COMMITTEE	ON	AGTNG	Y.TTMTOT.	WTTH
	OIA	TIOTINO	OOTIVIII	V V — — — — —

COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1

CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

----- X

February 17, 2023 Start: 10:02 a.m. Recess: 1:35 p.m.

HELD AT: COUNCIL CHAMBERS - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Crystal Hudson, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Linda Lee

Christopher Marte
Darlene Mealy
Shaun Abreu
David M. Carr
Shahana K. Hanif
Mercedes Narcisse
Lincoln Restler

## APPEARANCES

David Goldin, General Counsel at Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Sarah Cassel, Director of Diversion and Re-entry Initiatives at Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Anna Calabrese, Executive Director of Re-entry and Culture Change at Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

Julia Salazar, New York State Senator

Anya Herasme, Associate Commissioner Community Services Program

Frederick Robinson

Jose Vega

Willa Lopez

Brad Hoylman-Sigal, New York State Senator

Roslyn Smith, Beyond Incarceration Program Manager at V-Day, Brooklyn community leader at RAPP, Release Aging People in Prison

Samuel Hamilton, Senior Re-entry Advocate at Brooklyn Defender Services

Parrish Steward

Jose Saldana, Director of the Release Aging People in Prison Campaign

Christian Gonzalez-Rivera, Director of Strategic Policy Initiatives at the Brookdale Center for Healthy Aging at Hunter College

## A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Rebekah Almanzar, Forensic Social Work Supervisor at the Legal Aid Society

Tanya Krupat, Vice President of Policy and Advocacy at the Osborne Association

Brianna Paden-Williams, Communications and Policy Associate at LiveOn New York

Tara Klein, Deputy Director of Policy and Advocacy for United Neighborhood Houses

Arielle Basch, Senior Director of Health Services at Jewish Association Serving the Aging

Raji Edayathumangalam, Forensic Social Worker at New York County Defender Services

Christina Green, Director of Marcus Garvey Supportive Housing, Osborne Association

Ingrid Gordon-Patterson, Case Manager with the Osborne Association at Marcus Garvey in Brooklyn

Laura Roan, Service Provider at the Osborne Association

Julia Solomons, Senior Policy Social Worker at the Bronx Defenders

Derick Bowers, Regional Director of Social Enterprise in New York City for the Center for Employment Opportunities

## Imani

Andre Ward, Associate Vice President of David Rothenberg Center for Public Policy at the Fortune Society

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: This is a microphone check for the Committee on Criminal Justice joint with Aging recorded in Chambers by Nazly Paytuvi on February 17, 2023.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good afternoon and welcome to today's hearing on Criminal Justice Joint with Aging.

At this time, please place electronic devices on vibrate or on silent mode.

If you want to testify, please come to the front to the Sergeant-at-Arms and fill out this testimony slip. Thank you.

Chair, we may begin.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Good morning. I am

Council Member Crystal Hudson, Chair of the Committee

on Aging. I want to thank Criminal Justice Committee

Chair Carlina Rivera, who is currently out on

parental leave, for agreeing to hold this extremely

important joint oversight hearing on justice in aging

re-entry issues for older New Yorkers.

 $\label{eq:constraints} \mbox{I'd like to acknowledge that we are here} \\ \mbox{with Council Member Linda Lee.}$ 

Before going any further, I want to acknowledge that today's hearing centers on a range

2.2

2.3

of very sensitive issues. Many incarcerated and
formerly incarcerated individuals, some of whom are
here today to share their personal experiences, have
been deeply affected by their incarceration. They
deserve a supportive environment at this hearing, and

7 so I ask my Colleagues and everyone in the room today

8 to speak and act with compassion and empathy.

I also want to take a moment to honor the memory of 65-year-old Marvin Pines, who tragically died in DOC custody on February 4th. Marvin Pines' death was a product of a system that is completely failing to provide adequate medical care. Mr. Pines should be alive today. We grieve his loss, and our thoughts are with his family and the dozens of families grieving the loss of their loved ones in DOC custody.

An increasing body of research indicates that warehousing people for decades is a counterproductive response to deterring criminal behavior. Most older adults in prison were sentenced in their teens, 20s, and 30s, and often were the victims of violence or experienced trauma before they committed harm. As a response to criminal behavior, extreme prison sentences are inconsistent with

2	scientific findings that have shown people age out of
3	crime by the time they reach their 40s. Imprisonment
4	rates for sentenced incarcerated people have steadily
5	declined since 2004. Despite this decline, the rate
6	of incarceration among older adults has surged in
7	recent decades. From 1999 to 2016, the number of
8	people 55 and older in state or federal prisons
9	increased by 280 percent. In New York State prisons,
10	despite an overall decline in the prison population,
11	the proportion of older adults in the system has
12	steadily increased. According to advocates, there are
13	currently approximately 8,000 individuals age 50 and
14	older in New York State prisons. This amounts to one
15	in every four incarcerated people being an older
16	adult, an increase from 12 percent of the prison
17	population in 2008. At the city level, as of February
18	2023, approximately 12 percent of the nearly 6,000
19	people in DOC custody are older than 50. One study
20	showed that over 50 percent of people 65 and older
21	have served more than 10 years consecutively. Between
22	1984 and 2017, the number of people serving life
23	sentences more than quadrupled. Parole is denied to a
24	large majority of parole applicants in the State,
25	further enguring that the prison population ages and

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

that older adults remain in prison. Older adult incarcerated is a crisis, and the State needs to act now. That's why we're considering my resolution today in support of two important pieces of State legislation, the Fair and Timely Parole Act and the Elder Parole Act.

The Fair and Timely Parole Act would create a presumption of release for parole-eligible incarcerated persons unless there's a reasonable public safety reason to keep them in prison. The Elder Parole Act would establish parole consideration for people at least 55 years old who have served 15 consecutive years in prison. It is estimated that the parole justice bills together would save the State 522 million dollars per year which is larger than the entire budget for the New York City Department for the Aging. These are necessary and critical reforms that will help ensure that the incarcerated older adult population declines and that this population can re-enter society and live their lives with dignity. New York spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually per older adult in prison. This money could be reinvested in our communities and used to improve the health and safety of New Yorkers or it

2.2

2.3

could be used to provide resources, supports, and education efforts to eliminate the school-to-prison pipeline. It could be spent to help prevent us from having hearings like this in the future because we made a decision to invest in our communities instead of a system rooted in racism that destroys lives and does very little, if anything, to improve public safety.

We are here today to hear from the administration on how they are assisting older adults to transition out of incarceration and back into our communities, but we are also here to hear directly from formerly incarcerated older adults on their experiences, both while incarcerated and following their release.

Today, we hope to learn more about the re-entry services and supports that the city provides this population as well as the work of direct service providers and advocates on the ground who work with formerly incarcerated older adults every day. We cannot forget the incredible work that families, friends, and communities do to support people in reentry. We see you and we thank you.

2.2

2.3

Let's be clear. Leaving people in prison while we work to improve the re-entry landscape is not a fair or viable option. The City must take a holistic approach to ensuring that our communities thrive and that we are not simply streamlining or improving re-entry services while not addressing the root issues of criminalization and incarceration. My hope is that this hearing is a productive step towards a more just future, but it cannot be the only step.

Thank you to the advocates and members of the public who are joining us today and thank you to representatives from the Administration for joining us.

I'd also like to thank my Staff, Casie

Addison and Andrew Wright, Aging Committee Staff,

Christopher Pepe, Chloe Rivera, Austrid Chan, and

Kelly Welch (phonetic), Criminal Justice Committee

Staff, Jeremy Whiteman as well as our Data Operations

Unit.

I will now read a statement by Chair Rivera.

I will now be reading a statement on behalf of the Criminal Justice Chair Rivera who is

25

unable to join us for today's hearing as she is out 2 3 on parental leave. "As a result of outdated and 4 racist law and order policies, the share of the jail and prison population comprised of older adults has been steadily rising in recent decades. Right now, 6 7 despite the fact they pose little to no risk upon 8 release, one in four New Yorkers in prison is an older adult. Many of these individuals have been scholars, teachers, and mentors while in custody and 10 11 yet they continue to languish and often die in unsafe 12 conditions. This trend towards a graying prison 13 population is a result of an unjust system badly in need of reform that prioritizes endless punishment 14 15 over healthy communities. There's no justification for having approximately 8,000 older adults behind 16 17 bars in New York. As we work to bring our elders 18 home, New York should also be assisting families and communities by providing comprehensive re-entry 19 20 services. Studies show that incarcerated individuals 21 are nearly 10 times more likely to experience 2.2 homelessness or unstable housing. Our city must 2.3 ensure we have enough safe affordable housing without appropriate social service support when necessary to 24

accommodate everyone returning from jail or prison.

25

Given the accelerated aging process that occurs when 2 a person spends time in custody, New York City must 3 4 be diligent in ensuring this medically vulnerable population has access to appropriate health and 5 mental health resources. Initiatives like MOCJ's 6 7 Community Justice Re-entry Network should serve the 8 needs of everyone who returns from custody, including older adults who might need specialized training to adapt to the modern workforce. These are just some 10 11 ways our city can enhance the re-entry landscape to 12 support older adults, but, again, our bedrock 13 conviction must always be to decarcerate. In New York City, that means staying the course, reducing the 14 15 population, and closing Rikers Island. At that State level, to ensure that more of our friends and 16 17 neighbors can return home and age with dignity in 18 their communities, our representatives in Albany 19 should immediately pass the Elder Parole and Fair and 20 Timely Parole Acts. As Chair of the Committee on 21 Criminal Justice, I'm proud to co-sponsor Resolution 2.2 241 which urges swift passage of these reform 2.3 measures. Passing these bills would save our State money and reform the broke parole system that has 24

left too many of our elders behind bars."

3

1

I will now turn it over to Counsel to

administer the oath.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21 2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning,

everyone. When you're ready, if you could please raise your right hand.

Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth and to respond honestly to Council Member questions?

ADMINISTRATION: (INAUDIBLE)

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Great. You can proceed. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry. Just before you proceed, I just want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Members Christopher Marte and Darlene Mealy. Thank you.

: Good morning, Chair Hudson and Members of the Committees on Criminal Justice and Aging. My name is David Goldin, and I am the General Counsel of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, MOCJ. I'm pinch-hitting here this morning of our Chief-of-Staff Nora Daniel who had intended to testify but unfortunately was unable to join us this morning. I am joined today by Sarah Cassel, the Director of Diversion and Re-entry Initiatives, and Anna

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Calabrese beside her, the Executive Director of Reentry and Culture Change, my Colleagues at MOCJ.

Thank you for the opportunity to discuss the re-entry services that help returning New Yorkers restart their lives post-incarceration. Re-entry services are a crucial component of our city's public safety continuum, providing the supports that help promote safety for all. The Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice advises Mayor Adams on criminal justice and public safety policies. MOCJ serves as Mayor Adams' representative to the courts, district attorneys, defenders, state criminal justice agencies, and other system actors. Our office brings together community and institutional stakeholders to address the systemic issues that undermine the safety and stability of our neighborhoods. MOCJ moves the city forward by implementing Mayor Adams' vision for a safe and fair city for all New Yorkers.

MOCJ has revamped and strengthened reentry programming to improve transition and release
planning services for those leaving city and state
custody. Our re-entry programming helps individuals
thrive and gives them the necessary resources and
opportunities to avoid future criminal justice

1 involvement. By providing these services and 2 3 addressing the needs of those re-entering their 4 communities, we aid in the individual's postincarceration success. The city has invested 30.5 million dollars into this programming, building on 6 7 the success of the Jail-to-Jobs Re-entry Services 8 Program launched in 2018. During incarceration, individuals work with transition coordinators to create discharge plans for when they are released and 10 11 also work with re-entry mentors who help facilitate 12 their re-entry process on an individualized basis. 13 Re-entry mentors develop relationships with released individuals to encourage participation in relevant 14 15 services and programs. In January 2020, the program 16 was expanded to serve more people and increase the 17 breadth and depth of services offered, which included 18 the enhancement of services that begin in jail and 19 continue into the community post-release, expansion 20 of wraparound social services and connections to 21 care, creating additional supports for individuals on 2.2 the day of release from jail, and hiring more staff 2.3 at contracted non-profit organizations who have lived experience in the criminal justice system to serve as 24

25

peer mentors.

2.2

2.3

In January 2022, we expanded our re-entry initiatives to also provide holistic re-entry services to those returning to New York City from state prisons. Through this expansion, we are now able to provide discharge planning prior to release from prison, transportation from prisons to non-profit service provider organizations in the community, and an array of vocational, educational, and therapeutic services. This work supports individuals impacted by the criminal justice system, providing them a range of different services and programming to deter re-entry into our criminal justice system.

We partner with 10 non-profit organizations that work to ensure that they provide key holistic re-entry services. This network of non-profit organizations offers employment services such as paid transitional employment and internships, job training and job readiness workshops, connections to permanent employment, resume writing, and interview prep. They also provide holistic supportive services such as benefits enrollment, individual and group counselling, legal services, case management, family support and reunification, connections to mental,

2.

2.2

2.3

behavioral, and physical care, substance use treatment, housing assistance, and more. This initiative serves approximately 8,000 people per year of all ages including older adults. Our re-entry initiative is inclusive, also providing gender-

7 specific, TGNC-specific programming.

Effective and appropriate re-entry services are necessary for people who are returning from jail or prison. This administration is committed to supporting older adults who are returning, and we are eager to work with the Council to help provide these critical services.

Thank you for the opportunity to share some of our re-entry work, and we are happy to answer any questions you may have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

I'd just like to acknowledge that we've been joined
by Council Members Abreu, Narcisse, and Carr.

I'll start with some questions and then turn it over to my Colleagues. New York State classifies incarcerated individuals as older adults starting at the age of 50. At what age does the City Department of Correction consider a person to be an older adult?

answers to those questions.

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: To the extent that there are questions for the Department of Correction, we're going to defer to them because we're not speaking for them, and I know that they will be in contact with the Committee to provide

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so let me ask you then from a MOCJ perspective, is there a particular age that you consider somebody to be an older adult?

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Give me one moment.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think having listened to the work of advocates and experts in this field, we use the same definition that you're talking about, over 50.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: 50? Okay, great.

Thank you. How many older adults are currently in city custody and do you know if that population is increasing or decreasing?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: In terms of current population, as of February 8th, there were 333 people who were between 51 and 55 years old...

	COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON
1	CRIMINAL JUSTICE 18
2	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry. Can you repeat
3	that? 330 people?
4	DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yes, between 51 and 55
5	years old, and then 56 and above was 393 people.
6	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. I'd like
7	to acknowledge that we've also been joined by Council
8	Member Hanif.
9	Do you know if that population is
10	increasing or decreasing?
11	GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: We don't have

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: We don't have that information at the moment. We can check and get back to the Committee.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, that would be great. Thank you. What, if any, screening and intake is done to account for older adults in city custody? GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Again, that's really a question for the Department of Correction to answer.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I would add Correctional Health Services, a very important piece there too.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. How, if at all, does MOCJ coordinate with agencies to prevent

2.2

2.3

ruptures in care and services for older New Yorkers incarcerated in local jails?

Justice Re-entry Network and our network of 10 service provider organizations that we fund, they are all in-custody, providing transitional planning to folks who are leaving and going back into the community and then are connecting with people, linking them to services once they're back, and individualized case management so connecting them with services for all the needs that they have.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: That's with the 10 organizations but not necessarily other city agencies that you're coordinating with.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: We're in close contact with DOC, of course, since those providers are incustody. My Colleague will talk about our coordination with CHS as well.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think it's very important to note that for many of the complex health and mental health issues that older adults are facing coming out of jail and prison, a lot of coordination happens through Correctional

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

L	CRIMINAL JUSTICE 20
2	Health Services so I think that they would be the
3	best equipped to speak to that larger really integral
1	coordination, but Sarah mentioned it from our end.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so MOCJ doesn't coordinate with like DFTA or any other city agencies to address the needs of older adults and the services and the care...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: We do coordinate with DFTA, and we're a part of a taskforce that I'm sure we'll talk about together with DFTA.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, and any other city agencies?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Well, Correctional Health Services, Department of Correction...

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah, those I understand, so just those three basically? DOC, CHS, and DFTA?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think the range of city agencies depending on the need so DSS, DHS, DOHMH, depending on the particular issue that we're talking about.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. Just trying to get a sense of whether or not there's

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

coordination so it sounds like, you're underselling
yourself because it sounds like you're coordinating
with a lot of agencies so we just like to get that on
the record. Thank you.

Does the City track how many older adults

are coming to New York City each year following their

release from state prisons and, if so, can you share

those numbers?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{DIRECTOR}}$  CASSEL: That's really a State  $$\operatorname{\textsc{DOC}}$  question.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So the City doesn't track that then?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: We don't have a way to track it the way that State DOC does because they're doing the releases, and they're mostly going to parole but if people are just being released, there's not a way to track everyone who's coming back.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. In the testimony, you stated that you expanded your re-entry initiatives to also provide holistic re-entry services to those returning to New York City from state prisons so how does MOCJ make the connection to those serving state prison sentences?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Thank you for the question. The Osborne Association is working in 24 state prisons, if you're interested we can get you the list of those prisons afterwards, and they're providing discharge planning so connecting with folks while they're in custody and then making the connections to our 10 organizations that are in New York City as they are coming home. We also fund 6 of those 10 organizations to provide transportation back to New York City for folks who are coming back and don't have family or friends that they want to come pick them up, and one of our providers also has an office right by Port Authority so for folks that are coming back on public transportation from the really far prisons then that provider is connecting with them as soon as they're coming back.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so it sounds like there is a way then for you to connect to the people who are returning to New York City from state prisons and that that is happening...

