CITY COUNCIL CITY OF NEW YORK

----- X

TRANSCRIPT OF THE MINUTES

Of the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

----- X

January 5, 2023 Start: 10:24 a.m. Recess: 1:08 p.m.

250 BROADWAY - COMMITTEE ROOM, 14TH HELD AT:

FLOOR

B E F O R E: Kevin C. Riley, Chairperson

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Shaun Abreu Erik D. Bottcher David M. Carr Kamillah Hanks Farah N. Louis Francisco P. Moya Lynn C. Schulman

Julie Won

Lincoln Restler

APPEARANCES

Allison Curreri, Greenberg Traurig, LLC

David West, Hill West Architects

Patrick Taylor, Shorewood Real Estate Group

Vivian Krieger, Cozen O'Connor

Rabbi Mark Kaiserman, Reform Temple of Forest Hills

Andrew Kimler

Seth Diamond

Lior Evan

Steve Strauss

Marcia Parness

Frank St. Jacques, Akerman LLP

Joe Vultaggio, Owner, Ford Lincoln of Queens

John Starks, Owner, John Starks Kia

Michael Naclerio, Owner, Ford Lincoln of Queens

Nicholas Dippolito, Business Agent of United Service Workers Union Local 355 IUJAT

Michael Diguiseppe, Representative of Local 259 United Auto Workers Union

Rosamond Giantos

Laura Shepard

A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED)

Reilly Owens

Mary Catherine Madden

Janet Ryan

Thomas Ryan

Rita Mantin

Steven Bodzin

Caroline Spitzer

Cristina Furlong

Jean Collie

Richard Lobel, Sheldon Lobel PC

Yoel Horowitz, applicant

Lewis Garfinkel, Garfinkel Architects

Justin Donlon, Community Affairs Specialist for the Division of Government Affairs at Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Hallah Saleh, Deputy Director of Brooklyn Planning at Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Lee Ilan, Chief of Planning at the Mayor's Office of Environmental Remediation

SERGEANT LEWIS: Good morning. Today's hearing is on Zoning and Franchise. Today's date is January 5, 2023. The location is the 14th Floor Committee Room. Recorded by Walter Lewis.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Good morning and welcome to the Subcommittee on Zoning and Franchise.

At this time, we ask that you place phones on vibrate or silent mode. Thank you for your cooperation.

Chair, we are ready to begin.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: [GAVEL] Happy New
Year, everyone. Thank you, Sergeant. Good morning and
welcome to a meeting of the Subcommittee of Zoning
and Franchises. I am Council Member Kevin Riley,
Chair of the Subcommittee. Happy New Year, everyone.
This is the first meeting this year. Glad everyone is
here.

Before I begin, I would like to wish my fraternity, Kappa Alpha Psi fraternity, a happy 112-year anniversary today so I just wanted to wish my fraternity that.

Now to begin, this morning I am joined by Council Member Lynn Schulman, Council Member Carr,

2.2

2.3

Council Member Hanks, Council Member Abreu, and Chair Louis.

Today, we will hold public hearings for three rezoning proposals in Queens, one rezoning proposal in Brooklyn, and four in the Empire State Development Cooperation Grant to be awarded also in Brooklyn.

Before we begin, I recognize the Subcommittee Counsel to review the hearing procedures.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Thank you, Chair Riley, and Happy New Year. I am Angelina Martinez-Rubio, Counsel to the Subcommittee. This meeting is being held in hybrid format.

Members of the public who wish to testify may testify in person or via Zoom. Members of the public wishing to testify remotely may register by visiting the New York City Council website at www.council.nyc.gov/landuse to sign up or for those of you here in this room, 14th Floor, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker card. Members of the public may also view a livestream broadcast of this meeting at the Council's website.

2.2

2.3

When you are called to testify before the Subcommittee if you're joining us remotely, you will remain muted until recognized by the Chair or I to speak. When the Chair or I recognizes you, your microphone will be unmuted so please make sure that your device is on and confirm that your mic is on before you begin speaking.

We will limit today public testimony to two minutes per witness, but if you have additional testimony you would like the Subcommittee to consider or if you would like to submit testimony instead of appearing here before the Subcommittee today, you may email it to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Please indicate the LU number and/or project name in the subject line of your email.

We request that witnesses joining us remotely to remain in the meeting until excused by the Chair or I as Council Members may have questions.

Finally, there will be pauses over the course of this hybrid reasons for various technical reasons, and we ask that you please be patient as we work through any issues.

Chair Riley will now continue with today's agenda items.

2.2

2.3

will now open the public hearing on LUs 155 relating to the 97-27 57th Avenue Commercial Overlay proposal in Council Member Moya's District in Queens. This application seeks a zoning map amendment to map a C2-4 Overlay within an existing R6A/C1-2 and R6B zoning district.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online, and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse. Once again, for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to prepare a speaker's card.

Counsel, can we please call the first panel for this item?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Can we just have the panel for 57th Avenue come up to the front. The panel will be Allison Curreri, Patrick Taylor, and David West.

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO:

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Counsel, please administer the affirmation.

Panelists, can you please raise your right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and in your answers to all Council Member questions?

ALLISON CURRERI: I do.

DAVID WEST: I do.

PATRICK TAYLOR: I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Now, the applicant panel team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

ALLISON CURRERI: Good morning, Chair
Riley, Council Members. My name is Allison Curreri of
Greenberg Traurig, LLP, land use counsel for the
applicant. We have with us here today Patrick Taylor
from Shorewood Real Estate Group representing the
applicant and David West from Hill West Architects.

I'll give the initial presentation and then our
entire team will be available to take questions.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

This is an application to facilitate the expansion and modernization of the existing Food

Bazaar Supermarket at 9727 57th Avenue, Queens Block

1906, Lot 1 by mapping a C2 Commercial Overlay over
the entire property while leaving the existing

underlying residential zoning districts in place.

Next slide, please.

Here you can see the site's location in context. It occupies the 57th Avenue blockfront between 97th Place and 98th Street just north of LeFrak City and near a number of shopping centers. Next slide, please.

The property is owned by Bogopa, the operator of the Food Bazaar chain of supermarkets across the tri-state area. The supermarket has been in the neighborhood for nearly 60 years, and the owner would like to rebuild it with a larger, more modern supermarket so that it can continue to serve the neighborhood going forward. Bogopa has brought in Shorewood Real Estate Group as a partner in the proposed redevelopment in the site. The supermarket was built in 1962 pursuant to a BSA Variance that had a 25-year term. The Variance was needed because the site was in an R6 district in which no commercial

2 uses are permitted. Because of the term limit for the

3 Variance, it has had to be extended numerous times

4 and is currently set to expire in less than five

5 years. Next slide.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Since the Variance was granted, a Commercial Overlay was mapped on the portion of the site within 100 feet of 57th Avenue. This is only about 20,000 square feet of the site's approximately 34,000 square feet of lot area so the Variance is still necessary for the approximately 80-foot-deep portion of the supermarket that is located in the residential district beyond the Overlay. This application proposes to establish a new C2-4 Commercial Overlay over the entire property within 185 feet of the northside of 57th Avenue on the west half of the block and within 160 feet of 57th Avenue on the east half of the block. The existing R6A and R6B zoning districts underlying the Commercial Overlay would not change. The extension of the Commercial Overlay would enable a new larger supermarket to be built without obtaining an amendment to the BSA Variance. The proposed Commercial Overlay would not impact the maximum permitted floor area on the site of approximately

2.2

2.3

88,400 square feet. Since the property is now built to well below such maximum floor area, the owner determined that a new development with an expanded supermarket should also utilize the property's permitted floor area by providing residential units above the new supermarket. The residential component and proposed building envelope is entirely as-of-right under today's zoning, and the proposed zoning change to establish the new Commercial Overlay would just allow the owner to have a larger store. Next slide.

The existing store was built almost 60 years ago and has old and inefficient fixtures and mechanical systems. The store has undergone very minimal updates since it was built and, given the uncertainty of the limited term of the Variance, is a challenge for ownership to invest in upgrades. Next slide.

The store's existing floorplate is about 22,000 square feet, about a quarter of which is back-of-house and storage space, all on the ground floor. The supermarket has an open parking area along the 98th Street side of the site with 21 parking spaces and an open loading area. The trash is also located

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 within the unenclosed loading dock area. Currently,

3 customer vehicles enter the surface parking lot on

4 57th Avenue, immediately adjacent to an existing bus

5 stop and exit on 98th Street using the same curb cut

6 that delivery trucks utilize to access the loading

7 dock, and we heard from discussions with the

8 Community Board that there are existing safety

9 issues, and we agree with the location of the

10 supermarket entrance on 57th Avenue immediately

11 | adjacent to that bus stop. Next slide, please.

In our proposed ground floor layout, the main supermarket customer entrances would be located on 57th Avenue with the residential entrance located around the corner on 98th Street. Loading and trash would be enclosed and would be located on 98th Street. We think the enclosed condition of the loading and trash would greatly improve odor and vermin conditions. The entrance and exit to the supermarket parking, which would be located in the cellar, would be moved to 97th Place. We think moving the parking entrance off of 57th Avenue and away from the bus stop will be safer for pedestrians and will ease congestion at the busy corner of 57th Avenue and 98th Street and that separating the supermarket

2.2

2.3

Next slide.

parking from both the loading and the trash area will improve the customer experience and prevent delivery truck interference with customers' vehicle circulation. Lastly, a residential parking garage would also be provided, and it would be on the second floor of the building with its entrance and exit on 98th Street in the current location of the supermarket parking entrance. Because of the reduced traffic patterns of residential vehicles as compared to supermarket vehicle trips, we think this would reduce trips on the 98th Street side of the site.

Based on feedback that we received from the Community Board, we made changes to the plans to increase the number of supermarket accessory parking spaces as compared to our filed application. While our filed application showed 25 supermarket parking spaces, we now propose to excavate the entire cellar level and increase the number of supermarket parking spaces to 46. The supermarket garage would be self-park and free to supermarket customers. Next slide.

The store has undergone very minimal updates since it was built, and the proposed action would allow Food Bazaar to have an expanded and

2 modernized store. The illustrative images on the

3 screen are from a recently constructed Food Bazaar at

4 Bronx Terminal Market. In keeping with these newer

5 Food Bazaar stores, the market would have an expanded

6 product selection, particularly for fresh produce,

7 | meat, and seafood, much more energy-efficient

fixtures, and improved waste management systems. Next

9 slide.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

As shown on this chart comparing the current store to the proposed store, the new store would have a larger floorplate on the ground floor, allowing for an increase in the size of the sales floor by about 5,000 square feet, which is about a 30 percent increase over the current sales floor, and would also add about 8,000 square feet of new backof-house space in the cellar, nearly doubling the total amount of existing storage area. As I noted, the applicant proposed to provide 46 parking spaces and so while the size of the selling space in the supermarket is increasing by approximately 30 percent, the number of supermarket parking spaces will be more than doubled which we think will greatly improve the customer's ability to find parking for this store. Next slide.

maximum permitted floor area of about 88,400 square feet, about 26,400 of which would be the commercial floor area, not including the cellar, and the rest of which would be residential above with 78 dwelling units. In addition to the supermarket parking and the cellar with having 46 spaces, the building would also have 45 residential accessory parking spaces on the second floor of the building, which is equal to almost 60 percent of the units, exceeding the minimum required by zoning. Next slide.

To provide additional context for this application, the architect has prepared an illustrative massing for the as-of-right building that would be developed. These images show the proposed massing for the building in context with LeFrak City to the south and the lower density residential buildings to the north. The proposed massing would comply with all floor area and bulk requirements within the existing R6A and R6B zoning districts, which again wouldn't be changed by the proposed rezoning, including the maximum height limitation of 75 feet for the six-story portion in

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

the R6A district and a maximum of 55 feet in the R6B district. Next slide.

As you can see from this angle, in keeping with the existing zoning that has a higher density along 57th Avenue and a lower density in the rear, the building would step down going from 57th Avenue towards the lower scale buildings to its north. Next slide.

In our discussions with Council Member

Moya, he has stressed the need for community space in
this area. The applicant controls the site
immediately adjacent to the supermarket on 97th Place
shown here in red and has committed to constructing a
new two-story community center thereon. Next slide.

We've prepared some illustrative images of the community center here, and the next slide shows another potential illustrative image. Next slide. Thanks. This would be an ADA-compliant two-story building containing about 2,700 gross square feet with a private backyard as shown on the plans.

