CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

February 23, 2023 Start: 1:36 p.m. Recess: 5:32 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Pierina Ana Sanchez,

Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Public Advocate Williams

Alexa Avilès Charles Barron Tiffany Cabàn David M. Carr Eric Dinowitz Oswald Feliz Crystal Hudson

Ari Kagan

Gale A. Brewer Lincoln Restler Sandy Nurse

APPEARANCES

Kim Darga

Deputy Commissioner of Development with the New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development

Lucy Joffe

Assistant Commissioner of Housing Policy with the New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development

Christopher Norman Executive Director of the Metro Atlanta Land Bank

Akilah Browne Board of New Economy Project

Elise Goldin New Economy Project

Richard Heitler
In a Mitchell Lama co-op since 1975

Karim Hutson
President and CEO of Genesis Companies

Andrea Kretchmer
Affordable and Supportive Housing Developer

Jolie Milstein President and CEO for New York States Association for Affordable Housing

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Craig Livingston
Board Chair of NYREC, New York Real Estate
Chamber

Alexis Foote
Founder of the Real Edgemere CLT in Far Rockaway

Valerio Orselli Project Director of This Land is Ours Community Land Trust

Athena Bernkopf Project Director of the East Harlem El Barrio CLT

Rachael Brown
Steering Committee and the Outreach Committees of
the Western Queens Community Land Trust

Juan Barahona Principal of SMJ Development

Phyllis Gray
Resident in the Bronx

Ann Tirschwell Cofounder and Principal of Type A Projects

Joelle Ballam-Schwan
Supportive Housing Network of New York

Samuel Stein Senior Policy Analyst at the Community Service Society of New York or CSS

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Paula Segal Senior Staff Attorney at Take Root Justice

Ellen Davidson Staff Attorney at the Legal Aid Society

Brianna Soleyn Board Member of the East New York Community Land Trust

Kirk Goodrich Developer in East Flatbush

Danielle Mowery Housing advocate

Jay Hauben Living 40 of 81 years of life in social housing in New York City

Cecile DeLaurentis Steering Committee of the Western Queens Community Land Trust

Manny Tavares Agallas Equities

Michael Perles Urban Planner

Albert Scott
President of the East New York Community Land
Trust

A P P E A R A N C E S(CONT.)

Boris Santos East New York Community Land Trust

Debra Ack
Member of the East New York Community Land Trust

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Test, test, this is a test on the Committee on Housing and Buildings. This is being recorded by Sakeem Bradley. Today's date is February 23, 2023, and this is being recorded in the Chambers.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Shh, quiet down, we're getting ready to begin. Good afternoon and welcome to today's New York City Council meeting on Housing and Buildings. At this time, please place electronic devices on vibrate or silent mode. If you want to testify, please go to the Sergeant at Arms desk and fill out this testimony slip. If you want to submit testimony, you may do so at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Again, that is testimony@council.nyc.gov. Thank you for your cooperation. Chair, we may begin.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: [GAVEL] Good afternoon everyone. I am Pierina Sanchez, Chair of the Committee on Housing and Buildings. I want to thank you all so much for joining today's hearing. One, that I have been anticipating since before I even ran for office. I would like to thank and acknowledge my colleagues from the City Council who are present today, Council Member Barron, Council Member Cabàn,

2 | Council Member Brewer, Council Member Hudson, Council

3 Member Avilès, Public Advocate Jumaane Williams,

4 Council Member Ari Kagan, Council Member Oswald Feliz

5 and I'm sure we will be joined by others.

2.2

2.3

New York City and municipalities across the

United States of America are facing a dire housing

crisis. It is not one that is felt equally by all

New Yorkers or all those who call America home. But

one with pain concentrated in low-income communities,

communities of color and one that is felt by

underrepresented people like persons living with

disabilities, sexual and gender minorities, religious

minorities, they feel it the most.

That this pain is felt differentially is widely documented. It is the function of the history of this great nation. Government and private sector policies like redlining that devalued certain communities because they house people of color.

Investments like the GI bill in favorable mortgages and policies that transferred no less than \$100 billion and \$1950 which equates to \$1 trillion in 2023, transferred to White families in the post war period and this at the exclusion of minority communities.

This set the stage for White families to have an
average network today of \$188,000, versus Black
families who have a median net worth of \$24,100, an
eight-fold difference. To the lowest income New
Yorker being housing insecure, means homelessness.
This is the 70 percent of my constituents in District
14 who are housing cost burdened. And a worker in my
community earns just \$21,000 per year. This means
children who are forced to commute for hours from a
shelter in Queens to their school in my district in
the Bronx, often times missing invaluable class time
because of the long distances traveled. Missing key
moments with their school friends. It means a parent
being far from family and social supports. It means
community level stress that blocks opportunities.
That entire blocks and neighborhoods feel when their
apartments are falling apart, when heating is
insufficient and when they look at their paychecks
nonetheless and their bills at the end of each month
and know fully that they will not be able to make
ends meet.

And as we now, the manifestation of the community level stress, that source from housing insecurity, it ripples through our communities in terms of job

insecurity, food insecurity, poor health outcomes and ultimately even; as 64,000 New Yorkers have said, in violence in communities.

Today's hearing is about working toward a response to the housing crisis that includes these stories and experiences. More that includes that centers, these stories and experiences. The president of the United States issued a housing supply action plan in May of 2022, including policies to preserve and create hundreds of thousands affordable units with air quotes, producing housing costs, ensuring affordability through rental assistance, down payment assistance. Yet, we don't hear about the deepest levels of affordability.

The Governor's budget and housing compact includes a goal to build 800,000 new units of housing. It includes a mandate that communities across the state need to contribute to this goal.

Laudable but it is also devoid of mention for whom the housing will be built. Yes, we need to build more housing to serve all income levels and we need to support home ownership. Yes, we must focus on the reality that it is the lowest income New Yorkers who are suffering the most.

Without a mass infusion of dedicated resources, capital dollars for the lowest income affordable housing production and preservation, a meaningful infusion of housing access vouchers that allows tenants to pay expensive rents, we will not move the needle. The Mayor's housing blueprint and the city zoning proposals contain policies also to reduce red tape, to spur development, and improve importantly customer service and experience at the agencies that interface with our lowest income New Yorkers. But not a capital commitment. Not a deep dollar figure that is going to move the needle.

So together, these efforts yes, are laudable. I will be largely in support of many of these and we're glad to see; I am glad to see attention finally coming to housing policy. But we need an incisive focus on the lowest income New Yorkers. Enough is enough. It's too expensive to build and stabilize folks in their homes, can no longer be the reason for inaction.

And so, we are here today because we say yes and.

The and let's build housing, let's build all across
but that and, is let's make it affordable and let's

2.2

2.3

2 make it reverse trends of historic inequities and

3 | racism through social housing.

2.2

2.3

Social housing refers to housing for social good. At least that's how I talk about it. It includes housing that is meant to be permanently, deeply affordable. Removed from commodification interest, remove from speculation and it includes housing that can be more community controlled, democratically governed. It means that we look at city assets, even ones that are problematic in a positive light. We have over 15,000 underutilized parcels of land in the City of New York. How many units can we fit on those?

We have over leveraged properties, speculators who have taken more and more and more debt and put buildings in distress. What about if we can give those landlords, those owners, a way out that includes ownership via social housing? Social housing centers mission driven entities, including cooperatives, nonprofit owned and controlled entities. Community land trusts, HDFC's Mitchel Lama's and public housing and importantly, critically, for me, social housing discussions that we are starting in the City of New York here, must

2 center the construction and the building of Brown and

3 Black wealth. Without a focus on wealth building for

4 historically excluded communities and families, we

5 are not moving the needle. Without stabilizing

6 families in their homes, we're not moving the needle.

7 Today, is about a beginning to expand the

conversation to meet the challenging realities that

9 folks are facing.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

I, for one am tired. I'm tired of coming back to my community after this press release or this announcement and getting the question Pierina, what does that mean for me? Am I going to get one of those 800,000 apartments and the answer being probably, no. That's not fairness. That's not justice for a community like mine.

We need a paradigm shift. A discussion of housing that does not leave out the vast majority of New Yorkers who feel the most pain. For me, the discussion must center homeownership. Protecting the middle class, yes and stabilizing the most vulnerable. We're never going to address the issues if we don't talk about who is hurting the most. And the bills today, they create containers for truly,

24

deeply, affordable housing, wealth building

opportunities and community stability.

several pieces of legislation.

J

In December, this committee, the Committee on Housing and Buildings held a hearing on Code Enforcement. Examining tools that the city has to create and protect what could be social housing.

See, this is part of a narrative. This is part of a shift that we are continuing to pursue in the City Council and so, today, we are going to be hearing

I'll touch on them but the bill sponsors will do their own introduction, a few because we need to grow this sector, devoted to housing for social good and community organizations with the financial wear with all should have the opportunities to purchase first Intro. 196 sponsored by Council Member Carlina Rivera would create the first opportunity to purchase the Community Opportunity to Purchase Act. Because we cannot miss a single opportunity to create social housing on public land, Intro. Number 637 sponsored by Council Member Restler relates to the disposition of real property by the city.

Because land banks are a proven model across this nation and New York City is late to the game, Intro.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 714 sponsored by Council Member Gale Brewer relates

3 to the creation of a land bank. Because our city's

4 leadership needs to focus on growing the social

5 housing sector, Intro. Number 932 sponsored by

6 Council Member Nurse, relates to studying the

building. When I say COPA, you say TOPA.

feasibility of establishing a social housing agency.

Because folks should have the right to remain in their long-time homes and build equity, Resolution

Number 38 sponsored by Public Advocate Williams calls for state legislation, mandating that any owner selling a multiunit residential dwelling, was first offered to sell that building to tenants within the

Because stabilizing our lowest income New Yorkers should be a priority, Resolution 344 sponsored by Public Advocate Jumaane Williams, calls upon the State Legislature to pass and the Governor to sign A.3701B and Senate Bill 2804B creating a housing access voucher program.

Because we need to stabilize renters in their homes, Resolution Number 506 sponsored by Council Member Nurse calls for the passage of Good Cause Eviction. We — and I will stop there. So, we are our teams here in the City Council. I'd like to

2 thank deeply all of the staff that was involved in

3 the preparation for this hearing, my Chief Sam

4 Cardenas, Legislative Director and Communications

5 Director; that's two jobs you all Kadeem Robinson, as

6 | well as Housing and Buildings Committee Staff Taylor

7 Zelony, Audrey Son, Jose Conde, Charles Kim, Dan

Kroop and Brooke Fry. Thank you for your tireless

9 work leading up to this hearing.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Now, I will acknowledge my colleagues to introduce — to open remarks on their legislation. So, first up are Public Advocate Jumaane Williams.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: Thank you Madam Chair and thank you so much for your leadership in holding this hearing today. As mentioned, my name is Jumaane Williams and I am Public Advocate of the City of New York. For years, New York State has trailed behind the rest of the country in rates of homeownership.

In 2022, it had the lowest homeownership rate in the country at 53.6 percent, 12 percentage points below the national average of 65.8 percent.

With a market that is predominantly rental, New York City falls even further behind with a rate of 31.9 percent homeownership as of 2019. The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the housing crisis in both city

1

3

4

_

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and state leaving many of them unable to pay their rents and at risk of eviction. Property owners and other landlords seek to return a profit during the pandemic, sold their properties leaving tenants in these buildings at higher risk of eviction and displacement.

Resolution 38 calls on the New York State Legislation to pass legislature to pass and the Governor to sign legislation mandating that any owner intending to sell a multiunit resident dwelling, must first make a failure praise off a sale to the tenants between the residents before making any sale offers to third parties. Tenants would then have an opportunity to sell their interest to a community nonprofit or community land trust that would then own and maintain the building. This would place these properties in the hands of those who have the residents best interest at heart and would restore security and stability in the lives of tenants. enacting this law, New York stands to boost homeownership, preserve affordable units and further mitigate displacement amidst the housing and homelessness crisis.

2.2

2.3

The impact of the program is best exemplified by the Tenant Opportunity to Purchase Act, TOPA enacted in Washington DC in 1980. Through tenant advocacy, TOPA was significantly contributed to DC's total number of limited equity cooperative housing units which commonly stands at 4,400 units.

Resolution 3344 calls on New York City

Legislature to pass and the Governor to sign A.3701B and S.2804B establishing a housing access voucher program. Rental assistance in the form of the housing vouchers to New Yorkers who are homeless or who face an imminent loss of housing is a vital tool to help in homelessness and to keep those at risk of homelessness housed. With rising rents and stagnant wages, preventing families from losing housing is key in fighting future homelessness. This program is actually one of the things that we can immediately do to help people who are struggling to pay their rent or facing homelessness.

The production and preservation of social housing is key for city and state plans in addressing the housing crisis, I know the name scars people. I've been trying to think of another name but I can't, but it's still a great program. Don't be afraid. The

violations. In order to fix this, we have to change

the modality that exists. So, thank you again Madam

24

25

2 Chair and thank you to all of my colleagues who have

3 bills being heard today.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much. I'm filling your shoes, big shoes to fill as Housing Chair Public Advocate.

PUBLIC ADVOCATE WILLIAMS: I'm looking forward to help. You're doing so much better. You are doing that, much better than my shoes.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much Public Advocate. So, next up, I want to call Council Member Restler for intro. remarks.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much Chair Sanchez. We are incredibly fortunate to have you leading this Committee. It is a direct result of your leadership that we're hearing this incredibly important package of legislation today. I just want to express my most immense gratitude to you and to each of the bill sponsors, Council Members Nurse and Brewer, Rivera, Public Advocate Williams on really the tremendous legislation. I am introducing Intro. 637, which was previously — an earlier version of it was previously introduced by then Council Member Lander. So, I especially want to thank him and the new economy project and tremendous coalition of new

2 land trust and development corporations across the

3 city that have been pushing for this legislation.

4 It's this simple, when public land is being

5 redeveloped, it should be for the public good. We

6 should be prioritizing nonprofit developers and

7 | community land trusts to redevelop every single piece

8 of public property in the City of New York. For

9 housing, for economic development purposes, for

10 recreation, across the board.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And I appreciate that HPD has discretion to require affordability when redeveloping sites, but the stats are clear. It hasn't been happening and we want to make sure that it isn't subject to the discretion of this or any future administration. We want to mandate it into law. The data couldn't be clearer. That nonprofit developers are far more likely than for profits to build affordable housing, take on complex projects and maintain affordability in perpetuity.

In the previous administration, 78 percent of all city owned sites that were redeveloped were awarded to for profit developers. For profit companies developed just 18 percent of those new units for the lowest income bands. Nonprofit organizations

allocated twice as many new units for the lowest income earners.

If we're going to actually build deeply affordable housing on public land, it means prioritizing nonprofit developers. To put it in context, just 720 units were developed by nonprofit developers from 2014 to 2018, compared to 3,000 units by for profit developers. That data is profoundly unfortunate and we have to do better. Public land is a treasure and we have to make sure that we maximize the opportunity when we develop each and every lot. We are failing to do that.

I mean, I'll give an example. It's a block out of my district in Council Member Hudson's district but if you go across the street from Bam, 15

Lafayette in downtown Brooklyn Fort Greene. Public land was sold to Jonathan Rose Companies for \$1.00.

It was redeveloped with 25 units at 100 percent AMI's, 24 units at 165 percent AMI's. I don't know why we even count AMI's that high and 74 units at market rate. There was no real affordable housing built in a project that was given away to a developer for \$1.00. And we could go over example after example, after example of administration after

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

very much.

administration giving away public land and not 2

3 delivering the affordable housing we need.

welcome the Mayor's approach to building more 4

5 affordable housing - to building more housing but we

need to make sure that there's actually affordable 6

7 housing that's being generated. And if we don't do

it on public land, it's never going to happen.

I do not believe that the market will ever solve for the people who are struggling hardest to get by. We need to prioritize it. HPD needs to lead and that's why we need to pass Intro. 637. Thank you

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much for your leadership here Council Member Restler. I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Nurse and Council Member Carr. Next up, I'm going to call up Council Member Brewer to talk about the Land Bank Bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you very much. Intro. 714 which will probably be 714A because there will be some changes. But as you know, a Land Bank could be tasked with acquiring where housing and transferring real property to develop, rehabilitate and preserve affordable housing. And I too want to

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

thank then Council Member Lander for his initial introduction and also say we're not the first city to do this. You will hear from the Chair that she worked in Newbird New York. They have a land bank and you'll hear later from Chris Norman, who is head of probably the best land bank in the country, in Atlanta Georgia and he has agreed to come and be with us via Zoom. So, there's a lot of information out there about land banks. What they would do is in particular, focus on creating housing obviously because they would be able to transform abandoned properties and return them to productive use. Land banks can focus on affordable housing, which is what we're all facing in terms of a crisis but they can also fresh food stores, public spaces like parks. Some of them have done wildlife conservation areas and obviously commercial theaters, commercial property like theaters, all of which we need.

And the notion would be because they're nimble, because they can accept — they're a nonprofit so they can accept foundation grants in Atlanta. Many, many foundations are also supporting them, where they can hold the land tax free. They can obtain the property at low or no cost, tax foreclosure processes, it

2 could be a gift. It could be an agreement with the

3 municipality. Many of them have MOU's with land

4 trust and we have great land trust in the city. Some

5 of them are sitting here in the audience. They could

6 get demolition liens in Atlanta, the U.S. Attorney

7 because there's a lot of money laundering that goes

off of property, have given it to the land trust.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And then, the issue is you're exempt from many kinds of mandates if anybody giving property to via the city would have to deal with. So, you can make contracts, you can give guarantees, you can incur liabilities, you can borrow money, you can enter into contracts that perhaps others cannot. You can broke your insurance, you can invest your property for something else, you can collect rent, sell property, charge fees. What you need of course in all of this, is a good board. One that is responsive to the issue and the challenge ahead, obviously a good director. All of that is subject to whatever we decide in terms of the legislation itself.

I don't want to spend a lot time; I think you get the idea. The concept is to be nimble, to be transparent. So, everything up on the — should be up on the web as to what you are in the process of

2.2

2.3

working on. What's your goal is and exactly what you're going to do with the land. And so, I'm here to say, we need every tool in the toolbox in order to provide affordable housing, even ideas for open spaces and obviously ideas for small commercial enterprises to exist. Everything is needed and a land bank to do all of that. You got good governance and as I said, has good transparency and obviously follows the law in terms of making sure that it is the kind of land bank that we want in our city. Thank you very much Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much Council Member Brewer and excited to hear from your guest from Atlanta. Thank you so much. So, the next Council Member we'll hear from is Council Member Nurse who has two bills today.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. Thank you for holding this hearing. Today I'm introducing two bills. The first, Resolution 506 is calling on the state to pass and the governor to sign good cause eviction.

The second bill Intro. 932 is a feasibility study on creating a municipal social housing development agency. New York City has a rich history of social

2.2

2.3

housing, including public housing, cooperative and community land trust. But these inroads have been stymied by divestment or malicious and racist narratives that serve to undermined social housing, despite its successful track record. This report would pave the way for a more expansive social housing sector and identify areas of collaboration between city agencies, labor unions and social housing practitioners.