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yes, it's absolutely happening. They're contracted to serve 1,000 people a year. We know that that's not the full number of

2.

\_ 1

people who are coming back, but that's what we're able to provide now.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so my question was does the City track how many older adults are coming to New York City each year following their release from state prisons so you would say roughly at least 1,000 people a year is what you're tracking?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: The 1,000 isn't only older adults. That's a re-entry initiative for everybody.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: So we know how many people Osborne is able to connect with through this initiative, but that's not everyone who's coming out.

describe the ways in which the incarcerated older adult population differs from the general incarcerated population, and are there any particular considerations taken into account for incarcerated older adults so essentially is everybody treated the same or is there more care and consideration for those who might be older adults?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Thank you for that question. It's a really important one and in

2.2

2.3

no way meaning to punt this back to other agencies,

but I just think it's very important to note that a

lot of the really deep-rooted services for complex

health and mental health care happen outside of the

auspices of the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice

and happen with Correctional Health Services so I

think that's just a really important thing to note.

tailored to the need of the individual as well so when you're asking about specific needs of an older population, of course we're talking about health, we're talking about oftentimes mental health and the sort of collateral construction of long incarcerations, frequent incarceration, we're talking about housing, family connections, all of which are part of our suite of services, but, again, I just do want to be clear that for some of the really clinical services, that's not under our purview.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Understood, but my understanding was that DOC prepped you all for the hearing. If that didn't happen, did that happen? I understand that you are not Department of Correction or Correction Health Services, but considering the topic, and you all are here representing the

2.2

2.3

2 administration so it would be helpful if we can get 3 some information for some of these questions.

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Chair, if I could just explain. We have been in touch with DOC and we have prepared with them for this hearing, but we have not elicited from them and they have not provided us with the kind of detailed answers to those kinds of questions so where we're referring a question over to DOC or saying that we'll get back to you, that's why.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you.

wouldn't want to misspeak on the specificities of those services, but I think what we have said is, of course, re-entry services for this population need to take into account robust health and mental health services, connections to community care, connections to housing, and that's something that we coordinate across our agency and with other agencies like DOC and CHS.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, I appreciate that. Thank you. The incarceration rate for individuals age 50 years and old has surged in recent decades. The share of this population in state prisons has more than doubled from 2008 to 2021. A

2.

2018 Osborne Association report outlined racial disparity, long sentences, parole denials, and a punitive rather than healing centered and traumatinformed approach to addressing uncertainty regarding violence and risk as reasons behind the incarceration of older adults. What's the City's response to the contributing factors identified by Osborne?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think the response is sort of in the programs that we run.

We've invested heavily in a community-based response with 10 really robust providers with deep expertise in the needs that arise from the terrible circumstances so I think our response is sort of in our action.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so can you describe the efforts to reduce the proportion of incarcerated individuals age 50+?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: I think a part of it in that was highlighted in the Osborne Report is also around parole and individual judicial decision and length of sentences so that's a lot under the State purview, and we, of course, have pretrial diversion programs and alternative-to-incarceration programs that aim to divert people from incarceration,

2.2

everyone, but, of course, older adults as well, and there are case-processing initiatives that are meant to reduce the length of stay so that folks who are held pretrial are able to get to disposition sooner.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Before I continue with my questions, I'm just going to turn it over to a couple of my Colleagues. Council Member Mealy. Just to remind folks that the time for Council Member questions is three minutes. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you, Chair, for this important hearing. I only have a quick two questions, but it seems like they are very unprepared for what I need to know in regards to our older population.

You say the wraparound services in housing, so are you telling me that these programs will pick up the individuals, drop them off in the city, and then just leave them? Is there any preparation for them to go into stable housing? These are seniors.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yeah, so it's sort of a two-part question I think that you're asking. First, while people are incarcerated, they're getting programming inside and then also discharge planning

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

services so it's not the first time that they're meeting someone when they're being picked up.

Obviously, it works a little bit differently from the State or from the City, but when folks are picked up then they're brought to where they're going to be residing or to their parole officer...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Excuse me. I'm not

speaking about that. We have asylum-seekers here now. When they come here, they have a place to go directly, housing somewhere. What has the City done for senior incarcerated individuals? Some people come out 85 years old. Are you telling me the City does not have anything in the works or data to say we know they can't find housing, they know they practically can't find a job, we're going to at least put them in stable housing. That's all I want to know. If not, someone should be getting some data on this. They are not just people that you just discard. We have to have some kind of track record, of who, where they're going, and if we don't do that, this City is not doing a great job. That's the same way with our seniors. They build this city, and now once they get older, you just discard them and not have stable

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1 living conditions where they can know I did 20 years, 2 3 now at least I have a roof over my head. 4 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Thank you

for that and your points are very well-taken. MOCJ has a 50-million-dollar transitional housing initiative that we're currently in the process of standing up.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So we're just starting to do it now?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: No, we've been doing it for many years.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: So how many people have you put in stable housing coming directly out of a state prison?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I can get back to you with those exact numbers. I don't want to misspeak on the state...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Or city? Give it to me. The city right here.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: We'll have to get you back to you with those numbers. It's very doable to do, but what I just want to ...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: It's very doable to do, but this was the opportunity for you to give it

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 to us now, and I feel people have a lot of things to

3 do and once you come to these hearings, we need the

4 | information now that we don't have to keep

5 recidivism, keep coming back, coming back. Come on.

6 Thank you, Chair. This is not enough information for

7 our seniors.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Council Member. Council Member Narcisse.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Good morning and thank you for being here. These are older adults that we're talking about, right, would obviously have an increased need in health, right, services when they're incarcerated in there. Could you estimate the cost of housing a chronically ill incarcerated adult in the prison, in our jails in New York City, approximately, estimating?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I hate to say this, but I think we'll have to get back to you on that. Again, that's a question that requires some coordination with other city agencies and some deeper analysis but understand the impetus behind your question and it's a good one.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: I wish we had it today because that would lead me to something else

2.2

2.3

because I want to know the data because how much it

3 costs for healthcare for an older adult in our jail,

4 | let's say over 75 or 80, so that will lead me to

5 something else. I guess you don't have it. I have to

6 move on.

How many incarcerated older adults access the City's job programs that you have?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: We can speak just for the MOCJ-funded Community Justice Re-entry Network job services, but there are, of course, so many job programs through Small Business Services and other agencies that we don't have the data on, but in terms of the Community Justice Re-entry Network, we have about 1,700 individuals between the ages of 50 and 64, and then 65+ would be about 200 for the last year.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Okay. What metric is used to determine the success of such programming?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: We look at what needs they have coming in and what needs are met.

Obviously, the population overall have various needs, and it depends on the individual so some things as basic as getting your ID when you come out of

incarceration, technology support if you've been away for a long time, housing of course, medical care, behavioral health services so all of those things, and we're checking to make sure that the needs that folks have when they come in are met.

as a nurse I used to do re-entry programming and a lot of adults come out, they don't know how to use a phone, they're frustrated, they're telling them everywhere they go that you can get it online, you can get it on your phone, and they can hardly even use the new technology that we have so one of the things that I think we should focus on before we let people come out, the human being, at least give them the basics.

One more question if I may, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sure.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: Are any special accommodations made in the City programs for formerly incarcerated older adults? I'm asking again because I heard it before too. Thank you. Can you answer that?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Can you say a little bit more about what you mean by special accommodations?

2.2

2.3

they're older so when they come out, like she was saying before, what accommodations do we make for them because technology, educations, because a lot of the program, I used to be a re-entry nurse, but a lot of programs are working in silo, they're not collaborating for someone that's been in there for 20, 30 years so what do you do before you release them? What accommodations that you actually make besides saying this is a number, this is a program to go?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: DOC and State DOCS would be able to speak more to what they're doing inside, but in terms of the transition of coming out and being in the community, all of the re-entry services are very individualized and so case management is responding to those particular needs that folks have that you're, of course, very well aware of. In terms of the technology question, we're also funding John Jay College Institute for Justice and Opportunity. You might be familiar with them. We're funding them to do a Tech 101 course, and that's specifically for people who really have been away for a long time and need those basic tech needs. Now, they've also

2	started a Tech 201 because we know that there's
3	really that technology gap and folks need a lot of
4	support there.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: My time is up, but I want to know how many people you have that actually use those kinds of programs.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{DIRECTOR}}$  CASSEL: Absolutely. We can get that for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER NARCISSE: All right. Thank you, Chair. Appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Non-profit. I'm going to go to State Senator Salazar who I believe is joining us via Zoom.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Hello. Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yes, we can.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Excellent. Thank you. I just want to say thank you, Chair Hudson, Chair Rivera, Members and Staff of the respective City Council Committees on Aging and Criminal Justice for holding this hearing and also for giving me the opportunity to testify.

I'm State Senator Julia Salazar. I represent New York's 18th Senate District including parts of North and East Brooklyn as well as question.

1

3

4

\_

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I serve as the Chair of the State Senate's Crime

Victims Crime and Correction Committee and I also am

the sponsor of the Fair and Timely Parole Act and a

co-sponsor of the Elder Parole Bill.

Parole is ostensibly a mechanism for the State to decide whether or not an individual convicted of a crime with an indeterminate sentence of incarceration should be released from prison into community supervision. The New York State Board of Parole is the sole entity with the authority to grant or deny release to eligible individuals incarcerated in our state's prisons. If the parole board decides to grant parole to an eligible person, they also set the parole conditions including terms under which that person's parole could be revoked due to violation. A fully staffed parole board in New York consists of 19 parole commissioners, but there are currently only 15 commissioners or board members right now as there are currently four vacancies on the board. Data from the Department of Correction and Community Supervision shows that every year the majority of incarcerated individuals legally eligible for parole are denied parole by the board. The percentage of incarcerated New Yorkers granted

25

release after a parole hearing has declined since 2 3 just before the COVID-19 pandemic with only about 36 4 percent of all interviewed parole candidates granted conditional release by the board in 2021 compared to 40 percent granted release in 2019. Both of these 6 7 statistics reflect failures in our state's carceral 8 system which should be preparing individuals for parole and reintegration into society after release and also reflects systemic failures in the parole 10 11 process. Today, New York has the third largest 12 population in the country of people serving terms of 13 life imprisonment. Of all the counties in the state, 14 the highest percutaneous of individuals serving a 15 life sentence right now are from Brooklyn. The Elder 16 Parole Bill would provide that a person of age 55 or 17 older who has served at least 15 years of a sentence 18 would have an interview with the board of parole to 19 determine whether or not they should be released to 20 community supervision, and that interview would be scheduled to occur within 60 days of their 55th 21 2.2 birthday or the last day of the 15th year of their 2.3 sentence, whichever date is later for that individual. If release is not granted, that person 24

would have a subsequent parole interview no more than

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

24 months, two years, after the denial. The bill would also require the parole board to report quarterly to the Governor and State Legislature and the public about the outcomes of elder parole.

Separately, the Fair and Timely Parole Bill would direct the parole board to grant discretionary release on parole unless the eligible individual poses a current and unreasonable risk that cannot be mitigated by parole supervision, and those factors would not be the sole basis of the board's decision. The board would be required to explain in writing the basis for denial of parole and how risk factors were considered and weighed.

I just want to note that neither of the bills if they were to become law would automatically grant release to any individual as they were not circumvent the parole process. The impact of these bills instead would be to increase fairness in parole hearings and allow opportunities for individuals, particularly older adults and people already subject to long-term incarceration, to receive a fair parole interview and no longer be condemned to perpetual punishment. I realize that I may have used my three minutes.

2.

3

1

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: No, you're fine. You can continue.

4 SENATOR SALAZAR: Okay, thank you. In short and looking forward to answering any questions 5 about the legislation, I fully support both the Fair 6 7 and Timely Parole Bill and the Elder Parole Bill. 8 Both of these pieces of legislation are urgent. It is incumbent upon on us in the State Legislature to bring these bills to a vote and make sure that they 10 11 are enacted into law and adequately implemented, but 12 I want to just close by reading a very brief statement from an individual who would not be able to 13 testify at any hearing about this as they're 14 15 currently incarcerated. His name is David. He's been 16 in prison for nearly 35 years in New York State on a 17 25 year to life sentence. He's been denied parole six 18 times. He said that "the parole board has made it 19 crystal clear that the only reason I am still in 20 prison today is because of the nature of my crime. If 21 that is the case, and the record shows unequivocally 2.2 that it is indeed the case, that means I will die in 2.3 prison because the nature of a crime will never change, even though I, myself, have changed. It means 24 I will never be released no matter what level of 25

2.2

2.3

rehabilitation or transformation I achieve." This is the sentiment of many individuals who are serving long sentences that are effectively death sentences in our state prisons and we have the opportunity to act to finally end this injustice.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much,
Senator, for your powerful testimony. We appreciate
you carrying these bills and your support and for
being at the hearing this morning. Thank you.

SENATOR SALAZAR: Thank you, Council Member.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Does anyone have any questions for the Senator? No? Okay. No questions at this time. Thank you.

I'm going to go back to your program on emergency re-entry hotels. It's our understanding that the City has been quietly phasing out the MOCJ Emergency Re-entry Hotel Program which helped reduce the jailed population at Rikers Island and provided housing with supportive services that was normally reserved for those who are able to obtain supportive housing. We've heard that MOCJ is in the process of converting the four existing emergency re-entry

3 that process?

2.2

2.3

Yes, we're very actively engaged in that process. The goal has always been to transition to more sustainable forms of housing, and we expect to have the vast majority of our capacity up and running this summer.

hotels into transitional housing. Where are you in

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so summer 2023?
The vast majority but not all?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I want to be honest. We're working toward being fully online, but there's many moving pieces with setting up new housing of course.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so just bear with me here, for existing emergency re-entry hotels, so vast majority is like three of them roughly?

entry hotels are sort of the part of the larger

Transitional Housing Initiative so all in all there
will be around 950 beds set up through the

Transitional Housing Initiative. The re-entry hotels
are a piece of that so when Anna is talking about

2 standing up the full program, that's the full 950
3 beds by the summer.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I see. Okay, thank you. If this program ends this summer, what will happen to the hundreds of people on the waiting list and the many more languishing at Rikers Island due to lack of housing and can you tell me how many people are on the waiting list?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: I'll let Anna speak to the waiting list and I'll take your other part of the question.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sure, thank you.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Yeah, I would like to get back to you with the exact number of folks on the waiting list, but I know we have over 100.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

part of your question, the housing is not ending in the summer. It will be fully ramped up we're hoping by the summer and then it's continued baseline funding going forward.

2.2

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sorry. I think the program ending in the summer which is the Emergency Re-entry Hotel Program, that's...

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think ending is probably a disingenuous way to say it.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

transitioning. The idea has always been to transition from the existing hotel sites into, again, more sustainable sites for the City. To your really important point about folks coming out of Rikers, this housing is responsive to those needs for immediate placement so we are currently sort of working on how to continue that need for immediate placement for folks coming out of Rikers so just to know that that is a big part of what we are standing up is continuing that off-ramp from Rikers directly to housing.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right. I can appreciate that, but how will eligibility criteria be different and maybe it won't be?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: We're not expecting the eligibility criteria to be different from the

2.2

. 4

a more sustainable form of that housing.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. If and when the Emergency Re-entry Hotels are converted to

Emergency Re-entry Hotels. It's really just moving to

transitional housing, sorry, just asked that.

Does the City plan to have any transitional housing beds dedicated solely for emergency re-entry needs and, if so, how many?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Yeah, thank you for that question. That's something we're currently working on, the exact sort of right size, but yes, that's a very important part of this whole plan and always has been.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you currently have a definition of emergency re-entry, like how that's defined?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think similar to what you, yourself, said is someone directly incarcerated coming out to the community with no other housing. That is a big piece of the definition.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yeah, and I would just add I think it's about the speed of placement so making sure that as soon as they have a release date

that they are immediately able to access that

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

housing. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Especially

for older adults. Just wanted to say, that's always been part of the genesis of this program was working with folks who were especially vulnerable to the effects of COVID-19, many of whom were older New Yorkers coming out of jail and prison so to the earlier point that was made, that immediacy and that sort of warm handoff has always been a part of the creation of this program.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, and so I know that some residents of hotels are only able to be released from Upstate DOCS' custody because certain hotels offered SARA-compliant housing so what will happen to this population of people who are waiting to return home, or I guess another way of asking is all of the housing going to be SARA-compliant?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: We currently have one site that is SARA compliant, and that's always something that the City is looking for, sites that are workable and that are also SARA compliant. We currently have one.

\_

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you know how many beds that is or how many units it will be?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: It's approximately 150.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

 $\mbox{ \begin{tabular}{lll} EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: We can get \\ \mbox{ \begin{tabular}{lll} back to you with more specifics on that. \\ \mbox{ \end{tabular}} \end{array} } \label{table}$ 

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Thank you. I'm going to go back to Council Member Mealy who I think has a couple more questions then I'll come back.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you, Chair. I only have two questions. How many adults end up in the city homeless shelters after being discharged from local and state custody? Does New York City Aging provide any direct services in homeless shelters?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I think those are questions best asked to DHS and to DFTA who are here today too.

months, does the City agree that older adults may have specific needs to cater to their potentially more vulnerable health status? If six months, you say these programs start helping the individuals

\_

J

incarcerated coming out, if someone is in a wheelchair, do you get them permanent housing with ADA compliance? Do you have a data of how many people that you have put in stable housing with disabilities?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Again, we'll have to get back to you on the number of people that have gone from our transitional housing into stable housing and how many of those are older adults.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: We definitely don't have any data really on this population?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Again, we can only speak to what is within our purview as an agency and when you're talking about folks coming home from jail and prison with the need for something like a wheelchair, again that would be part of their medical needs which would be covered by Correction Health Services who I know are preparing written testimony, I know there's a response coming.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Could you describe the financial support, describe all financial support options available to formerly incarcerated individuals?

