CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS

Jointly with the

COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

----- X

Tuesday, February 28, 2023

Start: 10:11 a.m. Recess: 11:44 a.m.

HELD AT: Committee Room, City Hall

B E F O R E: Nantasha M. Williams, Chairperson

Shaun Abreu, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Carmen N. De La Rosa Jennifer Gutiérrez Rita C. Joseph Christopher Marte Rafael Salamanca, Jr.

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

JoAnn Kamuf Ward
Deputy Commissioner for Policy and
External Affairs
New York City commission on Human Rights

Hillary Scrivani Senior Policy Counsel New York City commission on Human Rights

Eno Awotoye Coordinator Retail Action Project

Tigress Osborn Chair National Association to Advance Fat Acceptance

Joshua Kellerman
Director of Public Policy
Retail Wholesale and Department Store
Union

Victoria Abraham Resident of New York City

Gregory Dodell, MD Endocrinologist New York City

Stephanie Lexis Founder Broadway Body Positivity Project Kimmie Singh Eating disorder dietitian and fat activist

Lydia Green Resident of Brooklyn

Tracy Cox Opera Singer

Brandie Solovay
The Fat Legal Advocacy, Rights and
Education Project

Katelin Penner Resident of New York City

Andrew Rigie
Executive Director
New York City Hospitality Alliance

2.2

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning and welcome to today's New York City Council hearing for the Committee on Civil and Human Rights jointly with State and Federal Legislation. At this time, we ask that you silence all cell phones and electronic devices. Silence all cell phones and electronic devices. If you have testimony you wish to submit for the record, you may do so via email at testimony@council.nyc.gov. Once again, that is testimony@counsel.nyc.gov. We thank you for your cooperation. Chairs, we're ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good morning everyone. My name is Nantasha Williams, and I serve as Chair to the Committee on Civil and Human Rights. Our hearing today covers a topic that affects so many different aspects of everyday life: appearance-based discrimination. While common and rampant, it is often overlooked in its impact.

Appearance based discrimination occurs when someone is treated differently based on how they look. This can include but is not limited to height, weight, hair, facial features, tattoos, or even how a person dresses or chooses to dress. This type of discrimination can also be linked to a person's

2 racial, ethnic, or cultural background. But without
3 the protections attached to categories of race,

4 ethnicity, and religion that are ubiquitous in both

5 federal and local regulations. I'm excited to be

6 joined by my colleague, Councilmember Shawn Abreu,

7 who's been a great champion on this issue and making

sure we held this very important hearing. He's also

chair to the committee on state and federal

10 | legislation.

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Today, we'll be hearing two bills that he is sponsoring. The first bill is Intro 209, which would prohibit discrimination on the basis of a person's height or weight in opportunities of employment, housing, and access to public accommodations. The second bill, Intro 702A, would prohibit employment discrimination on the basis of having a tattoo. This legislation could serve to protect against a roadblock to employment that is both a nuanced and complex form of discrimination. Those who have lost out on things like employment opportunities or promotions due to their appearance can attest to the long term ramifications of such unfair treatment. Anyone who was unable to enjoy a public space, or received inadequate medical treatment due to their

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

2 size knows firsthand the mistreatment that we are trying to prevent here today.

2.2

2.3

In my role as chair of the Civil and Human Rights Committee, I am also stressing the importance of equity. So I'd be remiss not to point out the economic inequity of this very common form of discrimination.

People whose appearance does not fit certain societal standards of attractiveness, appropriate size, and personal style can also be negatively impacted by appearance-based discrimination.

I welcome everyone's feedback, testimony and personal experiences that are being shared today, and look forward to continued discussion surrounding this issue.

I'll now turn it over to my colleague and Co-Chair, Councilmember Shaun Abreu, for his opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Chair Williams.

All things bright and beautiful. All creatures great and small. All things wise and wonderful. The Lord God made them all. I'm councilmember Shaun Abreu, Chair of the Committee on State and Federal Legislation, and I'm here this morning to declare

2 t

that we're all bright and beautiful. And even if someone else doesn't think so, it still shouldn't make any difference to whether somebody can get a job, or an apartment, or do anything else they damn well, please.

New York City is ready to ban appearance-based discrimination. Now that we know why we're here, I'd like to thank my colleague, Co-Chair, Councilmember Nantasha Williams, for working with me on this hearing.

As she just mentioned, appearance-based discrimination can really impact an individual's life in many ways. Not only can it affect their mental health, but it can also impact their livelihood. And while some appearance-based discrimination can be based on a person's self expression, like clothing or tattoos, it can also be based on features and physical traits and attributes that would otherwise be protected against discrimination. In my capacity as Chair of the Committee on State and Federal Legislation, I am always looking at what our counterparts in other jurisdictions are working on.

Last session Congress consider passing the CROWN Act, a bill that would prohibit discrimination based

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

on a person's hair texture, or hairstyle if that

style is commonly associated with a particular race

or national origin.

In 2019, a version of this bill was passed at the state level here in New York. Additional efforts to put an end to appearance-based discrimination are underway in Albany. Two bills at the state level have been reintroduced this session. These bills would protect New Yorkers who have experienced weight or high discrimination in employment or housing, and would make it illegal for them to be denied service in any place of public accommodation, such as a hotel or restaurant, due to their weight. While I applaud the state and federal efforts, we cannot always wait for their passage to make change right here in New York City. This is why I'm proud to be sponsoring Intro 209, protecting people from height and weightbased discrimination, where they are not part of an essential job function.

We've heard and will hear today many instances of discrimination where employees are relegated to the back of a clothing store to stock shelves instead of being at the cash register for example. Our bill importantly also protects height and weight

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

2 discrimination in housing and public accommodations.

I think we can all agree that someone's appearance should not impact something so basic as their right to housing, or being able to access public space.

I am also proud to introduce Intro 708A, protecting people from discrimination on the basis of having tattoos. A recent poll reported that one in three people have a tattoo. Even with their popularity, there are currently no laws in the local, state, or federal level regarding this type of discrimination. I am proud to have this bill being heard today as it similarly impacts service workers and other public facing employees who may face appearance-based discrimination due to the stigma around tattoos.

I look forward to the feedback and testimony will be receiving today on these bills and hope that they will one day make a difference in the lives of New Yorkers that have experienced appearance-based discrimination.

I like to wrap up by saying thank you to the members of the Civil and Human Rights Committee that have joined us today as well as committee staff

2.2

2.3

3 Jalissa Quigley.

2.2

2.3

I'll now turn it over to our moderator for some procedural items.

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you chairs. Good morning and welcome. My name is Jayasri Ganapathy, and I am counsel to the Committees on Civil and Human Rights and State and Federal Legislation.

I would first like to acknowledge the Councilmembers that are joining us today. We have Councilmember De La Rosa and Councilmember Marte.

Before we begin testimony, and we'd like to remind everyone that is joining us via Zoom that you will be on mute until you are called to testify. I will be calling on public witnesses to testify after the conclusion of the administration's testimony and Councilmember questions, so please listen carefully for your name to be called.

Councilmembers you will be called on for questions after the full panel has testified.

Please note for the purposes of this hearing,

Councilmembers will have three minutes for questions

and we will be allowing a second round of

questioning.

For the public witnesses, once your name is called, if you are joining us via Zoom, a member of our staff will unmute you and the Sergeant At Arms will give you the cue to begin. If you are here in person, once your name is called please come up to the table and you can begin your testimony when everyone has been situated. I will now swear in the administration.

We have representatives today from the New York
City Commission on Human Rights, and panelists if you
could please raise your right hands.

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth before this committee and respond honestly to Councilmember questions?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: I do

I do.

