CITY COUNCIL

CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

----- X

March 7, 2023 Start: 3:34 p.m. Recess: 4:55 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Gale A. Brewer, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Ayala Rita C. Joseph Shekar Krishnan Nantasha M. Williams Marjorie Velazquez Kalman Yeger

## A P P E A R A N C E S

Jocelyn Strauber, Commissioner at Department of Investigations

Ivey Dyson, Counsel on the Liberty and National Security Program at the Brennan Center for Justice

Judy Greene, Grassroots Advocates for Neighborhood Groups and Solutions

Lyric Thompson

2.2

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon, good

afternoon. Sound check, sound check on today's

hearing on Oversight and Investigations. Today's date

is March 7, 2023. Location is the Committee Room.

Recorded by Walter Lewis.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council Preliminary Budget Hearing for the Committee on Oversight and Investigation.

At this time, we ask that you silence your cell phones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing. Once again, please silence cell phones and electronic devices to minimize disruptions throughout the hearing. We thank you for your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much,
Sergeant, and good afternoon and welcome to the
Fiscal 2004 Preliminary Budget Hearing for the
Committee on Oversight and Investigations. I'm Gale
Brewer, City Council Member and Chair of this
Committee.

Today, we are going to review the Department of Investigations Fiscal '24 budget to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 4

understand the changes in the Department's budget and how it addresses the needs of New Yorkers to improve

4 | the way New York City operates.

2.2

2.3

The Department of Investigations promotes and maintains integrity and efficiency in government operations across the City. DOI's Fiscal '24 preliminary budget totals 45.3 million including 24 million for personal services to support 294 positions and 21.4 million for other-than-personal services, OTPS.

I would like to welcome and thank

Commissioner Strauber and her team at DOI for their

steadfast work over the year. The Department of

Investigations has successfully worked to decrease

the backlog of background investigations, performed

important investigations, published detailed reports,

and issued policy and procedure recommendations to

city agencies all with a very restrictive budget, and

I would say, Commissioner, you have done more with

less so I know you could do a lot more with more.

Quite a bit you've done with less budget.

I look forward to continuing our fruitful conversations with beneficial oversight hearings.

We've a lot of work ahead. Holding public officials

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 5
2 accountable, ensuring public money is spent lawfully,
3 and building faith in honest government which is not

4 easy today.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I am interested in learning about the Commissioner's plans for the Department in the coming year, DOI's role with the City as an oversight department, it's revenue-generating capacities as well as how we can work together to improving baseline, baseline, I want to underline that, the Department's staffing needs. This plan did not include any new needs and decreased the Department's fiscal '24 budget by 2.47 million and 37 positions. This Committee is concerned that after multiple years of reductions, the Department is not adequately funded, and I would like to discuss the Department's concerns of its current budget at this hearing. The Committee would also like to discuss other budgetary decisions made by the Adams' administration to understand the vision the Administration has for the Department.

As we're finishing all of our first full Fiscal Year, me as the Committee Chair, you as the Commissioner, I look forward to learning about the

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 6
2	successes the Department has had over the year and
3	the important role that DOI plays in New York.
4	I also want to make sure that we thank
5	our Committee Staff for their hard work, Financial
6	Analyst Owen Kotowski, Finance Unit Chair Jack
7	Storey, Senior Counsel C.J. Murray, Policy Analyst
8	Alex Yablon, and my Chief-of-Staff Shula Puder as
9	well as Communications Director Sam Goldsmith and the
10	amazing Oversight and Investigations Division Staff.
11	Now, I'd like to welcome you and thank
12	Commissioner Strauber, the Department's
13	Investigators, Background Investigators, and
14	Inspectors General for the work they do. I'm looking
15	forward to hearing from the Commissioner.
16	First, I believe that C.J. Murray will
17	swear you in. Thank you so much.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MURRAY: Thank you,
19	Chair. Commissioner Strauber, please raise your right
20	hand.
21	Do you affirm to tell the truth, the
22	whole truth, and nothing but the truth before this
23	Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member
24	questions?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MURRAY: Thank you. You may begin your testimony.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: My name is Jocelyn Strauber, and I have the pleasure of serving as the Commissioner of the Department of Investigations.

Thank you, Chair Brewer, and Members of the Committee on Oversight and Investigations for holding today's hearing on DOI's Fiscal 2024

Preliminary Budget and for inviting me to testify. I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you today about DOI's work over the past year and how I plan to ensure that we can continue to effectively fulfill our mission in the future.

Over the past year, I have worked with my executive team to chart a clear path forward for DOI as we, like other City agencies, navigate fiscal and staffing challenges. My goal is to ensure that we continue to conduct investigations and make recommendations that have a meaningful impact, furthering transparency, improving City government, and ensuring that the City's most vulnerable are well and equitably served by City agencies. These principles are the foundation of DOI's work and are

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

as important today as they were 150 years ago when

DOI was established.

While our staffing and budgetary realities are complex and challenging, we have used them as an opportunity to think creatively about our work and to make our processes more efficient. At each investigative stage, from intake onward, my goal is to focus DOI's resources on the most significant matters, where we anticipate either a criminal referral or significant policy and procedural recommendations and to take targeted investigative steps as efficiently as possible so that we can promptly complete our investigations and issue any recommendations. To accomplish this, let me take you through a number of steps we have undertaken this past year:

Restructured our case review process to facilitate even closer oversight of ongoing investigations by our Inspectors General and Executive Team.

Deployed our Data Analytics Unit to more quickly assess the extent of potential misconduct, with a focus on significant monetary loss, and to

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 9
proactively identify potential red flags for further investigation.

Refocused the Inspectors General and their teams on the writing of public reports and streamlining that process and we are on track to issue more reports this year.

Implemented an in-house Investigative

Officer Training Academy to train junior

investigators at the beginning of their tenure to

ensure consistent excellent work product agency-wide.

Improved our recruitment efforts by cementing our relationships at local law schools and area colleges and universities, expanding our participation in career fairs, and increasing the range of on-line recruiting platforms where we post positions, among other efforts.

Strengthened retention by implementing a promotional structure that provides investigators with advancement opportunities and a mentorship program that assigns newly-hired investigator-mentees to veteran investigator-mentors.

Maintained a robust, year-round intern program and participated in the Civil Service

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 Pathways Fellowship Program and the Mayor's new Legal 3 Fellows program.

Deepened our relationships with prosecutors and law enforcement partners.

Fostered more solid relationships and better communication with City agencies and City Hall because their leadership plays a critical role in our anti-corruption mission.