	ı	

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Sure. Through the

Community Justice Re-entry Network, folks are

provided paid transitional employment, job training

which is often paid, they get hygiene kits and...

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Hygiene kits so things with basic needs...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: They get what?

 $\label{eq:council_member_mealy: finances? How much} \\$  money do that get?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: It's hard to say because they're also being set up with all their public benefits and so...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: SNAP, everything?
DIRECTOR CASSEL: Exactly, that's right.

these resources but what avenue do they go through, instead of going into the shelter in permanent housing, because the majority of the formerly incarcerated ends up in our city homeless shelters so do you have a percentage of how many people did not go to the shelter and went into permanent housing?

Someone has to have this information.

one?

2.2

0.4

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: These are really great questions. They're complicated questions and they're multiagency questions...

many people had COVID in the Upstate, Downstate, and they were set to be released and they'd rather stay in a facility instead of going on the streets because they didn't have permanent housing. We still haven't addressed, imagine how many people are incarcerated and do not have family members or you can see they have someone on record that they have a home to go to, where do you put those individuals? You keep them, they go straight to the shelter and stay?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: What you describe is really the goal for our transitional housing programs. It's to divert someone from having to...

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: And we only have

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: No.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: SARA, well SARA is the main one right now, right?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: No, that's

only for folks that have that designation.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Okay. So how do you 3 get that designation?

designation, you're asking? That's for folks whose charge is related to a sexually based offense. That has to do with sort of the geographical constraints on where folks can live.

COUNCIL MEMBER MEALY: Thank you. I'll look it up.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you, Council Member. I'm going to go to Council Member Hanif.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you so much. Thanks for being here this morning. I want to get into about the therapeutic housing units at Bellevue, Woodhall, and North Central Bronx Hospitals. Would you be prepared to respond to questions which I prepared for DOC, but I know that you coordinated in some capacity?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: I'm sorry. Those are Correction Health and DOC questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Could you describe a little about how the coordination between DOC occurs, and not just in preparation for hearings but just in general?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yeah, in terms of in-3 custody programming and discharge planning, we are very, very closely coordinated, talking all the time. 4 A lot of the providers that we fund to do the transitional planning and the in-community re-entry 6 7 services overlap with the DOC contracted service providers who provide in-custody programming so we're 8 constantly in communication about sort of the incustody and moving to re-entry kind of referral 10 11 process.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: That excludes the medical needs or those requiring medical attention?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: That's really between DOC and Correction Health.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: By City

Charter, there is a very bright line between DOC

programs and services and Correction Health programs

and services, really in order to protect the privacy

and the care of folks who are in custody. Those

things do not overlap.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: I understand that, but I guess if you could provide some clarity on the housing piece of it. Given that there is a re-entry hotel program that's transitioning and then these

therapeutic housing units, would that not all fall

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

into one conversation?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: That's a great point and thank you for that. Yes, MOCJ, our contracted housing providers, our folks on the ground in those housing sites coordinate very closely with Correction Health Services and DOC at the time of release and beyond to make sure that the care that was started in custody isn't incongruous with what's happening in the community, so yes, of course, that happens on a daily basis.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay, so then specifically about, for example, the Bellevue units going live, when will they be ready to accept patients you wouldn't have the information?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE:

Unfortunately not that, that's not something we have access to.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Okay. We will follow up with DOC for the responses to these questions because I think they're really critical to the questions that Council Member Narcisse asked about earlier and ensuring that the units at Bellevue and then the Woodhall units are prepared and on time

1	CRIMINAL JUSTICE 52
2	to open up in 2023, but thank you so much. That
3	provides some clarity.
4	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Perfect timing. Thank
5	you. I just want to mention for the record and thank
6	MOCJ and also DFTA for being here, and I will ask
7	that you join the table because we're going to ask
8	this for you in just a second, but for the record we
9	did ask DHS, Correction Health Services, NYCHA,
10	Libraries, DOC, and State DOCS to all be present for
11	this hearing. If you don't mind. You want to do the
12	oath?
13	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Should we
14	sit down to make room or would you like us all
15	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Oh, no, you should
16	stay. Thank you.
17	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Okay.
18	COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Good morning. Please
19	raise your right hand.
20	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
21	truth, and nothing but the truth and to respond
22	honestly to Council Member questions?

: Yes, I do. 23

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. Proceed.

24

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

Okay, sorry. Thank you for bearing with me. I would love for you all to describe the coordination process between city agencies, Mayoral Offices, and contracted providers to serve the formerly incarcerated adult population and specifically, does NYC Aging proactively reach out to older adults released from incarceration to connect them with case management services?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Good

morning. Thank you so much for hosting this hearing today and bringing attention to this important issue and, of course, to highlight the particular needs and services for older adults. We work very closely and deliberately to constantly promote the many services that we have through New York City Department for the Aging which I could talk at length about, and you see it hopefully on the trains the efforts we've made around the Join Us campaign to continue to reinforce and to bring older adults back to our older adult centers and to become aware of Aging Connect and our service system. When an older adult comes into our service system, we're working with them as an individual to respond to the particular needs that

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

they have identify and would like support around. Of course, through the CARE taskforce, we work very closely with our partners here at MOCJ as well as reentry groups such as Osborne who I see are here today (INAUDIBLE) to work as a system to support and respond to the needs of older adults coming back to the community.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. That sounds like folks who come to older adult centers and some way find themselves engaging with NYC Aging might have access to some resources, but I'm wondering if NYC Aging proactively does any outreach to older adults released from incarceration to then connect them with case management services. Is there like a direct line between folks who are coming out and NYC Aging?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: There's not a formal linkage kind of the way you're describing, Chair. What there is is this ongoing relationship that we have with our partners, re-entry and our city partners. Of course within the construct of the CARE taskforce, we're always looking at ways to improve and respond to the needs of older adults

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

these relationships. CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: You mentioned the

including the re-entry population, but it's through

campaign that you have in the subway and stuff like that. Is there anything that you do to promote case management services to incarcerated older adults who are currently incarcerated, so information at Rikers for example?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: We're not working directly with populations that are in Rikers so what we do is through our city partners provide the information about the myriad of services and resources that New York City Aging provides based on the individual needs of the person so that they have the information and can work to refer and triage as indicated.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. How quickly can recently released older adults access case management services in order to obtain public benefits assistance?

## ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

Immediately. As soon as someone comes into our system, if you're talking specifically about case management, we have a lot of different services. I

1
- 1
_

2.2

2.3

think you know, Chairwoman, that case management is very specific in terms of the clients we serve and the functional limitations and the work we do to support aging in place so if an older adult is formerly incarcerated and they call a case management agency, an intake will be conducted immediately. During the intake process, immediate needs will be identified which could be things like food or home care or benefits and entitlements, and that will be addressed either directly or through triage referral partnership during the intake and subsequent

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Are formerly incarcerated older adults able to fully participate in older adult center programming?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME:

Absolutely.

conversations.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. That's good to hear. Do any older adult centers offer specific programming or resources for those recently released older adults or how do OACs otherwise connect with this population which sounds like only when they come into an OAC?

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yes, only when they come into the older adult center. We're working with older adults as individuals. When an older adult walks in, you never know what their history is, what their story is, what their needs are, so our staff through our provider network and the hundreds of older adult centers we have out there are trained and supported and responsive to the reentry population. We do have a small amount of providers, for example, I know the Jewish Association for Services for the Aging, JASA, has some specific targeted programming, not directly funded through the city but that does focus on formerly incarcerated population, but generally speaking we respond to the need of the individual which would absolutely include re-entry needs.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Great. Do you have any direct working relationship with MOCJ or the Department of Correction as it relates to the incarcerated older adult population?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Through the CARE taskforce, I would say some of us know each other pretty well in terms of thinking through as a

2.2

2.3

City how to be most responsive to the needs of this population.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. This would be specific to your agencies, but it's a general question. Are there any city-sponsored services that you're aware of that deny individuals based on criminal history?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: No.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: No? Okay. NYC Aging's Grandparent Resource Centers provide services for older adults with primary caregiver responsibilities such as workshops on legal issues and benefits, referrals and information, and peer-support groups. Do these centers provide any specific information or resources for grandparents caring for children whose parents are incarcerated?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: Yes.

Thank you and thank you for bringing attention to the group in general. We've seen a rise in kinship care as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic. People are coming into kinship care arrangements for a variety of reasons, certainly incarceration being a significant one so, again, we have skilled social workers and case workers that have a pretty deep

understanding of the needs of that population and

2.2

2.3

would respond to the older adults or to the youth, it's really a whole-family approach if you will, in order to be responsive to their needs. The Grandparent Resource Center, they also do a lot of educational work, whether it's with the older adult or with the youth so this is something that they would absolutely be responsive to, and I think you're probably aware so many of our Grandparent Resource Center services are targeted to high-crime NYCHA communities so this is something we're very intentional and have been there for a long time through some of the work that originated through the MAP programs to be responsive to the needs of this

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Then for MOCJ, does MOCJ currently receive funding for specialized re-entry services focused on older adults who are 50+.

population including the re-entry population.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Our re-entry resources are really for everyone, and, like we were saying before, it's very individualized so whatever the individual needs, the providers are set up to address those needs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: So no specific funding for the older adult population?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Right, not specifically.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Back to Aging, has NYC Aging ever monitored conditions in which older adults are forced to live in state prisons to better understand their needs upon re-entry. For example, I understand there's a unit for people with cognitive impairments and other major illnesses at Fishkill so are you taking a look at those specific conditions and then using that information to determine the type of services you might need to provide?

ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER HERASME: That's a great question. Our service system is really focused on community care and responding to the needs of older adults in the community so that really goes beyond the purview of what we do.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. I quess also a general question for both agencies. Is there anything specifically that you are aware of, whether programs or services, to provide specific resources and information for formerly incarcerated older women, whether they're like seeing employment, housing,

2.2

2.3

anything like that? I know your services serve everybody, but is there anything specific for older women?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: For women generally, one of our contracted re-entry service providers is the Women's Prison Association. Many of our other providers also serve women but WPA specifically only serves women and TGNC individuals.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: This is not a MOCJ-funded program and I know Osborne is in the room today, but just want to mention the amazing work that Osborne's Elder Re-entry Initiative does that works with women as well as men in custody and in the community so that would be the best example I can think of.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, thank you. Just going back to the CARE taskforce, that hasn't met in over a year so can either MOCJ or DFTA give us an update on the status of the taskforce?

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CALABRESE: Thank you.

Yes. We met as a taskforce for the better part of two
years through the height of the pandemic. We did a

lot of work together that has informed the
programming in both of our re-entry housing

initiatives as well as our community re-entry services, but we haven't convened because I think we sort of came to a natural close, having put together work that again informed some of our key programs. I don't know what you would say.

personally valued and the agency certain values the collaboration and partnership and thinking that has culminated through the partnership of people here as well as people from Osborne and Correction and all of our city partners. We look forward to continuing that work and thinking to be most responsive to the needs of the older population, which are complex and show up in a lot of ways that came up here in some of the Q and A, financial stability, access to benefits and entitlements, health, mental health, housing.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Is there going to be a report that's issued from the taskforce?

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Let me just say
we are interested in continuing the work of the
taskforce. As the witnesses have indicated, that work
continues apart from the meetings of the taskforce,
but we are certainly open to and happy to talk to the
Council about steps towards restarting the taskforce

2.3

and continuing that work via the taskforce as well as through the agencies that have been participating and are coordinating together now.

 $\label{eq:chairperson hudson: Okay, that would be great. Thank you. \\$ 

Can you describe all financial support options that are available to formerly incarcerated individuals?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Is that a question around our re-entry services and how they're connecting folks?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah.

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Like we had mentioned before, folks are connected with benefits, entitlements, and so it's an individualized case process.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. We are going to start with our public testimony. Thank you all so much for being here. Thank you for your time.

I'm really sorry. I do have one other question I forgot about from Council Member Lee who had to leave. It's a set of questions actually so forgive me. I do apologize.

2.2

Under MOCJ's Atlas Program, the Office of Neighborhood Safety works to support individuals released on their own recognizance that could benefit from additional voluntary neighborhood-based support. Can you please describe this program and explain how it supports individuals released on their own recognizance?

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: That basically describes the program, but we can get back to you with more specific information. The Office of Neighborhood Safety is not here today, and we would have to look to them to provide the details.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Does MOCJ's

Atlas program work with older adults at all, and, if
so, how many older adults are participants?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Currently, Atlas is just young people and they're in the process of expanding to adults so that hasn't happened yet. They're standing it up right now.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Do you know the timing for that?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: I don't. We'd have to get back to you.

1	COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON  CRIMINAL JUSTICE  65
2	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. How much
3	funding does the program provide?
4	GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Again, we will
5	speak with the Office of Neighborhood Safety and get
6	back to you, but we don't have that information at
7	the moment.
8	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Is there a wait
9	list or higher demand than capacity for the program?
10	DIRECTOR CASSEL: I think they're fully
11	serving young people right now, and I know they don't
12	have a wait list for young people, and they're
13	standing up the adult portion of the program now.
14	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Does the program link
15	individuals with more permanent supports like
16	housing, jobs, etc.? What's the age range currently?
17	Is there like an age cutoff?
18	GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: We'll have to
19	make sure that we have this right so tentatively we'd
20	say 18 to 25.
21	CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: We'll have to get back to you...

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Does it link them with more permanent supports like housing and jobs?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay. Are there individual peer mentors in this program who provide

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Yes, it does.

GENERAL COUNSEL GOLDIN: Yes.

one-on-one support for the population served?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Are there other similar programs to Atlas that provide wraparound support for individuals who are incarcerated for longer periods and are leaving jail?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: Yeah, so that's really the services of our Community Justice Re-entry Network.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, and then the last one. Veteran Treatment Courts, aka VTCs, provide a method of support for justice-involved veterans by connecting them to appropriate services like mental health and substance use disorder support in a court setting. Are you aware of any similar government programs that support justice-involved individuals including older adults in a court setting?

DIRECTOR CASSEL: There are a variety of treatment courts and specialty courts so for substance use, ATI, kind of court parts as well so I don't know if that's answering the question but there

You can proceed when you're ready.

24

22

2.3

Frederick Robinson.

2.2

2.3

FREDERICK ROBINSON: Thank you very much.

I am honored to be here. Let me give a little pedigree before I begin my comments.

My name is Frederick Drew Robinson. I am 66 years old. I spent 20 years in the New York State prison system. Before I was arrested, I received a bachelor's degree from the University of Pennsylvania in political science. I completed my master's degree at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey in the New Brunswick Campus.

I have to say and I need some time to settle myself that a lot of things that a lot of things that I have heard so far in this hearing frustrated me, bewildered me, and this is, of course, no slight to the esteemed ladies and gentlemen who testified before me, but I'm sure everyone in this room knows that there is a marked difference between theory and practice, and the military would say that sometimes the situation on the ground doesn't mirror the operational plan. Let me say this too, I am a former member of the Transitional Housing units that you previously spoke of. My responsibilities were as case manager and because of situations that I won't discuss here I decided to retire in December of last

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

year. In my retirement, I now consult with several 2 3 groups, the Religious Society of Friends which is 4 commonly known as the Quakers, have established a Prisons Committee which I am a member of, and I'm also a Quaker in my faith and so I am part of the 6 7 Religious Society of Friends and we will support and 8 have supported persons who are coming home from prison. I also consult with the Legal Aid Society, and we just started a new unit of attorneys that are 10 11 going to be going into facilities to do parole

preparation and advocate for their release.

It's commonly said when you enter the prison system that the wisest prisoner prepares for their release the day they enter the system. I think it's really important to know that our part in it with all of the support and whatnot that is available to us, it is incumbent upon us to have such a plan. You certainly have enough time to formulate one and so I became part of what was called the Think Tank. We grew out of a group of gentlemen who actually were involved in the Attica Riots and since they do have things in the system like the ILC, which stands for the Inmate Liaison Committee, so that prisoners' grievances and issues can be heard. With that being

25

said, many times we wanted answers to the very 2 3 questions, Chairwoman, that you asked and we couldn't 4 get them and I find it conspicuous that the persons that should be answering your questions are not here, and so we established a yearly symposium bringing all 6 7 of the caretakers in this process because it is a 8 collaborative process. It's the city administrators, it's parole, the Department of Correction which at my time when I entered the system were two separate 10 11 entities but now it has merged, it's law enforcement, 12 it's church groups, and we would bring all of these 13 parties to the facility for a daylong symposium, 14 including academics, professors from John Jay, 15 professors from Columbia Law School, and we would 16 have a discussion about these very issues, 17 understanding that a lot of the expertise in the room 18 were wearing green. I don't have the particular 19 academic or professional training as some of the 20 esteemed ladies and gentlemen who testified before 21 me, but I gained my education about these issues from 2.2 serving 20 years inside. Now, it's an education I 2.3 wouldn't advocate anyone, anyone get, but I tell you my 20 years inside, I learned a lot more than you 24

could ever learn in a classroom. That being said, I

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

think that one of the things that we always advocated was for greater coordination between outside groups and those of us who were incarcerated. It is really, well I won't say too late, but you are up against the eight ball if you wait to connect with these services when you get out. The most successful programs I've seen are ones in which agencies came into the facility and began their training then, began their intake and assessment then so that persons who are released know exactly where to go because when you step out at Port Authority or Penn Station or however it is you arrive back to the City, you are in a state of flummox, you experience anxiety, and if you don't have that support at that moment then your odds decrease. We talk about 30, 60, 90. Thirty days out, you're really at risk. Sixty days, 90, and the longer that you stay confused or disconnected to supportive services, the greater the risk of your returning to prison. It is a direct correlation.