2.2

2.3

Thank you. Deputy Commissioner Kamuf Ward, you can go ahead.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: Great. Thank

you. Thank you Chair Williams, Chair Abreu,

committee members and committee staff, as well as

members of the public who are here today. I'm Joanne

Kamuf Ward. I'm Deputy Commissioner of Policy and

External Affairs at the New York City Commission on

2 Human Rights. And I'm joined for questions by

1

3 | Hillary Scrivani, who's Senior Policy Counsel at the

4 Commission. Thank you first for convening today's

5 | important hearing. Before turning to Intro 209,

6 which as was already stated would make it unlawful

7 | for employers, housing providers, and providers of

8 public accommodation to discriminate against

9 individuals based on height and weight, and Intro

10 702A, which would make it unlawful for employers to

11 discriminate against individuals on the basis of

12 | having a tattoo, I will highlight some of the

13 | Commission's history and recent work that's relevant

14 to the topics that we're talking about.

So I think as many people know, the New York City

16 | Commission on Human Rights is the agency that

17 | enforces the city's human rights law, one of the

19 | country. And the Commission interprets the law's

20 protections expansively, consistent with the statute

21 itself. The Human Rights law prohibits

22 discrimination in the areas that are referenced in

23 | Intro 209 -- housing, employment, and public

24 | accommodations -- and currently covers 27 protected

25 | categories which include age, gender, religion,

1

disability, race, national origin, gender, gender

13

3

identity, and sexual orientation.

4

5

To fulfill our dual mandate of enforcement and

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fostering intergroup relations, the Commission's two largest units are law enforcement and community relations. The Community Relations Bureau is responsible for outreach and education, and partners with a wide array of community groups, sibling agencies and stakeholders across the city. In fiscal year 22, we engaged more than 100,000 New Yorkers through our community relations work.

Our Law Enforcement Bureau conducts testing, launches investigations, initiates complaints, enter settlements that address individual and structural discrimination, and also takes cases to trial at OATH.

The Commission's own roots can be traced back to the Depression era. During the 1940s, Mayor LaGuardia established the Mayor's Commission on Conditions in Harlem in response to uprisings in Harlem, and to better understand the issues facing residents of our city. This committee eventually became the Committee on Unity, and was guided by the goal of making New York City a place where people of

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

14

2 all races and religions may work and live side by

3 | side. Subsequently called the Commission on

4 Intergroup Relations in 1955, our agency gained the

5 ability to investigate complaints. And really at its

6 inception, the Commission was tasked with studying

7 the problems of prejudice, intolerance, and bigotry,

as well as discrimination in New York, while

9 promoting intergroup relations.

From 2001 through 2014, City Council amended the law 12 times. Since 2015, City Council has amended the human rights law 38 times.

The law's expansive protections already reached some forms of discrimination that relate to appearance. This includes where appearance intersects with protected categories such as race, religion, and disability.

In 2019, the Commission issued guidance affirming that grooming policies targeting natural hair constitute unlawful discrimination under the Human Rights Law. The guidance focuses on the significant negative impact of such policies on black New Yorkers and highlights the links that race, religion, and culture have with hair.

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

The guidance notes that there may be some circumstances where legitimate health or safety concerns justify certain grooming policies. But where a legitimate health or safety concern exists, there are often more tailored ways to alleviate the concern rather than just having blanket bans on natural hair or head coverings. For restrictions on hairstyles the Commission considers them discriminatory and less there's a legitimate and non speculative basis for the restrictions and such restrictions are narrowly tailored. The Commission will also assess whether no alternative policies would achieve a similar aim.

I want to just mention one case in this area that illustrates the Commission's efforts to address discriminatory practice.

So the Law Enforcement Bureau resolved a case involving a salon that faced allegations of discrimination because its grooming policies targeted black employees with natural hair and hairstyles associated with black people. In the settlement, the salon agreed to pay a penalty as well as to establish a natural hair program, training employees on cutting and styling natural hair and to create a

2

1

multicultural internship program to develop and

3

mentor students stylists from underrepresented

4

groups, and to increase employee representation from

5 6

protections that I'm talking about are now

those groups as well. And the natural hair

7

memorialized in the Commission's rules.

8

The commission has also addressed discrimination

9

that includes an individual's size. The agency has

10

settled one case where an employer who discriminated against a pregnant employee where the employer mocked

11 12

the individual's weight and criticized her diet.

13 The employer paid compensatory damages and

14

of the settlement in that case. And in another

educated its employees about their rights as a result

15

16 17 example where an employee alleged his supervisor made

18

derogatory comments regarding his weight and race, the employer paid emotional distress damages civil

19

penalties, and also educated employees about the

20

human rights law.

21

The Human Rights laws prohibitions on

2.2

discrimination based on disability and religion also

2.3

reach some appearance-based discrimination.

24

25

disability. And disability just as a reminder is

For example, obesity may constitute a covered

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 17 defined in the human rights law as a physical, medical, mental, or psychological impairment. So it includes a wide array of identities. Additionally, where a physical characteristic that's part of a religious practice or observance, it would be a violation of the human rights law to discriminate against an individual based on that characteristic.

For both disability and religion, the human rights law requires employers to provide what we call reasonable accommodations to enable an individual to fulfill the essential functions of their job, as long as the accommodation does not place an undue hardship on the employer.

An accommodation that interferes with safe or efficient operations may constitute an undue hardship for an employer.

In addressing the prohibitions on discrimination in the human rights law, the law seeks to balance individual rights and the health and safety of members of the public, whether that's in employment and housing or in public accommodations, and that's reflected in the Reasonable Accommodations framework I just mentioned.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Intro 209 would add height and weight as protected categories under the human rights law, it would forbid discrimination based on actual or perceived height and weight in employment, housing, and public accommodations. Michigan adopted weight and height protections in 1976, and since then, a handful of cities have followed suit.

The administration supports the intent of the bill to ensure that New Yorkers do not face discrimination based on the physical attributes of height and weight while taking into account health and safety consistent with the existing framework of the human rights law.

The administration is also continuing to review

Intro 7-- Intro-- Sorry. The administration is

continuing to review Intro 209, which is height and

weight and looks forward to hearing from stakeholders

and reading testimony submitted for today's hearing,

as well as working with City Council to identify the

most effective approach to addressing discrimination

based on height and weight.

Turning to Intro 702, which would make it unlawful for employers to discriminate against individuals on the basis of having a tattoo, the

administration looks forward to learning more about

3 this issue from stakeholders and continuing to work

4 with city council to explore the most effective ways

5 to ensure that individuals are not discriminated

against because of who they are and what they look

7 like.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In closing, the commission is committed to addressing discrimination and identifying gaps in protections that limit the ability of New Yorkers to live work and thrive in our city. We look forward to working with council to ensure that suitable approaches are taken to address unfair discrimination based on one's physical attributes. We appreciate the Council's attention and commitment to combating discrimination in New York City. And I look forward to your questions.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Well, thank you for your testimony. My first question is: With regard to Introduction 209 and 702A, does CCHR foresee any need for additional resources in its capacity as the enforcement agency?

And with regard to enforcement, what hurdles, if any, does CCHR anticipate should these bills be enacted?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: Thank you. think our commission is very proud of our staff and the work of our agency. I've mentioned the number of times our law has been amended. And I think every time that happens we have-- no matter how many staff we've had, we have proven to take a results-oriented approach that is first and foremost about educating New Yorkers about their rights. Because prevention of discrimination is always our number one goal. work closely with City Councilmembers, with sibling agencies who often also have jurisdictions in the areas we're talking about, and really closely with community groups, both to get the word out, through trainings, days of outreach, and days of visibility, as well as through social media and print media campaigns that are always in multiple languages to ensure we're meeting all New Yorkers who might benefit from the protections as well as housing providers, and employers and providers, of public accommodations.