This bill would also look at how we can better streamline social housing development and unlock more funding streams to build housing for those currently left out of the market. Lastly, this bill would change how we organize and fight for social housing, opening up the possibility of truly guaranteeing housing for all. Our good cause resolution calls on the state to pass good cause eviction for the two state bills A.5573 and S.3082 which would provide some of the strongest set of tenant protections in our lifetime. This bill would prohibit exploitative rent hikes and guarantee lease renewals for up to 784,000 New York City renter households.

Importantly, this bill allows tenants to fight for better conditions and power in their buildings

2 without fear of retaliatory evictions. We cannot

3 wait any longer to pass good cause and I will do

4 everything in my power to see its passage. Thank you

27

5 again to our wonderful Chair, my colleagues and to

6 all the staff who helped get these bills over to the

finish line to this hearing and who are behind the

8 scenes today.

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

9 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so
10 much Council Member Nurse. And with that, that
11 concludes our Intro. remarks from bill sponsors. I
12 will now turn it to our Committee Counsel to
13 administer the oath and I want to thank the members

of the Administration for being here today.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Please raise your right hand. Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this Committee and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

PANEL: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. You may begin when ready.

KIM DARGA: Good afternoon Chair Sanchez and members of the New York City Council Committee on Housing and Buildings. My name is Kim Darga and I'm

2.2

2.3

the Deputy Commissioner of Development with the New York City Department of Housing, Preservation and Development. I'm joined by Assistant Commissioner of Housing Policy Lucy Joffe. Thank you for the opportunity to testify about social housing, an important and timely conversation as well as proposed legislation relating to the Community Opportunity to Purchase Act, land banks, public dispositions and the creation of a dedicated social housing agency.

As you all know well, the last several years have been difficult for many New Yorkers. In particular low— and moderate—income tenants citywide have struggled to find and remain in stable housing. Our vacancy rate remains low, below one percent for units renting under \$1,500 and rent burden continues to be high, over 53 percent of New Yorkers are paying more than 30 percent of their income toward rent.

Homeowners too have struggled to remain in their homes due to income disruptions and rising costs. We testified before this Committee just weeks ago, about dwelling units acknowledging that many low— and moderate—income homeowners have been renting out parts of their homes illegally and unsafely just to make ends meet.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 We all hear regularly about the mom-and-pop 3 building owners, many of whom have invested their life savings in small buildings across the city and 4 in your districts. They too are struggling with rising costs, such as gas prices, the high cost of 6 7 building repairs, labor shortages. Nonprofit and MWBE owners and developers face many of these same 8 challenges and when all these folks can't make ends meet, when they can't afford to pay the gas bills or 10 11 to fix the boilers or to pay the building staff, critical parts of our housing suffer and the tenants 12 who are collectively here to serve suffer too. 13

Our housing system is highly interconnected.

Within that system HPD plays a critical role.

Offering a range of programs to encourage building owners to build new and make capital investments in their buildings, which become income restricted rental housing, so it will be affordable to more New Yorkers. And while we are committed to innovating new approaches to achieve our mission, our primary obligation is getting tenants into safe, affordable, stable housing quickly.

We want to make sure that when we innovate, we do it in ways that minimize major disruptions, which

2 could immediately harm tenants and could harm

3 | homeowners and building owners to play a critical

4 role in providing the safe, quality, rental housing

5 New Yorkers need.

2.2

2.3

The topic for today's hearing is social housing.

They're a wide range of definitions for social housing and a wide range of examples of it in practice, including here in New York City. Some of the best examples, in Europe, emphasize the important role social housing can play and integrating buildings and neighborhoods. Middle-, moderate- and low-income families all live in social housing.

Decreasing the stigma that low-income families may otherwise face living in income segregated housing.

We share these goals and are committed to breaking down barriers to housing choice, so that all New Yorkers can decide whether to remain in their home and current neighborhood or to move to a community that better meets their needs.

This is especially critical for communities of color and those living with disabilities who for too long have been excluded from certain parts of the city. These goals are outlined in Housing Our

2.2

2.3

Neighbors, a blueprint for housing and homelessness and Where We Live NYC.

Mission Driven ownership is also a common theme within social housing models. We work to support nonprofits among other mission driven entities to expand their capacity so they can build and preserve more affordable housing. We have dedicated programs and opportunities exclusively available to nonprofits and MWBE's through the New York City Acquisition Fund, NYCAS for example, a partnership with Enterprise, the Local Initiative Support Corporation and a coalition of public, private and philanthropic partners. We offer flexible bridge loans for acquisition and predevelopment financing that are now exclusively available to projects led by MWBE or nonprofit developers.

Nonprofits and MWBE developers must also represent 25 percent of the development team for all HPD RFP's city owned land. We also now give additional preference points for applicants with community development experience in our competitive RFP process. Shared equity is also a theme in social housing work. Today, we have financed or are currently planning to finance nearly 1,200 units of

2 affordable housing on community land trust. As part

3 of our housing, Our Neighbors blueprint, the city

4 committed to providing technical assistance and

5 operational support to identifying additional public

6 sites that are suitable for transfer to CLT's to

7 | launching new programs and tools to help CLT's

acquire private sites. Many of the 1,200 units will

be developed on public sites. We will convey through

10 our competitive processes.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In September 2021, we announced that we have selected a team comprised of nonprofit, for profit and MBE partners, including the interborough CLT to develop a site across from Claremont Park in the Bronx that we have included in the Jerome Anthony RFP.

Although this RFP did not require or give preference to CLT's, we determined that this teams proposal to create a permanently affordable cooperative on the interborough CLT was the most compelling submission we received.

HPD also provides financing to develop limited equity cooperatives and preservation financing to support their ongoing affordability and maintenance.

In limited cooperatives, residents purchase shares in

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

their buildings at below market prices, and as shareholders participate in its governance.

Appreciation is limited upon resale of shares, typically with income restrictions. There are approximately 1,200 limited equity cooperatives with

7 25,000 units in New York City. There are also 85

Mitchell Lama cooperatives developments in the city

consisting of more than 60,000 affordable and self-

10 governed units.

2.2

2.3

Long term and often permanent affordability are also fundamental features of social housing programs. These are standard requirements for HP financed housing. A critical part of our work is that we achieve these goals, integration, long term or permanent affordability, shared equity and mission alignment regardless of the type of owner or developer with whom we are partnering. And regardless of their legal or ownership structure.

Through our regulatory agreements, we dictate the terms and conditions of our engagement. Some of the things HPD can ensure is that owners select tenants, equitability, implement rent and income restrictions for units, leave certain units to formally homeless households, notify the city about transfers of

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ownership and provide the city with information to effectively monitor the physical and financial health of projects. Though we try to support and build up nonprofit partners wherever possible, we require that everyone we work with fills leases and maintains their buildings in accordance with our mission regardless of their for profit or nonprofit status.

We are committed to continuing to innovate. have to do more to help our nonprofit and MWBE partners grow. We will do more to support the expanding network of CLT's. We are expanding and improving our homeownership and shared equity programs but our primary and most urgent work is getting tenants into stable, safe and quality housing The tenants who are unstably housed in illegal basements, the tenants who have suffered through the pandemic, and are struggling to stay in their homes and the tenants who are in shelter cannot afford to wait. We need more rental housing, especially low cost and affordable housing. We need to support the homeowners and building owners that are struggling to make the repairs and investments necessary to keep our housing stock safe and high quality.

Because of this urgency, we have committed to reducing administrative burden and cutting red tape so that we can make it easier to build more housing of all types in all neighborhoods across the city. We have outlined these commitments in Housing Our Neighbors and have also announced specific strategies through the Get Stuff Built and Zoning for Housing Opportunity Initiatives. We also need to unlock tools at the state level to help us achieve these goals, such as Affordability Plus, which would allow HPD to modernize our loan authorities and better address a range of housing needs, from addressing climate resiliency to supporting existing home owners to providing downpayment assistance and enabling us to provide support to CLT's.

And we need a deep bench of partners to meet these needs. We will continue innovating and investing in new models but it can't come at the expense of the tools we need to make more low cost and affordable housing available to all New Yorkers quickly. In addition to the oversight topic, we are here to discuss several pieces of legislation pending before the Council today.

1

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

As written, Intro. 196 is very broad and we believe could undermine the goal of supporting more affordable mission driven housing. The breath of the legislation significantly disrupting the housing market, potentially causing the most significant harm to small property owners. As written, this legislation would slow the market for sales, all residential buildings with three or more units. We look forward to further discussions with Council Member Rivera and Council staff and the best way to narrow the scope to achieve the goals of the legislation.

In regards to Intro. 637, we have a number resources and programs to expand the capacity of and opportunities for nonprofit partners. We are concerned that limiting a range of available tools as this bill would runs counter to other critical and urgent policy goals. Particularly our ability to build and preserve as much affordable housing as quickly as we can and our commitment to expanding opportunities for MWBE's.

Though we want to continue exploring ways to make land available for affordable housing quickly and inexpensively, we are concerned that Intro. 714 does

2.2

2.3

not solve the current challenges we face in acquiring land for affordable housing. It would instead add time, complexity and significant cost to the existing process. Land banks are undeniably an important tool in many cities. HPD has the tools that allow the city to achieve similar outcomes as a land bank and is fortunate to have an infrastructure in place to support the acquisition of property already. Unlike

a lot of other cities where land banks fill that gap.

The Law Department continues to review each of these bills to ensure that they are consistent with the state constitution and state law. As we have laid out here in our testimony and will further discuss during questions, HPD is actively engaged in our work — in the work — the proposed sorry, the proposed Intro. 932 would do, including our mission of moving New Yorkers into safe, stable housing.

This is an urgent need for New Yorkers and creating another agency to do this work would make it less efficient and create more silo's. We are committed to many of the same goals here today and are continuing to innovate in this area on top of our critical and extensive experience preserving and developing affordable housing.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

Thank you for the opportunity to testify here today. We look forward to your questions.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. So, what I will do is I will ask a few questions but then I'll turn it over to my colleagues and then resume after they've had a chance just to be respectful of their time and other commitments.

So, thank you so much HPD for your testimony today. I am optimistic despite some of the challenges that you all identified with several of the bills. I'm optimistic that we can land on workable solutions that are going to work to improve the City of New York, special housing in the City of New York.

So, just to start on sort of big question, so,

Comptroller Lander talks about his beginnings as an

affordable houser in the City of New York. So, in

the 90's, roughly one-third of housing production was

going — was done by the private sector. One-third of

it was nonprofit and one-third of it was going to

tenants, right? So, HDFC's and other models. So,

can you describe today just HPD's own housing

financed or HPD financed projects. How many of those

are going — what percentage of those are going to

2 private sector entities versus nonprofit and mission

3 driven entities?

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: Sure, thank you. So, to start with,

New York City has changed dramatically in the last
couple decades. I would just start by saying that
today, a pretty small percentage of the housing that
HPD finances is on public sites. The vast majority
of our work is on sorry, is on private sites at this
point not public sites and we do really strongly
believe given the scale of the need in New York City
that we need all partners to be at the table. That's
everyone from the single-family property owner that
may have a basement that they convert to you know
owners of existing affordable housing to developers
that would be interested in building new affordable
housing.

With regard to private sites, it's very complicated to unpack the exact distribution because of ownership structures and affordable housing projects. So, a lot of the projects that we finance are structured so they are — there's a housing development corporation involved. They may be a partnership between a nonprofit a for profit, for profit MWBE myriad organizations.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We actually have not been able historically to track where there are MWBE's specifically involved in the organizational chart and we have changed some of our tracking systems recently to be able to better track that information. That same can be said for other types of parties involved in our private site development work. In public sites, we have been able to track some of that and I would say there's been really significant change in the last few years especially. In early 2019, well, before that point we had had a preference for respondents that were MWBE's or nonprofits to RFP's. We made that a requirement in 2019, so every RFP at this point and time requires participation by a nonprofit or MWBE. And we do believe that it is important from our perspective and from a policy perspective that we do support minority women business enterprises as well as other types of developers of affordable housing.

Finally, one other note, we have also on private sites I think it would be — I think it's worth noting, that we have set up additional support for a certain type of developers to participate in HPD programs. So, while the data is difficult to track, we have for instance changed the New York City

2 acquisition fund recently, historically it could be

3 used by any affordable housing developer that was

4 looking to create or preserve housing as part of an

5 acquisition project. And a couple years ago, we

6 modified the requirements so that it doesn't just

7 offer favorable terms but now the program is only

8 available or the assistance is only available to

9 projects that are led by an MWBE developer or a

10 nonprofit developer. There's some other examples of

11 | that that I'm happy to talk about more later if it's

12 of interest.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much for that. So, next I want to you know go back and acknowledge the history of social housing as we are defining it here in the Council and in this hearing today. The history of social housing in the City of New York right? So, the Bronx burning in the 1970's and 80's and sweat equity building up buildings that suffered then became HDFC's, then became affordable cooperatives with their own restrictions. So, just sort of going through the different categories, would you be able to share at their height, be that in the 1980's or maybe in the early 90's, at their height, how many cooperative

2 HDFC's did the city have? How many Mitchell Lama

3 units were there in the City of New York? And then

4 likewise for how many units have stayed stable

5 thankfully. But just per category at their height,

6 how many units did we have versus how many we have

7 today?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

KIM DARGA: That's a good question. I don't know if I can — I certainly don't have the information today with me about 20, 30-years ago, what we had. I could follow up with that information. I can tell you that in New York City today. There are approximately 1,200 HDFC cooperatives. With about 25,000 units. We do continue to finance new HDFC limited equity cooperatives through programs like Open Door, the Affordable Neighborhood Cooperative

Program, as well as some conversations from rental to

cooperative in our preservation work.

Mitchell Lama's I think as common knowledge that there was a fair drop off or increase in opt outs in the early 2,000 from the Mitchell Lama program.

There are still as I mentioned earlier, 85 Mitchell Lama's city and state in New York City that provide over 60,000 units of housing. And we work very hard with HDC and with the state and with I can, with

2 various elected officials, some of which are at the

3 table today. Yup, thank you Council Member Brewer,

4 | work very hard to do what we can to preserve

5 affordability and viability of those properties.

We have a range of programs in the city to specifically help both HDFC cooperatives and Mitchell Lama's undertake repairs, stabilize operations, and we invest significant capital in that work every single year. We also for HDFC coops, beyond financial assistance had been working to develop a new technical assistance program that would help HDFC's that maybe struggling to dig out of challenges, operating their property with deeper

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. Thank you so much. I'm going to start turning it over to my colleagues and I will be back with more questions.

So, first, I am looking over to my left, Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

technical assistance.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, so first up, we're going to have Council Member Brewer ask her questions.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay. Thank you HPD. I appreciate the hard work you're doing. You could always do more but I appreciate what you're doing. On the land bank, so there are a lot of them around the country. So, when you say we have it under control, we don't need a land bank, we don't need how nimble they are, we don't need to take the you know etc.. Why do you think that you cover everything that the land banks cover. Example that I would give because I don't think what you're saying is true. Somebody gives you know U.S. Attorney has land, liens, you know how land comes to the City of New York, not as often as it used to under Phillip St. George and others but it's still there. So, what we want is it to go to a public process that's very transparent that could definitely not sell it to a private developer under any circumstance unless there was a hearing but more importantly, something that was desperately needed, deeply affordable, I don't know a theater or park. Why do you think that you do all of that and there's no need for a land bank? Because you know what a land bank is, they're all over the country. Why do you think you don't need

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: Yeah, so I think uhm, we absolutely I think share the goal of trying to figure out how to make sure that we have strategies and tools to support acquisition of land and buildings in order to create affordable housing. As a housing agency, I think that's the thing that I can focus on best today. There may be some other uses to land banks that are worth exploring separately.

With regard to housing, we're really fortunate in New York City. We have a uhm, we have resources and programs to support acquisition by mission based, mission-oriented developers of land and buildings.

We've had these resources including the New York City Acquisition Fund which was created during the great recession. And is currently capitalized I think at about \$150 million. Supports acquisition by nonprofits and MWBE's of land and buildings for the purpose of creating affordable housing.

The nice thing about the way we do business with the New York City Acquisition Fund is that a potential purchaser identifies the site. They look at it from a feasibility perspective including whether or not they feel that given the acquisition price, they're able to deliver the outcome, right?

2.2

2.3

The intended outcome of creating affordable housing or preserving that housing and investing in housing quality. And if they believe that that's possible, they then apply for financing and they can get their bridge financing, pay the acquisition fund and they come to us for a soft commitment where we review and say yes, that aligns with our program parameters. That's something that we feel comfortable we could support and they then know that they can finance that housing and they can deliver on it within a reasonable period of time.

I think one of our concerns with the land bank proposal is that, at the point of acquisition — well, there's a couple of things. Let me try to break it down. One, at the point of acquisition putting the city or the land bank as a potential city entity at the forefront of the negotiations, potential changes the equations and negotiating a reasonable acquisition price.

So, I think that's challenge one. Challenge two, we are concerned that I mean, many of you understand what New York City was like decades ago, when we foreclosed on a lot of property.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I was here.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Dick Hiller was here.

KIM DARGA: There were many folks. That we owned a lot of property and at the point that it came into city ownership, we didn't necessarily know or have the capacity to get it back into productive use quickly. We did a lot right but we still have some city property and while the land back, if you have an interim ownership but you don't have a clear time to get to a certain outcome, you potentially have the risk associated with maintaining property for a period of time and having to fund that without a definite outcome. And I think, so those are some of the concerns we have.

about it further. I think you'll hear from Chis

Norman from Atlanta who would have I think slightly

different take on it and I do think that advantage

is, people want to know what's going on. The land

bank is very transparent. There's a board, you know

the board is the members, their phone numbers and

they can get grants obviously. I don't know if the

acquisition fund is a 501C3. I could go on but I do

think that you need something. Nobody knows what

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

2.3

2 government's doing and the housing is its number one 3 concern and the land bank is very nimble, with all

4 due respect, HPD is not nimble. It's not a word that

5 I would call HPD and it's a place that would have to

6 be able to take property that the HPD cannot for

whatever reason. Maybe it is something that is not

8 in your portfolio or in your agenda. So, I know

9 others have questions but I think we should have a

10 much longer discussion about the land bank. Look at

11 some of the one's that are going on around the city.

12 | I don't think that some of these cities are as

13 different as you are stating.

So, I hope we can have a further discussion about this topic.

KIM DARGA: Yeah, I think we would be happy;
again, I think we're interested in exploring any
potential tools, especially if they feel a strategic
lab, they're efficient, they're nimble, they help us
get to the outcomes that I think we all share here.

21 | I think we're absolutely open to -

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: You know it could be structured differently than New [INAUDIBLE 53:01] or whatever, but it would be something that could be a

24

2.2

2.3

2 tool in the toolbox and God knows you need a lot of

3 tools in that toolbox. Thank you Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you

Council Member Brewer and just a follow-up. In your
testimony, you talked about having structures in the
City of New York that have similar functions to what
land banks do in other places. What exactly did you
mean by that and are there entities that you think
exist today that are similar to land banks?