Next slide will show the layout of the building and the rear yard. The space would be rented at a reduced rate. While a tenant has not yet been determined for this space, Council Member Moya has raised the

possibility that this may be a good location for the relocated Queens Public Library LeFrak City Branch to reopen, and we are looking forward to further

5 discussions to determine if this space would

6 accommodate their program needs.

2.2

2.3

Thank you for your attention, and we are happy to take any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Allison. I just have a few questions for the applicant team regarding this application before I toss it over to Council Member Moya.

How long would the existing supermarket likely be closed during construction of the proposed project and how far is the next supermarket from this location?

PATRICK TAYLOR: Thank you. My name is

Patrick Taylor. I'm with Shorewood Real Estate Group,

the applicant. The downtime for the construction

period for the supermarket will be about 24 to 30

months. That would happen after the predevelopment

and design period is completed so we wouldn't

anticipate any store closure for at least two years

from now. The nearest Food Bazaar store is about five

blocks away on Junction Boulevard in LeFrak City.

for us to commit to very specific commitments, but

2 | we've been studying the site both from a

1

3 sustainability perspective and a resiliency

4 perspective. As far as sustainability is concerned,

5 the building is likely to be all electric or nearly

6 all electric. It would have a highly efficient

7 building envelope. We're certainly considering green

8 roofs and solar arrays on a site like this, and we

9 | would be putting in at least a minimum 20 percent of

10 | the parking spaces to have charging station

11 | capability. As far as resiliency is concerned, we

12 understand there have been some flash precipitation

13 | events in the neighborhood. We don't believe they've

14 affected this site specifically so we do need to

15 study that a little bit further, but there are a

16 | number of common-sense measures that we will employ.

17 | Among them, the green roof, an oversized detention

18 | tank, elevating the RPZ valve to the ground floor

19 rather than putting it in the cellar, and we've

20 | arranged the parking ramps so that the ramp that

21 | leads up to the second floor is at the low point of

22 | the site so there's a natural protection there, and

23 \parallel the ramp that leads down into the cellar would be at

24 | the high point of the site and could even be crowned

25 \parallel a little bit close to the street to keep water from

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Moya.

2 getting in the cellar. We would also study our door

3 locations and make sure they're all at the highest

4 points they can be for the spaces they're located in,

5 and to the maximum extent possible locate our

6 residential mechanical systems above grade. I do want

7 to emphasize this is not a flood zone or any sort of

8 | specific flood hazard area. These are just common-

9 sense measures that we're employing pretty much for

10 any site these days. As we get a little further into

11 design these days, the buildings we're designing tend

12 to be very sustainable, both because of things that

13 | you have done to create incentives and Local Laws

14 | that we appreciate and are incorporating into our

15 | buildings and also because that's become a marketable

16 aspect of this type of architecture.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. I'd just like to state for the record we've been joined by Council Member Moya online and Council Member Restler. I would now like to turn it over to Council Member Moya to ask his questions. Council Member

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you, Chair. I apologize but my video can't come on right now, but I just have very brief questions here. One is is the

6 equivalent program in place? Is this a discussion

7 | that you're willing to have?

2.2

2.3

PATRICK TAYLOR: Hi. Good morning,

Councilman. Yes, as you know when this project
started, 421A, affordable housing program, was in
place and the intention was to proceed with
affordability in this development pursuant to that
program. As most of us know, that program has lapsed
and not been reinstated. At this time, there is no
appetite to proceed with a redevelopment here that
includes multi-family component without some level of
affordability and some level of tax abatement. It's
really not a feasible development as purely marketrate so yes, absolutely, that's something that we
intend to include in the redevelopment here. When
that will be is another question.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Thank you. Can you go back to the number again of how much of the floor area could be for the community facility under the proposed zoning?

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

ALLISON CURRERI: As designed, based on
the size of the adjacent site and the required rear
yard, a two-story building we think would have about
2,700 gross square feet.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Got it. Okay. I know that we have talked in regards, and you mentioned this in your presentation, about Queens Public Library. We just want to make sure that we can advance those conversations sooner rather than later.

ALLISON CURRERI: Absolutely. I don't think there's any problem with that.

COUNCIL MEMBER MOYA: Great. Thank you. Thank you so much and thank you, Chair, for the opportunity to ask questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council Member Moya. We've also been joined by my Colleague, Council Member Erik Bottcher.

I will now invite my Colleagues to ask any questions. Do we have any Council Members with questions?

There being no further questions, the applicant panel is excused.

2.2

2 Counsel, are there any members of the 3 public who wish to testify on the 97-27 57th Avenue

4 proposal remotely or in-person?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we don't have any witnesses signed up online, but if there's anyone here in person that wants to testify on this item please come forward and fill out a speaker card with one of the Sergeants.

Looks like we have no one so we can go ahead and close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members of the public who wish to testify on LU 155 relating to the 97-27 57th Avenue Proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the item is laid over.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 160 and 161 relating to the Reform Temple of Forest Hills Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Schulman's District in Queens. This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing R1-2A zoning district to an R7D zoning district and the related zoning text amendment to map an MIH program area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 visiting the Council's website at

3 council.nyc.gov/landuse.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Once again, for anyone with us in-person, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

I would now like to toss over to Council Member Schulman to give remarks before the applicant panel comes up. Council Member Schulman.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Thank you very much. I am proud to continue to support the development of affordable housing in my district, and I am especially pleased to see the development of new affordable homes and ensure the continuing presence and growth of such an important institution to the community as the Reform Temple of Forest Hills. Established in 1994, the Reform Temple of Forest Hills was formed through the coming together of four congregations. Since its founding, it has played an increasingly important role in the community and has been asset to Forest Hills Queens for nearly three decades. The proposed development will ensure that it will continue its important work in a more accessible and sustainable facility for years to come while providing much needed affordable housing.

viewing public, if you need an accessible version of

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2 this presentation, please send an email request to

3 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov.

Now the applicant team may begin.

Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask that you please restate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

VIVIAN KRIEGER: Good morning, Council
Members. Vivian Krieger of Cozen O'Connor for the
applicant. Thank you to Chair Riley and thank you
very much to Council Member Schulman for that
wonderful introduction. If we could get the
presentation up. Well, you guys have the print-out so
I'll just go ahead.

The application before you is for the proposed rezoning of the Reform Temple of Forest

Hills located at 7111 112th Street in Queens

Community District 6. Both the Community Board and

Borough President recommended approving this project,

and the City Planning Commission voted unanimously in

favor the project. I will walk you through a short

presentation and then turn it over to Rabbi Mark

Kaiserman. The project architect is also here and

available to answer questions. Next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

The applications seeks to rezone the site to an R7D zoning district along with the corresponding text amendment to map the area for MIH. This would allow the proposed building which would rise nine stories before setting back for the 10th floor and would step down along 71st Road and would enable the Temple to move into a new ADA-compliant space at the same location while providing residential units above. Next slide.

This is a different view where we can see the green roofs at all levels as well as neighborhood context. Next.

At an open house we held at the Temple for the congregants and the neighbors and at the Community Board, we received feedback about the importance of enlivening the ground floor experience to keep in context with the green spaces in the neighborhood. This rendering shows you not only the building with the Temple entrance on 71st but some of our preliminary ideas on how to green the ground floor. As you can see here, we're beginning to play around with the idea of including some setbacks and articulations at the ground floor, which, go to the next slide, we can see on the lower lefthand corner.

2.2

2.3

The Temple's new 16,600-square-foot facility will be a fully accessible ground floor condominium owned by the Reform Temple with its own entrance on 71st Road and would include outdoor space at the ground floor level as well as on the second floor. The ground floor will also have the residential entrance and parking entrance along 112th Street. The project would require 60 parking spaces. Initially, we contemplated including additional parking spaces but in response to Council Member Schulman and others, we would only provide the required number of parking spaces and use any additional space for additional bicycle parking. EV chargers will also be provided.

Next slide, please.

There will be nine floors of residential space, approximately 153 units. The project would utilize MIH Option 1 and would require 38 units to be permanently affordable. In response to Council Member Schulman and others, we are happy to say that we would be able to provide an additional five units of affordable housing at 60 percent AMI. The applicant is committed to a goal of hiring M/WBE and local firms. Not only is the Reform Temple of Forest Hills, but the developer, Werber, is a family-owned Queens

developer and property manager. Ben Werber is the
next generation of leadership and take M/WBE and
local hiring very seriously. Although we're very
early in the stage of this project, Werber has worked
with a number of M/WBE and local firms on recent
projects in Queens including 7117 Roosevelt Avenue.

Next slide, please.

2.2

2.3

The apartment building will have amenity space for all of the residents including outdoor spaces on the roof. This is an example from that project on Roosevelt Avenue. Next slide.

The applicant was asked to provide greater consideration for site drainage by going beyond the DOB and DEP minimum requirements. The applicant will be providing additional measures. They will be installing roof drains that will control the flow of stormwater so as to not overflow the city's storm sewer system. In conjunction with the roof drains, they will be installing either rooftop retention or detention tanks to capture the stormwater. The green roof will be designed to retain stormwater through permeable materials and native plantings consisting of flood— and drought—tolerant species. They will be providing enlarged tree pits

along the property frontages and will be maximizing
planted areas on the site, which is all beneficial
for stormwater uptake, and they are looking at
permeable pavers for any open areas at grade on the

site that cannot accommodate planting. Within the

7 building itself, they will be installing low-flow

8 toilets.

2.2

2.3

I'll turn it over to Rabbi Mark Kaiserman.

RABBI MARK KAISERMAN: Good morning, Chair Riley and Council Members. My name is Mark Kaiserman. I am the Rabbi at the Reform Temple of Forest Hills. Thank you for the opportunity to speak today.

The Reform Temple of Forest Hills has
been part of the Forest Hills community for 28 years.

I have been privileged to be the Rabbi there for 10
years following the founding Rabbi Mayer Perelmuter.

In these decades, we have strived to be an active
part of the neighborhood supporting our nearly 300member families and the community around us. Our
current building was constructed in 1964 and was not
built to last beyond six decades. At almost 60 years
old, the building requires constant costly repair. In
addition, the structure lacks modern technology that

2 | will allow us to teach our kids and lead our

3 religious services more effectively. The building has

4 | staircases throughout, making it difficult for our

5 many senior citizen members and others with mobility

6 issues to access synagogue life. In short, our

7 | current building can no longer serve our

congregation's needs nor does it allow us to fulfill

9 our potential to be of service to the broader

10 community.

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

We explored different options to keep the synagogue thriving towards the future, but even the best renovation would leave us with a building that is not friendly to those with disabilities. We investigated moving to another site, but finding an alternate location in Forest Hills has proven unlikely, and most of our congregation is local. We are the Reform Temple of Forest Hills. Working with an excellent developer partner, Benjamin Werber, we want to transform this lot into the new home for the Temple with an apartment building above. RTFH will own the first floor of the building in a new contemporary site. It will be ADA friendly and will have a flexible design to enable us to explore offering more programs to the community.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

At our congregation's core is Tikkun Olam, helping to repair the world. RTFH has strived to be an active part of helping make our neighborhood, Queens, and beyond a better place. We have worked closely with Forest Hills churches and synagogues to create programs and events in the community including the Annual Interfaith Thanksgiving Service and the Interfaith Food Drive. We have hosted the Thanksqiving Service many times. We are humbled and honored to support our Queens community in holding the funerals for Queens District Attorney, the Honorable Richard A. Brown, and for a Deputy Chief Police Officer, both a blessed memory in recent years. We hold PFLAG meetings, community concerts, along with a variety of neighborhood events, meetings, and programs.

Lynn Schulman for her constant and continual support of this project and our congregation's families. Our Temple's families are neighbors and friends. The plan is one we developed for the financial future of our congregation, allowing us to work in partnership with a local developer to sustain the congregation and to continue to be an essential part of Forest Hills, and

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES we think it will be a beautiful new spiritual space

3 for our members and for Queens. Thank you for your

consideration. 4

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Thank you, Vivian, thank you, Rabbi, for coming in today. Just a few questions before I toss it to Council Member Schulman.

33

Do you plan to target the Borough President's recommended goal of 30 percent M/WBE for local hiring and contracting.

VIVIAN KRIEGER: Absolutely. Local hiring and M/WBE hiring is incredibly important to this developer. They work in close consultation with a number of organizations, a number of Queens organizations, and they will continue to do so on this project. There's no probably meeting that.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. You went through a very descriptive environmental sustainability features that you will be adding to the project so I won't go into that. I am interested in knowing, Rabbi, during the construction of this project, where will you be able to go with your congregation and be able to fellowship?

