CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK -----Х TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES Of the COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS ----- Х Monday, January 9 Start: 10:11 a.m. Recess: 11:19 a.m. 250 BROADWAY COMMITTEE ROOM HELD AT: 14TH FLOOR B E F O R E: Keith Powers, Chairperson COUNCIL MEMBERS: Joseph C. Borelli Gale Brewer Selvena Brooks-Powers Crystal Hudson Rafael Salamanca Pierina Ana Sanchez

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Stephen Chu, Architect Design Principal at Ennead architects

Mark Ginsberg, Architect Curtis + Ginsberg Architects

Angie Master, Broker Prendamano Real Estate COMMITTEE ON RULES, PRIVILEGES, AND ELECTIONS 3
 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Good morning. Welcome to the
 Committee on Rules, Privileges, and Elections. At
 this time, if you can please place phones on vibrate
 or silent mode. Thank you, Chair. We are ready to
 begin.

7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: [GAVEL] Good morning. And 8 welcome to the meeting of Committee on Rules, 9 Privileges, and Elections. I am City Councilmember 10 Keith Powers, Chair of this Committee. Before we 11 begin, I'd like to introduce other members of the 12 committee who are present. We are joined by 13 Councilmember Selvena Brooks-Powers, and 14 Councilmember Crystal Hudson, and I believe we will 15 be joined in person and remotely by more 16 Councilmembers shortly. We have another busy hearing 17 across the street today. I will also be reading a 18 statement from Speaker Adrienne Adams who is at the 19 other hearing across the street. I want to 20 acknowledge before we start, the committee staff that 21 worked on the appointments that we are hearing today, 22 Committee Counsel, Jeff Campagna, Director of 23 Investigations, Francesca Del Vecchia, and Deputy 24 Director of Investigations, Lisa Vassal.

2	Today, we are holding a public hearing on three
3	nominations from the Mayor to be appointed to the
4	Landmark Preservation Commission. By letters dated
5	on December 28, 2022, Mayor Eric Adams requested the
6	advice and consent of The council regarding the
7	appointment of Mark Ginsberg, Steven Chu, and Angie
8	Master to the Landmarks Preservation Commission.
9	Before I give more information about the LPC and the
10	nominee's here today, I just want to read a brief
11	statement from Speaker Adrienne Adams that I was
12	asked to give. I got it. This is from the tongue of
13	Adrienne Adams.
14	"I'd like to welcome the three candidates who are

before us today each who have been nominated for 15 appointment to the commissioner of the Landmarks 16 17 Preservation Commission. LPC is uniquely powerful body within the framework of city government. It has 18 19 the power to unilaterally regulate private property and private property owners are making changes to 20 21 their property without the Commission's approval. Such power in the hands of an elected Commission 2.2 23 requires the public's trust. The Landmarks Law requires a majority of the members have professional 24 credentials that informed their judgments about how 25

2 to balance the public and private interests, 3 inherited designating landmarks.

Though not required by statute. Historically, LPC
has also benefited from the trust and prestige
engendered by its members being leaders in their
profession whose reputations have preceded them.

8 Today we have such candidates before us: Two 9 accomplish architects and a realtor. I look forward 10 to hearing from each of you about your professional 11 experience, how your professional experiences relate 12 to the work of the LPC, your commitment to the 13 mission of the LPC, and how you would approach your 14 work as LPC commissioners."

We've also been joined here by councilmember GaleBrewer from the Upper West Side.

17 Just a brief description of the Landmarks 18 Preservation Commission pursuant to section 3020 of 19 the charter the New York City Landmarks Preservation 20 Commission, also known as the LPC, has the power to designate landmarks, interior landmarks, scenic 21 landmarks and historic districts. LPC has a duty 2.2 23 after a public hearing to designate a landmark site for each landmark to be designated. The LPC also has 24 the power to regulate construction and reconstruction 25

2	alterations and demolitions of landmarks and to
3	approve and deny requests for certificate and for
4	certificates of appropriateness, and certificates of
5	no effect. The LPC consists of 11 members.
6	Membership must include at least three architects,
7	one historian qualified in the field, one city
8	planner or landscape architect and one realtor, and
9	must include at least one resident from each of the
10	five boroughs. Members serve for terms of three
11	years and serve until the appointment of a successor.
12	The mayor also designates one of the members to
13	serve as the chair of the LPC and designates another
14	member to serve as the vice chair. These members
15	shall serve until a successor is designated.
16	The members of the LPC with the exception of the
17	chair serve without compensation but are reimbursed
18	for necessary expenses incurred in the port course of
19	performing their duties.
20	Today we're joined by three members we are joined
21	by Stephen Chu, a resident of Brooklyn who has been
22	nominated by the mayor for appointment LPC to serve
23	the remainder of a three year term expiring on June
24	28, 2020. Mr. Chu is an architect who is currently a
25	Design Principal at Ennead architects, where he has

2 worked since 1996. He has specialized in designing 3 performance and visual arts organizations and 4 educational institutions in New York and around the 5 world including Jazz at Lincoln, the Public Theater, 6 NYU Tisch School of Arts and others.

7 We're joined by Mark Ginsberg, a resident of 8 Brooklyn who has been nominated by the mayor for 9 appointment LPC to serve the remainder of a threeyear term expiring on June 28, 2025. Mr. Ginsburg is 10 11 also an architect and as a founding partner of Curtis + Ginsberg architects, he has been an architect on a 12 13 number of renovation and alteration projects 14 involving landmark buildings, most notably the 15 transformation of PS 90 into a mixed use building 16 featuring 72 units of affordable and market rate 17 housing, and the National Dance Institute's Center 18 for Learning and Arts.

We are also joined by Angie Mastert, a resident of Staten Island who has been nominated by the mayor for appointment LPC to serve the remainder of a three-year term that expires also on June 28 2025. Ms. Master is currently an associate broker at Prendamano Real Estate. I want to welcome you all here and thank you for being here today.

2 If you can just please raise your right hand so 3 we can swear you in.

4 SERGEANT AT ARMS: Do you swear to tell the truth 5 in the testimony that you're about to make?

ALL: I do.

6

7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We'll now hear opening statements. We'll take an opening statement 8 9 from each one of you. And then we'll proceed to questions from the Chair and then questions from the 10 11 members. We'll start from left to right order here. We'll start with Mr. Chu, followed by Mrs. Master. 12 13 And then Mr. Ginsburg. Mr. Chu, you may go ahead and 14 give your testimony.

We also have been joined by councilmember Joe Borelli, the Minority Leader Joe Borelli.