KIM DARGA: Yeah, that's one of the I think, unique things about New York is that, when the city stops — the Neighborhood Restore HGFC was created in the mid-90's essentially. When the city stopped foreclosing and taking land directly. And so, it's a nonprofit entity. It has governmental oversight.

[INAUDIBLE 53:54] Enterprise are basically sit on their board and they have created a number of affiliates over the years that basically fulfill a similar function, which is that they can hold land temporarily and basically get it through an immediate kind of transitional period in order to get back out to public use.

And so, well, initially it was created to provide an alternative to end direct foreclosure and the city

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

50 ownership and management. The organization has diversified over the years and so, I'll give one recent example. There was a property in Brooklyn that was subject to special enforcement and owner really substantially misused the property and there were tenants that were very vulnerable. It was part of special enforcement kind of litigation with the city. And as part of that, there was a question about whether or not we thought that there was affordable housing outcome that could make sense. One option would be the city take it. Well, if the city takes property, we then have to go through a complicated disposition process. We were certainly concerned about owning a property without a clear outcome and the cost associated with that and the process involved. I think one of the potential advantages to the land bank legislation as written is that there may be some speedier disposition process than we see right now where there's city owned property, which is very, very, very challenging.

With that being said, we ended up transferring it to one of our - we didn't do it, but the owner agreed to transfer it to an affiliate of Neighborhood Restore. And they were able to renovate that

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 51
2	building and it was a small home and basically sell
3	it as affordable homeownership. So, they can fill
4	this hole basically for a period of time holding
5	property and then helping get to that outcome. So, I
6	think it's a unique organization. It doesn't have
7	exactly the same capacity as a land bank the way that
8	this legislation envisions but it fills a very
9	similar role in New York City, especially when paired
10	with the very significant resources that HPD has on
11	the capital side to help finance affordable housing.
12	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you and this is
13	Neighborhood Restore, correct?
14	KIM DARGA: And then their affiliate entities.
15	So, Neighborhood Renewal, Preserving City
16	Neighborhoods etc
17	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, they're not as
18	powerful as what we were envisioning here.
19	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I thought transparent
20	with all due respect.
21	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: But it's not a government
22	entity.
23	COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: And there's no board,
24	correct?

KIM DARGA: There is a board.

25

KIM DARGA: Okay.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Certainly, we wouldn't

want to support anything in the City Council that

would slow down the production of affordable housing.

But in your testimony you indicate that you're

concerned with Intro. 637. That it would limited the

7 range of available tools, particularly your ability

to build and preserve as much affordable housing as

9 quickly as we can.

2.2

2.3

And so, that's what I really wanted to take issue with. I don't see any reason why this would extend the HPD RFP process. Why could HPD not issue an RFP both for nonprofits and for-profit entities that all could apply for. And if there are no qualified bids from non-profits that meet HPD's threshold, that it could go to the next for-profit entity that was on the list. Would that be viable?

KIM DARGA: So, let me take a step back. So, as part of our RFP process, right, we have city owned land in HPD jurisdiction. First, we want to engage with the community to understand community interests, community needs and then we develop an RFP that addresses some of the needs that we've heard with in the community.

From that, we then score applications and as I mentioned briefly a few minutes ago, we have committed much more throughout RFP process to prioritize nonprofits and MWBE applicants. We, at this point and time, if there's no MWBE or nonprofit involved in the development team and in the proposal, it is not a proposal that we will consider. So, that is a requirement that we have outlined within our process. I think it's something else.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: We interested in understanding the new process and seeing the new data. We were only able to track data from 2014 to 2018 but in that period of time, 78 percent of projects went to for profit development entities which were half as likely to build the extremely low and low income housing that we really need.

If the numbers have dramatically changed, we'd be interested in reviewing it and seeing how nonprofits are actually prioritized and are actually developing the housing that we all need but the data that we're looking at, and this is data from the de Blasio Administration but these are policies consistent with the Bloomberg and Giuliani Administrations as well.

2.2

2.3

of the project.

25

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: Okay, so 83 percent of RFP's for public sites were designated to a team with an MWBE and or nonprofit. And in many cases, we actually do see partnerships between —

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: We welcome partnerships but 83 percent saying that there was a nonprofit MWBE at the table doesn't mean that it was 83 percent were nonprofit or an MWBE which drive in the development. Where development is actually being envisioned by what the community really needs and realize we need affordable housing.

KIM DARGA: Right, so I know you had a number of points there, so let me try to address each of those issues. All of our projects that we finance, so again, everything that we do on public land goes through a disposition process. So, the affordability ultimately needs to be approved by various folks within that public disposition process.

The projects that we finance today have to conform to the programs that we have today. So, I can't really speak to the affordability for something that was done during the Bloomberg era, right? What I am dealing with now, are the questions about what we finance now. And those projects have to comply

2 with the programs that we have now. Those programs

3 focus on providing housing for extremely low- and

4 low-income households. Senior has a household to

5 provide supportive housing for households. Every

6 project that we finance has a significant requirement

7 to provide housing for formerly homeless households.

8 So, regardless of who responds, those outcomes are

achieved through the projects that we finance.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I hear you Deputy

Commissioner and again, I'm looking at data from 2014

to 2018, so the first term plus into the second term

of the de Blasio Administration but in our analysis,

we found that the for-profit developers who got

public land were only developing 18 percent of the

units for extremely low-income individuals. I

realize term sheets have changed. I realize

improvements have been made. We appreciate that. By

the previous administration and that have not yet

been updated or modified by this one.

That is welcomed but it's still not good enough and I haven't heard you mention on the procurement front yet, which makes me think that you think I'm right but you don't want to say that. So, but you can — I don't want to get ahead of Chair Sanchez

2 because I realize my time is up but we are focused on

3 maximizing affordable housing as quickly as possible.

4 I believe what I laid out is an efficient and

5 effective way to do that that wouldn't slow you down

6 one millisecond.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KIM DARGA: Okay, so again, we do prioritize nonprofit and MWBE respondents at this point and time; we have since early 2019. We also have within the swearing process, a preference for community kind of presence. So, we do do that. I think there are different - to do it programmatically and to legislate it are different things and so, I do want to be clear that there are different organizations that bring value to the table. That partnerships are - the development teams that respond are choosing to partner often times with the various organizations that can bring different skills and knowledge and capacity to the table. The vast majority of the teams that are selected today are involving MWBE's and nonprofits. Beyond that, we provide strategic support for nonprofits MWBE's and we do believe this is important to prioritize not just nonprofits but MWBE developers where we know that there has been a disparity study that showed that those businesses

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 have not been able to compete because of discrimination.

2.2

2.3

And so, we do that in a variety of ways, not just as part of the RFP process but we do it through the financial tools and assistance and programs that we set up to assist those organizations.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: If I could just have 30 more seconds.

KIM DARGA: In the work to create and preserve affordable housing.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I just want to tell of two projects in my district. HPD is supporting the redevelopment of the Broadway Triangle, nonprofit partners, Senior Citizens Council, UGO, St. Nicks, 400 units of housing they built, 100 percent affordable housing all at terrific AMI's. There's going to be a diverse development for Hasidim for African Americans, for Latino's for everybody in our community. It is a joyous thing. Nonprofit developers leading the way. Publicly owned site on the waterfront and Bushwick Inlet Park, led by Gotham with Bushwick again as a nonprofit partner, 75 percent of the housing is luxury market rate housing on a publicly owned site. It's abysmal, it's

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

disgraceful. It should not ever happen and that's the difference. So, yes, you can have the nonprofit partner whose brough along for the ride, but if it's not a nonprofit led development, we're not getting the deeply affordable housing that we really need. I'm open to having a conversation about MWBE's and how do we do a better job of supporting them and including them and continue the progress that HPD has been making and bringing them in on development projects. That's a worthy thing but I take real issue with the distinction between nonprofit driven developments that are actually meeting the needs of working class people in our communities and for profit developers that are focused on making money and not delivering at the AMI's that we really need. And the market is never going to deliver. So, that's

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you

Council Member Restler and I want to thank you for

that last note Council Member Restler, especially on

the point about minority and women owned business

enterprises. I for one you know want to see this

prioritization continue and I know we've talked about

it Council Member and I think it's important to when

where we have a major difference here. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

we talk about creation and addressing inequities of the past, it's on both sides, right? It's on the side of stably housing and New Yorkers building homeownership opportunities, whether those cooperative or more individual from my perspective but also on the construction front, right. And so for me, MWBE's, they play a dual role right. MWBE's who are prioritizing the development and construction of mission, like are mission driven right. I think that helps us check two boxes. That helps us to continue to build wealth among historically excluded individuals and communities and families as well as continue the mission of building more what we're calling social housing here today.

So, thank you Council Member Restler for your openness to continue this conversation because I think it's an important part here. And a quick follow up HPD and then I'll turn it over to Council Member Nurse but a quick follow-up. So, you've talked about HPD prioritizing mission driven nonprofit developers and MWBE's. Can you speak to your sister agencies economic development cooperation, as well as NYCHA and other entities DOT and anybody whose disposing a public land, do they

2 have the same requirements or the same priorities 3 that HPD has expressed today?

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: Thank you for that question. It is a citywide commitment that is echoed in a number of our blueprints. I guess a number of our blueprints, a number of our public reports and commitments to invest in MWBE's and grow our collective involvement. We can't speak to the work of our other agencies but we'd be happy to follow up on more detailed discussions.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much for that. Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. I have three maybe four questions. I'll try to be brief.

So, the first couple questions I have around our bill with the Social Housing Development Agency. In March 2021, HPD issued a request for information about shared equity models, including social housing to help shape potential models and standards of effectuating shared equity models in New York City.

HPD's website lists 44 organizations that submitted responses. The RFI indicated that the city anticipates releasing a subsequent request for expressions of interest or requests for proposal for

shared equity projects incorporating information gathered from this. Can you tell us the status of this work and what's the plan and timeline for issuing RFEI's or RFP's based on the input you received?

LUCY JOFFE: Thank you for that question. We did engage in that work, released a request for expressions of interest. Sorry, it's an RF alphabet soup. We got a really robust response. We had I think more than 40 responses, which we were impressed by and we got to have follow-up conversations with a number of the organizations and academics etc., who had submitted proposals and those conversations led to the need for further follow-up and further discussions on all of that work.

Our goal is really to be as we've talked about today, we've testified today to continue innovating in this space and for that work to be really permeating all of what we do. So, we have taken that advise very seriously. We're thinking of it in the context of how we do our CLT work, how we do our homeownership work and we're continuing to work on that.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: So, do you have a

3 timeline?

2.2

2.3

LUCY JOFFE: We don't have a timeline necessarily for releasing an RFEI or an RFP. We're considering what the best way to incorporate this work into our current work is and would welcome further discussions with you about that.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay.

KIM DARGA: So, just a brief addition to that, so we do, we have a number of RFP's where CLT's specifically have responded. We recently designated a CLT to look at development proposal for a large set of properties in Brooklyn.

So, that work as even as Lucy said, is we're trying to figure out the strategy. There is work that is ongoing at the same time. We also have about 1,200 units in the pipeline with CLT's at this point and time. There is a major limitation that we have in financing CLT's that is I think worth noting today. Which is that HPD relies on our loan authorities through the state to provide financial assistance and we have a number of constraints. One of the big constraints is that we can't actually fund properties on ground leases on private property

almost across the board and CLT's which rely on
ground lease structure, therefore, are not really
eligible for financing a private site.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Are you advocating?

KIM DARGA: Yeah, so it's part of our state

agenda to support changes to our loan authorities to address a whole range of issues. One of the ones is to make it possible to lend on a ground lease.

There's some other things that I think are relevant to this topic that are important to note too, which

is that we have limitations in supporting homeowners and down payment assistance. So, there's a range of things that we're trying to address within the loan authorities that I think will make a fundamental difference.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Thank you for expanding on that.

KIM DARGA: Oh, yeah, affordability plus.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Okay, okay. My second question, HPD testified that your agency does many of the things a social housing development agency would do. However, HPD admittedly focuses more on housing and affordable housing in general, which is a very broad term and does not touch on issues like

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 democratic ownership or decommodification. I think

3 it's also fair to say that the delays in HPD hinder

4 social housing development, so why specifically do

5 you think a separate social housing development

agency, which would be solely focused on social

7 | housing, would duplicate efforts?

LUCY JOFFE: Thank you for that question. have talked about the ways in which both HPD shares in our work, shares a lot of these principals and also the ways that we've been innovating over time. There have been a number of questions that have gotten at the ways that the housing market has The ways that HPD has adapted, there have changed. also been some jabs at our nimbleness and what we would say is that, having a separate agency, doesn't necessarily make us more nimble. We do feel like the important nexus between building and preserving affordable housing in the expertise that we've developed and these principles that we are talking about today are really important to be housed within one agency. And separating them won't make it easier for us to do all of that. It actually will get in the way of it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Chair, do you mind? and then just one other sorry, one second. Okay, so just in terms of COPA and the land bank, in a January 19, 2021 testimony on COPA and the land bank, much of which has been echoed here today, HPD representatives testified that there is a concern that any COPA measure that slows down the sales process, may distort the market and advantage ownership by entities most able to hold property for longer periods of time. But that we would be interested in working together to properly define the universe of buildings for which COPA would be most productive and effective. Are the above concerns actually playing out in cities that have similar programs and housing markets, such as San Francisco, which has a COPA or Washington DC, which has a TOPA?

LUCY JOFFE: Thank you for that question. We have talked extensively and looked into what is going on in other cities and what we would say is, in fact at the breadth of the current legislation does we feel risk undermining its goals.

We're talking about potentially thousands of transactions per year, which it would be infeasible for a group of preservation buyers to review and 2 consider and meaningfully. And that is what we feel,

3 what we've seen as playing out in other cities.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. I think that's it Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you Council Member Nurse. So, I want to turn to your critique of Intro. 196 COPA within your testimony. You said, we will continue to innovate and invest in new models but it can't come at the expense of the tools we need to make more low cost and affordable housing available to New Yorkers. So, what COPA proposes is to make a list of qualified entities eligible for first refusal right, to develop public land and in the bill, there's a lot of discussion left to the agency to decide this based on rule making but there are four characteristics that are included, right? So, the organization is a nonprofit or the organization has demonstrated a commitment to the provision of permanently affordable housing for extremely low, very low- and low-income city residents and preventing displacement.

Third, the organization has demonstrated a commitment to community representation, engagement and accountability. And fourth, the organization has

2 demonstrated the capacity including but not limited

3 to the legal and financial capacity to effectively

4 acquire and manage residential real property at

5 | multiple locations in the city.

2.2

2.3

And so, this is written broadly and there's a lot of space to further clarify also during the negotiation, we can also edit the bill further. So, can you please help me to understand why COPA from your perspective would come at the expense of the tools that we need to make more low cost affordable housing available to New Yorkers?

LUCY JOFFE: Thank you for that question and for highlighting some of the values that we have been in front of you talking about in various contexts that we think are hallmarks of some of our most important programs. We are as you know, very focused on achieving long term and permanent affordability community involvement, all of these values. As you mentioned, the current bill is quite broad. To the extent that we have smaller owners, mom and pops as you will, people that don't have the ability to if they need to, sell quickly for some reason. If they are impacted by this, they are at risk. Those people who have invested you know, they are people that we

2 talk about a lot, being concerned about their ability

3 to continue to invest in their buildings, to maintain

4 safe, high-quality buildings and what we have found,

5 what we all know, is that when those owners don't

6 have the resources that they need, such as if they

7 are limited from their ability to move quickly in the

market, that ultimately those buildings can suffer

9 \parallel and it's the tenants that suffer.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, whenever we want to keep — we talk about innovating in this area. We are committed to innovating in this area. When we do it, we want to make sure that we're doing it in a way that preserves a number of these values that we're talking about and doesn't inadvertently harm the in particular, the tenants that we're talking about that we want to serve.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you and I don't see a conflict there. I mean I think that the bill can be worked on to create exclusions or create exceptions in very particular circumstances, like the small owners that you mentioned. So, I look forward to continuing that discussion.

So, a couple of more questions on related to

Intro. 196. So, focusing in on community land trust.

2.2

2.3

I'm always glad to hear that we share goals and that

we share values but we need to make sure that we're

putting our money where our mouth is right? And so,

on that front, talking about community land trust and

you know respecting the increased support that

o you mon respecting one received support onat

8 recent years, where are we at now? So, what is the

amount of capital subsidy that HPD has earmarked for

community land trust have received in previous, in

10 | CLT developments?

KIM DARGA: So, our budget doesn't work exactly that way, right? We don't earmark funds for particular organizations or projects. We have a capital budget this year that's just over \$1.4 billion to support the creation and preservation of affordable housing. And that money is deployed through HPD programs. The programs are available on our website, so we're fully transparent about how that money can be used. And nonprofits, for-profit, CLT's which our nonprofit entities can apply through those programs to access the funding. And so, that's how it starts. Basically, somebody reaches out and says I have a project, I have a proposal. I'm looking to acquire a site and I'd like to access

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 financial support for that project and then we

3 | evaluate the project and move forward.

I would note as I said earlier that there are some — most of our work today is on private sites.

There are substantial limitation in CLT's being able to access funds. Not because of limitations that the city has imposed but because of our state loan authority. So, until that's fixed, there will be challenges in CLT's specifically being able to take advantage of that.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yeah, understand that and want to see a version of affordability plus pass but of that \$1.4 billion capital budget, how much went to CLT's? Or how much, looking back at the last available year.

KIM DARGA: So, there again — we don't earmark it that way. There are certain projects in the pipeline where there are CLT's involved. Those projects haven't closed on funding yet, so I can't say exactly how much funding will be allocated to those projects.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: So, is it zero for right now to say that looking backward, no capital funding has been allocated —

2.2

and this is you know data that the city should have

25

2 available coming out of the housing, Housing Act that

3 passed several years ago and that advocates have been

4 fighting for this transparency. So, how many vacant

5 properties are currently owned by the City of New

6 York?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

KIM DARGA: So, I can't speak to all of the City of New York. I can speak to HPD's portion of that. So, as of November 2022, we had - there were 810 vacant tax lots left within HPD jurisdiction. thirds of those lots have already been RFP'd or had the development team designated through an RFP or competitive process. There were about 15 percent of those sites, so a large amount of the remaining sites are either not suitable for residential development, are not buildable or have other substantial site constraints that need to be worked through and that leaves about 15 percent of the total that are yet to I would note that these are tax lots. be programmed. They're not like developable sites necessarily in and of itself. Often times we need to assemble many, many tax lots in order to get to one developable project.

Unfortunately, you know a few years ago, we didn't really have programs that allowed us to

5 some of these site assemblages forward within this

6 year through RFP's.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. Thank you for that. So, 810 vacant tax lots controlled by HPD, two-thirds RFP'd. So, where are these parcels? Can you give us a break down by borough?

KIM DARGA: Uhm, I have some basic information. So, I think -

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: And I'm sorry, just to corollary there, are there particular neighborhoods in the city where there are more of these vacant properties.