Today I will discuss DOI's budget and staffing numbers, our work this past year, and my vision for the future.

DOI's Fiscal Year 2024 Preliminary Budget is 45.27 million: 23.87 million for personal services and 21.40 million for other-than-personal services.

DOI anticipates receiving an additional 1.6 million dollars in Intra-City funds from other agencies that support a portion of our staffing at the start of Fiscal 2024. The total Fiscal 2024 budget will support 465 employees, 315 funded by DOI's budget, and the remainder through the Memoranda of Understanding arrangements with other City agencies and public authorities, including the New York City Housing Authority and NYC Health and Hospitals.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

The Fiscal 2024 budget is approximately

10 percent less than our current Fiscal 2023 budget.

It is important to note that in the past two fiscal years, 86 budgeted positions have been cut from DOI's budget (about 21 percent of our staff), resulting in over 7 million dollars in cost savings for the City.

The recent 50 percent vacancy reduction in the January 2023 Program to Eliminate the Gap hit DOI particularly hard because we already had a high vacancy rate due to difficulties in hiring and retaining staff over the past several years. DOI is staff-driven as you know, not program-driven, so our PEG cuts principally impact our headcount.

I am extremely grateful for the Council's support last year for DOI to receive an additional 18 positions that were included in DOI's adopted budget for Fiscal 2023. As you also know, that funding unfortunately was not baselined and was for Fiscal Year 2023 only. Therefore, we were not able to hire for those positions. DOI's need for that investigator headcount remains as I will discuss in a moment.

Now let me speak a bit about the work we've done over the past year. It has been an incredibly rewarding experience for me to serve as

2.2

2.3

corruption work.

Commissioner during this period. I am grateful for the opportunity to work with DOI's committed, talented, and rigorous staff every day. They are the true heart of this agency, and the range of oversight work that they do is astounding, pursuing corruption, fraud, waste, and a range of other misconduct. They build cases that lead to criminal prosecutions as well as disciplinary and administrative action, and they develop policy and procedural recommendations for reform, as well as teaching our fellow City employees about their important role in DOI's anti-

In 2022, DOI conducted an array of investigations with our prosecuting and law enforcement partners and with the cooperation of many City agencies. Let me tell you about just some of that work:

The City's jails are, and have been, a key focus for DOI. Our work to identify and impede the flow of contraband, which destabilizes the environment and jeopardizes the lives of officers and persons in custody, led to convictions of two City Correction Officers who accepted bribes in exchange for smuggling cell phones and narcotics to members of

prosecuting partners and the Department of Correction

to expose and eradicate wrongdoing that undermines

safety in our City's jails is very much ongoing.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DOI investigates construction-related fatalities that involve potential criminal conduct. In 2022, our investigations led to a Flatbush landlord's conviction and six-month jail sentence in connection with the death of a tenant in a fire at an illegally subdivided Brooklyn apartment building. Another investigation resulted in charges against a construction company and its owner for allegedly causing the death of a 5-year-old girl struck by portions of a wall constructed in violation of the City Building Code. Another investigation resulted in charges against a Brooklyn scaffolding company and

2.2

2.3

seriously injured.

2 its foreperson for reckless endangerment and criminal
3 mischief in a scaffolding collapse that left a woman

DOI also protects the wages of workers on City projects and assists in returning stolen wages to those workers. One wage-theft investigation resulted in charges against a Long Island man who allegedly stole thousands of dollars in a kickback scheme victimizing workers on a School Construction Authority project in Queens. Another resulted in charges against an electrical company for allegedly stealing 1.7 million dollars in worker wages on school and NYCHA projects. In one prevailing wage case, our investigation resulted in the return of 900,000 dollars to 200 NYCHA construction workers denied the prevailing wage rate by an electrical contractor.

Other criminal investigations led to charges involving theft from the City's pension fund and a 5.4-million-dollar scheme to defraud a City program for homeless veterans.

DOI's investigations lead to impactful results, deterring future wrongdoing and returning funds to the City. This year, for example, a former

2.2

2.3

certain City contracts.

CEO of a City-funded nonprofit was convicted of a bribery and kickback conspiracy involving contractors of his nonprofit and received a 27-month federal prison sentence; four defendants pled guilty to a 400,000-dollar fraud involving the COVID-19 hotel room isolation program; one New Jersey man pled guilty to a scheme to defraud the City during the pandemic of tens of millions of dollars; and a City Parks and Recreation contractor pled guilty to a 1-million-dollar insurance fraud and is now required to pay 1.2 million in restitution and is barred from

These are some of the highlights of our publicly announced investigations, providing insight into a slice of what DOI does. In 2022, DOI had an active investigative docket of more than 1,500 cases. We reached nearly 47,000 City employees through our corruption-prevention module, webinars and in-person lectures, and we collected nearly 2 million dollars for the City as a result of our investigations. We continued to shrink the backlog of our background investigations, closing 928 more investigations this past year. Currently, DOI has 795 remaining background investigations, a sharp decrease from the

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2.2

2.3

2 2019 high of 6,500, and our work to close those investigations continues.

forward. Our active headcount was 546 in Fiscal 2020, and today it is 412. Decreases in headcount are not the only challenge. DOI has just over 60 vacant positions as we sit here today. These positions include investigators, auditors, and attorneys, critical staff for the work that we do. We are actively recruiting to fill these vacancies through our ramped-up recruitment efforts, but, even when we fill them, we will have 84 fewer people working at

DOI than we had four years ago, which, given our

size, has an undeniable impact.

To address this issue, we are, as I said initially, seeking to be more targeted and efficient, to use our investigative resources as effectively as possible, and to prioritize matters of greatest impact. However, our workload remains steady with many key indicators in Calendar Year 2022 staying level or increasing, such as complaints made to DOI, our active investigative docket, and the number of cases opened and closed. I believe that to fully fulfill our mission and to operationalize certain