I experienced it. I was released a year and a half ago, exactly 15 months really, September 7, 2021. I walked out of Penn Station, and I had an anxiety attack. Everything after 20 years was different, moving faster than the speed of light, and

\_\_\_

I liken it to returning to earth after spending time on the surface of the moon. I had to sit down on the main branch of the post office steps just to gather myself, but I had a plan. I actually had it written down. I actually had formulated my plan, what we call a release plan, I submitted that to the board. I believe that it was very instrumental in the board deciding to let me go, the fact that I had a plan, and that included contact names, numbers, what have you, any training or whatnot I did in order to make myself more likely to remain at liberty, and so after I got myself together and my head stopped spinning, I pulled out my plan and I started following it step by step.

I've heard a lot of things, and I know I have limited time. I cannot really address them really in this space and time, but I will say this, there's a saying we always used to say, if you fail to plan, you plan to fail. It's just that simple.

I do want to touch upon two things in particular, and I'm glad that my esteemed Colleague, I say that everybody who serves time in prison, whether you be male or female, it's like a sorority and a fraternity you wouldn't advise your friends to

25

pledge to so I'm sure that these persons who are 2 sitting here with me can readily understand what  ${\tt I'm}$ 3 4 talking about. Particularly in my own personal 5 experience, I was lucky. Three weeks after I was released, I became employed by Exodus Transitional 6 7 Community and enjoyed that term of employment as I 8 said up to December 31st of last year, but with all of the things that I had to really confront and there are so many obstacles we don't have time to enumerate 10 11 them all, the biggest one was housing, and I will 12 tell you a personal anecdote that just will highlight 13 it exactly. When I was arrested, I was living in Harlem in a one-bedroom apartment and I was paying 14 15 850 dollars a month. Currently, that same apartment is 2,950, and one of my biggest fears when I was 16 17 returning home was how am I going to afford these 18 rents, how am I going to afford to live. I started 19 feeling like a tourist in my hometown because I can't 20 afford to live here, and I'm sure many people, 21 particularly of our age, who get out, if you don't 2.2 have family support say oh, man, this is going to be 2.3 hard, where am I going to live, and you have to have, and I will say this briefly and I will end, you have 24

to have permanent housing because that is the basis

25 liable.

of which you have a base of operations if you have permanent housing. You all know that the federal definition I believe still is that anyone who does not have, who is not permanently domiciled, is considered homeless so if you're sleeping on your brother's couch, you're sleeping on your mom's couch, you're still homeless, and that is not conducive towards you being able to provide all of the other things that you need to do.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Absolutely.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: So now you get

frustrated. We all know what happens. You revert back to the very behaviors that caused you to go to prison in the first place and so with that particularly, and I will defer to this gentleman because I think he's going to talk about it, this probably even increases for persons with disabilities. I know of a gentleman right now who made the board eight months ago, and he is still in DOCS custody because he cannot find ADA-approved housing, and for liability reasons, and I totally understand this, the Department will not release you unless you have adequate ADA housing because if something happens to you then they're

2	CHAIRPERSON	HUDSON:	Thank	vou

2.2

2.3

microphone on, please.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: So you're stuck,

you're stuck.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for your testimony. We do have to move on.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: You're very welcome.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: We do appreciate it.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for being here and thank you for your time.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: Thank you very much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Jose Vega. Turn your

JOSE VEGA: First, I would like to thank everyone present at this event, especially the New York City Council Members on re-entry. This is a special memorial occasion for me. My name is Jose Vega. I am 51 years of age. I was formerly incarcerated. I was released from prison in June of 2018 after nearly 25 years of incarceration. I am a level T3 paraplegic which means that I am completely paralyzed from my chest down with numerous medical issues. Being wheelchair-bound for nearly 25 years in prison was hell. I had to learn to litigate for the

1 disabled wheelchair people to be afforded the rights 2 3 and accommodations under the Americans with 4 Disabilities Act. I thought that once I was released 5 from prison, I would be leaving the hell, pain, and suffering behind me. I was wrong. After I was 6 7 released, everywhere I sought housing assistance I 8 was turned down. There was no suitable housing available for formerly incarcerated people with disabilities like me. I was told over and over to go 10 11 to a shelter, but shelters in New York City are not 12 ADA accessible for people with disabilities like me, 13 but I did not leave prison hell to go to another hell. The public shelter system is a living nightmare 14 15 for those walking. It is unmanageable for a person that is wheelchair-bound with disabilities like 16 17 myself. My mom and dad opened the door to their onebedroom senior citizen apartment. The apartment was 18 19 not wheelchair accessible. I could not enter the 20 bathroom toilet area or the kitchen and, perhaps 21 worse, my mom and dad lived in constant fear of 2.2 losing their apartment if the landlord discovered 2.3 that I was living with them. They willingly gave up their tranquility and privacy for me. I couldn't ask 24

for better parents. They took care of me for nearly

25

25

25 years of incarceration. I did not want to be a 2 burden on them so for four years I kept reaching out 3 4 to all re-entry service providers I knew or heard of, filling out hundreds of housing applications. I never gave up, and when I was serving a life prison 6 7 sentence with a disability or placed in solitary 8 confinement and deprived of my wheelchair and medical supplies as form of punishment for fighting my rights, I wasn't going to give up now. I am not here 10 11 solely because of my resolve. I am here because Miss Christina Green and Mr. Joseph Soto (phonetic) heard 12 13 my story and decided to find a way to help. A simple 14 act of kindness blossomed into a place that I could 15 call home. I can maneuver around the entire 16 apartment, bedroom, kitchen, bathroom, and the 17 hallway, gym facilities, lounge, and patio area. For 18 the first time in close to 30 years, I can say that I 19 have a home and it's all because of the Osborne 20 Association, Miss Green, Mr. Soto, and CC Management. 21 Thanks for creating such a wonderful and beautiful 2.2 community environment and thanks for everyone 2.3 involved for bringing a vision of Marcus Garvey Housing Development into reality and for giving 24

returning citizens who are wheelchair-bound, disabled

25

a safe place we could call home. As happy as I am 2 3 today, my journey does not end until ADA-appropriate 4 housing is available for all disabled wheelchairbound returning citizens. Towards this goal, I am honored to be a part of Osborne and RAPP to help in 6 any way possible. They reached out to me and helped 8 me when no other organization would. A special thanks and endless to mom, who has been my greatest inspiration throughout my life, especially the most 10 difficult times in my life, and my dad who was always 11 my hero. I miss my dad. He passed on during the 12 13 epidemic, my younger brother also who's always been 14 there for me. I also would like to thank my friend 15 and mentor, Mr. Jose Saldana, who is here today, 16 Director of RAPP, and his wife for allowing me to 17 sleep over the many times when I had nowhere to stay 18 because I couldn't by the security guard at my mom's 19 senior citizen apartment. I love you. Let's not 20 forget (INAUDIBLE) President of Inclusion, a woman 21 who is also wheelchair-bound for always being willing to extend a helping hand to a fellow traveler. Men 2.2 2.3 and women who are elderly or disabled coming home from prison need to be assisted, helped, and guided 24

on finding work, housing, appropriate medical care,

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and basic daily needs on how to survive in the new way of life after serving many years in prison and, for many like me, decades.

To all those with disabilities who are suffering the hardship I endured, don't lose faith or give up hope. Remember, the worst day free is better than the best day in prison.

I also enclosed a copy of my complaint that I filed when I was in prison, what we go through living with a disability and the issues we go through coming home from prison. My counselor told me, Jose, I won't be able to find you housing. It might take up to two years for me being released from prison after I was paroled. He told me let's release you to your parents' house and you deal with the issue when you come out because, if not, it might take you another two years to be released because we can't find you housing assistance for people with disabilities, and I'm not the only going through it. Like he said, his friend has been waiting eight months to get released because they can't find housing for people with disabilities, and this is an issue that people with disabilities are going through now and we need help with that. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much for sharing your testimony, and that's exactly why we're here today at this hearing, to make sure that we can hear from folks like you and get ideas and figure out how to make it better for everybody re-entering into society but particularly those who are most marginalized, most vulnerable like people living with disabilities such as yours so thank you.

JOSE VEGA: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Willa Lopez.

WILLA LOPEZ: It's a privilege being here with y'all. My English is not too perfect, but I try, okay.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: That's okay.

WILLA LOPEZ: It's a privilege being here with y'all to hear our story. I did 27 years and 6 months incarcerated. I was 29 years old. When I came home, I was 55. April 19, I came home last year. It's going to be a year now that I'm home, and being incarcerated and having children while being incarcerated it was very hard for me. I even lost my family members. I lost my mother, three brothers from cancer, I'm a cancer survivor too. Osborne

Association, they used to work with the women because

in prison, there's not a lot of resources for women, 2 3 and we have to do the best that we could do to get 4 together and help each other and help each out, and if you don't have a family member it's very hard because anything you get paid, it's like some jobs, 6 7 10 cents an hour, 15 cents an hour, so to get hygiene and to get our stuff, it was very hard, especially 8 with mental illness and when you're sick, they don't really want to take care of you in the prison system. 10 11 I want to say too that when I went to prison, I 12 finished school when I was only in ninth grade, and 13 my reading level was a 2.1 when I went to prison. Now, I haven't gone back to school, but I'm going to 14 15 go back to school. I was a 7.9 in my reading. It's very painful. They gave me 15 years to life sentence, 16 17 and I did 27 years with 6 months. I was very 18 battered. My kids too, they were both abused by my spouse, and if I had the mentality that I have now I 19 know I wouldn't have gone to prison. I want to thank 20 21 Exodus, they put me in one of the hotels when I came 2.2 home. Before I came home, in November I received a 2.3 letter from Christina Green, the Osborne Association, because I was already 55, and I came home on April 24 25 21. My case manager, Miss Elizabeth Colon (phonetic),

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

she called and she said Miss Lopez is home so they sent an application, now they send it through fax, and I signed the application and they say they're going to bump up my application and I had my hearing in July and I moved in November 1, 2022, to my own apartment.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Congratulations.

WILLA LOPEZ: Thank you so much. My kids, all of them, they were very small. I have one son. He's incarcerated since 2010. He just was 21 years old, and he has like 20 years to 30. He's in PA Correctional Facility. I was living a double life in prison. My son incarcerated, me incarcerated because I couldn't be there for him. We used to write each other. Now we communicate and we talk on the phone. My kids, they've been (INAUDIBLE) to with their foster parents that they have so the system, they take away your kids and then they put them in other hands and they be abused in other ways. I just want to be (INAUDIBLE) what we went through in prison, what we go through in prison. There's no programs in prison. Everything is stripped away from us, and it's like they have the control over us, even if you do everything good for them, they want to double punish

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

were concerned.

24

you even more. You're already paying one sentence and then you have to pay a double sentence. I want the system to change more because I just was 29 years old. I came home at 55. I turned 56 April 28 and now I'm going to be 57, and I hope that you will be able to help us. Now, especially for the youth now, it's getting lost. 15, 16, 17 year olds, they're going to have to now do 25, 30, 40 years in prison.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah. Thank you so much for sharing. That's exactly, again, why we have this hearing and why we want to make sure that the services for re-entry, that we're holding the agencies responsible for those services accountable and that they can provide the best services possible, knowing that what happens in prison is out of our control, out of my control, but we want to make sure that what we can control or help at least influence can be made to the best that it can be. By the way, your English is perfect.

WILLA LOPEZ: Yeah? I'm getting better.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: I don't know why you

\_

WILLA LOPEZ: It's a privilege to come here, and I want to contribute to society because all of the years, everything was taken away from me.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right.

WILLA LOPEZ: So I have my plan for me to come home, I want to contribute to society.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: We're lucky to have all of you as active contributors to society. Thank you all, again.

I think Council Member Restler, who we've been joined by, may have a couple of questions for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you so much. Let me just firstly thank you, Chair Hudson, for your leadership on this issue. It couldn't be more important and really deeply appreciate you holding this hearing today and your leadership.

Miss Lopez, tu hablas ingles mucho mejor que mi español.

WILLA LOPEZ: Gracias. Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: You spoke beautifully and so movingly. I wanted to ask about

your experience in the Exodus hotel. Where were you

staying?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

WILLA LOPEZ: When I came home, they put me in 31st Street, the Wolcott.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: What kind of services and supports did you get at that hotel?

WILLA LOPEZ: Okay. They have case managers. They had a doctor there. Everything. I cannot complain. I cannot complain. They treated me so beautiful. They welcomed me home after doing so many years, and then the case manager says because I would go to the store, come back and just stay inside, he said no, Miss Lopez, you're free. Just don't go to Puerto Rico, and they really, really helped me a lot because I have a (INAUDIBLE) for the Legal Aid Society for the domestic violence (INAUDIBLE) My case is going through court because of that, and they really, really have helped me so much. They helped me with my mental health, they helped me to get back on my feet, with my (INAUDIBLE), with clothing that I needed, and they still today, I'm still with the program, and I want to thank Julio Medina because he opened it when he came home, he had did a lot of years, he was in prison, and he opened a little re-entry and he expanded, and I had met him

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

the first time personally and thank you because he even opened it for women too.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Right. I really appreciate you highlighting this experience. I was fortunate to have one of these re-entry hotels in my District, and on a couple of occasions I just popped in to see how things were going, uninvited, and I will tell you the conversations that I had with the clients of Exodus who were staying at the Tillary Hotel at the time were just incredibly inspiring, and we have seen a major decline in the state prison population, but as many people are coming home, especially older adults, they have nowhere to go, and the shelter system is not equipped to meet their needs, and what we've seen in these re-entry hotels is specialized services to support re-entry, stable housing, connections to jobs. I was talking to individuals who were not only working full-time and doing great work with BlocPower and other organizations but were also doing advocacy work on behalf of formerly incarcerated individuals in their spare time, and while I was disappointed to see the Adams' administration change management from Exodus to Housing Works because I think Exodus had been

2	doing a very good job, I'm even more disappointed by
3	the decline in the number of hotels that are
4	operating. This was a new initiative that came about
5	during the pandemic and what was I think six or seven
6	hotels is now down to half of that, and we do not
7	have the capacity that we need, the beds that we need
8	in these hotels to provide specialized care to people
9	exiting incarceration and so I just want to say I
10	really appreciate you sharing your story and
11	highlighting how important it is for us to have
12	specialized capacity to provide stable housing with
13	services as people are exiting incarceration. You're
14	a great example of that. In just a years' time, you
15	already have a beautiful new apartment and are doing
16	so well so it's great to hear your story and thank
17	you for sharing and thank you, Chair Hudson, for

WILLA LOPEZ: I want to say one thing,
too. The Osborne Association, Christina Green, the CC
Management, the case managers there that we have
there too is a lot of support for us. It isn't like
we're just there in our apartment. They really give
us a lot of support, and it's something that
everybody needs when they come home from prison.

giving me the chance to speak.

who are involved in those organizations...

2.2

2.3

council Member Restler: Absolutely. It's one of the things we're so fortunate in New York City is the quality of the community-based organizations that provide re-entry services like Osborne, like Fortune, like Exodus, and Housing Works and so many others, we have a phenomenal landscape of really good providers that can help people get through hard times and I want to thank each and every one of the people

WILLA LOPEZ: I want to (INAUDIBLE) when I first got there, Miss Elizabeth Colon, that's my case manager, Mr. Gary Brown, he's like a supervisor, everybody there just like picked me up because let me tell you, I didn't know how to use a cell phone, nothing, I came to a new world, 27 years this month in prison, and they used to write everything down for me. I said please write on piece of paper how to take the train, do this, sometimes (INAUDIBLE) I used to cry. I said, God, please, help me, I have to go to my (INAUDIBLE) I don't want to be late, I have to do my programs, I don't want to be late. It's like very hard and still adjusting to society.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

WILLA LOPEZ: I'm still adjusting to society, but it was very, very...

JOSE VEGA: These organizations need to

JOSE VEGA: Can I say one more thing before...

WILLA LOPEZ: Very hard.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Sure.

get together and really help people coming home with disabilities because it's difficult. None of these hotels are equipped to deal with people with disabilities like me, and this is something that focus needs to become on because there's a lot of people suffering. I just had a friend of mine that he was forced to stay in a shelter because he's disabled and he lost both of his legs because they had him sleeping on a bed that wasn't ADA-accessible to meet his needs, and that's something that we need to focus on with all these organizations because Osborne reached out to me and they made an apartment ADAaccessible for me because Miss Green (INAUDIBLE) heard my story, and a lot of these buildings are being built and stuff and they're not being made, nobody's thinking about people with disabilities, and this is something that needs to be done because

2.2

2.3

2 there's a lot of people suffering with disabilities
3 in these shelters, especially the elderly.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Absolutely.