KIM DARGA: Yeah, my understanding is the vast majority of the lots are in parts of Harlem, Central Brooklyn and the South Bronx and to a lesser degree in the Rockaways.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. And shouting out members of the administration who are behind you. I just want to make sure that we do get that citywide number please. Because you know, there's DCAS, there's EDC, there's all of the other

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 agencies and this is legislation that the City
3 Council passed.

2.2

2.3

So, if you can get that to us before the end of the hearing, that would be great. So, HPD focusing on you. For these 810 parcels, could you tell us what is the average amount of time that these properties have been vacant?

KIM DARGA: Uhm, so I don't have the exact tenure and city ownership but uhm, as I think many folks know, the city stopped directly foreclosing on property decades ago. So, many of these sites have been in city ownership at this point and time for decades.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, uhm, okay and then for the properties that are within HPD's jurisdiction, are they vacant in the sense that they don't have structures on them? They're completely vacant or are they — do they have structures?

KIM DARGA: Yeah, vacant is there's it's not,

there's no structure on it.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. So, in the Mayor's Housing Our Neighbors Blueprint, it was highlighted that the administration will identify government owned property that could be developed into housing

3 tell us about how this is going? Is this 810 and

4 two-thirds and all of that? What are the current

5 plans for these properties?

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: Yeah, so with regard to HPD sites, absolutely. That work is ongoing. As I said, we have a small percentage of the 810 that have not yet been programmed and the intent would be to assemble those into financeable sets of properties that would be developed through one of HPD's affordable housing programs.

Uhm, there is other work that is ongoing, so we have started to work across the administration with other agencies to inventory potential public sites and that could be used potentially for affordable housing development as well. That work has just really started. And in the meantime, we're also as I mentioned focused on trying to get some of the RFP's out for the remaining sites in our jurisdiction.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. Going back to COPA, one question I forgot to ask. So, HPD's role in private transactions is quite limited right? When there is not financing opportunities. So, assuming positively that we are going to get to a

2 good spot in negotiating the details of a policy like

3 COPA, how would HPD insert itself and have a helping

4 hand rather to organizations that would be eligible

5 | for first priority in the purchase?

KIM DARGA: So, I think maybe it would be worth talking about how we support acquisition today. I don't know how familiar folks are with that work.

So, in addition to New York City Acquisition Fund, which I mentioned a little while ago, which is basically a fund the city helped create along with LISK and Enterprise to support acquisition financing specially.

We also provide construction in permanent financing. So, that's the financing that ultimately helps renovate or build the housing and ensures that it is affordable housing long term. We launched a few years ago, our Neighborhood Pillars program which was specifically designed to help mission-based organizations preservation buyers which included both for profits and nonprofits to acquire existing buildings for the purpose of taking buildings that were vulnerable to potential loss of affordability and keep them affordable long term.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

That program has had to be retooled. There's a lot that's changed in the market in New York City the last few years but the way it works is essentially we have a RFQ that we did to prequalify entities to participate in the program. That RFQ designated entities based on experience in owning and managing affordable housing projects, including specific work they've done in acquiring sites. Work with residents, undertaking construction projects etc..

Extra access to addition resources throughout

Neighborhood Pillars Downpayment Assistance program.

That program provides technical assistance for those prequalified entities in negotiating and acquisition price. Reviewing the price, reviewing the contract and also provided funding to help enter into contract. So, in order to enter contract, you need cash, right? So, basically provided access to funding at that moment.

The next step in the process is that once you have contract, you then have to close on the acquisition itself. So, we worked with the New York City Acquisition Fund to create a streamlined process where those pregualified entities were prevetted from

2.2

2.3

an organizational perspective, so that they could quickly close on acquisition funding and that time, because a lot of contracts, you know there's a time period which you have to close right? So, that resource was lined up and then we also made sure that we had the backend support to provide the construction and permanent financing on the city end and that's both funding for renovations or construction as well as it may be a tax exemption or other assistance in order to ensure that those projects provided the intended affordable housing.

So, I think one of the challenges in a program that is legislative is that in order to achieve the intended outcomes, many of those potential acquisitions may require public support.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Right and that's really where I was getting at. So, in cases where there is no public support involved and there's not quite the same role for HPD to play and there's not that same need that the private entity might have for HPD's help. What would HPD's role or how can, what are the ways that HPD could insert into the process?

KIM DARGA: I mean my sense is that if a program like this existed, the parties that are acquiring

2 property for the purpose of affordable housing are

3 likely going to apply to HPD for funding. And so,

4 whether or not those acquisitions are feasible is

largely going to be related to resource availability.

6 LUCY JOFFE: And as we have discussed today and

7 as you said, this legislation is really quite fraud.

8 And what that means is that's it's not necessarily

aligned with the other goals that we've talked about

10 here today.

1

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Moving to TOPA and then

I'll turn it back over to Council Member Nurse. What

is the Administrations positions on the Tenant

Opportunity to Purchase Act. In particularly

recognizing that TOPA has been successful in other

jurisdictions. Has had some degree of success in

other jurisdiction including Washington DC for the

last decade or so. I think it could be a great model

to come here obviously, the state legislators also do

because they have the bill but I didn't hear a

position from the Administration.

LUCY JOFFE: We support expanding homeownership opportunities for low- and moderate-income New Yorkers. We've been in discussions with you. You're testifying about that in the past. In our

experience, tenant acquisitions are most successful when tenants can work with an experienced partner for support and technical assistance. We look forward to these discussions with the state partners.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Great, would HPD be requesting financial support accompany the TOBA legislation so that tenants can better organize?

LUCY JOFFE: So, we're here today testifying on a package of city legislation. We don't have a position on that. We look forward to working with all of the partners involved including star state partners on the legislation.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, our position should always be to ask for more money from Albany. Okay, thank you so much for that. Council Member Nurse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you Chair. I just wanted to circle back to delays. The New York Housing Conference states that HPD's staffing shortages especially among project managers are slowing affordable housing production, making the production more costly to the city and the developers as they pay real estate taxes and interest on loans waiting for deals to close.

These delays are delaying projects by months and
even potentially years sometimes. What is the
average timeline for closing on developments now and
how does HPD weigh these internal delays against

hypothetical delays of a land bank or a COPA program

7 entering into the market?

KIM DARGA: Okay, that's a big question. Let me, let me try to break that down. Uhm, there absolutely is an impact of resources and that could be capital funds, tax exemptions, the staffing within city agencies in supporting these projects. There's no doubt and different types of projects take different levels of resource commitment. There are some programs that we administer that are far less resource intensive. They're more as of right. It's pretty cut and dry. We get an application. We are able to process it.

There are other programs that we administered that have layers and layers of financing with different parties and different entities and can be very complicated to put together and so, those are harder when you are strapped from a resource perspective, whether that's staffing or otherwise.

2.2

2.3

2 And part two of your question, sorry, remind me 3 what was part two.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yeah, how are you balancing the internal delays you all are facing? Or the challenges that are creating delays with hypothetical delays that would result from a land bank or COPA program?

KIM DARGA: Yeah, I mean again, at the end of the day, I really think that so, a land bank, if you don't have clear path to the outcome, acquiring property just potentially puts that property in limbo. So, anything that is highly resource dependent right, means that if you don't know in three years that you can deliver on that ultimate outcome, then you're in limbo. That property, if it's an occupied building, whose taking care of the residents? Who makes sure that it has insurance. Who makes sure that maintenance is done appropriately. The longer that takes, the harder those problems become. Right, I think that's what we have learned from taking property into city ownership, right? At a scale where we couldn't necessarily get everything back into public use quickly.

2.2

2.3

I think the same can be said of COPA if it's resource dependent, even if it's just a legislative strategy, right. If the outcome is heavily resource dependent, you're not necessarily going to get to the intended outcomes. So, I do think that in crafting, whether it's a land bank strategy, a COPA or other acquisition strategies, that we have to be thoughtful about making sure that ultimately that we are setting things up to have the best chance of achieving the intended outcomes at a scale that we can manage.

LUCY JOFFE: I'd also like to speak to the staffing challenges.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Yup.

LUCY JOFFE: Yes, we have been here before talking about challenges. Not just HPD, that other agencies and this is sort of a broader phenomenon as well. This is something we take incredibly seriously. Kim and I are here because of the work of really hard-working staff members, one of whom is sitting behind us and a lot of whom are watching over the livestream and undeniably that work is harder when we don't have full staff. We are working very hard to address that challenge. We are not settling for the fact that we — for the current circumstances.

We are incredibly committed to this work and a lot of people here have talked about the urgency of our mission. We feel this very deeply. We are very committed to rectifying our staffing issues, so that we can get back to the really important and as we

7 said, urgent work.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: But even at full capacity, and without these staffing issues, your concerns were again hypothetical. So, if you were fully staffed up and ready to rock out on all these projects that are backlogged, how are you hypothetically concerned that the land bank and the COPA would cause even more delays? And then I'll add, for the second part of my question was, uhm, I think earlier as one mentioned distortions in the market or maybe I don't know if I heard that here, I can' remember. Can you talk a little bit about how you foresee distortions in the market?

KIM DARGA: Do you want to start? These are very complex topics.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: We have time.

LUCY JOFFE: I guess what we're saying is that we don't see these as entirely hypothetical. We have substantial experience in this area. We think it's

2 incredible important for us to be innovating. We are

3 really committed to that and we've been doing it and

4 we've been here talking about some of the ways that

5 our new programs, changes to our programs are putting

6 us on that path and we have to be doing all of it.

There is an urgency to our current situation that

8 tenants are in right now.

We want to make sure that we are using all of the tools and I think that was a phrase that's now been used four or five times in this hearing. All of the tools that are at our disposal to move forward, but we have to do that in a way that respects and make sure that there are New Yorkers right now who as you all have talked about, we've talked about it at other hearings, who are experiencing housing instability. So, we approach each of these decisions, each of these new innovations really thoughtfully. We look forward to further conversations with you about those because we want to continue to use new programs, new tools and we want to do it in a way that ensures that we're still able to continue to work as quickly as we can towards our underlying mission.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: And the distortions piece?

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

KIM DARGA: So, I think in the land bank, they're different right, how they would be used. How they would work with in the market clearly are different.

So, in the case of a land bank, you're essentially setting up a new public entity that you're putting forward at the face of negotiation. That in and of itself creates perceived or real commitment of public resources I think changes the negotiation. We've seen that in some cases. Happy to talk about instances where the city has been more front and center in the complicated aspect of those negotiations.

So, I think that is issue one. I think the other thing is that, when you're talking about a land bank again, you know, if you acquire like okay, this site might be a good affordable housing project. We don't know what it is today. We don't have a developer that's interested. We haven't looked at financial feasibility, but we'd like to make it happen.

Okay, so you acquire the site. You don't have a developer. You don't have the financing. You don't know if it works. In the meantime, you have to own it and you have to manage it, so there's a cost associated with that. And we spend a lot of money

I don't take that decision lightly. I think if 4 you're going to set something up like that where

5 you're acquiring property, you want to know that you

89

can get to that ultimate use because otherwise, it 6

7 continues to do the thing that we don't want, which

is that it remains vacant. It remains underutilized.

Tenants aren't protected etc..

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I think those are the particular concerns that we have with that structure. Not that there aren't some potentially useful components to it that are worth discussing further but I think we're worried about the unintended consequences and the cost associated with setting up a new structure.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Right, but that's the cost associated publicly - public money that would be associated with that. I feel like I didn't hear how this would distort the market.

KIM DARGA: Again, putting the city at the center of negotiations would distort the market.

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: My last question and sorry Chair. On February 15th, the Mayor was in Albany and testified that he had concerns about good cause eviction fearing that it could displace some of the

2 small property owners. As far as I know, other

3 states with similar laws to good cause such as New

4 Jersey have not seen a spike in homeowners filing for

5 | foreclosure due to good cause.

Given the apparent lack of evidence for this claim, is it the opinion of HPD or any other agencies here that good cause eviction would cause a spike in homeowner displacement? Especially considering the bill explicitly exempts owner occupied homes with fewer than four units. Do you support Good Cause Eviction as a strong tool to protect tenants? And if so, how will you push for this?

LUCHY JOFFE: Thank you for that question. As you referenced, the Mayor did talk about the importance of tenant protections and our support for them. Wanting to do it in a way that ensures the viability of small building owners. You referenced other states, what I'll say about that is that we've seen different, a good cause models proposed in different states and so, that's an important thing for I guess everyone involved in negotiations to consider as we move forward.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

So, we're looking forward to continued discussions with our state partners on this legislation.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NURSE: Thank you. Thank you Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you

Council Member Nurse. I look forward to getting the

Administrations to support Good Cause as well.

So, speaking of my constituents. Constituents across the city who are feeling the pain the most.

Can you speak to how HPD or the Administration, how do you serve a household that is at ten percent of AMI, 15 percent of AMI?

LUCY JOFFE: Thank you for that question. We too share a lot of the concerns that we've talked about in terms of making sure that we can best serve the lowest income New Yorkers. We've talked about in multiple hearings in our testimony that while, many New Yorkers are struggling and have struggled in particular over the last couple of years and that a low vacancy rate and high rents have been an issue for decades. In particular, the crunch at the lowest rent, part of our market, under 1,500 units is less than one percent.

That means that New Yorkers who can only afford some of our lowest cost units, are not going to find those available on any given day and not have somewhere to move. So, this is something that we do strongly need to consider. One of our most significant tools is our voucher program and we have used a range of voucher tools to help New Yorkers at some of the lowest incomes move around the city. That's a really important fair housing goal, obligation for us and policy priority. And as well as move into our housing.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Do you think that the city is doing enough to support these extremely low-income New Yorkers?

LUCY JOFFE: We testified in our or we said in our testimony today, we are committed to doing more, right? There is always this work has been decades long for HPD, for the city. We have been in urgent need of more housing, more rental housing, more low-cost housing for a very long time. That need continues. We are committed to doing — to continuing to innovate and continue to try to bring as much housing online as we can quickly as possible because

2.2

2.3

we do, we know and we appreciate that there are New Yorkers across the city who desperately need that.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you and there are 600,000 low-income, lowest income New Yorkers that are rent burdened and they are significantly more severely rent burdened than anyone else, right? they are paying 50, 60, 70 percent of their income in rent and that burden, that level of burden continues across the income scales. We have very low-income New Yorkers, moderate income New Yorkers, who are rent burdened as well but the pain is not the same. And what I siege upon the Administration, on HPD, on all of you is to help. Is to help me not have to continue to go back to my community and say, yeah, they're trying really hard but there's nothing for you, right? It is so painful to look at someone's face who is a month away from eviction, who has been in a shelter for two years and just not have an answer for them.

And so, as we continue these initiatives, as we dedicate capital, we have to focus on the lowest income and I will not stop saying that. I know that I have some servants who agree with me but we need to make that the policy reality of the City of New York.

2.2

2.3

So, with that, my final question here and I'm looking at colleagues. I think I'm okay but my final question is about the rent burden for the lowest income New Yorkers. Is the Administration in support of the Housing Access Voucher Program Resolution that the City Council is hearing today?

LUCHY JOFFE: Thank you for that question and for your continued partnership. We recognize that the Council plays a really important role in this work and we are committed to continuing to working together to serve the New Yorkers, all New Yorkers and the New Yorkers in particular who are experiencing significant housing instability.

We welcome, as we've all talked about and so, now I feel like I'm saying tools again, but we welcome all of the resources and all the tools. And it's going to take all of that to address the vast amount of need. We do believe that to the extent that we add vouchers to our stock that we're mindful of some of the challenges that we face. We have used a mix of carrots and sticks to make sure that we can lease up the vouchers in our housing market. That includes an advertising campaign of \$1 million to try to change hearts and minds. Also, investment in source

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

95 of income discrimination. More resources for vouchers won't do us very much if we can't actually find those people homes. We also need to continue to build more housing, especially rental housing and low cost and affordable rental housing for that exact same purpose.

And finally, we want to make sure that when we invest more in programs like vouchers that we're able to, or rental assistance programs, we're able to administer them and they align. They have the for a lack of better term, the sort of the bells and whistles or like the components of strong voucher programs and our other voucher programs so that it's easier and I guess just impossible for us to administer them.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. I will close on this note and it is that looking back at the data and I'll share this out today, leader today via social but looking at the data of housing production for Mitchell Lama's, for HDFC's, just co-ops in the City of New York, there were hundreds being built every year in the 90's. In the 80's and the 90's and we just completely moved away from that approach of providing housing that is limiting equity, that is

2 affordable in perpetuity, and that is limiting equity

3 but it's building equity for New Yorkers.

2.2

2.3

So, can we have a commitment from HPD today to refocus on cooperative ownership models that are like Mitchell Lama, that are like cooperative HDFC's with the supports that HPD mentioned earlier are necessary and important to support homeowners. But can we get that commitment that we're going to be working toward expanding these ownership models in the City of New York?

KIM DARGA: We are absolutely committed to expanding homeownership opportunities and preserving them quite honestly. And that's everything from HDFC cooperatives and Mitchell Lama's to working with single family homeowners to make sure that they can stay in their homes. So, I think we look forward to having that conversation with you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Wonderful, I will be following up during the budget hearings. With that, thank you. Thank you so much to HPD for your testimony, for taking our questions today. I'm very excited to be joined by many advocates who have been leading in this space and who we'll hear from today. But thank you. Thank you so much for your time.

2 LUCY JOFFE: Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: So, next up, we will be hearing from my partner. My partner in the — not crime, in the amazing effort to really change the paradigm and built more social housing support, more social housing in the City of New York. Comptroller Brad Lander who has been leading on this topic for a very long time. And it catching up with HPD at this moment.

BRAD LANDER: Good afternoon Chair Sanchez,

Council Member Brewer, Council Member Nurse. It's

wonderful to be here with you for this really

important hearing. And thank you also to all of the

advocates who we joined earlier on the steps and it's

great to see you here as well. I know it's a long

day, so thank you.

As we all know, there is very broad agreement that New York City is facing a severe housing crisis and that we need many strategies to address it dramatically scaling up the footprint of social housing, permanently affordable housing removed from the speculative market place is one critical strategy and the bills on today's docket would go a long way to advance it.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

You know the crisis and have heard it, so I'm going to skip over those parts of my testimony but \$3,500 for the average available for rent unit despite the fact that nearly 30 percent of New Yorkers spend over half their income on rent, homelessness at over 70,000, 20,000 of them kids. You know we read recently of an exodus of Black New Yorkers. Nearly a ten percent decline in the last ten years. Especially young people born and bred New Yorkers who can't afford to stay in their home town and don't see a pathway to housing stability or affordable home ownership and it reflects a real threat to New York City's economy as well. When I talk to business leaders, the lack of housing affordability is a key challenge.

So, I'm encouraged that Albany is focusing on issues of housing this year. It is obviously critical. Tenants' rights advocates are prioritizing Good Cause Eviction legislation. I strongly support this legislation. There's broad support for the establishment of the Housing Access Voucher program. I strongly support HAVP as well. And I also support the push for increased housing supply, which Governor Hochul and Mayor Adams and others are pushing. We

need additional housing at a wide range of incomes and we've got to remove barriers to residential development through a framework of fair and comprehensive planning.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But let's be clear, new market rate development, even if equitably distributed and even with tenant protections and some additional housing vouchers in place, will not alone solve the affordability crisis. The top seven neighborhoods for New Yorkers facing eviction are in the Bronx and eight of the top ten. That's because of housing precarity and lack of income. New development isn't going to help those tenants and it's not in the near term going to help those tenants and it's not in the near term going to help those 70,000 New Yorkers get out of homeless shelters. There's an important debate about whether and how market rate development filters through the market but at best, it takes a very long time and with median asking rents of \$3,500 a month and a less than one percent vacancy rate for units below \$1,500, new supply will do little for working families who need it most.