2 measures intended to promote efficiency, DOI will

3 need more staff in our investigative squads and in

4 other areas across the agency.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our plan calls for 30 additional baselined headcount, a total cost of 1.7 million. This includes hiring personnel to handle certain administrative tasks, so that our investigators who currently do that work can focus fully on their investigations. To do so, DOI will expand our centralized Complaint Intake Unit, adding five new administrative staff members dedicated to receiving and documenting complaints. Currently, our investigators spend up to 20 percent of their time each year on complaint intake tasks, time better directed to moving their investigations forward. Our plan also calls for hiring four data entry clerks to handle the input of extensive investigative data into DOI systems. This work is currently handled by our data analysts, investigators, and auditors, detracting from the time spent on analytical investigative work. These hires will benefit DOI's Data Analytics Unit in particular. That Unit was established in Fiscal Year 2021 and supports the squads' proactive and other investigations by doing

deep dives into various data sources, mapping
information, and conducting analysis to identify
corruption-related red flags and potential patterns

of misconduct. To give just one example, the Unit is

6 involved in our in-depth examination of City-funded

provider contracts at the Department of Homeless

8 Services.

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Another component of our plan is to hire 20 additional staff to support our investigations, principally confidential investigators, but also auditors, lawyers, and others with specialized expertise. We will deploy these new staff across our squads, with six going to Squad 10 which oversees the four agencies that handle some of the City's largest capital projects, the departments of Transportation, Environmental Protection, Design and Construction, and Parks and Recreation. The vast amount of capital projects these agencies manage, collectively more than 6.76 billion, was the reason DOI established Squad 10 to oversee this group of agencies. That Squad has not been fully staffed to date which has hampered its ability to strategically establish its docket. The Council recognized the importance of this work last year and advocated for positions to be

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Jails program.

While human capital is the lifeblood of our agency, our investigators' work is supported by critical technological infrastructure. This year, DOI plans to purchase a new Case Management System and is requesting 700,000 in capital funding to do so. Our current system, which is over a decade old, lacks key functionalities, including most readily accessible records and case files, streamlined export capability for production of discovery, and the ability to

committee on oversight and investigations 20 easily generate statistical and other information. We are thankful to OMB for considering these requests and we look forward to continuing our productive

conversations with them about our needs.

2.2

2.3

In conclusion, DOI is proud to protect the City's funding and services and the City's dedicated workforce from those corrupt actors who would deplete City resources and unfairly undermine the reputation of all City workers. I thank you for your support of our mission and our staff and for considering our plans to ensure that DOI can continue to fulfill that mission as we embark on our next 150 years.

Thank you for your time and I am happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much. We've been joined by Council Member Ayala, Council Member Williams, and on Zoom Council Member Yeger. I hope that my Colleagues will jump in because I don't need to ask all these questions.

One thing that comes to mind, and Council Member Ayala and I are always, I would say to the credit of the Administration, talking about the asylum issues, and the contracts are just mind-

2 blowing in terms of the number so I think you

3 mentioned this because you talked about DHS, but

4 right now how do you handle Health and Hospitals and

5 DHS in terms of the monitoring because I assume

6 there's an awful lot of contracts that are somewhat

7 new to those two agencies so is it a special focus,

8 is that a reason why you might need more staffing?

9 Obviously, we've got a lot of asylum, Council Member

10 Ayala, 50,000?

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

considering sort of as we speak how we can meaningfully participate in these contracts that, as you say, are already ongoing and assist the City in ensuring that oversight is robust, and those conversations are ongoing. To the extent that a monitor was appointed to supervise some or all of that effort, just for example, we would supervise that monitor and so that would involve a dedication of staffing from Vendor Integrity Unit, and, as I mentioned, we are requesting additional staffing for that Unit so our work in that area is very much sort of in progress, and I think it is an area where if there is expanded oversight we would have a role to

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

play, and the staffing that we're discussing today
would be used for that purpose.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. In terms of the marshals, marshals always scare me because they evict people, I understand that's what their job is, but I noticed in the material leading up to today, obviously during the pandemic they weren't as busy, but now they are collecting more money. It's nice from the revenue perspective, but it gives me pause in terms of what they're actually doing so my question is what is driving the anticipated increase in revenue? Is it evictions? We're all concerned about not enough attorneys in the housing court. We're concerned that the courts aren't open. We have so many concerns that lead up to people getting evicted so I'm just wondering what's the current, why are they able to have more revenue now and is it because of the evictions and is there something that we should be doing to try to prevent that even though it's a revenue generator?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: As you know, Chair Brewer, the marshals operate independently. DOI does have oversight over the marshals in a programmatic sense, and there are a number of measures in place to

2.2

2.3

ensure that when evictions do take place, if there are issues with respect to let's say the elderly or people who are unable to find other locations in which they can reside or if there are people even in the current environment who have COVID and issues related to COVID, there are still measures in place to prevent those evictions so I can't speak specifically to revenue numbers, but what I can say is this is an area where we have oversight and there are a number of measures in place that will continue even after COVID to ensure that evictions are done properly and in accordance with the law.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Obviously, you have oversight over them and can give them directions even though that might still be an independent body. My understanding is they're making four times the actual amount that they did in the past for the City, which is good, but it's of concern to those of us who worry about evictions.

I also want to talk about the background investigations. That's something OMB Commissioner talked endlessly, and we all are, about getting people hired, and obviously this is part of the process because even today the Immigration

2.2

2.3

Commissioner was saying how hard it is to get people hired. I think we all have the same problem. I could go on and on about why that is, but one of them is, of course, streamlining the background investigation process so if you could talk a little bit more about it, what the fee changes are, if there are changes that you'd like to see, obviously more staff would

help, etc. Even though you've done a great job, I'm

10 sure there's room for improvement.

first that in terms of the backlog, as I said, those numbers have gone down, are continuing to go down, and we are now processing background investigations in sort of a pace so I don't think that the delays that led to the backlog are not continuing. As I think we've explained before, we separated the backlogged investigations from ongoing new background investigations so that we could attack the backlog without increasing delays in the current incoming background investigations and so we are now moving those forward.

One of our goals, and this is certainly not a completed process, is to consider whether there are areas that we could streamline in the background

investigation process, and we're certainly open to doing that while maintaining the protective and significant function that the backgrounding process serves. It is also the case that we are really just one component of the hiring process so I don't think it would be accurate to suggest that we are driving delays in City hiring. That does not mean that our process couldn't be more efficient, and we are thinking about ways that we could improve sort of the service that we provide to other City agencies and to incoming City employees through streamlining that process.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, I think it's around 1,000 now, and in the previous era it was 6,500 so that's a huge improvement.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: It was in part, the Council's support of our request for staffing that enabled us to bring that backlog down.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay, but we assume with more hires it could go up, who knows, but I wanted to know in terms of revenue, how does that impact the revenue because people pay obviously to be able to be an employee of the City of New York so how

24

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 is the revenue generated and how is that going for the backlog?