RABBI MARK KAISERMAN: We're currently
exploring options. Obviously, we have lots of needs
with services and religious school and other things.
We're looking at a number of places in the immediate
neighborhood of buildings that are open, of
synagogues that don't fully use their site
(INAUDIBLE) and we hope to find a place as this moves
along in the neighborhood where our families can
attend services and life cycle events ongoing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Rabbi, and thank you, Vivian. Council Member Schulman.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: First, I want to say, Rabbi, we will also help you with that search so we can talk offline about that.

RABBI MARK KAISERMAN: Thank you.

COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Yes. What I want to ask you, I have just a couple of questions because you answered most of them in the presentation, but one is for the additional apartments, what's the unit mix going to be?

VIVIAN KRIEGER: It's going to be two studios, two one-bedrooms, and one two-bedroom.

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 35
2	COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Okay. Did you
3	mention bike parking or did I miss that? Is there
4	going to be bike parking provided?
5	VIVIAN KRIEGER: Yes, absolutely, and
6	we'll go above what's required in the zoning.
7	COUNCIL MEMBER SCHULMAN: Okay. Chair,
8	that's all the questions that I have.
9	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
10	Member Schulman. Do we have any Council Members with
11	questions for this applicant panel?
12	There being no questions for this
13	applicant panel, you are now excused. Counsel, are
14	there any members of the public who wish to testify
15	on the Reform Temple of Forest Hills Proposal in-
16	person or remotely?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: If we have anyone in person, can you please come to the front or see one of the Sergeants to fill out a speaker card?

We have about five members of the public online so give me just one second to make a quick announcement.

You will have two minutes to speak, and we'll call you as a panel of five. The first panel

ANDREW KIMLER: Good morning.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Good morning, Andrew. Go ahead.

ANDREW KIMLER: Thank you. My name is

Andrew Kimler, and I want to thank you for giving me
an opportunity to speak today. I am speaking in
support of the application submitted by the Reform
Temple of Forest Hills and Werber Management.

After my family relocated to Forest Hills from upstate New York in 2013, we did not know a soul in the community until we found the Reform Temple.

The Temple quickly became our spiritual home and a connection to the community. It also created ways for us to serve our community through various Temple committees. Our Temple has also joined hands with other houses of worship to serve our community and to find ways to eliminate the barriers that sometimes divide us. The creation of a new home for our Temple

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

especially affordable housing.

will allow us to exist and preserve our Reform

spiritual and community home. It will also become ADA

accessible, thus enabling us to better serve seniors

and the disabled while also creating needed housing,

7 Thank you, again, for permitting me to

8 testify this morning.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next applicant will be Seth Diamond.

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

SETH DIAMOND: Good morning, Chair Riley and Members of the Committee. Thank you for the opportunity to present testimony to you today. My name is Seth Diamond, and I'm a long-term resident of Forest Hills and the President of the Reform Temple of Forest Hills. I'm here today to strongly urge your support for the zoning proposal before you.

The Reform Temple of Forest Hills is a vital community institution, and this zoning proposal is essential to our long-term financial viability. As the only Reform Temple in Queens, the Reform Temple provides critical spiritual support for hundreds of Queens residents. Without it, many families would be forced to look beyond the city boundaries and move to

2.2

2.3

a new community to find a synagogue that was the right fit. The Reform Temple is much more than a religious institution. We provide important public policy, educational, and community building programs in a wide range of areas. We host programs for the LGBTQ community. We have a vibrant senior-focused calendar featuring music programs and informative talks. We have hosted advocacy programs for the disability community. All our programs are free or at low cost and are open to all. We're also proud to have hosted Council Member Schulman for community events and thank her for all her strong support of our congregation.

With the redeveloped building that will result from this zoning proposal, we will be able to do even more for the community. Our space will have greater accessibility allowing there to be more people to reach us. We will also be more flexible and can accommodate more uses.

Our congregation also strongly supports the housing that will result from this proposal. As a congregation, we are deeply invested in the long-term health of our city, and that requires more housing, including more affordable housing. We look forward to

project is very important to the Reform Temple. It'll

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

provide the congregation with a modern fully ADAaccessible home, and it will also boost the Temple's finances, enabling it to continue operating longer into the future. As important I think is the project will provide benefits to the neighborhood and more broadly. A fully accessible space will enable the Temple to offer more programming for the general community and, in addition as we all know, the city faces a dire shortage of housing. I think I read that we need more than 500,000 units over the next 7 to 10 years. Without more housing and better affordability, the city cannot continue to thrive. This project provides much needed market rate and affordable rental apartments in a beautiful new building that fits in with the neighborhood, and this neighborhood, in particular, is an excellent candidate for more housing given how well-served it is by public transit.

I urge the Subcommittee to recommend approval of the project, and I thank you, again, for your time.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next witness to speak is Steven Strauss. Steven, you may begin.

2.2

2.3

Members of the Land Use and Zoning Co. I'm here today to speak in favor of the rezoning. I am a member of the Reform Temple of Forest Hills and also a Forest Hills resident. This is a great project that helps the finances of the Temple, but more importantly it provides critically needed housing at all different price points in a neighborhood that's well-suited to accept and accommodate additional housing like this.

As you saw from the artist's rendering, the building fits in with other apartment buildings in our neighborhood. There will be an even taller building behind it a block or so when the Parkland Hospital site is redeveloped. As Mr. Lior pointed out, we are a very transit-rich neighborhood in Forest Hills. The location is about three blocks from an Express Subway Station, it's about five blocks from the Long Island Railroad Station in Forest Hills, and we have excellent bus service from the Q60 to Q23 and the Q64 all within a couple of blocks of this site. It's been well-documented that New York City is critically short of housing. This is a great location to start adding to our housing stock, and several independent planning organizations have

2.2

2.3

2 recommended this portion of Forest Hills as an area

3 that can accept and contribute to the need to build

4 more housing through this rezoning so I would

5 appreciate the Committee's support of this rezoning

6 when you act later today. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. The last one to speak is Marcia Parness. I'm just going to ask if you guys could turn on the videos when you're testifying, please. Thank you.

MARCIA PARNESS: Hi. My name is Marcia

Parness, and thank you to all for the opportunity to speak in support of the Temple's application. I have been an active member of RTFH for 25 years. It has been family's spiritual home where we have worshipped, learned, celebrated the holidays and life cycle events, and been blessed to be part of a warm and caring community. What I also cherish about RTFH is that it has inspired and enabled my family and so many other families to do acts of Tikkun Olam, or repairing the world. The Temple's very active social action team has done countless projects to improve the lives of those in the wider community. We have packed lunches to feed our neighbors at the Seventh Day Adventist Church in Corona. My daughter visited

3	extended his bar mitzvah project, volunteering for
4	three years at the Commonpoint Queens Y with children
5	on the autism spectrum. I have distributed clothes
6	and food to the homeless in Manhattan with Midnight
7	Run, spearheaded a virtual coat drive for New York
8	Cares, given blood with the New York Blood Center,
9	and coordinated with the Queens BP office to help our
10	teen youth group prepare lunches for the victims of
11	Hurricane Ida. Our Immigration and Refugee Taskforce

has helped a Guatemalan family separated at the

the elderly at ForestView Nursing Home. My son

seniors, transported them, and followed up after the received their shots. It's all been made possible by RTFH.

Corps helped schedule COVID vaccines for dozens of

border settle nearby plus Temple's Volunteer Vaccine

Rezoning will allow the Temple to remain viable so it not only can fully serve our members but also enable our caring Temple family in turn to better our wider community. We are, in fact, doing just that by proposing a space that is ADA-compliant and provides much needed affordable housing.

community, and those we serve.

Thank you for the time to tell you how

important RTFH is to my family, my Temple family, the

5 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you for your 6 testimony. Are there any Council Members with 7 questions for this panel?

There being no questions for this panel, the witness panel is now excused.

One last time, if there's anyone in here that wants to testify on this project, please see the Sergeant-at-Arms, and we're going to stand at ease for 30 seconds.

Okay. There being no other members of the public who wish testify on LUs 160 and 161 relating to the Reform Temple of Forest Hills Rezoning

Proposal, the public hearing is now closed and the items are laid over.

I will now open the public hearing on LUs 156 and 157 relating to the 58-02 Northern Boulevard Rezoning Proposal in Council Member Won's District in Queens.

This application seeks a zoning map amendment to rezone an existing R5 zoning district to

2.2

2.3

2.2

2.3

2 an R6B/C2-2 zoning district and a related zoning text 3 amendment to map an MIH Program Area.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so, you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

Once again for anyone with us in person, please see one of the Sergeants-at-Arms to prepare and submit a speaker's card.

I would now like to toss it over to Council Member Won to give her remarks. Council Member Won.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Good morning. Thank you so much, Chair Riley and members of the public as well as the development team. I'm looking forward to hearing your testimony on the proposed Lincoln dealership on Northern Boulevard.

Currently, Northern Boulevard is a mix of manufacturing, commercial, and residential, and much of this area has not been rezoned since the 1960s.

Sitting about a mile away from here is the proposed Innovation QNS site which we all are familiar with because we were here for a very long hearing not too

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

long ago which will bring over 3,000 units of residential housing to the Northern Boulevard corridor.

Just to give everyone a debrief of what the current community update is, is that the constituents no longer want a piecemeal approach to land use so Community Board 2 has rejected this application with a Resolution opposing all new autorelated uses on the Northern Boulevard corridor. They also most recently voted to form a working group to provide a taskforce for neighborhood rezoning requests including Northern Boulevard as well as Anable Basin and Roosevelt Avenue and other parts of my District. This is really important because, just in one year, we had 160 people injured and one resident was killed on Northern Boulevard in the District, and they want to focus on putting the land towards making sure that we're building more residential housing as we this past week alone have opened three new homeless shelters so now I am at a total 28 homeless shelters, over 7,000 people in the homeless shelter system in my District, so our city must make comprehensive plans to meet our urgent social goals including more affordable housing,

whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your

25

1 SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 48 2 testimony before the Subcommittee and in your answers 3 to all Council Member questions? 4 MICHAEL NACLERIO: I do. FRANK ST. JACQUES: I do. 5 JOE VULTAGGIO: I do. 6 7 JOHN STARKS: I do. 8 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. For the 9 viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to 10 11 landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. 12 Now the applicant team may begin. 13 Panelists, as you begin, I'll just ask you to please 14 restate your name and organization for the record. 15 You may begin. 16 FRANK ST. JACQUES: Good morning, Chair 17 Riley, Council Member Won, and Members of the 18 Subcommittee. My name is Frank St. Jacques, and I'm 19 an associate with Akerman, LLP. We're land use 20 counsel for the project. 21 We have a brief presentation, but I'm 2.2 going to turn it over to the applicant to make a 2.3 brief statement before we jump into the presentation.

24

With that...

Northern Boulevard rezoning.

2.2

2.3

JOE VULTAGGIO: Hi. Good afternoon,

Chairman Riley, Members of the Subcommittee, and

Councilwoman Won. My name is Joe Vultaggio and

together with our partners, John Starkis and Mike

Naclerio, I'm here today as an applicant for the 5208

Our land use attorney from Akerman will give you a formal presentation, but I wanted to start with a few words about how this project came to be.

I'm not a developer. I operate a small business and enjoy good success because we treat our customers and neighbors honestly and fairly. We wanted to grow our business with the Lincoln dealership and, just as COVID was beginning to come into the city in early 2020, we purchased this lot on Northern Boulevard.

While others were running from the city, we doubled down on it.

We picked the site for a few reasons.

First, the location is near many other car

dealerships so we will be able to fit in easily in

the neighborhood. Second, this lot has sat abandoned

and been an eyesore for many years, and we hope we'd

be welcome by neighbors to have a small-scale

showroom. The format of this particular business will

2.2

2.3

be different from many open-air dealerships. We will have a boutique showroom, which one of each model will be at the showroom which is one of four, so that shoppers who perhaps have done some research already online can come see the vehicles, choose features, finishes, and speak with our sales representatives. We won't be doing any service at the site, won't be taking deliveries of vehicles, should have very little impact on traffic or parking congestion. Our representatives have met with residents in the surrounding blocks, and I believe we'll be a good neighbor to them.