MR. CHU: Good morning and thank you, Chair 17 18 powers and members of the council for this 19 opportunity to testify before you. It is a great 20 honor to be nominated by Mayor Adams to serve on the 21 New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission. 2.2 My name is Stephen Chu. I was born in San Jose, 23 California, and did my college education at both UC Berkeley and the University of Architecture in 24 Venice, Italy. My understanding of the importance of 25

2	preservation began with studies in architectural
3	history and urban planning. As a young student it
4	was an eye-opening experience for me to see the
5	prospective design and planning where the urban
6	fabric is predominantly historic and protected. This
7	is my experience in Italy in education. Discussion
8	centered around words such as "appropriate"
9	"dialogue" and "relevancy" were common in addition to
10	focusing on detail and craftsmanship. At the same
11	time, I was impressed by the bold changes in modern
12	materials, and all the while respecting and
13	celebrating the historic building.
14	I moved to New York City in 1997, seeking many
15	things: culture, community diversity, history and
16	architecture, a city where 8 million people live
17	together in seemingly impossible situations. A
18	bustling city with energy, innovation and progress
19	yet still rooted with spectacular examples of its
20	history within its fabric. I've spent over 25 years
21	living in New York City, first in Manhattan,
22	Chinatown, and then lower east side, then Brooklyn
23	and finally Ridgewood, Queens. Actually, Chair
24	Powers, I'm a resident in Queens, where I've resided
25	since 2004.

I'm an associate professor at Pratt University
where I teach building graduate students about
building materials and exterior detailing.

I'm also a design principal at Ennead architects, 5 formally Polshek partnership, where I've been 6 7 employed since 1996. The late James Polshek founded 8 the firm on principles with a tradition for respect 9 for context and belief that making architecture is inherently a civic act that comes with great social 10 11 responsibility. He taught me that a building must belong to its community, and reminded us of the 12 13 positive power of public space. I had the 14 opportunity to work on important New York City 15 Landmarks institutions, such as Carnegie Hall, AMNH, 16 the Rose Planetarium, the New York City Center and 17 Brooklyn Museum. Continuing the tradition of civic 18 cultural work, I have had the opportunity of 19 presenting proposals such as 425 Lafayette, home of 20 the Public Theater, as an example of adaptive reuse 21 to the original Astor Library, and recently submitted proposal for renovation of Delacorte Theater in 2.2 23 Central Park. I recognize that the NYC LPC is the largest and most important Preservation Commission in 24 I understand that it is the 25 the country.

2 responsibility of the commissioner to protect New
3 York City's architecturally historically and
4 culturally significant building and size by granting
5 them and landmark or historic status and regulating
6 them after designation.

7 If nominated, I look forward to working in 8 partnership with elected officials and community 9 members to carry out this mission while allowing for 10 appropriate change and development that the city and 11 as a resident residents require.

I believe that my experience in the practice 12 would be useful to the Commission in various ways. 13 14 I've worked with many important New York City 15 institutions, community cultural, educational and 16 healthcare, which has given me some insight to the 17 broad visions which can affect existing facilities 18 and shape, shape futures expansion goals and the city 19 fabric itself. I understand the complex issues 20 involved in performing design alterations to significant landmark historic structures, their 21 neighborhoods, and the city infrastructure and 2.2 23 neighborhoods around them. I understand the procedures for collaborating with various city 24 agencies such as the parks department, CPC, New York 25

City design commission, DDC, DC, LA and LPC. I 2 3 understand the potential complexities involved in balancing a client's desires with the Commission's 4 5 mission and determinations. I also understand the processes involved in realizing project from design 6 through construction, including importance of proper 7 detailing, as well as the performance and maintenance 8 9 needs of new and existing landmark structures. I can only hope that the skills and experience I've gained 10 11 can add to the Commission's already impressive qualifications and track record. 12

13 New York City is the past the present and the 14 future. I understand that institutions need to 15 evolve, adapt, as does the city as a whole. We can 16 continue its tradition of being diverse, progressive, 17 inclusive, without compromising our vibrancy and 18 relevancy. If appointed, I will do my best to 19 preserve and protect sites that reflect diversity and 20 history and all the five boroughs and ensure that 21 they remain intact for years to come. I believe in 2.2 the positivity of architecture, and that the city must manifest its history, its culture and ethnic 23 diversity and expression in its physical presence. 24

2	It is an honor to have the responsibility to
3	preserve the history and culture of this great city,
4	but also to learn more about the complicated issues
5	involved in the process of the stewardship. I look
6	forward to meeting and working in collaboration with
7	all of you. Thank you for your consideration of my
8	nomination and I welcome any questions.
9	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. We're going to
10	hold for questions after testimony. We'll come back
11	to you. Thanks.
12	MS. MASTER: Good morning Chair powers and
13	members of the rules, privileges and elections
14	committee. And thank you for this opportunity to
15	testify before you. I am so honored to be nominated
16	by Mayor Eric Adams for consideration to serve on the
17	New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission.
18	My name is Angie Master, and I was born in Busan,
19	South Korea. I'm a proud Korean American immigrant
20	who grew up on the west coast in Spokane, Washington.
21	I graduated from Stanford us University with a BA in
22	International Relations, and an MA in international
23	educational policy. I later earned an MBA from
24	Columbia Business School.
25	

2	I'm a proud resident of Staten Island for the
3	last 30 years. I have always loved history. It was
4	my favorite course in high school and college. This
5	love of history has given me a deep personal
6	appreciation of historic landmarks sites. I believe
7	they play an important role as physical remnants that
8	tie us to history.
9	By designating and preserving these landmarks, we
10	get a glimpse into the past. I know this firsthand. I
11	grew up in Spokane, Washington, which was established
12	in 1881. When I first moved to Staten Island, I was
13	filled with awe that I was living amongst buildings
14	that existed during the Revolutionary War. On Staten
15	Island, I was told that George Washington marched
16	along Amboy Road, and that Fort Wodsworth and the
17	conference house played pivotal roles in the
18	Revolutionary War. It made real an event that I'd
19	only read about in history textbooks.
<u> </u>	

As a Korean American immigrant and a transplant to the East Coast, I appreciate more than most that one cannot take for granted the importance of landmarks sites to retain a sense of history and place. For almost 10 years, while based in Staten Island, I practice commercial real estate across New

2	York City. I facilitate commercial sales and leasing
3	in sectors as varied as retail, restaurants,
4	churches, schools, and offices. Many of my clients
5	are mom-and-pop small businesses. I enjoy working
6	with them and hearing about the successes and
7	challenges they face doing business in New York City.
8	My specialty, or niche, is working with nonprofit
9	groups. I love working with these groups because it
10	is satisfying to help them fulfill their mission. For
11	example, I worked with the JCC of Staten Island to
12	find a new location for Sunrise Day Camp, which
13	provides free summer camp to children diagnosed with
14	cancer and their siblings. This is one of the
15	projects of which I am most proud.
16	As a realtor, I have also worked with Eaten To,
17	which provides programs, and education for the
18	Autistic community meals on wheels, the Pride Center
19	of Staten Island, and various churches and mosques.
20	In addition to my real estate skills, I can also
21	contribute my knowledge of Staten Island to LPC.
22	Even though Staten Island has a population of
23	almost 500,000, we have a small town feel, and there
24	are few degrees of separation among us.
25	

I've been deeply involved in my community with 2 3 strong ties to many of its cultural, social and 4 nonprofit institutions. I currently serve on the 5 board of the JCC of Staten Island, and have supported and or volunteered at the Staten Island Museum, City 6 7 Harvest, Historic Richmond town, Snug Harbor Cultural Center, and Seaman Society for Children among other 8 9 organizations. Prior to practicing real estate, I worked in marketing as a brand manager for Schering 10 11 plough healthcare products, Nabisco and Avon. I've also taught marketing courses as an adjunct professor 12 13 at Wagner College in their MBA program. This business 14 background has taught me strong analytical and 15 problem solving skills. I enjoy collaborating with 16 others and forging a consensus amongst different 17 stakeholders, which will be integral to furthering 18 LPCs work throughout the city.