would have to get back to you on the specifics of that. There are certain fees that are paid certainly in connection with things like fingerprinting to become a City employee. I just can't give you the exact revenue numbers with respect to the backgrounds, but I think those would really be tied to the number of folks who are onboarded at any one time so an increase in vacancies would be reflected in a decrease in that revenue stream.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Do you foresee a time when there would not be a backlog, even 1,000, or is that low enough that it's not a concern?

when we will decrease to zero the backlog of old investigations. There will always be some time period, and obviously depending on the hire, we can make efforts to expedite certain backgrounds, there will always be some period of time that it will take, a few months, etc. to do a background investigation, but I do expect that we will reduce the backlog of old investigations to zero.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. DOI created the Integrity Monitor Program to work with companies that may not be able to do business with the City due to integrity issues. Under the program, the companies can be awarded contracts if they agree to an outside independent monitor so I wanted to know how has this mission been accomplished, what are the goals, and what has been the progress with this program?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: The goal of this program, which has been established for some time, is to allow City agencies that for a variety of different reasons need to continue a contractual relationship with a vendor that has integrity issues to do so while assuring that that vendor while it is serving as a City contractor acts appropriately with integrity and that that the issues that the vendor has had in the past don't affect its performance of the contract, its management of funds, and most importantly that the City is protected from any fraud or misconduct by that vendor. The program requires the vendor, itself, to pay the cost of the monitor so the City does not bear that expense, and there are a variety of reasons why the City might want to continue working with a vendor that has integrity

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

issues including, for example, there are certain types of services that only certain vendors are capable of providing or are capable of providing on the particular scale that's needed. It might also be the case that the agency is already mid-contract with that vendor and so to terminate the contract and hire another vendor not only would be more expensive but would also result in delays. Typically, that is the decision that the agency makes. Does the agency want to continue this contractual relationship? We will then discuss with the agency how we can structure the monitorship to give the City as much protection as possible based on the historical concerns of the vendor's conduct. There are circumstances where that requires a certification from the vendor with minimal monitoring, but the most sort of robust program is the appointment of an outside monitor that DOI selects and monitors its work so that we can be aware of any issues paid for by the vendor, and that monitor doesn't just look at what is happening, let's say with the accounts or invoices on an ongoing basis. The monitor, with DOI's oversight, can require principals of the company to be removed if they have integrity issues so can require new leadership, can

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 29 require the imposition of a compliance program, and other types of auditing and quality assurance to

ensure good behavior going forward.

in this situation now? I know you mentioned one of the scoundrels, that's Schumer's word, he loves the word scoundrel, one of the scoundrels that had been part of a non-profit and you said his issue had been addressed, but it sounded to me like he still had other contracts that had to be ongoing so how many of these independent monitors do you have now?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We currently have 10 monitorships, eight of those are the monitorships paid for by the vendors and two of those are monitorships for the larger scale capital projects that are paid for by the City.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Do these, I call them scoundrels, do these scoundrels manage to actually come up with the money either for the monitors I would assume, otherwise they wouldn't have the contracts, but also those scoundrels that just have to pay their fees, to the best of your knowledge do they pay up?

2.2

2.3

yes. The situation would be more challenging if you were talking about non-profits. These are for-profit entities that have substantial contracts with the City and also have revenue streams from elsewhere so, yes, they do pay and the program does work and, of course, if the vendor, I don't think I've personally seen this example, if the vendor was unwilling to agree to a monitor, then the City could and I expect would terminate the contract because part of the agency's determination that the vendor is responsible and can continue to work is that they will be subject to a monitorship.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: So these are all forprofit? I didn't realize that. Okay.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: That's my understanding, yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I want to welcome

Council Member Krishnan. Are there questions that my

Colleagues have because I like to ask you so that

it's not just me talking? Council Member Williams,

you have a question? I could go on and on so go

ahead.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER WI

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I know. There's

3 a lot of questions here. I'll check in with you about

4 which questions you want to ask so I'll just segway

5 from the questions and ask about the NYPD Inspector

6 General. I don't think you talked about it in your

7 | testimony. Is that position still vacant?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: I said I don't think you talked about it in your testimony. Is that position still vacant?

of the Inspector General for the PD is still vacant.

We have an excellent acting Inspector General who has been in that role now for over a year, and as we continue our active efforts and we do have some strong candidates that we've identified, but that process is still ongoing, but as we continue to focus our efforts on finding the right permanent person we are continuing our work with that group under the current excellent leadership that we have. That group issued two reports this year, and we anticipate additional reports in the coming year.

2.2

2.3

say that that also gets wrapped into, I know you said there was like over, I kind of was like shocked to read it, over 50 percent vacancy reduction in the January 2023 PEGs so is that included in it or you already have the line item to hire that person? That is not subject to the PEG, right?

vacancy reduction, that's the cut of the existing vacant positions as of I believe it was the end of October of last year. We are still allocating, meaning determining, where we will find those positions across the agency, but certainly the Inspector General position is one that we're continuing to look for. At that moment, I can tell you, I can't tell you exactly where all those vacancies will fall, I can tell you that we have 17 positions currently filled in the Inspector General unit, and we are actively recruiting now to get that number up to 22.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. In your testimony, you said 18 positions, thanks to us, you received but because it wasn't baselined, were you saying you weren't able to hire them so it was like

2 recognize that you said it was a challenge before

3 with hiring, do you think you have the capacity to

4 | fulfill your obligations as a whole?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I do, and I think we're doing that. I certainly don't there's work that we're not doing or important investigations that we're not pursuing. I think that in certain areas, I think about report writing in particular, the pace of our work is slower than I think we would like it to be, and that's in part because that's particularly time-consuming work and when you have folks who are managing multiple matters at one time, those may take a little bit longer. Overall, there may be a slower pace of work, and we are, like I said, trying to make good and efficient choices about how we pursue investigations so we're doing everything we can with what we have. I certainly think the proposals that we've made to allow our investigators to focus on their work and to supplement our investigative staff will enable us to do our work even better and even faster than we can currently do it.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay. I just have one more question, and it's sort of in relation to the fact that you're an independent entity. As I

to make it better for you?

2.2

2.3

said, staffing has been one of our big challenges, and it has caused us to, like I said, try to think really hard about how we do our work. I think in terms of maintaining our independence, that is something that we've done successfully all these years and continue to do, and I certainly don't think the staffing issues limit our ability to work in a fully independent way and it's important that we do that, but I do think additional staffing would be a very helpful add to our current situation.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Good afternoon,
Commissioner. Thank you so much for your testimony
today and thank you, Chair Brewer, for holding this

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 important hearing. I have a few questions on a few
3 different topics.

