CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

of the

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

COMMITTEE ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

----X

February 3, 2011 Start: 1:28 pm Recess: 6:30 pm

HELD AT:

Emigrant Savings Bank 49-51 Chambers Street

B E F O R E:

DIANA REYNA
KAREN KOSLOWITZ
ALBERT VANN
Chairpersons

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Diana Reyna Karen Koslowitz Albert Vann

Christine C. Quinn Mathieu Eugene

Letitia James Margaret S. Chin

Peter A. Koo

Elizabeth Crowley Charles Barron Robert Jackson Ydanis Rodriguez

APPEARANCES

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Ruben Wills
Daniel J. Halloran
Daniel Dromm
David G. Greenfield
James Sanders, Jr.
Gale A. Brewer
Mark Weprin
Stephen Levin
Brad Lander
Julissa Ferreras
Jimmy Van Bramer
G. Oliver Koppell
Helen D. Foster
Jumaane D. Williams
Vincent J. Gentile

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Prof. David F. Merriman Association Director Institute of Government and Public Affairs University of Illinois - Chicago

Nelson Eusebio National Supermarket Association

Marlen Lugones Bracho Owner Third Generation Enterprises

Mark Tanis General Manager Shopper's World

Stacy Mitchell Senior Researcher Institute for Local Self-Reliance

Charles Fisher
Founder/Chairman
Hip-Hop Summit Youth Council

Tony Herbert Community Advocate Walmart 2 NYC Campaign

Eduardo Giraldo VP of Corporate and Government Affairs Queens Hispanic Chamber of Commerce

Randy Fisher Executive Director Hip-Hop Summit Youth Council

Hon. Inez Barron New York State Assembly

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Andy Sullivan Founder 9/11 Hard Hat

Tony Juliano President Greenwich-Chelsea Chamber of Commerce

Brad Gerstman Attorney New York Neighborhood Alliance

Zulema Wiscovitch Executive Director National Supermarket Association

David Schwartz Attorney New York Neighborhood Alliance

Maritza Silva-Farrell New York Jobs with Justice

John Petro Urban Policy Analyst Drum Major Institute

Sung Soo Kim President Korean American Small Business Service Center of New York

Steven Barrison Executive Vice President Small Business Congress of New York City

Maisha Morales Former small business owner FUREE

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Frank Garcia Chairman New York Statewide Coalition of Hispanic Chambers

Ken Baer Chair New York City Sierra Club

Brian Ketcham
Brian Ketcham Engineering

Michael Pricoli City Worker

Elizabeth Bird Research Analyst Good Jobs New York

Stephen Parker Board Member Americans for Democratic Action

Ken Diamondstone
Board Member
Americans for Democratic Action

Ronald J. Dillon President Concerned Homeowners Association CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Good afternoon.

3	My name is Diana Reyna and I'm Chair of the Small
4	Business Committee that oversees and advocates for
5	the 220,000 small businesses across the five
6	boroughs. I want to thank Speaker Quinn and the
7	other committee chairs for their cooperation with
8	this important hearing: Council Member Karen

9 Koslowitz of the Committee on Economic Development
10 and Council Member Albert Vann of the Committee on
11 Community Development.

Today, we embark on a hypothetical exercise to discuss whether or not the world's largest and most profitable big box retailer, Walmart, would have a positive or negative impact on New York City's small businesses and communities alike, if they were to open a store within our five boroughs.

Before we begin, I want to acknowledge the hard work of my time, as well as the Council staff for coordinating this long-awaited and delayed meeting. After several attempts to reach out to Walmart executives and members of their delegation, declined to participate in this process. Because this hearing

specifically focuses on their store, and not including their competitors who already have stores in New York City, we believe that New Yorkers deserve to hear from them. In a public forum, we believe it is an opportunity to present to the constituency of our great city.

As a result of the current human rights concerns, economic climate and the barrier surrounding Walmart's expansion, it is important to have a constructive dialogue to examine how big box retailers in urban environments impact communities and small businesses.

As a legislative body, we support innovation and high tech job creation, investing in woman and minority-owned small businesses, as well as business incubators that will facilitate the critical work of entrepreneurs in creating startup companies. Small businesses are the backbone of New York City's economy and account for almost two-thirds of the city's private sector workforce.

The small business sector employs over 1.5 million people. Under Speaker Quinn's leadership, we are fighting to expand loan

programs for small businesses, making sure that
they have everything they need to get started and
keep running in this difficult climate. We've
made significant progress on reforms designed to
benefit small business owners and eliminate
unnecessary barriers to small business expansion
and development.

I am focused on knocking down barriers in the path of men and women who are hoping to take a chance, follow a dream and start a business. The importance of the small business sector to New York City's economy and job market cannot be overstated.

I would like to thank everyone for coming today, including those who came to testify.

I want to acknowledge my co-chairs, Council Member Koslowitz and Council Member Albert Vann, who both have opening statements.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Good

afternoon, my name is Karen Koslowitz. I am the

Chair of the Committee on Economic Development.

First, I would like to thank Speaker Quinn for her

leadership and advocacy on behalf of New York

City's small business owners, employees and

citizens.

2	disadvantaged New Yorkers who are so very
3	deserving of opportunities to have good jobs with
ł	good pay by companies who will be good corporate

As Chair of the Committee on

Economic Development, I am charged with many
responsibilities, but none more important than
facilitating the economic growth and prosperity of
New York City.

The eighth largest sector of New York City's labor market is the retail sector.

The city's retail sector employs over 300,000 workers and comprises 9.5 percent of the private sector employment in the city. Over the last two years, this sector has been both growing and profitable.

Although New York City is famous for flagship retail corridors, such as Fifth Avenue and SoHo, more than 50 percent of the city's retail activity occurs along business corridors in the neighborhoods of the outer boroughs. Within these neighborhoods business corridors function as the economic engine of the community. These neighborhoods have retail

destinations such as Austin Street and 63rd Drive
in Queens, Atlantic and Fulton Avenue in Brooklyn,
Fordham Road and The Hub in the Bronx, Highland
and Victory Boulevard in Staten Island and 125th

and 181st Streets in Manhattan, to name a few.

In fact, there are almost 200 distinct commercial corridors within the many neighborhoods of each borough of the city. These commercial corridors are filled with mom and pop stores and entrepreneurs chasing the American dream.

In our charge to facilitate
economic growth and prosperity in our city, we
have a responsibility to these mom and pop
businesses to protect their fair opportunity to
compete and prosper. To communities beset with
chronic unemployment and limited opportunity, we
have a responsibility to govern responsibly and
not trade short-term relief for long-term economic
insufficiencies.

Today, we are examining the history of Walmart and its potential future in New York
City. Walmart is the world's largest retail store, and because it sells an extraordinarily

large selection of merchandise, a new nearly

Walmart store in the city potentially threatens

the existence of most small businesses in the city

and the very retail strips in our outer boroughs I

mentioned earlier.

Some have noted, and it is true, that Walmart's big box competitors may not have received the same attention when opening for business in the city that Walmart has. It is also true however, that Walmart and these other big box stores are not equals. Walmart is not your ordinary big box retail store. Walmart is in a category by itself. Walmart's main competitors are Costco, Target, Sears and K-mart. Walmart by itself exceeds the number of stores, employees, annual revenue and profits earned from each of these companies combined. Clearly, Walmart is different.

In the context of this hearing, the committee must compare Walmart's promises of low prices and good jobs with its well documented history of eliminating jobs and destroying small businesses. The committee's objective today is to listen, to learn and to get answers to critical

questions that need to be addressed. It is my
hope that at the conclusion of today's hearing we
all will have a better understanding of how best
to proceed for the betterment of New York City's
economic growth and prosperity.

CHAIRPERSON VANN: Good afternoon,

I am Councilman Al Vann, Chair of the Committee on

Community Development. I'm very please to cochair this afternoon's hearing with Chairs Reyna

and Koslowitz. I would especially like to

acknowledge and thank Speaker Quinn and her staff

for their tremendous work in organizing this joint

oversight hearing, a hearing that will examine the

potential effect on small businesses and

communities if Walmart opens a store in New York

City.

Today's hearing will provide an opportunity for the committees to understand how Walmart is different from other big box stores and its retail competitors that already exist in New York City. The Committee on Community Development is charged with the Council's oversight of issues and affairs that affect New York City residents and communities that are affected by poverty.

poverty rate.

To the extent that Walmart has presented itself as a significant solution to addressing issues of poverty in communities that lack jobs, the committee seeks to examine this More specifically, we will review whether claim. or not this large retailer can actually provide good quality jobs that will assist low income employees to advance out of poverty rather than jobs that simply perpetuate or exacerbate the

Critics of Walmart have argued that the low product costs offered to its customers have come at a high cost to taxpayers. They content that since 2005 Walmart has been defined as the United States' employer with the highest number of employees relying on anti-poverty public assistance programs such as Medicaid and food stamps, in order to meet their needs.

As Chair, I look forward to testimony regarding this critical claim and learning more about Walmart's relevant past and present practices.

In addition, I am also particularly interested in the overall oversight goal of this

2.

nearing, which is aimed at understanding the
potential comprehensive impact of Walmart on
community development efforts. Both in 2008 ar
again in 2010, I introduced legislation to make
transparent the impact of economic development
projects on communities. The Community Impact
Report Bill attempts to get at the heart of
exactly what we seek to learn through today's
hearing, which is whether or not Walmart's
presence in New York City will produce positive
economic and social outcomes for economically
distressed city communities and residents.

So, on behalf of the committee, this is another issue that I hope to discuss in today's hearing. I'd like to thank all of you for participating, all of you who have come. I look forward to an in-depth discussion on all of the relevant issues regarding Walmart's potential impact on New York City. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Cochairs. I just wanted to remind everyone of some housekeeping rules. I'd like to remind everyone, please maintain your cell phones off. Second of all, please make sure that there is no

inappropriate behavior displayed here in this chamber, including clapping. We will escort anyone who violates that rule. We want to maintain order. There are many people who would like to testify and we want to respect everyone's opinion.

We also want to make sure that people are aware there is a line around the corner, and we would like to have everyone have the opportunity to enter this room. As you testify, or should you take a break, someone else will take your place and you will have to stand in line to enter the room again. We want to afford everyone the opportunity to come in.

I'd like to give the opportunity to our Speaker to say a few words. I would like to also just recognize my colleagues who are here before us: Council Member Wills, Council Member Rodriguez, Council Member Jackson, Council Member Barron, Council Member James, Council Member Crowley, Council Member Levin, Council Member Weprin, Council Member Brewer, Council Member Ferreras, Council Member Eugene, Council Member Greenfield, Council Member Dromm, Council Member

2	Lander, Council Member Halloran, Council Member
3	Van Bramer. I believe I've captured every Council
4	Member. We also have Council Member Foster and
5	also Council Member Koppell, who just walked in.
6	As Council Members come in, I will make sure that
7	we acknowledge you. This is a very important
8	hearing for all of us and for all New Yorkers. I
9	thank you all for being here. Speaker Quinn?
10	SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very
11	much. Thank you, Chair Reyna, Chair Koslowitz and
12	Chair Vann for holding this hearing today. Thank
13	the weather for not forcing us to reschedule the
14	hearing yet again.

indicated, the City Council of New York has the responsibility, and in fact the obligation, to explore issues and topics that will affect the lives of New Yorkers. Things such as how a development might change their jobs, their neighborhood, their quality of life. How a new business entering their neighborhood could affect their jobs, their neighborhood, their quality of life.

That's why it is vitally important

2.

that today the City Council is looking at how
Walmart has affected the makeup of the other majo
metropolitan cities it has located in. This
hearing will look at what specific impacts Walmar
has had, particularly on small businesses in thos
other cities.

First, I want to thank everyone who is here today to share their thoughts of why Walmart would be detrimental or beneficial to the City of New York. As always, the Council is looking forward to hearing from both sides of this argument.

Before I begin though, I want to say how deeply disappointed I am that one very important part of the equation, Walmart, decided not to join us here today. Today would have been a great opportunity for Walmart to present its arguments and its evidence about itself as a company. To refute the claims that multiple academic and other studies have shown.

Walmart has claimed that recently it's made concerted efforts to change, to do good. If that is the case, then why not come and tell the public and the City Council, the governing

body of the City of New York, about this greatchange they have implemented and accomplished.

Walmart's absence and refusal to attend, sadly, only leads me to be further skeptical about them as a company. I can only judge the world's largest retailer by its action and its past records, not by the rhetoric it's putting out in its paid advertisements.

Let's be clear, Walmart is like no other company in the world, although they try to make comparisons and say that they are. No other company has the revenue power and the size to move the market the way Walmart has and does. To say that it's like other retailers, even other big boxes is simply not fair or a truly educated comparison.

Let's, for the record, just for a second take a look at Exxon Mobile and Chevron.

They are the second and third largest companies in the country. But their combined revenue, Exxon and Chevron, barely surpasses Walmart's revenue alone for last year. Just to put the size and scope of Walmart in perspective.

Study after study has shown that

2	Walmart's business practices are, in a word,
3	predatory. Its employment, its treatment of
į	employees is unjust and that competitors tend to

follow Walmart's lead in order to stay afloat.

In Chicago, studies found businesses in immediate proximity of Walmart had a 40 percent greater chance of going out of business. Studies have also shown that a quarter of competing businesses, within the first year of Walmart opening its store, went out of business.

Walmart says it can bring jobs to

New York City, something we desperately. Well,

for very two jobs Walmart has created in other

parts of the country, three jobs have been lost in

those very same neighborhoods. Losing more jobs

than you create is a net loss, not a gain.

This is in addition to Walmart's public and proven track record of creating jobs that do not pay sufficiently nor offer feasible health insurance options. It also has a record of creating jobs that hire and promote based on gender, race and sexual orientation, a clear violation of New York City's human rights law, which we will enforce against every employer who

is now or ever wants to come into the fiveboroughs.

If Walmart were to come to New York
City, will it ensure fair and comparable wages and
benefits? Will Walmart hire without
discrimination and treat employees of all genders,
races, religions and sexual orientation and other
components of our civil rights law fairly? Would
it allow workers to organize if they want to,
without threats of job losses or closing down
stores as they've done in other places?

Would it use local distributors and suppliers? Will it use Hunts Point Market as a distributor to help New York City's economy grow or will it stay within its own economy it has created within itself, pushing things like our important Hunts Point Market even further into distress?

If Walmart does not do any of these things, we sadly have to say it is not welcome in New York City. We don't know if they're going to do any of these things or not because they refuse to come today and answer these questions. If they don't do these things, not only will it deny basic

2	rights to New York employees, but it will destroy
3	our small businesses and eliminate more jobs than
4	it creates. All for what: low prices? Not in New
5	York City.

I have a message for Walmart. You cannot come to New York City and behave the way you have behaved in other parts of the country.

New York City will simply not stand for it.

Walmart knows this. Maybe that's why they're not here with us today.

We fought this battle before and every other time New York City has won. I am very confident that this time around and the next time around and the time around after that if it comes to that, we will be victorious again. Thank you very much, Madame Chair.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,
Speaker Quinn. I'd like to recognize Council
Member Williams who has joined us. This will
begin the first panel. We have been joined by
Professor David Merriman.

I apologize. Council Member
Charles, I have you right here. Council Member
Charles, I'd like to recognize you.

We sat down with Related around this deal, Gateway Phase 2 in our district. Because we needed jobs, we were able to negotiate

23

24

25

and Related came to this City Council, assured us in meetings that there would be no Walmart included in this plan. This is a plan that will create 2,385 units of housing, 80 percent of it affordable, defined by us, what affordability is. It would also create 7,000 temporary construction jobs and 1,000 permanent jobs without Walmart.

So when you have some of these paid black individuals coming into my district, going on TV that were paid by Walmart, probably the only job that Walmart will create is theirs. Paid, and the first thing they're going to tell our struggling people in East New York, we need jobs, we need jobs, we need jobs.

Well, East New York, we're getting them without Walmart. We're getting jobs that we're demanding having living wages, demanding that have affordable accessible health care for you, demanding that they have pensions for you, demanding that they have a workplace environment conducive for your health.

So when Walmart comes in, and you need understand that Walmart, first of all, pays a lot of workers part time wages, eight dollars and

б

2	change, so they don't have to pay health care	≘.
3	When they do pay health care, it is not heal	th

4 care that is affordable and accessible to all of

5 the things you need to take care of your health.

Walmart even had a history, and we should reject them on this alone, had a history which was featured in "Capitalism: A Love Story," Michael Moore's film where they took out insurance policies on its workers and the beneficiary was not the family of the workers, it was Walmart. They called it a dead pheasant's policy.

Walmart, if they're allowed in this city, not only will you have a sum net loss of jobs, but we're saying to Walmart, don't even think about coming into East New York. You can try to bring your little roving plantation to East New York all you want, but there are no slaves in East New York and we will not be your slave workers in your plantation. Because that's what Walmart is, it's nothing but a plantation and we're not accepting it.

We're desperate for jobs, but we're not going to take anything. We want jobs with dignity, jobs with integrity, and jobs with self-

2.

respect. That's the kind of arrangement we have
with Related. So, just to let you know, Walmart
doesn't have the power to just come into East New
York. It is Related. They have to go through
Related, because the deal was negotiated with
Related. Related has the city portion of the
property. We still have the state negotiating for
that property So it is not a done deal

Walmart will not be coming into

East New York, because what these black leaders

that are going around here pushing Walmart need to

understand that you're nothing but wolves in

sheep's clothing. You need to stop this. Respect

your people. Just for the little few pennies that

Walmart is giving you, you will see a neighborhood

destroyed, a community economy destroyed.

So we're saying don't believe the hype. You've been had, you've been took, you've been bamboozled, and the people in East New York are not going for it. Once we found out the truth, everybody I spoke to in East New York that first said: sure, bring them in. If you come up to an average person and ask if they want to bring Walmart in: jobs and cheap goods. Who's going to

2 say no?

But when you tell them about the dead pheasant's policy and you tell them about their discrimination against women and blacks and Latinos who have them in some of the largest class action suits in the nation. When you let them know that the Waltons are worth \$20 billion a piece, that their profits are \$13-\$16 billion, that their CEO makes \$13-\$29 million and they pay their workers cheap slave labor. The people will understand it. Not only will they not want Walmart in here, they'll be angry and they'll let Walmart know how they feel.

So don't believe the hype. We must fight Walmart and have a New York City free
Walmart and an East New York free Walmart. Thank
you very much.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,

Council Member Barron. Again, ladies and

gentlemen, including Council Members, no clapping,

no booing, no hissing. The rules will be

enforced. We need cooperation from everyone.

First of all, I'd like to recognize Council Member Mark-Viverito, who has joined us,

2 as well as Council Member Koo.

I'd like to call on our first witness to testify. We've been joined by Professor David Merriman, of the University of Illinois, from Chicago. Welcome, Professor.

SPEAKER QUINN: Let me just make, if I can Madame Chair, one other housekeeping addition, before we hear from the professor, who we're sorry was snowed in and couldn't make it here today.

Most of the hearing will be as they are in most hearings as long as we have enough witnesses to fall into these categories: an antipanel, a pro panel, an anti panel, a pro panel.

not being able to get here from Chicago, we already had one witness on the first anti panel who was videoconferencing. So the first panel is going to be split in two because we're not that technologically to do two video witnesses as one. We'll have the professor and do questions and bring up the other members of the anti panel and then we'll go to the anti/pro. I just wanted to explain that change in the beginning. Thank you,

2 Madame Chair and Professor.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. I just wanted to also remind everyone who is going to be testifying to please make sure that when you fill out your appearance card that you do mark in opposition or in favor of. It is very important. We mark down your position on this issue. Thank you so much. Professor, welcome.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairmen Koslowitz, Reyna and Vann and Council Members. Thank you for the opportunity to testify before your committees today.

My name is David F. Merriman and I am a Professor of Public Administration and a Professor and Associate Director of the Institute of Government and Public Affairs of the University of Illinois at Chicago.

I am an economist with more than 25 years of experience doing evidence-based analyses of state and local public policies. I specialize in fiscal issues and urban economic development.

Today I will discuss the results of research that was conducted with a number of colleagues at

Loyola University and the University of Illinois
with funding from the Woods Fund of Chicago and
our universities.

We believe that our study is the first to focus on the economic development impacts of an urban Walmart store on local businesses and employment. Fundamentally, our study had the narrow purpose of measuring the new Walmart's effect on net employment.

We conducted three annual surveys of retail businesses in the West Side Chicago neighborhoods starting in the months before Walmart opened. Drawing on an analysis of those surveys, as well as State of Illinois data on sales tax receipts by zip-code, we find evidence that the Chicago Walmart displaced many neighborhood businesses and in doing so displaced a number of jobs about equal to those it directly generated. We find no evidence of a stimulatory effect on new businesses. Full details of our study methodology and findings are available at a web site given in my written remarks.

Overall, the study's conclusions support the common sense contention that large

city Walmarts, like those in small towns, absorb
retail sales from neighboring stores without
significant net contributions to local employment.

Methodology: in order to study the effect of Walmart on economic activity on Chicago's West Side where it is located, we gathered baseline, or pre-Walmart information and measure post-Walmart changes in activity over a two-year period. We attributed changes in economic activity to Walmart's presence by comparing pre-Walmart activity and trends to post-Walmart activity and trends; changes in activity near Walmart to changes further away; and changes in product lines that directly competed with Walmart to those that did not.

We obtained our main source of data about business activity in the neighborhood of the new Walmart store by conducting a series of phone surveys of local businesses in the 64 square mile area within four miles of Walmart. From March through late August 2006, we implemented our telephone survey and were able to obtain pre-Walmart data.

Beginning in March 2007, we re-

surveyed those stores and then we conducted a third wave of data collection beginning in spring of 2008. These data collection efforts yielded a sample of 306 retail businesses for which locations relative to Walmart, line of business and ongoing status were known over the time period.

On average the firms that we surveyed in 2006 had about 10 employees and paid a wage of about \$8.08 per hour. About half were owned by Chicagoans while the rest had dispersed ownerships. Many of the businesses had owners that worked directly in the store for many hours, an average of about 49 hours each week and many had women or minority owners.

All businesses in our survey had product lines that overlapped to some extent with Walmart's. Many businesses carried multiple product lines, and among the most popular product lines of the stores we surveyed were apparel, 48 percent of the stores, and drugs, 31 percent of the stores. Businesses ranged from as little as one-tenth of a mile from Walmart to as far as 4 miles from Walmart with the average business about

2 two and one third miles from Walmart.

Fifty-six of the 306 businesses we surveyed in 2006 had gone out-of-business by spring of 2008 and an additional 32 of the businesses, for a total of 88 had gone out-of-business by the end of our data collection period. Thus, the probability of a business closing during our study period was approximately 29 percent.

We used maps and statistical analysis to test the hypothesis that proximity to Walmart influenced the probability that a firm would go out of business during the study period. We found that businesses in the immediate proximity of Walmart had about a 40 percent chance of closing some time over the two year period after Walmart opened.

The probability a firm would go out-of-business fell at a statistically significant rate as distance to Walmart increased, about 6 percent a mile. Thus at three miles, a competing business had only a 22 percent chance of closing during the course of our study.

The general decline of closures with distance from Walmart was independent of

2.

direction that we looked. None of the simple
models of business closure was significantly
altered by introduction of variables that measured
direction such as north, south, east or west.
Similarly, base year employment had no
statistically significant impact on the
probability that a store went out of business.