In sum, landmark sites on Staten Island have played a significant role in my life. I took my children to the annual historic Richmond town fair art classes at Snug Harbor, musical concerts at the Alice Austen house bike riding at Fort Wadsworth, and the annual lessons and carols at St. Paul's Memorial

2 Church. These landmarks sites provided countless3 precious memories for me and my family.

I'm extremely honored to be nominated to serve on
this commission. If confirmed, I look forward to
helping preserve local landmarks which promote the
history and character of our unique neighborhoods.
Thank you.

9 MR. GINSBERG: Thank you Good morning Chair 10 powers and members of the rules privilege and 11 election committee. My name is Mark Ginsberg. Thank 12 you to the city council for inviting me to appear 13 before you today. I want to thank Mayor Adams for my 14 nomination to the Landmark Preservation Commission, 15 and the Council for your time and consideration.

16 As a native New Yorker who's lived in our 17 remarkable city for my entire life, I love this city, 18 it's character history and people. I grew up 19 surrounded by landmark districts and for the last 32 years I've lived in a landmark district. For 37 years 20 I have had a diverse architecture career in New York, 21 the last 33 for my own firm. I've worked on several 2.2 23 historic buildings and my firm has received awards for this work. My work with the Commission has 24 reinforced my belief in the importance of historic 25

2 preservation and preserving significant buildings in 3 areas of the past. The focus of my firm has centered 4 on affordable housing, sustainable and community 5 based design. We've designed and renovated over 6 21,000 units of housing, supportive housing, and 7 shelter housing.

Sustainability has been increasingly important to 8 9 our work. We have completed six large residential and mixed use Passive House buildings that are incredibly 10 11 energy efficient, efficient, just completed our first two electric buildings and have several more in 12 These affordable buildings will meet the 13 design. 14 2050 requirements of local law 97 for sustainable 15 buildings today.

Our work has shown that historic renovation and 16 17 sustainability can be complementary, such as the recent work on the renovation of Harlem River Houses 18 19 and individual landmark and a jewel in the NYCHA 20 portfolio. Harlem River Houses was in much need of 21 restoration and upgrading, and the renovation respects the building's historic importance, while 2.2 23 installing all electric heating and cooling that when the renovation is complete, will significantly reduce 24 the operational carbon footprint. 25

The commission is tasked with protecting New York 2 3 City's architectural, historic, and culturally 4 significant buildings and sites. Preserving the 5 historic character of our landmark buildings and districts is particularly challenging in a city like 6 7 New York where there is constant change. Change in the 21st century requires addressing the existential 8 9 issue of climate change by reducing both operational and embodied carbon. My knowledge and practical 10 11 experience will bring expertise to the commission 12 that I hope will support the Commission's deliberations and assist the commission in meeting 13 14 its charge, while also being a model for how a 21st 15 century city can both be environmentally cutting edge 16 and respectful of New York's globally important 17 cultural, historical, and architectural history. 18 With my experience in affordable housing and 19 lifelong advocacy for adequate housing for all New 20 Yorkers. I will also bring to the Commission 21 knowledge and expertise that hopefully will assist 2.2 the commission in reviewing these types of projects 23 and will allow us to make New York City a better place to live. work for all our citizens. 24

19

2	Thank you again for your time and consideration.
3	Just want to point out, I'm from Manhattan, not
4	Brooklyn, and look forward to answering any questions
5	you have.
6	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: All right, well, I screwed
7	the boroughs up, but we'll talk. We'll talk. Thank
8	you guys.
9	So testimony I'm just going to break with my
10	normal protocol where I would ask questions first. I
11	just want to have give both first give the
12	Minority Leader an opportunity to say a statement.
13	And then I want to turn it over to colleagues ask
14	questions, and we'll come back to me and I'll do my
15	rounds of questions. Thanks.
16	MINORITY LEADER BORELLI: Thank you, Chair, I
17	just want to say the one borough, we don't screw up a
18	Staten Island, which is a wonderful, wonderful place
19	where I have the privilege of knowing Ms. Master. And
20	I want to echo the wonderful things that the mayor
21	said and his recommendation. And I want to ensure
22	that our delegation is supportive of her position.
23	And we think she really understands the balance of
24	the need to landmark many properties in our
25	community. We have homes dating back to the 17th

2	century. It's a very unique place. You could read
3	about it in one of my two books available on Amazon.
4	That's a joke. But in all seriousness, there is a
5	need to balance landmarking everywhere in the city,
6	but especially on Staten Island, where there's a
7	trend, where landmarkings turn into occasionally
8	zombie properties. So we don't want that to happen.
9	And with that, I just want to echo rather, I want
10	to convey the thanks and excitement of my delegation
11	in welcoming Ms. Master to the LPC when she's
12	appointed.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you, Minority Leader. 13 I do recommend his books if you have trouble sleeping 14 15 at night. We will now go to Councilmember Hudson. 16 COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: Thank you so much. I just 17 have two quick questions and want to note that I 18 represent a district in Brooklyn that has the second 19 most number of landmarked buildings. And so my two 20 questions are (1) when it comes to equity, thinking about communities of color that don't often get 21 recognized for historic landmarks, and it's sometimes 2.2 23 a little bit more difficult to get landmarks to acknowledge or certify a building as a landmark in 24 25 those communities. So I wanted to get your thoughts

2	on that. And my second question is almost sort of, on
3	the other side of the spectrum, which is there
4	there are in central Brooklyn, a lot of well,
5	buildings I wouldn't say necessarily a lot,
6	perhaps there should be more but many buildings
7	that are landmarks and then go through an application
8	process to be knocked down, demolished in order to
9	build housing. And obviously, we know we have a
10	housing crisis, we need to build more housing. But it
11	shouldn't always be at the expense of communities of
12	color and historic communities that have these very
13	cherished landmark buildings.
14	So I wanted to get your thoughts on that as well,
1 -	the second second is the second beauty of the second beauty of the second s

15 as far as when an application comes through landmarks 16 to demolish a landmark building in order to build 17 housing, where would you all sort of stand on that? I 18 know, obviously, without all the details in front of 19 you, but just hypothetically, thank you.

