laws will be able to remedy the legitimate concerns we all share. I thank you for the opportunity to testify today, and would be happy to answer any questions the Committee might have.

MALE VOICE: Go ahead.

THOMAS FERRUGIA: Thank you. Good afternoon. I am Thomas Ferrugia, the Director of Government Relations for the Broadway League, which has been the principal trade association for the commercial Broadway theater industry for over 80 years. We now represent more than 750 leading theater owners, producers and Broadway presenters nationwide, with over 400 maintaining offices in

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

New York City. I want to thank Chairman Garodnick and the other distinguished Members of the Consumer Affairs Committee for this opportunity to comment on the four proposals under consideration My remarks will be brief. The League has today. always supported any legislation designed to relieve traffic congestion in New York City. continue to applaud the current pedicab licensing requirements, particularly the safety, insurance and reporting features of the law, as a means of making the bicycle taxi industry safe for residents and visitors. With respect to today's proposals, we feel it is imperative that the Council maintain the current limit on the number of pedicabs operating on the streets of New York City. Today, there are 850 licensed bicycle taxis, already beyond the point of saturation for the limited neighborhoods pedicabs regularly travel in search of passengers. Pedicabs, as noted, primarily congregate in Times Square, the area bound east and west by 6th and 8th Avenues, and north and south by West 53rd and West 40th Streets. A neighborhood crowded with cars, street vendors, theater goers, shoppers, restaurant

2	patrons, and of course tourists. As midtown
3	Manhattan is already swarming with slow-moving
4	bicycle taxis, frequently operated by drivers who
5	ignore traffic laws, we suggest allowing
6	additional pedicabs to inundate the streets at
7	this time would be an unsafe decision.
8	Introduction Bill 467 which essentially holds the
9	amount of authorized pedicabs to today's number is
10	currently the best solution to this problem. The
11	League is committed to providing millions of New
12	Yorkers and visitors with the best possible
13	theater going experience, and we believe this
14	experience begins before the show starts and
15	continues even after the patrons leave our venues.
16	We remain concerned about the number of pedicabs
17	regularly parked outside of theaters,
18	significantly blocking building egress, access to
19	streets, taxicabs and other private
20	transportations, while they wait to solicit
21	passengers who have just seen a performance.
22	Accordingly, we wholly support Introductory Bill
23	334-A which would require pedicab operators to
24	observe all motor vehicle parking regulations, as
25	well as Introductory Bill 466, designed to make it

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

easier for the Department of Consumer Affairs to revoke licenses for those unscrupulous pedicab drivers who ignore the law. We also support any legislation that's intended to provide consumers with sufficient information to make informed decisions on their purchases. We therefore also support Introductory Bill 345 requiring pedicab owners to display a bill of rights, similar to the notice now required of New York City's taxicabs. Finally, for the safety of our citizens, we reiterate our frequent urging that the Council, Mayor and NYPD continue to enforce all laws as they relate to pedicabs. The NYPD must continue to train officers dedicated to supervising bicycle taxis, particularly since violations may be tied to renewals and dangerous operators can be taken off the street. On behalf of the theater community, the League applauds the Council's ongoing and sincere dedication to addressing this problem in a fair, balanced manner. Again, we thank the Committee for this opportunity, and I would be happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

PETER MAZER: Good afternoon, Mr.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chair and Members of the Committee. My name is Peter Mazer and I am General Counsel to the Metropolitan Taxicab Board of Trade, a 58 year old organization dedicated to protecting the New York City Yellow Medallion industry, including the taxicab industry's exclusive right to pick up street hails. Our mandate is also to protect the safety of our drivers, passengers and the public. We have the largest yellow fleet association in the United States, representing over 3,500 yellow medallion taxicabs that are leased to over 14,000 drivers at 31 fleet garages throughout the City, approximately 25 percent of the New York City taxicab industry. In 2006, MTBOT testified before this Committee regarding Intro 75, which sought to regulate the pedicab industry by requiring licenses, regular inspections and mandatory public liability insurance. It is no overstatement to say that the industry proliferated at an astonishing rate in New York City over a very short period of time. At the hearings, the Committee heard from a variety of concerned business owners, advocacy organizations and government agencies, all of whom expressed concern

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

regarding the lack of regulation of the pedicab industry. We are pleased with the attempts by the City Council to treat pedicabs like other public transportation providers, and enact safety and insurance requirements as well as establish consumer protections. Despite these attempts, the issue of continued, unrestricted pedicab proliferation, coupled with the lack of enforcement, brings us back here today. I thank the Chair for not letting this issue simply slip through the cracks. We previously raised serious concerns about the effect this unregulated industry has had on the taxicab's exclusive right to pick up street hails. New York City Administrative Code, Section 19404 provides that no motor vehicle other than the duly licensed taxicab shall be permitted to accept hails from passengers in the street. Even though this could not be any clearer, pedicabs have been allowed to thrive because of an important loophole in the They are not considered motor vehicles, even though they profit from picking up street hails and operate in many of the same areas as taxicabs. Taxicab owners pay a premium price for the right

2	to accept passengers through the street hail
3	system when they purchase a medallion. They also
4	pay thousands of dollars a year in taxes,
5	insurance, and operating costs. They are required
6	to purchase a new car every three to five years.
7	These regulations are in place to keep passengers
8	and drivers safe, and ensure a level of service
9	that has made the New York City Medallion taxicab
10	an icon, recognized throughout the world.
11	Pedicabs are only required to carry \$25,000 for
12	bodily injury and \$50,000 for death, while
13	taxicabs are required to carry \$100,000 and
14	\$300,000 respectively. Pedicabs pay \$110
15	licensing fee, while taxi owners pay nearly \$750
16	in licensing and inspection fees on top of average
17	medallion price loan payments of \$3,500 a month.
18	Pedicabs cost between \$3,000 and \$5,000, and do
19	not have a mandatory replacement requirement,
20	while the average taxicab costs \$24,000, is
21	inspected quarterly, and must be replaced every
22	three to five years. As a result, you can imagine
23	how this causes intense frustration when a yellow
24	taxi driver sees a pedicab acting like a
25	legitimate yellow taxicab and picking up