I think one thing I am proud to report at this hearing is that we have been staffing up during this administration. And we think we can hit the ground running to educate New Yorkers about these

2.2

2.3

protections, and also to-- to enforce them in areas
where we are already quite familiar.

And the second part of the question was around enforcement, right?, and what, if any, things-- can you repeat that second question, Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: With regard to enforcement, what hurdles, if any, does CCHR anticipate should these bills be enacted?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: Sure. So I think every time our law is enacted, there's an education and awareness barrier, and that's for all New Yorkers. So we always start first with our staff. You know, we have internal trainings about what these things look like, and how to enforce cases, how to identify discrimination, and how to educate New Yorkers about their rights.

Every time our law is amended, we also hear that it's a burden on housing providers and public accommodations, and businesses, because areas of law are complex, and there's lots of intersecting rules and regulations. But that is always the case. I think our goal and hope for these bills is that if they are passed, that they are well-aligned with our existing enforcement framework. And I mentioned a

2 few elements of what we look at, in the instance of

3 hair discrimination, what we look at in instances of

4 disability, right?, balancing the goal of equity,

5 which I think this administration and the Commission

6 and all of you share, with ensuring that those with

7 | obligations understand what they are and New Yorkers

8 can vindicate their rights.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for that. I'd like to acknowledge Councilmember Rita Joseph, who is joining us.

Has CCHR ever received inquiries or complaints in relation to appearance-based discrimination?

MS. SCRIVANI: Thank you for that question, Chair Abreu. Appearance-based discrimination, as Deputy Commissioner Ward stated in her testimony, does come under the city human rights law, if it is linked to a category that's already protected. So we have 27 protected categories in our laws. So one example that Deputy Commissioner Ward mentioned, is in the instance of hair discrimination. So discrimination, based on natural hair can fall under race discrimination. There's also instances of discrimination related to hair falling under religion, which is another protected category. So

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

2.2

2.3

2 our-- we have had instances where appearance-based

3 discrimination has come to the commission and, in

4 fact been related to violations of our law. So,

5 | yeah, if it's if it's related to an already protected

6 category, then it has come to the commission.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: So is it fair to say that complaints for appearance-based discrimination are often linked to other types of discrimination, or...?

MS. SCRIVANI: So I would say, what appearance-based discrimination—how—how the Commission captures it, those instances, it's linked to already-protected categories. But I will note that because, you know, for, you know, example, like height and weight, which are, you know, the issues in Intro 209, since those are not protected categories, currently, under the law, you know, they—our data wouldn't capture, you know, those issues if they're not linked to an already-protected category.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Great. And you kind of went into this in your testimony a bit, but if you can expound upon it a little more: What is your feedback on Intro 209? I see that the Commissioner supports the intent behind the bill, which is really exciting news.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: Sure. So I think there are a couple of pieces that were keen to really think about. Really, also, after hearing and reading the testimony from today, to understand how these issues are playing out in New York City today for residents.

But I think in general, going back to the question you asked about enforcement, we think it is helpful when the terms and kind of protections in our law are aligned, so that there's a parallel structure between what might exist for race and religion and national origin, and what might exist for height and weight, both to clarify the law again, for impacted New Yorkers, but also for the housing providers and employers that that will have obligations when-- when the law is changed.

And so some of that is about, again, balancing equity with-- with clarity and legitimate business operations.

So as I said, kind of in the hair context, we look at what is the policy and whether there's a legitimate health or safety rationale for what the policy at place would be. And I think we would take, ideally, the same approach to height and weight. If

there's a height and weight threshold for a position,

is that connected to the essential functions of a job

4 in the employment context, right? Are there health

5 and safety rationales for a particular requirement

6 for-- for a job. And I can imagine in businesses or

7 in public accommodations, there's also going to be

8 health and safety regulations, and building codes and

9 things like that, that we need to consider. So from

10 the administration's perspective, it's really about

11 balancing those-- those objectives.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: To your knowledge, has CCHR received any complaints related to height and weight discrimination?

MS. SCRIVANI: Thank you for your question. So I think Deputy Commissioner Ward mentioned two examples, where there were cases where weight discrimination was linked to a category that's already protected in the law. So for example, one of the examples involved a pregnant employee who was told derogatory comments about her weight. There was also an employee who experienced discrimination—race discrimination, and that included derogatory comments about— about weight. So in those instances, there have been cases. As far—but

again, because they're linked to -- to race and

pregnancy, which are already protected in the law.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as height, we haven't had that issue, come to us, specifically, that we're aware of. You know, again, our data, you know, wouldn't necessarily

capture that, since it's not already protected in the

discrimination, you know, we're aware of that, you

law. But I will note that when it comes to weight

know, body-type discrimination, there might be an

intersection between, you know, height and weight.

And what I mean by that is, you know, a taller

individual of a certain weight might not experience

discrimination the same way as someone at the same

weight who's not as tall. So it certainly can come $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

into play there.

But as far as tattoos, again, that you know, if it-- it would be protected if it relates to a category that's already in the law, but we are not aware of any instances of tattoo discrimination.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: And I think the only thing I'll add to that, and I mentioned this a little bit in the-- in the testimony as well, that both height and weight can, in certain instances, fall within what is defined as a disability under our

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

2.2

2.3

law. I know there's controversy about that

framework. But I just wanted to clarify that that

would come under some of our protections. But as my

colleague said, we're not aware of any tattoo or

height-related cases.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you. Speaking about disability-- disability under the ADA. If obesity is classified as a disability, how does that impact the perception of people who are overweight and disabled?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: So I think-- I'm not going to speak to the ADA. And I don't know if I'm the right person to answer this question. I think we look at parallels though from pregnancy, which is a protected class in our law, and under federal law. In some jurisdictions is considered a disability. There was a move to change that perception. And I understand at least anecdotally, from-- from advocates, that there can be stigma associated with disability that doesn't relate to their own experience.

The other thing I will say, though I'm no expert on the case law on disability, there are jurisdictions where it's required to show an underlying condition to benefit from that protection.

- 2 And that's at the federal level. Our law is much
- 3 more expansive, and we read it more expansively.
- 4 But-- But that would be something from the legal
- 5 side.

- 6 CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yeah. And you may provide a
- 7 | similar answer here with my next question, since it's
- 8 | very ADA-- maybe ADA specific. Are there thresholds
- 9 for overweight people to meet in order to be
- 10 classified as disabled? Because the accommodations
- 11 aspect is very important.
- 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: So there are no
- 13 | set thresholds under our law. I think this goes to
- 14 | my colleague Hillary's points. It's in our
- 15 experience, and what we've read and heard. Body size
- 16 can-- It's-- There's no number, right?, or no
- 17 | specific height that might bring that into play.
- 18 | It's often individualized and related to other
- 19 | identity characteristics. I'm also just not aware of
- 20 what the threshold might be under -- under federal
- 21

law.

- 22 And then the last piece, I'll say, is the
- 23 disability framework that exists with reasonable
- 24 accommodations. Just to be clear for the folks who
- 25 may not have read in detail the legislation, we have

2 | that-- that's not included in this version of-- of

3 | the law. So it's something that exists in our law

4 for disability, domestic violence, religion, and

5 pregnancy.

2.2

2.3

Thank you. I have no further questions.

Chair Williams?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. So you know,

I'm always thinking about how we actually educate

people on our laws. Because so many people don't

even know we have such an expensive title A, and they

could come to your agency for support.

So does CCHR plan to provide any trainings or workshops to educate and raise awareness if these bills were to pass?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: I rarely can offer an emphatic yes. But anytime our law is amended, we really, as I was saying, our number one priority is that people know about the protections in the law. So we would, at a minimum, develop written materials in multiple languages and do days of outreach. And likely—— I mean, we would definitely incorporate the changes into our training, which we do several hundred, at least, a year all over New York City.

2.2

2.3

2021.