MS. MASTER: I, I'll go ahead and talk about the equity piece of it. Because that's something that's very important to me. I, as an Asian American immigrant, understand that, it's very important to have other underrepresented groups represented in historical designation. And that, if confirmed,

2	would be one of my priorities as well. I want to make
3	sure that my my history as an Asian American
4	immigrant, whether it's in Flushing, whether it's in
5	Koreatown in Manhattan, whether it's in Chinatown,
6	that that is also representative in the history of
7	our city. So I just want to emphasize that that
8	would be one of my priorities as well.
9	COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: Thank you.
10	MR. GINSBERG: Let me see if I can address both
11	and to some degree together.
12	First of all, as an architect who's appeared
13	before the Commission, I have a lot of experience
14	with reviewing buildings, renovations, et cetera. I
15	have never dealt with a designation. From what I
16	understand that we are open and I would be open to
17	comments from all and that it goes through the staff
18	who then presents information to us. And I'm very
19	open to looking at designations in areas that do not
20	have many designations. To address the other issue.
21	When I talked a little bit about PS 90, which was a
22	project my firm did that was an award-winning
23	conversion of a old public school that had been
24	abandoned for 40 years, had trees growing out of the
25	roof, this floor slabs were falling apart and had to
<u>.</u>	

be replaced, Nd we were able to restore the historic 2 3 facade and converted into 75 apartments, 20 of which were affordable. And in what had been the auditorium 4 space created a home for the National Dance 5 Institute, their first permanent home, this was in 6 7 northern Harlem. And it preserved the building, and we used it for housing, and we used it for housing 8 9 with a mixed income population. I would also add that much of my practice has been housing and affordable 10 11 housing, and that I would look for opportunities of converting buildings that no longer fit their initial 12 use to housing where appropriate. It doesn't always 13 work. And encourage preserving landmarks and not 14 15 tearing them down. 16 COUNCILMEMBER HUDSON: Thank you. 17 MR. CHU: I'd like to address both of your 18 questions as well. For the first question, I think 19 it's important to note that what is designated, I 20 believe is also not just the aesthetic structures, 21 but the historic significance of certain places or 2.2 sites. I would think it'd be important to consider 23 in designation also, the importance that the inhabitants and accessibility of these places are at 24 their highest, so that the public in the city itself 25

2 can be educated and recognize the importance of these 3 sites.

To address your second point, I have not in my 4 practice done work in housing. However, I do have 5 experience in in renovations and adaptive reuse of 6 7 existing historic structures. I guess I could use the Astor Place Project for the Public Theater as an 8 9 example, where the original building, which was the first Astor Place, original New York City Public 10 11 Library, was saved and converted to be used as a 12 performing arts facility. So taking the building 13 from its original use, and giving it a new life with 14 a potentially new program is another way to save 15 structures, Nd it's something I have experience with. 16 I've also worked on projects where there's a 17 partnership between maybe a developer and a 18 performing arts institution that work together to 19 utilize the structure in the best way possible. The 20 large spaces in a lot of religious spaces are a huge 21 asset to the city, large span spaces as structural 2.2 spaces and they are valuable in the city and can be 23 utilized in other ways. Thank you. Thank you all.

25

2 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. And now we're 3 going to hear from Councilmember Selvena Brooks-4 Powers.

Hi. 5 COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: And thank you for your respective testimonies. I just have one 6 7 question for each. So for Mr. Chu, you have engaged 8 with commissioners and LPC staff in the past for 9 project applications. Can you talk about what you learned about LPC's work from those experiences? Do 10 11 you see ways LPC could improve its approach to working with Project applicants 12

13 In my past experiences engaging with MR. CHU: the staff and the Commission of LPC. I'd like to say 14 15 that it has been very effective. The communication 16 with the staff happens before we actually go and 17 engage with the Commission. And that answers a lot of 18 questions. There's a lot of communication. Feedback 19 is given early on, and opportunities for revisions 20 are done before presenting before the Commission. My experience has been very positive. I would say that 21 what I've learned and the experience that it is very 2.2 23 important that the applicant and their architect in presenting a proposal have worked out and there's an 24 understanding that the client has of the jurisdiction 25

and the protections that their structure may have,
and that their goals should be in line with that, and
that the mission of the LPC be respected and that
their comments be taken seriously in the design of
the actual proposal.

7 COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you. And for 8 Ms. Master. In your questionnaire you said you are 9 uniquely qualified to understand the perspectives of mom-and-pop businesses when they "undergo the process 10 11 of landmark designation or apply for alterations." What are the concerns the small businesses that the 12 LPC should consider and how should the LPC be 13 14 responsive to those concerns?

15 MS. MASTER: Thank you. I'm talking about Momand-Pop small businesses as well as private property 16 17 owners that might have their application under LPC 18 review. I think that doing business in New York 19 City, as much as it has many rewards, is also very 20 challenging. You know, part of it is a lot of the 21 processes that we go through whether they're DOE 2.2 regulations or LPC regulations. Because I work with 23 so many small businesses and private property owners, I feel that I understand their perspective. I 24 understand what they go through on a regular basis, 25

whether it's dealing with certain violations, whether it's dealing with, you know, certain zoning issues, any type of real estate issues. I feel that I can be knowledgeable and that I would serve to bridge the gap between LPC and the small businesses or the private property owners.

28

8 COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Thank you and for 9 Mr. Ginsberg. In your questionnaire you said that, "through your knowledge of housing, where 10 11 appropriate, you hope to encourage the much needed production of housing in New York City." Can you 12 talk more about what role the LPC can play in 13 14 encouraging housing production in New York? 15 MR. GINSBERG: Well, the first thing is, it's a 16 limited role, because it isn't directly what... our role is to define what's appropriate. And so whether 17 18 housing is appropriate or not, is really not the 19 direct question we're being asked to review. 20 However, there are a few answers. One is, as I said before, we have worked on a number of housing that 21 was landmark, or conversion, adaptive reuse, like PS 2.2 23 90, and preserving that housing and repairing it is very important. 24

2	The other thing and getting a bit to the question
3	of how the whole commission and staff works, I'd
4	first say that my experiences with both the staff and
5	commission have been very professional, and very
6	positive. However, there is a lot of work to do, it
7	often doesn't happen as quickly as possible. And I
8	hope we are appropriate to suggest ways to continue
9	the Commission's efforts to streamline things to make
10	things move faster, which is part of what will make
11	it easier to do housing.
12	COUNCILMEMBER BROOKS-POWERS: Just one follow up.
13	Also, you mentioned helping landmark buildings
14	appropriately meet the requirements of local law 97.
15	What are some of the challenges you see landmark
16	buildings facing in meeting those environmental
17	requirements? How can the LPC help buildings to meet
18	those challenges?
19	MR. GINSBERG: A few things. First of all, I was
20	on the implementation committee of the building
21	department for multifamily buildings. So have had a
22	lot of discussions on this, but a few things just to
23	flag: As we electrify because the concept is we
24	clean the grid and electrify, we will become a low
25	and then a zero carbon world there is equipment

