CITY COUNCIL
CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

----- X

December 6, 2022 Start: 1:20 p.m. Recess: 4:40 p.m.

HELD AT: COMMITTEE ROOM - CITY HALL

B E F O R E: Pierina Ana Sanchez, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Alexa Avilés
Tiffany Cabán
David M. Carr
Eric Dinowitz
Oswald Feliz
Crystal Hudson

Ari Kagan

Christopher Marte Shahana Hanif Robert F. Holden Gale A. Brewer

APPEARANCES

AnnMarie Santiago, Deputy Commissioner of the Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services at the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Marti Weithman, Assistant Commissioner of the Housing Litigation Division

Grace DeFina, Assistant Commissioner of the Division of Special Enforcement

Joshua Cucchiaro, Assistant Commissioner of the Emergency Operations Division

Renee Peay, Assistant Commissioner of the Division of Neighborhood Preservation

Samuel Stein, Senior Policy Analyst at Community Service Society

Emily Goldstein, Director of Organizing and Advocacy with Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development

Noelle Francois, Executive Director of Heat Seek

Jackie Del Valle, TakeRoot Justice and Stabilizing NYC Coalition

Rima Begum, Associate Director of Housing Stability Program at Chhaya Community Development Corporation

Jessica Bellinder, Supervising Attorney for Legal Aid Society, Bronx Neighborhood Office

Dannelly Rodriguez, Staff Attorney with the Tenants' Rights Coalition at Queens Legal Services, Legal Services NYC

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Faceli Alvarez, Make the Road New York

Kelly Grace Price, Close Rosies

Doreen Mohammed

Sateesh Nori, Executive Director of JustFix

Robert Altman

Shannon Lumpkin, Opera House Tenant Union

Lyric Thompson

Susan Berkowitz

Robert Thibault, 117/127 West 141st Street Tenant Association

Corey Ortega, Board Member of the 510/513 West 134th Street Tenant Association

Sanchez, and I'm going to turn on the microphone. I

25

2.2

2.3

Member Caban.

am the Chair of the Committee on Housing and
Buildings, and I want to thank you all for joining
today's hearing to examine the City's enforcement of
the Housing Maintenance Code and also hear from a
number Colleagues on bills related to this topic. I
would like to acknowledge my Colleagues from the City
Council who are present, Council Member Marte,
Council Member Hanif, Council Member Aviles, Council

Member Hudson, Council Member Holden, and Council

about a constituent that we have in our office. She has lived in her building for decades, and her apartment building is inundated with B class and C class violations, ranging from lacking heat and hot water to mold. Since December 2021, she and her neighbors have made numerous complaints to 3-1-1, prompting inspections from HPD to address these issues. Sometimes the agency is able to send inspectors and sometimes the constituents feel ignored. When agency inspectors eventually do come to their home, the landlord will remediate the issues and the issue may be corrected for the night or for just a few days. My constituent then again calls 3-1-

2.2

2.3

1 for the same issue and when they're met with no response from HPD, they have finally turned to my office to escalate. This year alone, my office has addressed a total of hundreds of complaints related to landlords and building owners who are neglecting their duty to take care of their buildings. This one example had 76 calls made to 3-1-1 over a 12-month period with 55 total violations issued to said building and yet repairs not completed.

Recurring complaints and violations are more than just anecdotal. All too frequently, Council Members, advocates, city agencies respond to thousands of housing quality complaints ranging from lack of heat to pervasive mold to gas and electrical outages. A 2020 report by the New York State

Comptroller, however, tells us of a building, another example, that received 175 calls from tenants issuing 3-1-1 complaint calls but not a single inspection was conducted in this building and certainly no violations were issued. So despite HPD's gargantuan task of conducting 500,000 annual inspections, far too many New Yorkers still feel that they remain in substandard housing. This is a critical reality as these violations impact the health and wellness of

1	COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS 7
2	our tenants, our community, and our environment. It's
3	not lost on us the relationship between health
4	outcomes and substandard housing conditions. As we
5	know, the social determinants of health begin with a
6	healthy home. Throughout the years, the City has made
7	great strides in enforcing the City's Housing
8	Maintenance Code. The Council has enacted legislation
9	to remedy violations impacting our communities
10	including Local Law 18 of 2020 establishing the Heat
11	Sensor Program, Local Law 1 of 2018 creating the
12	Certificate of No Harassment Pilot Program, Local Lav
13	117 of 2019 requiring HPD to audit 15 percent of
14	certification of correction of class C violations to
15	name a few. Yet, while these programs provide the
16	City one of the most robust set of enforcement tools
17	in the State of New York, their efficiency is often
18	unknown or insufficient. The live stream is not up
19	yet so you are going to hear that again. Maybe not. I
20	was wondering what, since we are not on camera, y'all
21	want to just tell me how your weekend was?
22	Good afternoon. I won't repeat all of my
23	opening statement that I've already read, but I do

want to acknowledge the Council Members present,

2.2

2.3

Council Member Marte, Hanif, Aviles, Hudson, Holden,Feliz, and Carr, and Council Member Kagan and Caban.

To continue, while these programs provide the City with one of the most robust set of enforcement tools in the State of New York, their efficiency is unknown and perhaps insufficient, either in actual operations of the program, the overall cohesiveness in the way that they work together, or in the recovery of fines and fees. There are evidently points we need to address in ensuring the City's Housing Maintenance Code and when it is not enforced ensuring landlords are held accountable given the severity of the issues at hand.

Today, we will assess tools at the City's disposal for enforcing our Housing Maintenance Code and hold those accountable who in bad faith consistently ignore the stipulations thereof. I'll touch on these programs briefly, but at today's hearing I want to go beyond the basic facts about the program. It is essential for the Committee to carry out its oversight functions to learn whether these programs are working and ways that we can work together to improve them, all with the aim of making sure that New Yorkers feel safer in their homes.

2.2

2.3

To begin, 7A. 7A allows judges in Housing

Court to appoint property administrators when owners
of a property have effectively abandoned their
property and the conditions at the property are
dangerous to the life, health, and safety of the
tenants. In Fiscal Year '20, 40 units were discharged
from the 7A program or were in compliance with a 7A

Consent Order, 23 in Fiscal Year '21, and 34 in

Fiscal Year '22. I hope to hear from the
administration about the success and state of the
buildings in this program, under which circumstances

HPD requests a 7A administrator, and how buildings
can successfully exit the program.

Enforcement Program requires HPD to perform frequent inspections to a select number of buildings in the City of New York, track correction of violations, issue orders to correct if the owner fails to act and make repairs as necessary. In Fiscal Year '20, there were 1,256 units discharged from the Alternative Enforcement Program as a result of owner compliance, 6,484 units in Fiscal '21, 4,135 in Fiscal '22. Our data shows that 386 buildings have gone through the Alternative Enforcement Program more than once. If a

of the safety of the tenants.

2.2

2.3

building has gone through AEP once and somehow
becomes eligible to enter it again, we need to
revisit the Alternative Enforcement Program to see
are there property owners who are repeatedly failing
to properly maintain their buildings at the expense

The Certificate of No Harassment Program.

Local Law 1 of 2018 established a pilot program requiring owners of certain buildings to obtain a Certification of No Harassment before filing for permits at the Department of Buildings to perform certain construction work. The CONH program aims to ensure that building owners do not harass tenants when they seek to conduct alterations or demolition work. What is unclear is how many Certifications of No Harassment have been issued since the pilot program began and whether the program has increased or decreased the number of harassment complaints from tenants.

Emergency repair. HPD has the power to intervene and correct emergency violations via the Emergency Repair Program when a property owner fails to act. There were 360,480 dwelling units in Fiscal '20 that had emergency work completed under ERP but

- 2 | only 40,547 units in '21 and 42,039 units in '22,
- 3 | likely because of the pandemic I'm sure we'll hear.
- 4 Further, our data shows multiple dwellings at
- 5 | multiple buildings undergoing ERP a few times with
- 6 one building going through the program 80 times.
- 7 Further, I'm concerned with owners abuse of this
- 8 program in their efforts to shirk their
- 9 responsibilities to tenants. I am interested in
- 10 hearing from the administration how the fees
- 11 associated with the Emergency Repair Program, how
- 12 many go unpaid and how many become liens across the
- 13 property with both HPD and DOF's enforcement
- 14 protocols during these procedures.
- 15 HPD's latest report on the Alternative
- 16 | Enforcement Program shows that over 100 million
- 17 dollars including interest has been recouped through
- 18 the program. Of this, 40 million were ERP charges, 53
- 19 | million were AEP charges, 18 million of those for
- 20 system replacement and 35 million for emergency
- 21 repairs and utilities. I'm interested in the total
- 22 | amount of charges imposed in addition to these
- 23 recouped by the Department. I'm interested also in
- 24 understanding the collection rate of fees over the
- 25 last several years and how HPD and DOF have improved

2.2

2.3

collection overall. In 2019, the New York State

Comptroller selected a sample of 25 complaint cases

that resulted in notices of violation issued by the

agency during the last two fiscal years, ending in

June 2019, and, of the 25 cases, 4 were dismissed

after owners corrected the violations and 21 were

referred to Housing Court. When does HPD pursue

litigation versus other alternatives available to the

Department?

I won't go through all of them because I know that the administration will, but there are other programs that the administration has access to and we want to look at each of these programs today and understand how the administration measures success.

With respect to the legislation that we will be hearing today, I will pass the mic to my Colleagues to speak on the bills that they are having heard and, just to speak on my own, I alongside nine Council Members from the Districts with highest rates of complaints, introduced Intro 204 which would raise the inspection fees for repeat inspections as time and time again heat and hot water complaints go unaddressed. I'm also sponsoring Intro 434 which

make it more effective.

2.2

2.3

seeks to expand the Heat Sensor Program. The Heat

Sensor Program has proven to have some success since

its adoption in the pilot phase, and it's worth

expanding. We will also take a look at how the pilot

program has fared and what we can do to expand and

Intro 163 sponsored by Council Member Holden relates to the photographic documentation evidencing certain violations enforced by HPD.

Intro 484 is sponsored by Council Member Marte which is the DOB analog to 163.

Intro 243 is sponsored by Council Member
Hanif relating to multiple dwelling owners being
required to post notices regarding electric space
heater safety.

Intro 337 is sponsored by Council Member
Hudson relating to HPD providing annual lists of open
Housing Maintenance Code violations to multiple
dwelling occupants and tenants.

Finally, 583 which is sponsored by the Public Advocate who could not join us today relating to an increase in penalties for violations issued by HPD and requiring HPD to maintain a Certification of Correction watchlist and prohibiting any listed

2.2

2.3

2 landlord from certifying correction of violations in 3 multiple dwellings without an inspection.

I would like to thank my team, Sam

Cardenas, my Chief-of-Staff, Kadeem Robinson, our

Legislative and Communications Director as well as
the Housing and Buildings Committee staff, Audrey

Son, Taylor Zelony, Jose Conde, Charles Kim, Dan

Kroop, and a special shoutout this hearing to our

Data Scientist Brook Frye who spent many, many hours

analyzing open data records.

With that, thanks everyone again for being here today. I want to turn it over to my Colleagues to make introductions about their bills. First up, Council Member Marte.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: First of all, I want to thank Chair Sanchez for having this hearing and bringing this piece of legislation onto the agenda. I also want to thank my Legislative Director Ian Wang and Rachel Cordero from Central Staff for making this bill possible.

Intro 484 will require the Department of Buildings Inspector to take photos when they respond to tenants' complaint and issue violations. Right now, too often our office receives calls and emails

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

from constituents telling my staff that they still have their apartment issue unsolved despite multiple DOB inspections. They are unsure if the inspector even went into their building or spotted the correct conditions for repairs before closing the ticket prematurely. This bill intends to address this issue and bring more transparency and accountability to New York City tenants by requiring photographic evidence and maintaining such records so that public and local elected officials have the ability to make sure that DOB inspectors actually conduct the inspection and the job they were sent out to do before closing any ticket. This is about bringing DOB and inspectors into the 21st century. Right now, especially during the holiday season, any time you receive a package and they leave it at your doorstep, the UPS, FedEx worker takes a photo. We all have smart phones. Even the instruments that many of these inspectors hold, whether it's an iPad or another tablet, have the ability to take photos. There's no reason why we shouldn't have this in New York City. We are mindful that this law does not add any additional burden or poses any challenges for DOB inspectors to conduct their job. Our office will continue to partner with

2.2

2.3

the Department and the Commissioner to coordinate

further rulemaking to ensure a smooth implementation

of this law.

Thank you again, Chair, for allowing us to have this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Council Member Marte. I next want to pass it to
Council Member Hanif.

COUNCIL MEMBER HANIF: Thank you, Chair Sanchez and the Committee on Housing and Buildings for hosting this critical hearing. I deeply appreciate you including Intro 243-A on today's agenda.

Electric space heaters are one of the leading causes of fires. According to the Consumer Product Safety Commission, portable heaters are involved in about 1,700 fires per year nationwide resulting in about 80 deaths and 160 injuries. The tragic Twin Parks Fire in Council Member Feliz' District in January of this year showed how devastating these fires can be. Unfortunately, we know we cannot eliminate space heater usage in our city. While landlords have an obligation to their tenants to provide adequate heating, this obligation

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

is often not met and residents are forced to resort to using heaters to stay warm. Earlier this year, the Council passed my bill, Local Law 64 of 2022, which prohibited space heaters without necessary safety features from being sold in our state. Intro 243-A, which is being heard today, builds off of this legislation by making sure tenants know how to use space heaters safely. If passed, this bill would require building owners to conspicuously post an informational notice on how to properly operate a space heater. The notice would need to include the recommendations 1) purchasing an electric space heater with the seal of a qualified testing laboratory such as Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., 2) choosing a heater with a thermostat and overheat protection including tip-over automatic shut-off functionality, 3) operating the heater at least three feet away from anything flammable, 4) operating the heater only on a solid flat surface, 5) keeping the heater away from heavily trafficked areas in the dwelling, 6) never blocking a dwelling exit, 7) keeping children and pets away from the heater, 8) plugging the heater directly into the wall outlet and never using an extension cord or surge protector, 9)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 turning off and unplugging the heater when leaving a

3 room or going to sleep, and 10) additional

4 recommendations issued by the Department. Since the

5 | bill was introduced, I've added amendments with the

6 assistance of the great Housing and Buildings

7 | Committee staff Taylor Zelony and Audrey Son to

8 better ensure that our immigrant communities are able

9 to receive this notice in the languages they speak,

10 the A version being heard today ensure that the

11 | notice will be available in the 10 designated

12 | citywide languages as well as additional languages.

This legislation is a commonsense approach that will

14 reduce risk and save lives.

I appreciate the sponsorship of this bill from 20 of my Colleagues and strongly encourage others to sign on and for the Council to pass it expeditiously. Thank you so much for your consideration.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,

Council Member Hanif. We'll next go to Council Member

Hudson.