But if we look at just the way we approached the hair guidance, which wasn't even a change in the law, it was an articulation and interpretation of what our law already said, we have a legal guidance, which is for lawyers and judges, and anyone else who has time to spend reading legal guidance. But we also have a fact sheet, and we have FAQs, and we've done campaigns and videos on— on that. And I think we evaluate who needs to know about the change, both from a business perspective side and from an impacted individual side. And we do our best to target at the start of a campaign, those groups, and then to ensure that everyone in New York City knows about these protections.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. And you actually just mentioned the hair discrimination guidance. That was back in 2021? 2019?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: 2019. Correct.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: You guys did something in 2021.

MS. SCRIVANI: Yeah, so-- [crosstalk] I'm sorry. Yeah. So the rules were amended in 2021 to add hair discrimination. So they were added to the rules in

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay. No, I remember participating in like a public hearing thing you all did.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER KAMUF WARD: Yeah. So we had a hear-- Every time we amend our rules, we do a public hearing. And then when we're thinking about legal guidance, we often do roundtables with experts. And I mean that in the widest way possible, people who have experienced the type of discrimination we're-- we're addressing to ensure that the information that we're putting out there is accurate, and helpful, and resonates with New Yorkers.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, so since the initial guidance in 2019, revised guidance in 2022, to hair discrimination and the passage of the New York CROWN Act, how often has CCHR received or investigated complaints of natural hair discrimination? I know you mentioned the one case but...?

MS. SCRIVANI: Yeah. So we've had six cases total since the-- since the guidance. That's including the case that we settled. And, you know, I'll also mention that hair discrimination, it's a type of race discrimination or discrimination based

2.2

2.3

testify, please speak to the Sergeant At Arms and

25

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION

fill out a witness slip. If you registered in advance online, please also make sure to fill out a witness slip so we have a record of your attendance.

On this first panel we have Eno Awotoye, Josh Kellerman, and Tigress Osborn. You can come to the table and once everyone is situated you can get started.

Panelists just so you are aware you do have two minutes to testify once the Sergeant starts the timer. And Councilmembers if you have any questions for a particular panelist, please let me know, and I will call on you when the panelist panelists have completed their testimony.

Okay.

MS. AWOTOYE: Good morning. My name is Eno
Awotoye. I'm the Coordinator for the Retail Action
Project, and this is the worker center for New York
City Retail Workers who are in retail and other
industries, allied industries.

The Retail Action Project rallies non-union workers in New York City to improve opportunities mostly for workers here. Our membership is diverse, consists of workers and job seekers from immigrant,

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

low wage, LGBTQ+, and other marginalized communities who already face a lot of job insecurities.

Now when size discrimination is added, the impact is devastating.

We asked our members if they or someone they knew had experienced size discrimination. Most of them answered yes.

Today I'd like to share the experiences of two women who would have liked to be here. But because of childcare and concerns about their privacy, they asked that I share the experience without their names attached.

The first one, initial TM, is a luxury brand specialist, and she shares,

"After enjoying over three years of highly rewarding job opportunity, I had gotten pregnant and started to put on some baby weight. Weight did not affect my productivity at all. At first I got some friendly advice to watch my weight, to remember that I was representing a luxury brand. By the time I got back from having my baby, I got moved around so much eventually, I ended up in the stock area. I lost my commission because of this, and because I no longer fit the image of the company.

2.2

2.3

It's very hurtful to be judged unfit or incapable just because I put on weight."

CF is a sales associate, and she shares,

"I had added some weight when I took time off to raise my family. On my return, I found it very hard to secure a job. At screenings or interviews, there would be questions or comments about fast-paced work environments, and if I could cope. I started to realize that my weight had something to do with my difficulty in finding a job. It was very stressful.

Eventually, I did a phone interview. And it was successful. I was hired and given a start date. At the orientation, I was told that I would get a schedule. It never came.

Each time I called, I was told that they were waiting for a position to open up. I am still looking for full-time work, and it's been three years."

It's unfair that we have no protection from this kind of treatment. We all have heard these kind of stories about size discrimination. It's wrong, and it should be banned. The New York City Council should pass this bill, the height and weight bill, and make sure they protect workers, give workers

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

equal access to opportunities, and to ensure respect and dignity of labor. Thank you.

MS. OSBORN: Good morning. I'm Tigress Osborn.

I'm the Chair of the National Association to Advance

Fat Acceptance.

As the Chair of the world's oldest fat rights group, I'm here to speak on behalf of thousands of people who have been part of NAAFA, The National Association to Advance Fat Acceptance, over the last 54 years. And also on behalf of millions of fat people in New York City today, whose lives will become better because of Councilmember Abreu's bill to ban height and weight discrimination. You may not be used to hearing the word fat in the matter-of-fact way that we use it at NAAFA. Those who support fat rights are the F-word practically, even enthusiastically, as a descriptor instead of an insult. Fat people are people. That should go without saying but sometimes it does not. We are disrespected or ignored in far too many aspects of life. And too many people believe nasty stereotypes about us.

There are uncountable ways that the world harms fat people. And that harm is even greater when we

3 disabled people.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Anti-fatness doesn't just break our hearts. Ιt drains our wallets, steals our opportunities, and limits our lives. We're prevented from doing jobs we're skilled at, from living in communities we love, and from participating fully in the lives of our own hometowns, because people don't make space for us. And that has to stop.

As the chair of NAAFA, I get asked about size discrimination a lot. And often people are very surprised to learn how few places in the world have legal prohibitions against size discrimination. People are especially surprised about this about New York City, which prides itself as a beacon of worldwide welcome, of opportunity of equality. Yet we know that at least 2 million people experienced size discrimination in 2019 in New York City.

Sometimes it's just malicious, like the person who spit on my friend on the -- on the bus in the Bronx, and then screamed in her face about how she was taking up too much space. Sometimes, though, it's simple oversight, like a city council chamber without enough seats that are safe for larger bodies.

2.2

2.3

Either way, New York can do better and you're about to. When the rest of the city council joins the 30 co-sponsors of INTO209 to pass this bill. New York will become the most populous city in the world to protect its residents and visitors from size discrimination. I traveled over 2000 miles to be with you today because like so many other generations of fat people, I had to be in New York when this city was making history for equality at every size.

When the Central Park Fat-In was held here in 1967, or when the first national NAAFA conference was held here over 50 years ago, this is exactly what those advocates were working towards.

The beacon of freedom will shine even brighter in New York City when it includes the freedom to thrive at any size. Thank you very much.

Good morning and thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Josh Kellerman. I'm the Director of Public Policy at the Retail Wholesale and Department Store Union, RWDSU. We represent approximately 40,000 workers in New York. Our members work in retail, including grocery and pharmacy, food service, food processing, distribution, cannabis, building services,

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION warehouses, carwashes, agriculture, and we also represent workers in apparel, retail, and fashion

4 retail.

2.2

2.3

I sit before you today to testify in support of ending discrimination against people based on their body size. In the industries we organize in, particularly in fashion retail, this problem is rampant.

Workers are routinely punished for not fitting the image perceptions set by employers, causing both financial and personal challenges for workers. We know that making this sort of conduct illegal won't change these discriminatory practices overnight, but it will provide workers with a route to fight back against the most egregious forms of discrimination, and will also help to shift the culture around body shaming. If we can achieve these goals through this bill, this bill will be viewed as an important step in the march towards the equality.

As a union whose members are majority women of color, it is important to highlight the ways that body image discrimination often goes hand-in-hand with racial discrimination and bias against women.