In addition, the lack of social housing and a genuine commitment to it, makes it harder for the

2.2

2.3

average working-class New Yorker to support new development. Something that we genuinely need, how can we ask working class families to support new market rate development, if they reasonably fear that it will push them out of their neighborhoods or if they simply see no way that they could gain real housing stability or build any equity in their homes and that is where social housing comes in.

Social housing as you know is permanent affordable housing, removed from the speculative marketplace with mechanisms for democratic governance including shared equity co-ops, not-for-profit rentals, supportive housing, public housing and community land trust. Let's be clear, New York has a great history of social housing. And Mitchell Lama and other limited equity co-ops from co-op city in the Bronx, south and Manhattan, developed in partnership with labor unions.

So, working class families in earlier generations could become homeowners. And in nonprofit community development corporations born in the 60's and 70's as their neighborhoods were facing abandonment from [INAUDIBLE 1:56:37]. On the South side to Harlem Congregations for Community Improvement. In those

2 cases, the private housing market seeking the place

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 where investors and developers could achieve the

4 maximum profit was failing to provide the type of

5 affordable housing that New Yorkers needed. So,

6 social housing groups stepped up and developed an

operated housing as a public good.

When I was a young person in the affordable housing development movement, the city had a different approach here. In the Dinkins Administration, about one-third of land or vacant city buildings or subsidies went to for profit private developers with a focus on making room for MWBE developers and small businesses. About onethird to nonprofit affordable and supportive housing developers and about one-third to the tenants themselves to become limited equity cooperators. Unfortunately in the Giuliani, Bloomberg and de Blasio years, the pendulum swung overwhelmingly in the direction of for profit, private developers who now receive about 80 percent of the city's land affordable housing land and affordable housing subsidy dollars. And unfortunately nothing we heard today from my friends at HPD and the Administration suggest that there is an intent in this

Administration to make change there. An ambitious
change is needed and that's why these bills are good.
We need social housing today more than ever. In a
highly polarized service economy, New York has a more
severe affordability crisis than ever while supply
and demand mechanisms can work well in markets where
goods and services are distributed more evenly, they
often fail to meet the needs of lower income and
working class people when markets are severely
stratified and when supplies in elastic exactly like
the New York City housing market of 2023, what social
housing can do is establish a robust public option at
a price point affordable to poor and working class
families. A social housing program complements
initiatives that increase private market supply, just
like in higher education or in health care. Public
options compliment what the market provides and that
two-track approach offers the best opportunity
forward. With an ambitious strategy, I believe that
we could double the footprint of social housing in
New York City in the coming years. From about 10
percent of the housing market today to about 20
percent.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

And the legislation that you're considering would set the ground work for it. Let's be clear, that still leaves a lot of room for private developers, 80 percent of the market and that scenario would still be owned by for profit private developers and because we're looking to increase supply and density, it can still be growing even as the percent of social housing increases.

Briefly, on the legislation because you've heard it but I want to address a couple of the issues that the administration raised. So, starting with the land bank, Intro. 714, here I would really just like to give the example of what happened with hotels during the pandemic. In other city's that had a stronger set of tools that didn't rely on end-to-end financing of a deal before you could get started, some of the vacant hotels that the pandemic were acquired and can now be turned into affordable and supportive housing. But we didn't have a land bank tool that the city might have used and yes, those negotiations can be challenging. The city has to figure out what it's willing to pay but I believe that had we had a land bank at that moment, it would have been possible for the city to set some terms and

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 say here's what we're willing to pay. Here's the 3 terms and conditions. Make the acquisition at the 4 moment when it was possible and then yes, absolutely it takes working with affordable housing developers and subsidies to make those conversions over time. 6 7 But I believe we have more supportive housing in the pipeline if we had had a land bank, if it had been 8 available to make some acquisitions of hotels during the pandemic. And we don't know what the next thing 10 11 like that will be. That's sort of the whole purpose. 12 Yes, when you design an end-to-end program and you 13 enable developers, for profit and not for profit to

use it, that works great. But conditions arise that you haven't prepared for and if you have a land bank available, you can achieve acquisitions you wouldn't be able to achieve.

On Intro. 637 the idea that city owned land, a scarce and precious resource, when it is disposed for affordable housing, should go to either shared limited equity cooperatives, land trust, nonprofit affordable and supportive housing. We just, most of our affordable housing these days is not produced on city owned land. It's produced through subsidies and tax breaks and a wide range of developers go out and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

compete for it and that should continue. I have met with MWBE developers about this bill and they're right that for years, they haven't had the same access that White developers have had and we should make sure that there is robust room for Black and Latino owned developers to succeed here. we've only got this small city owned land that could be a precious resource for creating the next generation of Mitchell Lama's or for the deeply supportive and affordable housing for homeless families, we need to use it for that purpose. There's still room here if we're going to do a new generation of Mitchell Lama's, developers and builders are going build it. There's room for MWBE builders in that program but public land for public good just makes good plain common sense.

And on COPA, Intro. 196, I have to say that giving a little additional time so the tenants themselves or the community could put a purchase together, it really is about the lowest bar that you could have for encouraging social housing. It's not a restriction, like the public land bill. It simply says, we think this is valuable and we're going to

2 provide a little extra time for folks to make that

3 acquisition.

2.2

2.3

So, I was disappointed to hear the Administration not support it because I just don't think it's consistent with saying, we think it's critical to grow this removed from the speculative market place, social housing sector and not even be willing to give potential buyers from the community or from tenants more time.

Finally, I'm really excited about Intro. 932 as well. Council Member Nurse's bill to look at the feasibility of a social housing development agency and think about what else is possible. And I'll just tease out one final example of this. You know what Mitchell Lama's meant to a generation of earlier residents and it's kind of an interesting experiment because about half the Mitchell Lama's built between 28 and 78 were rentals and about half were limited equity cooperatives. Of the rentals, more than half of those buildings developed by for profit private developers with a time limited obligation have now privatized and are no longer providing affordable housing. But about 90 percent of the limited equity cooperative, Mitchell Lama's remain in the program

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

despite the fact that those residents and their families might make a lot of money if they privatized and get a lot of pressure to do that. It's time for a new generation of a program like that. It will look a little different from that one but it's one of

our biggest housing successes and we just do nothing like it today.

Unfortunately HPD's testimony indicates the lack of an ambitious effort to imagine it. What if instead of for example fighting to restore the 130 percent of AMI outer borough housing program that was in 421A we said, look on developable sites in the outer boroughs, what we want to give a density bonus to, what we want to subsidy to, what we want to give a tax break to, is a new shared equity cooperative program that would enable people at a range of incomes to acquire in a way that they could afford. That would be resale restricted, so that it would affordable to future purchases over time. see any other way we'll be able to create genuine homeownership opportunities for working class New Yorkers given the market that we face by treating housing as a public good rather than as a vehicle for profit, we could ensure that all New Yorkers have a

2 hor

home they can afford and that many of them have a path to genuine housing stability and building equity. These bills are a place to start. I'm so grateful that you're hearing them today and I look really forward to working with you and your colleagues and all the advocates here to make them a reality in New York City. Thank you so very much.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay, thank you. No clapping in the chambers. I want to clap to. Thank you. Thank you so much Comptroller Lander. Thank you for your leadership on this topic. Thank you for setting the stage for this Council to really pick up on legislation that you began last term. You know and hit the ground running; you know right in the beginning of our term.

So, uhm, question for you. You heard from the Administration you know just how obstacles they believe to be present with all of this legislation but we in my view, and clearly in so many of my colleagues view, we have no choice. We have to change the paradigm. We have to do differently in order to house New Yorkers stably and as I said earlier, to change the model hopefully nationally so

2 that these can be ideas that are reproduced in other

3 places.

2.2

2.3

So, assuming we get to a good resolution, to a good spot on these bills, which we will with the Administration. What are some of things, some actions and policies and programs, any ideas that you have for how the administration can support CLT's, can support the next generation of Mitchell Lama's, the next generation of cooperatives that we're going to be constructing through our different tools. What are some ideas?

BRAD LANDER: Yeah, so first I'll say I do appreciate you know that the intentionality about building programs and systems that work and that we can implement really is important. So, to the extent that one thing that HPD is saying is, we're facing a set of barriers right now because we've got vacancies at HPD. Because there's a set of challenges in the market. We want to support and help them and get them the resources that they need to be able to process deals. I think one of the first questions you ever asked me about supportive housing is hey, how do we make sure we set it up to succeed, so that the institutions — it's not easy work to maintain a

2.2

2.3

building, especially not where the rents are coming in at massive scale and you still have to provide excellent services and pay your insurance and pay your energy bills and pay your super. So, being thoughtful about setting these things up to succeed and not as excited as we are about the ideas, forgetting that we have built good infrastructure, is important and I know you care about that and I think it's right for HPD to say, let's make sure that we do that but we know we can because we have done it

before, those Mitchell Lama's are still succeeding so

much of that affordable CDC owned and supportive

housing is succeeding.

And I think if we get people excited about what's possible, we've got a lot better chance of sustaining the support that is needed again if what was getting built in new buildings in the outer boroughs with something like that Mitchell Lama at a range of incomes. I think you'd have people saying hey, I want to see that in my neighborhood. I get that it's no fun to live next to a new construction site but that's what we want going up so our kids would have access. So, two quick ideas about things that we could do to support CLT's and I mean, these bills are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

111

can go in, HPD mentioned the Neighborhood Pillars Program, which was actually designed to support nonprofit developers. But I think a few things have been learned about it. Let's double down there. Let's increase the resources to the pillar's program. The pillars program did not really have an affordable or shared equity cooperative program on the model of kind of 21st Century Mitchell Lama. It's not really what pillars was designed to do and it's not really what ANCP is either, so more resources for pillars that allow land trust as well as CDC's and with a shared equity home ownership model. That could be in this year's budget you know. That's like a pilot program, let's get started even before some of these bills hit the ground running.

And then second, I'll just kind of flesh out again, one element that I hear when developers say, oh, the lack of 421A is going to make it difficult. Is they talk about that outer borough, 130 percent of AMI program. Which you know most of 421A for the last five years was not the Manhattan style 820's. It was the outer borough 130 percent AMI program but 130 percent of AMI is way above the incomes of almost

1

3

4

5

6

/

8

-

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

excited about before when it was going to be somebody

everyone in the neighborhood where that housing was being built. And so, it's not right to say, let's give a tax break from working people for a building that they can't afford even the so-called affordable units.

But what if instead we said, okay, we do want development in the outer boroughs. That mid-rise multifamily model is a good one. What would it need in terms of a tax break, in terms of additional subsidy and maybe in terms of a density bonus to produce something that let people at 60, at 80, even at 100 percent of AMI be able to have that Mitchell Lama type opportunity. They buy a co-op unit, it gets modest appreciation over time but then it gets sold to someone that's at the same place in the income scheme that they were when they bought it. That is really what land trust and what social housing developers could be doing. You can't imagine the next generation and so, instead of having those Black families in that Times article think, I got to Georgia, they could be thinking you know what? going to support the development of a new multifamily building on my block that I might not have been

2 else's but now I see a path to where it could be

3 mine.

2.2

2.3

me. Well, thank you so Comptroller. These are great ideas. Really appreciate your efforts here and look forward to working with you. I think it's really important what you said that you know privately or buildings, affordable housing that has been built and constructed by private entities, you see a revolving door of affordability. We lose the affordability after expiration but for limited equity models, we don't. So, we have to do more of that. Thank you for your leadership on this topic.

BRAD LANDER: Thank you for yours. Take good care.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Alrighty, so I just, I'm going to turn it over to our Committee Counsel to start to call up our first panel of advocates and folks that have been leading on these topics. But I just want to say one thing. People are watching this hearing right? We live in a hybrid world and I just want to shout out that there are several colleagues who are listening, so just because you don't see us here at the dais, it does not mean that we're not

2 paying attention. So, thank you. Thank you to those

3 colleagues who are listening, who are watching and to

4 everybody who is listening and watching and who is

5 here present. Taylor.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: We will now turn to testimony from the public. Please listen for your name to be called whether you are here in person or appearing via Zoom. If you are testifying on Zoom, when it is your turn to testify, you will be prompted to unmute. Please accept this prompt and begin your testimony. In the interest of time, your testimony will be limited to two minutes per person. We will begin with Christopher Norman, Akilah Browne, Elise Goldin and Richard Heitler. If you are here in person, you may come up to the witness table.

Okay, Christopher Norman, if you're ready you may begin.

CHRISTOPHER NORMAN: Alright, thank you. Good afternoon. This is Christopher Norman. I appreciate the opportunity to speak before this body today.

Calling you from Atlanta. I'm the Executive Director of the Metro Atlanta Land Bank. I also serve as the President of the of the Georgia Association of Land Banks for Georgia.

I've spoken to Council Member Brewer about this topic and I'm here to answer any questions and provide information that the Committee seeks.

Norman. It's Gale Brewer and what I would love to hear you — we had as you heard HPD state that you know between their different funds, they are able to handle what a land bank can do. And I don't agree with that when we just heard the Comptroller, he gave the example of San Francisco, having a land bank and able to nimbly purchase hotels during the pandemic and I know here in New York City we were not able to do that.

So, can you just explain how a land bank could be more nimble perhaps in an agency, can be more transparent and what the advantages are over an acquisition fund, which is what HPD thinks does the same job and thank you so much for waiting this entire time.

CHRISTOPHER NORMAN: Oh, sure. Happy to come here today. As far as the nimbleness of a land bank and one thing about the land bank is that you have a flatter organization structure for one. And so, you know the board sets out the priorities for the

2 organization, which in this case could be acquisition

3 of this type of housing or properties for the purpose

4 of housing if it's a hotel, office building, former

5 multifamily site.

2.2

2.3

And then once that is set forth, the land bank really worked between the staff and the board itself. The board is a fiduciary entity, organization based on having capital, you can have a quick strike type effort to acquire properties that are available in the market place. And so, so it's not just the idea of just buying property for the sake of being optimistic. The properties are purchased with a goal in development use in mind. You know, just bear in mind that land banks are short term owners of property. They're not meant to be forever holders of property.

The goal is to bring the property in, do an amount of triage that's needed relative to title, encumbrances, anything of that nature, and then turn it around for development.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay, one other quick question. I know that you head up Atlanta and I know you have advised Philadelphia and perhaps other places. Obviously, we're all trying to find

multifamily. Large enterprise level multi-families

25

2 and things of that nature, really fall in the per

3 view of our housing authority and our development

4 authority. So, they have their lane. We are in our

5 | lane and so, once you really start maintaining that

6 level of focus, then you can kind of drill down into

7 the pools of property. Where is the inventory

8 available? Then what's going to be your acquisition

9 methodology?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Either be a market purchase, a donation, a tax sale, a criminal seizure, then it allows you a little bit more focus and latitude and speed about which you can move forward and take down the property. And then as has been mentioned during the other presentations, you know we dispose the property via RFP's, RFQ's as well as an application process. That we have some transparency into who we're dealing with as well as their capacity and capability to do what we want them to do.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. I want to — we could talk forever. I want everyone to know this is one of the greatest land bank experts in the country and we deeply appreciate you hanging in with us to get to this point and thank you Madam Chair for giving us this opportunity. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: And I will neither confirm nor deny that Council Member Brewer and I talked about possibly stealing you from Atlanta once we have our land bank. No, but thank you so much for bearing with us and for sharing your time today.

CHRISTOPHER NORMAN: Alright, thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Akilah Browne?

2.2

2.3

AKILAH BROWNE: Good afternoon Chair Sanchez and members of the Committee. Thank you for holding today's hearing and for the opportunity to testify.

I'm Akilah Browne and I'm on the board of New Economy Project. An organization that for almost 30 years has worked closely with community groups across the state to address inequities in our economy while simultaneously promoting community led development that builds collective power and wealth in Black and Brown neighborhoods.

We thank this Committee wholeheartedly for its stanch support of CLT's and other social housing models. Today, I'm testifying in support of the

2 | Community Land Act bill package, which includes COPA,

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

3 Public Land for Public Good, and Resolution 38 in

4 support of TOPA.

A strong community land act will give CLT's and other nonprofits new tools to accomplish three primary goals. One, prevent displacement of lowincome New Yorkers. Two, bring land and housing into permanently affordable community ownership, not just 60-year regulatory terms. And three, build collective wealth in Black and Brown communities. But it can only do that effectively if a few updates are made. First, there's COPA, which would allow nonprofits to expand the supply of permanently affordable housing by giving them a first right to purchase multifamily buildings up for sale. bill must include not just the first right to make an offer on a building, but it must also ensure that when a seller is at the point of choosing which matching offer to except. The nonprofits or the forprofit buyers particularly where the offers have the same terms and conditions, the seller must accept the offer from the nonprofit.

As other cities have provided in their opportunity to purchase policies. A right to match

an offer without any guarantee that it will be accepted over a for profit market bidder, does nothing to preserve affordable housing. But that's how COPA's currently written. We also urge the city to dedicate funding streams with these policies to enable CLT's and other qualified entities to reach the affordability levels that their neighborhoods critically need.

Second, and I will close with that. Second, the Public Land for Public Good Act, which would require New York City to prioritize CLT's and nonprofit developers when disposing of city owned land should explicitly permit joint ventures with for profits and that prioritization where the nonprofit has a majority stake to deal with some of the very same issues that HPD raised. That would encourage collaboration in a way that maximizes public good while leveraging expertise.

And we also recommend the creation of a new definition of community land trust to include community led non housing development. I won't go into all of the issues around the affordability crisis that folks have mentioned but I will say that the dangerous, dangerous thing that we have a head of

us is that the real estate market in New York City

2.2

2.3

3 has become extremely consolidated. We need to level

4 the playing field for CLT's and nonprofits and the

5 community land act package is a really important

6 first step to make that happen. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much Akilah.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Elise Goldin.

ELISE GOLDIN: Hi, good afternoon. My testimony is going to sound very similar but worth repeating. So, my name is Elise Goldin, I work with the New Economy Project which is one of the cofounders and coordinators of the New York City Community Land Initiative or NYCCLI. And I am testifying today representing NYCCLI and we are a coalition of 30 groups including close to 20 emerging or existing community land trusts, many of whom will also be testifying.

Our coalition has been instrumental in the development of the bills in the Community Land Act package. Again, as Akilah said, COPA Community Opportunity to Purchase, Public Land For Public Good and the Resolution to support TOPA, which will all provide new tools to preserve and create community controlled and permanently affordable land and

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Richard Heitler.