COUNCIL MEMBER HUDSON: Thank you, Chair Sanchez, and good afternoon. Today the Committee on Housing and Buildings will hear my bill, Introduction

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

337, which would require the Department of Housing Preservation and Development to compile and distribute by mail to tenants or occupants of a multiple dwelling a list of any unresolved violations of the Housing Maintenance Code for such dwelling once a year. I introduced this bill after speaking with countless tenants who reached out to my office because their landlord failed to address the myriad violations in their units similarly to Chair Sanchez and the constituent cases that she's referenced. These tenants wanted evidence to prove unaddressed violations and to be able to use such evidence of leverage to force their landlords to fix these issues as quickly as possible, and this bill does just that. Further, we need to arm tenants with the tools they need to fight against excessive rent increases by greedy landlords. One way to fight the rampart displacement we've seen in my District is for longtime tenants to be able to negotiate lease renewals with their landlords in a way that mitigates high rent increases. Being equipped with outstanding Housing Maintenance Code violations in their unit would allow tenants to fight back against attempted unjustified rent hikes. While this information was

- 2 readily available on the City's Open Data and HPD's
- 3 Building Registration and Violation Portals, most New
- 4 | Yorkers know neither about the existence of these
- 5 | sources nor how to use them, but we should, of
- 6 | course, continue investing and making our City's data
- 7 more accessible for all. We should also provide this
- 8 data proactively in a digestible, easy-to-understand
- 9 format.
- I want to say thank you to Chris Pepe for
- 11 drafting this bill as well as to my Chief-of-Staff
- 12 | Casie Addison and my Director of Policy and Budget
- 13 | Issues Andrew Wright, and thank you, again, Chair
- 14 Sanchez.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you oversight
- 16 | much, Council Member Hudson, and I hope you feel
- 17 | better soon. We'll next go to Council Member Bob
- 18 Holden.
- 19 COUNCIL MEMBER HOLDEN: Thank you, Chair
- 20 Sanchez, for hosting this hearing today, all the
- 21 great bills that are being heard, and, of course,
- 22 including my bill, Intro 163.
- 23 Intro 163 would require the Department of
- 24 | Housing Preservation and Development, HPD, to submit
- 25 | photographic documentation when issuing a Notice of

ADMINISTRATION: (INAUDIBLE)

25

Thank you. You may begin when ready.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Excuse my voice. I'm recovering also from a respiratory. Good afternoon, Chair Sanchez and Members of the Housing and Buildings Committee. My name is AnnMarie Santiago, and I am the Deputy Commissioner of the Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services at the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. I am accompanied today by the leadership of the Enforcement Team, Marti Weithman, Assistant Commissioner of the Housing Litigation Division, Grace DeFina, Assistant Commissioner of the Division of Special Enforcement, Joshua Cucchiaro, Assistant Commissioner of the Emergency Operations Division, and Renee Peay, Assistant Commissioner of the Division of Neighborhood Preservation. Mario Ferrigno, Assistant Commissioner of the Division of Code Enforcement, and Angela Robinson, Assistant Commissioner of our administration and Internal Compliance Division, unfortunately, could not be with us today.

Thank you for the invitation to testify on our enforcement of the Housing Maintenance Code

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 and several bills proposed by the City Council 3 related to our enforcement of that Code.

The mission of the Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services, which we share with the Council, is to protect the quality of housing for all New Yorkers. Each of us here today represent a dedicated team of field office staff who worked throughout COVID to keep New Yorkers safe conducting inspections and response to complaints, reinspecting open violations all to ensure that build records reflect repairs and to ensure that landlords who did not make repairs continue to be held accountable for those conditions, seeking enforcement of orders, and educating owners. We continued to provide our basic services such as restoring heat when property owners were unable to or refused to do so. At the same time, we implemented a number of new laws, rules, and common sense procedures to design to improve the safety for our families and protect tenants from harassment. We continued to find new and innovative ways to fulfill our mission despite the challenges faced by all city agencies over the past three years. I know that all of you have interacted with our services on behalf of your constituents over the past

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 year, but I would like to share some important

3 statistics and highlights from the past three years

4 to bring some context to our work.

In Fiscal Year 2022, Code Enforcement received 580,000 complaints and conducted over 700,000 inspections and re-inspections of existing violations. For Code Enforcement activity specifically, almost a million dollars in inspection fees was assessed and billed to properties through their property taxes. We implemented multiple changes regarding lead-based paint that expanded the number of households protected by our lead-based paint laws including more audits and the issuance of violations for lead paint hazards at lower levels of lead in paint. We enhanced our work around fire safety, implementing new laws, posting a new multi-language notice whenever we issue a self-closing door violation in a building, sending more details about how to ensure that self-closing doors are working properly, and owner and tenant notices that are mailed after a violation is issued, and educating owners on the need for fire safety notices in each apartment. Overall, during Fiscal Year '22, we completed emergency repairs that affected more than

2.2

2.3

42,000 apartments, restoring heat, addressing

(INAUDIBLE) mold, repairing self-closing doors, and fixing collapsing ceilings.

We have used all of the tools at our disposal to enforce the code. This calendar year to date, we selected another 250 buildings for the Alternative Enforcement Program. We recently issued underlying condition orders to more than 70 buildings where mold and leaks are systemic. We now have almost 100 buildings in the Heat Sensor Program, and our anti-harassment unit conducted inspections in over 600 buildings.

We continue to file cases seeking orders to correct violations and access warrants to the extent possible based on the court's capacity in Fiscal Year '21 and returned to court in person when they reopened last fall.

In Fiscal Year '22, we closed cases which affected over 5,500 dwelling units with orders to correct and civil penalties where warranted. Over 61,500 violations were closed through our litigation actions. We obtained orders and civil penalties in cases related to lead-based paint compliance and against owners who have harassed tenants through the

deferral of maintenance and deprivation of essential services in buildings across the city.

Our litigation activity additionally extends to seeking orders and penalties in heat and hot water cases, obtaining access warrants when property owners refuse our Emergency Repair Program, and working on the Certification of No Harassment Program including the pilot program which was renewed and expanded by the Council last fall.

In our efforts to ensure that tenants know their rights and ensure that property owners comply with the law, we have held 11 lead-based paint and mold webinars with almost 2,000 participants. We also conducted over 50 outreach events with the Fire Department on fire safety issues and with 17 different Council Members in your Districts.

We have started using a new customer service technology that allows property owners and tenants to make appointments with our Property Registration Unit and our Borough Office Code Enforcement Offices to discuss multiple topics.

Appointments can be in person, via telephone, or via video conferencing.

2.2

2.3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We have done all of this while making every effort to address our significant staffing challenges, which I mentioned in my testimony earlier this year. We have interviewed over 490 people for our Housing Inspector, Technical Field Staff, and Attorney positions. We have a new class of inspectors starting next week and plan to hold another job fair early in the new year. We are still looking to fill a number of vacancies as well in our Housing Litigation Division and our Emergency Operations Division. Commissioner Carrion has personally trumpeted this need far and wide at all types of events and interviews that he has participated in. HPD has continued to reach out to all types of constituencies who might be interested in working for us, including through expanded social media campaigns. As always, we ask for your support in these recruitment efforts and would be happy to share all job descriptions with you and your Colleagues at the Council. We fully intend to take advantage of such opportunities in the future.

Even given our limited resources at this time, we have plans to improve and expand some of our most important tools and services. In the Housing

2.2

2.3

Blueprint, we committed to expanding the use of our Anti-Harassment Unit, improving our technology even further to make interacting with the agency easier for tenants and owners, and improving our training to ensure our inspections and repairs are conducted by knowledgeable and professional staff. I would be happy to discuss all of these new initiatives with the Council as we implement them over the next several years.

Especially on the use of technology, I would like to take a minute to let the Council know about the implementation of our Real-Time Field Force application which began in 2019 and which continues to expand to more types of inspections and more units within our office. RTFF currently allows our Code Inspectors working in our Borough Offices to start their day in the field for complaints inspections, improving productivity and allowing us to respond more quickly and efficiently than when we had to create routes in the office. We have rolled it out slowly, making improvements as we go to streamline our inspection data collection and are planning to expand to certification re-inspections sometime in 2023. We are planning to improve our public-facing

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

information.

information portal, releasing a new online
application to view HPD complaints and violations,
improving HPD online in ways we trust will improve
our customer service experience obtaining building

We have continued as well to expand our relationships with other city agencies, working more closely than ever with the Department of Health related to lead, pests, and allergen hazards as well as working closely with other agencies including the Department of Buildings, the Fire Department, the Law Department, the Department of Environmental Protection, and most recently related to the Rat Reduction Initiative building stronger relationships with the Department of Sanitation.

We continue to work with State partners as well, specifically the Attorney General's office and Homes and Community Renewal on the Tenant Harassment Taskforce.

In terms of the bills before us today, I want to thank the Council for their continued commitment to the tenants and property owners of New York City. We commend and support the goal of targeting agency enforcement to bad actors including

2.2

2.3

those who repeatedly falsely certify the correction of violations or repeatedly fail to address conditions for which violations are issued. We appreciate legislation that seeks to educate owners or tenants about the dangers that could be present in their homes and, understanding that these are the goals of these bills, we look forward to working on the details of the proposed legislation to ensure they can be implemented in a real and meaningful way. We do have some concerns about the bills to share.

For Intro 204, we support the bill in concept but are not able to charge inspection fees for more than the cost of the service we provide so we are working to determine the current cost of this service which we will share with the Council.

Regarding Intro 243, we also support the goal of the bill, and we look forward to discussing other ideas for more comprehensive and effective ways to communicate important safety information to tenants.

On Intro 337, we want to make sure that everyone knows about HPD Online, the mechanism in place to provide information quickly and easily to the public. We are certainly open to discussing with

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

access.

the Council how to make that information more readily accessible for New Yorkers who don't have internet

For Intro 434, we want to ensure that the program continues to focus on only repeat offenders and does not have the unintended consequence of penalizing owners who address conditions that sometimes arise. We also have concerns about both the increase in staff and technology resources the bill's implementation would require. In addition, issuing violations without first undertaking an inspection of the premises may raise other concerns that are normally addressed when an inspector visits a building, including a sensor's reading may not always reflect an apartment's temporary. The Law Department is reviewing the bill for concerns. Starting to issue violations not based on an inspector's observation is a slippery slope, especially when we are relying instead on technology that can be tampered with by both owners and tenants, but, as we evaluate the results of our current Heat Sensor Pilot Program, we look forward to further conversations with the Council about the most effective ways to address real issues around problem buildings.

2.2

2.3

In regards to Intro 583, we support

increasing penalties and restricting the privilege of

certifications and the (INAUDIBLE) comply process,

but we need to work with the Public Advocate and the

Council in ensuring the process is simple and

straightforward. We would also like to discuss some

additional amendments that would strengthen HPD's

ability to hold bad actors accountable.

Finally, for Intro 163, HPD is opposed to this proposed legislation as it would require valuable technology resources and we are not aware of issues related to contesting HPD violations that would be addressed by this bill.

We hope to have further conversations around all of these efforts and to work collaboratively with the Council to address your concerns so that we can continue to work toward the goal of providing quality housing for all New Yorkers.

Thank you and we would be happy to answer any questions you might have.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,
Deputy Commissioner Santiago. Very thankful for your
comprehensive testimony.

It's almost the holidays, and it should
be a happy time for New Yorkers, but the reality is
that we know every single year heat and hot water
complaints spike at this time. I'm looking over at
Council Member Feliz who just, when we were nine days
in office, faced the biggest tragedy that the Bronx
has seen in decades with the Twin Parks Northwest
Fire caused by a space heater so the first question
that I want to ask is grounded in heat and hot water
complaints, heating in particular is one of the most
pernicious, consistent complaints that we have all
across the city and yet a heat map of these
complaints shows us what we unfortunately expect to
see, that the heat complaint volume is concentrated
in the lowest-income communities, communities of
color, time and time again and so the first question
is just a general one from the perspective of the
administration, what efforts has the administration
taken, what changes have been made since January 2022
to address the persistent heat and hot water
complaints, those buildings that are repeat offenders
and the agency is receiving calls for time and again.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member, for that question. One of the ways we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

have addressed those buildings is, of course, as I mentioned through our technology, we're making efforts to improve the speed at which we can respond to complaints. We do also have in place some processes for identifying buildings that have a particularly High number of complaints, and our Division of Neighborhood Preservation, which we may talk about later, attempts to contact tenants from those buildings, contacts owners, follows up, whether or not violations are issued to try and get a sense of what the conditions are at the building. We do have inspectors in all of our Borough Offices that respond to the complaints. We also have a team that may go out towards the evening to respond to tenant complaints as well. Of course, we monitor the issuance of heat violations, and we expedite that through our Emergency Repair Program, and we may have time later as well to talk about how that process works where, as soon as the violation is issued, within a very short time period, we are reaching out to the owner, we are reaching out to the tenant, and then we follow that up with a field staff visit assuming that the temperature is low in the apartment.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you for that.

From your perspective, you have inspectors that walk into every single one of these buildings, what is the cause that you can see for why buildings or owners do not provide this heat and hot water? Is it what tenants think which is just saving money on oil or gas or whatever the case may be? What are some of the different causes that you see and how can we address some of those?

that there are, Council Member, property owners who fail to provide the service as a way either to save money, as a way to harass tenants, but I do not believe that that is the majority of the cases. I do believe that there are situations that happen where boilers break down, they're only machines, where fuel deliveries fail to get made because of human error. I don't think that the majority of buildings don't provide heat maliciously. I think we took a look at the heat violations that were issued last year, and about 75 percent of the buildings that had a heat violation only had one, which would kind of indicate that for most buildings it's not that there's a pattern of failure to provide heat and so we do have

2.2

2.3

the Heat Sensor Program which we're still evaluating about how effective that is, we do have inspection fees related to properties where heat is not adequate multiple times. We can go into Housing Court, and we do, on those property owners who have multiple heat violations, either over one heat season or over multiple heat seasons.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: It's helpful to hear that 75 percent of violations had only violation. Do you track the cause of a no-heat condition in a building?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: We do not, and sometimes we don't know. The inspector is not really a boiler expert, he or she has to be a generalist, and a lot of times most of the correction is done by the owner before we have to be involved with that correction and so we're not in a position to say. Obviously, the hardest cases are cases where the boiler just is not working for whatever reason, and those are the ones that often fall to us if there's no owner in the picture, if the owner can't afford to make the repair, and Emergency Repair needs to be involved, those are often the hardest ones that we see, but, in general, I think there's no Way for

2.2

2.3

us to know what the owner fixed before we got there or what condition was addressed in terms of making the repair.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Moving to the Heat
Sensor Program in particular. There's a pilot
program. 50 buildings were chosen in the first year.