Just to begin with, one of the things that I noted in your testimony and in the summary of work that you've done involved going after things like wage theft, unscrupulous contractors, and one area that's on my mind, I noticed a case here that you had worked on was about a landlord that engaged in criminal behavior that resulted in the death of a tenant for illegal subdivision. I'm wondering what consideration DOI has given to really expanding its focus in that area. There are many instances of landlords' harassment of tenants. I've personally represented many tenants and tenant associations in those situations. Landlords destroying buildings, cutting gas, heat, hot water, and starting fires to vacate entire rent-stabilized buildings of tenants, and the biggest challenge that I've had, and my former Colleagues in legal service have also faced too, is getting law enforcement in any capacity to take this seriously. It's often seen as a Housing matter, it's referred to Housing Court. That's fundamentally not what it is. It's criminal behavior and harassment by landlords, but it never gets

2 treated that way, and so I'm just wondering, given

3 | the attention that you're paying to this area, what

4 work can be done to really expand DOI's focus here

5 too.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: This is an area that we're very interest in. As I'm sure you know, there is a relatively recent statute that criminalizes just this conduct. You have to establish that the landlord acted with intent to basically harass the tenant out of the property, but one of our Inspector General squads that focuses on housing issues is very interested in this area. This has also been a focus for at least the Manhattan DA's office and maybe other DA offices, and so we are actively working in this area, and I think that the new statute provides an opportunity to explore criminal remedies more readily for this kind of conduct. There are significant proof barriers to meet and how those are going to be established has yet to really play out in court, but I agree with you that it's an important area and it certainly is one that we are focused on.

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Yeah, I would just urge you all to find ways to really creatively

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

behavior.

think about this because this is one of the most pressing housing issues in our city now, and the landlords will say it behind closed doors, those that engage in this kind of utterly egregious activity, but the straight line of saying they'll be proof of intent of them saying I'm going to destroy this building and cut off services, that happens no doubt, but oftentimes it'll be a situation where it's very obvious when services go off. It's because of construction work and so it'll be construction as harassment and all of a sudden there will be a situation where it's like oh, oops, the water went out and that's it and it stays that way for days. Even under the statutes and intent, I just urge you all to think of really creative ways to bring these enforcement proceedings because there's a lot of value in even just bringing them too and really holding criminal landlords accountable for criminal

I did also want to ask about the report that DOI was going to put out, and we've seen reports about it too as far as the, I think you had stated before to City Council in 2022 that the NYPD IG's report on the NYPD's Criminal Gang Database or

25

2 | Criminal Group Database would be released. The Gang

3 Database Report has yet to be released. When will it

4 be released and what was the reason for the delays?

5 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: When I was here

6 last year, and it may not have been budget testimony

7 | but maybe shortly thereafter, at that point I hoped

8 and projected that we would issue the report by the

9 end of the year. Obviously, we did not make that

10 deadline, and I'm hesitant to give you a specific

11 | date in part for that reason. What I can tell you is

12 | we have made enormous progress and are in a very

13 different stage of readiness with that report than we

14 were when I was last here, and it is my expectation

15 | that it is going to be coming out in the very near

16 | future. I just don't want to give you a specific date

17 | because, for various reasons, these dates can be hard

18  $\parallel$  to pin down. The additional time that was taken, I

19 | mentioned at prior testimony and today the work we've

20 done to sort of streamline the reporting process to

21 | ensure that the work product we're doing is thorough

22 | and accurate, and that is part of what has taken some

23 additional time. I had to sort of work on those

24 things during the past year, and I think those

efforts are going to bear fruit this year. It is also

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Okay. Chair, if you don't mind, I just have a couple more questions. Thank you.

Just on that note and thank you for that, for the testimony too. Without being pinned down to an exact date, are we talking about a three-month timeline, a six-month timeline? There's obviously a lot of people and organizations who are very eager and Council Members who are eager to see the report too.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I will say that I would hope and I would expect that we would be well within three months.

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Great. Okay. Look forward to seeing it soon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1

3

4

Ŭ

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Also, I think in your testimony before too last year to the Council, you had testified that there were a number of other reports as well that you were reviewing that were in their draft stage and just wanted to get a sense of what those reports were and when we can expect them to be coming out as well.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: As I probably said

then, I can't get into the specifics about reports that we are working on. There are some that we have been able to speak about publicly, the report involving, for example, the DHS shelter service providers where we've been working with a monitor, that was something that was established under the past administration. That's a report that we hope to issue this year, and there are other matters that we've spoken publicly about, but I can't generally sort of give you a list of all of the reports that we're looking at in part because obviously as we undertake investigations we determine to some extent in real-time what reports we're going to issue and what things are not going to ultimately be public reports, but both from the PD IG squad and from the other squads we expect to issue a number of additional reports this year.

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Okay. Last question. You may have already answered it, but just to check again too. How much of DOI's upcoming budget is going to be earmarked for NYPD IG staffing?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I think this is a line item actually in the OMB budget, but what I said was we have 17 positions currently filled, and we are actively recruiting to bring us up to 22, for those seven additional positions, and that's a total 1.8 million dollars.

COUNCIL MEMBER KRISHNAN: Got it. Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think one of the concerns about this, I know that Acting Interim IG Barrett is doing a good job, and I believe that the position has been downgraded, the job posting calls for four years of post-graduate experience which is a lot less than previous IGs, and I know you were trying to get one person who didn't work out so my question is, I know you mentioned this earlier, but where exactly are you in the search? Is it possible to find the right person for this job and what can we expect in the future?

2 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I believe it is 3 possible because there have been strong candidates 4 that we are speaking to, there are strong candidates that we're speaking to. I think everyone that I'm 5 aware of that we've considered has vastly more than 6 7 the four minimum years of experience, and we're 8 looking for someone who has managerial experience, someone who has supervised other folks. Among the qualifications we're looking for potentially are 10 11 someone who has prosecutorial experience which gives you sort of case-building, fact-finding experience, 12 13 but we're open to other types of experience as well. When we initially posted the position last year, it 14 15 had a lower salary that actually, as I think I 16 probably explained maybe it was at budget testimony, 17 was actually consistent with the salaries that we pay 18 our Inspectors General and, although this is an 19 important position, all of our Inspectors General 20 have significant oversight responsibilities for very 21 important agencies within the City. However, after 2.2 the challenges that we had last year that you alluded 2.3 to with the candidate that we had expected to hire, we actually raised the posted salary range so it is 24 now as posted 170,000 to 200,000 so it is actually 25

2 quite a bit above the salaries for our other

Inspectors General and approximates I think when the

4 former IG left after a number of years he was earning

5 more than that, but we are now back in the salary

6 range that was the original salary for that position

7 when the unit was originally created so to the extent

8 that there was a perception that we had downgraded it

9 when we posted it early last year we have changed the

10 posting to address those issues.