We also have a union shop. You'll hear from our union partners, but we expect approximately 20 jobs to be created. These jobs will be split between salespeople and administrative personnel who are members of the United Service Workers Union. The associated repair work will be creating jobs at our Jamaica facility where the United Auto Workers Union serve.

I'll turn it over to Frank now, but I'd like to tell the Subcommittee that as a business owner we're eager to invest in Queens, eager to provide good union jobs, and, of course, improve the

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

particular lot that has set for very long as an
abandoned lot.

Thank you for your consideration.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Thanks, Joe. Again, Frank St. Jacques from Akerman.

Just to start off, we're seeking two land use actions on this application, a zoning map amendment to replace an R5 zoning district with an R6B district with a C2-2 Commercial Overlay and a zoning text amendment that would establish a Mandatory Inclusionary Housing area within the rezoning area. Next slide, please.

The site, 58-02 Northern Boulevard, is located on the south side of Northern Boulevard between 58th Street and 60th Street, is a 5,259-square-foot irregularly shaped lot. It narrows to a width of approximately 37 feet at Northern Boulevard as you can see on this zoning map. The site is outlined in red, and the surrounding area is located primarily within an R5 zoning district that was mapped back in 1961. They're M1-1 zoning districts established in 1961 and 1966 mapped immediately to the west and then to the east of the R5 zoning district. Next slide, please.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Despite this R5 zoning, this portion of Northern Boulevard where the development site is located is occupied almost entirely by nonconforming commercial uses shown in red and semi-industrial and industrial uses shown in purple on this land use map. Many are automobile-related uses including sales and repairs but not all, and conforming residential use, which is shown in yellow, is generally located at the mid-blocks beyond the Northern Boulevard blockfronts. There are also some nonconforming industrial uses within the mid-block areas as well as community facility use which is shown in blue. Conforming residential uses are generally two- and three- story, one- and two- family homes, and smaller multifamily walkup buildings. The applicant team selected the medium density contextual R6B zoning district that is proposed to align with this existing built context in the mid-block. Next slide, please.

You can see the surrounding context in this aerial photograph. Again along Northern Boulevard, despite the R5 zoning, you can see that it is entirely commercial or nonresidential with residential in the mid-blocks to the north and south of Northern Boulevard. This is the historic

development pattern for over 60 years, from before

3 the enactment of the Zoning Resolution in 1961 and

4 the establishment of the current zoning. Next slide,

5 please.

2.2

2.3

The 5,259-square-foot site shown here is improved with a one-story former restaurant building with unenclosed parking that was built around 1930. While there was commercial use at this site since 1930, this restaurant closed more than 10 years ago, and zoning rules prohibit the building from being reactivated with a new commercial use. The existing building is in disrepair and cannot feasibly be converted to accommodate a new productive conforming use. New housing within the R5 is unlikely due to the site's small lot size, the R5 zoning that requires yards limits lots coverage, and has a high parking requirement, and its location on busy Northern Boulevard. Next slide, please.

Shown here on the zoning change map, on the lefthand side of the screen is the existing R5 zoning as well as the attributes of that zoning, and on the righthand side is the proposed R6B with a C2-2 Commercial Overlay zoning. The proposed rezoning to R6B/C2-2 would allow an increase from the existing R5

2 | zoning district FAR of 1.25 to the proposed R6B/C2-2

3 zoning district FAR of 2.0 for commercial use or 2.2

4 for residential use under the Mandatory Inclusionary

5 Housing program and an increase in the maximum

6 building height from 40 feet under the existing R5

7 | zoning district to 55 feet above a 45-foot base

8 height in the proposed R6B zoning district. These

9 | increases in FAR and height are appropriate along a

10 wide street like Northern Boulevard. Next slide,

11 please.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

The proposed rezoning would facilitate
the development of a two-story automobile sales
showroom building with approximately 8,000 square
feet of commercial floor area. This is about 1.52

FAR. The building would rise to a height of 21 feet.
The proposed building is smaller than the permitted
2.0 FAR because otherwise parking and loading
requirements that cannot be accommodated on the small
site would be triggered. Some of the features that
Joe mentioned in his introduction of the site is that
this is a new concept for automobile sales. It
operates more like a retail store in contrast to the
traditional automobile dealerships that line Northern
Boulevard with large lots that also provide repairs.

2.2

2.3

Repairs are not permitted pursuant to the zoning
being sought here. The benefits of the proposed
project include, in addition to redeveloping this
vacant site, electric vehicle charging stations that
will be made available to the public, some
sustainability features which I'll discuss on the
next slide, new jobs as Joe noted on-site and offsite at the service facility, and, as he noted, these

are also union jobs, and the unions will be here

today to discuss that further. Next slide, please.

I noted that the project provides several sustainability features that are shown here. In addition to the electric vehicle chargers at the rear of the site, there will also be bike parking, solar panels on the roof, pervious pavement system in that it's technically a side yard but at the rear of the site that will promote natural stormwater infiltration and reduce runoff. We're also looking into requesting from the Department of Transportation the outside of the site, the sidewalk, there is an application that can be made to the Department of Transportation for a distinctive sidewalk design that incorporates permeable pavers so we intend to do that, and we're also looking into a request for

speak more to that specifically, but that's in line

25

4

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

with Lincoln's push towards electrification of their
entire fleet by 2030. Next slide, please.

This is the final slide. Just in closing, I want to reinforce the land use rationale here for the rezoning, that this site may not be reactivated with a new commercial use. The existing building was built back in 1930, it's been vacant for more than 10 years and is in a state of disrepair. There's essentially no conforming use that can occur today that makes sense from a financial feasibility perspective. Residential redevelopment of the site to that point is unlikely given the small size, the irregular shape, the zoning constraints as well as its location on Northern Boulevard which presents issues with respect to air quality, noise, and light pollution for potential residents. Finally, and you'll hear testimony from surrounding neighbors, we've heard that this vacant site creates quality-oflife issues for the community. Not only is it an eyesore as was described earlier, but it attracts vandalism, graffiti, dumping, rodents and vermin, and illegal parking so the neighbors that we spoke to expressed support for this proposed development to eliminate these concerns by redeveloping the site. We

That concludes my portion of the presentation, and I'll turn it over to Mr. Starks for final comments.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOHN STARKS: Thank you, Frank. Chairman Riley and Members of the Subcommittee, my name is John Starks.

While some of you may know me from playing with the New York Knicks, in recent years I've been building a brand in the car industry in the great borough of Queens. With the Committee's support, I'm looking forward to taking the next step with opening John Starks Lincoln at 58-02 Northern

2.2

2.3

Boulevard. My colleagues have described the project's many benefits, but I'd like to say a few words about the brand that I've been working on to build.

From my time with the Knicks and through the opening of John Starks Kia in Jamaica Queens, it's clear how important it is to work with the people of your neighborhood who support the business. Yes, we're in the business of selling cars, but we want our customers to know us and know that we care about the community where we work. This philosophy helped lead to the sales of 1,000 cars in our first year, an accomplishment that is personally satisfying while also helping to provide good taxes and jobs for the City of New York.

To this end, I've been very active in giving back. My John Starks Foundation opened in 1994, and I've been giving back to the City of New York and the Tri-State area. I think it's very important that when you do things within the city and people help you, you are qualified to give back to the people that do things to give you support. In saying this, the dealership also has been active in charitable giving in the local community. In 2022, John Starks Kia Lincoln of Queens sponsored and

- participated in Southeast Queens Friendsgiving

 Dinner, made a significant contribution to the

 Floating Hospital, the Greater Allen Church, and

 Queensboro Unico. We also purchased 200 brand new
- 6 coats this season, supporting Operation Warm Coat
- 7 Drive. When we open at 58-02 Northern Boulevard, you
- 8 have our commitment to seek the same positive
- 9 relationships with our surrounding community. Thank
- 10 you.

- 11 FRANK ST. JACQUES: That closes our 12 presentation. Thank you.
- 13 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much.

 14 Brother Starks, it's a pleasure seeing you today. I
- 15 have a few questions then I'm going to toss it over
- 16 to Council Member Won.
- 17 Similar to Council Member Won, I
- 18 represent District 12 where Boston Road is filled
- 19 | with a lot of car dealerships. I actually got my car
- 20 | from Northern Boulevard at Queens Volkswagen actually
- 21 | so don't tell my constituents that, but just stating
- 22 | that I'm very interested to know how this is
- 23 different from a traditional dealership. Besides
- 24 having the cars in the driveways, how would this run?
- 25 Can you just give me a brief description on how would

this dealership be different from the rest of the dealerships on that strip?

dealerships on that strip?

1

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JOE VULTAGGIO: Thank you. That's a great question. I took my family on a road trip about two years ago when this concept was actually opened in Scottsdale, Arizona, and it was in a mall then we went to California and we saw the concept in California also, and it's in another shopping area. It's not actually a car row type setting. Basically, people come in, they look at the colors on the screens, and the salespeople have the iPads and they go through colors, and then like everything else nowadays with Amazon and everything else, the car will go to their home and office so we actually deliver the car and then we also DocuSign, which people don't even have to sign their contracts at the dealership. They can actually sign it straight on their phone so they actually do their finance contract, everything, straight over the internet then they pick up their car either at their home or office which is benefit to them. To have this concept of seeing different colors and one model of each, it's good to just show the cars out, but there's not a test drive. That stuff could actually be done at your

2.2

2.3

home or office too. On the service side, right now
Lincoln has invested in pickup and delivery, which is
the Redcap system, so you don't even bring your car
in for service anymore. You actually call up, you ask
for service, they send you a loaner car to your house
or office, and then they bring the car into Jamaica
where our local union actually takes care of the
cars, services the cars, and then brings it back to
your home or office. The inconvenience factor of
going from dealership to dealership is a thing of the
past. The thing of the future is making it convenient
so people could spend time with their family and not
have to worry about their car anymore, and that's the
new concept that Lincoln came up with, this boutique.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: And this will limit the cars on that strip as well (INAUDIBLE)

JOE VULTAGGIO: Yeah, we'd keep four cars there. It's one of each model, and they just see the car, their model. They won't see any colors.

Everything is on the swatches, and actually Lincoln sends out their bible every year, and it's a swatch for every color they have, and you actually look at the colors there on the swatch panel and then you decide which one you want and you feel like you're in

3 things that you don't do.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: With this new concept, do you think this is something that will be adopted by your neighbors if this is approved?

JOE VULTAGGIO: I would hope so, sir, because my personal opinion is I don't believe you need to have a big showroom anymore with big buildings with showrooms with multiple cars, multiple colors. There's no need for that. Our Kia store that John and me and Mike opened up is very small. Years ago, that would've never been allowed, but with this new concept of three cars on a showroom floor they allowed it and basically that's where everything is going to right now.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Okay. How would the applicant use this site if the rezoning were not to be approved?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: I'd defer to the guys, but one of the first tasks that my office performed was evaluating what the potential development at this site was so it's within this R5 zoning. The only allowable use would be residential or community facility, and, based on the due diligence that was

7 have figured out what you can do.

2.2

2.3

never thought about that because, to be honest with you, I've been so gung-ho on opening this store, creating jobs, and creating sales tax revenue and actually property tax revenue for the City. I've invested my whole life in Queens, my wife was born in Queens so our business is in Queens, and, to be honest with you, I want to stay here and prosper, and I just hope that this will come to be able to open. Other than that, I'm at a loss of words.

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Just to clarify my portion of the answer, there was never an intent to develop the site with anything other than the dealership. It was just part of the initial diligence to evaluate what could be done absent the rezoning.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I just have two more questions then I'll toss it over to Council Member Won. Can you describe the environmental

2 | sustainability? I think you spoke about this briefly,

3 | but can you just describe in detail the environmental

4 | sustainability because every time I think about

5 sustainability, I'm not sure if this is a flood zone,

6 but very cognizant of what's happening in Queens with

7 | water resiliency so can you just please describe the

8 environmental sustainability features on the proposed

9 development?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Absolutely. As far as sustainability goes, there would be new street trees planted, new landscaping, solar panels on the roof, and potentially other green features on the roof.

It's contemplated that there will be additional landscaping on the roof to add a green roof effect.

With respect to resiliency, the portion of the site where there's a yard required, it's essentially a 10-foot strip at the very rear of the site, would have permeable pavers to allow water infiltration to keep that rainwater out of the sewers, and we're also looking into the city-owned sidewalk that surrounds the site, the entire sidewalk will need to be rebuilt pursuant to a Builder's Pavement Plan with the Department of Transportation.