Of those buildings that complied with their selection
into the Heat Sensor Program, we did see improvements
in the number of complaints that tenants were making
and the number of violations issued certainly over
the previous year prior to involvement in the program
so can you speak to how you have seen the success or
failure or gaps that the program has and you
mentioned 100 buildings are now in the program
because it's 50 a year, second year, that makes
sense, but how do buildings exit the Heat Sensor
Program?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member, and it's good to talk about this

program in particular I think. You're right. We did

see an improvement in buildings where heat sensors

were installed, but we also saw improvement in those

buildings where no heat sensor was installed so with

a very small sample size of 50, it's hard to make a

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

definitive statement that having the heat sensors reduced the number of violations. I think in some cases owners were upset about having to install the heat sensors and actually, in some cases, the owner said it's okay that I installed the heat sensors because now you know that the heat is adequate so it kind of was a mixed bag amongst those owners. Not all of the buildings did install the heat sensors as required, and, in some cases, we initiated legal action against those owners. The second cohort of buildings was just selected in July as is required. I think the discharge from that program is very difficult because it requires you to not have had not only heat violations but violations relating to the heat sensors themselves over the period of time and so very few buildings have actually been discharged from the program because, especially that first year, although we did a lot of outreach to try to get owners to understand what they had to do, they didn't comply in time and so, even if they eventually put the sensors in, they had had that violation issued so that's something that would be (INAUDIBLE) to discuss is kind of an out-take strategy for that program which may have been overlooked in the original

legislation, but I think what we really hope to do is
look at the second cohort in connection with the
first to try to get a better sense. It was also the
COVID years as I refer to them when that first twoyear cohort was in, and that may have also affected
both owners ability to install the sensors and

8 tenants willingness to have the sensors quite

9 frankly.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: That is helpful but we would have to do a power analysis to see the statistical significance of it all, but those buildings that did comply improved more than the buildings that didn't comply so more to come. I agree. I, of course, have a million questions, but I want to give my colleagues an opportunity before I continue with questions so first I'm going to turn it to Council Member Marte. Council Member Marte has some questions for DOB.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Deputy

Commissioner, before you give your answer, I'm just

going to administer the oath again because I don't

think we were able to capture your response earlier.

Please raise your right hand.

2.2

2	Do You affirm to tell the truth, the
3	whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your
4	testimony before this Committee and to respond
5	honestly to Council Member questions?
6	DOB DEPUTY COMMISSIONER: I do.
7	COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Sorry. Just before you begin, I want to acknowledge that we've been joined by Council Member Dinowitz.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Great. My first question is are there any obstacles from implementing Intro 484?

DOB DEPUTY COMMISSIONER: Hi, Council Member, how are you?

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Good.

DOB DEPUTY COMMISSIONER: We have similar concerns to the concerns that HPD raised with Intro 163. Those are primarily technological concerns so currently, while we have the capability to print summons in the field, our inspectors have mobile printers, they don't have the capacity to print photographs in the field so that's one of our IT concerns so allowing them to do that would require perhaps equipping them with new equipment. A

2.2

2.3

secondary concern we have is privacy concerns that
we're still discussing internally, but sometimes our
inspections involve the interior of someone's home so
their bathrooms, bedrooms, and other living spaces,
and then, finally, one of the additional concerns we
had is how many photos would we have to include,
sometimes one photo doesn't tell the whole story so
we don't want respondents to believe that they only
have to correct what's viewable in the photo if it
doesn't capture all the violating conditions that
we're noting in our summonses so those are just some
of the concerns that we have with the bill.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Do you believe that adding photography and investing in the equipment will increase the efficiency of tickets getting done? Right now, I'm sure all of our offices get complaints from tenants, even property owners, where DOB inspects or probably doesn't inspect, but their ticket closes with no change done. Don't you think this is worth the investment for future generations as well?

DOB DEPUTY COMMISSIONER: Currently, we have our Buildings Information System so all of our complaints, inspections, and violations, that's all

publicly available through our website currently so

I'm not sure what instances you might be referring to

where we close out a complaint and didn't issue a

violation. Maybe we didn't see a violating condition

when we showed up so I think I'd like to explore that

7 further with your office.

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: All right. Finally, have you done any cost estimates of what this might cost if we do move forward with this legislation?

DOB DEPUTY COMMISSIONER: We haven't, but

that's something that we'd certainly look into.

COUNCIL MEMBER MARTE: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,

Council Member Marte. Coming back, Deputy

Commissioner, you mentioned that 75 percent of

buildings only had one violation. Of course, that

leaves us with a 25 percent of buildings who are

having repeated violations so can we touch on a

moment on the legislation, Local Law 117, that passed

in, I believe, 2020, which is requiring HPD to audit

15 percent of Certificates of Correction, and pretty

consistently finding that 30 percent of these

corrections are false. How can that be and what are

we doing as a city, what is HPD doing to improve the

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

do about it?

lies? These are folks who are not fixing conditions
and saying that they are. What is the agency able to

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you for that question, Council Member. (INAUDIBLE) and one of the striking things that we noticed (INAUDIBLE) certifications are happening around (INAUDIBLE) and so we (INAUDIBLE) time period (INAUDIBLE) required (INAUDIBLE) 21 days for that correction. One of the concerns we have is whether or not that allows enough time to correct (INAUDIBLE) certifying that action (INAUDIBLE) As probably most people know, it takes a (INAUDIBLE) often if there's a serious (INAUDIBLE) in order to cure that condition. I'm sorry. I didn't realize it was off. That is one issue that I think that particular group of violations we need to pay a little bit more attention to and think about how to deal with that. I think we do support, as Public Advocate Williams has, some new kind of program to penalize repeat offenders for false certification. I think we have some concerns about the implementation of the program as he designed it in the bill, but we're certainly looking forward to having conversations with him

about a way to ensure that once we identify repeat

offenders, we can take some action to stop them from

continuing to do that and get violations closed so

that's a conversation that absolutely is on our

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Circling back to heat and hot water repeat offenders, what are some of the causes that you see that are more common in those situations where it's repeated failures?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I think, again, there are some small minority of property owners who are maybe doing this willingly and purposefully, but some of the things to consider are that a repeat offender could be a heat violation that's issued this week and next week during which the owner is still trying to correct the original problem so that is one. A second is that the repair that is made is actually insufficient, whether that's the plumber's mistake in judging how the repair needed to happen or whether that's the owner trying to not invest what he or she needs to invest in order to repair it properly so those types of situations do happen. Again, what percentage of that 25 percent is

2.2

2.3

agenda.

also capacity to enforce them, and we talked about

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

how many inspectors HPD currently has and how many inspectors does HPD currently have funding for, and, if I remember the numbers correctly, HPD had enough funding for about 450 inspectors if I remember correctly, and it only had about half of that hired,

7 about 250 inspectors. I'm just wondering if we've

been able to make some progress on that matter, so

how many inspectors does HPD currently have?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: If you give me one second, I do have that number here. One second, please. Thank you for the question. We currently have 257 inspectors in the field or at our offices, and we have a little bit over 100 vacancies still. Again, as I mentioned to the Chair at the beginning, we've made incredible efforts this year to try to hire. I believe we have interviewed over 500 people for our three most important titles. We're doing everything we can including bringing on a new class of inspectors next week, doing a job fair in January. We've increased and improved on our outreach through social media through any events that the Commissioner attends, asking people if they have folks who they believe are qualified for these positions to please apply. We will take resumes

realities of hiring including the application

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

process, by when do you think we'll get closer to 300
to 400 inspectors?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. Let me also say that at the same time we are working with DCAS to talk about the job qualifications, to figure out an easier way to make sure that we can recruit qualified candidates. We've done some outreach to other city agencies as well who are looking to pair people with positions, and I think this continues to ramp up and ramp up and we're hoping to continue to make progress, whether it's slow or not, but to also continue to retain people and as we bring people in to offer the types of opportunities at HPD for advancement that will keep people with us. Over the years, we have sort of an older workforce, and so retirements are amongst those people who we have lost and I think we are getting to a place where we're going to see those numbers start to decline as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: How long does it take to hire an inspector from the moment they apply to the moment they're hired generally?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO:

Unfortunately, Assistant Commissioner Robinson is my

COMMITTEE	\cap NI	HOIIGING	2/ J/ I/	DIITT DINCG	٩

2.2

2.3

expert on personnel, and she was unable to be here today, but we can try to come up with some information for you on that specifically.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Do you have a very rough number, let's say three months, six months, nine months?

preapproval for these positions from the Office of Management and Budget, again a partner in helping us to move people quickly through the process so it is really about once we do the interview, making very quick selections on who we want to hire. It's a relatively quick process to send that through our agency and over for approval. Then, of course, there's the process of onboarding and giving them time to leave their old jobs and come to us so I think we have done a fair job of streamlining that process.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Thank you. Chair, if I could just squeeze in one final question. How many inspectors do you need in order to properly enforce all of our housing laws in your opinion?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I think that the number that we're budgeted for right now

2.2

2.3

will take us most of the way there, and I think once we're there we have to assess what more we might need. I think it's hard for me to say at this point that we would need more because I can't tell you where that will get me and things will change between now and then, of course.

COUNCIL MEMBER FELIZ: Thank you.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Deputy Commissioner, following Council Member Feliz' thread. On these hires, one thing that I've anecdotally heard from former HPD staff who have moved on is the difficulty with the agency's remote policies and not having flexibility with their families, not having flexibility in general, and pay has also been something that I hear a lot about. What changes has HPD been able to make to positions to make them more attractive? I'm allowing you to make a PSA.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you for that, Council Member. The issue of remote work is not really an issue for our field staff. There is no ever remote work option for housing inspectors. They need to be in the office. They need to be in the field. Throughout COVID, they were in the field when

everyone was home. For our particular work, it's very important that people are present and people are willing to go out to the field. While that can be an issue for the City in general, I think it's very difficult for me to talk about that as an issue affecting our ability to retain because it will never be that we're going to do remote. An inspector has to go and see a condition, observe it himself or herself to be able to testify to it at some later point if necessary, that the condition existed and to verify the presence or absence of a Housing Maintenance Code violation.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Have we seen an increase or decrease in the vacancy rate of inspectors since the last time HPD reported on it in March?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Can you clarify that question, Council Member?

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: There was a 36 percent vacancy rate of inspectors and 33 percent vacancy rate among inspectors and supervisors on March 31, 2022. Just wondering if there's been any change over the past nine months?

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yes, we have increased the staff, as I said in response to Council Member Feliz, we have increased inspectors, and we have promoted a number of inspectors into supervisory positions during that same period.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. I'm going to turn it over to Council Member Aviles before I continue with more questions.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Thank you so much,

Chair, and thank you to the HPD team for being here.

I guess I was curious, following on the Chair's

question, have there been any looks at the pay scale

and ways to make the job even more competitive in the

market?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member. I think right now there's just in the

general in the City, there are negotiations that are

going to happen between the unions and the

administration, obviously of which we're not a party

to, but I'm sure that all of those things will be

taken into account, not just for our agency and our

staff but for any staff like ours where they need to

go to the field. They have a very difficult job. I'm

sure you understand. Our inspectors need to not only

understand the Housing Maintenance Code but they need
to come in with some pre-knowledge about construction
and they need to have excellent customer service

skills, which is often a challenge as well.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Yeah, I'd imagine that often pay for City jobs is a huge barrier. We're competing against a private market that has significantly more resources or seems to be more generous in how they expend those resources so I'm sure that's a significant barrier here.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I'm sorry,

Council Member. Just to finish that thought, we have

tried to make it clear to potential candidates the

other benefits though that a City job does have, the

pension, the healthcare that often at least in

construction trades maybe are not always par for the

job so highlighting that we're also hoping to try and

bring in some more candidates.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: That's great. I think all of our offices collectively are part of your recruitment effort. I know we take...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: And I appreciate that.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

Given that housing is New Yorkers' number one issue, safe, dignified, affordable housing is the crisis of New York City, making sure that HPD is fully funded to do that work is really critical and the role of inspectors. I was curious about fines and civil penalties and how the agency both levies fines and civil penalties and collects so if you could tell us how many of these fines and civil penalties have you levied, how much have you collected, how much have you forgiven and for what reasons would you have forgiven?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay,

Council Member. Sure, thank you. This is an important

part of our enforcement as well so I am going to

break it into three general categories of where we do

collections, or collections are done for HPD activity

enforcement activity, and I will talk about two and

then I will defer to our Assistant Commissioner for

Litigation to talk about the last.

HPD can bill a property for emergency repair work, and that would include whether it's done thru our Emergency Repair Program, whether it's done through our Alternative Enforcement Program, it works

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the same way. We can bill a property for fees, which are imposed in special cases defined in the Code where basically around multiple offenders, where if you've had three inspections, four inspections for the same apartment or the same condition, the agency will levy a fee. For being in the Alternative Enforcement Program after a certain point, fees start to get levied against that property as well. That's the second category, fees. The third category would be for civil penalties where in order to recoup penalties against a property for the violations, HPD would go to Housing Court and seek the civil penalties there that would either result in a settlement or the imposition of a judgement by the court. The first two that I spoke about, the charges and the fees, on a regular basis, HPD prepares the records for these charges or fees, and we communicate them to the Department of Finance. The Department of Finance then adds those fees and charges onto the property's property tax bill, and the collection mechanism is really whatever collection mechanisms the Department of Finance has, which would include payment agreements if your property qualifies which would include going into a place where the lien may

- 2 be sold. In the past when that happens, would be
- 3 under the purview of Finance to ensure that those
- 4 | collections were done. For civil penalties, it's not
- 5 | the same process. It is not billed through the
- 6 Department of Finance. I will turn it over to
- 7 Assistant Commissioner Weithman for jus at minute to
- 8 talk about how that happens.
- 9 COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Before you jump
- 10 | in, do you have an amount of how much on an annual
- 11 basis you have referred to DOF to collect?
- 12 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yes, we can
- 13 provide the specific amounts. Generally, for
- 14 | emergency repair it's about, I think, 10 million, I
- 15 want to say, a year. I don't know if I have the
- 16 dollars. We were prepared with some percentages which
- 17 we can talk about later, but we can provide the
- 18 dollars to you. Basically, whatever we spend on
- 19 mergency repair so if the agency has to do a repair
- 20 on an emergency condition because an owner fails to
- 21 do so, when we pay our vendor who has done that work,
- 22 that amount is, whatever that vendor invoices us for
- 23 plus an administrative fee is combined and sent over
- 24 for collection so the amount I would give you would
- 25 be the charge, there's one charge that we send over

2.2

2.3

for collection and there's a different charge of how much we spent so I just want to make sure that we give you the correct numbers so we can absolutely circle that back to you.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: I'd love to know specifically like how much has been repaid on-time, how much has been, if anything gets forgiven, what is that process, are there reasons for forgiveness in this respect?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I'll stick again with emergency repair charges and fees. There is a process in the law that when after we transfer those charges over to Finance, the property owner has a period of time where they can protest the charge and that's the term that's used. They can only protest the charge for a very limited number of reasons, the actual cost of the charge not being one of those reasons, so they can protest saying that they didn't receive notice that the charge was going to happen or for fees that there was a reason, and they need to provide evidence of that reason, why they couldn't access the apartment to make repairs for example. They submit those protests to HPD. We receive a very small number of protests every year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

It's less than 200 protests in the past few years,
and we send over thousands of charges, and less than
5 percent of those challenges, those protests, have
been upheld.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Council Member Aviles, while you think on that, we did send these questions in advance and many of these questions, including ERP charges, and so I would love to get it on the record at this hearing because we did send it in advance and specifically just to put some detail on what Council Member Aviles just asked, according to our analysis at the Council of Open Data, in 2018 there were 31.7 million was spent on ERP, 2019 was 53.3 million, 2021 was 59 million, 2022 was 59.3 million, in 2020 was 40 million so there's so much money that is being expended by the administration on this program for good reason, these are emergency conditions, class C violations, but we do need to have an understanding of how much we are recouping of these charges that are being...