1

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Just talking about salaries and jobs. My understanding was because of the lack of baseline last year, we were not able to hire I think it was 18 positions so now money hopefully is forthcoming because I have been a pain in the neck in the Daily News, to the Commissioner, and everybody else I can think of talking about your need for staff. When you get this funding, I have been told it would be baselined if you get it. Is that also your understanding because what's the point of getting more money that's not baselined?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly, that's our ask, and we appreciate and are grateful for your support for that ask, it is hard for me to envision how we could hire someone without a commitment that

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

we have the funding to pay them for more than one vear.

year.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Of your positions, DC37 is obviously part of a bargaining contract, good that they signed a new contract, when we ask about will they be able to be hybrid, answer June, we'll have a Committee that will decide between now and June, that's the answer I got, so now the question to you is hopefully you could hire even sooner if you have some non-DC37, I didn't get an answer, who could perhaps be hybrid, able to maybe hire faster, is that something that is, I don't know the DC37 breakdown in your office. Do you know what it is?

 $\label{eq:commissioner} \mbox{COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Not off the top of} \\ \mbox{my head, no, but what I can say is that...}$ 

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: June is far away in my book.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Our hiring, we think, would be considerably easier if we could offer even a moderate remote hybrid option of some kind...

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Have I not been saying this for a year, about the numbers? Thank you. Since January of 2022.

2.2

2.3

as an agency.

2 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We are certainly
3 equipped to have staff work remotely. We had the vast
4 majority of our staff work remotely during the
5 pandemic. Obviously, that was a challenging time, but
6 their ability to do their investigations really
7 continued unabated and certainly remote work we don't
8 view as posing an issue to our ability to be

productive and to work collaboratively as a team and

Mayor always said was if you are a revenue-generating agency, we're going to let you hire whatever you want. Of course, that hasn't been happening. Do you have an estimate of the amount of money that you saved the City by preventing fraud and waste last year because to me that would be considered revenue-generating, not to say that you don't often have revenue-generating, but do you have some sense of how much you might've saved the City?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: In terms of hard numbers, we have a 2 million dollar recovery from last year, but, of course, I think the savings that we bring is in part through the deterrent effect of knowing that we are here sort of conducting robust

often are designed to ensure that the right policies 7

47

8 and procedures are in place to avoid waste, to ensure

appropriate vetting of payments and the like so I

think although it may be hard to quantify, there are 10

11 multiple ways that our work saves the City money and

12 improves City processes beyond simply the hard amount

13 of recoveries on an annual basis.

> CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Do you have a question? Go ahead. Council Member Ayala.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: Good afternoon. Has the agency, because this is a budget we're trying to, and I just wanted to say that you have the biggest cheerleader in Council Member Brewer. She drives us all insane because we need to get more money to DOI, and we all agree, we all agree, but you couldn't have a better champion.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: And we appreciate that very much.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: She's really
relentless. Believe me. I'll record her one day so
you can see.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: I'm sorry. It's driving all of you insane.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I'm curious about two things. One, has the agency seen an increase in overtime just to make up for the fact that you're so short-staffed?

what our overtime numbers are for the past year. We have not seen a dramatic increase in overtime. Last year, our overtime was 360,000. Year-to-date for Fiscal Year '23, it's 180,000, and we're actually projecting therefore 280,000 by the end of this year so we are not seeing a spike in overtime, and that's certainly well within, I believe, what we've had in past years.

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: So as you tighten your fiscal belt, what gets sacrificed?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Certainly there is, like I said, no area where we say let's not open that, we just don't have time for that, that's really not how we work. Every complaint comes in, it gets

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

49

evaluated on its own merit, and we take the steps that we think are necessary. I think to some extent certain things may take longer like I said. I think if we had more staffing, would we be able to issue more reports on a more frequent basis. I can see sort of in real-time that obviously when fewer people are trying to do the same amount of work, it just takes more time for that work to be done, which I think is not surprising, but I certainly can't say that we've sacrificed any particular area of inquiry. We have really tried, and I think since it's our job to try to make everyone else more efficient, we've tried to sort of turn that lens inward and look at ways where we could be more efficient, and I do think that has helped us manage in the current environment, and that's things as simple as really being thoughtful about the investigative steps we take and first taking steps that might resolve a matter more efficiently, and those then lead to more investigating we'll do that as opposed to starting with a more time-consuming approach. It's things like

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

that.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER AYALA: I'm sorry if I

3 missed this, but what is the average number of

4 complaints that you received last year?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Our complaints are relatively steady so in Fiscal Year '22, basically if we move up from '20, we received just over 12,000 in Fiscal Year '20, there was a dip in Fiscal Year '21 but just down to 11,500 or so, back up into 12,000 last Fiscal Year, and this Fiscal Year we look roughly on track to be the same as last based on the first four months of Fiscal Year '23, and then the other number just to think about is active investigations, right, because not every complaints is necessarily meritorious and leads to an active investigation so to give you those numbers. We had 1,784 active investigations in '20, 1,600 and change in '21, 1,500 in '23, and this year we are so far a little higher than we were in '22 so we're on pace in terms of opening investigations with where we were last year, and that's when I say given that these indicators remain the same we would obviously appreciate having some additional staffing, particularly on the investigative side but, of course, the mission of the agency as a whole is to

whatever the number is, how do you decide which ones

2 move to the next step and, as Commissioner, have you

3 | made any changes in that process?