123 housing. We have extremely broad support for the Community Land Act. We have over 100 organizations that have currently signed on to endorse this package of bills, which include community groups, faith-based groups, community development corporations, youth groups, arts groups, so extremely diverse. inspiring to see that during a horrific housing crisis, communities are organizing and there's been enormous growth of the CLT movement.

In part due to support of City Council but also of course due to you know communities really coming together and fighting back against the gentrification and displacement in their neighborhoods and now, it is really necessary to take the Community Land Trust Movement to the next level through these policies.

As Akilah said, I'm going to reiterate that COPA must include a Right of First Refusal for qualified entities. Also, include vacant property that is zoned for residential use of three units or more and the public land disposition bill must include the opportunity to partner with for profits like WMBE's and should expand the definition of CLT to include uses in addition to housing. Thank you.

2	RICHARD HEITLER: Hi, I want to say that my
3	written testimony is eight pages long. I will not
4	attempt to read it. What I intend to do is use my
5	two minutes to try to encourage you or give you a
6	reason why you might want to read it. And I also
7	want to say how thrilled I am to be here and to be
8	part of this large network, this broad umbrella that
9	has been created by Brad Lander that includes the
LO	broad range of social housing. And I was thrilled to
11	be on the steps of City Hall earlier today. I have
12	attended both of the convenings for housing as a
13	public good where I have got a chance to see my old
L 4	friend Gale Brewer and met some of the people in this
L5	room for the first-time including you Madam Chairman.
L 6	I heard you speak and I am thrilled. I am thrilled
L7	to be here because I've been in a Mitchell Lama co-op
L8	since 1975 and I've been working for affordable
L 9	cooperative housing both as an employee and board
20	member of UHAB and before that I was hired by Phillip
21	St. George to work in the original division of
22	alternative management programs at HPD and that goes
23	back to the late 70's and the 80's.

So, it's great that the idea of shared equity housing which was a hot idea once in the 30's and

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

then again in the 50's and then again in that

3 wonderful 20-year period between 55 and 75 on 155,000

125

units of Mitchell Lama were built. To hear that it's 4

5 a good idea again is fabulous. I was thrilled to

attend the convening and learning of the three D's, 6

7 the three principles that define social housing, a

deep affordability, democratic control and 8

decommodification and I'm here to say that the single

best program and I'm sorry, in the City of New York, 10

11 State of New York and perhaps in the United States of

12 America is Mitchell Lama.

> I want to talk about deep affordability, even if I get a chance to talk about nothing else. publishes these notices. You can get them on the website. The one that's up there is for Ruppert House on the upper eastside. You can buy a threebedroom apartment for \$32,413. Your monthly charge will vary from \$797 to \$935. I didn't make up these numbers. They're in the notice and they've been approved by certified public accountants and HPD. Other people have compared these rates to market but I want to make one final point, which is also in this notice because many of you have mentioned it, it's

the band of affordability. This apartment is

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

affordable to families with incomes ranging from \$31,880 to \$206,875. And that's a band of affordability from 30 percent of median to 125 percent of median and that is the kind of housing we want. I will skip democratic control. Mitchell Lama co-ops sometimes are wonderful, sometimes not. We can talk about that but I want to get to the third day of decommodification if you will allow me.

One of the factors and there are many in my testimony that explain the resilience and the stability and the last thing for our Mitchell Lama but one of them is because we are the single best example of decommodification in the State of New York. There is a resale formula which calls for no appreciation on asset. There is no speculum. It's not even limited equity. The formula at Mitchell Lama, is you get back what you paid in. Your original equity payment when you moved in which was five percent of the total development cost, plus your contributions to capital in the form of mortgage principle or assessments.

So, you take out your capital when you leave.

You put in your capital when you come in and when you

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 127 live there and when you leave it, you take it out and 2 3 capital remains at cost. 4 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm going to have to ask 5 you -RICHARD HEITLER: I'm done. And that is what 6 7 makes it affordable to the next generation. So, 8 somebody at Ruppert House bought that apartment for \$3,000 40 years ago and now it's 30 and it will be affordable in perpetuity and the rest of my testimony 10 11 contains support for the legislation and some ideas about what you might consider doing to preserve 12 13 Mitchell Lama's in perpetuity. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so 15 It's not natural to do this. No, thank you for your testimony and as a member of the Council 16 17 that represents the community where the median 18

that represents the community where the median income, the median income in my community is around 25 percent. Nothing works. Nothing works for us. So, absolutely thrilled to hear this example lifted by you and just want to appreciate your time.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD HEITLER: Right and at some point I would like to talk to you, which is a particular Council issue about conversions from Mitchell Lama and Article 11's, which we oppose and which I think will

2.2

2.3

beyond.

2 be very easy to convince you in particular about why 3 we should continue to oppose them. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much. Yes, noted on your opposition of Article 2 to 11 conversions. So, we have approximately 40 or 50 folks signed up, so I'm going to — I don't like to limit the time, especially because folks have been waiting for a long time but if we can try for the remainder. Thank you so much for your time and we'll call up the next panel.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. I would now like to welcome Karim Hutson, Andrea Kretchmer, Jolie Milstein, and Craig Livingston.

Karim Hutson, if you're ready you may begin.

KARIM HUTSON: Thank you. Thank you, Chair
Sanchez, members of the Committee on Housing and
Buildings, and other distinguished members of the
City Council for the opportunity to submit testimony
regarding Intro 0637 today. My name is Karim Hutson,
and I am President and CEO of Genesis Companies, a
full-service real estate firm founded in 2004,
specializing in financing, developing and operating
affordable housing projects in New York City and

I am here today to express my opposition to Intro

0637, the proposed legislation to dispose of city
wined land exclusively to not-for-profit

organizations. If passed, it will further exclude

and undermine Black and Latino owned firms from

participation in the real estate industry. This is

an industry that has historically been and continues

2.2

2.3

to be controlled by and primarily benefits White owned and/or White controlled firms, whether they are

for profit or not. This needs to change.

We have made strides toward inclusion and cannot afford to set the clock backwards now. I grew up in Harlem and the Bronx in the 80s. I experienced many of the perils that face Black and Latino residents today. I grew up playing football on the concrete streets right next to the Cross Bronx expressway. Around me, buildings were in shambles and we were victims of that. Friends suffered from asthma, neighbors faced constant pest infestation, there was crumbling physical surroundings and no indoor air quality, no low, no.

This was the impetus for me, fresh out of Harvard Business School, to leave a career in Private Equity and Banking, to reinvest in the communities that I

3

1

4

6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

grew up in. I figured a young Black kid from the Bronx in Harlem can make a difference. I came to believe we can make positive change and utilize my financial equity and create long term financially sustainable housing.

20 years since founding Genesis, my team and I remain committed to turn around some of the toughest and most problematic residential buildings in the city. If you know anything about how this all works, Black and Latino Developers aren't usually getting the shiny projects. Instead we are relegated to some of the most challenging projects as strengthen and strain our talented staff. While we've seen our fair share of bad, we work every day to do some good for our residents by working to deliver safe and sustainable affordable housing. Moreover, we are still in these neighborhoods today living and working alongside our residents. My wife and young children are our proud Harlemite's walk in the same Harlem streets my grandmother walked over 98 plus years ago. I want my daughters to witness first-hand how communities and entrepreneurship come together. Puerto Rican wife and our talented daughters can be part of a Black father and husband building a real

2 estate company, working in their community.

3 want to say this one thing.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As a 100 percent Black owned firm, Genesis has been fortunate enough to grow over the last two decades to a staff of approximately 50 professionals. More than 95 percent of our staff identify as Black and Latino. Many living in the communities they serve. Black women comprise 60 percent of our executive staff. Two former employees left Genesis to start to lead other real estate groups. Moreover, Genesis, my Black and Latino executives hire an outsize proportion of other Black and Latino controlled firms. We've thereby created an ecosystem for Black and Latino families to prosper. public partnerships to grow our firms and to promote our employees and their families and over the last 20 years, we've grown from zero opportunity to preserve and develop between 200 units. We need that to continue. We cannot be cut out from the ability to partner with the city on land opportunities.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much Mr. Hutson and that's my district, the growing up and playing near the Cross Bronx. That's where I represent.

2.2

2.3

And so, you're a proud Harlemite, but I also hope you stay a proud Bronxite. I just want to deeply appreciate the perspective that you have here. I don't know if you were here earlier when I mentioned to Council Member Restler that I hope that we can talk about this because MWBE's play a critical role in addressing that racial wealth gap right? And in particular, ones like yours right that are 100 percent Black owned and operated. And like you said, contribute to the ecosystem.

So, a quick follow up question for you. Would you be in support of Intro. 637 if it did prioritize similarly MWBE's?

KARIM HUTSON: Uhm, yeah, I'd definitely like to talk about that and see you know how that could possibly work. I do, I am concerned about limiting tools for affordable housing production. We need to make sure we don't do that but I want to say just to underscore the point that you made earlier in your discussion Chairwoman. Let us not forget that the entire purpose of the Community Reinvestment Act was the reversed racism, often government initiated real estate policies that deny Blacks from owning real estate. Not just owning at the single-family level

Members. My name is Andrea Kretchmer and I'm an

Affordable and Supportive Housing Developer. My

company Xenolith Partners is a woman owned business,

2.3

24

25

2 certified as a WBE in New York City and New York

2.2

2.3

3 State. I stand strongly opposed to Intro. 637, which

4 would require that the city in disposing of its land

5 prioritize nonprofit developers.

As a principal, I've developed more than 700 units of affordable and supportive housing in the city of New York and my firm has another 200 plus units under construction and in our pipeline. All of our affordable housing projects include a supportive housing component that is housing for seniors, formerly homeless and formerly incarcerated individuals and families, for survivors of domestic violence and persons living with HIV AIDs.

At our developments, we provide supportive services, workforce development and health and wellness programming. Our buildings are designed to maximum sustainability and energy efficiency standards. Four of our developments are built on city owned land awarded to us through RFPs and every single one of them includes a nonprofit partner and all of them are permanently affordable.

New York City has over the past ten years committed to fostering the growth of MWBE developers with building capacity courses and building

opportunity initiatives. HPD has sought to address

3 the disparities in MWBE participation in affordable

4 housing development. Dozens of small firms like mine

5 have developed thousands of affordable and supportive

6 units, adding to New York's desperately needed

7 supply.

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Tax status is a red herring. Public records show that CEO's of three of the top nonprofit affordable housing developers were salaried between a half a million and one million dollars a year. I don't be grudge them that income. They work hard and work well but please don't be fooled by an organizations tax status.

My company is run by women and we are driven my mission. RPC's are the rare level playing field.

HPD requires that every team include 25 percent participations by MWBE's or nonprofits and in some cases like mine, that participation is 50, 60, 70 percent or more. Please don't discriminate against MWBE developers and please don't waste progress that we've already made. Thank you.

23 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Jolie Milstein.

24

2 JOLIE MILSTEIN: Thank you for this opportunity.

My name is Jolie Milstein. I previously was a developer, a not-for-profit developer of supportive housing for the HIV AIDs homeless communities in New York City and I'm currently the President and CEO for

We represent the companies that develop and operate the vast majority of affordable housing across the city and state, both not for profit and for-profit developers and you'll hear from some of our members today.

New York States Association for Affordable Housing.

NYSAFH strongly opposed Intro. 637, Intro. 714 and Intro. 196. However, I'd like to devote my testimony today to Intro. 637, which reserves all city owned land for disposition to not for profits. This bill is a solution in search of a problem.

Permanent affordability on city owned sites have already ensured via a remainder interest, which HPD has placed on its RFP sites since 2017. This legal clause allows HPD to ensure that the housing is affordable in perpetuity.

Regarding equitable ownership, HPD policy already requires respondents for city owned land to have not

2.2

2.3

2 for profit organizations or MWBE companies as equity

3 partners in a joint venture.

2.2

2.3

Furthermore, Intro. 637 will hurt affordable housing production. We've already discussed according to the Mayor's Management Report, city production is off by 45 percent in 2022 from 2021 and limiting the pool of companies that can build on city owned land will exacerbate this trend. We need all hands on deck. And partnerships between not for profits and for profits allows respondents to feel the vertically integrated development teams. This can benefit both parties.

This helps manage ever increasing construction costs between \$400-\$500 a square foot and growing every day. Partnerships also allow each side to contribute to their strengths. Additionally, MWBE's are inherently for-profit companies. This legislation would disallow them from applying for these RFP sites. We strongly urge the Council to reconsider this package, which will disrupt affordable housing production during the worst crisis the city has seen in history.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you both for testifying and I want to thank you for the social

2 housing that you have produced, right? The housing

3 that you have created that is helping the most

4 vulnerable New Yorkers. Uhm, absolutely take this

5 | into consideration and just want to continue the

6 conversation with you. Because wherever we go,

7 wherever we end up, you know it has to be in

8 conversation with all of you.

JOLIE MILSTEIN: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Craig Livingston.

CRAIG LIVINGSTON: I'm on camera. Can you hear

12 me?

10

11

24

1

13 COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

CRAIG LIVINGSTON: Okay, thank you. Uhm, so my

15 | name is Craig Livingston. I am the Board Chair of

16 NYREC, which is the New York Real Estate Chamber. It

17 | is the leading advocacy group in Chamber of Commerce

18 | for BIPOC focused developers in New York State. I'm

19 here today to voice our strong opposition to the

20 proposed legislation to the disposed of city owned

21 \parallel land exclusively to not-for-profits Intro. 637. If

 $22 \parallel \text{passed}$ and enacted in its current form, the bill will

23 result in deeper disenfranchisement of Black and

Brown owned businesses, diminishing their access to

25 | economic opportunities within New York City.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

In recent decades, Black and Brown for-profit developers have been instrumental partners in underserved communities. Building high quality, affordable housing and investing in neighborhoods across New York City. By limiting access to city owned land in such a significant profile manner, the city risk eliminating opportunities for Black and Brown developers and continues that very same pattern of disenfranchisement that Chair Sanchez spoke about in her opening remarks.

We have worked closely with agencies like New York City Housing, Preservation and Development or the Housing Development Corporation. Roughly 80 percent of the real estate projects developed by NYREC members are affordable housing projects. Our members collectively own approximately 20,000 affordable housing units, which are regulated with regulatory agreements. And in my written testimony, I provided a few examples of projects done by our membership.

Additionally, Black for-profit developers have proven our capabilities. We have the capacity, resources and expertise to build and maintain safe, environmentally sound affordable housing on city

2 owned land. Very important, to the point about

3 wealth creation and economic empowerment. Black and

4 Brown developers have much higher propensity of

5 hiring -

1

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is expired.

CRAIG LIVINGSTON: Architects, engineers, masons,

8 | you name it that are also Black led firms in turn,

9 hire Black and Brown employees. This legislation

10 seeks to distort the economic advancement that we've

11 | made and being able to share economic progress

12 | throughout our communities.

The proposed legislation would significantly reverse the progress the city is beginning to make with diversifying how it operates with private partners. This bill is contrary to what we know and what we are working to correct. In that the city hasn't diversified the developers it works with not, not take away opportunity from Black and Brown developers. I just want to site a couple other things.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm sorry, but you're out of time and I do commit to looking at your testimony if you can send that. But I just want to repeat what I said earlier that there is willingness and openness

portfolios and has been given to large developers.

25

2.2

2.3

actually live in Arverne View, which was purchased by LMN Bluestone and Tri equity and because we didn't have strong legislation in place, the tenants weren't able to buy the property and we are still living in horrible conditions. We suffer with lack of employee management; I mean, sorry. We are suffering right now. Arverne East is one of the biggest developments in New York City and you gave it to LMN and Bluestone and it could have been given to us to really do equitable just things.

In Far Rockaway, we are suffering with lack of immunities. We are suffering with chronic over schools and we are suffering from lack of medical like hospitals. And with supporting these, COPA and TOPA, these lots could be put into our hands and we could do real development. We've been waiting for real development for over 50 years now. And with these policies being put in place, it would help us secure and do development where we could get our guys off the street and put them to work.

If you come and visit Arverne, we are suffering and we need the city to support us in becoming owners. The CLT is fighting for collective controlled —

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

3 ALEXIS FOOTE: Resiliency, flood protection.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm sorry, we have to continue but thank you so much. I really appreciate it.

ALEXIS FOOTE: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Valerio Orselli.

VALERIO ORSELLI: Can I go? Okay. Good

afternoon Committee Chair Sanchez and members of the

Housing Committee. My name is Valerio Orselli. I

am the Project Director of This Land is Ours

Community Land Trust and I'm a founding member of the

Cooper Square CLT, currently the largest and most CLT

in New York City.

This Land is Ours Community Land Trust is one of the newest CLT's formed in New York City by housing activists and area residents, community development of permanently and deeply affordable housing and community uses. Our structure allows us to pursue the housing needs of working class/poor communities and communities of color. We seek to acquire and utilize properties to HGFC's and public land to create housing and prevent displacement.

2.2

2.3

I'm here to express our full support for the pending legislative initiatives Intro. 196 COPA,
Intro. 637 Public Land for the Public Good, City
Council Resolution 38 and also wish to give full support to Intro. 714, which calls to the creation of a New York City Community Land Bank.

As a newly established CLT, our organizing efforts have been limited. Recently, we won a commitment for the New York Archdiocese to develop 400 units of deeply affordable housing at a decommission on East 13th Street. Through our organizing, with a lot of help from Council Member Rivera we also won a commitment from the city to redevelop the NYPD parking lot on East 5th Street for 70 low-income senior apartments. We're also working to win a similar commitment to redevelop the underutilized NYCHA parking lot on East 6th Street.

If the two sites are under the current RFP process, we will not be able to compete with for profit developers. To bring these projects to fruition, we need the City Council to pass Public Land for Public Good, prioritize and disposition of public properties to community land trust.

Thank you for holding today's hearing and for

25

2 the opportunity to testify. My name is Athena

2.2

2.3

3 Bernkopf and I'm Project Director of the East Harlem

4 El Barrio CLT. I'm here to speak in support of the

5 Community Land Act and I think East Harlem El

6 Barrio's example could serve to understand why we

7 need this bill.

In November of 2020, we acquired our first four plots of land and have been working with nonprofit development partners to establish the East Harlem El Barrio Mutual Housing Association, which manages the four buildings on our CLT.

With our partners, we are currently in the process of gut rehabilitation of all four buildings which did not receive the maintenance and resources they needed while previously in city ownership.

During this construction period, we've been working on building out the tenant led governance structure that will steward the mutual housing association after the rehab process and once construction is complete, the CLT will provide a renewable 99-year ground lease to the Mutual Housing Association effectively ensuring that these rent stabilized units will remain permanently affordable at a range from 770 to 2,600. We've also reserved four of these 38

7

15

16

17

3 the city's shelter system. This is major and it took

residential units for people who are coming out of

4 incredible hard work to get here. It took us nearly

5 a decade to study CLT's to build awareness across the

6 city of what CLT's are. To study housing, landscape

and needs of our community members and to advocate to

8 the city to choose the wellbeing of our communities

9 by identifying properties that could preserved on the

10 CLT. And even as our rents are incredibly low for

11 New York City standards, we know that there is a need

12 for even deeper affordability which we can't reach

13 because of the debt we are forced to take on to rehab

14 | buildings that were formerly in the city's care.