We also studied the going-out-of-business data by retail line of business. All lines, with the exception of shoe stores showed negative distance effects and a majority were statistically significant. The largest effect was found for toy stores at 25 percent per mile. So a hypothetical toy store adjacent to Walmart had about a 75 percent chance of going out of business. A toy store three miles away would have almost no chance.

We tried to estimate the jobs that were lost as a result of Walmart. Using our data and relatively conventional statistical techniques, we estimated additional business closures due to the presence of Walmart and multiplied that times the average number of jobs per establishment that went out-of-business.

Average employment of the sampled
establishments for which we have employment data
that closed during our study was about six full
time equivalent workers. This gives an estimate
of about 189 full-time equivalent jobs lost
through the Walmart's effect on the 306 sampled
stores. If we adjust our estimates for the fact
that not all stores were sampled, we estimate
total losses of about 298. Total job losses of
about 298

The job-loss figures estimated here can be compared to the employment created by the new Walmart store which we estimate, from various sketchy data in the media, at about 320 full-time equivalent jobs.

Thus, we find no evidence that distance to Walmart was significantly correlated with the change in employment or wages in stores that did not close.

We also looked at a second data set, as I mentioned earlier, on sales taxes. This survey data throw light on the impact of Walmart on nearby retailers in operation when Walmart opened. But these data cannot fully address

2.

б

C	claims that the Chicago Walmart stimulated new
1	retail business in the surrounding area. To
€	explore such claims we obtained quarterly, zip
C	code-level data on taxable sales from the Illinois
Ι	Department of Revenue from the first calendar
_	muarter of 2000 through the third quarter of 2008

This dataset includes the zip code in which Walmart is located, and nine surrounding zip codes. We used data only through first quarter of 2008 to avoid confounding trends for the financial crisis that began in September of 2008.

In 2005, the zip code in which
Walmart is located had taxable sales of about \$743
million. We do not have data on the annual sales
of the Chicago Walmart, but estimate using various
data sources that its sales would account for
approximately 8 percent of the sales in that zip
code. Thus, in the absence of any crowd-out
effects, sales in Walmart's zip code would be
expected to jump about 8 percent after its
opening.

Sales in Walmart's zip code were growing at a healthy 7.5 percent per year before

its opening compared to growth of only one percent in neighboring areas. Thus, in the absence of any crowd-out effects, sales in Walmart's zip code would be expected to continue to grow much faster than its neighboring zip codes.

Our statistical analyses of these data finds that there was a jump in retail sales in Walmart's zip code immediately after it opened but that for the area as a whole there was no net change in sales, suggesting that the additional sales in Walmart's zip code simply reduced sales elsewhere.

Furthermore, the growth in sales

fell in both Walmart's zip code and in neighboring

zip codes after Walmart's opened. This is

consistent with Walmart's sales substituting for

sales of nearby retailers. The temporary jump in

Walmart's zip code was immediately erased by

slower growth in sales of other retailers

according to our analysis.

In summary, our study of Chicago's West Side Walmart has provided evidence that, in an urban setting, proximity to Walmart is associated with a higher probability of going out

of business for local retail establishments. In
addition, sales tax collections suggest that the
West Side Walmart has replaced sales from its own
zip code and its immediately neighboring zip code.

The relation between closure and distance from Walmart has strengthened over time. The impact of distance from Walmart on store closures is larger and more significant in our later samples. While there is considerable uncertainty attached to these calculations, they suggest a loss in employment and sales about equal to Walmart's own employment and sales. These estimates support the contention that this Walmart store absorbed retail sales from other city stores without significantly expanding the market.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak before you today. I'd be happy to take questions.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Professor

Merriman, thank you so much. Your testimony was

very enlightening, especially during your summary

and conclusion. We would like to ask you several

questions.

In your testimony, you stated that

25

2	businesses in the immediate proximity of Walmart
3	had about a 40 percent of closing sometime over
4	the two-year period after Walmart opened. Which
5	kinds of businesses were the most likely to close?
6	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: In our
7	study, we looked at it by type of business. Those
8	businesses that most directly competed with
9	Walmart were the most closing. As I mentioned in
10	my testimony, toy stores were the number one most
11	affected by that.
12	In looking at the data, it seemed
13	to us that Walmart could easily substitute for
14	that didn't require a lot of personal services
15	were most likely to be affected by Walmart's
16	opening.
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: So you would
18	say apparel stores?
19	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I have
20	the actual figures. If you give me a minute, I
21	can look it up. Sorry, I don't have it right off
22	the top of my head. So for apparel stores, there
23	was a definite significant affect. Apparel was a

little bit lower than some of the other ones.

Hardware stores were particularly affected. Drug

23

24

25

2	stores, there was a large effect. Electronics,
3	for example, those sorts to stores, those
4	relatively kind of high ticket items, relatively
5	expensive items where there wouldn't be a lot of
6	personal service would be the ones that would be
7	most affected.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: If you can just
9	share with us, you mentioned apparel was least
LO	affected.
11	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Less
12	affected.
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: What was least
L4	likely to close?
15	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: When I
16	say affected, I mean the probability of closure.
L7	So the probability of closure, based on our
18	analysis, as I said the number one would be toy
19	stores. It looks to me, quickly scanning the data
20	like electronic stores would be the number two
21	store. Other stores that were greatly affected

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Yes, I heard that, Professor Merriman. I wanted to understand what be those stores that would be the least

would be drug stores and hardware stores.

2 likely to close.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: The least likely to close. I'm sorry. Least likely to close stores that we found were shoe stores, as I mentioned in my testimony, apparel stores had a relatively smaller effect, and other types of stores not fitting any general category. Those were the ones with the smallest quantitative effects based on our study.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. I want to just recognize Speaker Quinn.

much, Professor. I just want to ask you to reiterate what I'm pretty sure I heard you say in your testimony was that in your study you controlled for the economic decline. Because some might try to minimize the impact of your study saying those stores would have gone out of business anyway because of the recession. I think I heard you say that in your methodology you had a way that you controlled for that.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes.

There are two issues here. As I mentioned, there are two data sets that I discussed. The first

data set was just within a four mile vicinity of
Walmart. So the national economic decline
obviously affected that whole area, but it
affected the whole area relatively uniformly. S
it's not really relevant to that discussion.

The other data set we used was sales in the area. We cut off the sales data; we stopped our analysis in the beginning of 2008, before the national economic decline. We actually have done some exploratory analysis letter the data go longer. When we look at it longer, we find more severe effects. But we thought in the spirit of being conservative in interpreting our results, we didn't want to convolute the results by allowing the results to go further once the economic decline started.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you. I just think that's important in case other witnesses try to discredit the data in any way.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Thank you.

SPEAKER QUINN: I just wanted to reconfirm that for the record. Two things: one, I mean, I think Walmart kind of touted its Chicago

model as a different model. That they had made concessions and changes based on negotiations with the community and others in Chicago. Though still, we see in Chicago there being an extreme effect on jobs.

The main offer Walmart seems to
make to places that it wants to open is that it
will create jobs. But it seems very clearly that
your study has concluded that there is not an
overall growth in jobs when Walmart comes to a
community, that there is a distinct creation of a
Walmart job but at the expense of other jobs.
Closer to Walmart, a greater likelihood that those
are the jobs lost, but with still some impact even
as the radius goes further. That's correct?

That is correct. I should say there have been a large number of studies, national studies about Walmart. Our results are consistent with those national studies. We're the first one to do this kind of study in an urban area on one particular store, but the results are very consistent across the--

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes.

SPEAKER QUINN: [interposing] There

is no outlier out there? There is no jurisdiction
in which Walmart has opened and been studied that
shows that in that place it net-net created jobs?
By that I mean the jobs it brought and other jobs
remaining?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Not to my knowledge, I don't believe there is.

SPEAKER QUINN: Because there is a phenomenon. Some have documented it and talked about it with other big boxes, right, that you might go there to get the huge block of 40 rolls of toilet paper but you still go to your local store to get the quart of milk, that they're not in competition. That's if that is, in fact, a correct phenomenon, we see nothing like that with Walmart.

Some would contend that bringing big boxes in actually kind of raises the tide for everyone and then everyone does better by having greater economic activity. Whether that's true or not in other places, I don't know, but some assert that. There's nothing to indicate that Walmart raises the level of economic activity which then would have a positive spillover effect on others.

2	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: We didn't
3	see that in the Chicago data.
4	SPEAKER QUINN: Your data looks at
5	just businesses opening and businesses closing.
6	Does it look at all at the pay levels of jobs
7	gained or lost?
8	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: We didn't
9	have data on what Walmart paid or the working
10	conditions in Walmart. We did look at some
11	national studies to figure that out, and we also
12	heard some things from the media. We did attempt
13	to survey businesses about the wages paid in the
14	small businesses that were in our dataset. As I
15	mentioned, we found wages of only around \$8.00 an
16	hour in those businesses.
17	SPEAKER QUINN: Professor, is there
18	anything that would lead you to believe, based on
19	your expansive analysis of what happened in
20	Chicago that if a Walmart was to come to New York
21	City, we would somehow be protected from the job
22	losses you saw in Chicago?
23	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Well, I
2.4	think that the effect on Walmart on businesses in

the immediate area depends on the configuration of

that area. The particular area in Chicago had a
lot of small businesses relatively dense. My
guess is that the results of our study could be
extended to any area like that. I don't know the
neighborhoods that are being discussed in New York
but I think it could be different in different
neighborhoods. You could have different kinds of
effects in different neighborhoods.

SPEAKER QUINN: So it would be fair to say that if Walmart was to open in a neighborhood which had never seen any significant level of small businesses, it wouldn't have the same effect. But if Walmart, which is the case to some degree, in the neighborhood that we believe they're looking where there have been small businesses, there have been supermarkets, et cetera. If it opens in a neighborhood where there is a cluster of businesses that it will largely, one could extrapolate, have an effect on that?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes, I think that's correct.

SPEAKER QUINN: Thank you very much.

25 CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,

2 | the others. Thank you very much, I appreciate it.

3 CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'd like to

recognize Council Member Barron.

very much. Professor, I want to follow up where the Speaker left off on the kind of businesses in a particular area. In my area in East New York, there is already an existing mall there that has all kinds of businesses, some smaller big box stores and restaurants. Then we have a new plan that's going to bring in an extension of that mall and have more retail stores come in. In addition to that extension of the mall, we're also going to have a town center, because 2,300 units of housing is coming in also with a new school and three parks. A whole new community is coming in.

So this town center is also going to have retail stores coming in, and that's not counting the existing retail stores outside of the mall that exists, the mall that's coming, the town center and then we have community stores all around a densely populated neighborhood.

What would you predict the economic impact of a Walmart would be on that kind of

CHAIRPERSON REYNA:

Council Member Barron. Council Member Rodriguez,

to follow Council Member Halloran.

Thank you,

23

24

25

2	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
3	you. Professor, I have one question. Based on
4	the finding of your study, would you recommend
5	that other cities, such as New York, open the door
6	to Walmart?
7	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Well, I
8	have to say in general I don't take policy
9	positions. I want to say that I understand I'm on
10	an anti panel. She shouldn't confuse that with me
11	being anti-Walmart. I'm reporting on the results
12	of my study. I think it's up to you as the
13	Council to deliberate the cost and benefits. What
14	I think our study shows is that Walmart is not a
15	net generator of jobs, is not a net generator of
16	retail sales in urban areas. You have to weight
17	the many positive benefits and negative benefits,
18	I think as Council Member Quinn said earlier. So
19	I would prefer not to take a position on that.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER RODRIGUEZ: Thank
21	you.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member
23	Halloran?
24	COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: Thank
25	you, Madame Chair. Professor, my background in

education was in anthropology, so I'm a student of the social sciences. I'm trying to comb through your data and conclusions.

You're saying that in fact jobs
were lost but I believe your testimony was that
they were extrapolated because you don't have
concrete information position by position on the
88 stores which you estimate to have closed. You
gave an average number of ten per store of
employees. You were estimating a 289 person loss
but you admit that Walmart conservatively employed
302 people. Unless my math is wrong, that
indicates a net growth of roughly 6 percent in
terms of jobs. Is that accurate or inaccurate?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I think what we say in the study is that it reduced jobs by a number roughly equal to the number that it created. I think that is justified based on the different datasets that we looked at actually in the study. Three datasets and I talked about two of them here today.

Obviously your math is right on those numbers. I would claim that in Chicago there was a job loss, but I think that roughly the

2 jobs that were created were netted out.

prior Council Member asked you a question, a particularly pointed question and repeated it twice, your answer as a social scientist is you have no data in front of you that supports the notion that in the Chicago study there was actually a net loss of jobs. In fact, your data indicates there was a net gain in jobs. Is that not correct?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I have not data indicating there was a net loss of jobs. That's right. You could argue that there have been jobs.

also ask you, looking through your data, at one point you indicate that there was a 40 percent chance of closing at some time over the two-year period. Yet your hard data, your actual extrapolated data is that in the two-year period from '06 to '08, 32 businesses plus 56, for a total of 88, which is actually only 29 percent closed. How do you reconcile those two disparate numbers? As you're looking at those numbers, are

you accounting for or are you controlling for
other factors including age-outs, lease-outs,
distress, family members retiring and other
economic factors or are you simply assuming the
only factor is Walmart in your data analysis?

prof. David F. Merriman: So, let me take the first part of that question, the 40 percent figure versus I think the 26 or 29 percent figure. The 40 percent figure refers to a business immediately adjacent to Walmart and it's an estimated figure. As the major thrust of the study is to show that as distance from Walmart increases, the probability of going out of business declines, the 26 percent figure is over the whole area. That's an observed figure. So those figures absolutely are consistent.

With respect to the second question, did we take into account other factors, we didn't have data on all the possible reasons a business might close. What we are assuming, we're using a statistical procedure which suggests that those factors are random relative to distance to Walmart. So that is what we did.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: So your

answer is no, you didn't control for any other
factor when you analyzed the data. Do you also
admit you have no baseline of businesses closing
to use as a reference point in analyzing it
comparatively speaking? Meaning, did you take
statistical data from that Chicago area over any
other period of time to compare it to closings
that were occurring to use as a reference in your
analysis.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: We don't have data from other periods of time. Of course, it's not clear they would be relevant since the economic conditions would change over time. But no, we don't have data. We didn't do this kind of study, you know, five years earlier or obviously we didn't do it five years later.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER HALLORAN: My final question has to do with your analysis of sales tax collections data and the like. You indicate that you only observed through one quarter of 2008, and in understand why you did that, because of the incredible economic downturn that we experienced.

However, you did, in terms of

looking at the numbers on other issues, use the entire reference period, right? So, there is a bit of skew when you cut off that 2008. I understand your testimony was that as it went forward there were some more significant losses that you're just not willing to attribute yet to the impact.

However, how is it that you were unable to determine the annual sales of Chicago's Walmart? That was not available to you in any manner, including corporate disclosures, their publicly traded information to shareholders or net reporting on the NASDAQ or NYSE wherever they are? I'm not sure which branch they're on. You weren't able to make any correlation there to sales tax numbers? Could you explain why that was the case?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I'm not aware of any data by establishment that's released. I mean, naturally, businesses release data on their sales, their global or maybe even their state sales but they don't release it by establishment. We asked the Department of Revenue and they were unable to give us that data. The Illinois Department of Revenue was unable to give

2	us	that	t dat	ta du	e to	o coi	nfide	entiality	/ restrict	cions.
3	So	I'm	not	sure	if	you	had	another	question,	but

4 that's why.

4 that's why.

appreciate you telling us why. It helps. I will say that I do share the Speaker's concern that Walmart chose to absent them selves here. I think it would have been nice for them to come here. I think in light of the fact that we all got together on the third attempt to be here, after snowstorm and snowstorm and we were all clear. It

would have been nice to have the other side here.

Professor, I also appreciate the fact that you're saying you're not anti-Walmart although you're on an anti-Walmart panel designation. You're just trying to report the data. I would suggest to you that looking at it from a social science perspective, I would hope you would agree with me that there are numerous holes in how you've compiled the data, admittedly by circumstance, and that characterizations that have been made heretofore that suggest a net job loss are not in your report. You agree with me, yes?

2	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I think
3	that a characterization that there is a net job
4	loss doesn't accurately portray our report. I
5	think what accurately portrays our report is what
6	we said in the summary. It's about a wash in
7	terms of jobs. We don't find a net gain.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so

much, Council Member.

SPEAKER QUINN: I just want to clarify for the record in case I created any confusion in my opening statement with my colleagues. The professor gave his testimony. I mean we did assign him to an anti, just to kick it off. But the Professor is a professor and professors and their academic stature study things and then give their results. He presented the results of his study in his own words in his own commentary right now and people can draw whatever conclusions they want from it.

The studies that I have referenced both earlier today at a press conference and my opening statements are not this professor's studies. We allowed this professor to make his own presentation today and then be questioned by

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 58
2	looked at, they existed in the same economic
3	climate, all of them, correct?
4	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes. I
5	think that's fair.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: They
7	existed in the same regulatory framework, yes?
8	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: The only
10	variable was distance from the Walmart, correct?
11	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: It's one.
12	I mean, obviously, each of the businesses had
13	their own independent conditions, but we thought
14	that was the most relevant variable for this
15	study.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: The
17	variable that you were studying was distance from
18	Walmart.
19	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Yes.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So, of
21	course, other factors affected all of those
22	businesses: the size, what the ownership was, what
23	the economic climate was, what the regulatory
24	climate was. But you essentially analyzed them
25	for what their distance to and from Walmart was,

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: Thank you very much for clarifying that. I guess my second point is I know you did not, as part of this

24

25

study, interrogate these questions on job quality
on wages, on reliance on public health systems if
you don't have health care, or on the other range
of factors. But hypothetically, if others had
found that the jobs that were lost were superior
in their job quality to the jobs that were gained
and that there was basically a wash in numbers,
you wouldn't say that that was wise for a city to
embrace, to have a net swap of better jobs for
worse jobs, even if you've got the same number.
PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Again,
I'm sorry; I have to defer to your judgment on

I'm sorry; I have to defer to your judgment on those factors. I mean, the quality of jobs that a business creates is one set of factors. There are many other factors that you might wish to look at. You know, what are the benefits in cost to consumers, what are the benefits in cost in terms of economic freedom, et cetera. So I really don't want to take a position. I'm sorry; with all due respect, I believe it's your job to make those kind of judgments.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER LANDER: So let me just maybe ask another way. If you knew that you

that this City Council has been really, really,

25

enterprises.

really strong on and we continue to put pressure

on this Administration, is on the services and on

the support to our minority women business

In the City of New York, people of color are the majority in this city. I would dare say that our small businesses are primarily run and owned by minority women entrepreneurs. Is that a similar dynamic in Chicago in terms of the businesses that you were studying, the surveys that were conducted with?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: As I said, yes, the data that we collected showed a very large number--I don't have the numbers right in front of me--of the businesses were women-owned and minority-owned and that the owners were local and worked many hours in the store. So, yes, I think that's consistent with the Chicago experience.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: So then if we were to model that pattern here in New York City, that if a Walmart were to come to town, that is primarily, again, those entrepreneurs that are investing in our communities that would be

impacted and it would have an adverse impact on
people of color in this city. That clearly is of
concern to me, as someone who represents a
district that is primarily Latino, African
American and that most of our local entrepreneurs
are represented in that way. So that clearly is
an issue

What would you say was the percentage of the businesses that you studied that fall in that category that would be considered a minority women business enterprise?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Let me just look at some of the statistics from the report. I'm sorry.

Fifty-one percent of the businesses were owned by Chicago residents. Forty-seven percent of the businesses were owned by women, had women owners. Fifty-five percent had minority owners. Those are the kind of statistics we found.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO: I appreciate that. So I mean at the end, I thank you Madame Chair for that. As has been said to me, Walmart is definitely not welcome in New York

24

25

_	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 01
2	City. It is a union-busting, tax-evading, wage-
3	suppressing, job-destroying, civil-rights abusing,
4	food-stamp denying, multinational corporation that
5	has no place in New York City.
6	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,
7	Council Member.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:
9	Thank you very much.
LO	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so
L1	much. I just want to recognize Council Member
L2	Levin, Williams, Greenfield, and Brewer will be
L3	the last individual. We do have other academics
L4	testifying if you'd like to hold your questions
L5	for the next few panels.
L6	COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you,
L7	Madame Chair. Thank you, Dr. Merriman. I just
18	have a quick question or just a point to
19	elucidate. So I appreciate that your study goes
20	until the beginning of 2008. That's a good thing
21	in terms of the control of it as it relates to the
22	timing with the economic crisis as it began.

So as of the beginning of 2008, the

job balance was a wash, right. But it's fair to

say, do you agree, that all the jobs that would

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN:

Thank you,

25

COUNCIL MEMBER LEVIN: Thank you, Doctor.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Council Member. Next is Council Member Williams, Greenfield and then Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you, Doctor, for your testimony. My first question had to do, I guess

2	with the study that a few of my colleagues spoke
3	about. My general question was is there generally
4	accepted practices or methodologies for these
5	kinds of studies? Did your study follow that?
6	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: This is
7	the first study that I'm aware of, of its kind,
8	looking at a single Walmart store. I think we did
9	something that was consistent with our experience.
LO	The main authors are very, very experienced
11	researchers. And I think, yes, it's consistent
12	with good research practice.
L3	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank
L4	you. Also, you talked about I think the jobs that
L5	they brought in were around \$8. Can you tell me
L6	the salaries that the other stores were paying at
L7	the same time, the average salaries?
18	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I think
L9	there might be some confusion here. I did not
20	have any data on what salaries Walmart paid. We
21	know from our survey data in the competing stores
22	we found average salaries of a little over \$8.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: But you
24	had no data for Walmart?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: We don't,

2 no.

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Since it was a wash, it appears that the job part of it was a wash, was there anything that you found that was beneficial to the community in which Walmart came?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: Well, the main things that we focused on were jobs and sales, and in that it appeared to be pretty much a wash. We didn't look at other issues like real estate prices or anything like that. So we really didn't look at other issues, so I didn't find anything beneficial.

very much. Also, I want to say I'm also concerned about Walmart's practices. I was hoping they would have come today so we can talk about that, since they are touting that they're good. It's very unfortunate that they weren't and I'd very much like to talk about them with my concerns and maybe they can address them.

I'm also concerned that they're pushing the job issue. I think my community needs jobs but even if you're hungry, someone shouldn't feed you garbage. I think that Walmart is trying

the south of the Walmart--the Walmart is located

25

2	on a major thoroughfare, North Avenue, a lot of
3	traffic. Immediately to the south is a largely
4	African American, very poor neighborhood.
5	Immediately to the north of it is a largely
6	Hispanic neighborhood that's been growing
7	relatively rapidly in recent years. To the east
8	of it is a neighborhood that's also been growing
9	rapidly. It's more mixed ethnically I believe
10	than the other two neighborhoods. To the west of
11	it, the African American and the Hispanic
12	neighborhood continue a bit and then you hit a
13	suburb which probably has more Caucasian
14	residents, white residents.
15	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
16	you, Professor.
17	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: The
18	western neighborhood is a higher income than the
19	north or south neighborhoods.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Was
21	there a rationale that Walmart gave when they
22	moved into this particular neighborhood? Did you
23	follow that debate or conversation in Chicago at
24	the time?