Height and weight discrimination is intertwined with social justice and racial discrimination. Studies show that people of color in the US, black and Latino, are more are more likely to be of a higher weight than white people. Black Americans are likely to be on average one inch shorter than white Americans, and Asian and Latin Latino Americans average about three inches shorter in height than their Caucasian counterparts. Women in America are more likely than men to be considered, quote unquote "obese," and studies show that women are more likely to be discriminated against men due to their appearance. And this discrimination undermines New Yorkers ability to earn a living. One study showed--One study on the issue showed that worker -- [BELL I'll finish quickly -- showed that larger workers earn lower wages and are more likely to be viewed negatively by hiring managers. Larger people's performance is more likely to be viewed negatively by supervisors. Weight bias hurts workers' overall compensation, performance evaluations, and even in the quality of the training that they receive.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

One thing to note is that it unionized businesses overt appearance-based discrimination is much, much less common than in non unionized workplaces. This is because with the union, workers have a built in grievance mechanism to protect themselves from arbitrary employer decisions. In non-union workplaces however, there are no similar protections. In fact, employers that non union workplaces can fire or discipline a worker simply for failing to meet the store's vanity size requirements. This is not right.

Intro 0209 will protect countless New Yorkers from blatant appearance-based discrimination. Every single New Yorker deserves the right to a workplace free of prejudice and discrimination. And every industry in New York needs to recognize that good workers come in all shapes and sizes. Please pass this bill now. Thank you.

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. Any questions?

Thank you. We'll move on to the next panel. We have-- Oh.

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: I just wanted to find out.

Good morning. Thank you for this. This is

important. How has appearance-based discrimination

affected your day to day life?

2.2

2.3

MS. OSBORN: I want to say that it is based on our appearance, but also there are a lot of actual accessibility things about size and space that affect my daily life. I traveled here from Phoenix to be here today. I know this is not your purview as City Council to change airline policies, but I had to purchase two seats in order to travel safely and comfortably on the plane.

I traveled from the plane to the airport in-- in an Uber, and I had to travel without a seatbelt because they did not have a seatbelt extender that would fit me.

When I checked into my hotel, I am fat and disabled, which means that I need an accessible room. But not everything in the accessible room is weight rated for a person of my size.

So in addition to the sort of daily ways that I just experienced judgment, I've been a retail worker. I know some of the things that Josh is talking about.

In addition to the daily ways that it's affected me in my work and in interpersonal interactions, there are things like when we go out to lunch after this meeting to hopefully celebrate the good reception that we received here, we'll have to choose

a restaurant according to whether we can all fit the seats, and whether we can all pass through the spaces inside the restaurant, and whether there are public bathroom stalls there that are large enough for us to sit in without the toilet paper digging into our hips on the sides.

So really, everywhere we go, we have to think about our size differently than our smaller counterparts, if we're wide people. And then of course, our friends of short stature have the same issue in the opposite direction, in terms of having to just think differently about literally everything we do in life, because we can't get there and take for granted that someone else has thought about us.

Today, we had to work with the Equal Opportunity

Office to arrange for special chairs. The testimony

chairs are great for fat people, thank you. But the

chairs for people who are coming to witness testimony

are not always great for fat people. Those white

folding chairs are our nemesis.

And so, like, so just everything we do, we have to do extra planning, we have to seek extra accommodations, and we have to often do that with

2.2

2.3

limited financial resources because of the employment discrimination that we face.

44

4

5

COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Wow. Well, thank you for that. And the unions: How can this be helpful?

MR. KELLERMAN: We spend a lot of time dealing

6

7

with grievances based on all sorts of issues that are

8

worker faces in their in their day-to-day experience,
where the employer has unilateral decision-making

9

10

authority over what happens in the workplace.

11

And so in unionized workplaces, we have a grievance procedure set up to deal with that.

1213

Anything that we can take off the table, where we're

14

not having to grieve over it is a benefit ultimately

1516

to the overall functioning of a successful workplace.

17

So if we don't have to deal with appearance-based

18

legislated it, ultimately, that's exceptionally

discrimination anymore, because City Council's

19

helpful to us. It's also something we don't often

20

negotiate in further contracts to deal with. This

21

wouldn't be an issue we'd necessarily put it, but,

22

you know, there-- there are resources that go every

23

procedures. And if we just have less of a sort of

25

24

onerous procedure because these things have been

single contract towards -- towards the grievance

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 45 1 2 taken off the table by Council, it's helpful. that's something that's just a day to day impact that 3 4 would be very beneficial to us. COUNCILMEMBER JOSEPH: Thank you so much. welcome to New York. 6 MS. OSBORN: Thank you. 8 CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you, Rita, for your 9 thoughtful questions. COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you and we have been 10 11 joined by Councilmember Gutiérrez. You-- You're all-12 - Yeah, thank you. We will now call the next panel. 13 We have Victoria Abraham, Dr. Gregory Dodell, and 14 Stephanie Lexis. 15 You can get started whenever you're ready. Sorry. My name is Victoria, thank you for 16 17 letting me have the opportunity to speak. 18 I just graduated from college in New York this past year, and while I loved my New York experience, 19 I couldn't help but compare it to the experience of 20 21 my peers. 2.2 People walking past me with their quick New York 2.3 stride, hearing the snide comments about how I walk too slow and I take up too much space on the 24

The quick sideways glances as I enter the

25

sidewalk.

46

2 bus, bags placed on the seat next to them, their

3 biggest fear of being touched by fat flesh, forcing

4 my body through turnstiles at the train station,

5 turning sideways just barely squeezed through those

6 metal bars, having to contact the Center for

7 Disabilities at my school requesting a special

8 accommodation for a desk capable of containing my

body.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

My choice is being sit at the special desk at the back of the class, serving as a reminder to the room that I don't fit, or suffer in silence wood digging into my side hoping that I remember the lecture, because at that angle, there's no way I would get a pen to paper.

Something as simple as being able to sit in my seat and take notes like my peers, I did not have the privilege of doing. I had to get accommodations because my school didn't once consider my needs, and I was put at a disadvantage. I wasn't the only student that couldn't fit in those seats. I was just one of the few that knew I deserved better and asked for it.

I am consistently doing little things every single day to survive in a city that does not take

47

2 fat people into consideration. I'm reminded every

3 day that this world, this city that I love so dearly,

4 is built without my body in mind. And that's what's

5 so insidious about anti-fatness, it's everywhere.

6 Sometimes it's not even malicious. It's just in the

7 little things that you would never even notice if you

8 | aren't fat.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

But for people like me, I live my life taking extra steps, making the necessary accommodations just to survive.

Every time I squeeze my body into a chair or turnstile that is clearly made for a different body, a smaller body, I'm reminded that I am not considered.

I feel like an intrusion and inconvenience like I don't belong here. Passing this bill tells fat people that we aren't alone, we're not unwanted. And having the government behind us make sure that we will no longer feel as though we're a mere inconvenient afterthought. Thank you.

DR. DODELL: Good morning, everyone. My name is Greg Dodell. I'm an endocrinologist here in New York City. Thank you for the opportunity to speak here today. As a physician, this issue is important to me

because weight-based discrimination is not only a
human rights issue, but also a healthcare issue.

2.2

2.3

Research clearly demonstrates the negative health consequences of discrimination. Discrimination is associated with an increased risk of high blood pressure, high blood sugar, inflammation, and has impacts on mental health. I see this every day in my medical practice. My hope is that passing this bill will alleviate the stress that many of my patients face on a day-to-day basis, so they have the opportunity to lead healthy lives.

Among the things that I try to discuss with my patients are stress management, nutrition, movement and sufficient sleep. When you look at the far-reaching impacts of weight based discrimination, wages, housing, access to health care, these behaviors become much more cumbersome. We know that weight stigma causes people to avoid going to the doctor, and having preventive health screenings. Unfortunately, much of the research regarding health and weight don't account for this important variable that is highly prevalent.

To put the magnitude of size discrimination into perspective. Here are some staggering statistics.