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member, and I do have the percentages. I just

didn't have the dollar figures. Again, we provide

that specific information to you in the response as

2.2

2.3

well if you'll give me one second again. The period
of time we looked at for rate of collection, I think,
was the question was 2017 through 2022 Fiscal Years
and so I'll go kind of one-by-one in categories and
just give you a range and, again, the details will be

7 in a written response to the Council questions.

As of basically now, as of October of 2022, 94 percent of the heat and hot water fees billed back in '16 and '17 have been collected. For the most recent year that was billed, 2022, 66 percent of those fees have been collected as of, again, the end of October.

For Code Enforcement inspection fees, and again this pretty much is in the same range, a little bit less for AEP fees, but for Code Inspection fees for the 2016-2017 year or 2018 about 96 percent of what was billed has been collected, and, as of the most recent year, 2022, 77 percent of what was billed has been collected.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: What happens when you haven't collected from an owner?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Again, the collection process itself is overseen by the Department of Finance so they can provide more

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

60

2 information about what the next steps may be in terms 3 of pursuing collection.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Do those properties get tagged and does it overlap with potential violations? I would suspect if you're not paying your bills, you're probably also doing other things.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: That may be, Council Member. I don't know, but HPD does do somewhat of an enforcement of the payment of fees by indicating that property owners can't get certain services through us if they don't pay their fees. For example, if a property owner has open violations on their property, they would have to come to HPD and file for what's known as a Dismissal Request Inspection for which they would pay for an inspector to come and reinspect the property. If all of these fines and ERP charges and fees have not been paid, we reject that request until such time as things are paid. Now that is not the ultimate collection enforcement, but certainly we try to make sure that our outstanding charges are paid prior to providing a new service for a property owner.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Got it. I realize

I'm out of time, but in terms of the other side of
the ledger, I'm sorry. Could you speak to those
questions?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Yes.

Thank you, Council Member. As Deputy Commissioner

Santiago explained, in order for HPD to obtain civil
penalties, we must initiate an action or litigation
in Housing Court. Once we do have a judgement, our
Housing Litigation Division does have a Judgement
Enforcement Unit which takes over those judgements
and they have a whole process and a number of tools
at their disposal to identify assets of the debtor
and they seek to find the money. They, of course, try
to resolve the issue with the owner, but, if the
owner is not willing to pay the judgement, we do have
tools to try to find those assets.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Are the judgements reflected in the balances that the Council Member noted earlier or those are just in collection?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: The civil penalties are separate from the fees, yes.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Okay, do we have a sense of how much is outstanding in civil penalties?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: If I can
just give some more background on civil penalties.
The way civil penalties work is often in the course
of a trial or a settlement with the property owner,
if civil penalties are imposed, there's usually a
time schedule set for the payment of those civil
penalties, and generally I believe we have good
compliance with the payment of those civil penalties.
One of our outstanding issues, issue is not really
the right word, but is that a lot of times when we go
to court, if an owner does not appear in court, a
default judgement is assessed for the maximum
penalties because the owner did not appear. That kind
of goes on our books as an outstanding open charge,
but in reality when the landlord eventually does
appear, oftentimes they will go back to court and
seek a reduction of that amount because they will
size it to when the conditions were actually
corrected, and so what is a 2 million default penalty
may wind up being a much less actual penalty when
they come to court and they make their case so we try
to look at the amounts really that are not paid as
not part of a default penalty, and we didn't prepare

2 those numbers for today, but we can certainly take a 3 look at that, Council Member.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Great. I just have one last thing. Just switching topics as is our way. Just really quickly, something in our District that has recently come up among many things, but we have a set of seven buildings in our District that has applied for an Article XI Letter. Currently, six of the seven buildings have no boiler. Apparently, their boilers that they've ordered and are trying very hard to get will not come in until three months so we have a severe supply chain issue. The residents have tried hard. They've called our office. We have called your office multiple times, and we have not received any response. Obviously, we don't know how cold the weather is going to get, but three months without boilers is not okay and is an emergency situation so I would love for your staff to follow up with us around how we can help these residents get access to a temporary boiler or whatever remedy relief they can. They're working hard, but they're not getting responses from the agency staff. They keep calling.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. If you can communicate that information to me, I'm not aware of the specifics.

COUNCIL MEMBER AVILES: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much,

Council Member. I'd actually like to turn that into a

question for the record. In extreme situations like

that, I have several of those kinds of cases in my

District as well, where we're talking about a lowerincome building, we're talking about a building that

in Council Member Aviles' case it's on backorder, in

other building situations they just don't have the

financing but still remain responsible for their

buildings, what is HPD's protocol to assist in these

very expensive kinds of repairs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member. I'll probably share this answer with

our Assistant Commissioner for Emergency Operations,

but, as always, the process would be we issue a

violation, we contact the owner to find out what's

happening, if they're going to make the repair. If we

go out there to the building and we see that there's

no boiler, that's a pretty stark situation that

doesn't happen that often, thank goodness, but
certainly we would assess the building for what the
appropriate action is, and that could be one of a
number of scenarios. Let's talk about the good
scenario first where the Emergency Operations
Division can make some assessment that would move the
building in the right direction, and then we'll,
obviously the most extreme, and I don't want to jump
to the most extreme version of that, but if this is,
and again I don't know the specifics of your
situation, but if the boiler is so specialized that
there is no other option but to wait for this special
order, a vacate order may be warranted and issued.
Again, not knowing the details, I can't speak to
that, but that is a very rare occurrence that it
becomes something so extreme so assuming that it's
not in that realm, I will turn it over to the
Assistant Commissioner.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CUCCHIARO: Thank you, AnnMarie. In cases like you describe, if, in fact, the building is compatible for a mobile steam unit, we do have on-call contracts available with contractors who can produce that type of unit to provide temporary heat and relief for the tenants of

2 the buildings. Simultaneously, we would look at a 3 long-term solution if the owner was unable to do so

4 independently or was being uncooperative with the

5 repair that's necessary, or the replacement in this

6 case.

2.2

2.3

Moving into questions about the specific programs that HPD runs, I want to start with a statement that to the public, to whoever might be watching and might be facing these conditions in your building, first and foremost call 3-1-1, always call 3-1-1. It can seem like it's useless, it can seem very frustrating. The first step for us to have good information at the Council and to work with the administration is having good data so continue to call 3-1-1, log it in via the app. It's just so critically important.

Starting off with Emergency Repairs, and I'm going to go Emergency Repairs and Underlying Conditions then Proactive Enforcement then AEP, Litigation, 7A, and CONH. So we're going to be here for a second so bear with me.

Starting off with ERP, emergency repairs are carried about by the agency when there are C class violations that are hazardous to the health and

2 safety of tenants. From the outside looking in,

3 Deputy Commissioner, it is not straightforward to

4 understand when the agency makes repairs and when you

5 don't. Certainly, if the landlord is going to do the

6 work themselves, they do it, but in those cases where

7 | the landlord is not acting, what determines whether

HPD acts or not?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, Council Member. There are some conditions where in every case HPD will act or attempt to act let me say. A lead-based paint violation, a window guard, a selfclosing door, we will always be sending someone to assess and, if possible, make the correction of the condition and so hopefully we have communicated to tenants that they should be on the lookout for another HPD staff member to come after the housing inspector comes. There are some cases, mold can be a class A violation or a class B violation or a class C violation depending on the size of the mold as it's indicated in the law, and sometimes I think that can be confusing when it is either based on something like that that's a square footage or it's the discretion of the inspector. We train our inspectors to understand when a condition is serious enough to

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Speaking about who

is doing this work, in Open Data, you have this

24

2.2

2.3

distinction between handyman work orders and open market work orders, and handyman work orders are conducted internally by the agency on certain kinds of repairs with the vast majority of repairs being carried out by open market work orders so what is the distinction? What gets done in-house by the agency, what is the staffing level of internal ERP operations if you will, and then what percentage conversely is open market work orders?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: For that answer, I'm going to defer to our Assistant Commissioner for Emergency Operations.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CUCCHIARO: Thank you, AnnMarie. Thank you, Council Member. With regard to open market orders, as you mentioned, the vast, vast majority of ERP work is completed utilizing contractors who are awarded open market orders. For the smallest of jobs, we utilize the handyman work orders, and literally those are things like replacing a valve or installing a slide bolt and lock if necessary in certain situations as required, but, again, the vast majority of work does go to contractors through the OMO process. In terms of contractors, just want to highlight that we utilize

2.2

2.3

mainly M/WBE contractors, and we generally, I think the median work order amount that's awarded to the small contractors is about 300 dollars per OMO.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I shouldn't do this from memory, but I will. A 2020 audit by the Comptroller found that HPD didn't have the proper controls in place to determine which open market contractors or vendors are utilized by the agency, not maintaining updated lists, not removing folks who are unresponsive, issues like that. What kind of changes has the administration made since this Comptroller audit?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER CUCCHIARO: I think the Comptroller's audit referred to our prequalified list, and that is comprised largely of the M/WBE contractors that I referred to a few minutes ago.

We've made a number of changes on the technology side of the world to help us sort of better track things, and we've also implemented some policy changes that sort of change the way we interpret responsiveness as allowable under the City's PBB rules.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Moving back to the question of fee recovery with respect to Emergency Repair, when charges move over to

collections are really just exactly that, what was

billed and what was paid. It doesn't include what may

have gone for further enforcement on collection with

the Department of Finance so, again, we're working

2.2

2.3

24

2.2

2.3

with them through the data to try and understand what that number would look like if you would consider the tax lien sale collections.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. We're not going to get into a hearing on the tax lien sale because that will occur in the future I pretty much can promise, but looking at the question of those liens that go to DOF and face that highest level of enforcement, being the tax lien sale or third party transfer or something else, do you have that rate, how many unpaid violations or overdue charges end up going through one of those tax enforcement programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I don't.

Again, that's under the purview of the Department of

Finance and so I think that will be something we'll

have to work with them to understand. I think from

our perspective the fact that we've done the

emergency repair work is our goal and is our mission,

and we understand that they are the experts tax

collection and working with you all to make sure that

if we provided a service at the building for the

tenants, the owner might not appreciate that service,

but we made that repair, that the collections are

going to happen appropriately.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2	CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. It is a
3	frustrating thing to hear because I specifically
4	asked Department of Finance to share this because
5	it's important for this Council to understand, it's
6	important for the Council and the public to
7	understand how our programs are working. We're not
8	going to get into the tax lien sale and third-party
9	transfer. We have goals regarding those programs
10	(INAUDIBLE) those programs are about protecting
11	homeownership and about protecting those who are
12	vulnerable to losing intergenerational equity.
13	However, we do need to get to the bottom line of are
14	buildings using ERP as their handyman, are building
15	abusing the system, and so let me just ask it plainly
16	in that way. 62 percent collection of 2018 charges,
17	35 percent collection as of this time. These are very
18	low numbers, right, so folks are not paying their
19	charges and there are buildings that are using the
20	ERP programs multiple times, time and again. The vast
21	majority don't. Not talking about those. Focusing on
22	the bad actors, what do we know and how are we
23	preventing buildings from using the City as their
24	handyman?

1

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Right.

Council Member, thank you for that question. I think that goes back to why we started with the Alternative Enforcement Program. ERP is one of the criteria under which we select buildings for that program, and I think we found some of the buildings are actually just abandoned and that's why a lot of the emergency repairs that need to happen fall to HPD to assist, and that can happen under a number of scenarios that doesn't implicate bad will. In some cases, property owners die and no one comes and the estate is unable to care for the property properly, to handle the management of a property. In some cases, the owner is not skilled enough to maintain the financial health of the property. I think that there are a plethora of scenarios that go into why this happens, and I think through AEP is part of the way to weed some of those folks out because once they do see the hefty fees that will be billed they start using ERP if that was their method of doing so before and I think, as you said, we'll talk a little bit more about the AEP program specifically, but that is the one program amongst our enforcement programs that takes the

2.2

2.3

2 expenditure of ERP into consideration when selecting
3 properties.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. Let's go to AEP. Just to start, and you will say it better than I will, so can you lay out for us why was AEP created? These 250 buildings that are selected for AEP every year, what is their trajectory? How many of them are getting out before the four-month period and what is the trajectory for the rest?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,

Council Member. Yes, I will just, again, give

background and then I will turn it over to Assistant

Commissioner DeFina who oversees that program for

more of the detail around what we see there.

This program did start many years ago. We are currently in round 15 of the program, and every year basically we select another cohort of buildings for the program. As I just said, the program was initially created to really target buildings that not only were having a lot of violations issued but were having a lot of emergency repair work done in those buildings in response to those violations where clearly the owner was potentially using the City to do their repairs for them or was really extending the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 time and the bad conditions under which the tenants

3 were living. At that time, we were selecting 200

4 buildings a year from rounds one through seven and

5 then AEP has been amended multiple times over the

6 years where we now select 250 buildings and there are

7 actually two separate criteria for the selection of

8 the buildings. Having said that, I'm going to turn it

9 over to Assistant Commissioner DeFina.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: Good afternoon, everyone. As AnnMarie mentioned, the goal of AEP was to get at repeat users of our enforcement activity so we really wanted, an example would be the recurring leak. A landlord would just patch the leak, not make the underlying condition, not address the real need of the roof replacement, and it was that constant 3-1-1 call, HPD would write a violation, either the landlord or HPD would do emergency repairs, and then a couple months later or a couple weeks later it would start to leak again so the goal was to get at repeat users of our enforcement activity, and that's why the rules of the City of New York criteria for AEP include a ratio of B and C violations issued in the previous five years so we're looking at recently issued B or C violations as well

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

as a certain amount of emergency repair charges so for the smaller buildings, under 15 units, we're looking at 5,000 dollars of Emergency Repair charges that we spent, whether you paid it or not, so that's where it's getting at landlords who might be using our ERP to address their building. Buildings get selected for the program, they get notice that they are participating in it, and they basically have four months to get their building discharged before being subject to roof-to-cellar inspections and inspection fees. In that four months, they need to correct 100 percent of their heat and hot water violations, 100 percent of all open mold C violations which is the most immediately hazardous, 80 percent of mold B violations, 80 percent of vermin violations, and 80 percent of all other B and C violations. An owner that fails to do that is subject to a roof-to-cellar inspection and order to correct where our staff will then tell the owner you have to replace your roof, you have to replace the boiler, replace the windows, (INAUDIBLE), whatever system is causing the violations is on the AEP order. It's posted at the building. It's mailed to the owner. It's filed with the County Clerk's Office as a lis pendens, and the