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I think

2	there were several neighborhoods that were
3	discussed. Particularly south of this Walmart was
4	a very distressed neighborhood. I think some of
5	the arguments were that it might benefit that
6	distressed neighborhood. Although if we look at
7	where Walmart actually located, it was actually a
8	very rapidly growing portion of that neighborhood.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So in
LO	your opinion, the arguments that were made by
11	Walmart were those, in fact, proven to be true or
12	not true?
13	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I'm
L4	sorry. I couldn't comment on that.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
L6	PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: I'm not
L7	familiar enough with exactly what arguments they
18	made.
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,
20	Council Member Greenfield. I just want to
21	interrupt. I do have to limit Council Members.
22	I'm allowing very generous times and we're never
23	going to get through this. I see more and more
24	small business owners leaving, apologizing that

they can't stay. So I just want to make sure that

effects of Walmart. That I think would be an interesting piece of data, but we were not able to do that.

22

23

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Walmart, there were none. Once Walmart supplanted itself, everything else came afterwards as far as big box retailers are concerned.

PROF. DAVID F. MERRIMAN: To the best of my knowledge, that's correct. I don't have the exact dates that things opened. Generally the trend in that neighborhood is for more large chain stores to open.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very much, Professor Merriman. I really appreciate the time you've given us, your testimony and any

б

over a million cases to the people sitting to the right and to the left of me. I sell to mom and pop grocery stores and to independent supermarkets throughout New York.

I guess we're here to discuss the impact of Walmart. Our soda is represented by the communities in New York City and the Compares and the Fine Fares and the Associateds that buy our product every day, day in and day out.

My company employs minorities. I
am a woman-owned and minority-owned business. My
family has been in business in New York for over
35 years. What we do is sell beverages. We
employ people. We have jobs. We deliver. We
manufacture. We need these customers to continue
to survive. That's basically it.

MARK TANIS: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Mark Tanis. I am a Haitian American that has been working with Shopper's World as a General Manager for over seven years. We're located in the heart of Brownsville, 1572 Pitkin Avenue, between Herzl and Strauss Streets. I also sit on the Pitkin Avenue Business Improvement District as a Board Member.

Shopper's World has been in the
community for over 45 years and also known as
Pitkin Bargain Center. Shopper's World employs
full timers and part timers, which consist of 40-
50 employees. There are several employees that
have been working for our corporation over 40
years. They are the backbone of our
establishment. Where will they go? They have
their union, which is Local 91, which Walmart does
not offer to their employees.

Shopper's World works in conjunction with the local 73rd Precinct, works with the Pitkin Avenue BID, which is the Business Improvement District, the BRC, which is Brownsville Recreation Center. We adopted Brooklyn Collegiate School, located between Bergen and Thomas Boyland. We donated to various schools in the Brownsville area. We've participated in fashion shows, helped in Summer Street events, formulated Halloween parades, Christmas tree lighting and much, much more.

It is imperative we keep a major conglomerate like Wal-Monster away from small businesses such as Shopper's World, Lane Bryant,

Jimmy Jazz and other mom and pop stores. I feel
this juggernaut, or Wal-Monster, is brainwashing
the consumers by their fancy ads. The bottom line
is the Wal-Monster will drive the small businesses
out of business. This will affect the families
who, unfortunately, will be out of a job.

Small businesses, such as Shopper's World, are loyal to their customers. We know customers on a first name basis and we have a great rapport with the community and with Brownsville community, to be precise.

Small businesses are your typical stores that customers need in the neighborhood. The Pitkin Avenue BID Executive Director, by the name of Daniel Murphy, and I will continue to fight this major conglomerate not to come to New York City. Thank you.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Hello, may name is Nelson Eusebio. I represent my individual store in Queens New York, but I also represent 400 independently owned supermarkets scattered throughout the area of New York City.

Supermarkets that are run on an everyday basis by owners, owners who are there who

care about their customers, who care about the community, who contribute back to where they do business by hiring local people from the neighborhood. They don't bring nobody out of state, not even out of borough. They hire within their ten block district. If you live in the community, chances are you work in your supermarket if you need a job. That's what we do. We provide services and we've been doing that for 25 or 30 years.

In an ideal world, you say this is a cathedra [phonetic] society, why are you afraid of Walmart? Why don't you want to compete with Walmart? The reason we can't compete with Walmart is—and I think you have part of the testimony here—is Walmart doesn't play fair. They don't do business the right way. We support our local distributors. We buy our soda from her and we buy our bread from the baker on Pitkin Avenue and a distributor on Flat Bush Avenue. Walmart doesn't do that. They bypass that. As a result, that's how they're able to sell cheaper.

When they say that Walmart didn't displace jobs in Chicago, they did displace jobs.

They took away jobs that were there already in the community and brought them to their place of business. I want to continue to be an entrepreneur for 20 years down the road. I'm not interested in working for Walmart. I want to run my own business. That's something that Walmart needs to understand.

This is a city made up of small businesses, businesses that give back to the community. We fought these chains before, 20-30 years ago, we went down this road with other chains that were coming into the city. We all know where they are now. Walmart is no different.

It's very interesting. There was an article just this week in the Daily News about a strategy that Walmart is doing. Walmart said we're rolling back prices, we're going to sell cheaper. We want to attract more customers. The fact is that they have a lot of inventory left over from the Christmas holidays and they need to get rid of it.

But how they're going about that and this is in their own words. They said we're going back to our manufactures and we're going to

tell them either you get us a better price on that or you're going to get out of the warehouse, we're not going to pay you for it.

So in that case, if they're supporting small business, like they claim they are, somebody like Marlen here who has shipped them four trailers of soda, they're going to call Marlen and say you know what, that price you gave me yesterday, it's no good. Cut it back again and maybe, maybe we'll take that product from you. What does Marlen do? Either she goes out of business or she tries to sell them. Either way, she's stuck.

That's how Walmart is able to sell cheaper than us. We don't practice that way. We leave our money in our communities. We work with the local distributors. We work with the community. We work with all the businesses. What we have in East New York and in Ozone Park is a healthy community, is a prosperous community. If you walk up and down Pennsylvania Avenue, you see a flurry of shops, of stores, of supermarkets. A thriving community made up of entrepreneurs, made up people that live there and that shop and they

My name is Stacy Mitchell. I'm a

25

Senior Researcher with the Institute for Local
Self-Reliance, which is a 37-year old national
nonprofit research and educational organization
with primary offices in Minneapolis and
Washington, D.C. My area of expertise is the
retail sector, and in particular, the impacts of
large format retailers, notably Walmart.

I have presented on this topic at numerous national conferences, organized by groups like the American Planning Association, and have served as adviser to many communities seeking guidance on policy responses.

My testimony today examines some of the economic and community impacts that New York would experience if Walmart expands into the city.

I'll just briefly summarize the six primary points in my testimony.

The first one has to do with Walmart's urban expansion strategy. I think it's important to note that as Walmart plans to move into cities, its approach is not to open one or a few sort of flagship stores.

Rather, Walmart appears to be pursuing the same strategy that it's pursued for

over 50 years in suburban and rural locations as it expands, which is really a market saturation strategy.

Where it comes into a new region, it builds a few stores and then it goes back and opens stores in between those stores and then goes back and opens stores in between those stores until the market area of each store overlaps with its other stores and it has blanketed the entire region. This really enables Walmart to maximize its competitive position or market area.

Walmart appears, as I said, to be approaching major cities in this same way. In Chicago, Walmart has announced that it wants to open more than two dozen supercenters as part of the first phase of its expansion. That works out to about 4.5 million square feet of retail store space, the equivalent of more than 100 football fields, and would be expected to capture at least \$2 billion in local spending each year.

Walmart's intentions in New York

City are likely to be similar in scale and scope

and the company has indicated that it will deploy

a variety of different store sizes in order to

б

2 enter even neighborhoods that are too dense to 3 accommodate a larger type of store.

The key point here is that this is really not about Walmart opening a few stores in the city, it's really the beginnings of what the company, I believe, hopes will be a much more extensive deployment and takeover of the New York City market, leading ultimately to a substantial transformation of the city's retail sector.

That's why I think this hearing is so important today.

My second point has to do with
Walmart's impact on locally-owned businesses.
When Walmart opens, nearby local businesses
downsize and close. This has been a consistent
and well documented pattern in communities across
the country. At the national level, you can look
at U.S. Census data and watch the number of small,
independent retailers has declined just as
Walmart's market share has risen.

Even businesses that are competitive and popular often really struggle to survive Walmart's aggressive tactics. Antitrust officials in Arkansas, Wisconsin, the United

Kingdom and Germany have found, as have various
industries, most recently the toy industry, have
documented Walmart regularly employs predatory
pricing, selling key items or even entire
departments below cost in order to put pressure on
and squeeze out competitors. It's a tactic that
even the best run and most efficient small
businesses really struggle to withstand.

Walmart often insists that instead of hurting local businesses, it actually creates spillover benefits for nearby businesses, but there is absolutely no documented research to support that. You just heard from Professor Merriman about the study in Chicago that didn't find that. There's research elsewhere that likewise has found that Walmart's sort of one-stop shopping formula means that customers who go there don't tend to, the vast majority of customers don't tend to then go into other businesses nearby.

So even though Walmart is now the nation's largest grocer, it sells 25 percent of all groceries in the U.S., its economic function in a neighborhood is very, very different from

2	that	of	а	traditional	grocery	store.
_	0		-	0 = 0.0. = 0 = 0.1.0. =	J = 0 = I	~~~

Supermarkets, for a long time, have been neighborhood anchors. People go there to pick up groceries and then they buy other merchandise at nearby businesses.

Walmart has almost the sort of exact opposite effect. People go there to stock up on groceries and then while they're in Walmart, they pick up the other things that they normally would have bought at nearby businesses. So rather than creating opportunities for local merchants, Walmart really eliminates them.

The third point is Walmart eliminates more jobs than it creates. Walmart often touts job creation as a reason to welcome its stores, but its arrival typically leads to more job losses than job gains.

Walmart has both primary and secondary impacts on employment. In both cases, the effect is negative. In terms of the primary impact, the opening of a Walmart store doesn't increase the amount of money that people in a community have to spend. So the result is that invariably gains in sales at a new Walmart store

2 are invariably offset and mirrored by sales losses
3 at existing businesses in the region.

As those competing businesses downsize and close, they lay people off.

Economists who have looked at this have found that on average those layoffs actually exceed the number of new jobs created by Walmart. By far the most extensive and very well controlled study to date was one done by Dr. David Neumark at the University of California and his colleagues.

They examined more than 2,000
Walmart store openings nationwide and found that
on average Walmart eliminates 1.4 retail jobs for
every 1 job it creates. It's for the simple
reason that Walmart employs fewer people per
million dollars in sales than competing stores do.

The secondary impact that Walmart has on employment has to do with what happens to a consumer's dollar once it goes into a retail store. There have been studies done in Chicago, San Francisco, New Orleans and other cities that have found that independent retail businesses rely much more heavily on other local businesses for goods and services than Walmart does.

so local retailers, I think as you
just heard from some of the small businesses
testifying, local retailers hire local
accountants, they hire local web designers and
other professionals. They get their printing done
at the local print shop. They source more of the
goods that they carry from local suppliers and so
on. Walmart, in contrast, has virtually no need
for those kinds of local goods and services.

So the result is that when you spend money in a local store, it tends to recirculate and support all of these other businesses and jobs in the community; whereas, if you spend money in Walmart, a very large share of those dollars simply exit the local economy.

How big is the difference? My organization did a study in Maine where we looked at eight locally owned businesses and compared them to Walmart. We found that the locally owned businesses on average, 54 cents of every dollar that you spent at those stores was re-spent within the region. At Walmart, we estimated that only 14 cents of every dollar spent stayed within the region. We're not the only organization that has

2 done these studies. A firm called Civic Economics
3 has done them in a number of cities.

York, I think the point here is that it will not only harm local retailers and their employees but also the owners and employees of service providers, wholesalers, many other kinds of businesses that depend on those local retailers for their revenue.

The fourth point, I just wanted to put into context a little bit of the issue of leakage. Walmart has argued that by opening in New York, it'll enable the city to recapture dollars that residents are now spending at Walmart stores in New Jersey and Long Island.

Walmart has said that New York residents spend \$165 million a year at Walmart stores outside the city. But we really need to sort of put this in the sort of scope of Walmart's expansion plans. If its expansion goals in New York are of a similar scale to what it's announced in Chicago, then its intention is to build stores that will bring in billions of dollars in revenue.

What that means is that as little

2	as 5 percent or less of that revenue coming into
3	those stores will be this recaptured leakage. The
4	other 90 plus percent will come at the expense of
5	existing New York businesses.

It's also worth noting that that recaptured leakage may very well be offset by lost surplus. That is, as Walmart displaced independent businesses and homogenizes the retail offerings in New York's neighborhoods, the less appealing those neighborhoods will be to nonresidents and the less nonresident spending the city will be able to pull in.

The fifth point I wanted to make is about consumer choice and economic resilience.

New York's retail sector has long been characterized by an incredible diversity in the range and variety of stores and a substantial degree of local ownership. This vitality has produced a great deal of choice for consumers, both in terms of businesses as well as products that are available.

Everywhere that Walmart has expanded it has reduced the number and variety of stores and shopping options, leaving consumers

б

with fewer choices. Walmart often likes to talk

about consumer choice, but the reality is that

where Walmart goes consumers end up with fewer and

fewer choices about where to shop.

By way of one example, nationally Walmart captures 25 percent of all grocery spending in the U.S. But its market share is closer to 50 percent in a growing number of metro areas. What that effectively means is that in a large section of those metro areas and in many neighborhoods, Walmart is really the only choice for groceries and other basic necessities.

This kind of dependency is risky.

As New York found in the 1970s, national retailers often turn out to be fair-weather friends.

They're here when times are good but quick to pull up stakes when the going gets a little tougher.

Cities are the last place that
Walmart has chosen to expand. They will be the
first place that Walmart abandons. I would argue
that New York's long-term stability and resilience
would be better served by limiting Walmart's
presence and instead cultivating a much more
diverse mix of businesses.

2	Lastly, Walmart erodes social
3	capital and underpins neighborhood wellbeing.
4	Small businesses are important, not only to the
5	economic vitality of cities, but also to the
6	social health of their neighborhoods. Several
7	published studies have found that neighborhoods

8 that have lots of small locally-owned businesses

9 have lower crime rates, higher levels of wellbeing

and more social capital, compared to places--

[Pause]

STACY MITCHELL: All of these measures of community health tend to decline when Walmart opens in a neighborhood, according to research by Stephan Goetz at Pennsylvania State University.

He found after controlling for other factors that affect social capital that communities that gain a Walmart store end up with fewer nonprofits, churches, civic organizations and other social capital generating associations than those places where Walmart doesn't open.

I'm quoting from his study: "Both the initial number of Walmart stores and each store added to the community during the decade

2 reduced the overall social capital measure."

What accounts for this I think really is the role that local businesses play in nurturing community life, both in their own contributions and involvement in neighborhood organizations and the fact that they create a kind of main street environment that fosters social interaction among neighbors and builds social network in a community.

Walmart's arrival does irrevocable damage to the social fabric. I think that's a really crucial factor for neighborhoods and community life.

mentioning that the Institute for Local Self-Reliance, through our New Rules Project, provides policy research and policy models to cities.

We've worked with a number of cities, as well as states to draft and enact policies that deal with this particular kind of business model with big box retail. I'd welcome the opportunity to share additional information about those policy options as your investigation of this issue continues.

Thank you very much for this

2	Department of Education. I represent a beverage
3	named Soyu Natural Teas. It's been formulated by
4	my team and by Third Generation. Many times we
5	have tried to approach Walmart and convey the
6	message of health and wellness that New York City
7	is striving for, for 2010 and the years to come,
8	to help fight the battles of obesity.
9	It has been an honor to provide
10	that drink to all New York City school systems.
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
12	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: I think it
13	would have been an honor for Walmart to call us as
14	well, but that has not happened.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. Did
16	you see a decrease in sales from your distribution
17	accounts since Walmart has come into the eastern
18	portion of our nation?
19	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: I live one
20	mile away from the North Bergen Walmart. I am
21	very friendly with my neighbor Fine Fare. It was
22	a very big disappointment to have to see him go.
23	So yes, he has closed down and that was a very big
24	impact.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: In your

2 lowest paid workers make in Walmart in Chicago and
3 Los Angeles?

STACY MITCHELL: I don't. There are a number of economists who have done studies that have looked at Walmart's expansion that I would be happy to forward on to you. I don't have it in front of me. They have found that overall retail wages in counties where Walmart opened stores actually decline after Walmart opens. So there appears to be a negative effect on wages based on the independent economic data.

The other reliable source, Walmart, of course, keeps its wage data to itself. So it's hard to independently verify what it says or what those wage levels may be. One other reliable source is the large gender discrimination suit that's now underway, or being certified as a class action lawsuit. In the discovery process there, Walmart was required to actually document its actual wage rates. That would be a good source of data to look at the range.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you very much. I would like to ask the panel, how many customers does your store attract in a week?

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 98
2	NELSON EUSEBIO: In a given week,
3	we can attract anywhere between 4,000 to 5,000
4	customers.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Per day?
6	NELSON EUSEBIO: I'm sorry?
7	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: On a week.
8	NELSON EUSEBIO: In a week, yes.
9	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: A week.
10	NELSON EUSEBIO: Correct, between
11	4,000 and 5,000 customers a week.
12	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: I don't
13	have a store, but I sell over a million cases of
14	soda a year.
15	MARK TANIS: I would say
16	approximately 4,000 to 5,000 as well at Shopper's
17	World.
18	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Do most of
19	your customers walk to your store?
20	NELSON EUSEBIO: In my case, most
21	walk. I have a unique situation; I'm right across
22	the street from a train line, the A train. Some
23	people get off the train when they come in from
24	Manhattan. They do their groceries and then we
25	deliver it to their home. They get back on the
	1

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 99
2	bus and when they get home the groceries are
3	there. So some people walk and some people take
4	mass transit.
5	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Driving in
6	a car?
7	NELSON EUSEBIO: We have some
8	people that drive, sure, absolutely.
9	MARK TANIS: I would agree with him
10	as well. I have a lot of foot traffic because I
11	have a lot of complex around Pitkin Avenue. We do
12	have people that use mass transit as well. I
13	would tend to agree with him.
14	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you.
15	MARK TANIS: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member
17	James?
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Thank you.
19	To Ms. Mitchell, I want to read a statement to you
20	and I would like to know whether or not it is a
21	true statement.
22	Walmart's powerful position in the
23	market allows it to bend everyone else to its
24	will, to micromanage its suppliers to the point of
25	remaking how their suppliers do business and shift
ı	i de la companya de

2	many of the cost of doing business onto its
3	suppliers. Would you agree with that statement?
4	STACY MITCHELL: Yes. That's been
5	the well documented situation with many, many
6	manufacturers with that kind of pressure. I mean,
7	Walmart is many times the size of the nation's
8	biggest manufacturers and suppliers and consumer
9	products companies. It accounts for a sizable
10	share of their sales. So its ability to dictate
11	terms is pretty significant.
12	One of the other consequences of
13	that is that as those suppliers struggle to try to
14	meet Walmart's terms, it often means, in some
15	cases, that they have to force higher costs onto
16	small businesses, and so it can be a sort of
17	viscous cycle.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: But isn't it
19	an issue of economies of scale and aren't
20	economies of scale a good thing?
21	STACY MITCHELL: Well there is

STACY MITCHELL: Well there is certainly a certain amount of efficiency that Walmart achieves through its distribution system. Its ability to move goods around the planet and across the country is highly refined and there's

2	an economy of scale that's associated with that.
3	But Walmart also owes a lot of its market
4	dominance to its ability to exercise its market
5	power. And the fact that companies who might be
6	doing 25-50 percent of their business through
7	Walmart stores in order to continue to be in
8	business really have to try to meet its terms.
9	That's an enormous advantage that other retailers
10	don't have.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do they
12	outsource most of their services and supplies? Do
13	they outsource?
14	STACY MITCHELL: I don't quite
15	understand the question. Most of what they
16	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:
17	[interposing] Go ahead and finish.
18	STACY MITCHELL: Most of what they
19	sell is imported primarily from China.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Do they
21	primarily rely upon foreign suppliers outside of
22	the United States?
23	STACY MITCHELL: Yes.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: How many
25	cases of gender discrimination have been filed

2 | against Walmart, if you know?

STACY MITCHELL: They've primarily been consolidated into what is in the process of being certified as a class action lawsuit. So I don't know how many originally they were, but if it's certified as a class action, my understanding is that it would represent hundreds of thousands of female employees.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: So hundreds of thousands of female employees have been certified as a class and have filed an action against Walmart based on discrimination, gender discrimination, yes?

STACY MITCHELL: The courts are still reviewing whether it can be a class, but yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: The basis is that they are being paid less and have not been given certain opportunities as their male counterparts. Is that the substance of the case?

STACY MITCHELL: Yes, the substance of the case is both that in doing the same job that male and female employees were paid different

rates on average and also that while female

employees make up a large majority of the staff of
the stores, the lower level employees, they've
traditionally made up a very small percentage of
store management and upper level management at
Walmart. So there appears to be a pattern of not
promoting female employees. That's at least what
I understand is the basis of the lawsuit.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Is it also true that a disproportionate number of employees rely upon the safety net system in the country? For instance, Medicaid, food stamps and public assistance, is that true?

STACY MITCHELL: Yes. About two dozen states have disclosed the list of the top companies in terms of numbers of employees that rely on state public assistance programs, Medicaid being a big one, or Medicare, but also food stamps, housing assistance and so on.

Walmart has been the top employer on the vast majority of those lists with large numbers of employees who are relying on that assistance.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: And last question, is it true that from 1989 to about 2004,

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2	Walmart engaged in a practice of locking its
3	employees in the store, particularly in high crime
4	communities? Is that a true statement?

STACY MITCHELL: There is truth to How extensive the practice was during those years, I don't know. But it was certainly the case that at least in some stores the employees at night were locked in. In addition to the gender discrimination suit that you mentioned there have been dozens of lawsuits brought by employees over Wage and Hour violations.

Things along the lines of not getting paid breaks but having to work through your breaks, having to punch out before you were actually done working and then work off the clock for a period of time. Employees have been successful in a number of states with those lawsuits and a number of them are still proceeding.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: My last question to the panel, have any of you engaged in these practices, yes or no?

NELSON EUSEBIO: What type of practice is that?

2	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Locking your
3	employees in the basement, having your employees
4	be subject to the safety net welfare, public
5	assistance and food stamps, and have any of you
6	been filed with gender discrimination actions?
7	NELSON EUSEBIO: Absolutely not.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Next?
9	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: Absolutely
10	not.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Next?
12	MARK TANIS: Absolutely not.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: That's it.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so
15	much, Council Member James. Council Member Weprin
16	is next and then to follow, Jackson.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,
18	Madame Chair. I've got a friend who lives in a
19	suburb outside of Washington, D.C. It's a very
20	nice neighborhood. He's a very successful doctor.
21	The one thing that upsets me about where he lives
22	and many of the towns throughout this country is
23	they seem almost like they were made in a game
24	board where little shops all look the same and all
25	are alike.

I grew up in New York City and one
of the things I've always loved about New York
City is the diversity of the city, the vibe, the
texture and the flavor of those diverse
ıl

neighborhoods and diverse stores.