One option that they allow, and it's subject to DOT's

2 discretion, is something called the distinctive

2.2

2.3

3 | sidewalk where you can incorporate a permeable-type

4 paver on the sidewalk. There's specifications and it

5 requires DOT approval, but we think that that's

6 something that could be incorporated here.

Finally, because three new street trees are being added, those tree pits could be tree pits with bioswales which also capture stormwater and keep it out of the sewer system.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: One last question.

Community Board expressed concern about this proposal encouraging cars. How would you respond to this concern?

FRANK ST. JACQUES: I'll start, and I'll actually turn that over to Mike to my right to I guess flush out the answer. I think in certain interests, our proposal here aligns with some of the concerns that we've heard about the application and I'm sure you'll hear today in the form of testimony. I will note that the vote at Community Board 2 was essentially split. It was not an overwhelming majority opposed, and this application is contemplating an automobile showroom, albeit a new boutique one, so I recognize that there's not going

2.2

2.3

to be a meeting of the minds with respect to the overall use, but I think what we've done here is incorporate sustainability features and this new boutique model that we think will ameliorate some of the concerns, and we hope that it can really bridge the gap between the traditional automobile dealership that's seen on the street and a more forward-thinking vision for Northern Boulevard. That said, I'll turn it over to you, Mike.

MICHAEL NACLERIO: We agree wholeheartedly that there are many ways to improve pedestrian safety and ways to encourage fewer cars on the road. It's extremely important in the community, but denying this application isn't one of them. If a person wants or needs a car for their mode of transportation or for their business, they're going to get one. If it's not from this dealership, they'll purchase a vehicle elsewhere because they feel they need or they want it.

With respect to pedestrian safety, you want people driving Lincolns. Every Lincoln is equipped with the most advanced standard, top of the line crash avoidance technology, and we all know that the path to fewer cars on the road is investing in

2.2

2.3

alternative forms of transportation including mass transit and bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, but denying this application will do absolutely nothing to keep a single car off the road. What it will do is leave the neighbors with a derelict eyesore. It will also deny the union jobs our store

will generate and the taxes we pay to the city.

Finally, I'm sure it would stymie other small business owners like us who decided to take a financial risk and invest in this neighborhood and this city. While we absolutely care about pedestrian safety, this isn't appropriate.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Council Member Won.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much to the whole entire team and thank you for everything you shared about all the giveback that you do to the community in Southeast Queens. We really appreciate that.

I do have some questions. I just want to point out that when initially the land use item was voted down in Community Board, it was split. When I went back to speak with all the Community Board members, especially those who were potentially in

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

2 favor of this project, they are no longer, and they

3 unanimously have passed a new resolution in support

4 of opposing any further development of any auto-

5 related use on Northern Boulevard, and now they are

6 calling for a neighborhood rezoning for Northern

7 Boulevard, especially because of the Innovation

8 Queens rezoning with the 3,000 residential uses.

9 Because of the increase in homelessness, they want to

10 see residential rezonings remain residential even if

11 | it's R5 and of low density.

I do want to ask, these are some of the questions that the Community Board members have raised to me. If everyone can turn to page 4 of your proposal, if you look at the surrounding area, this area actually, not only is it residential, commercial, and manufacturing, it actually has a lot of places of spiritual worship so within walking distance of this car dealership, first the closest one is the Tibetan Woodside Community Center. The Tibetan Community Woodside Center has daily rituals where they do prayer circles around the vicinity of the Tibetan Community Center where we have multiple complaints, like the 160 people who have been injured, that was a resident and somebody killed on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

the Northern Boulevard corridor, the grandmothers who do the spiritual walkthroughs have also made multiple complaints of almost dying and being hit by the car, not because they're walking on the street but because of the parking on the sidewalk so if you look at the pictures, the surrounding area is covered with cars of illegal parking on the sidewalk that you can see on the righthand corner, so we're looking at page 4, Chair Riley, of the document. I just want to make it clear that this area of the surrounding area is not just residential or commercial or manufacturing, it's actually places of worship so within one block north, the Tibetan Community Center is there where grandmothers do daily prayer walks and, during that, they almost get hit by cars, and that's why we have more than 160 injuries and one death of a pedestrian because if you look at your righthand corner, there are cars parked on the sidewalk so automotive uses are illegal parking and that is why the community is so sour and angry because they cannot use the sidewalk. In addition to the Tibetan Community Center, we have Shri Guru Ravidas gurdwara for our Sikh community and then we also have the Gulshan Terrace and Shree Divya Dham which is a Hindu temple,

2.2

2.3

both in the vicinity so there are four places of worship that use their sidewalks for the community needs, and the sidewalks have been taken away from them that you can see in that very image, and one of the questions that the Community Board has raised to me about this is they said that when they look on Google Maps for Ford of Queens and John Starks Kia, both have vehicles parked on the sidewalk, the same way that we see in this image on page 4, and they are asking are you still storing vehicles on the public right of way on the street in your Jamaica locations as viewed in Google Maps?

JOE VULTAGGIO: At John Starks Kia, no. At Ford Lincoln of Queens, you are correct. There are some sidewalks that are being used because to get the cars in and out of the floor all of the time, I have to use the sidewalk so to pull a car out in the showroom that has that old concept of showroom which has 10 cars, I have to move all 10 cars out. I don't own any of the properties next door to it so I have to put them on the sidewalk and then put them back when I get the car out from the sale. At John Starks Kia, which is that new concept where there's only four vehicles, we don't need to use the sidewalk

2.2

2.3

because the traffic coming in and out of the showroom is pretty much nothing so we don't actually use the sidewalks at all to get cars in and off the showroom floor.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I just want to make it clear that this is not just about pedestrian safety but it's about public use of public land, that people want to have their sidewalk spaces that are safe without having fear that they will get hit by a car when they're doing prayer walks as well as making sure that in a district like mine where we are the most transportation rich with the highest amount of ferry, subway, bus, Rapid bus transit as well as bike lanes that they want to make sure that we are moving away from being car-centric in Northern Boulevard, that it's not about just purchasing cars or preventing people from purchasing, but this is really about pedestrian safety and not just pedestrians but also cyclist and other modes of transportation.

I also want to ask...

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Council Member Won, if
I may, just to add a response to that. With respect
to 58-02 Northern, we described some of the work we'd
be doing to improve that sidewalk and the streetscape

because the way it is currently being used today,

housing that will come, that they want to have a

especially with the increased number of residential

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

74

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

FRANK ST. JACQUES: We did perform a full environmental assessment in connection with the zoning application. It was determined that there would be no significant adverse impacts with respect to the specific use. There are environmental designations that are being placed on the site with respect to ensuring that the commercial use at the site protects visitors from potential noise impacts from Northern Boulevard as well as air quality for the site itself and neighbors for both commercial or potentially residential use. With respect to the use itself, I think the thinking given the nature of the applicants' business and their business plan, their thought was that this showroom and, albeit it's not a traditional showroom like the ones surrounding, but fits with the context of the more traditional dealerships within the area. This is a node for auto sales, and the idea was to use this rezoning, again with a contextual mid-density residential district and the commercial overlay to fit this new model in with the existing context of auto sales, and it looks like Joe wanted to ...

JOE VULTAGGIO: Just one thing that I want to comment. We've been approached many times with a

2.2

2.3

question about hours of operation. The hours of operation of normal dealerships are from 9 to 9 and then you have deliveries that happen to 10, 11 at night, and the place is open a lot longer because people are taking delivery at that location. This site will be open from 9 to 7, Monday through

Saturday and will not be open on Sunday so at this juncture it's like a retail establishment where it's not a busy site, it's not going to have an issue with the neighborhood I believe because they'll be less automotive for their night.

environmental assessment statement, but it evaluated the potential traffic demand. As I think you noted, Council Member Won, this is a transit-oriented area. There's not expected to be an increase in traffic or parking generated by this showroom that, again, operates more like a retail establishment and, as Joe noted, it doesn't have all the attributes of car delivery, car storage, repairs, etc. That's all handled off-site through a customer-oriented home and office delivery system.

COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Thank you so much. I don't have any further questions, but I just want to

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

corridor.

underscore the Community Board and the community's 2 3 request that this area no longer be used for any 4 further development of auto-related on Northern Boulevard corridor, mainly because of public safety reasons because of the school as well as places of 6 7 faith and just residential areas surrounding it as 8 well as a new development that is coming in for Innovation Queens, increasing a transformation of 3,000 new residential buildings as well as other 10

I look forward to continuing the conversation, but the Community Board has made it very clear on where they stand, and I support the community. Thank you.

residential developments that are coming along the

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Council
Member Won. I don't have questions for this applicant
panel, but I do have a statement.

I share the same type of district as

Council Member Won stated, and we also have the

issues with cars on the sidewalks and kind of driving

around, but I'm optimistic and I feel like what you

guys are trying to do will hopefully transition to

the other dealerships on that block so I think when

you do have the conversation with the Community Board you could address the issues that they're having in Jamaica Avenue with the cars being on the sidewalk. We do understand that you have to get them in and out of the showroom but maybe pick times where it's not heavy traffic like in the morning-time where kids are walking to school because that's what happens in my district, but what you're doing is something that I feel like a lot of dealerships in New York City should start doing because it gives you more options, it's safer, we could utilize more space in those areas because you wouldn't be taking up a whole lot for cars so I think this is a very optimistic project so I think when you're having the conversation and your language that you speak to the Community Board, understand where they're coming from because Innovation Queens is a huge project, there is going to be a lot of density in the area. Think about how they feel about cars being on the sidewalk, but what you are trying to do will prevent that so I think that's something that you need to kind of emphasis so with that being said, you guys are excused and thank you so much for coming out today.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

FRANK ST. JACQUES: Thank you, Chair
Riley, and thank you, Council Member Won. We look
forward to working with your office and with
Community Board 2 to hopefully earn your support.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Counsel, are there any members of the public who wish to testify on 58-02 Northern Boulevard proposal in person or remotely?

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we have a couple of witnesses in person. We can call on those first, and then we have a few online so let me just make those quick announcements for the online witnesses.

testify, first I'll start by saying remember to turn on your camera because we had some technical issues with the prior panels, but you will be called in panels of three or four. If you're a member of the public signed up to testify on the proposal, please stand by when you hear your name and prepare to speak when the Chair or I say that you may begin.

Please also note that once all of your panelists in your group have completed their testimony, if remotely, you will be removed from the

dealerships. Over the years, I have developed a good

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

working relationship with this ownership team, and I greatly appreciate and value their partnership. Together, we have come to agreements made of more than fair wages and solid benefit packages. We have been successful and have always been able to work together to resolve any issues that may arise in the workplace. I am here today because Local 355 strongly supports the rezoning of 58-02 Northern Boulevard. As a Business Agent in the retail automotive industry, I have witnessed firsthand as to how many jobs one showroom can create and how many families it can support. Unless you have worked in this industry, you may not be aware of the chain reaction, if you will, that is created when a salesman sells a car. There's the finance team who helps the customer secure financing to purchase or lease the car, the detailer who cleans the car after it is sold, the technician who will eventually service the car, the parts counterman who will assist in providing necessary parts to the technician to properly fix the car, the service writer who will communicate directly with the customer as to what the technician recommends to be fixed. The showroom makes all of this possible and is

the key to creating this wide range of job

2.2

2.3

opportunities. I understand that this ownership team is open to working with the local Council Member on hiring people from the community and Local 355 would be happy to collaborate on this effort. At this site, we have an opportunity to expand the union workforce and bring all of the associated benefits back to the community. On behalf of USWU 355, I sincerely hope the Subcommittee will vote unanimously to approve this rezoning in support of a new business in the community and the workers who will be the key to its

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Next panelist, Michael, you may begin.

success. Sorry for the time overage.

MICHAEL DIGUISEPPE: Chairman Riley and
Members of the Zoning Subcommittee, my name is
Michael Diguiseppe, and I proudly represent the
hardworking members of Local 259 of the United Auto
Workers Union. A union ensures our members have good
wages, good benefits, and fair working conditions.
Auto-related use is our lifeblood.

I hope the Subcommittee will support the rezoning of 58-02 Northern Boulevard. The proposed showroom for John Starks Lincoln will be linked to the service and repair jobs carried out by our

2.2

2.3

members at the business' Jamaica facility. When a new showroom like the one that is proposed opens up, it

4 means that our members will have a new reliable

5 stream of customers that need service. I know City

6 Council Members are strong supporters of union labor.

That being the case, I would hope this would be an easy rezoning to facilitate, which would benefit the

9 union community and the greater community.