So, in contradiction to some of the points that were made by HPD earlier today, we had to fight to get here and we're still fighting. For the majority

18 of us, displacement, housing insecurity,

19 houselessness, community environmental loss is our

20 inheritance. An intergenerational burden that has

21 been passed down over and over again.

22 This is a cycle that has been directly sustained

23 by city policy which routinely subsidizes private

24 for-profit developers.

2.2

2.3

I'm going to skip to the point by taking land and housing off the speculative market by creating opportunities for residents to step into management of their own buildings and preserve housing affordability. Centering the leadership of community groups that are driven by and accountable to their communities. We can and will create more housing options that's accessible to the people who need it the most. And that's what the Community Land Act is about. Thank you for the time to speak today.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much. Not just biased because I used to be on the Board of the CLT's but thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Go ahead.

RACHEL BROWN: Good afternoon. Thank you

Committee Chair Sanchez and member of the Housing

Committee for holding this hearing and for allowing

me to testify. My name is Rachel Brown and I am part

of the Steering Committee and the Outreach

Committee's of the Western Queens Community Land

Trust. The Western Queens CLT is a member of NYCCLI

The New York City Community Land Initiative and I

also represent the Western Queens CLT at the city

policy meetings. So, I'm here to testify in support

2 of the Community Land Act because this set of bills

3 would greatly aid our work to democratize land use in

4 Western Queens.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In particular, the Community Opportunity to

Purchase Act Intro. 196 and the Public Land for

Public Good Intro. 637, would help us convert a

public building on public land into a community hub.

By the way, this is the public land and public

building that the government was going to give to

Amazon. You know a trillion dollar company and so,

what we would like to do is actually turn it into

deeply affordable commercial space for small

14 businesses, especially manufacturing that's been

15 pushed out of the area. Also, artist space, food

16 justice space and community-based nonprofits.

So, in Western Queens, we have also started working with community groups and tenants who are struggling against high rents and delinquent landlords, and so, we also are in support of Resolution 38 TOPA. And in summary; I'm trying to hit the two-minute mark. I urge the City Council to pass the Community Land Act to support community led development and community control of land, public

land and money should never go to companies like

2 Amazon or for-profit developers that are building

3 luxury condos and displacing people of color and low-

4 income folks. New York City needs public land and

5 resources for the people. We need social housing for

6 working class people. We need housing as a human

7 right. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. Thank you and I want to thank all four of you. So, we just try to keep it at two minutes and then there's questions for you. So, just one second and I think Council Member Brewer also has some questions. And so, particular and I'm sorry, I missed your name from Arverne. Alexis, okay. Particularly for Alexis, you mentioned interacting with the city and trying to establish a CLT or trying to get ownership for the tenants. Who did you interface with? How long was that process?

ALEXIS FOOTE: Well, once Hurricane Sandy
happened, the city, the Council Member, the Senator
and Triangle Equity, LMN and Bluestone, they came and
did like a one 360 on us and I told the residents,
listen, let's not sign anything until we have our
lawyers because once they came and did their
purchase, they stripped us of our rent stabilization.

2 When I moved to Ocean Village, now called Arverne

3 View, a two bedroom was \$922. A two bedroom now is

151

4 \$2,000 and it's going to go up because LMN just asked

5 HUD for a five year rent increase. So, in the next

6 five years, I could be paying \$6,000 for the same two

7 bedroom.

1

8

9

18

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

ALEXIS FOOTE: And this is why this housing is so

10 | important for my community.

11 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Right, right, thank you.

12 | Thank you so much and that resonates with Comptroller

13 | Lander's point before about when housing has built by

14 | a private entity. More likely, this is just what the

15 | numbers show historically. It's more likely to go

16 out of affordability than if it's limited equity or

17 | another model. So, thank you for sharing that

personal story. So, Council Member Brewer.

19 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: So, for Val and others,

20 so the question is in the work that you're doing,

21 | which is the land trust as opposed to the land bank,

22 | HPD thinks that they don't need a land bank because

23 | they already have it under control. Acquisition fund

24 | will take care of everything, so Val, just to start

with you, do you think that that is true? Have you

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think a land bank would also be more helpful? I just was wondering what you think because you've been doing this even longer than I have. Go ahead.

VALERIO ORSELLI: Well, first of all the

accessed the land, the acquisition fund or do you

acquisition fund, if you talk about purchasing private property in New York City, is grossly inadequate and there's no way that we can use that to actually make meaningful purchases. And the land bank would be used not merely to perhaps purchase private property but it will be banking public property, which is an increasingly scarce resource and should be dedicated to low-income housing. Because that's the only kind of housing that you're going to be able to build unless you have public land. So, there's a need for a public bank and also, the process is not going to be taking place over Because even after you are given the promise night. to a piece of a land or a building, you still need to raise the necessary funds to develop it or to rehab And that, as HPD should be able to tell you, takes many years sometimes. Way too much time.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

J

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much to all members of this panel. Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we have Juan Barahona, Phyllis Gray, Ann Tirschwell, and Joelle Ballam-Schwan. And if you are here in person, please come up to the table. Juan Barahona, if you are ready.

JUAN BARAHONA: Thank you. Good afternoon Chair Sanchez and members of the Committee. My name is Juan Barahona, I'm currently the Principal of SMJ Development, which is a firm dedicated to the development and preservation of affordable housing in New York City.

My first exposure to this industry was as a summer intern at HPD's Northern Manhattan Planning Office in 1997 and subsequent to that, I went to work for HPD for a few years and ultimately ironically catalyzed my entrepreneurial spirit. And then 15 years later I started my own shop. I mention all this because you know I'm very steeped in the preservation and development of affordable housing and how complicated and complex it is, which I think is something that I often gets taken for granted when you know policies enacted or policies proposed and legislation is proposed. So, you know, I understand

2.2

that we're here to speak about the suite of bills related to social housing and I have no problem with social housing as a concept in general, I just think that the devil is in the details and you want to get this legislation right for it to actually to be effective.

I think the biggest problem I have is probably with Intro. 637. As it stands for the reasons that we've outlined, you know, I can count on one hand the number of Latino for-profit affordable housing developers in the City of New York, which is 29 percent Latino. And I think that's a gross, you know a gross problem that we really need to address in terms of creating opportunities, so that we're just not the users of affordable housing, right? And that we're not just relegated to forever being the users but we can also be the owners and creators of these places and serve as examples for our community.

You know really what I think is getting lost here is the affordable housing development as it stands today is just taking too long and it's too expensive. A typical HPD, RFP probably from start to finish go about eight years and I really think and I'm not hearing anything from the Council or reading in the

2 legislation, how that particular problem is being

3 addressed. CLT or otherwise, I think you know, you

4 have to breakdown how complicated it is to develop

5 this and my concern with Intro. 637 in particular, is

6 that you may be setting people up to really, to not

7 succeed.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's one thing to say you want to build affordable housing, it's another thing to actually do it in the context of the regulatory and government. You know, hurdles that we've set up in the city. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Phyllis Gray.

PHYLLIS GRAY: Oh, good afternoon. My name

Phyllis Gray and I live in the Bronx. I support

everything you're doing but my concern here is my

development is presently facing a 20 percent

maintenance increase because there were not enough

funds to pay out lenders, \$2.1 million. In addition,

we had a large number of vacant apartments. We also

had shareholders that were not paying the arrears.

The point I'm trying to make is, there are two

agencies in place. The HPD and DACR and I really

think those agencies need to be more effective in

2 terms of lot development. The reality is all boards

3 are not equal. I live in the Bronx. We don't have

4 the same resources as some of the boards downtown.

5 I'm not saying we're not bright but in terms of

6 resources, I can't call my uncle to read the contract

7 for me or my other aunt who is an accountant.

So, we need HCR and DHER to be more responsible.

9 They submit field reports on our property

8

2.3

24

25

10 | highlighting all the issues but they don't act on

11 | them. We've been writing them for years. It doesn't

12 | take rocket science. If I have 30 apartments empty

13 | in my development, I know we're going to fall short

14 | but they're not responding properly. They're putting

15 the onus back on the shareholders. And again, they

16 need to be revamped. They need to be revamped and

17 | that's something that can be handled. They need to

18 | stop recycling. Management companies, lawyers and

19 | accountants where they say, they are part of the

20 problem in terms of mismanaging us. But you're

21 \parallel putting them back in a pool for another development

22 to get the same project manager.

I have a letter here from 1997 for one of the management companies we got rid of. Four years ago, they gave us back the same management company to

2.2

2 review. This is incomprehensible. I mean, we may

3 not have all the resources available but they have a

4 responsibility to shareholders that are forging ahead

trying to look out for their properties to assist us.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you Ms. Gray. What kind of property do you live in?

PHYLLIS GRAY: Jamie Towers, Mitchell Lama in the Bronx. Beautiful 12½ acres, three parking lots, Olympic size pool. Gorgeous, we just need the —

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Are you trying to get me to move there?

PHYLLIS GRAY: Absolutely. We just need their assistance and I support everything you're doing. But the reality is, that's something that can be tweaked. That's not hard. They're going to have to revamp and change how they — so that they're more effective and we have reached out. I was on the board new. I just kept writing, no response. I'm flabbergasted. You know the reality is, you can always learn something regardless of their age and I'm just looking at what's happening but we need the support.

)

_ .

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much and I look forward to speaking more with you about your particular project.

PHYLLIS GRAY: Thank you. I appreciate that.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ann Tirschwell.

ANN TIRSCHWELL: Good afternoon Chair Sanchez and Committee Members. My name is Annie Tirschwell. I am the cofounder and principal of a mission driven women owned affordable and supportive housing development firm called Type A projects. My partner and I started Type A projects after building over one million square feet of community schools in New York City with a not-for-profit development firm that I cofounded and ran for over a decade called civic builders.

We started Type A projects to bring the development skills we had honed in one of the toughest real estate markets in the country to bear on the intractable problems of affordable housing and homelessness. We started Type A as a WBE and not a not-for-profit with the express purpose of helping to make the delivery of affordable housing in New York City more equitable. We support the city's current equitable ownership requirement, which acknowledges

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that minority and women owned businesses as well as not-for-profits need a stake in neighborhood development to ensure that our city's growth is inclusive. MWBE's typically have deep roots in the communities we seek to work in. Ensuring that our firm have an ownership stake in the affordable housing arena is critical for the creation of a more equitable city. When enacted, the equitable ownership requirement specifically empowered entrepreneurs of color and women to construct and operate affordable housing projects within their own communities, allowing for the creation of new jobs and economic growth across the city. When we started Type A, you can count on one hand the number of affordable housing development firms owned and run by That number has slowly climbed over the last women. ten years, thanks in large part to the sustained efforts made by New York City agencies including New York City's EDC and HPD, both of which have prioritized MWBE firms capacity in recognition of the unique and important contributions to solving the housing crisis.

This progress must not stop. If we want our city's neighborhoods to reflect those that live and

2.2

2.3

work in them and bring all available resources to combat our housing crisis.

I'll summarize by asking you to support women and minority owned businesses and vote no on Intro. 637.

I will close with a quick quote from Council Member Francisco Moya, also in support of the city's 2020 equitable ownership requirement. "If we are truly to level the playing field for women and minority owned businesses to build wealth, we need to ensure that they are included and reflected in the work that advances our communities. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you and Ann and I also just want to thank you for being active in the civic space. I always see you participating in the civic discourse and I take these criticisms very seriously, all of your criticisms very seriously and look forward to continuing the conversation.

JOELLE BALLAM-SCHWAN: Hi, my name is Joelle
Ballam-Schwan and I'm with the Supportive Housing
Network of New York. We're here to show support for
the Community Land Act, specifically Community
Opportunity to Purchase Act and Public Land for
Public Good and speak to how they would help further

production and expansion of desperately needed supportive housing in New York City. The network is a membership organization, we represent over 200 nonprofits who develop, own and operate 58,000 supportive housing units statewide. 38,000 of which are in New York City. For those of you who don't know, supportive housing is deeply affordable permanent housing with embedded social services for people who have experienced homelessness and have the greatest barriers to achieving housing stability.

Supportive housing is a key solution to addressing New York City's homelessness crisis but available, affordable land for developing it is extremely scarce. New York City's current supportive housing commitment, New York City NYC 1515 launched in 2015, committed to creating 15,000 units of supportive housing over the following 15 years. One of the greatest challenges to continuing this pipeline, however, is identifying affordable and appropriate sites, both COPA and Public Land for Public Good would help nonprofits acquire buildings and land to continue this crucial pipeline of supportive housing.

2.2

2.3

2.3

New York City 1515 envisioned 7,500 congregate units, which are single site residences, which typically feature 60 percent of units for supportive tenants, 40 percent for low-income individuals and families and 7,500 scattered site unit apartments rented from existing stock in the community in which the nonprofit provides mobile services.

The scattered site model has come with a lot of challenges that pose a danger to the completion of the city's greatly needed program. So, in order to meet the target for New York City 1515, we need to start thinking creatively right now. There are approximately 6,000 unawarded scattered site units that could utilize more efficiently, which would mean creating more congregate supportive housing, which means even a greater need for site and land. So, COPA and Public Land for Public Good would assist in making this possible, as well as help keep supportive housing in the hands of admission driven nonprofits.

So, I realize I'm at time, so I will submit this in writing with the rest of this and thank you so much for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much and thanks to SHNNY for the work that you do.

Segal. You can come up to the table if you are here

24

25

in person.

2.2

2.3

NYCCLI.

SAMUEL STEIN: Alright, thank you very much Chair Sanchez, Committee Members, everybody, advocates for being here today. My name is Samuel Stein. I'm a Senior Policy Analyst at the Community Service Society of New York or CSS. We are members of the New York City Community Land Initiative and we strongly support all of the bills under discussion today, especially with the changes encouraged by

I submitted a long testimony that gets into each and every one of these bills, so you have that.

Rather than summarizing exactly what's in there, I'm going to turn away from my written notes here and say, first of all, CSS has been working on social housing for decades and promoting community and public ownership and collective control of housing, so it's really excellent and historic to see this hearing today. We hope it's the first among many.

And I thought maybe I could encourage everybody listening today to think about what could have happened if we had these bills 50 years ago.

Think about who could be in your districts today who is displaced. Think about all of the homeless people who would not have been homeless were it not

2 for all the kinds of policy decisions that were made

3 over those years. These bills are not a time

4 machine. They don't go back and change all that. If

5 you imagine 50 years from now without any of these

6 bills, and all the displacement that might result

7 | that should be good cause to vote yes on all these

8 bills. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

10 PAULA SEGAL: Thank you so much for staying here

11 | all afternoon and also, I'm learning to use my

12 reading, my new reading glasses, so apologies. This

13 is their first day out. It's fun.

14 My name is Paula Segal. I am Senior Staff

15 Attorney at Take Root Justice. We are a member of

16 | the New York City Community Land Initiative. You

17 | heard from a number of our colleagues and

18 organizations who are also initiative members.

19 | Today, I'm not going to repeat the things you heard

20 | already. I'll talk a little bit about our work and

then I'll respond a little bit to some things I heard

22 | in earlier testimony.

21

25

23 So, we at Take Root support the growing movement

24 | for affordable community-controlled housing,

commercial and community spaces through legal

2.2

2.3

these institutions.

services to the CLT members of the initiative and to other groups exploring the community land trust model. We provide everything from education, on orientation and incorporation to transactional representation on negotiating ground leases and joint venture agreements. We help groups navigate the relationships with developers and we see really clearly that the community land trust model is a durable sustainable model that has internal checks and balances, designed to foreclose opportunities for self-dealing and to expand opportunities for community participation over time. We see in our clients that that's their intent as they're building

I'd have to say actually earlier this year, I moved into a Mitchell Lama co-op and it's an exciting - so I'm sort of sitting in this place where I get to see this from both sides. I bought my unit for less than \$20,000. My maintenance is less than \$1,000. The unit that I bought had last changed hands for about \$1,600 before I bought it and that is a model that's really exciting. And I'm really excited about what that means for my future and I'm really excited for us to be growing similar models in New York City.

I want to respond specifically to some of the things we heard from our partners in the development world about Intro. 697, the Public Land for Public Good Bill. First of all, the bill as drafted today, prioritizes disposition to community land trust and nonprofits. It does not prohibit disposition to other entities and I think there was kind of a characterization made.

But my clients need development partners. They need the expertise that you heard from today. They need the entrepreneurs. They need the deep knowledge of development, of materials, of processes. They need those partners in order to make truly sustainable projects that will be there for generations. And we hope that the spirit of the bill will not be reduced just by creating an opportunity for more entrepreneurship without checks and balances.

The checks and balances that are put in place when a community land trust partners with a developer, especially the developer with deep roots in the neighborhood. That's what we're looking for. That is what we will create the long-term impacts that the Community Land Act is geared towards. So, I

Staff Attorney at the Legal Aid Society. I represent

25

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

tenants and I'm actually here to speak on the

3 Resolutions. It's supposed to be Intro.'s and you

4 might think well, why? Because obviously the

5 Intro.'s are very important pieces of legislation.

But I sat in Assembly Members Office in January and 6

7 she explained that while housing really wasn't here

8 thing, she supported the Good Cause Eviction Law

because her Council Member had voted for it as a

Resolution the previous time it was considered. 10

And so, Resolutions I think are important and getting Good Cause Eviction and Housing Access Vouchers done now is essential. Everything we've heard today is about how we build more and produce more housing for people. It's incredibly important but it's also about the future and right now, from my clients, they just want to stay in place until that future gets there. And what will keep them in place is the Good Cause Eviction Bill and the Housing Access Voucher Program, which as you know is a state Section 8 program that would be available to all New Yorkers including those without immigration status.

And so, I appreciate that the Council is speaking up because the Council doesn't have the power to do this and I remain hopeful that all of you will be

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 170
2 talking to your state electives about why these bills
3 are so very important and hopefully by the end of
4 budget, we will have made progress for New York City
5 tenants. Thank you.
6 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you Ellen. I'm
7 very proud that all four State Senators and four

very proud that all four State Senators and four Assembly Members who represent District 33 have endorsed, are cosponsors of Good Cause Eviction.

2.2

2.3

ELLEN DAVIDSON: Yeah, newly endorsed but it's worth the wait.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Absolutely, thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Pamela Herrera, Brianna Soleyn.

BRIANNA SOLEYN: Hi, good afternoon. My name is Brianna. I'm a Board Member of the East New York Community Land Trust and I'm here to testify in support of the Community Land Act.

So, East New York CLT formed during the pandemic in 2020 in order to take control of the blighted and vacant city owned lots in East New York. And find a solution to the affordable housing crisis that's on our terms and to put a stop to the rampant speculation we've seen over the last decade. These New York CLT's organizing to gain control of these

2 lots because we need to be forward thinking about

171

3 land development in our neighborhoods. CLT's are

4 found to be highly effective at capturing and

5 maintaining affordable housing use and will ensure

6 that development that will take place will be

7 according to community needs and not profit motives.