2	that needs to be put on roofs, in yards, et cetera.
3	Figuring out how to do that the most appropriate way,
4	but figuring out how to do it is very important. The
5	other thing is most landmark buildings do not have to
6	meet the energy code. And as much as possible, they
7	should meet the energy code. And as part of
8	electrification, we need to figure out how to better
9	insulate buildings, to make them to lower their
10	load, so that we don't put too much of a strain on
11	the grid.
1.0	

And I could go on for a long time and give you a 12 lot of nerdy answers. But trying to look at all the 13 14 issues, understanding what the applicant is 15 proposing, and potentially other ways to do it, if 16 what they're proposing is not appropriate. I would 17 hopefully bring that knowledge to the Commission. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. I want to ask a 18 19 few questions as broad policy questions and then we'll go into some individual questions. The first 20 question is, you know, as Commissioner, you're going 21 to tie in from time and counter property owners who 2.2 23 have a concern or objective directly to a landmark designation, and those tend to be the most 24 25 controversial ones. When do you... in what way do

 considering the designated landmark site? MS. MASTER: That's a great question. So as if confirmed, as an LP Landmarks Preservation Commissioner, obviously our first priority is to uphold the mission of LPC, which is to protect and preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably, we will have to listen to the property owners. Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the property owner, while the property is under review, that the property owner comes out against landmarking. I think that there needs to be a lot of conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you 	2	you think those objections should take when
confirmed, as an LP Landmarks Preservation Commissioner, obviously our first priority is to uphold the mission of LPC, which is to protect and preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably, we will have to listen to the property owners. Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the property owner, while the property is under review, that the property owner comes out against landmarking. I think that there needs to be a lot of conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	3	considering the designated landmark site?
Commissioner, obviously our first priority is to uphold the mission of LPC, which is to protect and preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably, we will have to listen to the property owners. Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the property owner, while the property is under review, that the property owner comes out against landmarking. I think that there needs to be a lot of conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	4	MS. MASTER: That's a great question. So as if
<pre>1 uphold the mission of LPC, which is to protect and 18 preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably, 19 we will have to listen to the property owners. 10 Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the 11 property owner, while the property is under review, 12 that the property owner comes out against 13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you</pre>	5	confirmed, as an LP Landmarks Preservation
8 preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably, 9 we will have to listen to the property owners. 10 Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the 11 property owner, while the property is under review, 12 that the property owner comes out against 13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	6	Commissioner, obviously our first priority is to
9 we will have to listen to the property owners. 10 Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the 11 property owner, while the property is under review, 12 that the property owner comes out against 13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	7	uphold the mission of LPC, which is to protect and
10 Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the 11 property owner, while the property is under review, 12 that the property owner comes out against 13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	8	preserve these historical landmarks. And inevitably,
11 property owner, while the property is under review, 12 that the property owner comes out against 13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	9	we will have to listen to the property owners.
that the property owner comes out against landmarking. I think that there needs to be a lot of conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	10	Ideally, it would never get to that point, where the
13 landmarking. 14 I think that there needs to be a lot of 15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	11	property owner, while the property is under review,
It think that there needs to be a lot of conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	12	that the property owner comes out against
15 conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach 16 beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is 17 done by the agency staff discussing with the property 18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	13	landmarking.
beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	14	I think that there needs to be a lot of
done by the agency staff discussing with the property owner prior to it becoming under review. My understanding is that there are very few cases where the property owner is actually against the landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's a balance, it really is. You really don't necessarily want to landmark something where the owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	15	conversation beforehand. And a lot of outreach
<pre>18 owner prior to it becoming under review. My 19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you</pre>	16	beforehand. So that hopefully a lot of the work is
19 understanding is that there are very few cases where 20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	17	done by the agency staff discussing with the property
20 the property owner is actually against the 21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	18	owner prior to it becoming under review. My
<pre>21 landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's 22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you</pre>	19	understanding is that there are very few cases where
22 a balance, it really is. You really don't 23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	20	the property owner is actually against the
23 necessarily want to landmark something where the 24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	21	landmark landmarking at that process? I think it's
24 owner is against it. So my I would say that, you	22	a balance, it really is. You really don't
	23	necessarily want to landmark something where the
25	24	owner is against it. So my I would say that, you
1	25	

2	know, we have to do a lot of outreach and discussion
3	with the property owner ahead of time.
4	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: In my it was my first
5	year in the council, we had an example where there
6	was a property owner in direct objection to the
7	designation as a historic site. Should that be a
8	consideration for rejecting the application?
9	MS. MASTER: Again, I think it's really a case-
10	by-case basis. But, you know, our mission is really
11	to uphold, you know, landmark preservation. So if the
12	property justifies the designate if it's that
13	historically, culturally, architecturally
14	significant, I think in some cases, you do override
15	the property owner's objection.
16	MR. CHU: Yes. Very difficult question. Chair
17	powers, I would say that it really does depend on the
18	exact situation, the the importance of the
19	structure or the historic nature of the site, and all
20	the issues need to be considered with the community,
21	its surroundings and, and discussed and collaborated
22	with the content determination that is appropriate
23	there.
0.4	MD GINGDEDG, I would just add finat I lit

24 MR. GINSBERG: I would just add first, I did not 25 know either Stephen or Angie before we met a few days

2	ago, and it's been a pleasure getting to know them,
3	and having some of these discussions. And I would
4	expect that we will have these discussions with both
5	staff and other commissioners. There are a set of
6	rules we're supposed to follow. There's some
7	flexibility in the rules.

As an architect, I'm very used to following rules 8 of building codes, zoning resolution, etc, etc. At 9 the same time, I would want to listen to everyone, 10 11 the property owner, the neighbors, there are a number 12 of historic groups in the city that often testify, to come to as educated decision as possible. And one of 13 the things is, one would want to understand why the 14 15 property owner does not want to be landmarked. Is 16 there a valid reason that ties into the 17 appropriateness? Or is it just they don't want to be 18 landmark because they don't want to be landmarked? 19 And I agree with what both of my hoped-for colleagues 20 have already said.