2 Size discrimination affects 34 million people in the

3 United States. Nearly 84% of adults living in larger

4 bodies report being shamed at least once in their

5 lifetime because of their body size. 65% of adults

6 in larger bodies have experienced discrimination at

7 | least once.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

As a doctor and more importantly, as a human being this matters. Enough is enough. Get this done.

MS. LEXIS: As a child, I would periodically travel from New Jersey to midtown Manhattan to be dazzled and inspired by Broadway musicals. It brought me much needed escapism and joy that I wanted to pursue the Great White Way myself. I dedicated years of studying musical theater and making myself the best performing artists I could be. I played everything from Cinderella and Into The Woods, to Gretel in Hansel and Gretel. However, as my body grew bigger, my opportunities grew smaller.

I found myself being passed over for leading lady and ingenue roles in favor of actresses in slimmer bodies. I particularly remember asking an instructor at a New York City musical theater intensive why I couldn't play a certain Disney princess, and she

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 50 stuck up her pinky and said, You need to be this. My proficiency and talent didn't matter. I didn't have the so called "Broadway body".

2.2

2.3

In 2019, I founded Broadway Body Positivity

Project to advocate for theater actors of

marginalized body types like myself. The past four

years I've met so many incredible fat actors who have

faced size discrimination in the theater industry,

yet they have so much to offer it. They're so eager

to work, yet find themselves having significantly

less job opportunities. If INT 0209 is passed, fat

actors will be able to audition for roles on Broadway

or national tour because they won't be required to

fit the previous actors' costume. Actors Equity

could better protect their members and fat theater

goers would see themselves represented on stage.

I hope you vote for this bill for people like me and anyone who has big Broadway dreams and a big body.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I don't have any questions. I just had a comment. I was just going to say that resonated with me because I grew up in dance school, and, yeah, that was a thing for like ballerinas just to be really-- I mean, you know even

- 2 I'm, like, overweight for like what the size of
- 3 | ballerinas are. And that has always been a current
- 4 theme that I kind of grew up with. So I just wanted
- 5 to tell you that that resonated with me, and like I
- 6 completely understand like as a performing artist,
- 7 | the many discriminations that still exist to this
- 8 day. So thank you for sharing your story.
- 9 COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. I'd like to
- 10 | acknowledge we've been joined by Councilmember
- 11 | Salamanca.

- We now will call Lydia Green, Kimberly Singh, and
- 13 | Tracy Cox.
- 14 My name is Kimmie Singh, and I'm an eating
- 15 disorder dietitian and a fat activist based here in
- 16 New York City.
- 17 I'm going to ask you all to take a moment and
- 18 | wonder why fat discrimination is so accepted. I'm
- 19 | sure that you all have your own relationship with
- 20 | fatness, even if you are thin. I'm sure you all know
- 21 | what it's like to fear gaining weight, to fear being
- 22 | in a larger body, maybe not related to your body
- 23 | image issues, but maybe because of the access issues.
- It's important for me to note that there's a lot
- 25 about weight that you might not understand. All of

52

2 the research shows that it's incredibly easy to put

3 weight on but significantly proven to be very

4 difficult to lose weight. Over 90% of diets result

5 | in weight regain within two to five years. This

6 means that although you might have been told that

weight is entirely in your control, the research

shows that that's not the case.

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

When the majority of people diet, their bodies actually respond to diets like they are in famine. So this means that their body is holding on to that fat, just kind of protecting themselves from experiencing famine again. I had all of that to say that it's really common that weight is under someone's control. So in that case, they— it's okay if they face discrimination, right?

Well, no. Even if that were the case, it's definitely not. But I should name that for somebody my size, the chances of me being in a quote unquote "normal sized body" is 0.15%. Just to repeat 0.15%. So if I have to wait to be at that size to be treated equally, I'll probably waiting— be waiting my entire life.

People assume that if you shame fat people, it will cause them to hate their bodies enough to lose

53

2 weight. And so in that case, weight discrimination

3 | should be legal, right? Well, actually, as Dr.

4 Dodell pointed out, what we find is that the research

5 suggests that not only is this inhumane, but

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

6 experiencing anti-fat stigma increases the likelihood

of binge eating, increased caloric consumption, and

less engagement and physical activity.

In summary, this means that when somebody is fat shamed, they are far less likely to engage in healthy behaviors. Experiencing weight stigma also increases the risk for depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, low self esteem, and increases the risk of mortality by 60%.

For me, as a dietitian, I experienced an unfortunate amount of weight stigma throughout my trading. When I was in graduate school, I had a 4.0 GPA, I had glowing recommendations from all of my professors, but I could not find any volunteer experience. So this is unpaid volunteer experience, that all of my peers were receiving, the same positions that I would apply for, everything would move along until they met me in person.

When I went on to complete my rotations, my supervisor told me that she was shocked that she was

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 54 able to place me at my sites, because people in far smaller bodies than mine were sent back because of their weight. They were explicitly told that they were too big to be in that position. Here at New York City hospitals. This is completely unacceptable.

I'm going to remind you that these are all unpaid positions.

In my work as a fat positive eating disorder dietitian, I see this happening day to day. I work with individuals that experience weight discrimination on a very daily basis. I hold space for people to offload the pain that they experience. This is a pain that oftentimes goes unseen or is even mocked by society. It's a pain that isn't seen as worthy of recognition or action. That's why it's unfortunately legal in most of the country. And this is also why most people stay silent and don't report such cases.

I see the impact that this has on people. It wears away on their-- on their will to live. It makes them feel utterly alone and unwanted by society. I hope that by voting to pass this bill,

2.2

2.3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you will take a stand against this harmful form of legal discrimination.

MS. GREEN: Hello, my name is Lydia Green. I'm a resident of Brooklyn and Democratic District Leader. I've been working to pass this legislation since 2019, and I'm grateful to the Council for holding this hearing and giving us all the opportunity to speak on the deeply personal issue of weight discrimination.

Existing in public in a fat body means always feeling like I'm physically in the way. There's one time I was taking the subway home from Penn Station that especially reinforced that feeling for me. train was so crowded from rush hour that I was forced to squish up against a closed door and couldn't move. At one stop the doors started opening on the side where I was standing. An elderly man with a cane was I looked to my side to check that trying to get on. he had room to get in, and he did, but he paused as if he was waiting for me to move out of his way. pushed myself further against the side and sucked in my stomach. Instead of walking onto the train, he picked up his cane and started tapping my body with I felt absolutely humiliated. Since then, I've

2.2

2.3

become hyper aware of the space I take up in relation to others, because I'm afraid of being assaulted again for having a body that takes up too much space.

If public spaces had to be designed to accommodate all bodies. Perhaps this man I encountered on the subway might not have felt so entitled to my personal space.

Unfortunately, my experience with anti-fatness in public accommodations isn't unique. Between December 2019 and January 2020, I conducted a survey to gather stories around weight discrimination. I received over 150 responses with stories about all aspects of life, from healthcare to employment to education. I published the results in a policy brief with the help of the Office of then Councilmember Brad Lander that you can find online.

Here's one story relevant to this bill.

"My most memorable experience of discrimination was when I was at an amusement park on a ride. I was 14, and the seat belt barely fit me, which is not fair in and of itself. Seat belts and chairs should definitely support everyone, and we should be able to sue for not being serviced. The ride worker kept asking my weight and actually harassed me for it. I

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

cried during the entire ride and when I met up back
up with my friends, no one understood the trauma I
went through. I haven't been to an amusement park
because of it since then."

Clearly, fat people do not get fair or equal treatment to thin people in public spaces, housing, employment and more. And it is high time that the law recognize the damage that this treatment causes us.