Ms. Mitchell, your testimony was very depressing to me. Don't take that as an insult. I want to ask, the transformation of the retail sector that you talk about, it's safe to say that you think that Walmart will contribute to what I'll describe as the blanding of New York City kind of, where you'll take away so many of those small family-run and ethic shops and stores?

been the pattern all across the country. Cities like New York have been this bastion of small business opportunity and entrepreneurial opportunity. It's the way that generations of native, as well as immigrant Americans, have pulled themselves into the middle class. It's so much a part of the street life and the feel of our cities. Now that we have Walmart approaching urban neighborhoods, the potential really is there to change that irrevocably.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: Thank you,
Ms. Mitchell. It's funny because it is exactly
the testimony we heard today that concerns me.
There is no other place like New York and I really
don't want New York to be like other places. This
whole thing kind of reminds me of a "Twilight
Zone" episode that I remember. A very famous one
where aliens come from outer space and they've
very kind and they're very helpful to the human
race, and they have a book with them that has
writing that they had to decipher.

When they deciphered it they found out it says to serve man. And everyone said oh they are here to help. They bring everyone back to their planet to take care of them and it isn't until the very end of the show where we find out that to serve man is a cookbook.

This reminds me of that, I hate to say, a little bit, because we're kind of cannibalizing our stores and our businesses and the things that make New York unique. That's what scares me most of all about this hearing today and about Walmart is that the long-term effect could devastate New York and everything New York stands

2 for.

But thank you for being here and I appreciate your testimony today.

much, Council Member. I wanted to just take an opportunity to ask Ms. Mitchell, the minority and women business enterprises of the work that you've completed, could you give me a breakdown of percentages of minority businesses that have gone out of business due to a Walmart in proximity? And a percentage for women-owned businesses that have closed down within your study, with the exception of Chicago, who already has testified and given us those percentages?

STACY MITCHELL: I don't have figures for that at a national scale. My assumption would be that it would be in the same proportion as independent businesses generally, perhaps even at higher rates.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Ms. Mitchell, if you were to do some research in just getting us those percentages, they would be of most interest to our committees. We had startling figures where 55 percent of minority-owned businesses went out

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 10
of business in close proximity to the Walmart in
Chicago, as well as 47 percent of women businesses
closing down. Of the 51 percent businesses of
Chicago residents of what would be considered a
small business closing down.
So we want to get an understanding
as to the proximity of a Walmart and what it does
and the breakdown of women-owned, minority-owned
and local residents owning and going out of
business.
STACY MITCHELL: I will pull that
up for you.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'd like to
call upon Council Member Jackson.
COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Thank you,
Co-chairs. I appreciate the opportunity to weigh
in on this important subject. As some of my
colleagues mentioned earlier, it is in our opinion
unfortunate that Walmart has refused to come to
this hearing to give testimony about the
possibility of them entering the New York City

Let me turn to the panelists and let me first thank you as small businesses and

market.

23

24

25

2.

managers of small businesses for coming in and
giving testimony. It is very important for me at
least as a member of the City Council, as the Co-
chair of the New York City Council's Black, Latino
and Asian Caucus, to see actual owners of small
businesses here in the hearing this afternoon and
you expressing the impact of your businesses on
our communities.

My question to you is this: can you please tell me, each one of you representing your respective business, the employees that you employ, how many of them come from your neighboring metropolitan area, your neighborhoods, as far as that you employ, if you had to give a percentage?

NELSON EUSEBIO: In my case, I would say 100 percent without a doubt.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Just identify yourself so that the record will show who is responding.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Nelson Eusebio from Compare Supermarkets in Ozone Park Queens. We employ 100 percent locally, without a doubt. I'm the only person who comes from a little

2	further	away.
---	---------	-------

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Next

please? Just identify yourself before you respond.

5 MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: Marlen
6 Lugones Bracho, Top Pop Soda. Yes, we employ 100
7 percent of our people locally.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Okay.

Next please?

MARK TANIS: My name is Mark Tanis.

I am the General Manager of Shopper's World. I

would say about 95 percent from the local area.

second and third question you can respond in one response. I was curious to know how many of your employees in your businesses or minority or women employees, if you can give a percentage? The reason why I ask that is because, obviously, as has been stated by some of my fellow colleagues and the records have indicated that there have been discrimination lawsuits filed on behalf of women against Walmart. So I was wondering what percentages of your businesses are women employed and then what percentage of minorities are employed in your business. So if you don't mind

please?

`		J C	1. C		7
, 1	identifying	vourself	betore	VO11	respond.
- 1	± 0.0110±±1 ±115	7 C G T T C T T	2010	704	

MARK TANIS: My name is Mark Tanis, General Manager of Shopper's World. I would say approximately of my workforce is about 70 percent which is females and also with ethnic background, African American, Latinas and Latinos.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Next

MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: Marlen Lugones Bracho, again from Top Pop Soda. For minority employees, I would say that I have 80 percent of minority, black and Latino. And for women, it's 70 percent.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Next please?

NELSON EUSEBIO: Nelson Eusebio,
Compare Supermarkets. I'm the owner of that
store. If you would walk into that store right
now, and ask who is in charge in this store, you
will be received by an African American named
Jesse who is in charge of the store while I'm not
there. If you want to get paid, Jesse will refer
you to our female bookkeeper who does the paying
when I'm not in the store. Our employees are 100

Eusebio, again Compare Supermarkets. This store in particular has only been open a year. year's time I have the same employees that I

opened with. But in the past, in other stores

22

23

24

25

2.

that we have owned, our employees, our managers,
our meat managers, our produce managers, our front
end managers are usually with us for as long as
ten years. The only turnover that we have are the
cashiers who are sometimes part time, they're
coming to work after school. They move on because
they got in with their career. But most of our
stock clerks and managers are with us for years.

COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON: Well thank you very much, panelists. I appreciate the opportunity. Thank you, Co-chairs.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,

Council Member Jackson. I'd like to recognize

Council Member Chin, Council Member Sanders and

Council Member Gentile who have joined us. I'd

like to call upon Council Member Greenfield,

followed by Council Member Barron and then Council

Member Ferreras and then Council Member Mark
Viverito.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you, Madame Chair. I want to thank the panel for coming out here today and sharing your important testimony with us. Walmart sent a letter to the Council explaining why they don't want to attend.

2	So they brought up a few issues. I don't want
3	this to turn into a Walmart hate fest so I figure
4	we'll give them a shot and try to see if there's
5	any legitimacy to any of their questions.
6	One of the things they point out i

One of the things they point out is that their average hourly wage for regular full time associates is \$12.21 per hour. I don't want to put you folks on the spot, but if you're willing, are you willing to sort of give us your average hourly wage at your respective establishments, specifically to the two folks who run retail stores.

MARK TANIS: Mark Tanis, General
Manager of Shopper's World. I would say,
depending on if it's a part timer or full timer
that's coming in, it could range from \$10, \$11,
\$12, depending on the criteria that they have and
past experience. We do have people that have a
long longitude in our business and they are well
over that dollar amount.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's fair. What about you, Nelson?

NELSON EUSEBIO: We range on a minimum of \$8 an hour to all the way to \$15 an

neighborhood or down the block from your establishment?

25 MARK TANIS: I don't know much

23

24

What

So,

about three and half or four miles away.

25

2	ag	ain,	I	think	it's	fair	to	say	it's	okay	to	have
3	a	Targe	≘t.	•								

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Got it.

The final question for Top Pop Soda: so you've reached out to Walmart on several occasions because one of the things that Walmart says is they say that they spend \$5.7 billion for merchandise and service with 835 New York City based suppliers. So you've reached out and you've been rejected? I mean what was sort of the process in terms of when you reached out to Walmart?

MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: It's not so much a rejection. I think that the vendor portal on the Walmart.com is very, very, very lengthy process. We've gone through it. It's just basically waiting for a callback.

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So if they called you back at this point, would you turn them down?

MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: I agree with what Ms. Mitchell said before. I almost am fearful to take on a customer like Walmart. Their demands are very stringent on a company like

2	myself. I know that I have limits and I have
3	capabilities of what I can and cannot do. I don't
4	take on things that I normally cannot achieve.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So at
6	this point you probably would turn them away.
7	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: Walmart in
8	the United States, yes. In my regional area where
9	I can handle one and perhaps be given a fair
10	opportunity and a fair term to deal with them,
11	maybe it would be something that I would approach.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I want
13	to thank you all, really insightful information
14	today. Thanks for coming out. Thank you.
15	MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: Thank you.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you
17	very much. First of all, I don't want anybody to
18	leave here thinking that Walmart pays their
19	workers when they start off at \$12 an hour.
20	That's just simply not true. We've literally
21	spoken to workers themselves and we had workers
22	that said
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]
24	Excuse me.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Workers

22

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 121
2	that said themselves that they started off making
3	\$8.53. Some started off with making \$9 and
4	change. One worker told us it took them five
5	years to get to \$10 and change.
6	So when they come with this we pay
7	workers \$12 an hour, that's not a start off pay
8	for all of their workers. It is much less. I
9	want to ask Ms. Mitchell if she has any
10	information on that.
11	STACY MITCHELL: Yes. I think
12	that's an important point. My understanding about
13	how Walmart reports wage information is that they
14	report wages for full time employees who have been
15	employed for at least a year. So part timers,
16	which make up a substantial part of their
17	workforce aren't necessarily counted in those wage
18	rates.
19	Also, as I mentioned, about half of
20	those who take jobs at Walmart don't make it to

that year mark. So again, their wage rates would

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: So roughly,

STACY MITCHELL: I would have to

not be counted in that average.

what percentage is part time?

2	look that up.	I don't	have	that	in	front	of	me
3	right now.							

that a large percentage of it is part time, so they don't have to pay health benefits, they don't have to pay pension and they can pay very low wages. To the panel, I just want to let you all know that that \$12 they've been floating out there is just not accurate.

In the retail area, I'm

particularly concerned by Ms. Bracho. They're

threatening to lose 3,000 jobs at the Hunts Point

Market if Walmart comes in because they'll deal

with China rather than Hunts Point. What kind of

loss would that be for you? I think in the

distributor's end of this, that's where a lot of

great job losses can occur. To the both of you

gentlemen, I appreciate the work you're doing in

my neighborhood and for hiring local people and

providing quality goods and services at reasonable

prices. Keep up the good work.

NELSON EUSEBIO: Thank you, sir.

MARK TANIS: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member

2 Ferreras.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: No, I asked her a question.

MARLEN LUGONES BRACHO: I think he asked me a question first. Hunts Point is very dear and near to my heart. My family had a business for 33 years in the Bronx, Borinquen Beer Wholesalers. We distributed beer and soda and beverages and groceries to put like Eusebio and the Compare Supermarkets for many years.

When a decline of that nature happens to that neighborhood, it is hurtful and it is very difficult to recapture that market. The loss in grocery, the loss in beverages, the loss in jobs is very, very, very hard to ever recapture. So my support is always there as a distributor.

At one point I had 75 employees in truck deliveries, in manpower to get deliveries out to people who work every single day, seven days out of the week with 12 hours and 13 hours of supermarkets open. So it's definitely something that I would not want to see happen. I know and I'm very involved in what happens in Hunts Point.

2 Like I said, my efforts are always there.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Ms.

Bracho. Council Member Ferreras?

afternoon. I, too, want to thank the business owners but I think that's the point, they're business owners and sitting here is keeping them away from their businesses. So I'm going to ask two very short questions. This one is to you, Mr. Eusebio. Having been born as an urban person, I grew up in Queens in Corona in particular; can you speak to the transition between a small bodega to a supermarket? How often have you seen that amongst your colleagues?

NELSON EUSEBIO: The supermarket industry has become the American dream for a lot of Hispanic Americans. They have come to this country and opened up corner bodegas, 20, 30 years ago. Their parents worked in those bodegas for 50, 60 hours a week, saved enough money to sell the bodega and buy a supermarket. The reason they had the opportunity to buy a supermarket is because in the 1980s, late '70s, a lot of the chains left the city. These stores were left

2 vacated.

The wholesalers that were in the city didn't want to close their stores. So they approached these small bodegas. Are you willing to take the next step; are you willing to become a supermarket owner? We're willing to take that step with you. Yes, our parents, our brothers, our cousins, our friends took the next step whereas today we have over 500-600 independently owned, Hispanic owned supermarkets in the City of New York living out the American dream which they have worked for. They haven't got a tax benefit. They haven't got a break on utilities. There is no incentive. They have earned it the old fashioned way.

COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank

you. My other part of the question is what is the

promotion rate within your stores? So if someone

starts off as a stock boy, what is the trajectory,

or someone at the deli counter, what is the

trajectory within your stores?

NELSON EUSEBIO: Most managers start off as stock clerks. They come in and they learn the trade and they work in the line. They

2	move into another line. They learn all the
3	groceries. They might move over to the frozen
4	food or dairy department and learn that. They'll
5	go and learn some about the meat department.
6	That's how you get a manager. He grows within
7	your store.
8	Once you have a manager and he's
9	been a manager for a long time and he's a good
10	manager, chances are he's going to become an owner
11	somewhere down the line.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER FERRERAS: Thank
13	you. That's exactly what I thought and what I
14	wanted to hear and get into our testimony today.
15	It's not just about who comes, it's that you are a
16	neighbor and you are part of a community. You are
17	part of the fabric. So I thank you very much for
18	our testimony today.
19	NELSON EUSEBIO: Thank you.
20	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,
21	Council Member Ferreras. Council Member Mark-
22	Viverito and this is the last question.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER MARK-VIVERITO:

Thank you, Madame Chair. My question is for Ms.

Mitchell so I want to make sure I get her before

the feed is gone. One of the things that we really wanted to do at this hearing, at least for me, is to really kind of demystify a lot of the information that's being thrown out there. I know that Walmart with their wonderful publicity campaign and lie-spewing campaign machine is out there saying that they're providing these wonderful jobs.

One of the things that are really at the basis is about the low prices myth. I think that you really hit it in your testimony and I know that I've heard it and that's my question. Once they cannibalize and monopolize a market, then obviously the prices at that point go up and they're not as low as they might have originally been.

Are there any comprehensive studies that really kind of hone in on that in different markets and looking at how that has played out in different markets? I think that that's really important. Also, if you could, just talk about costs on average to the public treasuries when Walmart comes to town. You kind of alluded to it a little bit about 12 different states talking

two aspects.

2	about how Walmart is on the top of the list when
3	it comes to employees seeking public benefits.
4	I'm trying to figure out if there are
5	comprehensive studies that really hone in on these

The last one is about the union busting tactics. I know that I read an article specifically where a department that workers did unionize in a particular department in a Walmart and what they did was they eliminated that department throughout all the Walmarts as a way of rooting out unions. So, on those three areas, those kinds of strategies and tactics, the costs on average to the public treasuries, if you could, speak to that.

STACY MITCHELL: Yes, just briefly because, unfortunately, there's someone using this video feed here shortly, so I will be cut off. On the price issue, there is not as much comprehensive data as I would like. However, we do see this. One example is the toy industry. Walmart priced its toy departments at what appeared to be below cost several years ago during the holiday season. They gained market share and

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

became the number one toy seller in the country in
that period. There was an article in Bloomberg a
few months ago about how this Christmas season
they raised their toy prices across the board.
That's the pattern that appears to be what they've
used. We've seen that with these antitrust cases
that I mentioned.

One of the other areas of research, and again there's not as much data as I would like, has to do with the lack of being able to compare like products. A lot of manufacturers make a particular line for Walmart that meets their price point. It may look like the same vacuum cleaner or the same blender or whatever it may be, as you would see at an independent store, but it's not. It's actually maybe made with plastic parts or some other cost cutting corners to get that price down. The result is that it won't last as long as the products. So it's not really comparable. The consumer thinks it's the same thing but it's not.

So there's a lot going--

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'm sorry. We

23

24

25

2	will definitely follow up with her answers. I
3	would like to thank this panel. I am going to
4	move on to the next panel. We will hear from Tony
5	Herbert, Eduardo Giraldo and Charles Fisher. I
6	would like to remind, once again, we had an
7	outburst. I would appreciate that it does not
8	happen again. We want to treat this hearing with
9	the respect it's due, as we have shown to the
0	public.

EDUARDO EUSEBIO: I'd like just to say thank you to this committee for giving small business an opportunity to express itself. Many times when these decisions are made, small business never has an opportunity. We don't know what's going and we're just victims of policies. Thank you for listening to us.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Good afternoon. You can begin, and please identify yourself.

CHARLES FISHER: Good afternoon, City Council. My name is Charles Fisher, founding chairman of the Hip-Hop Summit Youth Council.

TONY HERBERT: Community Advocate Tony Herbert, representing the Walmart to New York

2	City Campaign and grassroots. Suffice to what
3	Charles Barron might have said earlier, I'm here
4	representing the people of the community.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Herbert?

All comments referring to a Council Member are not necessary. If you can please maintain to your testimony, I would appreciate that very much. If you could, state your position, as to your testimony, and speaking to this panel. Thank you.

EDUARDO GIRALDO: Good afternoon.

My name is Eduardo Giraldo. I am the past president of the Queens Hispanic Chamber of Commerce. Right now I'm the Vice President of Corporate and Government Affairs.

RANDY FISHER: Randy Fisher. I'm the Executive Director of the Hip-Hop Summit Youth Council.

TONY HERBERT: We're looking for your protocol on how you wanted to set this off.

I guess maybe I'll start it off. With regards to first and foremost, I want to thank you for this opportunity. Having had the opportunity of working for the City Council under the tutelage of Priscilla Wooten, Council Member Wooten, who

2 honorably retired from this body.

I've got to say that I'm really, really perturbed. I'm perturbed because every day that I walk in our community and I deal with the essence of young black males and Hispanics and young ladies, 15, 16, 14 years old who are shot and killed. At the end game, when they talk about the persons that committed that crime, that person is saying, Mr. Herbert, you know I really didn't want to get involved in this kind of activity but there are no jobs for me. So I've got to feed my family or sacrifice or survive. Then when you talk about the formerly incarcerated who get out of jail, looking for an opportunity, it doesn't exist.

What we have done, in essence, is worked with this grassroots organization, the Walmart 2 NYC Campaign, simply to say to Walmart if you're coming into Brooklyn, we want to work with you if you're going to do right by our people. As far as we're concerned with the conversation and the dialogue that we've had, we're confident and feel comfortable with the thought process that that is what's going to take

2 place.

Now, everybody is waving this boogieman around about how this corporation is going to come in and then we talk about credibility with regards to things that might have been of the past or stated, quite frankly, of a corporation that might have had practices who I don't even know about and a lot of my people in the community don't even know about. But yet, we throw this big quagmire out there that they're the boogieman and they're going to come and shut us down.

I think that's, first and foremost, a misrepresentation and a total disrespect to the likes of those that want to put food on the table of their family members. Then you have representation of individuals from particular Council districts who speak about folks who have been advocating in the community for more than 20 years and then to turn around and say that they work for the individuals. They're trying to say, hey look, I want to get jobs in my community but you're going to tell me I'm being paid by somebody.

Let me tell you something, if I was
being paid by them, my phone bill would be paid
right now. So let's just be really clear about
that because quite frankly when I stand in East
New York, when I stand in Brownsville and you
stand with me, there is no issue with regards to
who's paying me when we're standing there talking
to a family that just got a loved one killed.

So let's not get it twisted in any shape, form or fashion. This is about jobs. This is about the survival of the people in our community. We're got to stop this political brouhaha. Your 15 minutes of fame is up. It's time for us to do business and get people to work in New York.

CHARLES FISHER: First of all, I want to thank the City Council for allowing me the opportunity to be here. I'm just going to comment on a few notes and then I have a statement that you asked me to come here.

The professor, like one City

Council member said, I'm going to go to Walmart

and get him a light. I couldn't see the guy. If

I didn't know better, I would think he was in the

witness protection program. If you're going to put somebody up there to give up all this testimony and he's the authority, please, can we see the guy? Can we know who he is? What is his track record? What are his credentials? Because you guys are relying on that, and I respect you guys a whole lot. So I want to know who the professor is.

Second of all, this is like a court. If we're going to hold court, you guys are good enough, crafty enough and smart enough, let's get the defendant in the house. There is no defendant here. What are we doing? No, they didn't want to come, I understand all that. But what I'm saying is that you guys are crafty enough to get them here. Work it out, whatever you're going to do. Don't make this look like a kangaroo court because you're wasting the people's time. They're not responding and you guys are here. So I'm just saying respectfully, do that.

Now the Councilman here, Halloran, he did his homework. I want to see you guys do your homework. This is what I'm saying. You guys are so intelligent and we hired you. I went to

2	Bentonville and spent three days there, myself and
3	my son. Paid his way and they paid our way
4	because we split it. I met with Bill Simon, the
5	president. I met with ever CEO and executive at
6	Walmart. Why? Because I like to keep it real
7	with my community, I like to know what I'm talking
8	about. I'm not going to get up here and
9	grandstand and I haven't heard from the other
10	side.
11	So you guys, if you haven't been to
12	Bentonville to meet the real people, I advise you
13	to do a fact-finding mission. Go to Bentonville;
14	meet the other side so that when you come here
15	before the people, you have all the facts. You
16	guys are brilliant, you're intelligent, I know
17	what you want to do and I respect you for that.
18	All I'm saying is to hear both
19	sides and don't make this look like the Spanish
20	Inquisition. Please. That's all I'm saying.
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
22	CHARLES FISHER: Councilman Barron,

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, sir. 24 25 CHARLES FISHER: I'm glad that you

I love you. I respect you a lot.

2.

Business Survival Act, laws that protect small
businesses, laws that we need for small
businesses. But Walmart and all these big stores,
they're in a different league. If Walmart wants
to come to New York and compete, let it compete
with the Costco, let it compete with the Target,
who is the cheapest. But I know one thing, the
small businesses of New York, they know how to
sell and they will compete with them because we in
New York we are survivors

We have this city with our Consumer Affairs, the DOT, the Buildings Department, the Fire Department, Sanitation; they're giving fines to small businesses like no tomorrow. They're making that a business. So what we need here really is to listen to small business and save them.

The Small Business Act, who is driving the small business out of New York? The higher rents. What is the City Council doing about the higher rents? They're not doing anything about it. You have a law in your hands and you don't want to take it to the next step.

Local 129, Comptroller Liu came out with a report

2 that less than 2 percent of small businesses is 3 getting businesses. We need to increase that.

So Walmart maybe is not fair to us in a lot of senses, but if it's going to compete with the Target, with the Costco, let it be. This is America. It is a free enterprise. We're in New York City, the capital of the world. We have to get better. We've got to embrace technology.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.

EDUARDO GIRALDO: Thank you.

RANDY FISHER: Basically, the

Walmart 2 NYC Campaign has been running a grassroots campaign for the last six, seven months. They are going around to doors, knocking on people's doors, asking them what they want, asking them what they believe in. I believe we've got how many petitions here. We've got about 30,000 signed signatures of people that want Walmart in the urban community, in the hood.

I mean, the community wants
Walmart. This is like we've got to really get
some community members up here to speak and say
what they really feel. I'm not knocking the
process of the system but for it to take about

three hours just for people to come up and then,
you know, the community speaks and then the time
limits starts. There seems to be something a

I mean, we're not here to bicker or fight. We met with Walmart on numerous occasions. The campaign speaks for itself. Crain's Magazine, all of the people that have reported on the campaign, they know what it's about. We're just looking for a solution. If we have to come together to find a solution, let's do it, but let's not just keep attacking each other.

little bit misconstrued about that whole process.