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

passengers by street hail, unequivocally stealing that driver's livelihood. Since 2009, significant strides have been made in the area of pedicab regulation, and the MTBOT is grateful for the City Council's persistence. While MTBOT supports these changes, we feel that there needs to be more done to adequately protect the exclusive right of the yellow medallion drivers to pick up street hails. The four bills on the agenda today are important steps toward this goal. Proposed Intro 344-A will go a long way to making it, to better police the swamps of pedicabs in midtown. Pedicabs would, should be subject to the same parking regulations How is it fair, or safe, for pedicabs to as cars. congregate en masse outside theaters or on congested streets, blocking legitimate taxis from safely picking up street hails and impeding traffic. It is a public safety issue, and this proposed legislation will no doubt help ease the congestion and chaos these bikes can cause. Similar to the livery passenger bill of rights that was mandated to be displayed in all livery cars in 2009, Intro 345 adds a much needed layer of consumer protection that will be beneficial for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the general public. Part of what makes the yellow cab service iconic is the fact that both passenger and driver have an awareness of what to expect. It is a highly regulated industry. These expectations are codified in a taxicab rider bill of rights that is displayed in each taxicab, and outlines what a rider is expec--is entitled to, as well as how to, details on how to address grievances. There is a system in place that gives riders confidence in the service. legislation will help extend its consumer protection to pedicab passengers as a positive step toward creating more accountability. other bills on the agenda today, Intro 466 and 467, are also important, imposing fines and punishment for reckless behavior, that is in violation of applicable rules and regulations of the Department of Consumer Affairs, is common sense. While the threat of pedicab license revocations is currently on the books, it is discretionary and clearly not working. December of 2010, the New York Post ran a story about a pedicab driver with 31 known summonses, \$1,500 in ECB fines and tickets issued from the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Parks Department, and four warrants for his arrest, after he had failed to appear for court dates. If this were a yellow taxi, the TLC would have suspended or revoked the taxi cab driver's hack license. What is the use of issuing violations if there are no consequences and revocation is carried out in an arbitrary fashion. MTBOT also supports Intro 467 and the repeal of the Sunset set forth in Local Law 53 of The unchecked proliferation of pedicabs over time has violated the taxi cab's exclusive right to accept street hails, added to congestion in midtown, and is a danger to public safety. 60 day application period for pedicab business licenses was adequate time for all existing pedicab owners to submit their application and go through the inspection process with DCA. It is more than fair that while there was a 60 day application period, owners could register multiple pedicabs during that time, and there was no cap placed on the number of pedicab drivers' licenses that could be issued, even after that 60 days came to a close. MTBOT supports the Council's efforts to create accountability for pedicabs operating in

New York City. It is our feeling that these cabs should, are operating as illegal taxis and should be restricted further given the fact that they add to the problem of congestion and continue to exhibit dangerous behavior despite recent regulations. Thank you for your time and consideration. I am happy to answer any question that you may have. Thank you.

Very much, Mr. Mazer. I guess the first and obvious question for you is whether you'd be comfortable with pedicabs doing street hails in the outer boroughs. No, that's a joke [laughter] I'm just teasing. Let me, though, ask you a serious question, which is local license requirement for pedicabs, it's not on the agenda today, but it's an issue which has been raised, you know, and we discussed with the, the agencies. What would be your feeling on that?

PETER MAZER: Well, like the previous speaker said, the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission limits the holder of a taxicab hack license to a holder of a, of a DMB license in New York, New Jersey, Connecticut or

Pennsylvania. And I believe that would be a good
first step to limit at least licensees to those
four states, because my understanding is that the
TLC is able to adequately check records, the City
has access to the abstracts of those states. And
as part of the licensing process, you could then
also mandate that a certified copy of the abstract
be presented as part of the license application
and renewal process, that's what's done with the
Taxi and Limousine Commission today, when they,
when somebody applies for a hack license or a
renewable hack license, if they do not have a
license from New York State, they have to bring in
a certified copy of the abstract from one of those
three states, to allow their license to be
renewed.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Mr. Ferrugia, on behalf of the, the theater

industry, obviously one of the areas in which we

have the greatest clustering of pedicabs at any

moment in time, is right there at, outside of the

Broadway theater.

THOMAS FERRUGIA: Abs--

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Can you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

describe the scene when a Broadway show lets out at the end of the, the evening?

THOMAS FERRUGIA: Absolutely. mean, of course it's dependent upon weather, dependent upon the show, certain shows that have a, attract a lot of tourists, name shows that are recognized internationally, attract more visitors from out of the country who tend to be the target of the pedicabs, that's just my observation. It's, it can be pretty much chaos. I've attended shows where height of summer, tourist friendly show, when the doors open there's simply a line of pedicabs right outside the venue, and you are completely cut off from accessing the street. So the crowd has to funnel, essentially, out and then down the corrid--and then up and down the corridor, creating a massive tie-up, so there's tie up from the patrons trying to exit the theater, there's tie-up on the streets of taxis and other cars trying to get up, and also pick up passengers that also have the right to do that. So, there are times, frequently, when it is very, very dangerous, our, our feeling it's dangerous for the, the patrons leaving the theater, because

2.0

of	all	the,	the	obstruct	cions	that	ar	e plac	ced	on
t he	sti	reet.	i mme	ediately	011181	ide t	he	front	doc	ors

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Are the, are—in your experience, are the drivers actively soliciting business, or are they sitting peacefully in the pedicabs?

THOMAS FERRUGIA: Again, it

depends. I've seen both. I've seen a lot of

drivers, I'm assuming who anticipate that they

will get hails just by the nature of being there,

and I've seen drivers aggressively yelling out to

the patrons, asking them where they're going,

would they like to try to take a cab?

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: What is your assessment of the NYPD enforcement of any of those issues?

THOMAS FERRUGIA: We've found it to be, we think it could be improved upon. The sense that we're getting, I was talking to some of the theater owners just yesterday, and it turn--it's, a lot of it is being put on the theater operators to get their personnel out there and ask the, the pedicab drivers to move, to relocate. We are feeling that the type of enforcement that we're

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking for, which is patrolling the--and it could be the nature of the fact that there is really, there's really nothing on the books right now that would allow the officers to really take action-but the, the officers being on the street and asking the cabs to move instead of just holding those spots, where it might already say, "No standing or no parking" but they're there anyway. We're not seeing as much of that as, as we, we need. The other issues, as far as blocking crosswalks and things like that, that of course is, is a significant issue for us, because like I said, we have \$1,000 people suddenly flooding the streets, and we need to move them out as quickly as possible, and having their bikes lining the blocks and in the crosswalks is a major, major problem.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you for that. And Mr. Marlow, to you, thank you for your testimony, thank you for your kind words, too, I appreciate them. In terms of the--just give an understanding of the Owners Association, in terms of how many owners have multiple pedicabs in their business. For example, in the

2.0

2.3

2	Association,	how	many	of	the	owners	have	more	than
3	ten pedicabs'	?							

CHAD MARLOW: I would, I would venture a guess that it's the minority of people in the Association who have more than ten pedicabs. But, by and large the pedicab companies, there are a few that exceed there's—as you know, there's a cap of 30. The number that lie between ten and 30 is a relatively small portion of the overall population.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So, the,
the, would you say the vast majority of are people
who either are sole proprietors have less than
ten?