On behalf of Local 259, I would appreciate you taking our membership into consideration in your vote. We strongly urge your support for the rezoning.

employee of this company that you're not just rezoning but welcoming a family-run business that embraces the meaning of being stakeholders in the community. After Hurricane Sandy, many of us, myself included, we were left unemployed and homeless.

Despite having one of their facilities completely destroyed, the employers didn't lay off one employee. Let me repeat that, despite having one of their facilities destroyed, they didn't lay off one employee so I'm really proud to represent them today and speak on their behalf because that's the type of

community for over 50 years, and I am strongly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

opposed to the rezoning of 58-02 Northern Boulevard to allow the construction of what I can only see as cynically described as a boutique automobile dealership. As Council Member Won said, we lost a neighbor, an elderly pedestrian was killed, and he was killed by the same kind of vehicle that they are proposing to sell, and they did acknowledge that during the hearings at the Community Board. I want to say I am elderly as you can do the math if I've lived here over 50 years, and I'm saying to myself could this have been me so that's just part of the story though. The Community Board was very strong in saying we don't want any car-related uses, and we want to transform this community. Sixty years of these kinds of non-conforming zonings is enough. Developers when they purchased this property, they knew they'd have to get a zoning variance, and there's no inference that that would happen. I don't have any sympathy for that. They do argue that they're entitled to a zoning variance because there are already so many other nonconforming uses. That argument, I believe, to be specious. It's like saying that you can go ahead and lie as we've heard recently in the public realm because everybody does it, and we need to make a

SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

2 LAURA SHEPARD: I strongly oppose the 3 ULURP application for the Lincoln dealership at 58-02 Northern Boulevard and urge this Committee to vote it 4 down as well. I'm a Woodside resident and member of CB-2 though speaking as an individual. This 6 7 dealership is an illogical and ludicrous use of transit-accessible land that is currently zoned 8 residential amidst a housing crisis. In fact, CB-2's Land Use Committee has convened a taskforce to 10 11 discuss specific asks for a broader rezoning for the entire corridor to prioritize affordable housing, 12 13 local businesses, and green space. To date, alternative uses that would better serve the 14 15 community needs or create green jobs were not seriously considered for the site. The applicants' 16 17 business and products are inappropriate, and no one 18 is fooled by their attempts to greenwash or claims 19 that this concept will be different. The applicant 20 failed to identify an appropriately zoned lot where they could have proceeded as-of-right, and they are 21 not entitled to expand the footprint of the auto 2.2 2.3 industry in New York City where the majority of residents do not drive and so many of us advocate for 24 a dramatic reduction in the number and size of 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

vehicles on our streets. Western Queens has ample public transportation options and is striving to become more walkable and bikeable. However, we suffer from high rates of traffic violence and Northern Boulevard is a Vision Zero Priority Corridor. Since January of 2015, 1,015 road users were injured and one killed on Northern Boulevard just west of the BQE. Last April, 89-year-old Yuitmay Szeto was killed by an SUV driver while walking nearby on 48th Street. East of the BQE, several children and seniors were killed walking, including 19-year-old Sara Perez who was killed by a pickup truck driver on the Northern Boulevard sidewalk outside of an auto business. We cannot stem the tide of traffic violence by continuing to allocate land for these businesses which abuse our public space by driving and parking on our sidewalks and bike lanes and bus stops, making it difficult and dangerous for pedestrians, cyclists, and transit users to traverse their neighborhoods while drivers continue to speed through. Illegal parking is already the number one complaint category in Community Board 2 where existing auto businesses are historically bad neighbors. We have also observed that this applicant operates its Jamaica dealership

the City Council for hearing my testimony today. I am

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

also a member of Community Board 2, but I'm speaking for myself only. I live in Woodside a few blocks from this site, and I am opposed to the rezoning. Woodside and the other neighborhoods around this site are densely residential and getting more dense, filling a need for more housing for all New Yorkers. A StreetEasy report called New York City Neighborhoods to Watch listed Woodside, Sunnyside, and Elmhurst in the top 10 neighborhoods in New York City attracting new residents. Astoria, Jackson Heights, and Long Island City have been growing exponentially for years. Northern Boulevard is a river that cuts through the heart of most of these neighborhoods. Yet, unlike a natural river, Northern Boulevard serves nobody who lives near it. A blighted stretch of car dealerships, automotive shops, gas stations, and car washes in spite of the fact that most of the residents around it don't own cars and will never be in the market for a new car. Woodside around this potential rezoning site is a community underserved by new housing and underserved by the services that support neighborhoods. It is also overrun with automobiles parked on all of our sidewalks and in our bike lanes and crosswalks. My young children are

SUBCOMMITTEE	ON	ZONING	AND	FRANCHISES

unsafe everywhere as cars and SUVs grow bigger and heavier and encroach on their safe spaces. This site is zoned for residential and needs to be built residential, and, as I am speaking before the City Council, I appeal to you to create a better future for Northern Boulevard that what is currently there. The hundreds of homes and new businesses at Innovation Queens is a great start, but we need no more auto dealerships and auto shops who are sprawling and traditionally bad neighbors as they drive and park on our city sidewalks everywhere all the time. In the heart of Queens is growing neighborhoods and near the subways and protected bike lanes that bring workers to and from Manhattan every day, we need more dense housing and grocery stores and restaurants and flower shops and theaters and parks. 58-02 Northern Boulevard is centered between an elementary school and a massive new high school. It's near a subway stop. It's near Paseo Park on 34th Avenue, New York City's largest new park project in years. It's zoned for residential, and it's needed

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

for residential. Thank you.

Member Won, and Members of the Subcommittee, my name

is Janet Ryan, and I am a 30-year resident of 60th

24

2.2

2.3

Street here in Woodside. My house is about 500 feet

from the site that's being discussed. I wanted to

have this opportunity to support the applicants'

5 rezoning request and to support their business.

Before I begin to speak, I just wanted to let you know that two community members that registered to speak came over to my house so that they could use my technology. That is Rita Mantin (phonetic) and Thomas Ryan so if they could be scheduled sometime after me or Mary, it'd be appreciated. I'll just call them to this computer.

I have lived here in this neighborhood for 30 years, and all of my kids were born and raised here, and I've been very active in the community. The site being discussed is at the very end of my block and has been vacant for at least 10 years. It has been a terrible eyesore. Everything else surrounding it is lovely. It's a lovely community. Taco Bell has been a great neighbor. Everyone around there has been great except this one horrible site. It's constant, heavy graffiti on it, vandalism, it's encouraging dumping, rats, and there are cars that do park on that property that I don't know where they come from. Speaking of pedestrian safety, I avoid walking at

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

resident of 58th Street for my entire life. I bought my own home on that block 30 years ago, and my parents lived there before me. As a member of the surgery department at Elmhurst Hospital, I am acute aware of safety issues. As a pedestrian, I walk to work every day. I am a bicyclist. I use public transportation, and I am a car owner. I think that the safety issues that have been described by pedestrians, a lot of that has been ameliorated over the years by delayed walk lights and turning lanes, specifically on our corner. I appreciate Council Member Won talking about the multicultural areas but as somebody that went to PS-152, that went to Corpus Christi, that walked those streets and that continues to walk those streets, I believe that there are safety structures in there. Additionally, Northern Boulevard is a major thoroughfare, but in this grid system that we have, 34th Avenue, 32nd Avenue, 31st Avenue are perfectly safer and less congested areas for people to be walking. The other thing is that when you talk about driver safety, we have a lot of new drivers that immigrate to this country and they are adult-learned drivers, and I think that driver education could be much better in New York City and

- 2 | that a lot of the issues that we deal with as far as
- 3 accidents are related to poor driver education. I
- 4 | highly support this business. I believe that it is
- 5 | low impact. I believe that they would be good
- 6 neighbors. This business has actually been empty for
- 7 going onto 15 years. It's been 15 years almost that
- 8 | the restaurant has closed, and I do believe that they
- 9 would be a clean, pleasant, a new vision for this
- 10 | type of business, they'll be a model for the current
- 11 car industry...
- 12 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Time expired.
- 13 MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: Thank you very
- 14 | much. I highly support the approval of the change in
- 15 | zoning so that Lincoln can open their dealership
- 16 | boutique there. Thank you very much.
- 17 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mary. I
- 18 | believe Council Member Won has a question. Council
- 19 Member Won.
- 20 COUNCIL MEMBER WON: I don't have a
- 21 | question. I just have a statement. Blaming car
- 22 | traffic deaths and crashes on immigrants is
- 23 | xenophobic, and I do not condone any sort of
- 24 sentiment in that. Thank you.

	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 97				
2	MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: I think that				
3	you're twisting what I was saying, Council Member				
4	Won. What I was saying is that when adults immigrate				
5	to a new area and they're learning how to drive, the				
6	amount of education that is currently set up for				
7	driver education is very small.				
8	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Do you have data or				
9	evidence statistically on proving that it is new				
10	immigrant drivers that are causing traffic death?				
11	MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: I'm talking about				
12	adult drivers.				
13	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: For adult drivers, do				
14	you have evidence				
15	MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: I'm talking about				
16	new adult drivers.				
17	COUNCIL MEMBER WON: Do you have evidence				
18	that new adult drivers are 1) immigrants and 2) that				
19	they are the leading cause of traffic death and				
20	crashes in New York City to make that claim on the				
21	record?				
22	MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: I'm talking about				

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Mary. I believe Council Member is insinuating...

23

24

25

adult drivers.

2.2

2.3

MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: I've dedicated my life to serving the people of Queens, and I do not appreciate what Miss Won was insinuating about me, and I want an apology.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Excuse me, Mary. I think what Council Member Won was insinuating with the comment stating that people who migrate here are the sole responsibility...

MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: That is not what I said.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: For accidents is something that won't be tolerated being stated so that's why I think she's trying to insinuate.

MARY CATHERINE MADDEN: That's not what I said.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: It's all right.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MARY}}$$ CATHERINE MADDEN: That is 100 percent not what I said.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem, and we appreciate you coming here to testify. The next witness that will be testifying on this panel, excuse me, this panel isn't done, is Thomas Ryan. Thomas, if you can hear me, you can unmute yourself and please have your video on. Thank you.

2 SERGEANT-AT-ARMS: Starting time.

JANET RYAN: Tom is coming to this right

4 now.

2.2

2.3

THOMAS RYAN: Sorry, we're (INAUDIBLE)

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: No problem. Go ahead,

7 | Thomas.

THOMAS RYAN: Yes, thank you. Chairman Riley, Council Member Won, my name is Thomas Ryan. I live at 3347 60th Street, 200 feet from this proposed property. I also have a CPA practice. I also am a member of Community Board 1 which is just across the street from Northern Boulevard. I am also a member of the Northern Woodside Coalition and speaking in favor of this proposal.

As you know, we've been active for over 30 years, and we watched this property which has been abandoned for more than a decade become a major eyesore in an otherwise beautiful area. The site has been the target of dumping, vandalism, and graffiti. We support the applicant's plan to develop the site with a small owner showroom which we think would be appropriate for the block and benefit the community. Because this site has been vacant for so long and zoning prevents any new development besides housing,

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Thomas. We appreciate your testimony. Thank you. The next

petition from 60th Street of 12 residents. We also

have here in front of me a petition...

2.2

2.3

24

2 | witness to testify is Rita Mantin. Rita, if you can

3 | hear me, oh, Rita is there too, all right. I'm going

4 | to have to make y'all show your driver's licenses. Go

5 | ahead, Rita.

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RITA MANTIN: Good morning. My name is Rita Mantin, and I'm a lifelong resident of Woodside, living in the area under discussion. Since 1972, I've lived at 3330 58th Street between Northern Boulevard and Broadway, less than half a block from the site in Queens. About 15 years ago, the former business, Il Felice left, and the very oddly shaped property has remained for sale and vacant since. Over the years, I've seen the building deteriorate, vandalized, and graffiti. Every so often, a volunteer anti-graffiti group is contacted, and they paint the outside. While this ameliorates the graffiti for a while, the paint colors unfortunately never match, and the graffiti takes place all over again anyway. Of course, the vandalization of the existing building only gets worse as time goes on, broken windows, broken facades, unkempt property, etc. What an eyesore. It seems to me that if this was a viable piece of oddly shaped property for housing, it would've been scooped up long ago. My concern and hope is that this

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Rita. This is the last of the panel. Do we have any Council Members with questions?

continue down that same path if the rezoning, which

will allow a new car showroom, is not approved. Thank

No questions, but just a really quick statement. We do appreciate those who testify for the project and against the project, but just on the record I just want to state that we won't tolerate any forms of discrimination to any parties or any demographic within our communities. To insinuate that all accidents happen because of migrants isn't something that we'll tolerate, and I think that could be taken as very offensive. I'm not sure if that's

2.2

2.3

The next panel that will be coming up is Steven Bodzin, Jean Collie (phonetic), Caroline Spitzer, Cristina Furlong, and Stefan Ziemba (phonetic). The first one to testify today will be Steven Bodzin. Steven, you may begin and please have your camera on.