2

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

owner then has an opportunity to do that compliance after that four-month period includes correction of all the violations, the percentages that I mentioned before. In addition, they have to comply with the AEP Order to Correct, they have to pay whatever ERP balance is open at Department of Finance or enter into a payment agreement, they have to register their building, and, once they meet compliance with that, they can get discharged. I mentioned it's fee-heavy so after the first four months, owners that fail to get out in addition to receiving an Order to Correct, they are charged a 500 dollar per dwelling unit building inspection fee, and that's the next six months of being in AEP, and it's for the performance of routine inspections by AEP so it's 500 dollars per dwelling unit, and, if the building remains in the program after six months, it's a second 500 dollars per dwelling unit fee so the initial inspection fee caps out at 1,000 dollars per dwelling unit. They are also subject to complaint inspection fees which is 200 dollars, and that's if a tenant calls 3-1-1 and, as a result of that 3-1-1 call, we issue a B or C violation, it's a 200-dollar complaint inspection fee. They're also subject to a 100 dollar false

2.2

2.3

certification fee, which means if the landlord certifies correction of a violation and we inspect and we determine that it was falsely certified, it's imposed a 100 dollar false certification fee. All the fees get billed through the Department of Finance and become a lien against the property.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. Thank you for laying out that background and context on how it works. Digging into how the program is working, I understand that there have been 386 buildings that have gone through AEP more than once. That's crazy given this level of fines and fees and everything so can you talk about the effectiveness of the program, how many buildings are actually paying these fees after the four-month, etc.?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: To date, we have 3,387 buildings have gone through AEP. It's 15 years old. We do have repeat buildings. The program, just to let you know, when the program does receive the new list, we run the list every January 31st, what we do look at is to see how many of those buildings were in repeat rounds. It is possible repeats from this year might have been from 15 years ago, which that's a big gap of time. We look at those

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

repeat buildings and, as a program, what we do is look to see if any ownership has changed so sometimes it's a matter of the old owner corrected it but now it's a new owner. If ownership hasn't changed, AEP under the statute, we have the ability to take immediate action which removes that four-month opportunity to get the building discharged and we go right to issuing an Order. One of the things Program looks at is is it the same owner, was it 15 years ago or was it just a year ago, and also we do a guicker inspection of the building to determine whether any system replacements need to get done and, if we believe system replacements need to get done, it's the same owner, it hasn't been that much of a gap in time since being back in AEP, we have the authority to take immediate action so then we send those landlords a notice basically saying we're taking immediate action and you're being imposed the fees right away, you're not having the four-month period. Just another point, for buildings that do get discharged in the four-month period before fees and an order is imposed, those buildings that get discharged are monitored by HPD's Division of Neighborhood Preservation for at least one year, and

the whole point of that monitoring is it's eyes on
the building to make sure that if we see complaints,
if we violations start to trickle upwards, we could

5 try to address it then.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: That's very helpful.

My understanding is that there are a certain number of buildings that, again, they are bad and they are coming back into the program after a short period of time, not addressing system improvements, things like that. What are some of the tools that HPD has to sort of escalate on them and specifically if you could talk about in relation to alternative enforcement, when does HPD pursue the 7A program?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: AEP is a definitely a big referral source to 7A. Basically, we want, especially if AEP is going to do work, if the building's not being managed, and that's sometimes the case, it's just that maybe the building is not managed properly, their system work, if AEP does the work, one of the reasons why 7A was actually put under the Division of Special Enforcement, which is the same division of AEP, is that we wanted to make sure that if AEP does the work that we try to get a 7A administrator to protect the City's investment of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the system work. AEP makes referrals to 7A. A good referral for 7A looks at conditions of a building, they have to be dangerous to the life, health, and safety so you're looking at really bad systems. A boiler might need to be replaced, roof needs to be replaced, there's plumbing leaks throughout the whole building so the conditions have to be very poor. We also look to make sure that the building is occupied legally, that it's not illegally converted because the 7A administrator's responsibility to do any work would be then to make sure that the work is done. To get permits, you need to have a building that's occupied legally with no illegal conversions, and a big factor is tenant support. If tenants are not willing to support the 7A, we can't bring it. So what the 7A unit does, the 7A unit at HPD is basically responsible for overseeing the 7A administrator who's appointed by the Housing Court judge. The 7A administrator basically steps into the shoes, they don't take ownership of the building, they just are responsible for managing the building, and 7A goes into the building before even referring a case to litigation. They talk to the tenants. We have a construction project manager and we have community

2.2

2.3

coordinators that meet with the tenants of a possible 7A building, explain the program, explain that rent will have to be paid to the administrator, and then we ask if they support the building. We need at least 1/3 of the tenants to support a 7A case before we make the referral to go to housing litigation to get the 7A appointed, and that goes the same for any

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I've heard from advocacy organizations and individuals desires to want to go through 7A because it is such a ramp-up. Moving to 7A, when does HPD initiate, how bad does a building have to be for HPD to initiate 7A?

outside group that refers a building to 7A.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: You need major system work and really dangerous conditions.

Some examples of buildings that we've had referred to 7A in the past were roofs need to be replaced, there's waste lines leaking throughout the building, no one's maintaining, the landlord walked away, there's no garbage pickup, garbage is piling up in the building, there's rodents throughout the building, raw sewage in the basement, the boiler needs to be replaced so we look at a building holistically, and it has to have conditions dangerous

2.2

2.3

to life, health, and safety, and then we make that referral. It has to have the tenants' support. That's the key part too.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. Why pursue
7A and litigation versus pursuing Department of
Finance tax enforcement action, something like TPT?

a 7A gets appointed, they basically step into the shoes of the landlord. If tenants are paying rent, we've had buildings where tenants were holding money in escrow, that money goes to the administrator, they could get garbage collection, extermination services, front door locks, and violations get corrected right away, and then they would apply to HPD for a 7A loan to do the capital work, the major work.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Who retains the ownership of a building that has a 7A administrator?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: The owner still retains ownership, in order for a 7A to be discharged it would be up to the Housing Court judge to grant an owner's petition to discharge. Sometimes it's the existing owner, sometimes owners sell the property while it's in 7A and it's a new owner who petitions the court to get control of the building

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

back, and, in the past, some buildings would go
through TPT.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I'm sorry.

If I could just add, Council Member, just because the building is in bad condition doesn't mean the owner isn't paying the property tax, and that is really kind of the worst case scenario where you know the owner has some money to do something because they're paying the taxes but they're not reinvesting in the property and so tax lien enforcement is not really applicable in those scenarios. Just to support Grace's point, our goal is to take the quickest route to getting the repairs done. Even if there were taxes to be collected, collecting those taxes or those emergency repair charges are not going to get the conditions corrected for the tenants in a fairly quick manner.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Do you have a breakdown of that reality that you just mentioned? 7A administration because there was no tax enforcement to be done, there were no arrears, versus use of other programs?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: No, we haven't done that analysis, Council Member, but we

2.2

2.3

can look at the buildings that are in the program
right now to see what they're tax liability is.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: What are the incentives for those folks that decide to become administrators to go through HPD's process, what is in it for them?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I think

that that is one of the challenges of the program. 7A

administrators are paid based on the rent role and so

the way that it works is I believe they get a

percentage of what is collected after the basic

repairs are done so there is a challenge there for 7A

administrators. Many of the administrators are in the

business of tenant protection in some way. They work

for an organization or are part of an organization

that is looking to assist tenants in a bad place, and

so they kind of have an imperative to participate,

but, as you can imagine, most of the rents in these

buildings are fairly low to start with and that

contributes to why the owner has not done what they

need to do so that is a challenge.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. Just one more question that I forgot to ask on AEP. Do we have information about what tenant harassment might look

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 like after a building goes into AEP or have you seen
3 cases of retaliation?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA:

(INAUDIBLE) Anti-Harassment Unit, the Inspection portion, is within my division. You will sometimes actually see as a result of our anti-harassment inspections, we issue so many violations that the building will then be in AEP, which is a good thing if conditions that are dangerous exist. It's also common for AEP when they're doing their routine inspections to see harassment and make referrals to our Anti-Harassment Unit who then will call the tenants and they do more of an interview so we inspect but we also speak to the tenants to see if the maintenance conditions are being used as a form of harassment, and you can see anti-harassment referrals from AEP buildings just like you could see a building go from AEP to 7A. All the units work very closely together. They're all related.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. Thank you.

Just to flag that for immediate response because it's a detail but I know a property, 709 West 170th Street in the Bronx, 331 West 14th Street in Manhattan, examples of buildings who are seeking 7A

administrators and have shared with my office that
they've run up against barriers in connecting with
the agency and having the agency move those buildings
or help to move those buildings into the 7A program.

6 Are these on your radar?

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Can you repeat the first address? 709...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: 709 West 170th Street in the Bronx, 331 West 14th Street in Manhattan.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Yes. I don't know if 709 is in AEP. We're aware of both of these buildings, and we're monitoring. The concern around 7A is also that there is an escalation of enforcement against those properties in order to make the case when we go into Housing Court to a judge that the building owner is not providing what they need to provide, and I believe, I don't have the details of those properties but the addresses are familiar to me so we can get some more information to you, Council Member, about each of those properties.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Great. Look forward to that. Thank you so much. Moving to underlying conditions, and, again, people who are watching this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

hearing, folks in the public, the goal here is for you to be familiar with all of the tools that HPD has at its disposal and if you feel that your building needs to go through one of these programs, if that would help the conditions, email our office, call our HPD, be in touch with the City so that we can work with you. I want to raise awareness around these programs. Underlying Conditions, shoutout to Council Member Brewer who created this program when she was a Council Member 15 years ago, but Council Member Brewer created the Underlying Conditions Program via Local Law to address persistent issues like mold and leaks and to get at those underlying conditions so can you talk about the Underlying Conditions Program and specifically what successes that the agency has seen with UC?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: Underlying Conditions is a program within the Division of Special Enforcement. As you know, it was created in 2013, and the program was designed to target buildings that exhibit a high number of mold and leak violations, and the reason why we were looking at mold and leak violations is because it usually forms the basis for other violations so it's not just mold

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

and leaks, but it could also lead to collapsing ceiling, lead-based paint, pest infestation. The program has been around since 2013. We look for a percentage of apartments within a building that have mold and leak violations that would indicate that there's a problem with the building system. This year, we recently made a change to the rules to include information from the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene to look at conditions that contribute to asthma outcomes and other relevant health indicators so this is the first year. We just recently finished 2022, the inspections for this round, and we are in the process of issuing underlying condition orders for approximately 75 buildings.

Just going back to the criteria,
buildings three to five units must have at least 50
percent of their units have at least one mold or leak
violation, buildings six to nine units must have at
least 25 percent of the units have mold or leak
violations, and buildings that have 10 units or more
must have at least 15 percent of the units have such
violations. Owners that get selected for this program
receive a notice as well as the underlying conditions

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: I don't have the exact percentage, but we could get it for

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: What percentage was

2.2

2.3

24

25

that?

you, but we had looked at this in 2019 to see the

effects of it, and it was overall pretty successful.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: That's exactly what my next question was was about folks that were going through this program on a repeated basis. Similar to my line of questions before about AEP, underlying conditions that are not corrected, do they then face a higher, tougher enforcement program?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER DEFINA: What will happen is, AEP is more stringent than Underlying Conditions because with Underlying Conditions we're issuing an order to the owner and basically telling the landlord or owner you have to hire a professional to tell us what the underlying condition. AEP, when we issue an AEP order, the owner no longer has the opportunity to hire their professional. It's now the construction project manager who oversees the building who determines what the underlying cause of the violations are so there are buildings that get UC and they don't comply and then on January 31st they get picked up for AEP. Once an AEP order is issued, the building gets discharged from UC because it now has the AEP order.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

That is very helpful. You're off the hot seat for a second. That's very helpful to understand, and that was my last question which you answered about the Venn Diagram between those two programs.

Moving to Housing Litigation, this has been area I've had a lot of questions about. There seem to be endless opportunities for HPD to pursue housing litigation to force the correction of issues to recuperate charges and fees and etc., so when does HPD seek housing litigation?

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Thank you for that question, Council Member. The Housing Litigation Division is part of the Enforcement and Neighborhood Services Unit so our "clients" are all of these programs that we've been discussing so most of our referrals do come from these units, from the AEP unit, from the UC program, from the Anti-Harassment unit, from the Emergency Operations Division, and the Housing Litigation Division brings a host of different types of cases. The majority of our cases are what we call comprehensive cases which is where we sue an owner for the correction of all the violations in the building as well as civil

penalties, and our goal is to obtain an order to correct from the court so we can get the clock starting to run for the owner to correct those violations and kind of move forward from there because our primary goal is always to get the violations and the conditions corrected as quickly as possible. We also seek warrants for access. We receive those referrals from our Emergency Operations Divisions when they are seeking emergency repairs and either the owner has refused access or we can't otherwise obtain access. We have to go into court to seek an order in order for the contractors to be able to access the building or the unit in order to make those emergency repairs. We also bring cases against owners where they have a high number of false certifications of violations. In those cases, we do seek penalties for those false certifications. We also initiate 7A actions. Obviously during this time of year, we have tremendous number of heat and hot water cases which we do in rounds in Housing Court. Finally, HPD is a statutory party to all tenant actions in Housing Court so we are party to all of those.

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: If I may, I
also want to point out that Marti's team, the HLD
team, works closely with community groups and
advocates who want to initiate their own 7A who
initiate their own group tenant actions on cases.
Often our attorneys are working with those attorneys
in terms of speaking through if we have a case and
they have a case to understand the perspectives of
each. Obviously, tenant advocate attorneys are
advocating for their clients and their tenants for
things that maybe HPD is not involved with. Our
attorney's goal is to get those conditions corrected
as quickly as possible for the safety of the tenants
so it's not only our own, and you heard the long list
of programs that Marti's unit supports and really as
a next step to some of these programs to make sure
that orders are enforced, vacate orders as well,
those types of things, to make sure that owners are
moving forward when any of these programs identify
that they're trying to stand in the way of making
repairs or having the repairs made.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, and it's really helpful to hear you describe that goal, the goal is to improve the conditions, so are

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 there metrics you can share about okay, AEP didn't

3 work or Underlying Conditions didn't work, but when

4 we took the building to Housing Court, X percent

5 within X timeframe were able to see improvements? Do

6 you have information of that sort?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I would say that it's very difficult to cull which input is really the driver to make someone take an action. We track how many violations get closed as a result of our Housing Litigation cases. Often, one of these other programs will provide a little bit of a push and then HLD will provide the final push to get it over the line. I don't know that we can separate out which is the bigger driver of that improvement, but, especially in anti-harassment cases, I think that where we have done some work to look at building portfolios, that has been a huge effort between the Anti-Harassment team and the Housing Litigation Division to move things forward together and really kind of jointly get that response from the owner that we're looking for.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Got it. Thank you.