CHAD MARLOW: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Can you slice that down any further? Are the vast majority of them just sole proprietors?

CHAD MARLOW: You know, I don't have that information, that would be my, my gut instinct were to say "Yes, that is the case," but DCA has very complete records on that.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Are all of them, are all of them part of your

2.0

association?

3 CHAD MARLOW: No.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay. Is there--how does that work, exactly? Who is the Association?

CHAD MARLOW: Basically, we, we represent a combination of, I guess what would best be described as fleet owners, as well as private individuals who own their own pedicabs.

And in fact, we have numerous members who began as just drivers, then bought one pedicab and then became small business owners. So, our, our Association really represents a kind of broad spectrum of individuals. We even in fact, despite our, despite our name, have represented, have had members who are just drivers.

testimony on the subject of the, the elephant, and the, the question about potential future regulations which I did my very best in the anticipation of some of these issues which you raise, and which I assume others will raise as well, to get an understanding of what the state of play is with regard to local licenses versus out

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of state, or out of country licenses, and whether

New Yorkers are potentially operating to a

disadvantage to others. Do you have a sense as to

the number of drivers that are out there, that are

coming from out of state or out of country?

CHAD MARLOW: Well, let me, I'm going to hedge a little bit when I answer that, because, as you can under--appreciate, Mr. Chairman, this is a very delicate subject. very important that the Owners Association does not want to appear in any way, shape or form, to have a preference for New Yorkers over non-New Yorkers, and certainly to have any xenophobic attitudes whatsoever. That is not the case. However, it is fairly clear, and again this is simply circumstantial evidence, but we have drivers all over the place, so circumstantial evidence is pretty significant that a large, large number of the, of the abusive drives. The ones who, who are outside the theaters screaming, ringing their bells are here only for the busy seasons, the, and I mean, here, I mean, in the New York City Metropolitan area, be it the holiday season or the summer when it's warm and the demand

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

is higher. And those are the people who come in, make their money, and leave, and then cast us in an unfortunate situation. It's interesting for me to sit next to the theater industry. We both care very, very much about New York City tourists, so the fact that we're not quite aligned on these issues shows that there's something working quite right, because we both want a great experience for their people. And so, we fell that, you know, weeding out these people who are not respectful, even as you're doing getting rid of parking, perhaps, you know, one thing that was discussed last time amongst the Mayor and the Speaker and everyone was in favor of, except for the then DOT Commissioner, was the idea of, of pedicab stands. So, because it's important to, on the one hand, eliminate the very legitimate concerns of your constituents in the theater industry, about people gathering, you know, harassing the tourists, blocking the street; but at the same time, as I think the Mayor would probably point out above anyone else, we want to make sure that supply connects up with demand, and there's clearly a huge demand for pedicabs in the City.

important that the, that the tourists and New
Yorkers who want to take them can find them when
they want to use them.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Do you know of other--I cited in, in my question
to Department of Consumer Affairs, California's
rules on local license requirements. Do you know
of other jurisdictions that, one, have pedicabs;
and two, that have had any local licensing
requirements like the ones that you testified,
that you support for, for New York?

CHAD MARLOW: Well, first of all, there are almost, almost every major city in the country now has a development of a pedicab industry. We actually were going to cite to the California legislation as an example, but we thought it better to cite to what New York City's already doing with respect to taxicabs. I think that the, the answer is that there are a variety of different approaches, California's being the strictest, their state only. New York City's perhaps being the loosest. But I think that inevitably, those states that are more like New York will become more like California.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay

Well, gentlemen, we, we thank you very much for your testimony, and for your support of the bills, and we look forward to speaking with you further about them as we, as we go.

THOMAS FERRUGIA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you.

Our next panel, is Doug Korman, Ibrahim Donmez and Meredith Smythe, welcome. [pause, background noise] Thank you and welcome. Whenever you're ready, if you'd like to go ahead and get started.

DOUG KORMAN: Good afternoon,

Council Members. My name is Doug Korman, and I

drove a pedicab for a dozen years in the streets

of our City. For many years, pedicabs were viewed

both by the public and by those of us in this

fledgling industry as a very interesting and

appealing alternative way to get around. In a

relatively short period of time, that image and

perception was drastically changed. This fact

provokes the question: How and why did this

happen? The answers are myriad. However, I am

only afforded the time today to address the most

pressing issues as I firmly believe the City

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Council and the Department of Consumer Affairs bear a great responsibility for the mess that the pedicab industry is in, and the loss and suffering it has endured. Correcting these governmental, this governmental oversight, lies squarely upon you, if you honestly wish to have a sustainable, non-polluting, short hop transport service that pedicabs so ably provide. For, first of all, Local Law 53 should never have been permitted the issuance, should have permitted the issuance of a pedicab driver's license without the applicant providing a valid driver's license from New York, New Jersey or Connecticut, within the credible range of any pedi--that any pedicab driver would commute for such a job. This single mistake has caused the following. One, a major loophole for foreigners with only a tourist visa or a J1/F1 visa to illegally operate a small business in New York City. Two, create a shady business environment whereby foreign agents build a large referral service around this loophole, so that such illegals became knowledgeable of this opportunity to bilk the riding public for a season, and never face any legal consequences for

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the evil deed of consistently overcharging or committing countless driver violations. Three, by allowing such a licensing loophole in the law, and without the DCA making the appropriate rule to fulfill their obligation and protect the consumer, they have provided a license to both harm the public and the struggling small, green business. The countless instances of fraud against customers have significantly and unfairly marred the image of pedicabs as a reasonable and enjoyable conveyance. The lack of commitment, this is number four, the lack of commitment to standards established by the industry, and the lack of driving experience of these here today/gone tomorrow con-artists, has put the riding public in grave danger. They clearly demonstrate that they have no respect for the law or safety practices as they are in large measure the traffic code violators. Now, in all fairness, why should the hardworking, well-intended pedicab business operators, with an appropriate adherence to best practices, suffer a statistical smear by the NYPD and the shunning of the riding public, while they have helplessly stood by in disgust and