21 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So just asking a followup 22 question to that. The reason folks do not really 23 don't want to be landmark does that adds a 24 considerable amount of regulation to their property 25 in any alteration or change to an individual house

2	that you live in, suddenly becomes an extra
3	regulatory hurdle? So I guess my question is: how do
4	you consider, just to Mr. Ginsburg in followup of
5	with your question: How do you then, as an agency,
6	or as a commission, as a commissioner on on the
7	LBC offer is there a route to offering those
8	individuals a path towards, you know, I don't want
9	say expedited approval or some other way because I
10	think that's the that's the main hurdle you run into
11	in those those situations.
12	MR. GINSBERG: I know there is an expedited
13	approval already set up. There's a big difference
14	between staff reviews and what goes to the
15	commission. And as I said before, having gone
16	through the process a number of times, I know that
17	the staff and commission have been working on making
18	things easier and particularly easier for people who
19	can't hire an architect like Stephen or I, who have a
20	single family house and want to paint their porch a
21	different color, that hopefully there can be make
22	things as clear as simple and then Is expedited as
23	possible within the framework of the law.
24	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks. Just moving back to
25	a question, Councilmember Brooks-Powers asked about
ļ	

16

17

18

19

20

the housing crisis?

2	housing, and Mr. Ginsburg, I know I heard your
3	answer. And I agree that it may not be in direct.
4	Now we're joined by Councilmember Salamanca as
5	well,. the Land Use Chair.
6	I understand that it may not be a direct question
7	about housing, but certainly historic neighborhoods,
8	and areas with a dense amount of landmarks do then
9	become areas and I have lots of them in my
10	district, myself that becomes harder to build
11	housing. Some of them are near of subway lines, good
12	schools, high opportunity districts, good jobs,
13	things like that.
14	So I think that the question is perhaps a
15	different question, which is how do you how do how do

you defend historic present preservation mandate of

housing in wealthier neighborhoods are talking about

how to build more housing here in the city and during

the LPC to those who are pushing for affordable

21 MR. GINSBERG: I'll... I'll start as the houser 22 in the group, I guess. The first answer is looking, 23 you know, for example, the PS 90 was over FAR. You 24 couldn't build that bigger building in the district. 25 And so by converting it to housing, you actually got

2	more housing than you would if you tore it down and
3	built a new building. There were also non-
4	contributing sites and in districts, vacant lots,
5	where the commission has to review the design, but
6	where I would hope to see as much housing as
7	possible. The affordability is not something we can
8	directly address. It's subsidies, if it's zoned
9	inclusionary, if there's an inclusionary zoning
10	component, but obviously any place where there could
11	be affordable housing, I would be very positive. And
12	as I indicated, there are some landmark NYCHA
13	developments which are very important, and other
14	affordable housing that are very important to
15	preserve. So they continue to be affordable housing.
16	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks. Just moving away
17	from policy for a second, I wanted to just talk about
18	a few questions more specific. So I'm just going to
19	direct those to who they made before. I guess the
20	first two would be for Mr. Chu and Mr. Ginsburg, who
21	you both have projects that could come through the
22	LPC in your business. Mr. Ginsburg, you and your
23	wife are co founders and majority partners in an
24	architect firm and Mr. Chu, you're a design lead at
25	your architecture firm. So, obviously, that comes
l	

with potential for projects that you're working on to 2 3 be at the so what measures and I think you might you 4 may some of you may have projects today that are going through LPC or in some part of the process. 5 So, what steps and measures are you going to take to 6 7 make sure that you have sufficient compliance and 8 prohibition with any conflicts of projects that may 9 be... that you may be working on that may make their way to the LPC? 10

11 MR. CHU: I could start. Just to remind I do 12 have a waiver as well from the investigations. The 13 projects that I have worked on are currently working 14 on that involve LPC I would no longer be involved 15 with, if I were appointed to the Commission. In my 16 firm, if we have any ongoing projects or future 17 projects that would involve LPC, I would recuse 18 myself from those hearings. So there's... there would 19 be no more further work for myself and any project 20 that involves LPC approval.

21 MR. GINSBERG: And similarly, based on conflict 22 of interest board guidance and review, I will recuse 23 myself from working on public or private projects 24 that involve landmark properties or districts, 25 meaning I will not be involved in the project and I

2 will not receive any income from the project. I have 3 two other relatively new partners will take over any 4 current and future work on landmark projects. My 5 partner spouse will also be limited on landmark 6 related projects.

7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks and I quess my second question is, you know, it's potentially possible that 8 9 you could be working on a project and then realize at some point in time that this was going to require the 10 11 Landmarks Preservation Commission, you know, at some point that it if... Well, I guess my question is 12 13 what sort of vetting and screening Do you have early 14 on in the process to understand whether the project 15 may interact with LPC at any point in time.

MR. CHU: If... if the project is a designated landmark I would not be Working on any project because such and if it was in in a landmark district that would also fall within similar guidelines. I would not be working on a project that would have to go through even...

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: So that's my question then follow up is what... what sort of compliance is in place at your firm to, to, at the outset of a project

2	to know that in the front, perhaps attending a
3	landmark district or historic district?
4	MR. CHU: I would not take on any such project.
5	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: But the question is
6	you're, you're answering the question a step later
7	than I'm asking it, which is: How does your firm
8	determine and will know that it is an historic
9	district that then may require going to the LPC for
10	approval and thereby knowing not to take the project.
11	MR GINSBERG: Let me try
12	MR. CHU: I just wanted to finish. Typically when
13	a project potential project comes to the firm, we
14	look at every implication that that project may have
15	and the approval process that it will require in
16	anything that might involve. So that's something we
17	would identify immediately.
18	MR. GINSBERG: And I just would add that when one
19	goes to the building department website, usually if
20	they have it right, which they do most of the time,
21	it will say "it's a landmark building, it's going to
22	have to go through landmark review." And as we're
23	preparing a proposal for a project that would flag I
24	couldn't work on it.
25	

2	And I would just also add, because I didn't say
3	this before, that if any projects of my firm become
4	come before the Commission, done by the other of my
5	partners, I will recuse myself.
6	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I want to move to Ms. Master
7	for second. Can you talk about your professional
8	experience representing LPC designated properties?
9	Well, can you start there?
10	MS. MASTER: Sure. I was involved in the
11	potential sale of a church that was landmarked. 190
12	Seabroth. It's in the Stapleton St. Paul's Avenue
13	Stapleton heights Historic District. It's a Greek
14	Revival style church. I represented the owner. The
15	church building was at that point used as an artist's
16	studio and residence. The potential purchaser was a
17	Brooklyn church who wanted to come in and relocate to
18	Staten Island. After a couple months of due
19	diligence and negotiation, the deal actually fell
20	apart. The reasons were (1) the building required
21	significant repairs, (2) the second floor sanctuary
22	was not large enough to accommodate the new
23	congregation, Nd it couldn't easily be expanded, and
24	(3) there were significant violations on the property
25	that the owner was unable or unwilling to cure. So