Look into that and let me know. The reason that I led with that question is because, of course, one

2.2

2.3

document that I have seen multiple times and is cited often is the very extremely low rate of collection by the Judgement Enforcement Unit within the agency. I think the Comptroller's audit was from 2016, and we saw rates of collection of those judgements at 2 and 3 percent so that doesn't seem to be HPD's metric of success, it's about the repairs, so let us know what metrics you do have on that front, but on the Judgement Enforcement Unit's rate of collection, can you speak to present day?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Again, I'll start off to just go back to something that I said before to Council Member Aviles I think was the one who raised the question. Our collection rate, again, in cases I think where we have a court order with an owner who has participated in the case I would say is fairly high. Again, I don't have the numbers with me today, but we can look at that. What we tried to talk with that auditor about was these kind of judgements where the request for the default penalty is extremely high and then when the owner eventually comes in and provides some evidence that conditions were corrected, that judgement is reduced and so if you use that huge 2 million dollar judgement as the

- denominator in making that percentage it doesn't really make sense in the long-run so what we're
- 4 really looking for is what is the collections on the
- 5 cases where we have someone in court doing that work
- 6 with us and we can look at that certainly and get
- 7 back to you, Council Member.
- 8 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.
- 9 One more question, and this is hyper-specific to the
- 10 Assistant Commissioner's Division, how is your
- 11 staffing? Are you short-staffed within the Litigation
- 12 Division?

- 13 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Thank
- 14 you for that question, Council Member. Yes, we do
- 15 | have quite a few vacancies on the attorney lines
- 16 currently. We are doing everything in our power to
- 17 | fill those lines. It has been challenging, and so we
- 18 | are down, I think, approximately around the 20
- 19 percent for attorneys. Again, we are making all of
- 20 | our efforts to fill those lines.
- 21 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: All right. Thank you
- 22 so much. DOB, moving to the Certificate of No
- 23 | Harassment Program.
- 24 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Most of the
- 25 | Certificate of No Harassment Program falls under the

2.2

2.3

Housing Litigation Division as well. I'm glad to have Guillermo at the table as well, but...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: (INAUDIBLE)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Your questions might actually go to us.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: All right. I will defer to whoever has the answer. Just to get right to it, there was a pilot program for the Certificate of No Harassment. We are concerned that, especially in gentrifying communities but in more communities than just the gentrifying ones, there are owners that are using construction as harassment. The question is what outcomes has the pilot program achieved including whether property owners have been deterred from continuing to harass, what outcomes have we seen from the pilot?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I think that's for HPD so I will turn it to Marti.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: Thank you for that question, Council Member. As you know, the initial pilot program was implemented in 2018 and the legislation was amended late last year, and we ran our second iteration of the pilot program, the building list, in June of this year. We did submit a

report to the Council last year. It was a little
difficult to really analyze the success of the
program based on the numbers of applications that we
had received by the end of the initial pilot program,
which was a three-year period mainly we think due to
COVID. Currently, we have received a total of 71
applications since 2018; 31 of those applications
received grants, 13 of them have been denied, and 2
of those went to a full hearing at OATH, and those
denials were a result of those hearings, 11 of them
did settle once we brought our proceeding at OATH, 19
of the applications have been withdrawn, and that car
only happen prior to HPD making a final
determination, two of the applications were rejected
for insufficient documentation, and we currently have
six pilot program applications pending. We continue
to look at all of the outcomes from these
applications, and we look forward to doing further
analysis of the success of the program.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Can I just ask you to expound upon what some of those statistics really mean? What does it mean when a case settles, 11 settled, what does that mean?

25

2 ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: What 3 happens is when we receive an application, HPD 4 conducts an investigation so we go to the building, we look at all of the conditions, we speak with tenants, we speak with them about their experiences 6 7 during the prior inquiry period which is a period of 8 five years, any experiences they've had with harassment in the building, we do a whole host of other research looking at other agency data, we speak 10 11 with community groups who have knowledge of the 12 buildings, and the investigator issues their report, 13 it is reviewed by an attorney who makes a 14 determination as to whether or not there's reasonable 15 cause to believe that harassment did in fact occur 16 during that five-year period for the pilot program. 17 If they do make that reasonable cause determination, 18 then we issue an Initial Determination of Cause, and, 19 at that point, we commence a proceeding at OATH, at 20 the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings, and 21 at that point an application can no longer be withdrawn because there has been a determination made 2.2 2.3 and we move forward with the hearing, but the owner does have a right under the statute and the rules to 24

settle without, you know it saves them some time as

2.2

2.3

getting whatever complaints they have, we seek them

out. If an application has been granted and the CONH

thus goes forward then an owner can then obtain the

permits from the Department of Buildings for the

specific types of work that requires a certificate.

If we do receive complaints of harassment, we do investigate those, and there is a mechanism under the statute for us to rescind a Certificate of No Harassment based on an investigation of any harassment that may be found.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'd love to follow up just because I see some of my advocates shaking their heads about outreach and about whether HPD is able to go in and speak to tenants so I'd love to have more information on what that looks like, the before and after, the if/then, if an owners does this then HPD is able to enter a building and speak and then so on and so forth, what you just described with that process.

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER WEITHMAN: If a

Certificate of No Harassment has been granted, the

only time we would follow up with tenants about

harassment is if they contact us, and they would have

the investigator's information who they can follow up

2 | with, they can also file complaints with 3-1-1, but

3 | that would then kind of trigger our looking further

4 into any allegations of harassment, and then we would

5 also follow up with the owner to find out what's

6 happening from them.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. Now, my closing questions, I promise, and then we'll go into public testimony. By the way, my 4-month-old is downstairs, and he's been sleeping the whole time, and I just keep texting my dad to be like hey, is the baby alive, baby is alive, and it's really shocking that they have not woken up because I guess they believe these are important questions to be asked so thank you, baby.

I want to close with some additional questions that I had on the Heat Sensor Pilot Program. I'm not going to ask these 10 questions, but let me just see here. In terms of the way that the Heat Sensor Program is carried out, so the landlords are putting these sensors throughout buildings, in the living rooms, etc., and then they are reporting and signing affidavits to HPD saying what the temperatures were, reporting on the temperatures. How

2.2

2.3

does the agency verify that tenants have been providing access to temperature data?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,
Council Member. Unfortunately, the expert on this is
our Assistant Commissioner Mario Ferrigno who
couldn't be here today. I will try to answer to the
best of my ability, but he may need to correct me on
some of the specifics in terms of your written
questions.

Once the heat sensors are installed, the owner, as you said, does have to provide the agency with the list of apartments in which those sensors were installed. We are required to do re-inspections every few weeks to ensure that heat is being provided. We do try to go to those apartments where the tenants have the heat sensors and we go to some apartments where tenants refused the sensors as well. We require the data to be sent to us I believe three times during the course of the heat season so we can verify that the data is being collected and being provided. I do not know if it's the inspector or it's someone in the office who may verify with the tenants about their access to the information, but we can get that information to you.

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much. I
3 want to acknowledge Council Member Brewer who also
4 created the Underlying Conditions Program. You are

5 such a boss. Thank you for joining.

Okay. That's helpful, and we can follow up. I think many of these questions depend on those nitty-gritty details so I can send heat sensor questions as a followup, but I have some questions here around the relationship between a backlog or having a deficit of inspectors available and then the biweekly inspections, some of these program requirements, and how HPD is able to meet those requirements. I will ask about scheduling of inspections. I receive just the most complaints about HPD's inspectors going into buildings when tenants are not available, giving the landlord a heads up but not the tenants, and that resulting in conditions not being addressed, and so what is HPD doing to modernize the way that inspections are scheduled, have you considered creating an app to schedule inspections or any other changes that you've considered making in order to streamline that process?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

2 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, 3 Council Member. Yes, we've heard requests for that as 4 well, and I think it's a service we would love to provide. It's a very complicated thing to schedule for us because we always want to have the flexibility 6 7 to handle the most dire thing that comes in, 8 especially during heat season where we feel that we can understand whether a building has heat or not by going to any unit. It might slow us down to schedule 10 11 those because we can go and hit an entire block and 12 hopefully be able to get that done in a short time. 13 Some of the other limiting factors for us are things like you can come up with an average time that it 14 15 takes to do an inspection so you can come up with an 16 average number of stops that can be scheduled for a 17 day, but, unfortunately, our inspectors too often run 18 into situations which extend the time needed for an 19 inspection, and so that makes it very complicated for 20 us to not overschedule people, and I think just as 21 bad as leaving a card if someone's not home and 2.2 telling them please call us to schedule an inspection 2.3 if you still need us, is to make a lot of people wait home and then not be able to come. This is technology 24 that we would like to look into and really would 25

2.2

2.3

require a lot of work, but we understand the benefit
of it and so something for us to just try and figure
out how to address tenants' needs to do that. Again,
as I said, when we go and tenants aren't home, we
leave a card and ask them to call us. We send a
letter as well both on the Code Inspection side and
on the Emergency Repair side to try and get in

on the Emergency Repair side to try and get in contact with as many as tenants as we can when we need to do those inspections.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, and I look forward to working more with you all on that.

Just a closing question and this is specifically from the 179th East 93rd Street Tenant Association. They have shared with my office that their landlord,

Goffman, routinely falsely certifies. There's just complaint after complaint, and it wastes tenant time, the base goal being to get repairs made and to improve conditions. I don't know this landlord myself, I don't know the details myself, but a building like this and a landlord like this that is routinely falsely certifying, you mentioned that the Litigation Unit goes after them. What else? What is the suite of tools that HPD has to go after these bad actors?

2	DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,
3	Council Member. Again, I think this is where we want
4	to look again at the Public Advocate's
5	recommendations, and we have our own ideas about
6	other ways in order to stop bad actors who routinely
7	falsely certify. I think it is something that we want
8	to look at, that we want to strengthen our tools to
9	be able to combat because right now it is a difficult
10	position for us to be in.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. I am in strong support of that piece of legislation. I just want to give the floor to Council Member Brewer for a bit.

asked. I've been doing this work a long time. How many inspectors do you have? How many vacancies? How many do you need? The same thing on the legal department, how many to help on these topics? I know you discussed it before. I'm convinced that without different pay and virtual we're not going to be able to hire anybody.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,
Council Member. If you'll give me a second, we...

2.2

25

years, just so you know.

many average inspections per day can an inspector do?

you hit a lead inspection, of course, which requires

compare to previous periods.

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I sent a letter.

I'll send it to you again just because it needs to be answered about this topic.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you, Council Member, and happy to follow up jointly.

On behalf of Council Member Hanif,

Proposed Intro 243 in relation to posting space
heater safety notices, does HPD support this
legislation? Do you have any recommendations for
changes?

Council Member. We absolutely agree with the intent of the bill which is to educate tenants and owners about the safety of space heaters and how to use them safely. We just would like to talk with the Council Member more about maybe more effective ways to do that communication and outreach. As you may know, there's a lot of signs already that owners are required to post and just one more sign we're not sure is the way to get people's attention to the importance of the issue and so we'd be happy to have those conversations.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Great. Thank you so much. Before I finish with my line of questions, could you please commit to sending us at the City Council the relevant program budgets, crosswalks to budget codes, and capital project IDs so that we can have productive discussions during the budget hearings come this spring?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Our budget office is certainly reviewing that request. We don't have that much capital in our area, but certainly the other funding sources.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Great, and headcount data. Wonderful. Thank you so much. There's a lot of good information that we exchanged today. I will very closely be looking for your followup letter with more detailed information. Again, it's a priority for this Council, it's a priority for me to protect what we have. We're not going to make any sort of dent in the housing crisis if we have a revolving door and we're losing units due to code enforcement issues so thank you for the work that you do and thank you for working with us to make it even better.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you,
Council Member. We appreciate the opportunity to talk

Service Society, and I'm a member of the Right to a

Roof Coalition. I've supplied more extensive written

comments, and I'll briefly summarize the

5 recommendations from them now.

2.2

2.3

The first recommendation is to increase civil and financial penalties. At the end of 2020, in each of New York City's five boroughs, between 65 and 85 percent of open code violations in rent-stabilized buildings remained unresolved for a year or more. The current financial penalties are not enough.

Second recommendation is to extend proactive enforcement as we have talked about today. Throughout 2019, New York City spent 48 million dollars across more than 10,000 properties to correct dangerous issues in rental buildings. A year later, landlords have paid back less than 8 million dollars of the 48 million dollars in costs. We must be serious about both doing proactive enforcement and recouping the cost.

Third recommendation is to expand and reform 7A. Between 2016 and 2019, an average of 23 7A cases were filed in housing courts. In contrast, there are currently close to 10,000 chronically distressed buildings in New York City representing

filed, 10,000 businesses in distress with 115,000

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

apartments is what you shared? Okay. I guess thumbs up or thumbs down. All right, well, thank you so much for testifying, and I'll surely be following up with questions on your testimony.

SAMUEL STEIN: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Next, Emily

Goldstein followed by Noelle Francois and Jackie Del

Valle.

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon. My name is Emily Goldstein. I'm the Director of Organizing and Advocacy with ANHD. ANHD is also a cofounder of the Right to a Roof Coalition, a coalition that Sam just mentioned as well.

Really appreciate you holding this hearing. Code Enforcement is the unsexy but one of the most important issues to actually ensuring that residents of New York City have safe, affordable, and healthy housing, and it's a huge priority for both ANHD members and in the coalitions we're working with.

I submitted written testimony. There's a lot in there. I want to particularly focus on the issue of civil penalties. We're strongly supportive of increasing the amounts of civil penalties. They're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

ridiculously low right now and don't actually serve as any sort of a real deterrent to landlords who are violating the law repeatedly. We also have some recommendations for how to strengthen Intro 583 in addition to what's in there already. We really think this issue that's been discussed already in this hearing of when and how our fines and penalties actually collected is hugely important if the penalties are on paper but aren't actually happening in practice. They don't have the impact that they're intended to have, and this is an issue that we hear repeatedly as a frustration from tenants, organizers, attorneys. They go to Housing Court, they go through the entire process, and then the settlements essentially wind up reducing or waiving the penalties that could've been collected. We also think that there could be improvements to the language around sort of adding the watchlist that's proposed to Open Data and making sure that all that information is transparent and accessible. What's in there is really good. We just have a few additional suggestions. We also have a few suggestions to make sure that landlords are not able to hide behind LLCs in order to avoid the watchlist because we want to really make

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 sure that that piece of the legislation acts as
3 intended.

Happy to answer any further questions.

There's more in the written testimony and thank you again.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Excellent. Thank you so much, Emily. I'm going to turn it to Council Member Brewer who has a question for you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Thank you. I feel so positive about ANHD because of the work you've done on data at the courts.

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Does any of that data help us with inspections and some of the work that this Committee is focused on? To me, that would be very helpful to have that data.

all in front of me, but obviously we can work with you on it. I think a lot of the data that we've work so closely with you on helps to point to, in particular, how to figure out which buildings should be considered for things like the watchlist, which buildings should be considered for expanded proactive enforcement. That data is really our best tool for

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

thinking about how buildings need to be put into those programs and then, when we look at that data and crosscheck it against the list of what's already in those programs, I think it can help to answer some of the issues and questions you all have been raising about where are these programs effective, where the City's tools working as they should be, and where do we need to take a closer look.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: The amazing data committee that you put together, is that still active and is this data readily available or will be readily available so it could be used? I was never quite clear how it was being used.