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

frustration observing the destruction of their means of income. It is shameful that so much effort was put into the legislation legally, legislative legalism of drafting the law, and this potential problem was overlooked. Something must be done about this. furthermore, I think it's ridiculous that the Council consider the idea of creating a law to put a bicycle in the same overinflated parking violation scheme as automobiles, when a pedicab has a far less of a footprint on the roadway. And also, there is no credible way that one can place a automated municipal ticket on a pedicab without fear of it being removed by someone else. Proper government stewardship of such a startup industry should have provided pedicab stands from the very start of regulation, as a sensible means for drivers to solicit rides, take food and toilet breaks, and avoid any conflict with other vehicles or local businesses. This also needs to be fairly addressed before any further punishment of pedicabs is legislated. There are many unfair items in Local 53 that the industry must live with, but none has affected my business like the

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ban on electric assisted pedicabs. The unfair inspection progress, process, and scheduling made it impossible for me to re-conform my electric pedicabs to the requirements in the set time, so I was marginalized out of the business, having failed on my only inspection on the very last day of the process. And for this reason, I believe that pedicab businesses such as mine, that were squeezed out of the regulation process in this manner, should have another chance to reenter the business without being treated in a prejudicial manner. I furthermore believe that pedicabs should have a chance to operate in the outer I don't think that's a joking matter, boroughs. there's no incentive for pedicabs to go to the outer boroughs, and that really has, essentially are creating a cap for Manhattan only, and the other boroughs have no way of benefiting on any even small venue of pedicabs that should be permitted in a new bill. So that we have the proliferation of an industry beyond Manhattan. The Council has consistently deferred to the State law as a reason for the ban on electric assist, also, when in fact State law allows the City, a

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City the size of New York, to determine what it will permit on its roadways. This is an old law. But you conveniently ignore it along with the Americans with Disabilities Act and make no required provision in the law for persons with a disability, to have access or assistance in performing this job. This is a humane and prejudice issue. Under the present law, pedicabs are largely operated by young drivers with a handful of older drivers who either needlessly struggle or modify their activity to peak periods during the year, and only a very small fraction of the industry are women drivers. Any law that creates this kind of job opportunity restriction in our present economy is disgraceful. issue of the disabled drivers was fought and likely won in court, the foreseeable response of the City Council would be to make it only permissible for the disabled. Any of us can look around our streets and see them buzzing with electric bicycles these days. Why would we forbid cyclists with up to 1,000 pounds of additional weight, to have a little assistance defined by federal law? This stands as a clear witness as to

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

why so many citizens and small business owners do not believe we can trust the government to do what is right with moral leadership.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Go ahead.

IBRAHIM DONMEZ: Hi, my name is Ibrahim Donmez, I've been driving a pedicab since 2005. And I'm one of the founding members of United Pedicab Business Alliance. I would like to tell the City Council Members that all the bills introduced by this Committee is not going to do anything to help either the public or the pedicab industry. This Committee should seriously consider changing driver license requirement to either tri-state or quad-state driver licenses. The major problem is the fact that the industry is constantly hijacked with unqualified, untrained, short term visitors who cannot speak English at all. And they are immune to any law because they are gone by the time they have to show up for a hearing in the court. As long as the City does not stand, stop them from driving a pedicab, it does not matter how many laws the City passes, it will not stop the real problem of temporary visitors oversaturating the industry. These

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people are not immigrants. The number of active drivers working all year around is around 300. The number of J1 visa holding four month visa college students, who come here in the summer, from countries like Russia or Turkey, is around 700. So the number of pedicab drivers go up from like 300 to 1,000 in June, and then it is 300 again in October. The number of public complaints increase in large numbers in summer months. visa holders are not immigrants, they are only visitors who have no investment at all in the City. These students usually come to the States at the beginning of June and leave by the end of If the City really wants to fix the October. pedicab problem, then the City should stop temporary workers from hijacking the pedicab industry and its image by only allowing tri-state or quad-state driver licenses. Pedicabs are the only commercial vehicles in New York City that do not require a United States driver license. someone wants to be a yellow cab driver, they have to have a quad-state driver license; if someone wants to be a livery cab driver, they have to have a quad-state driver license. So, I'm basically

recommending the quad-state driver licenses
because all these laws that the City Council is
trying to pass, these people are totally immune to
these laws, they are gone by the time it is time
for a hearing. So, Department of Consumer Affairs
indicated there are 1,500 driver licenses. Over
1,000, I mean, it's like 1,000 of these drivers
are not here in the country anymore, like, I would
say like only 500 of them are right now in the
country. And another thing is the problem of, you
know, the overpricing of the pedicab drivers.
This is why I believe Section 2260 in the pedicab
law, A and B, they should be totally deleted, like
omitted from the law, and you know, the City
Council should adopt a system similar to the
livery cabs, requiring the pedicab drivers to call
the fare in advance. This was the code of conduct
that gained us a reputation in the years 2003/4
and 5. So, I mean, posting a sign totally allows
these drivers who are totally dishonest to rip the
public off, and it's totally creating a bad
reputation for us. And thank you so much.
MEREDITH SMITH. Hi my name is

MEREDITH SMITH: Hi, my name is

Meredith Smith, I've been a pedicab driver since

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

spring of 2004, so I'm going on seven years. still currently a full time, year round pedicab driver. I really wasn't expecting to be speaking here, so I'm not fully prepared, I'm winging it, and I'll try not to be too redundant. I am a, we're all pretty much saying a lot of the same things. So I'm encouraged to hear discussion about the quad-state licensing proposal. I think these gentlemen have, everyone is saying why this will go a long way towards fixing some of the obvious problems in our business. In terms of the proposed bills here, I think many of us are in favor of some and have questions on others. think nobody is arguing 467 about trying to get more pedicabs on the street. And I'm not one of 'em. In terms of making the, making it easier for the City to suspend licenses, I was glad to hear the DCA say that they do not endorse that particular part of the proposal. I would say it's going to a very opposite extreme of what we've been talking about here, which is the racking up of infinite tickets without much consequence, bringing it down to where I can now lose my ability to drive by getting only two. And this is