Of course we have the statement here that we wanted to present. I don't even think you all are going to give us enough time to present the statement. This is really seeming like I don't know what's going on. I'd like to thank Ms. Santiago for inviting me here but she really didn't let me know what I was getting into.

So this is a word from the hood, from the people for real, and let's really just consider this as we move forward, because people on the outside looking in are looking at how we conduct ourselves.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 143
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, sir.
3	Do you have a written
4	RANDY FISHER: [interposing] Oh
5	yeah, oh yeah, we're not being paid by Walmart, by
6	the way. Let that be on record.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Do you have
8	written testimony?
9	RANDY FISHER: Yes, we do.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Did you share
11	it with the sergeant-at-arms?
12	[Pause]
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Anybody else?
14	I was hoping that was the case.
15	CHARLES FISHER: Thank you, Council
16	lady. Good afternoon. My name is Charles Fisher
17	and I'm the Founder and Chairman of the Hip-Hop
18	Summit Youth Council.
19	I'm here today because I love New
20	York City and I'm concerned about our children,
21	the economy, our schools, day care centers, the
22	formerly incarcerated, and also gun and gang
23	violence prevention. I'm tired of all the
24	controversy about Walmart. I respect small
25	businesses, City Council Members, unions and

Walmart. It is possible that each of you is right
because there is more than one way to skin a cat.

Unlike the unrest in Egypt, we do live in a democratic society where the Needs of many outweigh those of a few. As a small business owner I know that can't compete with Walmart, but that does not mean that they would not be a good fit for the majority of New Yorkers.

If we are concerned about the people then we must let them have the final vote in this matter. Put it on the ballot if you must, but 51 members should take a look at history and be careful about how they represent the true will of the people.

We live in a democratic society where everyone is treated equal. February is Black History Month, a time in which we highlight the history of African-Americans, as well as reflect upon the injustices and unfair policies that divided this nation for centuries.

What I would suggest to City
Council, the unions and small businesses that
don't want a Walmart in New York City is to be
fair across the board and have one policy. If

2.

Walmart can't come into our City, then we should
ask Target, Sears, K-mart, Costco and all the
other big box stores with or without a union to
also go. We can't be prejudice toward any one
group because that would be un-American.

If we get rid of all the big box stores, that would be a greater victory for small businesses. I support the small business owner. But if we are going to be fair, all big box stores have to go, and no new stores can come into New York City.

In addition, the ones that are here now have to give more back to our communities because of budget cuts and our economy. We have a lot of problems that need to be solved in this country and I would rather see my City Council men and women focused on the impact the budget cuts will have on our youth, public safety, education and the economy in disadvantaged communities than spending time deciding on where we should shop in a free and democratic society.

After extensive research on Walmart, we launched the Walmart 2 NYC Campaign. The purpose of the campaign is to produce a

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

petition with a minimum of 100,000 New York City residents. The potential benefits of bringing Walmart Stores to New York City are the following: job opportunities; minority contracts; affordable goods and services; support for youth, seniors and social programs that help reduce crime and provide education; scholarships and grants for students; support for re-entry initiatives for those under criminal justice supervision; an increase in sales and payroll tax revenue which can improve city 12 services; and finally a support for a citywide 13 movement to make this a better city.

> In conclusion: unemployment, layoffs, day care center and school closings are a priority right now. Crime is up in our city with 61 more murders in 2010 versus 2009. In New York City more than 55,000 men and women are released from our city's jails each year and another 15,000 return to the city from state prison. What are we doing to provide jobs, opportunities and services to this population to ensure public safety and a reduction of recidivism?

Let's stop the fighting and let Walmart in or kick everyone out. Racism,

2	classicism, sexisms and prejudice come in all
3	forms, but have no place in the greatest city on
4	earth. To paraphrase Dr. King, "we must judge
5	individuals by the content of their character and
6	not the color of their skin". This is not Nazi
7	Germany and to discriminate against Walmart or any
8	other legitimate business has no place in the U.S.
9	No matter what we do, let's be fair
LO	to everyone because that is what the greatest
11	country on this planet is all about, equality and
L2	justice for all. Thank you, City Council.
L3	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.
L4	Fisher. Is there anyone else on your panel that
L5	has a statement?
L6	CHARLES FISHER: We're good. Thank
L7	you.
L8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. I
L9	have a list of Council Members. Council Member
20	Koslowitz, followed by Council Member Williams.
21	CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: Thank you.
22	First of all, I want to say to you that we asked
23	Walmart not once, not twice, not three times, to
24	come to this hearing. My feeling is if they have
25	nothing to hide, why weren't they here? Why

2	couldn't they speak on the record? You're here.
3	You're talking pro-Walmart. Why couldn't Walmart
4	come here and talk about themselves?

Walmart is going after the poor neighborhoods now to put Walmarts in their neighborhood. Well, the first Walmart that they wanted to put in New York City was in the 1990s when I served with Council Member Priscilla Wooten, who was a dear friend of mine.

They wanted to come into Rego Park which certainly is not a food desert. Now, they couldn't get in at that time. Everybody was against them. Now they changed their strategy and now want to come into the poorer neighborhoods, the food deserts.

I don't understand this. I don't understand it at all. They're not upfront. If they had something to say this is an open meeting. You are talking. You are pro-Walmart. Why could they not be here and speak for themselves?

CHARLES FISHER: So there's a problem there. So why don't you let the community decide that problem? Why don't you allow the community to set up the forum? Because,

obviously, there are some differences between the
City Council members and Walmart, you guys are not
getting along. So you guys need a mediator. So
allow the community to mediate, all right, a
mutual meeting so that we can get to the bottom of
the issues.

I'm only pro-Walmart because I was there personally and saw firsthand. I understand your argument and it doesn't look good. I'm here, but I want to mediate, all right, a settlement of the difference of opinion so that we can get Walmart in here, but under terms that are fair to everybody.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: One more thing to say. I think if you ask Council Member Halloran if he wanted a Walmart in his district, I think he would have a different opinion.

CHARLES FISHER: Okay, but he did his homework.

EDUARDO GIRALDO: Just to add on what you're saying. Where we had they Walmart, they wanted to have the Walmart in Rego Park, now we've got Target, we've got Costco and we've got Kohl's and we've got all these retailers. What's

б

happening to Queens Boulevard? A lot of the
stores they're closing there. Not because of
Walmart, it's because of big stores. Big stores
are a big problem for the small businesses.

CHAIRPERSON KOSLOWITZ: It's not because of the big stores; it's because of the big rents. That's the reason. Yes, there are stores closing on Queens Boulevard. I don't want to see any more stores close on Queens Boulevard, Austin Street or any other neighborhood throughout our city.

EDUARDO GIRALDO: Those stores opened up last year. Costco opened right there last year. So, all these local resident, they're going to Costco, they're going to Target, they're going to Kohl's, and I don't blame them.

We had a problem with the Queens
Mall. They're saying that they pay low wages and
a lot of people protest it, but what happened to
Queens Mall? They bundle up, they do all these
discounts for small businesses. What happened,
consumers want to save money, we're in hard
economic times and they go there. So they have
the consumer win. If they need to buy at better

2	pri	ces a	at tr	ne big	y pos	ζ, _	Let	the	bıg	boxe	es con	mpete.
3	If ·	they	had	them	all	in	her	e,]	Let 1	them	move	out,

4 but let's benefit the people of New York.

The small businesses, we're suffering because we're paying the higher rents because technology is changing and because people, the way to do business is going to change from this time on.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member Williams?

COUNCIL MEMBER WILLIAMS: Thank you very much. First, even though I disagree with your side, I just want to applaud the work you're doing, particularly with young black men. I'm a black man. At least for another two years, I think I consider myself young. So I understand the problems that are going on.

I do differ slightly. One, I want to clarify my statement earlier. I hope people understood my garbage statement. I was saying that the products may not be garbage, but if you are hungry, it doesn't mean that you have to accept garbage nor should you. I think especially that's true if you have billions and billions of

dollars and can offer some nice bread with some jam and things of that nature.

If you need a job, it doesn't mean that you should accept any job. I have a problem with that. The queen said let them eat cake.

When the slaves were freed, Thaddeus Stevens offered one acre and a mule. I don't know because someone offers something that it's the best for our community. We've been struggling for a very long time and we do need these things. But I think we need to work together to demand that they offer us what we deserve, not what we think we'll take because we need these things. That's the issue that I have.

As well as the comparison with the box stores you spoke about, we cannot begin to compare Target and all of those stores with Walmart. If you combine all of their profits, they will not equal Walmart.

As I said before, if I came to the neighborhood and said I'm going to build something, you might be a little concerned. If Donald Trump said he was going to come and build something, you should be super duper concerned

this city, is getting 2.4 percent. You'd do

better in apartheid South Africa.

24

25

2	I did just want to point that out
3	and say that there are difficulties. Whatever
4	people promise, there are difficulties in getting
5	them to deliver on their promises, as shown by New
6	York City. It's rough. I'll see you all back on
7	the ground and we'll talk some more there.
8	EDUARDO GIRALDO: How the boxes are
9	these guys, for instance the pharmacy stores, the
10	chains, the CVS, the RiteAids, they're mega
11	monster stores and their in the neighborhoods,
12	right in the middle, killing all the small
13	pharmacies, and all the small retailers because
14	they have the power of bundling up. So it's not
15	just by one big, big store, but it's also
16	different sizes that are right in our
17	neighborhoods.
18	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
19	Council Member Sanders.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: He's
21	finished.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Oh, I
23	apologize.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER SANDERS: Well, if
25	you're giving me another chance. No, Madame

I'm only asking you the same respect. The respect that you gave to Walmart to find out all of that information and don't find

extent to find out what Walmart was about. Do the

21

22

23

24

25

same for me.

б

out what's happening in East New York or what's happening in that spot about the project. When I finish here, I'd like to set up a meeting with the both of you. Others I think have other agendas.

The reason why I want to focus on the two of you because I know you're real people.

you that after seeing the project that we have coming into that same spot where it has nothing to do--Walmart cannot decide whether they're going to be in that spot or not. It's going to be Related, the developers. I'm telling you, we're going to secure 8,700 jobs and you can even be a part of that. Walmart is not going to come near that. There are other people that won't even talk to us about it because if they heard about it, they may not be for Walmart.

So you heard enough about Walmart and the reasons why we don't like Walmart. But I have even a better deal, the reason why if we sit down and talk about development, because of the way you came off and I can see, you know how we do in the hood, we can feel each other. You can see it in the eyes. Anybody can write and say

2	anything. But I think that you're real people, so
3	I just want to say that and only that.
4	Give me a chance to show you how

wrong you are about Walmart and how right we are about this project, for that area in East New York in particular. I would be looking forward to that kind of meeting.

CHARLES FISHER: I would just like to ask you one thing because like I said, I'm glad to see City Council finally supporting you. Not matter what the end of the day is, you've had so many issues that was on the right and you're out there for the people. People love you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.

CHARLES FISHER: I'm upset that we're on the same side right now, because you're the guy that I would go get if I had to fight with the bear.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Right.

CHARLES FISHER: Okay, but like my base is in Queens. Born in Brooklyn, I'm in Brooklyn. But if you don't want Walmart in

Brooklyn, we'll take them in Queens.

COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Well, we

2	don't want them in the city period, but I want to
3	have a chance to sit down and talk to you about
4	that.

Council. You're right, I'm out there by myself a lot, but it's the same City Council that made me the number one City Council Member in building affordable housing in my district, through this City Council. It is through this City Council also that I am the number one in renovating parks in my district and also getting scholarships to CUNY students and a black male initiative, \$2.5 million every year. So there's a lot that did happen. That's why I engaged in electoral politics.

I want to talk to you about issues even beyond that. We are a community in crisis, serious crisis. And even beyond this issue here because I respect that you come out here and taking a stance, whether we agree or not, you're fighting for our people and I respect that.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Council Member Barron.

25 CHARLES FISHER: Thank you, Council

2 Member. Thank you.

3 CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Council Member

4 Greenfield?

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank

you, Madame Chair. I want to address a few things

that you said and I also want to ask you some

questions. The first thing I would say is that

the Council is here. The fact that you're

testifying means that we are open to hear both

sides. I for one, and I can assure you that all

of my colleagues here, in fact the purpose of this

hearing is really to get to the bottom of all

this.

I think it's not helpful to come in and to compare what we're doing to Nazi Germany or to the Spanish Inquisition. I can assure you, as someone who represents a community that has the largest amount of Holocaust survivors and the largest amount of relatives from the Spanish Inquisition, it's not comparable. So I don't think that's fair. Let's try to have an honest, intellectual conversation.

So, to that end, I'm just trying to understand, and let me start with you. Sir, I

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 158
2	didn't catch your name. I don't know if you
3	worked for Priscilla Wooten. What was your name,
4	sir?
5	EDUARDO GIRALDO: Eduardo Giraldo.
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
7	Eduardo. Here's what I'm trying to understand.
8	You're coming in here and you're saying that small
9	businesses are shutting down all over the place
10	but you support Walmart?
11	EDUARDO GIRALDO: We're not
12	supporting Walmart. This is free enterprise and I
13	think people havelet the people decide want, not
14	us. But what we support is changes for the small
15	businesses, like I say, the Local 129 that is not
16	happening. The Small Business Survival Act is not
17	happening. The problems that we have with street
18	vendors areit's not happening. The law
19	enforcement the city is doing all
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
21	[interposing] I'm just trying, because this
22	hearing is on Walmart today. So are you
23	supporting Walmart or are you not support Walmart,
24	Eduardo?
25	EDUARDO GIRALDO: We're supporting

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 15
2	free enterprise.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So you
4	support Walmart?
5	EDUARDO GIRALDO: Yeah, if that's
6	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
7	[interposing] Even though, so you're concerned
8	about small businesses closing but you're still
9	supporting Walmart, right, because you were
10	complaining. And by the way, I share your
11	concern. When I grew up, I grew up in Midwood,
12	and right down the block from me was a local
13	pharmacy, until one of the big pharmacies came and
14	the guy was out of business in three months.
15	So I share those concerns. I'm
16	just trying to understand what your position is.
17	On the one hand you say you're concerned about
18	small businesses but on the other hand you support
19	Walmart. I mean, which one is it?
20	EDUARDO GIRALDO: Well, Walmart is
21	not competition for small businesses. Walmart is
22	competition for the big businesses that we have,
23	the big boxes. The small business, they're being
24	affected by a lot of factors. We cannot compete
25	with Walmart. When you see a business like

Walmart and you see a small business, we're not competing. They will kill us. But we've got to think in the respect of the other business that we have that nobody talks about it, the Targets, the Costco--

COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:

[interposing] Eduardo, I'm being honest, I still don't understand your position, but I want to move on because there are a lot of people here. I want to turn to Charles Fisher, just a quick question for you as well, a similar question.

As a small business owner, I know that I can't compete with Walmart, that's what you said. So you're supporting Walmart or you're not supporting Walmart? Are you not concerned as a small business? We had some testimony here that a lot of minority-owned businesses go out of business. Are you concerned or are you not concerned? I just want some clarity.

CHARLES FISHER: I support Walmart 100 percent, because the needs of the many outweigh those of the few. You know, it's not about me, it's about the people. Low goods on services, all right, can't be beat. A lot of

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 161
2	people can't afford
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
4	[interposing] So you're not worried about going
5	out of business yourself?
6	CHARLES FISHER: No, because I'm
7	creative. I'm not going to let Walmart like take
8	me out of business. It doesn't go down like that.
9	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Final
10	question. You said you went to Bentonville,
11	Arkansas?
12	CHARLES FISHER: Definitely.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Who
14	paid for that trip?
15	CHARLES FISHER: They paid for it.
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Walmart
17	paid for the trip.
18	CHARLES FISHER: For me, but for my
19	son, he paid himself.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.
21	CHARLES FISHER: Because we wanted
22	to make sure that when you asked that question
23	we'd be able to say that it was a 50/50 deal.
24	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Split
25	the costs.

б

community and they lied to me, they won't be here
very long. Believe that. Believe that. All
right? They'll be running out of New York City.
All right? I'm talking about some cats that did
25 years. You promise them a job and don't give
it to them? Oh you believe that

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. Thank you, Mr. Greenfield. I'd like to thank this panel. If you have no other comments, I just wanted to thank you for your due diligence in attending this particular hearing, coming before us and mobilizing, as Council Member Barron has said, taking a stand. I know that others in the executive world who support Walmart wouldn't even want to testify here, feeling that they could not state their positions. So I do appreciate your attendance.

TONY HERBERT: A final comment,

Council Member, and respecting you highly for the

work that you do in the Bushwick area, coupled

with a number of people like Tish James and a

couple of others, Member Koslowitz, yes, I recall

working here with Council Member Wooten.

Let me just say this. You know I

nave no disrespect for any Council Member up here,
nor do I anticipate having that disrespect. But I
want to say that we as a community are suffering,
and it was stated by this Council Member. Yes,
we're suffering. No matter where the voice comes,
it makes no difference as long as somebody has the
effect to make it happen and get the word out and
get the message out that we need help in our
communities.

So Charles, I'll work with you any day, anywhere. You know what I'm saying? I don't need a dime from anybody to do it because I don't ask nobody for anything. But I'll say this to you directly: we need jobs in our community. We need people that are going to be real. I'm going to say this, quite frankly, for those that can't sell it, then they need to get out of the way. The bottom line is we need jobs now for our community and we need people to survive. That's what this is all about and there is no other agenda.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.

CHARLES FISHER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'd like to call the next panel. Assemblywoman Inez Barron is

Barron.

here to testify. We have no other elected
officials present. So I'd like to ask the
Assemblywoman to come and join us. To follow will
be a panel consisting of Andy Sullivan, Robert
Spencer, and Pam Atla [phonetic]. That will be
the next panel following Assemblywoman Inez

[Pause]

Thank you.

HON. INEZ BARRON: Good afternoon.

It's a pleasure and an honor to be here to be able to get on the record my position regarding

Walmart. I want to thank the chair and all the members and all the City Council Members who are here to engage the public on such an important topic.

Walmart touts itself as having low prices. But I ask the question, low prices at what cost? When we look at the economic cost, it would be increased costs to state and local governments who would have to under gird the needs of those families that work at Walmart because they're working part time and getting low wages.

When we look at the cost that we would bear because of a company that has a history

2	of	ger	nder	inequi	Lty,	it	would	be	a me	ssage	to	our
3	wor	men	that	they	are	not	equal	_ to	the	men.		

When we look at the cost of what it is to our social environments, it would be a destruction of local community and local businesses.

When we look at the cost to the environment, it would be a significant increase in the number of toxins that are released and particulate matter that is released by the truck traffic and car traffic that would be increased for traffic going to Walmart.

So I say that the cost for low prices is much too high for our people. As we look at the job losses, the information that we have received says at best it's a wash. There is no increased number in jobs. At worst, for every two jobs, we lose three.

When we look at the poverty wages that are paid to the workers, the city and the state have to absorb those costs because we have what's called the working poor. People who are working but don't have an income to sustain themselves because they're not making a living

2 wage.

When we look at the cost to the community businesses, it's a loss in those small businesses because Walmart does not use local suppliers and distributors.

When we look at the environment costs, there's an increase in the greenhouse gas emissions, which talks about an increase in the conditions of climate that we know are a factor from disturbing the environment and putting those pollutants into the air. We've been charged with protecting our environment and trying to improve our environment.

It would also be a great cost of congestion. The traffic has been estimated to be an increase of 30,000 cars going to Walmart.

That's per week. The local streets are already suffering. If you come in my community, we have valleys that you're going over because of the heavy traffic on avenues such as Atlantic Avenue, Pennsylvania Avenue, Linden Boulevard. We would be talking about increased traffic because the trucks cannot take the Belt Parkway. So they're going to be coming on the local streets.

traffic.

We are not able to just get our

streets repaved. The under girding, the

underground East New York community has lots of

water, river valleys underneath there, little

streams rather. They require that the streets be

retrenched and the city is very slow in

retrenching streets. So you'd be talking about

infrastructure damage because of the heavy

As well as: projections of increased accidents because of the heavy traffic. We're talking about a community that is already a large saturated community because we have Starrett Spring Creek Community right there, the largest federally funding housing development in the nation. So we'd be talking about an increase in the number of accidents.

As well as the destruction of the quality of life, and someone pointed out to me that although Walmart talks about low prices, there's a great inconvenience for the senior citizen who can go around the corner and get her quart of milk who now will not have that access to that store because that store has been closed. So

it destroys the local community. There's a loss
of community consciousness and a loss of
convenience.

The local distributors, of which there's one major local distributor in the community that already supplies much of the local supermarkets that would probably be forced out.

In terms of gender discrimination, Walmart has an abominable labor record. Hundreds of thousands of allegations for instances that reflect a policy and practice that discriminate against women in their pay and promotion are presently being litigated.

We as a city and as a state are talking making sure that we have programs that advance our desire to see an increase in women and minority-owned businesses.

It's unfortunate, as has been said oftentimes here today, that Walmart chose not to participate but that they are seeking to use their limitless financial resources to blitz the TV, the radio and direct mailing to sway public opinion in their favor.

I'm especially concerned about

Walmart because just as they're circumventing the City Council, they seem to be circumventing local and state governments by trying to get sites that have as-of-right. There is much talk that Walmart would like to come into the East New York gateway community. That's an as-of-right site. That may be why they're looking to target that.

But gateway community, as has been planned, as the Councilman has referred to, is a plan that we were developing with Related. In discussions with Related, we made it very clear that yes, Gateway One already exists and has several large stores. We are already saturated.

We're not looking to have another large store come in and Related was very clear about that in discussions that we had with them, and agreed that that would not be the case but that they would partner with small businesses.

It would be a town plaza. There is a school that's going to be built. There are open areas that are going to be included in the Gateway residential area.

The plan that Related is talking about does include, as the Councilman has said,

Councilman Barron, 7,000 jobs. So the jobs are already a part of the plan without Walmart being that anchor tenant.

So I'm appealing to you to continue to do the diligent work that you're doing. I'm also sending a message to Related that I hope that as they expand their other interests around the state and the city that they can count on me to be able to say that they are a partner that sticks to their word. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so much, Assemblywoman Barron. I do have to thank you for your eloquence. Your remarks were very detailed in understanding the positions that have led you to today, having represented this site that is much in discussion as to a possibility. In having conducted your due diligence during what was an environmental impact study. The fact that Related, in your discussions with them, had never taken into consideration an increase in pedestrian flow, truck traffic additions or any other variable that would have skewed the impact study given the opportunity to expand an additional big box store such as Walmart.

or through coming into the office. What has

25

happened is that Walmart has dangled jobs that
were jobs before people. It has unduly and
inaccurately presented the Walmart structure to
the community.
So when you just say jobs and who

So when you just say jobs and when you don't refer to the type of jobs or the lack of benefits or the inability to be able to sustain your family but still have to rely on city and state aid, people don't understand the impact.

But as I talk to people individually and tell them we already have a plan which will bring jobs and that plan does not include Walmart, then they understand and are not in favor of Walmart when they understand the totality of what the Gateway Estates program is about.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: I'm just curious though, so what you're saying is you've been getting some calls but you think they have been generated by the advertising campaign more than anything else. Is that what you're saying?

HON. INEZ BARRON: Perhaps.

Perhaps.