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: Yeah. There's a bunch of different data works so hopefully I'm going to refer to the right thing. ANHD has the Displacement Alert Project. That is all online and readily available. It has several different components so people can look at it in different ways, by district, on a map, etc. It's all online and publicly available, and we're happy to do trainings on how to use that data.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: Okay.

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: We also work with collaboratives of other organizations that are

interested in trying to get access to additional data and improve the City's Open Data systems so that we can then add that to tools like that.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I should know this, because you mentioned LLCs and hiding behind which has been going on forever, is that addressed

EMILY GOLDSTEIN: There's been some improvements, but it's still sort of a persistent problem and so, in particular, we think the sort of who owns what is really helpful in that regard, but we think there are ways, I'm just flipping to the right page, that things that could be done. For example, enforcing owner registration requirements so that individuals and their contact information is actually on file, if it's the same individual and the same contact information across LLCs, then we can actually get to the people. Requiring individuals to declare what other buildings they're agents on when they do those registrations so that all that crosschecking doesn't have to happen after the fact and requiring declarations what LLCs people own. We'd be happy to work with you on those.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

ever?

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much, 3 Emily.

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Next is Noelle Francois followed by Jackie Del Valle and Rima Begum.

NOELLE FRANCOIS: Thank you. Can everyone hear me?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Yes.

NOELLE FRANCOIS: I want to begin my testimony by thanking the Chair, Council Member Pierina Sanchez, the Members of the Committee, and the co-Sponsors of Intro 434 for recognizing the opportunity to make our city safer.

My name is Noelle Francois, and I am the Executive Director of Heat Seek, a non-profit that works with tenants experiencing insufficient heat in their apartments in the wintertime. We use smart temperature sensors to accurately and reliably document the indoor temperatures during the winter months and help tenants prove when the temperature in their apartment is too low. I've spent the past eight years of my professional career using web-connected temperature sensors to help tenants document violations of New York City's Heat Law and have unique insight into Intro 434. I'll be providing some

2.2

2.3

additional written testimony but would like to take
this opportunity to give recommendations to improve
the expansion of the Heat Sensors Bill.

Last winter, Heat Seek provided our own temperature sensors to 58 families across New York City who were struggling to get adequate heat during the heat season. The temperature sensors documented over 17,000 hours where the temperature was below the legal limit from just 58 apartments. It is clear from our own analysis that a low number of heat violations does not equate to a warm apartment. Real-time temperature monitoring gives us a much more complete picture of what's actually happening inside a tenant's apartment, and we believe that it should become a more prominent component of the City's heat enforcement.

Heat Seek supports the expansion of the

Heat Sensors bill along with the expansion of

resources and staff to allow HPD to adequately carry

out the program and ensure 100 percent participation.

With this expansion, we would like to see the

following improvements.

First, we believe that automatic data transmission is a critically important new addition

time data that they're receiving.

2.2

2.3

of the Heat Sensor Program. We're excited about this
provision. HPD should use this data to affirmatively
send inspectors to a building when they see that that
building is currently in violation based on the real-

Next, we believe additional tenant education is needed to make the program a success. We don't believe HPD should rely on landlords to adequately educate tenants about a punitive program that they've been enrolled in. We'd like to see HPD provide a detailed description of the program to tenants along with a way for the tenants to take action if their landlord is not complying.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much for your testimony. I just want a make a PSA. It's really helpful for us Council Members to have your testimony in advance so we can read it. I remember when I was in your shoes. You just never get a chance to read the whole thing, but, if we can skim, then we can ask questions. I'm not saying that just to you but just to the world. Thank you so much for your testimony, and I look forward to following up.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you so much.

3 We will now hear from Jackie Del Valle followed by

4 Rima Begum and Jessica Bellinder.

JACKIE DEL VALLE: Hi. Good afternoon, Council Member Sanchez and Brewer and everyone else who's there. I can't quite see any more what Council Members are left, but thank you for having this hearing and inviting me to testify. My name is Jackie Del Valle, and I'm with TakeRoot Justice and the Stabilizing NYC Coalition. TakeRoot Justice fights with tenants and community groups to demand better living conditions, affordable rents, and a voice in the policies that shape their neighborhoods. We helped hundreds of tenants and dozens of tenants' associations file lawsuits for repairs in Housing Court including buildings damaged by fire. Stabilizing NYC is a Council-funded coalition to organize tenants against predatory equity, harassment, and displacement. We hold speculative landlords accountable for unsafe building conditions and neglect.

We strongly support Intro 204 and 583 to increase fines for owners for multiple, multi-year, uncured violations resulting in multi-inspections.

The current fines and the inability of HPD to collect them renders the fines negligible, allowing landlords to flout the law. These increases and the enforcement of them constitute a bare minimum of what is needed to meaningful enforce NYC Habitability Law.

We strongly support Intro 337 which by means of sending tenants the list of violations will help address those violations, especially in buildings that have a long backlog. Tenants have the right to know about the health and safety conditions of their homes. As the pandemic made clear, not every household has access to their buildings' violations, and this info will help tenants fighting for repairs and fair rents. It's criminal how much of a family's income goes to a landlord unwilling to make repairs.

We ask that the Council pass Intro 434.

The Heat Seek program which uses technology to monitor heating must be expanded and properly administered. Winter is here. The reality is that many landlords don't provide adequate heat, leading many tenants to use costly and unsafe space heaters. Intro 243 must be passed to ensure electric heaters are used safely.

2.2

2.3

1 The City of New York through HPD has a 2 3 responsibility to ensure tenants have safe housing through the oversight of private landlords. It's 4 clear that landlords are not keeping buildings safe and are not providing adequate heat, and HPD is not 6 7 doing enough about it. Buildings should not be 8 allowed to rack up... SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired. 10 11 JACKIE DEL VALLE: Okay. 12 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Okay. Thank you so 13 much, Jackie. I appreciate your testimony. COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Next we will 14 15 hear from Rima Begum followed by Jessica Bellinder 16 and Dannelly Rodriguez. 17 RIMA BEGUM: Hi there. Just want to make 18 sure you can hear me. 19 COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Yes, we can hear 20 you. 21 RIMA BEGUM: My name is Rima. I am the Associate Director of Housing Stability Program over 2.2 2.3 at Chhaya CDC and a rent-stabilized tenant myself.

25 housing stability, and economic well-being of

24

We're a non-profit organization that builds power,

Southeast Asians and Indo-Caribbean communities in

New York City. I'm just going to go over my

recommendations for some of the bills instead of

5 reading out my testimony.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

In regards to Intro 163, Intro 484, I understand the need to use technology to be more efficient as a City. in my years of experience as an organizer, some of our housing inspectors don't do quality inspections because they are overworked and the agency is severely underfunded. I repeatedly hear stories from tenants and inspectors that inspectors only spend a few minutes in apartments and ignore certain prompts to look into certain rooms or places. A general 15- to 20-minute inspection is probably now going to take five minutes if we were to pass this. If photos are to be used, there should be no impact to our regular standard inspection, and I do not see that explicitly written into these bills. Photos won't provide enough information on the quality of work, which is exactly where the Housing Maintenance Code fails New Yorkers and the bill sponsors need to think about this.

In regards to Intro 243-A, we are in support of this bill and ask that the bill go a bit

Office. I work in the Group Advocacy Practice, and we

2 have a citywide practice that represents tenants'

3 groups largely to enforce the Housing Maintenance

4 Code and try to address tenant harassment and enforce

5 tenant rights.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Our funding is through the Anti-Harassment Tenant Protection Funding from HRA, and part of my reason for being here today is to ensure that we're aware that this is funding that supports all of the legal services providers in the city, largely who do affirmative tenant work to assert their rights when HPD, which only apparently has a line for about 40-odd attorneys for the entire city, can't possibly be expected to enforce the Housing Maintenance Code for everybody. We are very excited that you are having this hearing, and we look forward to working with you in the future on additional issues. We really would like to see a review of the entire Housing Maintenance Code and the variety of laws that intersect with each other to make them more accessible both for advocates and landlords and tenants and make them a more seamless resource of legal information. Everyone has said it, at least all the advocates will say it. You can raise the fines and civil penalties as much as you like. Unless

2.2

2.3

there's real enforcement through imposition of those fines to the collection of those fines and through the Housing Courts interpretation of the application of the law, you're not going to see a huge change in landlord behavior and so while we applaud the increase in the fines and we believe that they are legally supportable and our testimony kind of goes into some of the issues that might surround the issues of raising fines, we believe that more also needs to be done by HPD to enforce those and to collect them at higher levels so that they actually act as a deterrent.

We'd also like to just say that we agree that the CityFHEPS program should be brought in line with the HPD enforcement standards, and we have some real concerns about some of the legislation which is detailed in our testimony. While we believe that much of it is well-intentioned, there are some real potential unintended consequences for tenants in terms of the burden of proof with respect to the photos and privacy issues with respect to the photo requirement. We also don't want to diminish the landlord obligation to provide adequate heat, which we are concerned that the information about safe

2.2

2.3

space heaters might unintentionally do and so we want
to make sure that, to the extent that we're going to
require that notice, that there be a strong
countervailing obligation to inform tenants of their
rights to adequate heat and how to make those
complaints and how to enforce those complaints. I

think I will leave it at that for now since I'm over

9 my time, but I appreciate the chance to talk to you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

You have a question from Council Member Brewer.

COUNCIL MEMBER BREWER: I'm a real Open

Data nut so is there any way that you use what ANHD

was talking about to see where there are challenges

in the future in terms of maintenance, etc.? Is that

something that would be helpful to you? Do you use

it? Do you use any data...

Data. We use it all the time to help us identify buildings that we want to reach out to because not every borough has as many aggressive tenants' associations as we would like. We would love to see more tenant organizing as well and really strong tenants' associations, but one of the ways that we identify buildings, if they aren't coming to us, is

Kelly Grace Price, and Doreen Mohammed.

2.2

DANNELLY RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon. It's pronounced Dannelly. Appreciate you.

 $\label{eq:committee} \mbox{COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: I'm sorry about}$ that. Thank you.

DANNELLY RODRIGUEZ: It's all right. No worries. Happens all the time. Good evening. Dear Committee on Housing and Buildings. My name is Dannelly Rodriguez, and I'm a Staff Attorney with the Tenants' Rights Coalition at Queens Legal Services, a borough office of Legal Services NYC.

Many of our clients live in rentstabilized units, Section XIII units, and NYCHA, all
of which are subject to the Housing Maintenance Code.
For our clients, the Housing Maintenance Code is a
main tool for enforcing the right to a safe home.
When landlords neglect to adequately maintain or
repair their buildings, the Housing Maintenance Code
is a tool that we can utilize their rights. Also,
many of our tenants live in NYCHA in decrepit
conditions and is consistently on the top of the
Public Advocate's Worst Landlord List based on the
number of outstanding violations and maintenance
issues. These open violations jeopardize the safety
and dignity of our clients.

2.2

2.3

emphasize that meaningful HPD Code Enforcement in NYCHA buildings would greatly benefit hundreds of thousands of low-income New Yorkers. NYCHA is the largest landlord in the city and, at present, HPD does not post violations, conduct inspections unless ordered by a court, or issue fines against NYCHA for violations of the Maintenance Code. Yet, as noted, NYCHA is consistently one of the worst landlords with the most outstanding repairs. The legislation proposed here today is a huge step in the right direction, and we need it to be even more powerful if these protections were explicitly extended to NYCHA residents.

I'm also here today to speak as more than a legal services Staff Attorney but also as a former tenant in a Section XIII apartment with repair issues. My parents still live within Section XIII housing so I have direct experience living with and addressing these conditions. I have used the Maintenance Code to solicit repairs for me and my family. One of the most pressing issues it the lack of heat. This issue is even more urgent now as go into the cold winter months. Here's how it often

plays out in the current system. A tenant lacks heat so they file a complaint with HPD. Landlord gets notified so they turn up the heat. HPD sends an inspector, confirms the heat is on and working, but after the inspection, the landlord turns the heat off or provides it intermittently and no subsequent violation is issued. Because there's no record of lack of heat, no civil penalties can be assessed to incentivize the landlord to adequately heat the building and a tenant-initiated court action for lack of heat is unlikely to be successful. Here, we see the lack of heat, oversight, and accountability in one fell swoop. I think two of the most important parts of this bill are number 243 and 434. Specifically, in 434 the requirement that HPD conduct heat inspections in identified buildings every two weeks and addition of the tenant's ability to have a heat-related data transmitted from the new sensors to HPD is critical in relaying real-time lack of heat information to HPD. This bill will empower HPD to automatically issue fines for heat violations. It will also increase the number of buildings selected for this program threefold, and we implore you guys

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE ON HOUSING AND BUILDINGS

2.2

2.3

2 to consider that we do that with the rest of the
3 city.

In general, the proposed legislation greatly increases accountability for landlords to make certain that they adequately make repairs and provide essential services to tenants instead of being able to merely certify they made repairs without any certifications.

For these reasons, we believe these bills are a major step towards ensuring enforcement of the Housing Maintenance Code for all tenants and please read the rest of our testimony for more particular details on the rest of the bill.

Thank you and all power to the people.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

It's helpful to hear it at the dais, that experience of you call, the heat inspector comes then the heat was on magically but then it goes away so absolutely one would look forward to working with on that.

DANNELLY RODRIGUEZ: Appreciate you. It's a serious issue, and I really want to implore the importance of expanding this to NYCHA. They're living in shambles right now, and it's unacceptable what the City's allowing to happen in the most black and brown

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

community in our city so I appreciate your time and
thank you for allowing me to testify.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you so much. We will now hear from Faceli Alvarez followed by Kelly Grace Price and Doreen Mohammed.

FACELI ALVAREZ: Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Faceli Alvarez. I am a member of Make the Road New York. I have lived in Bushwick for 16 years with my family and I live in a stabilized rent apartment. Bushwick is one of the New York neighborhoods where many tenants have to move out from their apartment due to a lack of repair, security, and service. It is common to see buildings with hundreds uncorrected violations for several years. Even though the new (INAUDIBLE) Maintenance Code (INAUDIBLE) violations such as Type C violation to be fixed within 24 hours. (INAUDIBLE) and still have this practice of harassing their tenants by not making repairs to push tenants to leave their apartment or facilitate gentrification. The Housing Code Enforcement system is not working. It is not uncommon to find tenants who (INAUDIBLE) to do some urgent repair to their apartment and the response

22 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time

doesn't collect the fine, and the owner must

(INAUDIBLE) to a new repair. We say it is time to

make sure that those fees are actually collected.

pass a strong law and higher penalty (INAUDIBLE) to

23 has expired.

18

19

20

21

24

25

FACELI ALVAREZ: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

2.2

2 COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. Kelly
3 Grace Price followed by Doreen Mohammed.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: Hi. Good afternoon.

I'm Kelly Grace Price from Close Rosies. I don't

usually appear at this Committee to testify. I wanted

to talk specifically today about the legislation

calendar, and I also want to bring in some of my own

experience of living in a building that was in the 7A

program that has continued violations.