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particularly frightening that this could happen to me in cases of equipment failure, because equipment failure I am very limited as to whether or not my equipment fails while I am driving; and if it does, I do not call a tow truck to carry my cab away, I must pedal my cab back to where it goes to, in order to get fixed. And this is particularly true in the case of turn signals. is hard to express to you and describe to you how tricky these mechanisms are, and they do fail frequently, particularly when they get wet. And just last week, I was, after several hours in the rain, my directional signals went from being directional to blinking hazards that would not shut off, even when I turned the switch off, they had to be unplugged from the battery. So that is particularly frightening, that my ability to do my job could be taken away if my blinkers go on the blink, and I must pedal myself back to where I can get this fixed. I can see, I just think there should be some overview, like I think there could be middle ground somewhere between the, you know, the present situation and taking away a person's ability to drive for merely two tickets.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

creating passenger bill of rights, absolutely, I am in favor of that, I think most of us are, for a lot of reasons, and we're addressing some of the same things. One of the biggest problems is of course the price gouging, and this is what I did not read when I read the bill of rights that was proposed, I saw one that said, "The passenger has a right to refuse a tip for, for unsatisfactory service," that seems to me unnecessary when the people are gouging them, as you said, \$60-\$80 for a ten minute ride. As far as I'm concerned, they have a right not to pay that at all, let alone, you know, of course they should not have to tip in such a situation. I think that could easily be replaced with what this gentleman said: passenger should have the right to know up front what the full fare is going to be. Perhaps it could be reworded to include both. The passenger has a right to know from the beginning the full fare of their ride and refuse a tip for unsatisfactory service. I believe we do, we should have the right to set our own prices, it's human powered transportation. We have peak season and off-peak season. And if a person wants to pay

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$40 for a short ride, and they know that up front, then they are agreeing to it and all is fair. But the problem becomes because they have a sign, well then when the person reaches their destination, they didn't know what they were being charged. that is important. And just a--the bill of rights should be simple and clear. It seemed to me there was a lot of listing of specific mechanics, working brakes, let's hope they have a right to working brakes. I would shorten that to a right to a cab that has passed all DCA safety inspections, because a list that gets too long is redundant, boring and the customer stops reading it, and doesn't read what's really important. And then finally, the one that really concerns me the most, and is very confusing to me, is making pedicabs subject to parking rules. This to me is very confusing, raises a lot of questions. don't see it solving many problems, but I do see it creating problems and raising a lot of questions. As Doug had said, you know, because we are a business we are not using a private motor vehicle, because we are working in the City. I work full time, five days, seven or eight hours,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sometimes longer days. Obviously I, it is necessary for me to stop. You know, we are not in a car, we don't have heat and air conditioning, we are working physically and we are exposed to the elements. I don't resent that, that's a part of my job. But I get stopped by the weather, I get stopped by a pedicab breakdown. Pedicab breakdowns actually do happen probably more frequently than you'd think. So if my chain breaks, this, this raises questions, if we are under the same, we're subject to the same parking rules, then we're, I believe, then have the same parking rights. A person in a car, there, has a right for their car to break down, have a malfunction, and not get ticketed or have--but of course a car can raise their hood, and this signals that the car is broken down. If I have a breakdown, I don't have such, anything like that. Another good question, I think Doug also said, "Okay if I buy the parking meter ticket, now what do I do with it?" You know, in a car it gets locked inside the car, it's in the windshield for obvious reasons, people don't leave it on the wiper, you know, so it doesn't blow away or get

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, you know, if you think people aren't going to steal my parking ticket off my pedicab, believe me they will, probably other pedicabbers, most likely. [laughter] So many question. hearing about Speaker Quinn proposing actually easing the parking laws for the motor vehicles, because we're having a problem of so many people coming through the court system, claiming with their ticket that their receipt and their ticket, the ticket that they received from the officer, of the receipt, they received from the pay-o-meter, that they were ticketed by the officer while they weren't halfway down the block trying to feed the meter, maybe they need to get change. These are all issues. If you're going to throw all these hundreds of pedicabbers into that mix, it's tremendous, the courtrooms will be, will be bombarded with the same problem, with hundreds of pedicabbers making the same claim, being halfway down the block, because of course in certain areas like the theater district, you're going to have congestions at the pay-o-meters. And now we're mixed in with the drivers. I see so many questions and problems. If we have the same

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

rights, then seems to me this bring, I now am wondering what will happen in the theater district where many of those blocks are metered parking streets. Those are the blocks that I work on. 50th Street, for example, between 8th and 7th Avenue, where the Gershwin Theater is, where "Wicked" plays, 7th Avenue between 50 and 51st Street at the Winter Garden Theater where "Mama Mia" plays. Those are, at this moment, the officers who work those, officers who work those blocks, control the pedicab situation and they tell us where we can and cannot park. We cannot park directly under the marquee, but we can park off to the side or down by the corner, as long as it's not the bus stop, but if, now if we go into pedicabs feeding the meter, what happens there now? Now I have a, if I pay the meter I have a right to that space. And I would think if you'd ask the NYPD, who actually are dealing with the concentration of pedicabs, I think that they would agree that this is going to, there's at, at the very least, many, many kinks in this thing that have to be worked out. Questions to be asked, questions about mass group drives. We were

commissioned last year by eHarmony to do 40 bikes
to pick up 20 couples that met on eHarmony, and
take them from place to place, so we're
converging in one spot, then taking them to
another, waiting 15-20 minutes, then going to
another. Are you going to have 40 pedicab drivers
now scrambling to get their tickets, or are we
going to issue permits for this kind of situation?
So this, those tow in particular, this one in
particular I think is very flawed, creates a lot
of questions and problems. I would agree with
the, that there can be other ways to work out, for
example, the idea of pedicab stands, designated
places where pedicabs are allowed to congregate.
And as far, and again, 466, again I would like to
see that adjusted, you know. I would, can
understand the need for stiffer penalties, but
losing my license for, for two minor infractions,
goes to the opposite extreme. And I think those
are pretty much
CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay
MEREDITH SMITH:wraps up the
points I made.