MS. COX: Hi, good morning. My name is Tracy Cox, I am an opera singer are currently on a contract with the Metropolitan Opera. So many of my fat comrades have spoken eloquently as to the insidious nature of anti-fat bias. It is quite literally everywhere as we are hearing from infrastructure, to healthcare, to employment to housing. I would also like to speak to my experience as a fat performer. If you were privy to the blatant and normalized body shaming and discrimination faced by fat performing artists, you would be rightly appalled. Fat opera singers in particular face a difficult dichotomy. The general public associates opera itself with fatness, and yet buy a ticket to anything at the mat. And you will clearly see that a fat singer is the

rare and remarkable exception, not the rule. It's not an accident. It's not a secret.

Weight stigma and discrimination is a sanctioned strategy in the performing arts. On behalf of all of the fat artists in New York City, I asked for our basic right to be able to show up at work without our bodies being fair game for criticism and derision.

Let me do my job without being casually encouraged to develop an eating disorder or have bariatric surgery, which has happened to me countless times in the workplace.

I ask why should such a thing be illegal in the workplace? New York city attracts the best artists in the world because there is no better place to make art. Please use your leadership to continue to show the rest of this country how it should be done, and how the humanity of fat people matters, and should be protected. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for testifying.

All three of you. Lydia, I know you've been involved as an author of the of the bill was introduced a few years ago. I want to commend you again for your involvement with this bill. It's because of the

2.2

2.3

3 this. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It's not a plus-plus, I just saw a post from Michelle Obama during Black History Month, and she posted this.

MS. COX: Limmie.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah. And I like went down a rabbit hole of his whole story. So yeah, again, I appreciate you sharing. And for those of you don't know, it was a black guy who was on Obama's first campaign election. He was overweight. And he was a singer. And he kind of, like, decided not to do anything with his career. And then he did this amazing song one day. And then he decided to go back and now he's like singing everywhere. So...

MS. COX: He just made his debut at the Met. Yeah, yeah.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: He's like the first black guy to do--

MS. COX: He's the first black Radamès in Aida, ever, which was ridiculous.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yeah. So, it's a thing. It's a thing. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. We will now move over to testimony from our Zoom panelists.

If you are joining us via Zoom, please wait for the Sergeants to call time before you begin. And you will have two minutes. The timer should be up on the screen. Our first Zoom panelist is Brandie Solovay.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MS. SOLOVAY: I received a call for help from someone I'll call Claire. I'm here to tell her story because she can't be. Claire needed a kidney transplant. She had her doctor's support, and her own friend was willing to donate. But Claire was fat. Not that fat actually, not as fat as I am, but fat enough to have a BMI higher than 35, the blanket cut off to be approved to receive a transplant. I am Brandie Solovay. The Fat Legal Advocacy, Rights and Education Project is a project also known as FLARE, and a project of my law office.

Discrimination based on weight happens in all aspects of a person's life. Kids are bullied in schools. They may not be able to find school uniforms that fit them. They literally don't fit in. As teenagers, they may be denied spots on a cheer or dance team. In college, they may not fit into school

desks. They may have a hard time socializing because

3 public venues like theaters and stadiums also do not

4 have seats that fit. Fat adults have more limited

5 | job opportunities, because we don't look the part.

6 Even thin people face weight discrimination. Like

7 the woman who wore a size four, when the norm in her

8 New York City office was the size zero.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Fat people face discrimination even in housing, possibly being denied housing or charged higher prices for housing. They may face direct hostility due to landlord stereotypes. The worst and most common cases are fat people who face discrimination because of the combination of their weight and their race. Black and brown people are disproportionately impacted by weight discrimination.

This also unfairly impacts groups like transgender people who may be denied medical care, like gender affirming surgery due to weight bias.

Laws that protect fat people don't cost much. And the great thing is, laws make it very clear what--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Time expired.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: You may finish.

MS. SOLOVAY: Thank you. --what people's rights and responsibilities are. Right now, some people are

1

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

clear who and when, and adding height and weight protections make it obvious that this discrimination is not allowed.

already protected under disability law. But it's not

Passing legislation in New York City won't fix all of the wrongs, but it is an important start. It's about time that fat people have a little justice in the Big Apple. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you for your testimony. COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. Next we will call Katelin Penner. You can go ahead and the Sergeants call time.

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

MS. PENNER: Hi, good morning Commissioners and City Councilmembers. My name is Katelin Penner, and I want to preface this with a content warning for eating disorders. When I was 11 years old, I was diagnosed with binge eating disorder, which is currently the most common eating disorder in the US. However, at the time, the landmark treatment for this was arguably a shame-based weight loss treatment that didn't teach me how to build a healthy relationship with food. And instead it taught me a lot of disruptive tools, like intense restriction of

2 calories that instead led me to lead-- led me towards

3 the development of a decade of restrictive eating

4 disorders. Yet despite the fact that now as a 22-

5 | year-old, I've spent the last four years in recovery

6 from bulimia nervosa. I would be considered a

7 success story by many professionals due to the mere

8 fact that I'm smaller than I was when I was 11. This

9 | should not be the case.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The collateral damage from the war on obesity is clear. Policies by governments to address what is really a moral panic has worsened stigma against fat people, led to greater discrimination, and so much worse. Studies have consistently shown that this discrimination impacts low-income women specifically. Fat women earn as much as 12 percent less than thin women, and are more likely to be in low wage jobs.

This discrimination can lead to negative health implications ranging from higher blood pressure to the development of restrictive eating disorders, a condition that is often underdiagnosed and undertreated in individuals.

As a thin person, I have few problems finding employment, housing, or suitable public spaces for myself, but for fat New Yorkers, this is not the

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 64 case. Navigable public spaces, subway seats, or even chairs are frequently inaccessible, which can cause great distress for fat New Yorkers, as they have to take a myriad number of extra steps to get by every single day.

This bill is about basic human dignity. And we need to do so much more to take care of our communities in New York City. But this is one big step in the right direction. Thank you.

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. Yeah, we have Councilmember De La Rosa-- Sorry, Gutiérrez. I'm sorry.

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: I will take that as a compliment. It is not a question. Katelin, I love you so much, and thank you for testifying today and thank you to all of our panelists for testifying today. Katelin texted me early this morning, basically saying "get on this bill" and I'm happy to support it, because so much of this testimony and the advocacy work that all of you have done. I love you, Boo. Thank you

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. I'm sorry about that.

2.2

2.3

COUNCILMEMBER GUTIÉRREZ: It's a compliment.

3

Truly, don't worry about it.

4

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Seeing no additional panelists, if there's anyone we inadvertently missed who would like to testify please either speak to the Sergeant At Arms, or-- I don't see anyone on our Zoom

7 8

6

at this point.

Bear with us moment I think we'll have-- we have one more panelist.

Andrew Rigie -- Rigie, you can go ahead when the

MR. RIGIE: Thank you. Oh, I'm so glad I was

Andrew Rigie. I am the Executive Director of the New

able to get on here just at the end. My name is

York City Hospitality Alliance. We represent

10

77:25

11 12

we are ready to call our last witness.

13

14

15 Sergeants--

16

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Starting time.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

five boroughs. Many of our owners and workers in our

restaurants and bars and nightclubs throughout the

are very concerned about Intro 702 that would

establishments have lots of tattoos. Nonetheless, we

prohibit discrimination on the basis of having a

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 66 tattoo for a few different reasons. I'm going to touch on two really quickly and appreciate your consideration.

One is kind of a more high level reason we believe that adding tattoos to the list of protective characteristics covered by the human rights law in a sense trivializes the importance of the HRL as a vital tool against discrimination in the workplace. You know, with few exceptions, protected characteristics currently cover immutable traits like race, color, national origin, sexual orientation, that individuals cannot change. A person can't change their race, color or national origin.

There are some additional areas when it comes to domestic violence that also do deserve their own special protections because of longstanding challenges people suffer if they have such characteristics or have experienced such situations.