JOSE VEGA: That's something that needs to be done. Thank you. I appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Thank you all again.

FREDERICK ROBINSON: Could I just address the gentleman's question?

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Yeah, if you can quickly because we have a whole lot of other folks who are lined up to speak as well.

understand. Listen, part of the most important part, and I've heard this term used a lot in this room today, is case management. Now, the best case managers in the hotels, I worked at the Wyndham Gardens in Fresh Meadows Queens, is one that, everybody's situation is different so you have to be a good listener, but the important thing is to assess right then and right there, we had people come in the vans from Rikers Island and walk in our door, assess immediately what their needs are and then the best case managers, if you don't have the answers or you

2	don't particularly know where to go to get them, you
3	start to do your research so we put people in touch
4	with, the most important thing, of course, was you
5	got to get your vital documents, you come with no
6	documents whatsoever, the New York State prison ID is
7	only good for not even 90 days, 45 days, something
8	like that, so you've got to get a (INAUDIBLE) New
9	York State driver's license or a driver's license ID,
10	you have to get your Social Security card. Many
11	people don't have their birth certificate, and you
12	can't go through the process of navigating all the
13	other bureaucratic stuff if you don't have this
14	documentation so the very first thing we do is make
15	sure that everybody gets their vital documents then
16	you can get plugged into whatever other services you
17	may need.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. Thank you all again so much. Thank you for being here.

WILLA LOPEZ: You're welcome. Thank you for hearing our story  $\overline{\mbox{(INAUDIBLE)}}$ 

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Before we get ready for our next panel, we're going to hear from Senator Hoylman on Zoom.

2.2

2.3

Parole.

SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: Thank you, Chair.

Very good to see you. State Senator Brad HoylmanSigal here. Just wanted to recognize my amazing

Colleague, Senator Julia Salazar, for all of her

efforts in connection with the issue that I'm

testifying about regarding Elder Parole and the fact

of our aging New Yorkers currently incarcerated and

the need to take action in connection with that. I

just wanted to thank the Committee on Aging and the

Committee on Criminal Justice and your resolution

which is so important, Resolution 241 calling upon us

in the New York State Legislature to pass and the

Governor to sign Fair and Timely Parole and Elder

I represent the 47th District in

Manhattan which runs from Christopher Street in the

Village six miles up to West 103rd, and I am Senate

sponsor of Elder Parole legislation, S2423. Our Elder

Parole bill, which I carry with Assembly Member

Davila, would help remedy punitively long and

ineffective sentences by guaranteeing a parole

hearing, not parole but a hearing, for those age 55

and older who have served at least 15 years, and

you'll hear from Senator Salazar, if you haven't

heard already, that her bill would provide a more meaningful parole review process for incarcerated people who are already parole-eligible.

We sponsor these bills because long prison sentences without a meaningful chance for parole don't keep anyone safe or deter crime. They just keep people languishing in jail cells for decades after they've been rehabilitated.

There are roughly 9,000 to 10,000 New Yorkers serving life sentences, surpassing states like Texas and Georgia. 3,500 New Yorkers in state prisons have already served 20 years. Roughly 1 in 4 of those are now 50 or older, and the average age of death in New York state prisons is 58 years old so as have been said by advocates since we've began this campaign while we don't have the death penalty here in New York, we have a new death sentence which is death-by-incarceration.

The need for Elder Parole is higher than ever. Over the last two decades, the number of incarcerated older New Yorkers has more than doubled to over 10,000, even as the overall prison population has fallen by 30 percent so you have the doubling of the older population while at the same time the

overall prison population has fallen precipitously.

3 Last year, the State Comptroller released a report

4 that found that between 2011 and 2018 alone, 675

5 older New Yorkers died in a New York prison. The

6 Comptroller stated that "policymakers should examine

7 | opportunities to reduce the population of

8 incarcerated individuals 50 and over where public

9 safety would not be compromised," and that's exactly

10 what we're trying to do here today. The solution lies

11 | in promoting hope, healing, and accountability to

12 stop cycles of violence and support victims and

13 | survivors. The solution, we believe, lies in Parole

14 Justice.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

2

Studies have shown that the odds of an older individual committing a crime after release from prison are incredibly low, 5 percent or lower, the lowest recidivism rate of any age cohort.

Additionally, Elder Parole and Fair and Timely Parole will save the State an estimated 522 million dollars a year. Let me say that again, it'll save the State 522 million dollars a year, and let's put this in perspective by uplifting what United Neighborhood

Houses noted in their written testimony at our State

Legislative Parole Hearing at the end of last year,

2.2

2.3

522 million dollars is greater than the entire budget of the New York City Department for the Aging, which, as we know, supports hundreds of thousands of older adults through contracted services such as over 300 senior centers, home delivered meals, and case management. Now, that's a staggering statistic and just think what we could do to serve older New Yorkers instead of keeping them locked up. But is also about more than just the State's bottom line. We have a crisis of death in our state prisons. Columbia University, their Center for Justice published a study two years ago that found a 777 percent increase since the 1980s in deaths behind bars of people who have served 15 or more years, 777 percent increase,

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time is expired.

SENATOR HOYLMAN-SIGAL: In the last decades were among those age 55 or older.

and that 56 percent of those deaths behind bars...

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today. I urge the Committee to pass this Resolution.

Again, Chair Hudson, thank you for your dedication to this issue today and wider on parole justice. Thank you so much.

2 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much, 3 Senator.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We're going to be moving on to our next in-person panel, Samuel Hamilton, Parrish (phonetic) Steward, Roslyn Smith, and Jose Saldana, please.

We can just go from right to left here so if you'd like to start.

me? All right. First of all, I want to thank you,
Council Members. My name is Roslyn Smith. I'm the
Beyond Incarceration Program Manager at V-Day. I'm
also a Brooklyn community leader at RAPP, Release
Aging People in Prison, and I'm on the advisory board
of Osborne.

2.2

2.3

As a person impacted by the criminal justice system, I'm going to speak about my experience in the hopes that others will not have to endure the hardships I encountered inside and when I was released. Many management companies discriminated against me when trying to obtain housing and jobs. I knew nothing about technology and felt alienated and frustrated with my progress. I served 39 years in prison and was released in 2015 when I was 56 years

25

old. My co-defendant, Valerie Gader (phonetic), who 2 3 is 61, served 41 years and never got the chance at 4 freedom because she was misdiagnosed and not properly treated for aggressive throat cancer that took her 5 life. Our stories are not unique. These lengthy 6 7 incarceration sentences and parole denials are being handed down each day, and countless men and women are 8 not provided with technology, educational, or medical care inside nor the necessary tools and support for 10 11 successful re-entry. At 56, most people are preparing 12 for their retirement. Here I was preparing for the 13 start of my life and career being denied housing several times and being denied jobs. I suffer from an 14 15 autoimmune disease that requires me to see multiple 16 doctors to treat my lupus. I didn't even know that I 17 needed to sign up for health insurance or that I had 18 to pay. These things forced me to live with friends 19 and family members when I wanted and needed 20 independence and direction in navigating my life. All 21 of these things made me feel like a failure. I was 2.2 depressed. I felt isolated and useless. I was 2.3 computer illiterate and knew very little about technology. I didn't even know how to swipe a 24

MetroCard so imagine how difficult utilizing a

25

2 cellphone, kiosk, laptop, or computer was. When I was 3 incarcerated, I worked for the DMV for five years as 4 a supervisor but couldn't get a job with the DMV when I came home because they said prison work didn't count as experience. I longed for independence and 6 7 what I thought was freedom. Still, I saw no way to 8 achieve this due to all the denials for housing and jobs and the fact that I was an older individual without official documents of my work history other 10 11 than prison jobs. Having official work documents and 12 a comprehensive discharge plan addressing my 13 employment, my mental health, my medical and housing needs would have made my experience more manageable 14 15 and less frustrating. Re-entry should begin once a 16 person is in custody. It should be the goal to 17 reintegrate older people into society with the plan for success, not obstacles to impede and discourage 18 19 them. Our black and brown communities are being 20 destroyed and decimated by mass incarceration, and we 21 know that keeping people in prison is not the answer 2.2 to public safety. The safest neighborhoods are the 2.3 ones that have the resources to support their members. Through this understanding, women and men 24

who have served long sentences, addressed the harm

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

they have caused, and make a conscious decision to make a difference by designing curriculum and programs in the prisons that warehouse them and when returning home, creating healing spaces, 501(c)(3)s, businesses to enhance and educate their communities. They are making valuable contributions to the community's development and healing their needs. We need to bring people home sooner and not let people languish or die when they can be home with their loved ones in their communities adding value and their expertise. The people closest to the problems are the ones with the solutions, and this is exhibited in all the programs and businesses people impacted by the justice system have created over the years. The need for adjustment counselors for returning citizens is vital. We need to think about the emotional trauma we experience and invest in mental health support, funding community-based organizations that are led by formerly incarcerated people who help the majority of people with the reentry process. We need to be provided with resources that address our needs as we age and get supportive help while incarcerated to address the issues that have brought us there in the first place. We need to

COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

have wraparound services from the start. We need safe housing, jobs that will pay us a living wage, therapeutic programs, physical and mental health and trauma centers and services to access and help us be successful. The prison staff should be trained to recognize these unique social, psychological, and emotional needs of the elderly and have educational, vocational, recreational, and rehabilitation programs to accommodate them with physical designs appropriate for their health needs and limited physical capacity. We need to bring our elders home. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much for your testimony.

is Samuel Hamilton, and I'm a Senior Re-entry

Advocate at Brooklyn Defender Services. I'm also

justice-impacted, having served 32 years incarcerated

on an 18-to-life-year sentence and also having

appeared before 10 parole boards before eventually

being released, and that kind of speaks to the two

bills that Senator Salazar spoke to.

Thank you to the Committee on Aging and Criminal Justice for the opportunity to speak today about re-entry issues for older New Yorkers. BDS Re-

## COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

entry team works with clients and their families from the beginning of their case until they come home. We call this process Re-entry to Pre-entry. This means that before a person is incarcerated our team is available to provide pre-entry support, advice, and mentoring. During incarceration, we work to secure access to essential medical, mental health, safety, education needs through individual administration advocacy. We mentor people in custody on accessing services and educational opportunities in preparation for their release. We monitor and document conditions incarcerated New Yorkers experience and advocate for their rights and wellbeing. After release from incarceration or completion of an alternative-torelease program known as ATIs, we help people find housing, gain full employment, continued education, and community-based support. In light of the work our re-entry team does, I would be remiss if I didn't acknowledge the fact that our work is made possible due to the funding from City Council so thank you.

When people come home from city jails or upstate prison, regardless of age and more so older New Yorkers, they need help to access basic essentials such as housing, photo ID, healthcare,

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

transportation, and employment services. In our written testimony, we have a number of suggestions for improving the re-entry process for older returning citizens. However, for time's sake, I will be focusing on one program that we know all too well works, that is housing. Starting during the height of the pandemic, the Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, MOCJ, worked with community organizations to open several hotels to provide safe, private rooms for people returning from New York City from jails and prisons. In the first two years of operation, over 2,000 New Yorkers benefited from this program. One of those who benefitted, then age 59, was released from a New York State prison after serving 40 years to an already overcrowded DHS shelter at the height of the pandemic, reached out for re-entry assistance from Brooklyn Defender Services. In this case, we were able to mediate the positive outcome, and he received a bedroom at one of the re-entry hotels, which provided a safe and supportive space for him to adjust and navigate the other challenges he faced with his return. At the end of 2022, that hotel was closed and its residents were moved to other locations. However, with the wraparound services that

COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

2.2

2.3

occurred in the re-entry hotel and our support, the aforementioned moved into his own one-bedroom apartment where he remains to this day as a law-abiding citizen and, if I may add, gainfully employed and reintegrating into society at large.

Recently, several of the hotels have been closed and the total occupancy has been cut almost in half, and on June 30th this program is scheduled to close due to a lack of funding, even though today there are over 500 people in the hotels and an additional 400 people on their waiting list looking for a safe place as was the case with our client. In the case of a 56-year-old elder scheduled to be released in May, his name has been added to that waiting list, a list that has paused since late last year when two of the re-entry hotels was closed by the Mayor's Office.

In closing, we at BDS realize that

countless aging men and women who have multiple

complex issues are being released from jails and

prison every day with limited resources to support

their basic human needs. Therefore, on behalf of BDS,

I urge the City Council to work with the Mayor's

Office to ensure continued funding for the re-entry

COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE 1 hotels as well as support the recommendations 2 3 mentioned in our written testimony. Thank you, again, 4 for your support that allowed us to do such amazing and important work but also for your time. 5 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for yours. 6 7 Can I just ask a quick question? I just want to confirm the programs that you said are set to close 8 on June 30th, that's the re-entry hotel? SAMUEL HAMILTON: That's the MOCJ, the 10 11 hotels that they have open. It's our understanding that that contract ends on June 30th so there's 12 13 several other hotels that are operating. Whether the Mayor's Office is going to fund that, we haven't 14 15 heard anything to that, and it's our hope that City Council could pretty much urge him to keep those 16 17 hotels open because of the invaluable services that 18 they provide for people returning back to society. 19 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. We urge 20 him to do a lot of things that he doesn't do, but we 21 will for sure continue to urge him on that front. 2.2 SAMUEL HAMILTON: Thank you. 2.3 CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you. PARRISH STEWARD: My name is Parrish 24

Steward. I did 20 years. I was released 2018. I've

25

COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

been home about five and a half years. I'm going to spare you the prison stories and all that because they did a great job on that.

My issue out here since I've been here is this. I went in jail at 33. I'm 58 years old I think, 58 or 59, one of them, but I noticed since I've been out on the streets, it's not New York City. I'm not from New York. I came here from a different city, but it's not the city, it's the systems overlapping each other and neither give a damn about other. Let me give you an example. When I first came home, parole said I want you to take these mandated programs. Well, that's time-consuming. You want me to do this for three years, go to a program for three years from 3 o'clock to whatever o'clock and then I have to find a job, follow me, and then I have to be in the shelter at 9 o'clock, and you want me to be successful, but then when I ask one system can you give me a break because this seems to be a priority, this system says no. Okay, then the middle system which is the shelter, I ain't even going to go into that madness, that's a whole insanity discussion on its own, so I had to schedule all of that for four years. Now, at the end, here's my issue because I

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

already know the systems are broke and they work against you, and (INAUDIBLE) it's the people also who are responsible from parole officers to case managers who go and eat donuts and play on their phone and DHS is hollering at them, where's this guy at, I want to move him to housing but because you're on your phone playing games and eating donuts and don't care, I can sit in the shelter two extra years because you disregarded what DHS sent you on the computer. That's part of the problem too. Follow me? Anyway, I ended up doing four years in the shelter which was another sentencing like they told, and I worked every day, parole wouldn't give me a break, you ain't getting no break. I (INAUDIBLE) shelter is madness, but I always went to work, and they gave me a voucher. The voucher was obsolete. A 1,200-dollar voucher in New York City, you can't even get a closet so I stayed in the shelter another 15 months until somebody, I don't know who, said great idea, give them a 1,900-dollar voucher (INAUDIBLE) I went out with that for a whole 18 months. I never got a studio with that 1,900 voucher because the new game is either you're black, I ain't going to give you an apartment, I'm talking about New York City, either you're too old and don't

## COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

have the right income, you ain't getting up here, and you're a convict or that criminal history so that's a triple threat so the odds is, you understand what I'm saying? The system is (INAUDIBLE) I know how to survive, but I don't want to use survival tools that bring me back to the game so what do you want me to do. So by the man upstairs and some legislators, I don't know how it came (INAUDIBLE) they called me back from work for the shelter and said listen, you're eligible for housing, and low and behold it was the Osborne Society and they got the staff downstairs and (INAUDIBLE) and I interact with them and I live decent and my mind is at peace, and the only thing I'm lacking is a couple dollars now. Other than that, I'm good, but what I'm saying is that if the hat wouldn't have fell from heaven on my head, I'd be in a shelter right now. I'm done.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: How old were you when you were released?

PARRISH STEWARD: I told you, I forget the time, but I'm 58 right now and I've been out five years.

> CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: You said five years? PARRISH STEWARD: Right, yeah.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

25

## COMMITTEE ON AGING JOINTLY WITH COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, so about 53, 54? Okay, but over 50 is what I was trying to gather.

PARRISH STEWARD: Yeah. I came out over 50, but I'm just saying to cut to the chase, it's not New York City. When you take an older person, when we come home, parole, DHS, and what was the other system, parole, DHS, and trying to find a job...

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Right. Nobody's talking to each other and they end up giving you different mandates.

 $\label{eq:parrish} \mbox{PARRISH STEWARD: They ain't giving up no} \\ \mbox{mercy. I'm done.}$ 

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Understood. Thank you so much.

PARRISH STEWARD: You're welcome. I need to (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Not a problem. Thank you for your time.

JOSE SALDANA: Chair Hudson and Council Members, thank you for this opportunity to testify before this Council and, Chair Hudson, thank you for supporting our parole reform bills, Elder Parole and Fair and Timely Parole bill, greatly appreciate it.