25 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Thank you,

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well your testimony is extremely helpful and, you know, I certainly take your points about blinkers going on the blink and that causing you to lose your livelihood, that is not what this is intended So we will certainly take a hard look and make sure that that is not precisely what we are doing. Thanks to both of you for the, the issue on the up front fare, that's certainly something we should take a look at. And you know, is, is a fair point about, you know, in a system where individual operators have the ability to decide what the means is of the fare, you know, there shouldn't be a lot of surprises for passengers, and I take your point on that. On the subject of parking rules, I wanted to just follow up with a question about, about what you said. Obviously, there are some challenges in figuring out where you stop. And you are human, you're operating, as you say, five days a week, under all elements, under all temperatures and things like that. question that I have for you is, as a general matter, where do you stop? When you need to stop. Well, personally, MEREDITH SMITH: being probably the smallest pedicab driver in NYC,

2.0

2.3

2	I am 4'11", I'm also 40 years old, I'm healthy and
3	strong, but I do have my limits. And I do have to
4	stop regularly. And I try, I try to make it a
5	point to stop, I stop in legal, where I would
6	otherwise have to pay a meter, in legal parking
7	spots, trying, and I don't stop, you know, I'm,
8	because since regulation has come into place,
9	especially, of course, I try to make it a point to
10	obey the laws. Not in a crosswalk.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So you don't stop in a no-standing zone, for example.

MEREDITH SMITH: I might st--I admit I have been guilty of that occasionally, while stopping, while I'm working, not to park my bike and go get something to eat.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right, well you're allowed to, you're allowed to pick up/drop off at a no-standing zone.

MEREDITH SMITH: Right.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: But I'm talking about parking in that--

MEREDITH SMITH: No, parking is if
I need to, something to get to eat, right, no, not
at, not in some place where I'm worried that I'm

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to come back and either there's an officer
there ticketing me, or worse, my bike is gone.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Right, I got it, and you understand the, and the rationale and the motivation for, for that bill, of course, is to address the predominately safety concerns related to, you know, an area in the law where right now it is unclear as to whether or not a police officer could ticket for a parking in a nostanding for a pedicab, just so you know. That's part of the motivation for this. It sounds like the main concern that the two of you guys have articulated is the subject of where you'd put the Muni meter ticket, receipt. And the vulnerability that you would have for having it sitting out there, in fact I'm sure all of you raised that issue. Is that, is that a fair characterization of the big, the biggest concern that you would have about having to pay the meter?

personally, I don't know that I would call it the biggest concern, I would call it the most glaring question. It's a--

MEREDITH SMITH:

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay,

For me,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

that's--

MEREDITH SMITH: --it's just an obvious fact of there--I have many concerns about the, the, about 334.

DOUG KORMAN: May I say that my concern is that the pedicab, as I said, has a much smaller footprint, so to put it in a same space or category as a car, okay, so motorcycles are subject to the same thing. But the fact is that there are not pedicab stands, and that has always posed a problem for pedicabs. They could be in corners away from the front of theaters, not in clanking, clanging bells and annoying theater goers, and the theater goes often move down towards the Avenues to find transportation, and they could find a pedicab that way. But to make a pedicab driver pay \$115 plus for a ticket like that, would take sometimes their whole day's pay away. It's unfair.

21 CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay.

Well, I, listen, I thank you all for your testimony, it's extremely helpful, and we appreciate your, your being here to share it with us, and we take it extremely seriously. So, thank

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you. We're going to call the next panel, which is
David Serk, my constituent, Brendan O'Toole, and
James Lee. And that actually is our final panel.

[background noise] So. [pause, background noise]

DAVID SERK: Yeah, I guess I'll

7 start off.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Mr. Serk, go right ahead.

DAVID SERK: First of all, I'm a little less angry than I was when I, this morning. [laughter] Because I've sat in front of this, it'll be the third Committee I've sat in front of, and I've made public testimony to the issues that I felt was the root of the pedicab problem, and they were never addressed. I mean, the fact of the matter is--well, I'll read this and then I'll go real quick. I'm not going to read, actually, yeah, I have been appalled with this regulation's been carried out. J1 and F1 students, who according to federal law, cannot own a business or operate one as an independent contractor, that's a federal law. But what's happening is students on an expired visa could simply show up with a pedicab violation ticket, and a foreign license

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and being, and be awarded a pedicab business. See what Chad Marlow and everybody's, the elephant in the room here right now is there's, there's got to be at least, out of the pedicab, out of the 895 pedicabs, the owners are illegal, I would say probably 700, maybe 650. All you'd have to do is require that you have a American driver's license, quad-state driver's license, that's going to eliminate 500 of your pedicab businesses right there, you're going to have the 325 which I always thought was a perfect number, which I thought was well-researched, and I thought we could accommodate. And it'd be that simple. But for some reason, nobody wants to mention that until So finally, you know, we're getting there. Okay. And also, just another thing, we mention like, okay, now the City doesn't properly vet these individuals, and so the insurance companies give them the insurance, they don't care, it's like, "Oh, sure, the City gave you a license, give you insurance," what's going to happen when it happens like in San Diego and this kid killed somebody, jumps back on a plane to Turkey. Do you really think the insurance company's going to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

honor that agreement? They'll probably say, "Oh, well, the City's fault," I mean, "We, the City should've vetted him," you know. So you're putting your, and I mention this ad infinitum, that you're putting the City up for multi-million dollar claims. Now, to add insult to injury, right, it's the same thing I was talking about against Americans, right? If I'm an American citizen from California, I come here, I want to, I want to, I've, have residency, let's say I want to be a pedicab driver, drive a cab. What happens is, after six months, I'm required by law to get a New York State driver's license. But forget about what the DCA's telling you, they're, they're not doing anything. I can show up with this, with my, as you say, from France, and I don't even have to show up. I can just do it, I can just renew it They'll mail it back to me. And I can just have another one. So, you know, no need for residency, no need for that. I'm, I'm in It's absurd, I mean it's just, the DCA business. totally messed this up. Originally the D--it was planned for the American driver's license, and in the last week before, a lawyer from this guy Osman

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Zenk, which you'll be hearing his name more and more, he was threatened to hold up legislation, and that's why they pushed in. The original legislation said, "American driver's license." They just threw that in at the last minute 'cause there was a lawsuit threatened, and they didn't want to hold up regulation because of the fact that it was in the press, and there was that accident, so it's like, "Get this thing regulated now." Okay. So the press does have a lot to do with trying to get this thing regulated correctly. Okay, so, in my view, like the bills that you created here will have, will have an effect on the few American drivers, I would say maybe tops 20, right now. And, and as far as being 300 full time drives that are American, I don't--that will, the New York license, see right here, I have my DMV right hooked up there. It's right there. into a databank, there's no databank for any of this stuff, it's nonsense. I get a databank, but nobody else, if you're here from Turkey, Tajikistan, there's databank, no nothing. And so it's, I'm just going back to that, the whole reiterate, through the license thing, let's use