COUNCIL MEMBER WEPRIN: But you

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 176
2	boxes, considering
3	HON. INEZ BARRON: [interposing]
4	You can imagine that and you imagine incorrectly.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, no,
6	I'll tell you why.
7	HON. INEZ BARRON: Okay.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: I'll
9	tell you why. I'm pretty sure you have a Target
10	at one of the Gateway malls.
11	HON. INEZ BARRON: Correct.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So
13	would you mind telling us the difference between
14	the Target and the proposed Walmart from your
15	perspective?
16	HON. INEZ BARRON: Money. Walmart
17	is the largest retailer in the world. Money:
18	that's the difference.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So you
20	think the Walmart effect would be worse than the
21	Target effect.
22	HON. INEZ BARRON: I think it would
23	be compounding a situation that already does not
24	already support workers to be able to get a living
25	wage. So why would we want to compound the

Yes, Gateway II.

25

rumored, I'm sorry.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 179
2	HON. INEZ BARRON: It's not.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Fair
4	enough, fair enough, fair enough.
5	HON. INEZ BARRON: You named
6	Council Member Barron and Assemblywoman Barron
7	and
8	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
9	[interposing] It's rumored.
10	HON. INEZ BARRON:thousands of
11	people
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
13	[interposing] I take that back.
14	HON. INEZ BARRON:in other areas
15	all around this city that do not
16	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: They
17	want to come to your neighborhood.
18	HON. INEZ BARRON: That's what I've
19	heard.
20	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Do you
21	object to them just coming to your neighborhood or
22	do you object to them to coming
23	HON. INEZ BARRON: [interposing] I
24	object to them coming to this city.
25	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.

HON. INEZ BARRON: [interposing]

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 181
2	Thank you.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:despite
4	the fact that you are married to a certain person.
5	HON. INEZ BARRON: No, that's
6	because of it. We bounce it off each other, you
7	know.
8	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: You know
9	that I have been there before.
10	HON. INEZ BARRON: Yes.
11	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: You know
12	that oftentimes they engage in baits and switches
13	and all of the promises that have been made they
14	have not realized and they have not actualized for
15	communities that oftentimes are living in
16	challenging times. During these austere times, I
17	know that they are pulling out all of the tricks.
18	I just want to say to you that you should stand
19	strong, stand true to your principles. You can
20	sleep at night, as I did.
21	HON. INEZ BARRON: Yes.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: May God
23	continue to bless you and thank you.
24	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you, I
25	appreciate it.

Τ.	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 102
2	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: And finally,
3	Council Member Barron, for full disclosure.
4	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Please state
6	who you are.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: I must say,
8	with all of the objectivity that I can command
9	that this was the most brilliant testimony of the
10	day.
11	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you, sir.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It was
13	profound, intellectual, well researched, it was
14	passionate.
15	FEMALE VOICE: [off mic]
16	HON. INEZ BARRON: Never.
17	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: It was
18	passionate. I just wanted to say, Sweetheart, I'm
19	so proud of you.
20	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you, sir.
21	I'm proud of you as well.
22	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: We thank
23	you for your patience and we thank you for your
24	testimony.
25	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 183
2	COUNCIL MEMBER BARRON: Thank you
3	very much.
4	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Order.
5	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you, thank
6	you, thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very
8	much. That was a wonderful Valentine's gift.
9	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you.
10	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I just want to
11	thank, once again, Assemblywoman Barron.
12	HON. INEZ BARRON: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'd like to
14	call the next panel. Pam Atla [phonetic], Andy
15	Sullivan and Robert Spencer.
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Is Robert
18	Spencer joining us?
19	ANDY SULLIVAN: Negative. I think
20	Pamela and Robert left.
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Pat Atla.
22	ANDY SULLIVAN: That was Geller.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'm sorry.
24	ANDY SULLIVAN: No, I believe they

both left.

2	to	tell	you	it	is	devastating	to	me	and	my	psyche.

3 These proud men now all of the sudden begging, and

4 a lot of them are sick too from the whole 9/11

5 aftermath. But that's not what I'm here to talk

6 about.

Walmart has just made an agreement with the unions, with the building trades. Now, I don't know if I could really tell you how important it is to have union construction workers employed, because the union construction worker on his own can stimulate an entire economy. Union construction workers make very good money. They work very hard, but they like to spend.

We love our big ticket items. We love the cars, the houses; we're always doing work on the houses, always doing side work, the vacations, and the restaurants. We on our own can stimulate an entire economy. All you have to do is look at areas like downtown Manhattan and Oueens.

You were talking about Queens
Boulevard. It's like a ghost town by me. There
are empty apartments. There are empty commercial
buildings. It's sad. Walmart's not here yet and

you're talking about them like they're the
boogieman. The pain is here, it is now. It's not
being caused by Walmart. Walmart's not here.
What is causing it? Unfortunately, we all know
what's causing it. It's our insane taxes, the
highest in the country. It's the insane over
regulation. I've owned several businesses and I
did very well because I knew when to get out. I
owned them in the 80s and the 90s when it was
still good to own a small business.
Ladies and gentlemen, you talk
about the mom and pop stores with such great
reverence. Where were you 15, 20 years ago when
they were pushing these burdensome taxes and
regulations on us?
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I was
ANDY SULLIVAN: Pardon?
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: We were just
reminiscing. We were children.
ANDY SULLIVAN: Okay, so your
predecessors. That's when we needed you, not now.
We've got to wake up to a new reality. The mom

and pop stores are dying and gradually becoming

extinct. Guess what, no one likes to hear it,

2.

б

there's nothing anybody can do about it. That's
just the way it is. I used to know my pharmacist
Now I don't, because every time I go there it's a
different person. I used to go to a nice little
coffee shop. Now everything is Starbucks or
Dunkin Donuts.

When I used to go to a hardware store, I knew the guy who could disappear into the back and find the perfect screw and the perfect bolt for me like magic. Now I go to Home Depot or Lowe's and you've got this--I can't see one end of the store because of the curvature of the earth, it's so big. That is just the reality we live in.

Rather than fight it, we should be accepting it and embracing it, because if we don't, if you try and stop growth and progress, it will steamroll right over you. Did you ever think maybe that's why we're in the shape we're in today? I mean look at us. The budget, look at what Mr. Cuomo has got to deal with. I would not want to have to go near any of that. It's going to be painful and a lot of people are going to get hurt.

So when you take a positive force

2	like a Walmart and introduce it into an area,
3	everybody is saying the sky is falling. I don't
4	agree. You're talking about one of the greatest
5	capitalistic economic drivers our nation ever had.
6	My God, we should be welcoming them.
7	So please, I ask you, consider
8	this. I'm not talking outyes, I am talking out
9	of anger. I'm also talking out of sadness and
LO	sorrow. I've been with this city and I've seen it
11	go through horrors and I lived it. Every day,
L2	9/11 is still there. You have no idea how
L3	frustrating it is for me to look at that place and
L 4	know it's still not built up, yet everywhere
L5	around it is. Why is that?
L6	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Sullivan,
L7	we just have a few questions.
L8	ANDY SULLIVAN: Sure.
L9	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I don't know if
20	you're going to be wrapping up your testimony.
21	ANDY SULLIVAN: Yes, that was it.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Okay. I wanted
23	to just ask if in your statement you referred to a
24	new economy and you had made reference to your new
	1

business that you've invented, a new--

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 189
2	ANDY SULLIVAN: [interposing] No,
3	no, I invented a tool.
4	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Okay. Is that
5	going to be manufactured here in New York City?
6	ANDY SULLIVAN: It is manufactured
7	here.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: It is being
9	manufactured here?
10	ANDY SULLIVAN: Oh, in the city?
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Uh-huh.
12	ANDY SULLIVAN: No, it's being out
13	of state.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Where?
15	ANDY SULLIVAN: Georgia.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Why not in our
17	industrial parks here in the City of New York
18	where there is manufacturing spaces?
19	ANDY SULLIVAN: I sold my licensing
20	rights.
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I see.
22	ANDY SULLIVAN: So they get to make
23	it wherever they want.
24	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I see. So
25	there was no opportunity for a New York City

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 190
company to purchase that license right?
ANDY SULLIVAN: Well, there were no
takers.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I see. What
kind of tool was it?
ANDY SULLIVAN: I'm a taper by
trade. Are you familiar with that, drywall
taping?
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Uh-huh, very
much.
ANDY SULLIVAN: Okay. So I
invented a wipe down tool to go behind this other
tool called the bazooka.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Okay.
ANDY SULLIVAN: This is very
pertinent to what you asked. That machine has
been outlawed in New York. Do you realize out of
49 states you're allowed to use these taping
machines? New York was the last bastion not to
allow these taping tools.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: For what
reason?

ANDY SULLIVAN: Because they were

too good. They were too fast. Their original

project that would be a great construction

responsible for the middle class, and I value the

2	work of the unions in the City of New York, why
3	are you not urging Walmart to allow their workers
4	to organize?

ANDY SULLIVAN: That's not my job.

I'm not an organizer. I'm a union worker. As you can see, I have no union representation here. I'm a rank and file member. I am from the field. I'm just a construction mutt.

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Right. All that I am saying is just as you are a member of a local union and you were allowed to organize and you reap the benefits of it and you have been somewhat successful, why not for the employees who work for Walmart who unfortunately are locked out of the same sunshine that you now enjoy.

ANDY SULLIVAN: I don't believe it is being locked out. I mean if you want to talk about corruption--

COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES:

[interposing] It's not corruption, sir. It's the ability to unionize and organize and fight for union wages.

ANDY SULLIVAN: Okay. So there's no corruption in unions.

commitment to hire locally?

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 197
ANDY SULLIVAN: Of course there is.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: You're sure
of that?
ANDY SULLIVAN: Oh absolutely.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: You're
absolutely sure of that?
ANDY SULLIVAN: I mean, I haven't
seen the documents and I didn't sign it, but I
guarantee you, the way we're doing construction
today, it will be.
COUNCIL MEMBER JAMES: Okay. Never
mind, thank you.
ANDY SULLIVAN: All right.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you,
Council Member James. Council Member Greenfield?
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank
you, Madame Chair. Andy, I want to thank you for
coming out here today. I also want to thank you
for your patience.
ANDY SULLIVAN: I wasn't that
patient, sorry.
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: These

things take time. We are trying to do a thorough

investigation into the matter. I think that's why

we have people here from both sides and I
appreciate you coming out.

I think you make very important points. Obviously, there's a lot of frustration. I think we're all frustrated. I'm as frustrated as you are that my corner hardware store has gone out of business and that my local pharmacist had to move out of town. And perhaps that's one of the reasons that led to this hearing today, which is that we are coming to the realization that many big boxes are harmful to our communities and we're trying to study the issue a little more.

So I don't take the cynical view.

I see this as an important role of the City

Council. I can't tell you what happened with our predecessors but I can tell that this Council I think has a significant interest in that conversation. I think that's why we're here, hopefully in good faith to evaluate that.

But I think you bring a very important point and I'd like to explore this, that there is very significant unemployment in the city, but for sure higher than average are in the construction trades. Do you know the current

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 199
2	unemployment rate in the construction trades?
3	ANDY SULLIVAN: Repeat that what
4	you just said?
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Do you
6	know the unemployment rate within the construction
7	trades?
8	ANDY SULLIVAN: Yeah, it's almost
9	30 percent, union construction.
LO	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thirty
11	percent?
12	ANDY SULLIVAN: Thirty percent.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: That's
L4	around three times higher than the
15	ANDY SULLIVAN: [interposing] Yeah,
16	than the national average.
L7	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:than
L8	the overall unemployment today in New York City.
L9	Do you have any idea how many jobs these projects
20	would create? Do you have any knowledge or are
21	you familiar with that at all or not really?
22	ANDY SULLIVAN: I haven't seen the
23	scope. I would definitely need to see the scope.
24	But when I think Walmart, I do think of the super
25	structure and that usually means, you know, you're

That

23

24

25

the door.

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 20
2	talking everything from the guy who is the
3	security to pushing the broom to the architects
4	and the developers.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: What's
6	a guesstimate? You know, they're talking about
7	100,000 square feet space. What's a guesstimate
8	on how many jobs you think would be created as a
9	result?
10	ANDY SULLIVAN: Oh instantly you'd
11	ramp up a couple of thousand right away.
12	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: A few
13	thousand jobs.
14	ANDY SULLIVAN: Yeah, right away.
15	But then it would grow. As the job would advance,
16	you'd need more bodies, you'd need to advance more
17	and the stages get more complicated.
18	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: How
19	long would these jobs last for? How long do these
20	projects generally last?
21	ANDY SULLIVAN: Today's schedule,
22	they go quick. You'd be very surprised. We did

Seven World Trade in less than two years.

was from the ground up. Finished, to the key in

2	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: So even
3	though it's short term, obviously it's vital to
4	your industry, you would say because of the
5	current unemployment rate.
6	ANDY SULLIVAN: Without a doubt,
7	without a doubt. And also surrounding businesses,
8	we kill them when we go to lunch. You know, we
9	occupy the little deli guy or the coffee shop
10	that's left. They really get a shot in the arm
11	from us, the community in general where the
12	construction takes place.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Well
14	listen, Andy, thank you for coming here. I think
15	you add an important perspective. There's no
16	question that unemployment is a significant issue.
17	Obviously among construction trades it's an issue
18	as well. There's no question that I give Walmart
19	a lot of credit for making a deal with the unions
20	that were they to come into New York City to use
21	union construction. That's something that's
22	important, obviously. So thanks for coming out.

23 ANDY SULLIVAN: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.

25 Sullivan.

2	ANDY SULLIVAN: Thanks. I'd be
3	remiss if I didn't bring up this one issue because
4	it's something very near and dear to my heart. I
5	appreciate all the work and all the time that's
6	being taken and the really over examination of the
7	issue. I wish we would have applied a fraction of
8	that when we were talking about putting up a
9	mosque down at Ground Zero. Thank you.
LO	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.
11	Sullivan.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. David
13	Schwartz-Gotham, New York Neighborhood Alliance.
L4	Alfred Placeres, New York State Federation of
15	Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Brad Gerstman from
L6	New York Neighborhood Alliance; Zulema Wiscovitch
L7	from the National Supermarket Association; Tony
18	Juliano from Greenwich Village-Chelsea Chamber of
19	Commerce. Juliano. I apologize; I made you
20	Latino. Tony Juliano. Thank you.
21	So we have David, Brad, Zulema and
22	Tony. Is Alfred Paceres here? Alfred? Thank you
23	very much. We will call the next panel after this
2.4	panel. Carlos Bustamante from Make the Road New

York, John Petro from Drum Major Institute,

2.

Maritza Silva-Farrell from New York Jobs with
Justice. Please begin. If you have any
statements that you'd like to provide Council
Members, please give it to the sergeant-at-arms so
that we may follow your statement. Thank you.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon,

Council Members, I'm David Schwartz. I'm an

advocate, a spokesman and an attorney for the New

York Neighborhood Alliance. I also represent

Gristedes Supermarkets. The owner of Gristedes,

John Catsimatidis, was here. He wanted to

testify, but he had to leave by 4:00, so I'm going

to make a couple of points for him as well.

I'm not going to repeat a lot.

Obviously, my talking points had a lot of what you already have. I'm not going to repeat it. I'm just going to add to it a little bit.

I just wanted to talk about a poll that Walmart touted where they stated that, I think it was 70 percent of small businesses supported Walmart coming into the area. Now, Brad Gerstman and I represent thousands of small businesses. When we saw this poll, we started scratching our heads. How could that be? How

2	could small bus:	inesses support	Walmart	coming	into
3	the territory?	It made no logi	ical sens	se	
4	whatsoever.				

So we decided to do our own poll.

The poll was drastically different. It was the opposite of the Walmart poll. Only 32 percent of the small businesses supported Walmart coming into the community. I'm sure that those 32 percent didn't understand the ramifications and the devastating effect that Walmart has had on our communities. I'm confident that that number would have been much less.

Now how could that be? Because you know what, I think Councilman Barron used the word bamboozled before. That whole poll that Walmart did was a bamboozling. They made it seem like they questioned the toy stores and the hardware stores and the drug stores that we talked about before that the expert in the first panel was talking about, how the devastating effect on those businesses.

They made it seem like they questioned those businesses in the polls. No. They called the dentist up on the 30th Floor of

2	the Empire State Building. They called the
3	accountant up on the 40th Floor of the Chrysler
4	Building. Those were the small businesses that
5	they were polling in their poll.

Another fiction, another
bamboozling. So you should know that this poll
that Walmart took has no value whatsoever and it
shouldn't be taken for anything. We're all New
Yorkers. What I ask you to do is you've heard a
lot of interesting testimony. You've heard a lot
from Walmart. You know what I ask you, use your
common sense. Use your common sense as--

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]

If you can wrap up.

DAVID SCHWARTZ: --as

representatives of your neighborhoods and think about how your neighborhoods exist. Think about whether or not Walmart fits in with our communities. We're not Bentonville, Arkansas and we don't want to be Bentonville, Arkansas. This is the greatest city in the world. By bringing in this monster, this poison, it's a joke to think that they're going to make up for the jobs we lost on Wall Street and manufacturing.

throughout the Boroughs of Queens, Brooklyn, Bronx and Manhattan. With the fundraising efforts of our members and our sponsors, we have generated over a million dollars in scholarships to needy Hispanic students. Our members give back to the communities they serve.

For those who are not familiar with our member stores, they consist of Associated,
Aim, Bravo, Compare, Fine Fare, Foodtown, Key
Food, NSA Market, Pioneer and others. In the last
20 years, none of our stores have received any
taxpayer support when our members have difficult
financial times. Unlike banks, investment bankers
and automobile companies, when they incur
financial problems, taxpayers have to bail them
out with billions of dollars.

Like these big companies, Walmart, which is a global conglomerate, is targeting not only our member stores which are mostly family-owned, but all the mom and pop stores like pharmacies, hardware stores, clothing stores, bodegas, and small retailers that surround their target locations.

These local retailers can't compete

2.

б

with the deep discounts and predatory pricing
practices exercised by Walmart to pull consumers
into their stores and destroy neighborhood stores
local suppliers and vendors. Unlike Walmart, our
businesses keep the dollars earned circulating in
the local economy

In the Borough of Brooklyn, we have approximately 56 supermarkets with 6 specifically close to the area where Walmart wants to open.

The giant's claim of creating 200 jobs is nonsense. If four of our stores close because they can't compete with Walmart, the city will lose 200 jobs and more.

Place yourself in the position of one of these stores. What do you do when you start losing business? You have to cut jobs.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: If you can wrap up.

JULEMA WISCOVITCH: Sure. Well, I just want to say in this scenario, our supermarkets will be hurt. I want to say how can Walmart call mom and pop stores, family business stores, special interests? So thank you very much for listening to our concerns.

2	CHAIRPERSON RI	EYNA: Thank vou	

BRAD GERSTMAN: Hello, my name is

Brad Gerstman. I'm an attorney. I represent the

New York Neighborhood Alliance, as well as

Gristedes Supermarkets.

I just wanted to make a couple of points, again for John Catsimatidis, who had to leave early, because this is what he would have wanted to testify before the Council.

His point of interest here is his 2,000 employees that work at Gristedes
Supermarkets are at risk if a Walmart should come in. These are his neighborhood retail supermarket stores that supply workers with union wages, health care, good jobs and stability in their life.

Additionally, he's also felt that he was standing up and wants to continue to stand up for neighborhoods, all the different neighborhoods in New York City where he himself has resided. He feels, and as do we and a number of other people, that the neighborhoods themselves and the way of life of people, New York City, as we all know it is a place where you can live,

shop, dine, very locally, in most cases without an
automobile.

and destroy those business, yeah we've spoken about what kind of devastation to small business this would be, but equally as important is what kind of devastation to the way of life and the quality of life that we currently live and enjoy now.

Additionally, when you talk about Walmart, the founder, Sam Walton, who is a legend in retailing, you know he was all about made in America. He was all about Middle America.

However, the Walmart of our times has left the reservation and has been a very different company than Sam Walton would have ordinarily intended.

He wanted to make it in America. He wanted people to benefit in America. But the reality of Walmart is everything is made overseas. There are no more meaningful jobs that are created here in the states other than retail jobs. So we've traded high good paying manufacturing jobs for low paying retail jobs.

That being said thank you very

2 much.

4 CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.

TONY JULIANO: Hello, my name is

Tony Juliano. I'm the President of the Greenwich

7 Village-Chelsea Chamber of Commerce.

Our Chamber represents businesses in an area of Manhattan that runs down from Canal Street up to 34th Street and from the Hudson River over to 3rd Avenue. Let me say that in a different way. My chamber represents businesses in Soho, and in Noho, and in the West Village, and in the East Village, and in the Flatiron, and Chelsea, and Union Square.

When I say the names that way, when I say our area that way, different images are conjured up. They're not that far in distance these different neighborhoods, but they are very different one from another. Someone choosing to live in Chelsea, for example, would feel very out of place living in the East Village. Each neighborhood is unique in its own way and each has its own special character. I'm sure that's true for many neighborhoods throughout New York City.

2.

It's one of the things that's so
inviting about New York. People have come here
over the years to start their families and their
businesses. Many have been here for generations.
The shops and the stores that have grown up here
give each of these neighborhoods much of its
special character, its flavor.

When a small business leaves a neighborhood, part of that neighborhood's character is forever changed. We look to the City Council to help protect the small businesses and the special nature and texture of the neighborhoods in which they reside.

This brings me to Walmart. This issue is actually, in my view, bigger than Walmart because it's really about all of these big, powerful mega-retailers of which Walmart is, of course, the biggest and the most powerful. They work so well in the vast recesses of the suburban highway system, and we congratulate and admire them for that. But they wreak havoc in a closely knit, diverse neighborhood in a city like ours.

We've all read the studies, and you've heard about them over and over today, but

2	they tell us what we already know because we have
3	common sense. If a Walmart store opens in close
4	proximity to established businesses in one of our
5	well-known neighborhoods, many of those small
6	businesses that compete with Walmart will go
7	under. And for awhile we'd be left with a giant
8	Walmart
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Could you
10	please wrap up?
11	TONY JULIANO: I will.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
13	TONY JULIANO: Just a few more
14	secondsa giant Walmart mega-store and lots of
15	empty storefronts surrounding it.
16	Eventually some business will re-
17	emerge and find ways to compete around the edges,
18	but at what cost? At what cost to the families
19	who owned the businesses, to the neighborhood's
20	unique character, to the area's tourism prospects,
21	and ultimately to the quality of life of the
22	remaining residents?
23	I'll just say this, I know I would
24	be very surprised if Walmart chooses to locate in

one of our neighborhoods that I represent. But

keep it simple.

our neighborhoods are such historic and unique and
rich character, as are many of the other
neighborhoods around the city. I could not just
sit back and take the risk and watch these
proceedings from the sidelines. So after careful
consideration and a thorough look at the
neighborhoods served by our chamber of commerce,
we could find no suitable location for a Walmart
mega-store to set up shop and we would oppose them
here should they choose to do so. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so
much. I have a Council Member who wants to ask a
question. Due to time, I just want to make sure
that we call upon the rest of the panels. So I
will limit that question opportunity to Council
Member Greenfield.
COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: Just
one question I guess to all of you, and I'll start
with you Tony. You mentioned other big boxes. So
you're opposed to the Targets that sell groceries
as well, correct?
TONY JULIANO: Yes.
COINCII MEMDED CDEENEID: Tuch

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 215
2	TONY JULIANO: Yes.
3	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: What
4	about you? Are you opposed to the Targets that
5	sell groceries?
6	ZULEMA WISCOVITCH: No, we're not.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD:
8	Gristedes, are the folks at Gristedes opposed?
9	DAVID SCHWARTZ: We don't have a
10	position on that based on the wages. I mean
11	Target's wages are much higher and they compete
12	normally.
13	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: What's
14	the starting wage at a Gristedes?
15	DAVID SCHWARTZ: I don't have that
16	answer, but a lot more there. These are all union
17	jobs, so I'm assuming probably double, at least 33
18	percent more than Walmart.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: \$12 an
20	hour, is that what you said?
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: The mike
22	please.
23	BRAD GERSTMAN: It's about \$12 an
24	hour.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Identify

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 216
2	yourself please.
3	BRAD GERSTMAN: Brad Gerstman,
4	about \$12 an hour.
5	COUNCIL MEMBER GREENFIELD: \$12 an
6	hour. All right, thank you folks, thanks for
7	coming out.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very
9	much. I'd like to call up Mr. Kim who was about
10	to leave, the Korean American Small Business.
11	He's here. Also, we have Maritza Silva-Farrell
12	and John Petro and Carlos Bustamante.
13	[Pause]
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Kim? Turn
15	on your mike.
16	SUNG SOO KIM: Hello?
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Yes.
18	SUNG SOO KIM: Thank you very much,
19	Chairwoman Reyna and Committee on Small
20	Businesses. My name is Sung Soo Kim. I am the
21	President of Korean American Small Business
22	Service Center of New York.
23	I basically represent here at this
24	hearing the 1,200 Korean American green grocers in
25	the city. I just want to bring up to surprise you

2	with one serious piece of research I've done,
3	which is completely against denying all the ads
4	Walmart recently placed at the Daily News and also
5	New York Post, you know the surveys.