STEVEN BODZIN: Hi. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Yes, we can hear you,

Steven.

STEVEN BODZIN: Great. My name is Steven Bodzin. I live in Jackson Heights, about a half mile from the site. Thank you very much for hearing the testimony.

The hearing today has actually been pretty inspiring up until this project. It's been remarkable all the high-quality, mixed-use designs that are being proposed all across Western Queens,

but it's really unfortunate because this project is 2 3 the opposite. I know I hear the sponsors and the 4 immediate neighbors saying that the current zoning is apparently not right for the site, and I believe that. It's quite possible that the proposed zoning 6 7 category would be better, but this project is wrong. 8 I'm just going to focus on one thing, which is the site plan. If you look at this plan, it has this back alley with these four charging stations and, in order 10 11 for vehicles to get in and out of there, they're going to have to drive over the sidewalk. That's the 12 13 very least, right. At the very least, they'll be a 14 curb cut at each end, and the way it's set up you're 15 going to have cars charging in there so from the kind 16 of front end of it you're going to need cars to back 17 in across the sidewalk to get into that alley. This 18 is on a site that like people have said is right 19 between two schools, and it's being pitched as a 20 showroom, and that alone is certainly going to have 21 some vehicle access and egress issues that I don't think have been adequately addressed. In addition, we 2.2 2.3 haven't heard any explanation of how people are supposed to get to and from the showroom. I mean, 24 yes, it is a transit-rich neighborhood, but I think 25

the rezoning of 58-02 Northern Boulevard. I ask the

Council to consider the long-term implications of

approving the variance for a luxury boutique

2.3

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

automobile showroom, not just for the district but for the city at large. The showroom will only offer four types of high-end SUVs. Do we need more oversized vehicles on our streets? Regardless of any safety features, these vehicles time and time again have proven to be more deadly and dangerous for road users and one of the leading sources of carbon emissions globally. The weight of these large vehicles and even heavier once their fleet becomes electric is incredibly damaging to our city's roads, many of which like the BQE are crumbling under the weight of these heavy vehicles. On the same street not too far away, a pedestrian was killed by this type of vehicle. What type of opportunity is the Council waiting for, if not this, to choose safer streets and cleaner air for residents present and future? This business owner is not a member of the immediate community and has not offered anything concrete in exchange for the zoning change except for an incomplete plan for electric vehicle charging which became less and less feasible for open community use as their presentation to the Community Board went on. We need housing and along with that we need commercial use diversity that will be truly

2 | supportive to the housing soon to come on Northern

3 Boulevard with Innovation Queens, not more SUVs. If

4 | we want a safer, greener, less hostile Northern

5 Boulevard, I ask you to reject this rezoning and the

6 status quo. Thank you.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The next witness to speak will be Cristina Furlong. Cristina, if you can hear me, unmute yourself, show your video, and you may begin.

CRISTINA FURLONG: Good afternoon. I am a resident of Jackson Heights, a long-time Safe Streets advocate, and someone who is opposing and asking the City Council to oppose this zoning. I'd ask you to refrain from approving a two-story car dealership on Northern Boulevard. If you have not visited Northern Boulevard, it is full of car dealerships and often double parked by car carrier vehicles that load and unload causing serious danger to bicyclists and pedestrians. It was just 2018, a few years ago, that Commissioner Trottenberg held a press conference at Broadway and Northern Boulevard saying we have to fix Northern Boulevard, we have too many curb cuts. It was 2018 when she came to announce changes to

schoolchildren have been killed on Northern

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

Boulevard, and we're right by PS-152, we have the high school coming. This is not the kind of use that should be used.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Cristina. You can start wrapping up.

cristina furlong: Okay. The dealership representatives don't understand pedestrian safety and the need to reduce the number of curb cuts and vehicles driving across sidewalks. Their statement that it's currently an eyesore, rest assured prime real estate could and would be developed there. We simply cannot have another dealership at this time of extreme housing crisis, overuse of vehicle traffic, and beyond threshold level of car dealerships in the area. I'm a constituent who feels that the neighbors have not been made aware of such plans. I don't think it's the right kind of growth for Northern Boulevard, and I think it's a tremendous step backwards in Vision Zero policies that even this current Mayor...

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Cristina.

CRISTINA FURLONG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: The next witness to testify is Jean Collie. Jean will be calling us on the phone so she won't be able to be on camera. Jean,

2 if you can hear me, please press star nine and you 3 may begin.

Jean Collie.

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

JEAN COLLIE: Hi. My name is Jean Collie, and I live about two blocks from Northern Boulevard in Long Island City, just where Northern Boulevard starts, and walking along Northern Boulevard, all you encounter is double parked cars and cars on the sidewalk. It's a dumping ground for car repair and car dealerships. That is one of the reasons I'm against rezoning this lot for another SUV car dealership. Northern Boulevard can be a better place. It can be a place with pedestrian-friendly space and housing, and we don't have to have more unwanted land uses of cars. I think the dealer said that he needs to park on the sidewalk and he has to move cars around on the sidewalk. It's illegal to park on the sidewalk and to drive on the sidewalk, and what that means is that there's no enforcement and also that this location or the locations that car dealerships are doing this, they're not viable locations to sale cars. They need to be somewhere where there's much more space, not in the middle of New York City. We deserve better.

the 58-02 Northern Boulevard Rezoning Proposal, the

panel and administer the affirmation?

2	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Will
3	do. Richard, maybe you can help me identify your co-
4	panelists. I have that it's going to be you, Richard
5	Lobel, and Yoel Horowitz and Lewis Garfinkel. Did I
6	get that right?
7	Applicants, can you please raise your

right hand?

Do you affirm to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in your testimony before the Subcommittee and your answers to all Council Member questions?

APPLICANTS: I do.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Hey, Richard. Nice to see you, Richard. Happy New Year.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thanks. You as well, Chair Riley, and congratulations on Kappa Alpha Psi's anniversary.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you so much. For the viewing public, if you need an accessible version of this presentation, please send an email request to landusetestimony@council.nyc.gov. Now, the applicant team may begin.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.2

2.3

Panelists, as you begin, I'd just ask you to please restate your name and organization for the record. You may begin.

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you, Chair Riley.

Richard Lobel of Sheldon Lobel PC for the applicant.

Good afternoon. I'm joined today by Yoel and Lewis to discuss the 446 to 448 Park Avenue rezoning. This is the rezoning of nine lots or portions of lots in Brooklyn Community Board 3. I will run through the presentation quickly, and then the entire applicant team is available to answer any questions. Next slide.

The substance of the application is very straightforward. There are five lots on the adjacent block and four lots on our block which are currently zoned M1-1, and those would be rezoned to an MX4 District M1-4/R6A. This would facilitate the development of a six-story-plus-cellar residential building with roughly 11,373 square feet of floor area and 11 dwelling units to be constructed at the site which is roughly 3,300 square feet. In addition, as with other rezonings of this nature, there would be a text amendment which would allow for Mandatory Inclusionary Housing to be mapped on the parcels

2.2

2.3

2 included in the rezoning, currently contemplated as 3 either Option 1 or 2. Next slide.

The next slide really recites a very brief site history. Both lots are vacant. There are two lots in the development site. The first, Lot 38, was previously improved with a non-conforming three-story-plus-basement residential building built in 1905 which was demolished in 2014 due to impaired structural integrity. Both lots, again, are vacant.

The next slide gives you the statistics from the proposed development. Again, a very modest proposal. The building, itself, would be six stories plus cellar which would rise at the base to 65 feet and then set back at the sixth story by 15 feet. The floor area would be 11,373 square feet or 3.49 FAR, a maximum in the R6A district is 3.6. The total height would amount to 80 feet. There would be 11 units, currently contemplated as five ones, five twos, and one three-bedroom, all generous unit sizes, and in that would include three affordable units pursuant to MIH.

The next slide is a picture of the zoning map. You can see from the zoning map, and we will be able to see this more closely in the maps that

2 follow, that the site is currently zoned M1-1 but is

3 adjacent to an MX4 district which is an M1-2 district

4 partnered with an R6A district so this rezoning would

5 merely seek to extend within the circled area that

6 MX4 district further to the west to encompass these

7 sites along Park Avenue.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

The next slide, please, is a tax map which along with the slide after demonstrates with particularity the nature of the rezoning. Again, you can see this is a modest rezoning of roughly 8,000 square feet on each of the two blocks, total of 16,000 square feet. The plan after this which shows the site in red demonstrates the area map, it's the next slide, which really demonstrates why the land use rationale here is strong. The M1-4/R6A that's adjacent to the site was zoned in the 2001 Flushing Bedford Avenue Rezoning, and this was a rezoning which understood that many of the surrounding uses to the north, northeast, east, and south of the site were zoned residential and so rezoned those to permit for additional development consistent with these three- to seven-story residential buildings so in allowing for the extension here, what are we seeking? We're seeking to develop on vacant lots, but

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

sites.

importantly every one of the other lots within this rezoning area has residential uses either in the form of an entirely residential building or a mixed-use building so this is one of those rare rezonings where you really are allowing the zoning map to reflect what's already on the ground. We look a lot at conformance, at whether or not the buildings and the uses within an area conform to the underlying zoning. Right now, you would have no conformance or, if you looked at the vacant lots maybe 10 percent conformance of the existing lots, whereas after this rezoning, a full 89, 90 percent of those lots will be conforming and now allowing for residential use so the land use policy here is sound, both in extending this modest residential district as well as in allowing conforming uses to occupy all of these

The next several pages are photographs of the area. I would run through them briefly.

Primarily, the first page which shows the residential building on the corner, that is the building immediately adjacent, you can see in the top left picture, adjacent to the site, this is an existing five-story residential building permitted by BSA

2 variance. I would note that Community Board 3 has

3 been subject over the last number of years to roughly

4 55 to 60 residential variances within its community

5 district to allow for residential use within

6 manufacturing districts. What we seek to do here is

7 to allow this through a better planning process

8 through the rezoning process.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

If you want to fast forward through the pictures, you will come to the plans of the project. Again, a modest building. The first plan shows the site plan, which demonstrates the proposed residential six-story building. The next plan shows the first floor divided between a 600- and 800-foot residential unit as well as a residential lobby and then the floors above, two through five, each with two units ranging from 700 to 800 square feet, and the final slide with floor plans showing 1,000 feet at the top story.

The next two plans show sections of the building which demonstrate the adjacent building as well and show that this is an appropriate building maintaining the required setback at the sixth story, and the final slide, which demonstrates the building, shows a rendering of the building. Again, a rather

2.2

2.3

That concludes this portion of the presentation. I would merely add that Brooklyn Community Board 3 voted in favor of this application. We were entirely thrilled with the process with the Community Board and their vote in favor of this application. This Community Board has not always been favorably disposed to this type of rezoning activity. I think that they recognized here that the merits of a residential/mixed-use rezoning were overwhelming. With that, we did achieve the approval of the Community Board, the Brooklyn Borough President, and subsequently the City Planning Commission, and we hope, Chair Riley, that you as well as your Colleagues and especially Council Member Restler will see fit to approve this rezoning.

With that, the applicant panel is happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard. I have a couple of questions then I'm going to pass it to Council Member Restler to ask his questions.

This project is under the square footage minimum and barely exceeds the minimum unit threshold

2 for MIH. How can we have confidence that your

3 development will include enough units to ensure that

4 MIH applies?

1

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you for the question, Chair Riley. By way of background, this application has been before the City in some form for over six to seven years. The applicant originally attempted an application as part of public record before BSA and eventually came to the City Planning Commission so the applicant here has a longstanding commitment to residential use at the property as well as to affordable use at the property. The applicant is more than willing to work with the Council and particularly Council Member Restler to make whatever commitments are necessary to ensure that affordable units will be housed at the site so whatever form that takes, we would be happy to do that. I'd say as a matter of record, this applicant has been through a lot with regards to this property, but we would be willing to enter into that discussion, and we would definitely commit to including that affordability.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: You're applying to

rezone a site to a mixed-use, M1-4/R6A district, but

your development does not include any commercial use.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

Can you describe your rationale for building 100 percent residential?