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

some common sense here. I mean, stop forgetting, you know, nobody wants to talk about it, nobody, everybody wants to be afraid. I mean, I got people, a lot of these guys I got 'em started in the business, I was one of the first guys, I recommended 'em to work. I don't want to get rid of anybody legal. I don't care what country they're from, I just don't want to be, I don't want to see what's happening to our business. then I'll just leave at the pricing issue. always stated from the beginning, I knew this was going to be crazy. Nobody's mentioning what's going on. I would say about 80 percent happening right now, of people are using what they call "the formula." The DCA allows this tiny little rate card, you can't even see it. That's just they allow the type to be that big on the side of your pedicab. It says a dollar per block, \$3 per Avenue, \$5 initial fee per person. So, imagine going from 5th Avenue to, to like 6th Avenue, that's \$20, that's \$16, for one block. Imagine, do that five times, that's \$60, and what they do is say, and I've seen this thousands of times, and it's killing this business, it's killing its

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reputation, they say, "Oh, no you have to pay, the City told me, the City told me to put this, you have to pay, I have license, you have to pay, you have to pay." This is madness, and this goes on and on and everybody just goes, "Well, we'll try to, we'll put some law where the guy can't park and we'll give him a ticket," yeah, so that's going to help me? That's not going to help the industry, that's not going to help the tourists. I would say, rather than going in this route, what you have here, I would say just shut the whole thing down. Because I live, I live in Central Park, by Central Park, I hate what's happened in Central Park. I know what, those complaints you have, I park my pedicab in a garage on that block where everybody's piled up all over the place. I just hate it. So I just wish you guys'd do the right thing and get it right this time. Okay? Thank you.

BRENDAN O'TOOLE: [off mic] Hi, my name's Brendan O'Toole--[on mic] love me tender, wow. Shouldn't give, put a microphone-[background noise] Yeah. First I want to, it's, commend you guys, 'cause I can pedal a bike all

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

day, with four people, three people, and not get tired, but if I had to sit in the room and battle with this stuff all day, couldn't do it. I'm exhau--[laughter] I'm done now. I'm like, "Oh my god, how do I--" But I, everything I've heard from the guys, I was fascinated by the DCA, 'cause they really exhibited that they don't know much. And what, you know, we tried working with them for years, and the day that they, couple days before, like when, right after the bill you guys voted on, they allowed foreign licenses, I called a bunch of guys and said, "All the guy--we're done, we're through," because the street ambassadors, I take pride in doing this job, 'cause along with everyone that's here, because we're in great shape, we pick up people, we tour, tour guides, and we do, we're proud. And 400 guys are out of work right now. And have been. And I'm, I'm 54 years old, David's what 70? And [laughter] and let me say, we, we're fighting this, this, you know, I'm, you can fine me all day long if you want, I've never gotten a ticket that's, that's of course, kiss of death, right? But I, because I'm afr--you know, I know when you go out there,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

especially now, they're writing tickets, so you got to be on the ball. You know, and you're still going to, you're going to get a ticket, like Meredith said. But by getting rid of the guys that were street ambassadors, that had a code of conduct, and you got kids here, there's nothing, you know, my son's 22, but if there's not like a, what's the word, incentive to be responsible, which you learn, you don't get that at 22. You come here, you're here for four months, what do they want to do? And there's too many, and I'll tell you why there's too many: 90 percent of the work and, and I'm a street guy, I drove an ambulance, I owned a cab in Boston for 12 years, and I've done this for seven, seven years. percent of my work and all work, I don't care what anybody says, is when the cabs and then the black cars, too, are full. If they're not full, I'm dead, I'm dead in the water. So I can come out and say, "Oh, Jesus, look at the cabs, they're empty." So this is the concern, on a busy day, say like, you know, when the marathon's here, this is--you talk about writing violations? On a busy day, these kids will overcharge so much, they're

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

stealing from 60 year old men they've just finished a marathon, and they don't tell the price, the number one rule and the only rule that really matters, is tell the price in advance exactly. If you cannot do that, you should not be driving a, you know, forget about it. That alone, not point because you can't speak English to a ride at the end, and say, "\$85.00." Now, the marathon day, everyone needs, you know, there aren't any cabs, that area up by Tavern on the Green, there's, is a zoo. So, guys like Halloween, marathon day, this is where our industry has been ruined. And prior to that, in the early days, when before the, the explosion of foreign licenses, I, you know, I'm not politically correct. My closest friend is Ibrahim, he's, you know, he's Turkish. The thing is, anything saturated, right, anything saturated, and with young kids, no incentive, these kids were charging \$70, \$100. That spreads like wildfire. So, I'll give, I'm going to give you one more example, 'cause I could go on forever, and I'm getting to a good, I didn't do a good example, I mean, diagram, because I'm, I'm a pedicab driver, I'm not a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

graphic designer. [laughter] And I'm proud of it, you know, you get older you don't care. I'm a really good pedicab driver, I'm not a good speaker. Nice hair. [laughter] Okay, okay, okay. Here's Lexington, here's the Empire State Building. Okay? Say that's the Empire State Building. Right, it's great, so, you know, it's modern art, right? That's the Empire State Building. In the past there'd be three, four bikes, quietly sitting. Okay? And this is why the guys have left the business, besides there's too many. There's three guys sitting, you wait respectively, just like at a theater, the same metaphor, you wait quietly, you don't ring the bell. People come out, they see you. Now, since you allow all these kids, they can't do anything else, they can't work at McDonald's, right? What's better than picking you up on a bike and charging you \$80? McDonald's is \$6 an hour. what happens is they all stay, there's 20 of 'em in front, "Like a ride, like a ride, like a ride." Now they don't just stay there, like at Empire State, they get off. And they go right to the The old timers go, you know, I'm a fighter,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to a degree, right, what most guys will say, "Hey, buddy, you got to get--" America's a free place. And you're like, "No, it's not, yeah, free, you don't know what the hell you're talking about, dude." "It's free, it's not free to do that, you're taking my money." "No, no, no," so there's 20 of 'em that go to the door. So what most people do, they just drive away, "This sucks." And I'm one of 'em. So there's 20 guys there, and they overcharge, and they go right to the door. Now that's the same as the theaters. Prior to that, and this is what I've stressed about the lack, lack of a code of conduct, is that's intangible, that's knowing like I should probably know soon to shut up. Right? But that quality is rampant right now, of guys that, you know, if you're, like I can't stress enough two, and I'll shut up, two things. If you let people with foreign licenses roll out of work, it's not, it's because there is a saturation, 90 percent of this business, besides the park, is when you can't get a cab. Right? So, the question about parking is, we can't stop anywhere. We're, anywhere we're vulnerable, and most of the time there's 400 empty