2 that's one of the properties that I've worked on. on 3 Staten Island. I worked on another property in 4 Manhattan leasing. It was 415 Broadway, between Broadway and canal in Tribeca. I was hired by 5 Walgreens to sublease some of their dark stores. 6 And 7 so I've worked in all five boroughs -- Brooklyn, Bronx, Manhattan, and Queens -- in addition to Staten 8 9 I was subleasing this former Duane Reade Island. It was landmarked. I think it was a former 10 store. 11 Bank building. It's pretty iconic. Actually. It's 12 right next to the 4/5/6 subway stop. And in the process of we actually found a sub tenant and we had 13 14 a lease going. And then we discovered there were 15 violations on the property that we had to clear and I 16 was fortunate enough to have an LPC violation on this 17 property. So I do have experience in working with an architect to clear the violation. There were cast 18 19 iron gates along the Broadway side that had been 20 removed without permission. So we did have to engage 21 in architectural firm, order custom iron gates, go through LPC review, and then get approval and then 2.2 23 finally install the gates. CHAIRPERSON POWERS: And I know the building ... 24

25 the Walgreens you're talking about. It's a very nice

2	building. Did that require you having to go to LPC
3	or was that you were the realtor on that project and
4	then there was somebody doing the
5	MS. MASTER: So I was a realtor. And so I was
6	just in charge of clearing the violations prior to
7	leasing it. LPC violation was one of several
8	violations we had. We also had a fire alarm
9	violation. So we engaged with an architectural firm
10	who helped us you know, go through the process of
11	clearing the LPC violation.
12	One thing I want to note here is that as much as
13	we say that, you know, there's an additional layer of
14	expense and time going through the LPC process, the
15	LPC getting the cast iron gate and getting it
16	approved did take several months. But the thing that
17	took additional time was actually our fire alarm
18	violation. That took I think eight months so that
19	took longer than our than clearing our LPC
20	violation.
21	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks. And have you had
22	to in other in any other instances or have you
23	appeared directly before the LPC?
24	MS. MASTER: I have not. That would be more of
25	an architectural. I think duty.

CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. I believe in your pre-written questions, before the hearing you mentioned being a supporter of the Historic House Trust NYC. Can you tell us about your experience your involvement with that institution, and how you have been involved with them?

MS. MASTER: The Historic House Trust is... is 8 9 just an organization that I've supported. I've gone to their gala over a number of years. And that's been 10 11 my primary association with them. I'm more, I quess, heavily involved on some of the Staten-Island-based 12 13 organizations like Historic Richmond Town, and Snug 14 Harbor. Those are the ones that I'm a little bit more 15 hands on with.

16 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: =Got it. Okay. It just on 17 the resume, I noticed that a few which is okay, but 18 there's a few year gaps in the resume. Can you just 19 talk to us about that?

20 MS. POWERS: Sure. So, previously, before real 21 estate, I was in marketing, I worked at Nabisco, Avon 22 and Schering Plough health care products. I left 23 marketing to raise my children. And so during that 24 interim I did odds and ends and then when I came

2 back, after raising the kids, that's when I went into 3 real estate.

4 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: How old are your kids?
5 MS. MASTER: 23 and 25. And yesterday morning at
6 5am. I sent them back to California

7 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, congratulations or my8 condolences, whichever one that deserves.

9 Do we have... Councilmember Salamanca, do you10 have any questions? Yes? Go ahead.

11 COUNCILMEMBER SALAMANCA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12 Good morning for someone who gradually all three of
13 you on your nominations for the LPC.

14 I just have two basic questions. Every year when 15 we when we are negotiating the budget, we meet with 16 all city agencies, and LPC, they, they fall under the Land Use... My committee on Land Use. There's a 17 18 grant program that the federal government provides to 19 LPC to help landmarks... buildings that may need some 20 capital improvements. And historically, we see that the LPC does not do a really good job in reaching out 21 2.2 to the community to inform them that these resources 23 are available to them to help with any capital improvements. What can you do as commissioners to 24 ensure that the chair and the executive director are 25

2 actually reaching out to communities to ensure that 3 these funds are available to them?

MR. GINSBERG: I'll go ahead. So as I understand 4 it, that would be much more of the role of the staff 5 and the chair. I will be a open to thoughts, 6 7 comments, etc. From both... from individuals, from 8 council members, etc. I think that typically gets --9 and I'd also add community boards -- gets through the Chair and the staff. But it obviously is very 10 11 important and there is, as mentioned, there is potential funding for landmark buildings which we 12 should encourage building owners to take advantage of 13 14 to defray the costs of what is required of the 15 landmark building.

COUNCILMEMBER SALAMANCA: What I'm asking is, you 16 know, as Chairs... I'm sorry, as board members of 17 18 LPC, you do have oversight over what the staff does. 19 And so, you know, just to see that, you know, this is 20 brought to your attention, and there's an effort made from the staff there to ensure that historic 21 districts have access to these funds. I have what's 2.2 23 called the Longwood Historic District where we have a building's over 100 years old, and they are in 24 disrepair and... and my there are having a difficult 25

2 time with capital improvements because of the higher 3 cost to improve their buildings because they are 4 landmarked.

46

5 And then finally -- and this has happened to me 6 twice and I know it's happened to other council 7 members -- there are times when LPC is recommending 8 to landmark a specific building, but the owner of the 9 building is not in favor of landmarking it. What is 10 your position should this situation come and you must 11 take action on it?

MR. CHU: We reviewed this before I think before 12 13 your presence, but I think what answering that 14 question would be we would as a commission really 15 have to look at all the issues involved in a specific 16 case. If the owner is expressing hardship for some 17 reason what that is and what the implications of that 18 space are, or that site or to the community and 19 surroundings. They all need to be weighed and I 20 think they all parties need to collaborate communicate about the issues involved. So it's very 21 specific to the case. And I think that hardship is 2.2 23 something that's serious and needs to be discussed amongst all parties. 24

2 COUNCILMEMBER SALAMANCA: Can I can I get a 3 statement from each and every one?

4 MS. MASTER: Yes, yeah. Yes, I and I agree with 5 what you're saying. The first question that you said about hardship, and that there are a lot of these 6 7 landmarks, buildings that need funding. And it's 8 important to get the word out. I'm, you know, the 9 Staten Island representative. And I would work hard. Even though that information is really the 10 11 responsibility of the LPC agency staff, I would work hard as if confirmed as a commissioner to get the 12 13 word out within my community that those funds are 14 available. And I think that's important.

15 Regarding the property owner who doesn't want 16 their... their property landmarked, I think that's 17 very, it's a very difficult position. As I had told, Chair powers before, it would be a wonderful to do a 18 19 lot of the work upfront, so that we are not in that 20 type of position, do a lot of the education into the 21 discussion upfront. But if that were to arise, I 2.2 think you just have to listen to all the stakeholders 23 and talk to the property owner and try and figure out, you know, what their specific objections are, 24

2 and see if there's any way that we could work with 3 them to allay some of those concerns.