I already turned in my written testimony. Some of you may or may not have reviewed it. I want to quickly point out some of the legislation discussed today. I agree with everything that the advocates have already said before me from the wonky times that inspectors show up to landlords just turning on heat at the last minute for inspections. All of that happens all the time. Specifically, about the bills slated today, I'm trying to figure out why Council Member Marte and Holden basically have the same bill with different sponsors under different Intro numbers. The bill is a terrible idea to begin with. I outlined the points for why the bill is a terrible idea extensively in my testimony. Please take a moment to read it. I've been a photography

my written testimony.

2.2

2.3

professional, building photography databases in this city for 20 years. It's no easy feat. It's not an easy thing to do. It's a very behemoth undertaking with all kinds of privacy concerns. Please refer to

I also, instead of going down point by point the various bills on the slate today, I wanted to point out specifically regarding the Heat Sensor Program. It's yours, Committee Chair Sanchez. I'm trying to figure out why Class B housing stock is omitted from this bill. I live in a Class B building, an SRO, and it doesn't make sense that only Class A housing stock is participating in this bill. I think it's a slippery slope, a bad precedent to start parsing out Class B from Class A housing stock when you're talking about legislation. Those are the things that I wanted to get on the verbal record.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

KELLY GRACE PRICE: I know my time has expired, but I just want to point out...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm sorry. I have a screaming child, and I want to get through all the testimony. I do promise to read your testimony.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 KELLY GRACE PRICE: I just want to point 3 out I live in a building...

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: I'm sorry. I'm so sorry. We don't have time, but we'll follow up with you, Kelly from Rosies.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thanks very much.

Doreen Mohammed followed by Sateesh Nori and Mike

McKee.

DOREEN MOHAMMED: Hi everyone. This is Doreen Mohammad speaking. I've been waiting hours to testify. I'm at my doctor's appointment so I just want to point out that we need to make these hearings a lot more publicly accessible for people most affected. I want to try to keep it as brief as possible, but there needs to be a lot of overhaul of HPD. There have been many advocates like Dannelly was just testifying about it. So many people have insufficient heat, insufficient hot water, often no heat, no hot water, and it's like a phone tag game with HPD and never leads to action. People have rodents in their apartments. People have windows or lack of insulation. I'm one of those. There just needs to be a lot better of a process. It's really unacceptable that our rents continue to spike but

2	then we don't get the services that we need, even
3	though we're paying for, or even if you're not paying
4	for it, I just think it's just a human rights issue.
5	It's cold winter. It's a rainy day. Growing up in New
6	York, it's always been the problem with insufficient
7	heat. We saw how people need to use electric portable
8	heaters plugged in. It's caused fires and hazards and
9	that shouldn't be necessary. The temperature
10	requirements by the law are also insufficient. For
11	me, having an apartment that faces the water, that
12	doesn't actually meet the needs. You need it like 75
13	degrees and higher all the time so I just wanted to
14	come on here and say that and I hope that there will
15	be actual true action and leadership, especially for
16	NYCHA. There's so many people that don't have heat
17	and hot water. People went without gas for months
18	last winter. I wouldn't be surprised if it happened
19	again. There's just insufficient accountability from
20	our government and our agencies, and a lot of reform
21	needs to happen. Thank you.

22 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

23 COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you.

Sateesh Nori and then Mike McKee and Robert Altman.

2.2

2.3

SATEESH NORI: Good afternoon. I'm Sateesh Nori, Executive Director of JustFix, a New York City space civic technology non-profit that creates tools to support the tenant movement. Our tools serve to advance housing justice and empower tenants to address housing conditions and obtain repairs. Since 2016, we have helped approximately 800,000 tenants through our various tools and products. Our team of 12 engages with tenants, housing organizers, and partner organizations to help level the playing field between landlords and tenants. We also encourage the Council to focus on NYCHA tenants. We offer the following input on the bills being considered here today.

On Intro 163, photographic evidence can be compelling proof of housing conditions. Photos are useful in housing part actions and in Housing Court and as proof in support of abatements and non-payment proceedings. However, while a photo may support the existence of a violation and demonstrate its gravity, the absence of a photo should not be held up as poof that a violation does not exist. JustFix urges the Council to make sure that photographic evidence submitted by housing inspectors does not serve as a

violations and document them.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

shield, conclusive evidence of the lack of violations. If photos are to be a feature of inspections, why not let tenants take photos of the conditions and have them be part of the inspection report? HPD already had a shortage of inspectors and 100 unfilled positions. Tenants have the proper incentives, their own health and safety, to report on

On Intro 337, JustFix asks to ensure that tenants have accurate and current information about housing conditions, violations, and resources to address these issues. Our Who Owns What Platform was accessed by 300,000 users last year to research their landlords. Information about open Housing Maintenance Code violations is important for tenants who seek to address these conditions, tenants who need to be aware of dangerous conditions outside of their apartments but in their buildings, and by housing seekers who need to know about the health and safety issues and their prospective homes. This bill attempts to address these issues by requiring a mailed notice. However, once a year is not enough. Given the violation information is online and accessible in real-time, tenants should be able to

on? COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Yes.

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

fee.

2 ROBERT ALTMAN: Thank you. I submitted 3 written testimony as well so I am not going to repeat 4 what you already have in front of you. I'm just going to emphasize I think two points. I'm a little different here today because I represent landlords 6 7 who are more often than not very responsible but no 8 landlord is perfect so I have to figure out how do we do something where we don't necessarily harm the landlord and hit them with these much higher fines 10 11 that are being proposed. I've given it some thought, and often we can have a situation or sometimes your 12 13 bad landlords are never going to fix this without 14 enforcement but good ones will, and there should be 15 some time allowed to be able to fix the violation before (INAUDIBLE) can remain low. If it's not fixed 16 17 within a week or two or without some time constraint 18 which you decide upon, then it goes to the higher

Next, this is becoming something of a pet peeve of mine that things are being added to the leases and being posted, and someone did some research for me and I can see that there are now 18 different signs that have to be posted in a building. When you have so much, sometimes you have nothing,

Bushwick Brooklyn, District 34, Council Member

Gutierrez is our representative. First of all, I want

24

to thank all of you, the City Council Members

present, especially the Chair for everything that

you're doing on behalf of tenants' rights as well as

all of the advocates who have been testifying as

6 well.

1

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

I'm a below-the-knee amputee and a Ewing sarcoma survivor and discovered when I went through this that my landlord was literally willing to put my life into great levels of risk in order to get me out, to not start a union, to not fight against them. One of the things that I want to bring up in this is that the process of analyzing the code violations. Our building has over 170 violations right now. Many of them got closed without being properly inspected, and it had to do with the lack of contacts between tenants and HPD, the ability for us to be home on a permanent basis, but as soon as we do start to stand up for ourselves, in our situation and our landlord according to JustFix and Who Owns What, amazing, is associated with over 4,000 buildings, and I fear that other people who don't have the neighborhood ...

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Time has expired.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Renatta Raffi,
Deborah Wallace, Lyric Thompson, and Susan Berkowitz.

I believe Renatta Raffi is not present.

Deborah Wallace also not present. We'll move to Lyric

Thompson and Susan Berkowitz followed by Robert

Thibault and Corey Ortega.

LYRIC THOMPSON: Hi. How are you? My name is Lyric Thompson, and I was going to go through all of these bills really quickly but I don't think we have enough time so I'm going to focus on the Twin Park Fire and my experience in dealing with HPD and having noncompliant doors.

the building was built. It was fraudulently signed off by two corrupt DOB inspectors who were nicked by DOI in 2015, Artan Mujko and Gordon Holder. Now this has been a root issue that has caused many, many problems including and not limited to our doors. For eight years, I've been fighting with HPD over doors. For the first four and a half years, HPD wrote and removed violations on a door that was never supposed to be installed on the building. Now, we had hundreds of inspections at that point. I can't help but think

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

that one or maybe two inspectors should've picked out the fact that this door should've not been on the building. However, they never caught that. I learned that fact when the door was sealed shut and the tenants were unable to leave the building. When we opened the door, we realized it had no fire rating and there was a hole in our smoke-stopping wall. Getting HPD to write violations for these conditions has been problematic because HPD does not train their inspectors in the NFPA Codes that govern these doors. Now, I've heard a lot of hoop and ha, a lot of excuses as to oh, we have different codes, we had different standards, we have different blah, blah, blah. At the end of the day, ladies and gentlemen, people are dying of smoke inhalation while they flee for their lives because HPD cannot be bothered to offer a training class that covers the standards for the doors that they are paid and legally required pursuant to Multiple Dwelling Law 50-A.4 to enforce. I have had to go to the New York Times to have the proper violations written, which were just removed just this week, just removed. Now, DOB wrote violations this week for our door not being in compliance where HPD removes the violations. I have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

video of the door if you'd like to see it. Now with regard to videos and accepting videos, tenants should be able to submit a video if there is a violation of violating condition. Waiting on HPD to spy something with their eye is really not a feasible or a workable solution. An example would be my building is flooding right now as I'm talking to you. If it's raining outside, my building is flooding. Now, HPD was out, again when they removed the violations on the door, they were looking at the gutters. HPD said oh, I don't see any rain coming down so call 3-1-1 when it rains. My basement floods every time it rains. Now, I don't mean to be rude, I don't mean to be ugly, but nobody has time to deal with this agency that can't even be bothered to let you know when they're showing up. You just had a baby. If I show up to your house ... COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. I

believe your time. Lyric Thompson, thank you so much for your testimony. I believe we are at time. We are happy to receive your written testimony. Thank you.

LYRIC THOMPSON: Okay. Have a nice day.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you so much. We will now move to Susan Berkowitz, Robert Thibault, and Corey Ortega.

2.2

2.3

SUSAN BERKOWITZ: Hi. My name is Susan

Berkowitz. I'm a tenant, and I represent a building
in Gravesend Brooklyn. We are a very unique
situation. We are a building that is everything from
Section XIII to co-op owners, and we have 113 units.

We've had 10 years of heat issues, and we are
currently in the sensor program. We have been chosen
this year to be in the sensor program so I thought
you might want some feedback and I will add this into
testimony. I know we don't have a lot of time.

My experience with it so far is that
they're relying on the landlords to put up signage to
let the tenants know about the program. This does not
work. It is very confusing for tenants to have
inspectors coming in every two weeks just randomly.
It's not efficient. They did put up a sign for selfclosing doors because we are getting other violations
on top of the ones we already have, but we have a
population that is a lot of elderly and immigrant
population, does not speak English. Therefore, they
have no idea what's going on. The only reason I found
out about it is because I have been in the Heat Seek
program, and I have a sensor in my house along with
another tenant who also had one for the past eight

- 2 | years, and it has been generating multiple
- 3 violations, hundreds and hundreds and thousands of
- 4 hours of violations. However, I've only gotten a few
- 5 heat violations. I've gotten OMOs which has not
- 6 restored the heat. This is before going on this
- 7 program this year. All that has resulted in my
- 8 building being chosen, which is great. However, the
- 9 | implementation of this program is problematic. Also,
- 10 | they can only get B violations for when the
- 11 inspectors come in and note that the sensors are not
- 12 present, but I'm going to elaborate more about it
- 13 | because I think you do need feedback from buildings
- 14 | that are participating.
- 15 CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you. That's
- 16 really helpful.
- 17 COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. Robert
- 18 | Thibault followed by Corey Ortega, Frank Richie
- 19 | (phonetic) and Warren Schreiber.
- 20 ROBERT THIBAULT: Hi. My name is Robert
- 21 | Thibault, and I'm a member of the 117/127 West 141st
- 22 | Street Tenant Association. We are currently in a
- 23 | battle with our slumlord, Guardian Realty, who has
- 24 | for decades left residents with unaddressed leaks,
- 25 mold, rats, and mice in residents' homes and their

25

2 racist employees have consistently made inadequate 3 repairs in tenant apartments so mold comes back and 4 leaks persist. Currently, there are 40 open C 5 violations at one 117 West 141st and 53 open C violations at 127 West 141st Street. New York City 6 7 HPD Code Enforcement practices are institutional 8 procedures that create structural violence in the lives of tenants while continuing to let property owners avoid carrying out repairs by certified 10 11 professionals. For example, HPD does not provide tenants with advance notice of inspections as cited 12 13 by the New York State Comptroller in a September 24, 14 2020, audit. How could any business function if they 15 just showed up not knowing if the customer is home? It's crazy. HPD's Housing Maintenance Code clearly 16 17 states that the term indoor mold hazard means any 18 condition of mold growth on an indoor service, 19 building structure, or ventilation system including 20 mold that is within wall cavities that is likely to 21 cause harm to a person and has been cited as a 2.2 violation by the Department. This means any mold 2.3 should be remediated by a licensed mold contractor regardless of what class HPD decides that that 24 violation is.

2.2

2.3

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Thank you. Your time 3 has expired.

ROBERT THIBAULT: All right. Great. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON SANCHEZ: Thank you so much.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL SON: Thank you. Corey

Ortega.

COREY ORTEGA: How do you do? My name is

Corey Ortega. I'm a lifelong rent-stabilized tenant
of 39 years currently on rent strike and a Board

Member of the 510/513 West 134th Street Tenant

Association where this previous Heat Sensor bill was
announced on my block because of the amount of
violations recorded.

The reason for my testimony, I support this slate of efficiency bills. That's what I call it because, as a manager, that's what it is, especially the Heat Sensor bill. I'm a person of color in a low-income neighborhood, I'm Dominican American, and let me try to humanize this experience. As a professional who's worked hard to get where I am but have had to overcome struggles along the way just to get to work or school as a child, as an adult. I'm going to tell you something that happened two years ago. I wake up

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

in the morning, it's cold. There's no heat from the night before. There's no hot water. I have to dress professionally. I have to go to work. I have to represent clients so what do I do? I did what my mother taught me when I was a child growing up in the same apartment I live. We got some water, we put it in a pan, and we heat it all the way and we put it in another bucket that we use to mop, and we put it in the bathroom, and this is us taking a shower with a little cup then at the end, you splash it all on you. Because I live in a low-income neighborhood doesn't mean I'm going to accept low standards, and I support this bill wholeheartedly because it's going to change future generations. I'm used to it now. I'm still going through it, but I'm okay, but there are going to be future generations of professionals that are going to have to go through this. These bills will stop that from happening, and with the nine seconds that I have left we have to then organize after this, right? I heard wonderful things from some amazing people and organizations have been fighting this from jump. Now's the time to after this hearing organize the tenants, the tenant leaders, the tenant associations to support Council Member Sanchez as

enforcement, if there are issues with that program or

if we're not utilizing it to the extent that we need to be as a City then that needs to be revisited and we need to do more to keep our buildings safe.

Again, I just want to thank everybody, and I, in closing, will just say if you're watching if you live in a building that has substandard conditions, please continue to call 3-1-1, please continue to call all of your elected representatives, myself, anyone. We need all the data points in order to make a dent in the problem of problematic buildings so thank you all for your time and energy.

[GAVEL]

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date December 14, 2022