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: It is an entirely fact-specific determination that is really made by, the Complaint Unit intakes the complaints but then they are sort of dispositioned to the relevant squads, and the squads are arranged by the agencies they cover and then, within the squads, a determination is made about the nature of the complaint, its merit, can it be investigated. Obviously, we get certain complaints that really just are not in the wheelhouse of what we focus on that have more to do with an individual issue with receiving services just for example. It's really made on a case-by-case basis. What we have tried to do is to ensure that, and this is very agency-dependent, certain agencies have certain disciplinary processes of their own for example, and there are certain types of complaints that an agency might be, let's say a relatively minor personnel matter, for example, that might come to us because agencies generally are mindful that if there's any issue that could be a corruption problem or a misconduct problem they should refer it to us. If we really think it's

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 53 2 something very straightforward that the agency could 3 manage on its own and from our view it's not part of 4 a pattern, it doesn't reflect a broader concern, then we are mindful to think about what can be managed by 5 the agency and what is appropriately managed by us, 6 7 but other than making sure that folks are sensitized 8 to that thought process, we really are not dictating in any sort of top-down way how complaints should be dispositioned because we want the IGs with the 10 11 agency-specific expertise to be looking at each one 12 and making a judgement on each one based on the 13 nature of the complaint, the issue it raises, and again some things, depending on the information we're 14 15 given, are more subject to investigation than others. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I should know this 16 17 but particularly HPD, just like my Colleague stated, 18 we're very concerned about the housing issues, so are 19 all of the IGs in full, not acting, are they all 20 fully assigned? 21 COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: The only acting 2.2 Inspector General position that we have is the NYPD. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Right. Okay, that's

what I was wondering. That one we know well.

Does DOI cross-squad collaboration take

place? I'm sure as the leader you try to make sure it

4 does...

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: But there's no silo stuff like in other agencies that I won't mention.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We definitely do that, and that actually is something that we've focused on. We have a variety of ways that we now try to bring all of our Inspectors General together on a regular basis to share information about the work that they're doing, and I mentioned some of the processes we put in place to ensure greater oversight as everyone has a sense of all of the cases that are worked on, it's much easier for someone to say wait a minute, that sounds like a familiar name, you should speak to that person, I think they're working on that so we really encourage that. There are other inquiries that for various reasons from the start we can tell involve multiple agencies, and we will have the multiple squads collaborating on those.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You have the Policy and Procedure Recommendation Portal, and I guess that's how the public knows what's going on. How

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 55

often is it updated and how do you make sure that the public does get what they need in terms of information?

2.2

2.3

believe every quarter so when we make a recommendation it will go up on the Portal sort of at the end of that quarter, I believe, and then we have regular check-ins with our IGs and the agencies who have outstanding policy and procedure recommendations to determine the status, are they implemented, partially implemented, accepted but not yet implemented, and then we update our Portal on a regular basis with that information.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: And most agencies when they're told to do something, they do it?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We have a percentage implementation rate, and it depends a little bit on how you count it because there are these different stages, there's accepted, partially implemented, and implemented, but our rate of acceptance and implementation I believe is in the low to mid 80s so that's a pretty good rate depending on how you look at it. That rate could always be higher but...

2.2

2.3

2 CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Could be better.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yes, and I do think our having a good working relationship with the agencies and trying to understand what's feasible from their perspective is an important way to sort of drive that rate even higher.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Just to go back, I know on this headcount which is endless. Just to be specific, picking up on what you stated in your testimony as to vacancies, PEG, hiring, what you're hoping to achieve so that we know specifically what we're asking for.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Our plan involves
20 additional staff for our Confidential
Investigative Squads to be allocated in various ways
including with a special focus on the squad that
handles the big capital projects.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: That's for the jails or what else?

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: It also involves a number of I would them administrative staff for data entry clerks because we deal with a lot of data and to be able to take the burden off our investigators of processing and inputting all that data would be a

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 | that's different from the question that you're

3 asking, and we look forward to continuing that

4 dialogue with them including with respect to the

5 proposals that we've discussed with you today.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. I talk about in my next life I want to be a data analyst. I think they're so exciting. I am totally a GIS fanatic. I know you mentioned them as one of them to be filled.

You're doing the recruiting and hopefully you'll have the money and the people.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: Yes, and just to be clear, we actually have right now a well-staffed Data Analytics Unit. These positions relate to people to handle data entry to support their work.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Okay. Just in terms of training, I know you talked about it, and it's incredibly important. Obviously, if people were trained and paid attention then they might not do any fraudulent things. Do you do it in person, do you do it online, what's your methodology? Having been in City government for years, I'm a big believer in inperson because I think people cheat, I cheat when I have to do something online I cheat, so I was

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS

2 wondering how you go about it. I'm really good at

3 cheating online.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I do cheat. Sexual harassment, I can just lie all the way through. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER STRAUBER: We do it in all the ways. We have online modules that basically are disseminated, sort of pushed out to the agencies where people can do them at their desks. We also have training programs that involve live, live in the sense of an actual DOI investigator Inspector General instructor, but those programs can be offered virtually so that folks can participate, and then we also, and hope to do even more of this, are continuing to do in-person lectures as well, and this is one area where our numbers really are way up in terms of the number of people that we reached in the last year, and that's in part due to return to work and probably people then refreshing all of these sorts of requirements for trainings and engaging in them, but it's a very important mechanism for us, not only because we want people to report information to

2.2

2.3

2 us, but it's also I think a way to show folks that we

3 are here to listen, not just to teach them what they

4 should report but also to sort of give a face to our

5 agency so they have someone from a training that

6 remember hearing from, speaking to, that they can

7 call personally if they want to raise an issue.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I think there's a lot of interest, as I you can imagine, on the OIG of NYPD, and the issue there in general who reviews the draft reports prepared by that office before they're released to the public? Is that you, are there others? I know that you're trying to work on the Gang Report and finding somebody to head up that office, but those are two big challenges that have to be addressed. Go ahead.

commissioner strauber: In terms of who reviews reports, the practice is not unique to that squad. The reports are all reviewed in-depth extensively by members of the executive team, including myself, I'm personally involved in that process, and then the agency to which the report pertains, no matter what that agency is, receives a draft copy of the report so that we can make sure there aren't factual errors that we're making. We

your time.

Dyson and Judy Greene.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MURRAY: Thank you,

Chair. We'll now be turning to public testimony. Our

first panel will be two in-person panelists. Please

come up to the table when I call your name. Ivey

 $\label{eq:CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Go ahead whenever you} % \end{substitute}$  ready to start. We love the Brennan Center.

IVEY DYSON: Hi. Good afternoon, Chair
Brewer and Members of the Committee on Oversight and
Investigations. My name is Ivey Dyson, and I am
Counsel on the Liberty and National Security Program
at the Brennan Center for Justice.

and policy institute that seeks to improve our systems of democracy and justice. As a part of our focus on government oversight and accountability, we advocated for the creation of an Inspector General for the NYPD in 2013. The Inspector General, which sits under the Department of Investigations, was created to provide a systematic review of NYPD policies and practices via thorough investigations and reporting. Despite producing valuable reports in its early years, the Office's output has fallen off significantly, and it appears that it is not being

2.2

2.3

First, the Inspector General position has been left vacant since late 2021 when Philip Eure left the post with Jeanene Barrett currently holding the role of Acting IG, and the current job posting requires only four years of post-graduate experience.