But more specifically, from a practical matter in the hospitality and small business community, adding tattoos to a list of protected characteristics is going to provide a lot of liability for small business owners around some really difficult issues.

2.2

2.3

I understand the bill has been amended or updated, that would allow a provision for an employer to require an employer to cover up their tattoo. But sometimes tattoos cannot be--

SERGEANT AT ARMS: Your time has expired.

MR. RIGIE: Can I finish?

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: One more minute.

MR. RIGIE: --cannot cover up their tattoos.

This means that if an employer decided not to hire or to terminate an employee with a swastika tattoo on their neck, or the N word on their knuckles, or as a news article just had a big story, genitalia tattooed on their face, they would be subject to legal action by these potential employees or former employees that they terminated. And that provides a lot of liability, and a lot of concern for small businesses that are often the target of many types of frivolous lawsuits. And we will put that into the record.

I've also submitted additional written comments for your consideration. We'd be happy to follow up with any of the members on any additional comment, but certainly something that raises concerns even in an industry that often welcomes personal expression with tattoos. This comes down to an operational and

walking around with swastika tattoos, but I'm just

COMMITTEE ON CIVIL AND HUMAN RIGHTS Jointly with the COMMITTEE ON STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATION 69 trying to understand like the subjectivity in that in terms of like, everybody wearing— having tattoos versus like tattoos that might be discriminatory in themselves. And so I feel like we should disassociate those two things. Like, you gave an example, which was like, a very extreme example, and we all can say, "Well, yeah, of course, as a business, you wouldn't want someone that has some type of discriminatory tattoo on their body to

essentially be representing you." But that doesn't

mean that everybody with a tattoo shouldn't be

protected under the law.

2.2

2.3

MR. RIGIE: Well, I mean, traditionally, and historically, I understand what you're saying. And I think that's why, especially in our industry, where so many people do have tattoos, it's an issue that we are sensitive to. And we're talking about the human rights law and immutable traits. Tattoo is a choice that an individual makes. So there's a question, I don't want to say it's a philosophical question, but somewhat about, you know, human rights laws protecting what kind of traits, because if it's a tattoo, then you could certainly say anything. But I think there's many examples where people have face

2 tattoes, neck tattoos, tattoos, you know, on their

3 hands, and what is offensive or not, is subjective.

4 But people have tattoos that, subjective to different

70

5 people, are offensive. And if a family wants to go

6 in, or you want to go out, and your parents, your

7 grandparents, and they have a tattoo, someone can

8 | find it quite offensive. And as the law is drafted,

9 | it's my understanding that any one of those examples,

10 I gave, swastikas, N-words, genitalia, middle

11 | fingers, I mean, the list goes on and on. If you

12 didn't hire someone because of that you could be

13 sued. Or if you had a current employee, and they got

14 one of these tattoos and came in, they could sue you,

15 | if you terminated them. That's a real issue.

I'm not sure if there's a way you're able to further amend the bill to address the subjectivity on it, because that gets quite complicated in itself.

But I think that's, in part, what makes making a tattoo a protected trait problematic, because I'm not

tattoo a protected trait problematic, because i m not

21 sure how you draw the line on the subjectivity.

22 CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Yeah, Andrew?

MR. RIGIE: Yes.

23

16

17

18

19

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: You have my number.

which has been amended?

MR. RIGIE: Yes. And that's the allowance for covering the tattoo, correct?

anyway, I have a point to make. Have you read 702A,

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Correct. So if you have a tattoo on your hand or on your face, it can be covered.

MR. RIGIE: I mean, a bandage on your neck? You know, if something is inappropriate it also creates a challenging work environment among colleagues.

Someone could have something that would be offensive or problematic, and it creates a culture within the workplace, and that creates conflict.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: And nothing stops an employer or restaurant owner from asking someone if they have something offensive, nothing is stopping you from asking the person, prospective employee or not, whether or not— what the intention of the— what's behind the tattoo, right? Nothing is stopping an employer from doing that. So just want to put that out there. Thank you.

MR. RIGIE: Yeah. Yeah. No, listen, I just know that I've seen tattoos that, you know-- and there's--

- 2 I won't get into now, I can send you the article.
- 3 But you know, the person with this tattoo on their
- 4 face. And I, you know, I guess you could ask someone
- 5 to wear a huge bandage covering their neck covering
- 6 their face, you know, putting Band Aids or something
- 7 | over their knuckles, [crosstalk] but again, it just--
- 8 I'm sorry. Go ahead?

- 9 CHAIRPERSON ABREU: There's also makeup.
- 10 MR. RIGIE: Yes, I mean, to put makeup on, you
- 11 know, face, and neck and all this. Yep. It is just
- 12 | another thing that, you know, if you're running a
- 13 | business and you're hiring people, and you're dealing
- 14 | with this, it creates another liability for
- 15 | employers. And again, it's enshrining a personal
- 16 choice into a human rights law that is addressing
- 17 immutable characteristics that people are born with,
- 18 or in the more, you know, other examples like
- 19 domestic violence that I that I cited, so...
- 20 CHAIRPERSON ABREU: I have a followup. If
- 21 someone has a butterfly tattoo on their face, should
- 22 they should they not have a job?
- MR. RIGIE: Well, no. But that's not what this
- 24 | bill says. There's many places that I said that, you
- 25 | know, encourage people to show off their, you know,

their tattoos and there's many different places that

people can work. But that's also a personal choice

of the individual. And sometimes in case of a

restaurant, this may be more of like a fine dining,

but-not-always example, they want the focus to be on

the cuisine on the service, not on the individual

expressing themselves, where in another restaurant,

9 they may want and encourage people to express

10 themselves. And just because they had a tattoo, it

11 doesn't mean that they wouldn't be getting the job.

12 Like I said, there's many people that show their

13 | tattoos off all the time, and it's a non-issue.

I think we're just talking about the liability that exists as the law is drafted. Because I don't think the examples that I gave, while they are real, anyone would want to deal with a situation where someone had one of those very offensive tattoos there, and then the business to be sued if they were, you know, terminated them or didn't hire them.

I just don't I just don't know how you amend the bill further to draw that distinction.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Thank you.

MR. RIGIE: You're welcome.

1

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COUNSEL GANAPATHY: Thank you. Again, if we have inadvertently missed anyone that wishes to testify,

4

please speak to the Sergeant at Arms.

5

like to-- would either if you'd like to offer closing

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure, we can both offer

Being no additional witnesses, Chair, would you

6 7

remarks and rap?

8

9 closing remarks. I want to just thank my Chair here,

10

Councilmember Abreu, for his leadership. I should

11

probably snag that bill before him. This is a really

12

good piece of legislation, and I think it'll help so

13

many New Yorkers who have faced discrimination. And

14

really listening to the stories resonated with me on so many different occasions, just in terms of my own

1516

upbringing, particularly as a dancer. So I

17

appreciate everyone's testimony. And hopefully, we

18

can pass these laws expeditiously and just also urge

19

20 think about how their decisions or what society sa

and encourage, you know, different industries to

21

think about how their decisions or what society says

2.2

is appropriate appearances, affects everyday people who are trying to make a living for their families

2.3

and themselves. And so I will turn it over to

24

Councilmember Abreu to close us out.

CHAIRPERSON ABREU: Well, I just wanted to thank
all of the advocates who are here today, and provided
your powerful testimony on banning height and weight
discrimination. I'm most optimistic than ever today
that with your testimonies, your experiences that
it's going to push this council forward to do the
right thing. And we're going to ban appearance-based
discrimination.

I don't care what you have, or whether you have a butterfly tattoo or not. We're going to make sure that we're going to be fighting for all of you.

You know we support fat acceptance here in this Council, and the city accepts it as well. Let's bring it home. Thank you.

I have to gavel out, which I forgot.
[GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 03/07/2023