2.2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

I want to speak about a couple of things. We hear about older people. I want to put a human face on these older people because we're talking about people who I spent a lot of time with. I was released from prison five years ago after 38 years. I was 66 years old when I came out. Went in at 27. So one of these older folks is people who taught me. I wouldn't be here today, I wouldn't be the Director of the Release Aging People in Prison Campaign. I wouldn't be involved in my community as a social and moral obligation if I wasn't mentored by great men. Roz mentioned her co-defendant, Valerie Gader, an iconic woman at the Bedford Hills Correctional Facility. Just about every woman I run into accredits her, Val Gader, with being instrumental in them being who they are today. The question is why would we allow such great people, great human beings to languish in prison needlessly. They obviously do not pose a threat to public safety. In fact, they enhance public safety. They have enhanced community safety in prisons across the state. One of my first mentors died at 72 years old after 46 years in prison, 14 parole denials, and he's also responsible for literally hundreds if not thousands of men across New

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York State prison system transforming their lives. Why would we allow such men to die in our prison system or to release them at an age where they can't continue the job that they've been doing for decades across our prison system. I want to mention that these older men we're talking about, the term Credible Messenger, that was developed in our prison system by these older men. Violence interrupters developed in our prison system by these older men. In fact, transitional service, which is in just about every prison in our state, that concept that men and women need to be transitioned back into our society, into the community, that whole concept, the details, the outline of it was developed by incarcerated men. In fact, one of them is still languishing in prison, 75 years old, close to 40 years in prison. Why do we allow such great men and women and nonbinary folks to languish in our prison system when they could be safely released and be a contributing factor in our communities? We don't need college students to be Credible Messengers. (INAUDIBLE) was correct and perhaps the only correct thing he probably ever said in my opinion, he said the best Credible Messengers

are the old-timers in our prison system. We don't

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

need a college student. They can't reach these kids. We've tried everything else. We need these men and women and nonbinary people that are languishing in our prison doing the job that nobody else can do, nobody else, developing the program because I was a part of this. I was mentored by them and then I became a mentor. New York State prison system does not offer a single program to help us not only take responsibility for the harm but to get insight into the harm, to really do that, and once you get that insight then you embrace that moral obligation of repairing harm. These men, women, and nonbinary folks are the ones who taught us, who gave us the guidance on this path that we are still in and we are still committed because it's a lifetime commitment for us. Why are we letting them languish in prison without any hope for the most part? This is why the two bills that we advocate for is the only solution. You can't retrofit these prisons. You can't increase medical care. It's always going to be substandard and, for an elderly person, it's a crisis. Every year, every decade is a crisis, a mental health and physical health crisis for the elderly. Why are we doing this to them? It's inhumane, and we are better than that

2.2

2.3

so the only solution for this is to pass these bills so that men and women could be reunited with their families, go on with their lives, and continue to be assets to our society. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to this panel. Thank you. We will be moving on to our next panel. We'll be hearing from Tanya Krupat,
Brianna Paden-Williams, Rebekah Almanzar, Christian Gonzalez-Rivera.

 $\label{eq:weakline} \mbox{We can hear from Christian first and then} \\ \mbox{just go down the line.}$ 

CHRISTIAN GONZALEZ-RIVERA: Hi. My name is Christian Gonzalez-Rivera, and I'm the Director of Strategic Policy Initiatives at the Brookdale Center for Healthy Aging at Hunter College. We're a research and policy center focused on aging. Thank you, Chair Hudson, for calling this hearing and introducing Resolution 241. In it, you highlight facts that show that elder justice means changing the unfair way that the parole process handles the cases of older people who have spent much of their lives in prison. You point out that taxpayers are spending money on perpetuating human suffering with no result in terms

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

of public safety, and you detail how a systemically unjust system perpetuates systemic racism. Prison is no place to grow old. The poor nutrition and medical care offered in prison, as you've heard from the panelists, combined with the physical and emotional stress of prison life results in incarcerated people exhibiting sickness and disability much earlier than people outside so this means that people in prison in their 50s exhibit some of the same conditions that people in their 70s and 80s exhibit outside. The average age of death of people in the New York State prison system is just 58 years. If New York State prison system were its own country, that life expectancy of 58 would rank it near the bottom of all countries in the world. In contrast, New Yorkers outside who live in community, their average life expectancy is 81. This is a huge concern not only for the people who are currently aging in prison but also for society more broadly, and the main reason why is because keeping people inside after they've already served those long sentences closes that window of opportunity that they have to rebuild their lives, and that's the central argument here, the longer you keep them inside, the faster that window of

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opportunity closes. As you know, it's already difficult for people who are already older to go out and get a job, get housing on fixed incomes, all of those things, but it's that much harder, as you've already heard, for people who are older and also just coming out of prison, and that is a job that could be made a lot easier if we make a concerted effort to serve them in community through the huge social services network that we have in this city, and we have that huge infrastructure for that. People end up in prison largely because society failed to take care of them, particularly when they're young. Case in point, one cross-sectional study found that 70 percent of black high school dropouts ended up in prison at some point before they turned 30, 70 percent, so failing to give older incarcerated people a real chance to demonstrate their rehabilitation is just adding more insult to a life that society has already failed in every possible way, so let's change what we're doing. Let's change where we're spending our money. Let's decide to strengthen community supports to embrace people who are returning from prison because a community service system that has the strength to include people who are returning from

prison is a system that is strong enough to serve everyone. Just like that old metaphor about the curb cuts, it's like the curb cuts are there to help you if you're in a wheelchair to get up and down from the curb, but they'll also help you if have a hand truck and you're delivering something. It's like they'll help you if you're pushing a stroller. That same idea. It's like a system that is strong enough to serve the many, many needs of people returning from prison is a system that will serve everyone, and that's something that is a central issue about why this issue is not just about the people who are in prison but it's about everyone and if you can serve those people, you can serve everyone. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

REBEKAH ALMANZAR: Good afternoon. My name

is Rebekah Almanzar, and I'm a Forensic Social Work

Supervisor at the Legal Aid Society. Thank you to the

Chairs for giving me this opportunity to comment on

2.2

this very important issue.

2.3

Getting our aging New Yorkers to the

parole board sooner with the presumption of release

allows for a more successful integration. According

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to DOCS statistics, only 6.6 percent of individuals released on parole between the ages of 50 and 64 are returned for new commitments based on a new conviction, which is all the more reason the presumption should be release, especially for elders who may be starting to show signs of more complex needs while incarcerated. Releasing them at a time when they still have capacity to engage and meaningfully contribute to their care is crucial to long-term stability in the community. Antonio's story highlights what can go terribly wrong when the release comes too late. Antonio is a 77-year-old man who had been incarcerated for 42 years at the time of his release from prison in 2011. He was eligible to see the parole board starting in 1990 after serving 19 years of his sentence. Antonio was incarcerated for another 22 years because of repeated parole denials. When he was finally released in 2011, Antonio was sent to live at a shelter in the Bronx with no identifying documents. A shelter case manager quickly recognized that Antonio had serious memory issues, was struggling to take care of his daily needs, and other signs of what looked like dementia.

This incredible case manager worked tirelessly and

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

far outside of her role to care for Antonio in an environment that was not suited for his needs as well as ensure that he stayed in compliance with his parole mandates. What ensued after being dumped in the shelter system because New York State DOCS no longer wanted to pay for his increasingly expensive dementia care in custody was a technical parole violation after his shelter closed and he was transferred to a new unfamiliar shelter without his case manager. After spending months at Rikers and not understanding what was happening, every nursing home rejected him because of his criminal record and being unable to receive a formal diagnosis for dementia by Correction Health Services. The administrative law judge in the parole violation case, a former parole board commissioner herself, sent Antonio back to prison during a tearful final hearing at Rikers Island when he asked to go home. She stated that she felt she had no other option and because of his severe limitations in caring for himself and lack of acceptance to a nursing facility, he would be safer in prison than on the streets of New York, knowing also that parole would not adapt his parole mandates to his limitations. Antonio's story shows how the

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Elder Parole Bill and Fair and Timely Bill are both critically important. Because Antonio was under 55 when he first went before the board, he does not fall within the Elder Parole Bill, but despite his interview date being scheduled for when he was 44 years old, Antonio was denied for 22 years beyond that eligibility date and was 65 when released. The earlier eligibility for parole that the Elder Parole Bill would accomplish must be accompanied by the presumption of older adults who pose no risk to public safety being fit for release so they don't suffer a series of denials that keep them incarcerated indefinitely. His ability to provide information, connect with medical care for proper evaluations and treatment planning and reconnect with family or friends who could've provided support as his dementia progressed might not have been lost, but it was lost. His release came so late that the only place, the only memory he had was that of prison. Supporting Resolution 241 is one step, but there are more immediate steps that can be taken. For example, advocating for organizational funding fairness to ensure that we have attorneys, social workers, paralegals, and support staff at public defenders'

1

offices to support our elders going before the parole 2 3 board and their reintegration and connection to 4 community care upon release is one element. 5 Additionally, you can continue to support emergency re-entry housing options for people upon release from 6 7 prison to increase the likelihood of a successful and 8 humane reintegration process. The MOCJ re-entry hotels have been a critical resource for LAS clients who otherwise would not have housing. Also, 10 11 increasing access to the housing and residential 12 medical resources already in existence for older New 13 Yorkers can change the landscape of re-entering as an aging individual. For example, in order to be housed 14 15 at Valley Lodge, a transitional residence run for 16 seniors run by the Westside Federation for Senior and 17 Supportive Housing, requires an intake and stay at a 18 Department of Homeless Services shelter. Any amount 19 of time at an intake shelter can be challenging. 20 Those difficulties can be dangerous and intolerable 21 for an aging person just returning to New York City 2.2 after decades removed. Allowing seniors to go 2.3 directly to Valley Lodge and bypassing an intake shelter would greatly increase their stability and 24 25 safety in returning to their community. Relatedly,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

requiring nursing home and assisted-living facilities to accept people into their care with criminal convictions can transform the lives of elders reentering New York City who require a high level of medical care and need support in attending to their daily needs when they no longer have any living relatives to care for them. While working with infirm seniors at Rikers Island held on parole violations, I witnessed the passive discrimination the nursing homes would engage in when the referral came through to them that had Correction Health Services letterhead on top. They consistently denied seniors for their vacancies and left very ill New Yorkers lingering at Rikers without an appropriate place to go. Holding nursing homes and assisted-living facilities accountable to admitting older folks based on their medical need regardless of criminal conviction is an immediate move that could open appropriate living environments for re-entering seniors.

As a social worker at the Legal Aid Society, I've supported and will continue to support older people coming home from decades incarcerated.

There are incredible resources available in New York

2.2

2.3

City that can provide the services for our fellow New Yorkers that they need coming home back to our communities. I am asking to have more of those resources, increased access to those resources, and the ability to get aging New Yorkers connected to them sooner so they don't miss out on the second chance they have worked towards and deserve. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

TANYA KRUPAT: Good mid-day. Thank you for holding this hearing today to address this urgent issue. Thank you for inviting the agencies who didn't come. We appreciate you inviting them even if they unfortunately weren't here. My name is Tanya Krupat. I'm the Vice President of Policy and Advocacy at the Osborne Association.

For 90 years, Osborne has been transforming lives, communities, and the criminal legal system with a particular focus on the intersection of aging and incarceration for the past decade. As a city and a society, we are denying the humanity of older people in jails and prisons and those returning to our communities at an enormous human and fiscal cost. Speakers before me have

2.2

2.3

these bills.

courageously shared their experiences and

demonstrated why we need to bring more people home

and bring them home sooner. In order to ensure people

have a pathway out of prison before decades pass and

more damage is done, we ask you to vote for the

resolution you're sponsoring calling on the State

Legislature to pass the Elder Parole and Fair and

Timely Parole Bills. These bills promote healing and

safety and have the support of many crime victims and

survivors. We unequivocally believe in the urgency of

Rather than keeping us safe, incarceration perpetuates harm. It is also incredibly expensive with little or a negative return on investment. I want to highlight some of the actual financial cost and make a case for decarcerating and reinvesting in older people and families and in communities. Today, close to one in four people in New York State prisons is over 50. As of this week, 802 older people are incarcerated on Rikers Island. The New York City Comptroller calculated that one year on Rikers for one person costs 556,539 dollars, an astounding and deeply concerning figure considering what people and all of us are getting for

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

this amount of money. With this figure, we are currently spending more than 446 million dollars to keep on older people on Rikers. This is close to New York City's Aging entire budget, and this does not include what we are spending to keep New York City residents incarcerated in prisons for 30 or 40 years, decades past their minimum sentence. Older people are two to five times more experience to incarcerate and in many cases they are returning home with trauma, health, and adjustment issues that are entirely preventable. To add to this, thousands of people are discharged from prison directly to New York City homeless shelters which can cost up to 9,000 per month per person. Shelters also include rules like being locked out all day which can increase the likelihood of re-arrest generally or parole violations. We could double New York City Aging's budget by releasing older people. Simply bringing two people off Rikers who are there for one year could fund an entire specialized unit within New York City Aging at 1 million dollars to focus on justiceimpacted older adults. We recommend creating such a unit as well as investing in community-based programs, accessible housing, peer support models,

2.2

2.3

and more. My written testimony expands on these recommendations.

I just wanted to make a quick note that I was very disappointed to that the CARE taskforce came to a natural close. We were part of the steering committee of that, and that was news to me. It didn't feel like a natural close so we recommend continuing a citywide interagency taskforce.

We also need to look at the full scope of ways incarceration affects older New Yorkers, and I was happy to hear you ask questions about caregivers earlier and I learned from your own email, Chair Hudson, that it's National Caregivers Day today so thank you for your emails.

welcoming loved ones home from incarceration without additional resources to do so. This includes grandparents and others caring for children whose parents are incarcerated. At Osborne, we've developed effective responses such as our Kinship Re-entry Program and a New York Initiative for Children of Incarcerated Parents. These and models like them need greater investment to meet the need. We look forward to working with the Committees on Aging and Criminal

Justice on next steps and urge you to ensure as you did today that those who are formerly incarcerated and living elder re-entry every day are included in guiding this discussion. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

That was also news to me about the CARE taskforce. I

wanted to ask you a quick question. How many people

are you serving that are coming from DOCS and do you

know the total number of people coming to New York

City from the State prison system by chance?

TANYA KRUPAT: We would love to know that, and I think it's something as you asked previously that the City should be tracking. We don't know, and we used to be able to get more information from DOCS, but in the past few years we haven't. We would have to FOIA for that information.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Got it.

TANYA KRUPAT: We could get back to you with a rough estimate based on county of conviction and doing some tallying.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay.

TANYA KRUPAT: And I can also get you the number that we're serving across all of our programs, but I don't have it today.

1 2

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Okay, non-profit.

3

That would be helpful just so that we can compare to

4

what they give us. Thank you.

Brianna Paden-Williams, the Communications and Policy

LiveOn New York's members include more

BRIANNA PADEN-WILLIAMS: Hello. I am

6

Associate at LiveOn New York and thank you for the

7 8

opportunity to testify today.

9

10

11

12

community as we all age. As we've heard today, in New

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

than 110 non-profit organizations that provide core services which allow all New Yorkers to thrive in our York the number of people in prisons have been declining. However, the proportion of older adults in prisons continue to rise as we've heard with one in every four incarcerated people in prison 50 or older. It's evident that people in prison age at an accelerated rate because of harmful and unhealthy prison conditions including stress, separation from loved ones, poor nutrition, as well as other factors, and, unfortunately, when formerly incarcerated older adults are released from prison and re-enter into the community, they're often faced with unique challenges with being released in their later life. Here in New

York City, New York has a moral and fiscal crisis of

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

aging and dying in prison due to decades of extremely harsh sentencing and a racially biased parole system that does not have adequately created space for redemption, personal transformation, healing, and safety, and so it's time for the City to address elder parole as an aging issue with an older adult population re-entering into community and really just looking to move forward in their lives, and so we're here in addition to supporting your resolution, have the following recommendations. We encourage the City to look to broaden and fund collaboration with community organizations including the Osborne Association that really have the expertise and programming to provide resources and tools to individuals, families, and communities impacted by the criminal justice system. We also encourage the City to remove barriers to access affordable housing for formerly incarcerated older adults, and we know here in New York City the housing crisis in the city is really acute for older adults as many rely on fixed income and have difficulty with affording the rent with increased rent prices. Particularly for older adults that are released from prison, finding affordable and accessible housing is really an added

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

challenge with many landlords as we've heard today discriminating against older adults. We also ask the City to work with HPD to make older adult housing a key priority, and, as you know, there' as waiting list of over 200,000 older adults including older adults released from prison, and so we ask the City and recommend that the City really increase the capacity of older adult housing. That would relieve one of the largest hurdles for older adults returning into the community after incarceration. We also encourage the City to create space through support for community building and cure violence programs for formerly incarcerated older adults to contribute to their communities. We know upon release formerly incarcerated older adults often make important contributions to their communities and that include interrupting gun violence, mentoring young people, and really ultimately just promoting community safety. In addition to that, we also encourage the City to expand mental health and social services for older adults as well. With that being said, we also strongly support your Resolution 241-A which calls for the passage of the Fair and Timely Parole Act as well as the Elder Parole Act, and we just show our

1 appreciation for Council Member Hudson for 2 3 introducing this Resolution and, even though Chair Rivera isn't here today, her support as well for 4 holding this hearing as well as the additional Council Members for their support. It's really time 6 7 that the City reformed its parole system to provide 8 hope for families across the state by passing the Elder Parole Act. If passed, this bill would make it eligible for individualized parole consideration for 10 11 people in prison age 55 and older who have served at 12 least 15 years, and we know it is not a blanket 13 release policy but rather it gives older people who 14 have transformed themselves an opportunity to demonstrate their moral character before the Board of 15 16 Parole. Secondly, we really encourage the City to 17 support the passage of the Fair and Timely Parole Act 18 to ensure that individuals have a fair and meaningful 19 opportunity to demonstrate their rehabilitation and 20 to be released, and this bill would really provide 21 more meaningful parole reviews for incarcerated 2.2 people who are already parole eligible by altering 2.3 the standard of parole to center release determinations not on the original crime but on the 24

person's rehabilitation while incarcerated and their

25

2 cu

current risk of violating the law, and more information can be found in our written testimony,

but thank you for the opportunity to testify today.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much to this panel. We appreciate it. We're moving on to our last in-person panel. We're going to have Arielle Basch, Tara Klein, and I apologize if I mispronounce this name, Raji Edayathumangalam. Thank you.