Additionally, the office's staff has fallen by more than half from 38 employees in 2017 to 17 today.

Additionally, the IG has faced challenges in accessing NYPD records. NYPD has frequently restricted the IG's access to records and interfered with witness interviews.

Finally, the number and quality of IG reports has significantly declined, likely as a result of low staffing and support. From 2015 to 2019, the IG published 16 substantive reports, but since 2020 the office has only published two.

There is no doubt that the NYPD's policing practices which often harm communities of color still require robust and timely oversight. The City Council must ensure that the IG can effectively

COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 64 oversee the NYPD. To start, the City Council should call a special hearing with the DOI Commissioner and the IG to seek more information about these challenges.

Second, the City Council should consider amendments to the IG statute that would one, ensure that any vacancy in the Inspector General position is timely replaced, two, provide more transparency on the IG's operational issues, and, three, bolster the IG's authority to access information. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much.

JUDY GREENE: Thank you for the opportunity, Chair Brewer, to come before you again. I was here last April to talk on behalf of the Grassroots Advocates for Neighborhood Groups and Solutions, better knows as the New York City G.A.N.G.S. Coalition.

This is a broad coalition made up of lawyers, community activists, academics, Legal Aid Society, Brooklyn Defenders, the Immigrant Defense Project, Latino Justice, etc. I have copies that will give you more detail.

2.2

2.3

2 On May 16, 2017, we sent a letter to Phil Eure expressing our concerns about largescale 3 4 military style gang raids and asking for a review of the operations of NYPD in that regard but 5 specifically expressing particular concern about the 6 Gang Database, the so-called Criminal Group Database. 8 The importance of that work cannot be overstated. Just briefly, being labeled a gang member and put on the database can include the consequences of police 10 11 harassment, increase surveillance, loss of housing, risk of detention and removal for undocumented 12 13 people, etc. We had meetings with Phil Eure and his 14 staff, and those meetings were cordially, but about a 15 year later, hearing nothing for a while, we got wind that Mark Peters, then the Commissioner of 16 17 Investigations, had blocked the study. We approached 18 two Members of the City Council, then Members of the 19 City Council Brad Lander and Jumaane Williams wrote a 20 letter to Commissioner Eure and to the Department of 21 Investigations Commissioner. The study proceeded, but again we heard nothing for a considerable period of 2.2 2.3 time. If you'll give me just a minute, I want to tell you in sequence the ensuing developments. On December 24 6, 2015, 16 Members of the State Legislature wrote a 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 67
2	this constitutes a case study of the problems in that
3	particular and very important part of the DOI.
4	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I appreciate that. I
5	think you heard her say three months. I don't know
6	that that's an exact, but I'm pretty much like a dog
7	with a bone and so I will definitely stay on top of
8	it. I promise you. I will stay on top of it. I hear
9	you. Six years too long.
10	Thank you very, very much, both of you.
11	Any questions, anybody?
12	Council Member Joseph.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Hi. How are you?
14	Would you be able to submit that testimony with your
15	suggestions to us? We would like to see a copy of
16	that.
17	IVEY DYSON: Yes.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much,
20	both of you, thank you.
21	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MURRAY: We will now
22	turn to Zoom panelists. Our first panelist is Lyric
23	Thompson. Lyric Thompson, you may begin upon the
24	Sergeant's announcement.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2 LYRIC THOMPSON: Hi. Good afternoon. Thank you, Chair, for holding this hearing. My experience 3 4 with DOI has not been a pleasant one. I am at 1355 Decatur in Brooklyn, and I went to DOI in 2015 due to forged documents that I found within our 421-A 6 7 application as well as the fact that our 421-A 8 building was not finished. DOI, Jessica Heegan, allowed Decatur Assets to remove the forged document, the forged FCE, and told us nothing to find. I 10 11 continued to make complaints to DOI regarding our 12 building not being finished, regarding the forgeries 13 that weren't just the forged FCE, the architectural 14 papers were forged, the public accountant's papers 15 were forged, the leases submitted to HPD by Allen 16 Paknish (phonetic) when contacted by TPU, those were 17 forged, the Tenant Protection Unit knows this, DOI 18 knows this, the only one who didn't know this was the 19 new owner who lost his 421-A when he tried to use 20 these forged documents. To say that I'm disappointed 21 with DOI, we're still going through and dealing with 2.2 the consequences of our building not being finished. 2.3 One of those issues is our doors. Our doors have never been code-compliant as our building has never 24 been properly signed off by DOB so eight years 25

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

1	COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 70
2	door is not secure, it doesn't latch and anybody
3	could walk into our building, it has non-fire-labeled
4	door hardware tacked to it along with bathroom
5	caulking. I have DOI saying go back to HPD, and HPD
6	saying to go back to DOI. None of this is my job
7	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: You know what, let me
8	say, I will follow up because I'm pretty good at
9	untangling these sorts of things, and I promise
10	LYRIC THOMPSON: Well, I have the
11	receipts, Gale. I'd love to sit down with you and go
12	over all these forged documents and we can find out
13	why DOI turned a blind eye.
14	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: I would be glad to do
15	that.
16	LYRIC THOMPSON: Awesome.
17	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: We will follow up
18	offline. Thank you very much.
19	LYRIC THOMPSON: Thank you so much. Enjoy
20	your day.
21	CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you.
22	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MURRAY: Thank you,
23	Chair. I don't see anyone else who is registered on
24	the Zoom. If you're waiting to testify on the Zoom

1 COMMITTEE ON OVERSIGHT AND INVESTIGATIONS 71 and we haven't called on you, please use the Zoom 2 3 raise hand function. Just to run through our registered 4 5 witnesses, it was Juan Calcutta (phonetic), Alex Stein, Will Gallison (phonetic), and Lloyd Fang 6 7 (phonetic). I don't see any of those individuals on the Zoom or any further hands raised so, Chair 8 Brewer, I'll turn it back to you to close us out. CHAIRPERSON BREWER: Thank you very much 10 11 to my Colleagues and to the Staff and to DOI. I think 12 what I come away with is they need the funding to do their job and they need to follow up on the IG at 13 14 NYPD, and I will follow up on the woman from 1355 15 Decatur. 16 Thank you very much. This hearing is 17 adjourned. [GAVEL] 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 14, 2023