MR. GINSBERG: And I, first of all, don't want to 4 repeat what my colleagues have said, and agree with 5 what they've said. The first thing is there a set of 6 7 rules that we follow. And so to some degree that 8 pushes us in a direction. We want to hear from 9 everyone, not only the property owner, the neighbors, the community, Ut the other thing is, why is the 10 11 person saying they don't want to be designated? Are 12 there valid reasons like the building really isn't that historic? Is it just they don't want to because 13 14 of financial reasons, or so on so we can understand 15 and address them. Obviously, without the specifics, 16 it's very hard to talk about any individual. But you 17 want to take into account what the owners say, but 18 you also have to follow the rules.

19 COUNCILMEMBER SALAMANCA: All right. Thank you.
20 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good luck, and
21 congratulations.

22 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thank you. And just a 23 final... Thank you, Councilmember Salamanca. A 24 question that I get to ask sometimes of us is our 25 origin in comes up in sort of conversation is:

Should the Landmark Preservation Commission's mission 2 3 be expanded to include more than just architectural 4 features are certainly sites here that have cultural 5 relevance to the city of New York but maybe don't architecturally fit into criteria for being 6 7 designated, but still have significance to the city? So I guess my question is, how would you see amending 8 9 changing or expanding the mission of the LPC in any 10 way?

MR. CHU: In terms of designation, I personally had no experience prior experience to that. That is something I hope to learn if I'm appointed to the commission. But I do think that there are lots of buildings that have... are contributing in some way that are currently designated and could be...

17 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: You said are not currently? 18 MR. CHU: That are not currently that are not 19 currently, and as a practicing architect who worked 20 on several projects of buildings that are not landmark, but are architecturally significant, and 21 there's value in keeping. I know that's not directly 2.2 23 related to your question, but I think it is important to recognize that often keeping is better than 24 demolishing building new, and deserving cases where 25

2	they're not designated where a client may decide that
3	there is economy and keeping the existing structure
4	if it's beautiful, and then and and renovating as
5	opposed to the waste involved.
6	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: I think what I'm asking is
7	there are you know, iconic New York City
8	institutions, businesses I don't know. A venue.
9	Things that are sort of important to the cultural
10	fabric of the city but don't have necessarily an
11	architectural case for being landmarked, or maybe,
12	maybe a difficult uphill argument, but yet still New
13	Yorkers might want to see those preserved.
14	MR. CHU: In all five boroughs.and doesn't
15	specifically prioritize any, any portion.
16	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Agreed. What I'm asking is
17	should the should should the agency's mission
18	include? Yes? Okay.
19	MS. LEE: So I think that's interesting. I think
20	what you're saying is other than other traditional
21	maybe architectural values should, LPC landmark other
22	types of sites. And I think that they are. And I
23	would point to the recent designation of Julius Bar.
24	And then the Ocklawaha archaeological site that's
25	actually in Staten Island. And that's more of a
Į	•

historical archaeological site, honoring 8000 years 2 3 of occupation by indigenous people. So I think Chair 4 Powers perhaps we are moving in that direction, but that you're saying that we have to be broader in our 5 definition of exploring different types of landmarks. 6 7 MR. GINSBERG: It is already, as I understand it, 8 part of the Commission's assignment. A classic 9 example of this watch, I'll be told it had architectural significance is the Stonewall Inn, 10 11 which is landmarked because it is critical, iconic 12 for the whole gay movement. But there are also lots 13 of ways to note history. One of the best examples I know is, I can't pronounce quite right the 14 15 Stolpersteen in Berlin and other parts of Germany, 16 where to memorialize people taken for the Holocaust, 17 there is a small metal plaque with their name when 18 they were taken when they were died in front of where 19 they lived . And this is so as you walk around German 20 cities, particularly Berlin, you start to realize the 21 magnitude of what happened in a way that I think is 2.2 very moving and is important.

23 So what's historically and culturally significant 24 in a neighborhood may have less to do with the 25 aesthetics or style of the building to in which case

2	preservation of history may be more about signage,
3	artwork or music. So in those cases, it's important
4	to determine what within the built environment
5	supports the history to be clear about what should be
6	preserved. But I think it definitely is part of the
7	mission of the commission and is a very important
8	part of what we hopefully will be doing.
9	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Thanks for those answers. I
10	appreciate them. Ms. Master you're from Staten
11	Island, which now that Councilmember Borelli is gone,
12	I can say I'm a big fan of Staten Island, but I would
13	not admit that in front of him.
14	MS. MASTER: I can understand why. Knowing
15	Councilman Borelli, I can understand why you wouldn't
16	want to say that in front of him.
17	CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Okay, we're good friends.
18	But but obviously gets lost in the conversation a
19	lot when we talk about the city. And also obviously,
20	I'm being a Manhattanite similar to Mr. Ginsberg, I
21	do have a Manhattan-centric view of the world. And
22	I'm very proud of it. But, But of course, you know,
23	we do have a responsibility, make sure that boroughs
24	are all treated fairly and equally. How do you think
25	Landmarks Preservation Commission is doing with
l	

2	respect to your borough right now? And what would you
3	like to see happen, particularly in Staten Island?
4	MS. MASTER: Thank you so much for that question.
5	So Staten Island actually has by far the fewest
6	number of landmarked sites relative to the other
7	boroughs, which doesn't make sense to me, because in
8	terms of landmass, I believe that we're like, second
9	or third. And we also have a very long history, you
10	know, which the Dutch settling in the 1660s. So we
11	certainly have a lot of sites that have potential for
12	landmarks. I just don't think we've had necessarily
13	the attention that Manhattan and Brooklyn has had.
14	And one might even argue that in some circles on
15	Staten Island, there isn't the enthusiasm for
16	landmarking that you might have in let's say,
17	Manhattan and Brooklyn. I think the opportunity is
18	there. And I think the way to do it is to do a lot
19	more education and outreach. I think especially in
20	the case of Staten Island, the education and outreach
21	is even more important because once you, I think, let
22	people know about the landmarks that you have, and
23	the benefits and the importance of landmarks, I think
24	you can slowly try to gain more acceptance. But if
25	confirmed, that's definitely my priority to bring

2 Staten Island to the forefront of looking at more 3 potential sites.

4 CHAIRPERSON POWERS: Got it. Thank you. I'm going 5 to end my questions there. I want to thank you guys 6 for your testimony, and I appreciate you taking time 7 to answer questions from us and colleagues as well. 8 There's a lot happening in the City Council as people 9 are running kind of back and forth today. And that's 10 where I'm headed shortly.

11 Congratulations to all of you on your 12 nominations. And we'll certainly be following up with 13 any additional questions. And I appreciate you guys 14 taking the time with us and Happy New Year. Thank 15 you. And with that, this hearing is adjourned. 16 [GAVEL]

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

CERTIFICATE

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date 01/12/2023