2.0

2.3

2	ones. Right? Except on those busy, busy days.
3	So that, the cap of \$325 to \$500 was fantastic, we
4	made a living. Now, we're paupers 'cause we all
5	when there's 40 or400 empty bikes, we look bad.
6	DAVID SERK: Just charge \$100,
7	you'll be all right.
8	BRENDAN O'TOOLE: I can't do it,
0	[] oughton] contt do it contt do it Docours this

[laughter] can't do it, can't do it. Because this is the deal. License should be revoked if you, if you don't price up front. If you get two, three chances at that one, because peop—we built this, the public's trust, for four or five years, by doing that. Without that, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Got it, thank you very much. Go ahead.

JAMES LEE: Thank you, also. James
Lee, pedicab driver, with Manhattan Racial -2006. I have a few points. Number one, this is
everything it's about responsibility. Agree.
But, responsibility has to match privilege. And
yellow cab, if you want to be yellow cab driver
you have to pass background check. You have to
have residential status. You have to have a local
license. And you have to go three day school, you

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have to pass English test. Pedicab, in terms of transportation, we have, we are the same. But we don't have such ... we have, we take the same responsibility like yellow cab, but we don't have such privilege. Like where's the theater guy? When we, when theater time, when we pass 44th Street, 46th Street, 50th Street, we can't pass. Only yellow cab can pass. We will stop by NYPD. We don't have same privilege. In terms of parking, Meredith just mentioned, in front of theater there's a limo, there's a private car was parked there waiting for the people, wife, come out, their passenger come out. There's nostanding zoo--zone. They can park there without NYPD harassment, we cannot. We have, we don't have the same privilege. But in terms of pedicab license, anybody can have a license, as long as you have some kind of driver license. So we're not the same, this is my point. So, we, if we, if let us take responsibility, we have, have same privilege, because we are same, we are transportation.

DAVID SERK: Could I just add one more, one quick thing about the theater.

mention already, I always park my pedicab when I

25

2.0

2	go to buy coffee or go to bathroom, we can park
3	between two car, only six inches, you know, six
4	feet. So, we, we can park between them, there's a
5	meter, there's a meter. We just, between them, we
6	can go quick and come out. So we don't need to
7	take this kind of responsibility, you know. So, I
8	think that the worst one is 334. I'm totally
9	against.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, thank you. Actually, I appreciate the comments. I'm going to pose a question, which will give Mr. Serk an opportunity to--

DAVID SERK: I'm sorry to interrupt, I just--

JAMES LEE: Don't, don't worry.

make a last, last comment to all of you. The question is, you all I think have either alluded to or directly made the point about what is the appropriate number of pedicabs. And one of the bills out there eliminates the sunset of the registration period of time, just sort of keeps it as is. Would you all advocate our taking additional steps to reduce the number of pedicabs,

2 just say a little more, I just--

DAVID SERK: Well, I'm just going to reiterate what I said before. That that's not going to be an issue. Like I said, this, this whole tri-state license thing, we're going to solve 95 percent of your problems, if somebody would just listen to me. [laughter] These people—

BRENDAN O'TOOLE: It's not like--

DAVID SERK: [laughs]

BRENDAN O'TOOLE: It's not his

strength.

DAVID SERK: All these, what do you think is happening with these guys on expired visas, that own pedicab fleets? What's going to happen when there's a tri-state license? They're going to try to renew their license, and they're going to say, "Well, where's your, your pedicab," actually they're going to say "Where's your, your driver's license?" They're going to say, "I don't have one." "Well, you can't--" so they're going to be out of business. There's going to, there's going to be like 250 pedicabs left.

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: Okay, I've

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

got that. Thank you, and do you guys have anything additional on that?

BRENDAN O'TOOLE: Well, this

question might not be answered, the elephant in the room concept, which I blew one time with the wrong person, and it was a big mistake. what's the, just to throw it out there, I don't, and I just would like an answer some day. Why is there such a politically, what's the politically incorrectness of having tri-state licenses for pedicabs? What's the, the -- whoa, can't say that, 'cause I, I'm just fascinated, I've been interviewed by the, on the news many times, and they, I say, "I'm not going to speak to you, because you're not going to repeat, you're not going to say, or you're not going to film what I say," and that's always taken out. And I'm just curious about the political, you know, the incorrectness of it. Because it's destroyed our job. Okay? And one other thing, and I, I beg of you this, this is, I think this is clear: if I, a big--80 percent, or 60 percent of my work every day from Grand Central to Penn Station, I charge \$20. If you go by that, the rate that's on the,

2.0

the bikes, and they do it,	and this is what they
do, they point at the end,	so I say, "\$15 to \$20,
what do you want to pay?"	Right? They'll say,
they don't say anything at	the end, \$75. That's
since the DCA regulation.	So what's that do to
the business, but anyway.	

CHAIRPERSON GARODNICK: So, Lee, do you want to have the last word, then.

JAMES LEE: Oh, one more, if we, pedicab is not summer job. If pedicabs do, can be summer job, that's it. This doesn't work.

I think we are going to, we're going to end it there. I will say, make the one, one comment which is that I hope you all feel that this hearing has actually delved into some of those issues. I think that there, the issues that you all are raising on the subject of licensing and quad-state and all the rest of it, you know, those are issues that we need to explore here, and you've articulated them very clearly. As well as up front quotes, concerns about the mechanics of some of the, the bills, particularly the parking bill. So, we appreciate your, your being here and

2	your patience in waiting to, to testify, and to
3	all of you who were here today to participate in
4	the hearing, we say thank you. Of course, to our
5	Committee counsel and policy analyst, and to my
6	staff, we say thank you very much for their
7	preparation for the hearing. And with that, we
8	are adjourned, thank you. [gavel]
9	PANEL: Thank you.

I, JOHN DAVID TONG certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signature

Date March 14, 2011