The thing is that the prices, you know Walmart's price, you know they beat any other prices. It's not true. People, including all elected officers, everybody in New York City states believe at least until lately, they say the Walmart price is cheaper. No, it's not true.

I'm demonstrating with this chart.

I went to the Walmart by myself, did the research for the produce section which shows out of the 19 produce items of the Walmart over Valley Stream last weekend, 11 items, normal Korean green grocery stores the price is cheaper than those of a Walmart. Why Walmart has only three items.

So the myth they created, the myth who mystify everybody in New York should be aware that this is not true. They should be demystified. This is the statistics.

Chairwoman Reyna doesn't listen to me so I don't know whether I can continue or not. All right.

in New York City. It means we should really

25

been doing.

2	carefully maintain the complexity and the beauty
3	and the purity of the New York City by surviving
4	neighborhood friendly mom and pop stores in the
5	city. Okay, thank you.
6	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
7	MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: Good
8	afternoon. Thanks for allowing me to speak. My
9	name is Maritza Silva-Farrell. I am from New York
10	Jobs with Justice.
11	I appreciate it because it's really
12	important for us to be able to talk about the
13	implications of Walmart on opening stores in New
14	York City.
15	We are a coalition of worker and
16	community organizations building power to secure
17	good jobs and strong communities for all New
18	Yorkers. We've been doing this for about two
19	decades and that's most of the work that we've

I'm going to cut my testimony a little bit shorter. You will be able to read it. But we have every reason to believe that if Walmart comes to town, New York's communities will suffer. As we have seen throughout the country,

Walmart comes promising economic revitalization
but winds up leaving a trail of economic
devastation in its wake.

While our economy is in desperate need of jobs, it is clear that Walmart is not a solution. Not only does Walmart fail to provide the decent jobs needed to lift families out of poverty, it actually kills jobs and reduces the job quality of other area and businesses.

We need to protect our local small businesses and the men and women they employ. We simply cannot afford to allow Walmart to expand its global empire in New York City.

Walmart's plans to open stores throughout the five boroughs would fly in the face of everything we have learned about successful and accountable economic development. In New York State, Walmart has already crippled the state and local budgets by sucking up over \$52 million in subsidies over the last two decades. Between 2002 and 2005, Walmart received almost \$65,000 from industrial development agencies for every low wage job it created in New York State but paid each cashier less than \$12,000 a year.

б

As the world's richest company and retail industry leader, Walmart could be leading the way in creating decent workplaces and supporting local communities, but it's been far from a model employer.

I'd like to talk a little bit about what's been happening over the last few years, just to cut it short. We've been working with advocates and with capacitance on the campaign for Fresh along with building blocks in a big coalition. What we've been addressing is the issue of food deserts and that has been discussed here today.

We understand that with this pilot program we have been successful in the Bronx specifically and it's important to promote programs like this, so instead of supporting companies like Walmart.

For a more sustainable food system, we should expand programs like Fresh and encourage more responsible employers to address food deserts while creating good local jobs in the process.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.

2.

JOHN PETRO: Thank you to the
Committee Chair and the Council Members. A lot of
what I originally intended to say has been said
already. I just want to say generally that
Walmart does not constitute good economic
development. We find that it actually displaces
development rather than creating it.

You know that jobs are pretty much a wash. Walmart tends to drive down wages.

They've been associated with increased poverty in the counties that they are introduced to.

Walmart talks about its average wage of \$12.21 an hour. Average wages aren't a very good way of getting a sense of what people are actually paid. What Walmart advertises is still \$1,600 less than the average retail wage in Kings County, in Brooklyn. I just wanted to point that out.

Walmart has also talked about the amount of money that New York City households spend outside of the city at other Walmarts. That amount is less than one half of one percent of the taxable retail income in the city. You can get that information from the New York State

2 Department of Taxation.

I also want to point out that
Walmart has not been very genuine with its
community partners and its partners in other city
councils. You're probably aware of what happened
in Chicago where some alderman thought that they
had a deal with Walmart about a different wage
level. That actually didn't come to happen. It
was actually the reason that some of the aldermen
gave for their support for the store. After it
was approved, Walmart said that there was no such
deal. I just want to make sure that community
members know that. Unless they get it in writing,
it may not happen.

Lastly, I just want to mention the growth of low wage jobs in New York City. About one in three full time New Yorkers are now making \$29,000 or less. This trend is only going to continue. Allowing Walmart into the city will only exacerbate that trend. More low paying jobs will be created. More working New Yorkers will be in poverty while still working 40 hours a week and will not be able to support their families. Thank you.

agreement where--

2	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I want to thank
3	the full panel. We're just trying to figure out,
4	you had mentioned or made reference to jobs and
5	deals that have been made. Do you know of a deal
6	struck in Chicago and how many construction jobs
7	were produced?
8	JOHN PETRO: No, that wasn't really
9	around the construction jobs. I'm only relying
10	off of reports in the newspapers, the local
11	newspapers. This had to do with new retail jobs
12	that were going to be created. They had an

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]
In Chicago.

JOHN PETRO: In Chicago. There was a supposed agreement where workers being hired were going to get paid a different wage than the minimum wage and that after one year they were going to be promoted to another certain wage.

Like I said, some aldermen said that that was the reason that they gave their vote for support.

Then, pretty much as soon as the deal went through, Walmart issued a statement saying that there is no such deal. This is what

agreement?

2	was reported.	I mean I have no direct knowledge.
3		CHAIRPERSON REYNA: The community
1	partner never	produced an agreement, a written

JOHN PETRO: They had an email of some sort.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Besides that email there was no written signed agreement.

JOHN PETRO: As far as I know.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: As far as Jobs with Justice, I just wanted to ask, Ms. Silva-Farrell, the point you raised concerning \$65,000 from the IDA, industrial development agencies, for every low wage job it created in New York State being received by Walmart, was that per job?

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: Yes. It was done from good jobs first. So we got that out from the research.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Do you know if that IDA benefit has expired or does it continue?

MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: I am not sure if that has expired. We can get that back to you. We are actually working very hard right now in an IDA campaign, so we can get back to you on

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: So Walmart the

25

_	COMMITTEE ON SPHILL BOSINESS 22
2	company in New York State currently is receiving
3	IDA benefits throughout every location?
4	MARITZA SILVA-FARRELL: There are
5	specific. I believe the same thing, I can pass
6	the report to you and you will be able to read it.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very
8	much. It's enlightening information. I do
9	appreciate your participation and your patience
10	for waiting. Mr. Kim, thank you very much.
11	[Pause]
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I want to call
13	up Steven Barrison from the Small Business
14	Congress of New York City; Maisha Morales Small
15	Business FUREE; Frank Garcia from New York State
16	Hispanic Chamber of Commerce.
17	I'd like to thank my Co-chair,
18	Karen Koslowitz, Chair of Economic Development for
19	being here. There is a schedule we have to
20	maintain for evening hours, and so we bid her good
21	wishes. Thank you so much, Karen for joining us
22	and for being a co-chair.
23	I'd like to call up Tom Angotti
24	from Hunter College; Ken Baer from the Sierra

Club. Ken Baer is here from the Sierra Club?

2	Yes. Tom Angotti from Hunter College, here?
3	Maisha Morales? Thank you. Steven Barrison?
4	Here. Frank Garcia? Fantastic. Brian Ketcham
5	from Walmart Free New York City, consultant? Are
6	you pro or against? You're against. Can you have
7	a seat. I apologize. Jerry, can you just pull up
8	that chair for the gentleman? Thank you.
9	You may begin. If you can start
10	with the Sierra Club then, the farthest. If you
11	have testimonies you would like to share. Thank
12	you. Just pull up your chair. Turn on your mike.
13	KEN BAER: Good afternoon.
14	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
15	KEN BAER: I hope it's still
16	afternoon. I want to thank you, Council Member
17	Reyna and Council Member Vann for sticking it out.
18	It's long after noon and we appreciate those
19	Council Members and Council staff members that
20	continue in their attendance here.
21	My name is Ken Baer and I live at
22	91 6th Avenue in Brooklyn. I serve as chair of
23	the New York City Group of the Sierra Club.
24	The Sierra Club thanks the City

Council for this opportunity to testify on the

proposed Walmart project in East New York. The
Sierra Club opposes the Walmart store proposed for
Schenck and Fountain Avenues near Shore Parkway,
based on many environmental factors. The most
obvious of our concerns is the amount of
additional vehicular traffic that this store would
create.

It is estimated that Walmart alone would generate on average an additional 70,000 car trips per week. This would dramatically increase the amount of greenhouse gases produced, the vehicular congestion, noise and the number of traffic accidents in the area.

Most importantly, the additional air pollution would adversely affect residents that have pulmonary problems, especially those with asthma.

The proposed Walmart is a 20-minute bus ride from two subway lines, leaving people no other convenient alternative other than to drive. With 2,000 new parking spaces being proposed, this project cries out: drive to me, drive to me.

What also concerns the Sierra Club is that mom and pop stores that rely on pedestrian

2.

traffic lose out to a big box stores that relies
on vehicular traffic. At a time when this city is
rightfully focused on improving the health of its
residents and decreasing greenhouse gas
production, every one should be encouraged to walk
more and not use motor vehicles.

This Walmart would run counter to these goals. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you so much.

next. Good evening, or almost good evening, as he said. My name is Steve Barrison. I got up at 4:30 in the morning to be here today and I'm glad that some of you are still left. I'm speaking on behalf of the Small Business Congress. I'm their Executive Vice President and spokesperson.

We have approximately 200,000 mom and pop stores left in New York from our high of 367,000 when I started on February 3rd, 1983.

We're now down to 200,000 and probably a little less, and we employ almost a million New Yorkers.

So if you give me a minute or two, I'll give you my testimony, which you have before

you but I want to read it into whatever record you
keep. I can answer a couple of the questions that
came up today, which apparently nobody can answer.
I can spit the facts out form all parts of me.
It's amazing how you get to know this after a long
time.

Sadly, savvy New Yorkers know how to get cheap and discounted underwear without the Wal-Monster. The last thing we need in New York City is to try to emulate the Windy City, St.

Louis or the Baltimore, the inner city profile.

New York should be proud as the only major city in America with the guts and moral character to see through the Wal-mart flag wrapped mirage of being American.

We in New York City must stand for better than the dangling carrot of jobs, like the Assemblywoman Barron spoke about, no matter what they are, or what the impact, or what the cost to our great city, just because of the idea of jobs. As far as Wal-mart is concerned, there are no net jobs and the actual real cost to the economy of our city is too "Damn High" to quote McMillan.

Wal-Mart would be the most anti-

2.

American large corporation, it's probably the most
anti-American corporation in United States
history. You have to check the facts. From the
poor worker policies, to the lousy environmental
records, to destroying American manufacturing
industry by having their products made in Asia,
and being the largest single drain on the
country's health care system.

The business model of the Walmonster is good for only one thing: greed and
Walmart. There is no long term benefit to quality
of life, economic growth, the city's budget, the
infrastructure, or anything other than the
economic drain on our city. The bottom line is
they want to keep the poor poor. I with the
people from the hood would understand that.

Special interests fighting Walmart?

That is a joke. The only ones fighting are us,

New Yorkers, neighborhoods, communities, small

businesses on local main streets, business owners,

people like me who are here, my family is here

over 100 years, born and bred right here in New

York City.

Special interests? The only

2	special interest, as I said is Walmart. Big Wally
3	fighting for one thing: money. Not jobs or to
4	make our city better, not to make workers better,
5	or to help with medical and health care costs, or
6	congestion, or pollution or neighborhoods or
7	bringing manufacturing jobs back to the U.S. No.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: If you can

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: If you can start wrapping up.

STEVE BARRISON: Because it took
their-well. Because it took their decades' long
track record. They don't and they won't. Our tax
dollars will have to pay for food stamps,
subsidized housing, and medical benefits that the
Big Wally's workers can't afford for their
families. The Big Wally employees on TV that buy
homes are out in the Midwest where homes are
\$50,000. They're over ten times that here in New
York.

No single company, other than the big box stores, which have followed their bad example of doing business, has crushed communities more and destroyed small businesses more than Walmart across America.

Look, I've read all the studies.

2	It's unconscionable. It's clear. You can read
3	what I wrote in there. The bottom line is
4	Walmart's a bully. Nobody has talked about that.
5	They're the biggest bully that there is. When
6	people say they're about how Americans make it go
7	and a great American success story, I'm an
8	attorney also, they have completely ignored the
9	Robertson-Patman Act, monopoly laws.
LO	They have done predatory stuff.
11	They have taken all these companies, and I hope
12	that woman who had a soda business that was here,
13	I hope she never sells to Walmart. Because once
L 4	they get you in, they've got you, and that's what
L5	they did to Levis and Master Lock and pickles and
L6	hundreds of other companies. You get caught and
L7	you're destroyed forever.
18	New York City is bigger than that.
L9	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you.
20	STEVEN BARRISON: And we're better
21	than that and we should do better.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. I
23	appreciate it.
24	STEVEN BARRISON: I can also answer

your question on the retail versus big box and the

business owners. When I say I'm a small business owner, I'm a real mom and pop. I don't own a manufacturing company. It's a religious supply store. I am for the living wage. I am in support of the living wage bill also.

I guess, in 2004, the City Council voted on the 2004 rezoning which is what affected downtown Brooklyn and displaced so many small businesses, homeowners and low income people. I with they were all here but if you can relay the message. Please, don't commit the same mistake. Please fight for the people.

One thing I did as a small business owner was that I hired locally. Not only did I hire locally but I hired that woman from Fort Green houses, public housing, who didn't know how to read and write. I hired the young man who just came out of prison. I didn't do background checks. I helped them to become more productive citizens in New York.

Out of those employees, the woman learned how to read and write. Not only did she learn how to read and write, she owns her own small business now. Three of my employees now

2	work for the state. Two for the city and two more
3	opened up their own small business. This is
4	something that Walmart will not do for the people.
5	So with my experience, what I've
6	gone through as a small business owner, I've now
7	lost everything. This will also increase the
8	rents in the community when you bring in these big
9	developments like that.
LO	So right now I've lost everything.
L1	I am now on food stamps, Medicaid and about to
L2	face eviction and become homeless any week now.
L3	So I just came just to share my story and ask you
L4	guys to please support the little people and
15	prevent Walmart from coming in.
L6	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Ms.
L7	Morales.
L8	FRANK GARCIA: My name is Frank
L9	Garcia. I am chairman of New York Statewide
20	Coalition of Hispanic Chambers. I'm the former
21	president and founder of the Bronx Hispanic
22	Chamber. I own Millennium Recycled Toner.
23	Because of time, we've decided, all
24	25 chambers decided at this moment
25	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]

Mr. Garcia,	please continue.	We're	reviewing	your
testimony a	a wa anaak			

FRANK GARCIA: I'm sorry. Okay.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Don't stop.

FRANK GARCIA: Because of time, I'm not going to go into all the testimony that we have here. Our 25 chambers right now at this moment decided, they voted temporarily to be neutral on this until we sit down and find out exactly what's going on.

We called Walmart. Walmart never returned our phone call. I've been to Arkansas. I've helped minority businesses get contracts. Who is selling to Walmart? I could tell you about the process. As a small business they're going to compete against me. I recycle toner. They sell toner. I don't support them personally but I have to respect the decision of my board members to ask me at this time to give us time.

Murphy from the Bodega Association is not here. Murphy is scared that his businesses are going to go out of business. I haven't heard-what we as an attorney, and we have an attorney here. Our concern is that you can't stop Walmart.

2	Our president of the U.S. Hispanic Chamber told u	ıs
3	that. They're coming in. You know, it's the	
4	American way.	

My concern is we need to get money to be able to educate the supermarkets and the bodegas and the small restaurants to be able to compete against Walmart and be able to business.

What happened in the Bronx, in the Bronx terminal market, I fought against that. You know what, we lost. The Bronx Terminal Market, all the others in there, you know they came in and we lost it.

To end my testimony, I just want to be real clear, there has been viscous, viscous letters out there from advocates like Alfred Placeres and Richard Revsci [phonetic] saying that I took money from Walmart because I helped one of my members get a contract from Walmart.

I'm going to be real clear. I have not taken anything. I've been to Walmart. I've met with the president with all the state chambers nationally. I was there when Chicago negotiated with them. In my opinion, they've hurt the businesses in Chicago.

Gateway II is a huge project. More than 700,000 square feet of new retail space plus 2,400 residential units generating more than 3,400 auto trips during weekdays on PM peak hours and more than 4,400 trips on Saturday peak hours.

About a third of this traffic would use the Shore

23

24

25

2	Parkway,	which is	already	jammed	with	traffic.
3	Adding a	Walmart	supercent	ter woul	ld add	d

significantly to these impacts.

What we have found in our study,
which you have a copy of now, that a Walmart
supercenter will add 1,000 to 1,300 more autos to
the area during peak traffic hours; 37 percent of
this traffic will attempt to use the Shore
Parkway, again which is already jammed.

Walmart alone would increase traffic reported in the Gateway EIS by 45 percent in the weekday AM peak hour, by 39 percent for the PM peak hour and by 24 percent for the Saturday PM peak hour, again compared to what was reported by the Related Companies FEIS for all project activities.

Gateway II will more than double the retail space at this site and will consequently increase traffic along the Shore Parkway by more than 1,300 auto trips during the evening peak hour without a Walmart. The impact of Gateway will be to slow traffic from 46 miles per hour without this project to 35 miles an hour.

The addition of Walmart on top of

2.

Gateway II will slow travel speeds even more, from
35 miles and hour to 25 miles an hour, a huge
impact on congestion not anticipated in the FEIS,
significantly increasing the travel time for the
160,000 daily commuters already using Shore
Parkway.

Intersections surrounding the

Gateway site are already gridlocked. Gateway II

will make these conditions far worse. Many

intersections cannot be mitigated with just

Gateway II traffic. None of these intersections

can be mitigated with Walmart. The report

provides plenty of detail.

When completed, Gateway II would generate 23 million auto trips each year. The addition of a Walmart will add another 4 million auto trips, generating 16 million more miles of travel, resulting in 130 more traffic accidents and adding 10,000 tons per year of greenhouse gas emissions added to the 60,000 tons that will contributed by Gateway II, further undermining Mayor Bloomberg's goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by 30 percent over the next two decades.

It is clear that, based on this

2	analysis, adding a Walmart to the Gateway project
3	in East New York will result in huge community and
4	regional impacts that have not been accounted for.
5	These unreported impacts demand
6	that a full EIS be undertaken for any proposed
7	Walmart. Once these impacts are fully disclosed
8	and it is understood that these impacts cannot be
9	mitigated I cannot see how a Walmart can be
LO	incorporated into the East New York Gateway
11	project site. The downside is just too great.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very
13	much. I'd like to thank every member of this
L4	panel. Before you leave, I just wanted to
15	understand, Mr. Ketcham, the Walmart supercenter
L6	at the Gateway Estates Project in East New York
L7	that you have made an analysis for, at 180,000
L8	square feet. Did you look to see any traffic
L9	impact concerning Walmart, not as a supercenter
20	but any of their other models?
21	BRIAN KETCHAM: At another
22	location?
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: No.
24	BRIAN KETCHAM: You mean a

downsized Walmart?

2.	CHAIRPERSON	REYNA:	Correct.

that you have in that report is proportional to the size of the Walmart. So if you cut it in half to 90,000 square feet, it would be 500 to 650 trips added to the site. But let me just say, as an addition, there is no Walmart no size, 100,000 square feet is going to cause enough trips to cause significant deterioration in the surrounding roadway system. They're all gridlocked. They're gridlocked now. They'll be gridlocked far worse when Gateway II is finished. Any addition from any size Walmart will be a problem.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: On top of what was already allowed as far as the environmental impact study is concerned.

BRIAN KETCHAM: Yes. That's what I did. The analysis is for the substitution of a 180,000 square foot Walmart and I removed 180,000 square feet of destination retail. I corrected for that. So this is a net impact over and above what is reported in the FEIS.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very much. You wanted to mention or answer a question

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 from before?

STEVE BARRISON: There were several questions raised that weren't answered. So I can give you one-liners on each one and rattle them off. That's what we attorneys do sometimes.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Go ahead, Mr.

Barrison.

STEVEN BARRISON: Okay. First, it was brought up about the other big box stores and how do you compare salaries and all that. So I have this top 13 list. I'm not going to read They're the facts about Walmart you may not But number nine is would New Yorkers want know. Big Wally if they knew it compared to other large retailers that the Walmart workers earned 31 percent less than the average retail chain worker and they also need 39 percent more in public assistance. That's studies that have been done numerously. You have to check not just Chicago. There were studies done in major cities all over America. There's a lot of information out there. So, of course, we the taxpayers pay for it.

The other question that was raised was about professional services. Walmart, as far

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as services like repairs and professional
services, suppliers and all that, it doesn't come
from the local community. Other businesses spend
over 82 percent on the services right in the

6 community but not Walmart.

The last thing was only touched upon in a little article in the New York Post a few days ago bragging that Walmart suddenly found 40 Big Apple nonprofits to give \$9 million to. If you exclude that little buying into New York, if you look at their track record, the local nonprofits from community-based organizations such as civic, religious, environmental, Boy Scouts, Girl Scouts, churches, synagogues, museums and the like, that most of that money comes in from the local small businesses. But the record from Big Wally is that it doesn't. So that would be a tremendous drop-off. They only give about 15 percent whereas the local businesses pretty much support all of those kinds of things in the neighborhood.

So when people from the hood today were talking, and I'm a guy from South Brooklyn, third generation about the hood, and not getting

fair for fair, the fact is that it's not just about well did we lose one job for one or 1.4 or 2.4. You know what, it really varies. The bottom line is even if it's just one for one; it's still a huge loss because when you factor in all these other costs, we the taxpayer have to make up that difference. So it's a tremendous loss. It's really not one for one and it's unfair.