RICHARD LOBEL: Sure, Council Member. We recognize that there are other lots within the rezoned area, specifically on the adjacent opposite corner which include ground floor commercial use. The applicant here through the research with regards to the property and again a longstanding review of the property over the course of years made a determination as far as feasibility that an entirely residential building would be appropriate and would be feasible to build at the site. We do also recognize that there are other properties included in this rezoning, which may elect as is currently the existing case on the opposite corner to have (INAUDIBLE) for commercial so we're rezoning from an M1-1 which allows commercial. The rezoned district would permit commercial, but the applicant's intention here would be to build a fully residential building while recognizing that local commercial may be appropriate or even more appropriate for some of the adjacent sites.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. The Racial Impact Report shows that close to 60 percent of the

2 | households in Bed-Stuy have incomes under 80 percent

3 of the Area Median Income with a greater proportion

4 under 50 percent of AMI than Brooklyn or the City at

5 | large. Why do you believe that MIH Option 2 is

6 appropriate in this context?

1

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: Council Member, the truth is that we are mapping Option 1 and Option 2. From our prior actions at the Council and before the Community Board, we are aware that there is a preference for Option 1 so what we'd like to do and what we always are interested in doing is not merely proposing a rezoning but proposing a project. Yoel right now is faced with a position where there is a lot of inconsistency and uncertainty as far as the development of the site is concerned with regards to financing, with regards to cost of construction materials, and most importantly with regards to 421A being removed from the opportunity to develop the site. The intention here would be to comply with whatever takes the place of 421A on a going-forward basis and so, with that, we would have to maintain whatever affordability was required with regards to 421A. If that is Option 1, the applicant would be happy to comply with that. The only reason that we're

2.2

2.3

mapping both here is frankly to make sure of two things. The first is that our neighbors have the flexibility to the extent that they redevelop their sites to use both and also so that as Yoel goes down the process he's able to develop the site and, again, with an actual project that he can finance. We make no assertions with regards to the lack that Option 2 would be even better than Option 1 here. What we merely hope for is the flexibility to provide that so that in the case that it would assist in making the development feasible, we're able to do so.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Last question. What strategies will you employ to reduce energy use, manage stormwater, and otherwise maximize the environmental performance of your building?

RICHARD LOBEL: Chair Riley, we're cognizant of the Council's commitment to sustainability measures as well as the Brooklyn Borough President who so mentioned in his resolutions. The applicant has committed to a green roof at the site as well as solar at the site in order to comply with and to satisfy certain Local Laws as well as abatement applications so that's the first thing. When we talk about a green roof, we're

percent of coverage with a green roof as well as 80

4 percent of that being drought resistant flora.

2.2

2.3

In addition to that and in addition to common measures such as low-flow toilets and LED lighting, the applicant is currently exploring alternative energy sources for the building and is also... roof detention for water retention as well. We do have a host of measures we are taking at the site. We look forward to taking those measures at the site so that's how we would answer the question.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you, Richard.

Council Member Restler.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Thank you, Chair Riley. It's always good to be with you. Thank you to the applicant for joining us today.

I'll just say firstly one of the things I hear most about in South Williamsburg and the northwest corner of Bedford-Stuyvesant is from families who are young, who are getting married, and they have no place to live, they have no place to go, they have to leave Williamsburg, which breaks my heart, and so I welcome the opportunity for additional housing here in this community especially,

2 but I want to make sure that we're building housing

3 that meets the needs of the community and so I'm

4 perturbed, concerned, disappointed in the proposed

5 unit mix that you have for this project, five one-

6 bedroom units and just one three-bedroom unit. Not to

quiz you, Richard, but what's the average family size

8 for families in this area?

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

RICHARD LOBEL: Thank you for the question, Council Member. The unit mix is somewhat misaligned with what is actually taking place in the building. Importantly here, the actual unit sizes in allowing for 11 units within an 11,000-square-foot building are really rather generous. There are only two units proposed for each floor. The smallest unit is on the ground floor because there is a residential lobby, and, other than that, the highest floor, the sixth floor, allows for one three-bedroom unit. The remaining floors are merely split between two units. Really, what it really amounts to as Lewis and I spoke about prior to the hearing is that the onebedroom units actually could be conceived as twobedroom units, which would make the project nine twobedroom units, one three-bedroom, and one studio unit on the ground floor. Really with that ...

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

2 COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Could be 3 conceived of. That's quite a description.

RICHARD LOBEL: Yeah. It's more that from a legal standpoint, from a DOB and Codes filing standpoint, the square footages of those floors two through five would permit those to be two-bedroom units.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: But you're not proposing them as two-bedroom units in this project.

RICHARD LOBEL: Do we have any issue... I'm sorry.

LEWIS GARFINKEL: Lewis Garfinkel. It was debatable how to lay it out, and when I originally spoke with them, we spoke about a one-bedroom, but then the way I see it you could have two bedrooms. It's just up to the developer how he wants to market, how big his living rooms should be so it can go either way.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: I recognize a young family may not need a two-bedroom immediately upon getting married, but shortly thereafter they certainly do and so I would strongly consider reconsidering that unit mix as we move forward with this process.

2.2

2.3

all of this?

I had a couple of other questions. The adjacent building has balconies that come up basically directly to your lot line for this proposed project. Have you been in touch with that developer, have you reached a resolution, would you all have balconies for your apartments that potentially could accommodate sukkahs or whatever or whoever may live there? Would they be on that side adjacent to that building? Could you just give us a little context on

would note is that we have reached out to the neighbors. Yould have indeed been in contact with the neighbors as well as the management company for that building and, understanding that there's certain violations issued to that building which we can't do anything about, with regards to our building and their building, we're trying to move forward together to try to come to some understanding as we move forward with our building plans. The complications posed by the corner building on Franklin are that the violations issued to that building relate to a BSA approval. That BSA approval was issued pursuant to a 2003 variance application so that building is

violation in accordance with the printed violations,		
again a matter of public record, but with regards to		
whether or not we are reaching out to them, we have,		
we are, we are in continued communication with that		
building. With regards to our building, while we		
would be able to have balconies off the rear of the		
building, we would not have balconies to the side so		
there would be no similar concern with Sukkot		
adjacent to that building. I think one of the things		
that makes our application challenging and also kind		
of going a little bit to the unit sizes as well is		
that we have a shallow lot. The lot, itself, is 40		
feet across by 80 feet deep so with a standard rear		
yard of 30 feet, maybe 25 feet due to the lack of		
much depth, we would be looking at the floor area of		
each floor being relatively a small floorplate, 40 by		
51 to 55, so it limits our layout, but we would not		
have balconies on that side of the building.		

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Okay. All helpful. I really appreciated Chair Riley's questions around commitments to sustainability and am pleased to learn about the green roof and the solar.

The only other question I wanted to ask.

I was a little confused on the Park Avenue Fish property. Is that in this rezoning area?

RICHARD LOBEL: Council Member, can you specifically tell me which lot that would be?

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Well, I'm a little confused if it's 462 or 468, but it's the onestory Fish building you'll see on page 3 of 11, the lower lefthand corner, image 9.

RICHARD LOBEL: Those buildings fronting on Park Avenue within 100 feet from the corner of Park and Franklin would be included in the rezoning area.

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: Have you been in touch with the owner of the Park Avenue Fish lot?

RICHARD LOBEL: We've not. That use would be conforming and the building would be conforming and complying with the rezoning...

COUNCIL MEMBER RESTLER: My understanding is it would allow for eight stories of development there so I was just, that's potentially even a greater development than the one that you're talking about today with your project so I just want to

2.2

2.3

Park Avenue Proposal in-person or remotely?

2.2

2.3

COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair, we have none remotely, and I don't see anyone in the room that's here to testify for that item so we can go ahead and close the hearing.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no other members of the public who wish to testify on LUs 158 and 159, the 446-448 Park Avenue Rezoning Proposal, the public hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.

I will now open the public hearing for LU

168 relating to the Gowanus Green Empire State

Development Corporation Grant Proposal in Council

Member Hanif's District in Brooklyn.

This application by the New York City

Department of Housing Preservation and Development

seeks capital funding from the ESDC up to 5 million

for the Gowanus Green Affordable Housing Development.

For anyone wishing to testify on this item remotely, if you have not already done so you must register online and you may do that now by visiting the Council's website at council.nyc.gov/landuse.

There is no one in here now, but if anyone comes in, once again for anyone with us in-

the record before you begin. You may begin.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES

2.2

2.3

JUSTIN DONLON: Good afternoon, Chair
Riley.

4 CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Do you have a 5 presentation?

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{JUSTIN DONLON: No. We're just going to} \\ \mbox{make some testimony.}$

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Go ahead.

JUSTIN DONLON: My name is Justin Donlon.

I am the Community Affairs Specialist for the

Division of Government Affairs at HPD. I am joined by

my colleagues, Hallah Saleh who is the Deputy

Director of Brooklyn Planning at HPD, and Lee Ilan,

the Chief of Planning at the Mayor's Office of

Environmental Remediation.

Pursuant to the New York State Urban

Development Act, the City intends to file an
application for the Empire State Development

Corporation's Restore New York Community's

Initiative, more commonly known as Restore New York,

Grant. This grant provides municipalities with

financial assistance for the revitalization of

commercial and residential properties. The program
encourages community development and neighborhood
growth through the demolition, rehabilitation, and

2 reconstruction of vacant, abandoned, condemned, and

3 surplus properties. The City has proposed future

4 building A within the Gowanus Green Project in

5 Brooklyn in Council Member Hanif's District for its

6 grant application. Gowanus Green, a six-building,

7 | 950-unit affordable housing development with

8 commercial and community facility space and

9 approximately 1.5 acres of open space sited for a

10 | future park was previously approved by the Council as

11 an Urban Development Action Area and Project pursuant

12 to Article 16 of the General Municipal Law in

13 November 2021.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

1

Under the proposed application, the City will apply for 5 million dollars to facilitate the first phase of reconstruction and development of the Gowanus Green Project. The grant will contribute to the success of this permanently affordable, mixed-use residential development at a time when the city is experiencing a historic dual crisis in both housing shortages and homelessness.

To that end, consistent with the guidelines issued by Empire State Development, the City respectfully requests that the Council make the following findings related to New York City's

HALLAH SALEH: Sure. My name is Hallah

Saleh, and I'm the Deputy Director for Brooklyn

24

Planning at HPD. This site, Gowanus Green, is multiphase. Building A is of the first phase. It was prioritized for a number of reasons, one of which it met the criteria that the grant asked for which it be an Empire zone and a BOA. Also that it was ready and feasible to begin within the next year. This site has all of its approvals. It went through ULURP and gained approvals in November 2021, and it does have a lot of the predevelopment finances that are needed to move forward. Of the two buildings, Building A and Building D, Building A was one that was seen to be ready to move forward at this stage. Additionally, it can be connected to existing infrastructure and facilities that are already in place in the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. Last question. What is the development timeline for Building A?

HALLAH SALEH: The grant, itself, would require construction begin by February 2025 so we would be looking at a closing timeline before then and then getting the permits in place for construction to begin no later than that timeline.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

1	SUBCOMMITTEE ON ZONING AND FRANCHISES 138
2	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. I have no
3	more questions for this applicant panel.
4	Counsel, are there any members of the
5	public who wish to testify on this topic?
6	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: Chair,
7	we have no members online and, as you can see, we
8	don't have any members here in person.
9	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: Thank you. This panel
10	is excused. Thank you so much. Thank you for being
11	patient.
12	COMMITTEE COUNSEL MARTINEZ-RUBIO: We can
13	go ahead and close the hearing.
14	CHAIRPERSON RILEY: There being no members
15	of the public who wish to testify on LU 162 relating
16	to the Gowanus Green ESDC Grant Proposal, the public
17	hearing is now closed, and the items are laid over.
18	That concludes today's business. I would
19	like to thank the members of the public, my
20	Colleagues, Subcommittee Counsel, Land Use and other
21	Council Staff, and the Sergeant-at-Arms for
22	participating in today's meeting.
23	This meeting is hereby adjourned. Thank

you. [GAVEL]

World Wide Dictation certifies that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. We further certify that there is no relation to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that there is interest in the outcome of this matter.



Date January 9, 2023