8 And these bills are the bare minimum to ensure that

9 | CLT's can survive.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We need more housing and this is the way to do it. CLT's need all the help that they can get in this rampant and aggressive private market that has ravaged and displaced so many. Market solutions haven't been working and our city can decide to change that. Our Black neighbors are fleeing the city in large part because of housing affordability. Brad Lander alluded to that, nine percent over the last two decades. It's like a reverse great migration that's unacceptable.

It's imperative that we fund and prioritize new and creative solutions to protect us and prevent displacement. And we've heard a lot from MWBE's today. I'm more concerned about the Black and Brown neighbors that are displaced by the crisis of gentrification. And MWBE's alone are not quick to

2 address that. And at the end of the day, they are

172

3 for profit entities that have to answer to a bottom

4 line. And like Paula said, these bills won't exclude

5 these businesses from acquiring these public sites

6 but prioritize CLT's and nonprofits that are proven

7 methods to more effectively maintain affordability

8 and perpetuity. So, we need to pass COPA. We need

9 to pass the Resolution and Public Land for Public

10 Good Act.

11

24

25

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you very much.

12 KIRK GOODRICH: Hello, good afternoon. I'm Kirk

13 Goodrich, I'm a Developer. I live in East Flatbush

14 where I grew up. I've been in affordable housing for

15 | 35 years. It's what I studied in schools. My

16 undergraduate. I know a lot about social housing for

17 | most of my life and all the other things we've been

18 \parallel talking about. I want to just start by saying I have

19 concerns about Intro. 637. Affordable housing isn't

20 affordable by virtue of who owns it. It's affordable

21 \parallel by virtue of regulatory agreements. That governs

22 | affordability, nothing else. It doesn't matter.

23 It's the reason why even on nonprofit owned

affordable housing, there are regulatory agreements.

If tax status was the only thing that mattered, there

1 COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

173

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

would be no reason for regulatory agreements on nonprofit owned housing.

The overall issue we have in our business is lack of supply. None of the bills we've talked about really effect supply. Affordability is something we can control through a regulatory agreement and other means. I want to talk a little bit about social housing. I see the benefits of it. I'm familiar with it. I studied it. I've executed projects of that kind. The issue is, we need to have an ability for people to be upwardly mobile. Our company has done 2,500 homes for sale where people can actually build equity. It's hard for me to have a conversation about home ownership without wealth building. And so, I want for Black and Brown people what I have. I'm going to leave my home and equity to my kids. And so, a conversation about wealth building without conventional homeownership is not one I understand. I'm not sure why I would want less for Black people than I want for myself. And if elected officials embrace that, they could sell their home into a CLT and leave their kids nothing. my opinion.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I want to say thank you so
much and someone said it although please decorum in
the Chambers. But it's not a zero sum, right it's
expanding the pie and we hope to work together to
expand the pie in ways that accomplish all of these

7 goals. Good to see you.

2.2

2.3

KIRK GOODRICH: Good to see you. You weren't here. Greetings.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: No, I was, I was listening.

Momma has to pump for her baby but I was here, I was
listening, so I just want to thank the three of you
for your time this evening.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: It's good to have podcast stars in the chambers.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yes.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we will have Colin Kent-Daggett, Mychol Johnson, Danielle Mowery and Hannah Anousheh. If you're here please come up to the table. You can begin when you're ready.

DANIELLE MOWERY: Alright, we're wrapping up
here. Hi, thank you so much. Thank you for having
this hearing and I thank the Chair Sanchez and the
Committee Members and mainly thank you for your focus

2 on providing affordable, equitable and stable housing

3 for all New Yorkers.

2.2

2.3

My name is Danielle Mowery. I'm a housing advocate and I also consult with nonprofits and today's brief comments represent my own views. But recently, I was out on a project where I was doing citywide participatory budgeting and out of that, out of the many workshops I did, there were 11 workshops I did with NYC public high school students and also CUNY college students. And in every workshop I did housing was the dominant issue. But specifically for our city's youngest adults and preadults, I guess is the term. Uhm, this is a concern now and it's a concern that's shaping their futures.

I went back into another housing workshop in the high school then, specially just on housing and the main take away I'd like to share is like it's echoing some of the commentaries made earlier. It's the urgency of now as well as looking towards the future and making a shift.

The students I encounter do not think they can stay in the city they call home. There is no where for them to go. Some are watching their families struggle with increased rent, working additional jobs

to make ends meet. Some are in college but are

3 unable to move out on their own. Some are so

4 concerned about their housing, they are thinking of

5 interrupting their studies, so they can work and save

6 up for rent. Or they're in high school with part

7 time jobs so they can help make their families make

8 ends meet.

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Many said they would have to leave the city as soon as they are able. This is the future we are currently building for our Gen-z kids. A New York they can't afford to call home. Part of the housing workshop I did included quotes and some were from the 30's and some were from today. Not only did the students realize that housing has been an issue for a long time, they also saw that another crisis, the great depression motivated elected officials to create solutions.

And I have the rest written in my summary but
I'll just say, I commend the Committee for making
legislation like this a priority. I know all of it
needs tweaks and is work to make it as strong as
possible but all of it is needed to shift the crisis
of housing to one generational opportunity for

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 177
2	innovation and change, so we can give our kids a
3	future here. Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so
5	much. Thank you.
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Okay, for our next panel,
7	we'll do Jay Hauben, Cecile DeLaurentis(SP?), Manny
8	Tavarez, and Michael Perles. So, when you are
9	prompted, just make sure to unmute yourself on Zoom.
10	SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.
11	JAY HAUBEN: Can you hear me?
12	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yes.
13	JAY HAUBEN: Can you hear me?
14	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Yes, we can hear you.
15	JAY HAUBEN: Can I be heard? Hello?
16	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Can you hear us?
17	JAY HAUBEN: Hello, can you hear me?
18	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: We can hear you. Let's try
19	to get in touch with him outside of the Zoom and go
20	to the next person.
21	JAY HAUBEN: Hello? Hello? Can you hear me?
22	Can I be heard?
23	SERGEANT AT ARMS: You can start now.
24	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, Cecile DeLaurentis.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will begin.

25

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2	CECILE DELAURENTIS: Hi, my name is Cecile
3	DeLaurentis. I live on Woodside and I'm on the
4	Steering Committee of the Western Queens Community
5	Land Trust, a member of the New York City Community
6	Land Initiative NYCCLI. Rachel spoke to it earlier
7	but the Western Queens CLT is a nonprofit
8	organization made up of activists, small business
9	owners, professors, artists, students and community
10	members who fight for democratic and equitable land
11	use in Western Queens. I thank my colleagues on the
12	CLT and in NYCCLI for testifying and the Committee
13	today for holding this hearing and for introducing
14	this bill package. I prepared words today in support
15	of COPA, as my colleagues have spoken and written to
16	the public land bill and I'll try to make sure it's
17	under the two minutes. COPA would give organization:
18	like our CLT a fair chance at acquiring properties to
19	build and preserve deeply affordable housing. As
20	someone with both educational and professional
21	background in community development, I know that New
22	York City's uniquely robust infrastructure of
23	community development organizations and CLT's make
24	COPA a perfect fit to provide deeply affordable
25	housing in this city

2.2

2.3

Queens, along with the rest of the city, have an urgent need for affordable housing speculative development has been ballooning here and no one knows that need better than tenants themselves who tell us every time we speak to them. For this reason,

Western Queens CLT is turning to new housing project in addition to our high-profile project that was almost Amazon HQ2. Because CLT's listen to what their community members tell them and it's why we believe in expanding the supply of community—controlled housing, especially for those who need it the most, so that residents can have a say in the management, stewardship, and affordability of their homes.

Since the city government has declared a commitment to increasing affordable housing, passing the Community Land Act all of these associated bills, would be part of walking the walk with this. As Paula noted, this does not shut out MWBE's and private developers who are very needed to participate in these projects to make them happen. I know that's my time and thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, Manny Tavarez.

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time will start now.

MANNY TAVAREZ: Good afternoon everyone. Good afternoon Chair Sanchez and Council Members. My name is Manny Tavarez, I am with Agallas Equities. 100 percent Latino owned real estate development company and I'm here on behalf of dozens of development partners and numerous clients.

I'm testifying today with strong opposition to Intro. 637 being proposed by the Council Member Lincoln Restler. It is our considered opinion that this legislation of its nature would do more harm than good to the already disadvantaged minority developers in the city. This opinion has been formed on the backdrop of publicly available information and historical statistics. This bill, however, creates more harm then good for MWBE's while often already underrepresented, underfunded and create a more competitive and less equitable environment for all developers. As members of the business community in New York City, we believe that everyone should have equal access to opportunities regardless of race, tax status, and ethnicity.

The bill, however, seeks to exclude minority owned businesses for for-profit developers like

really excited about today's hearing and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity to testify. Again, my name is Mike Perles, I'm an Urban Planner. I teach urban studies courses at Hunter College. I've worked at HPD in the past, at nonprofits. I currently serve on the board of Cooper Square Mutual Housing Association 2, which is the affordable housing co-op that sits on top of the Cooper Square Community Land Trust, which is the oldest CLT as has been mentioned already today in New York City. I'm in strong support of all of the bills we're discussing today. And Cooper Square MHA2 you know is really proud to be a part of this movement. As many of you know, you know we're one of the success stories that we have in the city of deeply affordable cooperative housing and I think a lot of that is owed to the power of our community and the power of community organizing.

I'll try not to repeat what others have said but you know the status quo is not working. Tax break percentages and you know trading some AMI levels for others you know really isn't fundamentally addressing the issue. We need to invest in and pass laws that are alternative approaches to things that we know work, right. So, people probably saw reporting from the city that said that one in ten rent stabilized

2 units has disappeared from landlords tax bills from

3 2020 and didn't come back in 2021. That shouldn't be

4 possible after the passage of HSTPA in 2019 but it's

5 happening with private landlords and investor

6 companies.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

At Cooper Square, tenants and shareholders don't have to worry about you know getting pushed out of our housing or worry about massive rent increases because our housing is community owned. It's democratically controlled and we're shielded from many of the market pressures that exist.

As Chair Sanchez at the beginning of this hearing, you know the racial wealth gap in this country has actually grown since the Fair Housing Act was passed in 1968. We have to do things differently.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time is expired.

MICHAEL PERLES: And just quickly before I end, I want to say, you know I'm personally going through my landlord selling my building. It's hell. I'm luckily a rent stabilized tenant, so I'm not getting pushed out. My two neighbors in my building that are not rent stabilized are getting pushed out. If we don't pass these bills, that will just keep happening

might not be a mission that MWBE's are aligned with

2 with us and that's okay, but let's not make no

3 mistake. They're not here to partner even with us to

185

4 some degrees. Because if they were, they would be

5 still here trying to get my number and saying how can

6 we help you in your quest to end and eliminate

7 speculatory practices. So, I just want to make that

8 | clear. The New York City Acquisition Fund, there's a

9 quick flaw in it. The flaw is that doesn't support

10 home ownership projects, shared equity projects.

11 It's mostly rental projects. So, look into that

12 Pierina because we have.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The bottom-line problem is that any funding that you get from any level of government is reimbursement money. So, I definitely agree with our Council

Member Nurse, in terms of how housing financing works now days for our governments and they rely on failed capitalist strategies was what was specifically wrote in city limits. And it's true, if you ask us to upfront capital and you know there have been issues with access of capital, especially in Black and Brown communities forever, especially in East New York where you have a book ran about the creation of a ghetto East New York Walter Thabit, then make no

mistake, we're going to come here and we're going to

3 | right? And so, we want to continue to partner with

186

4 | that. We want to look into this Affordable Plus

5 Program that Kavanaugh has, Brian Kavanaugh. There's

6 no partner and the budget is about to pass, and so,

7 | we want to make sure that we can influence that but

8 | we'll talk more offline. And I do see in you a

9 | sincere genuine partner Pierina, that we can make

10 things like literally shack things up with. So, I

11 appreciate you for being with us.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much Boris. I appreciate you.

DEBRA ACK: Good afternoon, early evening Madam
Pierina Sanchez and the Housing Committee Members who
are present. My name is Debra Ack and I am a member
of the East New York Community Land Trust. I'm also
a long time 30 year plus resident of East New York.

I thank you for giving me the opportunity to testify on behalf of my community East New York. We, East New Yorkers are extremely overwhelmed with the high-end developers coming into our neighborhood and developing what is not needed affordable or it displaces our community residents. CLT's have for a long time across this nation proven that they can be

2 instrumental in developing our community and

3 stewarding the land. Let's bring New York City into

4 the 21st Century.

2.2

I'm not going to sit here and repeat everything that you've heard about the CLA, the Community Land Act. Let's get this done. Let's move us forward into the 21st Century. What I am going to say is I'm going to talk about the 79,000 people in New York who live in shelters or on the streets and that figure is only rising. Still thousands more are living in unsafe and overcrowded conditions that face homelessness. Not-for-profit developers are more likely than for-profit developers to build affordable housing. Take on complex projects and maintain affordability over the long run, over the long term, or in perpetuity.

Unlike for, give me two seconds. Unlike forprofit developers, non-profit developers most often
keep revenue in the community and are more likely to
build more deeply affordable housing. In closing, I
look over your head Council Member Pierina and it
says, a government of the people, by the people, for
the people. Let's take that another step further.

President of the East New York Community Land Trust.

And our organizations also partner with NYCCLI,
that's doing tremendous work. I'm just here just
speaking of this to encourage this Housing Committee
to definitely get the CLA, the Community Land Act
done. In particular, when they speak about the
Public Land for Public Good Act, Intro. 637, I want
you to double down. I want you to double down in the
sense that prioritize CLT's and nonprofits. The
language does include the MWBE's but do not budge and
I do not want to see CLT's nonprofits and MWBE's
along those particular lines.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We are doubling down. The realities are this.

Community wealth building is important. This package of bills will be the spark for that. We all know that the realities are this, whoever controls the land, controls their destiny and this here, the

2 community, especially Community Land Trust in my

3 beloved East New York will have an opportunity to

4 actually spark true community wealth building. We

5 all know that over 60 percent of monies going towards

6 housing. Can you imagine under a CLT, that extra

7 disposable income to start a business, to send their

children to school, to invest in their retirement and

9 | things of that nature.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

So, I just definitely want to leave you just to be empowered. This Committee to be strong. Do not be intimidated by bullies. We all believe in local community wealth building. Thank you for your time. Onwards and upwards.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Onwards and upwards. Thank you so much for your testimony and as a President, if I can just have a moment and ask, what is the level of support that the East New York CLT is receiving from the City of New York?

ALBERT SCOTT: From the, the level of support is a combination different on things. You're talking about in regards to oh, the money, the money. Uhm, we're definitely a part of NYCCLI and as far as the City Council with the city initiative. That definitely helps all CLT's throughout New York City

2 and then also of course we have dynamic, local

3 supporters that help in those efforts and the fund-

4 raising efforts of our organization and that's due to

5 our level of our grassroot mobilization. They

6 actually seen the work and I also encourage you to

7 | visit East New York CLT.org and check out our Black

8 paper and academic circles. They call it the White

9 paper, but out in East New York, it's the Black paper

10 where we identify public land and things of that

11 | nature. Where volunteers actually went around the

12 community to identify vacant lots and organized

13 | around that to get community input as far as what

14 | they would like to see through various community

15 | visioning sessions. And as a result, we grow

16 | together and that's the spark of true community

17 | wealth building.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so

19 much. Boris.

18

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

BORIS SANTOS: I just quickly want to add, so CLT initiative funds is basically our source of funding for the last three, four years and we haven't seen an increase in this new City Council. We're going to ask for that of course, but take into account, you

set up all these institutions, all these bills get

2 passed right. You have to resource them. You have

3 to make sure they have the operating funds. And so,

4 that's where a CLT initiative and those operating

5 | funds pulls to be on CLT initiative some

6 discretionary grant funding from you know our Council

7 Members but beyond that, you're talking about we've

8 been information for over, just over two years and we

9 privately fund raise more, like two time, three times

10 more than what the city has given us, particularly in

11 this year as well for this fiscal year. So, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you and that's very

13 helpful for me to hear. Thank you. I just want to

14 underscore just how important it is to and I know

15 you've given up a day, right and you've been here all

16 day but having your testimony on the record makes all

17 the difference in terms of when we go back to

18 negotiate. The City Council doesn't hear bills twice

19 right. We hear them one time and it's really

20 important to get all of that on the record now, so

21 that we have that substance to actually fight back

22 and forth on the final product.

So, we'll continue talking but I just want to thank you so much for your time because it really

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 192
makes a difference and it's really getting us a step
closer to this being a reality.

BOIRS SANTOS: Thank you Council Member.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Next, we'll have Jay Hauben, Zeikia Grant(SP?), Gregory Baggot and Matthew Dunbar.

JAY HAUBEN: Hi, can you hear me?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes, we can hear you.

JAY HAUBEN: Hello. Okay, thank you. Thank you for this hearing. For staying around long enough to hear me. My name is Jay Hauben. I've had the great fortune of living 40 of my 81 years of life in social housing in New York City. When co-ops supported a kind of housing in the Bronx that was built in 1934 and 1927 for that matter.

It's very important what you're doing. You're putting forward a new etiology and direction for housing in the city. Maybe the goal of social housing and that's a goal that takes the profit out of city. What makes housing for people, which is housing for living. And so, I definitely support in particular Intro. 196 and Resolution 1838 because if we can help people who are renters have the opportunity to buy their building as cooperators and

2.2

2.3

then to have the chance to become social housing with deep income modified in particular but democratically controlled and deeply affordable, we are giving them something that they can pass on. Not as well, but as human life in the next generation and we have a model for that. The model is Mitchell Lama. Where there's no profit and there's democratic control where people have a chance to see themselves in a stable situation that contributes to their community.

And so, as well as supporting the creation of — SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time is expired.

JAY HAUBEN: Let me just finish. We should not let any social housing being taken away from people. If that's possible, if HPD has programs where they transition out of nonprofit Mitchell Lama into low profit but profitable HDMCs.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you so much Mr. Jay. It's really helpful to hear your story 40 years in social housing. We appreciate your time this evening.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, Janet Gutierrez,
Whitney Hu(SP?), Brian Foteno(SP?), Anny
Carfora(SP?). Okay, next is Jacob Kindle Schneider,
Albert Kakoogi(SP?), Eugene Depnow(SP?) and Valerie

2 W

White. Rachel Webster, Adam Roberts, Erica Keller, Thomas Campbell, Warren Harding, Ramona Ferreira and Clint from Western Queens Community Land Trust.

Okay, this concludes the public testimony. If we have inadvertently forgotten to call on someone to testify, if you can raise your hand in Zoom or raise your hand in the room, we will try to hear from you now. Okay, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Alright, thank you. Thank you so much. With that, I want to reiterate my gratitude to our staff, our Committee staff as well as my team in the District Office and everyone who took a day off or I don't know what you did to be here but you're here and I really just want to appreciate that.

And just in closing to reiterate, we have to do things differently in the City of New York. We cannot continue to just leave out those in New York City who need help the most and who need stability the most and who history owes the biggest debt to. And so, I look forward to synthesizing all of the feedback that we heard today and working with all of you to get to the best versions of these bills.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS Thank you so much for your time. With that, this hearing is closed. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date March 9, 2023