TARA KLEIN: Thank you for the opportunity to testify today, Council Member Hudson and the Council. My name is Tara Klein. I'm the Deputy Director of Policy and Advocacy for United Neighborhood Houses. UNH is a policy and social change organization that represents 46 neighborhood settlement houses in New York State including 40 in New York City. We're really honored to be here today to testify in support of your resolution, Council Member Hudson, calling on the State to pass the

2.2

Parole Justice package of legislation.

strongly support both of these parole justice bills

UNH and our settlement house members

which serve as compassionate measures that would  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) =\left$ 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

support some of New York's most vulnerable residents. The bills would give incarcerated people the opportunity to receive a fair parole hearing and potentially return home to their communities where UNH's settlement house members offer supportive programs and services that foster community connection. Everyone has worth and dignity and no one should be defined by the worst thing that they have ever done. These bills would give people the opportunity to return home and build stronger communities. We know that New York spends an average of 60,000 dollars each year to incarcerate just one person. That number jumps up to between 100,000 and 240,000 dollars annually per older adult in prison. We heard numbers about New York City jails from the Comptroller earlier. They're astronomical numbers. We know that this money could be reinvested in community-based services that provide resources and strengthen overall community health and safety. We heard earlier that these bills together would save the State about 522 million dollars per year which, of course, is greater than the entire budget of New York City Aging. On the Elder Parole Bill, we've heard that the share of older adults in state prisons

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is rising steeply even as the overall prison population has been decreasing, and older people in New York prisons comprise 56 percent of all deaths behind bars. In the 1980s, this was at just 8 percent so this is really a growing challenge. Older adults I prison face unique challenges that can be met by shifting them back into their communities. They face a low public safety risk. Older adults have been shown to have the lowest recidivism rates of any age cohort in the country. They face medical costs on the outside. Older adults in prison have very high medical needs which add high costs to prison healthcare delivery, which is fully provided by the State via DOCS. This could be shifted onto insurance companies and Medicaid and save the State a lot of money, and they live longer lives on the outside. Older adults in prison tend to experience accelerated aging due to the struggles of prison life and the poor conditions. We also know that the prison healthcare system has faced a lot of criticism for the low quality of care, especially throughout the pandemic and so we really need people to get out and access those services on the outside so this bill is really commonsense.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

On the Fair and Timely Parole Bill, we heard earlier from Senator Salazar and her comments that often parole commissioners are looking at the underlying case only, and freedom tends to be based on events that may have happened decades in the past and on facts that can never change. By failing to consider what a person has accomplished while in prison and how they may have changed, this practice fails to adhere to the guiding principle of maintaining public safety. This leads to a lack of meaningful opportunities for parole release and is a major contributing factor to mass incarceration in New York State. We also know that racism, white supremacy, and other systems of oppression permeate the parole process. Black people are disproportionately impacted. Not only are they more likely to be incarcerated, we know that they are less likely to be granted parole release than their white counterparts. Both of these bills together are urgently, urgently needed.

recommendation in the support of the succeed after they leave prison especially for older adults who have been incarcerated for long

periods of time and may lack familial support on the outside. Though these cases are not tracked and they are not contracted to do so, settlement houses are already serving previously incarcerated individuals with some of these supportive programs and services and helping with re-introduction into the community and ensuring that people feel connected. Settlement houses believe that a community thrives when all residents are lifted up and supported and that individuals who are incarcerated deserve a fair chance to return home to their communities, and that's why we strongly support the Resolution 241-A in support of these bills. I just want to say at the same time if this legislation does move forward we hope that the City and State will invest more resources into these community-based programs and providers who are already facing a severe budget crunch as you know so we hope that can move forward as well and be considered. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you.

ARIELLE BASCH: Hi. Thank you so much for the opportunity to be here today. I'm Arielle Basch. I'm the Senior Director of Health Services at JASA.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

We're one of the largest providers of aging services in New York City.

JASA serves over 40,000 older adults with affordable housing, homecare, and other essential services primarily contracted through New York City. We're values driven, honoring older New Yorkers as vital members of society and providing services that support aging with purpose. As such, I want to speak both about why City Council should pass the resolution calling on the State to pass the two parole bills under discussion and how aging services like JASA can provide supports to returning elders in a way that enables them to strengthen the communities they left behind.

First the bills. Prisons have few accommodations to meet the needs of the aging and can cause accelerated aging as many people have touched on. The result is that people die behind bars notwithstanding the amazing strides they've made. The parole bills do not automatically set people free as we know. Rather, they provide opportunity for people to demonstrate their rehabilitation and readiness to return to the community. Research shows that paroled older adults are unlikely to return to prison for new

2.2

2.3

crimes. Passing these bills is certainly an issue of justice, but also the cost of incarcerating older adults completely outstrips the cost of care in the community. While New York does not document the cost of incarcerating older people in its State prisons, data from other states indicate the annual cost of incarceration are up to four times higher for those over 55, costing up to 240,000 dollars per year compared to 60,000 for younger people. Passing these parole bills would free up needed funding for all community-dwelling older adults.

I'd like to share two of JASA's clients' stories to understand why this is important. Miss C is a born and bred New Yorker who was incarcerated for 14 years where she developed multiple health condition. After release, she joined JASA's chronic pain self-management program, an evidence based health education program where she learned about tools to manage her pain. After completing the program, Miss C volunteered to be trained as a leader to deliver the program to her peers so that they too can manage their health conditions. Mr. R is 70 years old and was released after 48-1/2 years of incarceration. Mr. R has a history of falls,

hypertension, tuberculosis, and degenerative disk disease. He uses a wheelchair. He was hospitalized and set to be discharged to a shelter which would've been dangerous given his health and social risks. JASA advocated for his discharge to be delayed to provide time to find a safe living alternative. We identified a niece who agreed to have him discharged to her home under the Osborne Kinship Program as we seek more permanent housing for him. JASA also provided Mr. R with education on medication adherence, healthy eating, and falls prevention, and helped Mr. R to enroll in Medicare, get a physician house-call and ultrasound at home, connect to medically tailored meals, homecare, and a blood pressure monitor. Community services are far better equipped to support clients like Miss C and Mr. R. Let's work together to end a system that's unjust to older people and focus on moving additional resources to support them in the community. Older adults who return home can meaningfully contribute to New York City. Thank you so much for the opportunity.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for your testimony.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

RAJI EDAYATHUMANGALAM: Good afternoon. My name is Raji Edayathumangalam. I'm a Forensic Social Worker at New York County Defender Services. Thank you to New York City Committee on Aging and Committee on Criminal Justice and in particular Chair Hudson for holding this hearing today and for this opportunity to present testimony today on the crucial re-entry issues for older New Yorkers.

Today, I testify as have others to how deeply broken the parole systems are as well as the inadequate re-entry for our justice-involved elders and what their vital and urgent needs are, even more so after COVID has wiped out a staggering number of older adults who have always served as a living witness to a nation's history and to our sense of continuity day by day as human beings. If we're truly invested in improving community safety, it comes with the moral imperative to do the right thing by reuniting families and reallocating crucial resources toward urgent community needs and goals. I strongly support City Council and urge City Council to pass Resolution 241.

My testimony comes from a place of extensive experience and advocacy in the areas of

2.

2.2

2.3

older adults and re-entry issues that are specific to them. First, I worked with older adults at a senior center funded by DFTA in a NYCHA housing development in Brownsville in Brooklyn. The community was made of almost entirely African American, Afro-Caribbean, and Latin Caribbean elders. I learned firsthand from many of them how generations were scarred by legal involvement and the legal systems. At Brownsville, I met the oldest human being that I know. She was 103 years old when I met her, and I know she would have

Second, I'm a licensed clinical social worker and a practicing psychotherapist. I work with older adults in the community clinic in East Harlem, again another neighborhood with a concentration of older adults impacted by the legal systems.

so much to say about our topic today.

Finally, in my role as a forensic social worker, I've learned in-depth about the vast challenges experienced by older adults trapped in cycles of disenfranchisement, legal involvement, and cycles of no real resources or help.

Like Council Member Mealy asked, I'm going to present a client example. Our existing parole system bars elders from humane and timely

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

release and then it trips them up constantly every step of the way when they ultimately return to the community. Take for instance the story of an elder client whom I will refer to as Mr. Jones to protect his confidentiality. My Colleague and wonderful attorney, Catherine Perrone, and I currently work with him. Please bear in mind that Mr. Jones is 82 years old. There's two parts to his story. One, before the emergency re-entry hotels and one after so that you can hear the distinction. Mr. Jones was released on lifetime parole in 2019 at the age of 79 after serving over four decades in State prison. When he was released, COVID was lurking just around the corner. He also has, as others have shared, returned to a New York that was entirely a new universe than he remembered, a dysphoric universe with cellphones and MetroCards instead of phone booths and subway tokens. His family in New York had dwindled away over the years and, while incarcerated, he also developed Alzheimer's disease and a host of other health condition often accelerated in prison. Mr. Jones was never permitted to have his case go before the parole board, and in 2019 he was finally released to parole with no re-entry plan to meaningfully integrate into

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

his community at the age of 79, and he was left to the elements with little to no family or financial support in New York. No, he was not connected to programs such as Osborne Association. Eventually, his family from out of state tried to come and help him settle into an apartment, but that was full of challenges too, and he was arrested on a parole warrant in early 2022. He was remanded on that warrant and incarcerated at Rikers for failure to maintain appointments with parole. Picture the tragedy and absurdity of expecting an elder with dementia with no appropriate supports whatsoever and an elder who never heard of a cellphone or a computer in his growing years to track his parole appointments. While remanded at Rikers for failure to keep his parole appointments, Mr. Jones waited for his parole hearing date that never came. They changed his parole hearing date several times. One day, he fainted and was brought to Bellevue Hospital for emergency care where he was diagnosed with having contracted sepsis. Mr. Jones, an elder with the highest risk to die from complications of COVID, was allowed to languish in Rikers by a failed parole system and he could've died. A writ was filed and

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ultimately denied despite his old age, despite his risk for COVID complications, and in spite of his near-death experience while on the island. Ultimately, Mr. Jones was released to parole again in early 2022, and he was able to return to the community. However, he had no place to live and no supports he knew of in the city. Thanks to the option of MOCJ emergency re-entry hotels with its low barrier to access with the need for housing being the criteria for housing, Mr. Jones was able to get a hotel room in one of the emergency hotels. There, he was able to begin to stabilize after decades of incarceration and instability. He was able to get a private room with some peace and quite so that he could sleep at night amidst his dementia and health issues. He was able to use a private bathroom without fear of being assaulted. He was able to get a hot meal three times a day. He was able to have clean laundry. He was able to get a medical treatment plan. He was able to get his first ever cellphone, and he was also able to learn how to use his cellphone. Without this emergency hotel model, an elder like Mr. Jones would have yet again been cast away by our society and he would have been without a home upon

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

his return to the community from Rikers. WITHOUT his emergency re-entry hotel room, Mr. Jones could've been immediately violated on his parole as he would not have had a reportable address.

To finish up, I conclusion, older adults are national treasures and even more so during this devastating COVID-19 pandemic when mortality among people 65 and older in the United States through the end of last year was at 75 percent of the total COVID-19 mortality. In this regard, we at New York County Defender Services strongly support and urge the City Council to pass the Resolution 241. For elders returning home from jails and prisons, a group of people who pose little to no risk of re-arrest upon release or safety risk upon release, we as that the City and the State ensure that they have a robust re-entry plan. We ask that the City and the State expand access to senior living programs such as those at Ulster Correctional Facility. Unfortunately, such programs are only available toward the end of incarceration and not throughout, and that is something that needs to change. We ask that the City and State protect and expand on the low-barrier emergency re-entry hotel model which has been a

lifesaver for so many New Yorkers as many have testified today and including Council Member Restler who spoke about it. We ask that all older adults have supportive housing and access to re-entry initiatives for elders, even as they prepare for their return home, such as the programs that Osborne Association spoke to. We urge that elders have coordinated programming of services and not programming in silos in the community specific to their needs that include on-site healthcare, technology assistance, education, employment, pro-social services and wraparound services. I want to highlight the testimonial earlier of asking about disability assistance for elders. We also strongly support and urge the City Council to pass Resolution 156-2022 in support of Traffic Not Jail in order to provide timely and necessary medical care and related services for elders.

In closing, we believe that thriving families and communities with fair access to resources and our collective care for all, especially our elderly, are our only path to community safety. Thank you all and good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you to this panel. At this time, if there's anyone in the room who would like to testify but has not done so yet, please indicate.

Seeing none, we're going to move on to virtual testimony. Our first panel virtually will be Christina Green, Ingrid Gordon-Patterson, Laura Roan, and Julia Solomons. We'll start with Christina Green. Please wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

CHRISTINA GREEN: Thank you very much.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. My name is Christina Green. I'm the Director of Marcus Garvey Supportive Housing, the first residential program of the Osborne Association. For nine decades, Osborne has been committed to transforming lives, communities, and the criminal legal system including focusing on the needs of older people in prison and jail and offering re-entry service that programs and services inside jails and prisons and in the community. My program offers 52 units of permanent supportive housing to older adults who have served at least seven years in prison. Not

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

only do we provide housing, we also provide supports to give people the tools in order to be successful including programs. We collaborate with organizations like John Jay for technology support, JASA who you just heard speak, (INAUDIBLE) Institute for family health and many others. Also offering social supports. The topic of this hearing and the residents of Marcus Garvey that testified today and many others are very near and dear to my heart. I also am an elder re-entry and, if it wasn't for organizations, the Osborne Association, I wouldn't be where I am today because I also an elder re-entry myself. The need for re-entry housing cannot be overstated. We refer to be released as coming home, but far too often this terms makes a scary, unstable, and confusing re-entry experience. In preparation for this hearing, we convened a discussion among residents and staff at Marcus Garvey. Importantly, the staff who work at Marcus Garvey including myself are formerly incarcerated and the supportive community that exists there can be felt in the air when you walk through the door. Many who are part of this discussion had served decades inside, and all of them came home over the age of 50. Together, these 16

2.2

2.3

people represented 322 years of incarceration. What I heard among many challenges was loss, trauma, frustration, and pain, cumulative exposure to inhumane conditions, randomly applied rules, racism, violence, abuse, and substandard even unethical medical care, but I also heard incredible persistence, courage, and self-advocacy. They also discussed very concrete areas in need of improvement which are outlined in my written testimony and our additional recommendations that grow out of Osborne's extensive experience providing release and parole preparation and re-entry case management for older adults.

I wanted to quickly mention two concrete recommendations for the older people detained on Rikers. Older people on Rikers need access to consistent medical care, but instead there are countless missed medical appointments and lapses in access to medication often due to lack of officer escorts. This can have dire and even deadly consequences. There should be an assigned unit of officers with a captain or deputy warden that can ensure people have access to medical care with their medication. Dedicated housing should be established

on Rikers Island so adults are housed together. With the current population of around 800, it may be possible to have this group in a single facility with an enhanced medical presence to meet their needs. We hope you will support investing in communities and expanding re-entry housing. Marcus Garvey's 52 units were filled in no time, and we already have a very lengthy waiting list. While we work to expand available housing, I urge the Council to also pass the Fair Chance for Housing Bill so that those with prior convictions are not discriminated against.

In closing, I urge the Council to pass

Resolution 241 calling on the State Legislature to

pass the Elder Parole and Fair and Timely Parole

Bills. Far from keeping us safe, lengthy

incarceration is weakening families, communities and

causing unnecessary harm.

Thank you, Chair Hudson, for championing the needs of all older New York City including those who are formerly incarcerated.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we'll be hearing from Ingrid Gordon-Patterson. Please wait for the

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time will begin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Ingrid, are you on? Ingrid Gordon-Patterson.

INGRID GORDON-PATTERSON: I'm here.

 $\label{eq:sergeant-at-arms:} \mbox{You can proceed with} \\ \mbox{your testimony.}$ 

INGRID GORDON-PATTERSON: Okay. Good afternoon, everyone, and once again thank you very much for giving me this opportunity. My name is Ingrid Gordon Patterson. I am a Case Manager with the Osborne Association at Marcus Garvey in Brooklyn.