To go out to Arkansas to go meet the people is like you and I going down to the Carolinas to meet the tobacco manufacturers who are going to tell us, don't worry, tobacco is okay and we have millions of people that want to buy cigarettes. I mean it's crazy.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Barrison, as far as the Industrial Development Agency for New York State and the tax benefits that the previous panel to this panel had stated, New York Jobs for Justice had testified. How many of your members of the Small Business Congress of New York City have applied and received such packages for per position created as far as a small business is concerned?

STEVEN BARRISON: There may be some

2	out there, but I don't know. In my 28 years of a
3	mom and pop that's asked for advice on how to get
4	subsidy for their little store, most of them can't
5	even take off time to come to a hearing, let alone
6	fill out forms.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Well that's
8	what you're there for, right?
9	STEVEN BARRISON: Well, I try.
10	But, you know, Walmart has gotten billions of
11	dollars in subsidies. Billions.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'm just asking
13	a very specific question as far as your membership
14	is concerned and your coalition or association,
15	how many of your small business members have
16	applied and received or have applied and been
17	denied IDA funds?
18	STEVEN BARRISON: I honestly don't
19	know of any that have applied. There may be some
20	out there. Maybe they did it on their own.
21	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: But you
22	yourself have not assisted any member to receive
23	IDA benefits.
24	STEVEN BARRISON: No.
25	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Garcia, the

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 250
2	same?
3	FRANK GARCIA: In New York, no,
4	they don't even return our phone calls.
5	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Your
6	membership?
7	FRANK GARCIA: Our membership, no.
8	In Chicago they have.
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I'm referring
10	to New York State.
11	FRANK GARCIA: In New York
12	membership, no. They're not even returning our
13	phone calls. That's what I'm trying to say.
14	None. None of our members have received any.
15	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Garcia, I'm
16	referring to the Industrial Development Agency.
17	FRANK GARCIA: Yes, our members
18	haven't received
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]
20	Tax benefits.
21	FRANK GARCIA: We have not received
22	any.
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: In New York
24	State.
25	FRANK GARCIA: We have not had

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 251
2	them.
3	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: You have not
4	assisted anyone in receiving any benefits from the
5	IDA?
6	FRANK GARCIA: No.
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Okay.
8	FRANK GARCIA: I myself as a
9	manufacturer and I don't receive it. I'm in the
10	Empowerment Zone and the Hub Zone in the South
11	Bronx.
12	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Do you know of
13	the IDA benefit?
14	FRANK GARCIA: Yes, I did and
15	they've never reached out to us.
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: But have you
17	applied?
18	FRANK GARCIA: I have applied.
19	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: And you've been
20	rejected?
21	FRANK GARCIA: Not rejected. I
22	never got a response.
23	STEVEN BARRISON: The only last
24	thing I would add, if it helps you and Council
25	because I don't know where you're all going to go
J	1

2	with this at the end of the day is that there are
3	studies that have been done in St. Louis, in New
4	Hampshire, California has one not too long ago.
5	In fact, Consumer Reports had a November cover
6	story about comparing Walmart to the other Costco
7	and J.C. Penney and Sears and all that.
8	All of them will back up that you
9	don't do any better at Walmart and in many cases
10	you do worse. The service at the other places is
11	often much better, besides the wages and benefits
12	and everything we've already heard about today.
13	So the Council can inform themselves and look into
14	this. It's all available. There is tons of stuff
15	out there.
16	FRANK GARCIA: Madame Chair, I want
17	to
18	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]
19	That's what all of this is.
20	STEVEN BARRISON: Well, there's a
21	lot more. There's thousands of stuff written.
22	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.
23	Barrison.
24	FRANK GARCIA: Madame Chair, I
25	wanted to correct something that was talked to

б

here. Top Soda is not certified as a minority
company. I've helped a lot of minority, like you
know from your district, Julio, who applied to do
construction with Walmart and they haven't even
called him back. He's a minority business in your
district. So he's certified. We got all the
certifications. Seabo [phonetic] Meat was able to
get a contract with them. That was like pulling
teeth with them. I had to go and yell and scream
at the shareholders meeting because they didn't
want to return the phone calls to Hines Junior
[phonetic] or to the owner of Sylvia's Restaurant
who has canned goods. We were able to get them in
and they're doing very well.

One thing I want to say for the record, they do have one of the best supplier diversity programs than any other company, just for the record. I could get you the numbers that show that they do more than Target, BJs, and any others.

The supermarkets buy more from Hispanics and minority businesses, but we don't have research on that yet.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you. I

_	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BOSINESS 231
2	appreciate the participation of every member of
3	this panel. Thank you for your patience and for
4	making sure that you were able to testify in these
5	late evening hours. Thank you.
6	STEVEN BARRISON: Next time you'll
7	have like a buffet or something.
8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: We're fiscally
9	conservative. Elizabeth Bird from Good Jobs New
10	York will be part of the next panel. Elizabeth is
11	here? Richard Lipski from Neighborhood Retail
12	Alliance, is Mr. Lipski here? Is Stephen Parker
13	from New York City Americans for Democratic Action
14	here? Ken Diamondstone? James Ellis from the
15	Brooklyn Chamber, is James Ellis here?
16	[Pause]
17	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Luis Ducasse
18	from the Bodega Association of the USA? Christine
19	 Williams from Opposition to Walmart Freedom Party?

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Luis Ducasse

from the Bodega Association of the USA? Christine

Williams from Opposition to Walmart Freedom Party?

Ms. Williams? Eddie Benato [phonetic]? Veronica

Williams from Local 1500? Veronica Williams?

Alexis Santana? Ms. Santana? Robert Conlon

Moore? Jennifer Stenavage from Stop N Shop?

Reverend Tihaka [phonetic]? Tihaka Robinson?

Omar Jordan? Mr. Jordan? Mike Pricoli?

23

24

25

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 25
2	Fantastic, Mr. Pricoli please join this panel.
3	Ronald Dillon? Mr. Dillon, thank you. This is
4	the last panel of the evening. Thank you very
5	much.
6	[Pause]
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I do want to
8	thank Council Member Vann, my Co-chair of
9	Community Development for staying here this whole
10	evening, this whole afternoon. We look forward to
11	our continued discussions around this matter. We
12	hope to see this matter resolved.
13	I do want to thank every member of
14	this panel who has waited long hours in order to
15	testify. I want to finally thank our staff, the
16	Council staff and our personal staff, our district
17	offices who have made this hearing possible.
18	Thank you.
19	You may begin, if you'd like to
20	start from the end?
21	MICHAEL PRICOLI: Thank you,

Council Members, for hearing me. My name is Mike Pricoli. For the last 25 years I've been a city worker, I've been unionized. Before that I was a civilian in the FBI. I was unionized. Before

that, I worked in the private sector. I was not unionized. Before that I was in the military. Of course there is no union in there.

The reason why I'm here and I took a vacation day to be here, because I thought it was very important. I have been in Arkansas, when I went to the welfare conference because for the last 25 years I've been a supervisor and a worker in public assistance. The Health and Human Services in Arkansas was part of a state panel. They bragged on how Walmart would have them come into their facility and they would make sure anybody that was eligible, because a lot of them do not get \$12 an hour, got benefits, MediCal of the Medicaid from California, the same thing. I can go on and on.

Right in New York State, you have the--I think it's 7,500 or 8,500 of the work to hire a welfare recipient per year, the tax credit, up to that. Then the federal government and then also if there are any go-betweens like Goodwill or whatever. So the taxpayer might be paying the whole salary.

The reason why I'm here is because

Walmart, when they had a profitable store in
Canada and they unionized that store, they closed
it. When they had the fresh meat packers in Texas
and they unionized, those 11 workers, they got rid
of their fresh meat division. They did that in
the Midwest and the Central.

I would be for Walmart if they would give the chance to people to unionize. If they would take into consideration those small businesses and any businesses that they try to put out of business and then raise their prices later and consult the community, take the community's concerns.

Also, one more thing, well that's it. You've got my testimony.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I do appreciate your taking a vacation day to come here. It is valuable. I don't want you to feel as if it was in vain. If you do, remember what it was, your last point, I will allow for that last point to be shared. Thank you.

ELIZABETH BIRD: Thank you for the invitation to speak to you today. My name is Elizabeth Bird. I'm the Research Analyst for Good

1	COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS 258			
2	Jobs New York			
3	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]			
4	Ms. Bird, if you can just pull the mike closer.			
5	ELIZABETH BIRD: Can you hear me			
6	now?			
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: A little bit			
8	better.			
9	ELIZABETH BIRD: A little bit			
10	better. Can you hear me now?			
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Yes.			
12	ELIZABETH BIRD: My name is			
13	Elizabeth Bird. I'm the Research Analyst for Good			
14	Jobs New York. Attached you'll find a complete			
15	written testimony from Good Jobs New York Project			
16	Director Bettina Damiani, who was not able to be			
17	here today.			
18	Walmart's motto of save money, live			
19	better doesn't always ring true for communities.			
20	Walmart has been accused of devaluing property,			
21	not providing good paying full time jobs,			
22	discriminating against its workers, relying on			
23	taxpayer subsidized health insurance programs for			
24	its employees and being an unfair actor in			
25	negotiations with suppliers.			

To be sure, Walmart is not the only
bad actor in the world of big box retailers. Many
neighborhoods have already experienced the
proliferation of these stores and it shows. Mom
and pop businesses are drying up. Our
neighborhoods are losing their distinctiveness and
profits from the big boxes returned to
headquarters out of state. But because Walmart is
the most profitable company in the world, it
garnishes the most attention.

At a time when our city and state are in budget crisis, our economic develop officials must be increasingly vigilant about using precious tax dollars to encourage development, especially without a guarantee of good jobs for New Yorkers.

We at Good Jobs New York hope that the attached testimony serves as a warning and a lesson on Walmart's history of demanding tax breaks and other incentives for its operations. I would like to highlight some points for you today.

Conservative estimates show that Walmart has garnered well over a billion dollars in subsidies nationwide. Public subsidies that

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Walmart has received range from infrastructure
assistance and tax breaks to government grants.
Most of these subsidies can be allocated without a
public hearing. Here are a few subsidies we

believe Walmart may seek.

Free or reduced priced land, or asking the city to pay for roads, water and sewer lines and other infrastructure. Walmart is notorious for avoiding property taxes by aggressively challenging property tax assessments, setting up shop on city-owned land and participating in the industrial commercial abatement program or ICAP, the largest economic develop subsidy in the city, which requires no public hearing before it is administered or Empire Zone benefits. Again: an as-of-right discount on property taxes along with state credits, sales tax exemptions and cheaper utility rates. Finally: tax exempt bond financing through various development agencies like the New York City Industrial Development Agency. Bond financing would require a public hearing.

In conclusion, we're pleased the City Council is concerned about Walmart's

potential expansion into New York City and urge
you to foresee the many government handouts
Walmart will request as part of its standard
operating procedure. In a time of budget crisis,
New Yorkers should not be expected to foot the
bill for developing a Walmart, nor should we be
expected to applaud creating poverty wage jobs
without adequate health care benefits. We urge
you to show equal scrutiny to all big box
retailers seeking a foothold in New York. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you very much. You can go ahead.

name is Stephen Parker. I'm on the board of an organization called Americans for Democratic Action, which is a liberal advocacy organization that was founded in 1947 by Eleanor Roosevelt and other labor leaders. As you can imagine, in 1947, America was a much different place. The workforce was primarily unionized. We had a large and vibrant middle class. We had a very large manufacturing base in New York City and in America.

Other speakers have told you about the negative impact that Walmart has had on small businesses. I want to talk about the negative impact Walmart has had on manufacturing in America. In 1980, there were 24 million manufacturing jobs in America. These were jobs that were considered skilled jobs. They paid a living wage. They came with dignity and respect and fringe benefits. They allowed the workers to have a middle class lifestyle.

Then Walmart embarked on this everyday low prices strategy, which meant that they looked all over the world for the lowest price and labor and they found it in China. They opened hundreds, if not thousands of factories in China. Today, virtually everything they sell in their store is made in China. Obviously, the exception would be food.

Since Walmart embarked on this

"everyday low prices" strategy the manufacturing

base in America has diminished. The manufacturing

base in New York City is greatly reduced. In

fact, in 1980 we had approximately 500,000

manufacturing jobs in New York City. Now, we're

2	down to just a handful. I'm afraid that if		
3	Walmart were to be allowed to open their big box		
4	stores in New York City, it would create probably		
5	more low wage jobs in China and very few year.		
6	So basically, in summary, the		
7	Walmart everyday low prices strategy probably		
8	means everyday low wage jobs in New York City and		
9	for that reason we oppose the introduction of		
LO	Walmart into New York City. Thank you.		
11	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.		
12	Parker.		
L3	KEN DIAMONDSTONE: Thank you,		
L4	Chairperson Reyna and members of the Council for		
L5	conducting this hearing. I'm here as an		
L6	individual, private citizen because I consider		
L7	this to be an important issue.		
L8	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr.		
L9	Diamondstone, I apologize for interrupting you and		

Diamondstone, I apologize for interrupting you and I'll make sure that we stop the clock so that we can give you more time. I just want to correct the notion that I'm chairing this alone. I have Council Member Al Vann here, who is co-chairing this with me, along with Karen Koslowitz who was here all day. I want to make sure. This was a

three-joint hearing.	It's import	tant that	we
recognize Community De	evelopment,	Economic	
Development and Small	Rugineg	Thank wou	

KEN DIAMONDSTONE: No, thank you.

I'm here speaking as a private citizen, but I also note that I'm on the board of directors of

American for Democratic Action as well in New York City.

As we've heard from numerous speakers, Walmart's entry into New York City would begin a race to the bottom for all retailers.

Despite Walmart's mantra that it adds jobs and helps the local economy, the reality is the exact opposite, concludes repeated academic, government and media studies.

In a University of California at

Berkeley study, the conclusion was when Walmart

moved into a California community, retail workers'

wages across the board fell nearly 31 percent.

When Iowa State University studies Walmart's

impact on that state's economy over a ten-year

period, the results were many more jobs were lost

than gained and those were at lower wages. The

grim results were that over 1,800 large and mid

sized stores disappeared with the arrival of Walmart in that state.

Walmart claims to be a good corporate citizen. That claim proved a myth when Consumer Reports in 2007, studying national chains ranked Walmart at number 45, near last, while Costco was ranked number 7, near the top in category after category.

That good corporate myth also went flying out the window again in 2004 when Walmart lost the largest gender discrimination class action suit in U.S. history. But now it continues to appeal that loss, now claiming, unbelievably, that each woman should have hired their own lawyer rather than filing a class action suit.

Walmart's enormous, like its supply in China, allows it to undercut competitor's prices until it begins squeezing them to cut costs as well. Labor costs and benefits begin to erode by hiring more part timers. What remains of the U.S. supply chain shuts down and are transferred to cheap labor suppliers. When competitors can no longer find anything left to cut to lower their prices, Walmart continues to lower theirs.

Competitors lose customers, jobs are lost and
finally in 5, 10 or 15 yearsthey can wait
Walmart has killed off most of all of their
competitors.

Well, yes, I do want to mention this. In a November 2005, a New York Times piece, Walmart reportedly had the highest employee turnover rate in the industry. It was nearly 50 percent in the first year. That's convenient for Walmart's bottom line because Walmart denies all employees any health care during the first year.

In Massachusetts in 2008, Walmart topped the list of companies with more than 50 employees whose employees depended on publicly subsidized health care rather than employee's health care. According to a Massachusetts State study in 2009, Walmart cost Massachusetts over \$18 million in health care in that year alone.

Mayor Bloomberg: I and others

oppose your effort soft pedal Walmart because, Mr.

Mayor, behind Walmart's enticing PR lurks an
entity that ruthlessly crushes workers,
neighborhoods, competitors, suppliers and if given
a foothold will crush wages and compensation and

RONALD J. DILLON: The Old Mill Creek of New Lots, of which this proposed site is a part of. But notice the hypocrisy over the years about this project. The city has given away

24

25

would listen to us.

the Old Mill Creek's legacy without any oversight.
Now, after having done that, because Walmart comes
in, everybody is talking that they're the bad
guys. You've already given away the store. We
fought against giving away the store and nobody

We've reviewed the previous environmental impact statements and things that are being said today, when raised with previous environmental impact statements, were said to be of no consequence.

With respect to the mall, we have raised many issues with respect to the mall and people talk about how the mall has helped community groups. Not in that community.

Everybody is looking for a piece of that pie but that immediate community gets nothing.

It's nice that they are looking to get a piece of the pie, what about us who have stayed the course in that community, who have raised issues, especially issues of alienation of parkland and nobody listens to us?

So the hypocrisy is that when we raised the issue, it's not an issue. But because

2 it gets press, the same issue somehow magically
3 becomes an issue.

One last thing, I happen to be on Community Board 5. I am not representing them.

I've been on there for many years. I've listened to the community allegedly cry for supermarkets and I hear part of the testimony about people how supermarkets are in the surrounding area. Not even close. Brownsville is not close. Ozone Park is not close. Nobody is going in there.

To a certain extent I have not heard any of these studies address the specific community of New Lots Brooklyn and what their people are like, what their needs are like. You know, the bodegas talk about we have it doing bus. You know, the Health Department, when they're talking about nutritional values, cite the bodegas as places which do not provide nutritional values.

I just would like somebody to go
through this and look at the alienation of
parkland because it just doesn't affect Walmart.

Look at the fact that what's affecting the
immediate community not everybody who is looking
to get a grab on this. Look for some consistency

about what people have said, this community, the
larger community of New Lots needs and now they're
saying something different. If Walmart comes in,
I'm not for or against Walmart, except for the
land use issue, if Walmart comes in, none of these
other people who have testified are coming in with
good supermarkets with fresh fruits at affordable
prices. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.

Dillon. I wish we had supermarket owners still

remaining in the audience to hear your cry for

what you have just said. As Chair of the Small

Business Committee, I will reach out to some of

these associations who have claimed that they are

entrepreneurs to take a closer look at the

opportunity for communities like yours that are

deserts that are calling for the fresh food that

they are setting up shop for in other communities.

RONALD J. DILLON: Just one thing,

I don't know if you're familiar with that area.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Yes.

RONALD J. DILLON: But the fact is except for Starrett City, whose tenants are senior citizens who privately or publicly at some things

come out that they would like a Walmart, given
their limited incomes. You know, we're not really
talking about this is a local supermarket, but it
would allow access at lower prices.

The supermarket groups, when they have projects coming into New Lots, they only induce to come in because the owner or the sponsor of the project wants a bigger benefit and somebody says okay, we'll give you a supermarket on the ground floor. They're not coming in just, you know, this is a great place to go into.

If you look at the demographics and the income levels and the unemployment level, this is not a place that businesses are looking to expand in.

I'd like to say that apart from the general community, who is looking at the people that live right next to there, and I'm not talking about the high rises, I'm talking about the private homeowners whose community has been destroyed.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I completely, crystal clear hear you.

25 RONALD J. DILLON: Thank you.

But now, seven or eight years later, are trying to get this to the Supreme

Walmart has lost that suit repeatedly.

23

24

25

2	Court, the current Supreme Court that has not been			
3	overly friendly to anyone but business and would			
4	like to question the ability of anyone to bring			
5	class action suits. Walmart is now claiming that			
6	each one of those, whatever number that was, I			
7	don't know that it was a million, but it was a			
8	huge number of women.			
9	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: 1.6.			
10	KEN DIAMONDSTONE: That each one of			
11	them instead of filing a class action suit should			
12	have each of them			
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: [interposing]			
14	Their own counsel.			
15	KEN DIAMONDSTONE: Living at near			
16	poverty levels should have hired their own			
17	attorneys to bring suit against Walmart.			
18	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Thank you, Mr.			
19	Diamondstone. I just wanted to understand if you			
20	knew of anyone in particular in New York State			
21	that's a part of this class action suit?			
22	KEN DIAMONDSTONE: No, I don't.			
23	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Okay. I wanted			
24	to just ask Mr. Parker, you had mentioned you have			
25	a manufacturing business, is that correct?			

2	STEPHEN PARKER: No. My education						
3	is in fashion. I graduated from Fashion Institute						
4	in 1971. At that time there were about 300,000						
5	garment industry jobs in New York City. The last						
6	I heard we're down to about 10,000.						
7	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: My mother was						
8	one of those seamstresses.						
9	STEPHEN PARKER: I was trained to						
10	manage a garment factory. As you know, garments						
11	today are made in China. I think we can give						
12	Walmart part of the credit for that.						
13	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Are you						
14	familiar with the industrial parks?						
15	STEPHEN PARKER: No, I'm not.						
16	CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Well, those are						
17	the preserved whatever's left of the square						
18	footage of manufacturing industrial spaces.						
19	I hope that with the same fervor						
20	that we've seen these arguments against Walmart						
21	that we see protecting these spaces because they						
22	are long gone from what was available to now						
23	converting into real estate. We can't hold onto a						
24	middle class unless we start looking at an						
25	upgraded 21st century manufacturing and industrial						

industry where it's made in New York. I hope that
we can continue this dialogue in a much more
productive way where we are actually producing for
consumerism here locally. I know that that is
something I am very committed to.

I've seen transition in my community. You know, either you're receiving the benefits because you're so poor you cannot enter into a middle or you're living the luxury life of making the opportunities available for you with the benefits and wages that allow you to live that lifestyle. Everyone in between either has to double up in housing or continue to face long working hours, two different jobs and these are the things that we have to start comprehensively taking a look at.

I'm not trying to preach to this panel alone. This is my final comment. It's enlightening to see that groups, such as Good Jobs New York, were paying attention to the details of the IDA. These are benefits that are issued on a daily basis. We have to pay closer attention to these benefits because they are taxpayer supported benefits. So when we have these types of

б

2 standards, we're supporting this culture.

If we don't start questioning a lot of these decisions that are being made amongst government, then we're going to continue to support what would be the low wages and less of a middle class nation.

I hope that we can continue to see more information on the IDA and to explore what needs to happen in order to demand more of these benefits to support a living wage and benefits associated to them. Thank you so much to all.

Yes, Mr. Dillon?

RONALD J. DILLON: Just talking about the industrial park.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Speak into the mike, Mr. Dillon.

RONALD J. DILLON: You mentioned industrial parks. We happen to live in an industrial park because we got rezoned out of it. The East Brooklyn Industrial Park is in the general neighborhood. A point of fact is that these industrial parks, there is no planning. EDC, which is supposed to help these industrial parks, does nothing but sell property when

2	somebody's interested in it. We've raised that
3	issue in this community that the formal in place
4	industrial park, there is nothing being done
5	there.

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: Mr. Dillon, I agree with you. Real estate does not equal economic development. I hear you loud and clear. I think that's what everyone is trying to grapple with, because we have seen multiple rezonings in the City of New York and we've yet to see the benefits of all these rezonings. Thank you very much.

RONALD J. DILLON: Thank you.

[Pause]

CHAIRPERSON REYNA: I want to read into the record Pratt Center for Community

Development. This testimony was provided by Adam Friedman, Director of the Pratt Center for Community Development on today's hearing, oversight on Walmart. The Small Business

Committee, Community Development Committee and Economic Development Committee Joint Hearing is adjourned. Thank you.

I, Donna Hintze certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

Signatu	re <i>\</i>	Sour	u (B) (Luko
J		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
Date	February 2	28,	2011	