I come before you all today with a burden from past and mixed emotions in my heart. I spent years giving to others and was imprisoned physically and mentally. I was broken, distraught, disappointed, and demoralized by the justice system I believed in. Today, I'm thankful for the time I spent in prison. My life was spared a fate worse than death. When I was comatose by COVID, helped and cared for by the men and women of Bedford Hills Correctional Facility and as much as I also witnessed the disparities in healthcare and mental healthcare services. During

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

those seven years, I realized that the people I spent (INAUDIBLE) even my family, did not care about me or my children. I went to work release and was discharged feeling even more dejected because of the conditions of the facility and the complete lack of support. My re-entry to society was totally left up to me. I had to find my resources, not even a pamphlet of the location of a job center was given. What needs to change is discharge planning. It should start the day one enters into the penitentiary system, whether it's short- or long-term. Short-term challenges will always be there. However, it is of my presumption that anyone who spends three or more years incarcerated often will face the (INAUDIBLE) task of the obstacles to navigate an unfamiliar system for identification, social services, health benefits, housing, and transportation all needs to be addressed. I believe with all the legalities and (INAUDIBLE) clearances involved in an individual's release, why is it not possible to have a valid state ID upon discharge, which should include a preapplication one to six months prior to release (INAUDIBLE) social services with acclimatization for the released individual that is (INAUDIBLE) upon them

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

to complete this action within 48 hours. For me, I was given 48 hours, not enough to go to parole and essential services and home all in the same day. (INAUDIBLE) there's in the system you cannot (INAUDIBLE). Re-entry is not an individual issue. It is a collective process of collaboration with various disciplines focused on quality of life and mental health. There is a need for more program opportunities for women, particularly over 50. Work opportunities that highlight the achievement of the individual through education and self-rehabilitation. For individuals with professional licensing, there should be some kind of program geared towards reinstating license, even with (INAUDIBLE) and plans for progression. Re-entry is not food stamps. It is not about not wanting to work (INAUDIBLE) years paying for a crime in a system built on routines and with no corrective or rehabilitation in place. It would benefit everyone to look at what that individual has done to show growth and rehabilitation. Much like the presentencing report that was created for the purpose of looking at the person before the crime, which has unfortunately lost its true purpose, there should now be a post-sentence

1

2 report to highlight the accomplishments during 3 incarceration which would help potential employers to 4 see that one is not their crime. In a society 5 supposedly geared towards second chances, the stigma of incarceration needs to be addressed by recognizing 6 7 the importance of re-entry and the continual need for 8 opportunities for viable employment. Let's move forward and allow re-entry to be seen as a valid tool that will eventually show that it is vital to 10 11 (INAUDIBLE) can be seen as a tool of diversion for 12 incarcerated individuals, knowing that the foundation 13 to build their lives with re-entry that stems from their progress, initiative to change, and not having 14 15 to worry about the ever-present wall that creates the 16 barriers. Re-entry should not be about social class 17 or financial status. Re-entry is an inevitable 18 consequence of incarceration (INAUDIBLE) still 19 remains. It is to provide services to meet accepted

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time has expired.

INGRID GORDON-PATTERSON: Quality of life.

standards. If the services don't, the people they're

Thank you.

supposed to (INAUDIBLE)

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Next, we're moving on to Laura Roan. Please wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Laura.

LAURA ROAN: Okay. Thank you. Can you hear me okay?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Yes.

LAURA ROAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. I'm Laura Roan, and I'm here speaking to you from two perspectives. The first is in my professional capacity as a Service Provider at the Osborne Association, someone who's worked with hundreds of older adults coming home from prison. I've been sideby-side with re-entering elders as they went through the tunnel to re-entry, picking them up from prison and providing case management. Prison is an inhumane place for most older adults. Imagine you're a typical incarcerated 60-year-old. That means your body is more like that of a 75-year-old. You've got arthritis in your knees, which makes walking and stairs painful. You've got poor eyesight and hearing. Your cell is on the third floor, but meals, medication, programs, showers, they're on the first floor.

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Officers' orders can be impossible to hear over the din of the 499 other men who are literally locked in the cell block with you. Sometimes the officers are physically rough with you because you don't hear their orders. Sleep can be important between the music and yelling and the pain that you feel. Prison staff won't give out anything beyond Tylenol for the arthritis. Though you'd like to go to programs, meals, and showers, sometimes you just can't face the walking and the stairs, especially the shower with no grab bars and slippery floors. With 70 men showering at once, the sound is deafening. You can't wear your glasses. It's foggy, and the one officer that's in there can't see or hear anything so if you fell or if someone hurt you, no one would notice so you decide most days to skip it, and when you skip that shower a few times in a row other incarcerated people bully you and staff might not want to work with you or assume you have mental health or cognitive issues. Some people hear these kinds of scenarios and say but couldn't you just request a move to the first floor. Maybe. Sometimes that would work then eventually you get moved to a new prison and have to keep requesting it over and over, and sometimes it just doesn't work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Sometimes the programs are on the third floor or the cafeteria is or the whole prison is built on a hill. My point is that you don't make accommodations for these folks because it's not accommodation that they need. It's to go home. We must stop solving all of our problems with incarceration. The U.S. is the most incarcerated nation in the world, ahead of Russia, South Africa, El Salvador, Rwanda. Americans aren't awful people that need to be locked up. It somehow became trendy to lock everyone up in the '80s like it was cool to wear those fingerless lace gloves. Somehow we came to our senses on the fashion side but not on the justice side. We also incarcerate longer, and it's those additional years that mean people are released sicker, more isolated, and further behind on things like technology. Each additional year behind bars cost them dearly and has zero public benefit,

I said at the beginning I'm here to speak to you from two perspectives. I'm also hear speaking to you as a survivor of violence. Despite the fact that the person who hurt me could've spent a lifetime behind bars, I don't want that for him. I want a system that recognizes rehabilitation, remorse, and

maybe actually we just call that public harm.

2.2

2.3

responsibility so I urge you to speak up for those older adults who are locked away and can't speak for themselves. They're right this minute meeting classes, building furniture, cleaning floors, being victimized, and dying without anyone noticing in prisons hundreds of miles away.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today.

 $\label{eq:chairperson hudson: Thank you so much for your testimony. \\$ 

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll be moving on to Julia Solomons. Please wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

JULIA SOLOMONS: Thank you so much. Good
afternoon, Chair Hudson and Committee Members. My
name is Julia Solomons. I'm a Senior Policy Social
Worker at the Bronx Defenders. Thank you all for the
opportunity to speak today and for highlighting this
important and unfortunately often under-resourced
issue.

First, the Bronx Defenders is in full support of Resolution 241 regarding the passage of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Fair and Timely Parole and Elder Parole Acts. The passage and implementation of these bills is long overdue because our State Legislature has been afraid to act. In addition to passing this resolution, we propose additional actions for the City to take to reduce the number of pretrial older adults languishing in jails and the re-entry support that we need the City to fund that would improve their chances of release.

We've heard many people today share their heartbreaking stories about their struggles to access housing upon release. In our experience, supporting clients re-entering is the same. Being a justiceinvolved older person means significant barriers to accessing housing. Chronic health issues, discrimination based on one's criminal history, extreme limitations due to sex offender status if that applies to you, and the list goes on and on. Luckily, the City already created a solution to this problem, and we've spoken at length about it today. The Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, MOCJ, created the Emergency Re-entry Hotel Program at the beginning of the pandemic. It has been discussed at length today so I won't repeat what everyone has already

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

said about how incredible this program is, but I do want to emphasize that this was unlike any re-entry resource that had ever existed in New York City. For the first time, advocates had an immediate, barrierfree housing option to offer people in jail and prison who otherwise had no place to go. The admission process was fast and easy, and when I say fast and easy, I mean we would send an email, we would give some basic information about our client, and they would receive confirmation of a room, and transportation was provided by DOC directly from jail to the hotels. This barrier-free aspect of this resource, I can't stress enough how critical that is. The hotels received some of our most vulnerable clients that were otherwise barred from traditional housing options so we were glad to hear MOCJ say on the record today that the eligibility criteria for the hotels will remain the same when they become transitional housing this summer, but I do want to note that as a social worker who often refers people to different housing options, traditionally transitional housing has more barriers to entry because it's intended to be longer term. There's

usually an application process, some kind of an

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

interview, it just traditionally takes more time, and that was not the case with these hotels. It was immediate and emergency, and I just want to stress that. Additionally, I want to emphasize that this resource was decarceratory. We used these hotel rooms to successfully convince district attorneys and judges to release our clients regularly at the early start of the pandemic when there were room available, those rooms directly contributed to our clients being released. As of now, however, the hotels have been at capacity and unable to accept new residents for at least a year so that has not been the case for the past several months, nearly a year, that we have not been able to use the hotel rooms in order to get our clients released. Others have mentioned that MOCJ closed three of the hotels late last year, and that also resulted in the residents that are currently there moving into double occupancy, most of the residents moving into double occupancy rooms as opposed to single occupancy that folks referenced. I want to clarify that our information is that the wait list for the hotel program remains close to 400 people as of the beginning of this month, and I want to note that that number does not reflect all of the

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

people that are waiting in jail and prison that could benefit from this resource because advocates have not continued to add people to the wait list in order to prevent people from having false hope that they might get in when we know that they haven't been accepting new residents.

I just want to stress that the 950 transitional housing beds that MOCJ spoke about earlier is not enough. 150 SARA-compliant beds that are currently completely full is not enough. We need both. We need both the emergency re-entry hotels to continue functioning the way that they did at the beginning of the pandemic where there were rooms available that we could refer people directly coming out of jail and prison and we need transitional housing that people can stay for longer periods of time, receive ongoing support, and work towards permanent housing, and we also need investment in permanent, long-term housing so that people can move through what we call a housing continuum. That's what this is. It's emergency and transitional and permanent. We need all of it.

I just want to say that we are here to ask the Council to permanently fund the MOCJ

2.2

2.3

emergency re-entry hotels, emergency housing that provides barrier-free holistic social and support services including humane medical care and offers residents access to vocational and educational opportunities as well as pathways to permanent housing. We're happy to discuss this further with anyone that would like to. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much for your testimony. We're going to be moving on to our final virtual panel. We have Derick Bowers, Imani, Andre Ward, and Assembly Member Harvey Epstein. We're going to start with Derick Bowers.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

DERICK BOWERS: Thank you. My name is

Derick Bowers, and I'm the Regional Director of

Social Enterprise in New York City for the Center for

Employment Opportunities, also known as CEO. Thank

you to Chair Hudson and Chair Rivera as well as the

Members of the Committees for allowing this hearing

to happen on these important issues.

I appreciate the opportunity to submit testimony about our program model and work across New

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

York and how innovative initiatives on aging and criminal justice intersect with our work in the reentry space. CEO's mission is to provide immediate, effective, and comprehensive employment services to individuals recently returning home from incarceration, and, as the largest provider of reemployment services in New York, we serve individuals on parole and probation supervision with an intentional focus on serving individuals facing the most significant barriers to remaining free from incarceration and the criminal legal system while also returning \$3.30 for every dollar of public investment. In addition to passing Resolution 241, we would like to see a target investment in re-entry program services for older New Yorkers, specifically around funding for direct cash assistance. CEO has piloted and evaluated employment impacts of providing financial assistance upon re-entry through our Returning Citizens Stimulus Program. The RCS Program helped individuals meet employment milestones, find, secure, and maintain employment, and increase their financial stability. CEO and our local partners distributed 4.1 million dollars in re-entry cash assistance to over 1,600 formerly incarcerated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

individuals here in New York City as they engage in vocational training during the COVID-19 pandemic. Early evidence suggests that financial assistance upon release from incarceration does improve recidivism outcomes and may help stabilize formerly incarcerated individuals and can transition back into their communities. CEO encourages this Council and administration to support funding for direct cash assistance for re-entry program participants which would specifically assist aging formerly incarcerated New Yorkers coming home. Finding meaningful work after incarceration proves to be arduous, especially for older adults, many of whom may have difficulty engaging in physical activities or may not have the technological skills necessary for non-physical jobs. These individuals report challenges during the reentry process to include securing safe and stable housing and obtaining employment, places that we believe cash assistance will be pivotal during their journey reintegrating back into their communities. I would now like to share a story from one of our participants, Ronald. Ronald worked on CEO's transitional work crew, sanitizing New York City Housing Authority properties to keep residents safe

during the height of the pandemic. Alongside his CEO wages, his RCS payments allow him to keep up with expenses, food for the house, clothing for his son, and the family's bills. It also helped him to save for a rainy day. With the support of CEO, he was able to land a full-time job and, for Ronald, the Returning Citizens Stimulus payments gave him a financial cushion to deal with any possible emergencies. For people coming home, Ronald told us, the program gives independence, and so we believe that these are sound investments that the City can and should adopt through the budget. At CEO, we don't just put individuals to work. We help keep them out of prisons and jails.

Thank you for your consideration of these recommendations.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you for your testimony.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you. We'll be moving on to Imani. Please wait for the Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

IMANI: Thank you so much. Hello,
everyone. I wanted to say that for the disabled

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

people that are coming out of incarceration, they do need a place that's accessible and adaptable, also (INAUDIBLE) as well. It's not easy period for us to maneuver and so that's why (INAUDIBLE) and everything, I believe that group funding is not helping enough, the groups are not helping us disabled people enough as to stuff that we need and require so that's what I have to say about that.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much for your testimony.

IMANI: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you so much.

We'll be moving on to Andre Ward. Please wait for the

Sergeant-at-Arms to call time before you begin your

testimony.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

ANDRE WARD: Thank you to Chair Hudson and Chair Rivera for convening this very important hearing. As the Associate Vice President of David Rothenberg Center for Public Policy at the Fortune Society and as someone who served 16 years in State prisons, I submit this testimony in support of both bills and respectfully urge the Council to pass Resolution 0241-2022 in support of two critical

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

pieces of State legislation, the Elder Parole Act and Fair and Timely Parole Acts. While we're discussing State legislation today, it's important to note that the first person to die on Rikers Island this year was 65-year-old Marvin Pines, and Mr. Pines who suffered from seizures was held (INAUDIBLE) infirmary command, which is a unit for people with acute medical conditions and disabilities. He had accepted responsibility by pleading guilty in a non-violent case that was directly tied to his addiction. His death while in custody at Rikers is really a sobering reminder of the dangers that incarceration poses for our seniors. The Fortune Society has been around for over 55 years as a organization offering support and re-entry services to people that are formerly incarcerated and we promote alternatives to incarceration to strengthen the fabric of our communities, and we do this solely by believing in the power of people's capacity to change and build their lives through service programs shaped by the experiences of our participants. Many of the people we served were incarcerated for decades and released as senior citizens, and Fortune was a part of the CARE taskforce which served as the interagency

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

taskforce focusing on elder re-entry in the country that was established by the City Council and the taskforce developed detailed recommendations that may require an interagency body to ensure progress is made. One thing we know for certain is that the numbers of aging people in New York State prisons have climbed steadily over decades even as the overall prison population has fallen due to harsh sentencing law and the prison processes that focus entirely on people's past actions as opposed to their current state of rehabilitation and remorse yet, in prison, often completely transforming themselves, accepting responsibility for their past actions, taking advantage of opportunities for growth provided within the prison setting, and even creating new ones and mentoring their young peers to do the same. We see such transformed individuals among our Fortune participants every day. We also have seen firsthand the challenges that our elder participants face when they become home, usually because they no longer have family to turn after such long periods of incarceration, physical health issues that might be less severe if treated properly sooner, unaddressed trauma greatly exacerbated by incarceration, lack of

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

financial resources and homelessness, and while people over the age of 55 make up less than 20 percent of all fortune participants they are nearly half of the participants we serve in our (INAUDIBLE) They also comprise over 30 percent of the people we serve through our care management division helping people with chronic health needs navigate the medical and mental care systems.

While Fortune's housing supply is limited, we prioritize providing supportive housing to this elder population of people who have served significant prison sentences because their needs are so dire. The alternative for them is all too often the streets or shelter, which we have heard throughout testimony can quickly prove fatal given their age and their medical conditions. We opened what is called the Mandela Community to provide supportive housing to people age 62 and over who have been held in State prisons as well as our City jails and who would otherwise be homeless. Supporting bills like Elder Parole and Fair and Timely Parole Acts would be humane and would also make commonsense. These bills would codify what has long been recognized by numerous studies that older people who

have served significant periods of time for violent offences that occurred far in the past are not a significant risk to public safety. In addition, neither of these bills would remove the discretion of the parole board to deny people their access of parole in specific cases. Nobody is guaranteed a release under these bills. They are not radical. The board will still have authority to conduct individualized review of each older person's case before it. Moreover, passing both parole justice measures is estimated, as was mentioned earlier, to save the State 522 million dollars a year, some of which could and should be reallocated to re-entry services, which would ensure people are better supported upon their return to New York City.

For all these reasons, we urge you to take action and pass the Resolution in support of these two important bills. Thank you for your consideration for my testimony on behalf of the Fortune Society.

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Thank you very much.

We are now going to move on to Assembly Member Harvey

Epstein.

2.2

2.3

2

1

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Your time will begin.

3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL: Going once for

4

Assembly Member Epstein, going twice.

5

Okay, at this time, if there is anyone

6

who is logged in that would like to testify

7

virtually, please indicate so using the Zoom raise

8

hand function.

Seeing no hands, turning it back to the

10

Chair for closing remarks.

11

CHAIRPERSON HUDSON: Thank you so much. I

12

just want to thank everyone who has come out to

13

testify today. I think every testimony and personal

14

and lived experience that's been shared is exactly

15 16 why we held this hearing, myself and Chair Rivera who is on parental leave, really wanted to make sure that

17

there was an opportunity for us to hear from directly

18

impacted folks and to hold our agencies accountable.

19

It's really disappointing, of course, when they don't

20

show up, but we will continue to call them to the

21

table and to have these oversight hearings to ensure

2.2

that we're creating programs and services and

2.3

resources that actually help folks rather than hinder

24

and hurt them. Thank you again to everyone who came

25

out in person and virtually.

This hearing is adjourned. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date February 28, 2023